

Measuring non-Unitarity in non-Hermitian Quantum Systems

Yue-Yu Zou,* Yao Zhou,* Li-Mei Chen,* and Peng Ye†

School of Physics, State Key Laboratory of Optoelectronic Materials and Technologies,
and Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Magnetoelectric Physics and Devices, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, 510275, China
(Dated: Thursday 1st September, 2022)

Non-orthogonality in non-Hermitian quantum systems generates tremendous exotic quantum phenomena, which can be fundamentally traced back to non-unitarity and is much more fundamental and universal than complex energy spectrum. In this paper, we introduce an interesting quantity (denoted as η) to directly and efficiently measure non-unitarity and the associated non-Hermitian physics. By tuning model parameters of underlying non-Hermitian systems, we find that the discontinuity of both η and its first-order derivative (denoted as $\partial\eta$) pronouncedly captures rich physics that is fundamentally caused by non-unitarity. More concretely, in a 1D non-Hermitian topological system, two mutually orthogonal edge states that are respectively localized on two boundaries become non-orthogonal in the vicinity of discontinuity of η as a function of model parameter, which is dubbed “edge state transition”. For the discontinuity of $\partial\eta$, by investigating a two-level non-Hermitian model, we establish the connection between the points of discontinuity of $\partial\eta$ and exceptional points (EPs). Furthermore, for models with more than two levels, we analytically obtain the upper bound of the quantity η with a novel dependence on the configuration of EPs. By investigating this connection in more general lattice models, we find that two concrete models at phase transition points have discontinuity of $\partial\eta$, implying the existence of EPs, while another model constructed by the regular Sturm-Liouville theory exhibit continuous and differentiable η but doesn’t have an EP at the phase transition point. For more concrete applications and a systematic analytic theory about η , we leave them for future work.

Introduction.— Recently, non-Hermitian systems [1–5] have drawn great interests, due to exotic quantum phenomena, e.g., generalized bulk-edge correspondence [6–8], exceptional points (EPs) [5, 9–17], non-Hermitian skin effect [6, 18–22] and unidirectional invisibility [23]. For theoretically understanding these phenomena, non-Bloch band theory [6–8, 24–29], which generalizes the conception of Brillouin zone, is established and applied to analyze the topological phase [5, 30] in non-Hermitian systems. Remarkably, non-Hermitian skin effect, the natural consequence of generalized Brillouin zone, is found to have a connection to EPs [22]. Meanwhile, compared with Hermitian systems, the classification [31–36] of topological phases in non-Hermitian systems has been significantly enriched. In addition, from the quantum-informative perspective, quantum entanglement properties of non-Hermitian systems [37–41] exhibit highly unusual features in entanglement entropy and entanglement spectrum. Besides the crystalline system mentioned before, non-Hermiticity has also been introduced to noncrystalline systems, e.g. quasi-crystal systems [41–45] and disorder systems [46–49].

While complex energy spectrum exists in many non-Hermitian systems, real energy spectrum generally holds in many systems, e.g., with \mathcal{PT} symmetry [1, 50]. Thus, it is apparently that complex energy spectrum is not a special sign of non-Hermitian physics. In this paper, to explore physics of non-Hermitian systems, we focus the property of non-unitarity, i.e., the non-orthogonal eigenvectors [51]. When eigenvectors are not mutually orthogonal, the familiar inner product in Hermitian systems is no longer valid and the usual definition of quantum expectation of operators is no longer proper. To proceed further, in the literature, the idea of bi-orthogonal basis is introduced. More concretely, for a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian H , the right eigenvectors

$|R, n\rangle$ obey $H|R, n\rangle = E_n|R, n\rangle$, and left eigenvectors $\langle L, n|$ obey $H^\dagger\langle L, n| = E_n^*\langle L, n|$, then bi-orthogonality relation can be represented as $\langle L, n|R, m\rangle = \delta_{nm}$. When the system remains unitarity, $\langle L, n|L, m\rangle = \langle R, n|R, m\rangle = \delta_{nm}$. Thanks to the bi-orthogonality relation, many theoretical approaches originally introduced in Hermitian systems can be borrowed to study non-Hermitian systems. Therefore, a series of physical conceptions are reproduced in non-Hermitian systems [18, 24, 30, 52].

