

HOFFMAN-WIELANDT TYPE INEQUALITY FOR BLOCK COMPANION MATRICES OF CERTAIN MATRIX POLYNOMIALS

PALLAVI. B, SHRINATH HADIMANI AND SACHINDRANATH JAYARAMAN

ABSTRACT. Matrix polynomials with unitary/doubly stochastic coefficients form the subject matter of this manuscript. We prove that if $P(\lambda)$ is a quadratic matrix polynomial whose coefficients are either unitary matrices or doubly stochastic matrices, then under certain conditions on these coefficients, the corresponding block companion matrix C is diagonalizable. Consequently, if $Q(\lambda)$ is another quadratic matrix polynomial with corresponding block companion matrix D , then a Hoffman-Wielandt type inequality holds for the block companion matrices C and D .

1. INTRODUCTION

We work either over the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers or over the field \mathbb{R} of real numbers. The vector space of $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{C} (resp., \mathbb{R}) is denoted by $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ (resp., $M_n(\mathbb{R})$). The notations $\|\cdot\|_2$ and $\|\cdot\|_F$ will denote respectively the spectral norm and the Frobenius norm of a square matrix. Any other notation used in the manuscript will be introduced as and when needed.

If A and B are two $n \times n$ commuting normal matrices with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ and μ_1, \dots, μ_n , respectively, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i - \mu_i|^2 = \|A - B\|_F^2. \quad (1.1)$$

What happens when the matrices do not commute has given rise to several interesting questions. One of the classical and well known inequality in matrix analysis is the Hoffman-Wielandt inequality which says that if A and B are two $n \times n$ normal matrices with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ and μ_1, \dots, μ_n , respectively

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 15A42, 15A20, 15A22, 47A56.

Key words and phrases. Matrix polynomials with unitary/doubly stochastic coefficients; Eigenvalue bounds for matrix polynomials with doubly stochastic coefficients; Diagonalizability of block companion matrix; Hoffman-Wielandt type inequality.

given in some order, then there exists a permutation π of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i - \mu_{\pi(i)}|^2 \leq \|A - B\|_F^2 \quad (1.2)$$

(see for instance Theorem 6.3.5, [10]). The Hoffman-Wielandt inequality itself is a particular case of a more general problem in matrix analysis called the Wielandt-Mirsky conjecture (see for instance [12]). Possible generalizations of the Hoffman-Wielandt inequality by allowing one or both of the matrices to be non-normal have been studied in the literature. The most general form assumes that one of the matrices is diagonalizable and the other one arbitrary. A brief description of these may be found in [11]. As in [11], we call the general form as the Hoffman-Wielandt type inequality, which is stated below (see Theorem 1.1). Other references on eigenvalue perturbation results are [1, 2, 5, 6] and the references cited therein. Notice that the block companion matrix of a matrix polynomial (see Section 1.1 for the definition) whose coefficients are normal matrices need not be a normal matrix. It turns out that the inequality (1.2) fails to hold in general for block companion matrices of matrix polynomials, whose coefficients are normal matrices. More on this will be elaborated in Section 2. This motivates us to consider the Hoffman-Wielandt type inequality for the block companion matrices of matrix polynomials in this manuscript.

Theorem 1.1. *(Theorem 4, [11]) Let A be a diagonalizable matrix of order n and B be an arbitrary matrix of order n , with eigenvalues $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ and $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n$, respectively. Let X be a nonsingular matrix whose columns are eigenvectors of A . Then, there exists a permutation π of the indices $1, 2, \dots, n$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i - \beta_{\pi(i)}|^2 \leq \|X\|_2^2 \|X^{-1}\|_2^2 \|A - B\|_F^2$.*

The number $\|X\|_2 \|X^{-1}\|_2$ is the spectral condition number of X and is usually denoted by $\kappa(X)$. For a nonsingular matrix $X \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, $\kappa(X) = \frac{\sigma_{\max}}{\sigma_{\min}}$, the ratio of the largest and the smallest singular values of X . In Section 2.3, we estimate $\kappa(\cdot)$ for a particular block Vandermonde matrix X which diagonalizes the block companion matrix of a given matrix polynomial.

1.1. Matrix Polynomials.

We present the necessary definitions and preliminaries on matrix polynomials in this section. An $n \times n$ matrix polynomial of degree m is a mapping P from

\mathbb{C} to $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, defined by $P(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^m A_i \lambda^i$, where $A_i \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $A_m \neq 0$. Matrix polynomials arise in several areas of applied mathematics. A good source of reference on matrix polynomials is the monograph by Gohberg, Lancaster and Rodman [8]. It is well known that any matrix polynomial is equivalent to a diagonal form $\text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_r, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ through unimodular matrix polynomials. This factorization is called the Smith normal form of $P(\lambda)$. The polynomials d_j are called the invariant factors of $P(\lambda)$ and $d_j | d_{j+1}$ for every j . The matrix polynomial $P(\lambda)$ is said to be regular if $\det P(\lambda)$ is nonzero as a polynomial in λ . In this case $r = n$. For a regular matrix polynomial $P(\lambda)$, the polynomial eigenvalue problem (PEP) seeks to find a scalar λ_0 and a nonzero vector v such that $P(\lambda_0)v = 0$. Equivalently, for a given regular matrix polynomial $P(\lambda)$, $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ is an eigenvalue, if $\det P(\lambda_0) = 0$. The nonzero vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ satisfying the equation $P(\lambda_0)v = 0$ is called an eigenvector of $P(\lambda)$ corresponding to an eigenvalue λ_0 . Moreover, $\lambda_0 = 0$ is an eigenvalue of $P(\lambda)$ if and only if A_0 is singular. We say ∞ is an eigenvalue of $P(\lambda)$, when 0 is an eigenvalue of the reverse matrix polynomial $\widehat{P}(\lambda) := \lambda^m P(\frac{1}{\lambda}) = A_0 \lambda^m + A_1 \lambda^{m-1} + \dots + A_{m-1} \lambda + A_m$. Notice that for an $n \times n$ matrix polynomial of degree $m \geq 1$, there are at most mn number of eigenvalues.

