

# Estimating the hadronic phase lifetime in heavy-ion collisions at the Large Hadron Collider by employing a hydrodynamical framework

Ronald Scaria, Captain R. Singh, and Raghunath Sahoo\*

*Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Indore, Simrol, Indore 453552, India*

(Dated: September 1, 2022)

Hadronic phase and its dynamics in relativistic heavy-ion collisions are topics of immense discussion. In this respect, resonances can play an important role in determining the characteristics of the hadronic phase as they have sufficiently small lifetimes, which may be comparable to the hadronic phase lifetime. The resonances produced at the QCD phase boundary undergo re-scattering and regeneration processes within the hadronic medium. We use a 1+1D second-order viscous hydrodynamics for the evolution of hadronic medium to govern  $K^*(892)^0$  kinematics. Hydrodynamics breaks down at the Knudsen number ( $Kn$ ) limit:  $Kn > 1$ , where the mean free path becomes sufficiently large compared to the system size and the particle yield gets preserved. Further, net yields of  $K^*(892)^0$  &  $K$  are obtained at the kinetic freeze-out boundary, i.e.  $Kn > 1$ . In the current study, we predict hadronic phase lifetime and then the ratio of  $K^*(892)^0/K$  is obtained, which qualitatively agrees with the experimental data as a function of the final state multiplicity.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Relativistic heavy-ion collisions provide a unique environment to cradle various phases of QCD matter. The formation of a hot and dense, locally thermalized deconfined phase of colorful partons known as Quark-Gluon Plasma (QGP) is proposed in the very initial moments ( $\tau < 1$  fm) after the collision. The color-neutral hadronic medium comes into existence when the strongly interacting quark-gluon plasma (QGP) phase gets exhausted. It suggests a phase transition from a deconfined partonic phase to a colorless hadronic medium. From experimental data it has been proposed that the QGP phase behaves like a “perfect fluid” [1–4] with very low shear viscosity ( $\eta$ ) to entropy density ( $s$ ) ratio close to the AdS/CFT bound of  $1/4\pi$  [5]. The QGP formed is at a very high temperature which is assumed to evolve based on hydrodynamic models before cooling down to the chemical freeze-out temperature. At this temperature, all inelastic collisions stop, and the hydrodynamics of QGP breaks down. This temperature is very close to the critical temperature ( $T_c$ ) for phase transition predicted by lattice QCD (LQCD) calculations [6]. Based on this description, QGP lifetime can be estimated in ultra-relativistic collisions [7, 8]. Meanwhile, using a similar method to define the hadronic phase lifetime (time elapsed between chemical and kinetic freeze-outs) becomes somewhat deceptive in the absence of a proper kinetic freeze-out temperature. In this article, we propose that with the use of hydrodynamics and a proper probe lifetime of the hadronic phase can be estimated.

Short-lived resonances decay via strong interactions with a wide range of lifetimes which allows them to be suitable probes for characterizing the properties of hadronic matter produced in heavy-ion collisions ([9] and references therein). If the resonances which are pro-

duced at the chemical freeze-out boundary decay before the kinetic freeze-out, then their decay products undergo hadronic re-scattering leading to a decrease in their yield. At the same time, pseudoelastic interactions between hadrons can regenerate the resonances in the medium, which increases their yields. Various transport and statistical thermal model calculations show that rescattering and regeneration processes significantly affect the final state resonance yields [6, 10–14]. These effects make them perfect probes to study the hadronic phase lifetime as explored in Refs. [9, 15].

In our previous work [16], we explored the possibility of fluid dynamics in the hadronic medium. Knudsen number ( $Kn$ ) can be used to study the possibility of thermalization and applicability of hydrodynamics in a medium. Low values of  $Kn$  ( $Kn \ll 1$ ) indicate systems with low gradients of hydrodynamic quantities, and thus the system is close to equilibrium (high degree of thermalization) [17]. In this work, we use  $Kn$  to govern the cooling of a hadronic medium from  $T_c$  using a second-order 1+1D hydrodynamic model to obtain the hadronic phase lifetime. We introduce a model for the evolution of  $K^*(892)^0$  yield during the hadronic phase. This model is used within the ambit of hydrodynamic evolution to obtain the final  $K^*(892)^0/K$  ratio, which is compared with experimentally obtained data.

