

AN ALTERNATIVE CONSTRUCTION OF THE RUMIN COMPLEX ON HOMOGENEOUS NILPOTENT LIE GROUPS

VÉRONIQUE FISCHER AND FRANCESCA TRIPALDI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the Rumin complex on homogeneous nilpotent Lie groups. We present an alternative construction to the classical one on Carnot groups using ideas from parabolic geometry. We also give the explicit computations for the Engel group with this approach.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
Acknowledgements	2
2. The left-invariant cohomology of a Lie group G	2
2.1. The Chevalley-Eilenberg differential and co-differential	3
2.2. The subspaces E_0 and F_0	5
2.3. Rumin's construction of pr_{E_0}	6
2.4. The alternative construction of pr_{E_0} with \square_0	7
2.5. Natural extensions to $\Omega^\bullet G$	8
3. The Rumin complex on homogeneous Lie groups	9
3.1. The homogeneous Lie group G and its Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}	9
3.2. Weights of forms	10
3.3. On increasing or preserving weights	11
3.4. Rumin's construction of d_c	12
4. An alternative construction of the Rumin complex	14
4.1. The operators \square , P and L	14
4.2. Decoupling of the complex chain $L^{-1}dL$	17
4.3. The two constructions coincide	19
5. The subcomplex (E_0^\bullet, D) on an isomorphic family of Engel groups	20
5.1. The Engel groups G_t and the canonical basis on the exterior algebra	20
5.2. The differentials d and d_0	21
5.3. The operator \square_0	21
5.4. The operators \square , P and L	23
5.5. The operators D and C	26
References	27

1. INTRODUCTION

What is now known as the Rumin complex was constructed by M. Rumin on contact manifolds in his PhD thesis [Rum90, Rum94] around 1990. The same name is also given to the complex he constructed on Carnot groups some years later [Rum99, Rum00a], as the two objects coincide in

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58J10, 53C17, 22E25.

Key words and phrases. Rumin complex on Carnot groups, cohomology on Lie groups, homogeneous nilpotent Lie groups, Engel group.

the case of Heisenberg groups. In this paper, we present an alternative approach to the Rumin complex on arbitrary Carnot groups.

Our setting is in fact slightly more general than Carnot groups. Using the vocabulary of G. Folland and E. Stein [FS82], we are considering homogeneous groups: these are the connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie groups with dilations (see Section 3.1). In our view, this is the most general setting where the concept of *homogeneous weights* coming from the group dilations can be applied to construct the Rumin complex - either the original construction on Carnot groups, or the one presented here on homogeneous Lie groups.

The ideas behind the alternative construction we are presenting here come from parabolic geometry, and in particular the Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand sequences, see [ČSS01, CD01] and the 2017 preprint [DH17]. They provide a robust and elegant approach that lends itself to future generalisations in more involved geometric contexts. We believe that they will allow for the construction of similar subcomplexes on equiregular sub-Riemannian manifolds and more generally to filtered manifolds [DH17, Tri20]. Eventually, the treatment of singularities in the sub-Riemannian setting might also be possible after further progress.

M. Rumin's original motivations came from investigating the possible definitions of curvature on contact manifolds starting from a partial connection [Pan93]. His construction quickly found applications in analysis on complex hyperbolic spaces [Rum94, Rum00b, ČHJ20], as well as spectral geometry with the construction of hypoelliptic Laplace-type operators on contact manifolds [RS12, Kit20, PT19, BFP20c, BFP20a, BFP20b]. It should be noted that, starting from a different initial question, the Rumin complex had already been developed independently. Indeed, the study of differential equations generalising Monge-Ampère equations on contact manifolds led to the construction of an equivalent subcomplex by Lychagin [Lyc79] in 1979 (and later expanded in [LR94]), which was viewed as a variant of the effective cohomology groups for contact manifolds [Bou90].

In the past decade, the Rumin complex has become an essential tool in geometric analysis on contact manifolds and on Carnot groups, for instance regarding the study of quasi-isometry invariants [Pan17, PR18], Lipschitz graphs [FS16], form-valued Partial Differential Equations [FT12, BFT15, BFP16, FMS19, BTT22], and more recently in developing a theory of sub-Riemannian currents on contact manifolds [Can21, Vit22]. It is therefore of great interest to develop a theory behind the Rumin complex on Carnot groups that can enable us to construct an analogous subcomplex for arbitrary sub-Riemannian manifolds: this is the purpose of this paper.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we recall the main definitions and properties of the left-invariant cohomology of an arbitrary Lie group G . It is only starting from Section 3 that we assume that G is in addition nilpotent and homogeneous; in this context we recall Rumin's construction of the subcomplex that bears his name. In Section 4, we present the main result of the paper: an alternative construction of the Rumin complex on a nilpotent homogeneous Lie group G . Finally, we carry out the explicit computations behind this alternative construction in the case of the Engel group, i.e. the four-dimensional filiform nilpotent Lie group.

Acknowledgements. V. Fischer acknowledges the support of The Leverhulme Trust via Research Project Grant 2020-037, *Quantum limits for sub-elliptic operators*.

F. Tripaldi is supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation Grant nr 200020-191978, *Analytic and geometric structures in singular spaces*.

2. THE LEFT-INVARIANT COHOMOLOGY OF A LIE GROUP G

In this section, we recall some well-known facts about the left-invariant cohomology of a given Lie group G , especially with regards to the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential d_0 and the Lie algebra cohomology of G . This is mainly an opportunity to set some notation and conventions for the rest of the paper.

2.1. The Chevalley-Eilenberg differential and co-differential.

2.1.1. *Generalities and notation.* Let us start with some generalities on the space $\Omega^k M$ of smooth k -forms on a smooth manifold M . We will write $\Omega^\bullet M = \bigoplus_k \Omega^k M$ and denote by $d^{(k)} : \Omega^k M \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1} M$ the action of the exterior derivative d on forms of degree k . For $k = 0$, $\Omega^0 M$ is the space $C^\infty(M)$ of smooth functions on M , while for $k > 0$ the space $\Omega^k M$ is a module over $\Omega^0 M = C^\infty(M)$. For $k > 0$, we have the following explicit formula for the action of the exterior derivative d on an arbitrary smooth k -form $\omega \in \Omega^k M$:

$$(2.1) \quad d^{(k)}\omega(V_0, \dots, V_k) = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i V_i \left(\omega(V_0, \dots, \hat{V}_i, \dots, V_k) \right) \\ + \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq k} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([V_i, V_j], V_0, \dots, \hat{V}_i, \dots, \hat{V}_j, \dots, V_k),$$

where $V_0, \dots, V_k \in \Gamma(TM)$ are vector fields on M , the bracket denotes the commutator bracket, and the hat an omission.

Throughout the rest of the paper, we consider the case where the smooth manifold M is a non-trivial Lie group $(G, *)$. Note that nothing else will be assumed on G throughout the present section.

It is well-known that the exterior derivative d commutes with the pullback of an arbitrary smooth function $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. In particular, this is true for left-translations of the group $L_g: G \rightarrow G$ with $L_g(x) = g * x$, for a fixed $g \in G$. Therefore, we have that for any $g \in G$ and any smooth form $\omega \in \Omega^\bullet G$, $L_g^\# \omega = dL_g^\# \omega$, and so d may be viewed as a vector-valued left-invariant differential operator of degree 1.

2.1.2. *Left-invariant forms.* A k -form $\omega \in \Omega^k G$ is left-invariant if it is invariant under the pullback induced by the left-translations of the group, i.e. $L_g^\# \omega = \omega$ for any $g \in G$. We denote the subspace of left-invariant k -forms by

$$\Omega_L^k G = \{ \omega \in \Omega^k G \mid L_g^\# \omega = \omega, \forall g \in G \} \subset \Omega^k G.$$

It is easy to check that $\Omega_L^0 G = \mathbb{R}$ and $d(\Omega_L^\bullet G) \subset \Omega_L^\bullet G$, since the pullback is compatible with the exterior derivative.

Recall that the subspace $\Gamma_L(TG) \subset \Gamma(TG)$ of left-invariant vector fields of G is naturally isomorphic to its Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , and so by duality the subspace of left-invariant 1-forms is naturally identified with $\mathfrak{g}^* = \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$. More generally, $\Omega_L^k G$ is naturally identified with $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$, for $k = 0, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{g}$.

2.1.3. *The Chevalley-Eilenberg differential d_0 .* As $d^{(k)}$ maps $\Omega_L^k G$ to $\Omega_L^{k+1} G$, and we identify each $\Omega_L^k G$ with $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$, we obtain a map $d_0^{(k)} : \text{Hom}(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\bigwedge^{k+1} \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$. Such a map d_0 is obtained by simply restricting d to $\Omega_L^\bullet G \cong \text{Hom}(\bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$, and it is commonly known as the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential.

The following properties for Chevalley-Eilenberg differential d_0 readily follow from the properties of the exterior differential d :

1. d_0 decomposes into linear maps

$$d_0 = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} d_0^{(k)} \quad \text{with } d_0^{(k)} : \text{Hom}(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\bigwedge^{k+1} \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}),$$

with $d_0^{(k)} = 0$ for $k \leq 0$ and $k \geq \dim \mathfrak{g}$;

2. for $0 < k < \dim \mathfrak{g}$, the explicit formula for each $d_0^{(k)}$ is given by

$$(2.2) \quad d_0^{(k)} \omega (V_0, \dots, V_k) = \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq k} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([V_i, V_j], V_0, \dots, \hat{V}_i, \dots, \hat{V}_j, \dots, V_k)$$

for any $\omega \in \text{Hom}(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$, and $V_0, \dots, V_k \in \mathfrak{g}$;

3. $(\Omega_L^\bullet G, d_0)$ is a co-chain complex, i.e. $d_0^2 = 0$ on $\Omega_L^\bullet G \cong \text{Hom}(\bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$;

4. d_0 obeys the Leibniz rule, i.e. for $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$ and $\beta \in \text{Hom}(\bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$, we have

$$d_0(\alpha \wedge \beta) = (d_0 \alpha) \wedge \beta + (-1)^k \alpha \wedge (d_0 \beta).$$

Let us stress that the formula of d_0 presented in (2.2) is a direct consequence of applying the explicit formulation of the exterior derivative in (2.1) to left-invariant objects.

2.1.4. *Scalar product on $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$.* We fix a basis $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ of \mathfrak{g} (here we denote $n = \dim \mathfrak{g}$). This yields a natural scalar product on $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$, which extends to $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$ by imposing

$$\langle \alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_k, \beta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \beta_k \rangle_{\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})} := \det (\langle \alpha_i, \beta_j \rangle_{\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})})_{1 \leq i, j \leq k},$$

and eventually to

$$\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}) = \bigoplus_k \text{Hom}(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}), \quad \text{with } \text{Hom}(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}) = 0 \text{ for } k > n \text{ or } k < 0.$$

We may drop the indices of the scalar products $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$ when the context is clear. Given a subspace S of $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$, we will denote the orthogonal projection onto S by pr_S .

Given $\{\theta^1, \dots, \theta^n\} \subset \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$ the dual basis of $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$, i.e. $\theta^i(X_j) = \delta_{ij}$, then we set

$$\theta^\emptyset := 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{vol} := \theta^{(1, \dots, n)} := \theta^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \theta^n,$$

so that $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^0 \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}1 = \mathbb{R}\theta^\emptyset$ and $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^n \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}\text{vol}$.

It is easy to check that $\theta^{(i, j)} := \theta^i \wedge \theta^j$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ form a basis of $\bigwedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* = \text{Hom}(\bigwedge^2 \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$, and more generally, the k -forms

$$(2.3) \quad \theta^I = \theta^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta^{i_k}, \quad \text{with increasing indices } I = (i_1, \dots, i_k), \quad 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n,$$

form an orthonormal basis of $\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}^* = \text{Hom}(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$ for any $k = 1, \dots, n$.

2.1.5. *The Chevalley-Eilenberg co-differential d_0^t .* The Chevalley-Eilenberg co-differential is the transpose of d_0 for the scalar product on $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$ defined above, and we will denote it by d_0^t . In other words, d_0^t is the linear map acting on $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\langle d_0 \alpha, \beta \rangle_{\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})} = \langle \alpha, d_0^t \beta \rangle_{\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})}, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \text{Hom}(\bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}).$$

The properties of d_0 imply that its transpose decomposes as

$$d_0^t = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} d_0^{(k, t)}, \quad d_0^{(k, t)} : \text{Hom}(\bigwedge^{k+1} \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}),$$

and $d_0^{(k, t)} = 0$ for $k \leq 0$ and $k \geq n$. Moreover, $(d_0^t)^2 = 0$.

2.1.6. *The Hodge star operator on $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$.* The Hodge star operator is the map acting on $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$ via

$$\star : \text{Hom}\left(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}\left(\bigwedge^{n-k} \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}\right), \quad \alpha \wedge \star\beta := \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \text{vol} \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \text{Hom}\left(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}\right).$$

From the definition it easily follows that

$$\star 1 = \text{vol}, \quad \star \text{vol} = 1, \quad \alpha \wedge \star\beta = \beta \wedge \star\alpha,$$

and

$$\star\theta^i = (-1)^{i+1} \theta^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{\theta^i} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta^n.$$

More generally, the Hodge star operator on θ^I with increasing indices $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ is given by

$$\star(\theta^I) = (-1)^{\sigma(I)} \theta^{\bar{I}}$$

where $\bar{I} = (\bar{i}_1, \dots, \bar{i}_{n-k})$ with $\bar{i}_1 < \bar{i}_2 < \dots < \bar{i}_{n-k}$ complements I , and $(-1)^{\sigma(I)}$ is the sign of the permutation $\sigma(I) = i_1 \dots i_k \bar{i}_1 \dots \bar{i}_{n-k}$.

