

# On parametric resonance in the laser action

A. I. Komech

Faculty of Mathematics, University of Vienna  
alexander.komech@univie.ac.at

## Abstract

We consider semiclassical Maxwell–Schrödinger system for the solid state laser which consists of the Maxwell equations coupled to  $N \sim 10^{20}$  Schrödinger equations for active molecules with one active electron. The system includes time-periodic pumping and a weak dissipation. We introduce the corresponding Poincaré map  $P$  and consider the differential  $DP(Y^0)$  at suitable stationary state  $Y^0$ .

We conjecture that the *stable laser action* is due to the *parametric resonance* (PR) which means that the maximal absolute value of the corresponding multipliers is sufficiently large. The multipliers are defined as eigenvalues of  $DP(Y^0)$ . The PR makes the stationary state  $Y^0$  highly unstable, and we suppose that this instability maintains the *coherent laser radiation*.

We prove that the spectrum  $\text{Spec } DP(Y^0)$  is approximately symmetric with respect to the unit circle  $|\mu| = 1$  if the dissipation is sufficiently small. More detailed results are obtained for the Maxwell–Bloch system which is a finite-dimensional approximation of the Maxwell–Schrödinger system. The approximation consists of one-mode Maxwell field coupled to  $N$  two-level molecules. We calculate the corresponding Poincaré map  $P$  by successive approximations. The key role in checking the PR condition is played by the sum of  $N$  positive terms arising in the calculation of second-order approximation for the total current. This fact can be interpreted as the *synchronization of molecular currents* in all active molecules, which is provisionally in line with the *superradiance* and with the role of *stimulated emission* in the laser action. The calculation of the sum relies on probabilistic arguments which is one of main novelties of our approach. Other main novelties are i) the calculation of the differential  $DP(Y^0)$  in the “Hopf representation” which corresponds to the factorization of the dynamics by the action of the symmetry gauge group, ii) the block structure of the differential, and iii) the justification of the “rotating wave approximation” by a new estimate for the averaging of slow rotations.

The main peculiarity of the eigenvalue problem is that  $DP(Y^0)$  is a matrix of size  $\sim N \times N$  which depends on random distribution of active molecules in the resonance cavity. The block structure of  $DP(Y^0)$  allows us to reduce the eigenvalue problem to polynomial equation of degree six.

*MSC classification:* 78A60, 37N20, 81V80, 70K28, 35P30.

*Keywords:* laser; Maxwell–Schrödinger equations; Maxwell–Bloch equations; parametric resonance; Poincaré map; multiplier; spectrum; theorem of Lyapunov–Poincaré; perturbation theory; synchronization.

## Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Laser equations	3
3	Dipole approximation	5
4	Gauge transform	6
5	Decoupled system and eigenfunction expansions	6
6	Resonance condition	7
7	The Maxwell–Bloch equations	7
8	Parametric resonance	8
9	Symmetry of spectrum	8
10	The harmonic pumping	9
11	Molecular currents	9

<b>12 Time scaling</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>13 Parametric resonance for the Maxwell–Bloch equations</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>14 Successive approximations</b>	<b>12</b>
14.1 The first-order approximation . . . . .	14
14.2 The second-order approximation . . . . .	15
<b>15 The Poincaré map</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>16 Synchronisation of molecular currents</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>17 Factordynamics and the Hopf fibration</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>18 Differential of the Poincaré map on the factorspace</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>19 Block-matrix approximation</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>20 Reduction of spectral problem to polynomial equations</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>A On averaging of slow rotations</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>B Some integrals</b>	<b>25</b>
2.1 Integrals (14.27) . . . . .	25
2.2 Integrals (14.34) . . . . .	26
2.3 Integrals (15.5) . . . . .	26

## 1 Introduction

The article addresses the problems of laser and maser radiation. In the existing approaches to the problems, time-periodic pumping is not included in the dynamical equations, so the corresponding resonance features are absent. This fact was one of our main motivations. The today laser/maser theory exists on the following three levels.

*Rate equations.* This approach is based on balance equations for the numbers of emitted and absorbed photons [1, 13, 25, 30, 35].

*Semiclassical Maxwell–Schrödinger theory.* This theory is based on finite-dimensional approximations of the Schrödinger equations for each active molecule coupled to the classical Maxwell equations [1, 3, 13, 25, 30, 32, 35].

*Quantum Electrodynamics.* This approach is based on quantized Maxwell equations in the Dicke model [8, 13, 25, 30, 35] and [14]–[18].

The theory of the rate equation comes back to famous Einstein’s article [10] developing the theory of *stimulated and spontaneous emission and absorption*.

The semiclassical approach uses method of slowly varying amplitudes [25, Sections 6.2 and 6.3]. The formation of the coherent monochromatic radiation is explained by an instability in the equation for amplitude of the Maxwell mode under various hypothesis on the density of population of active molecules [25, Sections 6.5 and 6.6]. The criterion of the instability is formulated in terms of presence of an unstable (nonnegative) root of the corresponding characteristic equation ([25, (6.5.12) and (6.6.23)]).

The quantum theory of laser action relies on quantized Maxwell equations in the Dicke–Haken–Lax model [18]. This theory resulted in successful explanation of many laser phenomena: superradiance, photon echoes, self-induced transparency and others [11, 13, 25, 30, 32, 35]. The results by K. Hepp and E. Lieb [18] establish the phase transitions which occur in the thermodynamical limit as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  if the coupling constant is sufficiently large.

Note that the time-dependent pumping with a resonant frequency plays the crucial role sustaining the population inversion. At the same time, the existing theories [1, 3, 13, 24, 25, 30, 32, 35] ignore the time-dependence of the pumping, modelling the maintenance of the population inversion by *phenomenological constant terms*

in the corresponding dynamical equations; see [25, (6.4.11), (6.4.12)] for the semiclassical model and the beginning of Section 7.3 in [25] for the quantum model. So, these models do not include an external periodic pumping, and the resonance features are not considered.

In present article, we consider the semiclassical Maxwell–Schrödinger system for the solid state laser which consists of the Maxwell equations coupled to  $N \sim 10^{20}$  Schrödinger equations for active molecules with one active electron. The system includes time-periodic pumping and a weak dissipation. We introduce the corresponding Poincaré map  $P$  and consider its differential  $DP(Y^0)$  at suitable stationary state  $Y^0$ . Let us denote by  $\mu$  the multipliers which are eigenvalues of the differential  $DP(Y^0)$ . We conjecture that the laser action is due to the parametric resonance, when

$$\max_{\mu \in \text{Spec } DP(Y^0)} |\mu| > \rho \quad (1.1)$$

with sufficiently large  $\rho > 1$ . Note that for solutions to a linear dynamical equation with the spectrum satisfying (1.1), the components with  $|\mu| \leq 1$  are bounded, while the ones with  $|\mu| > 1$  grow exponentially for large times. As a result, *almost all* solutions grow exponentially. This is why we expect that under the condition (1.1), similar growth takes place in the case of sufficiently small nonlinear coupling (the growth only occurs for limited time because of nonlinear effects and dissipation). Thus, our point of view is in line with the conventional interpretation of the laser action as the appearance of exponentially growing solutions of the corresponding linearized dynamics, see ([25, (6.5.12) and (6.6.23)]).

**Remark 1.1.** We suppose that the overwhelming predominance of a single mode, corresponding to the maximal value of  $|\mu|$ , can be responsible for the *monochromatic laser radiation*.

Our main results are as follows.

I. We develop the Hamiltonian formalism for the ideal case, when the dissipation is absent: for the full Maxwell–Schrödinger system, for its dipole approximation, and for the gauge transform of the latter. Using this formalism and the Lyapunov–Poincaré theorem [34, (3.28)], we establish for all these systems the approximate symmetry of the spectrum  $\text{Spec } DP(Y^0)$  with respect to the unit circle  $|\mu| = 1$  if the dissipation is sufficiently small, see (9.7).

More detailed results (II and III below) are obtained for the Maxwell–Bloch system which is a finite-dimensional approximation of the Maxwell–Schrödinger system. The approximation consists of one-mode Maxwell field coupled to  $N$  two-level molecules. The system is time-periodic in the case of periodic pumping.

II. We calculate the corresponding Poincaré map  $P$  by successive approximations. The key role in checking the parametric resonance condition (1.1) is played by the sum of  $N$  positive terms (16.2) arising in the calculation of the second-order approximation for the total current. This fact can be interpreted as the *synchronization of molecular currents* in all active molecules, which is provisionally in line with the role of *stimulated emission* in the laser action. The calculation of the sum (16.4) relies on probabilistic arguments which is one of main novelties of our approach. Other main novelties are i) the calculation of the differential  $DP(Y^0)$  in the “Hopf representation” (Section 17) which corresponds to the factorization of the dynamics by the action of the symmetry gauge group, ii) the block structure of the differential (19.8), and iii) the justification of the “rotating wave approximation” by a new estimate for averaging of slow rotations (A.5).

III. We calculate suitable approximation for the matrix  $DP(Y^0)$  corresponding to material parameters of the ruby laser. The main peculiarity of the corresponding eigenvalue problem is that  $DP(Y^0)$  is a matrix of size  $\sim N \times N$  which depends on a random distribution of active molecules in the resonance cavity. Using the block structure of this matrix, we reduce the eigenvalue problem to polynomial equation of degree six relying on additional assumptions.

**Remark 1.2.** The condition (1.1) alone is not sufficient for the stable laser/maser action: to be sure that the energy of the Maxwell field increases indeed, we check that the projections of the corresponding eigenvectors onto the Maxwell field do not vanish, see (13.9) and Remark 20.1.

In conclusion, the case of quantum Maxwell field will be considered elsewhere.

## 2 Laser equations

We use the Heaviside–Lorentz units (*unrationalized Gaussian units*), in which the main physical constants (electron charge and mass etc) read [36, p. 221]

$$e = -4.8 \times 10^{-10} \text{esu}, \quad m = 9.1 \times 10^{-28} \text{g}, \quad \hbar = 1.055 \times 10^{-27} \text{erg} \cdot \text{s}, \quad c = 3.0 \times 10^{10} \text{cm/s}. \quad (2.1)$$

**Laser cavity and active molecules.** The laser resonator is a (usually cylindrical) cavity  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  with metallic walls (ideal conductor) connected with the output waveguide. In particular, for the typical cylindrical cavity of length  $12\text{ cm}$  and diameter  $0.6\text{ cm}$  we have

$$|V| = \frac{12\pi 0.36}{4} \approx 3.4\text{ cm}^3. \quad (2.2)$$

The cavity is filled with a medium with electrical conductivity  $\sigma$ . For example, the ruby laser is filled with corundum with electrical conductivity  $\sigma$

$$\sigma = 10^{-14}\text{ Om}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1} = 10^{-2}\text{ s}^{-1}. \quad (2.3)$$

The resonator cavity is filled with active molecules located at the points  $x_n$  with numbers  $n = 1, \dots, N \sim 10^{20}$ . Suppose, for simplicity of notation, that in each active molecule only one electron is involved in this interaction, and it is subject to an effective static molecular potential

$$\Phi_n(x) = \Phi(R_n(x - x_n)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad R_n \in SO(3). \quad (2.4)$$

Here  $\Phi$  is the potential of the ion (or nucleus) with the total charge  $|e| > 0$ . Further we consider two different hypothesis on the distribution of  $x_n$  and  $R_n$  with  $n = 1, \dots, N$ .

**H1. (Amorphous medium)** The values  $x_n \in V$  and  $R_n \in SO(3)$  are “almost uniformly distributed” and “almost independent”.

**H2. (Crystallin medium)** Rotations  $R_n$  do not depend on  $n = 1, \dots, N$ .

**Maxwell–Schrödinger equations.** Each active molecule is described by the corresponding wave function  $\psi_n(x, t)$ , and in Heaviside–Lorentz units, the corresponding Maxwell–Schrödinger system reads (see [4, 5, 6, 12, 20, 21, 22, 23, 26, 29, 33] for the equations without dissipation)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{c^2} \ddot{\mathbf{A}}(x, t) = \Delta \mathbf{A}(x, t) - \frac{\sigma}{c^2} \dot{\mathbf{A}}(x, t) + \frac{1}{c} \mathcal{P} \mathbf{j}(\cdot, t) \\ i\hbar \dot{\psi}_n(t) = H_n(t) \psi_n(t), \quad n = 1, \dots, N \end{array} \right\}, \quad x \in V, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $\sigma > 0$  is electrical conductivity of the cavity medium. We assume the Coulomb gauge [19]

$$\text{div } \mathbf{A}(x, t) \equiv 0, \quad (2.6)$$

and we denote by  $\mathcal{P}$  the orthogonal projection onto divergent-free vector fields in the Hilbert space  $L^2(V) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$ . Further,

$$H_n(t) := \frac{1}{2m} D^2(t) + e\Phi_n(x). \quad (2.7)$$

Here

$$D(t) = -i\hbar \nabla - \frac{e}{c} [\mathbf{A}(x, t) + \mathbf{A}_p(x, t)], \quad (2.8)$$

where  $\mathbf{A}_p(x, t)$  is an external pumping potential, also in the Coulomb gauge and time-periodic:

$$\text{div } \mathbf{A}_p(x, t) \equiv 0; \quad \mathbf{A}_p(x, t + T) = \mathbf{A}_p(x, t), \quad t > 0, \quad (2.9)$$

where  $T > 0$ . The pumping is delivered to the cavity through the special window and it is a solution to the free Maxwell equations in the cavity. The current density is defined by

$$\mathbf{j}(x, t) = \frac{e}{m} \sum_n \text{Re} [\bar{\psi}_n(x, t) D(t) \psi_n(x, t)]. \quad (2.10)$$

This formula neglects overlapping of the supports of the wave functions since the distance between active molecules is sufficiently large: it is of order 10 molecular diameters when the density of active molecules is of order  $10^{20}$ .

