The Glauber-Ising chain under low-temperature protocols

Claude Godrèche and Jean-Marc Luck

Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, CEA, Institut de Physique Théorique, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

Abstract. This work is devoted to an in-depth analysis of arbitrary temperature protocols applied to the ferromagnetic Glauber-Ising chain launched from a disordered initial state and evolving in the low-temperature scaling regime. We focus our study on the density of domain walls and the reduced susceptibility. Both the inverse of the former observable and the latter one provide two independent measures of the typical size of the growing ferromagnetic domains. Their product is thus a dimensionless form factor characterising the pattern of growing ordered domains and providing a measure of the distance of the system to thermal equilibrium. We apply this framework to a variety of protocols: everlasting slow quenches, where temperature decreases continuously to zero in the limit of infinitely long times, slow quenches of finite duration, where temperature reaches zero at some long but finite quenching time, time-periodic protocol leading to the memory effect found by Kovacs.

29 August 2022

E-mail: claude.godreche@ipht.fr,jean-marc.luck@ipht.fr

1. Introduction

This work is at the confluence of two streams of ideas. On the one hand, in the wake of the study by Glauber of the single-spin-flip dynamics of the Ising chain [1], there has been a continuous thread of research aimed at extending the exactly solvable Glauber dynamics to arbitrary temperature-change protocols [2, 3, 4]. In the original scheme considered by Glauber, the system evolves at some fixed temperature T, starting from a random initial condition, which is equivalent to saying that the system is instantaneously quenched from infinite temperature down to the finite temperature T. The studies made in [2, 3, 4] extend this simple protocol to more general ones, such as slower quenches or diverse cooling and heating scenarios.

A second stream of ideas concerns the so-called Kibble-Zurek mechanism [5, 6] which takes place when a system is progressively quenched at some finite rate through a continuous phase transition. The pioneering work by Kibble was motivated by the cooling of our Universe in its primordial history. It was then adapted by Zurek to condensed matter systems, and resulted in an approximate scheme to estimate the density of residual defects, and more generally the residual excess energy at the end of the quench, as a function of the quenching rate. The Kibble-Zurek mechanism has been investigated in the Ising chain [7, 8, 9, 10] and in the Ising model and other ferromagnets in higher dimensions [11, 12].

Here we revisit these themes with a new eye. This work introduces two main novelties. First, we provide a considerable simplification of earlier treatments of the dynamics of the Glauber-Ising chain, by focussing our attention on the low-temperature scaling regime. Second, we consider in parallel two concurrent observables, both characterising the typical growing length of ferromagnetic domains, namely the density $\rho(t)$ of domain walls on the one hand, and the reduced susceptibility $\chi(t)$ on the other. Their dimensionless product $\Pi(t)$ is a form factor characterising the whole pattern of growing ordered domains, providing thus a measure of the distance of the system to thermal equilibrium (see (1.6)–(1.8)).

Consider the ferromagnetic Ising chain defined by the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = -J \sum_{n} \sigma_n \sigma_{n+1}. \tag{1.1}$$

Henceforth we choose dimensionless energy units by setting J = 1. In line with earlier studies [2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10], we consider the situation where the temperature T(t) depends on time t according to some given protocol. We denote the inverse temperature by $\beta(t) = 1/T(t)$.

The chain evolves under the single-spin-flip heat-bath or Glauber dynamics where, in the thermodynamic limit of an infinitely long chain, each spin is updated at Poissonian times, according to the rule [1]

$$\sigma_n(t) \to -\sigma_n(t), \tag{1.2}$$

with rate

$$\omega_n(t) = \frac{1}{2} \Big(1 - \sigma_n \tanh(\beta(t)(\sigma_{n-1}(t) + \sigma_{n+1}(t))) \Big) \\ = \frac{1}{2} \Big(1 - \gamma(t)\sigma_n(t)(\sigma_{n-1}(t) + \sigma_{n+1}(t)) \Big),$$
(1.3)

where

$$\gamma(t) = \tanh 2\beta(t). \tag{1.4}$$

The linearization of the tanh function involved in going from the first to the second line of (1.3) is the key point which makes Glauber dynamics solvable.

Throughout the following we consider the dynamics of the Ising chain under an arbitrary temperature protocol $\beta(t)$, starting from the translationally invariant disordered initial state where all spin configurations are equally probable. We concentrate on the equal-time two-point correlation function

$$C_n(t) = \langle \sigma_n(t)\sigma_0(t) \rangle, \tag{1.5}$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes an average over the disordered initial state of the system and over its stochastic history. We pay special attention to the low-temperature dynamical scaling regime which takes place in the vicinity of the critical point $T_c = 0$, focussing our attention on the following observables:

• the density of domain walls

$$\rho(t) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - C_1(t)) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + E(t)), \qquad (1.6)$$

where $E(t) = -C_1(t)$ is the energy density of the system,

• the reduced susceptibility

$$\chi(t) = \sum_{n} C_n(t), \tag{1.7}$$

• the form factor

$$\Pi(t) = \rho(t)\chi(t). \tag{1.8}$$

Both $1/\rho(t)$ and $\chi(t)$ provide measures of the typical size of the growing ferromagnetic domains, so that the ratio of these lengths, namely the form factor $\Pi(t)$, provides a dimensionless parameter characterising the state of the system. The non-triviality of the form factor $\Pi(t)$ mirrors the property that domain lengths are neither mutually independent nor exponentially distributed [13], except at thermal equilibrium, where we have $\Pi_{eq} = 1$.

This paper is structured as follows. In section 2 we revisit the essentials of the exactly solvable Glauber-Ising chain under an arbitrary temperature protocol, obtaining thus in a rather direct way the exact expressions (2.34) and (2.39) for the main observables of interest $\rho(t)$ and $\chi(t)$. We then investigate at depth in section 3 the dynamics of the Glauber-Ising chain under an arbitrary protocol in the lowtemperature scaling regime in the vicinity of the critical point $T_c = 0$. Equations (3.40) and (3.42) are our key outcomes, providing expressions for $\rho(t)$ and $\chi(t)$ in the case of an arbitrary protocol. These scaling results are more amenable to analytical treatment than their exact finite-temperature analogues.

Subsequent sections are devoted to applying the above formalism to diverse lowtemperature protocols. In section 4 we consider an everlasting slow quench, where temperature decreases continuously from infinity to zero at infinitely long times. The scaling properties of the system at long times only depend on the tail of the quenching process. The system either obeys an effective finite-temperature nearequilibrium dynamics (adiabatic approximation), or an effective zero-temperature nonequilibrium dynamics (coarsening). This qualitative picture is in full agreement with a heuristic reasoning germane to the Kibble-Zurek scaling theory. It is complemented by quantitative analytical predictions. In section 5 we consider, as in [4, 7, 8, 9, 10], a slow quench of finite duration, where temperature decreases continuously and reaches zero at some long but finite quenching time τ . We consider the system at the quenching time $(t = \tau)$ and in the late-time regime $(t \gg \tau)$ in turn. In the former case, scaling properties again only depend on the tail of the quenching process. Our results corroborate the power laws $1/\rho(\tau) \sim \chi(\tau) \sim \tau^{\lambda}$, in qualitative accord with Kibble-Zurek scaling theory. We derive the exact amplitudes of the density of defects $\rho(\tau)$ and of the susceptibility $\chi(\tau)$ and the ensuing universal value of the form factor $\Pi(\tau)$. Section 6 is devoted to time-periodic protocols. We investigate in detail the case of a harmonic periodic driving with arbitrary frequency. We successively investigate the linear-response regime of a weak modulation, and the case of a critical modulation, where temperature vanishes once per period. In section 7 we provide a quantitative analysis of the Kovacs effect throughout the low-temperature scaling regime. A brief discussion of our results is given in section 8. We relegate some technical material to two appendices.

2. Exact results at finite temperature

2.1. Generalities on finite-temperature Glauber dynamics

In this section we recall how the two-point function $C_n(t)$ can be determined exactly for the Ising chain with Glauber dynamics with an arbitrary temperature protocol $\beta(t)$. We shall thus recover by simpler means the outcomes of several earlier works [2, 3, 4]. This section also sets the stage for the extensive analysis of various specific protocols in the low-temperature scaling regime, to be performed in the next sections.

The starting point of the analysis dates back to Glauber [1]. The specific form (1.3) of the flipping rate implies that the two-point function $C_n(t)$ obeys the linear differential equations

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}C_n(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -2C_n(t) + \gamma(t)(C_{n-1}(t) + C_{n+1}(t)) \qquad (n \neq 0), \qquad (2.1)$$

together with the normalization condition

$$C_0(t) = 1.$$
 (2.2)

The evolution equations (2.1) are linear, and so they are amenable to an exact solution. The only delicate part consists in imposing the condition (2.2). Several approaches have been used for that purpose in the past. In line with our previous work [14], we choose to complement the coupled equations (2.1) by their counterpart for n = 0, involving a time-dependent source term w(t):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}C_n(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -2C_n(t) + \gamma(t)(C_{n-1}(t) + C_{n+1}(t)) + w(t)\delta_{n0}.$$
(2.3)

The source term w(t) is to be determined from the condition that the condition (2.2) holds at all times. It is related to the density of domain walls as

$$w(t) = 2 - 2\gamma(t)C_1(t) = 2 - 2\gamma(t) + 4\gamma(t)\rho(t).$$
(2.4)

This expression is obtained by equating to zero the right-hand-side of (2.3) for n = 0.

The discrete Fourier transform $C^{\rm F}(q,t)$ (see Appendix A for conventions), also known as the time-dependent structure factor, obeys

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}C^{\mathrm{F}}(q,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -2(1 - \gamma(t)\cos q)C^{\mathrm{F}}(q,t) + w(t).$$
(2.5)

The condition (2.2) reads

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}q}{2\pi} C^{\mathrm{F}}(q,t) = 1, \qquad (2.6)$$

whereas the assumption of a disordered initial state translates to

$$C_n(0) = \delta_{n0},\tag{2.7}$$

i.e.,

$$C^{\rm F}(q,0) = 1.$$
 (2.8)

2.2. The case of a quench to a constant temperature

Before considering an arbitrary temperature protocol, we first review the case where the Glauber-Ising chain relaxes from a disordered initial state at constant temperature (see [14]).

In this case, γ is constant, and so (2.5), with initial condition (2.8), reads in Fourier-Laplace space (see Appendix A for conventions)

$$pC^{\rm FL}(q,p) = -2(1-\gamma\cos q)C^{\rm FL}(q,p) + w^{\rm L}(p) + 1.$$
(2.9)

We readily obtain

$$C^{\rm FL}(q,p) = \frac{w^{\rm L}(p) + 1}{p + 2 - 2\gamma \cos q}.$$
(2.10)

The condition (2.6) translates to

$$\frac{1}{p} = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}q}{2\pi} C^{\mathrm{FL}}(q,p) = \frac{w^{\mathrm{L}}(p) + 1}{\sqrt{(p+2)^2 - 4\gamma^2}},\tag{2.11}$$

hence

$$w^{\rm L}(p) = \frac{\sqrt{(p+2)^2 - 4\gamma^2}}{p} - 1 \tag{2.12}$$

and

$$C^{\rm FL}(q,p) = \frac{\sqrt{(p+2)^2 - 4\gamma^2}}{p(p+2 - 2\gamma\cos q)}.$$
(2.13)

This closed-form result contains all the relevant information on the two-point correlations during a quench to a constant temperature.

