

Deciding Graph Property by Single Mobile Agent: One-Bit Memory Suffices*

Taisuke Izumi[†] Kazuki Kakizawa[‡] Yuya Kawabata[‡] Naoki Kitamura[†]
Toshimitsu Masuzawa[†]

Abstract

We investigate the computational power of the deterministic single-agent model where the agent and each node are equipped with a limited amount of persistent memory. Tasks are formalized as decision problems on properties of input graphs, i.e., the task is defined as a subset \mathcal{T} of all possible input graphs, and the agent must decide if the network belongs to \mathcal{T} or not. We focus on the class of the decision problems which are solvable in a polynomial number of movements, and polynomial-time local computation. The contribution of this paper is the computational power of the very weak system with one-bit agent memory and $O(1)$ -bit storage (i.e. node memory) is equivalent to the one with $O(n)$ -bit agent memory and $O(1)$ -bit storage. We also show that the one-bit agent memory is crucial to lead this equivalence: There exists a decision task which can be solved by the one-bit memory agent but cannot be solved by the zero-bit memory (i.e., oblivious) agent. Our result is deduced by the algorithm of simulating the $O(n)$ -bit memory agent by the one-bit memory agent with polynomial-time overhead, which is developed by two novel technical tools. The first one is a dynamic s - t path maintenance mechanism which uses only $O(1)$ -bit storage per node. The second one is a new lexicographically-ordered DFS algorithm for the mobile agent system with $O(1)$ -bit memory and $O(1)$ -bit storage per node. These tools are of independent interest.

1 Introduction

1.1 Background and Our Result

The *mobile agent* model is one of the popular computational models in distributed computing, where autonomous entities (i.e., *agents*) moving in the input network process some given task. It is recognized as an abstraction of robot systems, web crawlers, swarm intelligence, and so on. The models of mobile agents are characterized by several features such as the number of agents, capability of agents and nodes, faults, and network topology. It is a central question in the theory of mobile agents to clarify the computational power of a given model.

In this paper, we investigate the computational power of the deterministic single-agent model where the agent and nodes are equipped with a limited amount of persistent memory. Throughout this paper, we refer to the memory managed by the agent as “memory”, and that managed by each node as “storage”. The detailed characteristics follow the standard assumptions of mobile agent

*A part of this paper was presented in the 2018 ACM Symposium on Principles of Distributed Computing (PODC2018) as a brief announcement.

[†]Graduate School of Information Science and Technology, Osaka University, E-mail: {t-izumi, n-kitamura, masuzwa}@ist.osaka-u.ac.jp.

[‡]Graduate School of Engineering, Nagoya Institute of Technology, E-mail: {kezawa0612, btk15049}@gmail.com

systems: The input graph G is *anonymous* (i.e., there is no unique ID of nodes) and neighbors of a node is identified by *local port numbers* assigned to the edges incident to the node. The agent does not have any prior knowledge on the network topology. In one time step, the agent performs local computation, which includes the update of the information stored in its own memory and the storage at the current node, and decides the neighbor to which it moves.

We formalize tasks as decision problems on properties of input networks. More precisely, a task is a subset \mathcal{T} of all possible inputs \mathcal{G} . The agent must decide if the input G belongs to \mathcal{T} or not, i.e., the agent terminates with one-bit output indicating $G \in \mathcal{T}$ or not. This decision problem is defined in a very general form and covers many popular graph problems. For example, all the following problems belong to this class: planarity, bipartiteness, and boundedness of graph parameters such as diameter and treewidth.

We focus on the class of the decision problems which are solvable by a single mobile agent in a polynomial number of movements and polynomial-time local computation. Such a class is a natural analogue of class P in the centralized computation. Formally, we denote by $\mathsf{P}_{\text{AGT}}(g(n), h(n))$ the class of the decision problems which are solvable in the mobile agent system with $g(n)$ -bit memory and $h(n)$ -bit storage. The main contribution of this paper is to reveal the relationship between function $g(n)$ and the task solvability. Surprisingly, we show that the magnitude of $g(n)$ does not matter. Even if $g(n) = 1$, the agent can use the asymptotically whole storage as its own memory. We have the following theorem:

Theorem 1 $\mathsf{P}_{\text{AGT}}(1, \Theta(1)) = \mathsf{P}_{\text{AGT}}(\Theta(n), \Theta(1))$ ¹.

It is easy to show that our result is tight with respect to memory size. That is, the computational powers of the one-bit memory agent and the zero-bit memory agent (so-called *oblivious agent*) are not equivalent under the assumption of $O(1)$ -bit storage. The following theorem is obtained as a corollary of the impossibility result by Cohen et al. [CFI⁺08].

Theorem 2 There exists a decision problem \mathcal{P} such that $\mathcal{P} \notin \mathsf{P}_{\text{AGT}}(0, \Theta(1))$ and $\mathcal{P} \in \mathsf{P}_{\text{AGT}}(1, \Theta(1))$ hold. That is, $\mathsf{P}_{\text{AGT}}(0, \Theta(1)) \subsetneq \mathsf{P}_{\text{AGT}}(1, \Theta(1))$.

1.2 Technical Idea

The proof of Theorem 1 consists of two parts, each of which shows $\mathsf{P}_{\text{AGT}}(O(1), O(1)) = \mathsf{P}_{\text{AGT}}(O(n), O(1))$ and $\mathsf{P}_{\text{AGT}}(1, O(1)) = \mathsf{P}_{\text{AGT}}(O(1), O(1))$. The second part of reducing the agent memory size from $O(1)$ to 1 is realized by a simple idea, which disassembles the information transfer caused by the movement of k -bit memory agent into k iterations of round-trip movement of the one-bit agent. Hence the main technical challenge is at the first part. The key ingredient of the first part is an algorithm for serializing all the nodes in the network. The serialized nodes, each of which has $O(1)$ -bit storage, can be treated as the $O(n)$ -bit tape T of a Turing machine(TM), and our simulation algorithm utilizes it as the agent memory. Letting A be any algorithm utilizing $O(n)$ -bit agent memory, we model A (i.e., the algorithm of the simulated agent) as a Turing machine M utilizing $O(n)$ -bit tape, and the simulation algorithm executes M on tape T . The primary hurdle of this approach is that we cannot explicitly store the serialized order of the nodes. More generally, $O(1)$ -bit storage per node does not suffice to store any *path structure* assigning each node with a predecessor neighbor and a successor neighbor explicitly. The main technical tool of our solution is to provide a new distributed data structure *R-path*, which dynamically maintains a traversable

¹The asymptotic version of this class ($\mathsf{P}_{\text{AGT}}(\Theta(g(n)), \Theta(h(n)))$ is defined as the union of $\mathsf{P}_{\text{AGT}}(g'(n), h'(n))$ for all $g'(n) = \Theta(g(n))$ and $h'(n) = \Theta(h(n))$.

path between two given nodes by spending only $O(1)$ -bit storage per node and $O(1)$ -bit memory. Utilizing this technique, our simulation algorithm implements the lexicographically-ordered depth-first search (Lex-DFS) for the input network G . It obviously provides an implicit serialization of all nodes following the pre-order of Lex-DFS. When simulating M using $O(n)$ -bit tape, the simulator agent always keeps the state of M in its memory. In addition, a constant number of R-path instances are used to connect the locations of the simulator agent, simulated agent, and tape head. Then the agent can freely access the information stored in those locations by traversing the maintained paths, and can simulate one-step execution of M .

