

# Spectral data asymptotics for the higher-order differential operators with distribution coefficients

Natalia P. Bondarenko

**Abstract.** In this paper, the asymptotics of the spectral data (eigenvalues and weight numbers) are obtained for the higher-order differential operators with distribution coefficients and separated boundary conditions. Additionally, we consider the case when, for the two boundary value problems, some coefficients of the differential expressions and of the boundary conditions coincide. We estimate the difference of their spectral data in this case.

Although the asymptotic behaviour of spectral data is well-studied for differential operators with regular (integrable) coefficients, to the best of the author's knowledge, there were no results in this direction for the higher-order differential operators with distribution coefficients (generalized functions) in a general form. The technique of this paper relies on the recently obtained regularization and the Birkhoff-type solutions for differential operators with distribution coefficients. Our results have applications to the theory of inverse spectral problems as well as a separate significance.

**Keywords:** higher-order differential operators; distribution coefficients; regularization; eigenvalue asymptotics; weight numbers.

**AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2020):** 34L20 34B09 34B05 34E05 34A55 46F10

## 1 Introduction

Consider the differential expression

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{2m+\tau}(y) := & y^{(2m+\tau)} + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{i_{2k}+k} (\sigma_{2k}^{(i_{2k})}(x) y^{(k)})^{(k)} \\ & + \sum_{k=0}^{m+\tau-2} (-1)^{i_{2k+1}+k+1} [(\sigma_{2k+1}^{(i_{2k+1})}(x) y^{(k)})^{(k+1)} + (\sigma_{2k+1}^{(i_{2k+1})}(x) y^{(k+1)})^{(k)}], \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\tau = 0, 1$ ,  $n = 2m + \tau$ ;  $(i_\nu)_{\nu=0}^{n-2}$  are integers such that  $0 \leq i_{2k+j} \leq m - k - j$ ,  $j = 0, 1$ ;  $(\sigma_\nu)_{\nu=0}^{n-2}$  are complex-valued functions satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\nu & \in L_1(0, 1), \quad \nu = \overline{0, n-2}, \\ \sigma_{2k+j} & \in L_2(0, 1) \quad \text{if } n = 2m, \quad i_{2k+j} = m - k - j, \quad j \in \{0, 1\}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

and the derivatives  $\sigma_\nu^{(i_\nu)}$  are understood in the sense of distributions.

The paper aims to study spectral data asymptotics for the differential equation

$$\ell_n(y) = \lambda y, \quad x \in (0, 1), \quad (1.3)$$

subject to separated boundary conditions. The results of this paper are applied in [1] to the inverse problem theory and also have a separate significance.

If the functions  $\sigma_\nu(x)$  are sufficiently smooth, then the differential expression (1.1) can be represented in the form

$$y^{(n)} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} q_k(x)y^{(k)}, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $(q_k)_{k=0}^{n-2}$  are some integrable functions. However, for differential operators with distribution coefficients, it is more convenient to consider the divergent form (1.1) following [2–4].

For regular differential operators (1.4), the standard approach to obtaining eigenvalue asymptotics is described in the classical monograph by Naimark [5]. In recent years, eigenvalue asymptotics of higher-order differential operators with non-smooth coefficients attract considerable attention (see, e.g., [6–9]).

For differential operators with distribution coefficients, the asymptotic behavior of the eigenvalues has been studied much less. In [10, 11], asymptotic formulas have been obtained for the eigenvalues of the Sturm-Liouville operators with potentials of class  $W_2^{-1}(0, 1)$  (i.e.  $n = 2$ ,  $i_0 = 1$  in (1.1)). In [12, 13], the eigenvalue asymptotics were studied for the even-order operator  $\frac{d^{2m}}{dx^{2m}}$  perturbed by distribution potential. For the higher-order differential operators with distribution coefficients of the general form (1.1), to the best of the author's knowledge, the asymptotic behaviour of the eigenvalues has not been investigated before.

Our treatment of the differential expression (1.1) relies on the regularization methods of [2, 3, 14]. Mirzoev and Shkalikov have developed the regularization approach to the differential expression (1.1) with  $i_{2k+j} = m - k - j$ ,  $j \in \{0, 1\}$  for an even order  $n = 2m$  in [2] and for an odd order  $n = 2m + 1$  in [3]. Vladimirov [14] has obtained an alternative construction, which can be used a wider class of differential operators than the results of [2, 3]. In particular, the approach of [14] has been applied to the differential expression of form (1.1) in [4]. It is worth mentioning that, in [2, 3, 14], the coefficients at  $y^{(n)}$  and  $y^{(n-1)}$  in the differential expression can be arbitrary functions of some classes. In this paper, we confine ourselves to the coefficients 1 and 0 at  $y^{(n)}$  and  $y^{(n-1)}$ , respectively, because this case is natural for the inverse problem theory (see [1, 4, 15]).

Let us briefly describe the regularization of the differential expression (1.1). The matrix function  $F(x) = [f_{k,j}(x)]_{k,j=1}^n$  associated with  $\ell_n(y)$  is constructed:  $F = F_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{n-2}}(\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-2})$ . The certain formulas for the associated matrix entries  $f_{k,j}(x)$  are provided in Section 2. By using the quasi-derivatives

$$y^{[0]} := y, \quad y^{[k]} := (y^{[k-1]})' - \sum_{j=1}^k f_{k,j} y^{[j-1]}, \quad k = \overline{1, n}, \quad (1.5)$$

equation (1.3) is reduced to the equivalent system

$$\vec{y}' = (F(x) + \Lambda)\vec{y}, \quad x \in (0, 1), \quad (1.6)$$

where  $\vec{y}(x) = \text{col}(y^{[0]}(x), y^{[1]}(x), \dots, y^{[n-1]}(x))$ ,  $\Lambda$  is the  $(n \times n)$  matrix whose entry at the position  $(n, 1)$  equals  $\lambda$  and all the other entries equal 0.

Define the boundary conditions

$$\left. \begin{aligned} U_s(y) &:= y^{[p_s]}(0) + \sum_{j=1}^{p_s} u_{s,j} y^{[j-1]}(0) = 0, & s = \overline{1, r}, \\ U_s(y) &:= y^{[p_s]}(1) + \sum_{j=1}^{p_s} u_{s,j} y^{[j-1]}(1) = 0, & s = \overline{r+1, n}, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.7)$$

where  $r \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  is fixed,  $p_s \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$  for  $s = \overline{1, n}$ ,  $p_s \neq p_k$  for  $1 \leq s < k \leq r$  and for  $r+1 \leq s < k \leq n$ . It can be easily shown that the spectrum of the boundary value problem (1.3),(1.7) is a countable set of eigenvalues (see [15]). In [15], the spectra of several problems of form (1.3),(1.7) have been used as the spectral data of the inverse problem.

The first result of this paper is the following theorem, which describes the asymptotic behaviour of the eigenvalues.

**Theorem 1.1.** *The eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_l\}_{l \geq 1}$  of the boundary value problem (1.3),(1.7) satisfy the relation*

$$\lambda_l = (-1)^{n-r} \left( \frac{\pi}{\sin \frac{\pi r}{n}} (l + \chi + \varepsilon_l) \right)^n, \quad l \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \{\varepsilon_l\} \in l_2, \quad (1.8)$$

where the constant  $\chi$  depend only on  $n, r, (p_s)_{s=1}^n$  and do not depend on  $(\sigma_\nu)_{\nu=0}^{n-2}$  and  $u_{s,j}$ ,  $s = \overline{1, n}$ ,  $j = \overline{1, p_s}$ .

In the inverse problem theory [1], it is convenient to recover the coefficients  $\sigma_{n-2}, \sigma_{n-3}, \dots, \sigma_1, \sigma_0$  one-by-one. Therefore, the question arises:

*If  $(\sigma_\nu)_{\nu=\nu_0}^{n-2}$  are known, then what can be said about the eigenvalue asymptotics?*

We give a rigorous answer to this question in Theorem 1.2. Denote by  $\mathcal{L}$  the boundary value problem (1.3),(1.7) and by  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  the boundary value problem of the same form but with the coefficients  $(\sigma_\nu)$  and  $(u_{s,j})$  replaced by  $(\tilde{\sigma}_\nu)$  and  $(\tilde{u}_{s,j})$ , respectively. The numbers  $n, r, (i_\nu)$ , and  $(p_s)$  for the problems  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  are the same. Throughout the paper, if a symbol  $\gamma$  denotes an object related to the problem without tilde, then  $\tilde{\gamma}$  denotes the similar object related to the problem with tilde, and  $\hat{\gamma} = \gamma - \tilde{\gamma}$ . Consider the values  $\rho_l$  and  $\tilde{\rho}_l$  from the asymptotics (1.8) for the problems  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ , respectively.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Suppose that  $\sigma_\nu(x) = \tilde{\sigma}_\nu(x)$  for a.e.  $x \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\nu = \overline{\nu_0, n-2}$ . Denote*

$$d := n - 1 - \max_{\nu=0, \nu_0-1} (\nu + i_\nu), \quad (1.9)$$

$$\mathcal{N}_d := \{\nu = \overline{0, \nu_0-1} : d = n - 1 - (\nu + i_\nu)\}, \quad \mathcal{N}_d^0 := \{\nu \in \mathcal{N}_d : i_\nu = 0\},$$

and assume that  $u_{s,p_s-j} = \tilde{u}_{s,p_s-j}$  for  $j = \overline{0, d-2}$ ,  $s = \overline{1, n}$ . Then

$$\rho_l - \tilde{\rho}_l = l^{-d}(\hat{c} + \delta_l), \quad \delta_l = o(1), \quad l \rightarrow \infty,$$

where the constant  $\hat{c}$  depends on the numbers

$$\int_0^1 \hat{\sigma}_\nu(x) dx, \quad \nu \in \mathcal{N}_d^0, \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{u}_{s,p_s-d+1}, \quad s = \overline{1, n}. \quad (1.10)$$

In particular,  $\hat{c} = 0$  if all the numbers (1.10) equal zero. If  $\hat{\sigma}_\nu \in L_2(0, 1)$ ,  $\nu \in \mathcal{N}_d$ , then  $\{\delta_l\} \in l_2$ .

Theorem 1.2 helps to improve the asymptotics of Theorem 1.1 for odd  $n$  and so leads to the following result.

**Corollary 1.3.** *For  $n = 2m + 1$ , the remainder  $\varepsilon_l$  in (1.8) has the form*

$$\varepsilon_l = \frac{\chi_1}{l} + \frac{\varepsilon_{l,1}}{l}, \quad \varepsilon_{l,1} = o(1), \quad l \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1.11)$$

and the constant  $\chi_1$  depends on  $\int_0^1 \sigma_{n-2}(x) dx$  and  $u_{s,p_s}$ ,  $s = \overline{1, n}$ . If  $\sigma_{n-2} \in L_2(0, 1)$  and  $(\sigma_{n-3} \in L_2(0, 1), i_{n-3} = 1 \text{ or } i_{n-3} = 0)$ , then  $\{\varepsilon_{l,1}\} \in l_2$ .

