

A Random Number Generator for the Kolmogorov Distribution

Abstract. We discuss an acceptance-rejection algorithm for the random number generation from the Kolmogorov distribution. Since the cumulative distribution function (CDF) is expressed as a series, in order to obtain the density function we need to prove that the series of the derivatives converges uniformly. We also provide a similar proof in order to show that the ratio between the target Kolmogorov density and the auxiliary density implemented is bounded. Finally we discuss a way of truncating the series expression of the density in an optimal way.

Keywords: Acceptance-Rejection algorithm, Uniform Convergence, Monte Carlo methods, Logistic distribution

1. Introduction

The Kolmogorov distribution naturally arises in the so-called Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, Kolmogorov (1933), Smirnov (1939). Here we briefly describe the case of the so-called one sample test. Let

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} F(\cdot),$$

$$\hat{F}_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}_{\{X_i \leq x\}}(x),$$

where $\mathbb{I}_{\{A\}}(x)$ is the indicator function of the set A , so $\hat{F}_n(\cdot)$ is the empirical CDF associated to the observed sample with size n . Let $F_0(\cdot)$ be an absolutely continuous probability distribution and

$$D_n = \sqrt{n} \sup |\hat{F}_n(x) - F_0(x)|.$$

Kolmogorov (1933) prove that under the null hypothesis $F(\cdot) = F_0(\cdot)$ the following result holds,

$$\Lambda(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P(D_n \leq x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (-1)^k \exp(-2k^2 x^2), \quad x > 0.$$

Therefore the asymptotic distribution of D_n is called Kolmogorov distribution and if the null hypothesis is true, it does not depend on $F_0(\cdot)$ as long as $F_0(\cdot)$ is absolutely continuous. Smirnov (1939) provided 2 alternative and equivalent representations of the CDF of Kolmogorov distribution i.e.

$$\Lambda_1(x) = 1 - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} (-1)^{k-1} \exp(-2k^2 x^2), \quad x > 0,$$

$$\Lambda_2(x) = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{x} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8x^2}\right), \quad x > 0,$$

where $\Lambda(x) = \Lambda_1(x)$ is easy to prove by simply algebraic manipulation and $\Lambda_1(x) = \Lambda_2(x)$ follows from the transformation formula for Theta functions, Feller (1948), Smirnov (1939).

* MEMOTEF, Sapienza University of Rome

† MEMOTEF, Sapienza University of Rome

The Kolmogorov distribution also arises in an other completely different framework i.e. in a representation of logistic distribution as a scale mixtures of Gaussian random variables. Andrews and Mallows (1974) and Stefanski (1991) proved the following result; if

$$\begin{aligned} Y|W &\sim N(0, 4W^2), \\ W &\sim \Lambda(\cdot), \end{aligned}$$

then

$$Y \sim \text{Logis}(0, 1),$$

where $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ denotes the normal distribution with mean μ and variance σ^2 and $\text{Logis}(a, b)$ denotes the logistic distribution with density

$$\frac{\exp(-\frac{x-a}{b})}{b(1 + \exp(-\frac{x-a}{b}))^2}.$$

1.1 Simulation from Kolmogorov distribution

There is a stochastic representation of the Kolmogorov distribution: it is know that if $B(t)$ is a Brownian bridge and $X = \sup |B(t)|$, then $X \sim \Lambda(\cdot)$, Perman and Wellner (2014). This representation can be useful for the random number generation. However to generate a Brownian bridge and to get the supremum absolute value is computationally expensive, especially when one needs to generate several values from the Kolmogorov distribution.

Therefore in this paper we propose to use an acceptance-rejection algorithm. Let $f(x)$ be a target density with support S and $g(x)$ an auxiliary density such that there exists a constant M which verifies

$$\frac{f(x)}{Mg(x)} \leq 1, \quad x \in S, \quad 0 < M < +\infty.$$

Hence one can use the following procedure

1. sample x from $g(x)$,
2. compute

$$p = \frac{f(x)}{Mg(x)},$$

3. sample u from an uniform distribution in $(0, 1)$,
4. if $u \leq p$ get x as a sample from $f(x)$.

Obviously in our case the target density is the Kolmogorov distribution. We use as auxiliary densities the Gamma and the inverse Gamma distributions. As we will explain, we obtain acceptance rates equals to 89.04% and 95.23% respectively.