**Boundary conditions.** We choose the boundary conditions modelling ideally conducting diamagnetic materials (like cooper, silver, gold, etc). More precisely, we assume that

$$A^0(x, t) = 0, \quad \mathbf{n}(x) \times \mathbf{A}(x, t) = 0; \quad \psi_n(x, t) = 0, \quad n = 1, \dots, N; \quad x \in \Gamma, \quad (2.11)$$

where  $\mathbf{n}(x)$  is the outward normal to  $\Gamma$  at a point  $x \in \Gamma$ . Under the Dirichlet boundary conditions for the wave functions, the total charge of each active molecule is constant, i.e.,

$$\int_V |\psi_n(x, t)| dx = 1, \quad t > 0; \quad n = 1, \dots, N. \quad (2.12)$$

**Hamiltonian structure.** In the *ideal case*, when  $\sigma = 0$ , the system (2.5), under the boundary conditions (2.11), is formally Hamiltonian, with the Hamiltonian functional (which is the energy up to a factor)

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{\Pi}, \boldsymbol{\psi}, t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left\| \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{\Pi} \right\|^2 + \|\text{rot } \mathbf{A}\|^2 \right] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \langle \psi_n, H_n(\mathbf{A}, t) \psi_n \rangle, \quad (2.13)$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\psi} := (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_N)$ ,  $\|\cdot\|$  stands for the norm in the real Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$ , and the brackets  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  stand for the inner product in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . The Schrödinger operators are defined by (2.7), (2.8):

$$H_n(\mathbf{A}, t) := \frac{1}{2m} \left[ -i\hbar \nabla - \frac{e}{c} (\mathbf{A}(x) + \mathbf{A}_p(x, t)) \right]^2 + e\Phi_n(x). \quad (2.14)$$

The system (2.5) with  $\sigma \geq 0$  can be written in the Hamiltonian form with variational derivatives,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{c^2} \dot{\mathbf{A}}(t) = D_{\mathbf{\Pi}} \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{A}(t), \mathbf{\Pi}(t), \boldsymbol{\psi}(t), t), \quad \frac{1}{c^2} \dot{\mathbf{\Pi}}(t) = -D_{\mathbf{A}} \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{A}(t), \mathbf{\Pi}(t), \boldsymbol{\psi}(t), t) - \frac{\sigma}{c^2} \dot{\mathbf{A}}(x, t) \\ i\hbar \dot{\psi}_n(t) = D_{\psi_n} \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{A}(t), \mathbf{\Pi}(t), \boldsymbol{\psi}(t), t) = H_n(\mathbf{A}(t), \boldsymbol{\psi}(t), t) \psi_n(t), \end{array} \right. \quad (2.15)$$

**Symmetry group.** For any  $\theta_n \in \mathbb{R}$ , the functions  $\mathbf{A}(x, t)$ ,  $e^{i\theta_n} \psi_n(x, t)$  are solutions of the system (2.5) if  $\mathbf{A}(x, t)$ ,  $\psi_n(x, t)$  is a solution. In other words, the dynamics (2.5) under the boundary conditions (2.11) commutes with the action of the *symmetry group*  $G := [U(1)]^N$  with the action

$$(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_N})(\mathbf{A}, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_N) = (\mathbf{A}, e^{i\theta_1} \psi_1, \dots, e^{i\theta_N} \psi_N). \quad (2.16)$$

### 3 Dipole approximation

The theory admits a significant simplification in the case when the wavelength of the pumping (10.3) is large with respect to the size of active molecules  $D$ :

$$\lambda = 2\pi c / |\Omega_p| \gg D. \quad (3.1)$$

**Example.** This condition holds for the ruby laser since the wavelength  $\lambda \approx 7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}$  while the size of the chromium molecule is

$$D \approx 2.5 \text{ pm} = 2.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}. \quad (3.2)$$

Taking into account the condition (3.1), we approximate the system (2.5) by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{c^2} \ddot{\mathbf{A}}(x, t) = \Delta \mathbf{A}(x, t) - \frac{\sigma}{c} \dot{\mathbf{A}}(x, t) + \frac{1}{c} \hat{\mathbf{P}} \mathbf{j}(x, t) \\ i\hbar \dot{\psi}_n(x, t) = \hat{H}_n(t) \psi_n(t), \quad n = 1, \dots, N \end{array} \right. \quad x \in V. \quad (3.3)$$

Here

$$\hat{H}_n(t) := \frac{1}{2m} D_n^2(t) + e\Phi_n(x), \quad D_n(t) = -i\hbar \nabla - \frac{e}{c} [\mathbf{A}(x, t) + \mathbf{A}_p(x_n, t)], \quad (3.4)$$

The current (2.10) in the dipole approximation becomes

$$\hat{\mathbf{j}}(x, t) = \frac{e}{m} \text{Re} \sum_n \bar{\psi}_n(x, t) D_n(t) \psi_n(x, t). \quad (3.5)$$

The system (3.3) with  $\sigma = 0$  is also Hamiltonian with the Hamilton functional

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{\Pi}, \boldsymbol{\psi}, t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left\| \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{\Pi} \right\|^2 + \|\text{rot } \mathbf{A}\|^2 \right] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \langle \psi_n, \hat{H}_n(\mathbf{A}, t) \psi_n \rangle, \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$\hat{H}_n(\mathbf{A}, t) := \frac{1}{2m} D_n^2(\mathbf{A}, t) + e\Phi_n(x), \quad D_n(\mathbf{A}, t) = -i\hbar \nabla - \frac{e}{c} [\mathbf{A}(x) + \mathbf{A}_p(x_n, t)], \quad (3.7)$$

## 4 Gauge transform

The dipole approximation (3.3) allows us to apply the gauge transform [32, (5.1.15)]:

$$\psi_n(x, t) = e^{i\chi_n(x, t)} \tilde{\psi}_n(x, t), \quad \chi_n(x, t) := \frac{e}{\hbar c} (x - x_n) [\mathbf{A}(x_n, t) + \mathbf{A}_p(x_n, t)]. \quad (4.1)$$

Now the dipole approximation (3.3) becomes

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{c^2} \ddot{\mathbf{A}}(x, t) = \Delta \mathbf{A}(x, t) - \frac{\sigma}{c} \dot{\mathbf{A}}(x, t) + \frac{1}{c} P \mathbf{j}(x, t) \\ i\hbar \dot{\tilde{\psi}}_n(x, t) = \tilde{H}_n(t) \tilde{\psi}_n(t), \quad n = 1, \dots, N \end{array} \right|, \quad x \in V. \quad (4.2)$$

The Schrödinger operator now reads

$$\tilde{H}_n(t) = -\frac{1}{2m} (-i\hbar \nabla - \frac{e}{c} [\mathbf{A}(x, t) - \mathbf{A}(x_n, t)])^2 + e\Phi_n(x) + \hbar \dot{\chi}_n(x, t) \approx -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \Delta + e\Phi_n(x), \quad (4.3)$$

where we used the condition (3.1). The current (3.5) now reads as

$$\mathbf{j}(x, t) = \frac{e}{m} \text{Re} \sum_n \bar{\tilde{\psi}}_n(x, t) (-i\hbar \nabla - \frac{e}{c} [\mathbf{A}(x, t) - \mathbf{A}(x_n, t)]) \tilde{\psi}_n(x, t) \approx \frac{e}{m} \text{Re} \sum_n \bar{\tilde{\psi}}_n(x, t) (-i\hbar \nabla) \tilde{\psi}_n(x, t). \quad (4.4)$$

## 5 Decoupled system and eigenfunction expansions

The Maxwell–Schrödinger system (2.15) can be written as

$$\dot{Y}(t) = \mathcal{F}(Y(t), t), \quad (5.1)$$

where we denote

$$Y(t) := (\mathbf{A}(t), \mathbf{\Pi}(t), \psi(t)). \quad (5.2)$$

**Decoupled system.** The system (5.1) is a weak perturbation of the corresponding “decoupled” system obtained by neglecting the interaction terms with the coupling constant  $e/c$ :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{\mathbf{A}}(x, t) = \mathbf{\Pi}(x, t) \\ \frac{1}{c^2} \dot{\mathbf{A}}(x, t) = \Delta \mathbf{A}(x, t) - \frac{\sigma}{c^2} \dot{\mathbf{A}}(x, t) \\ i\hbar \dot{\psi}_n(t) = H_n^0 \psi_n(t), \quad n = 1, \dots, N \end{array} \right|, \quad x \in V, \quad (5.3)$$

where

$$H_n^0 := -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \Delta + e\Phi_n(x). \quad (5.4)$$

**Schrödinger modes.** The system (5.3) can be solved explicitly using the orthonormal eigenfunctions of  $H_n^0$ :

$$H_n^0 \varphi_{n,l}(x) = \omega_{n,l} \varphi_{n,l}(x), \quad l = 1, 2, \dots \quad (5.5)$$

under the Dirichlet boundary conditions (2.11). Hence, the properties of the system (5.1) can be analyzed by perturbation methods.

With a great accuracy, the eigenvalues  $\omega_{n,l} = \omega_l$  do not depend on  $n$ , and

$$\omega_1 < \omega_2 \leq \dots \quad (5.6)$$

The decoupled system (5.3) admits the stationary solutions

$$Y^0(t) \equiv (0, 0, (e^{-i\omega_{l(n)}t} \varphi_{n,l(n)} : n = 1, \dots, N)). \quad (5.7)$$

**Maxwell modes.** The first equation of the decoupled system (5.3) can be solved using the orthonormal eigenmodes

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Delta \mathbf{X}_v(x) = -\frac{\Omega_v^2}{c^2} \mathbf{X}_v(x), \quad \text{div } \mathbf{X}_v(x) = 0, \quad x \in V \\ \mathbf{n}(x) \times \mathbf{X}_v(x) = 0, \quad \mathbf{n}(x) \cdot \text{rot } \mathbf{X}_v(x) = 0, \quad x \in \partial V \end{array} \right|, \quad (5.8)$$

and  $\Omega_v > 0$ . We suppose that the structure of the eigenmodes  $\mathbf{X}_v$  is similar to the one of the eigenfunctions

$$\sqrt{\frac{8}{l_1 l_2 l_3}} \sin \frac{k_1 x_1}{l_1} \sin \frac{k_2 x_2}{l_2} \sin \frac{k_3 x_3}{l_3} \approx 1.6 \sin \frac{k_1 x_1}{l_1} \sin \frac{k_2 x_2}{l_2} \sin \frac{k_3 x_3}{l_3}, \quad k_1, k_2, k_3 = 1, \dots \quad (5.9)$$

of rectangular cuboid  $V = [0, l_1] \times [0, l_2] \times [0, l_3]$  with  $l_1 = 12\text{cm}$  and  $l_2 = l_3 = 0.5\text{cm}$ , under the Dirichlet boundary conditions. Then, in particular,

$$\frac{\int |\mathbf{X}_v(x)| dx}{|V|} \approx 1.6 \frac{8}{\pi^3} \approx 0.4, \quad (5.10)$$

**Eigenfunction expansions.** We will expand  $\tilde{\psi}_n(\cdot, t)$  in the orthonormal eigenfunctions (5.5):

$$\tilde{\psi}_n(x, t) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} c_{n,l}(t) e^{-i\omega_l t} \varphi_{n,l}(x) = e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} H_n^0 t} \phi_n(t), \quad \phi_n(t) := \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} c_{n,l}(t) \varphi_{n,l}(x). \quad (5.11)$$

Now each Schrödinger equation in (4.2) with  $n = 1, \dots, N$  is equivalent to the system

$$\dot{c}_{n,l}(t) = -i \sum_{l'} e^{-i\omega_{l,l'} t} \langle \dot{\chi}_n(x, t) \varphi_{n,l'}(x), \varphi_{n,l}(x) \rangle c_{n,l'}(t), \quad l \geq 1; \quad \omega_{l,l'} = \omega_{l'} - \omega_l. \quad (5.12)$$

Similarly, the Maxwell field can be expanded in the orthonormal eigenmodes (5.8):

$$\mathbf{A}(x, t) = \sum_v a_v(t) \mathbf{X}_v(x). \quad (5.13)$$

Now the Maxwell equation in (4.2) is equivalent to the system

$$\ddot{a}_v(t) + c\sigma \dot{a}_v(t) + \Omega_v^2 a_v(t) = c \langle \mathbf{j}(t), \mathbf{X}_v \rangle, \quad v = 1, \dots \quad (5.14)$$

## 6 Resonance condition

The resonance condition means that

$$\Delta = \Omega_p = \Omega_{\bar{v}}, \quad \Delta := \omega_a - \omega_b, \quad (6.1)$$

where  $\omega_b < \omega_a$  are some eigenvalues (5.6),  $\Omega_{\bar{v}}$  is one of the Maxwell eigenfrequencies (5.8), and  $\Omega_p = 2\pi/T$  is the frequency of the pumping (2.9).

We can renumerate the eigenfunctions  $\varphi_{n,l}$  in such a way that  $b = 1$  and  $a = 2$ . Then the decoupled system (5.3) admits solutions (5.7) with  $l(n) \equiv 1$ :

$$Y^0(t) \equiv (0, 0, (e^{-i\omega_1 t} \varphi_{n,1} : n = 1, \dots, N)). \quad (6.2)$$

The perturbation theory allows us to calculate solutions to the coupled system (2.5) which are close to (6.2). We expect that these solutions to (2.5) are rapidly growing due to the resonance condition (6.1) and the parametric resonance (1.1).

## 7 The Maxwell–Bloch equations

Now we consider the finite-dimensional Faedo–Galerkin approximation of the Maxwell–Schrödinger system (5.1). Namely, we will consider solutions

$$\mathbf{A}(x, t) = a(t) \mathbf{X}_{\bar{v}}(x), \quad \tilde{\psi}_n(x, t) = c_{n,1}(t) \varphi_{n,1}(x) + c_{n,2}(t) \varphi_{n,2}(x) \quad (7.1)$$

which satisfy the system consisting of two equations (5.12) with  $n = 1$  and  $n = 2$  for each  $n = 1, \dots, N$ , and one equation of (5.14) with  $v = \bar{v}$ . Below, we will write  $a(t)$ ,  $\Omega(\tau)$  and  $\mathbf{X}(x)$  instead of  $a_{\bar{v}}(t)$ ,  $\Omega_{\bar{v}}(\tau)$  and  $\mathbf{X}_{\bar{v}}(x)$  respectively. Thus, the approximation of the Maxwell–Schrödinger equations reads as the Maxwell–Bloch equations

$$\ddot{a}(t) + c\sigma \dot{a}(t) + \Omega^2 a(t) = c \langle \mathbf{j}(t), \mathbf{X} \rangle, \quad (7.2)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{c}_{n,1}(t) = -i \sum_{l'=1}^2 e^{-i\omega_{1,l'} t} \langle \dot{\chi}_n(x, t) \varphi_{n,l'}(x), \varphi_{n,1}(x) \rangle c_{n,l'}(t) \\ \dot{c}_{n,2}(t) = -i \sum_{l'=1}^2 e^{-i\omega_{2,l'} t} \langle \dot{\chi}_n(x, t) \varphi_{n,l'}(x), \varphi_{n,2}(x) \rangle c_{n,l'}(t) \end{array} \right|, \quad n = 1, \dots, N. \quad (7.3)$$



## 8 Parametric resonance

Let us denote  $L^2 = L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . The system (2.15) can be written as the dynamical equation on the Hilbert phase space  $\mathbb{Y} = [L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3]^2 \oplus [L^2]^{2N}$ :

$$\dot{Y}(t) = \mathcal{F}(Y(t), t), \quad (8.1)$$

where we denote  $Y(t) := (\mathbf{A}(t), \mathbf{\Pi}(t), (\psi_n(t) : n = 1, \dots, N))$ . The system is  $T$ -periodic by (2.9), i.e.,

$$\mathcal{F}(Y, t + T) \equiv \mathcal{F}(Y, t). \quad (8.2)$$

The corresponding Poincaré map is defined by

$$\mathcal{P} : Y(0) \mapsto Y(T). \quad (8.3)$$

Let us call as “ground states” all values of the solution (6.2). In particular,

$$Y^0 = (0, 0, (\varphi_{n,1} : n = 1, \dots, N)). \quad (8.4)$$

**Definition 8.1.** The parametric resonance PR occurs when the condition (1.1) holds with a sufficiently large  $\rho > 1$ .

**Remark 8.2.** The concepts of the Poincaré map and parametric resonance are applicable equally to the dipole approximation (3.3), its gauge transform (4.2), and to the Maxwell–Bloch equations (7.2)–(7.3).

