

Role of heterogeneity in dictating tumorigenesis in epithelial tissues

Sindhu M.¹ and Medhavi Vishwakarma^{1*}

1. Center for BioSystems Science and Engineering, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, 560012

Correspondence: medhavi@iisc.ac.in

Abstract:

Biological systems across various length and time scales are noisy, including tissues. Why are biological tissues inherently chaotic? Does heterogeneity play a role in determining the physiology and pathology of tissues? How do physical and biochemical heterogeneity crosstalk to dictate tissue function? In this review, we begin with a brief primer on heterogeneity in biological tissues. Then, we take examples from recent literature indicating functional relevance of biochemical and physical heterogeneity and discuss the impact of heterogeneity on tissue function and pathology. We take specific examples from studies on epithelial tissues to discuss the potential role of inherent tissue heterogeneity in tumorigenesis.

Introduction:

Noise serves functional roles in biology across different scales, contrary to intuition. At the micro-scale, biased Brownian motion of the Myosin head over the Actin filament results in muscle contraction^{1,2}. At the macro scale, intrinsic variations in velocity and direction among individual fishes bring about collectivity in fish schooling³. At the intermediate mesoscale heterogeneity in the position and alignment of ciliated cells in the lung tissue facilitates optimal ciliary flow clearance⁴. Biological tissues display heterogeneity in terms of biochemical and mechanical processes within the cells⁵. Part of this heterogeneity is deterministic, such as variations arising from cellular differentiation into distinct cell types⁶⁻⁹. However, a lot of biological heterogeneity is observed as stochastic noise¹⁰⁻¹⁴. While the role of deterministic heterogeneity in tissues is intuitive, stochastic heterogeneity is often characterized as noise¹⁵ and the physiological relevance of this noise remain largely elusive. We explore the relevance of stochastic heterogeneity in epithelial function in the following section.

Stochastic heterogeneity, not just noise:

Stochastic heterogeneity within biological tissues represents the inherent randomness in the biochemical processes within the cells. Various factors, such as asynchronized cell cycles^{16,17}, differential metabolic^{18,19} & epigenetic states^{20,21}, and the asymmetric distribution of organelles^{17,22}, contribute to this randomness. Multiple studies have characterized the biological noise using single-cell measurements^{20,23,24} such as flow cytometry^{25,26}, fluorescence microscopy^{27,28}, real-time PCR²⁹ and microfluidics³⁰. Additionally, cellular variations in the gene expression over time³¹⁻³⁴ due to transcriptional bursts³⁵⁻³⁷ also contributes to stochastic heterogeneity. In addition to this biochemical heterogeneity, physical heterogeneity has been reported in tissues of epithelial origin, initially revealed by spatiotemporal variations in cell-cell and cell-substrate forces across epithelium³⁸(Fig 1B.).

Several studies point to possible functional roles for stochastic heterogeneity in epithelia. For instance, the patchy arrangement of cilia in the mouse airway epithelium generates a locally heterogeneous flow of airway clearance that is globally efficient³⁹. Heterogeneity and stochastic growth have also been proposed to regulate biliary epithelial tissue remodelling, which is central

to liver regeneration¹¹. Further, T cells can infiltrate through the endothelial Basement membrane containing Laminin 4 but not through endothelial BM containing Laminin 5⁴⁰ during Experimental Autoimmune Encephalomyelitis (EAE) (Fig.1c). Heterogeneity is also associated with pathological conditions such as ageing^{41,42}. For instance, cell-cell variations in levels of




Fig 1: Relevance of epithelial heterogeneity in tissue function- A) Cartoon representation of a heterogeneous epithelial monolayer, B) Rugged landscape of stress distribution from Monolayer Stress Microscopy, C) T-cell extravasation depends on the Laminin heterogeneity in the basement membrane, D) Mutant cells at the interface of soft and stiff substrate migrate to stiff substrate and escape extrusion.

protein expression is higher in old mice as compared to young mice⁴³. Additionally, stochastic physical heterogeneity is shown to regulate essential physiological functions of the tissues. For instance, mechanical heterogeneity regulates collective cell migration during epithelial wound closure^{44,45}. Another evidence was reported in developing zebrafish where fluctuations in cellular stresses regulates anteroposterior body axis formation^{46,47}. Studies also implicate physical heterogeneity in pathological situations such as Asthma⁴⁸, pulmonary fibrosis⁴⁹ and cancer metastasis^{50,51}.

