

Density probabilities and quantum critical phenomena of a Bose-Fermi Mixture in 1D Double well potential

R. Avella^{1*} and J. Nisperuza¹, JP Rubio¹ and D. Grajales²

¹*Fundación Universitaria los Libertadores
Faculty of Engineering and Basic Sciences
Department of Aeronautical Engineering
A. A. 75087 Bogotá, Colombia.*

and

²*Universidad EAN
Faculty of Engineering
A. A. 110221 Bogotá, Colombia.*

(Dated: August 23, 2022)

The time evolution of probability density, the ground-state fidelity and the entanglement of a Bose-Fermi mixture in a 1D double well potential, are studied through the two mode approximation. We found that the behaviour of the quantum return probability shows three distinct regions. The first region is characterized by a complete miscibility, and correlated tunneling of bosons and fermion. The second region is characterized by correlated sequential tunneling and in the last region we found an increase in the tunneling frequency of the two species.

We found through the Von Neumann entropy, that the boson-fermion coupling allows a maximum entanglement of quantum correlations of bosons and fermions in the same value. Finally we calculated the fidelity in the $\lambda_{FF} - \lambda_{BF}$ and $\lambda_{BB} - \lambda_{BF}$ planes and we found that the drop of the two fidelities becomes deeper and deeper as the boson-fermion interaction decreases.

I. INTRODUCTION

The perfect control over the trapping geometries in ultracold gases experiments allow to confine different numbers of atoms in different lattice sites through magnetic Feshbach resonance or confinement induced resonance [1–3], both the effective intra and inter component interactions, can be tuned with great precision. The perfectly controllable physical parameters[4], provides without precedents platforms in experimental study quantum many-body physics, for instance, interesting quasi-one-dimension experiments have been realized in harmonic [5, 6], double-well[7, 8], periodic[9], and bichromatic[10] optical-lattice traps.

Dimers and single double-well system has been realized in one, two and three dimensions [11–13] and allow to study the notion of state as a linear superposition of ‘classical’ states[14], where the system can reside in a superposition of two or more degenerate states[15–17]. In these systems the tunneling between the local double-well potentials is negligible compared to tunneling inside the double well potential and each site have a well-defined and almost identical quantum state [11, 18, 19]; this phenomenon has applications in solid-state devices, solar cells and microscopes [20].

In quantum information processing, these systems have been used as a way to make quantum logic gates [21], as well as, the bosonic Josephson junction [7, 22, 23], squeez-

ing and entanglement of matter waves [24, 25], matter wave interference [26, 27], exact many-body quantum dynamic in one dimension and Josephson effect [7, 28–33].

The influence of fermions onto bosons has been investigated in different mixture, for example in $^4\text{He} - ^3\text{He}$ [34, 35], $^{87}\text{Rb} - ^{40}\text{K}$ [36, 37], $^{41}\text{K} - ^6\text{Li}$ [38], $^{87}\text{Rb} - ^{40}\text{K}$ [39], $^{170}\text{Yb} - ^{173}\text{Yb}$, $^{174}\text{Yb} - ^{173}\text{Yb}$ [40]. Other Bose-Fermi mixtures (BFM) that have been experimentally reported are $^7\text{Li} - ^6\text{Li}$ [41], $^{39}\text{K} - ^{40}\text{K}$ and $^{41}\text{K} - ^{40}\text{K}$ [42].

The Bose-Fermi mixture (BFM) have been studied numerically [43–46], semi-analytically [47, 48], or in the Thomas-Fermi approximation[49]. The Bose-Fermi interaction induces the pairing of fermions [50–52], boson phase transition from the Mott insulator to super fluid [53–55] and the spontaneous symmetry breaking of a superfluid [56]. Other studies indicate an asymmetry between the attraction and repulsion cases [57, 58], as well as phase separation, spatial modulation [59], supersolid phase and charge density wave [60].

The critical phenomena in quantum many-body systems is studied by means of quantum information theory concepts, for example the entanglement and quantum fidelity. The first has been related to quantum phase transitions [61, 62], the latter as a measure of similarity between ground states [63–65].