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we use the approach of Naimark [5] and the Birkhoff-type solutions constructed by Savchuk and Shkalikov [16]. The proof of Theorem 1.2 relies on the special structure of the matrix function  $F(x)$  associated with the differential expression  $\ell_n(y)$ . We develop the technique of [16] to study the difference of the corresponding Birkhoff-type solutions for the problem  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ . Further, we follow the proof strategy of Theorem 1.1 to analyze the difference of the eigenvalues.

In addition, we obtain the analogs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 for the weight numbers defined in Section 6. The weight numbers together with the eigenvalues are used as spectral data for recovering higher-order differential operators with distribution coefficients in [1].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, equation (1.3) is transformed to the first-order system (1.6) and then (1.6) is reduced to a more convenient form for studying solution asymptotics. Section 3 is devoted to the Birkhoff-type solutions of equation (1.3) with the certain asymptotic behavior for large values of the spectral parameter. We formulate the necessary propositions from [16] and study the difference of the Birkhoff-type solutions for the problems  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.2. In Section 4, the proofs of Theorems 1.1, 1.2, and Corollary 1.3 are provided. In Section 5, the main results on the eigenvalue asymptotics are illustrated by several examples. Section 6 contains the definition of the weight numbers and the analogs of Theorems 1.1, 1.2 for the weight numbers supplied by the proofs.

Throughout the paper, we use the following **notations**.

- The same symbol  $C$  denotes various constants independent of  $x$ ,  $\rho$ , etc.
- $I$  denotes the  $(n \times n)$  unit matrix.
- $\delta_{jk}$  is the Kronecker delta.
- We use the following vector and matrix norms:

$$\|a\| = \max_i |a_i|, \quad a = [a_i]_{i=1}^n, \quad \|A\| = \max_{i,j} |a_{ij}|, \quad A = [a_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n.$$

- $\text{diag}\{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n\}$  is the diagonal matrix with the entries  $(d_k)_{k=1}^n$  on the main diagonal.
- For a matrix  $A = [a_{kj}]_{k,j=1}^n$ , we denote by  $\text{diag}(A)$  the diagonal matrix  $\text{diag}\{a_{11}, a_{22}, \dots, a_{nn}\}$ .
- We use the same notation  $L_\mu(0, 1)$ ,  $\mu \in [1, \infty]$ , for the space of scalar functions, for the space of vector functions

$$Y = [y_j]_{j=1}^n, \quad y_j \in L_\mu(0, 1), \quad \|Y\|_{L_\mu} = \max_j \|y_j\|_{L_\mu},$$

and for the space of matrix functions

$$A = [a_{kj}]_{k,j=1}^n, \quad a_{kj} \in L_\mu(0, 1), \quad \|A\|_{L_\mu} = \max_{k,j} \|a_{kj}\|_{L_\mu}.$$

- The notation  $\{\varkappa_l\}$  is used for various sequences of  $l_2$ .
- $\lambda = \rho^n$ ,  $\dot{f}(\rho) = \frac{d}{d\rho} f(\rho)$ .

## 2 Reduction to first-order systems

In this section, equation (1.3) is reduced to the system (1.6) and then to the form (2.6), which is more convenient for studying the asymptotics of solutions. This section is based on the results of [2, 4, 14, 16].

The associated matrix  $F(x)$  defined by the coefficients  $(\sigma_\nu)_{\nu=0}^{n-2}$  of the differential expression (1.1) as follows.

**Definition 2.1.** Define the matrix  $Q(x) = [q_{\xi,j}(x)]_{\xi,j=0}^m$  by the following formulas:

$$Q(x) := \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-2} \sigma_\nu(x) \chi_{\nu,i_\nu}, \quad \chi_{\nu,i} = [\chi_{\nu,i;\xi,j}]_{\xi,j=0}^m,$$

$$\chi_{2k,i;s+k,i-s+k} = C_i^s, \quad s = \overline{0, i},$$

$$\chi_{2k+1,i;s+k,i+1-s+k} = C_{i+1}^s - 2C_i^{s-1}, \quad s = \overline{0, i+1},$$

and all the other entries  $\chi_{\nu,i;\xi,j}$  equal zero. Here and below,  $C_i^s = \frac{i!}{s!(i-s)!}$  are the binomial coefficients,  $C_i^{-1} := 0$ . Then, by using the elements  $q_{\xi,j}$ , define the elements of the matrix function  $F(x) = [f_{k,j}]_{k,j=1}^n$  as follows:

$$n = 2m: \quad \begin{cases} f_{m,j} := (-1)^{m+1} q_{j-1,m}, & j = \overline{1, m}, \\ f_{k,m+1} := (-1)^{k+1} q_{m,2m-k}, & k = \overline{m+1, 2m}, \\ f_{k,j} := (-1)^{k+1} q_{j-1,2m-k} + (-1)^{m+k} q_{j-1,m} q_{m,2m-k}, & k = \overline{m+1, 2m}, j = \overline{1, m}, \end{cases}$$

$$n = 2m+1: \quad f_{k,j} := (-1)^k q_{j-1,2m+1-k}, \quad k = \overline{m+1, 2m+1}, j = \overline{1, m+1}.$$

The other elements are defined as  $f_{k,j} = \delta_{k+1,j}$ .

Definition 2.1 together with the condition (1.2) imply

$$f_{k,j} \in L_1(0,1), \quad f_{k,k} \in L_2(0,1), \quad 1 \leq j \leq k \leq n, \quad \text{trace}(F(x)) = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Suppose that  $y \in W_{2,loc}^m(0,1)$  if for some indices  $\nu \in \{0, \dots, n-2\}$  the condition (1.2) implies  $\sigma_\nu \in L_2(0,1)$  and  $y \in W_{1,loc}^m(0,1)$  otherwise. Then  $\ell_n(y)$  is correctly defined in the space  $\mathfrak{D}'$  of the linear functionals (generalized functions) on  $\mathfrak{D} = C_0^\infty(0,1)$  (see [4, Lemma 2.1]). If  $y \in \mathcal{D}_F$ ,

$$\mathcal{D}_F := \{y: y^{[k]} \in AC_{loc}(0,1), k = \overline{0, n-1}\},$$

then  $\ell_n(y)$  is a regular function and  $\ell_n(y) = y^{[n]}$  (see [4, Theorem 2.2]). Then, instead of equation (1.3), one can consider the system (1.6). Indeed, in view of (1.5), the first  $(n-1)$  rows of (1.6) coincide with the definition of the quasi-derivatives  $y^{[k]}$ ,  $k = \overline{1, n-1}$ , and the last row is  $y^{[n]} = \lambda y$ . Below, we say that  $y$  is a *solution* of equation (1.3) if  $y \in \mathcal{D}_F$  and the vector function  $\vec{y}(x) = \text{col}(y^{[0]}(x), y^{[1]}(x), \dots, y^{[n-1]}(x))$  satisfies (1.6).

Let  $\lambda = \rho^n$ . The change of variables  $\vec{y}(x) = \text{diag}\{1, \rho, \dots, \rho^{n-1}\}u(x)$  transforms the system (1.6) into

$$u'(x) = F(x, \rho)u, \quad x \in (0,1), \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$F(x, \rho) = \rho F_{-1} + F_0(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \rho^{-k} F_k(x),$$

$$F_{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and the matrix functions  $F_k(x)$  are formed by the corresponding lower diagonals of  $F(x)$ . The relations (2.1) imply  $F_0 \in L_2(0, 1)$ ,  $F_k \in L_1(0, 1)$ ,  $k = \overline{1, n-1}$ .

Following the standard ideas described in the book of Naimark [5], we consider the partition of the  $\rho$ -plane into the sectors

$$\Gamma_\kappa = \left\{ \rho: \frac{\pi(\kappa-1)}{n} < \arg \rho < \frac{\pi\kappa}{n} \right\}, \quad \kappa = \overline{1, 2n}. \quad (2.3)$$

Fix a sector  $\Gamma_\kappa$ . Denote by  $\{\omega_k\}_{k=1}^n$  the roots of the equation  $\omega^n = 1$  numbered so that

$$\operatorname{Re}(\rho\omega_1) < \operatorname{Re}(\rho\omega_2) < \dots < \operatorname{Re}(\rho\omega_n), \quad \rho \in \Gamma_\kappa. \quad (2.4)$$

We also define the extended sector (see Fig. 1):

$$\Gamma_{\kappa,h} := \left\{ \rho \in \mathbb{C}: \rho + h \exp\left(\frac{i\pi(\kappa-1/2)}{n}\right) \in \Gamma_\kappa \right\}, \quad h > 0. \quad (2.5)$$




Figure 1. Sectors

Put  $B := \operatorname{diag}\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n\}$ ,  $\Omega := [\omega_k^{j-1}]_{j,k=1}^n$ . Obviously,  $\Omega^{-1}F_{-1}\Omega = B$ . By changing the variables  $w(x) := \Omega^{-1}u(x)$ , we reduce the system (2.2) to the form

$$w' = \rho Bw + A(x, \rho)w, \quad x \in (0, 1), \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$A(x, \rho) = A_0(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \rho^{-k} A_k(x), \quad (2.7)$$

$$A_k(x) = \Omega^{-1}F_k(x)\Omega, \quad k = \overline{0, n-1}.$$

Thus, instead of equation (1.3), one can consider the system (2.6). It follows from (2.1) and (2.7) that  $A_0 \in L_2(0, 1)$ ,  $A_k \in L_1(0, 1)$ ,  $k = \overline{1, n-1}$ ,  $\operatorname{diag}(A_0(x)) \equiv 0$ .

### 3 Birkhoff-type solutions

In this section, we study the Birkhoff-type solutions with certain asymptotic behavior as  $|\rho| \rightarrow \infty$  for the system (2.6) and for equation (1.3). The Birkhoff-type fundamental systems of solutions (FSS) for the first-order systems which generalize (2.6) have been constructed in [16, 17]. In this paper, we use the approach of Savchuk and Shkalikov [16]. First, we provide the necessary notations and results of [16] specified for the system (2.6). Second, we consider the problems  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.2 and investigate the difference of the corresponding Birkhoff-type solutions. The main results for the latter case are formulated in Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 3.6.

Suppose that  $A(x, \rho)$  is an arbitrary matrix function of form (2.7), where  $A_k \in L_1(0, 1)$ ,  $k = \overline{0, n-1}$ ,  $\text{diag}(A_0(x)) \equiv 0$ ,  $\Gamma_{\kappa, h}$  is a fixed sector of form (2.5),  $\{\omega_j\}_{j=1}^n$  are the roots of the equation  $\omega^n = 1$  numbered in the order (2.4).

