

Classical information entropy of parton distribution functions

Rong Wang^{1,2,*}

¹*Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China*

²*School of Nuclear Science and Technology, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China*

(Dated: February 24, 2023)

Entropy or information is a fundamental quantity contained in a system in statistical mechanics and information theory. In this paper, a definition of classical information entropy of parton distribution functions is suggested. The extensive and super-additive properties of the defined entropy are discussed. As an example, the classical information entropy of parton distribution functions of the proton is presented. The evolution of the classical information entropy of independent quarks with the Q^2 scale is similar to the evolution of the average entropy of a subsystem. The relation between the quantum entanglement entropy and the classical information entropy of the measurement outcome is also briefly discussed.

The hadrons are the composite particles made of quarks and gluons, with complex inner structures. The one-dimensional momentum distributions of quarks and gluons are described with parton distribution functions (PDFs) in the infinite momentum frame [1–4]. Thanks to the collinear factorization theorem [5–7], the PDFs of a hadron are the universal quantities in different scattering processes involving the hadron at high energies. PDFs are of the nonperturbative origin in quantum chromodynamics (QCD) theory [8–10]. The PDFs at the high energy scale is connected with the nonperturbative dynamics at the low energy scale, which is peculiarly hard to be calculated. Nevertheless, PDFs can be well extracted from the experimental measurements of high-energy reactions, such as the analyses of PDFs done by CT14 [11] and NNPDF [12, 13]. Nowadays, the extracted PDF data sets are an important tool for the calculations of high-energy processes involving hadrons and the simulations of high-energy hadron colliders or fixed-target experiments.

A quite clean way to get the proton PDFs is via lepton-proton deep inelastic scattering (DIS) [14–19]. In DIS process, the charged quarks are probed by the virtual photon of high momentum Q^2 . In the kinematical region of DIS, the cross sections and the scaling phenomenon are well described with the quark-parton model [1–4, 20, 21]. In such model, the quarks (or the partons) can be viewed as the quasi-real and non-interacting particles inside the proton by the very high energy probe (\gg GeV). This assumption in quark-parton model is actually guaranteed by the asymptotic freedom phenomenon of the underlying QCD theory [9, 10]. The partons inside the proton are approximately treated like independent particles during a short-time interval, and at the leading order, the DIS cross section on the proton is the sum of the cross sections on all the partons incoherently. Hence, PDFs can be easily extracted from the experimental measurements of DIS process under this theoretical framework.

Entropy is an important quantity of a system in thermodynamics. The second law of thermodynamical

physics says that the entropy can not be reduced during the spontaneous evolution of the system. Discovered by Ludwig E. Boltzmann with the famous formula $S = k_B \ln W$, the entropy is recognized as one fundamental property of a system which describes the disorder or complexity of the system at the microscopic level. Here W is the number of microstates that corresponds to the same macroscopic thermodynamic state. The most general formula in statistical mechanics is Gibbs entropy, as $S = -k_B \sum p_i \ln(p_i)$. The Gibbs entropy turns into the Boltzmann entropy if all the microstates have the same probability. The entropy decreases to zero for a perfectly sharp distribution. The defined entropy in statistical mechanics is the only entropy that is equivalent to the classical thermodynamic entropy.

The information entropy in information theory was introduced by C. Shannon, and it is defined as a measure of how much “choice” or “surprise” is involved in the measurement of a random variable [22]. It is a quantification of the expected amount of information conveyed by identifying the outcome of a random variable. The definition of Shannon entropy is similar in mathematical form to that of the Gibbs entropy. Actually, the Gibbs entropy can be seen as simply the amount of Shannon information needed to define the microscopic state of the system, given its macroscopic descriptions. Maxwell’s demon (hypothetically) can reduce the thermodynamic entropy of a system by using information about the states of individual molecules. The information entropy is a useful tool. It provides an important criterion for setting up probability distributions on the basis of partial knowledge, which leads to the maximum-entropy estimate of statistical inference. The prescription to get the equilibrium distributions of statistical mechanics by maximizing the Gibbs entropy subject to some constraints resembles the maximum-entropy principle in statistical inference. E. Jaynes argued that the statistical mechanics can be taken as a form of statistical inference rather than as a physical theory of which the additional assumptions are not contained in the laws of mechanics (such as ergodicity) [23, 24].

