

# Quantum tunneling in deep potential wells and strong magnetic field revisited

B. Helffer, A. Kachmar

August 30, 2022

## Abstract

Inspired by a recent paper\* by C. Fefferman, J. Shapiro and M. Weinstein, we investigate quantum tunneling for a Hamiltonian with a symmetric double well and a uniform magnetic field. In the simultaneous limit of strong magnetic field and deep potential wells with disjoint supports, tunneling occurs and we derive accurate estimates of its magnitude.

\* [Lower bound on quantum tunneling for strong magnetic fields. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 54(1), 1105-1130 (2022).]

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 The Hamiltonian

#### 1.1.1 The double well potential

Consider  $\mathbf{v}_0 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{v}_0(x) = v_0(|x|) \text{ is radial \& } v_0^{\min} := \min_{r \geq 0} v_0(r) < 0, \\ \text{supp } \mathbf{v}_0 \subset \overline{D(0, a)} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x| \leq a\}, \\ U_0 := \{\mathbf{v}_0(x) = v_0^{\min}\} = \{0\} \quad \& \quad v_0''(0) > 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

We suppose that  $\overline{D(0, a)}$  is the smallest disc containing  $\text{supp } \mathbf{v}_0$ , i.e.

$$a = a(\mathbf{v}_0) := \inf\{r > 0 : \text{supp } \mathbf{v}_0 \subset D(0, r)\}. \quad (1.2)$$

We introduce the *double well* potential ( $\ell$  refers to ‘left’ and  $r$  to ‘right’),

$$V(x) = \mathbf{v}_0(x - z^\ell) + \mathbf{v}_0(x - z^r) \quad \text{where } |z^\ell - z^r| =: L > 2a(\mathbf{v}_0). \quad (1.3)$$

The potential wells of  $V$  associated with the energy  $v_0^{\min}$  are the connected components of  $\{V(x) = \min V\}$ , i.e.

$$U_\ell = U_0 + z^\ell = \{z^\ell\}, \quad U_r = U_0 + z^r = \{z^r\}. \quad (1.4)$$

Without loss of generality, we choose  $z^\ell$  and  $z^r$  in the following manner

$$z^\ell = \left(-\frac{L}{2}, 0\right), \quad z^r = \left(\frac{L}{2}, 0\right). \quad (1.5)$$

### 1.1.2 The magnetic field

Consider a vertical magnetic field  $b\vec{z}$  where

$$b > 0 \text{ is a constant.} \quad (1.6)$$

Notice that

$$b = \text{curl}(b\mathbf{A})$$

where  $\mathbf{A}$  is defined in polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$  as follows,

$$\mathbf{A}(r, \theta) = \frac{r}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -\sin \theta \\ \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1.7)$$

## 1.2 Deep symmetric wells in a strong magnetic field

We consider the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{b,\lambda} := (D - b\mathbf{A})^2 + \lambda^2 V, \quad D := \frac{1}{i} \nabla, \quad (1.8)$$

with a double well electric potential  $\lambda^2 V$  and a magnetic potential  $b\mathbf{A}$ , where  $\lambda$  and  $b$  are the coupling parameter and the intensity of the magnetic field, respectively. In this paper, we suppose that  $b = \lambda$  and  $\lambda \gg 1$  is large<sup>1</sup>.

The regime where  $b$  does not scale like the coupling parameter  $\lambda$  has been inspected a long time ago. For instance, when  $b \ll \lambda$ , accurate estimates of the tunnel effect were obtained in [10], while when  $b \gg \lambda$ , the effect of the potential well becomes weak and the magnetic effect is dominant (see [1] and [11]).

The potential function considered in (1.8) is not analytic, thereby making our setting significantly different from the one of [10], where the magnetic field scales like the coupling parameter,  $V$  is analytic and some condition on the intensity of the magnetic field appears. As we shall see, this will induce serious difficulties in deriving accurate bounds on the magnitude of the tunnel effect and highlights an interesting new phenomenon related to *tunneling* under a magnetic field compared to recent results in [2, 7].

In order to exploit the connection with the rich literature on the tunnel effect in multiple wells (see [4, 8, 9, 10]), it will be convenient to divide by  $\lambda$  and mainly consider the corresponding equivalent semi-classical problem

$$\mathcal{L}_h := (hD - \mathbf{A})^2 + V, \quad (1.9)$$

where  $h = \lambda^{-1} \ll 1$ .

Hence we have

$$\mathcal{H}_{b,\lambda} = h^{-2} \mathcal{L}_h.$$

Our result will depend on the size of the support of the potential function (in particular through  $a(\mathbf{v}_0)$  in (1.2)). Let us denote by  $(e_j^{\mathbf{v}_0}(h))_{j \geq 1}$  the sequence of

---

<sup>1</sup>Writing  $\lambda \gg 1$  means that we consider the regime where  $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$ . In the same vein, writing  $\alpha \ll \lambda$  (resp.  $\alpha \gg \lambda$ ), we mean that  $\alpha/\lambda \rightarrow 0$  (resp.  $\alpha/\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$ ).

min-max eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{L}_h$ . We will investigate the semi-classical asymptotics of

$$e_2^{v_0}(h) - e_1^{v_0}(h), \quad (1.10)$$

and prove roughly speaking (see Corollary 1.3 for a precise statement)

$$e_2^{v_0}(h) - e_1^{v_0}(h) \underset{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ a(v_0) \rightarrow 0}}{\sim} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h} \int_0^L \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}} d\rho\right). \quad (1.11)$$

Under the additional assumption that  $v_0$  does not vanish in the open disk  $D(0, a(v_0))$ , we prove an accurate asymptotics of the form (see Theorem 1.4)

$$e_2^{v_0}(h) - e_1^{v_0}(h) \underset{h \rightarrow 0}{=} \exp\left(-\frac{S(v_0) + o(1)}{h}\right)$$

without the hypothesis that  $a(v_0) \ll 1$ .

Our investigation relies on expanding the ground state  $e^{\text{sw}}(h)$  of the single well Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{L}_h^{\text{sw}} := (hD - \mathbf{A})^2 + v_0. \quad (1.12)$$

Under the assumptions in (1.1), we show that:

**Theorem 1.1** (Existence of radial ground states and precise expansions).

Assume that  $v_0$  satisfies the conditions in (1.1). Then, there exists  $h_0 > 0$  such that, for all  $h \in (0, h_0]$ , the following holds:

1. The ground state energy,  $e^{\text{sw}}(h)$ , of  $\mathcal{L}_h^{\text{sw}}$ , is a simple eigenvalue and

$$e^{\text{sw}}(h) = v_0^{\min} + h\sqrt{1 + 2v_0''(0)} + \mathcal{O}(h^{3/2}). \quad (1.13)$$

2. There exists a unique positive ground state,  $u_h$ , of  $\mathcal{L}_h^{\text{sw}}$ , with the properties

- $u_h(x) = u_h(|x|)$  is a radial function;
- $u_h$  is normalized, i.e.  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_h|^2 dx = 1$ .

3. There exists a positive radial function  $\mathbf{a}_0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  satisfying

$$\mathbf{a}_0(0) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{1 + 2v_0''(0)}}{\pi}, \quad (1.14)$$

such that, for any  $R > 0$ , the ground state  $u_h$  satisfies, uniformly in the disc  $D(0, R) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ ,

$$\left| e^{\mathfrak{d}(x)/h} u_h(x) - h^{-1/2} \mathbf{a}_0(x) \right| = \mathcal{O}(h^{1/2}), \quad (1.15)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{d}(x) = d(|x|) = \int_0^{|x|} \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} + v_0(\rho) - v_0^{\min}} d\rho. \quad (1.16)$$

This in particular clarifies the hypotheses imposed in [5]. Then, applying Theorem 1.5 in [5], under the additional assumptions

$$\mathbf{v}_0 \leq 0 \text{ and } L > 4 \left( \sqrt{|v_0^{\min}|} + a(\mathbf{v}_0) \right), \quad (1.17)$$

we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \exp \left( -\frac{L^2 + 4\sqrt{|v_0^{\min}|}L + \gamma(\mathbf{v}_0)}{4h} \right) &\leq e_2^{\mathbf{v}_0}(h) - e_1^{\mathbf{v}_0}(h) \\ &\leq \exp \left( -\frac{(L - a(\mathbf{v}_0))^2 - a(\mathbf{v}_0)^2}{4h} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (1.18)$$

where  $\gamma(\mathbf{v}_0)$  is a positive constant.

The bounds in (1.18) follow from the asymptotics (also obtained in [5] under the assumptions (1.18))

$$e_2^{\mathbf{v}_0}(h) - e_1^{\mathbf{v}_0}(h) \underset{h \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \left| 2 \int_{D(0,a)} \mathbf{v}_0(x) \mathbf{u}_h(x) \mathbf{u}_h(x_1 + L, x_2) e^{\frac{iLx_2}{2h}} dx \right| \quad (1.19)$$

where  $\mathbf{u}_h$  is the radial ground state of  $\mathcal{L}_h^{\text{sw}}$  (see Theorem 1.1). The integral in the right hand side of (1.19) is called, after [5], the *hopping coefficient*. It describes the interaction between the two potential “wells” and can be derived through a reduction to the restriction of  $\mathcal{L}_h$  on a two dimensional space (yielding an *interaction matrix* like in [8]).

Using the improved expansion of the ground state  $\mathbf{u}_h$  in Theorem 1.1 above, we improve the bounds on the hopping coefficient and thereby on  $e_2^{\mathbf{v}_0}(h) - e_1^{\mathbf{v}_0}(h)$  provided the potential  $\mathbf{v}_0$  satisfies the conditions in (1.1). Our main result is as follows.

**Theorem 1.2** (New bounds on the eigenvalue splitting).

Assume that  $\mathbf{v}_0$  satisfies the conditions in (1.1) and (1.17). Then, there exist positive constants  $h_0, C_1, C_2$  such that for all  $h \in (0, h_0)$ , we have

$$C_1 h \exp \left( -\frac{S_0}{h} \right) \leq e_2^{\mathbf{v}_0}(h) - e_1^{\mathbf{v}_0}(h) \leq C_2 h^{-1} \exp \left( -\frac{S_a}{h} \right) \quad (1.20)$$

where (with  $a = a(\mathbf{v}_0) > 0$ )

$$S_a = \int_0^{L-a} \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} + v_0(\rho) - v_0^{\min}} d\rho + \int_0^a \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} + v_0(\rho) - v_0^{\min}} d\rho \quad (1.21)$$

and

$$S_0 = \int_0^L \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} + v_0(\rho) - v_0^{\min}} d\rho. \quad (1.22)$$

Furthermore, if  $\mathbf{v}_0 < 0$  in  $D(0, a)$ , then we have the improved lower bound

$$\liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} h \ln (e_2^{\mathbf{v}_0}(h) - e_1^{\mathbf{v}_0}(h)) \geq -\hat{S}, \quad (1.23)$$

where  $\hat{S} > S_0$  is defined as follows

$$\hat{S} = \inf_{0 < r < a} \left( \frac{Lr}{2} + \int_0^{L-r} \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} + v_0(\rho) - v_0^{\min}} d\rho + \int_0^r \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} + v_0(\rho) - v_0^{\min}} d\rho \right). \quad (1.24)$$

Notice that, whenever  $L > 2a$  and  $v_0 \leq 0$ , we have,

$$\frac{\rho}{2} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} + v_0(\rho) - v_0^{\min}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}} \leq \frac{\rho}{2} + \sqrt{|v_0^{\min}|}, \quad (1.25)$$

from which we deduce (with  $a = a(\mathbf{v}_0)$ ) the following estimates

$$\frac{(L-a)^2 - a^2}{4} < S_a \text{ and } S_0 < \frac{L^2 + 4\sqrt{|v_0^{\min}|}L}{4}. \quad (1.26)$$

Hence the estimates in (1.20) already improve the ones in (1.18) thereby providing a more accurate measurement of the magnitude of the tunnel effect. The guess of  $S_a$  and  $S_0$  is based on the consideration of the hopping matrix like it appears in [5] together with the WKB expansion of the single well ground states obtained in the present contribution (Theorem 1.1). The estimate (1.23) is a new improvement of the lower bound in (1.20) since we will prove in Proposition 4.2, that  $S_a < \hat{S} < S_0$ .