This article is organized as follows. Sec. II details the formalism and methodology followed to estimate the hadronic phase lifetime and  $K^*(892)^0$  yield. In Sec. III, we present the results along with their discussion, and Sec. IV summarizes our study.

## II. FORMALISM

### A. Statistical Hadronization

LQCD calculations predict the existence of a deconfinement transition from hadronic to partonic constituents for energy densities greater than 1 GeV

\*Corresponding author email: Raghunath.Sahoo@cern.ch

$\text{fm}^{-3}$  [18]. At zero baryochemical potential ( $\mu_B$ ), this deconfinement transition is predicted again by LQCD to be of crossover in nature [19] at a critical temperature,  $T_c \simeq 155$  MeV [20–22]. As a result of confinement, physical observables in QCD are required to be defined in terms of hadrons. QCD thermodynamics has been explained extensively with the help of simple statistical thermal models like the ideal Hadron Resonance Gas (HRG) model with merely two parameters in the whole game, namely the temperature of the system and the baryochemical potential. According to ideal HRG, the partition function for  $i^{\text{th}}$  hadron in the Grand Canonical Ensemble is given by [23]

$$\ln Z_i^{id} = \pm \frac{V g_i}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty p^2 dp \ln \{1 \pm \exp[-(E_i - \mu_i)/T]\}. \quad (1)$$

Knowing the partition function, one can calculate the pressure  $P_i$ , energy density  $\varepsilon_i$ , number density  $n_i$ , and entropy density  $s_i$  as given,

$$P_i^{id}(T, \mu_i) = \pm \frac{T g_i}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty p^2 dp \ln \{1 \pm \exp[-(E_i - \mu_i)/T]\} \quad (2)$$

$$\varepsilon_i^{id}(T, \mu_i) = \frac{g_i}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{E_i p^2 dp}{\exp[(E_i - \mu_i)/T] \pm 1} \quad (3)$$

$$n_i^{id}(T, \mu_i) = \frac{g_i}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{p^2 dp}{\exp[(E_i - \mu_i)/T] \pm 1} \quad (4)$$

$$s_i^{id}(T, \mu_i) = \pm \frac{g_i}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty p^2 dp \left[ \ln \{1 \pm \exp[-(E_i - \mu_i)/T]\} \pm \frac{(E_i - \mu_i)/T}{\exp[(E_i - \mu_i)/T] \pm 1} \right] \quad (5)$$

In the above equations  $g_i$ ,  $E_i = \sqrt{p^2 + m_i^2}$  and  $\mu_i$  are the degeneracy, energy and chemical potential of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  hadron, respectively.  $\mu_i$  is given by,

$$\mu_i = B_i \mu_B + S_i \mu_S + Q_i \mu_Q, \quad (6)$$

where  $B_i$ ,  $S_i$ , and  $Q_i$  are the baryon number, strangeness, and electric charge of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  hadron. Here,  $\mu_B$ ,  $\mu_S$ ,  $\mu_Q$  are the baryon, strange, and charge chemical potentials, respectively.

Ideal HRG can be further extended by the inclusion of van der Waals (VDW) repulsive interactions between hadrons by considering a fixed volume to be occupied by each hadron (Excluded Volume HRG (EVHRG)). If  $v = 16\pi r_h^3/3$  is the volume occupied by each hadron where  $r_h$  is the hard-core radius, then the above-mentioned thermodynamic quantities are given by [23, 24],

$$P^{ev}(T, \mu) = \kappa P^{id}(T, \mu), \quad (7)$$

$$\varepsilon^{ev}(T, \mu) = \frac{\kappa \varepsilon^{id}(T, \mu)}{1 + \kappa v n^{id}(T, \mu)} \quad (8)$$

$$n^{ev}(T, \mu) = \frac{\kappa n^{id}(T, \mu)}{1 + \kappa v n^{id}(T, \mu)} \quad (9)$$

$$s^{ev}(T, \mu) = \frac{\kappa s^{id}(T, \mu)}{1 + \kappa v n^{id}(T, \mu)} \quad (10)$$