By using bi-orthogonal basis, we can study non-Hermitian quantum systems by constructing Hilbert space. Nevertheless, it is still unclear how to simply and efficiently characterize non-unitarity associated with the non-orthogonality among right-eigenvectors (or left-eigenvectors). To discuss non-unitarity of non-Hermitian systems, without loss of generality, we focus on studying the property of right basis but not the whole bi-orthogonal basis in this work (because left eigenvectors have the similar property with right eigenvectors). In this paper, we define a quantity to measure the strength of non-unitarity of non-Hermitian systems as follows:

$$\eta = \frac{\sum_{n < m} |\langle R, n|R, m\rangle|^2}{\sum_{n < m} |\langle R, n|R, n\rangle| |\langle R, m|R, m\rangle|}, \quad (1)$$

where $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$. When $\eta = 0$, the system is unitary with mutually orthogonal eigenvectors. On the contrary, when $\eta = 1$, the eigenvectors are totally coalescent, resulting in the extreme case of non-unitarity.

In this paper, we apply η to various interesting non-Hermitian models. Then we study the behavior of the quantity η with the parameters of system varying. We find the various behaviors of the quantity η , such as the discontinuity of the quantity η and its first-order derivative $\partial\eta$, would imply some consequences happening in non-Hermitian systems. For example, when a non-Hermitian systems has *edge*

state transition, i.e., the orthogonal edge states becoming non-orthogonal, the quantity η would have discontinuity point. For studying the physical consequence causing the discontinuity of $\partial\eta$, we use a two-level model exhibiting that when the quantity η near the EP, $\partial\eta$ would become discontinuous. Therefore, this feature of η can be regarded as an evidence to identify the existence of EPs. Meanwhile, by using this feature, we infer that some non-Hermitian systems at the transition points would have EPs. Finally, we discuss the behavior of η in a special non-Hermitian model, where this model has real energy spectrum without \mathcal{PT} symmetry and EPs. We find that the discontinuity of $\partial\eta$ does not appear.

The discontinuity of η .— It is known that the energy spectrum of non-Hermitian systems possesses a real-complex transition, which can be understood as a consequence of \mathcal{PT} symmetry [1, 50] breaking. To focus on the nature of non-unitarity of non-Hermitian systems, we consider the behavior of the quantity η in a 1D non-Hermitian model [53] which always has real energy spectrum without \mathcal{PT} symmetry:

$$H = \sum_n (t_1 c_{n,A}^\dagger c_{n,B} + \frac{t_1}{g} c_{n,B}^\dagger c_{n,A} + t_2 c_{n+1,A}^\dagger c_{n,B} + \frac{t_2}{g} c_{n,B}^\dagger c_{n+1,A}), \quad (2)$$

where the operators $c_{n,A(B)}$ denote annihilation operators of spinless fermions at the sublattice A (B) in the n th unit cell. The real parameter g is introduced to tune the system away from $g = 1$ which is the Hermitian point. In Fig. 1(a-c), we plot energy spectrum of the model (2) with open boundary condition as a function of t_1 with $t_2 = 1$ and three typical values of g . Clearly, the model (2) in both Hermitian and non-Hermitian regimes has almost identical energy spectrum and identical locations of topological phase transition, as discussed concretely in Ref. [53]. Thus, it is impossible to extract non-Hermitian effect from the energy spectrum. For this purpose, we utilize the quantity η defined in Eq. (1) to measure non-unitarity of this model.