Overall, these studies indicate functional relevance of heterogeneity in biological tissues. The relevance of inherent heterogeneity on disease initiation remains largely unexplored. In the next section, we summarize reports suggesting that inherent tissue heterogeneity might regulate both the initiation and progression of diseases, emphasizing on cancer initiation.

Heterogeneity and Cancer initiation:

Cancer initiates within the habitat of the tissue and cancer cells grow in the space of host cells⁵². Lately, it has been recognized that cancer cells enter into a survival battle with the surrounding host cells during tumorigenesis, and can kill the host cells to make space for their growth⁵²⁻⁵⁷. Excitingly, in many cases, similar competitive interactions allow host cells to recognize the emerging cancer cells and extrude them out of the tissue⁵⁸⁻⁶⁴. An understanding on how cancer cells outcompete the surrounding host cells during cancer initiation may open doors to novel targeted therapies⁵². Studies in the past few years have demonstrated clonal competition and selection during tumorigenesis in both *in-vitro*⁶⁵⁻⁶⁷ and *in-vivo*^{52-57,66-77} model systems. In addition, signalling molecules playing mechanistic roles in cellular-competition during tumorigenesis have been described in several studies⁷⁸⁻⁸⁷. However, description of cell

competition remains incomplete without factoring in the inherent cellular heterogeneity within the tissues. How does tissue heterogeneity affect selection of mutants during cancer initiation and progression? Do biochemical and physical heterogeneity within the tissues affect the competitive interactions within cancer cells and host cells? Slaughter's concept of field cancerization⁸⁸ suggest that biochemical heterogeneity caused by the genetic and epigenetic differences within the cells would play a crucial role in determining growth or suppression of cancer cells. To this end, a recent study demonstrate that genetic heterogeneity across the tissue plays an essential role in determining survival of early neoplasms in mouse esophageal tissue⁸⁹. Another study in mice thyroid tissue shows that intrinsic properties of thyroid follicles determined fate of mutant cells. Follicular heterogeneity and thyroid tissue organization dictated the fate of BRAF mutant cells⁹⁰ with an increased propensity of BRAF mutants to develop tumor in the postnatal Thyroid.

Besides the genetic and mutational landscape of tissues, studies suggest that tumour initiation may also depend on the mechanical landscape of tissues. While mutant HRas-V12 cells are successfully extruded out from the epithelial monolayer cultured on soft substrates, extrusion of mutants is impaired on stiffer substrates. Furthermore, mutant cells at the interface of soft and stiff substrates migrate to the stiff substrate by durotaxis and evade extrusion⁸⁴, suggesting that the ECM stiffness landscape may profoundly affect tumorigenesis. In another study, in the pancreatic tissue, tumorigenesis was found to depend on the tissue architecture. It was observed that in small pancreatic ducts, tumor growth was away from the duct, whereas in large ducts tumor growth was inward towards the lumen, suggesting that tension imbalance and tissue curvature may play a crucial role in epithelial tumorigenesis⁹¹. Another study show that in stratified epithelia of skin tissue, tumor progression in the early stages is shaped by forces exerted as a result of tissue structure⁹². Cells with HRas mutation in mouse embryonic skin produce rigid cells with high Keratin levels which are unable to dissipate compressive forces, and hence rupture the basement membrane and invade the underlying tissue⁹³.

To develop a framework explaining these experimental observations describing relevance of heterogeneity and stochasticity in cancer initiation, application of concepts from physics such as non-linear dynamics and critical transitions might be helpful, which will be the focus of the next section.

The physics of heterogeneity and cancer initiation.

