

# Semileptonic baryonic $B$ decays

Yu-Kuo Hsiao<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*School of Physics and Information Engineering,  
Shanxi Normal University, Taiyuan 030031, China*

(Dated: April 25, 2023)

## Abstract

We study the semileptonic  $B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'L\bar{L}'$  decays with  $\mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'$  ( $L\bar{L}'$ ) representing a baryon (lepton) pair. Using the new determination of the  $B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'$  transition form factors, we obtain  $\mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu) = (5.4 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-6}$  agreeing with the current data. Besides,  $\mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{p}\nu\bar{\nu}) = (3.5 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-8}$  is calculated to be 20 times smaller than the previous prediction. In particular, we predict  $\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow p\bar{\Lambda}e^-\bar{\nu}_e, p\bar{\Lambda}\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu, p\bar{\Lambda}\tau^-\bar{\nu}_\tau) = (2.1 \pm 0.6, 2.1 \pm 0.6, 1.7 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-6}$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\nu\bar{\nu}) = (0.8 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-8}$ , which can be accessible to the LHCb experiment.

arXiv:2208.09917v2 [hep-ph] 23 Apr 2023

---

\* Email address: yukuohsiao@gmail.com

## I. INTRODUCTION

In the non-leptonic baryonic  $B$  decays, the observation of  $B \rightarrow p\bar{p}(\pi, K^{(*)}, D^{(*)})$  and  $B^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{p}(J/\psi, \gamma)$  suggests the unique existence of the  $B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'$  transition [1–3], with which the  $CP$  asymmetries of  $B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}(\pi^-, K^{(*)-})$  [4, 5] and the branching fractions of  $B^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{p}D^{(*)0}$ ,  $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0\bar{\Lambda}D^0$  [6] have been predicted, and verified by the later measurements [7].

The semileptonic  $B$  decays of  $B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell$  and  $B^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{p}\nu_\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$  with  $\ell$  denoting  $e, \mu$  or  $\tau$  can provide another evidence for the  $B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'$  transition [8, 9]. Like the studies of the semileptonic  $B^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell$  decays [10, 11], the full dibaryon invariant mass spectrum can be used to test the possible co-existence of the resonant and non-resonant contributions. Therefore, we have predicted  $\mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}e^-\bar{\nu}_e) = (1.04 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-4}$  [8] and  $\mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{p}\nu\bar{\nu}) = (7.9 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-7}$  [9]. We have also predicted  $\mathcal{R}_{e/\mu} \equiv \mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}e^-\bar{\nu}_e)/\mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu) \simeq 1$  [8]. By contrast, the pole model argument leads to the evaluation of  $\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell) = 10^{-5} - 10^{-6}$  [12].

Experimentally, it has been measured that [13–16]

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{B}_{ex}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}e^-\bar{\nu}_e) &= (5.8 \pm 3.7 \pm 3.6) \times 10^{-4} (< 1.2 \times 10^{-3}) \text{ [Cleo]}, \\
 \mathcal{B}_{ex}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}e^-\bar{\nu}_e) &= (8.2_{-3.2}^{+3.7} \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-6} \text{ [Belle]}, \\
 \mathcal{B}_{ex}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu) &= (3.1_{-2.4}^{+3.1} \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-6} \text{ [Belle]}, \\
 \mathcal{B}_{ex}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu) &= (5.27_{-0.24}^{+0.23} \pm 0.21 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-6} \text{ [LHCb]}, \\
 \mathcal{B}_{ex}(B^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{p}\nu\bar{\nu}) &= (0.4 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5} (< 3.0 \times 10^{-5}) \text{ [Babar]}. \tag{1}
 \end{aligned}$$

The threshold effect commonly observed in  $B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'M$  is also observed in  $B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu$  [15], which is drawn as a peak around the threshold area of  $m_{\mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'} \simeq m_{\mathbf{B}} + m_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'}$  in the  $\mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'$  invariant mass spectrum. There is no sign that the  $B$  to  $\mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'$  transition receives a resonant contribution. Nonetheless, it is clearly seen that  $\mathcal{B}_{ex}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu)$  is 20 times smaller than the prediction [8]. This has been pointed out as the theoretical challenge to alleviate the discrepancy [17]. On the other hand, the ratio  $\mathcal{R}_{e/\mu} \simeq 1$  as a test of the lepton universality is not conclusive, and the prediction of  $\mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{p}\nu\bar{\nu})$  is within the experimental upper bound.

In Ref. [6], the  $B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'$  transition form factors ( $F_{\mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'}$ ) are extracted with the data from  $B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'M$ , which cause the overestimation of  $\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow p\bar{p}\ell\bar{\nu})$ . With the same theoretical inputs,  $\mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{p}\nu\bar{\nu})$  might be overestimated as well [9]. A question is hence raised:




FIG. 1. Feynman diagrams for the  $B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'L\bar{L}'$  decays, where (a) depicts  $B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell$  and  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow p\bar{\Lambda}\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell$ , while (b, c)  $B^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{p}\nu_\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$  and  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\nu_\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$ .

whether there exist the universal  $B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'$  transition form factors to explain the nonleptonic and semileptonic baryonic  $B$  decays.

In this paper, we propose to perform a new global fit, in order to accommodate the current data of  $B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'L\bar{L}'$  with  $L\bar{L}'$  denoting a lepton pair and  $B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'M$ . With  $F_{\mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'}$  determined from the new global fit, we will re-investigate  $B^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{p}\nu\bar{\nu}$ . Since LHCb has been able to accumulate more events for the  $\bar{B}_s^0$  decays, we will study  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow p\bar{\Lambda}\ell^-\bar{\nu}$  and  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\nu\bar{\nu}$  decays for future measurements.