2. The density function

In the acceptance-rejection method we need to compute the density of Kolmogorov distribution. Since both representations of the CDF are in terms of series we need to prove that the series of derivatives converges uniformly in order to differentiate the series term by term. Notice that we say $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} h_k(x)$ converges uniformly in $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{x \in A} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} h_k(x) - \sum_{k=1}^n h_k(x) \right| = 0,$$

furthermore a sufficient condition for the uniform convergence is provided by Weierstrass criterion i.e. if

$$|h_k(x)| \leq M_k, \quad x \in A, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \text{ and}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} M_k < +\infty,$$

then $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} h_k(x)$ converges uniformly in A .

First of all we note that

$$\frac{d}{dx} ((-1)^{k-1} \exp(-2k^2 x^2)) = (-1)^k 4k^2 x \exp(-2k^2 x^2), \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{d}{dx} \exp\left(-\frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8x^2}\right) = \frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{4x^3} \exp\left(-\frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8x^2}\right), \quad (2)$$

so from (1) we require to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 2.0.1. *Let $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \geq x_0\}$ for some $x_0 > 0$ and*

$$h_k(x) = (-1)^k 4k^2 x \exp(-2k^2 x^2),$$

then $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} h_k(x)$ converges uniformly in A .

Proof: Let

$$a_k(x) = 4k^2 x \exp(-2k^2 x^2),$$

hence $h_k(x) = (-1)^k a_k(x)$. We fix x , with $x \geq x_0 > 0$, so $\{h_k(x)\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ is an alternating sequence with $a_k(x) > 0$; it is easy to show that

$$a_k(x) < a_{k+1}(x) \quad \text{if} \quad k > 1/(x\sqrt{2})$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} a_k(x) = 0.$$

Therefore the series $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} h_k(x)$ converges point-wise by Leibniz criterion and we know

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} h_k(x) - \sum_{k=1}^n h_k(x) \right| \leq a_{n+1}(x),$$

which implies

$$\sup_{x \geq x_0} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} h_k(x) - \sum_{k=1}^n h_k(x) \right| \leq \sup_{x \geq x_0} a_{n+1}(x). \quad (3)$$

Hence it is easy to compute

$$a'_{n+1}(x) = 4(n+1)^2 \exp(-2(n+1)^2 x^2) (1 - 4x^2(n+1)^2),$$

so a global maximum exists for $x = (2(n+1))^{-1}$, but we have restricted the support to $x \geq x_0$. Thus

$$\arg \max_{x \geq x_0} a_{n+1}(x) = \begin{cases} (2(n+1))^{-1} & \text{if } (2(n+1))^{-1} > x_0 \\ x_0 & \text{if } (2(n+1))^{-1} \leq x_0 \end{cases},$$

so we have

$$\max_{x \geq x_0} a_{n+1}(x) = \begin{cases} 2(n+1) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) & \text{if } (2(n+1))^{-1} > x_0 \\ 4(n+1)^2 x_0 \exp(-2(n+1)^2 x_0^2) & \text{if } (2(n+1))^{-1} \leq x_0 \end{cases}.$$

It is straightforward to see that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \arg \max_{x \geq x_0} a_{n+1}(x) = x_0,$$

so, by taking the limit of (3) we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{x \geq x_0} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} h_k(x) - \sum_{k=1}^n h_k(x) \right| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} 4(n+1)^2 x_0 \exp(-2(n+1)^2 x_0^2) = 0.$$

Notice that if we extend the domain set A of **Proposition 2.0.1** from $x \geq x_0$ to $x \geq 0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \arg \max_{x \geq 0} a_{n+1}(x) &= \frac{1}{2(n+1)}, \\ \max_{x \geq 0} a_{n+1}(x) &= 2(n+1) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

However in this case the limit for $n \rightarrow +\infty$ is not 0. Therefore if we set the lower bound of A equal to 0, the sufficient condition provided by Leibniz criterion fails.

Now we need to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 2.0.2. *Let $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : 0 < x \leq x_0\}$ for some $x_0 > 0$ and*

$$h_k(x) = \frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{4x^3} \exp\left(-\frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8x^2}\right),$$

then $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} h_k(x)$ converges uniformly in A.