Given an $n \times n$ matrix polynomial $P(\lambda)$ of degree m with nonsingular leading coefficient, one can introduce a monic matrix polynomial corresponding to $P(\lambda)$ as follows: For $i = 0, \dots, m - 1$, let $U_i = A_m^{-1} A_i$. Define a monic matrix polynomial $P_U(\lambda) := I \lambda^m + U_{m-1} \lambda^{m-1} + \dots + U_1 \lambda + U_0$ so that $P(\lambda) = A_m P_U(\lambda)$. The matrix polynomials P and P_U have the same eigenvalues. Moreover, the eigenvalues of P_U are the same as that of the eigenvalues of the corresponding

$mn \times mn$ block companion matrix $C := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & I \\ -U_0 & -U_1 & -U_2 & \dots & -U_{m-1} \end{bmatrix}$ (see

[9] for details). A nonzero vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is an eigenvector of $P(\lambda)$ corresponding

to an eigenvalue λ_0 if and only if the vector $\begin{bmatrix} v \\ \lambda_0 v \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_0^{m-1} v \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{mn}$ is an eigenvector of C

corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_0 . Note that any eigenvector of C is of this form.

Assumptions: Throughout this manuscript, we only work with matrix polynomials whose leading coefficient is nonsingular.

An open dense subset of \mathbb{C}^k is usually called a generic set. Generic subsets of \mathbb{C}^k that are described through matrices arise in applications from differential equations. A natural way to study such subspaces is by describing their eigenstructure. It is well known that elements of generic sets of regular matrix polynomials have only simple eigenvalues and therefore the corresponding block companion matrices are always diagonalizable. However, there are regular matrix polynomials whose block companion matrix is not diagonalizable. One such matrix polynomial is $P(\lambda) = I\lambda^2 + U_1\lambda + U_0$ where, $U_0 = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$ and $U_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. More about this matrix polynomial will be discussed in Remarks 2.5. There are also matrix polynomials with very *simple* matrix coefficients, having repeated eigenvalues but still the corresponding block companion matrix is diagonalizable. For instance, consider $P(\lambda) = I\lambda^2 + I\lambda + I$. We are therefore interested in subclasses of regular matrix polynomials whose block companion matrix is always diagonalizable. We prove in this manuscript an affirmative result for quadratic matrix polynomials whose coefficients are commuting unitaries or doubly stochastic matrices. This then lets us derive a Hoffman-Wielandt type inequality for the block companion matrices of such matrix polynomials. It turns out that one cannot go for higher degree matrix polynomials to get an affirmative result.

Given a matrix polynomial $P(\lambda) = I\lambda^m + A_{m-1}\lambda^{m-1} + \cdots + A_1\lambda + A_0$, let us consider the following matrix equation $X^m + A_{m-1}X^{m-1} + \cdots + A_1X + A_0 = 0$ with $X \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$. An $n \times n$ matrix X satisfying this equation is called a right solvent of the equation. We just call a right solvent X a solution here. There are at most $\binom{nm}{n}$ solutions to this equation [7]. Solutions X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m of the above matrix equation are said to be independent if the block Vandermonde matrix, $V := \begin{bmatrix} I & I & \cdots & I \\ X_1 & X_2 & \cdots & X_m \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ X_1^{m-1} & X_2^{m-1} & \cdots & X_m^{m-1} \end{bmatrix}$ is invertible. In such a case, the corresponding block companion matrix C of $P(\lambda)$ is similar to the block diagonal

matrix $\begin{bmatrix} X_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & X_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & X_m \end{bmatrix}$ through the block Vandermonde matrix V . Details

of these may be found in [4]. Thus if each of these solutions happen to be diagonalizable, then the block companion matrix C is also diagonalizable. We shall use this later on in proving that the block companion matrix of a quadratic matrix polynomial with commuting unitary coefficients is diagonalizable.

The manuscript is organized as follows. Sections 1 and 1.1 are introductory and contain a brief introduction to matrix polynomials that are needed for this manuscript. The main results are presented in Section 2, which is further divided into subsections for ease of reading. Each of these subsections is self-explanatory. The Hoffman-Wielandt type inequality is derived for the corresponding block companion matrices of matrix polynomials (with appropriate assumptions) in each of these subsections. In Section 2.3 the spectral condition number of a matrix X , which appears in the Hoffman-Wielandt type inequality is estimated. The manuscript ends with some concluding remarks. Wherever possible, necessary remarks and examples are provided to justify the assumptions made. Most of the examples were computationally verified using Matlab and SageMath.