In Fig. 1(d-f), we study η as a function of the parameter t_1 with $t_2 = 1$ and three typical values of g . When the parameter $g \neq 1$ in the model (2), the quantity η as a function of t_1 shows exotic discontinuous points that separate upper and lower flat (“degenerate”) platforms in Fig. 1(d) and (e). In Fig. 1(f), η is always zero and thus featureless in the Hermitian point $g = 1$. Furthermore, we find that the discontinuity points of the function η are induced by an exotic transition of edge states. Namely, when the value of η is located in the lower platform, two edge states are respectively localized at two endpoints of the 1D chain, as indicated by the two different colored curves in Fig. 1(g) that is obtained by taking $t_1 = 0, g = 0.5, t_2 = 1$ as an example. It is clear that the inner product of the two edge states vanishes so they are orthogonal to each other. On the other hand, when we consider $t_1 = -0.22$ that is a typical point with η being located in the upper platform ($t_2 = 1, g = 0.5$), the amplitudes of the two edge states form the same “U-shape” distribution with




FIG. 1. (a-c) Energy spectrum as a function of t_1 with $t_2 = 1$ and three typical values of g , where the topological transition points $t_1 = \pm 1$ are identical. (d-f) The quantity η as a function of t_1 with $t_2 = 1$ and three typical values of g , where the discontinuity points is $t_1 = \pm 0.088$ in (d) and (e). (g) and (h) respectively show edge state distribution when $t_1 = 0$ and $t_1 = -0.22$ that are respectively in lower and upper platforms in (d). Here we set the length of system $L = 120$ and $t_2 = 1$.

maxima being simultaneously localized at two endpoints of the 1D chain, which leads to mutually non-orthogonal edge states, as shown in Fig. 1(h). This exotic non-Hermitian effect has no counterpart in topological Hermitian systems. In conclusion, while edge state transition does not show up in energy spectrum, the discontinuity of the function η can be applied to clearly signal the transition in topological non-Hermitian systems, demonstrating the usefulness of the quantity η in measuring non-unitarity.

The discontinuity of $\partial\eta$.— In the following, we move to the physics of discontinuity of $\partial\eta$, i.e., the first order derivative of η . For the purpose, as a warm-up, we first introduce a two-level system to study the behavior of the quantity η :

$$H_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

where $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. By diagonalization, the (right-)eigenvectors of H_0 can be obtained and written as $(\pm\sqrt{\gamma}, 1)^T$, which results in an analytic form of η :

$$\eta = \frac{|1 - |\gamma||^2}{(1 + |\gamma|)^2}. \quad (4)$$

It is apparent that when $\gamma = 1$, the model H_0 becomes Hermitian with $\eta = 0$. On the contrary, when $\gamma = 0$, H_0 reduces to

a lower triangular matrix which describes a typical EP, and the quantity $\eta = 1$. Next, we study the behavior of the quantity η as a function of γ near the EP. As shown in Fig. 2, we find that η at EP has a peak and its derivative (denoted as $\partial\eta$) is discontinuous. In the following, we will show that this feature of η can be regarded as an evidence to identify EPs in more general non-Hermitian quantum systems.

Since this model (3) has merely two levels, the quantity η at EP can take the maximum value 1 and all eigenvectors are coalescent. However, for models with more than two levels, it usually has various EPs with different degeneracies. Consequently, the eigenvectors are not totally coalescent, and the value of η is subject to an upper bound that depends on the configuration of EPs:

$$\eta \leq \frac{\sum_n d_n(d_n - 1)}{N(N - 1)} \leq 1, \quad (5)$$

where N is the dimension of Hamiltonian matrix of non-Hermitian systems and n is the number of EPs with d_n -fold degeneracy. Only when the non-Hermitian system has one EP with N -degeneracy, the upper bound equal to 1. More detailed discussion of Eq. (5) is given in Supplemental Materials.




FIG. 2. (a) and (b) respectively represent the quantity η and its derivative as a function of the parameter γ in the two-level model (3).