Physicists and mathematicians view cells as high dimensional complex systems^{94,95} with thousands of genes involved in gene regulatory networks. According to the framework of high dimensional complex systems, interactions of gene regulatory networks in cells could result in multiple possible states. Out of the multiple possible configurations, the stable states are called 'Attractors', and multipotent cells differentiate into one of these stable states⁹⁵⁻⁹⁷. Cancer may be viewed as the change in cell state from a normal state to an aberrant state⁹⁸. Stochastic variations may provide cells with the impetus needed to overcome the potential barrier required for a state-change. For instance, heterogeneity is high at tissue boundaries, and tissues boundaries are also tumour hotspots in Squamous cell carcinoma⁶¹.

In the framework of chaotic systems, cancer progression is seen as a change in the type of attractor, from the Torus attractor (see box 1) in premalignant lesions to the strange attractor (See box 1) in advanced stages of cancer, resulting in chaos^{98,99} (see box). The change in the type of attractor is accompanied by period-doubling(see box) and sequential bifurcations(see box), and cells are able to adopt multiple aberrant metastable states as a result, which is proposed as

A GLOSSARY ON CHAOS:

Chaos: Chaos is a property of non-linear systems which adopt multiple unpredictable states with no discernible pattern and the system fluctuates in seemingly random ways.

Period doubling: The state of non-linear dynamic systems may be predicted before the onset of chaos. The onset of chaos is preceded by period-doubling and sequential bifurcations. Bifurcations are said to occur when the system proceeds from one initial steady state to two alternating steady states and subsequently to four and so on. Since the number of states keep doubling, the frequency of transitions between the states is also doubled, known as period doubling.

Chaos onset: As the system proceeds through sequential bifurcations and period doubling, after a certain critical point, the system adopts multiple states without any predictable patterns, and this critical point represents the onset of chaos.

Critical transitions: The transition due to these bifurcations is seen as an abrupt change in the state of the complex system, called a 'critical transition'. Critical transitions are drastic changes in the state of the system despite no apparent change in the driver. Critical transitions are different from stochastic transitions which occur far from tipping point due to noise.

Early Warning Signals(EWS): Critical transitions are preceded by characteristic signatures in the time series data. An increase in the Autocorrelation function, variability, and skewness just before the tipping point may be used to predict an impending critical transition and is known as Early Warning Signals.

Sensitive dependence on initial conditions: In non-linear dynamic systems, it is possible to predict future states when we know the exact initial conditions and it is deterministic in principle. However, even miniscule changes in the initial conditions leads to vastly different predicted states, known as 'Sensitive dependence on initial conditions', a hallmark of chaos.

Attractors: Despite the unpredictability in chaotic systems, a collection of initial conditions converges to specific final states called attractors. There may be different types of attractors such as the well-known butterfly shaped Lorenz attractor, Torus attractor and the strange attractor, depending upon the equations dictating the chaotic systems.

***Box 1:** Glossary explaining the common vocabulary associated with nonlinear dynamic systems and chaotic theory*

an alternative explanation for Intra tumor heterogeneity^{98,99}. The transition due to these bifurcations is seen as an abrupt change in the state of the complex system, which may be predicted by Early Warning Signals(EWS). EWS (see box 1) have been detected in cell-fate determination of multipotent blood progenitor cells⁹⁶, and studies have suggested the use EWS for the early detection of colorectal Cancer¹⁰⁰ and breast cancer¹⁰¹.

Another characteristic of complex non-linear dynamic systems is self-repeating fractal patterns. They are fractional dimensional structures that appear similar at different magnification levels and are a common theme in nature, observed in snowflakes, coastlines, and branching neurons. Fractal patterns have also been reported in tumour growth patterns, and studies have used fractal dimension as an indicator of tumour malignancy and carcinogenesis^{98,102,103}.