## II. FORMALISM

The semileptonic baryonic  $B$  decays come from the quark-level  $b \rightarrow u\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$  and  $b \rightarrow s\nu_\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$  processes. In Fig. 1a,  $b \rightarrow u\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$  appear as the tree-level  $b \rightarrow uW, W \rightarrow \ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$  decays. Due to the loop contributions from the penguin-level  $b \rightarrow sZ, Z \rightarrow \nu_\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$  and box diagrams in Figs. 1b and 1c, respectively [18],  $b \rightarrow s\nu_\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$  can be rarer than  $b \rightarrow u\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$ . The effective Hamiltonians for the above semileptonic  $b$  decays are given by [18, 19]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(b \rightarrow u\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell) &= \frac{G_F V_{ub}}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{u}\gamma_\mu(1 - \gamma_5)b \bar{\ell}\gamma^\mu(1 - \gamma_5)\nu_\ell, \\ \mathcal{H}(b \rightarrow s\nu_\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell) &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\alpha_{em}}{2\pi\sin^2\theta_W} \lambda_t D(x_t) \bar{s}\gamma_\mu(1 - \gamma_5)b \bar{\nu}_\ell\gamma^\mu(1 - \gamma_5)\nu_\ell, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$




FIG. 2. Feynman diagrams for the three-body baryonic  $B$  decays, where (a, b) and (c) depict  $B \rightarrow p\bar{p}M(V)$  and  $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow p\bar{p}D^{0(*)}$ , respectively.

where  $G_F$  is the Fermi constant,  $V_{ub}$  and  $\lambda_t \equiv V_{ts}^* V_{tb}$  are the Cabibbo–Kobayashi–Maskawa (CKM) matrix elements, and  $D(x_t)$  with  $x_t \equiv m_t^2/m_W^2$  is the top-quark loop function [18–21]. According to  $\mathcal{H}(b \rightarrow u\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell, s\nu_\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell)$ , the amplitudes of  $B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'L\bar{L}'$  with  $L\bar{L}' = (\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell, \nu_\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell)$  can be derived as [8, 9]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell) &= \frac{G_F V_{ub}}{\sqrt{2}} \langle \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}' | \bar{u}\gamma_\mu(1 - \gamma_5)b | B \rangle \bar{\ell}\gamma^\mu(1 - \gamma_5)\nu_\ell, \\ \mathcal{M}(B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'\nu_\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell) &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\alpha_{\text{em}}}{2\pi\sin^2\theta_W} \lambda_t D(x_t) \langle \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}' | \bar{s}\gamma_\mu(1 - \gamma_5)b | B \rangle \bar{\nu}_\ell\gamma^\mu(1 - \gamma_5)\nu_\ell, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

with  $\langle \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}' | (\bar{q}b) | B \rangle$  representing the matrix elements of the  $B$  meson to  $\mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'$  transition. In Figs. 1(a,b,c),  $B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'L\bar{L}'$  occur as  $B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell, \Lambda\bar{p}\nu_\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$  and  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow p\bar{\Lambda}\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell, \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\nu_\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$  for our study.

The amplitudes of the non-leptonic  $B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'M$  decays have two forms [1, 22, 23]:  $\mathcal{M}_C \propto \langle \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}' | (\bar{q}q') | 0 \rangle \times \langle M | (\bar{q}b) | B \rangle$  and  $\mathcal{M}_T \propto \langle M | (\bar{q}q') | 0 \rangle \langle \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}' | (\bar{q}b) | B \rangle$ , where  $\mathcal{M}_C$  denotes the current amplitude with  $\mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'$  produced from the quark current [22–26], and  $\mathcal{M}_T$  the transition amplitude with  $\mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'$  from the  $B$  meson transition [1–3]. Clearly,  $\mathcal{M}_T(B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'M)$  and  $B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'L\bar{L}'$  can be related by  $\langle \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}' | (\bar{q}b) | B \rangle$  [8, 9]. As seen in Fig. 2,  $B \rightarrow p\bar{p}M$  with  $M = (\pi, K)$ ,  $B \rightarrow p\bar{p}V$  with  $V = (\rho, K^*)$ , and  $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow p\bar{p}D^{0(*)}$  involve the transition

amplitudes, given by [1, 27–29]

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}(B \rightarrow p\bar{p}M) &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{\mathcal{M}}_1 + \hat{\mathcal{M}}_6), \\
\hat{\mathcal{M}}_1 &= \alpha_1^{qq'} \langle M|\bar{q}'\gamma_\mu(1 - \gamma_5)u|0\rangle \langle p\bar{p}|\bar{q}\gamma^\mu(1 - \gamma_5)b|B\rangle, \\
\hat{\mathcal{M}}_6 &= \alpha_6^{qq'} \langle M|\bar{q}'(1 + \gamma_5)u|0\rangle \langle p\bar{p}|\bar{q}(1 - \gamma_5)b|B\rangle, \\
\mathcal{M}(B \rightarrow p\bar{p}V) &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}\alpha_1^{qq'} \langle V|\bar{q}'\gamma_\mu(1 - \gamma_5)u|0\rangle \langle p\bar{p}|\bar{q}\gamma^\mu(1 - \gamma_5)b|B\rangle, \\
\mathcal{M}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow p\bar{p}D^{0(*)}) &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}V_{cb}V_{ud}^*a_2 \langle D^{0(*)}|\bar{c}\gamma_\mu(1 - \gamma_5)u|0\rangle \langle p\bar{p}|\bar{d}\gamma^\mu(1 - \gamma_5)b|\bar{B}^0\rangle, \quad (4)
\end{aligned}$$

with  $(q, q') = (u, d)$  for  $B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^-$  and  $B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\rho^-$ ,  $(q, q') = (u, s)$  for  $B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^-$  and  $B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^{*-}$ , and  $(q, q') = (d, s)$  for  $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow p\bar{p}\bar{K}^0$  and  $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow p\bar{p}\bar{K}^{*0}$ . The parameters in Eq. (4) result from the factorization approach [30], written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_1^{uq'} &= V_{ub}V_{uq'}^*a_1 - V_{tb}V_{tq'}^*a_4, \\
\alpha_1^{ds} &= -V_{tb}V_{ts}^*a_4, \\
\alpha_6^{uq'} &= \alpha_6^{dq'} = V_{tb}V_{tq'}^*2a_6, \quad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

with  $a_i = c_i^{eff} + c_{i\pm 1}^{eff}/N_c$  for  $i = \text{odd}$  (even), where  $c_i^{eff}$  are the effective Wilson coefficients, and  $N_c$  the color number [30].