This characterises the Hodge star operator on a given basis of $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$, and hence by linearity on the whole $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$.

Moreover, the previous properties imply readily that for any $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Hom}(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\star\star\beta = (-1)^{k(n-k)}\beta \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \star\alpha, \star\beta \rangle = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle,$$

and that the transpose d_0^t of d_0 satisfies

$$d_0^{(k,t)} = (-1)^{kn+1} \star d_0^{(n-k-1)} \star.$$

2.2. **The subspaces E_0 and F_0 .** For each $k = 0, \dots, n$, let us consider the subspace of $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$F_0^k := \text{Im } d_0^{(k-1)} + \text{Im } d_0^{(k,t)} \subset \text{Hom}\left(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}\right),$$

where the maps

$$d_0^{(k-1)} : \text{Hom}\left(\bigwedge^{k-1} \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}\right) \rightarrow \text{Hom}\left(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad d_0^{(k,t)} : \text{Hom}\left(\bigwedge^{k+1} \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}\right) \rightarrow \text{Hom}\left(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}\right)$$

were the maps defined in Subsection 2.1.3 and 2.1.5.

Lemma 2.1. *The complex (F_0^\bullet, d_0) is acyclic, i.e. $d_0(F_0^\bullet) \subset F_0^\bullet$ and the resulting cohomology is trivial.*

Proof. Given that $(\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}), d_0)$ is a complex, i.e. $d_0^2 = 0$, and applying the definition of d_0^t , we obtain

$$d_0^{(k)}(F_0^k) = d_0^{(k)}(\text{Im } d_0^{(k,t)}) = d_0^{(k)}(\ker d_0^{(k)})^\perp = \text{Im } d_0^{(k)} \subset F_0^{k+1}.$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} F_0^k \cap \ker d_0^{(k)} &= \text{Im } d_0^{(k-1)} \cap \ker d_0^{(k)} + \text{Im } d_0^{(k,t)} \cap \ker d_0^{(k)} \\ &= \text{Im } d_0^{(k-1)} + (\ker d_0^{(k)})^\perp \cap \ker d_0^{(k)} = \text{Im } d_0^{(k-1)} \quad \text{and} \\ F_0^k \cap \text{Im } d_0^{(k-1)} &= \text{Im } d_0^{(k-1)} + (\ker d_0^{(k)})^\perp \cap \text{Im } d_0^{(k-1)} = \text{Im } d_0^{(k-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$H^k(F_0, d_0) = \frac{\ker d_0^{(k)} : F_0^k \rightarrow F_0^{k+1}}{\text{Im } d_0^{(k-1)} : F_0^{k-1} \rightarrow F_0^k} = \{0\}, \quad k = 0, \dots, n.$$

□

Convention for cohomology spaces. In the proof above, we have used the following notation that we will also apply throughout this paper when computing the cohomology of complexes with various exterior differentials. The k^{th} -cohomology space of a given complex $(\mathcal{C}^\bullet, d_{\mathcal{C}})$ is denoted by:

$$H^k(\mathcal{C}, d_{\mathcal{C}}) := \frac{\ker d_{\mathcal{C}}: \mathcal{C}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{k+1}}{\text{Im } d_{\mathcal{C}}: \mathcal{C}^{k-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^k}.$$

Given Lemma 2.1, it makes sense to focus our attention on the orthogonal complement of F_0^k in $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$:

$$E_0^k := (F_0^k)^\perp = (\text{Im } d_0^{(k-1)})^\perp \cap (\text{Im } d_0^{(k,t)})^\perp = \ker d_0^{(k-1,t)} \cap \ker d_0^{(k)} \subset \text{Hom}(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}).$$

In order to simplify the notation, for the remainder of the paper we will drop the index k , unless we want to highlight the degree of the forms the given operator is acting on.

The main result of this section is the following.

Proposition 2.2. *The orthogonal projection pr_{E_0} onto $E_0 \subset \text{Hom}(\bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$ may be constructed in the two following equivalent ways:*

Rumin's construction: *as above, the partial inverse d_0^{-1} of d_0 is defined as $d_0^{-1} := (d_0)^{-1} \text{pr}_{\text{Im } d_0}$; the operator $r_0 := \text{I} - d_0^{-1} d_0 - d_0 d_0^{-1}$ coincides with pr_{E_0} and satisfies*

$$(2.4) \quad d_0^{-1} r_0 = r_0 d_0^{-1} = 0 \quad , \quad d_0 r_0 = r_0 d_0 = 0.$$

Construction with \square_0 : *The operator $\square_0 := d_0 d_0^t + d_0^t d_0$ is symmetric on $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, the spectral projector Π_0 onto the 0-eigenspace of \square_0 coincides with pr_{E_0} and satisfies*

$$(2.5) \quad d_0^t \Pi_0 = \Pi_0 d_0^t = 0 \quad , \quad d_0 \Pi_0 = \Pi_0 d_0 = 0.$$

In both constructions, we obtain that this projection $\text{pr}_{E_0} = \Pi_0 = r_0$ commutes with the Hodge star operator and preserves the degree of forms:

$$\text{pr}_{E_0^{n-k}} \star = \star \text{pr}_{E_0^k} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{pr}_{E_0^k}(\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})) \subset \text{Hom}(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}).$$

The next two sections are devoted to the proof of Proposition 2.2: we first sketch out the well known construction by Rumin in Section 2.3, and then give the alternative construction with \square_0 in Section 2.4.

2.3. Rumin's construction of pr_{E_0} .

2.3.1. *The partial inverse d_0^{-1} .* The map d_0 is a bijection from $(\ker d_0)^\perp = \text{Im } d_0^t$ onto $\text{Im } d_0$. Following Rumin's construction, it is customary to use the shorthand d_0^{-1} for the linear map given by $(d_0)^{-1} \text{pr}_{\text{Im } d_0}$, so that

$$d_0^{-1} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} d_0^{(k,-1)}, \quad d_0^{(k,-1)} := (d_0^{(k)})^{-1} \text{pr}_{\text{Im } d_0^{(k)}} : \text{Hom}(\bigwedge^{k+1} \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$$

with $d_0^{(k,-1)} = 0$ when $k \leq 0$ or $k \geq n$, and for $0 < k < n$ we have $\text{Im } d_0^{(k,-1)} \subset (\ker d_0^{(k)})^\perp$.

By construction, one can check that

- i. $\ker d_0^{-1} = \ker d_0^t = (\text{Im } d_0)^\perp$;
- ii. $d_0^{-1} d_0 = \text{pr}_{\text{Im } d_0^t}$;
- iii. $d_0 d_0^{-1} = \text{pr}_{\text{Im } d_0}$;
- iv. $(d_0^{-1})^2 = 0$.

2.3.2. *Matrix representations of the maps d_0 , d_0^t , and d_0^{-1} .* It can be useful to have explicit matrix representations $\text{Mat}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ of the linear maps d_0 , d_0^t and d_0^{-1} , once some particular orthonormal bases \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{R} for the domain and range spaces respectively have been chosen.

In fact, if we take e and f to be orthonormal bases of $\ker d_0^{(k)}$ and $(\ker d_0^{(k)})^\perp = \text{Im } d_0^{(k,t)}$ respectively, while h and g are orthonormal bases of $\text{Im } d_0^{(k)}$ and $(\text{Im } d_0^{(k)})^\perp = \ker d_0^{(k,t)}$ respectively, then $\mathcal{D} = (e, f)$ is an orthonormal basis of $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{R} = (h, g)$ is an orthonormal basis of $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^{k+1} \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, for this choice of bases, we obtain a particularly insightful matrix representation of the linear maps $d_0^{(k)}$, $d_0^{(k,t)}$ and $d_0^{(k,-1)}$. Indeed,

$$\text{Mat}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{R}}(d_0^{(k)}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix},$$

where A is an invertible square matrix, and

$$\text{Mat}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathcal{D}}(d_0^{(k,-1)}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{Mat}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathcal{D}}(d_0^{(k,t)}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A^t \end{pmatrix}.$$

2.3.3. *Properties of the operator r_0 .* By construction, the operator

$$r_0^{(k)} := \text{I} - d_0^{(k,-1)} d_0^{(k)} - d_0^{(k-1)} d_0^{(k-1,-1)}$$

preserves the degrees of forms. Moreover, by applying the properties of d_0 and d_0^{-1} and carrying out the computations, it is easy to check that $r_0^2 = r_0$ and (2.4) hold. Hence, the map r_0 is a projection onto

$$\text{Im } r_0 = \ker d_0 \cap \ker d_0^{-1} = \ker d_0 \cap \ker d_0^t = E_0,$$

along

$$\ker r_0 = \text{Im } d_0^{-1} + \text{Im } d_0 = \text{Im } d_0^t + \text{Im } d_0 = F_0.$$

In other words, $r_0 = \text{pr}_{E_0}$.

Finally, these descriptions together with the fact that $d_0^{(k,t)} = (-1)^{kn+1} \star d_0^{(n-k-1)} \star$ imply that the operator r_0 commutes with the Hodge star operator \star . This concludes the sketch of the proof of (2.4) behind Rumin's construction in Proposition 2.2.

2.4. **The alternative construction of pr_{E_0} with \square_0 .** Consider the box operator associated with d_0 :

$$\square_0 := d_0 d_0^t + d_0^t d_0.$$

This is an operator acting on $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$ that respects the degrees of forms:

$$\square_0 = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \square_0^{(k)}, \quad \text{with } \square_0^{(k)} := d_0^{(k-1)} d_0^{(k-1,t)} + d_0^{(k,t)} d_0^{(k)} : \text{Hom}(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}).$$

The expression of $\square_0^{(k)}$ for certain choices of k can be explicitly computed:

$$\square_0^{(0)} = d_0^{(0,t)} d_0^{(0)} = 0, \quad \square_0^{(1)} = d_0^{(1,t)} d_0^{(1)}, \quad \square_0^{(n)} = d_0^{(n-1)} d_0^{(n-1,t)},$$

and $\square_0^{(k)} = 0$ for $k < 0$ and $k > n$.

This operator \square_0 is symmetric, given that for any $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Hom}(\bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$ we have

$$\langle \square_0 \alpha, \beta \rangle = \langle \alpha, \square_0 \beta \rangle.$$

Moreover, its kernel is

$$\ker \square_0 = \ker d_0 \cap \ker d_0^t,$$

since clearly $\ker \square_0 \supset \ker d_0 \cap \ker d_0^t$, while conversely for any $\alpha \in \ker \square_0$

$$0 = \langle \square_0 \alpha, \alpha \rangle = \langle d_0 d_0^t + d_0^t d_0 \alpha, \alpha \rangle = |d_0 \alpha|^2 + |d_0^t \alpha|^2,$$

and therefore $\alpha \in \ker d_0 \cap \ker d_0^t$.

Note that this final computation also shows that \square_0 is non-negative, but we will not need this remark in this paper.

For the sake of clarity, we include the following lemma, which states the spectral theorem for finite-dimensional symmetric map and some corollaries with the Cauchy integral formula.

Lemma 2.3. *Let M be a symmetric linear map on a Euclidean space (i.e. a finite dimensional real vector space equipped with a scalar product).*

1. *The map M is diagonalisable in an orthonormal basis. In other words, $M = \sum_{\lambda} \lambda \Pi_{\lambda}$, where Π_{λ} is the orthogonal projection onto the λ -eigenspace $\ker(M - \lambda)$. The sum is taken over the spectrum $\text{sp}(M)$ of M , that is, the finite set of eigenvalues of M .*
2. *Each spectral projection Π_{λ} may be recovered from the resolvent $(z - M)^{-1}$, for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{sp}(M)$, using the Cauchy integral:*

$$\Pi_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} (z - M)^{-1} dz,$$

where γ can be taken as a circle around λ with radius small enough (more generally, γ is a closed curve lying in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \text{sp}(M)$ with winding number 1 about λ and 0 around all the other eigenvalues).

Since each \square_0 is a symmetric operator on $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$, point 1. Lemma 2.3 yields the following spectral decomposition

$$\square_0 = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{sp}(\square_0)} \lambda \Pi_{\lambda},$$

where Π_{λ} denotes the orthogonal projection onto the eigenspace for each eigenvalue λ .

For $\lambda = 0$, Π_0 is the orthogonal projection onto $\ker \square_0 = \ker d_0 \cap \ker d_0^t = E_0$, and therefore it coincides with pr_{E_0} . In particular, $d_0 \Pi_0 = d_0^t \Pi_0 = 0$.

Since $d_0^2 = (d_0^t)^2 = 0$, we readily have that both d_0 and d_0^t commute with \square_0 and therefore also with Π_0 by point 2. of Lemma 2.3. Hence (2.5) holds.

