

# Hagen-Hurley equations and the $W$ boson

Andrzej Okniński  
Politechnika Świętokrzyska,  
Al. 1000-lecia PP 7, 25-314 Kielce, Poland

August 30, 2022

## Abstract

We proceed with our study of the Hagen-Hurley equations describing spin one bosons. In this work, we describe a general decay of the Hagen-Hurley boson. It is important that the transformation conserves spin  $s = 1$  of the decaying boson and provides information about the kinematics of the decay. We explain the high instability of the Hagen-Hurley particle and identify it as the  $W$  boson.

## 1 Introduction

The intermediate vector bosons,  $W^\pm$  as well as  $Z^0$ , are extremely short-lived particles with a half-life of about  $3 \times 10^{-25}$  s [1]. Very recently, an analysis of high-precision measurement of the  $W$  boson mass suggests that the mass is slightly higher than the Standard Model predicts [2]. This result hints at the need for reconsideration of the Standard Model.

This work aims to propose a relativistic equation that could effectively describe the  $W$  boson.

We base our approach on spin one Hagen-Hurley equation [3–7]. In Section 2, for the sake of completeness, we rewrite the Hagen-Hurley equations as two coupled Dirac equations involving higher-order spinors as in [8].

Then, in Section 3, we describe decays of *real*  $W$  bosons, such as appearing in the top quark decay or produced in collisions of protons and antiprotons. More precisely, we transform two coupled Dirac equations involving non-standard spinors, obtained in Section 2, into two Dirac equations for two fermions, generalizing our earlier work [9]. It is important that this transformation conserves spin  $s = 1$  of the decaying boson and provides information about the kinematics of the decay, as described in Section 4.

In what follows, we are using definitions and conventions of Ref. [8].

## 2 Rearrangement of the Hagen-Hurley equations as two coupled Dirac equations

We start with the spinor formulation of the Hagen-Hurley equations, cf. [10] or Subsection 6 ii) in [11] or Eqs. (18), (19) in [8], see also Eqs. (2.1), (2.24) in [6] for the  $7 \times 7$  form. These equations violate parity  $P$  [11], where  $P : x^0 \rightarrow x^0, x^i \rightarrow -x^i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ). Since parity is violated in weak interactions [12,13], these equations can describe weakly interacting particles.

We write one of these equations (Eq. (19) of Ref. [8]), in the form:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} p^A{}_{\dot{B}} \zeta_{A\dot{D}} &= m\chi_{\dot{B}\dot{D}} \\ p_A{}^{\dot{D}} \chi_{\dot{B}\dot{D}} &= -m\zeta_{A\dot{B}} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1a)$$

$$\chi_{\dot{B}\dot{D}} = \chi_{\dot{D}\dot{B}} \quad (1b)$$

where Eq. (1b) is the spin-1 constraint [11]. In Eqs. (1a) we have  $p^{A\dot{B}} = (\sigma^0 p^0 + \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p})^{A\dot{B}}$ ,  $p^\mu = i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu}$ , where  $\sigma^k$  ( $k = 1, 2, 3$ ) are the Pauli matrices, and  $\sigma^0$  is the  $2 \times 2$  unit matrix. Equations (1), which were first proposed by Dirac [10], can be written in the  $7 \times 7$  Hagen-Hurley form:

$$\beta^\mu p_\mu \Psi = m\Psi, \quad (2)$$

the  $\beta^\mu$  matrices given in [6], with  $\Psi = (\chi_{1i}, \chi, \chi_{2\dot{2}}, \zeta_{1i}, \zeta_{1\dot{2}}, \zeta_{2i}, \zeta_{2\dot{2}})^T$ , where  $\chi \stackrel{df}{=} \chi_{i\dot{2}} = \chi_{\dot{2}i}$  and  $T$  stands for transposition of a matrix.

Equations (1a) in explicit form read:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -(p^1 + ip^2) \chi_{1i} - (p^0 - p^3) \chi_{2i} &= -m\zeta_{1i} \\ (p^0 + p^3) \chi_{1i} + (p^1 - ip^2) \chi_{2i} &= -m\zeta_{2i} \\ -(p^1 - ip^2) \zeta_{1i} - (p^0 - p^3) \zeta_{2i} &= m\chi_{1i} \\ (p^0 + p^3) \zeta_{1i} + (p^1 + ip^2) \zeta_{2i} &= m\chi_{2i} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (3a)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -(p^1 + ip^2) \chi_{i\dot{2}} - (p^0 - p^3) \chi_{2\dot{2}} &= -m\zeta_{1\dot{2}} \\ (p^0 + p^3) \chi_{i\dot{2}} + (p^1 - ip^2) \chi_{2\dot{2}} &= -m\zeta_{2\dot{2}} \\ -(p^1 - ip^2) \zeta_{1\dot{2}} - (p^0 - p^3) \zeta_{2\dot{2}} &= m\chi_{i\dot{2}} \\ (p^0 + p^3) \zeta_{1\dot{2}} + (p^1 + ip^2) \zeta_{2\dot{2}} &= m\chi_{2\dot{2}} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (3b)$$

These equations are coupled due to the condition  $\chi_{i\dot{2}} = \chi_{\dot{2}i}$  which ensures that  $s = 1$ .

