

# Metastability of Constant-Density Flocks

Marc Besse,<sup>1</sup> Hugues Chaté,<sup>2,3,1</sup> and Alexandre Solon<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Laboratoire de Physique Théorique de la Matière Condensée, 75005 Paris, France*

<sup>2</sup>*Service de Physique de l'Etat Condensé, CEA, CNRS Université Paris-Saclay, CEA-Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France*

<sup>3</sup>*Computational Science Research Center, Beijing 100094, China*

(Dated: September 5, 2022)

We study numerically the Toner-Tu field theory where the density field is maintained constant, a limit case of “Malthusian” flocks for which the asymptotic scaling of correlation functions in the ordered phase is known exactly. While we confirm these scaling laws, we also show that such constant-density flocks are metastable to the nucleation of a specific defect configuration, and are replaced by a globally disordered phase consisting of asters surrounded by shock lines that constantly evolves and remodels itself. We demonstrate that the main source of disorder lies along shock lines, rendering this active foam fundamentally different from the corresponding equilibrium system. We thus show that in the context of active matter also, a result obtained at all orders of perturbation theory can be superseded by non-perturbative effects, calling for a different approach.

Understanding simple models and theories of collective motion emerging from spontaneous symmetry breaking has spurred the field of active matter, and largely continues to animate it. Emblematic in this context are the Vicsek model [1] and its field theoretical description, the Toner-Tu equations [2]. In spite of a lot of progress, several aspects of their asymptotic behavior are in fact still open problems. In particular, the original derivation of exact scaling relations for the correlation functions of the density and ordering (velocity) field in two dimensions (2D) [3] was later shown to rely on unduly neglecting some relevant terms [4]. Unsurprisingly, numerical measurements of correlation functions are inconsistent with the original prediction [5].

To make analytical progress, Toner and collaborators looked for simpler situations where the couplings between the conserved density field and the ordering field, which are at the origin of the difficulties mentioned above, are qualitatively modified. One such option is to tame the density field by considering “Malthusian flocks” in which particles die and reproduce on a fast scale [6–8]. Another is to introduce an incompressibility constraint [9, 10]. In these two cases, remarkably, the scaling laws governing fluctuations of the ordered phase can be calculated at all orders of perturbation theory (in 2D for Malthusian flocks and any larger dimension for incompressible ones), providing rare examples of exact results about nontrivial out-of-equilibrium systems.

Apart from these analytical results, incompressible and Malthusian flocks have received little attention. Rana and Perlekar have studied the coarsening to the ordered phase of deterministic incompressible Toner-Tu equations [11, 12]. Two recent preprints on Malthusian flocks approached the order-disorder transition. A one-loop dynamical renormalization group approach [13] suggests a fluctuation-induced first order transition, like in the standard compressible case [14]. A numerical study of a particle-based model concludes to a crossover from first- to second-order behavior [15].

Embarking on a numerical check of the exact results obtained by Toner *et al.* for the fluctuating ordered phase could appear as a waste of time. This is nevertheless what we did for Malthusian flocks, motivated mostly by a string of recent results that demonstrated the fragility of (usual, compressible) flocks to a series of arbitrarily weak perturbations such as spatial anisotropy [16], quenched and chirality disorder [17–20], and even one small fixed object [21]. More generally, results obtained at all orders in perturbation theory do not necessarily mean they are the ultimate answer, since non-perturbative effects can always arise. Famous examples include the KPZ equation [22] and the Potts model in  $6 - \varepsilon$  dimensions [23, 24].

Here we report on 2D numerical simulations of the Toner-Tu field theory in which the density field is maintained strictly constant, a limit case of Malthusian flocks. We find that while the predicted scaling laws are indeed obeyed by the homogeneous flocking phase, this phase is in fact always metastable to the nucleation of a specific defect configuration, leaving eventually a globally disordered cellular structure consisting of asters surrounded by shock lines that constantly evolves and remodels itself. The extended nature of these shock lines, across which the orientation of the field changes rapidly, renders this active foam fundamentally different from its equilibrium equivalent, the disordered phase of the XY model, well characterized in terms of a gas of pointwise topological defects. We indeed show that the main source of disorder lies along and at the intersection of shock lines.