2. MAIN RESULTS

This section contains the main results of this paper. As mentioned in the introduction, the inequality (1.2) for block companion matrices of matrix polynomials whose coefficients are normal matrices fails to hold in general. For instance, consider $P(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \lambda + \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & -14 \end{bmatrix}$ and $Q(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{5}{4} \end{bmatrix} \lambda + \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 \\ 5 & -\frac{30}{4} \end{bmatrix}$ with the corresponding block companion matrices $C = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -7 \end{bmatrix}$ and $D = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -5 \\ 4 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$ respectively. Note that the eigenvalues of C and D are $\lambda_1 = -4 - 2\sqrt{2}$, $\lambda_2 = -4 + 2\sqrt{2}$ and $\mu_1 = -4 + 4i$, $\mu_2 = -4 - 4i$ respectively. One can verify that for any permutation π on $\{1, 2\}$, $\sum_{i=1}^2 |\lambda_i - \mu_{\pi(i)}|^2 = 48$, whereas $\|C - D\|_F^2 = 27$. Inequality (1.2) also fails in general for quadratic matrix polynomials whose coefficients are either normal, unitary or doubly stochastic

matrices. For the sake of brevity, we present below an example, where the coefficients are unitary. Let $P(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \lambda^2 + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \lambda + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4}{\sqrt{41}} & \frac{5}{\sqrt{41}} \\ \frac{5}{\sqrt{41}} & -\frac{4}{\sqrt{41}} \end{bmatrix}$ and $Q(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \lambda^2 + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \lambda + \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$. If C and D are the corresponding block companion matrices of these matrix polynomials, then $\|C - D\|_F^2 = 4$. However, on computing the eigenvalues of C and D , one observes that for any permutation π on $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $\sum_{i=1}^4 |\mu_{\pi(i)} - \lambda_i|^2 \geq 4.5102 > 4$, thereby proving that the inequality (1.2) fails to hold. It therefore makes it pertinent to consider matrix polynomials whose block companion matrices satisfy the Hoffman-Wielandt type inequality. We set out to do this for quadratic matrix polynomials whose coefficients are commuting unitaries in Section 2.1 and later on in Section 2.2 for matrix polynomials whose coefficients are doubly stochastic matrices, with some additional assumptions. Having said these, one can easily verify that the inequality (1.2) holds for block companion matrices of linear matrix polynomials whose coefficients are unitary or upper triangular.

2.1. Hoffman-Wielandt type inequality for block companion matrices of matrix polynomials with unitary coefficients.

We begin with the following theorem. The proof is skipped.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $P(\lambda) = A_1\lambda + A_0$ be a linear matrix polynomial. Then the corresponding block companion matrix C of $P(\lambda)$ is diagonalizable*

- (1) *when the coefficients are unitary matrices.*
- (2) *when the coefficients are diagonal matrices.*
- (3) *when the coefficients are positive (semi)definite matrices.*

Remark 2.2. It is not hard to construct linear matrix polynomials whose coefficients are either normal or upper (lower) triangular such that the corresponding block companion matrix C is not diagonalizable. If $P(\lambda)$ is a quadratic matrix polynomial whose coefficients are either (a) diagonal matrices (b) normal matrices (c) upper (lower) triangular matrices or (d) positive (semi)definite, then again, the corresponding block companion matrix need not be diagonalizable. The following matrix polynomial serves as an example for all of the above cases:

$$\text{Let } P(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \lambda^2 + \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \lambda + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The above remark also implies that if a matrix polynomial has diagonal coefficients, it does not necessarily mean that the corresponding block companion matrix is diagonalizable. Theorem 2.1 and the remark that follows suggest that matrix polynomials with unitary coefficients might form a good candidate as far as diagonalizability of the block companion matrix is concerned. We discuss this below. We state the following interesting result due to Cameron (see Theorems 3.2 and 3.3, [3]).

Theorem 2.3.

- (1) *If $P(\lambda)$ is a matrix polynomial with unitary coefficients, then the eigenvalues of $P(\lambda)$ lie in the annular region $\frac{1}{2} < |\lambda| < 2$.*
- (2) *Let $\mathcal{U} = \left\{ P(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^m U_i \lambda^i : U_i \text{'s are } n \times n \text{ unitary matrices and } m, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$ and let $S_{\mathcal{U}} = \{|\lambda_0| : \lambda_0 \text{ is an eigenvalue of } P(\lambda) \in \mathcal{U}\}$. Then, $\inf S_{\mathcal{U}} = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\sup S_{\mathcal{U}} = 2$.*

In a later section on matrix polynomials with doubly stochastic coefficients, we will give bounds on eigenvalues similar to that of Theorem 2.3. Let us observe that it suffices to consider monic matrix polynomials while dealing with commuting unitary coefficients. For, if $P(\lambda) = V_2 \lambda^2 + V_1 \lambda + V_0$, where the V_i 's are commuting unitary matrices, then we can consider the corresponding monic matrix polynomial $P_U(\lambda) = I \lambda^2 + U_1 \lambda + U_0$ and observe that the coefficients of P_U are also commuting unitary matrices. With these observations, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $P(\lambda) = I \lambda^2 + U_1 \lambda + U_0$ be an $n \times n$ matrix polynomial where the coefficients U_0 and U_1 are commuting unitary matrices. Then the corresponding block companion matrix C of $P(\lambda)$ is diagonalizable.*

Proof. The matrices U_0 and U_1 being commuting unitary matrices, there exists an $n \times n$ unitary matrix W such that $WU_1W^* = D_1$ and $WU_0W^* = D_0$ where, D_1, D_0 are diagonal matrices whose diagonal entries are the eigenvalues of U_1 and U_0 respectively. Let $D_1 = \text{diag}(a_{11}, a_{22}, \dots, a_{nn})$ and $D_0 = \text{diag}(b_{11}, b_{22}, \dots, b_{nn})$. Let $Q(\lambda) := WP(\lambda)W^* = I \lambda^2 + D_1 \lambda + D_0$. The corresponding block companion matrix of $Q(\lambda)$ is $D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -D_0 & -D_1 \end{bmatrix}$. Note that C and D are similar through the unitary matrix $U := W \oplus W$. It therefore suffices to prove that the matrix D is diagonalizable. Consider $Q(\lambda) = I \lambda^2 + D_1 \lambda + D_0 =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda^2 + a_{11}\lambda + b_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^2 + a_{22}\lambda + b_{22} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda^2 + a_{nn}\lambda + b_{nn} \end{bmatrix}. \quad \text{Let } f_{ii}(\lambda) := \lambda^2 + a_{ii}\lambda + b_{ii}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n. \quad \text{Thus } Q(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} f_{11}(\lambda) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & f_{22}(\lambda) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & f_{nn}(\lambda) \end{bmatrix}. \quad \text{Observe that}$$