## 3 Decays of real spin-1 Hagen-Hurley bosons

We realize that solutions of two Dirac equations (3) are non-standard since they involve higher-order spinors rather than spinors  $\xi_A, \eta_{\dot{B}}$ . There are several possibilities to reduce these higher-order spinors via the de Broglie method of fusion [14, 15].

Attempting to describe leptonic decays of the  $W$  boson,  $W^- \rightarrow l + \bar{\nu}_l$ , we made the following substitution [9]:

$$\chi_{\dot{B}\dot{D}}(x) = \eta_{\dot{B}}(x) \alpha_{\dot{D}}(x), \quad (4a)$$

$$\zeta_{A\dot{B}}(x) = \xi_A(x) \alpha_{\dot{B}}(x), \quad (4b)$$

where  $\alpha_{\dot{A}}(x)$  is the Weyl spinor, describing massless neutrinos, while  $\eta_{\dot{B}}(x)$ , and  $\xi_A(x)$  are the Dirac spinors. Since  $\chi_{\dot{B}\dot{D}}(x) \neq \chi_{\dot{D}\dot{B}}(x)$  we had to assume that the spin of the decaying boson belonged to  $0 \oplus 1$  space. We suggested that our formalism can describe a decay of a *virtual*  $W^-$  boson into a lepton and antineutrino in the mixed beta decay [9].

On the other hand, to describe the decay of a *real*  $W$  boson, we carry out another, conserving spin, substitution:

$$\chi_{\dot{B}\dot{D}}(x) = \eta_{\dot{B}}(x) \alpha_{\dot{D}}(x) + \alpha_{\dot{B}}(x) \eta_{\dot{D}}(x), \quad (5a)$$

$$\zeta_{A\dot{B}}(x) = \xi_A(x) \alpha_{\dot{B}}(x) + \lambda_A(x) \eta_{\dot{B}}(x). \quad (5b)$$

Note that in this case  $\chi_{i\dot{2}} = \chi_{\dot{2}i}$  and thus  $s = 1$ . Both terms in  $\chi_{\dot{B}\dot{D}}(x)$  are necessary to ensure  $s = 1$  condition.

Substituting (5) into Eqs. (3) and rearranging terms we obtain:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} - (p^1 + ip^2) \eta_1 \alpha_{\dot{A}} - (p^0 - p^3) \eta_2 \alpha_{\dot{A}} &= -m \xi_1 \alpha_{\dot{A}} \\ (p^0 + p^3) \eta_1 \alpha_{\dot{A}} + (p^1 - ip^2) \eta_2 \alpha_{\dot{A}} &= -m \xi_2 \alpha_{\dot{A}} \\ - (p^1 - ip^2) \xi_1 \alpha_{\dot{A}} - (p^0 - p^3) \xi_2 \alpha_{\dot{A}} &= m \eta_1 \alpha_{\dot{A}} \\ (p^0 + p^3) \xi_1 \alpha_{\dot{A}} + (p^1 + ip^2) \xi_2 \alpha_{\dot{A}} &= m \eta_2 \alpha_{\dot{A}} \end{aligned} \right\}, \quad (6)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} - (p^1 + ip^2) \alpha_1 \eta_{\dot{B}} - (p^0 - p^3) \alpha_2 \eta_{\dot{B}} &= -m \lambda_1 \eta_{\dot{B}} \\ (p^0 + p^3) \alpha_1 \eta_{\dot{B}} + (p^1 - ip^2) \alpha_2 \eta_{\dot{B}} &= -m \lambda_2 \eta_{\dot{B}} \\ - (p^1 - ip^2) \lambda_1 \eta_{\dot{B}} - (p^0 - p^3) \lambda_2 \eta_{\dot{B}} &= m \alpha_1 \eta_{\dot{B}} \\ (p^0 + p^3) \lambda_1 \eta_{\dot{B}} + (p^1 + ip^2) \lambda_2 \eta_{\dot{B}} &= m \alpha_2 \eta_{\dot{B}} \end{aligned} \right\}, \quad (7)$$

where  $\dot{A}, \dot{B} = \dot{1}, \dot{2}$ .