The matrix elements of the  $B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'$  transition in Eqs. (3) and (4) can be presented as [1, 3, 6, 17, 27–29]

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'|V_\mu^b|B\rangle &= i\bar{u}[g_1\gamma_\mu + g_2i\sigma_{\mu\nu}p^\nu + g_3p_\mu + g_4(p_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'} + p_{\mathbf{B}})_\mu + g_5(p_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'} - p_{\mathbf{B}})_\mu]\gamma_5v, \\
\langle \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'|A_\mu^b|B\rangle &= i\bar{u}[f_1\gamma_\mu + f_2i\sigma_{\mu\nu}p^\nu + f_3p_\mu + f_4(p_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'} + p_{\mathbf{B}})_\mu + f_5(p_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'} - p_{\mathbf{B}})_\mu]v, \\
\langle \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'|S^b|B\rangle &= i\bar{u}[\bar{g}_1\not{p} + \bar{g}_2(E_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'} + E_{\mathbf{B}}) + \bar{g}_3(E_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'} - E_{\mathbf{B}})]\gamma_5v, \\
\langle \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'|P^b|B\rangle &= i\bar{u}[\bar{f}_1\not{p} + \bar{f}_2(E_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'} + E_{\mathbf{B}}) + \bar{f}_3(E_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'} - E_{\mathbf{B}})]v, \quad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

with  $V_\mu^b(A_\mu^b) \equiv \bar{q}\gamma_\mu(\gamma_5)b$ ,  $S^b(P^b) \equiv \bar{q}b$ , and  $p_\mu \equiv (p_B - p_{\mathbf{B}} - p_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'})_\mu$ .  $F_{\mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'} \equiv (g_i, f_i, \bar{g}_j, \bar{f}_j)$  with  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 5$  and  $j = 1, 2, 3$  are the  $B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'$  transition form factors.

$F_{\mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'}$  are momentum dependent. In terms of perturbative QCD (pQCD) counting rules [1, 3, 6, 28, 31–33], one parameterizes that

$$\begin{aligned}
f_i &= \frac{D_{f_i}}{t^3}, \quad g_i = \frac{D_{g_i}}{t^3}, \\
\bar{f}_j &= \frac{D_{\bar{f}_j}}{t^3}, \quad \bar{g}_j = \frac{D_{\bar{g}_j}}{t^3}, \quad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

with  $t \equiv (p_{\mathbf{B}} + p_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'})^2$ . For  $F_{\mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'} \propto 1/t^n$ ,  $n = 3$  corresponds to the three gluon propagators, which are drawn in Figs. 1( $a, b, c$ ) and Figs. 2( $a, b, c$ ). Since  $V_\mu^b$  and  $A_\mu^b$  can be combined as the right-handed chiral current  $R_\mu = (V_\mu^b + A_\mu^b)/2$ , and the baryon decomposed of the right and left-handed states, that is,  $|\mathbf{B}_{R+L}\rangle = |\mathbf{B}_R\rangle + |\mathbf{B}_L\rangle$ , it leads to [6, 17]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{B}_{R+L} \bar{\mathbf{B}}'_{R+L} | R_\mu | B \rangle = \\ im_b \bar{u} \gamma_\mu \left[ \frac{1 + \gamma_5}{2} G_R + \frac{1 - \gamma_5}{2} G_L \right] v + i \bar{u} \gamma_\mu \not{p}_b \left[ \frac{1 + \gamma_5}{2} G_R^k + \frac{1 - \gamma_5}{2} G_L^k \right] v, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where  $|B_q\rangle \sim \bar{b} \gamma_5 q |0\rangle$  has been used. As the chiral charge,  $Q \equiv R_{\mu=0}$  annihilates the  $b$  quark, and creates a valence quark in  $\mathbf{B}$ , while the spectator quark in the  $B$  meson is transformed as a valence quark ( $\bar{q}_i$ ) in  $\bar{\mathbf{B}}'$ . We hence obtain  $G_{R,L}^{(k)}$  as the  $B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'$  transition form factors in the chiral representation. When the chirality states of a spinor ( $R, L$ ) are taken as the helicity states ( $\uparrow, \downarrow$ ), one can see  $\bar{q}_i$  with the helicity to be (anti-)parallel  $[\uparrow(\downarrow)]$  to the helicity of  $\bar{\mathbf{B}}'$ , such that the chiral charge acting on  $\bar{q}_i$  can be more explicitly defined as  $Q_{\uparrow(\downarrow)}(i)$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ). We thus derive that

$$\begin{aligned} G_{R(L)} &\propto e_{\parallel}^{R(L)} G_{\parallel} + e_{\perp}^{R(L)} G_{\perp}, \\ G_{R(L)}^k &\propto \bar{e}_{\parallel}^{R(L)} G_{\parallel}^k + \bar{e}_{\perp}^{R(L)} G_{\perp}^k, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where  $e_{\parallel}^{R(L)}$  and  $e_{\perp}^{R(L)}$  sum over the weight factors of  $\mathbf{B}_{R(L)} \bar{\mathbf{B}}'_{R(L)}$ , and  $\bar{e}_{\parallel}^{R(L)}$  and  $\bar{e}_{\perp}^{R(L)}$  those of  $\mathbf{B}_{L(R)} \bar{\mathbf{B}}'_{R(L)}$ . By defining  $G_{\parallel(\perp)}^{(k)} \equiv D_{\parallel(\perp)}^{(k)}/t^3$  ( $k = 2, 3, \dots, 5$ ), we relate the two sorts of the form factors as [1, 3, 28]

$$\begin{aligned} D_{g_1} &= \frac{5}{3} D_{\parallel} - \frac{1}{3} D_{\perp}, \quad D_{f_1} = \frac{5}{3} D_{\parallel} + \frac{1}{3} D_{\perp}, \quad D_{g_k} = \frac{4}{3} D_{\parallel}^k = -D_{f_k}, \\ D_{g_1} &= \frac{1}{3} D_{\parallel} - \frac{2}{3} D_{\perp}, \quad D_{f_1} = \frac{1}{3} D_{\parallel} + \frac{2}{3} D_{\perp}, \quad D_{g_k} = \frac{-1}{3} D_{\parallel}^k = -D_{f_k}, \\ D_{g_1} &= D_{f_1} = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} D_{\parallel}, \quad D_{g_k} = -D_{f_k} = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} D_{\parallel}^k, \\ D_{g_1} &= D_{f_1} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} D_{\parallel}, \quad D_{g_k} = -D_{f_k} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} D_{\parallel}^k, \\ D_{g_1} &= D_{f_1} = D_{\parallel}, \quad D_{g_k} = -D_{f_k} = -D_{\parallel}^k, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

for  $\langle p\bar{p} | (\bar{u}b) | B^- \rangle$ ,  $\langle p\bar{p} | (\bar{d}b) | \bar{B}^0 \rangle$ ,  $\langle \Lambda\bar{p} | (\bar{s}b) | B^- \rangle$ ,  $\langle p\bar{\Lambda} | (\bar{u}b) | \bar{B}_s^0 \rangle$ , and  $\langle \Lambda\bar{\Lambda} | (\bar{s}b) | \bar{B}_s^0 \rangle$ , respectively. Likewise, we perform a derivation for  $\bar{g}_j$  ( $\bar{f}_j$ ) through the (pseudo-)scalar current, which leads to [28, 29]

