

On parametric resonance in the laser action ¹

A. I. Komech

Faculty of Mathematics, University of Vienna
alexander.komech@univie.ac.at

Abstract

We consider the selfconsistent semiclassical Maxwell–Schrödinger system for the solid state laser. The system consists of the Maxwell equations coupled to $N \sim 10^{20}$ Schrödinger equations for active molecules. The system includes time-periodic pumping and a weak dissipation. We introduce the corresponding Poincaré map \mathcal{P} and consider the differential $D\mathcal{P}(Y^0)$ at suitable ground state Y^0 .

We conjecture that the *stable laser action* is due to the *parametric resonance* (PR) which means that the maximal absolute value of the corresponding multipliers is sufficiently large. The multipliers are defined as eigenvalues of $D\mathcal{P}(Y^0)$. The PR makes the stationary state Y^0 highly unstable, and we suppose that this instability maintains the *coherent laser radiation*. We prove that the spectrum $\text{Spec } D\mathcal{P}(Y^0)$ is approximately symmetric with respect to the unit circle $|\mu| = 1$ if the dissipation is sufficiently small.

More detailed results are obtained for the Maxwell–Bloch system which is a finite-dimensional approximation of the Maxwell–Schrödinger system. The approximation consists of one-mode Maxwell field coupled to N two-level molecules. We calculate the corresponding Poincaré map P by successive approximations. The key role in calculation of the multipliers is played by the sum of N positive terms arising in the second-order approximation for the total current. This fact can be interpreted as the *synchronization of molecular currents* in all active molecules, which is provisionally in line with the role of *stimulated emission* in the laser action. The calculation of the sum relies on probabilistic arguments. The differential DP is calculated of in the “Hopf representation” which corresponds to the factorization of the dynamics by the action of the symmetry gauge group. The differential admits the block structure which allows us to reduce the eigenvalue problem to the polynomial of degree six.

MSC classification: 78A60, 37N20, 81V80, 70K28, 35P30.

Keywords: laser; Maxwell–Schrödinger equations; Maxwell–Bloch equations; parametric resonance; Poincaré map; multiplier; spectrum; theorem of Lyapunov–Poincaré; perturbation theory; synchronization.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Laser equations	4
3	Parametric resonance	5
4	Symmetry of spectrum	6
5	Dipole approximation	7
6	Gauge transform	7
7	Decoupled system and eigenfunction expansions	8
8	Resonance condition	9
9	The magnitude of pumping	9
10	The Maxwell–Bloch equations	9
11	Molecular currents	10
12	Time scaling	11

¹Preprint arXiv:2208.10179 [quant-ph]

13 Parametric resonance for the Maxwell–Bloch equations	12
14 Successive approximations	12
14.1 The first-order approximation	14
14.2 The second-order approximation	15
15 The Poincaré map	17
16 Synchronisation of molecular currents	18
17 Factordynamics and the Hopf fibration	19
18 Reduction of spectral problem by the symmetry group	20
19 Differential of the Poincaré map on the factorspace	20
20 Block-matrix approximation	22
21 Reduction to polynomial equation	23
A On averaging of slow rotations	25
B Some integrals	26
2.1 Integrals (14.26)	26
2.2 Integrals (14.32)	27
2.3 Integrals (15.5)	28

1 Introduction

The article addresses the problems of laser and maser radiation. In the existing approaches, time-periodic pumping is not included in the dynamical equations, so the corresponding resonance features are absent. This fact was one of our main motivations.

We consider the selfconsistent semiclassical Maxwell–Schrödinger system for the solid state laser. The system consists of the Maxwell equations coupled to $N \sim 10^{20}$ Schrödinger equations for active molecules with one active electron. The system includes time-periodic pumping and a weak dissipation. We introduce the corresponding Poincaré map \mathcal{P} and consider its differential $D\mathcal{P}(Y^0)$ at suitable ground state Y^0 . Let μ denote the multipliers which are eigenvalues of the differential $D\mathcal{P}(Y^0)$. We conjecture that the laser action is due to the parametric resonance, when

$$\max_{\mu \in \text{Spec } D\mathcal{P}(Y^0)} |\mu| > \rho \quad (1.1)$$

with sufficiently large $\rho > 1$. For solutions to the linearized dynamical equation with the spectrum satisfying (1.1), the components with $|\mu| \leq 1$ are bounded, while the ones with $|\mu| > 1$ grow exponentially for large times. As a result, *almost all* solutions grow exponentially. This is why we expect that under the condition (1.1), similar growth takes place in the case of sufficiently small nonlinear coupling (the growth only occurs for limited time because of nonlinear effects and dissipation). Thus, our point of view is in line with the conventional interpretation of the laser action as the appearance of exponentially growing solutions of the corresponding linearized dynamics, see ([27, (6.5.12) and (6.6.23)]).

Remark 1.1. i) We suggest that the overwhelming predominance of a single mode, corresponding to the maximal value of $|\mu|$, can be responsible for the *coherent monochromatic* laser radiation.
ii) We suppose that the parametric resonance is also relevant to the gas- and free-electron lasers. However, at the moment, a mathematical formulation of the corresponding dynamics is problematic.

The main goal of the present article is an analysis of properties of the multipliers and development of methods for their calculation. Our main results are as follows.

I. We develop the Hamiltonian formalism for the ideal case of the Maxwell–Schrödinger system without dissipation. Using this formalism and the Lyapunov–Poincaré theorem [42, (3.28)], we establish the approximate symmetry of the spectrum $\text{Spec } DP(Y^0)$ with respect to the unit circle $|\mu| = 1$ when the dissipation is sufficiently small (Lemma 4.1 and (4.6)).

More detailed results (II and III below) are obtained for the Maxwell–Bloch system which is a finite-dimensional approximation of the Maxwell–Schrödinger system. The approximation consists of one-mode Maxwell field coupled to N two-level molecules. The system is time-periodic in the case of periodic pumping.

II. We calculate the corresponding Poincaré map P by successive approximations. The key role in calculation of the multipliers is played by the sum of N positive terms (16.2) arising in the second-order approximation for the total current. This fact can be interpreted as the *synchronization of molecular currents* in all active molecules, which is provisionally in line with the role of *stimulated emission* in the laser action.

III. We calculate suitable approximation for the matrix $DP(Y^0)$ corresponding to material parameters of the ruby laser. The calculation relies on the Hopf representation of the dynamics. The main peculiarity of the corresponding eigenvalue problem is that $DP(Y^0)$ is a matrix of size $\sim N \times N$ which depends on a random distribution of active molecules in the resonance cavity. Using the block structure of this matrix and probabilistic arguments, we reduce the eigenvalue problem to polynomial equation of degree six.

Our main novelties are as follows: i) the approximate symmetry of the spectrum in the nonlinear context, ii) the calculation of the differential $DP(Y^0)$ in the Hopf representation, iii) the block structure of the differential (20.8), iv) calculation of the sum (16.5) and the reduction to polynomial equation of degree six relying on Shnirelman’s Quantum Ergodic Theorem [33, 37, 38] and probabilistic arguments (21.10)–(21.16), and v) the justification of the “rotating wave approximation” by a new estimate for averaging of slow rotations (Lemma A.1).

Remark 1.2. i) The conjecture on appropriate kind of synchronisation in the laser action has been put forward repeatedly, see, e.g., [29]. However, a dynamical formulation of the synchronization in the context of the laser action is still missing. In the present article, for the first time we will concretise this formulation in the framework of the nonlinear damped Maxwell–Schrödinger equations with time-periodic pumping.

ii) The condition (1.1) alone is not sufficient for the stable laser/maser action: to be sure that the energy of the Maxwell field increases indeed, we check that the projections of the corresponding eigenvectors onto the Maxwell field do not vanish, see (13.8) and Remark 21.3.

Let us comment on previous theories of laser radiation. The today laser/maser theory exists on the following three levels.

Rate equations. This approach is based on balance equations for the numbers of emitted and absorbed photons [1, 14, 27, 32, 40]. This theory comes back to famous Einstein’s article [11] developing the theory of *stimulated* and *spontaneous emission and absorption*.

Semiclassical theory. This theory is based on finite-dimensional approximations of the Schrödinger equations for each active molecule coupled to the classical Maxwell equations [1, 3, 14, 27, 32, 35, 40]. This semiclassical approach uses method of slowly varying amplitudes [27, Sections 6.2 and 6.3]. The formation of the coherent monochromatic radiation is explained by an instability in the equation for amplitude of the Maxwell mode under various hypothesis on the density of population of active molecules [27, Sections 6.5 and 6.6]. The criterion of the instability is formulated in terms of presence of an unstable (nonnegative) root of the corresponding characteristic equation ([27, (6.5.12) and (6.6.23)]).

Quantum theory. This approach is based on quantized Maxwell equations in the Dicke–Haken–Lax model [9, 14, 27, 32, 40] and [15]–[19]. The theory resulted in successful explanation of many laser phenomena: superradiance, photon echoes, self-induced transparency and others [12, 14, 27, 32, 35, 40]. The results by K. Hepp and E. Lieb [19] establish the phase transitions which occur in the thermodynamical limit as $N \rightarrow \infty$ if the coupling constant is sufficiently large.

Remark 1.3. i) The time-periodic pumping with a resonant frequency plays the crucial role sustaining the population inversion. At the same time, the existing theories [1, 14, 26, 27, 32, 35, 40] simulate the maintenance of the inversion by *phenomenological constant terms* in dynamical equations; see [27, (6.4.11), (6.4.12)] for semiclassical model and the beginning of Section 7.3 in [27] for quantum model. So, the resonance features are not considered. This fact was our main motivation for the parametric resonance.

ii) The existing theories do not take into account different phases of the Maxwell field at different points of the

cavity (in particular, spatial variation of the Maxwell mode is neglected in the quantum case [27, (7.1.2)]). The *synchronization of molecular currents* established in present article, means that contribution of a molecule to the amplification of the Maxwell field does not depend on the phase of the Maxwell field at the location of the molecule, see Remark 16.1.

Remark 1.4. As far as I know, laser dynamics with time-periodic pumping and the corresponding parametric resonance were not considered previously.

In conclusion, the case of quantum Maxwell field will be considered elsewhere.

2 Laser equations

We use the Heaviside–Lorentz units (*unrationalized Gaussian units*), in which the main physical constants (electron charge and mass, Planck’s constant, and the speed of light in vacuum) read [41, p. 221]

$$e = -4.8 \times 10^{-10} \text{esu}, \quad m = 9.1 \times 10^{-28} \text{g}, \quad \hbar = 1.055 \times 10^{-27} \text{erg} \cdot \text{s}, \quad c = 3.0 \times 10^{10} \text{cm/s}. \quad (2.1)$$

Laser cavity and medium. We consider solid state lasers. The laser resonator is a (usually cylindrical) cavity $V \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with metallic walls (ideal conductor) connected with the output waveguide. Typical industrial laser consists of two cylindrical lamps of identical size with glass walls placed at the foci of a metallic cavity V with an elliptic cross-section. The *active lamp* V_a is filled with a dielectric medium doped with identical active molecules. The other *pumping lamp* is the discharge light source which produces the pumping field. In particular, for the typical cylindrical lamp of length 12cm and diameter 0.6cm we have

$$|V_a| = \frac{12\pi \cdot 0.36}{4} \approx 3.4 \text{cm}^3. \quad (2.2)$$

The dielectric medium of the active region V_a has an electrical conductivity σ . For example, the ruby laser is filled with corundum of electrical conductivity

$$\sigma = 10^{-14} \text{Om}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1} = 10^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}. \quad (2.3)$$

The active molecules are located at the points $x_n \in V_a$ with numbers $n = 1, \dots, N \sim 10^{20}$. We suppose that in each active molecule only one electron is involved in this interaction, and it is subject to an effective static molecular potential

$$\Phi_n(x) = \Phi(R_n(x - x_n)), \quad R_n \in SO(3). \quad (2.4)$$

Here Φ is the potential of the ion (or nucleus) with the total charge $|e| > 0$. Further we consider two different hypothesis on the distribution of x_n and R_n with $n \in \overline{N} := \{1, \dots, N\}$.

H1. Polycrystalline medium (or "glass medium" [39]). The values $x_n \in V$ and $R_n \in SO(3)$ are “almost uniformly distributed” and “almost independent”.

H2. Crystalline medium. Rotations R_n do not depend on $n \in \overline{N}$.

Maxwell–Schrödinger equations. Each active molecule is described by the corresponding wave function $\psi_n(x, t)$, and in Heaviside–Lorentz units, the corresponding Maxwell–Schrödinger system reads (see [4, 5, 7, 13, 21, 22, 23, 24, 28, 31, 36] for the equations without dissipation)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{c^2} \ddot{\mathbf{A}}(x, t) = \Delta \mathbf{A}(x, t) - \frac{\sigma}{c^2} \dot{\mathbf{A}}(x, t) + \frac{1}{c} P_s \mathbf{j}(\cdot, t), \quad x \in V \\ i\hbar \dot{\psi}_n(t) = H_n(t) \psi_n(t), \quad n \in \overline{N} \end{array} \right., \quad (2.5)$$

where $\sigma > 0$ is electrical conductivity of the cavity medium. We assume the Coulomb gauge [20]

$$\text{div } \mathbf{A}(x, t) \equiv 0, \quad (2.6)$$

and we denote by P_s the orthogonal projection onto divergent-free vector fields in the Hilbert space $L^2(V) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$. Further, the Schrödinger operators read

$$H_n(t) := \frac{1}{2m} D^2(t) + e\Phi_n(x), \quad D(t) = -i\hbar \nabla - \frac{e}{c} [\mathbf{A}(x, t) + \mathbf{A}_p(x, t)]. \quad (2.7)$$

Here $\mathbf{A}_p(x, t)$ is an external pumping potential, also in the Coulomb gauge and time-periodic:

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}_p(x, t) \equiv 0; \quad \mathbf{A}_p(x, t + T) = \mathbf{A}_p(x, t), \quad t > 0, \quad (2.8)$$

where $T > 0$. The current density is defined by

$$\mathbf{j}(x, t) = \frac{e}{m} \sum_n \operatorname{Re} [\bar{\psi}_n(x, t) D(t) \psi_n(x, t)]. \quad (2.9)$$

This formula neglects overlapping of the supports of the wave functions since the distance between active molecules is sufficiently large: it is of order 10 molecular diameters when the density of active molecules is of order 10^{20} .

Note that the pumping field is a solution to the Maxwell equations in the cavity V excited by the discharge currents in the pumping lamp.

Boundary conditions. We choose the boundary conditions modelling ideally conducting diamagnetic materials (like cooper, silver, gold, etc). More precisely, we assume that

$$\mathbf{n}(x) \times \dot{\mathbf{A}}(x, t) = 0, \quad \mathbf{n}(x) \cdot \operatorname{rot} \mathbf{A}(x, t) = 0; \quad \psi_n(x, t) = 0, \quad n \in \bar{N}; \quad x \in \Gamma, \quad (2.10)$$

where $\mathbf{n}(x)$ is the outward normal to Γ at a point $x \in \Gamma$.