Our starting point is the following minimal active field theory with advection and alignment governing a single polarity/velocity field  $\mathbf{v}$ :

$$\partial_t \mathbf{v} + \lambda(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} = \nabla^2 \mathbf{v} + (a - |\mathbf{v}|^2) \mathbf{v} + \sqrt{2\eta} \boldsymbol{\xi}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  is a delta-correlated, unit-variance, zero-mean Gaussian white noise and two coefficients have been set to unity without loss of generality, leaving the three parameters  $a$ ,  $\lambda$  and the noise intensity  $\eta$ . One more parameter could be set to unity but we retain these three to ex-

plore the different limits of the model. Equation (1) can be obtained from the field theory for Malthusian flocks by integrating out the fast density field, as done in [6]. It can also be considered as the standard Toner-Tu theory where the density is kept constant, without imposing incompressibility. Note that Eq. (1) is invariant under the transformation  $\mathbf{v} \rightarrow -\mathbf{v}$  and  $\lambda \rightarrow -\lambda$ . For simplicity, we do not include the two other possible advection terms  $(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v})\mathbf{v}$  and  $\nabla(|\mathbf{v}|^2)$  for which we checked that the same type of behaviour is observed. In the following, we integrate Eq. (1) in 2D square domains of linear size  $L$  using a pseudo-spectral method with Euler explicit time stepping and antialiasing. In the rest of the paper, the spatial resolution is set to  $dx = 2$  and the time resolution to  $dt = 0.1$ , unless otherwise explicitly stated.

In 2D, Eq. (1) for  $\lambda = 0$  reduces to the relaxational dynamics of a field theory describing the XY model. We thus expect a Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless transition from disorder to quasi-long-range order (QLRO) when, say, increasing  $a$  [25, 26]. On the other hand the  $\lambda$  advection term controls the activity level and Toner *et al.* predict true long-range order (LRO) for large-enough  $a$  whenever  $\lambda \neq 0$ .

Simulating Eq. (1) with a large enough mass  $a$  and starting from an ordered initial condition, the system indeed settles in a symmetry-broken state with a non-zero mean velocity. To distinguish between true long-range order and the quasi-long-range order expected in the passive case, we compute the order parameter  $\bar{v} = \langle |\langle \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbf{x}}| \rangle_t$  for various system sizes  $L$  ( $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $\langle \cdot \rangle_t$  denote respectively space and time averages).

In the passive limit  $\lambda = 0$ , we see  $\bar{v}(L) \sim L^{-\theta}$ , the signature of QLRO (a typical case is shown in Fig. 1(a)). The exponent  $\theta$  varies continuously with  $a$  (not shown). Using its expected value  $\theta = \frac{1}{8}$  at the BKT transition, we estimate the latter to happen at  $a \simeq 0.38$ .

In the active case with not too small  $\lambda$ , in contrast,  $\bar{v}(L)$  decays slower than a power law, suggesting a finite value  $\bar{v}_\infty$  in the infinite size limit. As expected, our data is reasonably well fitted by  $\bar{v} - \bar{v}_\infty \sim L^{-\omega}$ , indicating LRO. Decreasing  $\lambda$ , this behavior is only observed beyond a crossover scale that seems to diverge when  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  (Fig. 1(a)).

To investigate further the active ordered phase, we computed the scaling of velocity fluctuations via the structure factor, *i.e.* the Fourier transformed equal-time spatial correlation function

$$S_\perp(\mathbf{q}) = \langle |v_\perp(\mathbf{q}, t)|^2 \rangle_t \quad (2)$$

where  $v_\perp(\mathbf{q})$  is the velocity orthogonal to the direction of global order at wavevector  $\mathbf{q} = (q_\perp, q_\parallel)$  [27]. Our results, shown in Fig. 1(b), indicate anisotropic scaling in good quantitative agreement with the predictions of Toner *et al.* in the small wavenumber limit:  $S_\perp(q_\parallel) \sim |q_\parallel|^{-2}$  if  $q_\parallel \gg q_\perp$  and  $S_\perp(q_\perp) \sim |q_\perp|^{-6/5}$  if  $q_\perp \gg q_\parallel$  [6].