Finally, we emphasize that our Lex-DFS algorithm itself is also a by-product contribution of this paper. To the best of our knowledge, it is the first DFS algorithm only spending $O(1)$ -bit memory and $O(1)$ -bit storage per node. Since Lex-DFS has a wide range of applications, we believe that this tool will be useful for importing such applications into the mobile agent systems with limited memory/storage capability.

1.3 Related Work

To the best of our knowledge, there exists no prior work on addressing the space complexity of general tasks in the single-agent model. Nevertheless, the space complexity of the single-agent model for a specific problem is much investigated. The mainstream of this research line is the *graph exploration problem*, which has a long history and the origin goes back to the Shannon’s experiment on maze-solving mouse [Sha51]. In the field of theoretical computer science, it received much attention after the seminal paper by Aleliunas et al. [AKL⁺79] providing the explicit polynomial-time upper bound of the random walks in undirected graphs (so-called *cover time*). It implies that a single $O(\log n)$ -bit counter yields a simple randomized graph exploration algorithm with termination. This result triggers the interest of log-space *deterministic* graph exploration algorithms in relation to the computational complexity issue of log-space computability, and a number of algorithms are developed [Kou02, HW93, Kou03, Ist88, KPS]. The Reingold’s seminal logspace undirected connectivity algorithm is one of the milestones on this line [Rei08]. The memory-size optimality of the Reingold’s algorithm is also shown by Fraigniaud et al. [FIP⁺05]. The speed matter of graph exploration is considered with respect to time-space tradeoff [Fei, Kos13].

While all the results above assumes the system without storage, sublogarithmic-memory graph exploration algorithms assuming storage has been proposed, for a variety of storage models. For example, the *rotor-router* model [BGH⁺09], which is also known as a technique of de-randomizing random walks, guides the agent by the pointer managed by each node. This model attains the graph exploration with zero-bit memory and $O(\log \Delta)$ -bit storage, where Δ is the maximum degree of the network. Yet another example is the pebble-based algorithm proposed by Disser et al. [DHK16], which is a graph exploration algorithm using $O(\log \log n)$ distinct pebbles. The k -pebble model is one of the restricted versions of the standard storage model, where each agent has k distinguished pebbles, and can put and pick-up each pebble on any node for leaving some information there. The conceptual idea of this algorithm is very close to our result. The algorithm simulates a log-space Turing machine in a distributed manner using $O(\log \log n)$ pebbles, and runs the Reingold’s algorithm on the simulated Turing machine. Since this model is incomparable to our $O(1)$ -bit storage model, the technical contribution of our result is definitely independent of this algorithm. In addition, the simulation overhead of the algorithm by Disser et al. is unfortunately super-polynomial, while our result only incurs the polynomial-time overhead. The polynomial-time graph exploration using $O(1)$ -bit memory and $O(1)$ -bit storage has been shown to be solvable by Cohen et al. [CFI⁺08]. The exploration algorithm presented in that paper is actually the breadth-first search (BFS) by the agent. Our DFS algorithm complements another side of very standard

graph search algorithms. Note that it is certainly possible to use the exploration algorithm by Cohen et al. as the building block of our simulation algorithm for node serialization, instead of our lex-DFS algorithm. However, no general simulation technique as our result is addressed there. The paper by Cohen et al. [CFI⁺08] also presents the impossibility of graph exploration by zero-bit memory agents if the storage size is $o(\log \Delta)$ bits, which is the basis of the proof of Theorem 2. A variety of the exploration algorithms attaining different memory/storage complexities are also presented in [SBN⁺15], but no algorithm there attains the complexity of $O(1)$ -bit memory and $O(1)$ -bit storage.

1.4 Roadmap

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we introduce the formal model and the problem definition. Section 3 explains the Lex-DFS algorithm using $O(1)$ -bit memory and $O(1)$ -bit storage, as well as the details of the R-path structure. Following the proof of $\text{P}_{\text{AGT}}(\Theta(1), \Theta(1)) = \text{P}_{\text{AGT}}(\Theta(1), \Theta(n))$ in Section 4.2, we present the result of $\text{P}_{\text{AGT}}(1, \Theta(1)) = \text{P}_{\text{AGT}}(\Theta(1), \Theta(1))$ in Section 4.3. The proof of Theorem 2 is straightforward, and thus it is deferred to the appendix.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Input Graph

We denote by $[a, b]$ the set of integers at least a and at most b . The input graph $G = (V, E, \Pi)$ is a simple undirected connected graph with port numbering, where V is the set of nodes, $E \subseteq V \times V$ is the set of edges, Π is the set of port-numbering functions (explained later). We denote by n and m the numbers of nodes and edges respectively. Let $E(v)$ be the set of edges incident to node v , $N(v)$ be the set of nodes that are adjacent to v , and $\Delta(v)$ be the degree of node $v \in V$. While each element v in V is treated as the unique ID of the corresponding node, it is not identified by the agent. That is, nodes are *anonymous*. For the agent to distinguish adjacent nodes, a *local port numbering* is defined for each node. An edge incident to a vertex v is assigned with a unique label in $[0, \Delta(v) - 1]$ defined by a port numbering function $\pi_v: E(v) \rightarrow [0, \Delta(v) - 1]$. The set Π consists of the port-numbering functions for all nodes in V . Note that two port numbers $\pi_v(e)$ and $\pi_u(e)$ assigned to the same edge $e = (u, v)$ are independent. Since $E(v)$ and $N(v)$ has one-to-one correspondence, we often abuse π_v as a function from $N(v)$. That is, for any $u \in N(v)$, $\pi_v(u)$ represents the v 's port number of edge (v, u) . Furthermore, we also denote the inverse function of $\pi_v(u)$ on $N(v)$ by π_v^{-1} (i.e., $\pi_v^{-1}: [0, \Delta(v) - 1] \rightarrow N(v)$).

2.2 Mobile Agent

Each node $v \in V$ has *storage*, which is a persistent memory keeping information even after the agent leaves (i.e., the agent can refer to that information when it comes back). We denote by $b(v)$ the information stored in the storage at $v \in V$. Since we consider the system with $O(1)$ -bit storage per node, $b(v)$ is treated as a fixed-length bit string. At the beginning of the execution, $b(v)$ for all $v \in V$ are initialized with the sequence of zeros. The execution of the agent follows discrete time steps. In each step, the agent first observes the degree of the current vertex v , the port number of the edge from which it entered v , and the storage of v . Then it performs local computation following the algorithm and the observed information. The local computation outputs the information left to the storage of the current node and the port number $x \in [0, \Delta(v) - 1]$ indicating the destination of the next movement. Finally, the step finishes with the movement to $\pi_v^{-1}(x)$. It is guaranteed

that the movement at a step finishes by the beginning of the next step. The location of the agent at the current step is referred to as v_{cur} , and the port number from which the agent comes to v_{cur} is referred to as π_{in} . Note that the “current step” implied by notations v_{cur} and π_{in} depends on the context.