In the limit of infinitely long times, the system reaches thermal equilibrium. The equilibrium structure factor reads

$$C_{\rm eq}^{\rm F}(q) = \lim_{p \to 0} p C^{\rm FL}(q, p) = \frac{\sqrt{1 - \gamma^2}}{1 - \gamma \cos q}.$$
 (2.14)

This expression can be recast as

$$C_{\rm eq}^{\rm F}(q) = \frac{\sinh \mu}{\cosh \mu - \cos q},\tag{2.15}$$

with

$$\gamma = \tanh 2\beta = \frac{1}{\cosh \mu}.\tag{2.16}$$

The equilibrium correlations therefore decay exponentially with distance, as

$$C_{n,\text{eq}} = e^{-\mu |n|}.$$
 (2.17)

We thus recover the expression of the equilibrium correlation length ξ_{eq} [15]:

$$e^{-1/\xi_{eq}} = e^{-\mu} = \tanh\beta.$$
 (2.18)

We obtain in particular the following expressions:

$$\rho_{\rm eq} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - e^{-\mu}) = \frac{1}{e^{2\beta} + 1},$$

$$\chi_{\rm eq} = \coth \frac{\mu}{2} = \frac{1 + e^{-\mu}}{1 - e^{-\mu}} = e^{2\beta},$$

$$\Pi_{\rm eq} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + e^{-\mu}) = \frac{e^{2\beta}}{e^{2\beta} + 1}.$$
(2.19)

At any finite temperature, the system converges exponentially fast to thermal equilibrium. The relaxation time characterizing this convergence depends a priori on the observable. As far as equal-time correlations are concerned, the nearest pole of (2.13) takes place for q = 0 and yields the relaxation time

$$\tau_{\rm eq} = \frac{1}{2(1-\gamma)} = \frac{\cosh\mu}{2(\cosh\mu - 1)} = \frac{e^{4\beta} + 1}{4}.$$
 (2.20)

2.3. The case of an arbitrary temperature protocol

In the case of an arbitrary temperature protocol specified by some given $\gamma(t)$, the solution to (2.5), with initial condition (2.8), reads

$$C^{\mathrm{F}}(q,t) = \mathrm{e}^{-2t+2g(t)\cos q} + \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{e}^{-2(t-s)+2(g(t)-g(s))\cos q} w(s) \mathrm{d}s, \ (2.21)$$

with

$$g(t) = \int_0^t \gamma(s) \mathrm{d}s. \tag{2.22}$$

The condition (2.6) translates to

$$e^{-2t}I_0(2g(t)) + \int_0^t e^{-2(t-s)}I_0(2g(t) - 2g(s))w(s)ds = 1, \qquad (2.23)$$

where I_0 is the modified Bessel function (see Appendix B).

In order to extract the source term w(t) from the integral equation (2.23), we consider g(t) as a new temporal variable, denote the inverse function as t(g), and introduce the notations

$$\widetilde{\gamma}(g) = \gamma(t(g)), \qquad \widetilde{w}(g) = w(t(g)), \qquad (2.24)$$

so that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\mathrm{d}g} = \frac{1}{\widetilde{\gamma}(g)}.\tag{2.25}$$

Equation (2.23) then reads

$$I_0(2g) + \int_0^g e^{2t(h)} I_0(2g - 2h) \frac{\widetilde{w}(h)}{\widetilde{\gamma}(h)} dh = e^{2t(g)}.$$
 (2.26)

Introducing the combination

$$\Lambda(g) = \delta(g) + \frac{\widetilde{w}(g)}{\widetilde{\gamma}(g)},\tag{2.27}$$

where $\delta(g)$ is Dirac's delta function, (2.26) translates to

$$\int_{0}^{g} \Lambda(h) \mathrm{e}^{2t(h)} I_{0}(2g - 2h) \mathrm{d}h = \mathrm{e}^{2t(g)}.$$
(2.28)

The integral has the form of a convolution, so that it is natural to use Laplace transforms. Defining

$$L_{0}(p) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{2t(g) - pg} dg,$$

$$L_{1}(p) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \Lambda(g) e^{2t(g) - pg} dg,$$

$$L_{2}(p) = 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}(g)} - 1\right) e^{2t(g) - pg} dg,$$
(2.29)

the integral equation (2.28) becomes (see entry (1) of Table A1)

$$\frac{L_1(p)}{\sqrt{p^2 - 4}} = L_0(p), \tag{2.30}$$

whereas (2.25) implies

$$L_2(p) = (p-2)L_0(p) - 1.$$
(2.31)

Eliminating $L_0(p)$ between both above expressions, we are left with

$$L_1(p) = \sqrt{\frac{p+2}{p-2}} (L_2(p) + 1).$$
(2.32)

The inverse Laplace transform of the first factor in the right-hand side is given by entry (2) of Table A1. Inserting the latter expression into (2.32), using the definitions (2.29), and translating back to the original time t, we obtain the following expression for the source term corresponding to an arbitrary temperature protocol:

$$w(t) = 2(1 - \gamma(t)) + 2\gamma(t)e^{-2t}(I_0(2g(t)) + I_1(2g(t))) + 4\gamma(t) \int_0^t (1 - \gamma(s))e^{-2(t-s)} \times (I_0(2g(t) - 2g(s)) + I_1(2g(t) - 2g(s))) \,\mathrm{d}s, \qquad (2.33)$$

where I_0 and I_1 are modified Bessel functions (see Appendix B). We recall that g(t) has been defined in (2.22). An expression for the density of domain walls can be obtained by using (2.4):

$$\rho(t) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-2t} (I_0(2g(t)) + I_1(2g(t))) + \int_0^t (1 - \gamma(s)) e^{-2(t-s)} \times (I_0(2g(t) - 2g(s)) + I_1(2g(t) - 2g(s))) \, ds.$$
(2.34)

The susceptibility $\chi(t)$ can be investigated along the same lines. Equation (2.21) yields

$$\chi(t) = C^{\mathrm{F}}(0,t) = \mathrm{e}^{-2t+2g(t)} + \int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{-2(t-s)+2(g(t)-g(s))} w(s) \mathrm{d}s. \quad (2.35)$$

Using notations similar to (2.24), the relation (2.35) can be recast as

$$\widetilde{\chi}(g)\mathrm{e}^{2t(g)-2g} = \int_0^g \Lambda(h)\mathrm{e}^{2t(h)-2h}\mathrm{d}h, \qquad (2.36)$$

implying that the Laplace transform

$$L_3(p) = \int_0^\infty \widetilde{\chi}(g) \,\mathrm{e}^{2t(g) - (p+2)g} \mathrm{d}g \tag{2.37}$$

reads (see (2.29), (2.30))

$$L_3(p) = \frac{L_1(p+2)}{p} = \sqrt{\frac{p+4}{p}} L_0(p+2).$$
(2.38)

The inverse Laplace transform of the first factor in the rightmost side is again given by entry (2) of Table A1. Pursuing along the lines of the derivation of (2.33), we obtain

$$\chi(t) = 1 + 2 \int_0^t \gamma(s) e^{-2(t-s)} \times (I_0(2g(t) - 2g(s)) + I_1(2g(t) - 2g(s))) \, ds.$$
(2.39)

The formulas (2.34) and (2.39) have essentially the same structure as the key outcomes of several earlier works [2, 3, 4]. They do not easily lend themselves to a thorough analysis in specific examples of temperature protocols. In section 3 we show how the analysis simplifies in the low-temperature scaling regime in the vicinity of the critical point $T_c = 0$.

Note that for generic values of the momentum q, an expression of the structure factor $C^{\rm F}(q,t)$ involving a double time integral can be obtained by inserting (2.33) into (2.21). At variance with (2.39), the resulting expression cannot be reduced to a single integral.

3. Low-temperature scaling regime

3.1. Characteristic length and time scales

It is well-known that the ferromagnetic Ising chain has no long-range order at finite temperature, so that its critical temperature is $T_c = 0$ [15]. Its equilibrium properties exhibit a low-temperature scaling regime in the vicinity of the critical point. The characteristic length scale is the correlation length ξ_{eq} , which gives a measure of the typical size of ferromagnetic domains at thermal equilibrium. This length diverges exponentially fast at low temperature, according to

$$\xi_{\rm eq} = \frac{1}{\mu} \approx \frac{e^{2\beta}}{2}.\tag{3.1}$$

It is convenient to express all equilibrium quantities in terms of the inverse equilibrium correlation length μ . We have in particular (see (2.15))

$$C_{\rm eq}^{\rm F}(q) \approx \frac{2\mu}{q^2 + \mu^2} \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\rho_{\rm eq} \approx \frac{\mu}{2}, \qquad \chi_{\rm eq} \approx \frac{2}{\mu},$$
(3.3)

so that the form factor is asymptotically equal to unity:

$$\Pi_{\rm eq} = 1. \tag{3.4}$$

The relaxation time diverges as (see (2.20))

$$\tau_{\rm eq} \approx \frac{1}{\mu^2}.\tag{3.5}$$

The scaling law $\tau_{eq} \sim \xi_{eq}^2$ evidences the dynamical exponent z = 2, in accord with the diffusive motion of domain walls under zero-temperature Glauber dynamics.

It will be shown below that the above scaling picture extends to the dynamics of the model subjected to an arbitrary low-temperature protocol.

3.2. Generalities on Glauber dynamics in the low-temperature scaling regime

The aim of this section is to show how the approach of Section 2 simplifies in the lowtemperature scaling regime, where the characteristic length and time scales diverge. We find it easier and more didactic to resume the analysis from the very beginning, rather than looking for the scaling behaviour of various outcomes of Section 2.

Throughout the following, we parametrize the temperature protocol by means of the instantaneous inverse correlation length,

$$\mu(t) = -\ln \tanh \beta(t), \tag{3.6}$$

which becomes exponentially small at low temperatures, where we have

$$\mu(t) \approx 2 \,\mathrm{e}^{-2\beta(t)} \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$\gamma(t) \approx 1 - \frac{\mu^2(t)}{2}.\tag{3.8}$$

The relevant momentum scale q is accordingly small in the low-temperature scaling regime, so that the discrete Fourier transform entering (2.5) becomes a continuous one (see Appendix A for conventions). Equation (2.5) thus reads

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}C^{\mathrm{F}}(q,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \approx -(q^{2} + \mu^{2}(t))C^{\mathrm{F}}(q,t) + w(t), \qquad (3.9)$$

whereas the relation (2.4) between the source term and the density of domain walls boils down to

$$w(t) \approx 4\rho(t). \tag{3.10}$$

The condition (2.2) reads

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}q}{2\pi} C^{\mathrm{F}}(q,t) = 1, \qquad (3.11)$$

whereas the assumption of a disordered initial state still translates to

$$C^{\mathsf{F}}(q,0) = 1. \tag{3.12}$$

3.3. The case of a quench to a constant low temperature

Before considering an arbitrary temperature protocol, let us, as in section 2.2, consider first the case of a quench from a disordered initial state to a constant low temperature in the scaling regime.