The bounds in Theorem 1.2 provide a rather sharp estimate of the gap  $e_2^{\mathbf{v}_0}(h) - e_1^{\mathbf{v}_0}(h)$  when the size of the support of the potential function is small (i.e.  $a(\mathbf{v}_0) \ll 1$ ). In fact, we have

$$S_0 = S_a + R_a \quad (1.27)$$

where

$$R_a := \int_0^a \left( \sqrt{\frac{(L-\rho)^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}} - \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} + v_0(\rho) - v_0^{\min}} \right) d\rho.$$

If  $v_0 \leq 0$  and  $0 < 2a < L$ , then  $R_a$  satisfies (for the upper bound we use (1.25))

$$0 < R_a \leq \left( \frac{L-a}{2} + \sqrt{|v_0^{\min}|} \right) a. \quad (1.28)$$

Moreover, observing that

$$S_0 = \int_0^L \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}} d\rho + \int_0^a \left( \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} + v_0(\rho) - v_0^{\min}} - \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}} \right) d\rho$$

we obtain (when  $v_0 \leq 0$  and for the lower bound we use (1.25))

$$\int_0^L \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}} d\rho - \sqrt{|v_0^{\min}|} a \leq S_0 \leq \int_0^L \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}} d\rho.$$

We then have the following immediate corollary of Theorem 1.2 (which is our precise meaning of (1.11)).

**Corollary 1.3.** *Under the assumptions in Theorem 1.2, the following holds*

$$\begin{aligned} -\int_0^L \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}} d\rho &\leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0_+} h \ln (e_2^{\mathbf{v}_0}(h) - e_1^{\mathbf{v}_0}(h)) \\ &\leq \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0_+} h \ln (e_2^{\mathbf{v}_0}(h) - e_1^{\mathbf{v}_0}(h)) \\ &\leq -\int_0^L \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}} d\rho + C_L(v_0), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_L(\mathbf{v}_0) = \left( \frac{L - a(\mathbf{v}_0)}{2} + 2\sqrt{|v_0^{\min}|} \right) a(\mathbf{v}_0)$$

and  $a(\mathbf{v}_0)$  is introduced in (1.2).

With the improved bound in (1.23) holding when  $\mathbf{v}_0 < 0$  in  $D(0, a(\mathbf{v}_0))$ , we can refine our estimates of the *hopping coefficient* on the r.h.s. of (1.19). The idea is that we insert the profile of  $u_n$  given in Theorem 1.1 into the hopping coefficient in (5.1) and control the arising error terms by (1.23) and a tricky identity from [5] refined by our expansion of  $u_n$  in (1.15) (see Lemma 5.2). The outcome is a precise asymptotics of the tunneling's magnitude through a new sharp constant  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{v}_0)$  that we will introduce later in (6.5) (see also (6.6)). More precisely we prove the following.

**Theorem 1.4** (Sharp asymptotics of the eigenvalue splitting).

*Under the assumptions in Theorem 1.2, if  $\mathbf{v}_0 < 0$  in  $D(0, a(\mathbf{v}_0))$ , then we have*

$$h \ln (e_2^{\mathbf{v}_0}(h) - e_1^{\mathbf{v}_0}(h)) \underset{h \rightarrow 0}{\sim} -\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{v}_0),$$

where  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{v}_0)$  is a positive constant.

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proofs of Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.4. In Section 2, we revisit the harmonic approximation in the presence of a magnetic field and conclude by proving Proposition 2.1, which proves the first and second items in Theorem 1.1. In Section 3, we recall the WKB approximation in the setting of radially symmetric potential and ground state; the third item in Theorem 1.1 then follows from Propositions 3.3 and 3.5. Section 4 is devoted to the properties of the constants  $S_0$ ,  $S_a$  and  $\hat{S}$  appearing in the tunneling estimates (1.20) and (1.23). The proof of Theorem 1.2 occupies Section 5 (see (5.1) and Propositions 5.5 and 5.6). Finally, Section 6 is devoted to the proof Theorem 1.4. Note that Theorem 1.4 only yields the *phase* of the tunneling, whereas it would be desirable to compute the amplitude as well and establish an asymptotics of the form

$$e_2^{\mathbf{v}_0}(h) - e_1^{\mathbf{v}_0}(h) \underset{h \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \Upsilon(\mathbf{v}_0) h^{-p(\mathbf{v}_0)} \exp\left(-\frac{\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{v}_0)}{h}\right).$$

This point is further addressed in Remark 6.5. Another perspective could be to relax the hypothesis on the potential function  $\mathbf{v}_0$ , in particular, establishing that tunneling occurs when  $\mathbf{v}_0$  is compactly supported with a unique non-degenerate minimum.

## 2 Magnetic harmonic approximation

In the presence of a magnetic field and a unique non-degenerate well, the method of harmonic approximation was treated in [10, Sec. 2], but we revisit it here in the setting of a radial potential, which allows us to derive more precise results on the ground states.

### 2.1 The Landau Hamiltonian

In the absence of an electric field,  $\mathbf{v}_0 = 0$ , the operator in (1.12) reduces (after rescaling) to the Landau Hamiltonian

$$L = (D - \mathbf{A})^2$$

whose spectrum consists of the Landau levels, i.e.

$$\sigma(L) = \{\Lambda_n := (2n - 1) : n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

where each  $\Lambda_n$  is an eigenvalue of infinite multiplicity.

Moreover,  $L$  has a normalized radial ground state given by

$$\phi_0(x) = \pi^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2}\right).$$

We can decompose  $L$  via the orthogonal projections on the Fourier modes,

$$\Pi_m u := e^{im\theta} \pi_m u, \quad \pi_m u := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(r, \theta') e^{-im\theta'} d\theta' \quad (m \in \mathbb{Z}). \quad (2.1)$$

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; dx) &= \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \Pi_m(L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; dx)) \simeq \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, r dr), \\ L &= \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} L \Pi_m \simeq \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{m,0}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$H_{m,0} := \pi_m L \pi_m^* = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{r^2}{4} + \frac{m^2}{r^2} - m$$

is the self-adjoint operator in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, r dr)$  associated with the quadratic form

$$q_{m,0}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \left( |u'(r)|^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} \left(m - \frac{r^2}{2}\right)^2 |u|^2 \right) r dr.$$

Then we get

$$\sigma(L) = \overline{\bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma(H_{m,0})}.$$

For each Landau level  $\Lambda_n$ , we introduce the set

$$\mathcal{J}_n = \{m \in \mathbb{Z} : \Lambda_n \in \sigma(H_{m,0})\}.$$

For a given  $m \in \mathcal{J}_n$ ,  $\Lambda_n$  is a simple eigenvalue of  $H_{m,0}$ . However, since  $\Lambda_n$  is an eigenvalue of  $L$  with infinite multiplicity, we deduce that  $\mathcal{J}_n$  is infinite. Note that  $0 \in \mathcal{J}_1$  and by the min-max principle,  $\mathcal{J}_1 \subset [0, +\infty)$ .

## 2.2 The magnetic harmonic oscillator

Consider the case where  $\mathbf{v}_0(x) = \mu|x|^2$ , where  $\mu$  is a positive constant. The single well operator in (1.12) becomes

$$\mathcal{L}_h^{\text{sw}} = (hD - \mathbf{A})^2 + \mu|x|^2.$$

After rescaling<sup>2</sup> we get

$$\sigma(\mathcal{L}_h^{\text{sw}}) = h\sigma(L_\mu^{\text{mag}})$$

where

$$L_\mu^{\text{mag}} = (D - \mathbf{A})^2 + \mu|x|^2. \quad (2.2)$$

We decompose the operator  $L_\mu^{\text{mag}}$  via the orthogonal projections on the Fourier modes as follows

$$L_\mu^{\text{mag}} \simeq \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{m,\mu}$$

where

$$H_{m,\mu} := \pi_m L_\mu^{\text{mag}} \pi_m^* = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \left(\frac{1}{4} + \mu\right)r^2 + \frac{m^2}{r^2} - m.$$

The min-max principle yields

$$\forall m < 0, \quad \lambda_1(H_{m,\mu}) > \inf_{u \neq 0} \frac{\langle (-\Delta + (\frac{1}{4} + \mu)|x|^2)u, u \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}}{\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}} = 2\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \mu}. \quad (2.3)$$

Moreover, the rescaling  $r \mapsto (1 + 4\mu)^{1/4}r$  yields the reduction to the Landau Hamiltonian,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{m,\mu} &= \sqrt{1 + 4\mu} \left( -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{r^2}{4} + \frac{m^2}{r^2} - m \right) + \left( \sqrt{1 + 4\mu} - 1 \right) m \\ &= \sqrt{1 + 4\mu} H_{m,0} + \left( \sqrt{1 + 4\mu} - 1 \right) m. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Consequently, we infer from (2.3) and (2.2)

$$\inf_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_1(H_m) = \lambda_1(H_0) = \sqrt{1 + 4\mu}, \quad \inf_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{Z} \\ m \neq 0}} \lambda_1(H_m) > \sqrt{1 + 4\mu}.$$

This implies that

$$\lambda_1(L_\mu^{\text{mag}}) = \sqrt{1 + 4\mu} \quad (2.5)$$

is a simple eigenvalue and that its (normalized) associated eigenfunction is radial:

$$\phi_\mu^{\text{mag}}(x) = \pi^{-1/2} (1 + 4\mu)^{1/4} \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{1 + 4\mu}}{2}|x|^2\right). \quad (2.6)$$

---

<sup>2</sup>We do the change of variable  $y = h^{-1/2}x$ .

### 2.3 Eigenvalue asymptotics and radial ground states

Assuming that the potential function  $\mathbf{v}_0$  satisfies (1.1), we have an accurate description of the spectrum of the operator  $\mathcal{L}_h^{\text{sw}}$  introduced in (1.12), which will provide an example where the hypotheses imposed by Fefferman–Shapiro–Weinstein in [5] hold (see their Assumption 1.4).

In the sequel we use the notation  $(\lambda_j(\mathcal{P}))_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  for the sequence of min-max eigenvalues of a given self-adjoint operator  $\mathcal{P}$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *For every fixed  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , the  $j$ 'th eigenvalue of  $\mathcal{L}_h^{\text{sw}}$  satisfies,*

$$\lambda_j(\mathcal{L}_h^{\text{sw}}) = v_0^{\min} + h \lambda_j(L_\mu^{\text{mag}}) + \mathcal{O}(h^{3/2}) \quad (h \rightarrow 0_+),$$

where  $L_\mu^{\text{mag}}$  is the operator introduced in (2.2), with  $\mu = \frac{v_0''(0)}{2}$ .

Moreover, the lowest eigenvalue of  $\mathcal{L}_h^{\text{sw}}$  is simple with a radial ground state.

As a consequence of Proposition 2.1 and the construction of accurate quasi-modes, the lowest eigenvalue  $\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_h^{\text{sw}})$  can be expanded to any order in powers of  $h$  (see Proposition 3.3 below).