An algorithm A is formally defined by a 5-tape Turing machine $M(A) = (Q, \Sigma, \gamma, q_0, q_1)$, where Q is the set of states, $\Sigma = \{0, 1, \sqcup\}$ is the tape alphabet, $\gamma: Q \times \{0, 1\}^5 \rightarrow Q \times \{0, 1\}^5 \times \{L, R\}^5$ is the transition function, q_0 is the initial state, and q_1 is the termination state. In what follows, we refer to an atomic step of TMs as “TM step”, and refer to the time step of agent executions as “agent step” or simply “step” for distinguishing them clearly. That is, one agent step consists of multiple TM steps of $M(A)$ and the following agent movement after the termination of $M(A)$. The first tape corresponds to the local persistent memory of the agent, which is not reset even after the TM terminates and thus accessible in the next agent step. On the other hand, at the beginning of every agent step, the 2-4th tapes are initialized with the information of $b(v_{\text{cur}})$, the degree $\Delta(v_{\text{cur}})$, and π_{in} respectively. The 2-4th tapes are read-only in executions of $M(A)$. The fifth tape is write-only, which initially stores only symbol \sqcup and finally stores the port number from which the agent leaves v_{cur} at the termination of $M(A)$. Due to some technical reason, we assume that the information stored in the 3-5th tapes are unary encoded, i.e., a numerical value k is encoded by k iterations of 1. Note that this assumption is not essential because unary and binary encodings are mutually transformable in polynomial TM steps. The agent performs the local computation following the transition function γ until $M(A)$ terminates at state q_1 . The algorithm A terminates when the local computation finishes with writing nothing in the fifth tape (i.e., storing only \sqcup). The maximum lengths $g(n)$ and $h(n)$ of the first and second tapes used by $M(A)$ over all possible executions for graphs of at most n nodes are respectively called the *memory complexity* and *storage complexity* of A . We call A a *polynomial-time* algorithm if for any input graph G of n nodes, A necessarily terminates within $\text{Poly}(n)$ agent steps and local computation by $M(A)$ necessarily terminates within $\text{Poly}(n)$ TM steps.

2.3 Decision Task and Complexity Class

Let \mathcal{G} be the set of all possible input graphs. A *decision task* \mathcal{T} is defined as a subset of \mathcal{G} consisting of all “yes” instances of that task. Assume that the storage at each node contains a boolean output register. An algorithm A solves a decision task \mathcal{T} if for any input graph $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and any initial location of the agent, the agent terminates with writing the value of the predicate $G \in \mathcal{T}$ in the output register at the final location. We define $\text{P}_{\text{AGT}}(g(n), h(n))$ be the class of the decision tasks solvable by a polynomial-time algorithm of memory complexity $g(n)$ and storage complexity $h(n)$.

3 Lex-DFS Algorithm Using $O(1)$ -bit memory and $O(1)$ -bit storage

3.1 Lexicographically-Ordered DFS

The lexicographically-ordered depth-first search (Lex-DFS) is a special case of the standard depth-first search, which requires some specific search order following the port numbers: When the agent chooses an unvisited neighbor in $N(v_{\text{cur}})$, it must choose the node $u \in N(v_{\text{cur}})$ whose port number $\pi_{v_{\text{cur}}}(u)$ is the minimum of all unvisited neighbors. Throughout this paper, we fix the root of the Lex-DFS search by the initial location of the agent, which is denoted by r . We also define $r = v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}$ as the sequence of all vertices in the input graph G following the pre-order of Lex-DFS. Fixing the root r , the Lex-DFS ordering and the corresponding DFS tree is uniquely determined. We denote by $P(v)$ the path from r to v in the Lex-DFS tree.

3.2 Procedure FindFirst

For ease of presentation, we introduce a fundamental subroutine called `FindFirst`. In the design of our algorithm, the agent often has to discover the neighbor with a specific state. The procedure `FindFirst(O, P)` invoked at a node u visits all the neighbors $N(u)$ in the order specified by O for probing. When the agent finds the neighbor $v \in N(u)$ satisfying the predicate P on the storage contents for the first time, it goes back to u and terminates. Then π_{in} indicates the port number of v , i.e., it terminates with $\pi_{\text{in}} = \pi_u(v)$. To simplify the description of the algorithm, if there are no neighbor v satisfying $P(v) = \text{True}$, `FindFirst(O, P)` terminates with $\pi_{\text{in}} = -1$ ². We can choose the search order O from the following four options: `HeadAscend`, `MiddleAscend`, `MiddleDescend`, `TailDescend`. The choice of `Head`, `Middle`, and `Tail` determines the port number where the procedure starts the search, which respectively means 0, π_{in} , $\Delta(u) - 1$. The choice of `Ascend/Descend` is the order of the search, each of which corresponds to the ascending and descending orders of port numbers. The search with option `Middle` is not cyclic, i.e., it terminates when the neighbor with port number 0 or $\Delta(u) - 1$ has been probed. Note that iterative probing of neighbors does not need any persistent counter: In the invocation at node v , the agent repeats the go-and-back for each neighbor in $N(v)$, which is implemented by the mechanism that the agent coming back to v moves to the neighbor through port $\pi_{\text{in}} + 1$ or $\pi_{\text{in}} - 1$ according to the choice of `Ascend/Descend`.

3.3 Lex-DFS Algorithm Using $O(1)$ -Bit Memory and $O(1)$ -Bit Storage

This section provides the details of our small-space Lex-DFS algorithm, called `DLDFS`. In the following argument, we use notation $b(v).q$ to represent the variable q stored in the storage $b(v)$. The fundamental structure of `DLDFS` follows the centralized Lex-DFS algorithm using only $O(n)$ -bit memory space (more precisely, it manages vertex labels of $O(1)$ bits) proposed concurrently by Asano et al. [AIK⁺14] and Elmasry et al. [EHK15]. Initially, all vertices are colored by white (implying "not visited yet"). All visited nodes are colored by black (implying "already visited") or grey (implying "already visited, and on the path $P(u)$ for the location u of the DFS search head"). We refer to $P(u)$ as the *grey path*. In `DLDFS`, the color of each node is managed by the storage variable `color`. In the forwarding phase, `DLDFS` behaves completely same as the naive Lex-DFS algorithm. First, it checks if there exists a white neighbor of v_{cur} or not. If it exists, the algorithm chooses the one with the minimum port number as the next node, and colors the next node by grey. This process is easily implemented by the procedure `FindFirst`. When no white neighbor exists, the algorithm performs the backtrack to the parent node in the grey path, as well as coloring the current node by black. Since storing the pointers to the parent node at each node on $P(u)$ incurs $O(\log n)$ -bit storage cost, the technical challenge of $O(1)$ -bit storage Lex-DFS lies at how to perform backtracks without those pointers. The solution by Asano et al. and Elmasry et al. is to resolve this matter by the following lemma, which states that the grey path from r is recovered only from the information of the *set* of grey nodes.