Remark 2.1. i) The boundary conditions (2.10) must to be valid for the total Maxwell field $\mathbf{A}(x, t) + \mathbf{A}_p(x, t)$. We require the same boundary conditions for $\mathbf{A}(x, t)$ to separate the *own field* $\mathbf{A}(x, t)$ from the pumping field $\mathbf{A}_p(x, t)$. In this case the pumping field $\mathbf{A}_p(x, t)$ satisfies the same boundary conditions as $\mathbf{A}(x, t)$.

ii) Under the Dirichlet boundary conditions for the wave functions, the total charge of each active molecule is constant, i.e.,

$$\int_V |\psi_n(x, t)| dx = 1, \quad t > 0; \quad n \in \bar{N}. \quad (2.11)$$

Hamiltonian structure. In the *ideal case*, when $\sigma = 0$, the system (2.5), under the boundary conditions (2.10), is formally Hamiltonian, with the Hamiltonian functional (which is the energy up to a factor)

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{\Pi}, \psi, t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left\| \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{\Pi} \right\|^2 + \|\operatorname{rot} \mathbf{A}\|^2 \right] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \langle \psi_n, H_n(\mathbf{A}, t) \psi_n \rangle, \quad (2.12)$$

where $\psi := (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_N)$, $\|\cdot\|$ stands for the norm in the real Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$, and the brackets $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ stand for the inner product in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. The Schrödinger operators are defined by (2.7):

$$H_n(\mathbf{A}, t) := \frac{1}{2m} \left[-i\hbar \nabla - \frac{e}{c} (\mathbf{A}(x) + \mathbf{A}_p(x, t)) \right]^2 + e\Phi_n(x). \quad (2.13)$$

The system (2.5) with $\sigma \geq 0$ can be written in the Hamiltonian form with variational derivatives,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{c^2} \dot{\mathbf{A}}(t) = D_{\mathbf{\Pi}} \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{A}(t), \mathbf{\Pi}(t), \psi(t), t), \quad \frac{1}{c^2} \dot{\mathbf{\Pi}}(t) = -D_{\mathbf{A}} \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{A}(t), \mathbf{\Pi}(t), \psi(t), t) - \frac{\sigma}{c^2} \dot{\mathbf{A}}(x, t) \\ i\hbar \dot{\psi}_n(t) = D_{\psi_n} \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{A}(t), \mathbf{\Pi}(t), \psi(t), t) = H_n(\mathbf{A}(t), \psi(t), t) \psi_n(t), \end{array} \right. \quad (2.14)$$

Symmetry group. For any $\theta_n \in \mathbb{R}$, the functions $\mathbf{A}(x, t)$, $e^{i\theta_n} \psi_n(x, t)$ are solutions of the system (2.5) if $\mathbf{A}(x, t)$, $\psi_n(x, t)$ is a solution. In other words, the dynamics (2.5) under the boundary conditions (2.10) commutes with the action of the *symmetry group* $G := [U(1)]^N$ with the action

$$(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_N})(\mathbf{A}, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_N) = (\mathbf{A}, e^{i\theta_1} \psi_1, \dots, e^{i\theta_N} \psi_N). \quad (2.15)$$

3 Parametric resonance

Let $L^2 = L^2(V)$ denote the Hilbert space of real-valued functions. The system (2.14) can be written as the dynamical equation on the Hilbert phase space $\mathbb{Y} = [L^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^3]^2 \oplus [L^2]^{2N}$:

$$\dot{Y}(t) = \mathcal{F}(Y(t), t), \quad Y(t) := (\mathbf{A}(t), \mathbf{\Pi}(t), \psi(t)). \quad (3.1)$$

This system is T -periodic by (2.8), i.e.,

$$\mathcal{F}(Y, t + T) \equiv \mathcal{F}(Y, t). \quad (3.2)$$

The corresponding Poincaré map is defined by

$$\mathcal{P} : Y(0) \mapsto Y(T). \quad (3.3)$$

Let $Y^0(t)$ be a “stationary state” of the system (3.1) with the zero pumping $\mathbf{A}_p(x, t) = 0$, i.e., a solution of type

$$Y^0(t) = (0, 0, (e^{-i\omega_n t} \psi_n(x) : n \in \overline{N})), \quad (3.4)$$

where $\omega_n \in \mathbb{R}$. The solutions correspond to the absence of the Maxwell field and to stationary states of all active molecules. The following definition is relevant for the system (3.1) with sufficiently small pumping.

Definition 3.1. The parametric resonance occurs for $Y^0 := Y^0(0)$ when the condition (1.1) holds with a sufficiently large $\rho > 1$.

Remark 3.2. The parametric resonance means an exponential divergence of trajectories close to Y^0 .

4 Symmetry of spectrum

In the *ideal case*, when $\sigma = 0$, the dissipation is absent, and the system (3.1) is Hamiltonian by (2.14), so it can be written as

$$\dot{Y}(t) = JD_Y \mathcal{H}(Y(t), t), \quad (4.1)$$

where J is a skewadjoint operator, and J^2 is proportional to the identity operator.

Lemma 4.1. (Lyapunov–Poincaré theorem) *In the case $\sigma = 0$, the symmetry holds*

$$\text{Spec } D\mathcal{P}(Y^0) = R[\text{Spec } D\mathcal{P}(Y^0)], \quad (4.2)$$

where $R\mu = \bar{\mu}^{-1}$ is the inversion, which is the reflection in the unit circle $|\mu| = 1$.

Proof. The differential $D\mathcal{P}(Y^0)$ admits the representation

$$D\mathcal{P}(Y^0)\mathbf{Y}(0) = \mathbf{Y}(T), \quad (4.3)$$

where $\mathbf{Y}(t)$ is the solution to the linearized equation

$$\dot{\mathbf{Y}}(t) = K(t)\mathbf{Y}(t), \quad K(t) = D_Y \mathcal{F}(Y^0(t), t). \quad (4.4)$$

However, (4.1) implies that

$$\mathcal{F}(Y, t) = JD_Y \mathcal{H}(Y, t),$$

and therefore,

$$K(t) = JD_Y^2 \mathcal{H}(Y^0(t), t) = J\mathcal{A}(t), \quad (4.5)$$

where $\mathcal{A}(t)$ is the selfadjoint operator. Denote the map $U(t) : \mathbf{Y}(0) \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}(t)$, so $D\mathcal{P}(Y^0) = U(2\pi)$ by (4.3). Finally, the Lyapunov–Poincaré theorem [42, (3.28)] implies that $\text{Spec } U^* = \text{Spec } U^{-1}$, where $U = U(2\pi)$. Hence, the symmetry (4.2) is proved. \square

Remark 4.2. The symmetry (4.2) can be considered as a nonlinear version of the Lyapunov–Poincaré theorem, which plays the key role in M.G. Krein’s theory of parametric resonance [8, 42]. The ground for this extension is the Hamiltonian structure of the dynamics (4.1).

Thus, in the ideal case, the set of multipliers is symmetric with respect to the unit circle. In general case, when the dissipation is included, the symmetry (4.2) is broken, though it holds approximately for sufficiently small $\sigma > 0$:

$$\text{Spec } D\mathcal{P}(Y^0) \approx R[\text{Spec } D\mathcal{P}(Y^0)]. \quad (4.6)$$

Recall the proof of the Lyapunov–Poincaré theorem [42, (3.28)]. We have $\dot{U}(t) = J\mathcal{A}(t)U(t)$. Hence, differentiating $W(t) := U^*(t)JU(t)$, we obtain

$$\dot{W} = \dot{U}^*JU + U^*J\dot{U} = U^*\mathcal{A}(-J^2)U + U^*J^2\mathcal{A}U = 0 \quad (4.7)$$

since J^2 commutes with \mathcal{A} . Therefore, $U^*(t)JU(t) = J$, and hence $U^*(t) = JU^{-1}(t)J^{-1}$. This similarity implies that the spectra of $U^*(t)$ and $U^{-1}(t)$ coincide.

5 Dipole approximation

We consider the Maxwell–Schrödinger system (2.5) with the pumping $\mathbf{A}_p(x, t)$, which is monochromatic, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{A}_p(x, t) = \mathbf{a}_p(x) \sin \Omega_p t, \quad (5.1)$$

where Ω_p is the pumping frequency. For example, for the ruby laser the resonant pumping wavelength and frequency are

$$\lambda = 694.3 \text{ nm} = 694.3 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m} \approx 7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}, \quad \Omega_p = \frac{2\pi c}{\lambda} = \frac{19 \times 10^{10}}{7 \times 10^{-5}} \approx 3 \times 10^{15} \text{ s}^{-1}. \quad (5.2)$$

H3. The dipole radiation condition. We will assume that

$$\lambda \gg D, \quad (5.3)$$

where D is the diameter of active molecules.

Example 5.1. This condition holds for the ruby laser since the wavelength $\lambda \approx 7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}$ while the size of the chromium molecule is

$$D \approx 2.5 \text{ pm} = 2.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}. \quad (5.4)$$

Taking into account the condition (5.3), we approximate the system (2.5) by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{c^2} \ddot{\mathbf{A}}(x, t) = \Delta \mathbf{A}(x, t) - \frac{\sigma}{c} \dot{\mathbf{A}}(x, t) + \frac{1}{c} P_s \hat{\mathbf{j}}(x, t) \\ i\hbar \dot{\psi}_n(x, t) = \hat{H}_n(t) \psi_n(t), \quad n = 1, \dots, N \end{array} \right. \quad x \in V. \quad (5.5)$$

Here

$$\hat{H}_n(t) := \frac{1}{2m} D_n^2(t) + e\Phi_n(x), \quad D_n(t) = -i\hbar \nabla - \frac{e}{c} [\mathbf{A}(x, t) + \mathbf{A}_p(x_n, t)], \quad (5.6)$$

The current (2.9) in the dipole approximation becomes

$$\mathbf{j}(x, t) \approx \frac{e}{m} \text{Re} \sum_n \bar{\psi}_n(x, t) D_n(t) \psi_n(x, t). \quad (5.7)$$

The system (5.5) with $\sigma = 0$ is also Hamiltonian with the Hamilton functional

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{\Pi}, \psi, t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left\| \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{\Pi} \right\|^2 + \|\text{rot } \mathbf{A}\|^2 \right] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \langle \psi_n, \hat{H}_n(\mathbf{A}, t) \psi_n \rangle, \quad (5.8)$$

where the Schrödinger operators now read

$$\hat{H}_n(\mathbf{A}, t) := \frac{1}{2m} D_n^2(\mathbf{A}, t) + e\Phi_n(x), \quad D_n(\mathbf{A}, t) = -i\hbar \nabla - \frac{e}{c} [\mathbf{A}(x) + \mathbf{A}_p(x_n, t)], \quad (5.9)$$

6 Gauge transform

The dipole approximation (5.5) allows us to apply the gauge transform [35, (5.1.15)]:

$$\psi_n(x, t) = e^{i\chi_n(x, t)} \tilde{\psi}_n(x, t), \quad \chi_n(x, t) := \frac{e}{\hbar c} (x - x_n) [\mathbf{A}(x_n, t) + \mathbf{A}_p(x_n, t)]. \quad (6.1)$$

Now the dipole approximation (5.5) becomes

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{c^2} \ddot{\mathbf{A}}(x, t) = \Delta \mathbf{A}(x, t) - \frac{\sigma}{c} \dot{\mathbf{A}}(x, t) + \frac{1}{c} P_s \hat{\mathbf{j}}(x, t) \\ i\hbar \dot{\tilde{\psi}}_n(x, t) = \tilde{H}_n(t) \tilde{\psi}_n(t), \quad n = 1, \dots, N \end{array} \right. \quad x \in V. \quad (6.2)$$

The Schrödinger operators now read

$$\tilde{H}_n(t) = -\frac{1}{2m} (-i\hbar \nabla - \frac{e}{c} [\mathbf{A}(x, t) - \mathbf{A}(x_n, t)])^2 + e\Phi_n(x) + \hbar \dot{\chi}_n(x, t) \approx -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \Delta + e\Phi_n(x), \quad (6.3)$$

where we used the condition (5.3). The current (5.7) now reads as

$$\mathbf{j}(x, t) \approx \frac{e}{m} \text{Re} \sum_n \bar{\tilde{\psi}}_n(x, t) (-i\hbar \nabla - \frac{e}{c} [\mathbf{A}(x, t) - \mathbf{A}(x_n, t)]) \tilde{\psi}_n(x, t) \approx \frac{e}{m} \text{Re} \sum_n \bar{\tilde{\psi}}_n(x, t) (-i\hbar \nabla) \tilde{\psi}_n(x, t). \quad (6.4)$$

7 Decoupled system and eigenfunction expansions

Decoupled system. The system (3.1) is a weak perturbation of the corresponding “decoupled” system obtained by neglecting the interaction terms with the coupling constant e/c :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{\mathbf{A}}(x, t) = \mathbf{\Pi}(x, t) \\ \frac{1}{c^2} \dot{\mathbf{A}}(x, t) = \Delta \mathbf{A}(x, t) - \frac{\sigma}{c^2} \dot{\mathbf{A}}(x, t) \\ i\hbar \dot{\psi}_n(t) = H_n^0 \psi_n(t), \quad n \in \bar{N} \end{array} \right\}, \quad (7.1)$$

where

$$H_n^0 := -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \Delta + e\Phi_n(x). \quad (7.2)$$

Schrödinger modes. The decoupled system (7.1) can be solved explicitly using the orthonormal eigenfunctions of the Schrödinger operators H_n^0 under the Dirichlet boundary conditions (2.10):

$$H_n^0 \varphi_{n,l}(x) = \omega_{n,l} \varphi_{n,l}(x), \quad l = 1, 2, \dots \quad (7.3)$$

Hence, the system (3.1) can be analyzed by perturbation methods. The eigenfunctions φ_{nl} differ by rotations (2.4), while the eigenvalues $\omega_{n,l} = \omega_l$ do not depend on n with a great accuracy. The decoupled system (3.1) admits the “stationary” solutions of type (3.4)

$$Z^0(t) \equiv (0, 0, (e^{-i\omega_{l(n)}t} \varphi_{n,l(n)} : n \in \bar{N})), \quad (7.4)$$

where $l(n) = 1, 2, \dots$

Maxwell modes. The first equation of the decoupled system (7.1) can be solved using the orthonormal eigenmodes satisfying boundary conditions corresponding to (2.10):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Delta \mathbf{X}_v(x) = -\frac{\Omega_v^2}{c^2} \mathbf{X}_v(x), \quad \text{div } \mathbf{X}_v(x) = 0, \quad x \in V \\ \mathbf{n}(x) \times \mathbf{X}_v(x) = 0, \quad \mathbf{n}(x) \cdot \text{rot } \mathbf{X}_v(x) = 0, \quad x \in \partial V \end{array} \right\}, \quad (7.5)$$

and $\Omega_v > 0$.

Example 7.1. The eigenmodes can be calculated explicitly for the rectangular cuboid $V = [0, l_1] \times [0, l_2] \times [0, l_3]$:

$$\mathbf{X}_k = C \begin{pmatrix} a_k^1 \cos \frac{k_1 \pi x_1}{l_1} \sin \frac{k_2 \pi x_2}{l_2} \sin \frac{k_3 \pi x_3}{l_3} \\ a_k^2 \sin \frac{k_1 \pi x_1}{l_1} \cos \frac{k_2 \pi x_2}{l_2} \sin \frac{k_3 \pi x_3}{l_3} \\ a_k^3 \sin \frac{k_1 \pi x_1}{l_1} \sin \frac{k_2 \pi x_2}{l_2} \cos \frac{k_3 \pi x_3}{l_3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \sqrt{\frac{8}{l_1 l_2 l_3}}, \quad k = (k_1, k_2, k_3), \quad k_j = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (7.6)$$

where the amplitudes $a_k = (a_k^1, a_k^2, a_k^3)$ are unit vectors, orthogonal to the wave vectors k . In particular, consider the cuboid V with dimensions $l_1 = 12\text{cm}$, $l_2 = l_3 = 2\text{cm}$. Then the dispersion of the random value $\mathbf{X}_k(x_n)$ is

$$D(\mathbf{X}(x_n)) = \frac{1}{N} \sum \mathbf{X}_k^2(x_n) \approx \frac{1}{|V_a|} \int_{V_a} \mathbf{X}_k^2(x) dx \approx \frac{1}{|V_a|} \frac{|V_a|}{|V|} = \frac{1}{|V|} \approx 0.02. \quad (7.7)$$

Accordingly, the mean value is

$$|\mathbf{X}(x_n)| \approx 0.14. \quad (7.8)$$

Eigenfunction expansions. We will expand $\tilde{\psi}_n(\cdot, t)$ in the orthonormal eigenfunctions (7.3):

$$\tilde{\psi}_n(x, t) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} c_{n,l}(t) e^{-i\omega_l t} \varphi_{n,l}(x) = e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} H_n^0 t} \phi_n(t), \quad \phi_n(t) := \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} c_{n,l}(t) \varphi_{n,l}(x). \quad (7.9)$$

Now each Schrödinger equation in (6.2) with $n \in \bar{N}$ is equivalent to the system

$$\dot{c}_{n,l}(t) = -i \sum_{l'} e^{-i\omega_{l,l'} t} \langle \dot{\chi}_n(x, t) \varphi_{n,l'}(x), \varphi_{n,l}(x) \rangle c_{n,l'}(t), \quad l \geq 1; \quad \omega_{l,l'} = \omega_{l'} - \omega_l. \quad (7.10)$$

Similarly, the Maxwell field can be expanded in the orthonormal eigenmodes (7.5):

$$\mathbf{A}(x, t) = \sum_v a_v(t) \mathbf{X}_v(x). \quad (7.11)$$

Now the Maxwell equation in (6.2) is equivalent to the system

$$\ddot{a}_v(t) + c\sigma \dot{a}_v(t) + \Omega_v^2 a_v(t) = c \langle \mathbf{j}(t), \mathbf{X}_v \rangle, \quad v = 1, \dots \quad (7.12)$$

8 Resonance condition

The resonance condition means that

$$\Delta = \Omega_p = \Omega_{\bar{v}}, \quad \Delta := \omega_a - \omega_b, \quad (8.1)$$

where $\omega_b < \omega_a$ are some fixed eigenvalues (7.3), $\Omega_{\bar{v}}$ is one of the Maxwell eigenfrequencies (7.5), and $\Omega_p = 2\pi/T$ is the frequency of the pumping (2.8).