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\bar{g}_1} &= \frac{5}{3} \bar{D}_{\parallel} - \frac{1}{3} \bar{D}_{\perp}, \quad D_{\bar{f}_1} = \frac{5}{3} \bar{D}_{\parallel} + \frac{1}{3} \bar{D}_{\perp}, \quad D_{\bar{g}_{2,3}} = \frac{4}{3} \bar{D}_{\parallel}^{2,3} = -D_{\bar{f}_{2,3}}, \\ D_{\bar{g}_1} &= \frac{1}{3} \bar{D}_{\parallel} - \frac{2}{3} \bar{D}_{\perp}, \quad D_{\bar{f}_1} = \frac{1}{3} \bar{D}_{\parallel} + \frac{2}{3} \bar{D}_{\perp}, \quad D_{\bar{g}_{2,3}} = \frac{-1}{3} \bar{D}_{\parallel}^{2,3} = -D_{\bar{f}_{2,3}}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$




FIG. 3. The angular variables  $\theta_{\mathbf{B}}$ ,  $\theta_L$  and  $\phi$  depicted for the four-body  $B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'L\bar{L}'$  decays.

for  $\langle p\bar{p} | (\bar{u}b) | B^- \rangle$  and  $\langle p\bar{p} | (\bar{d}b) | \bar{B}^0 \rangle$ , respectively. Note that  $R(L) \sim \uparrow (\downarrow)$  is based on the approximation with the large energy transfer, which is conveniently presented as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . It is also derived that the correction term is of order  $m_q/\sqrt{t}$  [31–33]. In fact,  $\sqrt{t}$  of a few GeV has been large enough to suppress the correction term [33]. Consequently, the relations with the chirality (helicity) symmetry are shown to be able to describe the scattering processes [33]. For the baryonic  $B$  decays,  $\sqrt{t} > 2$  GeV is also sufficient for the holding of the relations in Eqs. (10) and (11).

The four-body  $B(p_B) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(p_{\mathbf{B}})\bar{\mathbf{B}}'(p_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}}')L(p_L)\bar{L}'(p_{\bar{L}'})$  decay involves five kinematic variables in the phase space, that is,  $s \equiv (p_L + p_{\bar{L}'})^2 \equiv m_{L\bar{L}'}^2$ ,  $t$ , and  $(\theta_{\mathbf{B}}, \theta_L, \phi)$  [34–36]. As depicted in Fig. 3, the angle  $\theta_{\mathbf{B}(L)}$  is between  $\vec{p}_{\mathbf{B}}$  ( $\vec{p}_L$ ) in the  $\mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'$  ( $L\bar{L}'$ ) rest frame and the line of flight of the  $\mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'$  ( $L\bar{L}'$ ) system in the  $B$  meson rest frame. The angle  $\phi$  is from the  $\mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'$  plane to the  $L\bar{L}'$  plane defined by the momenta of the  $\mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'$  pair and  $L\bar{L}'$  pair in the  $B$  meson rest frame, respectively. The partial decay width then reads [8, 9]

$$d\Gamma = \frac{|\bar{\mathcal{M}}|^2}{4(4\pi)^6 m_B^3} X \alpha_{\mathbf{B}} \alpha_L ds dt d\cos\theta_{\mathbf{B}} d\cos\theta_L d\phi, \quad (12)$$

where  $X = [(m_B^2 - s - t)^2/4 - st]^{1/2}$ ,  $\alpha_{\mathbf{B}} = \lambda^{1/2}(t, m_{\mathbf{B}}^2, m_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'}^2)/t$ , and  $\alpha_L = \lambda^{1/2}(s, m_L^2, m_{\bar{L}'}^2)/s$ , with  $\lambda(a, b, c) = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 - 2ab - 2bc - 2ca$ . For integration, the allowed ranges of the five variables are  $(m_L + m_{\bar{L}'})^2 \leq s \leq (m_B - \sqrt{t})^2$ ,  $(m_{\mathbf{B}} + m_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'})^2 \leq t \leq (m_B - m_L - m_{\bar{L}'})^2$ ,  $0 \leq \theta_{\mathbf{B},L} \leq \pi$ , and  $0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$ . The partial decay width of  $B(p_B) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(p_{\mathbf{B}})\bar{\mathbf{B}}'(p_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}}')M(p_M)$  involves two variables in the phase space, given by [3, 28]

$$d\Gamma = \frac{\beta_{\mathbf{B}}^{1/2} \beta_t^{1/2}}{(8\pi m_B)^3} |\bar{\mathcal{M}}|^2 dt d\cos\theta, \quad (13)$$

where  $\beta_{\mathbf{B}} = [1 - (m_{\mathbf{B}} + m_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'})^2/t][1 - (m_{\mathbf{B}} - m_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'})^2/t]$ ,  $\beta_t = [(m_B + m_M)^2 - t][(m_B - m_M)^2 - t]$ , and  $\theta$  is the angle between the meson and baryon moving directions in the  $\mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'$  rest frame. The

allowed regions of the variables are  $-1 < \cos \theta < 1$  and  $(m_B + m_{\bar{B}'})^2 < t < (m_B - m_M)^2$ . For the global fit in the next section, we define the  $CP$  asymmetry [4, 37], and angular asymmetries of  $B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'M$  [3, 26, 28] and  $B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'L\bar{L}'$  [8, 9], written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{CP} &\equiv \frac{\Gamma(B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'M) - \Gamma(\bar{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'\bar{M})}{\Gamma(B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'M) + \Gamma(\bar{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'\bar{M})}, \\ \mathcal{A}_{FB, \theta_i} &\equiv \frac{\Gamma(\cos \theta_i > 0) - \Gamma(\cos \theta_i < 0)}{\Gamma(\cos \theta_i > 0) + \Gamma(\cos \theta_i < 0)}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where  $\bar{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'\bar{M}$  represents the anti-particle decay.