Assuming solutions as:

$$\alpha_{\dot{B}}(x) = \hat{\alpha}_{\dot{B}} e^{-ik \cdot x}, \quad \lambda_A(x) = \hat{\lambda}_A e^{-ik \cdot x}, \quad k^\mu k_\mu = m_1^2, \quad (8)$$

$$\eta_{\dot{B}}(x) = \hat{\eta}_{\dot{B}} e^{-iq \cdot x}, \quad \xi_A(x) = \hat{\xi}_A e^{-iq \cdot x}, \quad q^\mu q_\mu = m_2^2, \quad (9)$$

we get two Dirac equations for spinors  $(\xi_A, \eta_{\dot{B}})^T$  and  $(\lambda_C, \alpha_{\dot{D}})^T$ :

$$\left. \begin{aligned} - (\tilde{p}^1 + i\tilde{p}^2) \eta_1(x) - (\tilde{p}^0 - \tilde{p}^3) \eta_2(x) &= -m \xi_1(x) \\ (\tilde{p}^0 + \tilde{p}^3) \eta_1(x) + (\tilde{p}^1 - i\tilde{p}^2) \eta_2(x) &= -m \xi_2(x) \\ - (\tilde{p}^1 - i\tilde{p}^2) \xi_1(x) - (\tilde{p}^0 - \tilde{p}^3) \xi_2(x) &= m \eta_1(x) \\ (\tilde{p}^0 + \tilde{p}^3) \xi_1(x) + (\tilde{p}^1 + i\tilde{p}^2) \xi_2(x) &= m \eta_2(x) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (10)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} - (\tilde{p}^1 + i\tilde{p}^2) \alpha_1(x) - (\tilde{p}^0 - \tilde{p}^3) \alpha_2(x) &= -m \lambda_1(x) \\ (\tilde{p}^0 + \tilde{p}^3) \alpha_1(x) + (\tilde{p}^1 - i\tilde{p}^2) \alpha_2(x) &= -m \lambda_2(x) \\ - (\tilde{p}^1 - i\tilde{p}^2) \lambda_1(x) - (\tilde{p}^0 - \tilde{p}^3) \lambda_2(x) &= m \alpha_1(x) \\ (\tilde{p}^0 + \tilde{p}^3) \lambda_1(x) + (\tilde{p}^1 + i\tilde{p}^2) \lambda_2(x) &= m \alpha_2(x) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (11)$$

with rescaled momentum operators:

$$\tilde{p}^\mu = i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} + k^\mu, \quad \tilde{p}^\mu = i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} + q^\mu. \quad (12)$$

## 4 Kinematics of decay of the real spin-1 Hagen-Hurley bosons

The forms (8), and (9) solve equations (10), and (11) provided that:

$$(k^\mu + q^\mu)(k_\mu + q_\mu) = m^2. \quad (13)$$

We thus define a new four-vector:

$$p^\mu \stackrel{df}{=} k^\mu + q^\mu, \quad (14)$$

and we have  $p^\mu p_\mu = m^2$  where  $m$  is the decaying boson mass.

Taking into account Eqs. (8), (9), and (13) we obtain two-body decay kinematic equality:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} m_1^2 + m_2^2 + 2(k^0 q^0 - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{q}) &= m^2, \\ k^0 &= +\sqrt{|\vec{k}|^2 + m_1^2}, \quad q^0 = +\sqrt{|\vec{q}|^2 + m_2^2}. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (15)$$

It follows that transition from Eqs. (3) to (10), (11), via the substitution (5), can be interpreted as the decay of a real (not virtual) spin one boson with mass  $m$  into two spin one-half fermions with four-momenta  $k^\mu$ ,  $q^\mu$ , and masses  $m_1$ ,  $m_2$  because the inequality:

$$m^2 - m_1^2 - m_2^2 = 2(k^0 q^0 - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{q}) > 0, \quad (16a)$$

is fulfilled.

Indeed, we have

$$k^0 q^0 - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{q} = \sqrt{|\vec{k}|^2 + m_1^2} \sqrt{|\vec{q}|^2 + m_2^2} - |\vec{k}| |\vec{q}| \cos \varphi > 0, \quad (16b)$$

where we have used the definition of the scalar product  $\vec{k} \cdot \vec{q}$  and  $\varphi$  is an angle between vectors  $\vec{k}$  and  $\vec{q}$ .