In this case, $\mu(t) = \mu$ is constant, and so (3.9), with initial condition (3.12), reads in continuous Fourier-Laplace space (see Appendix A for conventions)

$$pC^{\rm FL}(q,p) \approx -(q^2 + \mu^2)C^{\rm FL}(q,p) + w^{\rm L}(p) + 1.$$
 (3.13)

This equation can be solved readily, yielding

$$C^{\rm FL}(q,p) \approx \frac{w^{\rm L}(p)+1}{p+q^2+\mu^2}.$$
 (3.14)

The condition (3.11) translates to

$$\frac{1}{p} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}q}{2\pi} C^{\mathrm{FL}}(q, p) \approx \frac{w^{\mathrm{L}}(p) + 1}{2\sqrt{p + \mu^2}},\tag{3.15}$$

so that

$$w^{\rm L}(p) \approx \frac{2\sqrt{p+\mu^2}}{p} \tag{3.16}$$

is much larger than unity in the scaling regime. We thus obtain

$$C^{\rm FL}(q,p) \approx \frac{2\sqrt{p+\mu^2}}{p(p+q^2+\mu^2)}.$$
 (3.17)

This formula is the continuum analogue of (2.13), and reproduces (3.2) in the static limit.

Inverting first the Fourier transform involved in (3.17), and then the Laplace transform (see entry (3) of Table A1), we obtain the scaling form of the correlation function:

$$C_n(t) \approx \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{\mu |n|} \operatorname{erfc} \frac{|n| + 2\mu t}{2\sqrt{t}} + e^{-\mu |n|} \operatorname{erfc} \frac{|n| - 2\mu t}{2\sqrt{t}} \right),$$
 (3.18)

which is a function of the scaling variables n/\sqrt{t} and $\mu^2 t \approx t/\tau_{eq}$, as highlighted in [14]. Equations (3.16) and (3.17) yield in particular

$$\rho^{\mathrm{L}}(p) \approx \frac{w^{\mathrm{L}}(p)}{4} \approx \frac{\sqrt{p+\mu^2}}{2p},$$

$$\chi^{\mathrm{L}}(p) = C^{\mathrm{FL}}(0,p) \approx \frac{2}{p\sqrt{p+\mu^2}}.$$
(3.19)

The Laplace transforms can be inverted explicitly (see entries (4) and (5) of Table A1). We thus obtain

$$\rho(t) \approx \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\mu^2 t}}{\sqrt{\pi t}} + \mu \operatorname{erf}(\mu \sqrt{t}) \right), \qquad (3.20)$$

$$\chi(t) \approx \frac{2}{\mu} \operatorname{erf}(\mu \sqrt{t}),$$
(3.21)

$$\Pi(t) \approx \operatorname{erf}(\mu\sqrt{t}) \left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\mu^2 t}}{\mu\sqrt{\pi t}} + \operatorname{erf}(\mu\sqrt{t}) \right).$$
(3.22)

It is worthwhile recalling here the linear behaviour of the correlation function,

$$C_n(t) \approx 1 - 2\rho(t)|n|, \qquad (3.23)$$

in the so-called Porod regime $(\rho(t)|n| \ll 1)$ [16].

The above expressions are functions of the scaling variable $\mu^2 t \approx t/\tau_{eq}$. The regimes where the latter variable is small or large deserve special attention.

At zero temperature, and more generally in the low-temperature coarsening regime ($\mu^2 t \ll 1$, i.e., $t \ll \tau_{eq}$), the correlation function assumes the scaling form

$$C_n(t) \approx \operatorname{erfc} \frac{|n|}{2\sqrt{t}}.$$
 (3.24)

The growth law $L(t) \sim \sqrt{t}$ of the typical domain size is another manifestation of the diffusive dynamics. It is corroborated by the power-law behaviour of $\rho(t)$ and $\chi(t)$:

$$\rho(t) \approx \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}}, \qquad \chi(t) \approx 4\sqrt{\frac{t}{\pi}},$$
(3.25)

whose product converges to the non-trivial constant [14]

$$\Pi_{\rm coa} = \frac{2}{\pi}.\tag{3.26}$$

The non-exponential form (3.24) of the correlation function and the non-triviality of the constant Π_{coa} are among the most salient non-equilibrium features of the zero-temperature coarsening regime.

In the opposite regime $(\mu^2 t \gg 1, \text{ i.e., } t \gg \tau_{eq})$, the correlation function $C_n(t)$ converges exponentially fast to its equilibrium value (2.17). In particular, $\rho(t)$ and $\chi(t)$ converge exponentially fast to their equilibrium values (3.3), according to

$$\rho(t) \approx \frac{\mu}{2} \left(1 + \frac{e^{-\mu^2 t}}{2\mu^3 \sqrt{\pi t^3}} + \cdots \right),$$
(3.27)

$$\chi(t) \approx \frac{2}{\mu} \left(1 - \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\mu^2 t}}{\mu \sqrt{\pi t}} + \cdots \right), \qquad (3.28)$$

so that their product converges to $\Pi_{eq} = 1$ (see (3.30)).

The form factor $\Pi(t)$ is plotted in figure 1 against $\mu^2 t$. It is an increasing function of the latter variable, interpolating between the coarsening and equilibrium values Π_{coa} and Π_{eq} , and behaving as

$$\Pi(t) \approx \frac{2}{\pi} \left(1 + \frac{2\mu^2 t}{3} + \cdots \right) \qquad (\mu^2 t \to 0)$$
(3.29)

and as

$$\Pi(t) \approx 1 - \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\mu^2 t}}{\mu \sqrt{\pi t}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu^2 t} + \cdots \right) \qquad (\mu^2 t \to \infty).$$
(3.30)

3.4. The case of an arbitrary low-temperature protocol

In the case of an arbitrary protocol in the low-temperature scaling regime, specified by some given $\mu(t)$, the solution to (3.9), with initial condition (3.12), reads

$$C^{\mathrm{F}}(q,t) = \mathrm{e}^{-q^{2}t - M(t)} + \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{e}^{-q^{2}(t-s) - (M(t) - M(s))} w(s) \mathrm{d}s, \qquad (3.31)$$

with

$$M(t) = \int_0^t \mu^2(s) \mathrm{d}s.$$
 (3.32)

The condition (3.11) then yields

$$\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-M(t)}}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} + \int_0^t \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-(M(t)-M(s))}}{2\sqrt{\pi(t-s)}} w(s) \mathrm{d}s = 1.$$
(3.33)

The method to extract the source term w(t) from the above integral equation follows that used in section 2.3. We introduce the combination

$$\lambda(t) = \delta(t) + w(t), \tag{3.34}$$



Figure 1. Form factor $\Pi(t)$ for a quench to a constant low temperature in the scaling regime (see (3.22)), against $\mu^2 t$.

so that (3.33) translates to

$$\int_{0}^{t} \frac{e^{M(s)}}{2\sqrt{\pi(t-s)}} \lambda(s) ds = e^{M(t)}.$$
(3.35)

In Laplace space, (3.35) becomes

$$\frac{\ell_1(p)}{2\sqrt{p}} = \ell_0(p), \tag{3.36}$$

where

$$\ell_{0}(p) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{M(t) - pt} dt,$$

$$\ell_{1}(p) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{M(t) - pt} \lambda(t) dt,$$

$$\ell_{2}(p) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{M(t) - pt} \mu^{2}(t) dt,$$
(3.37)

hence (3.32) yields

$$\ell_2(p) = p\ell_0(p) - 1. \tag{3.38}$$

Eliminating $\ell_0(p)$ between (3.36) and (3.38), we are left with

$$\ell_1(p) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{p}} \ (\ell_2(p) + 1). \tag{3.39}$$

The inverse Laplace transform of the first factor in the right-hand side reads $2/\sqrt{\pi t}$. We thus obtain the following expressions for the source term w(t) and the density of defects $\rho(t)$:

$$\rho(t) \approx \frac{w(t)}{4} \approx \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-M(t)}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} + \int_0^t \frac{\mathrm{e}^{M(s)}}{\sqrt{t-s}} \,\mu^2(s) \mathrm{d}s\right),\tag{3.40}$$

The Glauber-Ising chain under low-temperature protocols

where M(t) is defined in (3.32). The susceptibility is given by (see (3.31))

$$\chi(t) = C^{\mathrm{F}}(0, t) = \mathrm{e}^{-M(t)} + \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{e}^{-(M(t) - M(s))} w(s) \mathrm{d}s.$$
(3.41)

The first term is negligible in the scaling regime. Inserting the expression (3.40) for the source term w(t) into the integral, and changing the order of integration, we are left with the formula

$$\chi(t) \approx \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-M(t)} \left(\sqrt{t} + \int_0^t \sqrt{t-s} e^{M(s)} \mu^2(s) \mathrm{d}s \right).$$
(3.42)

Equations (3.40) and (3.42) are the key outcomes of this section, providing expressions for the two observables of central interest, $\rho(t)$ and $\chi(t)$, for an arbitrary protocol in the low-temperature scaling regime. These results are by far more tractable than their exact finite-temperature analogues (2.34) and (2.39). In the case of a constant low temperature, where μ is a constant and $M(t) = \mu^2 t$, the expressions (3.20) and (3.21) are recovered. In subsequent sections we shall employ (3.40) and (3.42) to analyse diverse protocols in the low-temperature scaling regime.

4. Everlasting slow quenches

The first protocol we shall investigate is that of an everlasting slow quench, during which temperature decreases continuously, attaining zero only in the limit of infinitely long times. The scaling properties of the system only depend on the tail of the quenching process, namely how the parameter $\mu(t)$ goes to zero as $t \to \infty$. We assume for definiteness that it falls off as a power law, according to

$$\mu^2(t) \approx \frac{B}{t^{\theta}},\tag{4.1}$$

with arbitrary positive exponent θ and amplitude B.

A first picture of the scaling laws governing the system in the late-time regime can be obtained by means of the following heuristic reasoning, in line with the Kibble-Zurek scaling theory [5, 6]. At thermal equilibrium, the relaxation time scales as $\tau_{eq} \approx 1/\mu^2$ (see (3.5)). In the present setting, it is natural to introduce the instantaneous effective relaxation time

$$\tau_{\rm eff}(t) = \frac{1}{\mu^2(t)}.$$
(4.2)

Equation (4.1) implies the power-law growth $\tau_{\text{eff}}(t) \sim t^{\theta}$. So:

- If $\theta > 1$, the effective relaxation time is such that $\tau_{\text{eff}}(t) \gg t$. The system is therefore expected to be asymptotically driven by an effective non-equilibrium zero-temperature dynamics, so that the scaling laws of the coarsening regime should apply for long times.
- If $\theta < 1$, the effective relaxation time is such that $\tau_{\text{eff}}(t) \ll t$. The system is therefore expected to follow adiabatically a finite-temperature dynamics with a slowly varying time-dependent temperature, and therefore to stay close to equilibrium at that temperature.
- If $\theta = 1$, the system is in the marginal situation where t and $\tau_{\text{eff}}(t) \approx t/B$ are proportional to each other. This case deserves a more detailed analysis.

The qualitative predictions of the above line of thought turn out to be correct. They will be turned into quantitative results in the remainder of this section. It is to be noted that the resemblance of the above heuristic reasoning with the Kibble-Zurek scaling theory is limited to the idea of comparing relevant time scales. The pointlike nature of elementary defects (domain walls) plays no role at all in this.