Denote the elements of the matrices  $A_k(x)$  and  $A(x, \rho)$  by  $a_{k, jl}$  and  $v_{jl}(x, \rho) = a_{0, jl}(x) + r_{jl}(x, \rho)$ ,  $j, l = \overline{1, n}$ , respectively. Put

$$v_{jkl}(s, x, \rho) := (\pm)_{jk}(\pm)_{lk} \int a_{0, jl}(t) \exp(\rho[(\omega_l - \omega_k)(t - s) + (\omega_j - \omega_k)(x - t)]) dt, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\varrho_{jkl}(s, x, \rho) := (\pm)_{jk}(\pm)_{lk} \int r_{jl}(t, \rho) \exp(\rho[(\omega_l - \omega_k)(t - s) + (\omega_j - \omega_k)(x - t)]) dt, \quad (3.2)$$

where  $(\pm)_{jk} = \begin{cases} -1, & j < k \\ 1, & j \geq k \end{cases}$ , the integration is taken over the intervals

$$\begin{cases} (x, s), & \text{if } j, l < k, \\ (\max\{x, s\}, 1), & \text{if } j < k \leq l, \\ (0, \min\{x, s\}), & \text{if } l < k \leq j, \\ (s, x), & \text{if } k \leq j, l, \end{cases}$$

and the integrals are assumed to be zero if the upper limit is less than the lower one. In view of (2.4), the exponents in (3.1) and (3.2) are bounded:

$$|\exp(\rho[(\omega_l - \omega_k)(t - s) + (\omega_j - \omega_k)(x - t)])| \leq C, \quad \rho \in \overline{\Gamma}_{\kappa, h}.$$

Introduce the region  $\mathcal{G} := \{\rho \in \Gamma_{\kappa, h} : |\rho| \geq \rho^*\}$  for some  $\rho^* > 0$ . In view of (2.7), we have

$$\|r_{jl}(\cdot, \rho)\|_{L_1} \leq C|\rho|^{-1}, \quad \rho \in \overline{\mathcal{G}},$$

and so

$$\max_{j, k, l, s, x} |\varrho(s, x, \rho)| \leq C|\rho|^{-1}. \quad (3.3)$$

Denote

$$\Upsilon(\rho) := \max_{j, k, l, s, x} |v_{jkl}(s, x, \rho)|. \quad (3.4)$$

**Proposition 3.1** ([16]). *For any fixed sector  $\Gamma_{\kappa, h}$  and some  $\rho^* > 0$ , the system (2.6) has a fundamental solution matrix  $w(x, \rho)$  of form*

$$w(x, \rho) = (I + \mathcal{E}(x, \rho)) \exp(\rho Bx), \quad (3.5)$$

where  $\mathcal{E}(x, \rho)$  is continuous for  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\rho \in \overline{\mathcal{G}}$ , analytic in  $\rho$  for each fixed  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\rho \in \mathcal{G}$ , and

$$\max_x \|\mathcal{E}(x, \rho)\| \leq C(\Upsilon(\rho) + |\rho|^{-1}), \quad \rho \in \overline{\mathcal{G}}. \quad (3.6)$$

**Proposition 3.2** ([16]).  $\Upsilon(\rho) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|\rho| \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\rho \in \Gamma_{\kappa, h}$ .

We call a sequence  $\{\rho_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  *non-condensing* if

$$\beta := \sum (N(t+1) - N(t)) < \infty, \quad N(t) := \#\{k \in \mathbb{N}: |\rho_k| \leq t\}.$$

**Proposition 3.3** ([18]). Suppose that  $A_0 \in L_{\mu}(0, 1)$ ,  $\mu \in (1, 2]$ , and  $\{\rho_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is a non-condensing sequence in  $\mathcal{G}$ . Then the sequence  $\{\Upsilon(\rho_k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  belongs to  $l_{\mu'}$ ,  $\frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{1}{\mu'} = 1$ , and

$$\|\{\Upsilon(\rho_k)\}\|_{l_{\mu'}} \leq C \|A_0\|_{L_{\mu}},$$

where the constant  $C$  depends only on  $h$ ,  $\rho^*$ , and  $\beta$ .

Along with the system (2.6), consider the system

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{w}' &= \rho B \tilde{w} + \tilde{A}(x, \rho) \tilde{w}, \quad x \in (0, 1), \\ \tilde{A}(x, \rho) &= \tilde{A}_0(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \rho^{-k} \tilde{A}_k(x). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Suppose that  $\tilde{A}_k \in L_1(0, 1)$ ,  $k = \overline{0, n-1}$ , and  $\text{diag}(\tilde{A}_0(x)) \equiv 0$ .

Consider the difference  $\hat{w}(x, \rho) = w(x, \rho) - \tilde{w}(x, \rho)$  of the fundamental solutions defined by Proposition 3.1 for the systems (2.6) and (3.7).

**Theorem 3.4.** Suppose that

$$A_k(x) = \tilde{A}_k(x) \text{ a.e. on } (0, 1), \quad k = \overline{0, d-1}, \tag{3.8}$$

for a fixed  $d \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{w}(x, \rho) &= \hat{\mathcal{E}}(x, \rho) \exp(\rho B x), \\ \max_x \left\| \rho^d \hat{\mathcal{E}}(x, \rho) - \int_0^x \text{diag}(\hat{A}_d(t)) dt \right\| &\leq C(\Upsilon(\rho) + \Upsilon_d(\rho) + |\rho|^{-1}), \quad \rho \in \overline{\mathcal{G}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$\Upsilon(\rho) := \max_{j, k, l, s, x} \{|v_{jkl}(s, x, \rho)|, |\tilde{v}_{jkl}(s, x, \rho)|\}, \tag{3.10}$$

$$\Upsilon_d(\rho) := \max_{j \neq k; x} |\alpha_{jk}(x, \rho)|, \quad \alpha_{jk}(x, \rho) := \int_{b_{jk}}^x \hat{a}_{d,jk}(t) \exp(\rho(\omega_j - \omega_k)(x-t)) dt, \tag{3.11}$$

$$b_{jk} := \begin{cases} 0, & j \geq k, \\ 1, & j < k. \end{cases} \tag{3.12}$$

Clearly, Propositions 3.2 and 3.3 are valid for  $\Upsilon(\rho)$  defined by (3.10). Proposition 3.2 can be similarly proved for  $\Upsilon_d(\rho)$ . Proposition 3.3 is valid for  $\Upsilon_d(\rho)$  if  $A_0$  is replaced with  $A_d$ .

*Proof of Theorem 3.4.* In this proof, we apply the technique of [16]. By changing the variables  $w(x, \rho) = z(x, \rho) \exp(\rho B x)$ ,  $z(x, \rho) = [z_{jk}(x, \rho)]_{j, k=1}^n$ , we reduce the system (2.6) to the form

$$z' = \rho(Bz - zB) + A(x, \rho)z, \quad x \in (0, 1).$$

By integrating the latter system with the initial conditions

$$z_{jk}(1, \rho) = 0, \quad j < k, \quad z_{jk}(0, \rho) = \delta_{jk}, \quad j \geq k,$$



we obtain the integral equations

$$z_{jk}(x, \rho) - \delta_{jk} = \sum_{l=1}^n \int_{b_{jk}}^x v_{jl}(t, \rho) \exp(\rho(\omega_j - \omega_k)(x - t)) z_{lk}(t, \rho) dt, \quad j, k = \overline{1, n}, \quad (3.13)$$

where  $b_{jk}$  are defined by (3.12). The matrix function  $w(x, \rho) = z(x, \rho) \exp(\rho Bx)$  that is constructed by the solution  $z(x, \rho)$  of the system (3.13) is the fundamental matrix of Proposition 3.1.

For each fixed  $k$ , the system (3.13) implies

$$z_k = z_k^0 + V_k z_k, \quad (3.14)$$

where  $z_k = z_k(x, \rho)$  is the  $k$ -th column of  $z(x, \rho)$ ,  $z_k^0$  is the  $k$ -th column of  $I$ , and  $V_k = V_k(\rho)$  is the integral operator given by the right-hand side of (3.13). The similar relation can be obtained for the system (3.7):

$$\tilde{z}_k = z_k^0 + \tilde{V}_k \tilde{z}_k. \quad (3.15)$$

Subtracting (3.15) from (3.14), we get

$$\hat{z}_k = \hat{V}_k z_k + \tilde{V}_k \hat{z}_k,$$

where  $\hat{z}_k = z_k - \tilde{z}_k$ ,  $\hat{V}_k = V_k - \tilde{V}_k$ . Formal calculations show that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{z}_k &= \hat{V}_k z_k + \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \tilde{V}_k^{\nu} \hat{V}_k z_k \\ &= \hat{V}_k z_k^0 + \hat{V}_k V_k z_k^0 + \hat{V}_k V_k^2 z_k + \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \tilde{V}_k^{2\nu} (\tilde{V}_k \hat{V}_k z_k + \tilde{V}_k^2 \hat{V}_k z_k). \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

It has been proved in [16] that

$$\|z_k\| \leq C, \quad (3.17)$$

$$\|V_k z_k^0\|_{L_\infty} \leq C(\Upsilon(\rho) + |\rho|^{-1}), \quad (3.18)$$

$$\|V_k^2\|_{L_\infty \rightarrow L_\infty}, \|\tilde{V}_k^2\|_{L_\infty \rightarrow L_\infty} \leq C(\Upsilon(\rho) + |\rho|^{-1}), \quad (3.19)$$

for  $\rho \in \overline{\Gamma}_{\kappa, h}$ ,  $|\rho| \geq \rho^*$ . We suppose that  $\rho$  belongs to this region everywhere below in this proof. Here and below, the notation  $\|\cdot\|_{L_\infty \rightarrow L_\infty}$  is used for the operator norm in the vector space  $L_\mu(0, 1)$ .