## 9 Symmetry of spectrum

In the *ideal case*, when  $\sigma = 0$ , the dissipation is absent, and the system (8.1) is Hamiltonian by (2.15), so it can be written as

$$\dot{Y}(t) = JD_Y \mathcal{H}(Y(t), t), \quad (9.1)$$

where  $J$  is a skewadjoint operator. Moreover, changing the units, we can assume that  $J^2 = -1$ . In these units the following lemma holds.

**Lemma 9.1.** (Lyapunov–Poincaré theorem) *In the case  $\sigma = 0$ , the symmetry holds*

$$\text{Spec } DP(Y^0) = R(\text{Spec } DP(Y^0)), \quad (9.2)$$

where  $R\mu = \bar{\mu}^{-1}$  is the inversion, which is the reflection in the unit circle  $|\mu| = 1$ .

*Proof.* The differential  $DP(Y^0)$  admits the representation

$$DP(Y^0)\mathbf{Y}(0) = \mathbf{Y}(T), \quad (9.3)$$

where  $\mathbf{Y}(t)$  is the solution to the linearized equation

$$\dot{\mathbf{Y}}(t) = K(t)\mathbf{Y}(t), \quad K(t) = D_Y \mathcal{F}(Y^0(t), t). \quad (9.4)$$

However, (9.1) implies that

$$\mathcal{F}(Y, t) = JD_Y \mathcal{H}(Y, t),$$

and therefore,

$$K(t) = JD_Y^2 \mathcal{H}(Y^0(t), t) = JA(t), \quad (9.5)$$

where  $A(t)$  is the selfadjoint operator. Denote the map  $U(t) : \mathbf{Y}(0) \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}(t)$ , so  $DP(X^0) = U(2\pi)$  by (9.3). Finally, the Lyapunov–Poincaré theorem [34, (3.28)] implies that  $\text{Spec } U^* = \text{Spec } U^{-1}$ , where  $U = U(2\pi)$ . Hence, the symmetry (9.2) is proved.  $\square$

Recall the proof of the Lyapunov–Poincaré theorem [34, (3.28)]. We have  $\dot{U}(t) = JA(t)U(t)$ . Hence, differentiating  $V(t) := U^*(t)JU(t)$ , we obtain

$$\dot{V} = \dot{U}^*JU + U^*J\dot{U} = U^*A(-J^2)U + U^*J^2AU = 0. \quad (9.6)$$

Therefore,  $U^*JU = J$ , and hence  $U^* = JU^{-1}J^{-1}$ . This similarity implies that the spectra of  $U^*$  and  $U^{-1}$  coincide.



**Remark 9.2.** The symmetry (9.2) can be considered as a nonlinear version of the Lyapunov–Poincaré theorem, which plays the key role in M.G. Krein’s theory of parametric resonance [7, 34]. The ground for this extension is the Hamiltonian structure of the dynamics (9.1).

Thus, in the ideal case, the set of multipliers is symmetric with respect to the unit circle. In general case, when the dissipation is included, the symmetry (9.2) is broken, though it holds approximately for sufficiently small  $\sigma > 0$ :

$$\text{Spec } DP(Y^0) \approx R(\text{Spec } DP(Y^0)) \quad (9.7)$$

in the units with  $J^2 = -1$ .

**Remark 9.3.** Similar approximate symmetry holds in the case of small  $\sigma > 0$  for the dipole approximation (3.3), its gauge transform (4.2), and the Maxwell–Bloch equations (7.2)–(7.3).

## 10 The harmonic pumping

We consider the Maxwell–Schrödinger system (2.5) with the pumping  $\mathbf{A}_p(x, t)$ , which is switched on at  $t = 0$  and is monochromatic, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{A}_p(x, t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t < 0 \\ \mathbf{a}_p(x) \sin \Omega_p t, & t > 0 \end{cases}, \quad (10.1)$$

where  $\Omega_p$  is the pumping frequency. For example, for the ruby laser the wavelength and the resonant pumping frequency are

$$\lambda = 694.3 \text{ nm} = 694.3 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m} \approx 7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}, \quad \Omega_p = \frac{2\pi c}{\lambda} = \frac{19 \times 10^{10}}{7 \times 10^{-5}} \approx 3 \times 10^{15} \text{ s}^{-1}. \quad (10.2)$$

**The magnitude of pumping.** The pumping field in a neighborhood of any active molecule is approximately a plane wave

$$\mathbf{A}_p(x, t) \approx \mathbf{a}_p \sin(\Omega_p t - kx), \quad |k| = |\Omega_p|/c, \quad (10.3)$$

or a mixture of such waves. Let us estimate the pumping field in a typical cylindrical cavity of length  $12 \text{ cm}$  and diameter  $0.6 \text{ cm}$  illuminated by the pumping lamp of the typical power  $W = 1 \text{ Kwt} = 10^{10} \text{ erg/s}$ . The side surface  $S$  of the cavity is about  $12\pi \cdot 0.6 \text{ cm}^2 \approx 23 \text{ cm}^2$ . The intensity  $I$  of the plane wave (10.3) is  $\frac{ck^2|\mathbf{a}_p|^2}{2} \frac{\text{erg/s}}{\text{cm}^2}$ , so

$$W = IS = \frac{ck^2|\mathbf{a}_p|^2}{2} 12\pi \cdot 0.6 = 10^{10}. \quad (10.4)$$

Substituting  $|k| = |\Omega_p|/c$ , we find

$$\frac{\Omega_p^2|\mathbf{a}_p|^2}{2c} 23 = 10^{10}. \quad (10.5)$$

For example, for the ruby laser with frequency (10.2), we have

$$|\mathbf{a}_p|^2 \approx \frac{c}{\Omega_p^2} \times 10^9 = 0.3 \times 10^{-11}. \quad (10.6)$$

Finally,

$$|\mathbf{a}_p| \approx 1.7 \times 10^{-6}. \quad (10.7)$$

## 11 Molecular currents

By (3.1), the matrix entries in (7.3) read as

$$\begin{aligned} b_n^{ll'}(t) &:= \langle \dot{\chi}_n(x, t) \varphi_{n,l'}(x), \varphi_{n,l}(x) \rangle \approx \frac{e}{\hbar c} [\dot{\mathbf{A}}(x_n, t) + \dot{\mathbf{A}}_p(x_n, t)] \langle (x - x_n) \varphi_{n,l'}(x), \varphi_{n,l}(x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{e}{\hbar c} [\dot{a}_1(t) \mathbf{X}(x_n) + \mathbf{a}_p(x_n) \Omega_p \cos \Omega_p t] \mathbf{P}_n^{ll'} \\ &= \frac{\mathbf{P}_n^{ll'}}{\hbar c} [\dot{a}_1(t) \mathbf{X}(x_n) + \mathbf{a}_p(x_n) \Omega_p \cos \Omega_p t], \quad l, l' = 1, 2, \end{aligned} \quad (11.1)$$

where  $\mathbf{P}_n^{ll'}$  are the dipole momenta

$$\mathbf{P}_n^{ll'} := e \langle \varphi_{n,l'}(x), (x - x_n) \varphi_{n,l}(x) \rangle. \quad (11.2)$$

These momenta are real vectors since we have chosen real eigenfunctions  $\varphi_{n,l}(x)$ .

**Remark 11.1.** In the case of the ruby laser, the matrix of type (11.2) corresponds to the dipole momenta of chromium ions  $\text{Cr}^{3+}$ . For definiteness of calculations, we accept

$$|\mathbf{P}| \approx 4 \text{ Debye} = 4 \times 10^{-18} \text{ esu} \cdot \text{cm}, \quad (11.3)$$

which is the *permanent dipole moment* of chromium oxide molecules  $\text{CrO}$ ; see [9, p. 10]. However, actual magnitude of the matrix elements (11.2) in the case of the ruby laser must be revised.

From now on, we will assume that the potential  $\Phi(x)$  is an even function, i.e.,

$$\Phi(-x) = \Phi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (11.4)$$

In this case, all eigenfunctions  $\varphi_{n,l}$  can be chosen odd or even, and hence,

$$\mathbf{P}_n^{11} = \mathbf{P}_n^{22} = 0. \quad (11.5)$$

Therefore, the system (7.3) reads as

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{c}_{n,1}(t) = -ib_n(t)e^{-i\Delta t}c_{n,2}(t) \\ \dot{c}_{n,2}(t) = -ib_n(t)e^{i\Delta t}c_{n,1}(t) \end{array} \right\}, \quad n = 1, \dots, N, \quad (11.6)$$

where we denote

$$b_n(t) := \frac{1}{\hbar c} [\dot{a}_1(t) \mathbf{P}_n \mathbf{X}_1(x_n) + \mathbf{P}_n \mathbf{a}_p(x_n) \Omega_p \cos \Omega_p t], \quad \mathbf{P}_n := \mathbf{P}_n^{12}. \quad (11.7)$$

The matrix of this system is skew-symmetric, which corresponds to the electron charge conservation in each active molecule i.e.,

$$|c_{n,1}(t)|^2 + |c_{n,2}(t)|^2 = 1, \quad t > 0, \quad n = 1, \dots, N. \quad (11.8)$$

We now calculate the current (4.4) corresponding to the wave functions (7.1), and the right hand side of (5.14) with  $\mathbf{X}_v = \mathbf{X}$ : taking into account the dipole approximation (3.1), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{j}(t), \mathbf{X} \rangle &\approx \frac{e\hbar}{m} \sum_n \mathbf{X}(x_n) \text{Im} \left\{ \bar{c}_{n,1}(t) c_{n,2}(t) e^{-i\Delta t} \int \bar{\varphi}_{n,1}(x) \nabla \varphi_{n,2}(x) dx + \bar{c}_{n,2}(t) c_{n,1}(t) e^{i\Delta t} \int \bar{\varphi}_{n,2}(x) \nabla \varphi_{n,1}(x) dx \right\} \\ &= 2\Delta \sum_n \mathbf{P}_n \mathbf{X}(x_n) \text{Im} \left\{ \bar{c}_{n,1}(t) c_{n,2}(t) e^{-i\Delta t} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (11.9)$$

since

$$\int \bar{\varphi}_{n,1} \nabla \varphi_{n,2} dx = \frac{\Delta m}{\hbar} \int \bar{\varphi}_{n,1} x \varphi_{n,2} dx = \frac{\Delta m}{\hbar} \frac{\mathbf{P}_n}{e} \quad (11.10)$$

by [31, (44.20)].

## 12 Time scaling

Now the Maxwell–Bloch equations (7.2)–(7.3) become

$$\ddot{a}(t) + \Omega^2 a(t) + c\sigma \dot{a}(t) = j(t) := 2c\Delta \sum_n \mathbf{P}_n \mathbf{X}(x_n) \text{Im} \left\{ \bar{c}_{n,1}(t) c_{n,2}(t) e^{-i\Delta t} \right\}, \quad (12.1)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{c}_{n,1}(t) = -ib_n(t)e^{-i\Delta t}c_{n,2}(t) \\ \dot{c}_{n,2}(t) = -ib_n(t)e^{i\Delta t}c_{n,1}(t) \end{array} \right\}, \quad n = 1, \dots, N. \quad (12.2)$$

Let us transform the system (12.1) to the natural time scale

$$\tau := \Omega_p t \approx 3 \times 10^{15} t, \quad (12.3)$$

in which the period of pumping is  $2\pi$ . Below, we denote any function  $f(t)$  in the units of time (12.3) also as  $f(\tau)$ . In particular, (11.7) becomes

$$b_n(\tau) = \frac{\Omega_p}{\hbar c} [\partial_\tau a(\tau) \mathbf{P}_n \mathbf{X}(x_n) + \mathbf{P}_n \mathbf{a}_p(x_n) \cos \tau]. \quad (12.4)$$

Now the Maxwell–Bloch equations (12.1), (12.2) read as

$$\partial_\tau^2 a(\tau) + \sigma_1 \partial_\tau a(\tau) + \frac{\Omega^2}{\Omega_p^2} a(\tau) = j(\tau), \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_\tau c_{n,1}(\tau) = -i \frac{b_n(\tau)}{\Omega_p} e^{-i \frac{\Delta}{\Omega_p} \tau} c_{n,2}(\tau) \\ \partial_\tau c_{n,2}(\tau) = -i \frac{b_n(\tau)}{\Omega_p} e^{i \frac{\Delta}{\Omega_p} \tau} c_{n,1}(\tau) \end{array} \right\}, \quad (12.5)$$

where

$$j(\tau) := \frac{2c\Delta}{\Omega_p^2} \sum_n \mathbf{P}_n \mathbf{X}(x_n) \text{Im} \left\{ \bar{c}_{n,1}(\tau) c_{n,2}(\tau) e^{-i \frac{\Delta}{\Omega_p} \tau} \right\} \quad (12.6)$$

and

$$\sigma_1 := \frac{c\sigma}{\Omega_p} \approx \sigma 10^{-5} \approx 10^{-7}. \quad (12.7)$$

Let us denote the real constants

$$\alpha_n = \frac{2c}{\Omega_p} \mathbf{P}_n \mathbf{X}(x_n), \quad \beta_n = \frac{\mathbf{P}_n \mathbf{X}(x_n)}{\hbar c}, \quad \gamma_n = \frac{\mathbf{P}_n \mathbf{a}_p(x_n)}{\hbar c}. \quad (12.8)$$

Then the system (12.5) becomes

$$\ddot{a}(\tau) + \sigma_1 \dot{a}(\tau) + a(\tau) = j(\tau), \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{c}_{n,1}(\tau) = -i \omega_n(\tau) c_{n,2}(\tau) \\ \dot{c}_{n,2}(\tau) = -i \bar{\omega}_n(\tau) c_{n,1}(\tau) \end{array} \right\}, \quad n = 1, \dots, N, \quad (12.9)$$

where we denote  $\dot{a} := \partial_\tau a$ , etc, and

$$j(\tau) = \sum_n \alpha_n \text{Im} \left\{ \bar{c}_{n,1}(\tau) c_{n,2}(\tau) e^{-i\tau} \right\}, \quad \omega_n(\tau) = [\beta_n \dot{a}(\tau) + \gamma_n \cos \tau] e^{-i\tau}, \quad (12.10)$$

where we used that  $\Delta = \Omega_p$  according to (6.1). The matrix of system (12.9) is skew-adjoint, and the charge conservation holds: similarly to (11.8),

$$|c_{n,1}(\tau)|^2 + |c_{n,2}(\tau)|^2 = 1, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (12.11)$$

All coefficients  $\alpha_n$ ,  $\beta_n$  and  $\gamma_n$  are small. In particular, for the ruby laser with the chromium dipole moment (11.3), and the pumping frequency (10.2), we have

$$\frac{c|\mathbf{P}_n|}{\Omega_p} \sim \frac{12 \times 10^{10} 10^{-18}}{3 \times 10^{15}} \sim 4 \times 10^{-23}, \quad \frac{|\mathbf{P}_n|}{\hbar c} \sim \frac{4 \times 10^{-18}}{10^{-27} 3 \times 10^{10}} \sim 1.3 \times 10^{-1}. \quad (12.12)$$

Now (5.10) and (10.7) imply that

$$|\alpha_n| \sim 10^{-23}, \quad |\beta_n| \sim 2 \times 10^{-2}, \quad |\gamma_n| \sim 2.2 \times 10^{-7}. \quad (12.13)$$

### 13 Parametric resonance for the Maxwell–Bloch equations

Let us reformulate the concept of parametric resonance (1.1) for the system (12.9). In the case of zero pumping  $\mathbf{a}_p = 0$ , this system admits “ground states” which are stationary solutions

$$X^0(t) \equiv (a^0, b^0, (c_n^0 : n = 1, \dots, N)) \quad (13.1)$$

with

$$a^0 = b^0 = 0; \quad c_n^0 = (e^{-i\theta_n}, 0), \quad n = 1, \dots, N, \quad (13.2)$$

which correspond to initial states of the laser before the pumping is switched on.