*Proof of Proposition 2.1.* Except the last statement, the proof is standard (see [10] for the magnetic case) and corresponds to the so-called Harmonic approximation in the case of a non degenerate well (see [3, 12] and [6, Ch. 7]). We write

$$\mathbf{v}_0(x) = \mathbf{v}_0^{\text{app}}(x) + \mathcal{O}(|x|^3) \quad (x \rightarrow 0)$$

where

$$\mathbf{v}_0^{\text{app}}(x) := v_0^{\min} + \mu|x|^2.$$

For any  $C > 0$ , the spectrum below  $v_0^{\min} + Ch$  of  $\mathcal{L}_h^{\text{sw}}$  is effectively given (modulo  $\mathcal{O}(h^{3/2})$ ) by that of

$$(hD - \mathbf{A})^2 + \mathbf{v}_0^{\text{app}}(x) = v_0^{\min} + hL_\mu^{\text{mag}},$$

so we are reduced to the operator analyzed in Sec. 2.2, thereby getting the asymptotics displayed in Proposition 2.1.

To prove the last statement, we consider a normalized ground state  $\psi_h$  of  $\mathcal{L}_h^{\text{sw}}$ . After rescaling, we obtain from  $\psi_h$  the following normalized function

$$\mathbf{u}_h(x) := h^{-1/2} \psi_h(h^{1/2}x).$$

Moreover, the operator  $\mathcal{L}_h^{\text{sw}}$  can be fibered as  $\mathcal{L}_h^{\text{sw}} \simeq h \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_{h,m}$ , where

$$\mathcal{L}_{h,m} := \pi_m \mathcal{L}_h^{\text{sw}} \pi_m^* = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + h^{-1} v_0(h^{1/2}r) + \frac{r^2}{4} + \frac{m}{r^2} - m.$$

For  $h$  sufficiently small, the ground state energy of  $\mathcal{L}_h^{\text{sw}}$  is simple, so there exists a unique  $m_* \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\mathbf{u}_h = \Pi_{m_*} \mathbf{u}_h$ , where  $\Pi_{m_*}$  is the projection introduced

in (2.1). Note that  $m_*$  could depend on  $h$  but we skip the reference to  $h$  to simplify the presentation.

The theory of harmonic approximation yields that the ground state  $\mathbf{u}_h$  is close to the normalized radial ground state  $\phi_\mu^{\text{mag}}$  of the operator  $L_\mu^{\text{mag}}$  introduced in (2.6). In fact, we have a spectral gap

$$\delta(\mu) := \lambda_2(L_\mu^{\text{mag}}) - \lambda_1(L_\mu^{\text{mag}}) > 0$$

and

$$\|\mathbf{u}_h - \phi_\mu^{\text{mag}}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} = \mathcal{O}(h^{1/2}) \quad (h \rightarrow 0_+).$$

Now we write the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}_h - \phi_\mu^{\text{mag}}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\Pi_m(\mathbf{u}_h - \phi_\mu^{\text{mag}})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \\ &= \|\Pi_0 \mathbf{u}_h - \phi_\mu^{\text{mag}}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 + \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{Z} \\ m \neq 0}} \|\Pi_m \mathbf{u}_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we used that  $\Pi_0 \phi_\mu^{\text{mag}} = \phi_\mu^{\text{mag}}$  and, for  $m \neq 0$ ,  $\Pi_m \phi_\mu^{\text{mag}} = 0$ , since the function  $\phi_\mu^{\text{mag}}$  is radial.

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Pi_0 \mathbf{u}_h - \phi_\mu^{\text{mag}}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 &= \mathcal{O}(h^{1/2}), \\ \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{Z} \\ m \neq 0}} \|\Pi_m \mathbf{u}_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 &= \mathcal{O}(h^{1/2}) \quad (h \rightarrow 0_+) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbf{u}_h = \Pi_0 \mathbf{u}_h + \mathcal{O}(h^{1/2}).$$

This proves that  $m_* = 0$  and that the ground state  $\mathbf{u}_h$  is radial. ■

### 3 Decay of ground states for the single well potential

Again, we recall standard results but just take advantage of the additional assumption that the one well potential is radial.

#### 3.1 The Agmon distance

Consider the radial potential function  $\mathfrak{w}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$

$$\mathfrak{w}(x) := \frac{|x|^2}{4} + \mathfrak{v}_0(x) = \frac{r^2}{4} + v_0(r) \quad (r = |x| \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{R}^2). \quad (3.1)$$

We introduce the smooth radial function on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  associated with the potential  $\mathfrak{w}$ ,

$$\mathfrak{d}(x) = d(|x|) := \int_0^{|x|} \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} + v_0(\rho) - v_0^{\min}} d\rho \quad (3.2)$$

which satisfies

$$|\nabla \mathfrak{d}(x)|^2 = \mathfrak{w}(x) - v_0^{\min} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (3.3)$$

The function  $\mathfrak{d}$  amounts to the (Agmon) distance to the well  $\{0\}$ , relative to the potential  $\mathfrak{w}$ .

### 3.2 Agmon estimates

If  $f$  is a radial function, then

$$\mathcal{L}_h^{\text{sw}} f = -h^2 \Delta f + \mathfrak{w} f \quad (3.4)$$

Therefore, when restricting the action of  $\mathcal{L}_h^{\text{sw}}$  to radial functions, we consider  $\mathfrak{w}$  as the effective potential. Hence, we can apply the semi-classical analysis relative to the Schrödinger operator without magnetic potential as considered in [9] or [12] (see [8] or [4] for a more pedagogical presentation). The identity in (3.4) and an integration by parts yield the following result [8, Thm. 3.1.1].

**Proposition 3.1.** *For all  $R > 0$ , let  $D_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x| < R\}$ . If  $\phi \in C^0(\overline{B_R}; \mathbb{R})$  and  $u \in C^2(\overline{D_R}; \mathbb{R})$  are radial functions such that  $\phi$  is Lipschitz and  $u = 0$  on  $\partial D_R$ , then the following identity holds*

$$\int_{D_R} \left( h^2 |\nabla(e^{\phi/h} u)|^2 + (\mathfrak{w} - |\nabla \phi|^2) |e^{\phi/h} u|^2 dx \right) = \int_{D_R} e^{2\phi/h} u \mathcal{L}_h^{\text{sw}} u dx.$$

We have the following standard application of Proposition 3.1 on the decay of ground states of the operator  $\mathcal{L}_h^{\text{sw}}$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *For all  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ , there exist  $a(\delta), C_\delta, h_0 > 0$  such that  $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} a(\delta) = 0$  and, if  $\mathbf{u}_h$  is a ground state of  $\mathcal{L}_h^{\text{sw}}$  and  $h \in (0, h_0)$ , then we have,*

$$\left\| \nabla \left( e^{(1-\delta)\mathfrak{d}(x)/h} \mathbf{u}_h \right) \right\| + \left\| e^{(1-\delta)\mathfrak{d}(x)/h} \mathbf{u}_h \right\| \leq C_\delta e^{a(\delta)/h} \|\mathbf{u}_h\|^2,$$

where  $\mathfrak{d}$  is the Agmon distance introduced in (3.2).

The estimate in (3.2) is not optimal since we work under the assumption in (1.1). In fact, we can write estimates with  $\delta = 0$  as we shall see in Proposition 3.5 later on.

### 3.3 WKB approximation

For all  $S > 0$ , we introduce the set

$$B_\mathfrak{d}(S) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \mathfrak{d}(x) < S\}, \quad (3.5)$$

where  $\mathfrak{d}$  is the Agmon distance to 0 introduced in (3.2). Since  $\mathfrak{d}$  is monotone increasing with respect to  $|x|$ , we have

$$B_\mathfrak{d}(S) = D(0, R_S) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x| < R_S\} \quad (3.6)$$

where  $R_S$  is the unique solution of  $d(R) = S$ . Clearly,  $R_S$  is monotone increasing with respect to  $S$ .

We can then perform the WKB construction outlined in the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.3** (cf. Prop. 4.4.3 in [8]). *There exist  $N_0 \geq 1$  and two sequences  $(E_k)_{k \geq 0} \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $(\mathbf{a}_k)_{k \geq 0} \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that, for all  $N \geq 1$  and  $S > 0$ ,*

$$e^{\mathfrak{d}(x)/h} \left( \mathcal{L}_h^{\text{sw}} - E^N(h) \right) \vartheta^N = \mathcal{O}(h^{N-N_0}) \quad \text{on } B_{\mathfrak{d}}(0, S),$$

where

$$E^N(h) = \sum_{k=0}^N E_k h^k, \quad E_0 = v_0^{\min}, \quad E_1 = \sqrt{1 + 2v_0''(0)}$$

$$\vartheta^N(x) = h^{-1/2} \left( \sum_{k=0}^N \mathbf{a}_k(x) h^k \right) e^{-\mathfrak{d}(x)/h}, \quad \mathbf{a}_0(0) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1 + 2v_0''(0)}{\pi}}.$$

Moreover  $\mathbf{a}_0(x) > 0$  and for every  $k$ , the function  $\mathbf{a}_k$  is radial.

**Remark 3.4.** *The function  $\mathbf{a}_0$  satisfies the transport equation*

$$2\nabla \mathfrak{d} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{a}_0 + (\Delta \mathfrak{d} - E_1) \mathbf{a}_0 = 0.$$

Since  $\mathfrak{d}$  and  $\mathbf{a}_0$  are radial, we get

$$\mathbf{a}_0(x) = a_0(|x|) := \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1 + 2v_0''(0)}{\pi}} \exp \left( - \int_0^{|x|} f(\rho) d\rho \right)$$

where

$$f(\rho) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{u'(\rho)}{u(\rho)} + \frac{1}{2\rho} - \frac{E_1}{2\sqrt{u(\rho)}}$$

and

$$u(\rho) = \frac{\rho^2}{4} + v_0(\rho) - v_0^{\min}.$$

**Proposition 3.5** (cf. Theorem 4.4.4 in [8]). *There exists  $N_0 \geq 1$ , and for all  $h \in (0, 1]$ , there exists a ground state  $\mathbf{u}_h$  of  $\mathcal{L}_h^{\text{sw}}$  such that*

$$\|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} = 1,$$

and if  $\Omega$  is an open bounded set in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , then for any  $N$  the following holds

$$\left\| e^{\mathfrak{d}(x)/h} (\mathbf{u}_h - \vartheta^N) \right\|_{H^2(\Omega)} = \mathcal{O}(h^{N-N_0}).$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* The first item in Theorem 1.1 follows from Proposition 2.1. Consider the normalized ground state  $\mathbf{u}_h$  of  $\mathcal{L}_h^{\text{sw}}$  in Proposition 3.5. By

Proposition 2.1,  $\mathbf{u}_h$  is radial. By the Sobolev embedding theorem and Propositions 3.5 and 3.3, we have for  $\Omega = D(0, R)$  and  $R > 0$ ,

$$\left\| e^{\mathfrak{d}(x)/h} (\mathbf{u}_h - h^{-1/2} \mathbf{a}_0) \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = \mathcal{O}(h^{1/2})$$

thereby proving that  $\mathbf{u}_h$  is positive, since  $\mathbf{a}_0$  is. This proves the second and third items in Theorem 1.1. ■

## 4 About the measure of the tunneling

Let us inspect more closely the constants  $S_0$  and  $S_a$  appearing in the tunneling estimates in (1.20). Recall that

$$S_0 = \int_0^L \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} + v_0(\rho) - v_0^{\min}} d\rho. \quad (4.1)$$

Since  $\mathbf{v}_0(x) = v_0(|x|)$  vanishes outside  $D(0, a)$ , we can rewrite the expression of  $S_a$  in (1.21) as follows

$$S_a := 2 \int_0^a \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} + v_0(\rho) - v_0^{\min}} d\rho + \int_a^{L-a} \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}} d\rho. \quad (4.2)$$

We now prove a variational characterization of  $S_0$  and  $S_a$  involving the function

$$d(r) = \int_0^r \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} + v_0(\rho) - v_0^{\min}} d\rho. \quad (4.3)$$

**Proposition 4.1.** *We have*

$$S_0 = \inf_{0 < u < a} (d(u) + d(L + u)) \quad (4.4)$$

and if  $v_0 < \frac{L(L-2a)}{4}$ ,

$$S_a = \inf_{0 < u < a} (d(u) + d(L - u)). \quad (4.5)$$

*Proof.*

**Proof of (4.4)**

The function

$$(0, a) \ni u \mapsto \psi_*(u) = \int_0^u \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} + v_0(\rho) - v_0^{\min}} d\rho + \int_0^{L+u} \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} + v_0(\rho) - v_0^{\min}} d\rho$$

satisfies (for  $0 < u < a$ ),

$$\psi'_*(u) = \sqrt{\frac{u^2}{4} + v_0(u) - v_0^{\min}} + \sqrt{\frac{(L+u)^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}} > 0.$$

Hence, it is monotone increasing and

$$\min_{0 < u < a} \psi_*(u) = \psi_*(0) = S_0$$

where  $S_0$  is introduced in (4.1).

