

Matter-antimatter Asymmetry, CP Violation and the Time Operator in Relativistic Quantum Mechanics

M. Bauer

Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México,
Ciudad Universitaria, CP 04510, México, CDMX, MEXICO
e-mail: bauer@fisica.unam.mx

August 31, 2022

Abstract

The matter antimatter asymmetry in the Universe is one of the outstanding problems in physics and cosmology. CP violation (CPV) is a necessary condition for generating such asymmetry but the amount predicted in the Standard Model (SM) from quark oscillations is too small by several orders of magnitude. The dynamical time operator derived together with Dirac's Hamiltonian from the Dirac canonical quantization of special relativity is shown to exhibit a CPV inversely dependent on mass. Applying to all fermions, it follows that extended Standard Models (ESM) with massive neutrinos contains a much larger CPV as the electron and muon neutrino masses are six to nine orders smaller than the up and down quarks masses. Furthermore, it also follows that the CPV differs between neutrino and antineutrino, in agreement with the T2K observations.

The matter antimatter asymmetry in the Universe is one of the outstanding problems in physics and cosmology. Following Sakharov[1], CP violation (CPV) is a necessary condition for generating such asymmetry. In the Standard Model (SM) quark oscillations introduce a CPV phase but it is found too small by several orders of magnitude ($\sim 10^{-10}$) to account for the asymmetry observed[2, 3]. The extended Standard Model (ESM) that incorporates massive neutrinos does provide an additional CPV. This is currently the experimental subject of the T2K collaboration where the muon neutrino to electron neutrino oscillations and the corresponding antineutrino oscillations are measured. They are already found to be different, indicating a possibly large CPV as the hypothesis of CP conservation is excluded at 90% confidence level[4, 5, 6]. The data also indicate that muon neutrinos transform into electron neutrinos more readily than muon antineutrinos transform into electron antineutrinos. Finally they seem to favour the normal ordering of the neutrino masses.

In the present letter it is shown that the existence of an internal time property, derived from the canonical quantization of Special Relativity (SR) and common to all fermions, already exhibits a much larger CPV in an extended Standard Model (ESM) with oscillating massive neutrinos than the one derived from the Standard Model (SM) that considers only quark oscillations. This follows from the inverse relation between this CPV and the fermion mass.

Dirac canonical quantization, together with Born's Reciprocity Principle[7, 8, 9], of the scalar invariants of special relativity[10], namely $p^\mu p_\mu$, $x^\mu x_\mu$ and $\{x^\mu p_\mu - p^\mu x_\mu\}$, results in quantum mechanical constraints that yield both the Dirac Hamiltonian $H_D = c\boldsymbol{\alpha}\cdot\mathbf{p} + \beta m_0 c^2$ and an associated time operator $T = \boldsymbol{\alpha}\cdot\mathbf{r}/c + \beta\tau_0$, where τ_0 is a time invariant introduced in analogy to the rest mass¹. The equations satisfied by these operators are shown to be:

a) the well known eigenvalue Dirac equation

$$\{c\boldsymbol{\alpha}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{p}} + \beta m_0 c^2\} |\Psi\rangle = e |\Psi\rangle \quad \text{with } \{cp_0 := e\} \quad (1)$$

that yields a continuum energy spectrum from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$, except for a gap $2m_0 c^2$ at the origin; and similarly

b) an eigenvalue equation for an internal time variable τ :

$$\{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{x}}/c + \beta\tau_0\} |\Psi\rangle = \tau |\Psi\rangle \quad \text{with } \{x_0/c := \tau\} \quad (2)$$

with a continuum time spectrum from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$, except for a gap $2\tau_0$ at the origin. It is furthermore shown that τ_0 is equal to $h/m_0 c^2$ (the deBroglie period)[11], making the gaps complementary: to a large energy gap corresponds a small time gap, and viceversa. The existence of this internal time operator for fermions restores in RQM the equivalent footing accorded to space and time in SR, circumventing Pauli's objection[12] to the existence of a time operator dependent on the dynamical variables, that is accepted explicitly or implicitly in most of the extensive literature on the problem of time in quantum mechanics[13, 14].