Entropy is an essential tool to quantify the level of

* rwang@impcas.ac.cn

disorder of a system, or the amount of “missing” information needed to determine the microstate of the system given the macrostate. We know that a hadron is a complex system of many partons viewed by a probe at high energy. Therefore the entropy concept can be applied to the hadron, and it could be a useful quantity in characterizing the hadron structure.

Investigating the internal structure of a hadron in a statistical view is a novel approach. The maximum entropy principle tell us that the system is at the maximum entropy for the intestable distributions. The maximum entropy method is successful in the study of valence quark distributions of proton [25]. How to define an entropy of the inner constituents inside a hadron in terms of PDFs is an interesting question. The picture of the partons inside a hadron is “frozen” during the short time of measurement. For the DIS process in determining the PDFs, the quarks probed by the high-energy virtual photon just resemble the free and real particles: no strongly interactions or collisions between partons, no appearing and no disappearing during the short detecting time. Thus, a definition of the classical entropy of the partons with PDFs is the primary motivation of this work.

The hadron PDFs are the parton number density distributions in the x -space. To study the classical information entropy of PDFs, a proper definition of the entropy should be made with any given density distribution. To ensure the extensive property of the classical entropy, I find, the definition of the classical information entropy can be given as,

$$S \equiv - \left[\int f(x) \ln(f(x)) dx - N \ln(N) + \alpha N \right], \quad (1)$$

$$N \equiv \int f(x) dx,$$

where $f(x)$ is the given density distribution which describes a system and α is an arbitrary constant. With a simple calculation by this definition, one finds that the entropy of k times copy of a system equals k times the entropy of the system, which is written as,

$$S[kf(x)] = kS[f(x)]. \quad (2)$$

The extensity of the classical entropy is met. With the definition in Eq. (1), the supper-additive property of the entropy is given by,

$$S[f(x) + g(x)] \geq S[f(x)] + S[g(x)]. \quad (3)$$

The equality between $S[f(x) + g(x)]$ and $S[f(x)] + S[g(x)]$ happens only if $g(x) = kf(x)$. The detailed proof of the supper-additive property is given in the appendix.

In information theory, for a discrete random variable p_i , the information entropy is defined as,

$$S = - \sum_i p_i \ln(p_i). \quad (4)$$

Let us derive a proper definition of the information entropy for a continuous random variable x , based on the definition for discrete random variable. For any given density distribution $f(x)$, one can construct a probability density distribution $\hat{f}(x)$ by doing the normalization, as $\hat{f}(x) = f(x)/N$ and $N = \int f(x) dx$. Let us discretize the continuous random variable x with tiny bin width h . If h is small enough, one has the information entropy for the probability density distribution $\hat{f}(x)$ as,

$$\begin{aligned} S[\hat{f}(x)] &= - \sum_i \hat{f}(x) h \ln(\hat{f}(x) h) \\ &= - \sum_i \hat{f}(x) \ln(\hat{f}(x) h) h \\ &= - \int \hat{f}(x) \ln(\hat{f}(x) h) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Replacing $\hat{f}(x)$ with $f(x)/N$, one has,

$$\begin{aligned} S[\hat{f}(x)] &= - \int \hat{f}(x) \ln(\hat{f}(x) h) dx \\ &= - \int \frac{f(x)}{N} \ln\left(\frac{f(x)}{N} h\right) dx \\ &= - \frac{1}{N} \left[\int f(x) \ln(f(x)) dx - \int f(x) \ln(N) dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int f(x) \ln(h) dx \right] \\ &= - \frac{1}{N} \left[\int f(x) \ln(f(x)) dx - N \ln(N) + N \ln(h) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

With the assumption of extensive property, one gets,

$$\begin{aligned} S[f(x)] &= NS[\hat{f}(x)] = \\ &= - \left[\int f(x) \ln(f(x)) dx - N \ln(N) + N \ln(h) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

One sees that $\ln(h)$ is actually the α parameter in Eq. (1). Since the density distribution $f(x)$ can be greater than 1 in some regions of x , the term “ $-\int f(x) \ln(f(x)) dx$ ” in the definition can be a negative value. Therefore the term “ $-N \ln(h)$ ” is important to make sure the entropy is positive, as long as h is small enough. In the derivation of the entropy, h should be a very small quantity so that the integral equals the sum (see Eq. (5)). In practice, h should be much smaller than the resolution in a measurement.