Proof: We use the sufficient condition provided by Weierstrass criterion, so we set

$$M_k = \max_{0 < x \leq x_0} |h_k(x)| = \max_{0 < x \leq x_0} h_k(x),$$

since $h_k(x)$ is always positive for $x > 0$. It is easy to show that

$$h'_k(x) = \frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{4x^4} \left(\frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{4x^2} - 3 \right) \exp\left(-\frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8x^2}\right),$$

hence a global maximum exists for $x = (2k-1)\pi/\sqrt{12}$, but we have restricted the space to $0 < x \leq x_0$ thus

$$\arg \max_{0 < x \leq x_0} h_k(x) = \begin{cases} (2k-1)\pi/\sqrt{12} & \text{if } (2k-1)\pi/\sqrt{12} < x_0 \\ x_0 & \text{if } (2k-1)\pi/\sqrt{12} \geq x_0 \end{cases},$$

so we have

$$\max_{0 < x \leq x_0} h_k(x) = M_k = \begin{cases} \frac{2\sqrt{27}}{(2k-1)\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{3}{2}\right) & \text{if } (2k-1)\pi/\sqrt{12} < x_0 \\ \frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{4x_0^3} \exp\left(-\frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8x_0^2}\right) & \text{if } (2k-1)\pi/\sqrt{12} \geq x_0 \end{cases},$$

and it is easy to prove that $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} M_k$ is finite.

Notice that if we extend the domain set A of **Proposition 2.0.2** from $0 < x \leq x_0$ to $0 < x < +\infty$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \arg \max_{0 < x < +\infty} h_k(x) &= \frac{(2k-1)\pi}{\sqrt{12}}, \\ \max_{0 < x < +\infty} h_k(x) &= M_k = \frac{2\sqrt{27}}{(2k-1)\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{3}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

However in this case the series $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} M_k$ is divergent. Therefore, if the definition set is unbounded above, the sufficient condition provided by Weierstrass criterion fails.

Finally, we obtain the density by deriving the series term by term. Let $\Lambda'_1(x) = \lambda_1(x)$ and $\Lambda'_2(x) = \lambda_2(x)$; we get for some $x_0 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_1(x) &= 8x \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} (-1)^{k-1} k^2 \exp(-2k^2 x^2), & x \geq x_0, \\ \lambda_2(x) &= \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{x^2} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{4x^2} - 1 \right) \exp\left(-\frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8x^2}\right), & 0 < x \leq x_0.\end{aligned}$$

3. The proposal density

As the proposal density we explore the use of the Gamma and the inverse Gamma distributions, so we need to verify if the ratios between Kolmogorov density and the proposals are bounded. Notice that the target density is always finite for $0 < x < +\infty$; hence we only need to verify that the limit ratios are bounded as $x \rightarrow 0^+$ and $x \rightarrow +\infty$ that is

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\lambda_1(x)}{g(x)} < +\infty, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\lambda_2(x)}{g(x)} < +\infty, \quad (4)$$

where $g(x)$ is the proposal density. Notice that we cannot use

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\lambda_2(x)}{g(x)} < +\infty \text{ or } \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\lambda_1(x)}{g(x)} < +\infty$$

because in section 2 we fail to prove that $\lambda_1(x)$ and $\lambda_2(x)$ are valid representations of Kolmogorov density as $x \rightarrow 0^+$ and $x \rightarrow +\infty$ respectively.

3.1 Inverse Gamma proposal

Let

$$g(x) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{-\alpha-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\beta}{x}\right), \quad x > 0,$$

hence by (4) we must prove that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{8\Gamma(\alpha)}{\beta^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} (-1)^{k-1} k^2 x^{\alpha+2} \exp\left(-2k^2 x^2 + \frac{\beta}{x}\right) < +\infty, \quad (5)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}\Gamma(\alpha)}{\beta^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{4x^2} - 1 \right) x^{\alpha-1} \exp\left(-\frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8x^2} + \frac{\beta}{x}\right) < +\infty. \quad (6)$$

In order to change the order between the limits and sums we need to prove that the series converges uniformly.

Proposition 3.1.1. *Let $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \geq x_0\}$ for some $x_0 > 0$ and*

$$h_k(x) = (-1)^{k-1} k^2 x^{\alpha+2} \exp\left(-2k^2 x^2 + \frac{\beta}{x}\right),$$

then $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} h_k(x)$ converges uniformly in A .

Proof: Let

$$a_k(x) = k^2 x^{\alpha+2} \exp\left(-2k^2 x^2 + \frac{\beta}{x}\right),$$

hence $h_k(x) = (-1)^{k-1} a_k(x)$. We fix x , with $x \geq x_0 > 0$, so $\{h_k(x)\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ is an alternating sequence with $a_k(x) > 0$; it is easy to see that

$$a_k(x) < a_{k+1}(x) \quad \text{if} \quad k > 1/(x\sqrt{2})$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} a_k(x) = 0.$$

Therefore the series $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} h_k(x)$ converges point-wise by Leibniz criterion and we know

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} h_k(x) - \sum_{k=1}^n h_k(x) \right| \leq a_{n+1}(x),$$

which implies

$$\sup_{x \geq x_0} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} h_k(x) - \sum_{k=1}^n h_k(x) \right| \leq \sup_{x \geq x_0} a_{n+1}(x). \quad (7)$$