where  $\kappa$  is the excluded volume suppression factor given by,

$$\kappa = \exp\left(\frac{v P^{ev}}{T}\right). \quad (11)$$

These models have been used extensively to study particle production in nucleus-nucleus collisions. From these studies, it has been observed that particle yields in the final state can be explained with considerable precision by these models at temperatures that are in agreement with the phase transition temperature predicted by LQCD [6, 25, 26]. In the following, we use the EVHRG model to determine the initial yields considering the chemical freeze-out temperature to be equal to  $T_c = 156$  MeV as given by the Wuppertal-Budapest collaboration [21, 22].

## B. Temperature evolution of the hadronic medium

The hadronic medium produced at chemical freeze-out is still at a higher temperature and cools down with time. The rate of temperature variation can be studied by solving the first and second-order fluid dynamical equations [27–29] derived within the framework of causal Müller-Israel-Stewart theory of dissipative fluid dynamics [30–32]. In the 1+1D scaling solution we get [27, 28]

$$\frac{ds}{d\tau} = \frac{s}{\tau} \left( \frac{\phi}{T s \tau} - 1 \right) \quad (12)$$

The perfect fluid, first-order, and second-order theories are distinguished by  $\phi$ , which defines the effect of viscous terms, as given below

$$\phi = 0, \quad \text{perfect fluid} \quad (13)$$

$$\phi = \frac{4\eta}{3\tau}, \quad \text{first-order} \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\phi}{d\tau} = & -\frac{\phi}{\tau_\phi} - \frac{\phi}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{1}{\beta_2} T \frac{d}{d\tau} \left( \frac{\beta_2}{T} \right) \right] \\ & + \frac{2}{3\beta_2 \tau}, \quad \text{second-order} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where  $\tau_\phi$  is the relaxation time due to shear viscous component and  $\beta_2 = \frac{\tau_\phi}{2\eta}$ ,  $\eta$  being shear viscosity. In second-order theory,  $\phi$  indicates the characteristics of the system by measuring the change in  $\eta$  through Eq.15. The solution of Eq.12 and Eq.15 can be obtained




FIG. 1: Temperature evolution as a function of time for both viscous first-order and second-order hydrodynamics compared with the case for a perfect fluid. Initial temperature is taken as  $T_c = 0.156$  GeV to start the cooling law from the chemical freeze-out [21, 22].

numerically for a viscous system. The initial conditions for  $\phi$  are calculated from the EVHRG model. Taking the AdS/CFT lower bound for  $\eta/s = 1/(4\pi)$  [5], the value of  $\phi$  at  $\tau_c$  is found as  $\phi_c = \frac{s_c}{3\pi\tau_c}$  with  $s_c = s(\tau_c)$ . Here  $\tau_c$  is the time at  $T = T_c$ .

In the following, we consider the cooling of a massless pion gas which is approximated to the cooling of an excluded volume HRG. In such a scenario, Eq.12 and Eq.15 are modified as [27],

$$\frac{dT}{d\tau} = -\frac{T}{3\tau} + \frac{\phi}{12aT^3\tau} \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{d\phi}{d\tau} = -\sigma bT^3\phi - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\tau} - 5\frac{1}{T}\frac{dT}{d\tau}\right) + \frac{8aT^4}{9\tau}, \quad (17)$$

where the constants are defined as  $a = \pi^2/30$  and  $b = 3\zeta(3)/\pi^2$ .

The effect of transverse expansion is included in the 1+1D scaling solution for the partonic state by considering that transverse expansion begins at a temperature defined at  $\tau_{tr} > \tau_0$ ; *i.e.*  $T_{tr}(\tau_0)$  [7, 8, 33] where  $\tau_0$  is the initial thermalization time. In the present scenario, we assume that hadronization takes place at a time  $\tau_c < \tau_{tr}$ . Moreover, the actual  $\tau_c$  is determined by considering transverse expansion. It is assumed here that hadronization takes place when  $\tau_{tr} = R_T$  where  $R_T$  is the transverse radius obtained from MC Glauber model [34]. Considering  $\tau_{tr} \cong \tau_c + \frac{R_T}{c_s} \frac{\sqrt{2}-1}{\sqrt{2}}$  [33], the value for  $\tau_c$  is easily calculated by knowing  $R_T$  and  $c_s^2 = 1/3$ . Fig.1 compares the temperature evolution of first-order and

second-order hydrodynamic models along with the case for a perfect fluid. It is clear that viscous terms make cooling slower. The second-order theory is observed to show a much faster cooling rate as compared to the first-order theory.