Conclusions

While genetic and molecular etiology of cells and the changes in the composition of surrounding extracellular environment have been explored as therapeutic targets to treat many diseases originating from epithelial tissues, including cancer^{104,105}; how diseased conditions arise and how noise within the tissue may regulate initiation of pathology remains elusive. Understanding the underlying heterogeneity in tissues and its role in tumorigenesis will help us appreciate the organizational principles and emergent self-regulatory properties of collective living systems. Acknowledging the role of heterogeneity in tissues might prompt biologists to move away from time and population averaged studies, and lead to important discoveries. While the impact of mechanical and biochemical heterogeneity on tissue function have been studied in isolation, the exciting possibility of their interdependency being an inherent tissue property and contributing to emergent tissue functions has not been explored. Extrapolating lessons from epithelia and pondering on the relevance of noise in biological systems across multiple scales raises an intriguing question- could scale-invariant fundamental principles rooted in noise contribute to the emergent self-regulatory properties unique to life?

REFERENCES:

1. Ait-Haddou, R. & Herzog, W. Brownian ratchet models of molecular motors. *Cell Biochem. Biophys.* **38**, 191–213 (2003).
2. Vale, R. D. & Oosawa, F. Protein motors and Maxwell's demons: Does mechanochemical transduction involve a thermal ratchet? *Adv. Biophys.* **26**, 97–134 (1990).
3. Noise-induced schooling of fish | Nature Physics.
<https://www.nature.com/articles/s41567-020-0787-y>.
4. Carloni, A., Poletti, V., Fermo, L., Bellomo, N. & Chilosi, M. Heterogeneous distribution of mechanical stress in human lung: A mathematical approach to evaluate abnormal remodeling in IPF. *J. Theor. Biol.* **332**, 136–140 (2013).
5. Vishwakarma, M. & Di Russo, J. Why does epithelia display heterogeneity? Bridging physical and biological concepts. *Biophys. Rev.* **11**, 683–687 (2019).
6. Snijder, B. & Pelkmans, L. Origins of regulated cell-to-cell variability. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **12**, 119–125 (2011).
7. Müller-Sieburg, C. E., Cho, R. H., Thoman, M., Adkins, B. & Sieburg, H. B. Deterministic regulation of hematopoietic stem cell self-renewal and differentiation. *Blood* **100**, 1302–1309 (2002).

8. Reiner, S. L. & Adams, W. C. Lymphocyte fate specification as a deterministic but highly plastic process. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **14**, 699–704 (2014).
9. Losick, R. & Desplan, C. Stochasticity and Cell Fate. *Science* **320**, 65–68 (2008).
10. Thattai, M. & van Oudenaarden, A. Stochastic Gene Expression in Fluctuating Environments. *Genetics* **167**, 523–530 (2004).
11. Kamimoto, K. *et al.* Heterogeneity and stochastic growth regulation of biliary epithelial cells dictate dynamic epithelial tissue remodeling. *eLife* **5**, e15034 (2016).
12. Harper, C. V. *et al.* Dynamic Analysis of Stochastic Transcription Cycles. *PLoS Biol.* **9**, e1000607 (2011).
13. Bajikar, S. S., Fuchs, C., Roller, A., Theis, F. J. & Janes, K. A. Parameterizing cell-to-cell regulatory heterogeneities via stochastic transcriptional profiles. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **111**, (2014).
14. Yuan, L. *et al.* A role of stochastic phenotype switching in generating mosaic endothelial cell heterogeneity. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 10160 (2016).
15. Huang, S. Non-genetic heterogeneity of cells in development: more than just noise. *Development* **136**, 3853–3862 (2009).
16. Lan, T. *et al.* Decomposition of cell activities revealing the role of the cell cycle in driving biofunctional heterogeneity. *Sci. Rep.* **11**, 23431 (2021).
17. Darzynkiewicz, Z., Crissman, H., Traganos, F. & Steinkamp, J. Cell heterogeneity during the cell cycle. *J. Cell. Physiol.* **113**, 465–474 (1982).
18. Evers, T. M. J. *et al.* Deciphering Metabolic Heterogeneity by Single-Cell Analysis. *Anal. Chem.* **91**, 13314–13323 (2019).
19. Hensley, C. T. *et al.* Metabolic Heterogeneity in Human Lung Tumors. *Cell* **164**, 681–694 (2016).
20. Angermueller, C. *et al.* Parallel single-cell sequencing links transcriptional and epigenetic heterogeneity. *Nat. Methods* **13**, 229–232 (2016).