We consider

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n+1}(x) &= (n+1)^2 x^{\alpha+2} \exp\left(-2(n+1)^2 x^2 + \frac{\beta}{x}\right), \\ b_{n+1}(x) &= (n+1)^2 x^{\alpha+2} \exp\left(-2(n+1)^2 x^2 + \frac{\beta}{x_0}\right), \end{aligned}$$

so $a_{n+1}(x) \leq b_{n+1}(x)$ since $x \geq x_0$. Furthermore

$$\frac{d \log b_{n+1}(x)}{dx} = \frac{\alpha+2}{x} - 4(n+1)^2 x$$

and

$$\frac{d \log b_{n+1}(x)}{dx} \geq 0 \iff x^2 \leq \frac{\alpha+2}{4(n+1)^2},$$

hence we obtain

$$\arg \max_{x \geq x_0} b_{n+1}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha+2}}{2(n+1)} & \text{if } x_0 < \frac{\sqrt{\alpha+2}}{2(n+1)} \\ x_0 & \text{if } x_0 \geq \frac{\sqrt{\alpha+2}}{2(n+1)} \end{cases},$$

It is straightforward

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \arg \max_{x \geq x_0} b_{n+1}(x) = x_0,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \max_{x \geq x_0} b_{n+1}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (n+1)^2 x_0^{\alpha+2} \exp\left(-2(n+1)^2 x_0^2 + \frac{\beta}{x_0}\right) = 0,$$

so by taking the limit of (7) we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{x \geq x_0} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} h_k(x) - \sum_{k=1}^n h_k(x) \right| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{x \geq x_0} a_{n+1}(x) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{x \geq x_0} b_{n+1}(x) = 0.$$

Notice that by **Proposition 3.1.1**, we can easily compute (5) simply by switching the order between limit and summation:

$$\frac{8\Gamma(\alpha)}{\beta^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} (-1)^{k-1} k^2 x^{\alpha+2} \exp\left(-2k^2 x^2 + \frac{\beta}{x}\right) = 0.$$

Proposition 3.1.2. Let $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : 0 < x \leq x_0\}$ for some $x_0 > 0$ and

$$h_k(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{4x^2} - 1 \right) x^{\alpha-1} \exp \left(-\frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8x^2} + \frac{\beta}{x} \right),$$

then $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} h_k(x)$ converges uniformly in A .

Proof: Let

$$a_k(x) = \frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{4} x^{\alpha-3} \exp \left(-\frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8x^2} + \frac{\beta}{x} \right),$$

thus $h_k(x) \leq a_k(x)$ since $0 < x \leq x_0$, we consider the exponential term of $a_k(x)$, i.e.

$$\exp \left(-\frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8x^2} + \frac{\beta}{x} \right) = \exp \left(\frac{1}{x} \left(\beta - \frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8x} \right) \right),$$

then we have

$$\beta - \frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8x} > 0 \iff x > \frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8\beta}.$$

We have 2 different cases; if $x_0 > (2k-1)^2 \pi^2 / (8\beta)$ then

$$\exp \left(\frac{1}{x} \left(\beta - \frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8x} \right) \right) \leq \exp \left(\frac{8\beta}{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2} \left(\beta - \frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8x} \right) \right),$$

if $x_0 \leq (2k-1)^2 \pi^2 / (8\beta)$ then

$$\exp \left(\frac{1}{x} \left(\beta - \frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8x} \right) \right) \leq \exp \left(\frac{1}{x_0} \left(\beta - \frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8x} \right) \right),$$

therefore, let

$$b_k(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{4} x^{\alpha-3} \exp \left(\frac{\beta}{x_0} - \frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8x_0 x} \right) & \text{if } x_0 \leq \frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8\beta} \\ \frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{4} x^{\alpha-3} \exp \left(\frac{8\beta^2}{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2} - \frac{\beta}{x} \right) & \text{if } x_0 > \frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8\beta} \end{cases},$$

thus $h_k(x) \leq a_k(x) \leq b_k(x)$. We use the sufficient condition provided by Weierstrass criterion, so we set

$$M_k = \max_{0 < x \leq x_0} b_k(x) \leq \max_{0 < x \leq x_0} h_k(x),$$

it is easy to obtain

$$\frac{d \log b_k(x)}{dx} = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha-3}{x} + \frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8x_0 x^2} & \text{if } x_0 \leq \frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8\beta} \\ \frac{\alpha-3}{x} + \frac{\beta}{x^2} & \text{if } x_0 > \frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8\beta} \end{cases},$$