To illustrate the physics of the discontinuity of $\partial\eta$, we will study two concrete non-Hermitian lattice models. Firstly, we consider a non-Hermitian quasi-crystal lattice model [41] which has a localization-delocalization transition induced by non-Hermiticity:

$$H = \sum_n (J_R c_{n+1}^\dagger c_n + J_L c_n^\dagger c_{n+1}) + \sum_n V_n c_n^\dagger c_n, \quad (6)$$

where c_n (c_n^\dagger) is the annihilation (creation) operator of spinless fermion at the n th lattice site. $V_n = V \exp(-2\pi i \alpha n)$ is a site-dependent incommensurate complex potential parameterized by an irrational number α . The potential strength V is positive and real. We set the parameter $\alpha = \sqrt{2} \approx \frac{239}{169}$ same as Ref. [41]. In the practical simulations, we set the length $L = 169$ of the system with periodic boundary condition. As discussed in Ref. [41], metal-insulator phase transition (MIT) of this model (6) occurs at the point $V = 1$. In Fig. 3, we can

see that the quantity η as a function of V exhibits a sharp peak at $V = 1$, and a discontinuity point of the derivative of η coincides with $V = 1$ point. These features of η in the model (6) are similar with the features in the two-level model (3). Therefore, we infer that the model (6) have EPs at the MIT transition point.




FIG. 3. (a) and (b) respectively show the quantity η and its derivative as a function of the potential strength V in the model (6) [41]. Here, $J_R = 1, J_L = 0.5$.

Secondly, we consider a 1D non-reciprocal Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) model [54]:

$$H = \sum_n [t_1 c_{n,A}^\dagger c_{n,B} + t_1 c_{n,B}^\dagger c_{n,A} + (t_2 + g) c_{n,B}^\dagger c_{n+1,A} + (t_2 - g) c_{n+1,A}^\dagger c_{n,B}], \quad (7)$$

where $c_{n,A}$ ($c_{n,B}$) respectively denote annihilation operators of spinless fermions at sublattice A (B) in the n th unit cell. We restrict the parameters $g, t_{1,2}$ in the real regime. When the parameters satisfy the condition $|t_1| < \sqrt{t_2^2 - g^2}$, the system is in a non-Hermitian topological phase with non-trivial winding number and two edge states. When $|t_1| > \sqrt{t_2^2 - g^2}$, the system is in a trivial phase without edge states. Thus, a topological phase transition occurs at $|t_1| = \sqrt{t_2^2 - g^2}$, which can be identified by the appearance/disappearance of zero energy modes in Fig. 4(a). Meanwhile, we study the quantity η as a function of t_1 in the model (7). We find the phase transition point also coincides with the discontinuity point of $\partial\eta$ in Fig. 4(c) which is obtained from η in Fig. 4(b). Then, we infer that the model (7) at the transition point $|t_1| = \sqrt{t_2^2 - g^2}$ has EPs, where we take enough numerical precision for obtaining the eigenvectors of this model (7). In addition, due to the existence of non-Hermitian skin effect in the model (7), the derivative of η at the transition point suffers from finite-size effect, see more detailed discussion in Supplemental materials.

Furthermore, we realize that this model also exhibits a significant discontinuity of η at $t_1 = 0.15$ in Fig. 4(b). Following what's been done in the model (2), we plot the edge states of the system of two parameter points $t_1 = 0.133$ and $t_1 = 0.333$ near the discontinuity point $t_1 = 0.15$ respectively in Fig. 4(d) and (e). We find that the edge states separately localized at two boundaries are orthogonal in Fig. 4(d), while in Fig. 4(e), the




FIG. 4. (a) Real part of energy spectrum of the model (7) as a function of t_1 . The topological phase transition occurs at $t_1 = \sqrt{t_2^2 - g^2} \approx 0.99$. (b) and (c) respectively show the quantity η and its derivative as a function of t_1 , in which the discontinuity of the derivative is also found at $t_1 \approx 0.99$. (d) and (e) respectively demonstrate two distributions of edge states at $t_1 = 0.133$ and $t_1 = 0.333$ near the discontinuity point $t_1 = 0.15$ of the quantity η . Here, $t_2 = 1, g = 0.1$, the length of the system (7) $L = 150$.

two edge states are simultaneously localized at one boundary and become non-orthogonal [54, 55]. Therefore, the discontinuity of η in this is also induced by this edge state transition, which is similar to the physics in the model (2). Moreover, it should be noted that the numerical precision of diagonalizing the Hamiltonian matrix of the model (2) would influence the location of discontinuity points of η , where this phenomenon originates from the finite-size effect.