By virtue of (3.8), we have

$$\hat{A}(x, \rho) = \sum_{k=d}^{\infty} \rho^{-k} \hat{A}_k(x).$$

Hence

$$\|\hat{v}_{jl}(\cdot, \rho)\|_{L_1} \leq C|\rho|^{-1}. \quad (3.20)$$

Using this estimate together with (3.1) and (3.13), we obtain

$$\|\hat{V}_k\|_{L_\infty \rightarrow L_\infty} \leq C|\rho|^{-d}. \quad (3.21)$$

The estimates (3.18) and (3.19) together imply

$$\|\hat{V}_k V_k z_k^0\|_{L_\infty} \leq C|\rho|^{-d}(\Upsilon(\rho) + |\rho|^{-1}). \quad (3.22)$$

By using (3.17), (3.19), and (3.21), we get

$$\|\hat{V}_k V_k^2 z_k\|_{L_\infty}, \|\tilde{V}_k^2 \hat{V}_k z_k\|_{L_\infty} \leq C|\rho|^{-d}(\Upsilon(\rho) + |\rho|^{-1}). \quad (3.23)$$

It remains to estimate the term  $\tilde{V}_k \hat{V}_k z_k$ . For this purpose, we will show that

$$\|\hat{V}_k V_k\|_{L_\infty \rightarrow L_\infty} \leq C|\rho|^{-d}(\Upsilon(\rho) + |\rho|^{-1}). \quad (3.24)$$

Let  $f$  be an arbitrary vector function of  $L_\infty(0, 1)$  and  $g = \tilde{V}_k \hat{V}_k f$ . In the element-wise form

$$g_j(x, \rho) = \sum_{l,m=1}^n \int_{b_{jk}}^x \tilde{v}_{jl}(t, \rho) \exp(\rho(\omega_j - \omega_k)(x - t)) \\ \times \int_{b_{lk}}^t \hat{v}_{lm}(s, \rho) \exp(\rho(\omega_l - \omega_k)(t - s)) f_m(s) ds dt.$$

By changing the integration order and taking (3.1), (3.2) into account, we derive

$$g_j(x, \rho) = \sum_{\xi=1}^n \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{l=1}^n \hat{v}_{l\xi}(s, \rho) (\tilde{v}_{jkl}(s, x, \rho) + \tilde{q}_{jkl}(s, x, \rho)) \right) f_\xi(s) ds.$$

By using (3.3) for  $\tilde{q}_{jkl}$ , (3.10), and (3.20), we obtain the estimate

$$\max_{x,j} |g_j(x, \rho)| \leq C|\rho|^{-d}(\Upsilon(\rho) + |\rho|^{-1}) \max_{\xi,s} |f_\xi(s)|,$$

which yields (3.24).

In view of (3.19) and Proposition 3.2, one can choose  $\rho^*$  so that

$$\|\tilde{V}_k^2(\rho)\|_{L_\infty \rightarrow L_\infty} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad |\rho| \geq \rho^*. \quad (3.25)$$

Combining (3.16), (3.21)–(3.25), we obtain

$$\|\hat{z}_k - \hat{V}_k z_k^0\|_{L_\infty} \leq C|\rho|^{-d}(\Upsilon(\rho) + |\rho|^{-1}). \quad (3.26)$$

Now consider the vector function  $\varepsilon_k = \hat{V}_k z_k^0$  with the elements

$$\varepsilon_{jk}(x, \rho) = \int_{b_{jk}}^x \hat{v}_{jk}(t, \rho) \exp(\rho(\omega_j - \omega_k)(x - t)) dt$$

Since

$$\max_{j,k} \|\rho^d \hat{v}_{jk}(\cdot, \rho) - \hat{a}_{d,jk}(\cdot, \rho)\|_{L_1} \leq C|\rho|^{-1},$$

we have

$$\max_{j,x} |\rho^d \varepsilon_{jj}(x, \rho) - \hat{a}_{d,jj}(x)| \leq C|\rho|^{-1}, \quad \max_{j \neq k; x} |\varepsilon_{jk}(x, \rho)| \leq C|\rho|^{-d}(\Upsilon_d(\rho) + |\rho|^{-1}).$$

Combining the latter estimates with (3.26), we obtain (3.9) for  $\hat{\mathcal{E}}(x, \rho) = \hat{z}(x, \rho)$ .  $\square$

Now, we apply the obtained results to equation (1.3). Returning from the system (2.6) back to (2.2) and then to (1.6) (which is equivalent to (1.3)), we arrive at Proposition 3.5, which is an immediate corollary of Proposition 3.1. For the Mirzoev-Shkalikov case  $n = 2m$ ,  $i_{2k+j} = m - k - j$ ,  $j = 0, 1$ , Proposition 3.5 has been obtained in [16].

**Proposition 3.5.** For any fixed sector  $\Gamma_{\kappa,h}$  and some  $\rho^* > 0$ , equation (1.3) has a FSS  $\{y_k(x, \rho)\}_{k=1}^n$  whose quasi-derivatives  $y_k^{[j]}(x, \rho)$ ,  $k = \overline{1, n}$ ,  $j = \overline{0, n-1}$ , are continuous for  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\rho \in \overline{\mathcal{G}}$ , analytic in  $\rho \in \mathcal{G}$ , for each fixed  $x \in [0, 1]$ , and satisfy the relation

$$y_k^{[j]}(x, \rho) = (\rho\omega_k)^j \exp(\rho\omega_k x)(1 + \zeta_{jk}(x, \rho)), \quad (3.27)$$

where

$$\max_{j,k,x} |\zeta_{jk}(x, \rho)| \leq C(\Upsilon(\rho) + |\rho|^{-1}), \quad \rho \in \overline{\mathcal{G}}, \quad (3.28)$$

and  $\Upsilon(\rho)$  is defined by (3.4).

Consider the differential expressions  $\ell_n(y)$  and  $\tilde{\ell}_n(y)$  of form (1.1) with the coefficients  $(\sigma_\nu)$  and  $(\tilde{\sigma}_\nu)$ , respectively, and  $i_\nu = \tilde{i}_\nu$ ,  $\nu = \overline{0, n-2}$ . Suppose that

$$\sigma_\nu(x) = \tilde{\sigma}_\nu(x) \text{ a.e. on } (0, 1), \quad \nu = \overline{\nu_0, n-2}, \quad (3.29)$$

for a fixed  $\nu_0 \in \{1, \dots, n-2\}$ . Let us study the influence of this condition on the matrices  $F(x)$  and  $\tilde{F}(x)$ .

According to Definition 2.1, the coefficient  $\sigma_\nu$  influences on the lower diagonal of the matrix  $F(x)$  with the index  $d_\nu = n-1 - (\nu + i_\nu)$  and, in some cases, on the diagonals with greater indices. We mean that the diagonal containing the entry  $f_{k,j}$ ,  $k \geq j$ , has the index  $(k-j)$ . That is, the main diagonal has index 0, the next lower diagonal, index 1, etc. (see Fig. 2). Consequently, the condition (3.29) implies that the corresponding diagonals of the matrices  $F(x)$  and  $\tilde{F}(x)$  with indices  $0, 1, \dots, (d-1)$  coincide, where

$$d := n-1 - \max_{\nu=0, \nu_0-1} (\nu + i_\nu). \quad (3.30)$$




Figure 2. Indices of diagonals

The  $d$ -th diagonal of  $\hat{F}(x) = F(x) - \tilde{F}(x)$  contains linear combinations of  $\hat{\sigma}_\nu(x)$  with indices  $\nu \in \mathcal{N}_d$ ,

$$\mathcal{N}_d = \{\nu = \overline{\nu_0, n-1} : n-1 - (\nu + i_\nu) = d\}.$$

Transforming the systems of form (1.6) to the form (2.6), we conclude that (3.8) holds and  $\hat{A}_d$  depends on  $\hat{\sigma}_\nu$  with  $\nu \in \mathcal{N}_d$ . More precisely,

$$\hat{A}_d(x) = \Omega^{-1} \hat{F}_d(x) \Omega.$$

Hence

$$\hat{a}_{d,ii}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k+j=d} \hat{f}_{k,j}(x) \omega_i^{j-k}, \quad i = \overline{1, n}.$$

By using Definition 2.1, we obtain the relation

$$\sum_{k+j=d} \hat{f}_{k,j}(x) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{N}_d} S_\nu \hat{\sigma}_\nu(x),$$

where

$$S_\nu = \begin{cases} (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{s=0}^{i_\nu} (-1)^s C_{i_\nu}^s, & \nu = 2k, \\ (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{s=0}^{i_\nu+1} (-1)^s C_{i_\nu+1}^s + 2(-1)^{k+1} \sum_{s=0}^{i_\nu} (-1)^s C_{i_\nu}^s, & \nu = 2k+1. \end{cases}$$

Clearly,  $S_\nu = 0$  if  $i_\nu > 0$ . Therefore,  $\text{diag}(\hat{A}_d(x))$  is a linear combination of  $\sigma_\nu(x)$ ,  $\nu \in \mathcal{N}_d^0$ :

$$\mathcal{N}_d^0 = \{\nu \in \mathcal{N}_d : i_\nu = 0\}.$$

The above arguments allow us to estimate  $\hat{\zeta}_{jk}(x, \rho) = \zeta_{jk}(x, \rho) - \tilde{\zeta}_{jk}(x, \rho)$  for the remainders from Proposition 3.5, by using Theorem 3.4. Thus, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.6.** *Suppose that (3.29) holds for the coefficients of the differential expressions  $\ell_n(y)$  and  $\tilde{\ell}_n(y)$ . Then the difference  $\hat{\zeta}_{jk}(x, \rho)$  of the corresponding Birkhoff-type solution remainders in formula (3.27) satisfies the estimate*

$$\max_x \left| \rho^d \hat{\zeta}_{jk}(x, \rho) - \int_0^x \hat{\theta}_{jk}(t) dt \right| \leq C(\Upsilon(\rho) + \Upsilon_d(\rho) + |\rho|^{-1}), \quad \rho \in \overline{\mathcal{G}}, \quad (3.31)$$

where  $d$  is defined by (3.30),  $\Upsilon(\rho)$  and  $\Upsilon_d(\rho)$ , by (3.10) and (3.11), respectively, and the functions  $\hat{\theta}_{jk}(x)$  are some linear combinations of  $\hat{\sigma}_\nu(x)$ ,  $\nu \in \mathcal{N}_d^0$ .

Note that, if  $\hat{\sigma}_\nu \in L_\mu(0, 1)$  for all  $\nu \in \mathcal{N}_d^0$ , then  $\hat{\theta}_{jk} \in L_\mu(0, 1)$ . If  $\mathcal{N}_d^0 = \emptyset$ , then  $\hat{\theta}_{jk} = 0$ ,  $j, k = \overline{1, n}$ .

## 4 Eigenvalue asymptotics

In this section, we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 on the eigenvalue asymptotics. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on the standard approach of Naimark [5]. An important difference from the regular case is the usage of the remainder estimates (3.31) and the specific properties of the function  $\Upsilon(\rho)$  given by Propositions 3.2, 3.3. In order to prove Theorem 1.2, we analyze the difference between the eigenvalues of the two boundary value problems  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  by using the difference of the corresponding Birkhoff-type solutions (Corollary 3.6).