FIG. 1. **Left:**  $\bar{v}(L)$  for different  $\lambda$  values ( $a = 0.7, \eta = 0.5$  and initial ordered state). Error bars correspond to two standard errors of the mean computed over 5 independent samples. For large  $\lambda$  values,  $\bar{v}(L)$  is reasonably well fitted by  $\bar{v} - \bar{v}_\infty = AL^{-\omega}$  with  $\omega = 2/3$  ( $\bar{v}_\infty = 0.67, A = 0.77$ , for  $\lambda = 0.5$ , orange curve, and  $\bar{v}_\infty = 0.68, A = 0.57$ , for  $\lambda = 1.0$ , red curve). **Right:** Variation of structure factor of  $v_\perp$  with  $q_\parallel$  for  $q_\perp = 0$  (red squares) and with  $q_\perp$  for  $q_\parallel = 0$  ( $a = 5, \lambda = 1, \eta = 0.5, L = 800$ ). Dashed lines show the scaling predicted at small wave vectors [6], which arises only beyond a crossover scale for  $S_\perp(q_\perp)$ .

The agreement between our measurements and the theoretical prediction is hardly surprising. However, as we show now, this is far from being the whole story, as more complex structures, to which the renormalization group approach of Ref. [6] is blind, appear.

For not too large  $a$  values, in a large-enough system, the ordered phase described above is easily observed to break down spontaneously: fluctuations can lead to the emergence of a specific local configuration made of an aster surrounded by a semi-circular shock line (Fig. 2(a)). The aster is a point around which polarity is arranged radially, and where a  $+1$  topological defect is thus located. Across the shock line, polarity varies rapidly. It actually embeds a  $-1$  defect as we will see later. In large systems, this initial nucleation is followed by others located elsewhere, and/or the emergence of new asters (and their accompanying shock lines) along the shock line of the initial aster (Fig. 2(b)). Eventually, this proliferation process stops and a globally-disordered, dynamical state is reached, with a well-defined average number of asters and a web of shock lines surrounding each of them (Fig. 2(c,d) and Movie 1 in [28]). In this steady state, new asters are constantly generated near shock lines and dominantly near their intersections, while existing asters have their position and size fluctuate in time until one of their surrounding shock line meets their center, at which point they disappear.

We studied the statistics of the nucleation process of the first aster from the ordered phase, following ordered initial conditions. Figure 2(e) shows that the lifetime  $\tau$  of the ordered phase, *i.e.* the time it takes for nucleation events to destroy order, is distributed exponentially, with a characteristic time that scales roughly like  $1/L^2$ , the inverse system size. In other words, the nucleation rate




FIG. 2. **(a-d)**: Snapshots taken during a run starting from an ordered state showing the nucleation of a first defect and the following evolution ( $a = 0.48, \lambda = 1.0, \eta = 0.5, L = 3600$ ). **(e)**: Probability distribution  $\mathcal{P}$  of the lifetime of the ordered phase  $\tau$ , defined at the first time for which the nucleation of an aster decreases  $\bar{v}$  by more than 20% ( $a = 0.45, \lambda = 1.0, \eta = 0.5$ ). Data obtained at 3 different  $L$  values, rescaled by a factor  $s^2$  proportional to  $L^2$ .

is proportional to  $L^2$ . This confirms that the emergence of asters and their surrounding shock line is essentially a local nucleation process, even though the ordered phase possesses built-in long-range correlations.