To further investigate the connection between the discontinuity of $\partial\eta$ and the existence of EPs, let us consider a 1D non-Hermitian model [53] with topological phase transition at Hermitian point and absence of EPs:

$$H = t_0 \sum_n \left(\frac{1}{g} b_n^\dagger a_n + g a_n^\dagger b_n + \frac{1}{g} b_n^\dagger c_n + g c_n^\dagger b_n + g a_{n+1}^\dagger c_n + g c_n^\dagger a_{n+1} \right), \quad (8)$$

where $a_n (a_n^\dagger)$, $b_n (b_n^\dagger)$ and $c_n (c_n^\dagger)$ respectively denote the an-




FIG. 5. (a) Energy spectrum of the model (8) with open boundary condition as a function of the parameter g . (b) and (c) respectively show the quantity η and its derivative as a function of parameter g .

nihilation (creation) operator of spinless fermions at sublattice A, B and C in the n th unit cell. This model always has real energy spectrum without \mathcal{PT} symmetry. When the parameter $g < 1$ ($g > 1$), the system is trivial (topological) phase. As discussed in Ref. [53], the system with non-trivial Zak phase in the parameter range $g > 1$ has topological edge states as shown in Fig. 5(a).

Keeping the critical point $g = 1$ in mind, we study the value and derivative of η as the functions of the “non-Hermiticity inducer” g in the model (8) as shown in Fig. 5(b) and (c). We find that η reaches its minimum exactly at $g = 1$ where the derivative vanishes and the model recovers Hermiticity. Apparently, the derivative of η is always continuous in this model, which is different from that in the models (6) and (7). By careful analysis, we find this difference originates from the model construction [53] of using the regular Sturm-Liouville theory [56] (more discussion see Supplemental Materials). This theory guarantees the complete basis of the model (8), so EP is absent in this system. Therefore, without EP, the derivative of η in this model (8) would not have discontinuity point.

Conclusion and outlook.— Non-unitarity is more fundamental property than complex energy spectrum in non-Hermitian systems. To measure non-unitarity of non-Hermitian systems, we have defined a quantity η which locates in the interval $[0, 1]$. As an indicator of non-unitarity, the discontinuity of the quantity η helps us identify rich physics that has no counterpart in Hermitian quantum systems.

For the non-Hermitian lattice systems with EPs, the Hamiltonian matrix is defective matrix not having a complete basis of eigenvectors. Meanwhile, the numerical algorithm for di-

agonalizing defective matrix is not convergence[57]. Therefore, it is hard to directly identify the existence of EPs. Our introduced quantity η provides alternative route to the features of EPs, e.g., by computing the behavior of η in the parameter space and searching discontinuity. In conclusion, we report the introduction of η and show its efficiency and usefulness in characterizing non-Hermitian physics. For more concrete applications and a systematic analytic theory about η (e.g., physics of the derivative of η of all-th orders, and relation to

entanglement [38, 41, 58, 59]), we leave them for future work.

Acknowledgements.— The work was supported in part by Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation under Grant No. 2020B1515120100, NSFC Grant (No. 11847608 & No. 12074438). The simulations reported were performed on resources provided in part by the Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Magnetoelectric Physics and Devices (LaMPad).

* These authors contributed equally to this work.