Consider the boundary value problem  $\mathcal{L}$  for equation (1.3) with the boundary conditions (1.7). For  $k = \overline{1, n}$ , denote by  $C_k(x, \lambda)$  the solution of equation (1.3) under the initial conditions  $C_k^{[j-1]}(0, \lambda) = \delta_{j,k}$ ,  $j = \overline{1, n}$ . The solutions  $\{C_k(x, \lambda)\}_{k=1}^n$  form a FSS of (1.3). Therefore, the eigenvalues of the problem  $\mathcal{L}$  coincide with the zeros of the characteristic function  $\Delta(\lambda) = \det[U_s(C_k)]_{s,k=1}^n$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.1. STEP 1. EXPANSION IN THE BIRKHOFF FSS.* Fix a sector  $\Gamma_\kappa$  with the property (2.4). Then, for  $\rho \in \Gamma_{\kappa, h}$ ,  $|\rho| \geq \rho^*$ , equation (1.3) with  $\lambda = \rho^n$  has a FSS  $\{y_k(x, \rho)\}_{k=1}^n$  from Proposition 3.5.

Consider the matrix functions  $C(x, \lambda) := [C_k^{[j-1]}(x, \lambda)]_{j,k=1}^n$  and  $Y(x, \rho) := [y_k^{[j-1]}(x, \rho)]_{j,k=1}^n$ . Obviously,

$$C(x, \lambda) = Y(x, \rho) \mathcal{A}(\rho), \quad (4.1)$$

where  $\mathcal{A}(\rho)$  is an  $(n \times n)$  matrix of coefficients. Consequently,

$$\Delta(\lambda) = D(\rho) \det A(\rho), \quad D(\rho) := \det[U_s(y_k)]_{s,k=1}^n. \quad (4.2)$$

By virtue of Propositions 3.1 and 3.5,

$$Y(x, \rho) = \text{diag}\{1, \rho, \dots, \rho^{n-1}\} \Omega(I + \mathcal{E}(x, \rho)) \exp(\rho Bx), \quad (4.3)$$

where  $\mathcal{E}(x, \rho)$  satisfies (3.6). In particular, Proposition 3.2 implies  $\mathcal{E}(0, \rho) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|\rho| \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\rho \in \overline{\Gamma}_{\kappa, h}$ . Consequently, using (4.1), (4.3), the initial condition  $C(0, \lambda) = I$ , we derive

$$\det \mathcal{A}(\rho) = (\det \Omega)^{-1} \rho^{-n(n-1)/2} (1 + o(1)), \quad |\rho| \rightarrow \infty, \quad \rho \in \overline{\Gamma}_{\kappa, h}. \quad (4.4)$$

Hence, for sufficiently large  $|\rho|$ , we have  $\det \mathcal{A}(\rho) \neq 0$ .

Consider values of  $\rho \in \Gamma_{\kappa, h}$  with sufficiently large  $|\rho|$ . In view of (4.2), a number  $\lambda = \rho^n$  is a zero the characteristic function  $\Delta(\lambda)$  if and only if  $\rho$  is a zero of  $D(\rho)$ .

STEP 2. ASYMPTOTICS OF  $D(\rho)$ . Introduce the notation  $[1] = 1 + \varepsilon(\rho)$ , where  $\varepsilon(\rho)$  can denote various functions satisfying

$$|\varepsilon(\rho)| \leq C(\Upsilon(\rho) + |\rho|^{-1}), \quad \rho \in \overline{\mathcal{G}}. \quad (4.5)$$

Substituting (3.27) into (1.7) and taking (3.31) into account, we obtain

$$U_s(y_k) = \begin{cases} (\rho \omega_k)^{p_s} [1], & s \leq r, \\ (\rho \omega_k)^{p_s} \exp(\rho \omega_k) [1], & s > r. \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$D(\rho) = \rho^p \begin{vmatrix} \omega_1^{p_1} [1] & \omega_2^{p_1} [1] & \dots & \omega_n^{p_1} [1] \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \omega_1^{p_r} [1] & \omega_2^{p_r} [1] & \dots & \omega_n^{p_r} [1] \\ \omega_1^{p_{r+1}} \exp(\rho \omega_1) [1] & \omega_2^{p_{r+1}} \exp(\rho \omega_2) [1] & \dots & \omega_n^{p_{r+1}} \exp(\rho \omega_n) [1] \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \omega_1^{p_n} \exp(\rho \omega_1) [1] & \omega_2^{p_n} \exp(\rho \omega_2) [1] & \dots & \omega_n^{p_n} \exp(\rho \omega_n) [1] \end{vmatrix}, \quad p := \sum_{s=1}^n p_s. \quad (4.6)$$

For definiteness, consider the case when  $(n - r)$  is even and  $\kappa = 1$ . The other cases can be treated similarly. It is worth noting that one has to study two neighbouring sectors  $\Gamma_\kappa$  and  $\Gamma_{\kappa+1}$  in order their images cover the whole  $\lambda$ -plane. By analyzing the asymptotics of  $D(\rho)$  as  $|\rho| \rightarrow \infty$ , one can show that all its zeros in  $\Gamma_{1, h}$  for sufficiently large  $|\rho|$  lie in the strip

$$\mathcal{S}_R := \{\rho: \text{Re } \rho > 0, |\text{Im } \rho| < R\} \subset \Gamma_{1, h}, \quad (4.7)$$

if  $h$  and  $R$  are chosen to be sufficiently large (see Fig. 3).

For  $\rho \in \mathcal{S}_R$ , we have the asymptotics

$$D(\rho) = \rho^p \exp(\rho \omega) D_1(\rho), \quad (4.8)$$

$$D_1(\rho) = D_1^0(\rho) + \varepsilon(\rho), \quad D_1^0(\rho) := (c_1 - c_2 \exp(\rho(\omega_r - \omega_{r+1}))), \quad (4.9)$$

$$\omega := \sum_{k=r+1}^n \omega_k, \quad c_1 := \det[\omega_k^{p_s}]_{s,k=1}^r \cdot \det[\omega_k^{p_s}]_{s,k=r+1}^n \neq 0,$$

$$c_2 := \det[\omega_k^{p_s}]_{s=1, r, k=1, r-1, r+1} \cdot \det[\omega_k^{p_s}]_{s=r+1, n, k=r, r+2, n} \neq 0.$$




Figure 3. Regions for the proof of Theorem 1.1

STEP 3. ASYMPTOTICS OF ZEROS FOR LARGE  $|\rho|$ . Clearly, the zeros of  $D_1^0(\rho)$  have the form

$$\rho_l^0 = \frac{\pi}{\sin \frac{\pi r}{n}}(l + \chi), \quad \chi := -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(c_1/c_2), \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

By using (4.8), (4.9) and the standard method based on Rouché's Theorem, we conclude that the zeros of  $D_1(\rho)$  and of  $D(\rho)$  for sufficiently large  $|\rho|$  are simple and have the asymptotics

$$\rho_l = \frac{\pi}{\sin \frac{\pi r}{n}}(l + \chi + \varepsilon_l), \quad \varepsilon_l = o(1), \quad l \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (4.10)$$

Substituting (4.10) into the relation  $D_1(\rho_l) = 0$  and using (4.9), we derive  $\varepsilon_l = \varepsilon(\rho_l)$ , where  $\varepsilon(\rho)$  satisfies (4.5). Clearly,  $\{\rho_l\}$  is a non-condensing sequence in  $\mathcal{G}$ . Recall that  $A_0 \in L_2(0, 1)$ . It follows from (4.5) and Proposition 3.3 with  $\mu = 2$  that  $\{\varepsilon_l\} \in l_2$ . In this way, we consider the two neighbouring sectors  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ . Returning to the  $\lambda$ -plane, we conclude that, in our case, the eigenvalues have the form  $\lambda_l = \rho_l^n$ ,  $l \geq l_0$ , where  $\rho_l$  satisfy (4.10). It remains to prove that  $l_0$  depends only on  $n$ ,  $r$ , and  $(p_s)_{s=1}^n$ .

STEP 4. ESTIMATE OF  $|\Delta(\lambda)|$  FROM BELOW. Consider the region

$$\mathcal{G}_\delta := \{\rho \in \mathcal{G} : |\rho - \rho_l| \geq \delta, l \geq l_0\}, \quad \delta > 0.$$

Using (4.6)–(4.10), we obtain the estimate

$$|D(\rho)| \geq C_\delta |\rho|^p \exp(\operatorname{Re}(\rho\omega)), \quad \rho \in \mathcal{G}_\delta, \quad (4.11)$$

where  $C_\delta$  is a constant depending on  $\delta$  and  $\mathcal{G}$ . By using (4.4) and (4.11), we get

$$|\Delta(\rho^n)| \geq C_\delta |\rho|^{p-n(n-1)/2} \exp(\operatorname{Re}(\rho\omega)), \quad \rho \in \mathcal{G}_\delta. \quad (4.12)$$

STEP 5. DIFFERENCE  $(\Delta(\lambda) - \Delta^0(\lambda))$ . Consider the problem  $\mathcal{L}^0$  of the same form as  $\mathcal{L}$  with the zero coefficients  $\sigma_\nu^0 = 0$ ,  $\nu = \overline{0, n-2}$ ,  $u_{s,j}^0 = 0$ ,  $j = \overline{1, p_s}$ ,  $s = \overline{1, n}$ . Let  $\Delta^0(\lambda)$  be the characteristic function of  $\mathcal{L}^0$ . By using the formulas (4.2) and (4.6), we obtain

$$\Delta(\rho^n) - \Delta^0(\rho^n) = o(\rho^{p-n(n-1)/2} \exp(\rho\omega)), \quad \rho \in \overline{\Gamma}_{\kappa, h}, \quad |\rho| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.13)$$

Combining (4.12) and (4.13), we get

$$|\Delta(\lambda) - \Delta^0(\lambda)| < |\Delta(\lambda)|, \quad (4.14)$$

for  $\lambda = \rho^n$ ,  $\rho \in \mathcal{G}_\delta$ , and sufficiently large  $|\rho|$ . Clearly, the inequality (4.14) can be obtained for the two neighbouring sectors  $\Gamma_{\kappa,h}$  and  $\Gamma_{\kappa+1,h}$ , whose images cover the whole  $\lambda$ -plane. Consequently, (4.14) holds on some contour  $\{\lambda: |\lambda| = R\}$  with a sufficiently large  $R$ . Since the functions  $\Delta(\lambda)$  and  $\Delta^0(\lambda)$  are entire in  $\lambda$ , then, by virtue of Rouché's Theorem, these two functions have the same number of zeros in the circle  $\{\lambda: |\lambda| < R\}$ . Thus, the numeration of the zeros  $\{\lambda_l\}$  and  $\{\lambda_l^0\}$  of  $\Delta(\lambda)$  and  $\Delta^0(\lambda)$ , respectively, starts from the same index  $l_0$ . The shift of numeration leads to (1.8).  $\square$

Further, we need the following technical lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $D_1(\rho)$  be a function of form (4.9), where  $\varepsilon(\rho)$  is analytic function in  $\mathcal{G}$  satisfying (4.5), and  $\{\rho_l\}_{l \geq l_0} \subset \mathcal{G}$  be an arbitrary sequence of form (4.10) with  $\{\varepsilon_l\} \in l_2$ . Then*

$$\dot{D}_1(\rho_l) = c + \varkappa_l, \quad c \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \{\varkappa_l\} \in l_2,$$

where  $\dot{D}_1(\rho) = \frac{d}{d\rho} D_1(\rho)$ .