we have 3 different cases; if $\alpha \geq 3$ then

$$\arg \max_{0 < x \leq x_0} b_k(x) = x_0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$\max_{0 < x \leq x_0} b_k(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{4} x_0^{\alpha-3} \exp \left(\frac{\beta}{x_0} - \frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8x_0^2} \right) & \text{if } x_0 \leq \frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8\beta} \\ \frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{4} x_0^{\alpha-3} \exp \left(\frac{8\beta^2}{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2} - \frac{\beta}{x_0} \right) & \text{if } x_0 > \frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8\beta} \end{cases},$$

if $\alpha < 3$ and $\beta \leq (3 - \alpha)x_0$ then

$$\arg \max_{0 < x \leq x_0} b_k(x) = \begin{cases} x_0 & \text{if } x_0 \leq \frac{(2k-1)\pi}{2\sqrt{2(3-\alpha)}} \\ \frac{(2k-1)^2\pi^2}{(3-\alpha)8x_0} & \text{if } \frac{(2k-1)\pi}{2\sqrt{2(3-\alpha)}} < x_0 \leq \frac{(2k-1)^2\pi^2}{8\beta} \\ \frac{\beta}{3-\alpha} & \text{if } x_0 > \frac{(2k-1)^2\pi^2}{8\beta} \end{cases},$$

$$\max_{0 < x \leq x_0} b_k(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(2k-1)^2\pi^2}{4} x_0^{\alpha-3} \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{x_0} - \frac{(2k-1)^2\pi^2}{8x_0^2}\right) & \text{if } x_0 \leq \frac{(2k-1)\pi}{2\sqrt{2(3-\alpha)}} \\ \frac{(2k-1)^{2\alpha-4} \pi^{2\alpha-4}}{(3-\alpha)^{\alpha-3} 2^{3\alpha-7} x_0^{\alpha-3}} \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{x_0} + \alpha - 3\right) & \text{if } \frac{(2k-1)\pi}{2\sqrt{2(3-\alpha)}} < x_0 \leq \frac{(2k-1)^2\pi^2}{8\beta} \\ \frac{(2k-1)^2\pi^2}{4} \left(\frac{\beta}{3-\alpha}\right)^{\alpha-3} \exp\left(\frac{8\beta^2}{(2k-1)^2\pi^2} + \alpha - 3\right) & \text{if } x_0 > \frac{(2k-1)^2\pi^2}{8\beta} \end{cases},$$

and if $\alpha < 3$ and $\beta > (3 - \alpha)x_0$ then

$$\arg \max_{0 < x \leq x_0} b_k(x) = \begin{cases} x_0 & \text{if } x_0 \leq \frac{(2k-1)^2\pi^2}{8\beta} \\ \frac{\beta}{3-\alpha} & \text{if } x_0 > \frac{(2k-1)^2\pi^2}{8\beta} \end{cases},$$

$$\max_{0 < x \leq x_0} b_k(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(2k-1)^2\pi^2}{4} x_0^{\alpha-3} \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{x_0} - \frac{(2k-1)^2\pi^2}{8x_0^2}\right) & \text{if } x_0 \leq \frac{(2k-1)^2\pi^2}{8\beta} \\ \frac{(2k-1)^2\pi^2}{4} \left(\frac{\beta}{3-\alpha}\right)^{\alpha-3} \exp\left(\frac{8\beta^2}{(2k-1)^2\pi^2} + \alpha - 3\right) & \text{if } x_0 > \frac{(2k-1)^2\pi^2}{8\beta} \end{cases}.$$

It is straightforward to see that, for all 3 cases, there exists \tilde{k} such that for $k \geq \tilde{k}$ one has

$$\arg \max_{0 < x \leq x_0} b_k(x) = x_0,$$

$$\max_{0 < x \leq x_0} b_k(x) = \frac{(2k-1)^2\pi^2}{4} x_0^{\alpha-3} \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{x_0} - \frac{(2k-1)^2\pi^2}{8x_0^2}\right),$$

so it is easy to show

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} M_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\tilde{k}-1} M_k + \sum_{k=\tilde{k}}^{+\infty} M_k < +\infty.$$

Notice that from **Proposition 3.1.2** we can easily compute (6) simply by switching the order between limit and summation:

$$\frac{\sqrt{2\pi}\Gamma(\alpha)}{\beta^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{(2k-1)^2\pi^2}{4x^2} - 1 \right) x^{\alpha-1} \exp\left(-\frac{(2k-1)^2\pi^2}{8x^2} + \frac{\beta}{x}\right) = 0.$$

Therefore the inverse Gamma distribution is an admissible proposal density for all values of α, β and x_0 .