Since \square_0 respects the degrees of forms, so do its orthogonal projections again by point 2. of Lemma 2.3:

$$\Pi_{\lambda} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \Pi_{\lambda}^{(k)}, \quad \text{with } \Pi_{\lambda}^{(k)} : \text{Hom}(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}).$$

Finally, the properties of the Hodge star product on $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$ imply that

$$\star \square_0^{(k)} \star = (-1)^{k(n-k)} \square_0^{(n-k)},$$

and again by point 2. of Lemma 2.3, we obtain $\star \Pi_{\lambda}^{(k)} \star = \Pi_{\mu}^{(n-k)}$ with $\lambda = (-1)^{k(n-k)} \mu$, λ and μ being eigenvalues of \square_0 . In particular, Π_0 commutes with \star . This concludes the proof of Proposition 2.2.

2.5. Natural extensions to $\Omega^{\bullet}G$. Since $\Omega^{\bullet}G$ is a $C^{\infty}(G)$ -module generated by $\Omega_L^{\bullet}G$, many objects extend naturally from $\Omega_L^{\bullet}G \cong \text{Hom}(\bigwedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$ to $\Omega^{\bullet}G$, and we will mostly keep the same notation for their extensions.

This is the case for the natural extension of the Hodge star operator

$$\star : \Omega^k G \xrightarrow{\cong} \Omega^{n-k} G.$$

Also for the elements of $\Omega^{\bullet}G$ we will still use the same notation we used for the k -covectors $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$, which can be viewed as left invariant forms in $\Omega^{\bullet}G$. For instance, $\theta^1, \dots, \theta^n$ are

smooth 1-forms, $\text{vol} = \theta^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \theta^n$ is a smooth n -form, and more in general θ^I given in (2.3) will be a basis for $\Omega^k G$.

The scalar product on $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}) \cong \Omega_L^\bullet G$ extends naturally to the space of smooth forms $\Omega^\bullet G$. In particular, when considering the subspace $\Omega_c^\bullet G$ of forms in $\Omega^\bullet G$, one can introduce a scalar product, the so-called L^2 -inner product on forms, defined as

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{L^2(\Omega^\bullet G)} := \int_G \alpha \wedge \star \beta, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \Omega_c^\bullet G.$$

Using this scalar product on $\Omega_c^\bullet G$, one can define the formal transpose d^t of d , often called the co-differential. More precisely, d^t is the linear map on $\Omega^\bullet G$ characterised by

$$\langle d\alpha, \beta \rangle_{L^2(\Omega^\bullet G)} = \langle \alpha, d^t \beta \rangle_{L^2(\Omega^\bullet G)}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \Omega_c^\bullet G.$$

Routine checks show that d^t is a differential operator satisfying

$$d^t = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d^{(k,t)}, \quad d^{(k,t)} : \Omega^{k+1} G \rightarrow \Omega^k G, \quad \text{with} \quad d^{(k,t)} = (-1)^{kn+1} \star d^{(n-k-1)} \star.$$

The operators $d_0, d_0^t, d_0^{-1}, \square_0, \text{pr}_S$ for any subspace $S \subset \text{Hom}(\bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$ and in particular $\text{pr}_{E_0} = \Pi_0$, extend naturally into algebraic linear operators on $\Omega^\bullet G$, and we will keep the same notation for these extensions as well as for the subspaces

$$E_0 := \text{Im } \Pi_0 = \ker d_0 \cap \ker d_0^t \subset \Omega^\bullet G \quad \text{and} \quad F_0 := \ker \Pi_0 = \text{Im } d_0 + \text{Im } d_0^t \subset \Omega^\bullet G.$$

Just like before, the algebraic operators d_0, d_0^t , and d_0^{-1} satisfy

$$d_0^2 = 0, \quad (d_0^{-1})^2 = 0, \quad (d_0^t)^2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad d_0^{(k,t)} = (-1)^{kn+1} \star d_0^{(n-k-1)} \star,$$

and

$$\langle d_0 \alpha, \beta \rangle_{L^2(\Omega^\bullet G)} = \langle \alpha, d_0^t \beta \rangle_{L^2(\Omega^\bullet G)}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \Omega_c^\bullet G.$$

The operators \square_0 and Π_0 respect the degrees of forms. The operator Π_0 is the projection onto $E_0 \subset \Omega^\bullet G$ along $F_0 \subset \Omega^\bullet G$, and satisfies $\Pi_0^2 = \Pi_0^t = \Pi_0$ and $\star \Pi_0 = \Pi_0 \star$. Here, Π_0^t is the linear map on $\Omega^\bullet G$ characterised by

$$\langle \Pi_0 \alpha, \beta \rangle_{L^2(\Omega^\bullet G)} = \langle \alpha, \Pi_0^t \beta \rangle_{L^2(\Omega^\bullet G)}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \Omega_c^\bullet G.$$

3. THE RUMIN COMPLEX ON HOMOGENEOUS LIE GROUPS

In this section, we assume that the Lie group G is homogeneous in the sense of G. Folland and E. Stein [FS82]. After explaining this notion in more detail in Section 3.1, we will recall Rumin's construction of the complex (E_0^\bullet, d_c) in this setting and eventually give an alternative but equivalent construction.

3.1. The homogeneous Lie group G and its Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Let G be a homogeneous Lie group, i.e. G is a connected simply connected Lie group whose real finite dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} admits a family of dilations $\delta_r, r > 0$. This means that the δ_r 's are morphisms of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of the form $\delta_r = \text{Exp}(A \ln r)$ where A is a diagonalisable operator on \mathfrak{g} with positive eigenvalues, and Exp is the exponential on the space of morphisms of the vector space \mathfrak{g} .

3.1.1. *The weights in \mathfrak{g} .* Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a basis of eigenvectors of A . In this basis, A is represented by the diagonal matrix $\text{Mat}(A) = \text{diag}(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ with positive eigenvalues $v_j > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. Hence, δ_r takes the matrix form $\text{Mat}(\delta_r) = \text{diag}(r^{v_1}, \dots, r^{v_n})$. The eigenvalues v_1, \dots, v_n , are called the weights of the dilations, and we denote the set of weights by

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}) := \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}.$$

We may assume that the eigenvalues are increasingly ordered:

$$0 < v_1 \leq \dots \leq v_n.$$

Let $w_1, \dots, w_s \in \mathbb{R}$ denote the weights listed without multiplicity:

$$\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\} = \{w_1, \dots, w_s\}, \quad \text{with } 0 < w_1 < \dots < w_s.$$

For each weight w_j , we denote by \mathfrak{g}_{w_j} the w_j -eigenspace for A . The Lie algebra decomposes into a direct sum

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^s \mathfrak{g}_{w_j} \quad \text{satisfying} \quad [\mathfrak{g}_{w_i}, \mathfrak{g}_{w_j}] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{w_i+w_j}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq s.$$

As a direct consequence, it follows that \mathfrak{g} is nilpotent.

Moreover, the Lie group G being nilpotent, connected, and simply connected, implies that its exponential mapping $\exp : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$ is a bijection, and furthermore a global diffeomorphism. This allows us to extend the dilations to the group. Keeping the same notation for the dilations on the group, they are the maps δ_r , with $r > 0$, on G characterised by $\delta_r \exp V = \exp \delta_r V$, $V \in \mathfrak{g}$.

3.1.2. *Carnot, stratified and graded groups as homogeneous groups.* Let us recall some particular cases of homogeneous groups often considered in other publications on the Rumin complex, potentially with a different vocabulary from G. Folland and E. Stein [FS82].

When the weights of the dilations may be assumed to be integers [FR16, Section 3.1], the group is said to be *graded*. If in addition \mathfrak{g}_{w_1} with $w_1 = 1$ generates the whole Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the Lie group G is called *stratified*; it is also known as *Carnot* when a scalar product has been fixed on \mathfrak{g}_1 (this can always be assumed). The main example of Carnot groups, especially in relation to the Rumin complex, is the Heisenberg group, see e.g. [BFP20c, FMS19, FS16, FT12].

Schematically, we have the following implications

$$\text{stratified} \implies \text{graded} \implies \text{homogeneous} \implies \text{nilpotent}.$$

However, none of them can be reversed [FR16, Section 3.1]: not all (connected simply connected) nilpotent Lie groups can be equipped with a homogeneous structure, there are homogeneous groups that do not admit any gradation, and finally it is not always possible to find a stratification on every graded group.

3.2. **Weights of forms.** The dilations δ_r , $r > 0$, extend naturally to $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}) \cong \Omega_L^k G$ and then to $\Omega^k G$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ via

$$(\delta_r \theta)(x; V_1, \dots, V_k) = \theta(x; \delta_r V_1, \dots, \delta_r V_k), \quad \theta \in \Omega^k G, \quad x \in G, \quad V_1, \dots, V_k \in \Gamma(TG)|_x \cong \mathfrak{g}.$$

We keep the same notation δ_r for these extensions. Routine checks show that they respect the wedge product:

$$(3.2) \quad \forall \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \Omega^\bullet G \quad \delta_r(\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2) = (\delta_r \alpha_1) \wedge (\delta_r \alpha_2).$$

Definition 3.1. We say that a form $\theta \in \Omega^\bullet G$ is *homogeneous* when there exists $w \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\delta_r \theta = r^w \theta$ for all $r > 0$. The number w is then called the *weight* of θ .

Example 3.2. The 0-form $\theta^0 = 1$ is homogeneous with weight 0.

Example 3.3. For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, the left-invariant 1-form $\theta^i \in \Omega^1 G$ given via $X_i^* \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is homogeneous, and its weight is v_i , the weight of X_i (see (3.1)). Indeed, we obtain the formula

$$(\delta_r \theta_i) = r^{v_i} \theta_i, \quad r > 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

from the following computation

$$(\delta_r \theta_i)(X_j) = \theta_i(\delta_r X_j) = r^{v_j} \theta_i(X_j) = \begin{cases} r^{v_i} & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Since the dilations respect the wedge product (see (3.2)), we check readily the following property.

Lemma 3.4. *If the forms $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \Omega^\bullet G$ are homogeneous with weights w_1 and w_2 respectively, then $\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \in \Omega^\bullet G$ is homogeneous with weight $w_1 + w_2$.*

We can then generalise Example 3.3.

Example 3.5. For any $k = 1, \dots, n$, the k -form θ^I given in (2.3) is homogeneous with weight:

$$[I] := v_{i_1} + \dots + v_{i_k}.$$

In particular, the n -form vol is homogeneous, and its weight is $v_1 + \dots + v_n$, also known as the homogeneous dimension of the group G . This replace the topological dimension in the analysis on G by G . Folland and E. Stein [FS82].

We denote by \mathcal{W}^k the set of all the weights occurring in $\Omega^k G$:

$$\mathcal{W}^k := \{w \text{ is the weight of a form in } \Omega^k G\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Since $\Omega^0 G = \mathbb{R}1$, $\Omega^n G = \mathbb{R}\text{vol}$ and $\Omega^k G = \{0\}$ for $k > n$, we see:

$$\mathcal{W}^n = \{v_1 + \dots + v_n\}, \quad \text{while } \mathcal{W}^k = \{0\} \text{ for } k \leq 0 \text{ or } k > n.$$

By Example 3.5, we know that the forms θ^I 's are homogeneous. As they form the canonical basis of $\Omega^k G$, we obtain the following description in terms of their weights:

$$\mathcal{W}^k = \{[I] = v_{i_1} + \dots + v_{i_k} \mid I = (i_1, \dots, i_k), 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n\}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{W}^1 = \mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g})$ (see (3.1)), and every \mathcal{W}^k is a finite (nonempty) subset of $(0, \infty)$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$. Furthermore, the space of k -forms decomposes through the weights:

$$\Omega^k G = \bigoplus_{w \in \mathcal{W}^k} \Omega^{k,w} G, \quad k = 0, \dots, n,$$

where we have denoted by

$$\Omega^{k,w} G := \{\theta \in \Omega^k G \mid \delta_r \theta = r^w \theta, \forall r > 0\},$$

the space of k -forms of weight $w \in \mathcal{W}^k$.

3.3. On increasing or preserving weights. The formula for d_0 in (2.2) together with the dilations δ_r being automorphisms of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} readily imply that d_0 commutes with the dilations

$$d_0(\delta_r \theta) = \delta_r(d_0 \theta),$$

when applied to left-invariant forms $\theta \in \text{Hom}(\bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}) \cong \Omega_L^\bullet G$. The same formula also holds for any smooth form $\theta \in \Omega^\bullet G$ since d_0 is algebraic and the $C^\infty(G)$ -module $\Omega^\bullet G$ is generated by $\Omega_L^\bullet G$. As d_0 commutes with the dilations, it preserves the weights of the forms:

$$d_0(\Omega^{k,w} G) \subset \Omega^{k+1,w} G, \quad k = 0, \dots, n, \quad w \in \mathcal{W}^k.$$

By construction, this also implies that the maps \star , d_0^t and d_0^{-1} commute with the action of the dilations. Hence, they also preserve the weights of the forms.