† yepeng5@mail.sysu.edu.cn

- [1] Carl M. Bender, “Making sense of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians,” *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **70**, 947–1018 (2007).
- [2] Hui Cao and Jan Wiersig, “Dielectric microcavities: Model systems for wave chaos and non-Hermitian physics,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **87**, 61–111 (2015).
- [3] Ingrid Rotter, “A non-Hermitian Hamilton operator and the physics of open quantum systems,” *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **42**, 153001 (2009).
- [4] Yuto Ashida, Zongping Gong, and Masahito Ueda, “Non-Hermitian physics,” *Advances in Physics* **69**, 249–435 (2020).
- [5] Emil J. Bergholtz, Jan Carl Budich, and Flore K. Kunst, “Exceptional topology of non-Hermitian systems,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **93**, 015005 (2021).
- [6] Shunyu Yao and Zhong Wang, “Edge states and topological invariants of non-hermitian systems,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 086803 (2018).
- [7] Kazuki Yokomizo and Shuichi Murakami, “Non-bloch band theory of non-hermitian systems,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 066404 (2019).
- [8] Zhesen Yang, Kai Zhang, Chen Fang, and Jiangping Hu, “Non-Hermitian Bulk-Boundary Correspondence and Auxiliary Generalized Brillouin Zone Theory,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 226402 (2020).
- [9] W. D. Heiss, “The physics of exceptional points,” *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **45**, 444016 (2012).
- [10] T. Gao, E. Estrecho, K. Y. Bliokh, T. C. H. Liew, M. D. Fraser, S. Brodbeck, M. Kamp, C. Schneider, S. Höfling, Y. Yamamoto, F. Nori, Y. S. Kivshar, A. G. Truscott, R. G. Dall, and E. A. Ostrovskaya, “Observation of non-Hermitian degeneracies in a chaotic exciton-polariton billiard,” *Nature* **526**, 554–558 (2015).
- [11] Bo Zhen, Chia Wei Hsu, Yuichi Igarashi, Ling Lu, Ido Kaminer, Adi Pick, Song-Liang Chua, John D. Joannopoulos, and Marin Soljačić, “Spawning rings of exceptional points out of Dirac cones,” *Nature* **525**, 354–358 (2015).
- [12] Choloong Hahn, Youngsun Choi, Jae Woong Yoon, Seok Ho Song, Cha Hwan Oh, and Pierre Berini, “Observation of exceptional points in reconfigurable non-Hermitian vector-field holographic lattices,” *Nat Commun* **7**, 12201 (2016).
- [13] Dengke Zhang, Xiao-Qing Luo, Yi-Pu Wang, Tie-Fu Li, and J. Q. You, “Observation of the exceptional point in cavity magnon-polaritons,” *Nat Commun* **8**, 1368 (2017).
- [14] Mohammad-Ali Miri and Andrea Alù, “Exceptional points in optics and photonics,” *Science* **363**, eaar7709 (2019).
- [15] Shubo Wang, Bo Hou, Weixin Lu, Yuntian Chen, Z. Q. Zhang, and C. T. Chan, “Arbitrary order exceptional point induced by photonic spin-orbit interaction in coupled resonators,” *Nat Commun* **10**, 832 (2019).
- [16] Lei Xiao, Tianshu Deng, Kunkun Wang, Zhong Wang, Wei Yi, and Peng Xue, “Observation of Non-Bloch Parity-Time Symmetry and Exceptional Points,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 230402 (2021).
- [17] Haiping Hu, Shikang Sun, and Shu Chen, “Knot topology of exceptional point and non-Hermitian no-go theorem,” *Phys. Rev. Research* **4**, L022064 (2022).
- [18] Flore K. Kunst, Elisabet Edvardsson, Jan Carl Budich, and Emil J. Bergholtz, “Biorthogonal bulk-boundary correspondence in non-hermitian systems,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 026808 (2018).
- [19] Ching Hua Lee and Ronny Thomale, “Anatomy of skin modes and topology in non-Hermitian systems,” *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 201103 (2019).
- [20] Nobuyuki Okuma, Kohei Kawabata, Ken Shiozaki, and Masatoshi Sato, “Topological Origin of Non-Hermitian Skin Effects,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 086801 (2020).
- [21] Nobuyuki Okuma and Masatoshi Sato, “Non-Hermitian Skin Effects in Hermitian Correlated or Disordered Systems: Quantities Sensitive or Insensitive to Boundary Effects and Pseudo-Quantum-Number,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 176601 (2021).
- [22] Kai Zhang, Zhesen Yang, and Chen Fang, “Universal non-Hermitian skin effect in two and higher dimensions,” *Nat Commun* **13**, 2496 (2022).
- [23] Zin Lin, Hamidreza Ramezani, Toni Eichelkraut, Tsampikos Kottos, Hui Cao, and Demetrios N. Christodoulides, “Unidirectional invisibility induced by \mathcal{PT} -symmetric periodic structures,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 213901 (2011).
- [24] Shunyu Yao, Fei Song, and Zhong Wang, “Non-hermitian chern bands,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 136802 (2018).
- [25] Tian-Shu Deng and Wei Yi, “Non-bloch topological invariants in a non-hermitian domain wall system,” *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 035102 (2019).
- [26] Tao Liu, Yu-Ran Zhang, Qing Ai, Zongping Gong, Kohei Kawabata, Masahito Ueda, and Franco Nori, “Second-Order Topological Phases in Non-Hermitian Systems,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 076801 (2019).
- [27] S. Longhi, “Non-Bloch-Band Collapse and Chiral Zener Tunneling,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 066602 (2020).
- [28] Kai Zhang, Zhesen Yang, and Chen Fang, “Correspondence between Winding Numbers and Skin Modes in Non-Hermitian Systems,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 126402 (2020).
- [29] Kohei Kawabata, Nobuyuki Okuma, and Masatoshi Sato, “Non-Bloch band theory of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians in the symplectic class,” *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 195147 (2020).
- [30] Huitao Shen, Bo Zhen, and Liang Fu, “Topological Band Theory for Non-Hermitian Hamiltonians,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**,