**Proof of (4.5)**

Consider the function

$$(0, a) \ni u \mapsto \varphi_*(u) = \int_0^u \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} + v_0(\rho) - v_0^{\min}} d\rho + \int_0^{L-u} \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} + v_0(\rho) - v_0^{\min}} d\rho. \quad (4.6)$$

Notice that, for  $u \in (0, a)$  and  $a < \frac{L}{2}$ , we have  $a < L - a < L - u$  and

$$\varphi'_*(u) = \sqrt{\frac{u^2}{4} + v_0(u) - v_0^{\min}} - \sqrt{\frac{(L-u)^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}},$$

with

$$\varphi'_*(0) < 0, \quad \varphi'_*(a) < 0$$

and

$$\varphi'_*(u) = 0 \text{ iff } v_0(u) = \frac{L(L-2u)}{4} \geq \frac{L(L-2a)}{4} > 0.$$

Consequently,  $\varphi'_*(u)$  can not vanish on  $(0, a)$  if we know that  $v_0(u) < \frac{L(L-2a)}{4}$ . Under this assumption, we have

$$\varphi'_* < 0 \text{ on } (0, a)$$

and

$$\varphi_*(a) < \varphi_*(u) < \varphi_*(0),$$

thereby proving (4.5). ■

We now consider the constant  $\hat{S}$  introduced in (1.24). Recall that, by (1.24),

$$\hat{S} = \min_{r \in [0, a]} g_0(r)$$

where

$$g_0(r) = \frac{Lr}{2} + d(L-r) + d(r),$$

and  $d(r)$  is introduced in (4.3).

**Proposition 4.2.** *We have*

$$S_a < \hat{S} < \min \left( S_0, S_a + \frac{La}{2} \right), \quad (4.7)$$

and if  $g_0(r_0) = \hat{S}$ , then  $0 < r_0 < a$ . Moreover, if  $v'_0 \geq -\frac{L}{4}$ , then  $r_0$  is unique.

*Proof.* We have, for  $0 \leq r \leq a$ ,

$$g'_0(r) = \frac{L}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{(L-r)^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}} + \sqrt{\frac{r^2}{4} + v_0(r) - v_0^{\min}}.$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} g'_0(0) &= \frac{L}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{L^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}} < 0, \\ g'_0(a) &= \frac{L}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{L(L-2a)}{4} + \frac{a^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}} + \sqrt{\frac{a^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}} \\ &\geq \frac{L}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{L(L-2a)}{4}} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

So  $g_0$  has a minimum  $r_0$  and  $r_0 \in (0, a)$ . Consequently,

$$\hat{S} = g_0(r_0) < g_*(0) = S_0, \quad \hat{S} < g_0(a) = S_a + \frac{La}{2},$$

and, by (4.5),

$$\hat{S} = g_0(r_0) \geq S_a + \frac{Lr_0}{2} > S_a.$$

Finally, we observe that

$$g''_0(r) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\frac{L-r}{2}}{\sqrt{\frac{(L-r)^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\frac{r}{2} + 2v'_0(r)}{\sqrt{\frac{r^2}{4} + v_0(r) - v_0^{\min}}},$$

and if furthermore  $g'_0(r) = 0$ , we have

$$g''_0(r) = \frac{\left(\frac{L}{2} + 2v'_0(r)\right) \sqrt{\frac{r^2}{4} + v_0(r) - v_0^{\min}} + \frac{Lr}{4}}{2 \left(\frac{L}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{r^2}{4} + v_0(r) - v_0^{\min}}\right) \sqrt{\frac{r^2}{4} + v_0(r) - v_0^{\min}}},$$

which is positive if  $\frac{L}{2} + 2v'_0(r) \geq 0$ . ■

For technical reasons (see Proposition 5.6), we need to minimize, with respect to  $r \in [0, a]$ , the following function

$$g(r, \varepsilon) = \frac{(1-\varepsilon)Lr}{2} + d(\sqrt{(L-r)^2 + 2\varepsilon Lr}) + d(r), \quad (4.8)$$

where  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$  is fixed and  $d(\cdot)$  is introduced in (4.3).

For all  $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$ , we set

$$S(\varepsilon) := \inf_{0 < r < a} g(r, \varepsilon),$$

and notice that  $\hat{S} = S(0)$  (see Proposition 4.2).

**Proposition 4.3** (Optimizing w.r.t.  $\varepsilon$ ). *We have  $\hat{S} = \inf_{\varepsilon \in (0,1]} S(\varepsilon)$  and there exists  $\varepsilon_0 \in (0,1)$  such that, for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ ,*

$$S(\varepsilon) = g(r_\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \text{ with } 0 < r_\varepsilon < a.$$

*Furthermore, if  $v'_0 \geq -\frac{L}{4}$ ,  $r_\varepsilon$  is unique and satisfies  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} r_\varepsilon = r_0$ , where  $r_0$  is introduced in Proposition 4.2*

*Proof.* Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \varepsilon}(r, \varepsilon) &= -\frac{Lr}{2} + \frac{Lr}{\sqrt{(L-r)^2 + 2\varepsilon Lr}} \sqrt{\frac{(L-r)^2 + 2\varepsilon Lr}{4}} - v_0^{\min} \\ &\geq -\frac{Lr}{2} + \frac{Lr}{\sqrt{(L-r)^2 + 2\varepsilon Lr}} \sqrt{\frac{(L-r)^2 + 2\varepsilon Lr}{4}} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\inf_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1} g(r, \varepsilon) = g(r, 0) = \frac{Lr}{2} + d(L-r) + d(r).$$

Consequently

$$\hat{S} = \inf_{r \in [0, a]} g(r, 0) = \inf_{r \in [0, a]} \left( \inf_{\varepsilon \in (0, 1]} g(r, \varepsilon) \right) = \inf_{\varepsilon \in (0, 1]} S(\varepsilon). \quad (4.9)$$

We have seen in the proof of Proposition 4.2 that

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial r}(0, 0) < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial r}(a, 0) > 0.$$

By continuity of  $\frac{\partial g}{\partial r}(r, \varepsilon)$  w.r.t.  $\varepsilon$ , we know that, for  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial r}(0, \varepsilon) < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial r}(a, \varepsilon) > 0.$$

This yields that every minimum  $r_\varepsilon$  of  $g(r, \varepsilon)$  is in  $(0, a)$ .

To study the behavior of  $r_\varepsilon$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we start by noticing that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} S(\varepsilon) = \hat{S}. \quad (4.10)$$

In fact, by (4.9), for every  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $\varepsilon_\delta$  such that

$$\hat{S} \leq S(\varepsilon_\delta) \leq \hat{S} + \delta$$

and by the monotnicity of  $g(r, \cdot)$  we get

$$\forall \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_\delta), \quad \hat{S} \leq S(\varepsilon) \leq S(\varepsilon_0) \leq \hat{S} + \delta$$

which proves (4.10).

Now, consider a sequence  $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathbb{R}_+$  that converges to 0 such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} r_{\varepsilon_n} = r_0 \in [0, a]$ . Then

$$S(\varepsilon_n) = g_{\varepsilon_n}(r_{\varepsilon_n}, \varepsilon_n) \rightarrow g(r_0, 0)$$

thereby, in light of (4.10),  $g(r_0, 0) = \hat{S}$ . Thus, by Proposition 4.2, we have  $0 < r_0 < a$ . If moreover  $v'_0 \geq -\frac{L}{4}$ , then  $r_0$  is the unique minimum of  $g_0(\cdot) := g(\cdot, 0)$ , hence  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} r_\varepsilon = r_0$ . By a continuity argument, for  $\varepsilon$  small enough,  $\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial r^2}(r_\varepsilon, \varepsilon) > 0$ , hence the uniqueness of the minimum of  $g(\cdot, \varepsilon)$ . ■

## 5 Bounds on the eigenvalue splitting

### 5.1 The hopping coefficient

In [5], the following term has been introduced (*the hopping coefficient*)

$$w_{\ell, r} = \int_{D(0, a)} \mathbf{v}_0(x) \mathbf{u}_h(x+z) \mathbf{u}_h(x) e^{i\frac{Lx^2}{2h}} dx, \quad (5.1)$$

where  $\mathbf{u}_h$  is the positive ground state of the single well operator  $\mathcal{L}_h^{\text{sw}}$  (see Theorem 1.1), and  $z = (L, 0)$ . In the framework of [9] (see also [8]),  $w_{\ell, r}$  can be derived by a reduction to an interaction matrix.

Assuming the condition (1.18), the following holds by [5, Eq. (1.10) & (1.12)]

$$e_2^{\mathbf{v}_0}(h) - e_1^{\mathbf{v}_0}(h) \underset{h \rightarrow 0}{\sim} 2|w_{\ell, r}|, \quad (5.2)$$

so the magnitude of the tunneling effect is given by  $w_{\ell, r}$ .

As pointed out in [5], the oscillatory complex phase in the expression of  $w_{\ell, r}$  is behind the difficulties in dealing with this term. Fortunately, as observed in [5], it is possible to rule out the oscillatory complex phase by using special functions. Recall that the ground state  $\mathbf{u}_h(x) = u_h(|x|)$  is a radial function and  $a = a(\mathbf{v}_0)$  is introduced in (1.2).

**Proposition 5.1** (Bounds on  $w_{\ell, r}$ ). *We have  $w_{\ell, r} \in \mathbb{R}$ , and there exists  $c_2 > 0$  such that, for all  $h \in (0, 1]$ , we have*

$$|w_{\ell, r}| \leq c_2 \int_0^a |v_0(r)| u_h(L-r) u_h(r) r dr.$$

*Furthermore, if  $v_0 \leq 0$ , then for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ , there exists  $c_1 > 0$  such that, for all  $h \in (0, 1]$ , we have*

$$|w_{\ell, r}| \geq c_1 \int_0^a e^{-\frac{(1-\varepsilon)Lr}{2h}} |v_0(r)| u_h(\sqrt{(L-r)^2 + 2\varepsilon Lr}) u_h(r) r dr$$

The proof of Proposition 5.1 relies on the tricky representation, in Lemma 5.2 below, of the function  $u_h$ , defining the radial ground state  $\mathbf{u}_h$ . It is obtained in [5], but with the expansion of  $u_h$  in Theorem 1.1, we can describe the coefficients in a sharper manner than in [5].