4.1. Effective non-equilibrium zero-temperature dynamics

This situation corresponds to everlasting slow quenches with $\theta > 1$. We have then $\mu(t) \ll 1/\sqrt{t}$, and so $\tau_{\text{eff}}(t) \gg t$. This implies that the integral

$$M(\infty) = \int_0^\infty \mu^2(t) \mathrm{d}t \tag{4.3}$$

converges. To leading order in the long-time regime, (3.40) and (3.42) reduce to

$$\rho(t) \approx \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-M(\infty)}}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \left(1 + \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{M(s)} \,\mu^2(s) \mathrm{d}s \right),$$

$$\chi(t) \approx 4\sqrt{\frac{t}{\pi}} \,\mathrm{e}^{-M(\infty)} \left(1 + \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{M(s)} \,\mu^2(s) \mathrm{d}s \right).$$
(4.4)

The integral equals $e^{M(\infty)} - 1$, so that we are left with

$$\rho(t) \approx \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}}, \qquad \chi(t) \approx 4\sqrt{\frac{t}{\pi}}.$$
(4.5)

The asymptotic power-law behaviour (3.25) of $\rho(t)$ and $\chi(t)$, and the ensuing nontrivial limit Π_{coa} of their product (see (3.26)), therefore hold unchanged for any everlasting quench such that $\theta > 1$, i.e., $\mu(t) \ll 1/\sqrt{t}$. These results corroborate the above picture in the style of Kibble-Zurek, predicting that the system is driven by an effective non-equilibrium zero-temperature dynamics.

The leading corrections to the asymptotic scaling laws (4.5) can be obtained by rearranging and formally expanding (3.40) and (3.42) as

$$\rho(t) \approx \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \left(1 + \int_0^\infty e^{M(s) - M(\infty)} \left[\left(1 - \frac{s}{t} \right)^{-1/2} - 1 \right] \mu^2(s) ds \right) \\
\approx \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \left(1 + \frac{m_1}{2t} + \cdots \right), \\
\chi(t) \approx 4\sqrt{\frac{t}{\pi}} \left(1 + \int_0^\infty e^{M(s) - M(\infty)} \left[\left(1 - \frac{s}{t} \right)^{1/2} - 1 \right] \mu^2(s) ds \right) \\
\approx 4\sqrt{\frac{t}{\pi}} \left(1 - \frac{m_1}{2t} + \cdots \right),$$
(4.6)

with the definition

$$m_k = \int_0^\infty e^{M(s) - M(\infty)} s^k \,\mu^2(s) \mathrm{d}s.$$
(4.7)

If $\theta > 2$, i.e., $\mu(t) \ll 1/t$, the integral m_1 is convergent. The leading corrections to (4.5) are therefore of relative order 1/t, as given by (4.6). The situation is however different when $1 < \theta < 2$, i.e., $1/t \ll \mu(t) \ll 1/\sqrt{t}$, where m_1 diverges. We have then

$$\rho(t) \approx \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \left(1 + \int_0^t \left[\left(1 - \frac{s}{t} \right)^{-1/2} - 1 \right] \mu^2(s) \mathrm{d}s \right)$$

The Glauber-Ising chain under low-temperature protocols

$$\approx \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \left(1 + \frac{K_1 B}{t^{\theta - 1}} + \cdots \right),$$

$$\chi(t) \approx 4\sqrt{\frac{t}{\pi}} \left(1 + \int_0^t \left[\left(1 - \frac{s}{t} \right)^{1/2} - 1 \right] \mu^2(s) \mathrm{d}s \right)$$

$$\approx 4\sqrt{\frac{t}{\pi}} \left(1 - \frac{K_2 B}{t^{\theta - 1}} + \cdots \right),$$
(4.8)

with

$$K_{1} = \int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-u}} - 1 \right) u^{-\theta} du = \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(1-\theta)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2} - \theta\right)} + \frac{1}{\theta - 1},$$

$$K_{2} = \int_{0}^{1} \left(1 - \sqrt{1-u} \right) u^{-\theta} du = -\sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(1-\theta)}{2\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2} - \theta\right)} - \frac{1}{\theta - 1}.$$
 (4.9)

The ensuing asymptotic expansion for $\Pi(t)$, namely

$$\Pi(t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left(1 + \frac{KB}{t^{\theta - 1}} + \cdots \right),$$
(4.10)

with

$$K = K_1 - K_2 = \sqrt{\pi} \frac{(2-\theta)\Gamma(1-\theta)}{\Gamma(\frac{5}{2}-\theta)} + \frac{2}{\theta-1},$$
(4.11)

holds in the whole range $1 < \theta < 3$, whereas for $\theta > 3$ we have

$$\Pi(t) \approx \frac{2}{\pi} \left(1 + \frac{c}{4t^2} + \cdots \right), \tag{4.12}$$

with $c = m_2 - m_1^2$.

4.2. Near-equilibrium finite-temperature dynamics

This situation corresponds to everlasting slow quenches with $\theta < 1$. We have then $\mu(t) \gg 1/\sqrt{t}$, and so $\tau_{\text{eff}}(t) \ll t$. The integral M(t) grows as

$$M(t) \approx \frac{B}{1-\theta} t^{1-\theta}.$$
(4.13)

As a consequence, the first terms in (3.40) and (3.42) fall off exponentially in time. Neglecting these contributions, we are left with the expressions

$$\rho(t) \approx \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}} e^{M(s) - M(t)} \mu^2(s) ds,
\chi(t) \approx \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t \sqrt{t-s} e^{M(s) - M(t)} \mu^2(s) ds.$$
(4.14)

In the long-time regime, the above integrals are dominated by times s such that

$$\varepsilon = t - s \ll t. \tag{4.15}$$

To leading order, we have $\mu(t-\varepsilon) \approx \mu(t)$ and $M(t-\varepsilon) - M(t) \approx -\mu^2(t)\varepsilon$, and so (4.14) evaluate to

$$\rho(t) \approx \frac{\mu(t)}{2}, \qquad \chi(t) \approx \frac{2}{\mu(t)}.$$
(4.16)

The above formulas express the validity of the adiabatic approximation: observables are nearly equal to their equilibrium values (3.3) at the instantaneous temperature $\mu(t)$. The ensuing form factor $\Pi(t)$ is accordingly very near its equilibrium value $\Pi_{eq} = 1$ (see (3.4)). These results, too, corroborate the above picture à la Kibble-Zurek, predicting that the system is driven by an effective near-equilibrium finite-temperature dynamics.

The outcome (4.16) of the adiabatic approximation can be improved by means of the following systematic expansion. Whenever $\mu(t)$ is slowly varying, we have

$$\mu(t-\varepsilon) = \mu(t) - \mu'(t)\varepsilon + \frac{\mu''(t)}{2}\varepsilon^2 + \cdots,$$

$$M(t-\varepsilon) = M(t) - \mu^2(t)\varepsilon + \mu(t)\mu'(t)\varepsilon^2 - \frac{\mu(t)\mu''(t) + \mu'^2(t)}{3}\varepsilon^3 + \cdots$$
(4.17)

By inserting the above expansions into (4.14), performing the integrals and rearranging terms, we obtain the following expansions around the adiabatic approximation for the observables of interest in the case of an arbitrary slowly varying low temperature profile:

$$\frac{2\rho(t)}{\mu(t)} = 1 - \frac{\mu'(t)}{4\mu^3(t)} + \left(\frac{\mu''(t)}{8\mu^5(t)} - \frac{11\mu'^2(t)}{32\mu^6(t)}\right) + \cdots,
\frac{\chi(t)\mu(t)}{2} = 1 + \frac{3\mu'(t)}{4\mu^3(t)} + \left(-\frac{5\mu''(t)}{8\mu^5(t)} + \frac{85\mu'^2(t)}{32\mu^6(t)}\right) + \cdots,
\Pi(t) = 1 + \frac{\mu'(t)}{2\mu^3(t)} + \left(-\frac{\mu''(t)}{2\mu^5(t)} + \frac{17\mu'^2(t)}{8\mu^6(t)}\right) + \cdots$$
(4.18)

The above expansions take slightly simpler forms if time derivatives of $\mu(t)$ are expressed in terms of time derivatives of the effective relaxation time $\tau_{\text{eff}}(t)$ (see (4.2)):

$$\frac{2\rho(t)}{\mu(t)} = 1 + \frac{\tau'_{\text{eff}}(t)}{8} + \left(\frac{\tau'_{\text{eff}}(t)}{128} - \frac{\tau_{\text{eff}}(t)\tau''_{\text{eff}}(t)}{16}\right) + \cdots, \\
\frac{\chi(t)\mu(t)}{2} = 1 - \frac{3\tau'_{\text{eff}}(t)}{8} + \left(\frac{25\tau'_{\text{eff}}(t)}{128} + \frac{5\tau_{\text{eff}}(t)\tau''_{\text{eff}}(t)}{16}\right) + \cdots, \\
\Pi(t) = 1 - \frac{\tau'_{\text{eff}}(t)}{4} + \left(\frac{5\tau'_{\text{eff}}^2(t)}{32} + \frac{\tau_{\text{eff}}(t)\tau''_{\text{eff}}(t)}{4}\right) + \cdots \qquad (4.19)$$

In the case where $\mu(t)$ is (exactly) given by the power law (4.1) with $\theta < 1$, the above expansions become asymptotic expansions in powers of $t^{-(1-\theta)}$:

$$\frac{2\rho(t)}{\mu(t)} = 1 + \frac{\theta}{8B} t^{-(1-\theta)} + \frac{\theta(8-7\theta)}{128B^2} t^{-2(1-\theta)} + \cdots,
\frac{\chi(t)\mu(t)}{2} = 1 - \frac{3\theta}{8B} t^{-(1-\theta)} + \frac{5\theta(13\theta-8)}{128B^2} t^{-2(1-\theta)} + \cdots,
\Pi(t) = 1 - \frac{\theta}{4B} t^{-(1-\theta)} + \frac{\theta(13\theta-8)}{32B^2} t^{-2(1-\theta)} + \cdots$$
(4.20)

4.3. The marginal case

We close this study of everlasting quenches by considering the marginal case where the parameter $\mu(t)$ falls off as

$$\mu^2(t) \approx \frac{B}{t},\tag{4.21}$$

with an arbitrary amplitude B. The integral M(t) therefore grows as

$$M(t) \approx B \,\ln\frac{t}{t_0},\tag{4.22}$$

where the microscopic time scale t_0 depends on details of the behaviour of $\mu(t)$ at finite times. As a consequence, the first terms in (3.40) and (3.42) decay much faster than the second ones, as they are reduced by a factor $e^{-M(t)} \approx (t_0/t)^B$. Neglecting these contributions, we are again left with the expressions (4.14).