### III. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In the numerical analysis, the CKM matrix elements in the Wolfenstein parameterization read [7]

$$\begin{aligned} V_{ub} &= A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta), V_{ud} = 1 - \lambda^2/2, V_{us} = \lambda, \\ V_{cb} &= A\lambda^2, V_{tb} = 1, V_{td} = A\lambda^3, V_{ts} = -A\lambda^2, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

with  $(\lambda, A, \rho, \eta) = (0.225, 0.826, 0.163 \pm 0.010, 0.357 \pm 0.010)$ . From Refs. [18–21], we adopt  $D(x)$  as

$$\begin{aligned} D(x) &= D_0(x) + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} D_1(x), \\ D_0(x) &= \frac{x}{8} \left[ -\frac{2+x}{1-x} + \frac{3x-6}{(1-x)^2} \ln(x) \right], \\ D_1(x) &= -\frac{23x+5x^2-4x^3}{3(1-x)^2} + \frac{x-11x^2+x^3+x^4}{(1-x)^3} \ln(x) + \frac{8x+4x^2+x^3-x^4}{2(1-x)^3} \ln^2(x) \\ &\quad - \frac{4x-x^3}{(1-x)^2} L_2(1-x) + 8x \frac{\partial D_0(x)}{\partial x} \ln(\mu^2/m_W^2), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where  $L_2(1-x) \equiv \int_1^x \ln(t)/(1-t) dt$  and  $\mu = m_b$ . For  $B \rightarrow p\bar{p}M(V)$  and  $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow p\bar{p}D^{0(*)}$ , we present  $c_i^{eff}$  in Table I, where  $b$  and  $\bar{b}$  decays are both considered, together with the decay

TABLE I. The effective Wilson coefficients  $c_i^{eff}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ ) for  $b$  and  $\bar{b}$  decays.

$c_i^{eff}$	$b \rightarrow d (\bar{b} \rightarrow \bar{d})$	$b \rightarrow s (\bar{b} \rightarrow \bar{s})$
$c_1^{eff}$	1.168 (1.168)	1.168 (1.168)
$c_2^{eff}$	-0.365 (-0.365)	-0.365 (-0.365)
$10^4 c_3^{eff}$	238.0 + 12.7i (257.4 + 46.1i)	243.3 + 31.2i (240.9 + 32.3i)
$10^4 c_4^{eff}$	-497.0 - 38.0i (-555.2 - 138.3i)	-512.8 - 93.7i (-505.7 - 96.8i)
$10^4 c_5^{eff}$	145.5 + 12.7i (164.7 + 46.1i)	150.7 + 31.2i (148.4 + 32.3i)
$10^4 c_6^{eff}$	-633.8 - 38.0i (-692.0 - 138.3i)	-649.6 - 93.7i (-642.6 - 96.8i)



constants  $(f_\pi, f_K, f_\rho, f_{K^*}) = (130.2 \pm 1.2, 155.7 \pm 0.3, 210.6 \pm 0.4, 204.7 \pm 6.1)$  MeV [7, 38] and  $(f_D, f_{D^*}) = (208.9 \pm 6.5, 252.2 \pm 22.7)$  MeV [28, 39]. In the generalized edition of the factorization [30, 37],  $N_c$  is taken as the effective color number with  $N_c^{(eff)} = (2, 3, \infty)$ , in order that the non-factorizable QCD corrections can be estimated. Using the minimum  $\chi^2$ -fit of

$$\chi^2 = \sum \left( \frac{\mathcal{O}_{th}^i - \mathcal{O}_{ex}^i}{\sigma_{ex}^i} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{|V_{ub}|_{th} - |V_{ub}|_{ex}}{\sigma_{|V_{ub}|_{ex}}} \right)^2, \quad (17)$$

we test if the observables of non-leptonic and semileptonic baryonic  $B$  decays can both be interpreted, where  $\mathcal{O}_{th}^i$  stand for the theoretical calculations of  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_{CP}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{FB}$ , while  $\mathcal{O}_{ex}^i$  the experimental inputs in Table II, together with  $\sigma_{ex}^i$  the experimental errors. Since the  $V_{ub}$  in Eq. (3) is for the exclusive baryonic  $B_{(s)}$  decays, which can be different from that in the inclusive ones [40–42], we choose  $|V_{ub}|_{ex} = (3.43 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-3}$  determined from the  $\bar{B}_s^0$  and baryonic  $\Lambda_b$  decays [7] as our experimental input in Eq. (17).

With 16 experimental inputs from Table II and  $|V_{ub}|_{ex}$ , we fit  $(D_{||}, D_{\perp}, D_{2,3,4,5})$  and  $(\bar{D}_{||}, \bar{D}_{\perp}, \bar{D}_{2,3})$  in Eqs. (10) and (11), respectively, and  $|V_{ub}|_{th}$ , which amount to 11 parameters, such that the number of degrees of freedom denoted by *d.n.f* is counted as  $d.n.f = 16 - 11 = 5$ . As a result, we obtain  $\chi^2/n.d.f = 1.86$  as a measure of the global fit, and extract that

TABLE II. Experimental data for the  $B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\ell^- \nu_\ell$  and  $B \rightarrow p\bar{p}M_{(c)}$  decays, where the notation  $\dagger$  for  $\mathcal{A}_{FB}$  denotes the contribution from  $m_{p\bar{p}} < 2.85$  GeV, and  $\mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu)$  has combined the Belle and LHCb data in Eq. (1).