21. Carter, B. & Zhao, K. The epigenetic basis of cellular heterogeneity. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **22**, 235–250 (2021).
22. Huh, D. & Paulsson, J. Non-genetic heterogeneity from stochastic partitioning at cell division. *Nat. Genet.* **43**, 95–100 (2011).
23. Zheng, G. X. Y. *et al.* Massively parallel digital transcriptional profiling of single cells. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 14049 (2017).
24. Hughes, A. J. *et al.* Single-cell western blotting. *Nat. Methods* **11**, 749–755 (2014).
25. Li, M., Liu, H., Zhuang, S. & Goda, K. Droplet flow cytometry for single-cell analysis. *RSC Adv.* **11**, 20944–20960 (2021).
26. Hasenauer, J. *et al.* Identification of models of heterogeneous cell populations from population snapshot data. *BMC Bioinformatics* **12**, 125 (2011).
27. Mattiazzi Usaj, M., Yeung, C. H. L., Friesen, H., Boone, C. & Andrews, B. J. Single-cell image analysis to explore cell-to-cell heterogeneity in isogenic populations. *Cell Syst.* **12**, 608–621 (2021).
28. Hilsenbeck, O. *et al.* Software tools for single-cell tracking and quantification of cellular and molecular properties. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **34**, 703–706 (2016).
29. Diercks, A., Kostner, H. & Ozinsky, A. Resolving Cell Population Heterogeneity: Real-Time PCR for Simultaneous Multiplexed Gene Detection in Multiple Single-Cell Samples. *PLoS ONE* **4**, e6326 (2009).
30. Kobel, S. A. *et al.* Automated analysis of single stem cells in microfluidic traps. *Lab. Chip* **12**, 2843 (2012).
31. Labriola, N. R. & Darling, E. M. Temporal heterogeneity in single-cell gene expression and mechanical properties during adipogenic differentiation. *J. Biomech.* **48**, 1058–1066 (2015).
32. Shalek, A. K. *et al.* Single-cell RNA-seq reveals dynamic paracrine control of cellular variation. *Nature* **510**, 363–369 (2014).

33. Kepler, T. B. & Elston, T. C. Stochasticity in Transcriptional Regulation: Origins, Consequences, and Mathematical Representations. *Biophys. J.* **81**, 3116–3136 (2001).
34. Pedraza, J. M. & Paulsson, J. Effects of Molecular Memory and Bursting on Fluctuations in Gene Expression. *Science* **319**, 339–343 (2008).
35. White, M. R. *et al.* Real-time analysis of the transcriptional regulation of HIV and hCMV promoters in single mammalian cells. *J. Cell Sci.* **108**, 441–455 (1995).
36. Ross, I. L., Browne, C. M. & Hume, D. A. Transcription of individual genes in eukaryotic cells occurs randomly and infrequently. *Immunol. Cell Biol.* **72**, 177–185 (1994).
37. Newlands, S. *et al.* Transcription occurs in pulses in muscle fibers. *Genes Dev.* **12**, 2748–2758 (1998).
38. Tambe, D. T. *et al.* Collective cell guidance by cooperative intercellular forces. *Nat. Mater.* **10**, 469–475 (2011).
39. Ramirez-San Juan, G. R. *et al.* Multi-scale spatial heterogeneity enhances particle clearance in airway ciliary arrays. *Nat. Phys.* **16**, 958–964 (2020).
40. Di Russo, J. *et al.* Vascular laminins in physiology and pathology. *Matrix Biol.* **57–58**, 140–148 (2017).
41. Enge, M. *et al.* Single-Cell Analysis of Human Pancreas Reveals Transcriptional Signatures of Aging and Somatic Mutation Patterns. *Cell* **171**, 321–330.e14 (2017).
42. Martinez-Jimenez, C. P. *et al.* Aging increases cell-to-cell transcriptional variability upon immune stimulation. *Science* **355**, 1433–1436 (2017).
43. Bahar, R. *et al.* Increased cell-to-cell variation in gene expression in ageing mouse heart. *Nature* **441**, 1011–1014 (2006).
44. Vishwakarma, M. *et al.* Mechanical interactions among followers determine the emergence of leaders in migrating epithelial cell collectives. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 3469 (2018).
45. Vishwakarma, M., Thurakkal, B., Spatz, J. P. & Das, T. Dynamic heterogeneity influences the leader–follower dynamics during epithelial wound closure. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **375**, 20190391 (2020).