for each i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, the polynomial $f_{ii}(\lambda)$ has two distinct roots. For otherwise, $f_{ii}(\lambda) = (\lambda - \lambda_0)^2$ for some λ_0 , so that $\lambda^2 + a_{ii}\lambda + b_{ii} = \lambda^2 - 2\lambda_0\lambda + \lambda_0^2$. Comparing the coefficients we get, $a_{ii} = -2\lambda_0$ and $b_{ii} = \lambda_0^2$. Taking the modulus we have, $|\lambda_0| = \frac{1}{2}$ and $|\lambda_0| = 1$, which is not possible. An alternate argument follows from the first statement of Theorem 2.3. This proves the assertion that $f_{ii}(\lambda)$ has two distinct roots. Let $\lambda_i \neq \mu_i$ be two distinct roots of $f_{ii}(\lambda)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let us now define $X_1 := \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and $X_2 := \text{diag}(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n)$. It is easy to verify that X_1 and X_2 satisfy the quadratic matrix equation $X^2 + D_1X + D_0 = 0$. Moreover, $\det(X_1 - X_2) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i - \mu_i) \neq 0$, as $\lambda_i \neq \mu_i$ for all i . Therefore the block Vandermonde matrix, as defined in Section 1.1, is invertible; in other words, X_1 and X_2 are two independent solutions to the matrix equation $X^2 + D_1X + D_0 = 0$. Once again, it follows from what has been mentioned earlier in Section 1.1, that the block companion matrix $D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -D_0 & -D_1 \end{bmatrix}$ is similar to the block diagonal matrix $\tilde{D} = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & X_2 \end{bmatrix}$ through the block Vandermonde matrix $V = \begin{bmatrix} I & I \\ X_1 & X_2 \end{bmatrix}$. Since both X_1 and X_2 are diagonal, \tilde{D} is diagonal. Hence D is diagonalizable. \square

Few remarks are in order.

Remark 2.5.

- (1) Theorem 2.4 not only proves diagonalizability of the block companion matrix D , but also explicitly gives the invertible block Vandermonde matrix V through which diagonalization happens. Note that the block companion matrix C is then diagonalizable through the matrix $X = UV$, where U is the unitary matrix from the above theorem. In Section 2.3 we prove that $\kappa(X) < 2$.
- (2) Theorem 2.4 does not hold good if the unitary matrices U_0 and U_1 do not commute. For example, when $n = 2$ consider the matrix polynomial

$P(\lambda) = I\lambda^2 + U_1\lambda + U_0$ where, $U_0 = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$ and $U_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

Note that U_1 and U_0 are unitary matrices that do not commute. One can easily check that the corresponding block companion matrix C of $P(\lambda)$ has two distinct eigenvalues 1 and -1 , each of algebraic multiplicity 2. However, a simple computation reveals that the geometric multiplicity of both these eigenvalues is 1. Hence C is not diagonalizable.

For $n = 3$, consider $P(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \lambda^2 + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \lambda + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

with non-commuting coefficients. The corresponding block companion matrix C of $P(\lambda)$ is not diagonalizable in this case too. Note that one can extend this example and see that the block companion matrix C is not diagonalizable for any size $n \geq 4$ as well.

- (3) If the degree of matrix polynomial is greater than 2, the corresponding block companion matrix need not be diagonalizable, even if all coefficients are commuting unitary matrices. For example consider $P(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \lambda^3 + \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \lambda^2 + \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \lambda + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. The corresponding block companion matrix C of $P(\lambda)$ is not diagonalizable. The argument is the same as in the previous remark.

The above remark justifies the need to consider only quadratic matrix polynomials with commuting unitary coefficients. We now deduce the Hoffman-Wielandt type inequality for quadratic matrix polynomials with unitary coefficients.

Theorem 2.6. *Let P and Q be quadratic matrix polynomials of same size, where P satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.4. If C and D are the corresponding block companion matrices, then there exists a permutation π of the indices $1, 2, \dots, 2n$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{2n} |\alpha_i - \beta_{\pi(i)}|^2 \leq \|X\|_2^2 \|X^{-1}\|_2^2 \|C - D\|_F^2$, where $\{\alpha_i\}$ and $\{\beta_i\}$ are the eigenvalues of C and D respectively, and X is a nonsingular matrix whose columns are the eigenvectors of C .*

Proof. The assumptions on P ensure that the matrix C is diagonalizable. The result now follows from Theorem 1.1. \square

The Hoffman-Wielandt type inequality for linear matrix polynomials is stated below. We skip the proof as it is similar to above theorem.

Theorem 2.7. *Let P and Q be linear matrix polynomials of same size, where P satisfies any of the conditions of Theorem 2.1. If C and D are the corresponding block companion matrices, then there exists a permutation π of the indices $1, 2, \dots, n$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i - \beta_{\pi(i)}|^2 \leq \|X\|_2^2 \|X^{-1}\|_2^2 \|C - D\|_F^2$, where $\{\alpha_i\}$ and $\{\beta_i\}$ are the eigenvalues of C and D respectively, and X is a nonsingular matrix whose columns are the eigenvectors of C .*

2.2. Hoffman-Wielandt type inequality for block companion matrices of matrix polynomials with doubly stochastic coefficients.

We now consider matrix polynomials whose coefficients are doubly stochastic matrices. Recall that a nonnegative square matrix is doubly stochastic if all the row and column sums are 1. A classical result of Birkhoff says that any doubly stochastic matrix is a convex combination of permutation matrices (see Section 8.7 of [10]). Since permutation matrices are unitary, it is natural to ask if the Hoffman-Wielandt type inequality holds for matrix polynomials with doubly stochastic coefficients. We explore this question in this section.