Let us write the system (12.9) as

$$\dot{a}(\tau) = b(\tau), \quad \dot{b}(\tau) + \sigma_1 b(\tau) + a(\tau) = j(\tau), \quad \dot{c}_n(\tau) = -i\Omega_n(\tau)c_n(\tau), \quad n = 1, \dots, N, \quad (13.3)$$

where we denote

$$c_n(\tau) = (c_{n,1}(\tau), c_{n,2}(\tau)), \quad \Omega_n(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega_n(\tau) \\ \bar{\omega}_n(\tau) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \omega_n(\tau) = [\beta_n b(\tau) + \gamma_n \cos \tau]e^{-i\tau}. \quad (13.4)$$

The system (13.3) can be written as

$$\dot{X}(\tau) = F(X(\tau), \tau), \quad (13.5)$$

where we denote

$$X(\tau) := (a(\tau), b(\tau), (c_n(\tau) : n = 1, \dots, N)). \quad (13.6)$$

This system (13.5) is  $2\pi$ -periodic, i.e.,

$$F(X, \tau + 2\pi) \equiv F(X, \tau). \quad (13.7)$$

The corresponding Poincaré map is defined by

$$P : X(0) \mapsto X(2\pi). \quad (13.8)$$

**Definition 13.1.** The parametric resonance (PR) occurs when the condition (1.1) (with  $X^0$  instead of  $Y^0$ ) holds with a sufficiently large  $\rho > 0$ .

We also need to check the additional condition for all the corresponding eigenvectors  $\mathbf{X} := (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, (\mathbf{c}_n : n = 1, \dots, N))$ :

$$(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \neq 0, \quad (13.9)$$

which guarantees an exponential increment of the Maxwell field. We have verified this condition in the case of ruby laser; see Remark 20.1.

**Remark 13.2.** Similarly to (9.7), for sufficiently small  $\sigma_1 > 0$ , we have

$$\text{Spec } DP(X^0) \approx R(\text{Spec } DP(X^0)). \quad (13.10)$$

## 14 Successive approximations

We will construct the Poincaré map (13.8) calculating successive approximations for the equations (13.3) on the interval  $[0, 2\pi]$  with initial data

$$a(0), \quad b(0); \quad c_n(0), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N. \quad (14.1)$$

which are close to the set of stationary states (13.2), i.e.,

$$|a(0)| + |b(0)| + \sum_n ||c_{n,1}(0)| - 1| + |c_{n,2}(0)| \leq \varepsilon, \quad (14.2)$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is sufficiently small. As the *zero-order approximation*, we choose the stationary solutions (13.1):

$$a^{(0)}(\tau) \equiv b^{(0)}(\tau) \equiv 0; \quad c_{n,1}^{(0)}(\tau) \equiv e^{-i\theta_n}, \quad c_{n,2}^{(0)}(\tau) \equiv 0 \quad (14.3)$$

since we plan to study instability of solutions which are close to these stationary solutions. The higher-order approximations are defined as follows.

**The Schrödinger amplitudes.** We define the approximations  $c_n^{(k)}$  with  $k = 1, \dots$  as solutions of equations

$$\dot{c}_n^{(k)}(\tau) = -i\Omega_n^{(k-1)}(\tau)c_n^{(k)}(\tau), \quad n = 1, \dots, N, \quad (14.4)$$

with the initial data (14.1),

$$c_n^{(k)}(0) = c_n(0), \quad n = 1, \dots, N. \quad (14.5)$$

Here we denote

$$\Omega_n^{(k-1)}(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega_n^{(k-1)}(\tau) \\ \overline{\omega}_n^{(k-1)}(\tau) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (14.6)$$

where

$$\omega_n^{(k-1)}(\tau) = [\beta_n b^{(k-1)}(\tau) + \gamma_n \cos \tau] e^{-i\tau}. \quad (14.7)$$

To solve the Schrödinger equations (14.4), we will replace them by the corresponding averaged equations

$$\dot{c}_n^{(k)}(\tau) = -i\tilde{\Omega}_n^{(k-1)} c_n^{(k)}(\tau), \quad n = 1, \dots, N, s \quad (14.8)$$

where

$$\tilde{\Omega}_n^{(k-1)} := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Omega_n^{(k-1)}(\tau) d\tau. \quad (14.9)$$

The matrix functions  $\Omega_n^{(k-1)}(\tau)$  are sufficiently small by (12.13), so the replacement is justified by Lemma A.1.

All the matrices (14.6) and (14.9) are skewsymmetric, and hence,

$$c_n^{(k)}(\tau) = U_n^{(k)}(\tau) c_n(0), \quad (14.10)$$

where  $U_n^{(k)}(\tau)$  is a unitary matrix.

**Remark 14.1.** The last formula does not mean that the map  $c_n(0) \mapsto c_n^{(k)}(\tau)$  is linear since the matrix  $U_n^{(k)}(\tau)$  generally depends on  $c_n(0)$  as well as on all initial data (14.1).

**The Maxwell amplitude.** We define the approximations  $a^{(k)}(\tau)$  with  $k = 1, \dots$  as solutions of the equations

$$\ddot{a}^{(k)}(\tau) + \sigma_1 \dot{a}^{(k)}(\tau) + a^{(k)}(\tau) = j^{(k)}(\tau), \quad j^{(k)}(\tau) := \sum_n \alpha_n \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \bar{c}_{n,1}^{(k)}(\tau) c_{n,2}^{(k)}(\tau) e^{-i\tau} \right\}, \quad (14.11)$$

with the initial data (14.1):

$$a^{(k)}(0) = a(0), \quad \dot{a}^{(k)}(0) = \dot{a}(0). \quad (14.12)$$

Let us denote  $\varkappa := \sigma_1/2 \approx 10^{-7}$ . The solution of the Maxwell equation (14.11) is given by the convolution

$$a^{(k)}(\tau) = a_0(\tau) + \int_0^\tau j^{(k)}(\tau') E(\tau - \tau') d\tau', \quad a_0(\tau) := a(0) \dot{E}(\tau) + [\dot{a}(0) + 2\varkappa a(0)] E(\tau), \quad (14.13)$$

where  $E(\tau)$  is the retarded fundamental solution,

$$\ddot{E}(\tau) + 2\varkappa \dot{E}(\tau) + E(\tau) = \delta(\tau), \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}; \quad E(\tau) = 0, \quad \tau < 0. \quad (14.14)$$

This fundamental solution is expressed as follows. Denote by  $\lambda_\pm$  the roots of characteristic equation

$$\lambda^2 + 2\varkappa\lambda + 1 = 0 \quad (14.15)$$

which are

$$\lambda_\pm = -\varkappa \pm \sqrt{\varkappa^2 - 1} = -\varkappa \pm i + O(\varkappa^2). \quad (14.16)$$

Thus,  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_\pm = -\varkappa < 0$ , and neglecting terms which are  $O(\varkappa^2)$ , we obtain that the functions

$$a_1(\tau) = \frac{\lambda_+ e^{\lambda_- \tau} - \lambda_- e^{\lambda_+ \tau}}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-} = e^{-\varkappa \tau} \cos \tau + O(\varkappa^2), \quad a_2(\tau) = \frac{e^{\lambda_+ \tau} - e^{\lambda_- \tau}}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-} = e^{-\varkappa \tau} \sin \tau + O(\varkappa^2), \quad (14.17)$$

are solutions of the homogeneous Maxwell equation (14.11) with initial data (1,0) and (0,1) respectively. Finally, the fundamental solution is the real-valued function

$$E(\tau) = \theta(\tau) a_2(\tau) = \theta(\tau) e^{-\varkappa \tau} \sin \tau + O(\varkappa^2), \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (14.18)$$

### 14.1 The first-order approximation

**The Schrödinger amplitudes.** Formulas (14.6)–(14.9) with  $n = 1$  give the averaged matrices

$$\tilde{\Omega}_n^{(0)}(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\frac{\gamma_n}{2} \\ -i\frac{\gamma_n}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (14.19)$$

Hence, equation (14.8) with  $n = 1$  reads as the system (12.9) with  $\frac{\gamma_n}{2}$  instead of  $\omega_n(\tau)$ :

$$\begin{cases} \dot{c}_{n,1}^{(1)}(\tau) &= -i\frac{\gamma_n}{2}c_{n,2}^{(1)}(\tau) \\ \dot{c}_{n,2}^{(1)}(\tau) &= -i\frac{\gamma_n}{2}c_{n,1}^{(1)}(\tau) \end{cases}, \quad n = 1, \dots, N. \quad (14.20)$$

Its solutions are

$$c_{n,1}^{(1)}(\tau) = d_{n,1}^{(1)} \cos \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2} + d_{n,2}^{(1)} \sin \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2}, \quad c_{n,2}^{(1)}(\tau) = -id_{n,1}^{(1)} \sin \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2} + id_{n,2}^{(1)} \cos \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2}, \quad (14.21)$$

where

$$d_{n,1}^{(1)} = c_{n,1}(0), \quad d_{n,2}^{(1)} = -ic_{n,2}(0). \quad (14.22)$$

Hence, the matrix in the formula (14.10) with  $n = 1$  reads

$$U_n^{(1)}(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2} & -i \sin \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2} \\ -i \sin \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2} & \cos \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2} \end{pmatrix} + O(\gamma_n^2) \quad (14.23)$$

by Lemma A.1.

**The current.** Substituting (14.21) and (14.22) in the second formula of (14.11) with  $n = 1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} j^{(1)}(\tau) &= \sum_n \alpha_n \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \bar{c}_{n,1}^{(1)}(\tau) c_{n,2}^{(1)}(\tau) e^{-i\tau} \right\} \\ &= \sum_n \alpha_n \operatorname{Im} \left\{ [\bar{d}_{n,1}^{(1)} \cos \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2} + \bar{d}_{n,2}^{(1)} \sin \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2}] [-id_{n,1}^{(1)} \sin \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2} + id_{n,2}^{(1)} \cos \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2}] e^{-i\tau} \right\} \\ &= \sum_n \alpha_n \operatorname{Im} \left\{ [i\bar{d}_{n,1}^{(1)} d_{n,2}^{(1)} - i|d_{n,1}^{(1)}|^2 \sin \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2} + i|d_{n,2}^{(1)}|^2 \sin \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2}] e^{-i\tau} \right\} + O(\sum_n \alpha_n \gamma_n^2) \\ &= \sum_n \alpha_n \operatorname{Re} \left\{ [\bar{d}_{n,1}^{(1)} d_{n,2}^{(1)} - |d_{n,1}^{(1)}|^2 \sin \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2} + |d_{n,2}^{(1)}|^2 \sin \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2}] e^{-i\tau} \right\} + O(\sum_n \alpha_n \gamma_n^2) \\ &= \sum_n \alpha_n \left[ \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \bar{c}_{n,1}(0) c_{n,2}(0) e^{-i\tau} \right\} + [|c_{n,2}(0)|^2 - |c_{n,1}(0)|^2] \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2} \cos \tau \right] + O(10^{-17}), \quad \tau \in [0, 2\pi], \end{aligned} \quad (14.24)$$

since  $\sum_n \alpha_n \gamma_n^2 \sim 10^{-17}$  by (12.13).

**Notation.** For each number  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the equality  $x = O(\varepsilon)$  means that  $|x| \leq 10\varepsilon$ .

**The Maxwell amplitude.** Now (14.13) with  $k = 1$  becomes

$$a^{(1)}(\tau) = a_0(\tau) + \int_0^\tau j^{(1)}(\tau') E(\tau - \tau') d\tau'. \quad (14.25)$$

Substituting here the current (14.24), we obtain

$$a^{(1)}(\tau) = a_0(\tau) + \sum_n \alpha_n \left[ \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \bar{c}_{n,1}(0) c_{n,2}(0) I_1(\tau) \right\} + [|c_{n,2}(0)|^2 - |c_{n,1}(0)|^2] \gamma_n I_2(\tau) \right] + O(10^{-17}), \quad (14.26)$$

where we denote

$$I_1(\tau) := \int_0^\tau e^{-i\tau'} E(\tau - \tau') d\tau', \quad I_2(\tau) := \int_0^\tau \frac{\tau'}{2} \cos \tau' E(\tau - \tau') d\tau'. \quad (14.27)$$

We calculate these integrals in Appendix B.

## 14.2 The second-order approximation

**The Schrödinger amplitudes.** Formulas (14.6) and (14.7) with  $k = 2$  give

$$\Omega_n^{(1)}(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega_n^{(1)}(\tau) \\ \bar{\omega}_n^{(1)}(\tau) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \omega_n^{(1)}(\tau) = [\beta_n \dot{a}^{(1)}(\tau) + \gamma_n \cos \tau] e^{-i\tau}. \quad (14.28)$$

Let us calculate the averaged matrices  $\tilde{\Omega}_n^{(1)}$ . First, (14.26) implies that

$$\mathbf{v} := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \dot{a}^{(1)}(\tau) e^{-i\tau} d\tau = \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2, \quad (14.29)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}_1 &:= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \dot{a}_0(\tau) e^{-i\tau} d\tau = \int_0^{2\pi} \{a(0)\dot{E}(\tau) + [\dot{a}(0) + 2\kappa a(0)]\dot{E}(\tau)\} e^{-i\tau} d\tau, \\ \mathbf{v}_2 &:= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_n \alpha_n \left[ \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \bar{c}_{n,1}(0) c_{n,2}(0) I_1(\tau) \right\} + [|c_{n,2}(0)|^2 - |c_{n,1}(0)|^2] \gamma_n I_2(\tau) \right] d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

First, let us calculate  $\mathbf{v}_1$ ; denoting  $O_2 := O(\kappa^2)$ , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} \dot{E}(\tau) e^{-i\tau} d\tau &= i \int_0^{2\pi} E(\tau) e^{-i\tau} d\tau + O_2 = i \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-\kappa\tau} \sin \tau e^{-i\tau} d\tau + O_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-\kappa\tau} (e^{i\tau} - e^{-i\tau}) e^{-i\tau} d\tau + O_2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-\kappa\tau} (e^{i\tau} - e^{-i\tau}) e^{-i\tau} d\tau + O_2 \\ &= -\left[ \frac{1}{2\kappa} + \frac{1}{2\kappa + 4i} \right] (e^{-2\kappa\pi} - 1) + O_2 \\ &= -\frac{2\kappa + 2i}{\kappa + 2i} \frac{-\kappa\pi + (\kappa\pi)^2 + O(\kappa^3)}{\kappa} + O_2 = -\left[ 1 + \frac{\kappa}{\kappa + 2i} \right] (-\pi + \kappa\pi^2) + O_2 \\ &= -\left[ 1 + \frac{\kappa}{2i} \right] (-\pi + \kappa\pi^2) + O_2 = \pi + \kappa \left( \frac{\pi}{2i} - \pi^2 \right) + O_2. \end{aligned} \quad (14.30)$$