Replicating this study at various parameter values, and in particular at large values of  $a$ , quickly becomes numerically prohibitive because  $\tau$  can then take very large values. The data at hand does not suggest the existence of a threshold beyond which nucleation would become impossible. This is corroborated by a direct study of the dynamic active foam. This steady state is easily reached from disordered initial conditions after a typically short coarsening transient (cf. Movie 2 in [28]). This allows to study it at parameter values for which the spontaneous nucleation of an aster from the ordered phase would take unreasonably long times. The active foam can be characterized by its average number of asters, but we preferred to measure the more robust correlation length  $\xi$  extracted from the radially averaged structure factor [29]. At fixed parameters,  $\xi$  converges to a well-defined asymptotic value when the system size  $L$  is increased: the active foam is self-averaging, and reliable estimates of its intrinsic correlation length are obtained as soon as  $L \gg \xi$ . At fixed activity  $\lambda$ , we find that  $\xi$  increases with increasing  $a$ , but this growth is modest, possibly linear with  $a$  (Fig. 3, left). Contrary to the passive case where the BKT transition at  $a \simeq 0.38$  marks the fast divergence of correlations, we do not see any sign of a transition beyond which the ordered phase would remain stable. Extrapolating our numerical results, we conclude that the ordered phase is metastable for any non-zero  $\lambda$  and positive  $a$  [30].

To better understand why the active regime is so different from the passive case, we now turn to a more detailed study of the objects at play in the dominating active foam phase, i.e. asters and shock lines.

Asters have been reported in models of the self-organization of microtubules and molecular motors [31–34], but also in variants of the Toner-Tu equations [32, 35–38], as well as in active gel theory [39, 40]. All these systems are more complex than ours, including a density

and/or a motor concentration field. To our best knowledge, only Vafa [41] studied defects in the simple Equation (1) of interest here, concluding that asters are the most stable  $+1$  defects [42]. This result is in agreement with our observations of the active foam, where asters are quite passive regions, and most of the remaining activity occurs at the shock lines and in particular at their intersection (cf. Movies 1 and 2 in [28]).

Active foam configurations are best understood in the




FIG. 3. **Left**: Variation of correlation length  $\xi$  with  $a$  for different sizes  $L$ . Data taken in the active foam steady state ( $\lambda = 1.0, \eta = 0.5$ ). Error bars correspond to two standard errors of the mean, computed over 10 independent time intervals. At a given  $a$  value, small size data may not be reliable since  $\xi$  can then be of the order of  $L$ . At  $L = 900$  in particular, data for  $a > 2.0$  are not shown since only very few or even no asters are present. **Right**: Typical configuration taken during coarsening in the deterministic limit  $\eta = 0$  ( $a = 1, \lambda = 1.0, L = 900, dx = 0.5, dt = 0.01$ , colors as in Fig. 2(a), from Movie 3 in [28]). Apart from the 5 clearly visible asters, which have  $+1$  topological charge, 9 shock-line embedded  $-1$  defects are present (white circles), as well as 4 vortex-like  $+1$  defects present at some shock line vertices (black diamonds). Labels A, B, C in the main panel point to the defects shown more clearly in the small lower panels.

deterministic version of Eq. (1), either by switching off the noise from a given configuration, or by watching the slow coarsening following disordered initial conditions (cf. Movie 3 in [28]) [43]. The right panel of Fig 3 shows a late configuration comprising a few remaining asters and their surrounding shock lines, extracted from this coarsening process. Close inspection shows that shock lines are extended objects across which the phase varies rapidly but not discontinuously. Given the existence of  $+1$  defects (at the centers of asters),  $-1$  defects must be present. In the 5-aster configuration under scrutiny, 9 defects with topological charge  $-1$  are found embedded in the shock lines (white circles, zoom B), typically located at the locations closest to the aster centers, where the phase jump is  $\pm\pi$ . The  $-2\pi$  circulation around these defects is mostly due to two phase jumps occurring when crossing the shock line. This suggests that the important structures are the extended shock lines and not so much the pointwise location of the  $-1$  defect. Given that the global topological charge must be zero, our inspection is not complete. Indeed one can locate 4 other  $+1$  vortex-like defects typically located at shock lines vertices (black diamonds and zooms A, C). Note finally that the shock line vertices are rather unstable dynamically, even in the absence of noise (cf. Movie 3 [28]).