In this paper we study the time evolution of probability density, the ground-state fidelity and the entanglement of a Bose-Fermi mixture in a 1D double well potential considering spinless bosons in the soft-core limit and spin one half fermions. We consider the boson-boson, fermion-fermion and boson-fermion interaction to be of the repulsive type at zero temperature and considering that both

* rgavellas@unal.edu.co

species have the same mass. This system will be carried out by means of the two-mode model in a double well potential, using the lowest symmetric and antisymmetric wave functions. This model produces the best agreement with experimental results and numerical solutions of the time-dependent Gross-Pitaevskii equation in 1D and 3D [66–68].

The paper is organized as follows. The model used to describe a mixture of bosonic and fermionic atoms is introduced in Sec.II. In section III, we vary the inter and intra species interactions to study the temporal evolution of probability densities and we make a brief introduction to quantum critical phenomena that occur in the system under study in Sec. IV. Finally in Sec. V we make remarks.

II. PHYSICAL MODEL

A. Double well potential

Due to the experimental possibility of confining quantum gases in an array of many copies of the double well system, we considered the study in one of these potentials, using the experimental setup of [69], where the radial separation of the potential wells is $d = 13\mu m$, a trap depth of $h \times 4.7KHz$ and width of each well of $a = 6\mu m$. This potential confines isotopes of ^{170}Yb (bosons) and one half spin isotopes of ^{171}Yb (fermions), allowing a density of fermions per site between $0 \leq \rho_F \leq 2$ and a maximum number of scalar bosons of $n_{max}^B = 2$. This value is due to the fact that in several reports has been found that the qualitative physical properties obtained for $n_{max}^B = 2$ do not change when n_{max}^B is increased [70, 71].

The two-particle Hamiltonian for the system in one dimension is

$$\hat{H}_{BF} = \hat{H}_B^{\lambda_B}(x_1, x_2) + \hat{H}_F^{\lambda_F}(x_1, x_2) + \lambda_{BF}\delta(x_1 - x_2), \quad (1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_B^{\lambda_B}(x_1, x_2) = & \\ & - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_B} \left(\frac{d^2}{dx_1^2} + \frac{d^2}{dx_2^2} \right) + V(x_1) + V(x_2) + \lambda_B\delta(x_1 - x_2), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_F^{\lambda_F}(x_1, x_2) = & \\ & - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_F} \left(\frac{d^2}{dx_1^2} + \frac{d^2}{dx_2^2} \right) + V(x_1) + V(x_2) + \lambda_F\delta(x_1 - x_2). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The mass of ^{170}Yb (^{171}Yb) is represented by means of $m_{B(F)}$, $V(x)$ is the external confinement potential that is the same for both species. The repulsive contact potential between bosons (fermions) is represented by delta-function potential $\lambda_{B(F)}\delta(x_1 - x_2)$, where $\lambda_{B(F)} > 0$ and

$\lambda_{BF}\delta(x_1 - x_2)$ is the repulsive interaction between two ultracold neutral atoms of different statistic.

We consider that the perturbation is sufficiently small, therefore is considered that the amplitudes of other states do not mix [72], so we use the projection of the wave function onto two states that is used in the study of BEC in a double-well potential and in the investigation of Fermi super fluid [73]. The different contributions of these terms can be obtained in a general way from

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \langle \Psi_{i,j} | x_1, x_2 \rangle \delta(x_1 - x_2) \langle x_1, x_2 | \Psi_{k,l} \rangle = \\ & \lambda \iint \Psi_{i,j}^*(x_1, x_2) \Psi_{k,l}(x_1, x_2) \delta(x_1 - x_2) dx_1 dx_2, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $\Psi_{i,j}(x_1, x_2)$ is the two particle wave function and $\Psi_{i,j}^*(x_1, x_2)$ is its complex conjugate.