Denoting as $\langle \rangle_P, \langle \rangle_C, \langle \rangle_T$ the expectation values in parity inverted, charge conjugated, time reversed states respectively, one has[15, 10]:

$$\langle \mathbf{r} \rangle_P = - \langle \mathbf{r} \rangle; \langle \mathbf{p} \rangle_P = - \langle \mathbf{p} \rangle; \langle \boldsymbol{\alpha} \rangle_P = - \langle \boldsymbol{\alpha} \rangle; \langle \beta \rangle_P = - \langle \beta \rangle$$

$$\langle \mathbf{r} \rangle_C = \langle \mathbf{r} \rangle; \langle \mathbf{p} \rangle_C = - \langle \mathbf{p} \rangle; \langle \boldsymbol{\alpha} \rangle_C = \langle \boldsymbol{\alpha} \rangle; \langle \beta \rangle_C = - \langle \beta \rangle$$

$$\langle \mathbf{r} \rangle_T = \langle \mathbf{r} \rangle; \langle \mathbf{p} \rangle_T = - \langle \mathbf{p} \rangle; \langle \boldsymbol{\alpha} \rangle_T = - \langle \boldsymbol{\alpha} \rangle; \langle \beta \rangle_T = \langle \beta \rangle$$

Then, from its definition, it follows that the time operator is not invariant under the CP transformation. Indeed:

$$\langle T \rangle_{CP} - \langle T \rangle = -2 \langle \beta \rangle \tau_0 = -2 \langle \beta \rangle h/m_0 c^2 \quad (3)$$

¹The derivation requires the following commutation relations $[\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu] = [\hat{p}_\mu, \hat{p}_\nu] = \delta_{\mu\nu}$ and $[\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{p}_\nu] = i\hbar\delta_{\mu\nu}$ be satisfied and the α_i and β matrices obey a Clifford algebra.

where the subscript indicates expectation value in the CP transformed state. As the neutrino masses, still not fully determined but expected to be of the order of 10^{-3} to 10^{-1} ev, and thus 10^{-9} to 10^{-6} of the masses of the up and down quarks, their associated CPV is 6 to 9 orders of magnitude larger than the CPV associated with the quark oscillations. Furthermore, the normal ordering of the neutrino masses is needed to insure that it is the electron and muon neutrinos that provide the maximum CPV.

Another consequence arises from the dependence on $\langle \beta \rangle$ that distinguishes wavepackets of positive times $\langle \beta \rangle \simeq 1$ (associated with particles) and wavepackets of negative times $\langle \beta \rangle \simeq -1$ (associated with antiparticles). The CPV for particles is negative, so their internal time suffers a delay in the CP state, while for antiparticles the CPV it is positive and their internal time is advanced in the CP state. The associated laboratory times in the Time Dependent Schrödinger Equation (TDSE), as introduced below, are conversely advanced for a particle respect to its antiparticle.

Indeed, the time parameter t in the TDSE of an atomic system has been shown to derive from its entanglement with the macroscopic classical environment which then monitors its time development. It corresponds to the time of the laboratory clock[16, 17], thus yielding a two times perspective to the problem of time in RQM.

Considering that

$$[\hat{T}, \hat{H}_D] = i\hbar\{1 + 2\beta K + 2\beta(\tau_0 \hat{H}_D - m_0 c^2 \hat{T})\} \quad (4)$$

where $K = \beta(2s.l/\hbar^2 + 1)$ is a constant of motion[18], the Heisenberg picture equation satisfied by $\hat{T}(t)$ is

$$\frac{d\hat{T}(t)}{dt} = \{1 + 2\beta K\} + (2\beta/i\hbar)\{\tau_0 \hat{H}_D - m_0 c^2 \hat{T}(t)\} \quad (5)$$

Integrating from 0 to t :

$$\hat{T}(t) = \hat{T}(0) + \boldsymbol{\alpha}(0) \cdot \{c\hat{\mathbf{p}}/H_D\}t + [(\exp -2iHt/\hbar) - 1][(\hbar c/2iH)\mathbf{F}/c + G\tau_0] \quad (6)$$

where $G = \beta(0) - m_0 c^2/H$.and $\mathbf{F}/c = \{\boldsymbol{\alpha}(0) - c\hat{\mathbf{p}}/H\}$,.whereas similarly[18],:

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}}(t) = \hat{\mathbf{r}}(0) + \{c^2 \hat{\mathbf{p}}/H_D\}t + [(\exp -2iHt/\hbar) - 1] \frac{i\hbar c}{2H} \mathbf{F}/c \quad (7)$$

Therefore $\hat{T}(t)$ exhibits a linear t -dependence superimposed by an oscillation (Zitterbewegung) of frequency $\langle 2H/\hbar \rangle$, in a similar way to $\hat{\mathbf{r}}(t)$. However as $\hat{T}(0) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}(0) \cdot \mathbf{r}(0)/c + \beta(0)\tau_0$, it maintains the dependence on β noted above, the evolution of $\hat{T}(t)$ differs in starting point and linear dependence on t for $\langle \beta(0) \rangle \simeq 1$ and $\langle \beta(0) \rangle \simeq -1$ wave packets in the laboratory. As, from Eq.6, the laboratory evolution time is inversely proportional to the internal time, the neutrinos will advance more rapidly than the antineutrinos and attain the detection position earlier. Or equivalently, at a certain time t at the location of

the detector, more neutrinos than antineutrinos are expected, accounting for the increased rate of oscillations from muon to electron neutrinos observed.