3.2 Gamma proposal

Let

$$g(x) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} \exp(-\beta x), \quad x > 0,$$

hence by (4) we must prove

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{8\Gamma(\alpha)}{\beta^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} (-1)^{k-1} k^2 x^{2-\alpha} \exp(-2k^2 x^2 + \beta x) < +\infty, \quad (8)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}\Gamma(\alpha)}{\beta^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{4x^2} - 1 \right) x^{-\alpha-1} \exp\left(-\frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8x^2} + \beta x\right) < +\infty. \quad (9)$$

As for the inverse Gamma, in order to change the order between the limits and sums we need to prove that the series converge uniformly.

Proposition 3.2.1. *Let $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \geq x_0\}$ for some $x_0 > 0$ and*

$$h_k(x) = (-1)^{k-1} k^2 x^{2-\alpha} \exp(-2k^2 x^2 + \beta x),$$

then $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} h_k(x)$ converges uniformly in A .

Proof: See Appendix.

From **Proposition 3.2.1** we can easily compute (8) simply by switching the order between limit and summation:

$$\frac{8\Gamma(\alpha)}{\beta^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} (-1)^{k-1} k^2 x^{2-\alpha} \exp(-2k^2 x^2 + \beta x) = 0.$$

Proposition 3.2.2. *Let $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : 0 < x \leq x_0\}$ for some $x_0 > 0$ and*

$$h_k(x) = \left(\frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{4x^2} - 1 \right) x^{-\alpha-1} \exp\left(-\frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8x^2} + \beta x\right),$$

then $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} h_k(x)$ converges uniformly in A .

Proof: See Appendix.

Hence by **Proposition 3.2.2** we can easily compute (9) simply by switching the order between limit and summation:

$$\frac{\sqrt{2\pi}\Gamma(\alpha)}{\beta^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{4x^2} - 1 \right) x^{-\alpha-1} \exp\left(-\frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8x^2} + \beta x\right) = 0.$$

Therefore the Gamma distribution is an admissible proposal density for all values of α, β and x_0 .

4. Numerical Optimization

Once obtained a representation for the Kolmogorov distribution density and admissible proposals for acceptance-rejection algorithm, we need to tune some parameters of the proposal densities for applications. In particular we need a value k^* in order to truncate the series of $\lambda_1(\cdot)$ and $\lambda_2(\cdot)$, a value x_0 and values for the parameters α and β of the proposals.

According to the accuracy of the computing machine, there exists a value for \bar{x} such that $\exp(-x)$ is set equal to 0 for all x greater than \bar{x} . Therefore we define

$$\bar{k}_1(x) = \sup\{k \in \mathbb{N}_0 : 2k^2 x^2 \leq \bar{x}\} = \left\lfloor \frac{\sqrt{2\bar{x}}}{2x} \right\rfloor,$$

$$\bar{k}_2(x) = \sup\{k \in \mathbb{N}_0 : (2k-1)^2 \pi^2 / (8x^2) \leq \bar{x}\} = \left\lfloor \frac{x\sqrt{2\bar{x}}}{\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor,$$

where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ is the floor function. The values $\bar{k}_1(x)$ and $\bar{k}_2(x)$ depend on x ; for computational reasons we avoid to calculate them for all values of x ; since the first is decreasing in x with $x \geq x_0$ and the latter is increasing in x with $0 < x \leq x_0$, we use their maximum value by assuming $x = x_0$ i.e. we set

$$k^*(x_0) = \max \left(\bar{k}_1(x_0), \bar{k}_2(x_0) \right). \quad (10)$$

Hence expression (10) provides the value of k^* as a function of x_0 , so we choose

$$x_0^* = \inf \left\{ \arg \min_{x_0 > 0} k^*(x_0) \right\},$$

$$k^* = k^*(x_0^*).$$

Finally we obtain a numerical approximation $f^*(\cdot)$ of the Kolmogorov density by

$$f^*(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{x^2} \sum_{k=1}^{k^*} \left(\frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{4x^2} - 1 \right) \exp \left(-\frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8x^2} \right) & \text{if } 0 < x < x_0^* \\ 8x \sum_{k=1}^{k^*} (-1)^{k-1} k^2 \exp(-2k^2 x^2) & \text{if } x \geq x_0^* \end{cases}.$$