Inserting (4.21) and (4.22) into (4.14) and performing the integrals, we obtain the scaling laws

$$\rho(t) \approx \frac{X(B)}{2\sqrt{\pi t}}, \qquad \chi(t) \approx 4\sqrt{\frac{t}{\pi}} Y(B).$$
(4.23)

The power laws (3.25) of $\rho(t)$ and $\chi(t)$, characteristic of zero-temperature coarsening dynamics, are affected by multiplicative factors X(B) and Y(B), which depend continuously on the amplitude B, according to

$$X(B) = \sqrt{\pi} \, \frac{\Gamma(B+1)}{\Gamma(B+\frac{1}{2})}, \qquad Y(B) = \sqrt{\pi} \, \frac{\Gamma(B+1)}{2\Gamma(B+\frac{3}{2})}. \tag{4.24}$$

The form factor $\Pi(t)$ therefore converges to the following limit:

$$\Pi(B) = \frac{2}{\pi} X(B) Y(B) = \frac{\Gamma^2(B+1)}{\Gamma(B+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(B+\frac{3}{2})},$$
(4.25)

which also depends continuously on B, increasing monotonically from $\Pi_{\text{coa}} = 2/\pi$ at B = 0 to $\Pi_{\text{eq}} = 1$ as $B \to \infty$. When B is an integer, $\Pi(B)$ admits the product formula

$$\Pi(B) = \frac{2}{\pi} \prod_{k=1}^{B} \frac{4k^2}{(2k-1)(2k+1)},$$
(4.26)

which has attracted some interest lately, in connection with the Wallis formula [17].

When the amplitude B is small, the above results exhibit smooth corrections with respect to the non-equilibrium zero-temperature coarsening regime:

$$X(B) = 1 + 2\ln 2B + \cdots,$$

$$Y(B) = 1 + 2(\ln 2 - 1)B + \cdots,$$

$$\Pi(B) = \frac{2}{\pi} \Big(1 + 2(2\ln 2 - 1)B + \cdots \Big).$$
(4.27)

When the amplitude B is large, the above results manifest a crossover towards the equilibrium finite-temperature regime. We have

$$\frac{2\rho(t)}{\mu(t)} \approx \frac{X(B)}{\sqrt{\pi B}} = \frac{\Gamma(B+1)}{\sqrt{B}\,\Gamma(B+\frac{1}{2})} = 1 + \frac{1}{8B} + \frac{1}{128B^2} \cdots, \qquad (4.28)$$

$$\chi(t)\mu(t) = \sqrt{B}\,\Gamma(B+1) = 3 \qquad 25$$

$$\frac{\chi(t)\mu(t)}{2} \approx 2\sqrt{\frac{B}{\pi}}Y(B) = \frac{\sqrt{B}\Gamma(B+1)}{\Gamma(B+\frac{3}{2})} = 1 - \frac{3}{8B} + \frac{25}{128B^2} + \cdots$$

and

$$\Pi(B) = 1 - \frac{1}{4B} + \frac{5}{32B^2} + \dots$$
(4.29)

A much longer asymptotic expansion of $\Pi(B)$ is given in [17, Eq. (3.12)].



Figure 2. Sketchy plot of the time dependence of $\mu^2(t)$ for a slow quench of finite duration. The Kibble-Zurek time scale ε_{KZ} is introduced in (5.9).

5. Slow quenches of finite duration

The next protocol we consider is that of a slow quench over a finite time interval, during which temperature decreases continuously, reaches $T(\tau) = 0$ at some long but finite quenching time τ , and then stays equal to zero, as sketched in figure 2.

This protocol has attracted much attention in a recent past [4, 7, 8, 9, 10]. These earlier works are focussed on the behaviour of density of defects $\rho(\tau)$ right at the quenching time τ , in the regime of most interest where the latter time is large. In this regime, the scaling properties of the system only depend on the tail of the slow quenching process, namely how T(t) goes to zero as $t \to \tau$. We choose to parametrize the latter tail as

$$\mu^2(\tau - \varepsilon) \approx A \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\tau}\right)^{\alpha} \qquad (\varepsilon \ll \tau), \tag{5.1}$$

with arbitrary positive exponent α and amplitude A. Henceforth we shall successively consider the system at the quenching time $(t = \tau)$ and in the late-time regime $(t \gg \tau)$.

5.1. Scaling properties at the quenching time

The purpose of this section is to determine the scaling behaviour of the density of defects $\rho(\tau)$ and of the susceptibility $\chi(\tau)$ right at the quenching time τ , in the regime where τ is large. This can be done by inserting the expression (5.1) of $\mu^2(t)$, as well as the ensuing estimate

$$M(\tau) - M(\tau - \varepsilon) \approx \frac{A \varepsilon^{\alpha + 1}}{(\alpha + 1)\tau^{\alpha}} \qquad (\varepsilon \ll \tau),$$
(5.2)

into the expressions (3.40) and (3.42). The latter formulas thus simplify to

$$\rho(\tau) \approx \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{f(\varepsilon)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \,\mathrm{d}\varepsilon, \quad \chi(\tau) \approx \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \sqrt{\varepsilon} \,f(\varepsilon) \,\mathrm{d}\varepsilon, \tag{5.3}$$

with

$$f(\varepsilon) = A\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\tau}\right)^{\alpha} \exp\left(-\frac{A\varepsilon^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1)\tau^{\alpha}}\right).$$
(5.4)

We thus obtain the explicit results

$$\rho(\tau) \approx \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{2\alpha+1}{2\alpha+2}\right) \left(\frac{A}{(\alpha+1)\tau^{\alpha}}\right)^{1/(2(\alpha+1))},\tag{5.5}$$

$$\chi(\tau) \approx \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{2\alpha+3}{2\alpha+2}\right) \left(\frac{(\alpha+1)\tau^{\alpha}}{A}\right)^{1/(2(\alpha+1))}.$$
(5.6)

The exponent

$$\lambda = \frac{\alpha}{2(\alpha+1)},\tag{5.7}$$

characterizing the power-law behaviour of the typical domain size at the quenching time τ ,

$$\frac{1}{\rho(\tau)} \sim \chi(\tau) \sim \tau^{\lambda},\tag{5.8}$$

is an increasing function of α in the range $0 < \lambda < 1/2$. Its expression was obtained in [4, 7, 8, 9], and shown to agree with the predictions of the Kibble-Zurek scaling theory [5, 6]. The reasoning goes as follows. The effective relaxation time introduced in (4.2) continuously increases as the end of the quench is approached. It becomes equal to the remaining time ε until the end of the quench when ε crosses the Kibble-Zurek time scale

$$\varepsilon_{\rm KZ} \approx \left(\frac{\tau^{\alpha}}{A}\right)^{1/(\alpha+1)},$$
(5.9)

such that $\mu^2(\varepsilon_{\rm KZ}) \approx 1/\varepsilon_{\rm KZ}$. It is therefore expected that the system first remains close to thermal equilibrium (green part of the plot in figure 2), until it falls out of equilibrium when $\tau_{\rm eff}(t) \approx \tau - t$, i.e., $t \approx \tau - \varepsilon_{\rm KZ}$, and remains out of equilibrium until the end of the quench (red part of the plot). The Kibble-Zurek scaling theory therefore predicts

$$1/\rho(\tau) \sim \chi(\tau) \sim 1/\mu(\varepsilon_{\rm KZ}) \sim \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\rm KZ}} \sim \tau^{\lambda},$$
 (5.10)

in agreement with (5.8).

The above quantitative analysis is by far simpler than those of [4, 7, 8, 9]. The result (5.6) for $\chi(t)$ is of course novel, whereas the exact amplitude of $\rho(\tau)$ in (5.5) was first derived in [9], starting from the finite-temperature formalism of [4]. Surprisingly enough, an earlier erroneous prediction of the amplitude of $\rho(\tau)$ [7] turns out to coincide with the exact amplitude (5.6) for $1/\chi(\tau)$.

As a consequence of (5.5), (5.6), the form factor $\Pi(\tau)$ only depends on the quenching exponent α , just as the growth exponent λ , according to

$$\Pi(\tau) = \frac{1}{(\alpha+1)\sin\frac{\pi}{2(\alpha+1)}} = \frac{1-2\lambda}{\cos\pi\lambda}.$$
(5.11)

It is a decreasing function of α , starting from its equilibrium value $\Pi_{eq} = 1$ in the limit of a very steep slow quench ($\alpha \to 0, \lambda \to 0$):

$$\Pi(\tau) = 1 - \alpha + \left(\frac{\pi^2}{8} + 1\right)\alpha^2 + \cdots,$$
 (5.12)

and going towards its coarsening value $\Pi_{\text{coa}} = 2/\pi$ in the limit of a very mild slow quench ($\alpha \to \infty$, $\lambda \to 1/2$):

$$\Pi(\tau) = \frac{2}{\pi} + \frac{\pi}{12\alpha^2} - \frac{\pi}{6\alpha^3} + \dots$$
 (5.13)

In the special case of a linear temperature ramp, considered in detail in [7, 8, 9], and more generally of a quenching profile ending linearly ($\alpha = 1$), we have

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{4}, \qquad \Pi(\tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$
 (5.14)

5.2. Scaling properties in the long-time regime

The purpose of this section is to analyse the scaling behaviour of the density of defects $\rho(t)$ and of the susceptibility $\chi(t)$ in the long-time regime $(t \gg \tau)$, long after the end of a slow quench of finite duration.

To leading order in the long-time regime, (3.40) and (3.42) reduce to

$$\rho(t) \approx \frac{e^{-M(\tau)}}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \left(1 + \int_0^\tau e^{M(s)} \mu^2(s) ds \right),
\chi(t) \approx 4\sqrt{\frac{t}{\pi}} e^{-M(\tau)} \left(1 + \int_0^\tau e^{M(s)} \mu^2(s) ds \right).$$
(5.15)

We have indeed $M(t) = M(\tau)$ for all $t \ge \tau$. The subsequent analysis follows that of section 4.1. The integral entering (5.15) equals $e^{M(\tau)} - 1$, so that we are left with

$$\rho(t) \approx \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}}, \qquad \chi(t) \approx 4\sqrt{\frac{t}{\pi}}.$$
(5.16)

The asymptotic power-law behaviours (3.25) of $\rho(t)$ and $\chi(t)$, and the ensuing non-trivial limit Π_{coa} of their product (see (3.26)), which were obtained for zerotemperature dynamics, i.e., an instantaneous quench, hold unchanged for any progressive quench of finite duration.

The corrections to the above leading asymptotic behaviour can be obtained by rearranging and expanding (3.40) and (3.42) as

$$\rho(t) \approx \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \left(1 + \int_0^\tau e^{M(s) - M(\tau)} \left[\left(1 - \frac{s}{t} \right)^{-1/2} - 1 \right] \mu^2(s) ds \right) \\
\approx \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \left(1 + \frac{m_1}{2t} + \frac{3m_2}{8t^2} + \cdots \right), \\
\chi(t) \approx 4\sqrt{\frac{t}{\pi}} \left(1 + \int_0^\tau e^{M(s) - M(\tau)} \left[\left(1 - \frac{s}{t} \right)^{1/2} - 1 \right] \mu^2(s) ds \right) \\
\approx 4\sqrt{\frac{t}{\pi}} \left(1 - \frac{m_1}{2t} - \frac{m_2}{8t^2} + \cdots \right),$$
(5.17)

with the definition

$$m_k = \int_0^\tau e^{M(s) - M(\tau)} s^k \, \mu^2(s) ds.$$
(5.18)

We have therefore

$$\Pi(t) \approx \frac{2}{\pi} \left(1 + \frac{c}{4t^2} + \cdots \right), \tag{5.19}$$

with $c = m_2 - m_1^2$. The asymptotic expansions (5.17), (5.19) hold for any progressive quench of finite duration.