46. Mongera, A. *et al.* A fluid-to-solid jamming transition underlies vertebrate body axis elongation. *Nature* **561**, 401–405 (2018).
47. Lenne, P.-F. & Trivedi, V. Tissue ‘melting’ sculpts embryo. *Nature* **561**, 315–316 (2018).
48. Park, J.-A. *et al.* Unjamming and cell shape in the asthmatic airway epithelium. *Nat. Mater.* **14**, 1040–1048 (2015).
49. Carloni, A., Poletti, V., Fermo, L., Bellomo, N. & Chilosi, M. Heterogeneous distribution of mechanical stress in human lung: A mathematical approach to evaluate abnormal remodeling in IPF. *J. Theor. Biol.* **332**, 136–140 (2013).
50. Kim, J. H. *et al.* Unjamming and collective migration in MCF10A breast cancer cell lines. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **521**, 706–715 (2020).
51. Palamidessi, A. *et al.* Unjamming overcomes kinetic and proliferation arrest in terminally differentiated cells and promotes collective motility of carcinoma. *Nat. Mater.* **18**, 1252–1263 (2019).
52. Vishwakarma, M. & Piddini, E. Outcompeting cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **20**, 187–198 (2020).
53. Eichenlaub, T., Cohen, S. M. & Herranz, H. Cell Competition Drives the Formation of Metastatic Tumors in a *Drosophila* Model of Epithelial Tumor Formation. *Curr. Biol. CB* **26**, 419–427 (2016).
54. Baker, N. E. & Li, W. Cell competition and its possible relation to cancer. *Cancer Res.* **68**, 5505–5507 (2008).
55. Suijkerbuijk, S. J. E., Kolahgar, G., Kucinski, I. & Piddini, E. Cell Competition Drives the Growth of Intestinal Adenomas in *Drosophila*. *Curr. Biol. CB* **26**, 428–438 (2016).
56. Moreno, E. & Basler, K. dMyc transforms cells into super-competitors. *Cell* **117**, 117–129 (2004).
57. Paglia, S., Sollazzo, M., Di Giacomo, S., Strocchi, S. & Grifoni, D. Exploring MYC relevance to cancer biology from the perspective of cell competition. *Semin. Cancer Biol.* **63**, 49–59 (2020).

58. Menéndez, J., Pérez-Garijo, A., Calleja, M. & Morata, G. A tumor-suppressing mechanism in *Drosophila* involving cell competition and the Hippo pathway. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **107**, 14651–14656 (2010).
59. Di Gregorio, A., Bowling, S. & Rodriguez, T. A. Cell Competition and Its Role in the Regulation of Cell Fitness from Development to Cancer. *Dev. Cell* **38**, 621–634 (2016).
60. Martins, V. C. *et al.* Cell competition is a tumour suppressor mechanism in the thymus. *Nature* **509**, 465–470 (2014).
61. Vidal, M. *et al.* A Role for the Epithelial Microenvironment at Tumor Boundaries. *Am. J. Pathol.* **176**, 3007–3014 (2010).
62. Ohsawa, S. *et al.* Elimination of Oncogenic Neighbors by JNK-Mediated Engulfment in *Drosophila*. *Dev. Cell* **20**, 315–328 (2011).
63. Igaki, T., Pastor-Pareja, J. C., Aonuma, H., Miura, M. & Xu, T. Intrinsic Tumor Suppression and Epithelial Maintenance by Endocytic Activation of Eiger/TNF Signaling in *Drosophila*. *Dev. Cell* **16**, 458–465 (2009).
64. Chen, C.-L., Schroeder, M. C., Kango-Singh, M., Tao, C. & Halder, G. Tumor suppression by cell competition through regulation of the Hippo pathway. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **109**, 484–489 (2012).
65. Leung, C. T. & Brugge, J. S. Outgrowth of single oncogene-expressing cells from suppressive epithelial environments. *Nature* **482**, 410–413 (2012).
66. van Neerven, S. M. *et al.* Apc-mutant cells act as supercompetitors in intestinal tumour initiation. *Nature* **594**, 436–441 (2021).
67. Flanagan, D. J. *et al.* NOTUM from Apc-mutant cells biases clonal competition to initiate cancer. *Nature* **594**, 430–435 (2021).
68. Rodrigues, A. B. *et al.* Activated STAT regulates growth and induces competitive interactions independently of Myc, Yorkie, Wntless and ribosome biogenesis. *Dev. Camb. Engl.* **139**, 4051–4061 (2012).