We can renumerate the eigenfunctions $\varphi_{n,l}$ in such a way that $b = 1$ and $a = 2$. Then the decoupled system (7.1) admits solutions (7.4) with $l(n) \equiv 1$:

$$Y^0(t) \equiv (0, 0, (e^{-i\omega_1 t} \varphi_{n,1} : n \in \bar{N})), \quad (8.2)$$

Let us call as “ground states” all values of these solutions:

$$Y^0 = (0, 0, (\varphi_{n,1} : n \in \bar{N})). \quad (8.3)$$

The perturbation theory allows us to calculate solutions to the coupled system (2.5) with initial states close to (8.3). We expect that these solutions are rapidly growing due to the resonance condition (8.1) and the parametric resonance (1.1).

9 The magnitude of pumping

The pumping field (5.1) in a neighborhood of any active molecule is approximately a plane wave

$$\mathbf{A}_p(x, t) \approx \mathbf{a}_p \sin(\Omega_p t - kx), \quad |k| = |\Omega_p|/c, \quad (9.1)$$

or a mixture of such waves. Let us estimate the pumping field in a typical cylindrical cavity of length 12 cm and diameter 0.6 cm illuminated by the pumping lamp of the typical power $W = 1\text{ Kwt} = 10^{10}\text{ erg/s}$. The side surface S of the cavity is about $12\pi 0.6\text{ cm}^2 \approx 23\text{ cm}^2$. The intensity I of the plane wave (9.1) is $\frac{ck^2|\mathbf{a}_p|^2}{2} \frac{\text{erg/s}}{\text{cm}^2}$, so

$$W = IS = \frac{ck^2|\mathbf{a}_p|^2}{2} 12\pi 0.6 = 10^{10}. \quad (9.2)$$

Substituting $|k| = |\Omega_p|/c$, we find

$$\frac{\Omega_p^2|\mathbf{a}_p|^2}{2c} 23 = 10^{10}. \quad (9.3)$$

For example, for the ruby laser with frequency (5.2), we have

$$|\mathbf{a}_p|^2 \approx \frac{c}{\Omega_p^2} \times 10^9 = 0.3 \times 10^{-11}. \quad (9.4)$$

Finally,

$$|\mathbf{a}_p| \approx 1.7 \times 10^{-6}. \quad (9.5)$$

H4. Distribution of pumping. We will assume that random vectors $\mathbf{a}_p(x)$ are distributed uniformly on the sphere:

$$|\mathbf{a}_p(x)| = a_p := 1.7 \times 10^{-6}. \quad (9.6)$$

10 The Maxwell–Bloch equations

Now we consider the finite-dimensional Faedo–Galerkin approximation of the Maxwell–Schrödinger system (3.1). Namely, we will consider solutions

$$\mathbf{A}(x, t) = a(t)\mathbf{X}_{\bar{v}}(x), \quad \tilde{\Psi}_n(x, t) = c_{n,1}(t)e^{-i\omega_1 t}\varphi_{n,1}(x) + c_{n,2}(t)e^{-i\omega_2 t}\varphi_{n,2}(x), \quad (10.1)$$

which satisfy the system consisting of two equations (7.10) with $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ for each $n \in \bar{N}$, and one equation of (7.12) with $v = \bar{v}$. Below, we will write $a(t)$, $\Omega(\tau)$ and $\mathbf{X}(x)$ instead of $a_{\bar{v}}(t)$, $\Omega_{\bar{v}}(\tau)$ and $\mathbf{X}_{\bar{v}}(x)$

respectively. Thus, the approximation of the Maxwell–Schrödinger equations reads as the Maxwell–Bloch equations

$$\ddot{a}(t) + c\sigma\dot{a}(t) + \Omega^2 a(t) = c\langle \mathbf{j}(t), \mathbf{X} \rangle, \quad (10.2)$$

$$\begin{cases} \dot{c}_{n,1}(t) &= -i\sum_{l'=1}^2 e^{-i\omega_{1,l'}t} \langle \dot{\chi}_n(x,t) \varphi_{n,l'}(x), \varphi_{n,1}(x) \rangle c_{n,l'}(t) \\ \dot{c}_{n,2}(t) &= -i\sum_{l'=1}^2 e^{-i\omega_{2,l'}t} \langle \dot{\chi}_n(x,t) \varphi_{n,l'}(x), \varphi_{n,2}(x) \rangle c_{n,l'}(t) \end{cases}, \quad n \in \overline{N}. \quad (10.3)$$

11 Molecular currents

By (5.3), the matrix entries in (10.3) read as

$$\begin{aligned} b_n^{ll'}(t) &:= \langle \dot{\chi}_n(x,t) \varphi_{n,l'}(x), \varphi_{n,l}(x) \rangle \approx \frac{e}{\hbar c} [\dot{\mathbf{A}}(x_n, t) + \mathbf{A}_p(x_n, t)] \langle (x - x_n) \varphi_{n,l'}(x), \varphi_{n,l}(x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{e}{\hbar c} [\dot{a}_1(t) \mathbf{X}(x_n) + \mathbf{a}_p(x_n) \Omega_p \cos \Omega_p t] \mathbf{P}_n^{ll'} \\ &= \frac{\mathbf{P}_n^{ll'}}{\hbar c} [\dot{a}_1(t) \mathbf{X}(x_n) + \mathbf{a}_p(x_n) \Omega_p \cos \Omega_p t], \quad l, l' = 1, 2, \end{aligned} \quad (11.1)$$

where $\mathbf{P}_n^{ll'}$ are the dipole momenta

$$\mathbf{P}_n^{ll'} := e \langle \varphi_{n,l'}(x), (x - x_n) \varphi_{n,l}(x) \rangle. \quad (11.2)$$

These momenta are real vectors since we have chosen real eigenfunctions $\varphi_{n,l}(x)$.

Remark 11.1. In the case of the ruby laser, the matrix of type (11.2) corresponds to the dipole momenta of chromium ions Cr^{3+} . For definiteness of calculations, we accept

$$|\mathbf{P}| \approx 4 \text{ Debye} = 4 \times 10^{-18} \text{ esu} \cdot \text{cm}, \quad (11.3)$$

which is the *permanent dipole moment* of chromium oxide molecules CrO ; see [10, p. 10]. However, actual magnitude of the matrix elements (11.2) in the case of the ruby laser must be revised.

From now on, we will assume that the potential $\Phi(x)$ is an even function, i.e.,

$$\Phi(-x) = \Phi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (11.4)$$

In this case, all eigenfunctions $\varphi_{n,l}$ can be chosen odd or even, and hence,

$$\mathbf{P}_n^{11} = \mathbf{P}_n^{22} = 0. \quad (11.5)$$

Therefore, the system (10.3) reads as

$$\begin{cases} \dot{c}_{n,1}(t) &= -ib_n(t) e^{-i\Delta t} c_{n,2}(t) \\ \dot{c}_{n,2}(t) &= -ib_n(t) e^{i\Delta t} c_{n,1}(t) \end{cases}, \quad n \in \overline{N}, \quad (11.6)$$

where we denote

$$b_n(t) := \frac{1}{\hbar c} [\dot{a}_1(t) \mathbf{P}_n \mathbf{X}_1(x_n) + \mathbf{P}_n \mathbf{a}_p(x_n) \Omega_p \cos \Omega_p t], \quad \mathbf{P}_n := \mathbf{P}_n^{12}. \quad (11.7)$$

We now calculate the right hand side of (7.12) with $\mathbf{X}_v = \mathbf{X}$: substituting the wave functions (10.1) into the formula for the current (6.4), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{j}(t), \mathbf{X} \rangle &\approx \frac{e\hbar}{m} \sum_n \mathbf{X}(x_n) \text{Im} \left\{ \bar{c}_{n,1}(t) c_{n,2}(t) e^{-i\Delta t} \int \bar{\varphi}_{n,1}(x) \nabla \varphi_{n,2}(x) dx + \bar{c}_{n,2}(t) c_{n,1}(t) e^{i\Delta t} \int \bar{\varphi}_{n,2}(x) \nabla \varphi_{n,1}(x) dx \right\} \\ &= 2\Delta \sum_n \mathbf{P}_n \mathbf{X}(x_n) \text{Im} \left\{ \bar{c}_{n,1}(t) c_{n,2}(t) e^{-i\Delta t} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (11.8)$$

since

$$\int \bar{\varphi}_{n,1} \nabla \varphi_{n,2} dx = \frac{\Delta m}{\hbar} \int \bar{\varphi}_{n,1} x \varphi_{n,2} dx = \frac{\Delta m}{\hbar} \frac{\mathbf{P}_n}{e} \quad (11.9)$$

by [34, (44.20)].

12 Time scaling

Now the Maxwell–Bloch equations (10.2)–(10.3) become

$$\ddot{a}(t) + \Omega^2 a(t) + c\sigma \dot{a}(t) = j(t) := 2c\Delta \sum_n \mathbf{P}_n \mathbf{X}(x_n) \text{Im} \left\{ \bar{c}_{n,1}(t) c_{n,2}(t) e^{-i\Delta t} \right\}, \quad (12.1)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{c}_{n,1}(t) = -ib_n(t) e^{-i\Delta t} c_{n,2}(t) \\ \dot{c}_{n,2}(t) = -ib_n(t) e^{i\Delta t} c_{n,1}(t) \end{array} \right|, \quad n \in \bar{N}. \quad (12.2)$$

Let us transform the system (12.1) to the natural time scale

$$\tau := \Omega_p t \approx 3 \times 10^{15} t, \quad (12.3)$$

in which the period of pumping is 2π . Below, we denote any function $f(t)$ in the units of time (12.3) also as $f(\tau)$. In particular, (11.7) becomes

$$b_n(\tau) = \frac{\Omega_p}{\hbar c} [\partial_\tau a(\tau) \mathbf{P}_n \mathbf{X}(x_n) + \mathbf{P}_n \mathbf{a}_p(x_n) \cos \tau]. \quad (12.4)$$

Now the Maxwell–Bloch equations (12.1), (12.2) read as

$$\partial_\tau^2 a(\tau) + \sigma_1 \partial_\tau a(\tau) + \frac{\Omega^2}{\Omega_p^2} a(\tau) = j(\tau), \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_\tau c_{n,1}(\tau) = -i \frac{b_n(\tau)}{\Omega_p} e^{-i \frac{\Delta}{\Omega_p} \tau} c_{n,2}(\tau) \\ \partial_\tau c_{n,2}(\tau) = -i \frac{b_n(\tau)}{\Omega_p} e^{i \frac{\Delta}{\Omega_p} \tau} c_{n,1}(\tau) \end{array} \right|, \quad n \in \bar{N} \quad (12.5)$$

where

$$j(\tau) := \frac{2c\Delta}{\Omega_p^2} \sum_n \mathbf{P}_n \mathbf{X}(x_n) \text{Im} \left\{ \bar{c}_{n,1}(\tau) c_{n,2}(\tau) e^{-i \frac{\Delta}{\Omega_p} \tau} \right\} \quad (12.6)$$

and

$$\sigma_1 := \frac{c\sigma}{\Omega_p} \approx \sigma 10^{-5} \approx 10^{-7}. \quad (12.7)$$

Let us denote the real constants

$$\alpha_n = \frac{2c}{\Omega_p} \mathbf{P}_n \mathbf{X}(x_n), \quad \beta_n = \frac{\mathbf{P}_n \mathbf{X}(x_n)}{\hbar c}, \quad \gamma_n = \frac{\mathbf{P}_n \mathbf{a}_p(x_n)}{\hbar c}. \quad (12.8)$$

Then the system (12.5) becomes

$$\ddot{a}(\tau) + \sigma_1 \dot{a}(\tau) + a(\tau) = j(\tau), \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{c}_{n,1}(\tau) = -i\omega_n(\tau) c_{n,2}(\tau) \\ \dot{c}_{n,2}(\tau) = -i\bar{\omega}_n(\tau) c_{n,1}(\tau) \end{array} \right|, \quad n \in \bar{N}. \quad (12.9)$$

Here we denote $\dot{a} := \partial_\tau a$, etc, and

$$j(\tau) = \sum_n \alpha_n \text{Im} \left\{ \bar{c}_{n,1}(\tau) c_{n,2}(\tau) e^{-i\tau} \right\}, \quad \omega_n(\tau) = [\beta_n \dot{a}(\tau) + \gamma_n \cos \tau] e^{-i\tau}, \quad (12.10)$$

where we used that $\Delta = \Omega_p$ according to (8.1). The matrix of the last system in (12.9) is skew-symmetric, so the charge conservation holds for each active molecule:

$$|c_{n,1}(\tau)|^2 + |c_{n,2}(\tau)|^2 = 1, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \quad n \in \bar{N}. \quad (12.11)$$

Remark 12.1. By our hypothesis **H1–H4**, the parameters $\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n$ and $\alpha_{n'}, \beta_{n'}, \gamma_{n'}$ are almost independent for sufficiently large distances $|x_{n'} - x_n|$.

All coefficients α_n, β_n and γ_n are small. In particular, for the ruby laser with the chromium dipole moment (11.3), and the pumping frequency (5.2), we have

$$\frac{c|\mathbf{P}_n|}{\Omega_p} \sim \frac{12 \times 10^{10} 10^{-18}}{3 \times 10^{15}} \sim 4 \times 10^{-23}, \quad \frac{|\mathbf{P}_n|}{\hbar c} \sim \frac{4 \times 10^{-18}}{10^{-27} 3 \times 10^{10}} \sim 1.3 \times 10^{-1}. \quad (12.12)$$

Now (7.8) and (9.6) imply that

$$|\alpha_n| \sim 10^{-23}, \quad |\beta_n| \sim 0.2 \times 10^{-2}, \quad |\gamma_n| \sim 0.7 \times 10^{-7}. \quad (12.13)$$

In all calculations below we will consider these values.

13 Parametric resonance for the Maxwell–Bloch equations

Let us reformulate the concept of parametric resonance (1.1) for the system (12.9). In the case of zero pumping $\mathbf{a}_p = 0$, this system admits “ground states”, which are solutions corresponding to (8.2):

$$X^0(t) \equiv X^0 := (a^0, b^0, (c_n^0 : n \in \overline{N})) \quad (13.1)$$

with

$$a^0 = b^0 = 0; \quad c_n^0 = (e^{i\theta_n}, 0), \quad n \in \overline{N}. \quad (13.2)$$

The solutions describe the laser dynamics before the pumping is switched on. Let us write the system (12.9) as

$$\dot{a}(\tau) = b(\tau), \quad \dot{b}(\tau) + \sigma_1 b(\tau) + a(\tau) = j(\tau), \quad \dot{c}_n(\tau) = -i\Omega_n(\tau)c_n(\tau), \quad n \in \overline{N}, \quad (13.3)$$

where we denote

$$c_n(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} c_{n,1}(\tau) \\ c_{n,2}(\tau) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Omega_n(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega_n(\tau) \\ \overline{\omega}_n(\tau) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \omega_n(\tau) = [\beta_n b(\tau) + \gamma_n \cos \tau]e^{-i\tau}. \quad (13.4)$$

The system (13.3) can be written as

$$\dot{X}(\tau) = F(X(\tau), \tau), \quad X(\tau) := (a(\tau), b(\tau), (c_n(\tau) : n \in \overline{N})). \quad (13.5)$$

This system (13.5) is 2π -periodic, i.e.,

$$F(X, \tau + 2\pi) \equiv F(X, \tau). \quad (13.6)$$

The corresponding Poincaré map is defined by

$$P : X(0) \mapsto X(2\pi). \quad (13.7)$$

The following definition is relevant for the system (13.5) in the case of sufficiently small pumping.

Definition 13.1. The parametric resonance occurs for the ground state (13.1) when the condition (1.1) (with $DP(X^0)$ instead of $D\mathcal{P}(Y^0)$) holds with a sufficiently large $\rho > 0$.

We also need to check the additional condition for all the corresponding eigenvectors $\mathbf{X} := (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, (\mathbf{c}_n : n \in \overline{N}))$:

$$(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \neq 0, \quad (13.8)$$

which guarantees an exponential increment of the Maxwell field. We have verified this condition in the case of ruby laser; see Remark 21.3.