Lemma 1 (Asano et al. [AIK⁺14], Elmasry et al. [EHK15]) Let $G = (V, E, \Pi)$ and $r \in V$ be any instance of Lex-DFS, and $P(u) = u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k$ ($r = u_0$ and $u = u_k$). Then, for any $i \in [0, k - 1]$, $\pi_{u_i}(u_{i+1}) = \min\{\pi_{u_i}(u_j) \mid j \in [i + 1, k], u_j \in N(u_i)\}$ holds.

The lemma above deduces the following backtrack process at node u : First, we color u by an extra color (we use green for this purpose). Then the agent starts the traversal of $P(u)$ from the root node r . Consider the situation where u_0, u_1, \dots, u_i are already traversed and the algorithm at

²Since the system does not have port number -1 , it is actually implemented by preparing one-bit flag indicating the success or failure of the exploration in the agent state.

the current node u_i is finding the next node u_{i+1} . Assume that each node maintains the boolean flag `traversal` in its storage, and all traversed nodes set `True` to that flag. Then the algorithm can identify u_{i+1} because it is the non-flagged grey neighbor of u_i having the minimum port number, by Lemma 1. This search task is implemented by `FindFirst`. When u_{i+1} is found, π_{in} indicates the port to u_{i+1} . The agent visits u_{i+1} and sets $b(u_{i+1}).\text{traversal} = \text{True}$. Iterating this process the algorithm completely traverses the sequence $P(u)$ and can identify the parent of u (when `FindFirst` finds a green neighbor, the current node is the parent). After traversing $P(u)$, all flags must be reset, which is done by executing the traversal from r again by inverting the roles of `traversal` (i.e., `traversal = True` represents that it is not flagged). Finally, the backtrack finishes with coloring u by black.

The remaining issue is how the agent goes back to the root r to start the traversal of $P(u)$. To address it, we introduce a new distributed data structure called *R-path*. An instance X of R-path maintains a path connecting an arbitrary *source node* s to a *target node* t . Note that R-path structure does not store any specific path from s to t , but some path connecting from s to t admitting the traversal by the agent. In that sense, what the R-path structure provides is only the functionality of jumping between s and t . The important features of R-path are twofold: We can dynamically update the location of the target node t , and $O(1)$ -bit memory and storage suffice to implement it. Each instance X of the R-path structure provides the following three operations to the upper application layer. Note that every operation can be executed only when the agent is on the managed path, and `Modify&Move` can be executed only when the agent is on the target node t .

- `MoveSource`: The agent on the path managed by X goes back to the source node of X .
- `Modify&Move`: The agent changes the target node of X to $\pi_{v_{\text{cur}}}^{-1}(\pi_{\text{in}})$, and moves there.
- `MoveOneHopForward`: When the agent is on the path managed by X , it moves to the neighbor in that path closer to the target node .

Apparently, the R-path structure is the last piece of completing DLDFS. In running the Lex-DFS algorithm explained above, the agent manages the path from the root r to the tail of the current grey path using the R-path structure. When it must go back to r in the process of backtracks, it suffices to run `MoveSource`. Assuming the R-path structure is realizable, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3 There exists a polynomial-time Lex-DFS algorithm using $O(1)$ -bit memory and $O(1)$ -bit storage.

In the following applications, we often run DLDFS multiple times. Then all nodes are colored by black after the first invocation of the algorithm. To run DLDFS again, those colors must be reset to white. This matter is easily resolved in the same way as resetting all flags in the grey path traversal. That is, it suffices to run DLDFS again by swapping the meanings of black and white nodes.

3.4 Implementation of R-path Structure

We first explain the structure of memory and storage: The agent is oblivious in procedures `MoveSource` and `MoveOneHopForward`. The implementation of `Modify&Move` uses two agent states, called *Find* and *Delete*. The storage consists of four variables `target`, `inPath`, `direction`, and `color`. Note that the variable `color` in this section is independent of the variable with the same name in the main Lex-DFS algorithm. The variable `target` is a flag indicating the current target node.




Figure 1: Finding $\text{back}(v)$ or $\text{fwd}(v)$

The variables `inPath` and `direction` are also the binary flags for recording the information on the maintained path (the details are explained later). The variable `color` is a mark internally used in the procedures, which takes one of four colors $\{\text{white}, \text{red}, \text{blue}, \text{yellow}\}$. Initially, all nodes have color white. We call the node satisfying `inPath = True` an *in-path node*, and denote by P the set of all in-path nodes. The main idea for saving space is that R-path maintains the set of in-path nodes constituting a “minimal” path from the source node to the target node, where the minimality means that any subgraph induced by P forms a path graph. This feature guarantees that any node in P has at most two neighbors in P . We call the neighbors of v in P closer to s and t the *backward* and *forward* neighbors of v respectively, which are denoted by $\text{back}(v)$ and $\text{fwd}(v)$. To traverse the maintained path correctly, we further add one-bit information by variable `direction`. Precisely, the value “Backward > Forward” (resp. “Backward < Forward”) of variable `direction` implies that the port number of the backward neighbor is greater (resp. smaller) than that of the forward one. Supported by this information, the agent can perform the backward and forward movement in the path correctly utilizing the procedure `FindFirst`. For example, if $(v_{\text{cur}}).\text{direction} = \text{“Backward < Forward”}$ and the agent wants to find $\text{back}(v_{\text{cur}})$, the agent runs `FindFirst` with predicate $b(\cdot).\text{inPath} = \text{True}$ and `HeadAscend` order. Then `FindFirst` returns the port number of $\text{back}(v_{\text{cur}})$. All other cases can be processed similarly (see Figure 1). It is straightforward to implement the procedures `MoveSource` and `MoveOneHopForward` utilizing this mechanism.

The main technical challenge of realizing R-path is how to implement `Modify&Move`. Consider the situation where the agent is on the target node t and wants to update the target node with a neighbor $t' \in N(t)$. First, the agent colors t' with yellow. If t' is on the maintained s - t path, the edge (t', t) is the tail edge of that path (Figure 2(a)). Then the update completes by simply removing it, i.e., setting $b(t).\text{inPath} = \text{False}$, $b(t).\text{target} = \text{False}$, $b(t').\text{color} = \text{white}$, and $b(t').\text{target} = \text{True}$. The case that t' is not on the maintained s - t path is more complicated because the resultant s - t' path might not be minimal. Then, the agent moves to the source node s by invoking `MoveSource`, and starts the *find phase* by changing its state to `Find`. In the find phase, the agent descends the current s - t path by using `MoveOneHopForward` (Figure 2(b1)). During the phase it also checks if the current in-path node has t' as its neighbor, which is done by searching the yellow neighbor with `FindFirst`. Since the agent keeps moving forward until t' is found in the current neighbors and t' is found at latest, the find phase always terminates with successfully finding the yellow neighbor. If the yellow neighbor is found at a node u (referred to as the *branching node*) for the first time, the concatenation of the path from s to u and the edge (u, t') creates a new path connecting s and t' , which satisfies the minimality requirement of R-path. After finding the branching node u ,