Decay modes	Data
$10^6 \mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}e^- \bar{\nu}_e)$	$8.2 \pm 3.8$ [14]
$10^6 \mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu)$	$5.2 \pm 0.4$ [14, 15]
$10^6 \mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^-)$	$1.62 \pm 0.20$ [7]
$10^6 \mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^-)$	$5.9 \pm 0.5$ [7]
$10^6 \mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow p\bar{p}\bar{K}^0)$	$2.66 \pm 0.32$ [7]
$10^2 \mathcal{A}_{CP}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^-)$	$0 \pm 4$ [7]
$10^2 \mathcal{A}_{CP}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^-)$	$0 \pm 4$ [7]
$10^2 \mathcal{A}_{FB}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^-)$	$(-40.9 \pm 3.4)^\dagger$ [43]
$10^2 \mathcal{A}_{FB}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^-)$	$(49.5 \pm 1.4)^\dagger$ [43]
$10^6 \mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\rho^-)$	$4.6 \pm 1.3$ [7]
$10^6 \mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^{*-})$	$3.4 \pm 0.8$ [45]
$10^6 \mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow p\bar{p}\bar{K}^{*0})$	$1.2 \pm 0.3$ [45]
$10^2 \mathcal{A}_{CP}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^{*-})$	$21 \pm 16$ [7]
$10^4 \mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow p\bar{p}D^0)$	$1.04 \pm 0.07$ [7]
$10^4 \mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow p\bar{p}D^{*0})$	$0.99 \pm 0.11$ [7]

$$\begin{aligned}
(D_{\parallel}, D_{\perp}) &= (11.2 \pm 43.5, 332.3 \pm 17.2) \text{ GeV}^5, \\
(D_{\parallel}^2, D_{\parallel}^3, D_{\parallel}^4, D_{\parallel}^5) &= (47.7 \pm 10.1, 442.2 \pm 103.4, -38.7 \pm 9.6, 80.7 \pm 27.2) \text{ GeV}^4, \\
(\bar{D}_{\parallel}, \bar{D}_{\perp}, \bar{D}_{\parallel}^2, \bar{D}_{\parallel}^3) &= (-59.9 \pm 12.9, 23.8 \pm 6.8, 90.9 \pm 11.1, 131.7 \pm 330.7) \text{ GeV}^4, \quad (18)
\end{aligned}$$

with  $N_c^{eff} = 2$  and  $\infty$  for  $B \rightarrow p\bar{p}M(V)$  and  $B \rightarrow p\bar{p}D^{0(*)}$ , respectively. Using the parameters in Eq. (18), we calculate the branching fractions and angular asymmetries of  $B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\ell\bar{\nu}$ ,  $\Lambda\bar{p}\nu\bar{\nu}$  and  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow p\bar{\Lambda}\ell\bar{\nu}$ ,  $\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\nu\bar{\nu}$ , of which the results are compared with the experimental data in Table III. We also draw the  $p\bar{p}$  invariant mass spectrum for  $B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu$  in Fig. 4.

#### IV. DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

Since  $\chi^2/n.d.f = 1.86$  presents a reasonable fit, it indicates that the most recent data in Table II can be explained. It is interesting to note that  $\mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^-, p\bar{p}\rho^-)$  [3, 4] were once overestimated [7, 43, 44], and the relation of  $\mathcal{A}_{FB}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^-) \simeq \mathcal{A}_{FB}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^-)$  [3] was not verified by the measurements [43, 44]. This is due to  $F_{\mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'}$  determined by the  $B \rightarrow p\bar{p}K$  data [3], while  $B \rightarrow p\bar{p}K$  are in fact the penguin dominated decays with  $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_6 \propto \langle p\bar{p} | (S - P)^b | B \rangle$  to give the main contribution. To avoid the inconsistency unable to be solved at that time, one performed the extraction of Ref. [6] that excluded  $\mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^-)$ ,

TABLE III. Our calculations for the semileptonic  $B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'L\bar{L}'$  decays. For  $\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell)$ , the values in the parentheses correspond to  $\ell = (e, \mu, \tau)$ , where the first and second errors come from  $|V_{ub}|$  and the form factors in Eq. (18), respectively. For  $\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'\nu\bar{\nu}) = \sum_\ell \mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'\nu_\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell)$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{FB}(B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'L\bar{L}')$ , the errors take into account the uncertainties of the form factors in Eq. (18).

Decay modes	This work	Data
$10^6 \mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell)$	$(5.3 \pm 1.1 \pm 1.7, 5.4 \pm 1.1 \pm 1.7, 7.6 \pm 1.5 \pm 3.9)$	$(8.2 \pm 3.8$ [14], $5.2 \pm 0.4$ [14, 15], —)
$10^2 \mathcal{A}_{FB, \theta_{\mathbf{B}}}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell)$	$(1.4 \pm 12.6, 1.4 \pm 12.6, 1.4 \pm 12.6)$	—
$10^2 \mathcal{A}_{FB, \theta_{\mathbf{L}}}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell)$	$(-41.7 \pm 21.4, -41.2 \pm 20.4, -2.1 \pm 5.0)$	—
$10^6 \mathcal{B}(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow p\bar{\Lambda}\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell)$	$(2.1 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.5, 2.1 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.5, 1.7 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.9)$	—
$10^2 \mathcal{A}_{FB, \theta_{\mathbf{B}}}(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow p\bar{\Lambda}\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell)$	$(25.7 \pm 11.4, 25.0 \pm 11.3, -3.5 \pm 2.7)$	—
$10^2 \mathcal{A}_{FB, \theta_{\mathbf{L}}}(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow p\bar{\Lambda}\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell)$	$(-44.1 \pm 10.8, -43.7 \pm 10.3, 0.4 \pm 5.5)$	—
$\mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{p}\nu\bar{\nu})$	$(3.5 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-8}$	$(0.4 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-5}$ ( $< 3 \times 10^{-5}$ ) [16]
$10^2 \mathcal{A}_{FB, \theta_{\mathbf{B}}}(B^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{p}\nu\bar{\nu})$	$22.8 \pm 11.2$	—
$10^2 \mathcal{A}_{FB, \theta_{\mathbf{L}}}(B^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{p}\nu\bar{\nu})$	$-40.9 \pm 8.3$	—
$\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\nu\bar{\nu})$	$(0.8 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-8}$	—
$10^2 \mathcal{A}_{FB, \theta_{\mathbf{B}}}(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\nu\bar{\nu})$	$24.4 \pm 11.8$	—
$10^2 \mathcal{A}_{FB, \theta_{\mathbf{L}}}(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\nu\bar{\nu})$	$-40.1 \pm 8.0$	—




FIG. 4. The  $p\bar{p}$  invariant mass spectrum of  $B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu$ , where the data points are from LHCb [15].