Coming back to the noisy case, the radially organized aster regions appear rather stable—in agreement with Vafa’s findings [41]—, and the strongest fluctuations are found along the shock lines (Movies 1 and 2 in [28]). We have studied the behavior of these fluctuations in specially prepared systems with a single shock line separating two large ordered homogeneous domains whose orientations differ by some angle  $\pi - 2\alpha$  (Fig. 4(a)). The profile of the interface is shown in Fig. 4(b) and is found to be close to its deterministic shape. Under the effect of noise the position of the interface fluctuates leading to a roughness which we find to increase monotonically with  $\alpha$  in the same way as the width of the mean profile (Fig. 4(c)). For  $\alpha > 0$ , parity symmetry is broken along the interface, and fluctuations on the shock line are advected along the direction given by the sign of  $\langle v_y \rangle$ . We find that the advection speed of fluctuations increases with  $\alpha$  in the same way as the maximum of  $\langle v_y \rangle$  (Fig. 4(d)).

In typical active foam configurations such as those shown in Figs. 2(a-d),3(b), the local orientation difference across a shock line varies with the position along the line. In the notation introduced above, near the intersection with the line joining the two aster centers (where a  $-1$  defect typically sits), the phase jump corresponds to  $\alpha \simeq 0$  while going away from this point means increasing  $\alpha$ . Using the results obtained in Fig. 4 with constant- $\alpha$  shock lines, we can now understand why fluctuations are growing and are advected faster and faster when going away from the  $\alpha \simeq 0$  point, and why they are maximal at shock line intersections, making these regions the most unstable ones where new asters are created. Thus the

mechanisms at the origin of local dynamics maintaining the active foam in a steady state are intimately linked to the extended nature of shock lines and are very different from the unbinding of nucleated defect pairs characteristic of the disordered phase of the XY model.

In conclusion, we have shown numerically that fluctuations in the ordered phase of the 2D constant-density Toner-Tu theory obey the scaling laws predicted by a perturbative renormalization group analysis, but we have also found that these flocks are metastable to an ever-evolving active foam state made of asters surrounded by a network of shock lines. This constitutes an instance, in the context of active matter, where a result obtained at all orders of perturbation theory is superseded by non-perturbative effects, calling for a different approach.

We have demonstrated that the shock lines separating asters are crucial to amplify and advect perturbations, so that the vertices of the network they form are the most susceptible regions of space where new asters are created. The topology and dynamics of the structures at play are thus qualitatively different from the binding/unbinding of pairs of point defects that rule the fate of order in the




FIG. 4. Study of the interface separating two half domains with different bulk orientation. (a): Typical snapshot showing the interface  $h(y)$  (red line) defined by the points along  $x$  where  $v_x$  changes sign. The velocity is fixed on the left and right boundaries (blue arrows), at an angle  $\alpha = \pi/3$  with respect to the horizontal ( $a = 1, \lambda = 1, \eta = 0.1$ ,  $300 \times 100$  system, periodic boundary condition in  $y$ ). (b): Mean horizontal profiles of  $v_x$  and  $v_y$  measured relative to the interface position  $h(y)$ , averaged over  $y$  and time (same parameters as in (a)). (c): variation with  $\alpha$  of the width of the interfacial region, measured either by fitting the average profile  $\langle v_x \rangle$  (as in (b)) by a tanh function (magenta squares) or as the roughness of the interface  $w = \sqrt{\langle (h^2)_y - \langle h \rangle_y^2 \rangle_t}$  (blue circles). System size  $100 \times 100$ , other parameters as in (a,b). (d): same as (c) but showing the propagation speed of fluctuations along the interface obtained either as the maximum of  $\langle v_y \rangle$  in (b) (magenta squares) or from the space-time Fourier transformed correlation function  $\langle |h(q_y, \omega)^2| \rangle_t$  as the position of the peaks  $\omega = v q_y$  at small wave-vector  $q_y$ .

passive case of the XY model. Our findings are reminiscent of the recent study of coarsening in the compressible case, where a network of “domain walls” was put forward but no asters are present [44]. Coarsening was also studied in the incompressible case, but there the dominating structures are standard vortices and anti-vortices [11]. The objects at play in defected flocking systems can thus vary qualitatively, an observation that calls for further study. In fact our active foam may be closest to the residual chaos present in some regimes of the 2D complex Ginzburg-Landau equation where stable spiral wave domains are surrounded by a network of shock lines at which the traveling waves emitted by spirals meet [45].