Figure 2: The implementation of **Modify&Move**

the agent must continue to descend the s - t path to t for deleting the expired path from u to t . To obtain the forward way to t at node u , we do not immediately modify the variable `direction` of u . Instead, when u is found, the agent colors node u by red or blue. The color red (resp. blue) implies that the variable `direction` at node u must be updated by the value “Backward $>$ Forward” (resp. “Backward $<$ Forward”). The color assigned to u can be computed by comparing the port numbers of the yellow neighbor and `back(u)` using `FindFirst`, but it is not an obvious task because one cannot distinguish `back(u)` and `fwd(u)` during the run of `FindFirst`. We resolve this matter by the following strategy: The agent first finds the port number of the yellow neighbor by `FindFirst` with option `HeadAscend`, and then starts `FindFirst` again with `Middle-` option from the position of the yellow neighbor to find any in-path neighbor. The search order of the second invocation follows the ascending order if `b(u).direction = “Backward $>$ Forward”` holds, or the descending order otherwise. The agent decides the color of u by blue if one of the following cases applies: (1) In the ascending order search, no in-path neighbor is found, or (2) in the descending order search an in-path neighbor is found. The node u is colored by red in all other cases. We can see that the procedure correctly decides the color of u from Figure 3 that describes all the possible cases. The actual updates of `b(u).direction` is deferred to the end of the following *delete phase*. In the delete phase, the agent continues to descend the path with resetting `inPath` by `False`(Figure 2(b2)). Arriving at t , the agent finds the yellow neighbor t' and moves to there. The agent sets `b(t').color = white` and `b(t').inPath = True`, and then further moves to the neighbor with colored by red or blue (i.e., u). The variable `b(u).direction` is appropriately updated according to its color. Finally, the agent goes back to t' and the procedure finishes (Figure 2(b3)). The pseudocode of the procedure `Modify&Move` and the correctness of the R-path structure is given in the appendix.

4 Simulation of $O(n)$ -bit Agent by One-bit Agent

4.1 Extending R-path structure

We first introduce four additional operations for the R-path structure.

- **MoveTarget**: The agent goes down to the target node maintained by the instance.
- **Delete**: Change the current target node to the current source node.
- **Copy(X_1, X_2)**: Given two instances X_1 and X_2 of the R-path, the path maintained by X_1 is copied to the instance X_2 . That is, by this operation, X_1 and X_2 maintains the same path. The operation terminates at the target node of X_1 (which is equal to that of X_2).




Figure 3: Coloring of branching nodes. Recall that the goal of this task is to color u by red if the port number of the yellow neighbor precedes that of the backward in-path neighbor, or by blue otherwise.

- **ModifySuccessor/ ModifyPredecessor:** Change the current target node of the instance to its successor/predecessor in the lex-DFS ordering.

The procedure `MoveTarget` is easily implemented by iterative invocations of `MoveOneHopForward`. The procedure `Delete` is also easily implemented by the following operations: The agent executes `MoveTarget` first, and then iteratively invokes `Modify&Move` to change the target to its backward neighbor until the target becomes r . The backward neighbor is easily found by running `FindFirst`.

The procedure `Copy(X_1, X_2)` first invokes `Delete` for X_2 , and then iteratively invokes `Modify&Move` for X_2 to move the target of X_2 along the path maintained by X_1 . That is, assuming the target node t of X_2 is on the path maintained by X_1 , the procedure finds the forward neighbor v of t in the path managed by X_1 using `FindFirst`, and changes the target node of X_2 to v by `Modify&Move`. When the target node of X_2 reaches the target node of X_1 , the copy from X_1 to X_2 completes.

The implementation of `ModifySuccessor` and `ModifyPredecessor` requires a slightly non-trivial idea. It is realized by some modified version of DLDFS which manages an extra R-path instance X' . Let I_j be the time-step interval in the execution of DLDFS from the first visit of v_j to that of v_{j+1} . During the period I_j , X' manages the path connecting r and v_j . Consider the invocation of `ModifyPredecessor` for X'' with the current target node v . It runs `MoveSource` for X'' to go back to the root r , and then starts DLDFS. At the beginning of each I_{j+1} , the agent checks if $v_{j+1} = v$ holds or not. If it holds, the target node of X' is v_j . Hence it suffices to invoke `Copy(X', X'')` to change the target node of X'' to the predecessor of $v = v_{j+1}$. Then the agent updates X' , which is done by copying the R-path instance X managed inside of DLDFS, which has the target node $v_{\text{cur}} = v_{j+1}$, to X' . Finishing the run of DLDFS, the procedure terminates with the invocation of `Delete` of X' . The procedure `ModifySuccessor` is implemented similarly.

4.2 From $O(n)$ bits to $O(1)$ bits

For simplicity, we construct the simulation algorithm $Sim(A)$ for any algorithm A using n -bit memory and $O(1)$ -bit storage, but it is easy to extend $Sim(A)$ for supporting general $O(n)$ -bit

memory. As stated in the introduction, the key idea of the simulation is the distributed management of the tapes of $M(A)$ using the serialization of all nodes by DLDFS. To refer to the status of the simulated algorithm A , we use the notations with upper script A , e.g., v_{cur}^A denotes the current location of the simulated agent running A . The storage $b^A(v)$ of node v in A is also stored at v in $\text{Sim}(A)$. The i -th node v_i in the lex-DFS ordering stores the i -th bit of each tape. Hence we treat the vertices v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} as the address of each tape, i.e., we say “the j -th tape head is at v_i ” to imply the j -th tape head is at the i -th entry of the tape. The location of the j -th tape head of M is denoted by t_j ($1 \leq j \leq 5$). To keep the information of those locations, the algorithm $\text{Sim}(A)$ manages six independent instances of the R-path, each of which is denoted by $X(z)$ for $z \in \{v_{\text{cur}}^A, t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5\}$ and manages a path from v_0 to z . In addition, each node has one-bit flag *past* in its storage, which becomes True if and only if the node is the location of the simulated agent one-step before. The state of $M(A)$ is stored in the memory of the simulator agent running $\text{Sim}(A)$. Obviously, the structure explained above incurs only $O(1)$ -bit memory and $O(1)$ -bit storage per node. The one-step execution of A performed at v_{cur}^A is simulated by the following phases.