In our machine the value of \bar{x} is 745.13 with an approximation of 2 digits, so we obtain

$$x_0^* = 1.207, \quad k^* = 15.$$

As for as the parameters of the proposal we proceed in the following way: let $g(\cdot; \alpha, \beta)$ be the proposal density depending, we set

$$M = h(\alpha, \beta) = \sup_{x > 0} \frac{f^*(x)}{g(x; \alpha, \beta)},$$

so we obtain

$$(\alpha^*, \beta^*) = \arg \min_{\alpha > 0, \beta > 0} h(\alpha, \beta),$$

where the minimization is computed via numerical approximation. For the inverse Gamma proposal we have $\alpha^* = 10.29$, $\beta^* = 8.33$ and $M = 1.05$; for the Gamma proposal we obtain $\alpha^* = 9.21$, $\beta^* = 10.96$ and $M = 1.123$. The values of M lead to a theoretical acceptance rate $1/M$ equals to 95.23% and 89.04% for inverse Gamma and Gamma respectively.

Finally we perform a simulation study in order to evaluate the accuracy and efficiency of our procedure with the inverse Gamma proposal. We generate 100 times 10^6 draws; for each iteration the mean elapsed time was 1.3623 sec; furthermore we set the seed to 1 and with a single iteration of 10^6 draws we obtain an empirical mean and empirical variance equal to

$$\hat{\mu} = 8.687866 \times 10^{-1}, \quad \hat{\sigma}^2 = 6.76608 \times 10^{-2},$$

while, as reported in Marsaglia, Tsang, and Wang (2003), the true values are:

$$\mu = \sqrt{\pi/2} \log 2 \approx 8.687311 \times 10^{-1}, \quad \sigma^2 = \pi^2/12 - \mu^2 \approx 6.777320 \times 10^{-2}.$$

5. Conclusion

In this paper we have derived the density function of the Kolmogorov distribution by deriving the series term by term; surprisingly the standard sufficient condition for the uniform convergence fails to hold for both bounds of the domain set, so we have used 2 different representations which uniformly converge in 1 bound at time. Furthermore they are also useful to speed up the convergence of the series. We have provided an approximation of the obtained density function via truncation series based on the machine precision.

In the same way we have shown that Gamma and inverse Gamma distributions are admissible proposals for Kolmogorov distribution in acceptance-rejection algorithm and both of them provide very fine theoretical acceptance rate after numerical optimization of the parameters. A simulation study have shown the accuracy and efficiency of our method.

Appendix

Here we provide the proof of **Proposition 3.2.1** and **Proposition 3.2.2**.

Proposition 3.2.1. Let $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \geq x_0\}$ for some $x_0 > 0$ and

$$h_k(x) = (-1)^{k-1} k^2 x^{2-\alpha} \exp(-2k^2 x^2 + \beta x),$$

then $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} h_k(x)$ converges uniformly in A .

Proof: Let

$$a_k(x) = k^2 x^{2-\alpha} \exp(-2k^2 x^2 + \beta x),$$

hence $h_k(x) = (-1)^{k-1} a_k(x)$. We fix x , with $x \geq x_0 > 0$, so $\{h_k(x)\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ is an alternating sequence with $a_k(x) > 0$, it is easy to show that

$$a_k(x) < a_{k+1}(x) \quad \text{if } k > 1/(x\sqrt{2})$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} a_k(x) = 0.$$

Therefore the series $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} h_k(x)$ converges point-wise by Leibniz criterion and we know

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} h_k(x) - \sum_{k=1}^n h_k(x) \right| \leq a_{n+1}(x),$$

which implies

$$\sup_{x \geq x_0} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} h_k(x) - \sum_{k=1}^n h_k(x) \right| \leq \sup_{x \geq x_0} a_{n+1}(x). \quad (11)$$

We define

$$b_{n+1}(x) = \begin{cases} x_0^{2-\alpha} (n+1)^2 \exp(-2(n+1)^2 x^2 + \beta x) & \text{if } \alpha \geq 2 \\ a_{n+1}(x) & \text{if } \alpha < 2 \end{cases},$$

so $a_{n+1}(x) \leq b_{n+1}(x)$ since $x \geq x_0$, furthermore

$$\frac{d \log b_{n+1}(x)}{dx} = \begin{cases} -4(n+1)^2 x + \beta & \text{if } \alpha \geq 2 \\ \frac{2-\alpha}{x} - 4(n+1)^2 x + \beta & \text{if } \alpha < 2 \end{cases}.$$

