

Rate-Distortion Theory for Mixed States

Zahra Baghali Khanian,^{1,*} Kohdai Kuroiwa,^{2,3,4,†} and Debbie Leung^{2,3,4,‡}

¹*Munich Center for Quantum Science and Technology*

§ Zentrum Mathematik, Technical University of Munich, 85748 Garching, Germany

²*Institute for Quantum Computing, University of Waterloo, Ontario, Canada, N2L 3G1*

³*Department of Combinatorics and Optimization, University of Waterloo*

⁴*Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, Ontario, Canada, N2L 2Y5*

In this paper we consider the compression of asymptotically many i.i.d. copies of ensembles of mixed quantum states where the encoder has access to a side information system. This source is equivalently defined as a classical-quantum state, namely, a quantum system correlated with a classical system playing the role of an inaccessible reference system. The figure of merit is evaluated based on per-copy or local error criterion. Under this set-up, known as a rate-distortion set-up, one can study the trade-off between the compression rate and the error. The optimal trade-off can be characterized by the rate-distortion function, which is the best rate given a certain distortion. We find the rate-distortion functions in the entanglement-assisted and unassisted scenarios, in terms of a single-letter mutual information quantity and the regularized entanglement of purification, respectively. We also consider the general case when both communication and entanglement are charged, and present the full qubit-entanglement rate region. Our compression scheme covers both blind and visible compression models (and other models in between) depending on the structure of the side information system.

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum data compression was pioneered by Schumacher in [1] who defined two notions of a quantum source. The first notion of a quantum source is a quantum system together with correlations with a purifying *reference* system. The second notion of a quantum source is an ensemble of pure states where pure quantum states are drawn with a probability distribution from a known set of pure states. Then, the notion of ensemble sources was generalized to ensembles of mixed states in [2–5]. All these seemingly distinct definitions of a quantum source were unified in [6–8] where a general quantum source is defined as a quantum system together with correlations with a general reference system which is not necessarily a purifying system, and it is shown, through fidelity relations, that ensemble sources are a special case of this general mixed-state source where the reference is a classical system which stores the information about the classical variable of an ensemble. Indeed, the reference system has a crucial role in any information processing task: all encoding and decoding operations process information systems in a way that the correlations with the reference system are preserved. Without considering such correlations, any known classical or quantum system can be produced locally by the decoder without receiving any information.

Schumacher’s compression scheme was later generalized by Barnum [9] to a lossy quantum data compression set-up where the compression rate could be potentially decreased at the expense of having a larger error. Even though both schemes of Schumacher and Barnum consider asymptotically many i.i.d. (independent identically distributed) copies

*Electronic address: zbkhanian@gmail.com

†Electronic address: kkuroiwa@uwaterloo.ca

‡Electronic address: wcleung@uwaterloo.ca

of a quantum source, there is a significant difference between the errors that they define in these two set-ups. More specifically, in the former scheme the error is defined for the whole block of data (called block or global error) whereas in the latter scheme the error is defined for each copy of the source (called per-copy or local error). Under the global error definition in the former model, the strong converse theorems state that even with a negligible reduction of the rate, the error jumps suddenly and converges to one for asymptotically large blocks of data [10–12]. In contrast, under the local error definition in the latter model, known as the rate-distortion model which is the quantum generalization of the classical rate-distortion theory [13, 14], compression rate trades more smoothly with error. Considering both global and local error criteria, quantum data compression has been extensively investigated [1–4, 6–10, 12, 15–37].

In the theory of quantum rate distortion, a *rate-distortion function*, which is the lowest rate satisfying an allowed distortion, is employed to characterize possible approximations between the original and resulting states under local error. The ultimate goal of quantum rate distortion theory is to elucidate the trade-off between the allowed local approximation and the compression rate. For *pure-state sources* (i.e. an ensemble of pure quantum states or a quantum source with a purifying reference system), Barnum derived a lower bound on the rate-distortion function [9]. Subsequent work by Datta *et al.* [29, 30] characterized the rate-distortion function of pure-state sources in various scenarios with and without entanglement assistance and side information. They found that the rate-distortion functions of entanglement assisted compression and unassisted compression are characterized by the quantum mutual information and the entanglement of purification between the decoder’s systems and the reference systems, respectively. Moreover, for pure-state sources where both the encoder and the decoder have access to a side information system, the rate-distortion function is characterized in [32, 33]. Also, for the *blind compression* of ensembles of mixed states the same optimal rate was proved for both local and global error [4]; it was pointed out that the rate might be sensitive even to a small error [36], and a rate-reduction protocol of unassisted blind compression with a small approximation was recently proposed [37]. However, quantum rate-distortion theory in the case of *mixed-state sources* remains largely unexplored.

In this paper, we investigate rate distortion coding for mixed-state sources, considering both scenarios with and without assistance of entanglement. We assume a mixed-state quantum ensemble source with a side information system available to the encoder, which covers both of *blind compression* and *visible compression* as special cases. This source is equivalently defined as a classical-quantum state where the classical system plays the role of an inaccessible reference system. First, we consider the case in which we have free entanglement. We derive the rate-distortion function for this case, which is an optimized expression of quantum mutual information between Bob’s system and a composite system consisting of the classical reference system and other systems that purify the source (Theorem 14). Surprisingly, the rate-distortion function can be given in a single-letter form using quantum mutual information. Then, we explore the other extreme of data compression, that is, compression without any assistance. In this case, we prove that the rate-distortion function is given by the *entanglement of purification* between the decoder’s systems and the same composite system as in the entanglement-assisted case (Theorem 15). While the entanglement-assisted rate-distortion function can be expressed by a single-letter formula, we have a multi-letter expression in the unassisted case. We also see how our result can be applied to visible and blind scenarios with vanishing local error. We prove that the distortion function can be simplified in the visible scenario; it is indeed given by the entanglement of purification between the decoder’s systems and the classical reference system, not including the purifying systems of the source (Corollary 18). Moreover, in the case of blind compression, we prove that the optimal compression rate is given as the limit of the distortion function where distortion tends to be zero. Motivated by this relation, we further show that the optimal rate of blind compression can be obtained as a limit of a single-letter formula using the entanglement of purification (Theorem 19). Finally, by generalizing these observations, we show the optimal qubit-entanglement rate region of the rate distortion coding of a mixed-state ensemble source (Theorem 20). We derive the necessary and sufficient condition for the qubit and entanglement rates with which rate-distortion compression is achievable.

Our work contributes to a rich body of quantum rate-distortion theory by showing the optimal trade-offs of mixed-

state rate-distortion compression with and without entanglement, which has not been explored before. Moreover, our results have interesting implications for visible and blind compression by considering the previously known optimal compression rates for these cases. We believe that our approach for the analysis of mixed-state compression can also be applied to other setups involving mixed states, for example, quantum reverse Shannon theory of mixed states, which have usually been intractable to analyze.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. We review basic concepts and notations used in this paper in Sec. II. Then, we introduce the setup of rate distortion coding for mixed state ensemble sources in Sec. III. Finally, we show our main results in Sec. IV. We first show the optimal rate of entanglement assisted rate distortion coding in Sec. IV A, and then we analyze the optimal rate of unassisted case in Sec. IV B. Moreover, we derive the full rate region of rate distortion coding for ensemble sources in Sec. IV C. We conclude the paper with a discussion in Sec. V.

II. BACKGROUND AND NOTATIONS

In this section, we review background materials and introduce notations for the paper. Throughout, we use capital letters A, B, \dots to represent quantum systems. For a quantum system A , we let \mathcal{H}_A denote the corresponding complex Hilbert space. We only consider finite-dimensional quantum systems in this paper. Given a quantum system A , we use $\dim(A)$ to denote the dimension of the corresponding Hilbert space.

Given two quantum systems A and B with $\dim(A) \leq \dim(B)$, we write an isometry from A to B as $U^{A \rightarrow B}$. Whenever $A = B$ we abbreviate $A \rightarrow B$ by A alone, for example, $\mathbb{1}^A$ denotes the identity operator on A . Similarly, for systems A and B , we write a quantum channel from A to B , that is, a completely positive and trace-preserving linear map from square matrices on \mathcal{H}_A to those on \mathcal{H}_B as $\mathcal{N}^{A \rightarrow B}$. (A quantum channel is also called a CPTP map.) We also write the Stinespring dilation [38] of the channel $\mathcal{N}^{A \rightarrow B}$ as $U_{\mathcal{N}}^{A \rightarrow BE}$ with E being the corresponding environment system. Similar to the notation for operators, we only list the common space when the input and output spaces are the same, for example, id^A denotes the identity channel on A .

A quantum ensemble is defined as follows.