Symmetry group. Let us denote by $G = [U(1)]^N$ the symmetry gauge group of the system (13.3). The group acts on the phase space $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{R}^2 \oplus [\mathbb{C}^2]^N$ by the formula

$$(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_N})(a, b, c_1, \dots, c_N) = (a, b, e^{i\theta_1}c_1, \dots, e^{i\theta_N}c_N). \quad (13.9)$$

This action commutes with the dynamics (13.3), hence the differentials $DP(X^0)$ are similar for ground states (13.1) with arbitrary θ_n . So, it suffices to check the condition (1.1) only for the ground state with all $\theta_n = 0$:

$$X^0 := (a^0, b^0, (c_n^0 : n \in \overline{N})); \quad a^0 = b^0 = 0, \quad c_n^0 = (1, 0), \quad n \in \overline{N}. \quad (13.10)$$

14 Successive approximations

We will construct the Poincaré map (13.7) calculating successive approximations for the equations (13.3) on the interval $[0, 2\pi]$ with initial data

$$a(0), \quad b(0); \quad c_n(0), \quad n \in \overline{N}, \quad (14.1)$$

which are close to the stationary state (13.10), i.e.,

$$|a(0)| + |b(0)| + \sum_n |c_{n,1}(0) - 1| + |c_{n,2}(0)| \leq \varepsilon, \quad (14.2)$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small. As the *zero-order approximation*, we choose the stationary solution (13.10):

$$a^{(0)}(\tau) \equiv b^{(0)}(\tau) \equiv 0; \quad c_{n,1}^{(0)}(\tau) \equiv 1, \quad c_{n,2}^{(0)}(\tau) \equiv 0 \quad (14.3)$$

since we plan to study instability of solutions which are close to these stationary solutions. The higher-order approximations are defined as follows.

The Schrödinger amplitudes. We define the approximations $c_n^{(k)}$ with $k = 1, \dots$ as solutions of equations

$$\dot{c}_n^{(k)}(\tau) = -i\Omega_n^{(k-1)}(\tau)c_n^{(k)}(\tau), \quad n \in \overline{N}, \quad (14.4)$$

with the initial data (14.1),

$$c_n^{(k)}(0) = c_n(0), \quad n \in \overline{N}. \quad (14.5)$$

Here we denote

$$\Omega_n^{(k-1)}(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega_n^{(k-1)}(\tau) \\ \overline{\omega}_n^{(k-1)}(\tau) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \omega_n^{(k-1)}(\tau) = [\beta_n b^{(k-1)}(\tau) + \gamma_n \cos \tau] e^{-i\tau}. \quad (14.6)$$

To solve the Schrödinger equations (14.4), we will replace them by the corresponding averaged equations

$$\dot{c}_n^{(k)}(\tau) = -i\tilde{\Omega}_n^{(k-1)} c_n^{(k)}(\tau), \quad n \in \overline{N}, \quad (14.7)$$

where

$$\tilde{\Omega}_n^{(k-1)} := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Omega_n^{(k-1)}(\tau) d\tau. \quad (14.8)$$

The matrix functions $\Omega_n^{(k-1)}(\tau)$ are sufficiently small by (12.13), so the replacement is justified by Lemma A.1.

All the matrices (14.6) and (14.8) are skewsymmetric, and hence,

$$c_n^{(k)}(\tau) = U_n^{(k)}(\tau) c_n(0), \quad (14.9)$$

where $U_n^{(k)}(\tau)$ is a unitary matrix.

Remark 14.1. The last formula does not mean that the map $c_n(0) \mapsto c_n^{(k)}(\tau)$ is linear since the matrix $U_n^{(k)}(\tau)$ generally depends on $c_n(0)$ as well as on all initial data (14.1).

The Maxwell amplitude. We define the approximations $a^{(k)}(\tau)$ with $k = 1, \dots$ as solutions of the equations

$$\ddot{a}^{(k)}(\tau) + \sigma_1 \dot{a}^{(k)}(\tau) + a^{(k)}(\tau) = j^{(k)}(\tau), \quad j^{(k)}(\tau) := \sum_n \alpha_n \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \overline{c}_{n,1}^{(k)}(\tau) c_{n,2}^{(k)}(\tau) e^{-i\tau} \right\}, \quad (14.10)$$

with the initial data (14.1):

$$a^{(k)}(0) = a(0), \quad \dot{a}^{(k)}(0) = b(0). \quad (14.11)$$

Let us denote $\varkappa := \sigma_1/2 \approx 10^{-7}$. The solution of the Maxwell equation (14.10) is given by the convolution

$$a^{(k)}(\tau) = a_0(\tau) + \int_0^\tau j^{(k)}(\tau') E(\tau - \tau') d\tau', \quad a_0(\tau) := a(0) \dot{E}(\tau) + [\dot{a}(0) + 2\varkappa a(0)] E(\tau), \quad (14.12)$$

where $E(\tau)$ is the retarded fundamental solution,

$$\ddot{E}(\tau) + 2\varkappa \dot{E}(\tau) + E(\tau) = \delta(\tau), \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}; \quad E(\tau) = 0, \quad \tau < 0. \quad (14.13)$$

This fundamental solution is expressed as follows. Denote by λ_\pm the roots of characteristic equation

$$\lambda^2 + 2\varkappa \lambda + 1 = 0 \quad (14.14)$$

which are

$$\lambda_\pm = -\varkappa \pm \sqrt{\varkappa^2 - 1} = -\varkappa \pm i + O(\varkappa^2). \quad (14.15)$$

Thus, $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_\pm = -\varkappa < 0$, and neglecting terms which are $O(\varkappa^2)$, we obtain that the functions

$$a_1(\tau) = \frac{\lambda_+ e^{\lambda_- \tau} - \lambda_- e^{\lambda_+ \tau}}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-} = e^{-\varkappa \tau} \cos \tau + O(\varkappa^2), \quad a_2(\tau) = \frac{e^{\lambda_+ \tau} - e^{\lambda_- \tau}}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-} = e^{-\varkappa \tau} \sin \tau + O(\varkappa^2), \quad (14.16)$$

are solutions of the homogeneous Maxwell equation (14.10) with initial data $(1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$ respectively. Finally, the fundamental solution is the real-valued function

$$E(\tau) = \theta(\tau) a_2(\tau) = \theta(\tau) e^{-\varkappa \tau} \sin \tau + O(\varkappa^2), \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (14.17)$$

14.1 The first-order approximation

The Schrödinger amplitudes. Formulas (14.6)–(14.8) with $k = 1$ give the averaged matrices

$$\tilde{\Omega}_n^{(0)}(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\frac{\gamma_n}{2} \\ -i\frac{\gamma_n}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (14.18)$$

Hence, equation (14.4) with $k = 1$ reads as the system in (12.9) with $\frac{\gamma_n}{2}$ instead of $\omega_n(\tau)$:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{c}_{n,1}^{(1)}(\tau) &= -i\frac{\gamma_n}{2}c_{n,2}^{(1)}(\tau) \\ \dot{c}_{n,2}^{(1)}(\tau) &= -i\frac{\gamma_n}{2}c_{n,1}^{(1)}(\tau) \end{cases}, \quad n \in \bar{N}. \quad (14.19)$$

Its solutions are

$$c_{n,1}^{(1)}(\tau) = d_{n,1}^{(1)} \cos \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2} + d_{n,2}^{(1)} \sin \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2}, \quad c_{n,2}^{(1)}(\tau) = -id_{n,1}^{(1)} \sin \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2} + id_{n,2}^{(1)} \cos \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2}, \quad (14.20)$$

where

$$d_{n,1}^{(1)} = c_{n,1}(0), \quad d_{n,2}^{(1)} = -ic_{n,2}(0). \quad (14.21)$$

Hence, the matrix in the formula (14.9) with $n = 1$ reads

$$U_n^{(1)}(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2} & -i \sin \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2} \\ -i \sin \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2} & \cos \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2} \end{pmatrix} + O(\gamma_n^2) \quad (14.22)$$

by Lemma A.1.

The current. Substituting (14.20) and (14.21) in the second formula of (14.10) with $k = 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} j^{(1)}(\tau) &= \sum_n \alpha_n \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \bar{c}_{n,1}^{(1)}(\tau) c_{n,2}^{(1)}(\tau) e^{-i\tau} \right\} \\ &= \sum_n \alpha_n \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \left[\bar{d}_{n,1}^{(1)} \cos \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2} + \bar{d}_{n,2}^{(1)} \sin \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2} \right] \left[-id_{n,1}^{(1)} \sin \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2} + id_{n,2}^{(1)} \cos \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2} \right] e^{-i\tau} \right\} \\ &= \sum_n \alpha_n \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \left[i\bar{d}_{n,1}^{(1)} d_{n,2}^{(1)} - i|d_{n,1}^{(1)}|^2 \sin \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2} + i|d_{n,2}^{(1)}|^2 \sin \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2} \right] e^{-i\tau} \right\} + O\left(\sum_n \alpha_n \gamma_n^2\right) \\ &= \sum_n \alpha_n \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left[\bar{d}_{n,1}^{(1)} d_{n,2}^{(1)} - |d_{n,1}^{(1)}|^2 \sin \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2} + |d_{n,2}^{(1)}|^2 \sin \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2} \right] e^{-i\tau} \right\} + O\left(\sum_n \alpha_n \gamma_n^2\right) \\ &= \sum_n \alpha_n \left[\operatorname{Im} \left\{ \bar{c}_{n,1}(0) c_{n,2}(0) e^{-i\tau} \right\} + [|c_{n,2}(0)|^2 - |c_{n,1}(0)|^2] \frac{\gamma_n \tau}{2} \cos \tau \right] + O(10^{-17}), \quad \tau \in [0, 2\pi], \end{aligned} \quad (14.23)$$

since $\sum_n \alpha_n \gamma_n^2 \sim 10^{-17}$ by (12.13).

Notation. For each number $\varepsilon > 0$, the equality $r = O(\varepsilon)$ means that $|r| \leq 10\varepsilon$.

The Maxwell amplitude. Now (14.12) with $k = 1$ becomes

$$a^{(1)}(\tau) = a_0(\tau) + \int_0^\tau j^{(1)}(\tau') E(\tau - \tau') d\tau'. \quad (14.24)$$

Substituting here the current (14.23), we obtain

$$a^{(1)}(\tau) = a_0(\tau) + \sum_n \alpha_n \left[\operatorname{Im} \left\{ \bar{c}_{n,1}(0) c_{n,2}(0) I_1(\tau) \right\} + [|c_{n,2}(0)|^2 - |c_{n,1}(0)|^2] \gamma_n I_2(\tau) \right] + O(10^{-17}), \quad (14.25)$$

where we denote

$$I_1(\tau) := \int_0^\tau e^{-i\tau'} E(\tau - \tau') d\tau' = -\frac{i}{2} \sin \tau - \frac{\tau}{2i} e^{-i\tau} + \varkappa \left[\frac{-\sin \tau + \tau e^{i\tau}}{4} + \frac{\tau^2 e^{-i\tau}}{4i} \right] + O_2.$$

$$I_2(\tau) := \int_0^\tau \frac{\tau'}{2} \cos \tau' E(\tau - \tau') d\tau' = \frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{\tau^2}{2} \sin \tau + \frac{\tau}{2} \cos \tau - \frac{1}{2} \sin \tau \right] + O_2,$$

where $O_2 := O(\varkappa^2) = O(10^{-14})$. The details of calculation can be found in Appendix B.

14.2 The second-order approximation

The Schrödinger amplitudes. Formulas (14.6) with $k = 2$ give

$$\Omega_n^{(1)}(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega_n^{(1)}(\tau) \\ \bar{\omega}_n^{(1)}(\tau) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \omega_n^{(1)}(\tau) = [\beta_n \dot{a}^{(1)}(\tau) + \gamma_n \cos \tau] e^{-i\tau}. \quad (14.26)$$

Let us calculate the averaged matrices $\tilde{\Omega}_n^{(1)}$. First, (14.25) and (14.12) imply that

$$\mathbf{v} := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \dot{a}^{(1)}(\tau) e^{-i\tau} d\tau = \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2, \quad (14.27)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}_1 &:= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \dot{a}_0(\tau) e^{-i\tau} d\tau = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \{a(0) \dot{E}(\tau) + [\dot{a}(0) + 2\kappa a(0)] \dot{E}(\tau)\} e^{-i\tau} d\tau, \\ \mathbf{v}_2 &:= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_n \alpha_n \left[\operatorname{Im} \left\{ \bar{c}_{n,1}(0) c_{n,2}(0) I_1'(\tau) e^{-i\tau} \right\} + [|c_{n,2}(0)|^2 - |c_{n,1}(0)|^2] \gamma_n I_2'(\tau) e^{-i\tau} \right] d\tau \end{aligned}$$

First, let us calculate \mathbf{v}_1 . Neglecting errors $O(\kappa^2) = O(10^{-14})$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} \dot{E}(\tau) e^{-i\tau} d\tau &\approx i \int_0^{2\pi} E(\tau) e^{-i\tau} d\tau \approx i \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-\kappa\tau} \sin \tau e^{-i\tau} d\tau = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-\kappa\tau} (e^{i\tau} - e^{-i\tau}) e^{-i\tau} d\tau \\ &= -\left[\frac{1}{2\kappa} + \frac{1}{2\kappa + 4i} \right] (e^{-2\kappa\pi} - 1) = -\frac{2\kappa + 2i}{\kappa + 2i} \frac{-\kappa\pi + (\kappa\pi)^2 + O(\kappa^3)}{\kappa} \\ &\approx -\left[1 + \frac{\kappa}{\kappa + 2i} \right] (-\pi + \kappa\pi^2) \approx -\left[1 + \frac{\kappa}{2i} \right] (-\pi + \kappa\pi^2) \approx \pi + \kappa \left(\frac{\pi}{2i} - \pi^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (14.28)$$

Similarly, using (14.13), (14.17), and (14.28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} \dot{E}(\tau) e^{-i\tau} d\tau &= \int_0^{2\pi} [-2\kappa \dot{E}(\tau) - E(\tau)] e^{-i\tau} d\tau \approx -(i2\kappa + 1) \int_0^{2\pi} E(\tau) e^{-i\tau} d\tau \\ &\approx i(i2\kappa + 1) \left[\pi + \kappa \left(\frac{\pi}{2i} - \pi^2 \right) \right] = (-2\kappa + i) \left[\pi + \kappa \left(\frac{\pi}{2i} - \pi^2 \right) \right] \\ &\approx i\pi + \kappa \left[-2\pi + \frac{\pi}{2} - i\pi^2 \right] = i\pi - \kappa \left[\frac{3\pi}{2} + i\pi^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (14.29)$$

As a result,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}_1 &\approx a(0) \left[\frac{i}{2} - \kappa \left[\frac{3}{4} + i\frac{\pi}{2} \right] \right] + [\dot{a}(0) + 2\kappa a(0)] \left[\frac{1}{2} + \kappa \left(\frac{1}{4i} - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right] \\ &\approx a(0) \left[\frac{i}{2} + \kappa \left(\frac{1}{4} - i\frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right] + \dot{a}(0) \left[\frac{1}{2} + \kappa \left(\frac{1}{4i} - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right] = \mathbf{v}_{11} + i\mathbf{v}_{12}, \\ \mathbf{v}_{11} &= \frac{\kappa}{4} a(0) + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \kappa\pi) \dot{a}(0), \quad \mathbf{v}_{12} = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \kappa\pi) a(0) - \frac{\kappa}{4} \dot{a}(0) \end{aligned} \quad (14.30)$$

It remains to note that

$$\mathbf{v}_2 := \sum_n \alpha_n \left[\operatorname{Im} \left\{ \bar{c}_{n,1}(0) c_{n,2}(0) J_1 \right\} + [|c_{n,2}(0)|^2 - |c_{n,1}(0)|^2] \gamma_n J_2 \right], \quad (14.31)$$

where J_1 and J_2 are the integrals

$$J_1 := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} I_1'(\tau) e^{-i\tau} d\tau \approx 0, \quad J_2 := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} I_2'(\tau) e^{-i\tau} d\tau \approx \frac{\pi^2}{12}. \quad (14.32)$$

We calculate these integrals in Appendix B. As a result, the averaged matrix reads

$$\tilde{\Omega}_n^{(1)}(\mathbf{v}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)} \\ \bar{\tilde{\omega}}_n^{(1)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)} = \beta_n \mathbf{v} + \frac{\gamma_n}{2}. \quad (14.33)$$

Now equation (14.7) with $k = 2$ reads as the system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{c}_{n,1}^{(2)}(\tau) &= -i\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)} c_{n,2}^{(2)}(\tau) \\ \dot{c}_{n,2}^{(2)}(\tau) &= -i\overline{\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}} c_{n,1}^{(2)}(\tau) \end{cases}, \quad n \in \overline{N}. \quad (14.34)$$

Its solution reads similarly to (14.20):

$$c_{n,1}^{(2)}(\tau) = d_{n,1}^{(2)} \cos |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| \tau + d_{n,2}^{(2)} \sin |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| \tau, \quad c_{n,2}^{(2)}(\tau) = -id_{n,1}^{(2)} \frac{|\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}|}{\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}} \sin |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| \tau + id_{n,2}^{(2)} \frac{|\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}|}{\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}} \cos |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| \tau, \quad (14.35)$$

where

$$d_{n,1}^{(2)} = c_{n,1}(0), \quad d_{n,2}^{(2)} = -i \frac{\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}}{|\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}|} c_{n,2}(0). \quad (14.36)$$