In Ref. [25], the maximum entropy method was used to determine the valence quark distributions of the proton, achieved with some reasonable results consistent with the experimental data. The valence quark distributions are determined at the input Q_0^2 scale where there are only three valence quarks, and the number of valence quarks at the scale is known and fixed. The information entropy for the maximum entropy method in Ref. [25] is defined as $-\int f(x) \ln(f(x)) dx$, which is different from the definition in this work. However, the entropy differences from the variations of distributions are exactly the

same for the definitions in this work and in Ref. [25], for $N\ln(N) - N\ln(h)$ is a constant if the number of quarks does not change at the fixed Q_0^2 scale. In the determination of quark distributions with maximum entropy method, the entropy difference matters instead of the absolute value of the entropy. Therefore the valence quark distributions determined in Ref. [25] are still valid and the same with the new entropy definition in this work.




FIG. 1. An illustration of the DIS process. The proton radius is R . The electromagnetic interaction between the electron and the proton is mediated by the virtual photon of momentum scale Q^2 . The minimum resolution in the transverse space by the virtual photon is around $r \sim 1/Q$. The higher the momentum Q^2 of the virtual photon is, the smaller parton inside the proton can be distinguished.

The average entropy of the smaller subsystem of a total system is provided by D. Page [26]. Suppose a system AB with Hilbert space dimension mn , is divided into two subsystems, A and B , of dimension m and n , respectively. Let us take the first subsystem A to be the one with no larger dimension, as $m \leq n$. Then the average entropy by the coarse graining is evaluated to be $S_{m,n} = \ln(m) - \frac{m}{2n}$ [26]. Note that the average entropy by D. Page is the quantum entanglement entropy. Although there are multiple ways of decomposition of the mixed state into different pure states, the quantum entanglement entropy is equivalent to the information needed to tell which pure state it is. In the case of DIS, the parton struck by the virtual photon is the subsystem A , and the combination of the rest partons in the proton is the subsystem B . In the infinite momentum frame, the proton is viewed as a “thin disk” due to the strong Lorentz contraction. The minimum transverse resolution by the virtual photon of Q^2 is $r = 1/Q$. Therefore, in the transverse space, the dimension needed to describe the state of the subsystem A can be simply estimated as $m \approx (\pi R^2)/(\pi r^2) = R^2 Q^2$, in which R denotes the proton radius here. We need m degrees of freedom to locate where the parton is in the “thin proton disk”. The proton radius R can be taken as the charge radius of the proton measured in experiments, which is about 4.3 GeV^{-1} in natural unit in average [27]. The subsystem B contains many more partons, and its

dimension can be estimated by comparing the transverse areas of A and B . Hence the dimension of B is $n \approx m \frac{\pi R^2 - \pi r^2}{\pi r^2} = Q^2 R^2 (Q^2 R^2 - 1)$. With the discussions above, the average entropy of probing a parton inside the proton $S_{m,n}$ is shown in Fig. 2, as a function of the momentum of the photon probe.

Now let us calculate the classical information entropy of the proton PDFs for an example. In order to compare with the average quantum entanglement entropy of probing a quark inside the proton, the classical information entropy of one quark is calculated, instead of the classical entropy of all the quarks. Therefore in the calculation, the normalized quark distribution $\hat{f} = \sum_{q,\bar{q}}(f_q + f_{\bar{q}})/\int \sum_{q,\bar{q}}(f_q + f_{\bar{q}})dx$ is used. The h parameter in Eq. (7) is kind of arbitrary in the definition. h should be smaller than the resolution of a parton in a measurement. In this work, h is set at four different values: $h = \delta$, $h = 0.1\delta$, $h = 0.01\delta$, and $h = 0.001\delta$, where δ is the resolution of a parton by the virtual photon. The resolution $\delta = (\pi r^2)/(\pi R^2) = 1/(Q^2 R^2)$ depends on the observer hence it is scale-dependent. At higher Q^2 , more partons at small x can be resolved in the experiment. The evolution of the classical information entropy of a quark with the Q^2 scale is shown in Fig. 2. The proton PDFs in the entropy calculation are taken from the global analysis by CT14 collaboration [11], and the x -range for integral calculation is from 10^{-5} to 1. One finds that the evolutions of classical information entropy and quantum entanglement entropy have the similar pattern over the Q^2 scale of the virtual photon probe.