Definition 1. *Let A be a quantum system, and let Σ be an alphabet. A quantum ensemble is a set of pairs of a positive real number and a quantum state*

$$\{p_x, \rho_x^A\}_{x \in \Sigma},$$

where $\{p_x : x \in \Sigma\}$ forms a probability distribution; that is, $0 \leq p_x \leq 1$ for all $x \in \Sigma$ and $\sum_{x \in \Sigma} p_x = 1$. In addition, given a quantum ensemble $\{p_x, \rho_x^A\}_{x \in \Sigma}$, we use a classical-quantum state

$$\rho^{AX} := \sum_{x \in \Sigma} p_x \rho_x^A \otimes |x\rangle\langle x|^X$$

to represent this ensemble, where X is a classical reference system with $x \in \Sigma$.

Given a quantum ensemble, we can consider a decomposition of each state into a *classical part*, a *quantum part*, and a *redundant part*, which is known as *Koashi-Imoto (KI) decomposition* [5] named after the authors.

Theorem 2 ([5]). *Associated to a quantum ensemble, represented by the classical-quantum state ρ^{AX} , there are quantum systems C , N and Q and an isometry $U_{KI} : A \hookrightarrow CNQ$ such that:*

(i) *The state ρ^{AX} is transformed by U_{KI} as*

$$(U_{KI} \otimes \mathbb{1}^X) \rho^{AX} (U_{KI}^\dagger \otimes \mathbb{1}^X) = \sum_x p_x \sum_c p_{c|x} |c\rangle\langle c|^C \otimes \omega_c^N \otimes \rho_{cx}^Q \otimes |x\rangle\langle x|^X =: \omega^{CNQX}, \quad (1)$$

where the set of vectors $\{|c\rangle^C\}$ form an orthonormal basis for system C , and for each x , $p_{c|x}$ is a distribution over c conditioned on x . The states ω_c^N and ρ_{cx}^Q live in systems N and Q , respectively.

(ii) For any quantum channel Λ acting on system A which leaves the state ρ^{AX} invariant, that is $(\Lambda \otimes \text{id}^X)(\rho^{AX}) = \rho^{AX}$, every associated Stinespring dilation $U : A \hookrightarrow AE$ of Λ with the environment system E is of the form

$$U = (U_{KI} \otimes \mathbb{1}^E)^\dagger \left(\sum_c |c\rangle\langle c|^C \otimes U_c^N \otimes \mathbb{1}^Q \right) (U_{KI} \otimes \mathbb{1}^E) \quad (2)$$

where the isometries $U_c : N \hookrightarrow NE$ satisfy $\text{Tr}_E[U_c \omega_c U_c^\dagger] = \omega_c$ for all c . The isometry U_{KI} is unique up to a local change of basis on the systems C , N and Q . Henceforth, we call the isometry U_{KI} and the state ω^{CNQX} defined by Eq. (1) the Koashi-Imoto (KI) isometry and KI-decomposition of the state ρ^{AX} , respectively.

(iii) In the particular case of a tripartite system CNQ and a state ω^{CNQX} already in Koashi-Imoto form (1), property (ii) says the following: For any quantum channel Λ acting on systems CNQ with $(\Lambda \otimes \text{id}^X)(\omega^{CNQX}) = \omega^{CNQX}$, every associated Stinespring dilation $U : CNQ \hookrightarrow CNQE$ of Λ with the environment system E has the form

$$U = \sum_c |c\rangle\langle c|^C \otimes U_c^N \otimes \mathbb{1}^Q, \quad (3)$$

where the isometries $U_c : N \hookrightarrow NE$ satisfy $\text{Tr}_E[U_c \omega_c U_c^\dagger] = \omega_c$ for all c .

In KI decomposition, all states in a given ensemble are written in a block-diagonal form with the same block structure. Register C contains the label for the block; thus C is called the classical part of the ensemble. The state in register N depends only on c , and it can be recovered using c without the state label x ; in this sense, N is called the redundant part of this ensemble. On the other hand, the state in register Q depends on both x and c , and Q is called the (non-redundant) quantum part of the ensemble.

Given a quantum ensemble, we can freely remove and attach the redundant part as shown in the following lemma.

Lemma 3 (KI operations [5]). *Let $\{p_x, \rho_x^A\}_{x \in \Sigma}$ be a quantum ensemble represented as*

$$\rho^{AX} = \sum_{x \in \Sigma} p_x \rho_x^A \otimes |x\rangle\langle x|^X$$

with KI decomposition

$$\omega^{CQNX} = (U_{KI} \otimes \mathbb{1}^X) \rho^{AX} (U_{KI}^\dagger \otimes \mathbb{1}^X) = \sum_x p_x \sum_c p_{c|x} |c\rangle\langle c|^C \otimes \omega_c^N \otimes \rho_{cx}^Q \otimes |x\rangle\langle x|^X.$$

Then, there exists a pair of quantum channels $(\mathcal{K}_{\text{off}}^{A \rightarrow CQ}, \mathcal{K}_{\text{on}}^{CQ \rightarrow A})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{\text{off}}^{A \rightarrow CQ}(\rho^{AX}) &= \omega^{CQX}, \\ \mathcal{K}_{\text{on}}^{CQ \rightarrow A}(\omega^{CQX}) &= \rho^{AX}. \end{aligned}$$

We use the following norms for linear operators. For a linear operator Y on system A , we define the *trace norm* as

$$\|Y\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim(A)} s_i(Y),$$

where $s_1(Y) \geq s_2(Y) \geq \dots \geq s_{\dim(A)}(Y) \geq 0$ are the singular values of Y . Moreover, we also define the *operator norm*

as

$$\|Y\| := s_1(Y).$$

In this paper, we use the following special case of Hölder's inequality:

Lemma 4. *Let Z and Y be linear operators on a quantum system A . Then,*

$$|\mathrm{Tr}(ZY)| \leq \|Z\|_1 \|Y\|.$$

For a quantum state ρ^A on system A , the von Neumann entropy of ρ^A is defined as

$$S(\rho^A) := S(A)_\rho := -\mathrm{Tr}(\rho^A \log \rho^A).$$

where \log is taken base 2 throughout the paper. The von Neumann entropy has a dimension bound given by

$$S(\rho^A) \leq \log \dim(A).$$

The von Neumann entropy is subadditive as shown in the following lemma.

Lemma 5. *Let ρ^{AR} be a quantum state on a composite system AR . Then,*

$$S(AR)_\rho \leq S(A)_\rho + S(R)_\rho.$$

The von Neumann entropy is asymptotically continuous.

Lemma 6 (Fannes Inequality [39]). *Let ρ and σ be density matrices on a Hilbert space of dimension d . If $\frac{1}{2}\|\rho - \sigma\|_1 \leq \epsilon \leq 1 - \frac{1}{d}$, then, $|S(\rho) - S(\sigma)| \leq \epsilon \log(d-1) + h_2(\epsilon)$ where h_2 is the binary entropy function.*

For a quantum state ρ^{AR} on a composite system AR , the quantum mutual information of ρ^{AR} is defined as

$$I(A : R)_\rho := S(A)_\rho + S(R)_\rho - S(AR)_\rho.$$

The quantum mutual information also has a dimension bound:

$$I(A : R)_\rho \leq 2 \log \min(\dim(A), \dim(R)).$$

The following lemma states that the quantum mutual information is convex.

Lemma 7. *Let ρ^{AR} and σ^{AR} be quantum states on a composite system AR , and let $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Then,*

$$I(A : R)_{\lambda\rho + (1-\lambda)\sigma} \leq \lambda I(A : R)_\rho + (1-\lambda)I(A : R)_\sigma.$$

In addition, the quantum mutual information follows the data-processing inequality:

Lemma 8. *Let ρ^{AR} be a quantum state on a composite system AR , and let $\mathcal{N}^{A \rightarrow B}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{R \rightarrow R'}$ be quantum channels. Then,*

$$I(A : R)_\rho \geq I(B : R')_{(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})(\rho)}.$$

The quantum mutual information also satisfies a property called *superadditivity*.

Lemma 9 ([29]). *Let $\rho^{A_1 R_1}$ and $\sigma^{A_2 R_2}$ be pure quantum states on composite systems $A_1 R_1$ and $A_2 R_2$, and let $\mathcal{N}^{A_1 A_2 \rightarrow B_1 B_2}$ be a quantum channel. Then,*

$$I(B_1 B_2 : R_1 R_2)_\omega \geq I(B_1 : R_1)_\omega + I(B_2 : R_2)_\omega,$$

where $\omega^{B_1 B_2 R_1 R_2} := \mathcal{N}^{A_1 A_2 \rightarrow B_1 B_2}(\rho^{A_1 R_1} \otimes \sigma^{A_2 R_2})$.

To characterize the distortion function, we use the entanglement of purification [40] which can be expressed as follows.

Theorem 10 ([40]). *The entanglement of purification of a bipartite state ρ^{AR} is given by*

$$E_p(A : R)_\rho = \min_{\mathcal{N}^{A' \rightarrow A''}} S(AA'')_\sigma,$$

where ρ^{AR} is first purified to $|\psi\rangle^{AA'R}$ and then a quantum channel $\mathcal{N}^{A' \rightarrow A''}$ is applied to the purifying system A' to minimize the entropy of $\sigma^{AA''} = (\text{id}^A \otimes \mathcal{N}^{A' \rightarrow A''})(\rho^{AA'})$.