Thus, (14.35) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} c_{n,1}^{(2)}(\tau) &= c_{n,1}(0) \cos |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| \tau - is_n c_{n,2}(0) \sin |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| \tau \\ c_{n,2}^{(2)}(\tau) &= -i\overline{s_n} c_{n,1}(0) \sin |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| \tau + c_{n,2}(0) \cos |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| \tau, \end{cases} \quad (14.37)$$

where $s_n = \frac{\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}}{|\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}|}$. Hence, the matrix in the formula (14.9) with $k = 2$ reads

$$U_n^{(2)}(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| \tau & -is_n \sin |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| \tau \\ -i\overline{s_n} \sin |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| \tau & \cos |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| \tau \end{pmatrix}. \quad (14.38)$$

The current. Expanding (14.37) into the Taylor series, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} c_{n,1}^{(2)}(\tau) &= c_{n,1}(0) - ic_{n,2}(0) \tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)} \tau + O(|\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}|^2) \\ c_{n,2}^{(2)}(\tau) &= -ic_{n,1}(0) \overline{\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}} \tau + c_{n,2}(0) + O(|\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}|^2) \end{cases}, \quad (14.39)$$

Here the error $O(|\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}|^2) \sim 10^{-14}$ uniformly in $\tau \in [0, 2\pi]$. Indeed, $\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)} = \beta_n \mathbf{v} + \frac{\gamma_n}{2}$, where \mathbf{v} is expressed by (14.27) and (14.30)–(14.32):

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{v} &= \mathbf{v}_{11} + i\mathbf{v}_{12} + \mathbf{v}_2 \\ \mathbf{v}_{11} &\approx \frac{b(0)}{2} + \frac{\varkappa}{4} [a(0) - 2\pi b(0)], \quad \mathbf{v}_{12} \approx \frac{a(0)}{2} - \frac{\varkappa}{4} [2\pi a(0) + b(0)] \\ \mathbf{v}_2 &\approx J_2 \sum_n \alpha_n \gamma_n [|c_{n,2}(0)|^2 - |c_{n,1}(0)|^2] \end{cases}, \quad (14.40)$$

Hence at the point (13.10), we have $\mathbf{v}_{11} = \mathbf{v}_{12} = 0$, so

$$|\mathbf{v}| = |\mathbf{v}_2| \sim \left| \sum_n \alpha_n \gamma_n \right| \sim 10^{-10} \quad (14.41)$$

by (12.13) and (14.32). Therefore,

$$|\beta_n \mathbf{v}| = |\beta_n \mathbf{v}_2| \sim 10^{-12}, \quad |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| = |\beta_n \mathbf{v} + \frac{\gamma_n}{2}| \approx \frac{|\gamma_n|}{2} \sim 10^{-7}, \quad (14.42)$$

so

$$O(|\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}|^2) \sim 10^{-14}, \quad \overline{\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}} = \tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)} + O(10^{-12}). \quad (14.43)$$

Finally, substituting (14.39) into the second formula of (14.10) with $k = 2$, we obtain similarly to (14.23),

$$\begin{aligned} j^{(2)}(\tau) &= \sum_n \alpha_n \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \overline{c}_{n,1}^{(2)}(\tau) c_{n,2}^{(2)}(\tau) e^{-i\tau} \right\} \\ &= \sum_n \alpha_n \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \overline{c}_{n,1}(0) c_{n,2}(0) e^{-i\tau} + i\overline{\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}} [|c_{n,2}(0)|^2 - |c_{n,1}(0)|^2] \tau e^{-i\tau} \right\} + O(|\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}|^2) \end{aligned} \quad (14.44)$$

The Maxwell amplitude. The last formula of (14.12) with $k = 2$ gives

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} a^{(2)}(\tau) = a_0(\tau) + \int_0^\tau j^{(2)}(\tau') E(2\pi - \tau') d\tau' \\ b^{(2)}(\tau) = \dot{a}_0(\tau) + \int_0^\tau j^{(2)}(\tau') \dot{E}(2\pi - \tau') d\tau' \end{array} \right\}. \quad (14.45)$$

15 The Poincaré map

Let us denote

$$a^0 := a(0), \quad b^0 := \dot{a}(0), \quad c_n^0 := c_n(0); \quad a := a^{(2)}(2\pi), \quad b := \dot{a}^{(2)}(2\pi), \quad c_n := c_n^{(2)}(2\pi). \quad (15.1)$$

Then (14.45) gives

$$a = a_0(2\pi) + \int_0^{2\pi} j^{(2)}(\tau') E(2\pi - \tau') d\tau', \quad b = \dot{a}_0(2\pi) + \int_0^{2\pi} j^{(2)}(\tau') \dot{E}(2\pi - \tau') d\tau'. \quad (15.2)$$

Let us calculate these expressions. First, (14.12) and (14.13), (14.17) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} a_0(2\pi) &= a^0 \dot{E}(2\pi), \quad \dot{a}_0(2\pi) = a^0 \ddot{E}(2\pi) + [b^0 + \sigma_1 a^0] \dot{E}(2\pi) \\ &= -a^0 E(2\pi) + b^0 \dot{E}(2\pi) \approx b^0 \dot{E}(2\pi) + O_2, \end{aligned} \quad (15.3)$$

where $O_2 := O(\varkappa^2) \sim 10^{-14}$. Second, substituting $\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)} = \beta_n \mathbf{v} + \frac{\gamma_n}{2}$ into (14.44), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} j^{(2)}(\tau) &\approx \sum_n \alpha_n \text{Im} \left\{ \bar{c}_{n,1}^0 c_{n,2}^0 e^{-i\tau} \right\} \\ &+ \sum_n \alpha_n \beta_n [|c_{n,2}^0|^2 - |c_{n,1}^0|^2] \text{Re} \left\{ \bar{\mathbf{v}} \tau e^{-i\tau} \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \alpha_n \gamma_n [|c_{n,2}^0|^2 - |c_{n,1}^0|^2] \tau \cos \tau. \end{aligned} \quad (15.4)$$

Introducing this current into (15.2), we obtain the formulas for a and b expressed via the following integrals which are calculated in Appendix B:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} A_1 := \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i\tau'} E(2\pi - \tau') d\tau', \quad A_2 := \int_0^{2\pi} \tau' e^{-i\tau'} E(2\pi - \tau') d\tau', \quad A_3 := \int_0^{2\pi} \tau' \cos \tau' E(2\pi - \tau') d\tau' = \text{Re} A_2 \\ B_1 := \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i\tau'} \dot{E}(2\pi - \tau') d\tau', \quad B_2 := \int_0^{2\pi} \tau' e^{-i\tau'} \dot{E}(2\pi - \tau') d\tau', \quad B_3 := \int_0^{2\pi} \tau' \cos \tau' \dot{E}(2\pi - \tau') d\tau' = -\text{Im} B_2 \end{array} \right\}. \quad (15.5)$$

With this notation, (15.2) becomes

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} a \approx a_0(2\pi) + \sum_n \alpha_n \text{Im} \left\{ \bar{c}_{n,1}^0 c_{n,2}^0 A_1 \right\} \\ \quad + \sum_n \alpha_n \beta_n [|c_{n,2}^0|^2 - |c_{n,1}^0|^2] \text{Re} \left\{ \bar{\mathbf{v}} A_2 \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \alpha_n \gamma_n [|c_{n,2}^0|^2 - |c_{n,1}^0|^2] A_3. \\ b \approx \dot{a}_0(2\pi) + \sum_n \alpha_n \text{Im} \left\{ \bar{c}_{n,1}^0 c_{n,2}^0 B_1 \right\} \\ \quad + \sum_n \alpha_n \beta_n [|c_{n,2}^0|^2 - |c_{n,1}^0|^2] \text{Re} \left\{ \bar{\mathbf{v}} B_2 \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \alpha_n \gamma_n [|c_{n,2}^0|^2 - |c_{n,1}^0|^2] B_3. \end{array} \right\}. \quad (15.6)$$

Remark 15.1. i) The Poincaré map P is given by formulas (15.6) and (14.39).

ii) The second-order approximations (15.6) are obtained solving the equation (14.10) with $k = 2$, initial data (14.1), and the current (15.4). At the “ground state” (13.10), the current (15.4) takes the form

$$j^{(2)}(\tau) = - \sum_n \alpha_n \beta_n \tau \text{Im} \left\{ \bar{\mathbf{v}} e^{-i\tau} \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \alpha_n \gamma_n \tau \cos \tau. \quad (15.7)$$

16 Synchronisation of molecular currents

The key peculiarity of the perturbative calculations is the appearance of the summation

$$\mathbf{S} = \sum_n \alpha_n \beta_n \quad (16.1)$$

in the second-order approximation of the total molecular current (15.7). This appearance is due to the Taylor approximation (14.39). Also note that the same summation reappears in (21.5) and (21.8).

Remark 16.1. The parameters (12.13) demonstrate that all terms in the summations (15.6) and (15.7) are very small. It is of crucial importance that all terms of the sum \mathbf{S} are *nonnegative* since

$$\alpha_n \beta_n = \frac{2c}{\Omega_p} \mathbf{P}_n \mathbf{X}(x_n) \frac{\mathbf{P}_n \mathbf{X}(x_n)}{\hbar c} \geq 0, \quad (16.2)$$

and the sum is a non-negligible positive number, see (16.5) and (16.7) below. This means the synchronisation of all molecular currents in the total current (15.7) *independently* of the phase of the Maxwell field at the location of the molecules.

We must to know *the value of the summation* \mathbf{S} for the calculation of the Poincaré map, although the summands are random values. We will calculate the summation \mathbf{S} in both cases of polycrystalline- and monocrystalline medium.

I. Polycrystalline medium. By our assumption **H1**, $|\mathbf{P}_n| = |\mathbf{P}|$ does not depend on n , so

$$(\mathbf{P}_n \cdot \mathbf{e}_1)^2 + (\mathbf{P}_n \cdot \mathbf{e}_2)^2 + (\mathbf{P}_n \cdot \mathbf{e}_3)^2 = |\mathbf{P}|^2. \quad (16.3)$$

Moreover, the dipole momenta \mathbf{P}_n are distributed uniformly over angles, and hence,

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{P}_n \cdot \mathbf{e}_1)^2 = \frac{1}{3} |\mathbf{P}|^2. \quad (16.4)$$

By Remark 12.1, we can apply the *law of large numbers* (recall that $N \sim 10^{20}$), and obtain that $\mathbf{S} \approx N \mathbb{E} \alpha_n \beta_n$. Moreover, \mathbf{P}_n and $\mathbf{X}(x_n)$ are independent. Hence, using (5.3), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S} &\approx N \mathbb{E} \alpha_n \beta_n = N \frac{2}{\Omega_p \hbar} \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{P}_n \mathbf{X}(x_n))^2 = N \frac{2}{\Omega_p \hbar} \frac{\mathbb{E}|\mathbf{P}_n|^2}{3} \mathbb{E} \mathbf{X}^2(x_n) \\ &= \frac{2}{\Omega_p \hbar} \frac{|\mathbf{P}|^2}{3} \sum_n \mathbf{X}^2(x_n) \approx \frac{2|\mathbf{P}|^2}{3\Omega_p \hbar} \frac{N}{|V_a|} \int_{V_a} \mathbf{X}^2(x) dx = \frac{2|\mathbf{P}|^2}{3\Omega_p \hbar} d \frac{|V_a|}{|V|}, \quad d := \frac{N}{|V_a|} \end{aligned} \quad (16.5)$$

by the normalization of \mathbf{X} . Here the value $|V_a|/|V|$ for the integral follows from Shnirelman's *Quantum Ergodic theorem* [33, 37, 38]. Note that this value obviously holds for the eigenmodes (7.6) with sufficiently large eigenvalues. For the case of ruby laser (12.13), we obtain $d \approx 3 \times 10^{19}$ and

$$\mathbf{S} \sim 10^{-5}, \quad (16.6)$$

which is much larger than the terms in the summations (15.6) and (15.7). Moreover, \mathbf{S} is a Gaussian random value since $\alpha_n \beta_n$ are independent and identically distributed.

II. Crystalline medium. In this case the polarizations $\mathbf{P}_n = \mathbf{P}$ do not depend on n . We assume additionally that the mode $\mathbf{X}(x)$ is linearly polarised. Now (16.5) changes to

$$\mathbf{S} \approx \frac{2}{\Omega_p \hbar} |\mathbf{P}|^2 \cos^2 \varphi \sum_n \mathbf{X}^2(x_n) \approx \frac{2|\mathbf{P}|^2 \cos^2 \varphi}{\Omega_p \hbar} d \frac{|V_a|}{|V|} \approx 32 \frac{|V_a|}{|V|} 10^{-5} \cos^2 \varphi \sim 10^{-5}, \quad (16.7)$$

where φ is the angle between \mathbf{P} and $\mathbf{X}(x)$.

17 Factordynamics and the Hopf fibration

The action (13.9) commutes with the dynamics (13.3), hence the latter induces the corresponding dynamics on the factorspace $\mathbb{X}_* = \mathbb{X}/G$. This factorspace is the base of the fibration $\mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}/G$. Also the Poincaré map P commutes with the action (13.9). Moreover, this action does not change the Maxwell field. Accordingly, the instability and the parametric resonance (1.1) must be checked for the induced factordynamics only. More accurate justification is given in the next section: we reduce the problem to calculation of the differential $DP_*(X_*^0)$, where P_* denotes the action of P on the factorspace \mathbb{X}/G , and the point X_*^0 corresponds to X^0 in this factorization (see (13.10)). We show that the eigenvalues of $DP(X^0)$ and $DP_*(X_*^0)$ coincide up to $\mu = 1$, corresponding to the action (13.9).

To calculate $DP_*(X_*^0)$, we need suitable local coordinates on the factorspace. The charge conservation (12.11) means that

$$c_n(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} c_{n,1}(\tau) \\ c_{n,2}(\tau) \end{pmatrix} \in S^3, \quad (17.1)$$

where S^3 is the unit sphere in \mathbb{C}^2 . The sphere is the Hopf fibration with fibers

$$F = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} : \theta \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \quad (17.2)$$

and the base diffeomorphic to the unit sphere S^2 . We choose the following invariant coordinate on the base:

$$z := \bar{c}_1 c_2, \quad \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} \in S^3. \quad (17.3)$$

Using (12.11), it is easy to check that

$$|c_1| + |c_2| = \sqrt{1 + 2|z|}, \quad |c_1| - |c_2| = \pm \sqrt{1 - 2|z|}. \quad (17.4)$$

Hence,

$$2|c_1| = \sqrt{1 + 2|z|} + \sqrt{1 - 2|z|}, \quad 2|c_2| = \sqrt{1 + 2|z|} - \sqrt{1 - 2|z|} \quad (17.5)$$

and

$$4|c_1|^2 = 2 + 2\sqrt{1 - 4|z|^2}, \quad 4|c_2|^2 = 2 - 2\sqrt{1 - 4|z|^2}. \quad (17.6)$$

In particular, the *population inversion* $|c_2|^2 - |c_1|^2$ is the smooth function on the disk $D := |z| < \frac{1}{2}$, and

$$|c_2|^2 - |c_1|^2 = -\sqrt{1 - 4|z|^2}. \quad (17.7)$$

The coordinate z maps S^3 onto the closed disk \bar{D} , and it is a local coordinate on the region of S^3 outside the set $|c_1|^2 = |c_2|^2 = 1/2$. However, the inverse map $D \rightarrow S^2$ is two-valued. Indeed, denote by $\begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix}_* \in S^2$ the Hopf projection of a point $\begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} \in S^3$. One branch of the inverse map is a diffeomorphism of D onto the neighborhood $S_+^2 \subset S^2$ of the point $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_*$ (where $z = 0$), and another branch is a diffeomorphism of D onto the neighborhood $S_-^2 \subset S^2$ of the point $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}_*$ (where also $z = 0$):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} |c_1| > |c_2| & \text{for } \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix}_* \in S_+^2 \\ |c_1| < |c_2| & \text{for } \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix}_* \in S_-^2 \end{array} \right\}. \quad (17.8)$$

The base S^2 is the gluing of S_\pm^2 along their boundaries, where $|z| = \frac{1}{2}$ and $|c_1|^2 = |c_2|^2 = \frac{1}{2}$.