With  $a = a(\mathbf{v}_0)$  in (1.2),  $d(\cdot)$  in (1.16) and  $\mathbf{a}_0(\cdot)$  in (1.15), we introduce the two constants

$$\begin{aligned} F(\mathbf{v}_0) &= \frac{a}{4} \sqrt{a^2 + 4|v_0^{\min}|} + |v_0^{\min}| \ln \frac{(\sqrt{a^2 + 4|v_0^{\min}|} + a)^2}{4|v_0^{\min}|} - d(a) \\ m(\mathbf{v}_0) &= \frac{\mathbf{a}_0(0)}{4|v_0^{\min}| \sqrt{2\pi a}} (a^2 + 4|v_0^{\min}|)^{1/4} \left( \sqrt{a^2 + 4|v_0^{\min}|} + a \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

**Lemma 5.2.** *The function  $u_h$ , defining the ground state  $\mathbf{u}_h$  in Theorem 1.1, has the following representation, valid for  $\rho \geq a$ ,*

$$u_h(\rho) = C_h \exp\left(-\frac{\rho^2}{4h}\right) \int_0^{+\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{\rho^2 t}{2h}\right) t^{\alpha-1} (1+t)^{-\alpha} dt, \quad (5.4)$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2h} |v_0^{\min}| - \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{1 + 2v_0''(0)} - 1 \right) + \mathcal{O}(h^{1/2}) \underset{h \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \frac{1}{2h} |v_0^{\min}|, \quad (5.5)$$

and

$$C_h \underset{h \rightarrow 0}{\sim} C_h^{\text{asy}} := m(\mathbf{v}_0) h^{-1} \exp\left(\frac{F(\mathbf{v}_0)}{h}\right). \quad (5.6)$$

*Proof.* The representation in (5.4) is obtained in [5, Eq. (2.9)], with

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2h} e^{\text{sw}}(h). \quad (5.7)$$

The asymptotics in (5.5) now follows from (1.13) in Theorem 1.1. So we still have to determine the constant  $C_h$ , by matching (5.4) with the expansion of  $u_h(\rho)$  in Theorem 1.1. In fact, by (1.15) and (1.16), we have

$$u_h(a) \underset{h \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \mathbf{a}_0(0) h^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{d(a)}{h}},$$

where  $\mathbf{a}_0(0)$  is given in (1.14).

On the other hand, by the method of Laplace approximation [5, Eq. (2.12)], the representation in (5.4) yields

$$\begin{aligned} u_h(a) \underset{h \rightarrow 0}{\sim} C_h \sqrt{\frac{2\pi h}{|v_0^{\min}|(1 + 2t_*(a))}} (1 + t_*(a)) e^{-\frac{\eta(a)}{h}}, \\ t_*(a) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{1 + \frac{4}{a^2} |v_0^{\min}|} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\eta(a) = \frac{1}{4}(1 + 2t_*(a))a^2 + |v_0^{\min}| \ln \left( 1 + \frac{1}{t_*(a)} \right).$$

Consequently, we have

$$C_h \underset{h \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \frac{\sqrt{|v_0^{\min}|(1 + 2t_*(a))}}{\sqrt{2\pi}(1 + t_*(a))} h^{-1} e^{-\frac{d(a) - \eta(a)}{h}}$$

which eventually yields (5.6). ■

*Proof of Proposition 5.1.* We start by expressing the integral (5.1) in polar coordinates

$$w_{\ell,r} = \int_0^a r v_0(r) u_h(r) \left( \int_0^{2\pi} K_h(r, \theta) d\theta \right) dr, \quad (5.8)$$

where

$$K_h(r, \theta) = u_h(r^2 + L^2 + 2Lr \cos \theta) e^{\frac{iLr \sin \theta}{h}}.$$

Coming back to (5.8), the integral of  $K_h$  with respect to  $\theta$  is computed in [5, Prop. 5.1] by using (5.4) as follows

$$\int_0^{2\pi} K_h(r, \theta) d\theta = C_h \exp \left( -\frac{r^2 + L^2}{4h} \right) \int_0^{+\infty} G_h(r, t) dt, \quad (5.9)$$

where

$$G_h(r, t) = \exp \left( -\frac{(r^2 + L^2)t}{2h} \right) t^{\alpha-1} (1+t)^{-\alpha} I_0 \left( \frac{Lr \sqrt{t(t+1)}}{h} \right) \quad (5.10)$$

and  $z \mapsto I_0(z) := J_0(iz)$  is the modified Bessel's function of order 0.

The advantage of the formula in (5.9) is the absence of the oscillatory complex term and moreover, the integrand  $G_h$  is a positive function.

The function  $I_0(z)$  has the following asymptotic for large  $z$  [13, Eq. (9.3.14)],

$$I_0(z) \underset{z \rightarrow +\infty}{\sim} \frac{e^z}{\sqrt{2\pi z}}. \quad (5.11)$$

In particular,  $I_0$  grows exponentially as follows

$$\frac{c_1}{\sqrt{2\pi z + 1}} e^z \leq I_0(z) \leq \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{2\pi z + 1}} e^z \quad (z \in \mathbb{R}_+) \leq c_2 e^z, \quad (5.12)$$

where  $c_1, c_2$  are positive constants.

We introduce

$$\begin{aligned} F(r) &= \int_0^{+\infty} \exp \left( -\frac{(r^2 + L^2)t}{2h} \right) t^{\alpha-1} (1+t)^{-\alpha} \exp \left( \frac{Lr \sqrt{t(t+1)}}{h} \right) dt \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \exp \left( -\frac{(L-r)^2 t}{2h} \right) t^{\alpha-1} (1+t)^{-\alpha} \exp \left( \frac{Lr}{h} \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{t+1}} \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, for all  $t > 0$ , we have

$$0 \leq \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{t} + \sqrt{t+1}} \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

hence

$$F(r) \leq F_2(r) \quad (5.13)$$

where

$$F_2(r) = e^{\frac{Lr}{2h}} \int_0^{+\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{(L-r)^2 t}{2h}\right) t^{\alpha-1} (1+t)^{-\alpha} dt.$$

Collecting (5.9), (5.12) and (5.13), we get by (5.4)

$$\int_0^{2\pi} K_h(r, \theta) d\theta \leq c_2 u_h(L-r). \quad (5.14)$$

Let us now bound  $\int_0^{2\pi} K_h(r, \theta) d\theta$ . Given an arbitrary  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ , it results from (5.12) that there exists a constant  $c_\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$I_0(z) \geq c_\varepsilon e^{(1-\varepsilon)z} \quad (z \in \mathbb{R}_+). \quad (5.15)$$

We now introduce,

$$\begin{aligned} F^\varepsilon(r) &= \int_0^{+\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{(r^2 + L^2)t}{2h}\right) t^{\alpha-1} (1+t)^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\frac{(1-\varepsilon)Lr\sqrt{t(t+1)}}{h}\right) dt \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{(L-r)^2 t}{2h}\right) t^{\alpha-1} (1+t)^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\frac{Lr}{h}((1-\varepsilon)\sqrt{t(t+1)} - t)\right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, for all  $t > 0$ , we have

$$(1-\varepsilon)\sqrt{t(t+1)} - t \geq (1-\varepsilon)t - t = -\varepsilon t$$

hence

$$F^\varepsilon(r) \geq F_1^\varepsilon(r) \quad (5.16)$$

where

$$F_1^\varepsilon(r) = \int_0^{+\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{((L-r)^2 + 2\varepsilon Lr)t}{2h}\right) t^{\alpha-1} (1+t)^{-\alpha} dt.$$

Collecting (5.9), (5.15) and (5.16), we get by (5.4)

$$\int_0^{2\pi} K_h(r, \theta) d\theta \geq c_\varepsilon e^{-\frac{(1-\varepsilon)Lr}{2h}} u_h(\sqrt{(L-r)^2 + 2\varepsilon Lr}).$$

Recalling that  $0 < r < a$ , we get further

$$\int_0^{2\pi} K_h(r, \theta) d\theta \geq c_\varepsilon e^{-\frac{(1-\varepsilon)La}{2h}} u_h(\sqrt{(L-r)^2 + 2\varepsilon Lr}).$$

■

## 5.2 WKB approximation

Using (1.15) and Proposition 5.1 (with  $\varepsilon = 1$ ), we get,

$$c_1 w_{\ell,r}^{0,-} + \mathcal{O}(M_h^-) \leq |w_{\ell,r}| \leq c_2 w_{\ell,r}^{0,+} + \mathcal{O}(M_h^+), \quad (5.17)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} w_{\ell,r}^{0,+} &= h^{-1} \int_0^a |v_0(r)| a_0(L-r) a_0(r) \exp\left(-\frac{d(r) + d(L-r)}{h}\right) r dr, \\ w_{\ell,r}^{0,-} &= h^{-1} \int_0^a |v_0(r)| a_0(L+r) a_0(r) \exp\left(-\frac{d(r) + d(L+r)}{h}\right) r dr. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} M_h^+ &= \int_0^a |v_0(r)| \exp\left(-\frac{d(r) + d(L-r)}{h}\right) r dr, \\ M_h^- &= \int_0^a |v_0(r)| \exp\left(-\frac{d(r) + d(L+r)}{h}\right) r dr. \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

The remainder terms  $M_h^\pm$  are easily controlled as follows.

**Proposition 5.3.** *We have*

$$M_h^+ = \mathcal{O}(e^{-S_a/h}) \quad \text{and} \quad M_h^- = \mathcal{O}(e^{-S_0/h}).$$

*Proof.* By (4.5) and (4.4),

$$\min_{0 \leq r \leq a} (d(r) + d(L-r)) = S_a \quad \text{and} \quad \min_{0 \leq r \leq a} (d(r) + d(L+r)) = S_0$$

where  $S_a$  and  $S_0$  are introduced in (1.21) and (4.1) respectively. Hence

$$M_h^+ \leq e^{-S_a/h} \int_0^a |v_0(r)| r dr$$

and

$$M_h^- \leq e^{-S_0/h} \int_0^a |v_0(r)| r dr.$$

■

We move now to the control of the leading terms,  $w_{\ell,r}^{0,\pm}$ , in (5.17).

**Proposition 5.4.** *There exist constants  $h_0, C > 0$  such that, for all  $h \in (0, h_0]$ , we have*

$$w_{\ell,r}^{0,+} \leq Ch^{-1} e^{-S_a/h}$$

and

$$w_{\ell,r}^{0,-} \geq \frac{h}{C} e^{-S_0/h}.$$

*Proof.* The bound on  $w_{\ell,r}^{0,+}$  follows in a straightforward manner, as for the bound on  $M_h^+$  in Proposition 5.3. Concerning  $w_{\ell,r}^{0,-}$ , the function  $\psi_*(r) = d(r) + d(L+r)$  is monotone increasing and

$$S_0 = \psi_*(0) = \min_{0 \leq r \leq \eta} \psi_*(r), \quad \psi'_*(0) = \sqrt{\frac{L^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}} > 0.$$

By Laplace's approximation,

$$\begin{aligned} w_{\ell,r}^{0,-} &\underset{h \rightarrow 0}{\sim} e^{-S_0/h} \int_0^a |v_0(0)| a_0(L) a_0(0) \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{\frac{L^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}} r}{h}\right) r dr \\ &= \frac{h^2}{\frac{L^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}} e^{-S_0/h}. \end{aligned}$$

■

Collecting (5.17), Propositions 5.3 and 5.4, we get

**Proposition 5.5.** *There exist constants  $h_0, \tilde{C} > 0$  such that, for all  $h \in (0, h_0]$ , we have*

$$\frac{h}{\tilde{C}} \exp\left(-\frac{S_0}{h}\right) \leq |w_{\ell,r}| \leq \tilde{C} h^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{S_a}{h}\right).$$

By inserting the estimates in Proposition 5.5 into (5.2), we finish the proof of (1.20) in Theorem 1.2. So we still have to prove (1.23) which follows from the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.6.** *If  $v_0 < 0$  on  $D(0, a)$ , then*

$$\liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} (h \ln |w_{\ell,r}|) \geq -\hat{S}, \quad (5.19)$$

where  $\hat{S}$  is introduced in (1.24).