Lemma 3.6. *The operator $d - d_0$ strictly increases the weight of a form:*

$$(d^{(k)} - d_0^{(k)})\Omega^{k,w}G \subset \bigoplus_{w' > w} \Omega^{k+1,w'}G, \quad k = 0, \dots, n.$$

Proof. By linearity, it is sufficient to consider forms $f\alpha \in \Omega^k G$ with $\alpha \in \Omega_L^{k,w}G$, $f \in C^\infty(G)$ and $k = 0, \dots, n$. By the Leibniz rule, we have

$$(d - d_0)(f\alpha) = d(f\alpha) - d_0(f\alpha) = (df) \wedge \alpha + fd\alpha - fd_0\alpha = (df) \wedge \alpha,$$

since the algebraic operator d_0 coincides with d on $\Omega_L^\bullet G$. We conclude with Lemma 3.4, given that $df \in \Omega^1 G$ and the set \mathcal{W}^1 of weights occurring in $\Omega^1 G$ is a finite subset of $(0, \infty)$. \square

Since $\Omega^\bullet G$ contains only a finite number of weights, an operator that strictly increases the weight of a form will necessarily be nilpotent. Let us formalise this remark in the following statement.

Lemma 3.7. *If T is a linear operator acting on $\Omega^\bullet G$ by strictly increasing the weights, i.e.*

$$T(\Omega^{\bullet,w}G) \subset \bigoplus_{w' > w} \Omega^{\bullet,w'}G, \quad \text{for any weight } w \in \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{W}^k,$$

then T is nilpotent. More precisely, there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ independent of T such that $T^{N_0} = 0$.

The integer N_0 from Lemma 3.7 will of course depend on the weights $\cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{W}^k$, and therefore on the Lie structure of \mathfrak{g} . We will keep the same notation for the integer $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ from Lemma 3.7 throughout the paper.

It should be noted that the nilpotency of an operator $T: \Omega^\bullet G \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet G$ that strictly increases the weights will prove useful in showing its invertibility via Neumann series, as pointed out by the following lemma.

Lemma 3.8. *Let A_0 be a linear algebraic operator acting on $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$ which naturally extends to $\Omega^\bullet G$, and let B be a linear differential operator acting on $\Omega^\bullet G$. We assume that A_0 preserves the weights while B strictly increases the weights.*

Then the operator $A := A_0 - B$ acting on $\Omega^\bullet G$ is invertible, and its inverse $A^{-1}: \Omega^\bullet G \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet G$ is a differential operator given by

$$(3.3) \quad A^{-1} = A_0^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N_0-1} (BA_0^{-1})^j = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{N_0-1} (A_0^{-1}B)^j \right) A_0^{-1},$$

with $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ as in Lemma 3.7.

Proof. We have $A = (I - BA_0^{-1})A_0$. The differential operator BA_0^{-1} acts on $\Omega^\bullet G$ and strictly increases the weights. By Lemma 3.7, $(BA_0^{-1})^{N_0} = 0$. Moreover $I - BA_0^{-1}$ is invertible, and its inverse is given by $\sum_{j=0}^{N_0-1} (BA_0^{-1})^j$. Since $A^{-1} = A_0^{-1}(I - BA_0^{-1})^{-1}$, we obtain the first equality of (3.3). To obtain the second one, it is sufficient to apply the same reasoning to the expression $A = A_0(I - A_0^{-1}B)$. \square

3.4. Rumin's construction of d_c . Using the previous considerations on the invertibility of differential operators that strictly increase the weights of forms, Rumin constructs the projection Π in the following way.

Lemma 3.9. *Let us consider the differential operator $b := d_0^{-1}d_0 - d_0^{-1}d = -d_0^{-1}(d - d_0)$ acting on $\Omega^\bullet G$.*

- (1) *The map $b: \Omega^\bullet G \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet G$ is nilpotent, and therefore $I - b$ is invertible and $(I - b)^{-1}$ is a well-defined differential operator acting on $\Omega^\bullet G$.*

(2) *The differential operator*

$$(3.4) \quad \Pi := (\mathbf{I} - b)^{-1}d_0^{-1}d + d(\mathbf{I} - b)^{-1}d_0^{-1}$$

is the projection of $\Omega^\bullet G$ onto

$$F := \text{Im } d_0^{-1} + \text{Im } dd_0^{-1} = \text{Im } d_0^t + \text{Im } dd_0^t,$$

along

$$E := \ker d_0^{-1} \cap \ker(d_0^{-1}d) = \ker d_0^t \cap \ker(d_0^t d).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.6, b strictly increases weights, so it is nilpotent, and in particular, by Lemma 3.7, there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $b^{N_0} = 0$. We can now apply Lemma 3.8 with $B = b$ and $A_0 = \mathbf{I}$: the operator $\mathbf{I} - b$ is invertible, and its inverse is given by the differential operator

$$(3.5) \quad (\mathbf{I} - b)^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{N_0-1} b^j = \mathbf{I} + b + \dots + b^{N_0-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{N_0-1} (-1)^j [d_0^{-1}(d - d_0)]^j.$$

This implies that Π is a well-defined differential operator on $\Omega^\bullet G$, and concludes the proof of part (1).

By (3.5) and (3.4), we have that the image of Π is included in the subspace $F \subset \Omega^\bullet G$ defined in the statement. Moreover, the properties of d, d_0 and d_0^{-1} imply

$$\Pi d_0^{-1} = d_0^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi dd_0^{-1} = dd_0^{-1},$$

and so $\Pi = \mathbf{I}$ on F and $\Pi^2 = \Pi$, i.e. Π is a projection onto $\text{Im } \Pi = F$ along $\ker \Pi$.

Clearly, $\ker \Pi$ contains the subspace E defined in the statement. The properties of d, d_0 and d_0^{-1} also imply

$$d_0^{-1}\Pi = d_0^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad d_0^{-1}d\Pi = d_0^{-1}d,$$

so $\ker \Pi = E$. This shows part (2) and concludes the proof. \square

Following Rumin's notation, we denote the two projections onto F and E respectively as

$$\Pi_F := \Pi \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi_E := \mathbf{I} - \Pi,$$

where Π is defined in (3.4). It is easy to check that they enjoy the following properties:

Lemma 3.10. *We have*

1. $d_0^{-1}\Pi_E = \Pi_E d_0^{-1} = 0$;
2. $d\Pi_F = \Pi_F d$, and $d\Pi_E = \Pi_E d$;
3. $\Pi_E \text{pr}_{E_0^\perp} \Pi_E = 0$;
4. on $\Omega^k G$, we have $\Pi_F^t = (-1)^{k(n-k)} \star \Pi_F \star$ and $\Pi_E^t = (-1)^{k(n-k)} \star \Pi_E \star$.

Note that 4. implies $F^\perp = \star F \star$ and $E^\perp = \star E \star$.

Proof. The equality in 1. follows from the fact that $d_0^{-1}\Pi = \Pi d_0^{-1} = d_0^{-1}$. The equalities in 2. can be easily checked by carrying out the explicit computations that show $d\Pi = d(\mathbf{I} - b)^{-1}d_0^{-1}d = \Pi d$. For the equality in 3. it is sufficient to apply property 1., since $\text{pr}_{E_0^\perp} = \mathbf{I} - \Pi_0 = d_0^{-1}d_0 + d_0 d_0^{-1}$ by Proposition 2.2, and so

$$\Pi_E \text{pr}_{E_0^\perp} \Pi_E = \Pi_E (d_0^{-1}d_0 + d_0 d_0^{-1}) \Pi_E = 0.$$

Finally, let us observe that the formal transpose of $d - d_0$ and d_0 coincide with $\star(d - d_0)\star$ and $\star d_0 \star$ up to a sign on $\Omega^\bullet G$, and a similar property is true for b^j , $j = 0, 1, \dots, N_0 - 1$, and hence for $(\mathbf{I} - b)^{-1}d_0^{-1}$. Therefore, Π^t coincides with $\star \Pi \star$ up to a sign on $\Omega^k G$. We compute

$$(\star \Pi \star)^2 = \star \Pi \star \star \Pi \star = (-1)^{k(n-k)} \star \Pi \star = (-1)^{k(n-k)} \star \Pi \star$$

As Π^t is the projection Π_F^t , we obtain the formulae in 4. \square

Lemma 3.10 implies readily the following result.

Theorem 3.11 (M. Rumin). *The de Rham complex (Ω^\bullet, d) splits into two subcomplexes (E^\bullet, d) and (F^\bullet, d) . Moreover, the differential operator acting on $\Omega^\bullet G$ and defined by*

$$d_c := \Pi_0 d \Pi_E \Pi_0$$

satisfies

$$d_c^2 = 0 \quad , \quad d_c(\Omega^k G) \subset \Omega^{k+1} G \quad \text{and} \quad d_c^{(k,t)} = (-1)^{kn+1} \star d_c \star \text{ on } \Omega^{k+1} .$$

The complex (E_0^\bullet, d_c) computes the de Rham cohomology of the underlying homogeneous group G and it is known as the Rumin complex.

4. AN ALTERNATIVE CONSTRUCTION OF THE RUMIN COMPLEX

In this section, we present an alternative construction of the Rumin complex (E_0^\bullet, d_c) with ideas from parabolic geometry. Importantly, this new construction will not rely on the partial inverse d_0^{-1} of the algebraic map d_0 .

4.1. The operators \square , P and L . Our box operator \square is not the usual de Rham Laplace operator, but instead the differential operator of order 1 (in the Euclidean sense) given by

$$\square := dd_0^t + d_0^t d .$$

In general, it may not have any particular properties such as symmetry or even commutation with its formal transpose. However, just like \square_0 , \square maps $\Omega^k G$ to itself for any degree k with

$$\square = \sum_{k=0}^n \square^{(k)} \quad , \quad \square^{(k)} := d^{(k-1)} d_0^{(k-1,t)} + d_0^{(k,t)} d^{(k)} : \Omega^k G \longrightarrow \Omega^k G .$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\square = \square_0 + (d - d_0)d_0^t + d_0^t(d - d_0) \quad , \quad \text{since} \quad \square_0 = d_0 d_0^t + d_0^t d_0 .$$

The operators \square_0 and d_0 are algebraic and preserve the weights of the forms, while the differential operator $(d - d_0)$ strictly increases the weights, see Section 3.3. Therefore, $\square - \square_0$ also strictly increases the weights.

The operator Π_0 is the spectral projection of the symmetric linear map \square_0 onto its kernel, with both operators viewed as acting on $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$, and then extended as algebraic operators acting on $\Omega^\bullet G$. As we do not have a spectral decomposition for \square , we cannot define analogously an operator P as a spectral projector in the same way. However, we notice that

$$(4.1) \quad \Pi_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=\varepsilon} (z - \square_0)^{-1} dz ,$$

where $|z| = \varepsilon$ denotes the circle about 0 with radius $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, see point 2. of Lemma 2.3. This expression is independent of $\varepsilon \in (0, \lambda_1)$ where $\lambda_1 > 0$ is the first non-zero eigenvalue of \square_0 . We then define the operator P in a similar manner but for \square : we set, at least formally,

$$(4.2) \quad P := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=\varepsilon} (z - \square)^{-1} dz \quad ,$$

with $\varepsilon > 0$ as in the formula (4.1) for Π_0 .

Let us explain why the definition of P via (4.2) makes sense. We write for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$z - \square = z - \square_0 - B, \quad \text{where} \quad B := \square - \square_0 = (d - d_0)d_0^t + d_0^t(d - d_0) .$$

We observe that, for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{sp}(\square_0)$, $A_0 = z - \square_0$ is an invertible algebraic operator that preserves the weights while, as already mentioned, the differential operator B strictly increases the weights. By Lemma 3.8, $z - \square$ is invertible, and its inverse is given by the finite sum

$$(4.3) \quad (z - \square)^{-1} = (z - \square_0)^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N_0-1} (B(z - \square_0)^{-1})^j.$$

In particular, $(z - \square)^{-1}$ is a differential operator on $\Omega^\bullet G$ whose coefficients are meromorphic functions of z with poles lying in $\text{sp}(\square_0)$. Consequently, (4.2) makes sense and defines a differential operator P on $\Omega^\bullet G$ independently of $\varepsilon \in (0, \lambda_1)$.

The operator P enjoys the following important properties.

Proposition 4.1. *The formula in (4.2) defines a differential operator P acting on $\Omega^\bullet G$ that satisfies the following properties:*

- i. P is a projection, i.e. $P^2 = P$. It commutes with \square , d and d_0^t , and preserves the degrees of the forms: $P(\Omega^k G) \subset \Omega^k G$;
- ii. $P - \Pi_0$ strictly increases weights and $\Pi_0 P \Pi_0 = \Pi_0$;
- iii. the operator \square acts on $\text{Im } P$ and on $\ker P$. Moreover, it is nilpotent on $\text{Im } P$ with $\square^{N_0} P = 0$, and it is invertible on $\ker P$. Consequently, P is the projection onto $\ker \square^{N_0}$ along $\text{Im } \square^{N_0}$.

Property iii. above should be compared with the analogous property of the algebraic operator \square_0 , i.e. \square_0 is zero on $\text{Im } \Pi_0 = \ker \square_0$, it is invertible on $\ker \Pi_0 = \text{Im } \square_0$, and hence Π_0 is the projection onto $\ker \square_0$ along $\text{Im } \square_0$.

Proof of i. and ii. By the Cauchy integral formula, when $\varepsilon_2 > \varepsilon_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P^2 &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \oint_{|z_1|=\varepsilon_1} \oint_{|z_2|=\varepsilon_2} (z_1 - \square)^{-1} (z_2 - \square)^{-1} dz_1 dz_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \oint_{|z_1|=\varepsilon_1} \oint_{|z_2|=\varepsilon_2} \frac{1}{z_2 - z_1} ((z_1 - \square)^{-1} - (z_2 - \square)^{-1}) dz_1 dz_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \oint_{|z_1|=\varepsilon_1} \oint_{|z_2|=\varepsilon_2} \frac{1}{z_2 - z_1} dz_2 (z_1 - \square)^{-1} dz_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z_1|=\varepsilon_1} (z_1 - \square)^{-1} dz_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since \square commutes with its resolvent $(z - \square)^{-1}$, it also commutes with P . As \square commutes with d and d_0^t and preserves the degrees of the forms, so does its resolvent, and then P . This shows i.