18 Reduction of spectral problem by the symmetry group

We choose coordinates (17.3) on the bases of the Hopf fibration for each active molecule, and denote

$$z_n^0 := \bar{c}_{n,1}^0 c_{n,2}^0 = \bar{c}_{n,1}(0) c_{n,2}(0), \quad z_n := \bar{c}_{n,1} c_{n,2} = \bar{c}_{n,1}(2\pi) c_{n,2}(2\pi). \quad (18.1)$$

Let us write $z_n = z_{n,1} + iz_{n,2}$, $z_n^0 = z_{n,1}^0 + iz_{n,2}^0$, where $z_{n,1}, z_{n,2}, z_{n,1}^0, z_{n,2}^0 \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$\mathbf{z}_n = \begin{pmatrix} z_{n,1} \\ z_{n,2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{z}_n^0 = (z_{n,1}^0, z_{n,2}^0), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{z}_n^0} := \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{n,1}^0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{n,2}^0} \right), \quad n \in \bar{N}. \quad (18.2)$$

Denote

$$\mathbf{Z} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{z}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{z}_N \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{Z}^0 = (\mathbf{z}_1^0, \dots, \mathbf{z}_N^0). \quad (18.3)$$

Then the differential $DP_*(X_*^0)$ of the Poincaré map P_* on the factorspace \mathbb{X}/G is represented by the matrix

$$DP_*(X_*^0) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial a}{\partial a^0} & \frac{\partial a}{\partial b^0} & \frac{\partial a}{\partial \mathbf{Z}^0} \\ \frac{\partial b}{\partial a^0} & \frac{\partial b}{\partial b^0} & \frac{\partial b}{\partial \mathbf{Z}^0} \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}}{\partial a^0} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}}{\partial b^0} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}}{\partial \mathbf{Z}^0} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (18.4)$$

The following lemma justifies the reduction to the eigenvalue problem for the factordynamics.

Lemma 18.1. *The eigenvalues of $DP(X^0)$ and $DP_*(X_*^0)$ coincide up to $\mu = 1$.*

Proof. The variables a^0, b^0, \mathbf{Z}^0 are local coordinates on the factorspace $\mathbb{X}_* = \mathbb{X}/G$. This factorspace is the base of the fiberspace \mathbb{X} with the fibers, isomorphic to S^N , where S is the unit circle $|\theta| = 1$. Hence, in a small neighborhood of any fixed point $X^0 \in \mathbb{X}$, these coordinates can be completed by the phases θ_n of the action (13.9). Now $X^0 = (a^0, b^0, \mathbf{Z}^0, \Theta^0)$ with an arbitrary fixed $\Theta^0 \in S^N$, and the action of the symmetry group (13.9) becomes the shifts in Θ :

$$(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_N})(\tilde{a}^0, \tilde{b}^0, \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^0, \tilde{\Theta}^0) = (\tilde{a}^0, \tilde{b}^0, \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^0, \tilde{\Theta}^0 + \Theta), \quad \Theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N) \quad (18.5)$$

for $(\tilde{a}^0, \tilde{b}^0, \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^0, \tilde{\Theta}^0)$, close to X^0 , and small Θ , since the Maxwell field and the variables z_n are invariant with respect to the action (13.9). Moreover, PX^0 is close to X^0 , and the Poincaré map P commutes with the shifts (18.5) with small Θ . Hence, the differential $DP(X^0)$ is represented by the matrix

$$DP(X^0) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial a}{\partial a^0} & \frac{\partial a}{\partial b^0} & \frac{\partial a}{\partial \mathbf{Z}^0} & \frac{\partial a}{\partial \Theta^0} \\ \frac{\partial b}{\partial a^0} & \frac{\partial b}{\partial b^0} & \frac{\partial b}{\partial \mathbf{Z}^0} & \frac{\partial b}{\partial \Theta^0} \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}}{\partial a^0} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}}{\partial b^0} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}}{\partial \mathbf{Z}^0} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}}{\partial \Theta^0} \\ \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial a^0} & \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial b^0} & \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial \mathbf{Z}^0} & \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial \Theta^0} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial a}{\partial a^0} & \frac{\partial a}{\partial b^0} & \frac{\partial a}{\partial \mathbf{Z}^0} & 0 \\ \frac{\partial b}{\partial a^0} & \frac{\partial b}{\partial b^0} & \frac{\partial b}{\partial \mathbf{Z}^0} & 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}}{\partial a^0} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}}{\partial b^0} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}}{\partial \mathbf{Z}^0} & 0 \\ \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial a^0} & \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial b^0} & \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial \mathbf{Z}^0} & I_N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} DP_*(X_*^0) & 0 \\ \dots & I_N \end{pmatrix}, \quad (18.6)$$

where I_N is the identity $N \times N$ -matrix. Therefore, the eigenvalues of $DP(X^0)$ and $DP_*(X_*^0)$ coincide up to $\mu = 1$, which corresponds to the action (18.5). \square

19 Differential of the Poincaré map on the factorspace

It remains to calculate the differential (18.4) at the ground state (13.10) which correspond to the single point

$$X_*^0 = (0, 0, 0). \quad (19.1)$$

Using (14.37) with $\tau = 2\pi$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
z_n &= (\bar{c}_{n,1}^0 \cos 2\pi |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| + i\bar{s}_n \bar{c}_{n,2}^0 \sin 2\pi |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}|)(-i\bar{s}_n c_{n,1}^0 \sin 2\pi |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| + c_{n,2}^0 \cos 2\pi |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}|) \\
&= -\frac{i}{2}\bar{s}_n[|c_{n,1}^0|^2 - |c_{n,2}^0|^2] \sin 4\pi |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| + \bar{c}_{n,1}^0 c_{n,2}^0 \cos^2 2\pi |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| + \bar{s}_n^2 c_{n,1}^0 \bar{c}_{n,2}^0 \sin^2 2\pi |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| \\
&= \frac{i}{2}\bar{s}_n \sqrt{1 - 4|z_n^0|^2} \sin 4\pi |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| + z_n^0 \cos^2 2\pi |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| + \bar{s}_n^2 \bar{z}_n^0 \sin^2 2\pi |\tilde{\omega}_n^{(1)}| \\
&= \frac{i}{2}\sqrt{1 - 4|z_n^0|^2} \sin 4\pi \bar{\omega}_n^{(1)} + z_n^0 \cos^2 2\pi \bar{\omega}_n^{(1)} + \bar{z}_n^0 \sin^2 2\pi \bar{\omega}_n^{(1)} + O(10^{-12})
\end{aligned} \tag{19.2}$$

by (17.7) and (14.43). The formulas (15.6) become

$$\begin{cases} a \approx a_0(2\pi) + \sum_n \alpha_n \text{Im} \left\{ z_n^0 A_1 \right\} - \sum_n \alpha_n \beta_n \sqrt{1 - 4|z_n^0|^2} \text{Re} \left\{ \bar{v} A_2 \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \alpha_n \gamma_n \sqrt{1 - 4|z_n^0|^2} A_3 \\ b \approx \dot{a}_0(2\pi) + \sum_n \alpha_n \text{Im} \left\{ z_n^0 B_1 \right\} - \sum_n \alpha_n \beta_n \sqrt{1 - 4|z_n^0|^2} \text{Re} \left\{ \bar{v} B_2 \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \alpha_n \gamma_n \sqrt{1 - 4|z_n^0|^2} B_3 \end{cases} \tag{19.3}$$

Here $a_0(2\pi)$ and $\dot{a}_0(2\pi)$ are given by (14.12), so using (14.17) and neglecting errors $O(\varkappa^2) = O(10^{-14})$, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} a_0(2\pi) = a^0 \dot{E}(2\pi) + [b^0 + 2\kappa a^0] E(2\pi) \approx a^0(1 - 2\pi\kappa) \\ \dot{a}_0(2\pi) = a^0 \ddot{E}(2\pi) + [b^0 + 2\kappa a^0] \dot{E}(2\pi) \approx -2\kappa a^0 + [b^0 + 2\kappa a^0](1 - 2\pi\kappa) \approx b^0(1 - 2\pi\kappa) \end{cases} \tag{19.4}$$

At the point (19.1), we have

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{z}_n^0} \right|_{z_n^0=0} \sqrt{1 - 4|z_n^0|^2} = 0 \tag{19.5}$$

Differentiating the formulas (14.40) at the point (19.1), we obtain that neglecting errors $O(\varkappa^2) = O(10^{-14})$, we have

$$\frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial a^0} = -\frac{i}{2} + \frac{\kappa}{4}(1 + 2\pi i), \quad \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial b^0} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\kappa}{4}(2\pi - i) = i \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial a^0}. \tag{19.6}$$

Moreover, formulas (14.40) and (19.5) imply that at the point (19.1), we have

$$\frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial \mathbf{z}_{n'}^0} = (0, 0). \tag{19.7}$$

Now we can calculate the matrix (18.4) at the point (19.1). We will neglect terms $O(10^{-12})$ in the derivatives. Differentiating the first formula of (19.3), and taking into account (19.4)–(19.7), we obtain that the first row of (18.4) is

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial a^0} \approx 1 - 2\pi\kappa - \mathbf{S} \left[\frac{A_{22}}{2} + \frac{\kappa}{4}(A_{21} - 2\pi A_{22}) \right], \quad \frac{\partial a}{\partial b^0} \approx -\mathbf{S} \left[\frac{A_{21}}{2} - \frac{\kappa}{4}(2\pi A_{21} + A_{22}) \right], \quad \frac{\partial a}{\partial \mathbf{z}_{n'}^0} \approx \alpha_{n'}(A_{12}, A_{11}), \tag{19.8}$$

where \mathbf{S} is the summation (16.1). Similarly, using (B.7), we obtain the second row

$$\frac{\partial b}{\partial a^0} \approx -\mathbf{S} \left[\frac{B_{22}}{2} + \frac{\kappa}{4}(B_{21} - 2\pi B_{22}) \right], \quad \frac{\partial b}{\partial b^0} \approx 1 - 2\pi\kappa - \mathbf{S} \left[\frac{B_{21}}{2} - \frac{\kappa}{4}(2\pi B_{21} + B_{22}) \right], \quad \frac{\partial b}{\partial \mathbf{z}_{n'}^0} \approx \alpha_{n'}(B_{12}, B_{11}), \tag{19.9}$$

where $B_{11} = \text{Re } B_1$, $B_{12} = \text{Im } B_1$, and similarly for B_{21} and B_{22} . Finally, differentiating (19.2) at the point (19.1), and using (19.5), (19.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial z_n}{\partial a^0} &\approx -2\pi i \beta_n \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial a^0} = -2\pi i \beta_n \left[-\frac{i}{2} + \frac{\kappa}{4}(1 + 2\pi i) \right] = -\pi \beta_n \left[1 - \frac{\kappa}{2}(2\pi - i) \right] \\ \frac{\partial z_n}{\partial b^0} &\approx -2\pi i \beta_n \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial b^0} = -2\pi i \beta_n \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\kappa}{4}(2\pi - i) \right] = -\pi \beta_n \left[i - \frac{\kappa}{2}(2\pi i + 1) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{19.10}$$

Similarly, using (19.5) and (19.7), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial z_n}{\partial \mathbf{z}_{n'}^0} \approx \delta_{nn'} [(1, i) \cos^2 \pi \gamma_n + (1, -i) \sin^2 \pi \gamma_n] = \delta_{nn'} (1, i \cos 2\pi \gamma_n). \quad (19.11)$$

The expressions (19.10) and (19.11) can be written as vectors and matrices:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}_n}{\partial a^0} \approx -\pi \beta_n \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \kappa \pi \\ \frac{\kappa}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}_n}{\partial b^0} \approx -\pi \beta_n \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\kappa}{2} \\ 1 - \kappa \pi \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}_n}{\partial \mathbf{z}_{n'}^0} \approx \delta_{nn'} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos 2\pi \gamma_n \end{pmatrix}. \quad (19.12)$$

20 Block-matrix approximation

All calculations below essentially rely on the constants (12.13) which correspond to the ruby laser. Let us denote the matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial a}{\partial a^0} & \frac{\partial a}{\partial b^0} \\ \frac{\partial b}{\partial a^0} & \frac{\partial b}{\partial b^0} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20.1)$$

Recall that for the typical dipole moment (11.3) we have by (16.6), (16.7) and (12.13), that

$$\mathbf{S} = \sum_n \alpha_n \beta_n \sim 10^{-5}, \quad \gamma_n \approx 2 \times 10^{-7}, \quad \kappa \approx 10^{-7}. \quad (20.2)$$

Rewrite the formulas (19.8)–(19.9) substituting the expressions (19.6), and neglecting all terms containing $\kappa \mathbf{S} \sim 10^{-12}$ and $\kappa^2 \sim 10^{-14}$:

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial a^0} \approx 1 - 2\pi \kappa - \frac{1}{2} A_{22} \mathbf{S}, \quad \frac{\partial a}{\partial b^0} \approx -\frac{1}{2} A_{21} \mathbf{S}, \quad (20.3)$$

$$\frac{\partial b}{\partial a^0} \approx -\frac{1}{2} B_{22} \mathbf{S}, \quad \frac{\partial b}{\partial b^0} \approx 1 - 2\pi \kappa - \frac{1}{2} B_{21} \mathbf{S}. \quad (20.4)$$

Hence,

$$M = M(\kappa, \mathbf{S}) \approx \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 2\pi \kappa - \frac{1}{2} A_{22} \mathbf{S} & -\frac{1}{2} A_{21} \mathbf{S} \\ -\frac{1}{2} B_{22} \mathbf{S} & 1 - 2\pi \kappa - \frac{1}{2} B_{21} \mathbf{S} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20.5)$$

Further, denote the matrices

$$D_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos 2\pi \gamma_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_n = \alpha_n \begin{pmatrix} A_{12} & A_{11} \\ B_{12} & B_{11} \end{pmatrix}, \quad W_n = -\pi \beta_n \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \kappa \pi & -\frac{\kappa}{2} \\ \frac{\kappa}{2} & 1 - \kappa \pi \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20.6)$$

Using (12.13) and (B.4), (B.7), we obtain that

$$D_n \approx \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - 2\pi^2 \gamma_n^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_n \approx \pi \alpha_n \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \kappa \pi & \frac{\kappa}{2} \\ -\frac{\kappa}{2} & 1 - \kappa \pi \end{pmatrix} \quad (20.7)$$

Now formulas (19.8), (19.9), (20.5), and (19.12) give the following block-matrix approximation for the differential (18.4):

$$DP_*(X_*^0) = \begin{pmatrix} M & V_1 & V_2 & V_3 & \dots & V_N \\ W_1 & D_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ W_2 & 0 & D_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ W_3 & 0 & 0 & D_3 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ W_N & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & D_N \end{pmatrix} + O(10^{-12}), \quad (20.8)$$

which is not symmetric generally.

Remark 20.1. Since $\alpha_n \sim 10^{-23}$, the matrix is very close to its triangle approximation

$$DP_*(X_*^0) \approx \begin{pmatrix} M & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ W_1 & D_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ W_2 & 0 & D_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ W_3 & 0 & 0 & D_3 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ W_N & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & D_N \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20.9)$$

The corresponding eigenvalues coincide with the ones of the matrices M and D_n , so are very close to 1 with errors $\sim 10^{-5}$.