*Proof.* We use Proposition 5.1 with  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and we replace  $u_h$  by its WKB approximation using (1.15). Eventually we get

$$|w_{\ell,r}| \geq c_\varepsilon w_{\ell,r}^\varepsilon - C_\varepsilon M_h^\varepsilon, \quad (5.20)$$

where  $c_\varepsilon, C_\varepsilon > 0$  are independent of  $h$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} w_{\ell,r}^\varepsilon &= h^{-1} \int_0^a |v_0(r)| a_0(\sqrt{(L-r)^2 + 2\varepsilon Lr}) a_0(r) \exp\left(-\frac{g(r, \varepsilon)}{h}\right) r dr, \\ M_h^\varepsilon &= \int_0^a |v_0(r)| \exp\left(-\frac{g(r, \varepsilon)}{h}\right) r dr \end{aligned}$$

and  $g(r, \varepsilon)$  is introduced in (4.8). We can rewrite (5.20) as follows

$$h|w_{\ell,r}| \geq c_\varepsilon \int_0^a |v_0(r)| (a_0(\sqrt{(L-r)^2 + 2\varepsilon Lr})a_0(r) - C_\varepsilon^* h) \exp\left(-\frac{g(r, \varepsilon)}{h}\right) r dr \quad (5.21)$$

where  $C_\varepsilon^* = C_\varepsilon/c_\varepsilon$ .

Now we assume that  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ , where  $\varepsilon_0$  is the constant in Proposition 4.3. Pick  $r_\varepsilon \in (0, a)$  such that

$$S(\varepsilon) := \inf_{0 < r < a} g(r, \varepsilon) = g(r_\varepsilon, \varepsilon).$$

We choose  $\eta_0 \in (0, a)$  sufficiently small such that, for all  $\eta \in (0, \eta_0)$  and  $r \in I_\eta := (-\eta + r_\varepsilon, r_\varepsilon + \eta) \subset (0, a)$ , we have

$$g(r, \varepsilon) \leq S(\varepsilon) + m_\varepsilon \eta$$

where  $m_\varepsilon$  is independent of  $\eta$ . By the same considerations, we have (after choosing  $\eta_0, h_0$  small and taking  $\eta \in (0, \eta_0)$  and  $h \in (0, h_0)$ )

$$a_0(\sqrt{(L-r)^2 + 2\varepsilon Lr})a_0(r) - C_\varepsilon^* h > m_\varepsilon^* > 0$$

where  $m_\varepsilon$  is independent of  $h$  and  $\eta$ .

Now we write,

$$|h w_{\ell,r}^\varepsilon| \geq K_\varepsilon(\eta) \exp\left(-\frac{S(\varepsilon) + m_\varepsilon \eta}{h}\right),$$

where

$$K_\varepsilon(\eta) = c_\varepsilon m_\varepsilon^* \int_{I_\eta} |v_0(r)| r dr.$$

The hypothesis  $v_0 < 0$  in  $D(0, a)$  ensures that  $K_\varepsilon(\eta) > 0$ . Consequently

$$\liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} (h \ln |h w_{\ell,r}|) \geq -S(\varepsilon) + m_\varepsilon \eta$$

Sending  $\eta$  to 0 then  $\varepsilon$  to 0, we get

$$\liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} (h \ln |h w_{\ell,r}|) \geq -\hat{S},$$

where we used that  $\hat{S} = S(0)$  by Proposition 4.2. ■

**Remark 5.7.** *The upper bound in Proposition 5.5 continues to hold if we relax the assumption on the sign of  $v_0$  and assume instead that*

$$v_0 < \frac{L(L-2a)}{4}$$

*which ensures the validity of (4.5).*

**Remark 5.8** (Reduction to an interaction matrix). In [5], the asymptotics (5.2) holds for  $v_0 \leq 0$  and  $L > 4(a + \sqrt{|v_0^{\min}|})$ . We can derive (5.2) by using the approach of reduction to an interaction matrix like in [9] (see [8] or [4]). More precisely, we have

$$e_2^{\mathbf{v}_0}(h) - e_1^{\mathbf{v}_0}(h) = 2|w_{\ell,r}| + \mathcal{O}(e^{-2\tilde{S}/h}), \quad (5.22)$$

where  $\tilde{S}$  is an any constant satisfying

$$0 < \tilde{S} < \min(\hat{S}_0, \hat{S}_a, S_0)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{S}_0 &= 2 \int_0^{L/2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} + v_0(\rho) - v_0^{\min}} d\rho \\ \hat{S}_a &= \int_0^{L-a} \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} + v_0(\rho) - v_0^{\min}} d\rho \quad (a = a(\mathbf{v}_0)). \end{aligned}$$

We always have  $2\hat{S}_a > S_0$ . However,  $2\hat{S}_0 > S_0$  holds under the additional condition  $0 < a(\mathbf{v}_0) < \frac{3\sqrt{|v_0^{\min}|}}{2L} < \frac{3L}{4L+2}$ , (see Appendix A). Resuming, if we assume that

$$v_0 \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < a(\mathbf{v}_0) < \frac{3\sqrt{|v_0^{\min}|}}{2L} < \frac{3L}{4L+2}$$

we infer from (5.2) and Proposition 5.5,

$$e_2^{\mathbf{v}_0}(h) - e_1^{\mathbf{v}_0}(h) \underset{h \rightarrow 0}{\sim} 2|w_{\ell,r}|. \quad (5.23)$$

Note that (5.2) is much stronger than (5.23).

## 6 The tunneling asymptotics

Recall the constant  $a = a(\mathbf{v}_0)$  in (1.2) and let us assume that  $\mathbf{v}_0 < 0$  in  $D(0, a)$  (i.e.  $v_0(r) < 0$  for  $0 \leq r < a$ ). With Proposition 5.6 in hand, we can compute the leading term in the hopping coefficient  $w_{\ell,r}$  introduced in (5.1). This will follow through a sequence of reductions justified in the following lemmas, leading by the end of this section to the proof Theorem 1.4.

We will make use of the following consequence Proposition 5.6. Recall the constant  $\hat{S}$  in (1.24). Then, by Proposition 5.6, we have

$$\forall p \in \mathbb{R}, \forall A > \hat{S}, \quad h^p e^{-A/h} \underset{h \rightarrow 0}{=} o(|w_{\ell,r}|). \quad (6.1)$$

Moreover, for all  $\eta \in (0, a)$ , let us introduce

$$\mathcal{W}_1(\eta) := C_h \int_{\eta}^a r |v_0(r)| |u_h(r)| \exp\left(-\frac{r^2 + L^2}{4h}\right) \left(\int_{\eta}^{+\infty} G_h(r, t) dt\right) dr,$$

where  $C_h$  is introduced in Lemma 5.2 and  $G_h$  is introduced in (5.10). The next lemma establishes that  $|w_{\ell,r}|$  can be approximated by  $\mathcal{W}_1(\eta)$  uniformly with respect to  $\eta \in (0, \eta_0]$ , where  $\eta_0$  is a sufficiently small constant.

**Lemma 6.1.** *There exist constants  $\eta_0 \in (0, a)$ ,  $A(\eta_0) > \hat{S}$  and  $h_0 > 0$  such that, for all  $\eta \in (0, \eta_0]$  and  $h \in (0, h_0]$ , we have*

$$||w_{\ell,r}| - \mathcal{W}_1(\eta)| \leq e^{-A(\eta_0)/h}.$$

*In particular, for all  $\eta \in (0, \eta_0]$ ,*

$$|w_{\ell,r}| \underset{h \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \mathcal{W}_1(\eta).$$

*Proof.* Consider  $\eta \in (0, \eta_0)$  where  $0 < \eta_0 < a$ . By (5.8) and (5.9) we write

$$|w_{\ell,r}| = \mathcal{W}_1(\eta) + \mathcal{R}_1(\eta) + \mathcal{R}_2(\eta)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_1(\eta) &= \int_0^\eta r |v_0(r)| |u_h(r)| \left( \int_0^{2\pi} K_h(r, \theta) d\theta \right) dr, \\ \mathcal{R}_2(\eta) &= C_h \int_\eta^a r |v_0(r)| |u_h(r)| \exp\left(-\frac{r^2 + L^2}{4h}\right) \left( \int_0^\eta G_h(r, t) dt \right) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Using (5.14), we have

$$0 \leq \mathcal{R}_1(\eta) \leq \mathcal{R}_1(\eta_0) \leq c_2 \int_0^{\eta_0} r |v_0(r)| |u_h(r)| u_h(L-r) dr.$$

Arguing as in Proposition 5.4, and using the monotonicity of the function  $\varphi_*$  in (4.6), we have

$$\int_{\eta_0}^r r |v_0(r)| |u_h(r)| u_h(L-r) dr = \mathcal{O}(h^{-1} e^{-S_{\eta_0}/h})$$

where  $S_{\eta_0} = \varphi_*(\eta_0)$  and depends continuously on  $\eta_0$  so that  $\lim_{\eta_0 \rightarrow 0} S_{\eta_0} = S_0$ ; here  $S_0$  is introduced in (4.1). By Proposition 4.2, we choose  $\eta_0$  sufficiently small such that  $S_{\eta_0} > \hat{S}$ . This proves that  $\mathcal{R}_1(\eta_0) = \mathcal{O}(h^{-1} e^{-S_{\eta_0}/h}) \underset{h \rightarrow 0}{=} o(|w_{\ell,r}|)$ , by (6.1).

Let us now prove that  $\mathcal{R}_2(\eta) \underset{h \rightarrow 0}{=} o(|w_{\ell,r}|)$ . Using (5.10) and (5.12), we write for  $0 < t < \eta < \eta_0 < a$ ,

$$G_h(r, t) \leq \mathcal{O}(e^{c_0 \sqrt{\eta}/h}) C_h \exp\left(-\frac{(r^2 + L^2)t}{2h}\right) t^{\alpha-1} (1+t)^{-\alpha}$$

where  $c_0 = \sqrt{a} + 1$ . Inserting this into the expression of  $\mathcal{R}_2(\eta)$ , we get by (5.4),

$$\mathcal{R}_2(\eta) \leq \mathcal{O}(e^{c_0 \sqrt{\eta}/h}) \int_\eta^a r |v_0(r)| |u_h(r)| u_h(\sqrt{L^2 + r^2}) dr.$$

Arguing as in Proposition 5.4 and observing that

$$\min_{\eta < r < a} d(r) + d(\sqrt{L^2 + r^2}) = d(\eta) + d(\sqrt{L^2 + \eta}) > S_0$$

we get

$$\mathcal{R}_2(\eta) = \mathcal{O}(h^{-1}e^{c_0\sqrt{\eta}/h}e^{-S_0/h}).$$

Finally, by Proposition 4.2, we choose  $\eta_0$  sufficiently small so that,  $S_0 - c_0\sqrt{\eta_0} > \hat{S}$  and we conclude by Proposition 5.4 that  $\mathcal{R}_2(\eta) \underset{h \rightarrow 0}{=} o(|w_{\ell,r}|)$ , for all  $\eta \in (0, \eta_0)$ .