FIG. 2. Some computed entropies of a quark are shown as a function of the momentum scale (Q^2) of the virtual photon probe: quantum entanglement entropy by D. Page [26] (solid curve), the classical information entropy with $h = 0.001\delta$ (dashed curve), the classical information entropy with $h = 0.01\delta$ (dashed-dotted curve), the classical information entropy with $h = 0.1\delta$ (dashed-dotted-dotted curve), the classical information entropy with $h = \delta$ (dotted curve).

The above results indicate that there are some correlations between the quantum entanglement entropy of the probed subsystem and the classical information entropy

from the statistics of the measurement outcomes. Classical information is produced when measurements of quantum systems are made. In classical physics, a macrostate corresponds to a lot of different microstates. In quantum physics, a mixed state is constructed with different pure states. Observation is the only admissible way to obtain the valid information about the real world, and the measurement provides an ensemble of possible results [28]. At high Q^2 the structure function is rather flat, and at low Q^2 the structure function is oscillating (peaks of resonances) [29]. With the classical information entropy defined in this work, the flat distribution has larger entropy than the sharp distribution with peaks. The parton-hadron duality [29] at low Q^2 may be understood in a new perspective with the quantum entanglement entropy of a subsystem. Then the reduction of classical information entropy due to the oscillations in the structure function equals the information $m/(2n) \sim 1/(2Q^2 R^2)$ in the correlations within the probed subsystem by D. Page [26] (kind like the higher-twist effects among the partons).

In this work, the entropy of the quark probed in an experiment is calculated. The reason is that at the leading order only the charged quarks are measured by the electromagnetic probe in DIS process. Therefore the gluon part is not included in the calculation. Surely, the gluon entropy shows up in the QCD corrections to the simple parton model for DIS process, and it is important for the small- x physics.

There are some great progresses on the entanglement entropy of partons recently. The entanglement entropy at small x is suggested to be $S(x) = \ln[xg(x)]$, and the DIS probes a maximally entangled state [30, 31]. The entanglement entropy is also studied with the CGC-Black Hole correspondence [32]. The time evolution of the produced entanglement entropy can be described with Lipatov's spin chain model [33]. Within this model, the gluon structure function should grow as $xg(x) \sim 1/x^{1/3}$. The defined classical information entropy in this work is different from the quantum entanglement entropy of the partons at a given x and Q^2 discussed in Refs. [30, 31]. In this paper, the Bjorken x is not fixed and treated as a random variable. The classical entropy in this work quantifies specifically the "choice" of the random variable x in the measurements, while the quantum entanglement entropy quantifies the "choice" of the parton density or the hadron multiplicity at a fixed x . Moreover, the quantum entanglement entropy is the quantum information entropy, which quantifies the degree of mixing of the mixed state of a given finite system, or the "departure" of the subsystem from a pure state. Different entropies characterize the different complexities of the system in different aspects. Therefore there is no contradiction between the classical information entropy in this work and the recently proposed entanglement entropy.

There is also the semiclassical Wehrl entropy of parton distributions defined with the Wigner distribution and Husimi distribution [34]. The classical entropy in this work is different from the semiclassical entropy mainly

in the following two aspects. (1) The entropy in Ref. [34] quantifies the complexity of the multi-parton distributions in transverse phase space (b_\perp, k_\perp) with x fixed, while this paper focuses on the entropy of the probability distribution of x . These two entropies should be applied for different measurements of different variables. (2) The entropy in Ref. [34] is the semiclassical entropy based on the QCD Husimi distribution, while the entropy defined in this work is a classical information entropy based on probability density distribution.