Similarly, using equation (14.14), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} \ddot{E}(\tau) e^{-i\tau} d\tau &= \int_0^{2\pi} [-2\kappa \dot{E}(\tau) - E(\tau)] e^{-i\tau} d\tau = -(i2\kappa + 1) \int_0^{2\pi} E(\tau) e^{-i\tau} d\tau + O_2 \\ &= i(i2\kappa + 1) \left[ \pi + \kappa \left( \frac{\pi}{2i} - \pi^2 \right) \right] + O_2 \\ &= (-2\kappa + i) \left[ \pi + \kappa \left( \frac{\pi}{2i} - \pi^2 \right) \right] + O_2 \\ &= i\pi + 2\kappa \left[ -\pi + \left( \frac{\pi}{4} - i\frac{\pi^2}{2} \right) \right] + O_2 \\ &= i\pi - \kappa \left[ \frac{3\pi}{2} + i\pi^2 \right] + O_2. \end{aligned} \quad (14.31)$$

As a result,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}_1 &= a(0) \left[ \frac{i}{2} - \kappa \left[ \frac{3}{4} + i\frac{\pi}{2} \right] \right] + [\dot{a}(0) + \kappa a(0)] \left[ 1 + \kappa \left( \frac{1}{2i} - \pi \right) \right] + O_2 \\ &= a(0) \left[ \frac{i}{2} + \kappa \left( \frac{1}{4} - i\frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right] + \dot{a}(0) \left[ 1 + \kappa \left( \frac{1}{2i} - \pi \right) \right] + O_2 = \mathbf{v}_{11} + i\mathbf{v}_{12} + O_2, \\ \mathbf{v}_{11} &= \frac{\kappa}{4} a(0) + (1 - \kappa\pi) \dot{a}(0), \quad \mathbf{v}_{12} = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \kappa\pi) a(0) - \frac{\kappa}{2} \dot{a}(0). \end{aligned} \quad (14.32)$$



It remains to note that

$$v_2 := \sum_n \alpha_n \left[ \text{Im} \left\{ \bar{c}_{n,1}(0) c_{n,2}(0) J_1 \right\} + [|c_{n,2}(0)|^2 - |c_{n,1}(0)|^2] \gamma_n J_2 \right], \quad (14.33)$$

where  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  are the integrals

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} J_1 &:= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} I_1(\tau) d\tau = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[ -\frac{\tau}{2i} e^{-i\tau} + \varkappa \left( \frac{\tau e^{i\tau}}{4} + \frac{\tau^2 e^{-i\tau}}{4i} \right) \right] d\tau + O_2. \\ J_2 &:= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} I_2(\tau) d\tau = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[ \sin \tau \frac{\tau^2}{2} - \sin \tau \frac{\varkappa \tau^3}{6} + \frac{\tau}{2} \cos \tau \right] d\tau + O_2 \end{aligned} \right\}. \quad (14.34)$$

We calculate these integrals in Appendix B. As a result, the averaged matrix reads

$$\tilde{\Omega}_n^{(1)}(\mathbf{v}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)} \\ \bar{\omega}_n^{(1)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)} = \beta_n \mathbf{v} + \frac{\gamma_n}{2}. \quad (14.35)$$

Now equation (14.8) with  $k = 2$  reads as the system

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \dot{c}_{n,1}^{(2)}(\tau) &= -i \tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)} c_{n,2}^{(2)}(\tau) \\ \dot{c}_{n,2}^{(2)}(\tau) &= -i \bar{\omega}_n^{(1)} c_{n,1}^{(2)}(\tau) \end{aligned} \right\}, \quad n = 1, \dots, N. \quad (14.36)$$

Its solutions read similarly to (14.21):

$$c_{n,1}^{(2)}(\tau) = d_{n,1}^{(2)} \cos |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| \tau + d_{n,2}^{(2)} \sin |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| \tau, \quad c_{n,2}^{(2)}(\tau) = -i d_{n,1}^{(2)} \frac{|\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}|}{\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}} \sin |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| \tau + i d_{n,2}^{(2)} \frac{|\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}|}{\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}} \cos |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| \tau, \quad (14.37)$$

where

$$d_{n,1}^{(2)} = c_{n,1}(0), \quad d_{n,2}^{(2)} = -i \frac{\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}}{|\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}|} c_{n,2}(0). \quad (14.38)$$

Thus, (14.37) can be rewritten as

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} c_{n,1}^{(2)}(\tau) &= c_{n,1}^{(2)}(0) \cos |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| \tau - i s_n c_{n,2}^{(2)}(0) \sin |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| \tau \\ c_{n,2}^{(2)}(\tau) &= -i s_n c_{n,1}^{(2)}(0) \sin |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| \tau + c_{n,2}^{(2)}(0) \cos |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| \tau, \end{aligned} \right\}, \quad (14.39)$$

where  $s_n = \frac{\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}}{|\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}|}$ . Hence, the matrix in the formula (14.10) with  $k = 2$  reads

$$U_n^{(2)}(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| \tau & -i s_n \sin |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| \tau \\ -i \bar{s}_n \sin |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| \tau & \cos |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| \tau \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)} = \beta_n \mathbf{v} + \frac{\gamma_n}{2}. \quad (14.40)$$

Here  $\mathbf{v}$  is defined by (14.29) and (14.32), (14.33),

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_{11} + i \mathbf{v}_{12} + \mathbf{v}_2 + O_2, \quad \mathbf{v}_{11}, \mathbf{v}_{12}, \mathbf{v}_2 \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (14.41)$$

**The current.** Expanding (14.39) into the Taylor series, we obtain

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} c_{n,1}^{(2)}(\tau) &= c_{n,1}^{(2)}(0) - i c_{n,2}^{(2)}(0) \tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)} \tau + O(|\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}|^2) \\ c_{n,2}^{(2)}(\tau) &= -i c_{n,1}^{(2)}(0) \tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)} \tau + c_{n,2}^{(2)}(0) + O(|\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}|^2) \end{aligned} \right\}, \quad (14.42)$$

Substituting into the second formula of (14.11) with  $k = 2$ , we obtain similarly to (14.24),

$$\begin{aligned} j^{(2)}(\tau) &= \sum_n \alpha_n \text{Im} \left\{ \bar{c}_{n,1}^{(2)}(\tau) c_{n,2}^{(2)}(\tau) e^{-i\tau} \right\} \\ &= \sum_n \alpha_n \text{Im} \left\{ \bar{c}_{n,1}(0) c_{n,2}(0) e^{-i\tau} + i [|c_{n,2}(0)|^2 \bar{\omega}_n^{(1)} - |c_{n,1}(0)|^2 \tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}] \tau e^{-i\tau} \right\} + O(|\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}|^2) \end{aligned} \quad (14.43)$$

Here the error  $O(|\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}|^2) \sim 10^{-14}$ . Indeed,  $\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)} = \beta_n \mathbf{v} + \frac{\gamma_n}{2}$ , where  $\mathbf{v}$  is expressed by (14.41) and (14.32), (14.33):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{v} \approx \mathbf{v}_{11} + i\mathbf{v}_{12} + \mathbf{v}_2 \\ \mathbf{v}_{11} = \frac{b^0}{2} + \frac{za^0}{4}, \quad \mathbf{v}_{12} = \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\pi z}{2} \right] a^0 \\ \mathbf{v}_2 = \sum_n \alpha_n \left[ \text{Im} \left\{ \bar{c}_{n,1}^0 c_{n,2}^0 J_1 \right\} + [|c_{n,2}^0|^2 - |c_{n,1}^0|^2] \gamma_n J_2 \right] \end{array} \right. \quad (14.44)$$

Hence at the points (18.7), we have  $\mathbf{v}_{11} = \mathbf{v}_{12} = 0$ , so

$$\beta_n \mathbf{v} = \beta_n \mathbf{v}_2 = -\beta_n J_2 \sum_n \alpha_n \gamma_n \sim 10^{-12} \quad (14.45)$$

by (12.13) and (B.3). Therefore,

$$\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)} = \beta_n \mathbf{v} + \frac{\gamma_n}{2} \approx \frac{\gamma_n}{2} \sim 10^{-7}, \quad (14.46)$$

so  $O(|\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}|^2) \sim 10^{-14}$ . As a consequence,

$$s_n := \frac{\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}}{|\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}|} = 1 + O(10^{-5}). \quad (14.47)$$

**The Maxwell amplitude.** Now (14.13) with  $k = 2$  gives

$$a^{(2)}(\tau) = a_0(\tau) + \int_0^\tau j^{(2)}(\tau') E(\tau - \tau') d\tau' \quad (14.48)$$

Thus, the solution in the second-order approximation is given by formulas (14.48) and (14.10) with the matrix (14.40):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} a^{(2)}(\tau) = a_0(\tau) + \int_0^\tau j^{(2)}(\tau') E(2\pi - \tau') d\tau' \\ b^{(2)}(\tau) = \dot{a}_0(\tau) + \int_0^\tau j^{(2)}(\tau') \dot{E}(2\pi - \tau') d\tau' \\ c_n^{(2)}(\tau) = U_n^{(2)}(\tau) c_n(0) \end{array} \right. \quad (14.49)$$

## 15 The Poincaré map

Let us denote

$$a := a^{(2)}(2\pi), \quad a^0 := a(0); \quad b := \dot{a}^{(2)}(2\pi), \quad b^0 := \dot{a}(0); \quad c_n := c_n(2\pi), \quad c_n^0 := c_n(0). \quad (15.1)$$

Then the Poincaré map (13.8) in the second-order approximation is given by formulas (14.48) and (14.39):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} a = a_0(2\pi) + \int_0^{2\pi} j^{(2)}(\tau') E(2\pi - \tau') d\tau' \\ b = \dot{a}_0(2\pi) + \int_0^{2\pi} j^{(2)}(\tau') \dot{E}(2\pi - \tau') d\tau' \\ c_{n,1} = c_{n,1}^0 \cos |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| \tau - i s_n c_{n,2}^0 \sin |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| \tau \\ c_{n,2} = -i s_n c_{n,1}^0 \sin |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| \tau + c_{n,2}^0 \cos |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| \tau \end{array} \right. \quad (15.2)$$

Let us express the right hand sides in notations (15.1). First, (14.13) and (14.14), (14.18) imply that

$$a_0(2\pi) = a^0 \dot{E}(2\pi), \quad \dot{a}_0(2\pi) = a^0 \ddot{E}(2\pi) + [b^0 + \sigma_1 a^0] \dot{E}(2\pi) = -a^0 E(2\pi) + b^0 \dot{E}(2\pi) = b^0 \dot{E}(\tau) + O_2. \quad (15.3)$$

Second, (14.43) becomes

$$j^{(2)}(\tau) \approx \sum_n \alpha_n \text{Im} \left\{ \bar{c}_{n,1}^0 c_{n,2}^0 e^{-i\tau} \right\} + \sum_n \alpha_n \beta_n \text{Re} \left\{ [|c_{n,2}^0|^2 \bar{v} - |c_{n,1}^0|^2 v] \tau e^{-i\tau} \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \alpha_n \gamma_n [|c_{n,2}^0|^2 - |c_{n,1}^0|^2] \tau \cos \tau. \quad (15.4)$$

Substituting (15.4) into the first and second equations of (15.2), we obtain the formulas for  $a$  and  $b$  expressed via the following integrals which are calculated in Appendix B:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} A_1 := \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i\tau'} E(2\pi - \tau') d\tau', A_2 := \int_0^{2\pi} \tau' e^{-i\tau'} E(2\pi - \tau') d\tau', A_3 := \int_0^{2\pi} \tau' \cos \tau' E(2\pi - \tau') d\tau' = \text{Re} A_2 \\ B_1 := \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i\tau'} \dot{E}(2\pi - \tau') d\tau', B_2 := \int_0^{2\pi} \tau' e^{-i\tau'} \dot{E}(2\pi - \tau') d\tau', B_3 := \int_0^{2\pi} \tau' \cos \tau' \dot{E}(2\pi - \tau') d\tau' = -\text{Im} B_2 \end{array} \right\}. \quad (15.5)$$

With this notation, the first and second equations of (15.2) become

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} a \approx a_0(2\pi) + \sum_n \alpha_n \text{Im} \left\{ \bar{c}_{n,1}^0 c_{n,2}^0 A_1 \right\} \\ \quad + \sum_n \alpha_n \beta_n \text{Re} \left\{ [|c_{n,2}^0|^2 \bar{v} - |c_{n,1}^0|^2 v] A_2 \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \alpha_n \gamma_n [|c_{n,2}^0|^2 - |c_{n,1}^0|^2] A_3. \\ b \approx \dot{a}_0(2\pi) + \sum_n \alpha_n \text{Im} \left\{ \bar{c}_{n,1}^0 c_{n,2}^0 B_1 \right\} \\ \quad + \sum_n \alpha_n \beta_n \text{Re} \left\{ [|c_{n,2}^0|^2 \bar{v} - |c_{n,1}^0|^2 v] B_2 \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \alpha_n \gamma_n [|c_{n,2}^0|^2 - |c_{n,1}^0|^2] B_3. \end{array} \right\}. \quad (15.6)$$

**Remark 15.1.** The second-order approximations (15.6) are obtained solving the equation (14.11) with  $k = 2$ , initial data (14.1), and the current (15.4). At the “ground states” (13.2), we have  $|c_{n,1}^0|^2 = 1$  and  $|c_{n,2}^0|^2 = 0$ , so the formula (15.4) takes the form

$$j^{(2)}(\tau) = - \sum_n \alpha_n \beta_n \tau \text{Im} \left\{ v e^{-i\tau} \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \alpha_n \gamma_n \tau \cos \tau. \quad (15.7)$$

## 16 Synchronisation of molecular currents

The key peculiarity of the perturbative calculations is the appearance of the sum

$$\mathbf{S} = \sum_n \alpha_n \beta_n \quad (16.1)$$

in the second-order approximation of the total molecular current (15.7). Also note that the same sum reappears in (20.8). It is of crucial importance that all terms of the sum  $\mathbf{S}$  are *nonnegative* since

$$\alpha_n \beta_n = \frac{2c}{\Omega_p} \mathbf{P}_n \mathbf{X}(x_n) \frac{\mathbf{P}_n \mathbf{X}(x_n)}{\hbar c} \geq 0, \quad (16.2)$$

and the sum is a non-negligible positive number, see (16.4) and (16.6) below. This means the synchronisation of all molecular currents in the total current (13.4) *independently of the phase of the Maxwell field* at the location of the molecules. This *synchronisation of molecular currents* is provisionally in line with the *superradiance* and with the role of the *stimulated emission* in the laser action. Note that the conjecture on appropriate kind of synchronisation in the laser action has been put forward repeatedly, see, e.g., [27].