Further, volume evolution is introduced by considering an isentropic evolution of the medium [6]. The volume profile of the medium  $V(\tau, b)$  is given by,

$$V(\tau, b) = \frac{V_c(\tau_c, b)s_c(\tau_c)}{s(\tau)} \quad (18)$$

where,  $V_c(\tau_c, b) = \tau_{tr}A_T(b)$  is the initial volume at  $\tau_0$ . Here  $A_T$  is the transverse overlap area obtained from the MC Glauber model [34]. Transverse radius evolution follows the method given in [33] where the effective radius at a time  $\tau > \tau_i$  is given by,

$$R \cong R_i \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{6} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\tau_i} \frac{(\tau - \tau_i)^{3/2}}{R_i}} \right] \quad (19)$$

where  $\tau_i$  is the proper time at the previous instant.

The validity of hydrodynamics is governed by the Knudsen number ( $Kn$ ). Knudsen number describes the probability of collisions between the particles in the system. Knudsen number,  $Kn \ll 1$  demonstrates that system size is larger than the mean free path indicating thermalization. Therefore, it becomes feasible to study the medium evolution under hydrodynamics. Knudsen number is given by

$$Kn = \lambda/D \quad (20)$$

where,  $\lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}n\sigma}$  is the mean free path of the system and  $D = 2R$  is the characteristic system size.  $n$  and  $\sigma = 4\pi r_h^2$  are the number density and the interaction cross section within the particles of the medium. Hydrodynamic evolution ends and equilibrium breaks down at the moment when the mean free path becomes greater than the system size, *i.e.* at  $Kn > 1$ .

### C. Kinetic Formation model for $K^*(892)^0$ mesons

Several resonance particles are produced in the final state of  $pp$  and heavy-ion collisions with lifetimes varying from 1.1 fm/c ( $f_2(1270)$ ) to 46.3 fm/c ( $\phi(1020)$ ). Such a wide range in their lifetimes provides an excellent opportunity to use them as probes to study the system formed in relativistic nuclear collisions [9, 35–38]. Out of these, the  $K^*(892)^0$  meson occupies a sweet spot with a lifetime of 4.16 fm/c, making it a good probe to study the hadronic phase and hadronic phase lifetime. If the resonance decays within the hadronic phase, then the decay products are subject to interactions before kinetic freeze out, which alters their momentum and prevents their reconstruction (re-scattering) ( $K^*(892)^0 \rightarrow \pi K$ ). Similarly, hadrons in the medium can interact together to form resonances that may live up to the kinetic freeze-out allowing a successful reconstruction (regeneration)

( $\pi K \rightarrow K^*(892)^0$ ). Thus it is imperative to include both of these effects in the calculations while studying the final resonance yields.

In this respect, we use a modified version of the kinetic formation model used to explain the yield of  $J/\psi$  meson in the final state [39, 40]. According to this model, the final state yield of  $K^*(892)^0$  is given by,

$$N_f(\tau_f) = \epsilon(\tau_f)\lambda_D(\tau_f)[N_i(\tau_c) + N_\pi N_K] \times \int_{\tau_c}^{\tau_f} \Gamma_F[V(\tau)\epsilon(\tau)\lambda_D(\tau)]^{-1}d\tau \quad (21)$$

where  $\lambda_D(\tau)$  and  $\epsilon(\tau)$  give the contribution due to natural decay and co-moving hadrons, respectively, to re-scattering at time  $\tau$  while  $\Gamma_F$  gives the effect of regeneration.  $N_i(\tau_c)$ ,  $N_\pi$  and  $N_K$  are the numbers of  $K^*(892)^0$  mesons, pions and kaons at chemical freeze-out determined from the EVHRG model, considering the initial volume.