- Initialization phase : As defined in Section 2, the 2-4th tapes must be initialized by the information of $b^A(v_{\text{cur}}^A)$, the degree of v_{cur}^A , and π_{in}^A . Copying the information $b^A(v_{\text{cur}}^A)$ into the second tape is straightforward: The simulator agent stores whole information of $b^A(v_{\text{cur}}^A)$ in its memory and takes it to the second tape by invoking `MoveSource` for $X(v_{\text{cur}}^A)$ and `MoveTarget` for $X(t_2)$. The initialization of the third and fourth tapes are slightly different because the information to be copied is not explicitly stored in v_{cur}^A . Recall that the information in these two tapes is unary encoded. To read the information, we use a similar technique as `FindFirst`, where the agent probes all neighbors of v_{cur}^A in the ascending order of their port numbers with tracking v_{cur} by an extra R-path instance $X(v_{\text{cur}})$. Consider an example of copying the information of the degree of v_{cur}^A to the third tape. The agent appends 1 at the next position of t_3 when it visits one neighbor of v_{cur}^A , by traversing the paths managed by $X(v_{\text{cur}})$ and $X(t_2)$. After probing all neighbors, the third tape obviously stores the unary encoding of the degree information. The initialization of t_4 is also handled similarly (the details are deferred to the appendix).
- Local simulation phase : The simulator agent iteratively simulates one TM step of M until M terminates. The agent first collects the values of the entries pointed by the tape heads. It is easily processed by the procedures `MoveSource` and `MoveTarget`. The simulation of one TM step is the local computation of the agent. After the computation, the agent must update the tape contents, and move the tape heads. It is also easily implemented by `ModifySuccessor` and `ModifyPredecessor`.
- Agent movement phase: When M terminates, the first tape stores the state of the simulated agent after the local computation, and the fifth tape stores the port number to which the simulated agent goes out from v_{cur}^A . Updating v_{cur}^A is seen as the “inverse” operation of initializing the fourth tape. Hence one can implement it in a similar way as the initialization phase (the details are deferred to the appendix).

4.3 From $O(1)$ bits to One bit

Let A be any algorithm for the system with $O(1)$ -bit memory and $O(1)$ -bit storage. We simulate this algorithm by the one-bit memory agent. Let us denote by $\text{Sim}(A)$ the simulator algorithm, and a be the memory of the simulator agent. In $\text{Sim}(A)$, the storage of each node v consists of two variables `st` and `mem`, and an auxiliary integer variable `trans`. Intuitively, `st` works as the storage

of the simulated algorithm A , and mem is used as the memory of the simulated algorithm. The contents of st and mem are represented by fixed-length binary sequences. Let c be the length of mem . Our simulation algorithm satisfies the following invariants at the beginning of the one-step simulation: (1) For any $v \in V$, $b(v).\text{trans} = 0$ and $b(v).\text{st} = b^A(v)$, (2) $b(v_{\text{cur}}^A).\text{mem} = \mathbf{a}^A$, and (3) $\pi_{\text{in}} = \pi_{\text{in}}^A$ and $v_{\text{cur}} = v_{\text{cur}}^A$.

We refer to the node v_{cur}^A as the *departure node* and refer to the neighbor of v_{cur}^A the simulated agent visits next as the *destination node*. Since the simulator agent has only one-bit memory, it cannot take any additional control information. The j -th entry of mem is referred to as $\text{mem}[j]$ ($0 \leq j \leq c - 1$). Hence the agent needs to know the current context only from the information of the storage at the departure node and the destination node. The detailed description of the simulator agent at a node u is given as follows:

- If $b(u).\text{trans} > 0$ when the agent visits u but $b(u).\text{trans}$ is reset to zero in the local computation (where the local computation up to the reset belongs to the past one-step simulation), the simulator agent starts the simulation of A regarding u as the departure node. Using the space $b(u).\text{mem}$ as the first tape of $M(A)$ and $b(u).\text{st}$ as the second tape of $M(A)$, it simulates the run of $M(A)$. The information of π_{in}^A is available due to the third invariant above. When $M(A)$ terminates, the fifth tape correctly stores the destination node. Then the simulator agent fetches $b(u).\text{mem}[b(u).\text{trans}]$ to \mathbf{a} , decrements $b(u).\text{trans}$, and moves to the computed destination node.
- If $0 \leq b(u).\text{trans}(u) < c - 1$ holds when the simulator agent visits u , the current situation is recognized as in transferring the information of mem and at the destination node. Hence the simulator agent sets $b(u).\text{mem}[b(u).\text{trans}] = \mathbf{a}$, increments $b(u).\text{trans}$, and goes back to π_{in} .
- If $-(c - 1) < b(u).\text{trans}(u) < 0$ holds when the simulator agent visits u , the current situation is recognized as in transferring the information of mem and at the departure node. Hence the simulator agent fetches $b(u).\text{mem}[-(b(u).\text{trans})]$ to \mathbf{a} , decrements $b(u).\text{trans}$, and goes back to π_{in} .
- If $b(u).\text{trans}(u) = -(c - 1)$ holds when the simulator agent visits u , the simulator agent finds that transferring mem finishes and the current location is the departure node. Hence it resets $b(u).\text{trans}$ to zero and moves to π_{in} .
- If $\text{trans}(u) = c - 1$ holds when the simulator agent visits u , the simulator agent finds that transferring mem finishes and the current location is the destination node. Hence by resetting $b(u).\text{trans}$ to zero, the current one-step simulation finishes and then proceeds to the next one-step simulation.

It is easy to check that all the invariants are satisfied at the end of the one-step simulation. Also, the correctness of the simulation is easily deduced from the invariants. Combining the simulation algorithms of Section 4.2 and 4.3, we obtain Theorem 1.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Shantanu Das for his suggestion on the simulation by one-bit agent.

References

- [AIK⁺14] Tetsuo Asano, Taisuke Izumi, Masashi Kiyomi, Matsuo Konagaya, Hirotaka Ono, Yota Otachi, Pascal Schweitzer, Jun Tarui, and Ryuhei Uehara. Depth-first search using $\mathcal{O}(n)$ bits. In *Proc. of 25th International Symposium on Algorithms and Computation (ISAAC)*, pages 553–564, 2014.
- [AKL⁺79] Romas Aleliunas, Richard M. Karp, Richard J. Lipton, Laszlo Lovasz, and Charles Rackoff. Random walks, universal traversal sequences, and the complexity of maze problems. In *Proc. of SFCS '79 Proceedings of the 20th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FORCES)*, pages 218–223, 1979.
- [BGH⁺09] Evangelos Bampas, Leszek Gąsieniec, Nicolas Hanusse, David Ilcinkas, Ralf Klasing, and Adrian Kosowski. Euler tour lock-in problem in the rotor-router model. In *Proc. of 23rd International Symposium on Distributed Computing (DISC 2009)*, pages 423–435, 2009.
- [CFI⁺08] Reuven Cohen, Pierre Fraigniaud, David Ilcinkas, Amos Korman, and David Peleg. Label-guided graph exploration by a finite automaton. *ACM Trans. Algorithms*, 4(4), 2008.
- [DHK16] Yann Disser, Jan Hackfeld, and Max Klimm. Undirected graph exploration with $\theta(\log \log n)$ pebbles. In *Proc. of 27th Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA)*, pages 25–39, 2016.
- [EHK15] Amr Elmasry, Torben Hagerup, and Frank Kammer. Space-efficient Basic Graph Algorithms. In *Proc. of 32nd International Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science (STACS 2015)*, volume 30, pages 288–301, 2015.
- [Fei] Uriel Feige. A spectrum of time-space trade-offs for undirected s - t connectivity. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 54:305–316.
- [FIP⁺05] Pierre Fraigniaud, David Ilcinkas, Guy Peer, Andrzej Pelc, and David Peleg. Graph exploration by a finite automaton. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 345:331–344, 2005.
- [HW93] Shlomo Hoory and Avi Wigderson. Universal traversal sequences for expander graphs. *Information Processing Letters*, 46:67–69, 1993.
- [Ist88] Sorin Istrail. Polynomial universal traversing sequences for cycles are constructible. In *Proc. of the Twentieth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC)*, pages 491–503, 1988.
- [Kos13] Adrian Kosowski. Faster walks in graphs: a $\tilde{O}(n^2)$ time-space trade-off for undirected s - t connectivity. In *Proc. of the Twenty-fourth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA)*, pages 1873–1883, 2013.
- [Kou02] Michal Koucký. Universal traversal sequences with backtracking. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 65:717–726, 2002.
- [Kou03] Michal Koucky. Log-space constructible universal traversal sequences for cycles of length $\mathcal{O}(n^{4.03})$. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 296:117–144, 2003.