The formulae (4.2), (4.1), (4.3) for P , Π_0 and $(z - \square)^{-1}$ yield:

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=\varepsilon} (z - \square_0)^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N_0-1} (B(z - \square_0)^{-1})^j dz \\ &= \Pi_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{N_0-1} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=\varepsilon} (z - \square_0)^{-1} (B(z - \square_0)^{-1})^j dz, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $P - \Pi_0$ strictly increases the weight since B does.

By the spectral decomposition of \square_0 , we have

$$\Pi_0 (z - \square_0)^{-1} B (z - \square_0)^{-1} \Pi_0 = \frac{1}{z^2} \Pi_0 B \Pi_0,$$

and more generally for $j \geq 1$

$$\Pi_0 (z - \square_0)^{-1} (B(z - \square_0)^{-1})^j \Pi_0 = \frac{1}{z^2} \Pi_0 (B(z - \square_0)^{-1})^{j-1} \Pi_0.$$

Hence, the residue of $\Pi_0(z - \square_0)^{-1}(B(z - \square_0)^{-1})^j \Pi_0$ at $z = 0$ is zero when $j > 0$. Consequently, $\Pi_0 P \Pi_0 = \Pi_0^3 = \Pi_0$. This concludes the proof of Part ii. \square

The proof of property iii. will be given at the end of this section. It will use the operator L defined and studied in the next statement:

Proposition 4.2. *The differential operator L acting on $\Omega^\bullet G$ and defined as*

$$(4.4) \quad L := P \Pi_0 + (I - P)(I - \Pi_0)$$

preserves the degrees of the forms. It is invertible and its inverse is a differential operator acting on $\Omega^\bullet G$. We have

$$PL = P \Pi_0 = L \Pi_0 \quad , \quad \text{so} \quad \Pi_0 = L^{-1} P L.$$

Moreover, $L - I$, $L^{-1} - I$ and $L^{-1} \square L - \square_0$ strictly increase weights, and $L^{-1} \square L$ commutes with Π_0 , i.e. $\Pi_0 L^{-1} \square L = L^{-1} \square L \Pi_0$.

Proof of Proposition 4.2. The differential operator P and the algebraic operator Π_0 preserve the degrees of the forms, thus so does L . As P and Π_0 are projections, we easily check that

$$(4.5) \quad PL = P \Pi_0 \quad \text{and} \quad L \Pi_0 = P \Pi_0,$$

and that

$$L - I = (P - \Pi_0) \Pi_0 + (\Pi_0 - P)(I - \Pi_0),$$

which shows that $L - I$ strictly increases weights by property ii. of Proposition 4.1. Hence L is invertible by Lemma 3.8, with

$$L^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{N_0-1} (I - L)^j.$$

Therefore, $L - I$, $L^{-1} - I$ and $\square - \square_0$ strictly increase weights, while I and \square_0 keep the weights constant. This readily implies that $L^{-1} \square L - \square_0$ strictly increases the weights. Finally, from (4.5) and $P \square = \square P$, we have that the two operators $L^{-1} \square L$ and Π_0 commute. \square

We can now finish the proof of Proposition 4.1.

Proof of iii. of Proposition 4.1. As P and \square commute, we already know that \square acts on $\text{Im } P$ and $\ker P$, but we can be more precise. As $L^{-1} \square L$ and Π_0 commute, $L^{-1} \square L$ acts on $\text{Im } \Pi_0 = \ker \square_0$ and on $\ker \Pi_0 = \text{Im } \square_0$. As $L^{-1} \square L - \square_0$ strictly increases the weights, we have by Lemma 3.7

$$(4.6) \quad (L^{-1} \square L - \square_0)^{N_0} = 0.$$

Considering (4.6) on $\text{Im } \Pi_0 = \ker \square_0$, we obtain $0 = (L^{-1} \square L)^{N_0} = L^{-1} \square^{N_0} L$, hence $\square^{N_0} = 0$ on $L \text{Im } \Pi_0 L^{-1} = \text{Im } L \Pi_0 L^{-1} = \text{Im } P$.

We observed previously that the operators $L^{-1} \square L$, \square_0 and $L^{-1} \square L - \square_0$ act on $\ker \Pi_0 = \text{Im } \square_0$. We denote by \tilde{A} , \tilde{A}_0 and \tilde{B} respectively the operators $L^{-1} \square L$, \square_0 and $L^{-1} \square L - \square_0$ restricted to and acting on $\ker \Pi_0$. In particular, \tilde{A}_0 is invertible, and by (4.6) we have $\tilde{B}^{N_0} = 0$. Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 3.8, we see that \tilde{A} is also invertible. This implies that L is invertible on $L \ker \Pi_0 L^{-1} = \ker L \Pi_0 L^{-1} = \ker P$. \square

Let us examine the effect of \star -conjugation on the objects constructed in this section.

Lemma 4.3. *We have*

$$\star \square^{(k)} \star = (-1)^{k(n-k)} \square^{(n-k,t)} \quad , \quad \star P^{(k)} \star = (-1)^{k(n-k)} P^{(n-k,t)} \quad ,$$

and

$$\star L^{(k)} \star = (-1)^{k(n-k)} L_1^{(n-k)} \quad , \quad \text{where} \quad L_1 := P^t \Pi_0 + (I - P^t)(I - \Pi_0).$$

The linear map $L_1 : \Omega^\bullet G \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet G$ is a differential operator that preserves the degree of forms. It is invertible and its inverse is a differential operator.

Proof. From the properties of \star , d and d_0 (see Sections 2.5 and 2.1.6), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \star \square^{(k)} \star &= (-1)^{(k-1)(n-k+1)} \star d^{(k-1)} \star \star d_0^{(k-1,t)} \star + (-1)^{(k+1)(n-k-1)} \star d_0^{(k,t)} \star \star d^{(k)} \star \\ &= (-1)^{k(n-k)} d^{(k-1,t)} d_0^{(k-1)} + (-1)^{k(n-k)} d_0^{(k)} d^{(k,t)} = (-1)^{k(n-k)} \square^{(n-k,t)}. \end{aligned}$$

The \star -conjugation for P follows from this. As Π_0 commutes with \star , we obtain the formula that relates L to L_1 . The properties of the operator $L_1 : \Omega^\bullet G \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet G$ can be shown by following the same steps as in the proof of Proposition 4.2 for the same properties for L . \square

4.2. Decoupling of the complex chain $L^{-1}dL$. As the de Rham differential d commutes with P (see point i. of Proposition 4.1), $L^{-1}dL$ commutes with $L^{-1}PL$, the latter being equal to Π_0 by Proposition 4.2. The commutation of Π_0 with $L^{-1}dL$ allows us to decompose the differential chain $L^{-1}dL$ as

$$L^{-1}dL = L^{-1}dL\Pi_0 + L^{-1}dL(\mathbf{I} - \Pi_0) = D + C,$$

where the differential operator

$$(4.7) \quad D := L^{-1}dL\Pi_0 = \Pi_0 L^{-1}dL\Pi_0$$

acts on $\text{Im } \Pi_0$, while the differential operator

$$(4.8) \quad C := L^{-1}dL(\mathbf{I} - \Pi_0) = (\mathbf{I} - \Pi_0)L^{-1}dL(\mathbf{I} - \Pi_0)$$

acts on $\ker \Pi_0$.

In other words, the chain complex $L^{-1}dL$ decomposes into the direct sum of two chain complexes: one where the operator D acts on $E_0 = \text{Im } \Pi_0$, and the other where C acts on $F_0 = \ker \Pi_0$. In fact,

$$(L^{-1}dL)^2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad L^{-1}dL = D + C \quad \text{with} \quad D^2 = C^2 = 0.$$

4.2.1. The chain complex (F_0^\bullet, C) . As already pointed out, the operator C defined in (4.8) acts on $\ker \Pi_0 = F_0$. It is not difficult to construct an explicit invertible operator conjugating the maps C and d_0 on F_0 , and this implies that the cohomologies of the complexes (F_0^\bullet, C) and (F_0^\bullet, d_0) are isomorphic and therefore both trivial.

Proposition 4.4. (1) *The maps C and d_0 are conjugated on F_0 :*

$$Cg = gd_0 \quad \text{on } F_0,$$

where $g : F_0^\bullet \rightarrow F_0^\bullet$ is the invertible operator defined as

$$g := C(\mathbf{I} - \Pi_0)d_0^t \square_0^{-1} + (\mathbf{I} - \Pi_0)d_0^t \square_0^{-1}d_0 \quad \text{on } F_0 = \ker \Pi_0.$$

(2) *The complex (F_0^\bullet, C) has trivial cohomology, i.e. for any $k = 0, \dots, n$*

$$H^k(F_0, C) = \frac{\ker C : F_0^k \rightarrow F_0^{k+1}}{\text{Im } C : F_0^{k-1} \rightarrow F_0^k} = \{0\}.$$

Proof of Proposition 4.4. The operator g given in the statement is well defined as an operator acting on F_0 . Since $L - \mathbf{I}$, $L^{-1} - \mathbf{I}$ and $d - d_0$ strictly increase the weights, while Π_0 and \square_0 keep the weights constant, the operator

$$C - (\mathbf{I} - \Pi_0)d_0(\mathbf{I} - \Pi_0) = (\mathbf{I} - \Pi_0)L^{-1}dL(\mathbf{I} - \Pi_0) - (\mathbf{I} - \Pi_0)d_0(\mathbf{I} - \Pi_0)$$

strictly increases the weights, and so does the operator

$$g - (\mathbf{I} - \Pi_0)d_0(\mathbf{I} - \Pi_0)(\mathbf{I} - \Pi_0)d_0^t \square_0^{-1} + (\mathbf{I} - \Pi_0)d_0^t \square_0^{-1}d_0 \quad \text{on } \ker \Pi_0.$$

By Proposition 2.2 and the fact that d_0 commutes with \square_0 and therefore also with its inverse, the latter operator simplifies as

$$g - (\mathbf{I} - \Pi_0)(d_0 d_0^t + d_0^t d_0) \square_0^{-1} = g - (\mathbf{I} - \Pi_0).$$

Hence, when restricted to $\ker \Pi_0$, $g - \mathbf{I}$ strictly increases weights, and so if we proceed as in the proof of Lemma 3.8, we have that g is invertible on $F_0 = \ker \Pi_0$.

To check that $Cg = gd_0$ on $F_0 = \text{Im } d_0 + \text{Im } d_0^t$, it suffices to check that the equality holds both on $\text{Im } d_0$ and on $\text{Im } d_0^t$. As $d_0^2 = 0$ and $C^2 = 0$, we see that both Cg and gd_0 vanish on $\text{Im } d_0$, while both $gd_0 d_0^t$ and $Cg d_0^t$ are equal to $C(\mathbf{I} - \Pi_0) d_0^t \square_0^{-1} d_0 d_0^t$. This shows Part (1).

Finally, by Part (1) we have that g induces a linear isomorphism between the cohomology groups of the two complexes (F_0^\bullet, C) and (F_0^\bullet, d_0) given by

$$g_H: \begin{cases} H^\bullet(F_0, d_0) & \longrightarrow & H^\bullet(F_0, C) \\ [\alpha] & \longmapsto & [g\alpha] \end{cases},$$

with inverse given by $[\beta] \mapsto [g^{-1}\beta]$. Part (2) then follows directly from Lemma 2.1, where it was shown that the cohomology of the complex (F_0^\bullet, d_0) is trivial. \square

4.2.2. *The chain complex (E_0^\bullet, D) .* The differential operator D defined in (4.7) acts on $E_0 = \text{Im } \Pi_0$. In this subsection, we show that the complex (E_0^\bullet, D) is conjugated to the de Rham complex $(\Omega^\bullet G, d)$ via the operator L introduced in (4.4), i.e.

$$LD = dL \quad \text{on } E_0.$$

Indeed, this follows easily from the equalities $L\Pi_0 L^{-1} = P$ and $PL\Pi_0 = L\Pi_0$ proved in Proposition 4.2, and the fact that d commutes with P by Proposition 4.1. Hence, the differential operator $L\Pi_0$ is a chain map between $(\Omega^\bullet G, d)$ and (E_0^\bullet, D) , i.e. $(L\Pi_0)D = d(L\Pi_0)$, and it induces the linear map

$$(L\Pi_0)_H: \begin{cases} H^\bullet(E_0, D) & \longrightarrow & H^\bullet(\Omega^\bullet G, d) \\ [\alpha] & \longmapsto & [L\Pi_0 \alpha] \end{cases},$$

between the cohomology groups of (E_0^\bullet, D) and $(\Omega^\bullet G, d)$.

This map $(L\Pi_0)_H$ is unlikely to be a linear isomorphism in general. However, the next statement implies that the map induced by $\Pi_0 L^{-1}$ and given by

$$(\Pi_0 L^{-1})_H: \begin{cases} H^\bullet(\Omega^\bullet G, d) & \longrightarrow & H^\bullet(E_0, D) \\ [\alpha] & \longmapsto & [\Pi_0 L^{-1} \alpha] \end{cases},$$

provides such an isomorphism, with inverse given by $[\beta] \mapsto [L\beta]$.