69. Patel, M. S., Shah, H. S. & Shrivastava, N. c-Myc-Dependent Cell Competition in Human Cancer Cells: I N V ITRO H UMAN C ELL C OMPETITION M ODEL. *J. Cell. Biochem.* **118**, 1782–1791 (2017).
70. Alcolea, M. P. & Jones, P. H. Cell competition: winning out by losing notch. *Cell Cycle Georget. Tex* **14**, 9–17 (2015).
71. Levayer, R., Hauert, B. & Moreno, E. Cell mixing induced by myc is required for competitive tissue invasion and destruction. *Nature* **524**, 476–480 (2015).
72. Liu, Z. *et al.* Differential YAP expression in glioma cells induces cell competition and promotes tumorigenesis. *J. Cell Sci.* jcs.225714 (2019) doi:10.1242/jcs.225714.
73. Ziosi, M. *et al.* dMyc Functions Downstream of Yorkie to Promote the Supercompetitive Behavior of Hippo Pathway Mutant Cells. *PLoS Genet.* **6**, e1001140 (2010).
74. Grifoni, D. & Bellosta, P. Drosophila Myc: A master regulator of cellular performance. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1849**, 570–581 (2015).
75. Brumby, A. M. & Richardson, H. E. scribble mutants cooperate with oncogenic Ras or Notch to cause neoplastic overgrowth in Drosophila. *EMBO J.* **22**, 5769–5779 (2003).
76. Vincent, J.-P., Kolahgar, G., Gagliardi, M. & Piddini, E. Steep differences in wingless signaling trigger Myc-independent competitive cell interactions. *Dev. Cell* **21**, 366–374 (2011).
77. Yum, M. K. *et al.* Tracing oncogene-driven remodelling of the intestinal stem cell niche. *Nature* **594**, 442–447 (2021).
78. Yamamoto, S. *et al.* A role of the sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P)–S1P receptor 2 pathway in epithelial defense against cancer (EDAC). *Mol. Biol. Cell* **27**, 491–499 (2016).
79. Yako, Y. *et al.* ADAM-like Decysin-1 (ADAMDEC1) is a positive regulator of Epithelial Defense Against Cancer (EDAC) that promotes apical extrusion of RasV12-transformed cells. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 9639 (2018).
80. Ryoo, H. D., Gorenc, T. & Steller, H. Apoptotic cells can induce compensatory cell proliferation through the JNK and the Wingless signaling pathways. *Dev. Cell* **7**, 491–501 (2004).