### 1. Decay

The re-scattering effect introduced in Eq.21 has been modelled to include two different effects.  $K^*(892)^0$  mesons produced at chemical freeze-out can naturally decay into pions and kaons. They might also decay as a result of interaction with another particle within the medium (co-moving hadron). We consider that the  $K^*(892)^0$  meson is re-scattered in both cases. The contribution due to natural decay is given by,

$$\lambda_D(\tau) = \exp\left(-\frac{\tau - \tau_c}{\tau_l}\right). \quad (22)$$

$\tau_l$  is the lifetime of  $K^*(892)^0$  meson multiplied by the Lorentz factor. In our calculations, we have considered

the Lorentz factor,  $\gamma \approx 1.8$  [9]. The effect of co-moving hadrons is modelled based on the kinetic model [39, 40],

$$\epsilon(\tau) = \exp\left[-\int_{\tau_c}^{\tau} \Gamma_D N_{co}[V(\tau)]^{-1}d\tau\right] \quad (23)$$

where  $\Gamma_D$  is the decay rate averaged over the momentum distribution of participants and is given by,

$$\Gamma_D = \langle \sigma_{co} v_{rel} \rangle_{K^*0, co}. \quad (24)$$

Here,  $\sigma_{co} = 4\pi r_h^2$  is the interaction cross section and  $v_{rel}$  is the relative velocity between the two particles.

### 2. Regeneration

Regeneration of  $K^*(890)^0$  is modeled in Eq.21 through  $\Gamma_F$ , which is given by,

$$\Gamma_F = \langle \sigma_{reg} v_{rel} \rangle_{\pi, K}. \quad (25)$$

Here,  $\sigma_{reg}$  is the temperature-dependent regeneration cross section at any instant and is given by [41],

$$\sigma_{reg} = 42.2 \left(\frac{T/T_c - 0.5}{0.115}\right)^{1.83} \exp\left[1.83\left(1 - \frac{T/T_c - 0.5}{0.115}\right)\right] + 4.95 \left(\frac{T/T_c - 0.5}{0.407}\right)^{50} \exp\left[50\left(1 - \frac{T/T_c - 0.5}{0.407}\right)\right] \quad (26)$$

where the critical temperature was taken to be  $T_c = 0.175$  GeV.

The thermal averaged cross-section times relative velocity in Eq.24 and Eq.25 is given by [16, 42]

$$\langle \sigma_{ij} v_{ij} \rangle = \frac{\sigma \int p_i p_j E_i dE_i E_j dE_j d\cos\theta f_i^0 f_j^0 \times \frac{\sqrt{(E_i E_j - p_i p_j \cos\theta)^2 - (m_i m_j)^2}}{E_i E_j - p_i p_j \cos\theta}}{\int p_i p_j E_i dE_i E_j dE_j d\cos\theta f_i^0 f_j^0} \quad (27)$$

$E_i$  and  $E_j$  are particle energies and are integrated in the limit  $m_i$  to  $\infty$  and  $m_j$  to  $\infty$ , respectively. The limit of integration of  $\cos\theta$  runs from -1 to +1.  $f_i^0$  is the particle distribution function which is given by

$$f_i^0 = \exp\left(-\frac{E_i - \mu}{T}\right) \quad (28)$$

where  $E_i$  is the energy of  $i^{th}$  particle,  $\mu$  is the baryochemical potential which is approximated to be zero in our calculations, and  $T$  is the temperature.

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The hadronic medium considered in this work consists of all hadrons and resonances with masses up to 2.25 GeV given in the particle data book [43]. Since the properties of the medium depend only on the parameter  $r_h$ , it has to be chosen appropriately. The repulsive interactions being mediated by  $\omega$  meson and the range of interaction being inversely proportional to the mass of the mediator, we have chosen the hard-core radius,  $r_h = 0.25$  fm to in-

corporate repulsive interactions. This radius is chosen to be uniform for all hadrons. In the following, we consider only the second order cooling scenario as the first order solution is acausal and leads to unstable solutions [27].




FIG. 2: Hadronic phase lifetime obtained from our calculations and are compared with the results obtained for Pb-Pb  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV collisions at ALICE [9].