The entanglement of purification is upper-bounded by the von Neumann entropy.

Lemma 11 ([40]). *Let ρ^{AR} be a quantum state on a composite system AR . Then,*

$$E_p(A : R)_\rho \leq \min\{S(A)_\rho, S(R)_\rho\}.$$

The entanglement of purification also satisfies the monotonicity property, which is analogous to the data processing inequality for quantum mutual information.

Lemma 12 ([40]). *Let ρ^{AR} be a quantum state on a composite system AR , and let $\mathcal{N}^{A \rightarrow B}$ be a quantum channel. Then,*

$$E_p(A : R)_\rho \geq E_p(B : R)_{(\mathcal{N} \otimes \text{id})(\rho)}.$$

III. SETUP FOR RATE DISTORTION CODING FOR ENSEMBLE SOURCES

In this section, we define our setup for rate distortion coding. We consider a source given by the ensemble $\{p_x, \rho_x^A \otimes |j_x\rangle\langle j_x|^J\}_{x \in \Sigma}$ with side information in the system J . Note that the side information can be quantum, as the states $|j_x\rangle\langle j_x|^J$ need not be orthonormal. (The most general side information is a mixed state on system J ; we consider the simpler pure state side information which is sufficient for our discussion.) The ensemble source can be equivalently defined as a classical-quantum (cq) state where the system X plays the role of a classical reference system

$$\rho^{AJX} := \sum_{x \in \Sigma} p_x \rho_x^A \otimes |j_x\rangle\langle j_x|^J \otimes |x\rangle\langle x|^X, \quad (4)$$

and symbols in Σ are associated with an orthonormal basis $\{|x\rangle\langle x|\}_{x \in \Sigma}$ on X . We also consider a purification of the source:

$$|\psi\rangle^{AJXX'R} := \sum_{x \in \Sigma} \sqrt{p_x} |\varphi_x\rangle^{AR} |j_x\rangle^J |x\rangle^X |x\rangle^{X'}, \quad (5)$$

where R purifies ρ_x^A and X' purifies the distribution over the reference system X . In rate distortion coding, the source first generates $|\psi^n\rangle^{A^n J^n X^n X'^n R^n}$, which contains n copies of $|\psi\rangle^{AJXX'R}$. We call the sender or the encoder Alice, and

the receiver or the decoder Bob. We suppose that Alice and Bob initially share some entangled state $|\Phi\rangle$ in systems A_0B_0 ; this assumption is made without loss of generality, since in the unassisted case, A_0 and B_0 can be taken to be trivial systems. Then, Alice applies an encoding channel $\mathcal{E}^{A^n J^n A_0 \rightarrow M}$, and sends system M to Bob. Receiving M , Bob applies a decoding channel $\mathcal{D}^{MB_0 \rightarrow B^n}$. We define

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_n^{MB_0 X^n} &:= (\mathcal{E}_n^{A^n J^n A_0 \rightarrow M} \otimes \text{id}^{X^n B_0})(\rho^{A^n J^n X^n} \otimes |\Phi\rangle\langle\Phi|^{A_0 B_0}), \\ \xi_n^{B^n X^n} &:= (\mathcal{D}^{MB_0 \rightarrow B^n} \otimes \text{id}^{X^n})(\sigma_n^{MB_0 X^n}).\end{aligned}$$




Figure 1: Circuits diagram of the compression model. Dotted lines are used to demarcate domains controlled by the different participants.

Furthermore, consider the Stinespring dilations $U_{\mathcal{E}_n}^{A^n J^n A_0 \rightarrow M W_A}$ and $U_{\mathcal{D}_n}^{M B_0 \rightarrow B^n W_B}$ for the encoding and the decoding maps. We consider the following purifications for the states σ_n and ξ_n ,

$$\begin{aligned}|\sigma_n\rangle^{M X^n X'^n R^n W_A B_0} &:= \sum_{x^n \in \Sigma^n} \sqrt{p_{x^n}} |\sigma_{x^n}\rangle^{M B_0 R^n W_A} |x^n\rangle^{X^n} |x^n\rangle^{X'^n} \\ &:= \sum_{x^n \in \Sigma^n} \sqrt{p_{x^n}} (U_{\mathcal{E}_n}^{A^n J^n A_0 \rightarrow M W_A} \otimes \mathbb{1}^{R^n})(|\varphi_{x^n}\rangle^{A^n R^n} |j_{x^n}\rangle^{J^n} |\Phi\rangle^{A_0 B_0}) |x^n\rangle^{X^n} |x^n\rangle^{X'^n},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}|\xi_n\rangle^{B^n W_A W_B X^n X'^n R^n} &:= \sum_{x^n \in \Sigma^n} \sqrt{p_{x^n}} |\xi_{x^n}\rangle^{B^n R^n W_A W_B} |x^n\rangle^{X^n} |x^n\rangle^{X'^n} \\ &:= \sum_{x^n \in \Sigma^n} \sqrt{p_{x^n}} (U_{\mathcal{D}_n}^{M B_0 \rightarrow B^n W_B} \otimes \mathbb{1}^{R^n W_A}) |\sigma_{x^n}\rangle^{M B_0 R^n W_A} |x^n\rangle^{X^n} |x^n\rangle^{X'^n}.\end{aligned}$$

The system model consisting of the encoding and decoding operations are illustrated in Figure 1.

We formalize the allowed distortion in the compression task using the following:

Definition 13. Let ρ^{BX} be a fixed state. The distortion of a quantum state τ^{BX} with respect to ρ^{BX} , denoted by

$\Delta(\tau^{BX})$, is any non-negative real-valued function Δ on τ^{BX} which is continuous and convex. For concreteness, we express continuity as $|\Delta(\tau^{BX}) - \Delta(\tilde{\tau}^{BX})| \leq \|\tau^{BX} - \tilde{\tau}^{BX}\|_1 K_\Delta$ where K_Δ is a constant for a given Δ .

We note that a convex function on a finite dimensional space is always continuous, but we opt to introduce the notation for the Lipschitz constant in the definition above. Furthermore, since the input space is compact, such a function is always bounded.

The dependency of the distortion on ρ^{BX} is embedded in the choice of the function Δ . Note that each choice of the distortion determines a specific rate-distortion theory. A canonical example of a distortion under definition 13 is $1 - F$ where F is the fidelity of τ^{BX} with respect to ρ^{BX} . In this case, the distortion is also *faithful* (that is, $\Delta(\rho) = 0$ and $\Delta(\tau) > 0$ for $\tau \neq \rho$). An opposite extreme choice of the distortion is a constant function which is independent of ρ^{BX} . (See further discussion later in this section.) We present our results for the general definition of distortion.

For the compression setup define above, with protocol described by $\mathcal{E}_n^{A^n, J^n, A_0 \rightarrow M}$ and $\mathcal{D}_n^{MB_0 \rightarrow B^n}$, we define

$$\Delta^{(n)}(\xi_n^{B^n X^n}) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta(\xi_n^{B_i X_i}), \quad (6)$$

where $\xi_n^{B_i X_i}$ is the reduced state of $\xi_n^{B^n X^n}$ to the i th system. Note that with this definition, we quantify distortion letter-wise, adopting an average *local error criterion* in our rate distortion theory.

In the unassisted scenario, for a given positive number $D > 0$, we say that a pair of qubit rate R and distortion D is achievable if there exists a sequence of codes $\{(\mathcal{E}_n, \mathcal{D}_n)\}_n$ such that $\Delta^{(n)}(\xi_n^{B^n X^n}) \leq D$ holds for sufficiently large n and

$$R = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \dim(M).$$

The *rate-distortion function* is defined as

$$R(D) := \inf\{R : (R, D) \text{ is achievable}\}.$$

The unlimited entanglement-assisted scenario is essentially the same with larger unlimited $\dim(A_0)$, $\dim(B_0)$ and with the notation $R_{ea}(D)$ for the distortion function.

We also consider the most general scenario when we count both the qubit rate and the entanglement rate. In this case, we say that a tuple of qubit rate R , entanglement rate E , and distortion D is achievable if there exists a sequence $\{(\mathcal{E}_n, \mathcal{D}_n)\}_n$ where $\Delta^{(n)}(\xi_n^{B^n X^n}) \leq D$ holds for sufficiently large n and

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \dim(M). \\ E &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \dim(A_0). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the rate-distortion function in this case is defined as

$$R(D, E) := \inf\{R : (R, E, D) \text{ is achievable}\}.$$

Note that we use the symbol E to denote some environment system elsewhere in the paper, and occasionally we use E to denote the entanglement rate. Similarly, we use the symbol R to denote some purifying system; we also use R or $R(D)$ to denote the qubit rate for compression. We hope the different context will minimize the chance of any confusion.

We illustrate the setup with some examples. Consider the unassisted case. If the distortion is $1 - F$ with F being the fidelity, $R(D)$ captures the qubit rate needed to attain the per-copy fidelity $1 - D$. If the distortion is a constant

function $\delta > 0$, $R(D) = 0$ for $\delta \leq D$ and infinity otherwise. While mathematically permissible, a constant distortion may not lead to a practically useful theory.