We begin this section with eigenvalue bounds for matrix polynomials whose coefficients are doubly stochastic matrices, with some added assumptions. We state this below and skip the proof as the proof technique is essentially the same as in [3] with the observation that the spectral norm of a doubly stochastic matrix is 1 and the inverse of a permutation matrix is again a permutation matrix. We illustrate via examples the validity of these assumptions. We also state a result analogous to the second statement of Theorem 2.3. Once again, we skip the proof to avoid repetitiveness.

Theorem 2.8.

- (1) *Let $P(\lambda) = A_m \lambda^m + A_{m-1} \lambda^{m-1} + \dots + A_1 \lambda + A_0$, where A_m, A_0 are $n \times n$ permutation matrices and A_{m-1}, \dots, A_1 are $n \times n$ doubly stochastic matrices. If λ_0 is an eigenvalue of $P(\lambda)$, then $\frac{1}{2} < |\lambda_0| < 2$.*
- (2) *Let $\mathcal{D} = \left\{ P(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^m A_i \lambda^i : A_i \text{'s are } n \times n \text{ doubly stochastic matrices, } A_m, A_0 \text{ are permutation matrices and } m, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$ and let $S_{\mathcal{D}} = \{|\lambda_0| : \lambda_0 \text{ is an eigenvalue of } P(\lambda) \in \mathcal{D}\}$. Then $\inf S_{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\sup S_{\mathcal{D}} = 2$.*

Few remarks are in order.

Remark 2.9. If the leading coefficient or the constant term (or both) is a doubly stochastic matrix, but not a permutation matrix, then the eigenvalues may not

necessarily lie in the region $\frac{1}{2} < |\lambda| < 2$. The following examples illustrate this. Let $P(\lambda) = I\lambda^2 + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{4} \\ \frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix} \lambda + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}$. One of the eigenvalue is $\frac{3-\sqrt{57}}{12} = -0.3792$, which is less than $\frac{1}{2}$ in absolute value. Similarly if $P(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix} \lambda^2 + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{4} \\ \frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix} \lambda + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. The eigenvalues of $P(\lambda)$ are $\frac{-1 \pm i\sqrt{3}}{2}$ and $\frac{-3 \pm \sqrt{57}}{4}$ and the absolute value of $\frac{-3-\sqrt{57}}{4}$ is $2.637 > 2$.

We are now in a position to derive diagonalizability of the block companion matrix of a matrix polynomial with doubly stochastic coefficients. We begin with some easy observations.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $P(\lambda) = A_1\lambda + A_0$ be a linear matrix polynomial whose coefficients are 2×2 doubly stochastic matrices. Then, the corresponding block companion matrix is diagonalizable.*

Proof. Writing A_0 and A_1 as $A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} b & 1-b \\ 1-b & b \end{bmatrix}$, $A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a & 1-a \\ 1-a & a \end{bmatrix}$ where, $0 \leq a, b \leq 1$, we observe that $C = -\begin{bmatrix} \frac{a+b-1}{2a-1} & \frac{a-b}{2a-1} \\ \frac{a-b}{2a-1} & \frac{a+b-1}{2a-1} \end{bmatrix}$, a real symmetric matrix. Hence C is diagonalizable. □

Remark 2.11. Let $P(\lambda) = A_1\lambda + A_0$ be an $n \times n$ matrix polynomial with $n \geq 3$. If one of A_1 or A_0 is a doubly stochastic matrix which is not a permutation matrix, then C need not be diagonalizable. For example consider $P(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \lambda +$

$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{8} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{8} & \frac{3}{8} \\ \frac{5}{8} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix}$. We can check that C is not diagonalizable.

Let us now prove that the block companion matrix of a quadratic matrix polynomial with 2×2 doubly stochastic coefficients is diagonalizable. We make use of Theorem 2.8 to prove this.

Theorem 2.12. *Let $P(\lambda) = A_2\lambda^2 + A_1\lambda + A_0$ where, A_2, A_0 are 2×2 permutation matrices and A_1 is a 2×2 doubly stochastic matrix. Then the corresponding block companion matrix C of $P(\lambda)$ is diagonalizable.*

Proof. The proof involves three cases.

Case 1: Suppose $A_2 = A_1 = A_0 = I$. Then $P(\lambda)$ can be written as, $P(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^2 + \lambda + 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^2 + \lambda + 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Therefore $\frac{-1+i\sqrt{3}}{2}$ and $\frac{-1-i\sqrt{3}}{2}$ are eigenvalues of $P(\lambda)$ and hence of C , of multiplicity 2 each. We can check that $[1, 0]^t$ and $[0, 1]^t$ are eigenvectors of $P(\lambda)$ corresponding to both the eigenvalues $\frac{-1+i\sqrt{3}}{2}$ and $\frac{-1-i\sqrt{3}}{2}$. Therefore $u_1 = [1, 0, \frac{-1+i\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0]^t$, $u_2 = [0, 1, 0, \frac{-1+i\sqrt{3}}{2}]^t$, $u_3 = [1, 0, \frac{-1-i\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0]^t$ and $u_4 = [0, 1, 0, \frac{-1-i\sqrt{3}}{2}]^t$ are linearly independent eigenvectors of C . This proves diagonalizability of C .

Case 2: If $A_2 = A_1 = A_0 = I'$, where $I' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then the monic matrix polynomial corresponding to $P(\lambda)$ is $P_U(\lambda) = I\lambda^2 + I\lambda + I$. Hence by Case 1, C is diagonalizable.