21 Reduction to polynomial equation

Let us consider the eigenvalue problem for the multipliers:

$$DP_*(X_*^0)\mathbf{X} = \mu\mathbf{X}, \quad \mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_0 \\ \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \dots \\ \mathbf{x}_N \end{pmatrix}, \quad (21.1)$$

where all $\mathbf{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\mathbf{X} \neq 0$. Substituting here the approximation (20.8), we obtain the equivalent system

$$\begin{cases} (M - \mu)\mathbf{x}_0 + \sum_1^N V_n \mathbf{x}_n = 0 \\ W_n \mathbf{x}_0 + (D_n - \mu)\mathbf{x}_n = 0, \quad n \in \overline{N} \end{cases}. \quad (21.2)$$

Since we seek for eigenvalues with $|\mu| > 1$, we can write using (20.6) and (20.7),

$$\mathbf{x}_n = (\mu - D_n)^{-1} W_n \mathbf{x}_0 \approx -\pi \beta_n \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1 - \varkappa \pi}{\mu - 1} & \frac{-\varkappa/2}{\mu - 1} \\ \frac{\varkappa/2}{\mu - 1 + 2\pi^2 \gamma_n^2} & \frac{1 - \varkappa \pi}{\mu - 1 + 2\pi^2 \gamma_n^2} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_0, \quad (21.3)$$

where $\mathbf{x}_0 \neq 0$. Substituting into the first equation of (21.2), and using again (20.7), we obtain that

$$\mathcal{M}(\mu) \mathbf{x}_0 = 0, \quad (21.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(\mu) &\approx (M - \mu) - \pi^2 \sum_1^N \left[\alpha_n \beta_n \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \varkappa \pi & \frac{\varkappa}{2} \\ -\frac{\varkappa}{2} & 1 - \varkappa \pi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1 - \varkappa \pi}{\mu - 1} & \frac{-\varkappa/2}{\mu - 1} \\ \frac{\varkappa/2}{\mu - 1 + 2\pi^2 \gamma_n^2} & \frac{1 - \varkappa \pi}{\mu - 1 + 2\pi^2 \gamma_n^2} \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= (M - \mu) - \pi^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \varkappa \pi & \frac{\varkappa}{2} \\ -\frac{\varkappa}{2} & 1 - \varkappa \pi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1 - \varkappa \pi}{\mu - 1} \mathbf{S} & \frac{-\varkappa/2}{\mu - 1} \mathbf{S} \\ \varkappa/2 \sum_1^N \frac{\alpha_n \beta_n}{\mu - 1 + 2\pi^2 \gamma_n^2} & (1 - \varkappa \pi) \sum_1^N \frac{\alpha_n \beta_n}{\mu - 1 + 2\pi^2 \gamma_n^2} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (21.5)$$

Thus, the characteristic equation for the matrix $DP(X^0)$ is equivalent to

$$\det \mathcal{M}(\mu) = 0. \quad (21.6)$$

Let us consider the multipliers for which

$$2\pi^2 \frac{\gamma_n^2}{\mu - 1} \ll 1. \quad (21.7)$$

The existence of such multipliers is suggested by Remark 20.1. For such multipliers, the last two sums in (21.5) can be calculated as follows. First,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1^N \frac{\alpha_n \beta_n}{\mu - 1 + 2\pi^2 \gamma_n^2} &= \frac{1}{\mu - 1} \sum_1^N \frac{\alpha_n \beta_n}{1 + 2\pi^2 \frac{\gamma_n^2}{\mu - 1}} \approx \frac{1}{\mu - 1} \sum_1^N \alpha_n \beta_n (1 - 2\pi^2 \frac{\gamma_n^2}{\mu - 1}) \\ &= \frac{\mathbf{S}}{\mu - 1} - \frac{2\pi^2}{(\mu - 1)^2} \sum_1^N \alpha_n \beta_n \gamma_n^2 = \frac{\mathbf{S}}{\mu - 1} - \frac{2\pi^2}{(\mu - 1)^2} \frac{2}{\Omega_p \hbar^2 c} \sum_1^N (\mathbf{P}_n \cdot \mathbf{X}(x_n))^2 (\mathbf{P}_n \cdot \mathbf{a}_p(x_n))^2. \end{aligned} \quad (21.8)$$

Second,

$$\sum_1^N (\mathbf{P}_n \cdot \mathbf{X}(x_n))^2 (\mathbf{P}_n \cdot \mathbf{a}_p(x_n))^2 = N \Sigma, \quad \Sigma := \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{P}_n \cdot \mathbf{X}(x_n))^2 (\mathbf{P}_n \cdot \mathbf{a}_p(x_n))^2. \quad (21.9)$$

The last expectation can be calculated using the fact that random values $(\mathbf{P}^1, \mathbf{P}^2, \mathbf{P}^3) = \mathbf{P}_n$, $(X^1, X^2, X^3) = \mathbf{X}(x_n)$ and $(a^1, a^2, a^3) = \mathbf{a}_p(x_n)$ are independent, so

$$\Sigma = \mathbb{E} \sum_{i,l=1}^3 (\mathbf{P}^i X^i)^2 (\mathbf{P}^l X^l)^2 = \mathbb{E} \sum_{i,i',l,l'=1}^3 \mathbf{P}^i \mathbf{P}^{i'} \mathbf{P}^l \mathbf{P}^{l'} X^i X^{i'} a^l a^{l'} = \sum_{i,i',l,l'=1}^3 \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{P}^i \mathbf{P}^{i'} \mathbf{P}^l \mathbf{P}^{l'}] \mathbb{E}[X^i X^{i'}] \mathbb{E}[a^l a^{l'}] \quad (21.10)$$

By assumption **H4**, the random vector $\mathbf{a}_p(x_n)$ is distributed uniformly on the sphere of radius a_p (see (9.6)), so

$$\mathbb{E}[a^l a^{l'}] = \frac{1}{3} \delta^{ll'} a_p^2. \quad (21.11)$$

Further, *let us conjecture* that the distribution of the random vector $\mathbf{X}(x_n)$ is close to the one of the eigenmodes of rectangular cuboid (7.6). In this case, similarly to (16.5),

$$\mathbb{E}[X^i X^{i'}] = \delta^{ii'} \mathbb{E}|X^i|^2 = \frac{\delta^{ii'}}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N |\mathbf{X}^i(x_n)|^2 \approx \frac{\delta^{ii'}}{|\mathbf{V}_a|} \int_{\mathbf{V}_a} |X^i|^2(x) dx = \frac{\delta^{ii'}}{3|V|} |a^i|^2, \quad (21.12)$$

where $a^i = a_k^i$ - amplitudes from (7.6). Substituting (21.11) and (21.12) into (21.10), we obtain that

$$\Sigma = \frac{1}{9|V|} |a_p|^2 \sum_{i,l=1}^3 \mathbb{E}[|\mathbf{P}^i|^2 |\mathbf{P}^l|^2] |a^i|^2 \quad (21.13)$$

Remark 21.1. According to Berry's conjecture, in the case of ergodic geodesic flow, the distribution of values of Laplacian eigenfunctions with sufficiently large eigenvalues can be Gaussian [6, 25], thus different from the "sinusoidal distribution" of eigenmodes (7.6).

Let us consider the following two cases separately.

I. Polycrystalline medium. Let us calculate $\mathbb{E}[|\mathbf{P}^i|^2 |\mathbf{P}^l|^2]$. By our assumption **H1**, the random vector $(\mathbf{P}^1, \mathbf{P}^2, \mathbf{P}^3)$ is distributed uniformly on the sphere of radius $|\mathbf{P}|$. Hence, integrating in spherical coordinates, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}|\mathbf{P}^1|^2 |\mathbf{P}^2|^2 = \frac{1}{15} |\mathbf{P}|^4, \quad \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{P}^1)^4 = \frac{1}{5} |\mathbf{P}|^4. \quad (21.14)$$

Hence,

$$\Sigma = \frac{1}{9|V|} |a_p|^2 |\mathbf{P}|^4 \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\frac{1}{5} + \frac{2}{15} \right) |a^i|^2 = \frac{1}{27|V|} |a_p|^2 |\mathbf{P}|^4 \quad (21.15)$$

since $a = (a^1, a^2, a^3)$ is the unit vector.

II. Crystalline medium. In this case the vectors $\mathbf{P}_n = \mathbf{P}$ do not depend on n . Thus, (21.13) becomes

$$\Sigma = \frac{1}{9|V|} |a_p|^2 \sum_{i,l=1}^3 [|\mathbf{P}^i|^2 |\mathbf{P}^l|^2] |a^i|^2 = \frac{a_p^2 |\mathbf{P}|^2}{9|V|} \sum_{i=1}^3 |\mathbf{P}^i|^2 |a^i|^2. \quad (21.16)$$

In both cases, (21.6) for $\mu \neq 1$ is equivalent to the algebraic equation

$$p(\mu) = 0, \quad p(\mu) = \det[(\mu - 1)^2 \mathcal{M}(\mu)], \quad (21.17)$$

where $p(\mu)$ is a polynomial of degree six.

Remark 21.2. The summation \mathbf{S} reappears in the calculations (21.5) and (21.8).

Remark 21.3. i) For every nonzero eigenvector (21.1), its component \mathbf{x}_0 , representing the Maxwell field, does not vanish. Hence, if (1.1) holds, then the magnitude of the Maxwell field provisionally increases exponentially for large (but bounded) times.

ii) The degree six of the polynomial can be reduced to three using the symmetry (4.6).

Remark 21.4. We expect that

- i) all the multipliers $|\mu|$ are simple with probability one;
- ii) the multipliers are close to the corresponding roots of the polynomial $p(\mu)$;
- iii) the condition (1.1) holds for the roots of the polynomial $p(\mu)$ when

$$a_p \geq d(\sigma_1), \quad (21.18)$$

where $d(\sigma_1)$ is the corresponding threshold, which is an increasing function of σ_1 . Physically, the condition (21.18) means that the amplitude of the pumping exceeds the damping losses.

A On averaging of slow rotations

Here we justify the approximation of the Schrödinger equations from (13.3) by their averaged version (14.7) (“rotating wave approximation” [1, 3, 14, 27, 30, 32, 35, 40]). Let us show that this approximation is very accurate for small matrices $\Omega_n(\tau)$. Namely, consider the system

$$\dot{c}(\tau) = -i\Omega(\tau)c(\tau), \quad \tau \in [0, T], \quad (A.1)$$

where $\Omega(\tau)$ is a bounded measurable 2×2 complex matrix-function,

$$\varepsilon := \sup_{\tau \in [0, T]} |\Omega(\tau)| < \infty. \quad (A.2)$$

Denote the averaged matrix

$$\tilde{\Omega} := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \Omega(\tau) d\tau \quad (A.3)$$

and consider the corresponding averaged system

$$\dot{\hat{c}}(\tau) = -i\tilde{\Omega}\hat{c}(\tau), \quad \tau \in [0, T]. \quad (A.4)$$

Lemma A.1. *For solutions of (A.1) and (A.4) with identical initial data $\hat{c}(0) = c(0) = c_0$, their final values $c(T)$ and $\hat{c}(T)$ are very close for small ε :*

$$|c(T) - \hat{c}(T)| = O(\varepsilon^2), \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (A.5)$$

Proof. Rewrite (A.1) as

$$\dot{c}_\varepsilon(\tau) = -i\varepsilon\Omega_1(\tau)c_\varepsilon(\tau), \quad \tau \in [0, T]; \quad c_\varepsilon(0) = c_0, \quad (A.6)$$

where $\Omega_1(\tau) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\Omega(\tau)$. The corresponding averaged system (A.4) now reads

$$\dot{\hat{c}}_\varepsilon(\tau) = -i\varepsilon\tilde{\Omega}_1(\tau)\hat{c}_\varepsilon(\tau), \quad \tau \in [0, T]; \quad \hat{c}_\varepsilon(0) = c_0, \quad (A.7)$$

where $\tilde{\Omega}_1(\tau) := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \Omega_1(\tau) d\tau$. It suffices to prove that

$$|c_\varepsilon(T) - \hat{c}_\varepsilon(T)| = O(\varepsilon^2), \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (A.8)$$

The Taylor formula gives

$$c_\varepsilon(\tau) = c_0 + \varepsilon[\partial_\varepsilon c_\varepsilon(\tau)]|_{\varepsilon=0} + O(\varepsilon^2), \quad \hat{c}_\varepsilon(\tau) = c_0 + \varepsilon[\partial_\varepsilon \hat{c}_\varepsilon(\tau)]|_{\varepsilon=0} + O(\varepsilon^2). \quad (A.9)$$

Therefore, (A.8) will follow from the identity

$$[\partial_\varepsilon c_\varepsilon(T)]|_{\varepsilon=0} = [\partial_\varepsilon \hat{c}_\varepsilon(T)]|_{\varepsilon=0}. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Indeed, $\hat{c}_\varepsilon(T) = e^{-i\varepsilon\tilde{\Omega}T}c_0$, so the right-hand side of (A.10) is equal to

$$[\partial_\varepsilon \hat{c}_\varepsilon(T)]|_{\varepsilon=0} = -i\tilde{\Omega}_1 T c_0 = -i \int_0^T \Omega_1(\tau) c_0 d\tau. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

It remains to calculate the left-hand side. Denote

$$b_\varepsilon(\tau) := \partial_\varepsilon c_\varepsilon(\tau). \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Differentiating equation (A.6) in ε , we obtain

$$\dot{b}_\varepsilon(\tau) = -i\Omega_1(\tau)c_\varepsilon(\tau) - i\varepsilon\Omega_1(\tau)b_\varepsilon(\tau), \quad \tau \in [0, T]; \quad b_\varepsilon(0) = 0. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

In particular, for $\varepsilon = 0$ we have

$$\dot{b}_0(\tau) = -i\Omega_1(\tau)c_0, \quad \tau \in [0, T]; \quad b_0(0) = 0. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

Hence,

$$b_0(T) = -i \int_0^T \Omega_1(\tau) c_0 d\tau. \quad (\text{A.15})$$

Now (A.10) is proved. \square

Remark A.2. Equation (A.6) can be rewritten for $\tilde{c}_\varepsilon(s) := c_\varepsilon(\frac{s}{\varepsilon})$ as

$$\partial_s \tilde{c}_\varepsilon(s) = -i\Omega_1(\frac{s}{\varepsilon})\tilde{c}_\varepsilon(s), \quad s \in [0, \varepsilon T], \quad (\text{A.16})$$

where $s = \varepsilon\tau$ is the ‘slow time’. Thus, Lemma A.1 is a specific version of averaging principle.

B Some integrals

2.1 Integrals (14.26)

First, (14.17) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(\tau) &:= \int_0^\tau e^{-i\tau'} e^{-\varkappa(\tau-\tau')} \sin(\tau-\tau') d\tau' = \int_0^\tau e^{-i\tau'} [e^{(-\varkappa+i)(\tau-\tau')} - e^{(-\varkappa-i)(\tau-\tau')}] d\tau' \\ &= \frac{1}{2i} e^{(-\varkappa+i)\tau} \int_0^\tau e^{(\varkappa-2i)\tau'} d\tau' - \frac{1}{2i} e^{(-\varkappa-i)\tau} \int_0^\tau e^{\varkappa\tau'} d\tau' \\ &= \frac{1}{2i} e^{(-\varkappa+i)\tau} \frac{e^{(\varkappa-2i)\tau} - 1}{\varkappa - 2i} - \frac{1}{2i} e^{(-\varkappa-i)\tau} \frac{e^{\varkappa\tau} - 1}{\varkappa} \\ &= \frac{e^{-i\tau} - e^{(-\varkappa+i)\tau}}{2i\varkappa + 4} - \frac{e^{-i\tau} - e^{(-\varkappa-i)\tau}}{2i\varkappa} \\ &\approx -\frac{i}{2} \sin \tau - \frac{\tau}{2i} e^{-i\tau} + \varkappa \left[\frac{-\sin \tau + \tau e^{i\tau}}{4} + \frac{\tau^2 e^{-i\tau}}{4i} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where we have neglected errors $O(\varkappa^2) = O(10^{-14})$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2(\tau) &:= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\tau \tau' \cos \tau' e^{-\varkappa(\tau-\tau')} \sin(\tau - \tau') d\tau' = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^\tau \tau' e^{-\varkappa(\tau-\tau')} [\sin \tau + \sin(\tau - 2\tau')] d\tau' \\
&= \frac{1}{4} e^{-\varkappa\tau} \left[\sin \tau \int_0^\tau \tau' e^{\varkappa\tau'} d\tau' + \text{Im} \int_0^\tau \tau' e^{\varkappa\tau'} e^{i(\tau-2\tau')} d\tau' \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{4} e^{-\varkappa\tau} \left[\sin \tau \left(\tau \frac{e^{\varkappa\tau}}{\varkappa} - \int_0^\tau \frac{e^{\varkappa\tau'}}{\varkappa} d\tau' \right) + \text{Im} \left(e^{i\tau} \tau \frac{e^{(\varkappa-2i)\tau}}{\varkappa-2i} - e^{i\tau} \int_0^\tau \frac{e^{(\varkappa-2i)\tau'}}{\varkappa-2i} d\tau' \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{4} e^{-\varkappa\tau} \left[\sin \tau \left(\tau \frac{e^{\varkappa\tau}}{\varkappa} - \frac{e^{\varkappa\tau} - 1}{\varkappa^2} \right) + \text{Im} \left(e^{i\tau} \tau \frac{e^{(\varkappa-2i)\tau}}{\varkappa-2i} - e^{i\tau} \frac{e^{(\varkappa-2i)\tau} - 1}{(\varkappa-2i)^2} \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{4} e^{-\varkappa\tau} \left[\sin \tau \left(\frac{\varkappa\tau e^{\varkappa\tau} - e^{\varkappa\tau} + 1}{\varkappa^2} \right) + \text{Im} \left(\tau \frac{e^{(\varkappa-i)\tau}(\varkappa+2i)}{\varkappa^2+4} - \frac{(e^{(\varkappa-i)\tau} - e^{i\tau})(\varkappa+2i)^2}{(\varkappa^2+4)^2} \right) \right] \\
&\approx \frac{1}{4} e^{-\varkappa\tau} \left[\sin \tau \left(\frac{\tau^2}{2} + \frac{\varkappa\tau^3}{2} \right) + \tau \frac{e^{\varkappa\tau}}{4} \text{Im} (e^{-i\tau}(\varkappa+2i)) - \frac{1}{16} \text{Im} [(e^{(\varkappa-i)\tau} - e^{i\tau})(\varkappa+2i)^2] \right] \\
&\approx \frac{1}{4} e^{-\varkappa\tau} \left[\sin \tau \left(\frac{\tau^2}{2} + \frac{\varkappa\tau^3}{2} \right) + \tau \frac{e^{\varkappa\tau}}{4} [2\cos \tau - \varkappa \sin \tau] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{16} [(e^{\varkappa\tau} \cos \tau - \cos \tau)4\varkappa - 4(-e^{\varkappa\tau} \sin \tau - \sin \tau)] \right] \\
&\approx \frac{1}{4} e^{-\varkappa\tau} \left[\sin \tau \left(\frac{\tau^2}{2} + \frac{\varkappa\tau^3}{2} \right) + \frac{\tau}{4} [e^{\varkappa\tau} 2\cos \tau - \varkappa \sin \tau] - \frac{1}{4} (e^{\varkappa\tau} + 1) \sin \tau \right] \\
&\approx \frac{1}{4} \left[\sin \tau \frac{\tau^2}{2} + \frac{\tau}{4} [2\cos \tau - \varkappa \sin \tau] - \frac{1}{4} (1 + e^{-\varkappa\tau}) \sin \tau \right] \\
&\approx \frac{1}{4} \left[\sin \tau \frac{\tau^2}{2} + \frac{\tau}{4} [2\cos \tau - \varkappa \sin \tau] - \frac{1}{4} (2 - \varkappa\tau) \sin \tau \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{8} [\tau^2 \sin \tau + \tau \cos \tau - \sin \tau]. \tag{B.2}
\end{aligned}$$