Proposition 4.5. *The differential operator $\Pi_0 L^{-1}$ is a chain map between $(\Omega^\bullet G, d)$ and (E_0^\bullet, D) , that is $(\Pi_0 L^{-1})d = D(\Pi_0 L^{-1})$. This chain map is homotopically invertible, with homotopic inverse given by L since we have*

$$(\Pi_0 L^{-1})L = \Pi_0, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{I} - L(\Pi_0 L^{-1}) = dh + hd,$$

where h is the differential operator acting on $\Omega^\bullet G$ and defined as

$$h := Lg(\mathbf{I} - \Pi_0) d_0^t \square_0^{-1} g^{-1} (\mathbf{I} - \Pi_0) L^{-1}.$$

Proof. By construction, we have $d = L(D + C)L^{-1}$, with $D = \Pi_0 D \Pi_0$ and $C = (\mathbf{I} - \Pi_0)C(\mathbf{I} - \Pi_0)$, so $\Pi_0 L^{-1}d = \Pi_0 D \Pi_0 L^{-1} = D \Pi_0 L^{-1}$.

By applying the definitions of h and C together with Proposition 4.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L^{-1}(dh + hd)L &= Cg d_0^t \square_0^{-1} g^{-1} (\mathbf{I} - \Pi_0) + g(\mathbf{I} - \Pi_0) d_0^t \square_0^{-1} g^{-1} C \\ &= g(d_0 d_0^t \square_0^{-1} + d_0^t \square_0^{-1} d_0) g^{-1} (\mathbf{I} - \Pi_0). \end{aligned}$$

As $I_{\ker \Pi_0} = d_0 d_0^t \square_0^{-1} + d_0^t \square_0^{-1} d_0$, we have obtained $L^{-1}(dh + hd)L = I - \Pi_0$. The statement follows. \square

Corollary 4.6. *The cohomology of (E_0^\bullet, D) is linearly isomorphic to the de Rham cohomology of the manifold $G \cong \mathbb{R}^n$:*

$$H^0(E_0, D) \cong \mathbb{R} \quad , \quad \text{and for } k = 1, \dots, n, \quad H^k(E_0, D) = \{0\}.$$

We conclude this section by examining the \star -conjugation of D .

Lemma 4.7. *We have*

$$D^{(k,t)} = (-1)^{kn+1} \star D^{(n-k-1)} \star .$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.3 and the commutation of \star with Π_0 , we have on $\Omega^{k+1}G$

$$\star D^{(n-k-1)} \star = (-1)^{kn+1} \Pi_0^{(k)} L_1^{(k,-1)} d^{(k,t)} L_1^{(k+1)} \Pi_0^{(k+1)} .$$

We would like to compare this with $D^t = \Pi_0 L^t d^t L^{-t} \Pi_0$ with $L^{-t} = (L^{-1})^t = (L^t)^{-1}$. We observe that since P and $\Pi_0 = \Pi_0^t$ are projections, we have

$$L^t L_1 \Pi_0 = L^t P^t \Pi_0 = \Pi_0 P^t P^t \Pi_0 = (\Pi_0 P \Pi_0)^t = (\Pi_0)^t = \Pi_0 ,$$

by point ii. of Proposition 4.1, and similarly

$$\Pi_0 L^t L_1 = \Pi_0 P^t L_1 = \Pi_0 P^t P^t \Pi_0 = \Pi_0 .$$

Therefore, $L_1 \Pi_0 = L^{-t} \Pi_0$ and $\Pi_0 L^t = L_1^{-1} \Pi_0$, and so the formula follows. \square

4.3. The two constructions coincide. In Section 2, we have established that the orthogonal projection Π_0 onto $E_0 = \ker d_0^t \cap \ker d_0$ is the same in Rumin's construction and as the spectral projection of \square_0 for the 0-eigenvalue. Here, we are going to show that Rumin's complex (E_0^\bullet, d_c) constructed by using d_0^{-1}, Π_E etc. and the complex (E_0^\bullet, D) constructed via \square, P, L etc. coincide.

Lemma 4.8. *The projections $\Pi_E = I - \Pi$ and P coincide.*

Proof. As $\Pi_0 d_0^t = 0$ and P commutes with d_0^t (see Proposition 4.1), we have

$$(P - \Pi_0) d_0^t = P d_0^t = d_0^t P ,$$

and so recursively for any $k \geq 1$

$$(P - \Pi_0)^{(k)} d_0^{(k-1,t)} = P^{(k)} d_0^{(k-1,t)} = d_0^{(k-1,t)} P^{(k)} .$$

Let us stress that for $k \geq N_0$, the left-hand side vanishes by Proposition 4.1. As P is a projection, we have obtained $0 = P d_0^t = d_0^t P$. Moreover, since P commutes with d (see Proposition 4.1), we also have $P d d_0^t = d P d_0^t = 0$, which implies the inclusion $\ker P \supset \text{Im } d_0^t + \text{Im } d d_0^t = F$.

By point iii. of Proposition 4.1, P is the projection onto $\ker \square^{N_0}$ along $\text{Im } \square^{N_0}$. Since $d^2 = 0$ and $(d_0^t)^2 = 0$, we compute easily

$$\square^{N_0} = (d d_0^t)^{N_0} + (d_0^t d)^{N_0} ,$$

so we have

$$\text{Im } P = \ker \square^{N_0} \supset \ker d_0^t \cap \ker (d_0^t d) = E \quad \text{and} \quad \ker P = \text{Im } \square^{N_0} \subset \text{Im } d_0^t + \text{Im } (d d_0^t) = F .$$

These last inclusions then imply that $\ker P = F$, but also $\text{Im } P = E$, and so $P = \Pi_E$. \square

Clearly, both d_c and D increase the degrees of the forms by 1, and we have already obtained that they behave in the same way under \star -conjugation (see Lemma 4.7 and Theorem 3.11). We will now prove that these maps coincide:

Theorem 4.9. *The maps d_c and D coincide: $d_c = D$.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.2, the construction of L implies $\Pi_0 L^{-1} P \Pi_0 = \Pi_0$, and since $P(1 - \Pi_0)P = 0$ by point 3. of Lemma 3.10, we have

$$\Pi_0 L^{-1} = \Pi_0 L^{-1} P^2 = \Pi_0 L^{-1} P (\Pi_0 + (I - \Pi_0)) P = \Pi_0 L^{-1} P \Pi_0 P = \Pi_0 P.$$

This equality, together with the fact that d commutes with P by point 2. of Lemma 3.10, and that $PL\Pi_0 = P\Pi_0$ by Proposition 4.2, implies

$$D = \Pi_0 L^{-1} dL\Pi_0 = \Pi_0 P dL\Pi_0 = \Pi_0 dPL\Pi_0 = \Pi_0 dP\Pi_0,$$

which coincides with d_c since $P = \Pi_E$ by Lemma 4.8. \square

5. THE SUBCOMPLEX (E_0^\bullet, D) ON AN ISOMORPHIC FAMILY OF ENGEL GROUPS

The aim of this section is to present the explicit computations behind the construction of the Rumin complex that was described in Section 4 for the specific case of the Engel group. In order to do this, we will give concrete matrix representations of the different maps that are involved in the construction of the differential operator D .

This work will be done for a 1-parameter family G_t of stratifiable groups which are all isomorphic to the Engel group for each $t > 0$. Surprisingly, we will see how some parts of the construction, for instance the spectral decomposition of \square_0 , heavily rely on the parameter $t > 0$. This highlights the fact that, even though the complexes (E_0^\bullet, D) and (F_0^\bullet, C) are essentially unique up to Lie algebra isomorphisms, the intermediate steps that lead to the construction of these subcomplexes depend on the parameter t , and hence on the particular realisation of the group.

The case of G_t for $t = 1$ was given in [DH17, Appendix A].

5.1. The Engel groups G_t and the canonical basis on the exterior algebra. Here $t > 0$ is a fixed positive number. We denote by \mathfrak{g}_t the four dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_t equipped with the stratification

$$\mathfrak{g}_t = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_3, \quad \mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathbb{R}X_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}X_2, \quad \mathfrak{g}_2 = \mathbb{R}X_3, \quad \mathfrak{g}_3 = \mathbb{R}X_4,$$

where the only non-trivial relations on the canonical basis $\{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4\}$ are given by

$$[X_1, X_2] = X_3, \quad [X_1, X_3] = tX_4.$$

Then \mathfrak{g}_t is nilpotent, and we denote by G_t its connected simply connected nilpotent Lie group. All the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_t and corresponding groups G_t , $t > 0$, are isomorphic.

We denote by $\theta^1, \theta^2, \theta^3, \theta^4$ the basis of \mathfrak{g}_t^* dual to the canonical basis $\{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4\}$. We have the same decomposition by weights for $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}_t, \mathbb{R})$:

$$\begin{aligned} k = 0 & \quad 1 \quad (w = 0) \\ k = 1 & \quad \theta^1, \theta^2 \quad (w = 1) \mid \theta^3 \quad (w = 2) \mid \theta^4 \quad (w = 3) \\ k = 2 & \quad \theta^{1,2} \quad (w = 2) \mid \theta^{1,3}, \theta^{2,3} \quad (w = 3) \mid \theta^{1,4}, \theta^{2,4} \quad (w = 4) \mid \theta^{3,4} \quad (w = 5) \\ k = 3 & \quad \theta^{1,2,3} \quad (w = 4) \mid \theta^{1,2,4} \quad (w = 5) \mid \theta^{1,3,4}, \theta^{2,3,4} \quad (w = 6) \\ k = 4 & \quad \theta^{1,2,3,4} \quad (w = 7). \end{aligned}$$

In this diagram, k is the degree of the forms, the numbers in the parentheses indicate the weights of the forms, and the bar signifies a change of weight within each degree.

Throughout this section, we will use the forms θ^I in the order in the line for k above as the chosen ordered basis of $\Omega^k G$ seen as the $C^\infty(G)$ -module generated by $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}_t, \mathbb{R})$. For instance, the ordered basis for $\Omega^2 G$ is $\{\theta^{1,2}, \theta^{1,3}, \theta^{2,3}, \theta^{1,4}, \theta^{2,4}, \theta^{3,4}\}$.

Note that with the convention of Section 3.3, $N_0 = 4$.

5.2. The differentials d and d_0 . Let us compute the matrix representations of the exterior derivative d . On $\Omega^0 G$, it is given by

$$\text{Mat}(d^{(0)}) = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ X_3 \\ X_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the Leibniz rule, we obtain $d^{(k)}$ on $\Omega^k G$, $k > 0$, and deduce the following matrix realisations:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mat}(d^{(1)}) &= \begin{pmatrix} -X_2 & X_1 & -1 & 0 \\ -X_3 & 0 & X_1 & -t \\ 0 & -X_3 & X_2 & 0 \\ -X_4 & 0 & 0 & X_1 \\ 0 & -X_4 & 0 & X_2 \\ 0 & 0 & -X_4 & X_3 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \text{Mat}(d^{(2)}) &= \begin{pmatrix} X_3 & -X_2 & X_1 & 0 & -t & 0 \\ X_4 & 0 & 0 & -X_2 & X_1 & -1 \\ 0 & X_4 & 0 & -X_3 & 0 & X_1 \\ 0 & 0 & X_4 & 0 & -X_3 & X_2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \text{Mat}(d^{(3)}) &= (-X_4 \quad X_3 \quad -X_2 \quad X_1). \end{aligned}$$

Let us now describe d_0 , or equivalently $d_0^{(k)} : \Omega^k G \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1} G$ for each $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$. We already know that $d_0^{(0)} = 0$ and $d_0^{(3)} = 0$, whereas the action on the 1-forms is given by

$$(d_0^{(1)}\alpha)(V_0, V_1) = -\alpha([V_0, V_1]), \quad \alpha \in \Omega^1 G, \quad V_0, V_1 \in \Gamma(TM),$$

so that

$$d_0^{(1)}\theta^1 = d_0^{(1)}\theta^2 = 0, \quad d_0^{(1)}\theta^3 = -\theta^{1,2} \quad \text{and} \quad d_0^{(1)}\theta^4 = -t\theta^{1,3}.$$

To compute the action of $d_0^{(k)}$ for $k = 2$, we apply the Leibniz rule and obtain

$$d_0^{(2)}\theta^{1,2} = d_0^{(2)}\theta^{1,3} = d_0^{(2)}\theta^{2,3} = d_0^{(2)}\theta^{1,4} = 0, \quad d_0^{(2)}\theta^{2,4} = -t\theta^{1,2,3}, \quad d_0^{(2)}\theta^{3,4} = -\theta^{1,2,4}.$$

The action of each $d_0^{(k)} : \Omega^k G_t \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1} G_t$, $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$, can be expressed in block matrix form using the basis of $\Omega^k G$ described in Section 5.1 as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mat}(d_0^{(0)}) &= 0_{4,1}, & \text{Mat}(d_0^{(3)}) &= 0_{1,4}, \\ \text{Mat}(d_0^{(1)}) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0_{2,2} & \text{diag}(-1, -t) \\ 0_{4,2} & 0_{4,2} \end{pmatrix}, & \text{Mat}(d_0^{(2)}) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0_{2,4} & \text{diag}(-t, -1) \\ 0_{2,4} & 0_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where $0_{l,k}$ denotes the zero-matrix with l lines and k columns.