Since the function $V(x)$ is even, a basis of eigenvectors of $\hat{H}_{B(F)}$ can be found which are even or odd. The wave functions of these vectors are symmetrical (s) and antisymmetrical (a) linear combinations

$$\psi_{s(a)}^n(x) = \frac{\psi_1^n(x) \pm \psi_2^n(x)}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad (5)$$

Where $\psi_{1,(2)}^n(x)$ is a one particle states that is twofold degenerate and superscript n indicate the nth energy value. In the states $\psi_s^n(x)$ and $\psi_a^n(x)$, the particle can be found in the right $|\psi_R(x)\rangle$ or in the left $|\psi_L(x)\rangle$ of the double potential well respectively.

Finally we adopt our units of length, $l = 1\mu$, energy $E_a = E/\xi$ with $\xi = 10^{-31}$ and time $\tau = \hbar/\xi$ [74]. Henceforth, we will measure lengths, energies and time in these units.

B. Bose and Fermi mixture in a double well

The previous sections have prepared all the tools we need to understand the behavior of our particles in a double well. The requirements of overall exchange antisymmetry for fermions and symmetry for bosons, introduce a connection between the spin that has influence on the occupancy of the energy levels and spatial wave functions, due to the particles are identical. In this way the subset of all the possible two-particle wave functions that has overall antisymmetry with respect to the exchange of particle labels as required for identical fermions are linear combinations of the terms

$$\Psi_s^{space} \Psi_a^{spin} \text{ and } \Psi_a^{space} \Psi_s^{spin}. \quad (6)$$

There is a singlet for Ψ_a^{spin} and a triplet for Ψ_s^{spin} making a total of four functions.

Singlet

$$\frac{|\psi_L(x_1)\psi_R(x_2)\rangle - |\psi_R(x_1)\psi_L(x_2)\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \quad \frac{|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (7)$$

Triplet

$$\begin{cases} |\psi_L(x_1)\psi_L(x_2)\rangle & |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \\ \frac{|\psi_L(x_1)\psi_R(x_2)\rangle + |\psi_R(x_1)\psi_L(x_2)\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\ |\psi_R(x_1)\psi_R(x_2)\rangle & |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

The wave function is symmetric with respect to exchange of the particle labels for two bosons, so that the wave functions are linear combinations of the terms,

$$\Psi_s^{space}\Psi_s^{spin} \text{ and } \Psi_a^{space}\Psi_a^{spin}. \quad (9)$$

For the case under study, bosons with spin 0 are considered, so that there is a total of three functions.

$$\begin{cases} |\psi_L(x_1)\psi_L(x_2)\rangle \\ \frac{|\psi_L(x_1)\psi_R(x_2)\rangle + |\psi_R(x_1)\psi_L(x_2)\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\ |\psi_R(x_1)\psi_R(x_2)\rangle \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

III. BOSE-FERMI PROBABILITIES

The wave function of the two particles at time t is obtained by assuming that at $t = 0$, the two particles are in right ($\Psi_{RR}(x_1, x_2, t)$) side of double well potential (symmetric state of equation 5)

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{RR}(x_1, x_2, t) = & \\ & \frac{e^{-i\frac{E_s^1 + E_a^1}{\hbar}t}}{2} \left[e^{i\Omega_1 t} \psi_s^1(x_1)\psi_s^1(x_2) + \psi_s^1(x_1)\psi_a^1(x_2) \right. \\ & \left. + \psi_a^1(x_1)\psi_s^1(x_2) + e^{i\Omega_1 t} \psi_a^1(x_1)\psi_a^1(x_2) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$


where $\Omega_1 = \frac{E_a^1 - E_s^1}{\hbar}$ is the Bohr frequency.

From the two particles wave function 11 we get the probability density for the system $|\Psi_{RR}(x_1, x_2, t)|^2$.


The initial state of our system, is configured with two spinless soft core bosons and two one half spin fermions in the right side of the double well potential. This configuration is mainly due to the fact that we want to explore entanglement and fidelity when varying the boson-boson λ_{BB} , fermion-fermion λ_{FF} and boson-fermion λ_{BF} repulsive contact interaction terms. Since we are working with a two mode model, the inter and intra particle interaction, must be small enough to prevent the amplitudes of the two lower energy modes mixing with other states.