2.2 Integrals (14.32)

Calculate integrals

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{2\pi} \tau e^{i\tau} d\tau &= -2\pi i \approx -6.28i, & \int_0^{2\pi} \tau \cos \tau d\tau &= 0, & \int_0^{2\pi} \tau e^{2i\tau} d\tau &= -\pi i, \\
\int_0^{2\pi} \tau^2 e^{i\tau} d\tau &= -4\pi^2 i + i \int_0^{2\pi} 2\tau e^{i\tau} d\tau = -4\pi^2 i + 4\pi, \\
\int_0^{2\pi} \tau^2 e^{2i\tau} d\tau &= -2\pi^2 i + i \int_0^{2\pi} \tau e^{2i\tau} d\tau = -2\pi^2 i + \pi, \\
\int_0^{2\pi} \tau^2 \sin \tau d\tau &= -4\pi^2 i.
\end{aligned}$$

Then neglecting errors $O(\varkappa^2) = O(10^{-14})$, we can rewrite (14.32) as

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} J_1 &:= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} I_1'(\tau) e^{-i\tau} d\tau \approx \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[-\frac{i}{2} \sin \tau - \frac{\tau}{2i} e^{-i\tau} + \varkappa \left[\frac{-\sin \tau + \tau e^{i\tau}}{4} + \frac{\tau^2 e^{-i\tau}}{4i} \right]' \right] e^{-i\tau} d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[-\frac{i}{2} \cos \tau - \frac{e^{-i\tau} - i\tau e^{-i\tau}}{2i} + \varkappa \left[\frac{-\cos \tau + e^{i\tau} + i\tau e^{i\tau}}{4} + \frac{2\tau e^{-i\tau} - i\tau^2 e^{-i\tau}}{4i} \right] \right] e^{-i\tau} d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[-\frac{i}{2} \cos \tau e^{-i\tau} - \frac{e^{-i2\tau} - i\tau e^{-2i\tau}}{2i} + \varkappa \left[\frac{-\cos \tau e^{-i\tau} + i\tau}{4} + \frac{2\tau e^{-2i\tau} - i\tau^2 e^{-2i\tau}}{4i} \right] \right] d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[-\frac{i}{4} + \frac{\tau e^{-2i\tau}}{2} + \varkappa \left[\frac{-\frac{1}{2} + i\tau}{4} + \frac{2\tau e^{-2i\tau} - i\tau^2 e^{-2i\tau}}{4i} \right] \right] d\tau \\ &= -\frac{i}{4} + \frac{\pi i}{4\pi} + \varkappa \left[\frac{-\frac{1}{2} + i\pi}{4} + \frac{2\pi i - i(2\pi^2 i + \pi)}{8\pi i} \right] = 0, \\ J_2 &:= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} I_2'(\tau) e^{-i\tau} d\tau \approx \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[\tau^2 \sin \tau + \tau \cos \tau - \sin \tau \right]' e^{-i\tau} d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[\tau \sin \tau + \tau^2 \cos \tau \right] e^{-i\tau} d\tau = \frac{1}{32\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[-i\tau(1 - e^{-2i\tau}) + \tau^2(1 + e^{-2i\tau}) \right] d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{32\pi} \left[-i2\pi^2 - \pi + \frac{8\pi^3}{3} + 2\pi^2 i + \pi \right] = \frac{\pi^2}{12}. \end{aligned} \right. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

2.3 Integrals (15.5)

Neglecting errors $O(\varkappa^2) = O(10^{-14})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &\approx - \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i\tau'} e^{-\varkappa(2\pi - \tau')} \sin \tau' d\tau' = -\frac{e^{-2\pi\varkappa}}{2i} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i\tau'} e^{\varkappa\tau'} [e^{i\tau'} - e^{-i\tau'}] d\tau' \\ &= -\frac{e^{-2\pi\varkappa}}{2i} \int_0^{2\pi} [e^{\varkappa\tau'} - e^{(\varkappa-2i)\tau'}] d\tau' = -\frac{e^{-2\pi\varkappa}}{2i} \left[\frac{e^{2\pi\varkappa} - 1}{\varkappa} - \frac{e^{2\pi\varkappa} - 1}{\varkappa - 2i} \right] \\ &\approx -\frac{e^{-2\pi\varkappa}}{2i} \left[\frac{2\pi\varkappa + \frac{1}{2}(2\pi\varkappa)^2}{\varkappa} - \frac{2\pi\varkappa}{4}(\varkappa + 2i) \right] \approx -\frac{e^{-2\pi\varkappa}}{2i} [2\pi + 2\pi\varkappa^2 - \varkappa\pi i] \\ &\approx (1 - 2\pi\varkappa) \left[\pi i + \varkappa\pi^2 i + \frac{\varkappa\pi}{2} \right] \approx \pi i + \varkappa\pi^2 i + \frac{\varkappa\pi}{2} - \pi^2 2\varkappa i \\ &= \pi i + \varkappa[-\pi^2 i + \frac{\pi}{2}] = A_{11} + iA_{12}, \quad A_{11} = \varkappa\frac{\pi}{2}, \quad A_{12} = \pi - \varkappa\pi^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2 &\approx -\frac{e^{-\pi\sigma_1}}{2i} \int_0^{2\pi} \tau' [e^{\kappa\tau'} - e^{(\kappa-2i)\tau'}] d\tau' \\
&= -\frac{e^{-\pi\sigma_1}}{2i} \left[\tau \frac{e^{\kappa\tau}}{\kappa} - \frac{e^{\kappa\tau}}{\kappa^2} - \tau \frac{e^{\kappa\tau}}{\kappa-2i} + \frac{e^{\kappa\tau}}{(\kappa-2i)^2} \right] \Big|_0^{2\pi} = -\frac{e^{-\pi\sigma_1}}{2i} \left[\frac{\tau\kappa-1}{\kappa^2} e^{\kappa\tau} - \frac{\tau(\kappa-2i)-1}{(\kappa-2i)^2} e^{\kappa\tau} \right] \Big|_0^{2\pi} \\
&\approx -\frac{e^{-\pi\sigma_1}}{2i} \left[\frac{\tau\kappa-1}{\kappa^2} e^{\kappa\tau} - \frac{\tau(\kappa-2i)-1}{16} (\kappa+2i)^2 e^{\kappa\tau} \right] \Big|_0^{2\pi} \\
&= -\frac{e^{-\pi\sigma_1}}{2i} \left[\frac{(\pi\sigma_1-1)e^{\pi\sigma_1}+1}{\kappa^2} - \frac{(\kappa+2i)^2}{16} [(\pi\sigma_1-4\pi i-1)e^{\pi\sigma_1}+1] \right] \\
&\approx -\frac{e^{-\pi\sigma_1}}{2i} \left[\frac{(\pi\sigma_1-1)(1+\pi\sigma_1+\frac{1}{2}(\pi\sigma_1)^2+\frac{1}{6}(\pi\sigma_1)^3)+1}{(\kappa)^2} - \pi i - \frac{\pi\sigma_1}{2} + \frac{1}{4}[\pi\sigma_1+(-4\pi i-1)\pi\sigma_1] \right] \\
&\approx -\frac{e^{-\pi\sigma_1}}{2i} \left[\frac{(\pi\sigma_1)^2+(\pi\sigma_1-1)(\frac{1}{2}(\pi\sigma_1)^2+\frac{1}{6}(\pi\sigma_1)^3)}{\kappa^2} - \pi i - \frac{\pi\sigma_1}{2} + \frac{1}{4}[\pi\sigma_1+(-4\pi i-1)\pi\sigma_1] \right] \\
&= -\frac{e^{-\pi\sigma_1}}{2i} \left[(4\pi^2+(\pi\sigma_1-1)(2\pi^2+\frac{2}{3}\pi^3\sigma_1) - \pi i - \frac{\pi\sigma_1}{2} - \pi^2\sigma_1 i) \right] \\
&\approx -\frac{e^{-\pi\sigma_1}}{2i} \left[2\pi^2 - \pi i + \sigma_1 \left[\frac{4}{3}\pi^3 - \frac{\pi}{2} - \pi^2 i \right] \right] = e^{-\pi\sigma_1} \left[\pi^2 i + \frac{\pi}{2} + \sigma_1 \left[\frac{2}{3}\pi^3 i - \frac{\pi}{4} i + \frac{\pi^2}{2} \right] \right] \\
&= \left[\pi^2 i + \frac{\pi}{2} + \sigma_1 \left[\frac{2}{3}\pi^3 i - \frac{\pi}{4} i + \frac{\pi^2}{2} \right] \right] - \pi\sigma_1 \left[\pi^2 i + \frac{\pi}{2} \right] \\
&= \pi^2 i + \frac{\pi}{2} - \sigma_1 i \left[\frac{\pi^3}{3} + \frac{\pi}{4} \right] = A_{21} + iA_{22}, \quad A_{21} = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad A_{22} = \pi^2 - \sigma_1 \left[\frac{\pi^3}{3} + \frac{\pi}{4} \right]. \tag{B.5}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$A_3 = \text{Re } A_2 \approx \pi^2 - \sigma_1 \left[\frac{\pi^3}{3} + \frac{\pi}{4} \right]. \tag{B.6}$$

Finally,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} B_1 = -i \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i\tau'} E(2\pi - \tau') d\tau' = -iA_1. \\ B_2 = \int_0^{2\pi} [e^{-i\tau'} - i\tau' e^{-i\tau'}] E(2\pi - \tau') d\tau' = A_1 - iA_2 \\ B_3 = \text{Re } B_2 = A_{11} + A_{22} \end{array} \right. \tag{B.7}$$

References

- [1] L. Allen, J.H. Eberly, Optical Resonance and Two-Level Atoms, Wiley, New York, 1975.
- [2] V. I. Arnold, Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics, Springer, New York, 1989.
- [3] S.H. Autler, C.H. Townes, Stark effect in rapidly varying fields, *Phys. Rev.* 100 (1955), no. 2, 703–722.
- [4] I. Bejenaru, D. Tataru, Global wellposedness in the energy space for the Maxwell–Schrödinger system, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **288** (2009), 145–198.
- [5] V. Benci, D. Fortunato, An eigenvalue problem for the Schrödinger–Maxwell equations, *Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis* **11** (1998), 283–293.
- [6] M.V. Berry, Regular and irregular semiclassical wavefunctions, *J. Phys. A: Math, Gen.* **10** (1977), no. 12, 2083–2091.

- [7] G. M. Coclite, V. Georgiev, Solitary waves for Maxwell–Schrödinger equations, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations* 94 (2004), 1–31. [arXiv:math/0303142](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0303142) [math.AP]
- [8] Ju.L. Daleckii, M.G. Krein, *Stability of Solutions of Differential Equations in Banach Space*, Amer Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1974. ISBN-10. 0821832387.
- [9] R.H. Dicke, Coherence in Spontaneous Radiation Processes, *Phys. Rev.* **93** (1954), no. 1, 99–110.
- [10] J. Demaison, W. Hüttner, *Dipole Moments and Related Constants of Diamagnetic Molecules*, Springer, Berlin, 1992.
- [11] A. Einstein, Quantentheorie der Strahlung (On the quantum theory of radiation) *Phys. Z.* **18** (1917), 121–128.
- [12] B. Garraway, The Dicke model in quantum optics: Dicke model revisited, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **369** (2011), 1137–1155.
- [13] Y. Guo, K. Nakamitsu, W. Strauss, Global finite-energy solutions of the Maxwell–Schrödinger system, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **170** (1995), no. 1, 181–196.
- [14] H. Haken, *Laser Theory*, Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- [15] K. Hepp, E.H. Lieb, On the superradiant phase transition for molecules in a quantised radiation field: The Dicke Maser model, *Ann. Physics* **76** (1973), 360–404.
- [16] K. Hepp, E.H. Lieb, Phase transitions in reservoir-driven open systems with applications to lasers and superconductors, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **46** (1973), 573–603.
- [17] K. Hepp, E.H. Lieb, Equilibrium statistical mechanics of matter interacting with the quantised radiation field, *Phys. Rev. A* (3) **8** (1973), 2517–2525.
- [18] K. Hepp, E.H. Lieb, The laser: a reversible quantum dynamical system with irreversible classical macroscopic motion. Dynamical systems, theory and applications (Rencontres, Battelle Res. Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1974), pp. 178–207 in: *Lecture Notes in Phys.*, Vol. 38, Springer, Berlin, 1975.
- [19] K. Hepp, E.H. Lieb, Laser models, pp 145–234 in: E. H. Lieb, *Condensed Matter Physics and Exactly Soluble Models*, Springer, Berlin, 2004.
- [20] R. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, Wiley, New York, 1999.
- [21] A. Komech, *Quantum Mechanics: Genesis and Achievements*, Springer, Dordrecht, 2013.
<http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~komech/articles/QM.pdf>
- [22] A.I. Komech, *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics and Attractors*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2022.
- [23] A.I. Komech, On quantum jumps and attractors of the Maxwell–Schrödinger equations, *Annales mathématiques du Québec* **46** (2022), 139–159. arXiv 1907.04297.
<https://www.sciencegate.app/document/10.1007/s40316-021-00179-1>
- [24] A. Komech, E. Kopylova, *Attractors of Hamiltonian Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2021.
- [25] T. Letendre, H. Ueberschär, Random moments for the new eigenfunctions of point scatterers on rectangular flat tori, *Annales Henri Poincaré*, In press, [ff10.1007/s00023-021-01032-5](https://arxiv.org/abs/10.1007/s00023-021-01032-5). [ffhal02308180v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.180v2)
- [26] P. Mandel, *Theoretical Problems in Cavity Nonlinear Optics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [27] H. Nussenzveig, *Introduction to Quantum Optics*, Gordon and Breach, London, 1973.
- [28] K. Petersen, J. P. Solovej, Existence of travelling wave solutions to the Maxwell–Pauli and Maxwell–Schrödinger systems, Archive: [arXiv:1402.3936](https://arxiv.org/abs/1402.3936).

- [29] A. Pikovsky, M. Rosenblum, J. Kurths, Synchronization. A Universal Concept in Nonlinear Sciences, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [30] I.I. Rabi, Space quantization in a gyrating magnetic field, *Phys. Rev.* **51** (1937), 652–654.
- [31] J.J. Sakurai, Advanced Quantum Mechanics, Pearson Education, Incorporated, 2006.
- [32] M. Sargent III, M.O. Scully, W.E. Lamb Jr, Laser Physics, Addison Wesley, Reading, 1978.
- [33] P. Sarnak, Recent progress on the quantum unique Ergodic conjecture, *Bull. AMS* **48**, no. 2, 211–228.
- [34] L.I. Schiff, Quantum Mechanics, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1955.
- [35] M. O. Scully, M. S. Zubairy, Quantum Optics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [36] A. Shimomura, Modified wave operators for Maxwell–Schrödinger equations in three space dimensions, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **4** (2003), 661–683.
- [37] A.I. Shnirelman, Ergodic properties of eigenfunctions, *Usp. Mat. Nauk* **29** (1974), no. 6, 181–182.
- [38] A.I. Shnirelman, On the asymptotic properties of eigenfunctions in the region of chaotic motion, addendum to V.F.Lazutkin, KAM theory and semiclassical approximations to eigenfunctions, Springer 1993.
- [39] W.T. Silfvast, Laser Fundamentals, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.
- [40] O. Svelto, Principles of Lasers, Springer, New York, 2010.
- [41] G.K. Woodgate, Elementary Atomic Structure, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2002.
- [42] V.A. Yakubovich, V.M. Starzhinskii, Linear Differential Equations with Periodic Coefficients, Wiley & Sons, New York, 1975.