- [KPS] Howard J. Karloff, Ramamohan Paturi, and Janos Simon. Universal traversal sequence of length $n^{O(\log n)}$ for cliques. *Information Proceeding Letters*, 28:241–243.
- [Rei08] Omer Reingold. Undirected connectivity in log-space. *Journal of the ACM (JACM)*, 55:17, 2008.
- [SBN⁺15] Yuichi Sudo, Daisuke Baba, Junya Nakamura, Fukuhito Ooshita, Hirotsugu Kakugawa, and Toshimitsu Masuzawa. A single agent exploration in unknown undirected graphs with whiteboards. *IEICE Transactions on Fundamentals of Electronics, Communications and Computer Sciences*, E98.A(10):2117–2128, 2015.
- [Sha51] Claude E. Shannon. Presentation of a maze-solving machine. *8th Conference of the Josiah Macy Jr. Foundation (Cybernetics)*, pages 173–180, 1951.

5 Correctness of the R-Path Structure

5.1 Pseudocode of **Modify&Move**

To present the algorithm of **Modify&Move**, we introduce an auxiliary procedure called **MarkPred**(I). It updates the whiteboard $b(\pi_{v_{\text{cur}}}^{-1}(\pi_{\text{in}}))$ following the instruction I . The actual behavior inside the procedure is that the agent first goes to $\pi_{v_{\text{cur}}}^{-1}(\pi_{\text{in}})$, updates the contents of $b(\pi_{v_{\text{cur}}}^{-1}(\pi_{\text{in}}))$ following I , and goes back to v_{cur} . Note that the value of π_{in} and v_{cur} does not change before and after the run of the procedure. The pseudocode of the procedure **Modify&Move** is presented in Algorithm 1.

5.2 Correctness Proof

It is obvious that the success of the operations **MoveSource** and **MoveOneHopForward** relies on whether the procedure **Modify&Move** correctly manages the contents of variables **inPath** and **direction**. We first present the correctness criteria of the R-path.

Definition 1 We say that an instance X of the R-path is *consistent* with respect to the source node s and the target node t if the following conditions are satisfied.

1. $b(t).\text{target} = \text{True}$.
2. Each connected component of the subgraph G' of G induced by the nodes satisfying **inPath** = **True** is a path graph.
3. Let $P' = v_1, v_2, \dots, v_h$ be any connected component G' such that $v_1 = x$ or $v_h = y$ holds. For any v_{i+1} ($1 \leq i \leq h - 1$), if $\pi_{v_{i+1}}(v_i)$ is greater than $\pi_{v_{i+1}}(v_{i+2})$, then $b(v_{i+1}) = \text{"Backward > Forward"}$ holds, and $b(v_{i+1}) = \text{"Backward < Forward"}$ holds otherwise.

In addition, if the number of connected components in G' is one, we say that the R-path data structure is *strongly consistent*.

When an instance X is consistent, we call any connected subgraph managed by X (not necessarily a connected component) a *fragment*. By definition, each fragment is a path graph, and has backward and forward directions determined by variable **direction**. A fragment with top node x and bottom node y is called an x - y fragment. From the definition, if X is strongly consistent, its (unique) connected component is the s - t fragment. For a node z in an x - y fragment, the backward and lower neighbors of z in the fragment are denoted by $\text{back}(z)$ or $\text{fwd}(z)$. The correctness of R-path is captured by the following two lemmas:

Lemma 2 Assume that the R-path is consistent and v_{cur} is contained in a x - y fragment. Then after running `MoveOneHopForward` or `MoveSource`, the agent stays at $\text{fwd}(v)$ or x respectively.

Proof. As we discussed above, as long as the R-path is consistent, the agent correctly finds backward or forward in-path neighbors. Thus the lemma obviously holds. \square

Lemma 3 At the invocation of `MoveOneHopForward` and `MoveSource` in any execution of `Modify&Move`, the R-path is consistent (and thus those operations work correctly). In addition, at the end of executing `Modify&Move`, the R-path is strongly consistent.

Proof. In Find phases, the agent does not modify `inPath` or `direction` at any node. Thus the lemma obviously holds. In Delete phases, letting u be the branching node, the s - u fragment is preserved during the phase, and the agent gradually deletes the information on the prefix of the u - t fragment. More precisely, if the agent stays at node u' in the Delete phase, u' - t fragment is preserved (and thus consistent). That is, `MoveOneHopForward` performed at u' correctly works. It implies that u - t fragment is correctly deleted, and the agent eventually reaches t' . At t' , $b(t')$.`inPath` becomes true, and thus the remaining issue is to show that the subset P' consisting all the nodes in the s - u fragment and t' satisfies the strong consistency. It is obvious that P' induces one connected subgraph. In addition, except for u , no node in P' has t' as its neighbor. That is, P' induces a path graph. On the information stored in variable `direction`, no node except for u takes any update, and thus the correctness is preserved. The variable $b(u)$.`direction` is consistently updated in the run of `Modify&Move`, which is verified by checking all the cases of Figure 3. The lemma is proved. \square

6 Omitted Details of Simulation Algorithms from $O(n)$ Bits to $O(1)$ Bits

Initialization Phase Only the non-trivial point deferred in the main body of the manuscript is the details of initializing the third and fourth tapes. We focus on the implementation of initializing the third tape, i.e., writing $\Delta(v_{\text{cur}}^A)$ symbols of 1 to the third tape. First, the simulator agent marks the node v_{cur}^A , and then visits all the neighbors of v_{cur}^A in the ascending order of their port numbers as `FindFirst(HeadAscend, ·)`. During this process, the location of the simulator agent is always tracked by the R-path instance $X(v_{\text{cur}})$. When the simulator agent visits any neighbor v of v_{cur}^A , there exists a traversable path from v to t_3 managed by $X(v)$ and $X(t_3)$. Using that path, the simulator agent moves to t_3 and appends symbol 1 to the tail of the third tape. Then it moves the position of t_3 by invoking `ModifySuccessor` for $X(t_3)$, and returns to v . To go back to v_{cur}^A , the simulator agent needs to identify the marked neighbor, which is done by `FindFirst`. After repeating this process until the simulator agent visits all neighbors of v_{cur}^A , the third tape contains $\Delta(v_{\text{cur}}^A)$ symbols of 1. The initialization of the fourth tape is almost the same as that of the third tape. Only the difference is to iterate appending symbol 1 until the simulator agent finds the neighbor with `past = True`, instead of visiting all neighbors.