The behaviour of the quantum return probability P_{RR} presents three distinct regions. The first region is characterized by correlated tunneling of bosons [75] and fermions[76, 77], where probabilities are periodic and correspond to very small values of the effective coupling constants, with orders of magnitude between 10^{-5} and 10^{-4} as illustrated in figure 1a, where the bosonic (red line) and fermionic (blue dashed line) probability densities exhibit complete miscibility [78, 79] on the right-hand side

of the double well, characterized by an overlapping of the probability densities of the two species as a function of time. It can also be seen that the bosons do not perform complete tunneling and the fermions exhibit a small damping.



(a) Periodic density probabilities by bosons (red line) and fermions (blue dashed line).




(b) Slowly dampens for the initial conditions of the probability density of both bosons (red line) and fermions (blue dashed line) as a function of time.

Figure 1: Time evolution of bosons and fermions density probabilities on the right side of the double well $P_{RR}(\tau)$, as a function of the dimensionless parameter of time τ .


This periodical behavior is maintained until $U_{BB} = U_{FF} = U_{BF} = 4 \times 10^{-4}$, from where a slowly damping of the probability densities starts to be generated, which increases as the interaction parameters increases as illustrated in figure 1b. The figure shows that due to the increasing in the interaction parameters, the probability density of both bosons and fermions decreases as a function of time, indicating that the particles tend to separate and the tunneling frequency increases characterized by a loss of miscibility as a function of time, as well as a tendency for bosons to tunnel completely and a loss of damping in fermionic tunneling.

The second region found indicates that due to the in-

creasing in the interaction parameters, the particles of both species tend to separate and occupy both sides of the confinement potential and give rise to correlated sequential tunneling through a double barrier, characterized by having one particle of each species on each side of the well and which remains constant at dimensionless time interval of $1275.9 \leq \tau \leq 2283.19$ for bosons and $1156.32 \leq \tau \leq 1688.38$ for fermions, as shown in the figure 2. It was also found that the fermionic probability density tends to damp more rapidly than the bosonic one, as illustrated in figures 2a and 2b respectively.



(a) Bosons correlated sequential tunneling through a double barrier, as a function of the dimensionless parameter of time τ .




(b) Fermions correlated sequential tunneling through a double barrier, as a function of the dimensionless parameter of time τ .


Figure 2: Slowly dampens for the initial conditions of the probability density of both bosons (red line) and fermions (blue line) as a function of time for: $\lambda_{BB} = 9 \times 10^{-4}$, $\lambda_{FF} = 3.2 \times 10^{-4}$ and $\lambda_{BF} = 9 \times 10^{-4}$.

In the third region we find an increasing in the tunneling frequency of the two species, characterized by a higher damping of the probability densities and a higher return quasi periodicity for bosons (red line) than for fermions (blue line), as shown in figures 3a and 3b respectively. In the figure it can be seen that the probability density as a function of dimensionless time for the two species overlap in some regions, indicating a partial

miscibility between bosons and fermions. It is also possible to find times for which the two bosons and the two fermions coexist in the same side of the potential well, as well as times for which sequential tunneling of bosons occurs.



(a) Quasi periodicity for bosons. The graph shows τ for which the maximum occupancy per site is two bosons and τ for which sequential tunneling occurs.



(b) Quasi periodicity for fermions. The graph shows τ for which the maximum occupancy per site is two fermions

Figure 3: Quasi-periodic probability density for (a) bosons, red line and (b) fermions, blue line, considering $\lambda_{BB} = 1 \times 10^{-3}$, $\lambda_{FF} = 1 \times 10^{-3}$ and $\lambda_{BF} = 9 \times 10^{-3}$.

By comparing the results of the figures 2 and 3 it can be concluded that, the tunneling of bosons and fermions is sequential for small values of the interaction constant and correlated for large values.

IV. QUANTUM CRITICAL PHENOMENA

The ground-state phase diagram of the model, is now introduced briefly through quantum fidelity and quantum entanglement concepts.