IV. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we present our main results. We start with the optimal entanglement-assisted rate-distortion tradeoff in Theorem 14, followed by the unassisted case in Theorem 15. The full region of achievable qubit and entanglement rate pairs, as a function of distortion, is given by Theorem 20.

A. Entanglement Assisted Rate Distortion Theory

In this scenario, Alice and Bob have free access to *unlimited* entanglement for the compression task. We prove in the following that the entanglement-assisted rate-distortion function for an ensemble source is given by an optimized expression involving the quantum mutual information in single-letter form.

Theorem 14 (Entanglement-assisted rate-distortion theory). *We use the setting in Section III and consider the purification $|\psi\rangle^{AJX X'R} = \sum_x \sqrt{p_x} |\psi_x\rangle^{AR} |j_x\rangle^J |x\rangle^X |x\rangle^{X'}$ of the source ρ^{AJX} (see Eqs. 4 and 5). The entanglement-assisted rate-distortion function is given by*

$$R_{\text{ea}}(D) = \min_{\mathcal{N}^{AJ \rightarrow B}} \frac{1}{2} I(B : XX'R)_\tau,$$

where the minimum is taken over all quantum channels $\mathcal{N} : AJ \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\Delta\left(\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes \text{id}^X\right)\left(\rho^{AJX}\right)\right) \leq D,$$

and the quantum mutual information is evaluated on the state

$$\tau^{BXX'R} := \left(\mathcal{N} \otimes \text{id}^{XX'R}\right)\left(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|^{AJX X'R}\right).$$

Proof. We first show achievability and then the converse.

To show achievability, we construct a protocol with qubit rate $R_{\text{ea}}(D)$, based on quantum state redistribution [41, 42]. Let $\mathcal{N}^{AJ \rightarrow B}$ be a quantum channel satisfying $\Delta(\mathcal{N}^{AJ \rightarrow B}(\rho^{AJX})) \leq D$, and $U^{AJ \rightarrow BE}$ be its Stinespring dilation. Suppose that the source generates n copies of the ensemble, resulting in the state $|\psi^n\rangle^{A^n J^n X^n X'^n R^n}$. Alice applies $U^{AJ \rightarrow BE}$ to each of her n copies of her system, then, the state becomes

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau_n\rangle^{B^n E^n X^n X'^n R^n} &:= \left((U^{AJ \rightarrow BE} \otimes \mathbb{1}^{XX'R}) |\psi\rangle^{AJX X'R} \right)^{\otimes n} \\ &:= \sum_{x^n \in \Sigma^n} \sqrt{p_{x^n}} |\tau_{x^n}\rangle^{B^n R^n E^n} |x^n\rangle^{X^n} |x^n\rangle^{X'^n}. \end{aligned}$$

In the above, we have define $|\tau_x\rangle := (\mathbb{1}^R \otimes U) |\psi_x\rangle^{AR} |j_x\rangle^J$ and for $x^n = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n$, $|\tau_{x^n}\rangle := \otimes_{i=1}^n |\tau_{x_i}\rangle$. Alice and Bob then perform quantum state redistribution [41, 42] as follows. Recall that Alice and Bob share entanglement in systems $A_0 B_0$. At this point, Bob has system B_0 , the referee has $R^n X^n X'^n$, and Alice has $A_0 B^n E^n$. Alice tries to transmit system B^n to Bob. Then, for an arbitrarily small $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a sufficiently large n such that quantum state redistribution is achieved with qubit rate approaching $\frac{1}{2} I(B : RXX')$ and block error ϵ . Therefore, the state

after quantum state redistribution, denoted $\tilde{\tau}_n^{B^n E^n X^n X'^n R^n}$, satisfies

$$\|\tilde{\tau}_n - \tau_n\|_1 \leq \epsilon$$

which by monotonicity, also implies

$$\|\tilde{\tau}_n^{B_i X_i} - \tau_n^{B_i X_i}\|_1 \leq \epsilon.$$

By continuity of the distortion, we have

$$\Delta(\tilde{\tau}_n^{B_i X_i}) \leq D + \epsilon K_\Delta.$$

Since ϵ can be chosen to be arbitrarily small and K_Δ is fixed, the protocol achieves the desirable rate.

Next, we show the converse; that is, any achievable rate is at least $\min_{\mathcal{N}^{AJ \rightarrow B}} \frac{1}{2} I(B : XX'R)_\tau$. Consider the following chain of inequalities with qubit rate R :

$$\begin{aligned} 2nR &= 2 \log \dim(M) \\ &\geq I(M : R^n X^n X'^n B_0)_{\sigma_n} \\ &= S(M)_{\sigma_n} + S(R^n X^n X'^n B_0)_{\sigma_n} - S(MR^n X^n X'^n B_0)_{\sigma_n} \\ &= S(M)_{\sigma_n} + S(B_0)_{\sigma_n} + S(R^n X^n X'^n)_{\sigma_n} - S(MR^n X^n X'^n B_0)_{\sigma_n} \\ &\geq S(MB_0)_{\sigma_n} + S(R^n X^n X'^n)_{\sigma_n} - S(MR^n X^n X'^n B_0)_{\sigma_n} \\ &= I(MB_0 : R^n X^n X'^n)_{\sigma_n} \\ &\geq I(B^n : R^n X^n X'^n)_{\xi_n} \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n I(B_i : R_i X_i X'_i)_{\xi_n} \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n R_{\text{ea}}(\Delta(\xi_n^{B_i X_i})) \\ &\geq nR_{\text{ea}}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta(\xi_n^{B_i X_i})\right) \\ &= nR_{\text{ea}}\left(\Delta^{(n)}(\xi_n^{B^n X^n})\right) \\ &\geq nR_{\text{ea}}(D). \end{aligned}$$

The second line follows from the dimension upper bound of the quantum mutual information. The fourth line holds because preshared entanglement is independent of the data, so, system B_0 is independent of $R^n X^n X'^n$. The fifth line follows from subadditivity of the von Neumann entropy (Lemma 5). The seventh line follows from the data-processing inequality (Lemma 8). The eighth line follows from recursive use of superadditivity of quantum mutual information (Lemma 9). The ninth line follows from the definition of R_{ea} . The tenth line follows from the convexity of R_{ea} shown in Lemma 22 in Appendix A. The eleventh line follows from the definition in Eq. 6. The last line follows from the definition of R_{ea} , which is decreasing for a larger allowed distortion.

Combining the achievability and the converse, the rate-distortion function is given by

$$R_{\text{ea}}(D) := \min_{\mathcal{N}^{AJ \rightarrow B}} \frac{1}{2} I(B : XX'R)_\tau.$$



B. Unassisted Rate-Distortion Theory

In this subsection, we analyze rate-distortion compression without any entanglement assistance. In this case, the optimal trade-off of the unassisted rate-distortion function for an ensemble source is given by a regularized and optimized expression involving the entanglement of purification.

Theorem 15 (Unassisted rate-distortion theory). *We use the setting in Section III and consider the purification $|\psi\rangle^{AJX X'R} = \sum_x \sqrt{p_x} |\psi_x\rangle^{AR} |j_x\rangle^J |x\rangle^X |x\rangle^{X'}$ of the source ρ^{AJX} (see Eqs. 4 and 5). The unassisted rate-distortion function of the source ρ^{AJX} is given by*

$$R(D) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \min_{\mathcal{N}_k^{A^k J^k \rightarrow B^k}} E_p(B^k : X^k X'^k R^k)_{\tau_k},$$

where the minimum is taken over all quantum channels $\mathcal{N}_k : A^k J^k \rightarrow B^k$ such that

$$\Delta^{(k)} \left((\mathcal{N}_k \otimes \text{id}^{X^k}) ((\rho^{AJX})^{\otimes k}) \right) \leq D,$$

and the entanglement of purification is evaluated on the state

$$\tau_k^{B^k X^k X'^k R^k} := (\mathcal{N}_k \otimes \text{id}^{X^k X'^k R^k}) \left((|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|^{AJX X'R})^{\otimes k} \right).$$

Proof. We first show achievability, and then the converse.