The field theory studied here is a limit case of that for Malthusian flocks, where a fast but finite timescale regulates the density field. It would thus be interesting to see how our results translate to this more general setting, including in microscopic, particle-level models. Future work should also investigate the possibility of similar “non-perturbative metastability” of long-range orientational order in other important models and field theories of active matter both in 2D and 3D.

Finally, our findings could be of relevance in some real systems in spite of the simplicity of the framework we considered. Prime candidates are found in cytoskeletal active matter, *i.e.* *in vitro* mixtures of (mostly) biofilaments and molecular motors, for which the formation of asters have been reported [46–54]

We thank Matthieu Tissier for insightful discussions and Francesco Ginelli, Benoît Mahault and Xia-qing Shi for their comments on the manuscript. HC’s work was supported in part by ANR project NeqFluids grant ANR-18-CE92-0019 and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11635002).

---

[1] T. Vicsek, A. Czirók, E. Ben-Jacob, I. Cohen, and O. Shochet, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 1226 (1995).  
 [2] J. Toner and Y. Tu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 4326 (1995).  
 [3] J. Toner and Y. Tu, *Phys. Rev. E* **58**, 4828 (1998).  
 [4] J. Toner, *Phys. Rev. E* **86**, 031918 (2012).  
 [5] B. Mahault, F. Ginelli, and H. Chaté, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 218001 (2019).  
 [6] J. Toner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 088102 (2012).  
 [7] L. Chen, C. F. Lee, and J. Toner, *Physical Review Letters* **125**, 098003 (2020).  
 [8] L. Chen, C. F. Lee, and J. Toner, *Physical Review E* **102**, 022610 (2020).  
 [9] L. Chen, J. Toner, and C. F. Lee, *New Journal of Physics* **17**, 042002 (2015).  
 [10] L. Chen, C. F. Lee, and J. Toner, *New Journal of Physics* **20**, 113035 (2018).  
 [11] N. Rana and P. Perlekar, *Phys. Rev. E* **102**, 032617 (2020).  
 [12] N. Rana and P. Perlekar, *Phys. Rev. E* **105**, L032603 (2022).  
 [13] L. Di Carlo and M. Scandolo, , arXiv:2202.01010 (2022).