Knudsen number is an important parameter as it indicates the applicability of hydrodynamics in the medium. As discussed in Sec. II B, we have used this parameter to obtain the endpoint for hydrodynamics ( $\tau_f$ ). Finding  $\tau_f$  allows us to estimate the hadronic phase lifetime simply by calculating the difference between the initial and final time. In Fig. 2, we depict this hadronic phase lifetime and compare it with the results obtained in 5.02 TeV Pb-Pb collisions at LHC [9]. A reasonable agreement is observed between our calculations and the experimental results.

Further, we attempt to explain the final state ratio of  $K^*(892)^0/K$  obtained in heavy ion collisions. Fig. 3 compares the values obtained in our calculations to the experimentally obtained  $K^*(892)^0/K$  ratios obtained in various experiments [9, 44]. It is to be noted that “ND”, “CMD”, and “R” stand for natural decay, co-moving hadrons induced decay, and regeneration effects, respectively. The individual effects of the regeneration, decay due to co-moving hadrons, and natural decay are explicitly shown. It can be observed that the  $K^*(892)^0/K$  ratio in the final state is mostly governed by the natural decay process. It can also be seen that both co-moving hadrons-induced decay and regeneration effects play important roles at high multiplicity bins, while their impact on low multiplicities is marginal. Our study suggests that these effects must be considered while using resonances as a probe to study the hadronic phase produced in relativistic nuclear collisions. The effect of the absence of natural decay, which is shown here, is very similar to having a very large lifetime for the resonance particle, like




FIG. 3: The estimated ratio of  $K^*(892)^0/K$  obtained using our model is compared with the results from ALICE and RHIC with different colliding species [9, 44]. The effect of each term in our calculation is individually explored (color online).

in the case of  $\phi$  meson, for which it has been observed that the ratio remains constant over different centrality classes [9].

#### IV. SUMMARY

We have considered a hydrodynamic description of the hadronic phase produced in relativistic nuclear collisions based on the dimensionless parameter, Knudsen number ( $Kn$ ).  $Kn$  being a measure of interactions within the medium can indicate the possibility of fluid dynamics within a system. It is observed that the lifetime obtained through a hydrodynamic description of the hadronic phase in our calculations is in conformity with the results obtained from accelerator experiments. The hadronic phase lifetime is observed to increase with the system size or the final state multiplicity from around 1 fm for peripheral up to  $\approx 6$  fm for central heavy-ion collisions. We believe these results would help in the tuning of the MC event generators for the inclusion of a finite hadronic phase lifetime with chemical freeze-out followed by the kinetic freeze-out at the LHC energies. The yield of  $K^*(892)^0$  mesons is sensitive to the medium and having a lifetime comparable to that of the hadronic phase, is found to be well explained in the considered model.

#### Acknowledgement

Ronald Scaria acknowledges CSIR, the Government of India, for the research fellowship. Raghunath Sahoo

and Captain R. Singh acknowledge the financial support under DAE-BRNS, the Government of India, Project No. 58/14/29/2019-BRNS. The authors acknowledge the

Tier-3 computing facility in the experimental high-energy physics laboratory of IIT Indore, supported by the ALICE project.