To show achievability, we construct a protocol with qubit rate $R(D)$. In this protocol, Alice applies Schumacher compression, and she processes her state before the compression to minimize the cost. In more detail, consider k copies of the source given by the state $|\psi^k\rangle^{A^k J^k X^k X'^k R^k}$. Alice applies a quantum channel $\mathcal{N}_k^{A^k J^k \rightarrow B^k}$ satisfying $\Delta^{(k)}(\mathcal{N}_k^{A^k J^k \rightarrow B^k}((\rho^{\otimes k})^{A^k J^k X^k})) \leq D$ to her system $A^k J^k$. Let $U_{\mathcal{N}_k}^{A^k J^k \rightarrow B^k E}$ be the Stinespring dilation of the channel $\mathcal{N}_k^{A^k J^k \rightarrow B^k}$. Then, Alice produces a k -copy state with purification

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau_k\rangle^{B^k E X^k X'^k R^k} &:= (U_{\mathcal{N}_k}^{A^k J^k \rightarrow B^k E} \otimes \mathbb{1}^{X^k X'^k R^k}) |\psi^k\rangle^{A^k J^k X^k X'^k R^k} \\ &= \sum_{x^k \in \Sigma^k} \sqrt{p_{x^k}} |\tau_{x^k}\rangle^{B^k E} |x^k\rangle^{X^k} |x^k\rangle^{X'^k}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that Alice has system E because she locally applies $U_{\mathcal{N}_k}^{A^k J^k \rightarrow B^k E}$. She further applies a quantum channel $\Lambda_k^{E \rightarrow E_B}$ with isometry $U_{\Lambda_k}^{E \rightarrow E_B E_A}$ such that $S(B^k E_B)_{\Lambda_k(\tau_k)}$ is minimized.

Now take a sufficiently large n and consider nk copies of the source. In the protocol, Alice repeats the above processing n times to obtain n copies of $|\tau_k\rangle^{B^k E^k X^k X'^k R^k}$, and transmits system $(B^k E_B)^{\otimes n}$ to Bob using Schumacher compression [1, 16]. Let ϵ_n be the error in the compression, with $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ as n increases. Let $\tilde{\tau}_{nk}$ denote the resulting state. Then, $\|\tilde{\tau}_{nk} - \tau_{nk}\|_1 \leq \epsilon_n$, so, each copy of $|\tau_k\rangle^{B^k E^k X^k X'^k R^k}$ is transmitted with error at most ϵ_n .

Applying the same argument as in Theorem 14 (with k here replacing n in Theorem 14), we have

$$\Delta(\tilde{\tau}_k^{B_i X_i}) \leq D + \epsilon_n K_\Delta$$

Note that K_Δ is a constant independent of k . Taking ϵ_n arbitrarily small, this protocol achieves the rate $\frac{1}{k} S(B^k E_B)_{\Lambda_k(\tau_k)}$.

We can see that

$$\begin{aligned} S(B^k E_B)_{\Lambda_k(\tau_k)} &= \min_{\Lambda'_k} S(\Lambda'_k(\tau_k)) \\ &= E_p(B^k : R^k X^k X'^k)_{\tau_k}, \end{aligned}$$

where the minimum is taken over all channels Λ' on E . Therefore, $R(D)$ is achievable.

Next, we show the converse, that any achievable rate is at least $R(D)$. The following chain of inequalities holds for any k and qubit rate R :

$$\begin{aligned} kR &= \log \dim(M) \\ &\geq S(M)_{\sigma_k} \\ &\geq E_p(M : R^k X^k X'^k)_{\sigma_k} \\ &\geq E_p(B^k : R^k X^k X'^k)_{\xi_k}. \end{aligned}$$

The first inequality follows from the dimension bound of the von Neumann entropy. The second inequality follows from Lemma 11. The third inequality follows from monotonicity of entanglement of purification (Lemma 12).

Combining the achievability and the converse, and taking the limit of $k \rightarrow \infty$, the rate distortion function for unassisted compression is given by

$$R(D) := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \min_{\mathcal{N}_k^{A^k J^k \rightarrow B^k}} E_p(B^k : X^k X'^k R^k)_{\tau_k}.$$

■

Remark 16. While the rate distortion function for entanglement-assisted compression is given by a single-letter quantum mutual information expression, that for unassisted compression is characterized by multi-letter entanglement of purification. There are two main hurdles to single-letterize $R(D)$: first, it is still open if the entanglement of purification is additive, second, the minimization is over CPTP maps acting on k copies of the source.

Remark 17. Note that because of the regularization, the error criterion based on the distortion function is a k -copy criterion rather than single copy. However, the protocol requires many (n) copies of such blocks of size k . In this regard, the error criterion is consider “local,” restricted to each block of k as the number of block n grows.

We have derived the distortion function in the most general setting, with side information spanning all possible scenarios between visible and blind compression. Now, we show the implication for the special cases, namely, *visible compression* and *blind compression*. In the case of visible compression, we show that the distortion function can be simplified to the entanglement of purification between Bob’s system B and the reference system X . In the case of blind compression, we prove that our multi-letter distortion function becomes single-letter in the limit of $D \rightarrow 0$, which makes a connection between the distortion function and the previously known optimal rate of blind data compression.

1. Unassisted Visible Compression

First, we consider visible compression, in which Alice has the label of the given state in register J . In other words, $|j_x\rangle = |x\rangle$ in the register J , and the source is given by

$$|\psi\rangle^{AJXX'R} := \sum_{x \in \Sigma} \sqrt{p_x} |\varphi_x\rangle^{AR} |x\rangle^J |x\rangle^X |x\rangle^{X'}. \quad (7)$$

Then, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 18. *In the setup of visible compression, with the source*

$$\rho^{AJX} := \sum_{x \in \Sigma} p_x \rho_x^A \otimes |x\rangle\langle x|^J \otimes |x\rangle\langle x|^X,$$

the rate-distortion function is given by

$$R(D) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \min_{\mathcal{N}_k^{A^k J^k \rightarrow B^k}} E_p(B^k : X^k)_{\mathcal{N}_k(\rho^{\otimes k})}, \quad (8)$$

where the minimum is taken over all channels $\mathcal{N}_k^{A^k J^k \rightarrow B^k}$ such that

$$\Delta^{(k)}(\mathcal{N}_k^{A^k J^k \rightarrow B^k}((\rho^{\otimes k})^{A^k J^k X^k})) \leq D.$$

Proof. First, we prove the converse; that is, $R(D)$ is equal to or larger than the right-hand side of Eq. (8). From Theorem 15,

$$R(D) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \min_{\mathcal{N}_k^{A^k J^k \rightarrow B^k}} E_p(B^k : X^k X'^k R^k)_{\mathcal{N}_k(|\psi^k\rangle\langle\psi^k|)}.$$

For each k , let $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_k^{A^k J^k \rightarrow B^k}$ be the quantum channel achieving the minimum in the above. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathcal{N}_k^{A^k J^k \rightarrow B^k}} E_p(B^k : X^k X'^k R^k)_{\mathcal{N}_k(|\psi^k\rangle\langle\psi^k|)} &= E_p(B^k : X^k X'^k R^k)_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_k(|\psi^k\rangle\langle\psi^k|)} \\ &\geq E_p(B^k : X^k)_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_k(\rho^{\otimes k})} \\ &\geq \min_{\mathcal{N}_k^{A^k J^k \rightarrow B^k}} E_p(B^k : X^k)_{\mathcal{N}_k(\rho^{\otimes k})}, \end{aligned}$$

with the first inequality obtained from monotonicity of entanglement of purification when applying the partial trace over systems X'^k and R^k .

We next prove achievability, that $R(D)$ is no more than the right-hand side of Eq. (8). For a fixed k , let $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_k^{A^k J^k \rightarrow B^k}$ be a channel from $A^k J^k$ to B^k achieving the minimum of

$$\min_{\mathcal{N}_k^{A^k J^k \rightarrow B^k}} E_p(B^k : X^k)_{\mathcal{N}_k(\rho^{\otimes k})}.$$

Instead of register B^k , we take $B'^k (\simeq B^k)$ as the output register. Moreover, since J is now classical, the channel always has a Stinespring dilation that includes this register as an output. So, we can write the Stinespring dilation of this minimizing channel as $U_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_k}^{A^k J^k \rightarrow B'^k J^k E}$. Furthermore, we consider an isometry $V^{J^k \rightarrow B^k R'^k J^k E'}$ so that when $R'^k J^k E'$ are traced out, the output recovers the state $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_k((\rho^{\otimes k})^{A^k J^k})$ from the classical register J^k . Then, define

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\tau}_k\rangle_{B^k R'^k B'^k R^k E X^k X'^k J^k E'} &:= V^{J^k \rightarrow B^k R'^k J^k E'} U_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_k}^{A^k J^k \rightarrow B'^k J^k E} |\psi^k\rangle^{A^k J^k X^k X'^k R^k} \\ &:= V^{J^k \rightarrow B^k R'^k J^k E'} \sum_{x^k \in \Sigma^k} \sqrt{p_{x^k}} |\tau_{x^k}\rangle^{B'^k R^k E} |x^k\rangle^{X^k} |x^k\rangle^{X'^k} |x^k\rangle^{J^k} \\ &= \sum_{x^k \in \Sigma^k} \sqrt{p_{x^k}} |\tau_{x^k}\rangle^{B^k R'^k E'} |\tau_{x^k}\rangle^{B'^k R^k E} |x^k\rangle^{X^k} |x^k\rangle^{X'^k} |x^k\rangle^{J^k}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above state as a purification, we have

$$\min_{\mathcal{N}_k^{A^k J^k \rightarrow B^k}} E_p(B^k : X^k X'^k R^k)_{\mathcal{N}_k(|\psi^k\rangle\langle\psi^k|)} \leq E_p(B^k : X^k X'^k R^k)_{\tilde{\tau}_k} = \min_{\Lambda_k^{R'^k B'^k E J^k E' \rightarrow F}} S(B^k F)_{\Lambda_k(\tilde{\tau}_k)}.$$