For a slow quench parametrized as (5.1) and a long quenching time τ , the leadingorder growth of the moments m_k reads

$$m_k \approx \tau^k. \tag{5.20}$$

The first corrections in (5.17) therefore simplify to

$$\rho(t) \approx \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{2t} + \cdots \right) \approx \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi(t-\tau)}},$$

$$\chi(t) \approx 4\sqrt{\frac{t}{\pi}} \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2t} + \cdots \right) \approx 4\sqrt{\frac{t-\tau}{\pi}}.$$
 (5.21)

The above expressions show that the long-time behaviour of the system is as if it had been subjected to an instantaneous quench at time τ , irrespective of the quenching exponent α .

The evaluation of the second-order amplitude c entering (5.19) is more delicate. A careful expansion of the integral entering the definition (5.18) yields

$$c = m_2 - m_1^2 = \langle \varepsilon^2 \rangle_f - \langle \varepsilon \rangle_f^2, \tag{5.22}$$

with the definition

$$\langle \varepsilon^k \rangle_f = \int_0^\infty \varepsilon^k f(\varepsilon) \,\mathrm{d}\varepsilon \tag{5.23}$$

(see (5.4)), and so

$$c = \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+3}{\alpha+1}\right) - \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha+1}\right)^2\right) \left(\frac{(\alpha+1)\tau^{\alpha}}{A}\right)^{2/(\alpha+1)}.$$
 (5.24)

This amplitude grows as $c \sim \tau^{4\lambda} \sim \varepsilon_{\rm KZ}^2$.

6. Time-periodic protocols

Besides the slow quenches considered so far, many other classes of low-temperature heating and cooling protocols can be studied by means of the scaling analysis of section 3. In this section we investigate protocols where the temperature parameter $\mu(t)$ is modulated periodically in time. To our knowledge, the consideration of such periodic temperature protocols for the Glauber-Ising chain is novel. The zero-temperature Glauber-Ising chain under a homogeneous time-periodic magnetic field has however been investigated recently [18].

We consider for definiteness the harmonic protocol defined by

$$\mu^2(t) = a + b\cos\omega t,\tag{6.1}$$

with $a \ge b \ge 0$. The three positive parameters a, b and ω have the dimension of a frequency, in our system of reduced units. They are assumed to be small, in order to be in the low-temperature scaling regime. The integral M(t) reads (see (3.32))

$$M(t) = at + \frac{b}{\omega}\sin\omega t.$$
(6.2)

6.1. General analysis

Hereafter we shall not consider the transient regime, and focus our attention on the stationary regime, where observables are locked with the driving temperature protocol, and therefore vary periodically in time with the same period. In this regime, setting $s = t - \varepsilon$, with a positive time lag ε , the formulas (3.40) and (3.42) become

$$\rho(t) \approx \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi\varepsilon}} e^{M(t-\varepsilon) - M(t)} \mu^2(t-\varepsilon) d\varepsilon,$$

$$\chi(t) \approx \int_0^\infty 4\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{\pi}} e^{M(t-\varepsilon) - M(t)} \mu^2(t-\varepsilon) d\varepsilon.$$
(6.3)

Within this setting, it is suitable to represent periodic functions as Fourier series. We have

$$e^{M(t)} = e^{at} e^{(b/\omega)\sin\omega t} = e^{at} \sum_{k} E_k e^{ik\omega t},$$
(6.4)

with

$$E_k = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{2\pi} \,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}k\theta + (b/\omega)\sin\theta} = \mathrm{i}^{-k} \,I_k(b/\omega),\tag{6.5}$$

where the I_k are modified Bessel functions (see Appendix B), and therefore

$$e^{M(t-\varepsilon)-M(t)} = e^{-a\varepsilon} \sum_{k,l} E_k E_l e^{i(k-l)\omega t} e^{-ik\omega\varepsilon}.$$
(6.6)

Inserting the expression (6.1) and the double series expansion (6.6) into (6.3), performing the integrals, and using the identity (see (B.4))

$$aE_k + \frac{o}{2}(E_{k-1} + E_{k+1}) = (a + ik\omega)E_k,$$
(6.7)

we obtain the Fourier series of $\rho(t)$ and $\chi(t)$ in the form

$$\rho(t) = \sum_{k} \rho_k e^{ik\omega t}, \qquad \rho_k = \sum_{m} \frac{\sqrt{a + im\omega}}{2} E_m E_{m-k},$$
$$\chi(t) = \sum_{k} \chi_k e^{ik\omega t}, \qquad \chi_k = \sum_{m} \frac{2}{\sqrt{a + im\omega}} E_m E_{m-k}.$$
(6.8)

6.2. Linear-response regime

In the linear-response regime where the modulation amplitude b is small, all observables are expected to exhibit small harmonic oscillations around their mean values, with amplitudes proportional to b.

In the case of the observables under study, $\rho(t)$, $\chi(t)$ and $\Pi(t)$, this regime can be investigated analytically from the general formulas (6.8). To first order in b, the non-zero Fourier coefficients E_k , ρ_k and χ_k read

$$E_{0} = 1, \qquad E_{\pm 1} = \mp \frac{\mathrm{i}b}{2\omega},$$

$$\rho_{0} = \frac{\mu_{0}}{2}, \qquad \rho_{\pm 1} = \pm \frac{\mathrm{i}b}{4\omega} \left(\mu_{0} - \sqrt{a \pm \mathrm{i}\omega}\right),$$

$$\chi_{0} = \frac{2}{\mu_{0}}, \qquad \chi_{\pm 1} = \pm \frac{\mathrm{i}b}{\omega} \left(\frac{1}{\mu_{0}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{a \pm \mathrm{i}\omega}}\right), \qquad (6.9)$$

The Glauber-Ising chain under low-temperature protocols

with the notation

$$\mu_0 = \sqrt{a}.\tag{6.10}$$

Introducing the angle ϕ such that

$$\tan \phi = \frac{\omega}{a} \qquad (0 < \phi < \pi/2), \tag{6.11}$$

we have

$$\sqrt{a \pm i\omega} = \mu_0 \frac{e^{\pm i\phi/2}}{\sqrt{\cos\phi}}.$$
(6.12)

We thus obtain the following expressions in the linear-response regime, for arbitrary frequencies:

$$\rho(t) \approx \frac{\mu_0}{2} \left(1 + \frac{b}{a} F_{\rho}(\omega t) \right),$$

$$\chi(t) \approx \frac{2}{\mu_0} \left(1 + \frac{b}{a} F_{\chi}(\omega t) \right),$$

$$\Pi(t) \approx 1 + \frac{b}{a} F_{\Pi}(\omega t),$$
(6.13)

where the response functions read

$$F_{\rho}(\omega t) = \frac{1}{\tan \phi} \left(\frac{\sin(\omega t + \phi/2)}{\sqrt{\cos \phi}} - \sin \omega t \right),$$

$$F_{\chi}(\omega t) = -\sqrt{\cos \phi} F_{\rho}(\omega t - \phi/2),$$

$$F_{\Pi}(\omega t) = F_{\rho}(\omega t) + F_{\chi}(\omega t).$$
(6.14)

The three response functions are semi-periodic functions, obeying $F(\omega t + \pi) = -F(\omega t)$. Their amplitudes, i.e., their maximal values over one period, are respectively given by

$$A_{\rho} = \frac{\sqrt{\cos\phi}}{\sin\phi} \left(1 + \cos\phi - 2\sqrt{\cos\phi}\cos(\phi/2) \right)^{1/2},$$

$$A_{\chi} = \sqrt{\cos\phi} A_{\rho},$$

$$A_{\Pi} = \frac{2\cos(\phi/2)\sqrt{\cos\phi}}{\sin\phi} \left(\cos(\phi/2) - \sqrt{\cos\phi} \right).$$
(6.15)

At low frequency ($\omega \ll a, \phi \rightarrow 0$), the results (6.14) can be expanded as

$$F_{\rho}(\omega t) = \frac{\cos \omega t}{2} + \frac{\omega \sin \omega t}{8a} - \frac{\omega^2 \cos \omega t}{16a^2} + \cdots,$$

$$F_{\chi}(\omega t) = -\frac{\cos \omega t}{2} - \frac{3\phi \sin \omega t}{8a} + \frac{5\omega^2 \cos \omega t}{16a^2} + \cdots,$$

$$F_{\Pi}(\omega t) = -\frac{\omega \sin \omega t}{4a} + \frac{\omega^2 \cos \omega t}{4a^2} + \cdots$$
(6.16)

These expansions are in full agreement with (4.18). As far as $\rho(t)$ and $\chi(t)$ are concerned, the first terms agree with the adiabatic approximation (4.16). The second terms, proportional to frequency ω , manifest a retardation effect of the form

$$\rho(t) \approx \frac{\mu(t - \tau_{\rho})}{2}, \qquad \chi(t) \approx \frac{2}{\mu(t - \tau_{\chi})}, \tag{6.17}$$

where the retardation times τ_ρ and τ_χ read

$$\tau_{\rho} \approx \frac{1}{4a} \approx \frac{\tau_0}{4}, \qquad \tau_{\chi} \approx \frac{3}{4a} \approx \frac{3\tau_0}{4}, \tag{6.18}$$

23



Figure 3. Amplitudes A_{ρ} , A_{χ} and A_{Π} (see (6.15)) of the response functions of the periodic protocol (6.1) in the linear-response regime, against ϕ/π (see (6.11)).

where $\tau_0 \approx 1/a$ is the equilibrium relaxation time in the absence of modulation (see (3.5)). The retardation time τ_{χ} is three times larger than τ_{ρ} .

At high frequency $(\omega \gg a, \phi \rightarrow \pi/2)$, we have

$$F_{\rho}(t) \approx \sqrt{\frac{a}{\omega}} \cos(\omega t - \pi/4),$$

$$F_{\chi}(t) \approx -\frac{a}{\omega} \sin(\omega t).$$
(6.19)

The response functions fall off as powers of frequency, with different exponents. The decay of the non-local observable $\chi(t)$ is much faster than that of the local observable $\rho(t)$.

The above results are illustrated in figure 3, showing the amplitudes A_{ρ} , A_{χ} and A_{Π} (see (6.15)) against ϕ/π . The amplitudes A_{ρ} and A_{χ} are monotonically decreasing from their adiabatic values 1/2 as $\phi \to 0$ (i.e., $\omega \ll a$) to zero as $\phi \to \pi/2$ (i.e., $\omega \gg a$). In agreement with (6.19), A_{χ} vanishes linearly, whereas A_{ρ} ends up with a square-root singularity. The amplitude A_{Π} of the form factor vanishes both at low and at high frequency, and reaches a non-trivial maximal value $A_{\pi} \approx 0.239392$ at $\phi \approx 1.1254437$, i.e., $\omega/a \approx 3.054807$.

6.3. Critical modulation

We now turn to the case where the modulation is the strongest, namely b = a. This situation is critical, in the sense that the temperature parameter

$$\mu^{2}(t) = a(1 + \cos \omega t) = 2a \cos^{2} \frac{\omega t}{2}$$
(6.20)

vanishes in the middle of each period.