81. Kon, S. *et al.* Cell competition with normal epithelial cells promotes apical extrusion of transformed cells through metabolic changes. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **19**, 530–541 (2017).
82. Ohoka, A. *et al.* EPLIN is a crucial regulator for extrusion of RasV12-transformed cells. *J. Cell Sci.* jcs.163113 (2015) doi:10.1242/jcs.163113.
83. Kajita, M. *et al.* Filamin acts as a key regulator in epithelial defence against transformed cells. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 4428 (2014).
84. Pothapragada, S. P., Gupta, P., Mukherjee, S. & Das, T. Matrix mechanics regulates epithelial defence against cancer by tuning dynamic localization of filamin. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 218 (2022).
85. Cordero, J. B., Stefanatos, R. K., Myant, K., Vidal, M. & Sansom, O. J. Non-autonomous crosstalk between the Jak/Stat and Egfr pathways mediates Apc1-driven intestinal stem cell hyperplasia in the Drosophila adult midgut. *Dev. Camb. Engl.* **139**, 4524–4535 (2012).
86. Sasaki, A. *et al.* Obesity Suppresses Cell-Competition-Mediated Apical Elimination of RasV12-Transformed Cells from Epithelial Tissues. *Cell Rep.* **23**, 974–982 (2018).
87. Pérez, E., Lindblad, J. L. & Bergmann, A. Tumor-promoting function of apoptotic caspases by an amplification loop involving ROS, macrophages and JNK in Drosophila. *eLife* **6**, e26747 (2017).
88. Slaughter, D. P., Southwick, H. W. & Smejkal, W. “Field cancerization” in oral stratified squamous epithelium. Clinical implications of multicentric origin. *Cancer* **6**, 963–968 (1953).
89. Colom, B. *et al.* Mutant clones in normal epithelium outcompete and eliminate emerging tumours. *Nature* **598**, 510–514 (2021).
90. Schoultz, E. *et al.* Tissue architecture delineates field cancerization in BRAFV600E-induced tumor development. *Dis. Model. Mech.* **15**, dmm048887 (2022).
91. Messal, H. A. *et al.* Tissue curvature and apicobasal mechanical tension imbalance instruct cancer morphogenesis. *Nature* **566**, 126–130 (2019).

92. Fiore, V. F. *et al.* Publisher Correction: Mechanics of a multilayer epithelium instruct tumour architecture and function. *Nature* **586**, E9–E9 (2020).
93. Fiore, V. F. *et al.* Mechanics of a multilayer epithelium instruct tumour architecture and function. *Nature* **585**, 433–439 (2020).
94. Emmert-Streib, F., Dehmer, M. & Haibe-Kains, B. Gene regulatory networks and their applications: understanding biological and medical problems in terms of networks. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* **2**, (2014).
95. Huang, S., Eichler, G., Bar-Yam, Y. & Ingber, D. E. Cell Fates as High-Dimensional Attractor States of a Complex Gene Regulatory Network. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 128701 (2005).
96. Mojtahedi, M. *et al.* Cell Fate Decision as High-Dimensional Critical State Transition. *PLOS Biol.* **14**, e2000640 (2016).
97. Zhou, J. X., Bruschi, L. & Huang, S. Predicting Pancreas Cell Fate Decisions and Reprogramming with a Hierarchical Multi-Attractor Model. *PLoS ONE* **6**, e14752 (2011).
98. Ahmed, E. Fractals and chaos in cancer models. *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **32**, 353–355 (1993).
99. Coffey, D. S. Self-organization, complexity and chaos: The new biology for medicine. *Nat. Med.* **4**, 882–885 (1998).
100. Liu, L. *et al.* Identification of Early Warning Signals at the Critical Transition Point of Colorectal Cancer Based on Dynamic Network Analysis. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* **8**, 530 (2020).
101. Chen, P., Liu, R., Chen, L. & Aihara, K. Identifying critical differentiation state of MCF-7 cells for breast cancer by dynamical network biomarkers. *Front. Genet.* **6**, (2015).
102. Metzke, K., Adam, R. & Florindo, J. B. The fractal dimension of chromatin - a potential molecular marker for carcinogenesis, tumor progression and prognosis. *Expert Rev. Mol. Diagn.* **19**, 299–312 (2019).
103. Ieva, A. D. Fractal analysis of microvascular networks in malignant brain tumors. *Clin. Neuropathol.* **31**, 342–351 (2012).

104. Henke, E., Nandigama, R. & Ergün, S. Extracellular Matrix in the Tumor Microenvironment and Its Impact on Cancer Therapy. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* **6**, 160 (2020).
105. Sawyers, C. Targeted cancer therapy. *Nature* **432**, 294–297 (2004).