We will consider two cases of amorphous and crystallin medium separately. In (12.8), all the parameters  $\mathbf{P}_n$ ,  $\mathbf{X}(x_n)$ ,  $\mathbf{a}_p(x_n)$  with  $n = 1, \dots, N$  can be considered as *independent random values* on the event space  $\{1, \dots, N\}$ , and

$$\mathbb{E} \mathbf{X}(x_n) = \mathbb{E} \mathbf{a}_p(x_n) = 0. \quad (16.3)$$

**I. Amorphous medium.** In this case, the dipole momenta  $\mathbf{P}_n$  are distributed uniformly over the angles, while  $|\mathbf{P}_n| = |\mathbf{P}|$  does not depend on  $n$ , so  $\mathbb{E}\mathbf{P}_n = 0$ . The parameters  $\alpha_n$  and  $\beta_n$  are not independent. However, the distribution of  $\mathbf{P}_n$  is spherically symmetric, and  $\mathbf{P}_n$  and  $\mathbf{X}(x_n)$  are independent. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S} &= N\mathbb{E}\alpha_n\beta_n = N\frac{2}{\Omega_p\hbar}\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{P}_n\mathbf{X}(x_n))^2 = N\frac{2}{\Omega_p\hbar}\frac{\mathbb{E}|\mathbf{P}_n|^2}{3}\mathbb{E}\mathbf{X}^2(x_n) \\ &= \frac{2}{\Omega_p\hbar}\frac{|\mathbf{P}|^2}{3}\sum_n\mathbf{X}^2(x_n) \approx \frac{2|\mathbf{P}|^2}{3\Omega_p\hbar}\frac{N}{|V|}\int_V\mathbf{X}^2(x)dx = \frac{2|\mathbf{P}|^2}{3\Omega_p\hbar}d, \quad d := \frac{N}{|V|} \end{aligned} \quad (16.4)$$

by the normalization of  $\mathbf{X}$ . For the case of ruby laser (12.13) we obtain  $d \approx 3 \times 10^{19}$  and

$$\mathbf{S} \approx 10^{-4}. \quad (16.5)$$

Moreover,  $\mathbf{S}$  is a Gaussian random value since  $\alpha_n\beta_n$  are independent and identically distributed.

**II. Crystallin medium.** In this case the dipole momenta  $\mathbf{P}_n = \mathbf{P}$  do not depend on  $k$ . We assume additionally that the mode  $\mathbf{X}(x)$  is linearly polarised. Now (16.4) changes to

$$\mathbf{S} \approx \frac{2}{\Omega_p\hbar}|\mathbf{P}|^2\cos^2\varphi\sum_n\mathbf{X}^2(x_n) \approx \frac{2|\mathbf{P}|^2\cos^2\varphi}{\Omega_p\hbar}d \approx 32\cos^2\varphi \times 10^{-5} \approx 10^{-4}, \quad (16.6)$$

where  $\varphi$  is the angle between  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $\mathbf{a}_p$ .

## 17 Factordynamics and the Hopf fibration

Let us denote by  $G = [U(1)]^N$  the symmetry gauge group of the system (13.3). The group acts on the phase space  $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{R}^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2N}$  by the formula

$$(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_N})(a, b, (c_{11}, c_{12}), \dots, (c_{N,1}, c_{N,2})) = (a, b, (e^{i\theta_1}c_{11}, e^{i\theta_1}c_{12}), \dots, (e^{i\theta_N}c_{N,1}, e^{i\theta_N}c_{N,2})). \quad (17.1)$$

This action commutes with the dynamics (13.3), hence the latter induces the corresponding dynamics on the factorspace  $\mathbb{X}/G$ . This factorspace is the base of the fibration  $\mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}/G$ .

**Remark 17.1.** The Poincaré map  $P$  commutes with the action (17.1). Moreover, this action does not change the Maxwell field. Accordingly, the instability and the parametric resonance (1.1) must be checked for the induced factordynamics only.

In this section we calculate the differential  $DP_*(X_*^0)$ , where  $P_*$  denotes the action of  $P$  on the factorspace  $\mathbb{X}/G$  and the point  $X_*^0$  corresponds to  $X^0$  in this factorization. For this purpose we need a suitable representation of the factorspace. The charge conservation (12.11) means that

$$(c_{n,1}(\tau), c_{n,2}(\tau)) \in S^3, \quad (17.2)$$

where  $S^3$  is the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . The sphere is the Hopf fibration with fibers

$$F = (c_1 e^{i\theta}, c_2 e^{i\theta}), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (17.3)$$

and the base which is diffeomorphic to the unit sphere  $S^2$ . Denote by  $(c_1, c_2)_* \in S^2$  the Hopf projection of a point  $(c_1, c_2) \in S^3$ . We choose the following invariant coordinate on the base:

$$z := \bar{c}_1 c_2. \quad (17.4)$$

Using (12.11), it is easy to check that

$$|c_1| + |c_2| = \sqrt{1 + 2|z|}, \quad |c_1| - |c_2| = \pm \sqrt{1 - 2|z|}. \quad (17.5)$$

Hence,

$$2|c_1| = \sqrt{1 + 2|z|} + \sqrt{1 - 2|z|}, \quad 2|c_2| = \sqrt{1 + 2|z|} - \sqrt{1 - 2|z|} \quad (17.6)$$

and

$$4|c_1|^2 = 2 + 2\sqrt{1 - 4|z|^2}, \quad 4|c_2|^2 = 2 - 2\sqrt{1 - 4|z|^2}. \quad (17.7)$$

**Remark 17.2.** In particular,  $|c_1|^2$  and  $|c_2|^2$  are smooth functions on the disk  $D := |z| < \frac{1}{2}$ .

The coordinate  $z$  maps  $S^3$  onto the closed disk  $\bar{D}$ , and it is a local coordinate on the region of  $S^3$  outside the set  $|c_1|^2 = |c_2|^2 = 1/2$ . However, the inverse map  $D \rightarrow S^2$  is two-valued. Indeed, denote by  $(c_1, c_2)_* \in S^2$  the Hopf projection of a point  $(c_1, c_2) \in S^3$ . One branch of the inverse map is a diffeomorphism of  $D$  onto the neighborhood  $S_+^2 \subset S^2$  of the point  $(1, 0)_*$  (where  $z = 0$ ), and another branch is a diffeomorphism of  $D$  onto the neighborhood  $S_-^2 \subset S^2$  of the point  $(0, 1)_*$  (where also  $z = 0$ ):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} |c_1| > |c_2| & \text{for } (c_1, c_2)_* \in S_+^2 \\ |c_1| < |c_2| & \text{for } (c_1, c_2)_* \in S_-^2 \end{array} \right\}. \quad (17.8)$$

The base  $S^2$  is the gluing of  $S_\pm^2$  along their boundaries, where  $|z| = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $|c_1|^2 = |c_2|^2 = \frac{1}{2}$ .

## 18 Differential of the Poincaré map on the factorspace

We choose coordinates (17.4) on the bases of the Hopf fibration for each active molecule, and denote

$$z_n^0 := \bar{c}_{n,1}^0 c_{n,2}^0 = \bar{c}_{n,1}(0) c_{n,2}(0), \quad z_n := \bar{c}_{n,1} c_{n,2} = \bar{c}_{n,1}(2\pi) c_{n,2}(2\pi). \quad (18.1)$$

Let us write  $z_n = z_{n,1} + iz_{n,2}$ ,  $z_n^0 = z_{n,1}^0 + iz_{n,2}^0$ , where  $z_{n,1}, z_{n,2}, z_{n,1}^0, z_{n,2}^0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , and

$$\mathbf{z}_n = \begin{pmatrix} z_{n,1} \\ z_{n,2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{z}_n^0 = (z_{n,1}^0, z_{n,2}^0), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{z}_n^0} := \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{n,1}^0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{n,2}^0} \right), \quad n = 1, \dots, N. \quad (18.2)$$

Denote

$$\mathbf{Z} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{z}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{z}_N \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{Z}^0 = (\mathbf{z}_1^0, \dots, \mathbf{z}_N^0). \quad (18.3)$$

Then the differential  $DP(X^0)$  is represented by the matrix

$$DP(X^0) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial a}{\partial a^0} & \frac{\partial a}{\partial b^0} & \frac{\partial a}{\partial \mathbf{Z}^0} \\ \frac{\partial b}{\partial a^0} & \frac{\partial b}{\partial b^0} & \frac{\partial b}{\partial \mathbf{Z}^0} \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}}{\partial a^0} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}}{\partial b^0} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}}{\partial \mathbf{Z}^0} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (18.4)$$

The formulas (15.6) for the Poincaré map become

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} a \approx a_0(2\pi) + \sum_n \alpha_n \text{Im} \left\{ z_n^0 A_1 \right\} \\ \quad + \sum_n \alpha_n \beta_n \text{Re} \left\{ [|c_{n,2}^0|^2 \bar{v} - |c_{n,1}^0|^2 v] A_2 \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \alpha_n \gamma_n [|c_{n,2}^0|^2 - |c_{n,1}^0|^2] A_3 \\ b \approx \dot{a}_0(2\pi) + \sum_n \alpha_n \text{Im} \left\{ z_n^0 B_1 \right\} \\ \quad + \sum_n \alpha_n \beta_n \text{Re} \left\{ [|c_{n,2}^0|^2 \bar{v} - |c_{n,1}^0|^2 v] B_2 \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \alpha_n \gamma_n [|c_{n,2}^0|^2 - |c_{n,1}^0|^2] B_3 \end{array} \right\}, \quad (18.5)$$

where  $a_0(\tau)$  is given by (14.13). Using (14.47) and (14.46), we obtain from two last equations of (15.2), that

$$\begin{aligned} z_n &= (\bar{c}_{n,1}^0 \cos 2\pi |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| - i s_n \bar{c}_{n,2}^0 \sin 2\pi |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}|) (-i \bar{s}_n c_{n,1}^0 \sin 2\pi |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| + c_{n,2}^0 \cos 2\pi |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}|) \\ &= -\frac{i}{2} [\bar{s}_n |c_{n,1}^0|^2 + s_n |c_{n,2}^0|^2] \sin 4\pi |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| + \bar{c}_{n,1}^0 c_{n,2}^0(0) \cos^2 2\pi |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| - c_{n,1}^0 \bar{c}_{n,2}^0(0) \sin^2 2\pi |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| \\ &= -\frac{i}{2} [|c_{n,1}^0|^2 + |c_{n,2}^0|^2] \sin 4\pi |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| + z_n^0 \cos^2 2\pi |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| - \bar{z}_n^0 \sin^2 2\pi |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| + O(10^{-12}). \end{aligned} \quad (18.6)$$

We must calculate matrix (18.4) at the stationary states (13.2):

$$a^0 = b^0 = 0; \quad z_n^0 = 0, \quad n = 1, \dots, N. \quad (18.7)$$

At these points, we have by (17.7),

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{z}_n^0} \Big|_{z_n^0=0} |c_{n,1}^0|^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{z}_n^0} \Big|_{z_n^0=0} |c_{n,2}^0|^2 = 0, \quad \frac{\partial z_n^0}{\partial \mathbf{z}_n^0} = (1, i). \quad (18.8)$$

Further, (14.44) now reads

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{v} \approx \mathbf{v}_{11} + i\mathbf{v}_{12} + \mathbf{v}_2 \\ \mathbf{v}_{11} = \frac{b^0}{2} + \frac{\varkappa a^0}{4}, \quad \mathbf{v}_{12} = \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\pi \varkappa}{2} \right] a^0 \\ \mathbf{v}_2 = \sum_n \alpha_n \left[ \text{Im} \left\{ z_n^0 J_1 \right\} + [|c_{n,2}^0|^2 - |c_{n,1}^0|^2] \gamma_n J_2 \right] \end{array} \right. \quad (18.9)$$

in a neighborhood of  $z_n^0 = 0$ . Hence, the formulas (18.8) imply that the derivatives of  $\mathbf{v}$  at the points (18.7) are

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial a^0} = \frac{i}{2} + \varkappa \left( \frac{1}{4} - i \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \approx \frac{i}{2}, \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial b^0} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial \mathbf{z}_l^0} = \alpha_l (J_{12}, J_{11}). \quad (18.10)$$

Note that the last derivatives can be neglected since

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial \mathbf{z}_l^0} \sim 10^{-23}. \quad (18.11)$$

by (12.13) and (14.46).

Now we can calculate the matrix (18.4) at the points (13.2). Differentiating the first formula of (18.5), and taking into account (14.13), (18.10), and notation (B.5), we obtain that the first row is

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial a^0} \approx \dot{E}(2\pi) + \mathbf{S} \frac{A_{22}}{2}, \quad \frac{\partial a}{\partial b^0} \approx -\mathbf{S} \frac{A_{21}}{2}, \quad \frac{\partial a}{\partial \mathbf{z}_l^0} \approx \alpha_l (A_{12}, A_{11}), \quad (18.12)$$

where  $\mathbf{S}$  is the sum (16.1). In the last formula we used (18.8) and neglected the terms with  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial z_n^0}$  in accordance with (18.11). Similarly, using notation (B.7), we obtain the second row

$$\frac{\partial b}{\partial a^0} \approx \mathbf{S} \frac{B_{22}}{2}, \quad \frac{\partial b}{\partial b^0} \approx \dot{E}(2\pi) - \mathbf{S} \frac{B_{21}}{2}, \quad \frac{\partial b}{\partial \mathbf{z}_l^0} \approx \alpha_l (B_{12}, B_{11}), \quad (18.13)$$

where  $B_{11} = \text{Re} B_2$ ,  $B_{12} = \text{Im} B_2$ , and similarly for  $B_{21}$  and  $B_{22}$ . Finally, differentiating (18.6) at the points (18.7), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial z_n}{\partial a^0} \approx \pi \beta_n \cos 2\pi \gamma_n \approx \pi \beta_n, \quad \frac{\partial z_n}{\partial b^0} \approx -\pi i \beta_n \cos 2\pi \gamma_n \approx -\pi i \beta_n \quad (18.14)$$

by (18.10). Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial z_n}{\partial \mathbf{z}_l^0} &\approx -\frac{i}{2} \beta_n \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial \mathbf{z}_l^0} \cos 2\pi \gamma_n + \delta_{nl} [(1, i) \cos^2 \pi \gamma_n - (1, -i) \sin^2 \pi \gamma_n] \\ &\approx \delta_{nl} [(1, i) \cos^2 \pi \gamma_n - (1, -i) \sin^2 \pi \gamma_n] = \delta_{nl} (\cos 2\pi \gamma_n, i) \end{aligned} \quad (18.15)$$

by (18.8) and (18.11). The expressions (18.14) and (18.15) can be written as vectors and matrices:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}_n}{\partial a^0} \approx \pi \beta_n (1, 0), \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}_n}{\partial b^0} \approx \pi \beta_n (0, -1), \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}_n}{\partial \mathbf{z}_l^0} \approx \delta_{nl} \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\pi \gamma_n & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (18.16)$$

## 19 Block-matrix approximation

All calculations below essentially rely on the constants (12.13) which correspond to the ruby laser. Let us denote the matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial a}{\partial a^0} & \frac{\partial a}{\partial b^0} \\ \frac{\partial b}{\partial a^0} & \frac{\partial b}{\partial b^0} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (19.1)$$

Recall that for the typical dipole moment (11.3) we have by (16.5), (16.6) and (12.13), (14.16), that

$$\mathbf{S} = \sum_n \alpha_n \beta_n \approx 10^{-4}, \quad \gamma_n \approx 2 \times 10^{-7}, \quad \varkappa \approx 10^{-7}. \quad (19.2)$$