Case 3: Consider the corresponding monic matrix polynomial, $P_U(\lambda) = I\lambda^2 + B_1\lambda + B_0$ where, $B_1 = A_2^{-1}A_1$ is a doubly stochastic matrix and $B_0 = A_2^{-1}A_0$ is permutation matrix. Let $B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a & 1-a \\ 1-a & a \end{bmatrix}$ and $B_0 = \begin{bmatrix} b & 1-b \\ 1-b & b \end{bmatrix}$ where, $0 \leq a, b \leq 1$. Then $P_U(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^2 + a\lambda + b & (1-a)\lambda + (1-b) \\ (1-a)\lambda + (1-b) & \lambda^2 + a\lambda + b \end{bmatrix}$ and $\det P_U(\lambda) = (\lambda^2 + \lambda + 1)(\lambda^2 + (2a-1)\lambda + (2b-1))$. Note that $\lambda^2 + \lambda + 1 \neq \lambda^2 + (2a-1)\lambda + (2b-1)$. Otherwise $a = b = 1$ which then will imply that $A_2 = A_1 = A_0 = I$ or $A_2 = A_1 = A_0 = I'$. Moreover, since both $\lambda^2 + \lambda + 1$ and $\lambda^2 + (2a-1)\lambda + (2b-1)$ are real polynomials they do not have common roots. Now we claim that $\lambda^2 + (2a-1)\lambda + (2b-1)$ has two distinct roots. Suppose there is only one root, say, λ_0 . Then we have $\lambda^2 + (2a-1)\lambda + (2b-1) = (\lambda - \lambda_0)^2 = \lambda^2 - 2\lambda_0\lambda + \lambda_0^2$. Comparing the coefficients, we get $2a - 1 = -2\lambda_0$. Since $0 \leq a \leq 1$, we have $-1 \leq 2a - 1 \leq 1$. This implies $2|\lambda_0| = |2a - 1| \leq 1$. Therefore $|\lambda_0| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, a contradiction to Theorem 2.8. Thus $\lambda^2 + (2a-1)\lambda + (2b-1)$ has two distinct roots. Since $\lambda^2 + \lambda + 1$ also has two distinct roots, $P(\lambda)$ and hence C has four distinct eigenvalues. Hence C is diagonalizable. \square

The following remarks justify the assumptions made in the above theorem.

Remark 2.13.

- (1) In the above theorem, if the leading coefficient or the constant term (or both) is a doubly stochastic matrix, but not a permutation matrix,

then the corresponding block companion matrix need not be diagonalizable. For example, consider $P(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{11}{24} & \frac{13}{24} \\ \frac{13}{24} & \frac{11}{24} \end{bmatrix} \lambda^2 + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{4} \\ \frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix} \lambda + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{8} & \frac{7}{8} \\ \frac{7}{8} & \frac{1}{8} \end{bmatrix}$.

We can check that the corresponding block companion matrix is not diagonalizable. One can also look at the matrix polynomial $P(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \lambda^2 + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \lambda + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$.

- (2) If the size of a quadratic matrix polynomial $P(\lambda)$ is greater than 2 then the corresponding block companion matrix C need not be diagonalizable even when all the coefficients are permutation matrices (see the Example in Remark 2.5). Note that the coefficients of the matrix polynomial in that example are non-commuting permutation matrices. However when the coefficients of $P(\lambda)$ are commuting permutation matrices the corresponding block companion matrix C is diagonalizable, as already proved in Theorem 2.4.

- (3) Let $P(\lambda) = A_2\lambda^2 + A_1\lambda + A_0$ be an $n \times n$ matrix polynomial with $n \geq 3$. If one of A_2, A_1, A_0 is a doubly stochastic matrix which is not permutation, then the corresponding block companion matrix C need not be diagonalizable even if the coefficients commute. For example consider

$$P(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \lambda^2 + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{5}{12} & \frac{5}{12} & \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix} \lambda + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can check that the corresponding block companion matrix of $P(\lambda)$ is not diagonalizable.

- (4) Let $P(\lambda)$ be a matrix polynomial of degree greater than 2. Then the corresponding block companion matrix need not be diagonalizable even with coefficients of $P(\lambda)$ being commuting permutation matrices. For example consider $P(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \lambda^3 + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \lambda^2 + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \lambda + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. The coefficients are commuting permutation matrices. However, the corresponding block companion matrix is non-diagonalizable.

We end this section by pointing out that the Hoffman-Wielandt type inequality for matrix polynomials with doubly stochastic coefficients can be derived as in the unitary case. For the sake of completeness, we state below only the quadratic polynomials version and skip the proof.

Theorem 2.14. *Let P and Q be quadratic matrix polynomials of same size, where P satisfies conditions of Theorem 2.12. If C and D are the corresponding block companion matrices, then there exists a permutation π of the indices $1, \dots, 4$*

such that $\sum_{i=1}^4 |\alpha_i - \beta_{\pi(i)}|^2 \leq \|X\|_2^2 \|X^{-1}\|_2^2 \|C - D\|_F^2$, where $\{\alpha_i\}$ and $\{\beta_i\}$ are the eigenvalues of C and D respectively, and X is a nonsingular matrix whose columns are the eigenvectors of C .

2.3. Estimation of the spectral condition number.

Computing/estimating the condition number of an invertible matrix can be quite hard. Notice that a diagonalizable matrix can be diagonalized through more than one matrix and the spectral condition number of these matrices need not be the same. We also know from Theorems 2.6 and 2.14 that diagonalization of the block companion matrix of certain matrix polynomials is achieved through a particular block Vandermonde matrix V . This gives us some hope to estimate the spectral condition in the above mentioned theorems. We set out to do this in this section.

2.3.1. Condition number of matrix X that appears in Theorem 2.6.

We first prove that the spectral condition number of the block Vandermonde matrix V obtained in Theorem 2.4 is less than 2.