Therefore, we have 2 different cases, if $\alpha \geq 2$ then

$$\arg \max_{x \geq x_0} b_{n+1} = \begin{cases} \frac{\beta}{4(n+1)^2} & \text{if } \frac{\beta}{4(n+1)^2} \geq x_0 \\ x_0 & \text{if } \frac{\beta}{4(n+1)^2} < x_0 \end{cases},$$

if $\alpha < 2$ then

$$\arg \max_{x \geq x_0} b_{n+1} = \begin{cases} \frac{\beta + \sqrt{\beta^2 + 16(n+1)^2(2-\alpha)}}{8(n+1)^2} & \text{if } \frac{\beta + \sqrt{\beta^2 + 16(n+1)^2(2-\alpha)}}{8(n+1)^2} \geq x_0 \\ x_0 & \text{if } \frac{\beta + \sqrt{\beta^2 + 16(n+1)^2(2-\alpha)}}{8(n+1)^2} < x_0 \end{cases},$$

In both cases it is straightforward

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \arg \max_{x \geq x_0} b_{n+1}(x) &= x_0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \max_{x \geq x_0} b_{n+1}(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (n+1)^2 x_0^{2-\alpha} \exp(-2(n+1)^2 x_0^2 + \beta x_0) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

so by taking the limit of (11) we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{x \geq x_0} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} h_k(x) - \sum_{k=1}^n h_k(x) \right| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{x \geq x_0} a_{n+1}(x) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{x \geq x_0} b_{n+1}(x) = 0.$$

Proposition 3.2.2. *Let $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : 0 < x \leq x_0\}$ for some $x_0 > 0$ and*

$$h_k(x) = \left(\frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{4x^2} - 1 \right) x^{-\alpha-1} \exp\left(-\frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8x^2} + \beta x \right),$$

then $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} h_k(x)$ converges uniformly in A .

Proof: Let

$$a_k(x) = \frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{4} x^{-\alpha-3} \exp\left(-\frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8x^2} + \beta x \right),$$

thus

$$h_k(x) \leq a_k(x).$$

Hence

$$\frac{d \log a_k(x)}{dx} = -\frac{\alpha+3}{x} + \frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{4x^3},$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\arg \max_{0 < x \leq x_0} a_k(x) &= \begin{cases} \frac{(2k-1)\pi}{2\sqrt{\alpha+3}} & \text{if } \frac{(2k-1)\pi}{2\sqrt{\alpha+3}} < x_0 \\ x_0 & \text{if } \frac{(2k-1)\pi}{2\sqrt{\alpha+3}} \geq x_0 \end{cases}, \\ \max_{0 < x \leq x_0} a_k(x) &= \begin{cases} \frac{(2k-1)^{-\alpha-1} \pi^{-\alpha-1}}{2^{-\alpha-1} (\alpha+3)^{-\frac{\alpha+3}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha+3}{2} + \beta x_0 \right) & \text{if } \frac{(2k-1)\pi}{2\sqrt{\alpha+3}} < x_0 \\ \frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{4} x_0^{-\alpha-3} \exp\left(-\frac{(2k-1)^2 \pi^2}{8x_0^2} + \beta x_0 \right) & \text{if } \frac{(2k-1)\pi}{2\sqrt{\alpha+3}} \geq x_0 \end{cases}.\end{aligned}$$

So, let

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{k} &= \inf \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \frac{(2k-1)\pi}{2\sqrt{\alpha+3}} \geq x_0 \right\}, \\ M_k &= \max_{0 < x \leq x_0} a_k(x) \leq a_k(x) \leq h_k(x),\end{aligned}$$

we use the sufficient condition provided by Weierstrass criterion and it is easy to show

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} M_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\tilde{k}-1} M_k + \sum_{k=\tilde{k}}^{+\infty} M_k < +\infty.$$

References

- D. F. Andrews and C. L. Mallows. Scale Mixtures of Normal Distributions. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*, 36(1):99–102, 1974.
- W. Feller. On the Kolmogorov-Smirnov Limit Theorems for Empirical Distributions. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 19(2):177–189, 1948.
- A. N. Kolmogorov. Sulla determinazione empirica di una legge di distribuzione. *Giornale dell'Istituto Italiano degli Attuari*, 4:83–91, 1933.
- George Marsaglia, Wai Wan Tsang, and Jingbo Wang. Evaluating kolmogorov's distribution. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 8(18):1–4, 2003.
- Mihael Perman and Jon A. Wellner. An excursion approach to maxima of the brownian bridge. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 124(9):3106–3120, 2014.
- N. V. Smirnov. On the estimation of the discrepancy between empirical curves of distribution for two independent samples. *Bulletin Mathématique de l'Université de Moscou*, 2(2):3–11, 1939.
- Leonard A. Stefanski. A normal scale mixture representation of the logistic distribution. *Statistics & Probability Letters*, 11(1):69–70, 1991.