Rewrite the formulas (18.12)–(18.13) substituting the expressions (18.10), and neglecting all terms containing  $\varkappa \mathbf{S} \sim 10^{-11}$  and  $\varkappa^2 \sim 10^{-14}$ :

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial a^0} \approx e^{-2\pi\varkappa} + \frac{1}{2}A_{22}\mathbf{S} \approx 1 - 2\pi\varkappa + \frac{1}{2}A_{22}\mathbf{S}, \quad \frac{\partial a}{\partial b^0} \approx -\frac{1}{2}A_{21}\mathbf{S}, \quad (19.3)$$

$$\frac{\partial b}{\partial a^0} \approx \frac{1}{2}B_{22}\mathbf{S}, \quad \frac{\partial b}{\partial b^0} \approx 1 - 2\pi\varkappa - \frac{1}{2}B_{21}\mathbf{S}. \quad (19.4)$$

Hence,

$$M = M(\varkappa, \mathbf{S}) \approx \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 2\pi\varkappa + \frac{1}{2}A_{22}\mathbf{S} & -\frac{1}{2}A_{21}\mathbf{S} \\ \frac{1}{2}B_{22}\mathbf{S} & 1 - 2\pi\varkappa - \frac{1}{2}B_{21}\mathbf{S} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (19.5)$$

Further, denote the matrices

$$D_n = \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\pi\gamma_n & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_n = \alpha_n \begin{pmatrix} A_{12} & A_{11} \\ B_{12} & B_{11} \end{pmatrix}, \quad W_n = \pi\beta_n \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (19.6)$$

Using (12.13) and (B.7), (B.4), we obtain that

$$D_n \approx \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 2\pi^2\gamma_n^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_n = \alpha_n \begin{pmatrix} A_{12} & A_{11} \\ A_{11} & -A_{12} \end{pmatrix} \approx \pi\alpha_n \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \varkappa\pi & \frac{\varkappa}{2} \\ \frac{\varkappa}{2} & -1 + \varkappa\pi \end{pmatrix} \quad (19.7)$$

Now formulas (18.12), (18.13) and (18.16) give the following block-matrix approximation for the differential (18.4):

$$DP(X^0) \approx \begin{pmatrix} M & V_1 & V_2 & V_3 & \dots & V_N \\ W_1 & D_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ W_2 & 0 & D_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ W_3 & 0 & 0 & D_3 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ W_N & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & D_N \end{pmatrix}, \quad (19.8)$$

which is not symmetric generally.

## 20 Reduction of spectral problem to polynomial equations

Let us consider the eigenvalue problem for the multipliers:

$$DP(X^0)\mathbf{X} = \mu\mathbf{X}, \quad \mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_0 \\ \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \dots \\ \mathbf{x}_N \end{pmatrix}, \quad (20.1)$$



where all  $\mathbf{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mathbf{X} \neq 0$ . Substituting here the approximation (19.8), we obtain the equivalent system

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (M - \mu)\mathbf{x}_0 + \sum_1^N V_n \mathbf{x}_n = 0 \\ W_n \mathbf{x}_0 + (D_n - \mu)\mathbf{x}_n = 0, \quad n = 1, \dots, N \end{array} \right. \quad (20.2)$$

Since we seek for eigenvalues with  $|\mu| > 1$ , we can write using (19.7),

$$\mathbf{x}_n = (\mu - D_n)^{-1} W_n \mathbf{x}_0 \approx \pi \beta_n \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\mu - 1 + 2\pi^2 \gamma_n^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{1 - \mu} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_0, \quad (20.3)$$

where  $\mathbf{x}_0 \neq 0$ . Substituting into the first equation of (20.2), and using again (19.7), we obtain that

$$\mathcal{M}(\mu) \mathbf{x}_0 = 0, \quad (20.4)$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}(\mu) \approx (M - \mu) + \pi \sum_1^N \left[ \alpha_n \beta_n \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \varkappa \pi & \frac{\varkappa}{2} \\ \frac{\varkappa}{2} & -1 + \varkappa \pi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\mu - 1 + 2\pi^2 \gamma_n^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{1 - \mu} \end{pmatrix} \right]. \quad (20.5)$$

Thus, the characteristic equation for the matrix  $DP(X^0)$  is equivalent to

$$\det \mathcal{M}(\mu) = 0. \quad (20.6)$$

Now assume for the simplicity of calculations that the constants  $|\gamma_n|$  do not depend on  $n$ :

$$|\gamma_n| = \gamma \sim 2.2 \times 10^{-7}, \quad n = 1, \dots, N. \quad (20.7)$$

In this case, (20.5) becomes

$$\mathcal{M}(\mu) \approx (M - \mu) + \pi \mathbf{S} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \varkappa \pi & \frac{\varkappa}{2} \\ \frac{\varkappa}{2} & -1 + \varkappa \pi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\mu - 1 + 2\pi^2 \gamma^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{1 - \mu} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20.8)$$

Thus, the summation  $\mathbf{S}$  reappears, and (20.6) becomes the algebraic equation

$$p(\mu) = 0, \quad p(\mu) = \det[(\mu - 1 + 2\pi^2 \gamma^2)(\mu - 1) \mathcal{M}(\mu)], \quad (20.9)$$

where  $p(\mu)$  is a polynomial of degree six.

**Remark 20.1.** For every nonzero eigenvector (20.1), its component  $\mathbf{x}_0$ , representing the Maxwell field, does not vanish. Hence, if the condition (1.1) holds, then the magnitude of the Maxwell field provisionally increases exponentially for large (but bounded) times.

**Remark 20.2.** We expect that

- i) all the multipliers  $|\mu|$  are simple with probability one;
- ii) the multipliers are close to the corresponding roots of the polynomial  $p(\mu)$ ;
- iii) the condition (1.1) holds for the roots of the polynomial  $p(\mu)$  when

$$\gamma \geq d(\sigma_1), \quad (20.10)$$

where  $d(\sigma_1)$  is the corresponding threshold, which is an increasing function of  $\sigma_1$ . Physically, the condition (20.10) means that the amplitude of the pumping exceeds the damping losses.

## A On averaging of slow rotations

Here we justify the approximation of the Schrödinger equations from (13.3) by their averaged version (14.8) (“rotating wave approximation” [1, 3, 13, 25, 28, 30, 32, 35]). Let us show that this approximation is very accurate for small matrices  $\Omega_n(\tau)$ . Namely, consider the system

$$\dot{c}(\tau) = -i\Omega(\tau)c(\tau), \quad \tau \in [0, T], \quad (A.1)$$

where  $\Omega(\tau)$  is a bounded measurable  $2 \times 2$  complex matrix-function,

$$\varepsilon := \sup_{\tau \in [0, T]} |\Omega(\tau)| < \infty. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Denote the averaged matrix

$$\tilde{\Omega} := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \Omega(\tau) d\tau \quad (\text{A.3})$$

and consider the corresponding averaged system

$$\dot{\hat{c}}(\tau) = -i\tilde{\Omega}\hat{c}(\tau), \quad \tau \in [0, T]. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

**Lemma A.1.** *For solutions of (A.1) and (A.4) with identical initial data  $\hat{c}(0) = c(0) = c_0$ , their final values  $c(T)$  and  $\hat{c}(T)$  are very close for small  $\varepsilon$ :*

$$|c(T) - \hat{c}(T)| = O(\varepsilon^2), \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

*Proof.* Rewrite (A.1) as

$$\dot{c}_\varepsilon(\tau) = -i\varepsilon\Omega_1(\tau)c_\varepsilon(\tau), \quad \tau \in [0, T]; \quad c_\varepsilon(0) = c_0, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

where  $\Omega_1(\tau) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\Omega(\tau)$ . The corresponding averaged system (A.4) now reads

$$\dot{\hat{c}}_\varepsilon(\tau) = -i\varepsilon\hat{\Omega}_1(\tau)\hat{c}_\varepsilon(\tau), \quad \tau \in [0, T]; \quad \hat{c}_\varepsilon(0) = c_0, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

where  $\tilde{\Omega}_1(\tau) := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \Omega_1(\tau) d\tau$ . It suffices to prove that

$$|c_\varepsilon(T) - \hat{c}_\varepsilon(T)| = O(\varepsilon^2), \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

The Taylor formula gives

$$c_\varepsilon(\tau) = c_0 + \varepsilon[\partial_\varepsilon c_\varepsilon(\tau)]|_{\varepsilon=0} + O(\varepsilon^2), \quad \hat{c}_\varepsilon(\tau) = c_0 + \varepsilon[\partial_\varepsilon \hat{c}_\varepsilon(\tau)]|_{\varepsilon=0} + O(\varepsilon^2). \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Therefore, (A.8) will follow from the identity

$$[\partial_\varepsilon c_\varepsilon(T)]|_{\varepsilon=0} = [\partial_\varepsilon \hat{c}_\varepsilon(T)]|_{\varepsilon=0}. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Indeed,  $\hat{c}_\varepsilon(T) = e^{-i\varepsilon\tilde{\Omega}_1 T} c_0$ , so the right-hand side of (A.10) is equal to

$$[\partial_\varepsilon \hat{c}_\varepsilon(T)]|_{\varepsilon=0} = -i\tilde{\Omega}_1 T c_0 = -i \int_0^T \Omega_1(\tau) c_0 d\tau. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

It remains to calculate the left-hand side. Denote

$$b_\varepsilon(\tau) := \partial_\varepsilon c_\varepsilon(\tau). \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Differentiating equation (A.6) in  $\varepsilon$ , we obtain

$$\dot{b}_\varepsilon(\tau) = -i\Omega_1(\tau)c_\varepsilon(\tau) - i\varepsilon\Omega_1(\tau)b_\varepsilon(\tau), \quad \tau \in [0, T]; \quad b_\varepsilon(0) = 0. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

In particular, for  $\varepsilon = 0$  we have

$$\dot{b}_0(\tau) = -i\Omega_1(\tau)c_0, \quad \tau \in [0, T]; \quad b_0(0) = 0. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

Hence,

$$b_0(T) = -i \int_0^T \Omega_1(\tau) c_0 d\tau. \quad (\text{A.15})$$

Now (A.10) is proved.  $\square$

**Remark A.2.** Equation (A.6) can be rewritten for  $\tilde{c}_\varepsilon(s) := c_\varepsilon(\frac{s}{\varepsilon})$  as

$$\partial_s \tilde{c}_\varepsilon(s) = -i\Omega_1(\frac{s}{\varepsilon})\tilde{c}_\varepsilon(s), \quad s \in [0, \varepsilon T], \quad (\text{A.16})$$

where  $s = \varepsilon\tau$  is the ‘slow time’. Thus, Lemma A.1 is a specific version of averaging principle.

## B Some integrals

### 2.1 Integrals (14.27)

Denote  $O_2 := O(\kappa^2)$ . First, (14.18) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1(\tau) &:= \int_0^\tau e^{-i\tau'} e^{-\kappa(\tau-\tau')} \sin(\tau-\tau') d\tau' + O_2 = \int_0^\tau e^{-i\tau'} [e^{(-\kappa+i)(\tau-\tau')} - e^{(-\kappa-i)(\tau-\tau')}] d\tau' + O_2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{2i} e^{(-\kappa+i)\tau} \int_0^\tau e^{(\kappa-2i)\tau'} d\tau' - \frac{1}{2i} e^{(-\kappa-i)\tau} \int_0^\tau e^{\kappa\tau'} d\tau' + O_2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{2i} e^{(-\kappa+i)\tau} \frac{e^{(\kappa-2i)\tau} - 1}{\kappa-2i} - \frac{1}{2i} e^{(-\kappa-i)\tau} \frac{e^{\kappa\tau} - 1}{\kappa} + O_2 \\
 &= \frac{e^{-i\tau} - e^{(-\kappa+i)\tau}}{2i\kappa+4} - \frac{e^{-i\tau} - e^{(-\kappa-i)\tau}}{2i\kappa} + O_2 \\
 &= -\frac{i}{2} \sin \tau - \frac{\tau}{2i} e^{-i\tau} + \kappa \left[ \frac{-\sin \tau + \tau e^{i\tau}}{4} + \frac{\tau^2 e^{-i\tau}}{4i} \right] + O_2. \tag{B.1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2(\tau) &:= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\tau \tau' \cos \tau' e^{-\kappa(\tau-\tau')} \sin(\tau-\tau') d\tau' + O_2 = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^\tau \tau' e^{-\kappa(\tau-\tau')} [\sin \tau + \sin(\tau-2\tau')] d\tau' + O_2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} e^{-\kappa\tau} \left[ \sin \tau \int_0^\tau \tau' e^{\kappa\tau'} d\tau' + \text{Im} \int_0^\tau \tau' e^{\kappa\tau'} e^{i(\tau-2\tau')} d\tau' \right] + O_2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} e^{-\kappa\tau} \left[ \sin \tau \left( \tau \frac{e^{\kappa\tau}}{\kappa} - \int_0^\tau \frac{e^{\kappa\tau'}}{\kappa} d\tau' \right) + \text{Im} \left( e^{i\tau} \tau \frac{e^{(\kappa-2i)\tau}}{\kappa-2i} - e^{i\tau} \int_0^\tau \frac{e^{(\kappa-2i)\tau'}}{\kappa-2i} d\tau' \right) \right] + O_2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} e^{-\kappa\tau} \left[ \sin \tau \left( \tau \frac{e^{\kappa\tau}}{\kappa} - \frac{e^{\kappa\tau} - 1}{\kappa^2} \right) + \text{Im} \left( e^{i\tau} \tau \frac{e^{(\kappa-2i)\tau}}{\kappa-2i} - e^{i\tau} \frac{e^{(\kappa-2i)\tau} - 1}{(\kappa-2i)^2} \right) \right] + O_2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} e^{-\kappa\tau} \left[ \sin \tau \left( \frac{\kappa\tau e^{\kappa\tau} - e^{\kappa\tau} + 1}{\kappa^2} \right) + \text{Im} \left( \tau \frac{e^{(\kappa-i)\tau}(\kappa+2i)}{\kappa^2+4} - \frac{(e^{(\kappa-i)\tau} - e^{i\tau})(\kappa+2i)^2}{(\kappa^2+4)^2} \right) \right] + O_2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} e^{-\kappa\tau} \left[ \sin \tau \left( \frac{\tau^2}{2} + \frac{\kappa\tau^3}{3} \right) + \tau \frac{e^{\kappa\tau}}{4} \text{Im}(e^{-i\tau}(\kappa+2i)) - \frac{1}{16} \text{Im}[(e^{(\kappa-i)\tau} - e^{i\tau})(\kappa+2i)^2] \right] + O_2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} e^{-\kappa\tau} \left[ \sin \tau \left( \frac{\tau^2}{2} + \frac{\kappa\tau^3}{3} \right) + \tau \frac{e^{\kappa\tau}}{4} [2\cos \tau - \kappa \sin \tau] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{16} [(e^{\kappa\tau} \cos \tau - \cos \tau)4\kappa - 4(-e^{\kappa\tau} \sin \tau - \sin \tau)] \right] + O_2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} e^{-\kappa\tau} \left[ \sin \tau \left( \frac{\tau^2}{2} + \frac{\kappa\tau^3}{3} \right) + \frac{\tau}{4} [e^{\kappa\tau} 2\cos \tau - \kappa \sin \tau] - \frac{1}{4} (e^{\kappa\tau} + 1) \sin \tau \right] + O_2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} \left[ (1 - \kappa\tau) \sin \tau \frac{\tau^2}{2} + \sin \tau \frac{\kappa\tau^3}{3} + \frac{\tau}{4} [2\cos \tau - \kappa \sin \tau] - \frac{1}{4} (1 + e^{-\kappa\tau}) \sin \tau \right] + O_2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} \left[ \sin \tau \frac{\tau^2}{2} - \sin \tau \frac{\kappa\tau^3}{6} + \frac{\tau}{4} [2\cos \tau - \kappa \sin \tau] - \frac{1}{4} (2 - \kappa\tau) \sin \tau \right] + O_2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} \left[ \sin \tau \frac{\tau^2}{2} - \sin \tau \frac{\kappa\tau^3}{6} + \frac{\tau}{2} \cos \tau - \frac{1}{2} \sin \tau \right] + O_2. \tag{B.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