In summary, many classical and quantum entropies of the hadronic system are defined, and they are the basic and new ways to characterize the complicated hadron structure. Different entropies quantify the complexities of the hadron structure from different angles, such as the hadron multiplicity of parton "liberation", the transverse momentum distribution, and the longitudinal momentum distribution. Therefore different entropies are applied to different physical questions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under the Grant NO. 12005266 and the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences under the Grant NO. XDB34030300.

APPENDIX:

A simple proof of the super-additive property of the classical information entropy in Eq. (1) is provided here. N_f , N_g and k are taken to denote respectively the definite integrals of the density distributions and the ratio between them, as,

$$\begin{aligned} N_f &\equiv \int f(x)dx, \\ N_g &\equiv \int g(x)dx, \\ k &\equiv N_g/N_f. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Rewriting the formula of the super-additive property, we simply need to prove the inequality: $S[f(x) + g(x)] - S[f(x)] - S[g(x)] \geq 0$. According to the definition, one

has,

$$\begin{aligned}
& S[f(x) + g(x)] - S[f(x)] - S[g(x)] = \\
& - \int (f(x) + g(x)) \ln(f(x) + g(x)) dx \\
& \quad + (N_f + N_g) \ln(N_f + N_g) \\
& + \int f(x) \ln(f(x)) dx - N_f \ln(N_f) \\
& + \int g(x) \ln(g(x)) dx - N_g \ln(N_g) \\
& = N_f \ln\left(\frac{N_f + N_g}{N_f}\right) + \int f(x) \ln\left(\frac{f(x)}{f(x) + g(x)}\right) dx \\
& + N_g \ln\left(\frac{N_f + N_g}{N_g}\right) + \int g(x) \ln\left(\frac{g(x)}{f(x) + g(x)}\right) dx \\
& = \int f(x) \ln\left(\frac{N_f + N_g}{N_f} \frac{f(x)}{f(x) + g(x)}\right) dx \\
& + \int g(x) \ln\left(\frac{N_f + N_g}{N_g} \frac{g(x)}{f(x) + g(x)}\right) dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

For any given $g(x)$, one can construct a function $\delta(x)$ as,

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta(x) &\equiv g(x) - kf(x), \\
g(x) &\equiv kf(x) + \delta(x),
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

in which the k is defined in Eq. (8). From a simple calculation, one finds that $\int \delta(x) dx = 0$. The function $\delta(x)$ can be viewed as an oscillating function of x which describes the variations of $g(x)$ from $kf(x)$. With the constructed $\delta(x)$ function and the definition of k , one has,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int f(x) \ln\left(\frac{N_f + N_g}{N_f} \frac{f(x)}{f(x) + g(x)}\right) dx \\
& = \int f(x) \ln\left(\frac{(1+k)N_f}{N_f} \frac{f(x)}{(1+k)f(x) + \delta(x)}\right) dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

The Taylor expansion of $f(x)/[(1+k)f(x) + \delta(x)]$ in terms of $\delta(x)$ is written as,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{f(x)}{(1+k)f(x) + \delta(x)} &= \frac{f(x)}{(1+k)f(x)} - \frac{f(x)\delta(x)}{[(1+k)f(x)]^2} + \dots \\
&= \frac{1}{(1+k)} - \frac{\delta(x)}{(1+k)^2 f(x)} + \dots
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

By taking the two leading terms of the Taylor expansion, Eq. (11) is simplified as,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int f(x) \ln\left(\frac{N_f + N_g}{N_f} \frac{f(x)}{f(x) + g(x)}\right) dx \\
& = \int f(x) \ln\left(\frac{(1+k)N_f}{N_f} \frac{f(x)}{(1+k)f(x) + \delta(x)}\right) dx \\
& = \int f(x) \ln\left(1 - \frac{\delta(x)}{(1+k)f(x)}\right) dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Let us look at the Taylor expansion of $\ln\left(1 - \frac{\delta(x)}{(1+k)f(x)}\right)$, which is written as,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \ln\left(1 - \frac{\delta(x)}{(1+k)f(x)}\right) = \\
& \ln(1) - \frac{\delta(x)}{(1+k)f(x)} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta^2(x)}{(1+k)^2 f^2(x)} + \dots \\
& = -\frac{\delta(x)}{(1+k)f(x)} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta^2(x)}{(1+k)^2 f^2(x)} + \dots
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