*Proof.* It follows from (4.9) that

$$\dot{D}_1(\rho_l) = \dot{D}_1^0(\rho_l) + \dot{\varepsilon}(\rho_l).$$

Obviously,  $\dot{D}_1(\rho_l) = c + \varkappa_l$ . The Cauchy formula yields

$$\dot{\varepsilon}(\rho_l) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\rho - \rho_l| = \delta} \frac{\varepsilon(\rho)}{(\rho - \rho_l)^2} d\rho,$$

where  $\delta > 0$  is so small that  $\{\rho: |\rho - \rho_l| = \delta\} \subset \mathcal{G}$ . Hence

$$|\dot{\varepsilon}(\rho_l)| \leq \delta^{-1} \max_{|\rho - \rho_l| = \delta} |\varepsilon(\rho)|.$$

Denote by  $\{\rho_l^\diamond\}$  the points such that

$$|\rho_l^\diamond - \rho_l| = \delta, \quad |\varepsilon(\rho_l^\diamond)| = \max_{|\rho - \rho_l| = \delta} |\varepsilon(\rho)|.$$

Clearly,  $\{\rho_l^\diamond\}_{l \geq l_0}$  is a non-condensing sequence in  $\mathcal{G}$ . Therefore, it follows from (4.5) and Proposition 3.3 that  $\{\varepsilon(\rho_l^\diamond)\} \in l_2$ . Consequently,  $\{\dot{\varepsilon}(\rho_l)\} \in l_2$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Suppose that the problems  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1.2, that is,  $\sigma_\nu(x) = \tilde{\sigma}_\nu(x)$  a.e. on  $(0, 1)$ ,  $\nu = \overline{\nu_0, n-2}$ ,  $u_{s,p_s-j} = \tilde{u}_{s,p_s-j}$ ,  $j = \overline{0, d-2}$ ,  $d := n-1 - \max_{\nu=\overline{0, \nu_0-1}} (\nu + i_\nu)$ . By virtue of Theorem 1.1, the eigenvalues have the form

$\lambda_l = (-1)^{n-r} \rho_l^n$  and  $\tilde{\lambda}_l = (-1)^{n-r} \tilde{\rho}_l^n$ ,  $l \geq 1$ , where  $\rho_l$  and  $\tilde{\rho}_l$  have the asymptotics (4.10) with  $\chi = \tilde{\chi}$ . For definiteness, consider the case of even  $(n-r)$  and  $\kappa = 1$ . According to the proof of Theorem 1.1, the numbers  $\rho_l$  and  $\tilde{\rho}_l$  for sufficiently large  $l$  are the zeros of the functions  $D_1(\rho)$  and  $\tilde{D}_1(\rho)$ , respectively, defined by (4.9). In order to estimate  $\hat{\rho}_l$ , we analyze the difference  $\hat{D}_1(\rho)$ .

Using the conditions of Theorem 1.2, Corollary 3.6, and (1.7), we obtain

$$U_s(y_k) - \tilde{U}_s(\tilde{y}_k) = \begin{cases} (\rho\omega_k)^{p_s} \rho^{-d} (\hat{c}_{sk} + \hat{\varepsilon}(\rho)), & s \leq r, \\ (\rho\omega_k)^{p_s} \exp(\rho\omega_k) \rho^{-d} (\hat{c}_{sk} + \hat{\varepsilon}(\rho)), & s > r. \end{cases} \quad (4.15)$$

Here and below in this proof, we denote by  $\hat{\varepsilon}(\rho)$  various functions satisfying

$$|\hat{\varepsilon}(\rho)| \leq C(\Upsilon(\rho) + \Upsilon_d(\rho) + |\rho|^{-1}),$$

and by  $\hat{c}$  with and without indices constants depending on the values

$$\int_0^1 \hat{\theta}_{jk}(t) dt, \quad j = \overline{0, n-1}, \quad k = \overline{1, n}, \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{u}_{s, p_s-d+1}, \quad s = \overline{1, n}, \quad (4.16)$$

where  $\theta_{jk}(t)$  are the functions from (3.31).

Repeating the arguments of Step 2 in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we obtain

$$\hat{D}_1(\rho) = \rho^{-d}(\hat{c}_1 - \hat{c}_2 \exp(\rho(\omega_r - \omega_{r+1})) + \hat{\varepsilon}(\rho)), \quad \rho \in \mathcal{S}_R, \quad (4.17)$$

for sufficiently large  $|\rho|$ .

It follows from  $D_1(\rho_l) = 0$  and  $\tilde{D}_1(\tilde{\rho}_l) = 0$  that

$$D_1(\rho_l) - D_1(\tilde{\rho}_l) + \hat{D}_1(\tilde{\rho}_l) = 0. \quad (4.18)$$

The complex Taylor formula implies

$$D_1(\tilde{\rho}_l) - D_1(\rho_l) = \dot{D}_1(\rho_l)(\tilde{\rho}_l - \rho_l) + \mathcal{R}(\rho_l, \tilde{\rho}_l), \quad (4.19)$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}(\rho_l, \tilde{\rho}_l) = \frac{(\tilde{\rho}_l - \rho_l)^2}{2\pi i} \int_{|\rho - \rho_l|=\delta} \frac{D_1(w) dw}{(w - \rho_l)^2(w - \tilde{\rho}_l)}.$$

Using (4.9) and (4.10), one can easily show that

$$|\mathcal{R}(\rho_l, \tilde{\rho}_l)| \leq C|\rho_l - \tilde{\rho}_l|^2,$$

for sufficiently large  $l$ . The latter estimate together with (4.18), and (4.19) imply

$$\rho_l - \tilde{\rho}_l = \hat{D}_1(\tilde{\rho}_l)(\dot{D}_1(\rho_l) + O(\rho_l - \tilde{\rho}_l)). \quad (4.20)$$

Let us estimate the right-hand side of (4.20). According to Proposition 3.2,  $\hat{\varepsilon}(\rho) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|\rho| \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\rho \in \mathcal{S}_R$ . Therefore, (4.17) and (4.10) imply

$$\hat{D}_1(\tilde{\rho}_l) = l^{-d}(\hat{c}_0 + \eta_l), \quad \eta_l = o(1), \quad l \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.21)$$

Using (4.20), (4.21), and Lemma 4.1, we derive the asymptotics

$$\rho_l - \tilde{\rho}_l = l^{-d}(\hat{c} + \delta_l), \quad \delta_l = o(1), \quad l \rightarrow \infty.$$

Consider the following special cases.

(i) Suppose that  $\int_0^1 \hat{\sigma}_\nu(x) dx = 0$ ,  $\nu \in \mathcal{N}_d^0$ , and  $\hat{u}_{s, p_s-d+1} = 0$ ,  $s = \overline{1, n}$ . Then, according to Corollary 3.6, all the values (4.16) equal zeros, so  $\hat{c} = 0$ .

(ii) If  $\sigma_\nu \in L_2(0, 1)$ ,  $\nu \in \mathcal{N}_d$ , then  $A_d \in L_2(0, 1)$ . Applying Proposition 3.3 to  $\Upsilon(\rho)$  and  $\Upsilon_d(\rho)$ , we obtain  $\{\delta_l\} \in l_2$ .

The proof is complete.  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 1.3.* Let us apply Theorem 1.2 to an arbitrary problem  $\mathcal{L}$  with  $n = 2m + 1$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L}^0$  and  $\nu_0 = n - 1$ . The inequality  $i_{2k+j} \leq m - k - j$  implies that the minimal value of  $d$  equals 1. In other words, the main diagonal in the corresponding matrix  $F(x)$  always equal zero in the odd case. We have  $i_{n-2} = 0$  and  $i_{n-3} \in \{0, 1\}$ . For  $i_{n-3} = 0$ , we have  $\mathcal{N}_d = \mathcal{N}_d^0 = \{(n-2)\}$ , and for  $i_{n-3} = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{N}_d = \{(n-3), (n-2)\}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}_d^0 = \{(n-2)\}$ . Thus, Theorem 1.2 immediately yields the claim.  $\square$



## 5 Examples

This section illustrates the application of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 to various classes of differential operators with distribution coefficients.

**Example 5.1.** Suppose that  $n = 3$ ,  $i_0 = 1$ ,  $i_1 = 0$ ,  $\sigma_\nu \in L_1(0, 1)$ ,  $\nu = 0, 1$ . Then the differential expression (1.1) takes the form

$$\ell_3(y) = y^{(3)} - (\sigma_1(x)y)' - \sigma_1(x)y' - \sigma_0'(x)y, \quad x \in (0, 1),$$

and the associated matrix equals

$$F(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ (\sigma_0 + \sigma_1) & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -(\sigma_0 - \sigma_1) & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Clearly,

$$F_0(x) \equiv 0, \quad F_1(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (\sigma_0 + \sigma_1) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -(\sigma_0 - \sigma_1) & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (5.1)$$

Consider the sector  $\Gamma_1 = \{\rho: 0 < \arg \rho < \pi/3\}$ . Then  $\omega_1 = \exp(-2\pi i/3)$ ,  $\omega_2 = \exp(2\pi i/3)$ ,  $\omega_3 = 1$ . It follows from (5.1) that  $A_0(x) \equiv 0$  and

$$\text{diag}(A_1(x)) = \text{diag}(\Omega^{-1}F_1(x)\Omega) = \frac{2}{3}\sigma_1(x) \begin{bmatrix} \omega_1^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_2^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega_3^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Applying Theorem 3.4 to the systems (2.6) and (3.7) with  $\tilde{A}(x, \rho) \equiv 0$  and  $d = 1$ , we obtain the following asymptotics for the fundamental solution matrix:

$$w(x, \rho) = \left( I + \frac{2}{3\rho} \int_0^x \sigma_1(t) dt B^{-1} + \frac{\gamma(x, \rho)}{\rho} \right) \exp(\rho Bx),$$

where

$$\max_x \|\gamma(x, \rho)\| \leq C(\Upsilon(\rho) + \Upsilon_1(\rho) + |\rho|^{-1}), \quad \rho \in \overline{\mathcal{G}}. \quad (5.2)$$

Passing to the FSS  $\{y_k(x, \rho)\}_{k=1}^3$  of the equation  $\ell_3(y) = \rho^n y$ , we obtain the asymptotics

$$y_k^{[j]}(x, \rho) = (\rho\omega_k)^j \exp(\rho\omega_k x) \left( 1 + \frac{2}{3\rho\omega_k} \int_0^x \sigma_1(t) dt + \frac{\gamma_{jk}(x, \rho)}{\rho} \right), \quad k = \overline{1, n}, j = \overline{0, n-1}, \quad (5.3)$$

where scalar functions  $\gamma_{jk}(x, \rho)$  satisfy the same estimate (5.2) as the matrix function  $\gamma(x, \rho)$ .