- 146402 (2018).
- [31] Zongping Gong, Yuto Ashida, Kohei Kawabata, Kazuaki Takasan, Sho Higashikawa, and Masahito Ueda, “Topological Phases of Non-Hermitian Systems,” *Phys. Rev. X* **8**, 031079 (2018).
- [32] Kohei Kawabata, Takumi Bessho, and Masatoshi Sato, “Classification of Exceptional Points and Non-Hermitian Topological Semimetals,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 066405 (2019).
- [33] Kohei Kawabata, Ken Shiozaki, Masahito Ueda, and Masatoshi Sato, “Symmetry and Topology in Non-Hermitian Physics,” *Phys. Rev. X* **9**, 041015 (2019).
- [34] Hengyun Zhou and Jong Yeon Lee, “Periodic table for topological bands with non-Hermitian symmetries,” *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 235112 (2019).
- [35] Loïc Herviou, Nicolas Regnault, and Jens H. Bardarson, “Entanglement spectrum and symmetries in non-Hermitian fermionic non-interacting models,” *SciPost Phys.* **7**, 069 (2019).
- [36] Charles C. Wojcik, Xiao-Qi Sun, Tomáš Bzdušek, and Shanhui Fan, “Homotopy characterization of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians,” *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 205417 (2020).
- [37] Po-Yao Chang, Jih-Shih You, Xueda Wen, and Shinsei Ryu, “Entanglement spectrum and entropy in topological non-Hermitian systems and nonunitary conformal field theory,” *Phys. Rev. Research* **2**, 033069 (2020).
- [38] Li-Mei Chen, Shuai A. Chen, and Peng Ye, “Entanglement, non-hermiticity, and duality,” *SciPost Phys.* **11**, 003 (2021).
- [39] Sharareh Sayyad, Jinlong Yu, Adolfo G. Grushin, and Lukas M. Sieberer, “Entanglement spectrum crossings reveal non-Hermitian dynamical topology,” *Phys. Rev. Research* **3**, 033022 (2021).
- [40] Yi-Bin Guo, Yi-Cong Yu, Rui-Zhen Huang, Li-Ping Yang, Run-Ze Chi, Hai-Jun Liao, and Tao Xiang, “Entanglement entropy of non-Hermitian free fermions,” *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **33**, 475502 (2021).
- [41] Li-Mei Chen, Yao Zhou, Shuai A. Chen, and Peng Ye, “Quantum entanglement of non-Hermitian quasicrystals,” *Phys. Rev. B* **105**, L121115 (2022).
- [42] S. Longhi, “Topological Phase Transition in non-Hermitian Quasicrystals,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 237601 (2019).
- [43] Qi-Bo Zeng and Yong Xu, “Winding numbers and generalized mobility edges in non-Hermitian systems,” *Phys. Rev. Research* **2**, 033052 (2020).
- [44] Yanxia Liu, Xiang-Ping Jiang, Junpeng Cao, and Shu Chen, “Non-Hermitian mobility edges in one-dimensional quasicrystals with parity-time symmetry,” *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 174205 (2020).
- [45] Yanxia Liu, Qi Zhou, and Shu Chen, “Localization transition, spectrum structure, and winding numbers for one-dimensional non-Hermitian quasicrystals,” *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, 024201 (2021).
- [46] Naomichi Hatano and David R. Nelson, “Localization Transitions in Non-Hermitian Quantum Mechanics,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 570–573 (1996).
- [47] Naomichi Hatano and David R. Nelson, “Vortex pinning and non-Hermitian quantum mechanics,” *Phys. Rev. B* **56**, 8651–8673 (1997).