By taking the leading terms of the expansion, one has,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int f(x) \ln\left(1 - \frac{\delta(x)}{(1+k)f(x)}\right) dx = \\
& \int f(x) \left[-\frac{\delta(x)}{(1+k)f(x)} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta^2(x)}{(1+k)^2 f^2(x)}\right] dx \\
& = -\frac{1}{1+k} \int \delta(x) dx + \frac{1}{2(1+k)^2} \int \frac{\delta^2(x)}{f(x)} dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Since $\int \delta(x) dx = 0$ is provided by definition and $\delta^2(x)/f(x)$ is always non-negative, one has,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int f(x) \ln\left(\frac{N_f + N_g}{N_f} \frac{f(x)}{f(x) + g(x)}\right) dx \\
& = \int f(x) \ln\left(1 - \frac{\delta(x)}{(1+k)f(x)}\right) dx \\
& = -\frac{1}{1+k} \int \delta(x) dx + \frac{1}{2(1+k)^2} \int \frac{\delta^2(x)}{f(x)} dx \\
& \geq 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Similarly, one also has,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int g(x) \ln\left(\frac{N_f + N_g}{N_g} \frac{g(x)}{f(x) + g(x)}\right) dx \\
& = \int g(x) \ln\left(\frac{N_f + N_g}{N_g} \left(1 - \frac{f(x)}{f(x) + g(x)}\right)\right) dx \\
& = \int g(x) \ln\left(1 + \frac{\delta(x)}{k(1+k)f(x)}\right) dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

By taking the leading term of the Taylor expansion of $\ln\left(1 + \frac{\delta(x)}{k(1+k)f(x)}\right)$, one has,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int g(x) \ln\left(\frac{N_f + N_g}{N_g} \frac{g(x)}{f(x) + g(x)}\right) dx \\
& = \int g(x) \ln\left(1 + \frac{\delta(x)}{k(1+k)f(x)}\right) dx \\
& = \frac{1}{k(1+k)} \int \frac{g(x)\delta(x)}{f(x)} dx \\
& = \frac{1}{k(1+k)} \int \left(k\delta(x) + \frac{\delta^2(x)}{f(x)}\right) dx \\
& = \frac{1}{k(1+k)} \int \frac{\delta^2(x)}{f(x)} dx \geq 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Now I have proved that,

$$\int f(x) \ln \left(\frac{N_f + N_g}{N_f} \frac{f(x)}{f(x) + g(x)} \right) dx \geq 0, \quad (19)$$

and

$$\int g(x) \ln \left(\frac{N_f + N_g}{N_g} \frac{g(x)}{f(x) + g(x)} \right) dx \geq 0. \quad (20)$$

Therefore, the inequality is finally proved, as,

$$\begin{aligned} & S[f(x) + g(x)] - S[f(x)] - S[g(x)] = \\ & = \int f(x) \ln \left(\frac{N_f + N_g}{N_f} \frac{f(x)}{f(x) + g(x)} \right) dx \\ & + \int g(x) \ln \left(\frac{N_f + N_g}{N_g} \frac{g(x)}{f(x) + g(x)} \right) dx \\ & \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Note that in the deduction, the function $\delta(x)$ is required to be a small variation, i.e., $\delta(x)$ is required to be much

lower than $f(x)$. Based on the definition in Eq. (8), the requirement is met as long as both $g(x)$ and k are small. In principle, $g(x)$ can be divided into a lot of functions which are close to zero, as $g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} g(x)/n$ with $(g(x)/n)/f(x) < \epsilon$. Therefore, the super-additive property of the classical information entropy is proved, as,

$$\begin{aligned} S[f(x) + g(x)] &= S \left[f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} g(x)/n \right] \\ &\geq S \left[f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{i=n-1} g(x)/n \right] + S[g(x)/n] \\ &\geq S \left[f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{i=n-2} g(x)/n \right] + 2S[g(x)/n] \\ &\dots \\ &\geq S[f(x)] + nS[g(x)/n] = S[f(x)] + S[g(x)]. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