Consider the differential equation  $\ell_3(y) = \lambda y$  with the following boundary conditions ( $r = 1$ ):

$$y(0) = 0, \quad y(1) = 0, \quad y^{[1]}(1) = 0.$$

By virtue of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.3, the eigenvalues of this problem have the asymptotics

$$\lambda_l = \left( \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{3}} \left( l + \chi + \frac{\chi_1}{l} + \frac{\delta_l}{l} \right) \right)^n, \quad \delta_l = o(1), \quad l \rightarrow \infty, \quad (5.4)$$

where  $\chi_1$  depends on  $\int_0^1 \sigma_1(x) dx$  and, if  $\sigma_\nu \in L_2(0, 1)$ ,  $\nu = 0, 1$ , then  $\{\delta_l\} \in l_2$ .

The function  $D(\rho)$  defined by (4.2) has the form

$$D(\rho) = \begin{vmatrix} y_1(0, \rho) & y_2(0, \rho) & y_3(0, \rho) \\ y_1(1, \rho) & y_2(1, \rho) & y_3(1, \rho) \\ y_1^{[1]}(1, \rho) & y_2^{[1]}(1, \rho) & y_3^{[1]}(1, \rho) \end{vmatrix}. \quad (5.5)$$

Substituting the asymptotics (5.3) into (5.5) and finding the asymptotics of the zeros  $\{\rho_l\}$  of  $D(\rho)$  in the strip  $S_R$ , we obtain the values

$$\chi = \frac{1}{6}, \quad \chi_1 = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^1 \sigma_1(x) dx$$

of the constants in (5.4).

In the next examples, for the sake of simplicity, we assume that  $u_{s,j} = \tilde{u}_{s,j}$ ,  $s = \overline{1, n}$ ,  $j = \overline{1, p_s}$ .

**Example 5.2.** Suppose that  $n = 2m$ ,  $i_\nu = 0$ ,  $\sigma_\nu \in L_1(0, 1)$ ,  $\nu = \overline{0, n-2}$ . Due to Definition 2.1, the entries of the associated matrix  $F(x) = [f_{k,j}(x)]_{k,j=1}^n$  are given by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n-k,k+1} &= (-1)^{k+1} \sigma_{2k}, & k &= \overline{0, m-1}, \\ f_{n-k-1,k+1} &= f_{n-k,k+2} = (-1)^k \sigma_{2k+1}, & k &= \overline{0, m-2}, \end{aligned}$$

and all the other entries are defined as  $f_{k,j} = \delta_{k+1,j}$ . For instance,

$$\ell_6(y) = y^{(6)} + (\sigma_4 y'')'' + [(\sigma_3 y'')' + (\sigma_3 y')''] - (\sigma_2 y')' - [(\sigma_1 y)' + \sigma_1 y'] + \sigma_0 y,$$

and the associated matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma_3 & -\sigma_4 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sigma_1 & \sigma_2 & -\sigma_3 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -\sigma_0 & \sigma_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Clearly, for each  $d = \overline{1, n-1}$ , the  $d$ -th diagonal contains only  $\sigma_{n-d-1}$ . Since the main diagonal is zero, the remainder term  $\varepsilon_l$  of the asymptotics (1.8) has the form (1.11), similarly to the case of odd  $n$ .

Consider problems  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  such that  $\sigma_\nu(x) = \tilde{\sigma}_\nu(x)$  a.e. on  $(0, 1)$  for  $\nu = \overline{\nu_0, n-2}$ ,  $\nu_0 \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ . Then, in Theorem 1.2,  $d = n - \nu_0$ ,  $\mathcal{N}_d = \mathcal{N}_d^0 = \{(\nu_0 - 1)\}$ . Hence

$$\hat{\rho}_l = l^{-(n-\nu_0)}(\hat{c} + \delta_l), \quad \delta_l = o(1),$$

and the constant  $\hat{c}$  linearly depends on  $\int_0^1 \hat{\sigma}_{\nu_0-1}(x) dx$ . In addition, if  $\hat{\sigma}_{\nu_0-1} \in L_2(0, 1)$ , then  $\{\delta_l\} \in l_2$ .

**Example 5.3.** Suppose that  $n = 2m$ ,  $i_\nu = 1$ ,  $\sigma_\nu \in L_2(0, 1)$ ,  $\nu = \overline{0, n-2}$ ,  $\nu_0 \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ . For instance,

$$\ell_4(y) = y^{(4)} + (\sigma_2' y')' + [(\sigma_1' y)' + \sigma_1' y'] - \sigma_0' y$$

and the associated matrix equals

$$F(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\sigma_1 & -\sigma_2 & 1 & 0 \\ (\sigma_0 - \sigma_1 \sigma_2) & -\sigma_2^2 & \sigma_2 & 1 \\ -\sigma_1^2 & (-\sigma_0 - \sigma_1 \sigma_2) & \sigma_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Suppose that, for the problems  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ , we have  $\sigma_\nu(x) = \tilde{\sigma}_\nu(x)$  a.e. on  $(0, 1)$  for  $\nu = \overline{\nu_0, n-2}$ . Then  $d = n - \nu_0 - 1$ ,  $\mathcal{N}_d = \{(\nu_0 - 1)\}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}_d^0 = \emptyset$ . Therefore, Theorem 1.2 implies  $\hat{\rho}_l = l^{-(n-\nu_0-1)} \varkappa_l$ ,  $\{\varkappa_l\} \in l_2$ .

**Example 5.4.** Consider the case of Mirzoev and Shkalikov [2]:  $n = 2m$ ,  $i_{2k+j} = m - k - j$ ,  $j \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $\sigma_\nu \in L_2(0, 1)$ ,  $\nu = \overline{0, n-2}$ . The structure of the associated matrix  $F(x)$  is provided in [2]. Suppose that for the problems  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ , we have  $\sigma_\nu(x) = \tilde{\sigma}_\nu(x)$  a.e. on  $(0, 1)$  for  $\nu = \overline{2\nu_1, n-2}$ . Then  $d = m - \nu_1$ ,  $\mathcal{N}_d = \{(2\nu_1 - 2), (2\nu_1 - 1)\}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}_d^0 = \emptyset$ . Hence, Theorem 1.2 implies  $\hat{\rho}_l = l^{-(m-\nu_1)} \varkappa_l$ ,  $\{\varkappa_l\} \in l_2$ .

The cases similar to Examples 5.2–5.4 can be considered for odd  $n$ .

## 6 Asymptotics of weight numbers

In this section, we define the weight numbers  $\{\beta_l\}$  and obtain for them results analogous to Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 for the eigenvalues.

Together with  $U_s(y)$ ,  $s = \overline{1, n}$ , consider the linear form

$$U_0(y) = y^{[p_0]}(0) + \sum_{j=1}^{p_0} u_{0,j} y^{[j-1]}(0), \quad p_0 \neq p_s, \quad s = \overline{1, r}.$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{L}^\bullet$  the boundary value problem for equation (1.3) with the boundary conditions  $U_s(y) = 0$ ,  $s = \overline{0, n} \setminus r$ . The eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{L}^\bullet$  coincide with the zeros of the characteristic functions  $\Delta^\bullet(\lambda) := \det[U_s(C_k)]_{s=\overline{0, n} \setminus r, k=\overline{1, n}}$ .

Define the weight numbers  $\{\beta_l\}$  as follows:

$$\beta_l := \operatorname{Res}_{\lambda=\lambda_l} \frac{\Delta^\bullet(\lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)}.$$

By Theorem 1.2, for sufficiently large  $l$ , the eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_l\}$  of the problem  $\mathcal{L}$  are simple. Therefore,

$$\beta_l = \frac{\Delta^\bullet(\lambda_l)}{\frac{d}{d\lambda} \Delta(\lambda_l)} \quad (6.1)$$

for such values of  $l$ . It is worth considering the weight numbers only for sufficiently large indices  $l$ .

**Example 6.1.** Let  $n = 2$ ,  $r = 1$ ,  $p_1 = p_2 = 0$ ,  $p_0 = 1$ ,  $u_{0,1} = 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^\bullet$  are the boundary value problems for the Sturm-Liouville equation

$$y'' - q(x)y = \lambda y, \quad x \in (0, 1), \quad (6.2)$$

with the boundary conditions  $y(0) = y(1) = 0$  and  $y^{[1]}(0) = y^{[1]}(1) = 0$ , respectively. Hence

$$\beta_l = \frac{C_1(1, \lambda_l)}{\frac{d}{d\lambda} C_2(1, \lambda_l)},$$

where  $C_k(x, \lambda)$  are the solutions of (6.2) under the initial conditions  $C_k^{[j-1]}(0, \lambda) = \delta_{j,k}$ ,  $j, k = 1, 2$ . One can easily show that  $C_2(x, \lambda_l)$  are the eigenfunctions of  $\mathcal{L}$  and

$$\beta_l = -\alpha_l^{-1}, \quad \alpha_l := \int_0^1 C_2^2(x, \lambda_l) dx. \quad (6.3)$$

For a real-valued potential  $q \in L_2(0, 1)$ , the numbers  $\{\lambda_l, \alpha_l\}_{l \geq 1}$  are the classical spectral data of the inverse Sturm-Liouville problem (see, e.g., [19, 20]). For the case of complex-valued  $q \in L_2(0, 1)$ , the so-called generalized spectral data have been introduced in [21]. In the Dirichlet-Dirichlet case, the generalized weight numbers coincide with  $\alpha_l$  defined by (6.3) for sufficiently large  $l$  (see [22]).

**Theorem 6.2.** *For sufficiently large  $l$ , the following relation holds:*

$$\beta_l = l^{n-1+p_0-pr}(\beta^0 + \varkappa_l), \quad \{\varkappa_l\} \in l_2, \quad (6.4)$$

where the constant  $\beta^0$  depends only on  $n, r$ , and  $(p_s)_{s=0}^n$ .

*Proof.* For definiteness, consider the case of even  $(n-r)$ . Recall that the eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{L}$  have the form  $\lambda_l = \rho_l^n$ , where  $\{\rho_l\}$  for sufficiently large  $l$  belong to  $\mathcal{S}_R$  and fulfill (4.10).