Let us reconsider Eq. (15). For small  $m_1$ ,  $m_2$  we have:

$$m^2 = 2 |\vec{k}| |\vec{q}| (1 - \cos \varphi). \quad (17)$$

Imposing the transverse momentum conservation as in [16] or, for negligible  $k_3$ ,  $q_3$ , we get the expression for the classical transverse mass:

$$m_\perp^2 = 2 |\vec{k}_\perp| |\vec{q}_\perp| (1 - \cos \varphi), \quad (18)$$

and this is exactly the equation 1 proposed in Ref. [16] to determine the mass of the  $W$  boson from the kinematic distribution of the decay leptons [2, 16] (note that the neutrino from the  $W$  boson is not directly detectable and longitudinal momentum balance cannot be imposed because of the geometry of the experiment [2], therefore the Eq. (18) is needed).

In conclusion, we identify the Hagen-Hurley spin one particle as the  $W$  boson.

## 5 Summary

We have demonstrated that the Hagen-Hurley equations describe the decay of a spin-1 boson into two massive spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  fermions. The Hagen-Hurley boson is unstable, as manifested by inequalities (16). Instability of the boson is enhanced by the presence in the coupled Dirac equations (3) of non-standard higher-order spinors  $\zeta_{A\dot{B}}, \chi_{\dot{C}D}$ , rather than Dirac bispinors  $(\xi_A, \eta_{\dot{B}})^T$ . Moreover, in our formalism, the classical kinematic condition for the transverse mass (18) appears naturally.

Summing up, we think that the Hagen-Hurley equations in spinor form (1) [11] or in alternative  $7 \times 7$  matrix formulation [6] can describe the extremely unstable intermediate vector  $W$  boson. Moreover, the decay of  $W^+$  into two massive spin  $-\frac{1}{2}$  fermions ( $W^+ \rightarrow l^+\nu, W^+ \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$ ) as can be described by our formalism (with massive neutrinos), amounts to almost 100% of all decay modes of the  $W$  boson [1]. It is interesting, that our approach, based on the de Broglie method of fusion [14, 15], also describes the kinematics of the decay.

## References

- [1] R.L. Workman et al. (Particle Data Group), *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **2022**, 083C01 (2022).
- [2] CDF Collaboration et al., High-precision measurement of the  $W$  boson mass with the CDF II detector, *Science* **376** (2022) 170-176.
- [3] C.R. Hagen and W.J. Hurley, Magnetic moment of a particle with arbitrary spin, *Physical Review Letters*, vol. **24**, no. 24, pp. 1381–1384, 1970.
- [4] W.J. Hurley, Relativistic wave equations for particles with arbitrary spin, *Physical Review D*, vol. **4**, no. 12, pp. 3605–3616, 1971.
- [5] W.J. Hurley, Invariant bilinear forms and the discrete symmetries for relativistic arbitrary-spin fields, *Physical Review D*, vol. **10**, no. 4, pp. 1185–1200, 1974.
- [6] J. Beckers, N. Debergh, A.G. Nikitin, On Parasupersymmetries and Relativistic Descriptions for Spin one Particles: I. The Free Context, *Fortschritte der Physik*, vol. **43**, no. 1, pp. 67–80, 1995.

- [7] J. Beekers, N. Debergh, A.G. Nikitin, On Parasupersymmetries and Relativistic Descriptions for Spin one Particles: II. The Interacting Context with (Electro)Magnetic Fields, *Fortschritte der Physik*, vol. **43**, no. 1, pp. 81–96, 1995.
- [8] Andrzej Okniński, Synthesis of relativistic wave equations: the noninteracting case., *Advances in Mathematical Physics* **2015** (2015) 528484
- [9] A. Okniński, Generalized solutions of the Dirac equation, W bosons, and beta decay, *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2016** (2016) 2689742.
- [10] P.A.M. Dirac, Relativistic wave equations, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A*, vol. **155**, no. 886, pp. 447–459, 1936.
- [11] J. Łopuszański, The representations of the Poincaré group in the framework of free quantum fields, *Fortschritte der Physik*, vol. **26**, no. 4, pp. 261–288, 1978.
- [12] M. Thomson, *Modern particle physics*, Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- [13] J.F. Donoghue, E. Golowich, B.R. Holstein, *Dynamics of the Standard Model*, Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2014.
- [14] L. de Broglie, *Théorie générale des corpuscules à spin*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1943.
- [15] E.M. Corson, *Introduction to Tensors, Spinors, and Relativistic Wave Equations*, Blackie and Son, London, 1953.
- [16] Smith, J., W. L. Van Neerven, and Jos AM Vermaseren. Transverse mass and width of the W boson. *Physical Review Letters* **50.22** (1983) 1738-1740.