$\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow p\bar{p}\bar{K}^0)$ , and  $\mathcal{A}_{FB}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^-)$ , in order that the more associated tree dominated decays of  $B \rightarrow p\bar{p}(\pi, \rho)$ ,  $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow p\bar{p}D^{0(*)}$ , and  $B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}L\bar{L}'$  can be studied. However, it resulted in an unsatisfactory global fit not to accommodate the all data.

As  $F_{\mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'}$  determined in this work can be universal for the non-leptonic and semileptonic decay channels, we calculate  $\mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}e^-\bar{\nu}_e) = (5.3 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-6}$  and  $\mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu) = (5.4 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-6}$  agreeing with the experimental values. Moreover, we revisit  $B^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{p}\nu\bar{\nu}$ , and obtain  $\mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{p}\nu\bar{\nu}) = (3.5 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-8}$  20 times smaller than the number of Ref. [9].

Like the theoretical illustration in  $B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'$  and  $B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'M$  [29, 46], the gluon propagators of Figs. 1(a,b,c) play the key role in the  $\mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'$  formation of  $B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'L\bar{L}'$ , where two of them provide the valence quarks in  $\mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'$ , while the another one speeds up the spectator quark in  $B$ . Accordingly, the approach of pQCD counting rules derives that  $F_{\mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'} \propto 1/t^3$ .

One can test the momentum dependence of  $B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu$ , which is by scanning the partial branching fraction as a function of  $\sqrt{t} = m_{p\bar{p}}$ . In Fig. 4, as we draw the line to agree with the five data points [15]; particularly, those around the area of  $\sqrt{t} \sim m_{\mathbf{B}} + m_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'}$  for the threshold effect, it is shown that  $F_{\mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'}$  as a function of  $1/t$  can describe  $B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'L\bar{L}'$ .

By normalizing the prediction of the pQCD model [8], LHCb draws the  $m_{p\bar{p}}$  spectrum of  $B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}\mu\bar{\nu}$  in Fig. 4 of Ref. [15], where the line is higher and narrower than our result. The difference is caused by the fact that the line of of Ref. [15] is chosen to more agree with the two data points around  $m_{p\bar{p}} \sim 2.5$  GeV. Subsequently, the peak should reach  $17 \times 10^{-6}$  to be above the data point around  $m_{p\bar{p}} \sim 2$  GeV for integrating over the partial branching fraction as large as  $\mathcal{B} \simeq 5 \times 10^{-6}$ . In comparison, our result prefers to agree with the threshold data points; however, requiring some broadening to give a sufficient branching fraction.

The decay channel  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} K^+ (\bar{\Lambda} p K^-)$  is the first observation of a baryonic  $\bar{B}_s^0$  decay [47], whose branching fraction  $\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} K^+ + \bar{\Lambda} p K^-) = 5.46 \times 10^{-6}$  is as large as those of the three-body baryonic  $B^-$  ( $\bar{B}^0$ ) decays. Hence, the semileptonic baryonic  $\bar{B}_s^0$  decay is supposed to be compatible with  $B^- \rightarrow p \bar{p} \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell$ . In our prediction, we present

$$\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow p \bar{\Lambda} e^- \bar{\nu}_e, p \bar{\Lambda} \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu) = (2.1 \pm 0.6, 2.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-6}, \quad (19)$$

which are accessible to the LHCb experiment, whereas  $\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \nu \bar{\nu}) = (0.8 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-8}$  is relatively small.

Because of  $m_\tau \gg m_{e,\mu}$  that strongly shrinks the phase space, it is anticipated that  $\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \mathbf{B} \bar{\mathbf{B}}' \tau \bar{\nu}) \ll \mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \mathbf{B} \bar{\mathbf{B}}' e \bar{\nu}, \mathbf{B} \bar{\mathbf{B}}' \mu \bar{\nu})$ . Nonetheless, the amplitude of Eq. (3) and the matrix elements of Eq. (6) result in

$$i \bar{u} (g_3 \gamma_5 - f_3) v m_\ell \bar{u}_\ell \gamma_\mu (1 + \gamma_5) v_{\bar{\nu}} \quad (20)$$

in  $\mathcal{M}(B \rightarrow \mathbf{B} \bar{\mathbf{B}}' \ell \bar{\nu})$ , where  $m_\tau$  is able to enhance the decay. We thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow p \bar{p} \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau) &= (7.6 \pm 4.2) \times 10^{-6}, \\ \mathcal{B}(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow p \bar{\Lambda} \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau) &= (1.7 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-6}, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

as large as their counterparts. Likewise, the mass effect can be found in  $\mathcal{M}(B \rightarrow \tau \bar{\nu}) \propto m_\tau \bar{u}_\tau (1 + \gamma_5) v_{\bar{\nu}}$  [48, 49] and  $\mathcal{M}(B \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_c \bar{\mathbf{B}}') \propto m_c \langle \mathbf{B}_c \bar{\mathbf{B}}' | \bar{c} (1 + \gamma_5) q | 0 \rangle$  [50], where  $m_\tau$  and  $m_c$  alleviate the decays from helicity suppression.

We study the angular asymmetries of the semileptonic  $B \rightarrow \mathbf{B} \bar{\mathbf{B}}' L \bar{L}'$  decays. While  $\mathcal{A}_{FB, \theta_{\mathbf{B}}}(B^- \rightarrow p \bar{p} \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell)$  are around several percents,  $\mathcal{A}_{FB, \theta_{\mathbf{B}}}(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow p \bar{\Lambda} e^- \bar{\nu}_e, p \bar{\Lambda} \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu)$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{FB, \theta_{\mathbf{B}}}(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \nu \bar{\nu})$  can be around 25%. Like the three-body baryonic  $B$  decays [3, 26, 28], this implies a theoretical sensitivity for  $F_{\mathbf{B} \bar{\mathbf{B}}'}$  to be confirmed by future measurements.