Similarly to the proof of Theorem 1.1, we obtain the formulas for  $\rho \in \mathcal{S}_R$ :

$$\Delta^\bullet(\lambda) = D^\bullet(\rho) \det \mathcal{A}(\rho), \quad D^\bullet(\rho) = \det[U_s(C_k)]_{s=\overline{0, n} \setminus r, k=\overline{1, n}}, \quad (6.5)$$

$$D^\bullet(\rho) = \rho^{p-pr+p_0} \exp(\rho\omega) D_1^\bullet(\rho), \quad (6.6)$$

$$D_1^\bullet(\rho) = D_1^{\bullet,0}(\rho) + \varepsilon(\rho), \quad D_1^{\bullet,0}(\rho) = c_1^\bullet - c_2^\bullet \exp(\rho(\omega_r - \omega_{r+1})), \quad (6.7)$$

where  $\varepsilon(\rho)$  is a function satisfying (4.5), not necessarily equal to  $\varepsilon(\rho)$  in (4.9), and  $c_1^\bullet, c_2^\bullet$  are some constants different from  $c_1, c_2$ . Substituting (4.2), (4.8), (6.5), (6.7) into (6.1), we derive

$$\beta_l = \frac{n\rho_l^{n-1} D^\bullet(\rho_l)}{\frac{d}{d\rho} D(\rho_l)} = n\rho_l^{n-1+p_0-pr} \frac{D_1^\bullet(\rho_l)}{\frac{d}{d\rho} D_1(\rho_l)}. \quad (6.8)$$

Using (4.9), (4.10), Lemma 4.1 for  $D_1^\bullet(\rho_l)$  and taking into account that  $\{\varepsilon(\rho_l)\} \in l_2$ , we obtain

$$D_1^\bullet(\rho_l) = s_1 + \varkappa_{l,1}, \quad \frac{d}{d\rho} D_1(\rho_l) = s_2 + \varkappa_{l,2},$$

where  $s_1, s_2 \neq 0$  are constants and  $\{\varkappa_{l,1}\}, \{\varkappa_{l,2}\} \in l_2$ . Hence, we arrive at (6.4).  $\square$

*Remark 6.3.* Theorems 1.1 and 6.2 are valid for the eigenvalues and the weight numbers, respectively, of the boundary value problems for the system  $\vec{y}' = (F(x) + \Lambda)\vec{y}$  with the appropriate boundary conditions generated by the linear forms  $U_s(y)$ ,  $s = \overline{0, n}$ , and with an arbitrary matrix function  $F(x) = [f_{k,j}]_{k,j=1}^n$  (not necessarily related to the differential expression  $\ell_n(y)$ ) satisfying the conditions:

$$f_{k,j} = \delta_{k+1,j}, \quad k < j, \quad f_{k,k} \in L_2(0, 1), \quad f_{k,j} \in L_1(0, 1), \quad k > j, \quad \text{trace}(F(x)) \equiv 0.$$

Further, we formulate and prove the theorem for the weight numbers  $\{\beta_l\}$  similar to Theorem 1.2 for the eigenvalues.

**Theorem 6.4.** *Suppose that  $\sigma_\nu(x) = \tilde{\sigma}_\nu(x)$  for a.e.  $x \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\nu = \overline{\nu_0, n-2}$ , and  $u_{s, p_s-j} = \tilde{u}_{s, p_s-j}$ ,  $j = \overline{0, d-2}$ ,  $s = \overline{0, n}$ , where  $d$  is defined by (1.9). Then*

$$\beta_l - \tilde{\beta}_l = l^{n-1+p_0-p_r-d}(\hat{c} + \delta_l), \quad \delta_l = o(1), \quad l \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $\hat{c}$  and  $\delta_l$  have the properties similar to the ones in Theorem 1.2, where  $s = \overline{1, n}$  in (1.10) is replaced with  $s = \overline{0, n}$ .

The notations  $\hat{c}$  and  $\delta_l$  in Theorems 1.2 and 6.4 are used for different values. However, we use the same notations in the both cases to emphasize the similar remainder properties.

*Proof of Theorem 6.4.* Assume that the conditions of the theorem holds for  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ . Similarly to the previous proofs, we consider the case of even  $(n-r)$ . The odd case is analogous. Let us use the formula (6.8) for  $\beta_l$  and  $\tilde{\beta}_l$ . For shortness, put  $q := n-1+p_0-p_r$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\beta_l - \tilde{\beta}_l}{n} &= \rho_l^q \frac{D_1^\bullet(\rho_l)}{\frac{d}{d\rho} D_1(\rho_l)} - \tilde{\rho}_l^q \frac{\tilde{D}_1^\bullet(\tilde{\rho}_l)}{\frac{d}{d\rho} \tilde{D}_1(\tilde{\rho}_l)} \\ &= \rho_l^q \frac{D_1^\bullet(\rho_l) - \tilde{D}_1^\bullet(\tilde{\rho}_l)}{\frac{d}{d\rho} D_1(\rho_l)} + \rho_l^q \tilde{D}_1^\bullet(\rho_l) \frac{\frac{d}{d\rho} \tilde{D}_1(\tilde{\rho}_l) - \frac{d}{d\rho} D_1(\rho_l)}{\frac{d}{d\rho} D_1(\rho_l) \frac{d}{d\rho} \tilde{D}_1(\tilde{\rho}_l)} + (\rho_l^q - \tilde{\rho}_l^q) \frac{D_1^\bullet(\rho_l)}{\frac{d}{d\rho} D_1(\rho_l)} \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

for sufficiently large  $l$ .

Consider the first term in (6.9). Obviously,

$$D_1^\bullet(\rho_l) - \tilde{D}_1^\bullet(\tilde{\rho}_l) = D_1^\bullet(\rho_l) - D_1^\bullet(\tilde{\rho}_l) + \hat{D}_1^\bullet(\tilde{\rho}_l).$$

For  $D_1^\bullet(\tilde{\rho}_l)$ , the asymptotics similar to (4.21) holds. Using the Taylor formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_1^\bullet(\tilde{\rho}_l) - D_1^\bullet(\rho_l) &= \dot{D}_1^\bullet(\rho_l)(\tilde{\rho}_l - \rho_l) + \mathcal{R}^\bullet(\rho_l, \tilde{\rho}_l), \\ |\mathcal{R}^\bullet(\rho_l, \tilde{\rho}_l)| &\leq C|\rho_l - \tilde{\rho}_l|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, similarly to the proof of Theorem 1.2, we obtain

$$D_1^\bullet(\rho_l) - \tilde{D}_1^\bullet(\tilde{\rho}_l) = l^{-d}(\hat{c} + \delta_l), \quad \delta_l = o(1), \quad l \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using (4.10) and Lemma 4.1, we arrive at the asymptotics  $l^{q-d}(\hat{c} + \delta_l)$  for the first term of (6.9). For the second and the third terms, the same asymptotics can be obtained analogously. This completes the proof of Theorem 6.4.  $\square$

**Funding.** This work was supported by Grant 21-71-10001 of the Russian Science Foundation, <https://rscf.ru/en/project/21-71-10001/>.

## References

- [1] Bondarenko, N.P. Reconstruction of higher-order differential operators by their spectral data (to appear).

- [2] Mirzoev, K.A.; Shkalikov, A.A. Differential operators of even order with distribution coefficients, *Math. Notes* 99 (2016), no. 5, 779–784.
- [3] Mirzoev, K.A.; Shkalikov, A.A. Ordinary differential operators of odd order with distribution coefficients, preprint (2019), arXiv:1912.03660 [math.CA].
- [4] Bondarenko, N.P. Linear differential operators with distribution coefficients of various singularity orders, preprint (2022), arXiv:2204.02052 [math.SP].
- [5] Naimark, M.A. *Linear Differential Operators*, 2nd ed., Nauka, Moscow (1969); English transl. of 1st ed., Parts I,II, Ungar, New York (1967, 1968).
- [6] Akhmerova, E.F. Asymptotics of the spectrum of nonsmooth perturbations of differential operators of order  $2m$ , *Math. Notes* 90 (2011), no. 6, 813–823.
- [7] Badanin, A.; Korotyaev, E. Even order periodic operator on the real line, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2012 (2012), no. 5, 1143–1194.
- [8] Badanin, A.; Korotyaev, E.L. Third-order operators with three-point conditions associated with Boussinesq’s equation, *Appl. Anal.* 100 (2021), no. 3, 527–560.
- [9] Polyakov, D.M. Spectral asymptotics for the fourth-order operator with periodic coefficients, preprint (2022), arXiv:2202.03764 [math.SP].
- [10] Savchuk, A.M. On the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the Sturm-Liouville operator with a singular potential, *Math. Notes* 69 (2001), no. 2, 245–252.
- [11] Hryniv, R.O.; Mykytyuk, Ya.V. Inverse spectral problems for Sturm-Liouville operators with singular potentials, II. Reconstruction by two spectra, *North-Holland Mathematics Studies* 197 (2004), 97–114.
- [12] Mikhailets, V.; Molyboga, V. Uniform estimates for the semi-periodic eigenvalues of the singular differential operators, *Methods Funct. Anal. Topology* 10 (2004), no. 4, 30–57.
- [13] Mikhailets, V.A.; Molyboga, V.M. On the spectrum of singular perturbations of operators on the circle, *Math. Notes* 91 (2012), no. 4, 588–591.
- [14] Vladimirov, A.A. On one approach to definition of singular differential operators, preprint (2017), arXiv:1701.08017 [math.SP].
- [15] Bondarenko, N.P. Inverse spectral problems for arbitrary-order differential operators with distribution coefficients, *Mathematics* 9 (2021), no. 22, Article ID 2989.
- [16] Savchuk, A.M.; Shkalikov, A.A. Asymptotic analysis of solutions of ordinary differential equations with distribution coefficients, *Sb. Math.* 211 (2020), no. 11, 1623–1659.
- [17] Rykhlov, V.S. Asymptotical formulas for solutions of linear differential systems of the first order, *Results Math.* 36 (1999), no. 3–4, 342–353.
- [18] Savchuk, A.M. *Direct and Inverse Spectral Problems for the Sturm-Liouville Operator and the Dirac System*, Doctor of Science Thesis, Moscow State University, Moscow (2018) [in Russian].

- [19] Marchenko, V.A. Sturm-Liouville Operators and their Applications, Naukova Dumka, Kiev (1977) [in Russian]; English transl., Birkhauser (1986).
- [20] Freiling, G.; Yurko, V. Inverse Sturm-Liouville Problems and Their Applications, Huntington, NY: Nova Science Publishers (2001)
- [21] Buterin, S.A. On inverse spectral problem for non-selfadjoint Sturm-Liouville operator on a finite interval, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 335 (2007), no. 1, 739–749.
- [22] Buterin, S.A.; Shieh, C.-T.; Yurko, V.A. Inverse spectral problems for non-selfadjoint second-order differential operators with Dirichlet boundary conditions, Boundary Value Problems (2013), 2013:180.

Natalia Pavlovna Bondarenko

1. Department of Applied Mathematics and Physics, Samara National Research University, Moskovskoye Shosse 34, Samara 443086, Russia,

2. Department of Mechanics and Mathematics, Saratov State University, Astrakhanskaya 83, Saratov 410012, Russia,

e-mail: *BondarenkoNP@info.sgu.ru*