-
- [1] J. D. Bjorken, Phys. Rev. **179**, 1547 (1969).
[2] R. P. Feynman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **23**, 1415 (1969).
[3] J. D. Bjorken and E. A. Paschos, Phys. Rev. **185**, 1975 (1969).
[4] V. N. Gribov, (1973), arXiv:hep-ph/0006158.
[5] J. C. Collins and D. E. Soper, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **37**, 383 (1987).
[6] J. C. Collins, D. E. Soper, and G. F. Sterman, Adv. Ser. Direct. High Energy Phys. **5**, 1 (1989), arXiv:hep-ph/0409313.
[7] G. F. Sterman, in *Theoretical Advanced Study Institute in Elementary Particle Physics (TASI 95): QCD and Beyond* (1995) pp. 327–408, arXiv:hep-ph/9606312.
[8] C.-N. Yang and R. L. Mills, Phys. Rev. **96**, 191 (1954).
[9] D. J. Gross and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **30**, 1343 (1973).
[10] H. D. Politzer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **30**, 1346 (1973).
[11] S. Dulat, T.-J. Hou, J. Gao, M. Guzzi, J. Huston, P. Nadolsky, J. Pumplin, C. Schmidt, D. Stump, and C. P. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D **93**, 033006 (2016), arXiv:1506.07443 [hep-ph].
[12] R. D. Ball, L. Del Debbio, S. Forte, A. Guffanti, J. I. Latorre, J. Rojo, and M. Ubiali, Nucl. Phys. B **838**, 136 (2010), arXiv:1002.4407 [hep-ph].
[13] R. D. Ball, V. Bertone, F. Cerutti, L. Del Debbio, S. Forte, A. Guffanti, J. I. Latorre, J. Rojo, and M. Ubiali (NNPDF), Nucl. Phys. B **855**, 153 (2012), arXiv:1107.2652 [hep-ph].
[14] R. E. Taylor, Rev. Mod. Phys. **63**, 573 (1991).
[15] H. W. Kendall, Rev. Mod. Phys. **63**, 597 (1991).
[16] J. I. Friedman, Rev. Mod. Phys. **63**, 615 (1991).
[17] F. D. Aaron *et al.* (H1, ZEUS), JHEP **01**, 109 (2010), arXiv:0911.0884 [hep-ex].
[18] A. Accardi *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. A **52**, 268 (2016), arXiv:1212.1701 [nucl-ex].
[19] D. P. Anderle *et al.*, Front. Phys. (Beijing) **16**, 64701 (2021), arXiv:2102.09222 [nucl-ex].
[20] G. Altarelli and G. Parisi, Nucl. Phys. B **126**, 298 (1977).
[21] F. Close, Rept. Prog. Phys. **42**, 1285 (1979).
[22] C. E. Shannon, The Bell System Technical Journal **27**, 379 (1948).
[23] E. T. Jaynes, Phys. Rev. **106**, 620 (1957).
[24] E. T. Jaynes, Phys. Rev. **108**, 171 (1957).
[25] R. Wang and X. Chen, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 054026 (2015), arXiv:1410.3598 [hep-ph].
[26] D. N. Page, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 1291 (1993), arXiv:gr-qc/9305007.
[27] C. Patrignani *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C **40**, 100001 (2016).
[28] J. Rothstein, Science **114**, 171 (1951), <https://www.science.org/doi/pdf/10.1126/science.114.2955.171>.
[29] E. D. Bloom and F. J. Gilman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **25**, 1140 (1970).
[30] D. E. Kharzeev and E. M. Levin, Phys. Rev. D **95**, 114008 (2017), arXiv:1702.03489 [hep-ph].
[31] Z. Tu, D. E. Kharzeev, and T. Ullrich, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 062001 (2020), arXiv:1904.11974 [hep-ph].
[32] W. Kou, X. Wang, and X. Chen, Phys. Rev. D **106**, 096027 (2022), arXiv:2208.07521 [hep-ph].
[33] K. Zhang, K. Hao, D. Kharzeev, and V. Korepin, Phys. Rev. D **105**, 014002 (2022), arXiv:2110.04881 [quant-ph].
[34] Y. Hagiwara, Y. Hatta, B.-W. Xiao, and F. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D **97**, 094029 (2018), arXiv:1801.00087 [hep-ph].