Theorem 2.15. *Let V be the block Vandermonde matrix obtained in Theorem 2.4. Then $\kappa(V) < 2$.*

Proof. From Theorem 2.4 we have $V = \begin{bmatrix} I & I \\ X_1 & X_2 \end{bmatrix}$ with $X_1 = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and $X_2 = \text{diag}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$, where λ_i and μ_i are the distinct roots of $f_{ii}(\lambda) = \lambda^2 + a_{ii}\lambda + b_{ii}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and a_{ii}, b_{ii} are the eigenvalues of U_1, U_0 respectively. We thus have $|\lambda_i + \mu_i| = 1$ and $|\lambda_i \mu_i| = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. It is then easy to show that $(\lambda_i - \mu_i)^2 = a_{ii}^2 - 4b_{ii}$. Thus, $|\lambda_i - \mu_i|^2 = |a_{ii}^2 - 4b_{ii}| \geq ||a_{ii}^2| - 4|b_{ii}|| = |1 - 4| = 3$. Using the parallelogram identity we have, $2(|\lambda_i|^2 + |\mu_i|^2) = |\lambda_i + \mu_i|^2 + |\lambda_i - \mu_i|^2 \geq 1 + 3 = 4$. This implies that $|\lambda_i|^2 + |\mu_i|^2 \geq 2$.

Since $||\lambda_i| - |\mu_i|| \leq |\lambda_i + \mu_i| = 1$, we have $1 \geq (|\lambda_i| - |\mu_i|)^2 = |\lambda_i|^2 + |\mu_i|^2 - 2|\lambda_i||\mu_i|$. Therefore $|\lambda_i|^2 + |\mu_i|^2 \leq 3$. We thus have proved the following:

$$2 \leq |\lambda_i|^2 + |\mu_i|^2 \leq 3, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.1)$$

We know that $\kappa(V) = \frac{\sigma_{\max}}{\sigma_{\min}}$, where σ_{\min} and σ_{\max} are the smallest and the largest singular values of V respectively. Since the singular values of V are the positive square roots of the eigenvalues of VV^* , we estimate bounds for these eigenvalues.

Define $L := \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ -(X_1 + X_2)(2I - I\lambda)^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix}$. Notice that L is a matrix with $\det = 1$. Let us now compute the matrix $L(VV^* - I\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} 2I - I\lambda & X_1^* + X_2^* \\ 0 & -(X_1 + X_2)(2I - I\lambda)^{-1}(X_1^* + X_2^*) + X_1X_1^* + X_2X_2^* - I\lambda \end{bmatrix}$. Since X_1 and X_2 are diagonal matrices, $\det(VV^* - I\lambda) = \det(L(VV^* - I\lambda)) = \det(2I - I\lambda)(-(X_1 + X_2)(2I - I\lambda)^{-1}(X_1^* + X_2^*) + (X_1X_1^* + X_2X_2^* - I\lambda)) = \det(I\lambda^2 - (2 + X_1X_1^* + X_2X_2^*)\lambda + (X_1X_1^* + X_2X_2^* - X_1X_2^* - X_2X_1^*)) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda^2 - (2 + |\lambda_i|^2 + |\mu_i|^2)\lambda + (|\lambda_i|^2 + |\mu_i|^2 - \lambda_i\bar{\mu}_i - \mu_i\bar{\lambda}_i))$. Thus, in order to compute the eigenvalues of VV^* , it suffices to determine the roots of the polynomials $\lambda^2 - (2 + |\lambda_i|^2 + |\mu_i|^2)\lambda + (|\lambda_i|^2 + |\mu_i|^2 - \lambda_i\bar{\mu}_i - \mu_i\bar{\lambda}_i)$. These are given by $\alpha_i = \frac{(2 + |\lambda_i|^2 + |\mu_i|^2) - \sqrt{(2 - (|\lambda_i|^2 + |\mu_i|^2))^2 + 4}}{2}$ and $\beta_i = \frac{(2 + |\lambda_i|^2 + |\mu_i|^2) + \sqrt{(2 - (|\lambda_i|^2 + |\mu_i|^2))^2 + 4}}{2}$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Note that $\alpha_i \leq \beta_i$ as the term inside the square root symbol is positive. We now claim that $1 \leq \alpha_i \leq \beta_i < 4$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Suppose on contrary, $\alpha_i < 1$ for some $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(2 + |\lambda_i|^2 + |\mu_i|^2) - \sqrt{(2 - (|\lambda_i|^2 + |\mu_i|^2))^2 + 4}}{2} < 1 \\ \implies & (2 + |\lambda_i|^2 + |\mu_i|^2) - \sqrt{(2 - (|\lambda_i|^2 + |\mu_i|^2))^2 + 4} < 2 \\ \implies & (|\lambda_i|^2 + |\mu_i|^2)^2 < (2 - (|\lambda_i|^2 + |\mu_i|^2))^2 + 4 \\ \implies & (|\lambda_i|^2 + |\mu_i|^2)^2 < 4 + (|\lambda_i|^2 + |\mu_i|^2)^2 - 4(|\lambda_i|^2 + |\mu_i|^2) + 4 \\ \implies & |\lambda_i|^2 + |\mu_i|^2 < 2, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction to the inequality (2.1). Therefore we have $1 \leq \alpha_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Similarly, if $4 \leq \beta_i$ for some $i = 1, \dots, n$, then we have $3 < |\lambda_i|^2 + |\mu_i|^2$ which is again a contradiction to the inequality (2.1). Therefore $\beta_i < 4$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. We thus have $1 \leq \alpha_i \leq \beta_i < 4$, which implies that $1 \leq \sigma_{\min}$ and $\sigma_{\max} < 2$. Therefore $\kappa(V) = \frac{\sigma_{\max}}{\sigma_{\min}} < 2$. \square

As mentioned in the Remark 2.5, the matrix which diagonalizes the block companion matrix C is $X = UV$, where U is a unitary matrix. Since the spectral condition number is unitarily invariant, we have $\kappa(X) = \kappa(V) < 2$. Thus in Theorem 2.6 we have $\|X\|_2^2 \|X^{-1}\|_2^2 < 4$.