Both the dependence on mass of an internal time and the monitoring of the atomic system by its entangled macroscopic environment have already received experimental confirmation[19, 20, 21]

In conclusion a CPV associated to all fermions results from the canonical quantization of SR as it reveals the existence of an internal time observable. Furthermore the CPV is inversely proportional to the fermion mass, establishing already a six to nine of magnitude difference between electron and muon neutrinos and the lightest quarks. As such it may be sufficient to account for the matter antimatter asymmetry observed. It supports the normal ordering of the neutrino masses. In addition it exhibits a difference between the neutrinos and antineutrinos evolutions in the laboratory that may be in accordance with T2K observations, where the data suggest that muon neutrinos transform into electron neutrinos more readily than muon antineutrinos transform into electron antineutrinos[4]. Altogether it represents another important consequence of Special Relativity.

References

- [1] A.D. Sakharov, "Violation of CP invariance, C asymmetry, and baryon asymmetry of the universe". Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics Letters. **5**: 24–27 (1967)
- [2] M.B. Gavela et al., "Standard Model CP-violation and Baryon asymmetry", Mod.Phys.Lett.A **9**, 795-810 (1994)
- [3] P. Huet and E. Sather, "Electroweak Baryogenesis and Standard Model CP Violation", Phys.Rev.D **51**,379-394 (1995)
- [4] The **T2K** collaboration, "Constraint on the matter–antimatter symmetry-violating phase in neutrino oscillations", Nature **583**, E16 (2020)
- [5] CERN Courier, "Neutrino oscillations constrain leptonic CP violation" (2020)
- [6] J.L. Miller, "Accelerator experiments are closing in on neutrino CP violation", Physics Today **73**, 6, 14 (2020);
- [7] M. Born, "A suggestion for unifying quantum theory and relativity", Proceedings of the Royal Society London A , **165**, 291(1938)
- [8] M. Born, "Reciprocity theory of elementary particles", Rev.Mod.Phys. **21**, 463(1949)
- [9] L. Freidel, R.G.Leigh and D. Minic, "Born reciprocity in string theory and the nature of spacetime", Phys.Lett. B **730**, 302-304 (2014)

- [10] C.A. Aguillón, M Bauer and G E García, "Time and energy operators in the canonical quantization of special relativity", *Eur.J.Phys.*, **41**, No.3, 035601 (2020)
- [11] M. Bauer, "de Broglie Clock, Electron Channeling and Time in Quantum Mechanics", *Can.J.Phys.* **97**, 37-41 (2019)
- [12] W. Pauli, "*The general principles of quantum mechanics*", Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York, footnote p. 63 (1980)
- [13] J.G. Muga, R. Sala Mayato, I.L. Egusquiza (eds.), "*Time in Quantum Mechanics*", Berlin Springer (2002)
- [14] J.G. Muga, A. Ruschaupt, A. del Campo (eds.), "*Time in Quantum Mechanics – Vol. 2*", Springer, Berlin Heidelberg (2009)
- [15] W. Greiner, "*Relativistic quantum Mechanics*", 3d ed., Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg (2000)
- [16] J.S. Briggs and J.M. Rost, "On the Derivation of the Time-dependent Schrödinger Equation", *Found.Phys.* **31**, 694 (2001)
- [17] J.S. Briggs, "Equivalent emergence of time dependence in classical and quantum mechanics", *Phys.Rev. A* 052119 (2015)
- [18] B. Thaller, "*The Dirac Equation*", Springer-Verlag (1956)
- [19] S.Y. Lan *et al.*, "A clock directly linking time to a particle mass", *Science* **339** 554–7 (2013)
- [20] E. Moreva *et al.*, "Time from quantum entanglement", *Phys.Rev. A* **89**, 052122 (2014)
- [21] E. Moreva *et al.*, "The time as an emergent property of quantum mechanics, a synthetic description of a first experimental approach", *J.Phys.: Conference Series* **626**, 012019 (2015)