Looking closely at the foregoing bounds on  $\mathcal{R}_1(\eta)$  and  $\mathcal{R}_2(\eta)$ , we have in fact proved the following. If we select  $A$  such that

$$\hat{S} < A < \min(S_{\eta_0}, S_0 - c_0\sqrt{\eta_0})$$

then there exists  $h_0 > 0$  such that, for all  $\eta \in (0, \eta_0]$ ,

$$0 \leq \mathcal{R}_1(\eta) + \mathcal{R}_2(\eta) \leq e^{-A/h}.$$

■

For all  $\eta \in (0, a)$ , we introduce

$$\mathcal{W}_2(\eta) := C_h^{\text{asy}} \int_{\eta}^a r|v_0(r)|a_0(r) \exp\left(-\frac{d(r)}{h} - \frac{r^2 + L^2}{4h}\right) \left(\int_{\eta}^{+\infty} G_h(r, t) dt\right) dr,$$

where  $C_h^{\text{asy}}$  is introduced in (5.6),  $G_h$  is introduced in (5.10) and  $a_0$  is introduced in Proposition 3.3. We will prove that  $\mathcal{W}_1(\eta)$  in Lemma 6.1 can be approximated by  $h^{-1/2}\mathcal{W}_2(\eta)$ , uniformly with respect to  $\eta \in (0, \eta_0]$ .

**Lemma 6.2.** *Let  $\eta_0$  be as introduced in Lemma 6.1. There exist constants  $h_0, M > 0$  such that, for all  $\eta \in (0, \eta_0]$  and  $h \in (0, h_0]$ , we have*

$$|\mathcal{W}_1(\eta) - h^{-1/2}\mathcal{W}_2(\eta)| \leq Mh^{1/2}\mathcal{W}_2(\eta) + \left|1 - \frac{C_h^{\text{asy}}}{C_h}\right| \mathcal{W}_1(\eta)$$

where  $\mathcal{W}_1(\eta)$  is as in Lemma 6.1. In particular, for all  $\eta \in (0, \eta_0]$ , we have

$$|w_{\ell,r}| \underset{h \rightarrow 0}{\sim} h^{-1/2}\mathcal{W}_2(\eta).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 6.1, it suffices to prove that  $\mathcal{W}_1(\eta) \underset{h \rightarrow 0}{\sim} h^{-1/2}\mathcal{W}_2(\eta)$  (and estimate the remainder terms). We write

$$g_{\eta}(r) = \int_{\eta}^{+\infty} G_h(r, t) dt, \quad \delta_h(r) = e^{d(r)/h}u_h(r) - h^{-1/2}a_0(r)$$

and

$$\mathcal{W}_1(\eta) = h^{-1/2}\mathcal{W}_2(\eta) + \mathcal{R}_1(\eta) + \mathcal{R}_2(\eta)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_1(\eta) &= (C_h - C_h^{\text{asy}}) \int_{\eta}^a r|v_0(r)|u_h(r)g_{\eta}(r) \exp\left(-\frac{r^2 + L^2}{4h}\right) dr, \\ \mathcal{R}_2(\eta) &= C_h^{\text{asy}} \int_{\eta}^a r|v_0(r)|\delta_h(r)g_{\eta}(r) \exp\left(-\frac{d(r)}{h} - \frac{r^2 + L^2}{4h}\right) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\mathcal{W}_1(\eta) = C_h \int_{\eta}^a r |v_0(r)| u_h(r) g_{\eta}(r) \exp\left(-\frac{r^2 + L^2}{4h}\right) dr.$$

Consequently

$$\mathcal{R}_1(\eta) = \left(1 - \frac{C_h^{\text{asy}}}{C_h}\right) \mathcal{W}_1(\eta) \underset{h \rightarrow 0}{=} o(\mathcal{W}_1(\eta)).$$

As for  $\mathcal{R}_2(\eta)$ , by (1.15),  $|\delta_h| = \mathcal{O}(h^{1/2})$ , and since  $a_0(\cdot) > 0$ , we have

$$\mathcal{W}_2(\eta) \geq \mathcal{W}_2^0(\eta) := \mathbf{m}_0 C_h^{\text{asy}} \int_{\eta}^a r |v_0(r)| g_{\eta}(r) \exp\left(-\frac{d(r)}{h} - \frac{r^2 + L^2}{4h}\right) dr$$

where

$$\mathbf{m}_0 = \min_{0 \leq r \leq a} a_0(r) > 0.$$

Consequently, and

$$|\mathcal{R}_2(\eta)| \leq \mathcal{O}(h^{1/2} \mathcal{W}_2^0(\eta)) = o(h^{-1/2} \mathcal{W}_2(\eta)).$$

■

We give a finer approximation of  $|w_{\ell,r}|$ , by replacing  $G_h$  in the definition of  $\mathcal{W}_2(\eta)$  by its approximation at infinity. At this level, unlike Lemmas 6.1 and 6.2, our estimates are no more uniform with respect to  $\eta \in (0, \eta_0]$ .

**Lemma 6.3.** *Let  $\eta \in (0, \eta_0]$ , where  $\eta_0$  is introduced in Lemma 6.1. Consider*

$$\mathcal{W}_3(\eta) := C_h^{\text{asy}} \int_{\eta}^a r |v_0(r)| a_0(r) \exp\left(-\frac{d(r)}{h} - \frac{r^2 + L^2}{4h}\right) \left(\int_{\eta}^{+\infty} G_h^{\text{asy}}(r, t) dt\right) dr,$$

where  $C_h^{\text{asy}}$  is introduced in (5.6),

$$G_h^{\text{asy}}(r, t) = \sqrt{h} \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{(r^2 + L^2)t}{2h} + \frac{Lr\sqrt{t(t+1)}}{h} - \alpha \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{t}\right)\right)}{(2\pi Lr)^{1/2} t^{5/4} (t+1)^{1/4}}$$

and  $\alpha$  is introduced in (5.5). Then, we have

$$|w_{\ell,r}| \underset{h \rightarrow 0}{\sim} h^{-1/2} \mathcal{W}_3(\eta).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 6.2, it suffices to prove that  $\mathcal{W}_2(\eta) \underset{h \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \mathcal{W}_3(\eta)$ . By (5.10), we observe that

$$G_h(r, t) = \frac{1}{t} I_0 \left( \frac{Lr\sqrt{t(t+1)}}{h} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{(r^2 + L^2)t}{2h} - \alpha \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{t}\right)\right).$$

By (5.11), for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $z_0 > 0$  such that

$$\forall z \geq z_0, \quad \left| I_0(z) - \frac{e^z}{\sqrt{2\pi z}} \right| < \epsilon \frac{e^z}{\sqrt{2\pi z}}.$$

In particular, there exists  $h_0 = h_0(\eta, \epsilon) > 0$  such that,

$$\forall r, t \geq \eta, \quad \forall h \in (0, h_0], \quad |G_h(r, t) - G_h^{\text{asy}}(r, t)| < \epsilon G_h^{\text{asy}}(r, t).$$

Writing

$$\mathcal{W}_2(\eta) = \mathcal{W}_3(\eta) + \mathcal{R}(\eta)$$

we get, for all  $h \in (0, h_0]$ ,

$$|\mathcal{R}(\eta)| \leq \epsilon \mathcal{W}_3(\eta)$$

which yields that  $\mathcal{R}(\eta) \underset{h \rightarrow 0}{=} o(\mathcal{W}_3(\eta))$ . ■

In our next step we get a new asymptotics from Lemma 6.3 by replacing  $\alpha$  by its approximation in (5.5). Recall that by (5.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \frac{\alpha_0}{h}, \\ \alpha_0 &= \alpha_0^{\text{main}} + \mathcal{O}(h^{3/2}), \\ \alpha_0^{\text{main}} &= \frac{|v_0^{\text{min}}|}{2} - \frac{h}{2} \left( \sqrt{1 + 2v_0''(0)} - 1 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

Inserting this into  $G_h^{\text{asy}}(r, t)$  in Lemma 6.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} G_h^{\text{main}}(r, t) &= \sqrt{\frac{h}{2\pi Lr}} g_0(t) \exp \left( -\frac{(r^2 + L^2)t}{2h} + \frac{Lr\sqrt{t(t+1)}}{h} - \frac{|v_0^{\text{min}}| \ln \left( 1 + \frac{1}{t} \right)}{2h} \right) \\ g_0(t) &= \frac{1}{t^{5/4}(t+1)^{1/4}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{1+2v_0''(0)}-1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

**Lemma 6.4.** *Let  $\eta \in (0, \eta_0]$ , where  $\eta_0$  is introduced in Lemma 6.1. Consider*

$$\mathcal{W}_4(\eta) := C_h^{\text{asy}} \int_{\eta}^a r |v_0(r)| a_0(r) \exp \left( -\frac{d(r)}{h} - \frac{r^2 + L^2}{4h} \right) \left( \int_{\eta}^{+\infty} G_h^{\text{main}}(r, t) dt \right) dr,$$

where  $C_h^{\text{asy}}$  is introduced in (5.6),  $G_h^{\text{main}}$  in (6.3) and  $\alpha_0^{\text{main}}$  in (6.2).

Then, we have

$$|w_{\ell, r}| \underset{h \rightarrow 0}{\sim} h^{-1/2} \mathcal{W}_4(\eta).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 6.3, it suffices to prove that  $\mathcal{W}_3(\eta) \underset{h \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \mathcal{W}_4(\eta)$ . Notice that

$$G_h^{\text{asy}}(r, t) = G_h^{\text{main}}(r, t) \exp \left( \frac{\alpha_0^{\text{main}} - \alpha_0}{h} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{1}{t} \right) \right)$$

and there exist  $h_0 = h_0(\eta) > 0$  and  $C_0 > 0$  such that,

$$\forall h \in (0, h_0], \forall t \geq \eta, 0 \leq \frac{\alpha_0^{\text{main}} - \alpha_0}{h} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{1}{t} \right) \leq C_0 h^{1/2} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\eta} \right).$$

This proves that  $G_h^{\text{asy}}(r, t) \underset{h \rightarrow 0}{\sim} G_h^{\text{main}}(r, t)$  uniformly with respect to  $(r, t) \in [\eta, a] \times [\eta, +\infty)$ . This yields

$$\mathcal{W}_3(\eta) - \mathcal{W}_4(\eta) \underset{h \rightarrow 0}{=} o(\mathcal{W}_4(\eta)).$$

■

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* Let  $\eta \in (0, \eta_0)$ , where  $\eta_0$  is introduced in Lemma 6.4. We set,

$$S(\mathbf{v}_0, \eta) = -F(\mathbf{v}_0) + \inf_{\substack{r \in [\eta, a] \\ t \in [\eta, +\infty)}} \Psi(r, t),$$

where

$$\Psi(r, t) := d(r) + \frac{r^2 + L^2}{4}(2t + 1) + \frac{|v_0^{\text{min}}|}{2} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{1}{t} \right) - Lr\sqrt{t(t+1)} \quad (6.4)$$

and  $F(\mathbf{v}_0)$  is introduced in (5.3).