[14] D. Martin, H. Chaté, C. Nardini, A. Solon, J. Tailleur, and F. Van Wijland, *Physical Review Letters* **126**, 148001 (2021).  
 [15] P. K. Mishra and S. Mishra, , arXiv:2201.10234 (2022).  
 [16] A. Solon, H. Chaté, J. Toner, and J. Tailleur, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 208004 (2022).  
 [17] J. Toner, N. Guttenberg, and Y. Tu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 248002 (2018).  
 [18] J. Toner, N. Guttenberg, and Y. Tu, *Phys. Rev. E* **98**, 062604 (2018).  
 [19] Y. Duan, B. Mahault, Y.-q. Ma, X.-q. Shi, and H. Chaté, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 178001 (2021).  
 [20] B. Ventejou, H. Chaté, R. Montagne, and X.-q. Shi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 238001 (2021).  
 [21] J. Codina, B. Mahault, H. Chaté, J. Dobnikar, I. Pagonabarraga, and X.-q. Shi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 218001 (2022).  
 [22] K. J. Wiese, *Journal of statistical physics* **93**, 143 (1998).  
 [23] D. J. Amit, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **9**, 1441 (1976).  
 [24] R. Priest and T. Lubensky, *Physical Review B* **13**, 4159 (1976).  
 [25] V. Berezhinskii, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **32**, 493 (1971).  
 [26] J. M. Kosterlitz and D. J. Thouless, *Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics* **6**, 1181 (1973).  
 [27] One has to be careful in taking the time average in Eq. (2) because the direction of global order drifts slowly. In practice, following Ref. [5], we apply an external field  $\mathbf{h}$  large enough to pin the global direction of order but small enough that it does not affect the correlation functions on the range of  $q$ -values displayed.  
 [28] See Supplementary Material at [to-be-inserted-by-publisher] for....  
 [29]  $\xi = 2\pi \int dq S(q) / \int dq q S(q)$  with  $q = |\mathbf{q}|$ .  
 [30] Ignoring a possible renormalized value for the  $a = 0$  mean-field threshold.  
 [31] H. Y. Lee and M. Kardar, *Physical Review E* **64**, 056113 (2001).  
 [32] S. Sankararaman, G. I. Menon, and P. S. Kumar, *Physical Review E* **70**, 031905 (2004).  
 [33] I. S. Aranson and L. S. Tsimring, *Physical Review E* **71**, 050901 (2005).  
 [34] I. S. Aranson and L. S. Tsimring, *Physical Review E* **74**, 031915 (2006).  
 [35] A. Gopinath, M. F. Hagan, M. C. Marchetti, and A. Baskaran, *Physical Review E* **85**, 061903 (2012).  
 [36] K. Gowrishankar and M. Rao, *Soft Matter* **12**, 2040 (2016).  
 [37] K. Husain and M. Rao, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 078104 (2017).  
 [38] J. Elgeti, M. E. Cates, and D. Marenduzzo, *Soft Matter* **7**, 3177 (2011).  
 [39] K. Kruse, J. F. Joanny, F. Jülicher, J. Prost, and K. Sekimoto, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 078101 (2004).  
 [40] K. Kruse, J. F. Joanny, F. Jülicher, J. Prost, and K. Sekimoto, *European Physical Journal E* **16**, 5 (2005).  
 [41] F. Vafa, , arXiv:2009.10723 (2020).  
 [42] Note that asters are pointing outward with  $\lambda > 0$ , and inward when with  $\lambda < 0$ .  
 [43] In the deterministic case, we believe, in agreement with Vafa [41], that coarsening should proceed all the way to the ordered phase; in practice finite numerical resolution may pin the system in some near final configuration with few asters.

- [44] A. Chardac, L. A. Hoffmann, Y. Poupart, L. Giomi, and D. Bartolo, *Phys. Rev. X* **11**, 031069 (2021).
- [45] C. Brito, I. S. Aranson, and H. Chaté, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 068301 (2003).
- [46] F. Nédélec, T. Surrey, A. C. Maggs, and S. Leibler, *Nature* **389**, 305 (1997).
- [47] T. Surrey, F. Nédélec, S. Leibler, and E. Karsenti, *Science* **292**, 1167 (2001).
- [48] F. Backouche, L. Haviv, D. Groswasser, and A. Bernheim-Groswasser, *Physical Biology* **3**, 264 (2006).
- [49] C. Hentrich and T. Surrey, *Journal of Cell Biology* **189**, 465 (2010).
- [50] P. A. Nguyen, A. C. Groen, M. Loose, K. Ishihara, M. Wühr, C. M. Field, and T. J. Mitchison, *Science* **346**, 244 (2014).
- [51] S. Stam, S. L. Freedman, S. Banerjee, K. L. Weirich, A. R. Dinner, and M. L. Gardel, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **114** (2017).
- [52] N. Khetan, G. Pruliere, C. Hebras, J. Chenevert, and C. A. Athale, *Journal of Cell Science* **134** (2021).
- [53] J. Berezney, B. L. Goode, S. Fraden, and Z. Dogic, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **119** (2022).
- [54] B. Lemma, N. P. Mitchell, R. Subramanian, D. J. Needleman, and Z. Dogic, *Phys. Rev. X* **12**, 031006 (2022).