- [48] Naomichi Hatano and David R. Nelson, “Non-Hermitian delocalization and eigenfunctions,” *Phys. Rev. B* **58**, 8384–8390 (1998).
- [49] Quan Lin, Tianyu Li, Lei Xiao, Kunkun Wang, Wei Yi, and Peng Xue, “Observation of non-Hermitian topological Anderson insulator in quantum dynamics,” *Nat Commun* **13**, 3229 (2022).
- [50] Carl M. Bender, “Introduction to PT-Symmetric Quantum Theory,” *Contemporary Physics* **46**, 277–292 (2005).
- [51] Jan Wiersig, “Nonorthogonality constraints in open quantum and wave systems,” *Phys. Rev. Research* **1**, 033182 (2019).
- [52] Fei Song, Shunyu Yao, and Zhong Wang, “Non-Hermitian Topological Invariants in Real Space,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 246801 (2019).
- [53] Yang Long, Haoran Xue, and Baile Zhang, “Non-Hermitian topological systems with eigenvalues that are always real,” *Phys. Rev. B* **105**, L100102 (2022).
- [54] Jiewei Cheng, Xiujuan Zhang, Ming-Hui Lu, and Yan-Feng Chen, “Competition between band topology and non-Hermiticity,” *Phys. Rev. B* **105**, 094103 (2022).
- [55] Xiao-Ran Wang, Cui-Xian Guo, and Su-Peng Kou, “Defective edge states and number-anomalous bulk-boundary correspondence in non-Hermitian topological systems,” *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 121116 (2020).
- [56] Anton Zettl, *Sturm-liouville theory*, 121 (American Mathematical Soc., 2012).
- [57] JW Demmel, “Nearest defective matrices and the geometry of ill-conditioning,” *Reliable numerical computation* **44**, 35–55 (1990).
- [58] Ching Hua Lee, Peng Ye, and Xiao-Liang Qi, “Position-momentum duality in the entanglement spectrum of free fermions,” *J. Stat. Mech.* **2014**, P10023 (2014).
- [59] Ching Hua Lee and Peng Ye, “Free-fermion entanglement spectrum through Wannier interpolation,” *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 085119 (2015).
- [60] Gene H Golub and Charles F Van Loan, *Matrix computations* (JHU press, 2013).

where K is the inverse of H_0 , and $\lambda_n = E_n^{-1}$. Furthermore, the matrix $K' = M^{\frac{1}{2}} K M^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is Hermitian, which is proven by:

$$(M^{\frac{1}{2}} K M^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\dagger} = (M^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\dagger} K^{\dagger} (M^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\dagger} = M^{\frac{1}{2}} K M^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (13)$$

This proof is based on the Hermitian of the matrices K and $M^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Therefore, from Eq. (12), we find the eigenvalues λ_n are real, and the eigenvalues E_n of $M^{-1}H_0$ are real. Meanwhile, we find the eigenvectors $\Psi'_n = M^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_n$ are a set of orthogonal

and complete basis satisfying the relation

$$\langle \Psi'_n | \Psi'_m \rangle = \langle \Psi_n | M | \Psi_m \rangle = \delta_{n,m}, \quad (14)$$

where $\delta_{n,m}$ is the Kronecker delta. Based on the relation (14), the eigenvectors Ψ_n of the matrix $M^{-1}H_0$ are always complete. For a non-Hermitian system with EPs, the basis of eigenvectors is not complete. Then, EP is absent in the model (8).