The state $\tilde{\tau}_k^{B^k R'^k B'^k E J^k E'}$ can be expressed as

$$\sum_{x^k \in \Sigma^k} p_{x^k} |\tau_{x^k}\rangle\langle\tau_{x^k}|^{B^k R'^k E'} \otimes \tau_{x^k}^{B'^k E} \otimes |x^k\rangle\langle x^k|^{J^k}.$$

Now, by first discarding the systems $B'^k E$ from $\tilde{\tau}_k^{B^k R'^k B'^k E J^k E'}$ and then applying the isometry generating $|\tau_{x^k}\rangle^{B'^k R^k E} |x\rangle^{X'^k} |x\rangle^{J^k}$ from $|x\rangle^{J^k}$, we have

$$\tilde{\tau}_k^{B^k R'^k B'^k R^k E X'^k J^k E'} := \sum_{x^k \in \Sigma^k} p_{x^k} |\tau_{x^k}\rangle\langle\tau_{x^k}|^{B^k R'^k E'} \otimes |\tau_{x^k}\rangle\langle\tau_{x^k}|^{B'^k R^k E} \otimes |x^k\rangle\langle x^k|^{X'^k} \otimes |x^k\rangle\langle x^k|^{J^k}.$$

In fact, by the definition of entanglement of purification, we have the following relation

$$E_p(B^k : X^k)_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_k(|\psi^k\rangle\langle\psi^k|)} = E_p(B^k : X^k)_{\tilde{\tau}_k} = \min_{\Lambda_k^{R'^k B'^k R^k E X'^k J^k E' \rightarrow F}} S(B^k F)_{\Lambda_k(\tilde{\tau}_k)}.$$

In the region over which the minimum of $\min_{\Lambda_k^{R'^k B'^k R^k E X'^k J^k E' \rightarrow F}} S(B^k F)_{\Lambda_k(\tilde{\tau}_k)}$ is taken, we can consider quantum operations first taking $\tilde{\tau}_k^{B^k R'^k B'^k E J^k E'} \mapsto \tilde{\tau}_k^{B^k R'^k B'^k R^k E X'^k J^k E'}$ and then applying some $\Lambda_k^{R'^k B'^k R^k E X'^k J^k E' \rightarrow F}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\Lambda_k^{R'^k B'^k E J^k E' \rightarrow F}} S(B^k F)_{\Lambda_k(\tilde{\tau}_k)} &\leq \min_{\Lambda_k^{R'^k B'^k R^k E X'^k J^k E' \rightarrow F}} S(B^k F)_{\Lambda_k(\tilde{\tau}_k)} \\ &= E_p(B^k : X^k)_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_k(\rho^{\otimes k})} \\ &= \min_{\mathcal{N}_k^{A^k J^k \rightarrow B^k}} E_p(B^k : X^k)_{\mathcal{N}_k(\rho^{\otimes k})}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\min_{\mathcal{N}_k^{A^k J^k \rightarrow B^k}} E_p(B^k : X^k X'^k R^k)_{\mathcal{N}_k(|\psi^k\rangle\langle\psi^k|)} \leq \min_{\mathcal{N}_k^{A^k J^k \rightarrow B^k}} E_p(B^k : X^k)_{\mathcal{N}_k(\rho^{\otimes k})}.$$

■

2. Unassisted Blind Compression

Next we consider blind compression, in which Alice does not know the state she receives. This is modelled by not giving Alice any side information, with $\dim(J) = 1$, and the source simplifies to

$$|\psi\rangle^{AXX'R} := \sum_{x \in \Sigma} \sqrt{p_x} |\varphi_x\rangle^{AR} |x\rangle^X |x\rangle^{X'}, \quad (9)$$

with $\rho^{AX} = \text{Tr}_{X'R} |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|^{AXX'R}$. We use the results in Ref. [5], and the notations in Theorem 2 and Theorem 15 throughout this subsection. We take $U_{KI} = \mathbb{1}$ for simplicity of notation. The optimal rate of blind quantum data compression under local error criterion is $S(CQ)_{|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|}$ where C and Q are the classical and quantum parts of the ensemble obtained by KI decomposition (Theorem 2). We can connect Theorems 2 and 15 if we take $D \rightarrow 0$ and if we

choose the distortion to be

$$\Delta(\tau^{BX}) = 1 - F(\rho^{BX}, \tau^{BX}),$$

where ρ^{BX} is obtained from ρ^{AX} by an identity channel from A to B . Then, by Theorem 15, we conclude that

$$\lim_{D \rightarrow 0} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \min_{\mathcal{N}_k^{A^k \rightarrow B^k}} E_p(B^k : X^k X'^k R^k)_{\mathcal{N}_k(|\psi^k\rangle\langle\psi^k|)} = S(CQ)_{|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|}.$$

We now show that the left hand side of the above can be simplified, and the optimal rate of blind compression under local error can be given as a limit of a single-letter entanglement of purification in the following theorem.

Theorem 19. *Consider the blind compression of the source given by Eq. (9). Then,*

$$\lim_{D \rightarrow 0} \min_{\mathcal{N}^{A \rightarrow B}} E_p(B : XX'R)_{\mathcal{N}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)} = S(CQ)_{|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|},$$

where the minimum is taken over all channels $\mathcal{N}^{A \rightarrow B}$ such that

$$1 - F(\rho^{BX}, \mathcal{N}^{A \rightarrow B}(\rho^{AX})) \leq D.$$

Proof. We first show that

$$\lim_{D \rightarrow 0} \min_{\mathcal{N}^{A \rightarrow B}} E_p(B : XX'R)_{\mathcal{N}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)} \leq S(CQ)_{|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|}. \quad (10)$$

Fix $D > 0$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{A \rightarrow B}$ be an optimal channel achieving the minimum in the left-hand side; that is,

$$\min_{\mathcal{N}^{A \rightarrow B}} E_p(B : XX'R)_{\mathcal{N}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)} = E_p(B : XX'R)_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)}$$

and such that $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{A \rightarrow B}$ also satisfies the distortion constraint

$$1 - F(\rho^{BX}, \tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{A \rightarrow B}(\rho^{AX})) \leq D.$$

Consider the KI operations from Lemma 3

$$\mathcal{K}_{\text{off}}^{A \rightarrow CQ}(\rho^{AX}) = \omega^{CQX}, \quad (11)$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{\text{on}}^{CQ \rightarrow A}(\omega^{CQX}) = \rho^{AX}. \quad (12)$$

and define another quantum channel $\mathcal{N}_*^{A \rightarrow B} := \mathcal{K}_{\text{on}}^{\hat{B} \rightarrow B} \circ \mathcal{K}_{\text{off}}^{B \rightarrow \hat{B}} \circ \tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{A \rightarrow B}$, where \hat{B} is a system obtained when we apply $\mathcal{K}_{\text{off}}^{A \rightarrow CQ}$ to system B that is physically equivalent to A . We will show that $\mathcal{N}_*^{A \rightarrow B}$ is also an optimal map. First,

$$\begin{aligned} F(\rho^{BX}, \mathcal{N}_*^{A \rightarrow B}(\rho^{AX})) &= F(\mathcal{K}_{\text{on}}^{CQ \rightarrow B} \circ \mathcal{K}_{\text{off}}^{B \rightarrow CQ}(\rho^{BX}), \mathcal{K}_{\text{on}}^{\hat{B} \rightarrow B} \circ \mathcal{K}_{\text{off}}^{B \rightarrow \hat{B}} \circ \tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{A \rightarrow B}(\rho^{AX})) \\ &\geq F(\rho^{BX}, \tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{A \rightarrow B}(\rho^{AX})) \\ &\geq 1 - D, \end{aligned}$$

where the first line comes from Eqs. (11) and (12) and the definition of \mathcal{N}_* and the second line follows from monotonicity of the fidelity. Thus, \mathcal{N}_* also satisfies the distortion condition. In addition, by monotonicity of the entanglement of

purification,

$$E_p(B : XX'R)_{\mathcal{N}_*(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)} \leq E_p(B : XX'R)_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)}.$$

Since $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{A \rightarrow B}$ is an optimal map achieving the minimum, $\mathcal{N}_*^{A \rightarrow B}$ is also an optimal map, as claimed.

To prove the inequality (10), consider the following chain of inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathcal{N}^{A \rightarrow B}} E_p(B : XX'R)_{\mathcal{N}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)} &= E_p(B : XX'R)_{\mathcal{N}_*(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)} \\ &\leq E_p(\hat{B} : XX'R)_{\mathcal{K}_{\text{off}} \circ \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)} \\ &\leq S(\hat{B})_{\mathcal{K}_{\text{off}} \circ \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)} \\ &\leq S(CQ)_{|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|} - h_2(D) - D \log |CQ|, \end{aligned}$$

where the first line comes from the optimality of \mathcal{N}_* , the second and third lines come from the monotonicity and dimension bound of the entanglement of purification, and the last line follows from Fannes inequality (Lemma 6). Thus, in the limit of $D \rightarrow 0$, $\min_{\mathcal{N}^{A \rightarrow B}} E_p(B : XX'R)_{\mathcal{N}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)} \leq S(CQ)_{|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|}$.