In summary, we have investigated the semileptonic  $B^- (\bar{B}_s^0) \rightarrow \mathbf{B} \bar{\mathbf{B}}' L \bar{L}'$  decays with  $L \bar{L}' = (\ell \bar{\nu}_\ell, \nu \bar{\nu})$ . We have newly extracted the  $B \rightarrow \mathbf{B} \bar{\mathbf{B}}'$  transition form factors with the global fit that includes the data of  $B \rightarrow p \bar{p} M(V)$ ,  $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow p \bar{p} D^{0(*)}$  and  $B \rightarrow p \bar{p} e^- \bar{\nu}_e, p \bar{p} \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu$  decays. In our demonstration,  $\mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow p \bar{p} e^- \bar{\nu}_e, p \bar{p} \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu)$  once overestimated to be as large as  $10^{-4}$  has been reduced to be around  $5 \times 10^{-6}$ , in agreement with the current data. We have also presented  $\mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \nu \bar{\nu}) = (3.5 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-8}$ . It has been found that  $\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow p \bar{\Lambda} e^- \bar{\nu}_e, p \bar{\Lambda} \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu, p \bar{\Lambda} \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau) = (2.1 \pm 0.6, 2.1 \pm 0.6, 1.7 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-6}$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \nu \bar{\nu}) = (0.8 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-8}$  can be promising for future measurements.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank Prof. C. K. Chua for useful discussions. This work was supported by NSFC (Grants No. 11675030 and No. 12175128).

---

- [1] C. K. Chua, W. S. Hou and S. Y. Tsai, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 054004 (2002).
- [2] C. Q. Geng and Y. K. Hsiao, Phys. Lett. B **610**, 67 (2005).
- [3] C. Q. Geng and Y. K. Hsiao, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 094023 (2006).
- [4] C. Q. Geng, Y. K. Hsiao and J. N. Ng, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 011801 (2007).
- [5] C. Q. Geng, Y. K. Hsiao and J. N. Ng, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 094013 (2007).
- [6] C. H. Chen, H. Y. Cheng, C. Q. Geng and Y. K. Hsiao, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 054016 (2008).
- [7] R. L. Workman *et al.* [Particle Data Group], PTEP **2022**, 083C01 (2022).
- [8] C. Q. Geng and Y. K. Hsiao, Phys. Lett. B **704**, 495 (2011).
- [9] C. Q. Geng and Y. K. Hsiao, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 094019 (2012).
- [10] C. Beleño *et al.* [Belle], Phys. Rev. D **103**, 112001 (2021).
- [11] S. Y. Tsai and Y. K. Hsiao, arXiv:2107.03634 [hep-ph].
- [12] W. S. Hou and A. Soni, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 4247 (2001).
- [13] N. E. Adam *et al.* [CLEO], Phys. Rev. D **68**, 012004 (2003).
- [14] K. J. Tien *et al.* [Belle], Phys. Rev. D **89**, 011101 (2014).
- [15] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], JHEP **03**, 146 (2020).
- [16] J. P. Lees *et al.* [BaBar], Phys. Rev. D **100**, 111101 (2019).
- [17] X. Huang, Y. K. Hsiao, J. Wang and L. Sun, Adv. High Energy Phys. **2022**, 4343824 (2022).
- [18] W. S. Hou, R. S. Willey and A. Soni, Phys. Rev. Lett. **58**, 1608 (1987) [Erratum: **60**, 2337 (1988)].
- [19] T. Inami and C. S. Lim, Prog. Theor. Phys. **65**, 297 (1981) [Erratum: **65**, 1772 (1981)].
- [20] G. Belanger and C. Q. Geng, Phys. Rev. D **43**, 140 (1991).
- [21] G. Buchalla and A. J. Buras, Nucl. Phys. B **400**, 225 (1993).
- [22] C. K. Chua, W. S. Hou and S. Y. Tsai, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 034003 (2002).
- [23] C. K. Chua, W. S. Hou and S. Y. Tsai, Phys. Lett. B **528**, 233 (2002).
- [24] C. K. Chua and W. S. Hou, Eur. Phys. J. C **29**, 27 (2003).

- [25] C. Q. Geng and Y. K. Hsiao, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 094005 (2007).
- [26] X. Huang, Y. K. Hsiao, J. Wang and L. Sun, Phys. Rev. D **105**, 076016 (2022).
- [27] C. Q. Geng, Y. K. Hsiao and E. Rodrigues, Phys. Lett. B **767**, 205 (2017).
- [28] Y. K. Hsiao and C. Q. Geng, Phys. Rev. D **93**, 034036 (2016).
- [29] Y. K. Hsiao, C. Q. Geng, Y. Yu and H. J. Zhao, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, 433 (2019).
- [30] A. Ali, G. Kramer and C. D. Lu, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 094009 (1998).
- [31] G. P. Lepage and S. J. Brodsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **43**, 545(1979) [Erratum: **43**, 1625 (1979)].
- [32] G. P. Lepage and S. J. Brodsky, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 2157 (1980).
- [33] S. J. Brodsky, G. P. Lepage and S. A. A. Zaidi, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 1152 (1981).
- [34] A. Pais and S. B. Treiman, Phys. Rev. **168**, 1858 (1968).
- [35] C. L. Y. Lee, M. Lu and M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 5040 (1992).
- [36] Y. K. Hsiao and C. Q. Geng, Phys. Lett. B **770**, 348 (2017).
- [37] Y. K. Hsiao, S. Y. Tsai and E. Rodrigues, Eur. Phys. J. C **80**, 565 (2020).
- [38] Y. K. Hsiao and C. Q. Geng, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 116007 (2015).
- [39] W. Lucha, D. Melikhov and S. Simula, Phys. Lett. B **735**, 12 (2014).
- [40] Y. K. Hsiao and C. Q. Geng, Phys. Lett. B **755**, 418 (2016).
- [41] Y. K. Hsiao and C. Q. Geng, Eur. Phys. J. C **77**, 714 (2017).
- [42] Y. K. Hsiao and C. Q. Geng, Phys. Lett. B **782**, 728 (2018).
- [43] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 141801 (2014).
- [44] J. T. Wei *et al.* [Belle], Phys. Lett. B **659**, 80 (2008).
- [45] J. H. Chen *et al.* [Belle], Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 251801 (2008).
- [46] M. Suzuki, J. Phys. G **34**, 283 (2007).
- [47] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 041802 (2017).
- [48] A. J. Bevan *et al.* [BaBar and Belle Collaborations], Eur. Phys. J. C **74**, 3026 (2014).
- [49] W. S. Hou, M. Kohda, T. Modak and G. G. Wong, Phys. Lett. B **800**, 135105 (2020).
- [50] Y. K. Hsiao, S. Y. Tsai, C. C. Lih and E. Rodrigues, JHEP **04**, 035 (2020).