Agent Movement Phase Let p be the number of the port to which the simulated agent moves, i.e., the port number written in the fifth tape in the local computation phase. Similarly to the initialization phase, the node v_{cur}^A is marked at the beginning of the phase. We refer to the target node of $X(v_{\text{cur}}^A)$ as v . To change v to $\pi_{v_{\text{cur}}^A}(p)$, the simulator agent first places it on $\pi_{v_{\text{cur}}^A}(0)$. Assume that $v = \pi_{v_{\text{cur}}^A}(j)$ for some $0 \leq j \leq \Delta(v_{\text{cur}}^A) - 1$. Then the simulator agent moves to t_5 using the path managed by $X(v)$ and $X(t_5)$, and checks if the symbol 1 is still contained in the fifth tape or not. If the fifth tape does not contain 1, then $j = p$ holds and thus the movement phase

finishes. Otherwise, after deleting one symbol 1 in the fifth tape, the simulator agent goes back to $v = \pi_{v_{\text{cur}}^A}(j)$. Then it changes the location of v to $\pi_{v_{\text{cur}}^A}(j+1)$, which is realized by invoking **Modify&Move** twice: The agent first finds the marked neighbor of v (i.e., v_{cur}^A), and moves v to there by invoking **Modify&Move** for $X(v)$. Since the simulator agent moves from $\pi_{v_{\text{cur}}^A}(j)$ to v_{cur}^A at the last step of **Modify&Move**, π_{in} stores the value j immediately after the first invocation of **Modify&Move**. By going out from v_{cur}^A through the port $\pi_{\text{in}} + 1$ and coming back to v_{cur}^A , π_{in} is updated by $j + 1$. The second invocation of **Modify&Move** for $X(v)$ takes v to $\pi_{v_{\text{cur}}^A}(j+1)$. Finally, the phase finishes with unmarking v_{cur}^A and setting $b(v_{\text{cur}}^A).\text{past} = \text{True}$.

7 Proof of Theorem 2

In this section, we prove Theorem 2 by showing that the following task cannot be solved only by the agent with zero-bit memory.

Problem 1 (Task Parity) The task Parity consists of all the graphs with a odd number of vertices.

It is easy to show that $\text{Parity} \in \text{P}_{\text{AGT}}(O(n), \Theta(1)) = \text{P}_{\text{AGT}}(1, \Theta(1))$ holds: The agent counts up the modulo 2 of n by running DLDFS. However, this task cannot be solved by the zero-bit agent.

Lemma 4 $\text{Parity} \notin \text{P}_{\text{AGT}}(0, \Theta(g(1)))$.

Proof. Suppose for contradiction that an algorithm A solves Parity using zero-bit memory and $O(1)$ -bit storage. Due to the impossibility result by Cohen et al. [CFI⁺08] there exists no graph exploration algorithm using zero-bit memory and $O(1)$ -bit storage. Hence there exists the graph $G = (V, E, \Pi)$ and the initial location $v \in V$ such that A decides if $G \in \text{Parity}$ or not without visiting all the nodes in G . Let $u \neq v$ be the node not visited by the agent in the execution of A , and constructs the graph $G' = (V', E', \Pi')$ obtained from G by adding one node u' and the edge (u, u') . Since the agent does not visit u , it cannot distinguish G and G' and thus the decision for those graphs are the same. However, the parity of $|V|$ and $|V'|$ are different. It is a contradiction. \square

This lemma obviously deduces Theorem 2.

Algorithm 1 *Modify&Move(x)*

```
1: MarkPred(color ← yellow) ▷ Color the new target node.
2:  $\pi_{in} \leftarrow \text{FindFirst}(\text{HeadAscend}, \text{inPath} = \text{True} \wedge \text{color} = \text{yellow})$ 
3: if  $\pi_{in} \neq -1$  then ▷ Find the yellow node on the path.
4:    $b(v_{cur}).(\text{target}, \text{inPath}) \leftarrow (\text{False}, \text{False})$ 
5:   MarkPred(target ← True; color ← white)
6:   Move to  $\pi_{in}$  and Halt
7: else
8:    $q \leftarrow \text{Find}; \text{MoveSource}()$ 
9: while True do
10:  if  $q = \text{Find}$  then
11:     $\pi_{in} \leftarrow \text{FindFirst}(\text{HeadAscend}, \text{color} = \text{yellow})$ 
12:    if  $\pi_{in} \neq -1$  then ▷ Finds the yellow(target) node from the neighbors.
13:       $q \leftarrow \text{Delete}$ 
14:       $b(v_{cur}).\text{color} \leftarrow \text{red}$  ▷  $v_{cur}$  is the predecessor of the new target on R-path.
15:      if  $b(v_{cur}).\text{direction} = \text{"Backward"} > \text{"Forward"}$  then
16:         $\pi_{in} \leftarrow \text{FindFirst}(\text{MiddleAscend}, \text{inPath} = \text{True})$ 
17:        if  $\pi_{in} = -1$  then ▷ backward < new target
18:           $b(v_{cur}).\text{color} \leftarrow \text{blue}$ 
19:        else
20:           $\pi_{in} \leftarrow \text{FindFirst}(\text{MiddleDescend}, \text{inPath} = \text{True})$ 
21:          if  $\pi_{in} \neq -1$  then ▷ backward < (forward <) new target
22:             $b(v_{cur}).\text{color} \leftarrow \text{blue}$ 
23:          MoveOneHopForward()
24:  if  $q = \text{Delete}$  then
25:    if  $b(v_{cur}).\text{target} = \text{True}$  then
26:       $b(v_{cur}).(\text{target}, \text{inPath}) \leftarrow (\text{False}, \text{False})$  ▷ Removing the old target
27:       $\pi_{in} \leftarrow \text{FindFirst}(\text{HeadAscend}, \text{color} = \text{yellow})$ 
28:      Move to  $\pi_{in}$  ▷ Move to the new target node.
29:       $b(v_{cur}).(\text{color}, \text{inPath}, \text{target}) \leftarrow (\text{white}, \text{True}, \text{True})$  ▷ Set up the new target
30:       $\pi_{in} \leftarrow \text{FindFirst}(\text{HeadAscend}, \text{color} = \text{red})$ 
31:      if  $\pi_{in} = -1$  then ▷ Branching node is blue
32:         $\pi_{in} \leftarrow \text{FindFirst}(\text{HeadAscend}, \text{color} = \text{blue})$ 
33:        MarkPred(direction ← "Backward < Forward")
34:      else ▷ Branching node is red
35:        MarkPred(direction ← "Backward > Forward")
36:      MarkPred(color ← white) ▷ Clean up the predecessor in the path
37:      MarkPred(inPath ← True)
38:      Halt
39:    else
40:      MoveOneHopForward() ▷ Traversal of the expired path
41:      MarkPred(inPath ← False)
```