Now, consider the opposite direction. We will show that

$$\lim_{D \rightarrow 0} \min_{\mathcal{N}^{A \rightarrow B}} E_p(B : XX'R)_{\mathcal{N}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)} \geq S(CQ)_{|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|}.$$

We first note that the above follows from the results in [5], since our proof for Theorem 15 can be applied to the LHS to show that it is an achievable rate under vanishing local error. But we also present a direct proof in the following.

For a fixed $D > 0$, let $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{A \rightarrow B}$ be an optimal map achieving the minimum on the left hand side, and let $U_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}^{A \rightarrow BE}$ be a Stinespring dilation of $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{A \rightarrow B}$. Define

$$|\tau\rangle^{BEXX'R} := \sum_{x \in \Sigma} \sqrt{p_x} |\tau_x\rangle^{BER} |x\rangle^X |x\rangle^{X'} := \sum_{x \in \Sigma} \sqrt{p_x} U_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}^{A \rightarrow BE} |\psi_x\rangle^{AR} |x\rangle^X |x\rangle^{X'}.$$

By the definition of the entanglement of purification,

$$E_p(B : XX'R)_\tau = \min_{\Lambda^{E \rightarrow E_B}} S(BE_B)_{\Lambda(\tau)}.$$

Let $\Lambda_*^{E \rightarrow E_B}$ be an optimal channel achieving the minimum above. As $D \rightarrow 0$, $S(BE_B)_{\Lambda_*(\tau)}$ converges to $S(BE_B)_{\mathcal{M}(\rho)}$, where $\mathcal{M}^{A \rightarrow BE_B}$ is a quantum channel such that $\text{Tr}_{E_B}[\mathcal{M}^{A \rightarrow BE_B}(\rho^{AX})] = \rho^{AX}$. By Koashi-Imoto's theorem (Theorem 2), the channel $\mathcal{M}^{A \rightarrow BE_B}$ only acts on the redundant part of the state ρ^{AX} ; that is, we can write

$$\mathcal{M}^{A \rightarrow BE_B}(\rho^{AX}) := \sum_x p_x \sum_c p_{c|x} |c\rangle\langle c|^C \otimes \omega_c^{NE_B} \otimes \rho_{xc}^Q \otimes |x\rangle\langle x|^X,$$

where $\omega_c^{NE_B}$ is a state such that $\text{Tr}_{E_B}[\omega_c^{NE_B}] = \omega_c^N$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
S(BE_B)_{\mathcal{M}(\rho)} &= S(CQNE_B)_{\mathcal{M}(\rho)} \\
&= S(C)_{\mathcal{M}(\rho)} + S(QNE_B|C)_{\mathcal{M}(\rho)} \\
&= S(C)_{\mathcal{M}(\rho)} + S(Q|C)_{\mathcal{M}(\rho)} + S(NE_B|C)_{\mathcal{M}(\rho)} \\
&\geq S(C)_{\mathcal{M}(\rho)} + S(Q|C)_{\mathcal{M}(\rho)} \\
&= S(CQ)_{\mathcal{M}(\rho)} \\
&= S(CQ)_\rho \\
&= S(CQ)_{|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|}
\end{aligned}$$

where the third line is obtained because the state on NE_BQ is a product state when we conditioned on system C ; in particular, for each c , the state on NE_BQ is $\omega_c^{NE_B} \otimes \sum_x p_x \rho_{cx}^Q$. Therefore,

$$\lim_{D \rightarrow 0} \min_{\mathcal{N}^{A \rightarrow B}} E_p(B : XX'R)_{\mathcal{N}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)} \geq S(CQ)_{|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|}.$$

■

C. Full Rate Region of Rate Distortion Theory

In quantum information theory, the characterization of the full rate region of a given information processing task is one of the ultimate goals to understand the fundamental performance and cost of the task. Here, we generalize our arguments from the previous sections to provide the full qubit-entanglement rate region of the rate distortion coding for ensemble sources.

Theorem 20 (Full rate region of rate-distortion compression). *Rate-distortion coding of a given source $|\psi\rangle^{AJXX'R}$ with distortion D is achievable if and only if its qubit rate R and entanglement rate E satisfy*

$$R \geq \frac{1}{k} \frac{1}{2} I(B^k E_B : R^k X^k X'^k)_{\Lambda_k(\tau_k)}, \quad (13)$$

$$R + E \geq \frac{1}{k} S(B^k E_B)_{\Lambda_k(\tau_k)}, \quad (14)$$

for some quantum channels $\mathcal{N}_k^{A^k J^k \rightarrow B^k}$ and $\Lambda_k^{E \rightarrow E_B}$, the channel $\mathcal{N}_k^{A^k J^k \rightarrow B^k}$ satisfies the distortion condition in the statement of Theorem 15, and the state τ_k is as defined in the statement of Theorem 15.

Proof. We first show achievability, and then the converse.

The achievability of the given rate-region can be shown by considering a protocol very similar to the one used in the proof of Theorem 15, so we only describe the two differences: (1) Alice's processing need not minimize $S(B^k E_B)_{\Lambda_k(\tau_k)}$ here. (2) Instead of Schumacher compression, n copies of the k -copy state are transmitted using quantum state redistribution [41, 42] with error ϵ_n . This quantum state redistribution can be performed with qubit rate R and the entanglement rate E if

$$\begin{aligned}
R &\geq \frac{1}{k} \frac{1}{2} I(B^k E_B : R^k X^k X'^k)_{\Lambda_k(\tau_k)}, \\
R + E &\geq \frac{1}{k} S(B^k E_B)_{\Lambda_k(\tau_k)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The same continuity argument in Theorem 15 also applies to show that the protocol satisfies the distortion condition.

For the converse, consider a fixed k that is sufficiently large so that there is a compression protocol $(\mathcal{E}_k, \mathcal{D}_k)$ with qubit rate R and entanglement rate E satisfying the distortion condition given by Definition 13 and Eq. (6). For this protocol, σ_k, ξ_k are as defined in Section III (with k instead of n for the block size). We need to propose quantum channels $\mathcal{N}_k^{A^k J^k \rightarrow B^k}$ with Stinespring dilation $U_{\mathcal{N}_k}^{A^k J^k \rightarrow B^k E}$ and $\Lambda_k^{E \rightarrow E_B}$ with Stinespring dilation $U_{\Lambda_k}^{E \rightarrow E_A E_B}$ so that $\mathcal{N}_k^{A^k J^k \rightarrow B^k}$ satisfies the distortion condition, and the inequalities (13) and (14) on the rates R, E are satisfied. To this end, we take $\mathcal{N}_k^{A^k J^k \rightarrow B^k}$ to be the composition of the following steps:

- (1) appending the entangled state $\Phi^{A_0 B_0}$ to $(\rho^{\otimes k})^{A^k J^k X^k}$,
 - (2) applying the encoding operation $\mathcal{E}_k^{A^k J^k A_0 \rightarrow M}$ (with environment system W_A),
 - (3) applying the decoding operation $\mathcal{D}_k^{M B_0 \rightarrow B^k}$ (with environment system W_B),
- and $\mathcal{N}_k^{A^k J^k \rightarrow B^k}$ has environment system $E = W_A W_B$. We take $\Lambda_k^{E \rightarrow E_B}$ as the quantum channel that first discards system W_A and then renames W_B as $E_B = W_B$.

We now have the following chain of inequalities for k copies with qubit rate R :

$$\begin{aligned} 2kR &= 2 \log \dim(M) \\ &\geq I(M B_0 : R^k X^k X'^k)_{\sigma_k} \\ &= I(B^k W_B : R^k X^k X'^k)_{\xi_k}, \end{aligned}$$

using the dimension bound and monotonicity of the quantum mutual information. We also have the following chain of inequalities with qubit rate R and entanglement rate E :

$$\begin{aligned} kR + kE &= \log \dim(M) + \log \dim(B_0) \\ &\geq S(M)_{\sigma_k} + S(B_0)_{\sigma_k} \\ &\geq S(M B_0)_{\sigma_k} \\ &= S(B^k W_B)_{\xi_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Identifying ξ_k as $\Lambda_k(\tau_k)$ and W_B as E_B , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2kR &\geq I(B^k E_B : R^k X^k X'^k)_{\Lambda_k(\tau_k)}, \\ kR + kE &\geq S(B^k E_B)_{\Lambda_k(\tau_k)}. \end{aligned}$$

■

Remark 21. Recalling Theorem 14 characterizing the optimal trade-off of the entanglement-assisted compression, the edge of the union of

$$R \geq \frac{1}{k} I(B^k E_B : R^k X^k X'^k)_{\Lambda_k(\tau_k)}$$

must be expressed as

$$R \geq \frac{1}{2} I(B : X X' R)_{\mathcal{N}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)},$$

where $\mathcal{N}^{A^k J^k \rightarrow B^k}$ satisfies the distortion constraint. That is, if Alice and Bob have a sufficient amount of entanglement, Alice does not need to apply her local operation $\Lambda_k^{E \rightarrow E_B}$ to achieve the optimal qubit rate.