Figure 4 shows the reduced quantities $2\rho(t)/\mu_0$ (top) and $2/(\mu_0\chi(t))$ (bottom), obtained by means of (6.8) and plotted against $\omega t/\pi$ over one period in the stationary



Figure 4. Reduced quantities $2\rho(t)/\mu_0$ (top) and $2/(\mu_0\chi(t))$ (bottom) against $\omega t/\pi$ over one period for the critically modulated temperature protocol (6.20) at various reduced frequencies ω/a (see legend). Black curves: predictions (4.16) of adiabatic approximation. Black horizontal lines: stationary high-frequency values.

state. Black curves show the predictions (4.16) of the adiabatic approximation, whereas black horizontal lines show the stationary values of the observables in the high-frequency regime, obtained by replacing the periodic protocol $\mu^2(t)$ by its average over one period,

$$\frac{\omega}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi/\omega} \mu^2(t) \,\mathrm{d}t = a = \mu_0^2. \tag{6.21}$$

The vanishing of the driving temperature at periodic instants of time is expected to have its most drastic consequences at low frequency ($\omega \ll a$). The form of $\mu(t)$ in the vicinity of each of these instants is precisely of the form (5.1) of a single slow



Figure 5. Reduced quantities $X_{\rho}(\omega)$ and $X_{\chi}(\omega)$ (see (6.23)) against reduced frequency ω/a . Arrows: exact limits L_{ρ} and L_{χ} (see (6.24)) for single slow quenches with the same parameter values (6.22).

quench, with the following parameter values

$$\alpha = 2, \qquad \tau = \frac{1}{\omega}, \qquad A = \frac{a}{2}. \tag{6.22}$$

The analysis of section 5.1 suggests that the minima of the quantities plotted in figure 4 take place near the instants where temperature vanishes, and scale as $\omega^{1/3}$. This is in qualitative agreement with figure 4.

Let us now turn to a quantitative analysis. Figure 5 shows the reduced quantities

$$X_{\rho}(\omega) = \frac{\rho_{\min}(\omega)}{(a\omega^2)^{1/6}}, \qquad X_{\chi}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\chi_{\max}(\omega) (a\omega^2)^{1/6}}, \tag{6.23}$$

plotted against reduced frequency ω/a , where $\rho_{\min}(\omega)$ and $\chi_{\max}(\omega)$ are respectively the smallest value of $\rho(t)$ and the largest value of $\chi(t)$ over one period in the stationary state at frequency ω . The plotted quantities converge to the limiting values $X_{\rho}(0) \approx 0.218$ and $X_{\chi}(0) \approx 0.304$. These limits are some 8 and 14 percent smaller than their counterparts for single slow quenches with the same parameter values (6.22) (arrows), namely (see (5.5), (5.6))

$$L_{\rho} = \frac{\Gamma(5/6)}{2 \, 6^{1/6} \sqrt{\pi}} \approx 0.236219,$$

$$L_{\chi} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4 \, 6^{1/6} \Gamma(7/6)} \approx 0.354328.$$
(6.24)

We have $L_{\rho}/L_{\chi} = 2/3$, in agreement with the limiting value $\Pi = 2/3$ of the form factor (see (5.11)). The minima of the plots in figure 4 exhibit some delay with respect to the instant where temperature vanishes, and the limiting values $X_{\rho}(0)$ and $X_{\chi}(0)$ are slightly smaller than their counterparts (6.24) for slow quenches. Both observations reflect that the system still cools and coarsens for a short while after the instant where temperature vanishes. A remarkable feature of the reduced quantities $X_{\rho}(\omega)$ and $X_{\chi}(\omega)$ is their weak dependence on ω over a wide frequency range.



Figure 6. Sketchy plot of the two-temperature protocol leading to the Kovacs effect.

7. Kovacs effect

The last protocol to be addressed in this work is the two-step protocol leading to the memory effect [19, 20] found by Kovacs in temperature shift experiments on glassy polymers, where the volume (or energy) displays a non-monotonic time behaviour.

The Kovacs protocol is sketched in figure 6. The system is quenched from an equilibrium state at some initial temperature T_0 to a constant temperature T_1 during a certain time t_1 . The temperature is then instantly raised from T_1 to T_2 (see the upper panel of figure 6). The energy density E(t) is monitored throughout the protocol. The time t_1 is chosen such that the energy $E(t_1)$ is equal to the equilibrium energy $E_2 = E_{eq}(T_2)$ at the final temperature T_2 . The Kovacs effect resides in the observation that the energy E(t) at subsequent times $(t > t_1)$ does not stay equal to E_2 , but rather exhibits a non-monotonic behaviour, sketched in the lower panel of figure 6, first increasing up to some time t_{max} and then relaxing to its equilibrium value E_2 , i.e.,

$$E(t) = E_2 + \Delta E(t), \tag{7.1}$$

where $\Delta E(t)$ is dubbed the Kovacs hump.

This well-documented feature of glassy dynamics takes place in a broad class of systems, including models without quenched disorder undergoing coarsening [21, 22]. The Kovacs effect in the Glauber-Ising chain has been addressed in two earlier works, concerning respectively one specific situation [23] and a thorough analysis in the linear-response regime, where the three temperatures T_0 , T_1 and T_2 are close to each other [24]. Our purpose is to provide a quantitative analysis of the Kovacs effect throughout the low-temperature scaling regime, where the Ising chain is launched from a random initial condition ($T_0 \rightarrow \infty$) and subjected to two low temperatures corresponding to the parameters μ_1 and μ_2 . This protocol is clearly far from the linear-response regime. No direct comparison of the present results with those of [24] is therefore possible.

In the first stage $(t < t_1)$, the temperature parameter μ_1 is constant, so that the

The Glauber-Ising chain under low-temperature protocols

defect density $\rho(t)$ is given by (3.20), up to the replacement of μ by μ_1 , i.e.,

$$\rho(t) \approx \frac{e^{-\mu_1^2 t}}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} + \frac{\mu_1}{2} \operatorname{erf}(\mu_1 \sqrt{t}).$$
(7.2)

In particular, the condition

$$\rho(t_1) = \rho_{\rm eq}(\mu_2) \approx \frac{\mu_2}{2} \tag{7.3}$$

yields

$$\frac{e^{-\mu_1^2 t_1}}{\sqrt{\pi t_1}} + \mu_1 \operatorname{erf}(\mu_1 \sqrt{t_1}) = \mu_2.$$
(7.4)

The above equation defines t_1 as a function of both temperature parameters μ_1 and μ_2 . Scaling implies that the combination $\mu_2^2 t_1$ only depends on the ratio

$$q = \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2} < 1. \tag{7.5}$$

In the second stage $(t > t_1)$, the temperature parameter μ_2 is again constant, whereas we have (see (3.32))

$$M(t) = \mu_1^2 t_1 + \mu_2^2 (t - t_1).$$
(7.6)

Inserting this expression into (3.40) and performing the integral, we are left with

$$\rho(t) \approx \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\mu_1^2 t_1 - \mu_2^2 (t - t_1)}}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} + \frac{\mu_2}{2} \operatorname{erf}(\mu_2 \sqrt{t - t_1}) + \frac{\mu_1}{2} \mathrm{e}^{-(\mu_2^2 - \mu_1^2)(t - t_1)} \left(\operatorname{erf}(\mu_1 \sqrt{t}) - \operatorname{erf}(\mu_1 \sqrt{t - t_1}) \right).$$
(7.7)

The result (7.7) can be recast as

$$\rho(t) \approx \frac{\mu_2}{2} \left(1 + K(t) \right),$$
(7.8)

where we have introduced the dimensionless Kovacs hump function

$$K(t) = \frac{e^{-\mu_1^2 t_1 - \mu_2^2 (t - t_1)}}{\mu_2 \sqrt{\pi t}} - \operatorname{erfc}(\mu_2 \sqrt{t - t_1}) + \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2} e^{-(\mu_2^2 - \mu_1^2)(t - t_1)} \left(\operatorname{erf}(\mu_1 \sqrt{t}) - \operatorname{erf}(\mu_1 \sqrt{t - t_1}) \right).$$
(7.9)

It is interesting to compare the hump function K(t) to its counterpart $K_0(t)$ in the case of an instantaneous quench to the final temperature parameter μ_2 . Setting $t_1 = 0$ in (7.9), we obtain

$$K_0(t) \approx \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\mu_2^2 t}}{\mu_2 \sqrt{\pi t}} - \mathrm{erfc}(\mu_2 \sqrt{t}),$$
 (7.10)

in full agreement with (3.20). Figure 7 shows a comparison between the hump function K(t) for several values of the ratio q and its counterpart $K_0(t)$.

The hump function starts from the value $K(t_1) = 0$, as should be. The initial rise

$$K(t) \approx \frac{2}{\mu_2 \sqrt{\pi}} (\mu_2^2 - \mu_1^2) \sqrt{t - t_1}$$
(7.11)

of the expression (7.9) has to be taken with a grain of salt. For any finite temperatures, K(t) starts rising in a steep but regular way over a microscopic time interval after t_1 . The law (7.11) holds for $t - t_1$ much larger than this microscopic

28



Figure 7. Full curves: dimensionless Kovacs hump function K(t) (see (7.9)) against reduced time $\mu_2^2 t$ for several values of the ratio q (see legend). Dashed curve: dimensionless function $K_0(t)$ (see (7.10)) corresponding to an instantaneous quench to the final temperature.

time, but much smaller than $1/\mu_2^2$. This subtlety of the continuum framework derived in section 3 and used throughout this paper only shows up here, because the Kovacs protocol $\mu(t)$ is discontinuous at t_1 .

The hump function K(t) falls off exponentially at large times, according to

$$K(t) \approx \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\mu_2^2(t-t_1)}}{2\mu_2 \sqrt{\pi t^3}} \left(\frac{1}{\mu_2^2} - \frac{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\mu_1^2 t}}{\mu_1^2} \right).$$
(7.12)

Both K(t) and $K_0(t)$ have the same decay law at large times. The limit ratio

$$L(q) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{K(t)}{K_0(t)}$$
(7.13)

only depends on the temperature ratio q, and reads explicitly

$$L(q) = e^{\mu_2^2 t_1} \left(1 - \frac{1 - e^{-q^2 \mu_2^2 t_1}}{q^2} \right).$$
(7.14)

This quantity is plotted against q in figure 8. It is slightly smaller than unity for all values of q, so that the tail of the hump function K(t) is slightly below that of its counterpart $K_0(t)$, as can be seen in figure 7. The limit ratio L(q) exhibits a non-monotonic dependence on q, starting from the value (7.16) at q = 0, reaching its minimum $L_{\min} \approx 0.912273$ for $q \approx 0.95254$, and converging very steeply to unity in a tiny vicinity of q = 1, according to (7.21).