5.3. The operator \square_0 . By definition, we have for $k = 0, \dots, 4$,

$$\square_0^{(k)} = d_0^{(k-1)}d_0^{(k-1,t)} + d_0^{(k,t)}d_0^{(k)} : \Omega^k G_t \rightarrow \Omega^k G_t,$$

which writes matrixially as

$$\text{Mat}(\square_0^{(k)}) = \text{Mat}(d_0^{(k-1)})(\text{Mat}(d_0^{(k-1)}))^t + (\text{Mat}(d_0^{(k,t)}))^t \text{Mat}(d_0^{(k)}).$$

Using the computation for $\text{Mat}(d_0^{(k)})$ in Section 5.2, we obtain readily that $\square_0^{(k)} = 0$, and therefore $\text{Mat}(\square_0^{(k)}) = (0)$, for $k = 0, 4$, while for $k = 1, 2, 3$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mat}(\square_0^{(1)}) &= \text{diag}(0, 0, 1, t^2), \\ \text{Mat}(\square_0^{(2)}) &= \text{diag}(1, t^2, 0, 0, 1, t^2), \\ \text{Mat}(\square_0^{(3)}) &= \text{diag}(t^2, 1, 0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

5.3.1. *The spectral decomposition of \square_0 .* By the spectral theorem, the operators $\square_0^{(k)}$ can be expressed as

$$(5.1) \quad \square_0^{(k)} = \sum_{j=0}^{m_k} \lambda_j^{(k)} \Pi_{\lambda_j}^{(k)}, \quad k = 0, \dots, 4,$$

where $\lambda_0^{(k)}, \dots, \lambda_{m_k}^{(k)}$ are all the distinct eigenvalues, and each $\Pi_{\lambda_i}^{(k)}$ denotes the orthogonal projection onto the eigenspace of $\square_0^{(k)}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_i^{(k)}$. We will need to relax this convention with Remark 5.1 below. Indeed, as it is already clear from the matrix realisations of $\square_0^{(k)}$ above, the dimension of the 1-eigenspace varies when $t = 1$ or $t \neq 1$. This means that the spectral resolution of \square_0 depends on the specific realisation of the Engel group G_t .

Naturally, we have for the trivial cases $k = 0, 4$:

$$\square_0^{(k)} = 0 \cdot \Pi_0^{(k)}, \quad \text{where } \lambda_0^{(k)} = 0 \quad \text{and } \Pi_0^{(0)} = (1).$$

However, for $k = 1$ for example, we need to distinguish the two cases $t = 1$ and $t \neq 1$. If $t \neq 1$, then $\square_0^{(1)} = 0 \cdot \Pi_0^{(1)} + 1 \cdot \Pi_1^{(1)} + t^2 \cdot \Pi_t^{(1)}$ has three distinct eigenvalues

$$\lambda_0^{(1)} = 0, \quad \lambda_1^{(1)} = 1, \quad \lambda_2^{(1)} = t^2,$$

and associated projectors

$$\text{Mat}(\Pi_{\lambda_0}^{(1)}) = \text{diag}(1, 1, 0, 0),$$

and

$$(5.2) \quad \text{Mat}(\Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}) = \text{diag}(0, 0, 1, 0), \quad \text{Mat}(\Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(1)}) = \text{diag}(0, 0, 0, 1).$$

If $t = 1$, then $\square_0^{(1)} = 0 \cdot \Pi_0^{(1)} + 1 \cdot \Pi_1^{(1)}$ has two distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_0^{(1)} = 0, \lambda_1^{(1)} = 1$, with associated projectors

$$\text{Mat}(\Pi_0^{(1)}) = \text{diag}(1, 1, 0, 0), \quad \text{Mat}(\Pi_1^{(1)}) = \text{diag}(0, 0, 1, 1).$$

Remark 5.1. In order to avoid having to distinguish between the two cases $t = 1$ and $t \neq 1$, we allow for the eigenvalues in (5.1) to not be necessarily distinct. Consequently, the spectral projection of $\square_0^{(k)}$ for the eigenvalue λ may decompose as $\sum_{j:\lambda_j=\lambda} \Pi_{\lambda_j}^{(k)}$. With this convention, in the case $t = 1$, the spectral projector for $\lambda = 1$ is $\Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(1)} + \Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(1)}$, where $\Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}$ and $\Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(1)}$ are given matrixially via (5.2).

For $k = 2$, we have

$$\square_0^{(2)} = \lambda_0^{(2)} \cdot \Pi_{\lambda_0}^{(2)} + \lambda_1^{(2)} \cdot \Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(2)} + \lambda_2^{(2)} \cdot \Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} \quad \text{with } \lambda_0^{(2)} = 0, \lambda_1^{(2)} = 1, \lambda_2^{(2)} = t^2,$$

and

$$\text{Mat}(\Pi_{\lambda_0}^{(2)}) = \text{diag}(0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0),$$

$$\text{Mat}(\Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(2)}) = \text{diag}(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1),$$

$$\text{Mat}(\Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}) = \text{diag}(0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0).$$

For $k = 3$, we have

$$\square_0^{(3)} = \lambda_0^{(3)} \cdot \Pi_{\lambda_0}^{(3)} + \lambda_1^{(3)} \cdot \Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(3)} + \lambda_2^{(3)} \cdot \Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(3)} \quad \text{with } \lambda_0^{(3)} = 0, \lambda_1^{(3)} = 1, \lambda_2^{(3)} = t^2,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Mat}(\Pi_{\lambda_0^{(3)}}^{(3)}) &= \text{diag}(0, 0, 1, 1), \\ \text{Mat}(\Pi_{\lambda_1^{(3)}}^{(3)}) &= \text{diag}(0, 1, 0, 0), \\ \text{Mat}(\Pi_{\lambda_2^{(3)}}^{(3)}) &= \text{diag}(1, 0, 0, 0).\end{aligned}$$

As the eigenvalues $\lambda_j^{(k)}$ of $\square_0^{(k)}$ are the same for $k = 1, 2, 3$, we will allow ourselves to remove their index k :

$$\lambda_0 := \lambda_0^{(k)} = 0, \quad \lambda_1 := \lambda_1^{(k)} = 1, \quad \lambda_2 := \lambda_2^{(k)} = t^2.$$

5.3.2. *The resolvent of \square_0 .* With the above conventions, the resolvent operator $(z - \square_0)^{-1}$ of \square_0 is given for $k = 0, 4$ by $(z - \square_0^{(k)})^{-1} = \frac{1}{z}\Pi_0^{(k)} = \frac{1}{z}(1)$, while for $k = 1, 2, 3$

$$(5.3) \quad (z - \square_0^{(k)})^{-1} = \frac{1}{z}\Pi_0^{(1)} + \frac{1}{z-1}\Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(k)} + \frac{1}{z-t^2}\Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(k)}.$$

5.4. The operators \square , P and L .

5.4.1. *The operator \square .* By definition, for each $k = 0, \dots, 4$, we have

$$\square^{(k)} = d^{(k-1)}d_0^{(k-1,t)} + d_0^{(k,t)}d^{(k)}: \Omega^k G_t \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1} G_t,$$

so

$$\text{Mat}(\square^{(k)}) = \text{Mat}(d^{(k-1)})(\text{Mat}(d_0^{(k-1,t)}))^t + (\text{Mat}(d_0^{(k,t)}))^t \text{Mat}(d^{(k)}).$$

We have $\square^{(k)} = 0$ and $\text{Mat}(\square^{(k)}) = (0)$ for $k = 0, 4$. Using the matrix realisations for d_0 and d computed in Section 5.2, we compute for $k = 1, 2, 3$:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Mat}(\square^{(1)}) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ X_2 & -X_1 & 1 & 0 \\ tX_3 & 0 & -tX_1 & t^2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \text{Mat}(\square^{(2)}) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -X_1 & t^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -X_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -tX_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -tX_3 & 0 & -tX_1 & 0 & t^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -tX_3 & 0 & X_2 & -X_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \text{Mat}(\square^{(3)}) &= \begin{pmatrix} t^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -tX_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -X_1 & 0 & 0 \\ tX_3 & -X_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

5.4.2. *The operator $B = \square - \square_0$.* We deduce the matrix representations $\text{Mat}(B^{(k)}) = \text{Mat}(\square^{(k)}) - \text{Mat}(\square_0^{(k)})$ for the operator $B^{(k)} = \square^{(k)} - \square_0^{(k)}: \Omega^k G \rightarrow \Omega^k G$, $k = 0, \dots, 4$. We have $B^{(k)} = 0$, and so $\text{Mat}(B^{(k)}) = (0)$, for $k = 0, 4$, while for $k = 1, 2, 3$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Mat}(B^{(1)}) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ X_2 & -X_1 & 0 & 0 \\ tX_3 & 0 & -tX_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \text{Mat}(B^{(2)}) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -X_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -X_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -tX_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -tX_3 & 0 & -tX_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -tX_3 & 0 & X_2 & -X_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \text{Mat}(B^{(3)}) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -tX_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -X_1 & 0 & 0 \\ tX_3 & -X_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

5.4.3. *The resolvent of \square .* Let us describe the resolvent $(z - \square)^{-1}$ of \square . Although only the terms containing $\frac{1}{z}$ will occur in our construction, we will give the full computations of $(z - \square)^{-1}$.

We recall from Section 4.1 that an application of Lemma 3.8 shows that $z - \square$ is invertible, and that its inverse is given by the finite sum (4.3) involving B and the resolvent of \square_0 . For $k = 0, 4$, this gives $(z - \square^{(k)})^{-1} = z^{-1}$. For $k = 1, 2, 3$, together with the formula in (5.3) for the resolvent of \square_0 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(z - \square^{(1)})^{-1} &= \frac{\Pi_0^{(1)}}{z} + \frac{\Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}}{z-1} + \frac{\Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(1)}}{z-t^2} + \frac{\Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(1)} B^{(1)} \Pi_0^{(1)}}{z(z-1)} + \frac{\Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(1)} B^{(1)} \Pi_0^{(1)}}{z(z-t^2)} + \frac{\Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(1)} B^{(1)} \Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}}{(z-1)(z-t^2)} + \\
&\quad + \frac{\Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(1)} B^{(1)} \Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(1)} B^{(1)} \Pi_0^{(1)}}{z(z-1)(z-t^2)}, \\
(z - \square^{(2)})^{-1} &= \frac{\Pi_0^{(2)}}{z} + \frac{\Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(2)}}{z-1} + \frac{\Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}}{z-t^2} + \frac{\Pi_0^{(2)} B^{(2)} \Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(2)} + \Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(2)} B^{(2)} \Pi_0^{(2)}}{z(z-1)} + \\
&\quad + \frac{\Pi_0^{(2)} B^{(2)} \Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} + \Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} B^{(2)} \Pi_0^{(2)}}{z(z-t^2)} + \frac{\Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(2)} B^{(2)} \Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} + \Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} B^{(2)} \Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(2)}}{(z-1)(z-t^2)} + \\
&\quad + \frac{\Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(2)} B^{(2)} \Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} B^{(2)} \Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(2)}}{(z-1)^2(z-t^2)} + \frac{\Pi_0^{(2)} B^{(2)} \Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} B^{(2)} \Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(2)}}{z(z-1)(z-t^2)} + \\
&\quad + \frac{\Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(2)} (B^{(2)} \Pi_0^{(2)} B^{(2)} \Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} + B^{(2)} \Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} B^{(2)} \Pi_0^{(2)}) + \Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} B^{(2)} \Pi_0^{(2)} B^{(2)} \Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(2)}}{z(z-1)(z-t^2)} + \\
&\quad + \frac{\Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(2)} (B^{(2)} \Pi_0^{(2)} B^{(2)} \Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} B^{(2)} \Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} + B^{(2)} \Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} B^{(2)} \Pi_0^{(2)} B^{(2)} \Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(2)})}{z(z-1)^2(z-t^2)}, \\
(z - \square^{(3)})^{-1} &= \frac{\Pi_0^{(3)}}{z} + \frac{\Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(3)}}{z-1} + \frac{\Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(3)}}{z-t^2} + \frac{\Pi_0^{(3)} B^{(3)} \Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(3)}}{z(z-1)} + \frac{\Pi_0^{(3)} B^{(3)} \Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(3)}}{z(z-t^2)} + \\
&\quad + \frac{\Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(3)} B^{(3)} \Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(3)}}{(z-1)(z-t^2)} + \frac{\Pi_0^{(3)} B^{(3)} \Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(3)} B^{(3)} \Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(3)}}{z(z-1)(z-t^2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