- 
- [1] I. Arsene et al. (BRAHMS Collaboration), Nucl. Phys. A **757**, 1 (2005).
- [2] B. B. Back et al. (PHOBOS Collaboration), Nucl. Phys. A **757**, 28 (2005).
- [3] J. Adams et al. (STAR Collaboration), Nucl. Phys. A **757**, 102 (2005).
- [4] K. Adcox et al. (PHENIX Collaboration), Nucl. Phys. A **757**, 184 (2005).
- [5] P. Kovtun, D.T. Son and A.O. Starinets, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 111601 (2005).
- [6] A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, K. Redlich and J. Stachel, Nature **561**, 321 (2018).
- [7] C.R. Singh, S. Ganesh, M. Mishra, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, 147 (2019).
- [8] C.R. Singh, S. Deb, R. Sahoo, J. Alam Eur. Phys. J. C **82**, 542 (2022).
- [9] S. Acharya *et al.* (ALICE Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **802**, 135225 (2020).
- [10] S. Singha, B. Mohanty, Z.-W. Lin, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E **24** 1550041 (2015).
- [11] A.G. Knospe, C. Markert, K. Werner, J. Steinheimer, M. Bleicher, Phys. Rev. C **93** 014911 (2016).
- [12] A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, J. Stachel, Phys. Lett. B **673** 142 (2009).
- [13] J. Cleymans, K. Redlich, Phys. Rev. C **60** 054908 (1999).
- [14] S. Chatterjee, S. Das, L. Kumar, D. Mishra, B. Mohanty, R. Sahoo, N. Sharma, Adv. High Energy Phys. **2015** 349013 (2015).
- [15] D. Sahu, S. Tripathy, G. S. Pradhan and R. Sahoo, Phys. Rev. C **101**, 014902 (2020).
- [16] R. Scaria, D. Sahu, C. R. Singh, R. Sahoo and J. Alam, arXiv:2201.08096 (2022).
- [17] P. Romatschke and U. Romatschke, Cambridge University Press, (2019).
- [18] F. Karsch, Lect. Notes Phys. **583**, 209 (2002).
- [19] Y. Aoki, G. Endrodi, Z. Fodor, S. Katz, and K. Szabo, Nature **443**, 675 (2006).
- [20] A. Bazavov *et al.* [HotQCD], Phys. Rev. D **90**, 094503 (2014).
- [21] S. Borsanyi, Z. Fodor, C. Hoelbling, S. D. Katz, S. Krieg, C. Ratti, and K. K. Szabo, JHEP **09**, 073 (2010).
- [22] S. Borsanyi, Z. Fodor, C. Hoelbling, S. D. Katz, S. Krieg and K. K. Szabo, Phys. Lett. B **730**, 99 (2014).
- [23] A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, J. Stachel, and M. Winn, Phys. Lett. B **718**, 80 (2012).
- [24] V. Vovchenko, D. V. Anchishkin, and M. I. Gorenstein, Phys. Rev. C **91**, 024905 (2015).
- [25] A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger and J. Stachel, Nucl. Phys. A **772**, 167 (2006).
- [26] J. Stachel, A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger and K. Redlich, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **509**, 012019 (2014).
- [27] A. Muronga, Phys. Rev. C **69**, 034903 (2004).
- [28] H. Kouno, M. Maruyama, F. Takagi and K. Saito, Phys. Rev. D **41**, 2903 (1990).
- [29] A. Muronga, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 062302 (2002).
- [30] W. Israel, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **100**, 310 (1976).
- [31] J. M. Stewart, Proc. R. Soc. London A **357**, 59 (1977).
- [32] W. Israel and J. M. Stewart, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **118**, 341 (1979).
- [33] I. P. Lokhtin and A. M. Snigirev, Phys. Lett. B **378**, 247 (1996).
- [34] C. Loizides, J. Kamin and D. d'Enterria, Phys. Rev. C **97**, 054910 (2018).
- [35] M. Bleicher and J. Aichelin, Phys. Lett. B **530**, 81 (2002).
- [36] G. Torrieri and J. Rafelski, Phys. Lett. B **509**, 239 (2001).
- [37] S. C. Johnson, B. V. Jacak and A. Drees, Eur. Phys. J. C **18**, 645 (2001).
- [38] A. Ilner, J. Blair, D. Cabrera, C. Markert and E. Bratkovskaya, Phys. Rev. C **99**, 024914 (2019).
- [39] R. L. Thews, Nucl. Phys. A **702**, 341 (2002).
- [40] R. L. Thews, M. Schroedter and J. Rafelski, Phys. Rev. C **63**, 054905 (2001).
- [41] K. Yang, X. M. Xu and H. J. Weber, Phys. Rev. D **96**, no.11, 114025 (2017).
- [42] S. K. Tiwari, S. Tripathy, R. Sahoo and N. Kakati, Eur. Phys. J. C **78**, 938 (2018).
- [43] P.A. Zyla et al. (Particle Data Group), PTEP **2020**, no.8, 083C01 (2020).
- [44] M. M. Aggarwal *et al.* [STAR], Phys. Rev. C **84**, 034909 (2011).