Since the function  $\eta \mapsto S(\mathbf{v}_0, \eta)$  is monotone non-decreasing, we have

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} S(\mathbf{v}_0, \eta) =: S(\mathbf{v}_0), \quad (6.5)$$

and

$$S(\mathbf{v}_0) = -F(\mathbf{v}_0) + \inf_{\substack{r \in [0, a] \\ t \in (0, +\infty)}} \Psi(r, t). \quad (6.6)$$

So, by Lemma 6.4, it suffices to prove that,

$$\forall \eta \in (0, \eta_0), h \ln \mathcal{W}_4(\eta) \underset{h \rightarrow 0}{\sim} -S(\mathbf{v}_0, \eta). \quad (6.7)$$

Using the expression of  $C_h^{\text{asy}}$  in (5.6), we get by Lemma 6.4

$$\mathcal{W}_4(\eta) = \frac{\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{v}_0)}{\sqrt{2\pi h}} \int_{\eta}^a \sqrt{r} |v_0(r)| a_0(r) \int_{\eta}^{+\infty} g_0(t) \exp \left( -\frac{\Psi(r, t) - F(\mathbf{v}_0)}{h} \right) dt dr \quad (6.8)$$

where  $g_0(t)$  is introduced in (6.3). Since  $g_0$  is integrable on  $[\eta, +\infty)$ , we immediately get the following upper bound

$$\mathcal{W}_4(\eta) = \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi h}} e^{-\frac{S(\mathbf{v}_0, \eta)}{h}} \right)$$

from which it follows

$$\limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} (h \ln \mathcal{W}_4(\eta)) \leq -S(\mathbf{v}_0, \eta). \quad (6.9)$$

To prove a lower bound on  $\mathcal{W}_4(\eta)$ , pick an arbitrary  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  and consider the set

$$I_{\delta, \eta} = \{(r, t) \in [\eta, a] \times [\eta, +\infty) : \Psi(r, t) \leq F(\mathbf{v}_0) + S(\mathbf{v}_0, \eta) + \delta\}.$$

Since the integrand in the expression of  $\mathcal{W}_4(\eta)$  is positive, we have the lower bound

$$\mathcal{W}_4(\eta) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi h}} \left( \int_{I_{\delta, \eta}} \sqrt{r} |v_0(r)| a_0(r) g_0(t) dt dr \right) e^{-\frac{S(\mathbf{v}_0, \eta) + \delta}{h}}$$

from which we infer the lower bound

$$\liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} (h \ln \mathcal{W}_4(\eta)) \geq -S(\mathbf{v}_0, \eta) - \delta.$$

After sending  $\delta$  to 0 we eventually get

$$\limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} (h \ln \mathcal{W}_4(\eta)) \geq -S(\mathbf{v}_0, \eta). \quad (6.10)$$

Collecting (6.9) and (6.10), we finish the proof of (6.7). ■

**Remark 6.5** (Amplitude of the tunneling). *Our proof of Theorem 1.4 above only yields an asymptotics for the phase of the hopping coefficient,*

$$\ln |w_{\ell, r}| \underset{h \rightarrow 0}{\sim} -S(\mathbf{v}_0).$$

*We can estimate the amplitude of  $|w_{\ell, r}|$  if we know that the function  $\Psi$  in (6.4) has a unique non-degenerate minimum  $(r_*, t_*)$  on  $[0, a] \times (0, +\infty)$  such that  $0 < r_* < a$ . In this case, by the method of Laplace approximation, we get*

$$|w_{\ell, r}| \underset{h \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \Upsilon(\mathbf{v}_0) h^{-p(\mathbf{v}_0)} e^{-S(\mathbf{v}_0)/h},$$

*for some constants  $\Upsilon(\mathbf{v}_0) > 0$  and  $p(\mathbf{v}_0) \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

## Acknowledgments

This work was initiated while the second author visited LMJL at the university of Nantes in November 2021 and February 2022. The authors would like to acknowledge the support from the Fédération de recherche Mathématiques des Pays de Loire and Nantes Université. The first author thanks M. Weinstein for enlightening discussions around the first version of [5]. The second author is partially supported by the Center for Advanced Mathematical Sciences (CAMS, AUB).

## A Two comparison inequalities

Consider the constant  $S_0$  introduced in (4.1) and the two constants  $\hat{S}_0$  and  $\hat{S}_a$  introduced in Remark 5.8. Here  $a = a(\mathbf{v}_0)$  (see (1.2)).

**Proposition A.1.** *We have  $2\hat{S}_a > S_0$ .*

*Proof.* For all  $u \in [0, L]$ , consider the function

$$h(u) = \int_0^{L-u} \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} + v_0(\rho) - v_0^{\min}} d\rho - \int_{L-u}^L \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}} d\rho.$$

Notice that  $h(a) = 2\hat{S}_a - S_0$  and, for all  $u \in (0, L)$ ,

$$h'(u) = -\sqrt{\frac{(L-u)^2}{4} + v_0(L-u) - v_0^{\min}} - \sqrt{\frac{(L-u)^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}} < 0,$$

hence

$$h(a) > h(L) = 0.$$

■

**Proposition A.2.** *If  $a < \frac{3\sqrt{|v_0^{\min}|}}{2L} < \frac{3L}{4L+2}$ , then we have  $2\hat{S}_0 > S_0$ .*

*Proof.* For all  $u \in [0, \frac{L}{2}]$ , consider

$$g(u) = 2 \int_0^u \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} + v_0(\rho) - v_0^{\min}} d\rho + 3 \int_u^{\frac{L}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}} d\rho - \int_{\frac{L}{2}}^{L-u} \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}} d\rho.$$

Notice that

$$2\hat{S}_0 - S_a = g(a), \tag{A.1}$$

where  $S_a$  is introduced in (4.2). Assuming that  $L > \left(\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4} + 1\right)a + 4\sqrt{2|v_0^{\min}|}$ , we can prove that  $g(a) > g(0) > 0$ . In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} g'(u) &= 2\sqrt{\frac{u^2}{4} + v_0(u) - v_0^{\min}} - 3\sqrt{\frac{u^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}} + \sqrt{\frac{(L-u)^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}} \\ &\geq u - 3\sqrt{\frac{u^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}} + \sqrt{\frac{(L-u)^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}} \end{aligned}$$

and if  $L > \left(\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4} + 1\right)a + 4\sqrt{2|v_0^{\min}|}$  and  $0 < u < a$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\frac{(L-u)^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}} &> \sqrt{\frac{(L-a)^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}} \geq \frac{a}{2} + 3\sqrt{|v_0^{\min}|} \\ &> \frac{u}{2} + 3\sqrt{|v_0^{\min}|} \\ &= 3\left(\frac{u}{2} + \sqrt{|v_0^{\min}|}\right) - u \\ &\geq 3\sqrt{\frac{u^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}} - u. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, for  $u \in (0, a)$  and  $L > \left(\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4} + 1\right)a + 4\sqrt{2|v_0^{\min}|}$

$$g'(u) \geq \sqrt{\frac{(L-u)^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}} - \left(3\sqrt{\frac{u^2}{4} - v_0^{\min}} - u\right) > 0,$$

hence  $g(a) > g(0)$ . Computing  $g(0)$  we find

$$g(0) = \frac{L \left( \sqrt{L^2 + 16|v_0^{\min}|} - \sqrt{L^2 + 4|v_0^{\min}|} \right)}{4} - |v_0^{\min}| \left( \sinh^{-1} \left( \frac{L}{2\sqrt{|v_0^{\min}|}} \right) - 4 \sinh^{-1} \left( \frac{L}{4\sqrt{|v_0^{\min}|}} \right) \right).$$

We can express  $g(0)$  as follows

$$g(0) = |v_0^{\min}| f \left( \frac{L}{\sqrt{|v_0^{\min}|}} \right) \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where

$$f(u) = \frac{u}{4} (\sqrt{u^2 + 16} - \sqrt{u^2 + 4}) - \sinh^{-1} \left( \frac{u}{2} \right) + 4 \sinh^{-1} \left( \frac{u}{4} \right)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{du} \left[ -\sinh^{-1} \left( \frac{u}{2} \right) + 4 \sinh^{-1} \left( \frac{u}{4} \right) \right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{u^2}{16} + 1}} - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\frac{u^2}{4} + 1}} > 0.$$

Consequently, we find

$$f(u) > \frac{u}{4} (\sqrt{u^2 + 16} - \sqrt{u^2 + 4}) > 0$$

for all  $u > 0$ , thereby proving that  $g(0) > 0$ . Moreover, if

$$a < \frac{3L\sqrt{|v_0^{\min}|}}{(3\sqrt{|v_0^{\min}|} + L)(2\sqrt{|v_0^{\min}|} + L)} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

then by (1.28)

$$R_a < |v_0^{\min}| f \left( \frac{L}{\sqrt{|v_0^{\min}|}} \right)$$

and we get by (1.27)

$$2\hat{S}_0 - S_0 = 2\hat{S}_0 - S_a - R_a > 0.$$

Note that (A.3) and  $L > \left(\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4} + 1\right)a + 4\sqrt{2|v_0^{\min}|}$  are fulfilled when

$$a < \frac{3\sqrt{|v_0^{\min}|}}{2L} < \frac{3L}{4L + 2}.$$

■

## References

- [1] J. Bellissard.  $C^*$ -Algebras in solid state physics: 2-D electrons in a uniform magnetic field, Operator algebras and applications, Vol. 2, 49–76, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 136, Cambridge Univ. Press (1988).
- [2] V. Bonnamillie-Noël, F. Hérau, N. Raymond. Purely magnetic tunneling effect in two dimensions. *Invent. Math.* 227(2), 745-793 (2022).
- [3] H.L. Cycon, R.G. Froese, W. Kirsch, and B. Simon. Schrödinger operators, with application to quantum mechanics and global geometry. Springer Study edition. Texts and Monographs in Physics. Springer-Verlag, (1987).
- [4] M. Dimassi and J. Sjöstrand. Spectral Asymptotics in the Semi-Classical limit. London Mathematical Society. Lecture Note Series 268. Cambridge University Press (1999).
- [5] C. Fefferman, J. Shapiro, M. Weinstein. Lower bound on quantum tunneling for strong magnetic fields. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 54(1), 1105-1130 (2022). (see also arXiv:2006.08025v3).
- [6] S. Fournais and B. Helffer. Spectral methods in surface superconductivity. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications 77. Basel: Birkhäuser (2010).
- [7] S. Fournais, B. Helffer, A. Kachmar. Tunneling effect induced by a curved magnetic edge. R.L. Frank (ed.) et al., The physics and mathematics of Elliott Lieb. The 90th anniversary. Volume I. Berlin: European Mathematical Society (EMS). 315-350 (2022).
- [8] B. Helffer. Semi-classical analysis for the Schrödinger operator and applications. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1336, Berlin : Springer-Verlag (1988).
- [9] B. Helffer and J. Sjöstrand. Multiple wells in the semi-classical limit I. Communications in PDE 9 (4), 337-408 (1984).
- [10] B. Helffer and J. Sjöstrand. Effet tunnel pour l'équation de Schrödinger avec champ magnétique. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, Vol XIV, 4, 625–657 (1987).
- [11] B. Helffer and J. Sjöstrand. Equation de Schrödinger avec champ magnétique et équation de Harper, Partie I Champ magnétique fort, Partie II Champ magnétique faible, l'approximation de Peierls. Lecture notes in Physics, No 345 (éditeurs A. Jensen et H. Holden), 118-198 (1989).
- [12] B. Simon. Semiclassical analysis of low lying eigenvalues. I: Non-degenerate minima: Asymptotic expansions. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Sect. A* 38, 295-308 (1983).

- [13] N.M. Temme. Asymptotic Methods for Integrals (Series in Analysis Book 6). WSPC, 2014.