5.4.4. *The projection P .* By definition, $P = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=\varepsilon} (z - \square)^{-1} dz$ is the Cauchy integral about 0 of the resolvent of \square . The above computations for the resolvent of \square_0 yield $P^{(k)} = (1)$ for $k = 0, 4$ and for $k = 1, 2, 3$:

$$\begin{aligned}
P^{(1)} &= \Pi_0^{(1)} - \Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(1)} B^{(1)} \Pi_0^{(1)} + \frac{\Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(1)} B^{(1)} \Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(1)} B^{(1)} \Pi_0^{(1)} - \Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(1)} B^{(1)} \Pi_0^{(1)}}{t^2} \\
P^{(2)} &= \Pi_0^{(2)} - \Pi_0^{(2)} B^{(2)} \Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(2)} - \Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(2)} B^{(2)} \Pi_0^{(2)} - \frac{\Pi_0^{(2)} B^{(2)} \Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} + \Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} B^{(2)} \Pi_0^{(2)}}{t^2} + \\
&\quad + \frac{\Pi_0^{(2)} B^{(2)} \Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} B^{(2)} \Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(2)} + \Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(2)} (B^{(2)} \Pi_0^{(2)} B^{(2)} \Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} + B^{(2)} \Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} B^{(2)} \Pi_0^{(2)})}{t^2} + \\
&\quad + \frac{\Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} B^{(2)} \Pi_0^{(2)} B^{(2)} \Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(2)} - \Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(2)} (B^{(2)} \Pi_0^{(2)} B^{(2)} \Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} B^{(2)} \Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} + B^{(2)} \Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(2)} B^{(2)} \Pi_0^{(2)} B^{(2)} \Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(2)})}{t^2}, \\
P^{(3)} &= \Pi_0^{(3)} - \Pi_0^{(3)} B^{(3)} \Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(3)} - \frac{\Pi_0^{(3)} B^{(3)} \Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(3)}}{t^2} + \frac{\Pi_0^{(3)} B^{(3)} \Pi_{\lambda_1}^{(3)} B^{(3)} \Pi_{\lambda_2}^{(3)}}{t^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the matrix representations of the $\Pi_{\lambda_i}^{(k)}$ from Section 5.3, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Mat}(P^{(1)}) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -X_2 & X_1 & 0 & 0 \\ -(X_1X_2 + X_3)/t & X_1^2/t & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \text{Mat}(P^{(2)}) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ X_2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ X_1^2/t & X_1/t & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ X_1X_2/t & 0 & X_1/t & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (X_1^2X_2 - X_2X_1^2)/t & -X_2X_1/t & X_1^2/t & -X_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \text{Mat}(P^{(3)}) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ X_1^2/t & X_1 & 1 & 0 \\ (X_2X_1 - X_3)/t & X_2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

5.4.5. *The operator L .* The differential operator $L^{(k)}: \Omega^k G \rightarrow \Omega^k G$ is given by

$$L^{(k)} = P^{(k)}\Pi_0^{(k)} + (\mathbf{I} - P^{(k)})(\mathbf{I} - \Pi_0^{(k)}),$$

so

$$\text{Mat}(L^{(k)}) = \text{Mat}(P^{(k)})\text{Mat}(\Pi_0^{(k)}) + (\mathbf{I} - \text{Mat}(P^{(k)}))(\mathbf{I} - \text{Mat}(\Pi_0^{(k)})).$$

We have $\text{Mat}(L^{(k)}) = (1)$ for $k = 0, 4$, while for $k = 1, 2, 3$

$$\begin{aligned}L^{(1)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -X_2 & X_1 & 1 & 0 \\ -(X_1X_2 + X_3)/t & X_1^2/t & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ L^{(2)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -X_2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -X_1^2/t & -X_1/t & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -X_1X_2/t & 0 & X_1/t & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ (X_2X_1^2 - X_1^2X_2)/t & X_2X_1/t & X_1^2/t & -X_2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ L^{(3)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -X_1^2/t & -X_1 & 1 & 0 \\ (X_3 - X_2X_1)/t & -X_2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

5.4.6. *The operator L^{-1} .* The inverse operator L^{-1} is given by

$$(L^{(k)})^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{N_0-1} (\mathbf{I} - L^{(k)})^j.$$

and matricially,

$$(\text{Mat}(L^{(k)}))^{-1} = \text{Mat}\left((L^{(k)})^{-1}\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{N_0-1} (\mathbf{I} - \text{Mat}(L^{(k)}))^j.$$

Hence, we have $(\text{Mat}(L^{(k)}))^{-1} = (1)$ for $k = 0, 4$ while for $k = 1, 2, 3$, we obtain using the above matrix realisations for $L^{(k)}$:

$$\begin{aligned}(\text{Mat}(L^{(1)}))^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ X_2 & -X_1 & 1 & 0 \\ (X_1X_2 + X_3)/t & -X_1^2/t & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ (\text{Mat}(L^{(2)}))^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ X_2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ X_1^2/t & X_1/t & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -X_1/t & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -X_1^2/t & X_2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},\end{aligned}$$

$$(\text{Mat}(L^{(3)}))^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ X_1^2/t & X_1 & 1 & 0 \\ (X_2X_1 - X_3)/t & X_2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

5.5. The operators D and C .

5.5.1. *The operator $L^{-1}dL$.* In order to determine D and C , we first compute $L^{-1}dL$, or more precisely

$$(L^{(k+1)})^{-1}d^{(k)}L^{(k)} : \Omega^k G \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}G, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Its matrix realisation is

$$\text{Mat}((L^{(k+1)})^{-1}d^{(k)}L^{(k)}) (\text{Mat}(L^{(k+1)}))^{-1} \cdot \text{Mat}(d^{(k)}) \cdot \text{Mat}(L^{(k)}),$$

and from the previous computations for the matrix representations of L, L^{-1}, d , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mat}((L^{(1)})^{-1}d^{(0)}L^{(0)}) &= \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \text{Mat}((L^{(2)})^{-1}d^{(1)}L^{(1)}) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & X_2X_1 - X_3 & X_1 & -t \\ -X_4 - (X_1^2X_2 + X_1X_3)/t & X_1^3/t & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -X_1X_2/t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -X_4 - X_1^2X_2/t & X_3 + X_2X_1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \text{Mat}((L^{(3)})^{-1}d^{(2)}L^{(2)}) &= \begin{pmatrix} X_3 & -X_2 & 0 & 0 & -t & 0 \\ X_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & X_1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & X_1^3/t & -X_3 - X_1X_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (X_1^2X_2 - 3X_3X_1)/t & -X_2^2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \text{Mat}((L^{(4)})^{-1}d^{(3)}L^{(3)}) &= (0 \quad 0 \quad -X_2 \quad X_1). \end{aligned}$$

5.5.2. *The operator D .* We can now compute explicitly the operator $D = \Pi_0 L^{-1}dL \Pi_0$ in matrix terms:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mat}(D^{(0)}) &= \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \text{Mat}(D^{(1)}) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -X_3 + X_2X_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -X_4 - (X_1^2X_2 + X_1X_3)/t & X_1^3/t & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \text{Mat}(D^{(2)}) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & X_1^3/t & -X_3 - X_1X_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (X_1^2X_2 - 3X_3X_1)/t & -X_2^2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \text{Mat}(D^{(3)}) &= (0 \quad 0 \quad -X_2 \quad X_1). \end{aligned}$$

5.5.3. *The operator C .* Similarly, we obtain for $C = (I - \Pi_0)L^{-1}dL(I - \Pi_0)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mat}(C^{(0)}) &= 0_{4,1}, \\ \text{Mat}(C^{(1)}) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & X_1 & -t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -X_1X_2/t & X_2 \\ 0 & 0 & -X_4 - X_1^2X_2/t & X_1X_2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \text{Mat}(C^{(2)}) &= \begin{pmatrix} X_3 & -X_2 & 0 & 0 & -t & 0 \\ X_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & X_1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \text{Mat}(C^{(3)}) &= 0_{1,4}. \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [BFP16] Annalisa Baldi, Bruno Franchi, and Pierre Pansu. Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities for differential forms in Heisenberg groups. *Mathematische Annalen*, 365(3):1633–1667, 2016.
- [BFP20a] Annalisa Baldi, Bruno Franchi, and Pierre Pansu. L^1 -Poincaré inequalities for differential forms on Euclidean spaces and Heisenberg groups. *Advances in Mathematics*, 366:107084, 2020.
- [BFP20b] Annalisa Baldi, Bruno Franchi, and Pierre Pansu. Orlicz spaces and endpoint Sobolev-Poincaré inequalities for differential forms in Heisenberg groups. *Le Matematiche*, 75(1):167–194, 2020.
- [BFP20c] Annalisa Baldi, Bruno Franchi, and Pierre Pansu. Poincaré and Sobolev inequalities for differential forms in Heisenberg groups and contact manifolds. *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu*, page 1–52, 2020.
- [BFT15] Annalisa Baldi, Bruno Franchi, and Francesca Tripaldi. Gagliardo-Nirenberg Inequalities for Horizontal Vector Fields in the Engel Group and in the Seven-Dimensional Quaternionic Heisenberg Group. In *Geometric Methods in PDE's*, pages 287–312. Springer, 2015.
- [Bou90] Thierry Bouche. La cohomologie effective d’une variété symplectique. *Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques*, 114(2):115–122, 1990.
- [BTT22] Annalisa Baldi, Maria Carla Tesi, and Francesca Tripaldi. Sobolev-Gaffney type inequalities for differential forms on sub-Riemannian contact manifolds with bounded geometry. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.13701*, 2022.
- [Can21] Giovanni Canarecci. Sub-Riemannian Currents and Slicing of Currents in the Heisenberg Group \mathbb{H}^n . *The Journal of Geometric Analysis*, 31(5):5166–5200, 2021.
- [CD01] David M. J. Calderbank and Tammo Diemer. Differential invariants and curved Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand sequences. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 537:67–103, 2001.
- [ČHJ20] Andreas Čap, Christoph Harrach, and Pierre Julg. A Poisson transform adapted to the Rumin complex. *Journal of Topology and Analysis*, pages 1–39, 2020.
- [ČSS01] Andreas Čap, Jan Slovák, and Vladimír Souček. Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand sequences. *Annals of Mathematics*, 154(1):97–113, 2001.
- [DH17] Shantanu Dave and Stefan Haller. Graded hypoellipticity of BGG sequences. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.01659*, 2017.
- [FMS19] Bruno Franchi, Francescopaolo Montefalcone, and Elena Serra. Gaffney-Friedrichs inequality for differential forms on Heisenberg groups. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, 35(3):643–692, 2019.
- [FR16] Veronique Fischer and Michael Ruzhansky. *Quantization on Nilpotent lie groups*, volume 314. Birkhäuser/Springer, 2016.
- [FS82] Gerald B. Folland and Elias M. Stein. *Hardy Spaces on Homogeneous Groups*. Mathematical Notes. Princeton University Press, 1982.
- [FS16] Bruno Franchi and Raul Paolo Serapioni. Intrinsic Lipschitz graphs within Carnot groups. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 26(3):1946–1994, 2016.
- [FT12] Bruno Franchi and Maria Carla Tesi. Wave and Maxwell’s equations in Carnot groups. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 14(5):1250032, 62, 2012.
- [Kit20] Akira Kitaoka. Analytic torsions associated with the Rumin complex on contact spheres. *International Journal of Mathematics*, 31(13):2050112, 2020.
- [LR94] Valentin Lychagin and Vladimir Rubtsov. Non-holonomic filtration: algebraic and geometric aspects of non-integrability. *Geometry in partial differential equations, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ*, pages 189–214, 1994.
- [Lyc79] Valentin Lychagin. Contact geometry and non-linear second-order differential equations. *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, 34(1):149, 1979.
- [Pan93] Pierre Pansu. Differential forms and connections adapted to a contact structure after M. Rumin. In *LMS Symposium on Asymptotic Geometry, Warwick, August 1990*, 1993.
- [Pan17] Pierre Pansu. Cup-products in $l^{q,p}$ -cohomology: discretization and quasi-isometry invariance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1702.04984*, 2017.
- [PR18] Pierre Pansu and Michel Rumin. On the $l^{q,p}$ cohomology of Carnot groups. *Annales Henri Lebesgue*, 1:267–295, 2018.
- [PT19] Pierre Pansu and Francesca Tripaldi. Averages and the $l^{q,1}$ cohomology of Heisenberg groups. In *Annales Mathématiques Blaise Pascal*, volume 26, pages 81–100, 2019.
- [RS12] Michel Rumin and Neil Seshadri. Analytic torsions on contact manifolds. In *Annales de l’Institut Fourier*, volume 62, pages 727–782, 2012.
- [Rum90] Michel Rumin. Un complexe de formes différentielles sur les variétés de contact. *CR Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math*, 310(6):401–404, 1990.

- [Rum94] Michel Rumin. Formes différentielles sur les variétés de contact. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 39(2):281–330, 1994.
- [Rum99] Michel Rumin. Differential geometry on CC spaces and application to the Novikov-Shubin numbers of nilpotent Lie groups. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences-Series I-Mathematics*, 329(11):985–990, 1999.
- [Rum00a] Michel Rumin. Around heat decay on forms and relations of nilpotent Lie groups. *Séminaire de théorie spectrale et géométrie*, 19:123–164, 2000.
- [Rum00b] Michel Rumin. Sub-Riemannian limit of the differential form spectrum of contact manifolds. *Geometric & Functional Analysis GFA*, 10(2):407–452, 2000.
- [Tri20] Francesca Tripaldi. The Rumin complex on nilpotent Lie groups. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.10154*, 2020.
- [Vit22] Davide Vittone. Lipschitz graphs and currents in Heisenberg groups. In *Forum of Mathematics, Sigma*, volume 10. Cambridge University Press, 2022.

(V. Fischer) UNIVERSITY OF BATH, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, BATH, BA2 7AY, UK.

Email address: `v.c.m.fischer@bath.ac.uk`

(F. Tripaldi) MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITY OF BERN, SIDLERSTRASSE 5 3012 BERN, SWITZERLAND.

Email address: `francesca.tripaldi@unibe.ch`