## 2.2 Integrals (14.34)

Calculating integrals

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{2\pi} \tau e^{i\tau} d\tau &= -i(2\pi - 1) \approx -5.28i \\
\int_0^{2\pi} \tau^2 e^{i\tau} d\tau &= -(4\pi^2 - 1) + \int_0^{2\pi} 2\tau e^{i\tau} d\tau = -(4\pi^2 - 1) - 2i(2\pi - 1) = -(2\pi - 1)[(2\pi + 1) + 2i] \\
&\approx -38.44 - 10.56i \\
\int_0^{2\pi} \tau \cos \tau d\tau &= 2\pi \approx 6.28, \\
\int_0^{2\pi} \tau^2 \sin \tau d\tau &= -4\pi^2 + 4\pi \approx -39.44 + 12.56 \approx -26.88 \\
\int_0^{2\pi} \tau^3 \sin \tau d\tau &= -\tau^3 \cos \tau \Big|_0^{2\pi} + 3 \int_0^{2\pi} \tau^2 \cos \tau d\tau = -\tau^3 \cos \tau \Big|_0^{2\pi} + 3\tau^3 \sin \tau \Big|_0^{2\pi} - 6 \int_0^{2\pi} \tau \sin \tau d\tau \\
&= -\tau^3 \cos \tau \Big|_0^{2\pi} + 3\tau^3 \sin \tau \Big|_0^{2\pi} + 12\pi = -8\pi^3 + 12\pi \approx -991 + 37.7 \approx -953,
\end{aligned}$$

we rewrite (14.34) as

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} J_1 &= \frac{2\pi - 1}{2\pi} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} + \varkappa \left( -\frac{i}{4} + \frac{-(2\pi + 1) + 2i}{4i} \right) \right] + O_2 \\ &= \frac{2\pi - 1}{2\pi} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} + \varkappa \left( \frac{\pi i}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] + O(\sigma_1^2) = J_{11} + iJ_{12} + O_2, \\ J_{11} &= \frac{2\pi - 1}{2\pi} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\varkappa}{2} \right] \approx -0.42 + 0.42\varkappa, \quad J_{12} = \frac{2\pi - 1}{4} \varkappa \approx 1.32\varkappa \\ J_2 &\approx \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[ -13.44 + 159\varkappa + 3.14 \right] + O_2 \approx 0.04 \left[ -10.3 + 159\varkappa \right] + O_2 \approx -0.41 + 6.4\varkappa + O_2 \end{aligned} \right. \quad (B.3)$$

## 2.3 Integrals (15.5)

First, we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &\approx - \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i\tau'} e^{-\varkappa(2\pi - \tau')} \sin \tau' d\tau' \\
&= -\frac{e^{-2\pi\varkappa}}{2i} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i\tau'} e^{\varkappa\tau'} [e^{i\tau'} - e^{-i\tau'}] d\tau' = -\frac{e^{-2\pi\varkappa}}{2i} \int_0^{2\pi} [e^{\varkappa\tau'} - e^{(\varkappa - 2i)\tau'}] d\tau' \\
&= -\frac{e^{-2\pi\varkappa}}{2i} \left[ \frac{e^{2\pi\varkappa} - 1}{\varkappa} - \frac{e^{2\pi\varkappa} - 1}{\varkappa - 2i} \right] = -\frac{e^{-2\pi\varkappa}}{2i} \left[ \frac{2\pi\varkappa + \frac{1}{2}(2\pi\varkappa)^2}{\varkappa} - \frac{e^{2\pi\varkappa} - 1}{4} (\varkappa + 2i) \right] + O(\varkappa^2) \\
&= -\frac{e^{-2\pi\varkappa}}{2i} \left[ 2\pi + 2\varkappa\pi^2 - \varkappa\pi i \right] + O(\varkappa^2) = e^{-2\pi\varkappa} \left[ \pi i + \varkappa\pi^2 i + \frac{\varkappa\pi}{2} \right] + O(\varkappa^2) \\
&= \pi i + \varkappa\pi^2 i + \frac{\varkappa\pi}{2} - \pi^2 2\varkappa i + O(\varkappa^2) = \pi i + \varkappa \left[ -\pi^2 i + \frac{\pi}{2} \right] + O(\varkappa^2) \\
&= A_{11} + iA_{12} + O(\varkappa^2), \quad A_{11} = \varkappa \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad A_{12} = \pi - \varkappa\pi^2.
\end{aligned} \quad (B.4)$$

Second,

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2 &\approx -\frac{e^{-\pi\sigma_1}}{2i} \int_0^{2\pi} \tau' [e^{\kappa\tau'} - e^{(\kappa-2i)\tau'}] d\tau' \\
&= -\frac{e^{-\pi\sigma_1}}{2i} \left[ \tau \frac{e^{\kappa\tau}}{\kappa} - \frac{e^{\kappa\tau}}{(\kappa)^2} - \tau \frac{e^{\kappa\tau}}{\kappa-2i} + \frac{e^{\kappa\tau}}{(\kappa-2i)^2} \right] \Big|_0^{2\pi} = -\frac{e^{-\pi\sigma_1}}{2i} \left[ \frac{\tau\kappa-1}{(\kappa)^2} e^{\kappa\tau} - \frac{\tau(\kappa-2i)-1}{(\kappa-2i)^2} e^{\kappa\tau} \right] \Big|_0^{2\pi} \\
&= -\frac{e^{-\pi\sigma_1}}{2i} \left[ \frac{\tau\kappa-1}{(\kappa)^2} e^{\kappa\tau} - \frac{\tau(\kappa-2i)-1}{16} (\kappa+2i)^2 e^{\kappa\tau} \right] \Big|_0^{2\pi} + O(\sigma_1^2) \\
&= -\frac{e^{-\pi\sigma_1}}{2i} \left[ \frac{(\pi\sigma_1-1)e^{\pi\sigma_1}+1}{(\kappa)^2} - \frac{(\kappa+2i)^2}{16} [(\pi\sigma_1-4\pi i-1)e^{\pi\sigma_1}+1] \right] + O(\sigma_1^2) \\
&= -\frac{e^{-\pi\sigma_1}}{2i} \left[ \frac{(\pi\sigma_1-1)(1+\pi\sigma_1+\frac{1}{2}(\pi\sigma_1)^2+\frac{1}{6}(\pi\sigma_1)^3)+1}{(\kappa)^2} - \pi i - \frac{\pi\sigma_1}{2} + \frac{1}{4}[\pi\sigma_1+(-4\pi i-1)\pi\sigma_1] \right] + O(\sigma_1^2) \\
&= -\frac{e^{-\pi\sigma_1}}{2i} \left[ \frac{(\pi\sigma_1-1)(\frac{1}{2}(\pi\sigma_1)^2+\frac{1}{6}(\pi\sigma_1)^3)}{(\kappa)^2} - \pi i - \frac{\pi\sigma_1}{2} + \frac{1}{4}[\pi\sigma_1+(-4\pi i-1)\pi\sigma_1] \right] + O(\sigma_1^2) \\
&= -\frac{e^{-\pi\sigma_1}}{2i} \left[ (\pi\sigma_1-1)(2\pi^2+\frac{2}{3}\pi^3\sigma_1) - \pi i - \frac{\pi\sigma_1}{2} - \pi^2\sigma_1 i \right] + O(\sigma_1^2) \\
&= -\frac{e^{-\pi\sigma_1}}{2i} \left[ -2\pi^2 - \pi i + \sigma_1 \left[ \frac{4}{3}\pi^3 - \frac{\pi}{2} - \pi^2 i \right] \right] + O(\sigma_1^2) = e^{-\pi\sigma_1} \left[ -\pi^2 i + \frac{\pi}{2} + \sigma_1 \left[ \frac{2}{3}\pi^3 i - \frac{\pi}{4} i + \frac{\pi^2}{2} \right] \right] + O(\sigma_1^2) \\
&= \left[ -\pi^2 i + \frac{\pi}{2} + \sigma_1 \left[ \frac{2}{3}\pi^3 i - \frac{\pi}{4} i + \frac{\pi^2}{2} \right] \right] + \pi\sigma_1 \left[ \pi^2 i - \frac{\pi}{2} \right] + O(\sigma_1^2) \\
&= -\pi^2 i + \frac{\pi}{2} + \sigma_1 i \left[ \frac{5\pi^3}{3} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right] + O(\sigma_1^2) = A_{21} + iA_{22} + O(\sigma_1^2), \quad A_{21} = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad A_{22} = -\pi^2 + \sigma_1 \left[ \frac{5\pi^3}{3} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right]. \quad (B.5)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$A_3 = \text{Im} A_2 \approx -\pi^2 + \sigma_1 \left[ \frac{5\pi^3}{3} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right] + O(\sigma_1^2). \quad (B.6)$$

Finally,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} B_1 = i \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i\tau'} E(2\pi - \tau') d\tau' = iA_1. \\ B_2 = - \int_0^{2\pi} [e^{-i\tau'} - i\tau' e^{-i\tau'}] E(2\pi - \tau') d\tau' = -A_1 - iA_2 \\ B_3 = \text{Im} B_2 = -A_{12} - A_{21} \end{array} \right. \quad (B.7)$$

## References

- [1] L. Allen, J.H. Eberly, Optical Resonance and Two-Level Atoms, Wiley, New York, 1975.
- [2] V. I. Arnold, Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics, Springer, New York, 1989.
- [3] S.H. Autler, C.H. Townes, Stark effect in rapidly varying fields, *Phys. Rev.* 100 (1955), no. 2, 703–722.
- [4] I. Bejenaru, D. Tataru, Global wellposedness in the energy space for the Maxwell–Schrödinger system, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **288** (2009), 145–198.
- [5] V. Benci, D. Fortunato, An eigenvalue problem for the Schrödinger–Maxwell equations, *Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis* **11** (1998), 283–293.
- [6] G. M. Coclite, V. Georgiev, Solitary waves for Maxwell–Schrödinger equations, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations* 94 (2004), 1–31. [arXiv:math/0303142](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0303142) [math.AP]

- [7] Ju.L. Daleckii, M.G. Krein, Stability of Solutions of Differential Equations in Banach Space, Amer Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1974. ISBN-10. 0821832387.
- [8] R.H. Dicke, Coherence in Spontaneous Radiation Processes, *Phys. Rev.* **93** (1954), no. 1, 99–110.
- [9] J. Demaison, W. Hüttner, Dipole Moments and Related Constants of Diamagnetic Molecules, Springer, Berlin, 1992.
- [10] A. Einstein, Quantentheorie der Strahlung (On the quantum theory of radiation) *Phys. Z.* **18** (1917), 121–128.
- [11] B. Garraway, The Dicke model in quantum optics: Dicke model revisited, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **369** (2011), 1137–1155.
- [12] Y. Guo, K. Nakamitsu, W. Strauss, Global finite-energy solutions of the Maxwell–Schrödinger system, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **170** (1995), no. 1, 181–196.
- [13] H. Haken, Laser Theory, Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- [14] K. Hepp, E.H. Lieb, On the superradiant phase transition for molecules in a quantised radiation field: The Dicke Maser model, *Ann. Physics* **76** (1973), 360–404.
- [15] K. Hepp, E.H. Lieb, Phase transitions in reservoir-driven open systems with applications to lasers and superconductors, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **46** (1973), 573–603.
- [16] K. Hepp, E.H. Lieb, Equilibrium statistical mechanics of matter interacting with the quantised radiation field, *Phys. Rev. A* (3) **8** (1973), 2517–2525.
- [17] K. Hepp, E.H. Lieb, The laser: a reversible quantum dynamical system with irreversible classical macroscopic motion. Dynamical systems, theory and applications (Rencontres, Battelle Res. Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1974), pp. 178–207 in: Lecture Notes in Phys., Vol. 38, Springer, Berlin, 1975.
- [18] K. Hepp, E.H. Lieb, Laser models, pp 145–234 in: E. H. Lieb, Condensed Matter Physics and Exactly Soluble Models, Springer, Berlin, 2004.
- [19] R. D. Jackson, Classical Electrodynamics, Wiley, New York, 1999.
- [20] A. Komech, Quantum Mechanics: Genesis and Achievements, Springer, Dordrecht, 2013.  
<http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~komech/articles/QM.pdf>
- [21] A.I. Komech, Lectures on Quantum Mechanics and Attractors, World Scientific, Singapore, 2022.
- [22] A.I. Komech, On quantum jumps and attractors of the Maxwell–Schrödinger equations, *Annales mathématiques du Québec* **46** (2022), 139–159. arXiv 1907.04297.  
<https://www.sciencegate.app/document/10.1007/s40316-021-00179-1>
- [23] A. Komech, E. Kopylova, Attractors of Hamiltonian Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2021.
- [24] P. Mandel, Theoretical Problems in Cavity Nonlinear Optics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [25] H. Nussenzveig, Introduction to Quantum Optics, Gordon and Breach, London, 1973.
- [26] K. Petersen, J. P. Solovej, Existence of travelling wave solutions to the Maxwell–Pauli and Maxwell–Schrödinger systems, Archive: [arXiv:1402.3936](https://arxiv.org/abs/1402.3936) .
- [27] A. Pikovsky, M. Rosenblum, J. Kurths, Synchronization. A Universal Concept in Nonlinear Sciences, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [28] I.I. Rabi, Space quantization in a gyrating magnetic field, *Phys. Rev.* **51** (1937), 652–654.

- [29] J.J. Sakurai, Advanced Quantum Mechanics, Pearson Education, Incorporated, 2006.
- [30] M. Sargent III, M.O. Scully, W.E. Lamb Jr, Laser Physics, Addison Wesley, Reading, 1978.
- [31] L.I. Schiff, Quantum Mechanics, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1955.
- [32] M. O. Scully, M. S. Zubairy, Quantum Optics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [33] A. Shimomura, Modified wave operators for Maxwell–Schrödinger equations in three space dimensions, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **4** (2003), 661–683.
- [34] V.A. Yakubovich, V.M. Starzhinskii, Linear Differential Equations with Periodic Coefficients, Wiley & Sons, New York, 1975.
- [35] O. Svelto, Principles of Lasers, Springer, New York, 2010.
- [36] G.K. Woodgate, Elementary Atomic Structure, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2002.