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In this paper, we investigated quantum rate-distortion compression with and without entanglement. We assumed a quantum mixed-state ensemble source with side information so that our analysis covers both visible and blind compression. First, we derived the rate-distortion function for entanglement assisted compression, and we proved that the optimal rate can be expressed using a single-letter formula of quantum mutual information. Second, we showed that the rate-distortion function for the unassisted case is expressed in terms of a regularized entanglement of purification. We showed interesting implications of this result to visible and blind scenarios. In the visible scenario, the distortion function can be simplified, and it only depends on Bob's system and the reference system. In the blind case, we proved that our multi-letter rate distortion function can be single-letterized in the limit of $D \rightarrow 0$. Finally, we found the full qubit-entanglement rate region for rate-distortion theory of mixed states. Thus, we characterized rate-distortion compression of mixed states, which has not been covered before. We believe our work furthers the understanding of the limits of quantum data compression with finite approximations.

There are several interesting possible future directions. In Theorem 19, we proved a relation between Koashi and Imoto's optimal blind compression rate and our rate-distortion function. On the other hand, since the optimal visible compression rate was analyzed under global error criterion, there is no direct connection between the optimal rate and our rate-distortion function in the visible case. In addition, it would be interesting to see if our rate-distortion functions are additive or not. Some of these rate-distortion functions are expressed using multi-letter formulae, and it would be highly useful if there are simplified characterizations. Finally, we believe that our approach taken in this paper can be applied to other setups that involves data processing of mixed states. It would be highly important to see what type of trade-off can be seen in other quantum information processing setups.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Andreas Winter and Robert König for helpful discussions. Z. B. K. was supported by the DFG cluster of excellence 2111 (Munich Center for Quantum Science and Technology). K. K. was supported by a Mike and Ophelia Lazaridis Fellowship, and K. K and D. L are supported by an NSERC discovery grant.

-
- [1] B. Schumacher, Phys. Rev. A **51**, 2738 (1995), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.51.2738>.
 - [2] M. Horodecki, Phys. Rev. A **57**, 3364 (1998), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.57.3364>.
 - [3] M. Horodecki, Phys. Rev. A **61**, 052309 (2000), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.61.052309>.
 - [4] M. Koashi and N. Imoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 017902 (2001), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.017902>.
 - [5] M. Koashi and N. Imoto, Phys. Rev. A **66**, 022318 (2002), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.66.022318>.
 - [6] Z. B. Khanian, PhD thesis, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Department of Physics, Spain (2020), arXiv:quant-ph/2012.14143.
 - [7] Z. B. Khanian and A. Winter, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **68**, 3130 (2022), URL <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1912.08506>.
 - [8] Z. B. Khanian and A. Winter, in *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Inf. Theory (ISIT)* (Los Angeles, CA, USA, 2020), pp. 1852–1857.
 - [9] H. Barnum, Phys. Rev. A **62**, 042309 (2000), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.62.042309>.
 - [10] R. Jozsa and B. Schumacher, J. Mod. Opt. **41**, 2343 (1994), URL <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500349414552191>.
 - [11] A. Winter, *Coding theorems of quantum information theory* (1999), URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/9907077>.
 - [12] Z. B. Khanian, *Strong converse bounds for compression of mixed states* (2022), URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.09415>.
 - [13] C. E. Shannon et al., IRE Nat. Conv. Rec **4**, 1 (1959).

- [14] T. Berger, *Rate Distortion Theory and Data Compression* (Springer Vienna, Vienna, 1975), pp. 1–39, ISBN 978-3-7091-2928-9, URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7091-2928-9_1.
- [15] H. Barnum, C. A. Fuchs, R. Jozsa, and B. Schumacher, Phys. Rev. A **54**, 4707 (1996), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.54.4707>.
- [16] H.-K. Lo, Opt. Commun. **119**, 552 (1995), ISSN 0030-4018, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/003040189500406X>.
- [17] H. Barnum, C. M. Caves, C. A. Fuchs, R. Jozsa, and B. Schumacher, J. Phys. A **34**, 6767 (2001), URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/34/35/304>.
- [18] W. Dür, G. Vidal, and J. I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. A **64**, 022308 (2001), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.64.022308>.
- [19] G. Kramer and S. A. Savari, *Quantum data compression of ensembles of mixed states with commuting density operators* (2001), quant-ph/0101119.
- [20] C. Bennett, P. Shor, J. Smolin, and A. Thapliyal, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory **48**, 2637 (2002).
- [21] A. Winter, *Compression of sources of probability distributions and density operators* (2002), URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0208131>.
- [22] M. Hayashi, Phys. Rev. A **73**, 060301 (2006), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.73.060301>.
- [23] C. H. Bennett, D. P. DiVincenzo, P. W. Shor, J. A. Smolin, B. M. Terhal, and W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 077902 (2001), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.077902>.
- [24] C. Bennett, P. Hayden, D. Leung, P. Shor, and A. Winter, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **51**, 56 (2005).
- [25] A. Abeyesinghe, P. Hayden, G. Smith, and A. Winter, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **52**, 3635 (2006).
- [26] R. Jain, J. Radhakrishnan, and P. Sen, in *The 43rd Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, 2002. Proceedings.* (2002), pp. 429–438.
- [27] C. H. Bennett, I. Devetak, A. W. Harrow, P. W. Shor, and A. Winter, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **60**, 2926 (2014).
- [28] I. Devetak and T. Berger, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory **48**, 1580 (2002).
- [29] N. Datta, M.-H. Hsieh, and M. M. Wilde, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory **59**, 615 (2013).
- [30] M. M. Wilde, N. Datta, M.-H. Hsieh, and A. Winter, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory **59**, 6755 (2013).
- [31] Z. B. Khanian and A. Winter, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **66**, 5620 (2020), arXiv[quant-ph]:1811.09177.
- [32] Z. B. Khanian and A. Winter, *A rate-distortion perspective on quantum state redistribution* (2021), URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.11952>.
- [33] Z. B. Khanian and A. Winter, in *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Inf. Theory (ISIT)* (Los Angeles, CA, USA, 2020), pp. 1858–1863.
- [34] Z. B. Khanian and A. Winter, in *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Inf. Theory (ISIT)* (Paris, France, 2019), pp. 1152–1156.
- [35] Z. B. Khanian and A. Winter, in *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Inf. Theory (ISIT)* (Paris, France, 2019), pp. 1147–1151.
- [36] A. Anshu, D. Leung, and D. Touchette, *Incompressibility of classical distributions* (2019), 1911.09126.
- [37] K. Kuroiwa and D. Leung, *Rate reduction of blind quantum data compression with local approximations based on unstable structure of quantum states* (2022), URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.03501>.
- [38] W. F. Stinespring, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **6**, 211–216 (1955).
- [39] M. Fannes, Commun. Math. Phys. **31**, 291–294 (1973).
- [40] B. M. Terhal, M. Horodecki, D. W. Leung, and D. P. DiVincenzo, Journal of Mathematical Physics **43**, 4286 (2002), URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1498001>.
- [41] I. Devetak and J. Yard, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 230501 (2008), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.230501>.
- [42] J. T. Yard and I. Devetak, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **55**, 5339 (2009).

Appendix A: Convexity of the Optimal trade-off of Entanglement-Assisted Rate-Distortion

Lemma 22. For $D_1, D_2 > 0$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, it holds that

$$R_{\text{ea}}(\lambda D_1 + (1 - \lambda)D_2) \leq \lambda R_{\text{ea}}(D_1) + (1 - \lambda)R_{\text{ea}}(D_2).$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{N}_1^{AJ \rightarrow B}$ and $\mathcal{N}_2^{AJ \rightarrow B}$ be quantum channels achieving

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\text{ea}}(D_1) &= \frac{1}{2}I(B : XX'R)_{\mathcal{N}_1(\psi)}, \\ R_{\text{ea}}(D_2) &= \frac{1}{2}I(B : XX'R)_{\mathcal{N}_2(\psi)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\text{ea}}(\lambda D_1 + (1 - \lambda)D_2) &\leq \frac{1}{2}I(B : XX'R)_{(\lambda \mathcal{N}_1 + (1 - \lambda)\mathcal{N}_2)(\psi)} \\ &\leq \lambda \frac{1}{2}I(B : XX'R)_{\mathcal{N}_1(\psi)} + (1 - \lambda) \frac{1}{2}I(B : XX'R)_{\mathcal{N}_2(\psi)} \\ &= \lambda R_{\text{ea}}(D_1) + (1 - \lambda)R_{\text{ea}}(D_2), \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows from Lemma 7. ■