More specific results can be obtained in the limiting situations q = 0 and $q \to 1$. For $\mu_1 = 0$ (q = 0), i.e., when the first cooling temperature is exactly zero, the Kovacs hump is the largest (see black curve in figure 7). We have then

$$\mu_2^2 t_1 \approx \frac{1}{\pi} \approx 0.318309,\tag{7.15}$$



Figure 8. Kovacs effect: limit ratio L(q) against ratio q. Arrows: limiting values (7.16) for q = 0 and 1 for q = 1 (see (7.21)).

and so

$$L(0) = e^{1/\pi} (1 - 1/\pi) \approx 0.937189, \tag{7.16}$$

whereas (7.9) simplifies to

$$K(t) \approx \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-(\mu_2^2 t - 1/\pi)}}{\mu_2 \sqrt{\pi t}} - \mathrm{erfc} \sqrt{\mu_2^2 t - 1/\pi}.$$
(7.17)

The maximum of the Kovacs hump,

$$K_{\rm max} \approx 0.136094,$$
 (7.18)

is reached for

$$\mu_2^2 t_{\rm max} \approx 0.395423. \tag{7.19}$$

In the other limiting situation, i.e., $\mu_1 \rightarrow \mu_2$ or $q \rightarrow 1$, the time t_1 diverges logarithmically, according to

$$\mu_2^2 t_1 \approx |\ln(1-q)| - \frac{1}{2} \ln(4\pi |\ln(1-q)|^3), \tag{7.20}$$

and so

$$L(q) \approx 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi |\ln(1-q)|^3}},$$
(7.21)

whereas the whole Kovacs hump vanishes proportionally to 1 - q. Equation (7.9) indeed simplifies to

$$K(t) \approx (1-q)\phi(z), \tag{7.22}$$

with

$$z = \mu_2^2 (t - t_1),$$

$$\phi(z) = \left(2\sqrt{\frac{z}{\pi}} + 1\right) e^{-z} - (2z + 1) \operatorname{erfc} \sqrt{z}.$$
(7.23)



Figure 9. Kovacs effect: combination $\mu_2^2 t_1$ against ratio q. Arrow: minimal value (7.15).

The maximum of the scaling function,

$$\phi_{\max} \approx 0.499014,\tag{7.24}$$

so that

$$K_{\max} \approx (1-q)\phi_{\max},$$
 (7.25)

is reached for

$$z_{\rm max} \approx 0.257458.$$
 (7.26)

Figure 7 demonstrates that the Kovacs hump shifts to the right, whereas its amplitude decreases, as the ratio q increases from 0 to 1. This trend can be monitored by means of several quantities. Figure 9 shows the combination $\mu_2^2 t_1$ against the ratio q. This quantity increases with q, starting from the value (7.15) for q = 0(arrow). The logarithmic law (7.20) only holds in a tiny vicinity of q = 1. Figure 10 shows the maximum K_{max} of the Kovacs hump against q. This quantity decreases with q, starting from the value (7.18) for q = 0 (arrow). The linear law (7.25) as $q \rightarrow 1$ (dashed line) is affected by strong subleading corrections. Finally, figure 11 shows the combination $\mu_2^2(t_{\text{max}} - t_1)$ against q. This quantity increases monotonically with q between the limiting values (arrows) 0.077113 for q = 0 (see (7.15), (7.19)) and z_{max} for q = 1 (see (7.26)). The second limit is reached very steeply in a tiny vicinity of q = 1. This is again due to the presence of strong subleading corrections to the estimates (7.20) and (7.22).

8. Discussion

As recalled in the introduction of this paper, there has been a continuous effort aimed at extending in various directions the pioneering work of Glauber [1] on the exactly solvable single-spin-flip dynamics of the ferromagnetic Ising chain. A first series of papers were devoted to the general analysis of arbitrary temperature protocols [2, 3, 4].



Figure 10. Kovacs effect: maximum K_{max} of hump function K(t) against ratio q. Arrow: maximal value (7.18). Dashed line: linear law (7.25).



Figure 11. Kovacs effect: combination $\mu_2^2(t_{\text{max}} - t_1)$ against ratio q. Arrows: limiting values 0.077113 for q = 0 (see (7.15), (7.19)) and z_{max} for q = 1 (see (7.26)).

Then, more recently, slow quenches have been considered and put in perspective with the Kibble-Zurek scaling theory [7, 8, 9, 10].

The present work aims at revisiting and completing those earlier works in two main directions. First, we demonstrate that focussing our attention on the lowtemperature scaling regime provides a considerable simplification of earlier treatments. Second, we consider in parallel two concurrent observables probing the two-point correlation function at two very different spatial scales, namely the density $\rho(t)$ of domain walls and the reduced susceptibility $\chi(t)$. The central outcome of this approach resides in the expressions (3.40) and (3.42), giving closed-form integral representations of both key observables for an arbitrary protocol in the low-temperature regime. The dimensionless form factor $\Pi(t) = \rho(t)\chi(t)$ characterises the pattern of growing ordered domains by a single number and provides a measure of the distance of the system to thermal equilibrium.

This scheme is used in sections 4 to 7 to investigate thoroughly a range of protocols in the low-temperature scaling regime. Among the main features of these specific outcomes, we would like to emphasise once more the importance of the form factor $\Pi(t)$ and to underline its rich dependence on model parameters. As announced in the introduction, the non-triviality of $\Pi(t)$ mirrors the property that domain lengths are neither mutually independent nor exponentially distributed [13], except at thermal equilibrium, where we have $\Pi_{eq} = 1$. The universal value $\Pi_{coa} = 2/\pi$ in the zerotemperature coarsening regime is very likely to provide an absolute lower bound for $\Pi(t)$ in the low-temperature scaling regime, irrespective of the driving protocol. The form factor exhibits an interesting dependence on model parameters in several different situations. It departs from its equilibrium value in a controlled way for generic slowly varying temperature protocols (see (4.19)). It depends continuously on $\mu^2 t \approx t/\tau_{eq}$ (see (3.22) and figure 1) for quenches to a constant low temperature, on the amplitude B (see (4.25)) of critical everlasting quenches, and on the exponent α (i.e., on the Kibble-Zurek exponent λ) (see (5.11)) right at the end of slow quenches of finite duration.

To close, let us mention that considering more complex correlation and response functions, involving four or a higher even number of spins, possibly at different times, somewhat along the lines of [25], may certainly reveal yet other features of interest in the Glauber-Ising chain under diverse low-temperature protocols. In this respect, it is worth underlining that the fermionic functional formalism introduced by Aliev in the framework of the dynamics at constant temperature [26], which provides an efficient compact way of dealing with all equal-time correlations, has been shown to hold in the presence of quenched disorder [27] and to extend to arbitrary time-dependent temperature protocols [28].

Acknowledgments

It is a pleasure to thank Paul Krapivsky for fruitful discussions. Part of the results obtained in this paper were presented in a talk by JML at the conference *Statistical Physics and Low Dimensional Systems* honoring Malte Henkel on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

Appendix A. Fourier and Laplace transforms

We begin by recalling the definitions of the Laplace and (discrete and continuous) Fourier transforms that are used in the body of this paper.

• Laplace transform of a function f(t) $(t \ge 0)$:

$$f^{\rm L}(p) = \int_0^\infty e^{-pt} f(t) dt, \quad f(t) = \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{dp}{2\pi i} e^{pt} f^{\rm L}(p)$$
 (A.1)

for some c > 0.

	$f^{\mathrm{L}}(p)$	f(t)
(1)	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{p^2 - a^2}}$	$I_0(at)$
(2)	$\sqrt{\frac{p+a+b}{p+a-b}} - 1$	$be^{-at} \left(I_0(bt) + I_1(bt) \right)$
(3)	$\frac{2}{p} e^{-b\sqrt{p+a^2}}$	e^{ab} erfc $\frac{b+2at}{2\sqrt{t}} + e^{-ab}$ erfc $\frac{b-2at}{2\sqrt{t}}$
(4)	$\frac{\sqrt{p+a^2}}{p}$	$\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-a^2t}}{\sqrt{\pi t}} + a \operatorname{erf}(a\sqrt{t})$
(5)	$\frac{a}{p\sqrt{p+a^2}}$	$\operatorname{erf}(a\sqrt{t})$

Table A1. A few useful inverse Laplace transforms involving modified Bessel and error functions. The symbols a, b denote positive real numbers.

• Discrete Fourier transform of a function f_n defined on the lattice of integers:

$$f^{\rm F}(q) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-inq} f_n, \quad f_n = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}q}{2\pi} e^{inq} f^{\rm F}(q). \tag{A.2}$$

Alternatively, up to a change of the sign of the integer n, the f_n are the coefficients of the Fourier series representing the 2π -periodic function $f^{\rm F}(q)$.

• Continuous Fourier transform of a function f(x) defined on the real line:

$$f^{\rm F}(q) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-iqx} f(x) dx, \quad f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dq}{2\pi} e^{iqx} f^{\rm F}(q).$$
(A.3)

We give in table A1 a few inverse Laplace transforms involving modified Bessel and error functions, which are used in the body of this article.

Appendix B. Modified Bessel functions

We recall below a few useful properties of the modified Bessel functions. The modified Bessel functions of integer order n are defined for complex z as the integrals

$$I_n(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{2\pi} \,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}n\theta + z\cos\theta}.\tag{B.1}$$

They are analytic functions in the entire z plane. Their power-series expansions read

$$I_n(z) = \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{(z/2)^{n+2k}}{k!(n+k)!} \qquad (n \ge 0).$$
(B.2)

They obey the symmetries

$$I_{-n}(z) = I_n(z), \qquad I_n(-z) = (-)^n I_n(z)$$
 (B.3)

and the difference equation

$$I_{n-1}(z) - I_{n+1}(z) = \frac{2n}{z} I_n(z).$$
(B.4)

References

- [1] Glauber R J 1963 J. Math. Phys. 4 294-307
- [2] Reiss H 1980 Chem. Phys. 47 15–24
- [3] Schilling R 1988 J. Stat. Phys. 53 1227–1235
- [4] Brey J J and Prados A 1994 Phys. Rev. B 49 984–997
- [5] Kibble T W B 1980 Phys. Rep. 67 183–199
- [6] Zurek W H 1996 Phys. Rep. **276** 177–221
- [7] Krapivsky P L 2010 J. Stat. Mech. **P02014**
- [8] Jeong K, Kim B and Lee S J 2020 Phys. Rev. E 102 012114
- [9] Priyanka, Chatterjee S and Jain K 2021 J. Stat. Mech. 033208
- [10] Mayo J J, Fan Z, Chern G W and del Campo A 2021 Phys. Rev. Research 3 033150
- [11] Biroli G, Cugliandolo L F and Sicilia A 2010 Phys. Rev. E 81 050101
- [12] Chandran A, Erez A, Gubser S S and Sondhi S L 2012 Phys. Rev. B 86 064304
- [13] Derrida B and Zeitak R 1996 Phys. Rev. E 54 2513–2525
- [14] Godrèche C and Luck J 2000 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 33 1151–1169
- [15] Baxter R J 1989 Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics (London: Academic Press)
- [16] Bray A J 1994 Adv. Phys. **43** 357–459
- [17] Chen C P and Paris R B 2017 Applied Math. Comput. 293 30–39
- [18] Yi S D and Baek S K 2015 Phys. Rev. E 91 062107
- [19] Kovacs A J 1963 Fortschr. Hochpolym. Forsch. Adv. Polym. Sci. 3 394-507
- [20] Kovacs A J, Aklonis J J, Hutchinson J M and Ramos A R 1979 J. Polym. Sci. B 17 1097–1162
- [21] Berthier L and Bouchaud J P 2002 Phys. Rev. B 66 054404
- [22] Bertin E M, Bouchaud J P, Drouffe J M and Godrèche C 2003 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 36 10701–10719
- [23] Brawer S 1978 Phys. Chem. Glasses 19 48-51
- [24] Ruiz-Garcia M and Prados A 2014 Phys. Rev. E 89 012140
- [25] Mayer P and Sollich P 2004 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 37 9-49
- [26] Aliev M A 1998 Phys. Lett. A 241 19–27
- [27] Aliev M A 2000 Physica A 277 261–273
- [28] Aliev M A 2009 J. Math. Phys. 50 083302