2.3.2. *Condition number of matrix X obtained in Theorem 2.7.*

In parts (1) and (2) of the Theorem 2.1 the block companion matrices are unitary and diagonal matrices respectively. Hence both are diagonalizable through unitary matrices, whose spectral condition number is 1.

In part (3) of Theorem 2.1, the block companion matrix is $C = -A_1^{-1}A_0 = A_1^{-1/2}\left(-A_1^{-1/2}A_0A_1^{-1/2}\right)A_1^{1/2}$, where $-A_1^{-1/2}A_0A_1^{-1/2}$ is a Hermitian matrix, which is diagonalizable through a unitary matrix, say, U . Hence, $C = A_1^{-1/2}U^{-1}DU A_1^{1/2}$, where D is a diagonal matrix. Thus C is diagonalizable through matrix $X = UA_1^{1/2}$, where $A_1^{1/2}$ is a Hermitian positive definite matrix. Thus $\kappa(X) = \kappa(A_1^{1/2})$. Since $A_1^{1/2}$ is Hermitian positive definite, $\kappa(A_1^{1/2}) = \frac{\lambda_{\max}}{\lambda_{\min}}$, where λ_{\max} and λ_{\min} are respectively the maximum and the minimum eigenvalues of $A_1^{1/2}$. Therefore $\kappa(X) = \frac{\lambda_{\max}}{\lambda_{\min}}$.

2.3.3. Condition number of matrix X obtained in Theorem 2.14.

We again discuss two cases with reference to Theorem 2.12.

- (1) If $A_2 = A_1 = A_0 = I$ or $A_2 = A_1 = A_0 = I'$ where I is the identity matrix and $I' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, the coefficients are unitary matrices and this case reduces to the one discussed above. Thus, $\kappa(X) < 2$.
- (2) In the general case, since the coefficients are of size 2×2 one can easily verify that $v_1 = \left[\frac{-1+i\sqrt{3}}{2} \quad \frac{-1+i\sqrt{3}}{2} \quad 1 \quad 1 \right]^t$, $v_2 = \left[\frac{2i}{\sqrt{3}+i} \quad \frac{2i}{\sqrt{3}+i} \quad 1 \quad 1 \right]^t$, $v_3 = \left[\frac{2}{(2a-1)+\sqrt{4a^2-4a-8b+5}} \quad -\frac{2}{(2a-1)+\sqrt{4a^2-4a-8b+5}} \quad -1 \quad 1 \right]^t$ and $v_4 = \left[\frac{2}{(2a-1)-\sqrt{4a^2-4a-8b+5}} \quad \frac{2}{(1-2a)+\sqrt{4a^2-4a-8b+5}} \quad -1 \quad 1 \right]^t$ are linearly independent eigenvectors of the block companion matrix C . We can thus choose $X = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & v_3 & v_4 \end{bmatrix}$.

3. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have discussed diagonalizability of the block companion matrix of matrix polynomials under certain assumptions on the coefficient matrices. As a consequence, we derive the Hoffman-Wielandt type inequality for the block companion matrices of such matrix polynomials. Besides these, the spectral condition number of the matrix X which appears in these inequalities is also estimated.

Acknowledgements: Pallavi .B and Shrinath Hadimani acknowledge the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) and the University Grants Commission (UGC), Government of India, for financial support through research fellowships.

REFERENCES

1. R. Bhatia, *Perturbation bounds for matrix eigenvalues*, 2nd Edition, SIAM, 2007.
2. R. Bhatia and L. Elsner, *The Hoffman-Wielandt inequality in infinite dimensions*, Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences (Mathematical Sciences) 104(3) (1994), 483-494.
3. T. R. Cameron, *Spectral bounds for matrix polynomials with unitary coefficients*, Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra, 30 (2015), 585-591.
4. A. Connes and A. Schwarz, *Matrix Vieta theorem revisited*, Letters in Mathematical Physics, 39(4) (1997), 349-353.
5. L. Elsner, *A note on the Hoffman-Wielandt theorem*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 182 (1993), 235-237.
6. L. Elsner and S. Friedland, *Singular values, doubly stochastic matrices and applications*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 220 (1995), 161-169.
7. D. Fuchs and A. Schwarz, *Matrix vieta theorem*, Lie groups and Lie Algebras: E.B Dynkin's Seminar, American Mathematical Society Translations, Series 2, 169, 1995.
8. I. Gohberg, P. Lancaster and L. Rodman, *Matrix Polynomials*, 2nd Edition, SIAM, 2009.
9. N. J. Higham and F. Tisseur, *Bounds for eigenvalues of matrix polynomials*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 358 (2003), 5-22.
10. R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, 2nd Edition, Cambridge University Press, 2012.
11. Kh. D. Ikramov and Yu. R. Nesterenko, *Theorems of the Hoffman-Wielandt type for coneigenvalues of complex matrices*, Doklady Mathematics, 80(1) (2009), 536-540.
12. C-T Le, *On Wielandt-Mirsky's conjecture for matrix polynomials*, Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society, 56(5) (2019), 1273-1283.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, MARUTHAMALA P.O., VITHURA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM – 695
551, KERALA, INDIA.

Email address: (pallavipoorna20, srinathsh3320, sachindranathj)@iisertvm.ac.in,
sachindranathj@gmail.com