First we to introduce the measure distance between two states through quantum fidelity, that is very useful in




Figure 4: The ground-state phase diagram of the model. It can be observed that in the three planes, there are two quantum phase transition indicated by the drop in the fidelity.

the context of teleportation and quantum cryptography and is defined as

$$F(|\psi\rangle, |\phi\rangle) = |\langle\psi|\phi\rangle| \quad (12)$$

in which $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\phi\rangle$ represent the ground states of the hamiltonian 1 with different parameters and it is nothing but the modulus of the overlap of two ground states generated by slightly different parameters and whose drop is a signature of a quantum phase transition (QPT).

In this research we set the ground state of the system at $\lambda_{BB} = \lambda_{FF} = \lambda_{BF} = 5 \times 10^{-4}$ and calculate the fidelity in the $\lambda_{FF} - \lambda_{BF}$ plane, setting the value of the interaction between bosons at $\lambda_{BB} = 5 \times 10^{-4}$, as shown in fig. 4a, where for values of the interaction parameters $0 \leq \lambda_{FF} \leq 10 \times 10^{-4}$ and $2 \times 10^{-4} \leq \lambda_{BF} \leq 1 \times 10^{-3}$ the fidelity is approximately constant around 1, and there is a drop in fidelity when the values of the interactions are between $3.5 \times 10^{-4} \leq \lambda_{FF} \leq 1 \times 10^{-3}$ and $0 \leq \lambda_{BF} \leq 2 \times 10^{-4}$

In order to know the fidelity in the $\lambda_{BB} - \lambda_{BF}$ and $\lambda_{BB} - \lambda_{FF}$ planes, the interaction parameters $\lambda_{FF} = 5 \times 10^{-4}$ and $\lambda_{BF} = 5 \times 10^{-4}$ were set as shown in figures 4b and 4c respectively. We would like to emphasize that these phase diagrams are obtained without any knowledge of the correlation properties of the system.

On figures 4a and 4b is also clearly observed that the drop of the two fidelities becomes deeper and deeper as the boson-fermion interaction decreases. This phenomenon indicates that although the phase transition is within the same class but the similarity of the ground state is changing, as a consequence of the variation of the parameter λ_{BF} which induces a repulsive interaction between fermions, due to the interspecies entanglement. A similar result was reported for a mixture of spinless bosons and spinless fermions confined in a one-dimensional optical lattice [80, 81].

On the other hand, in the $\lambda_{BB} - \lambda_{FF}$ plane it is observed that the drop in fidelity occurs for values of the interaction parameter between fermions very close to zero,

as shown in figure4c.

We have discussed quantum fidelity, now it would be apt to introduce the entanglement. Because our system is configured by identical particles, it is necessary to take into account that the correlations arising are due to purely from their indistinguishable nature and should be excluded from the definition of quantum correlations, that are closely related to quantum entanglement [82, 83]. For the above reason to measure the amount of entanglement, the symmetrized (bosons) and anti-symmetrized (fermions) products of one-particle functions are considered as non-entangled and deviations from such states are used to measuring the amount of correlation [84].

There exist different quantitative measures of amount of entanglement, for example, Schimdt measure, entanglement of formation, concurrence, negativity, etc. Here we measure the amount of entanglement through the Von Neumann entropy, which is defined as

$$S(\rho) = -Tr(\rho \log_2 \rho) = -\sum_i \lambda_i \log_2 \lambda_i, \quad (13)$$

where ρ represent the density matrix and λ_i are its eigenvalues.

Due to the great sensitivity of the system to variations of the interaction parameters, we set the boson-boson interaction and the boson-fermion interaction at 1×10^{-3} and 9×10^{-3} respectively and we explore a small region in which the boson-fermion coupling allows a maximum entanglement of the system as shown in figure 5, where quantum correlations of bosons (red line) and fermions (blue line) are presented by means of the calculation of the Von Neumann entropy $S(\rho)$ 13 as a function of fermion-fermion interaction. The fastest entanglement growth takes place at $\lambda_{FF} = 5.7 \times 10^{-3}$ where entropy is maximal.




Figure 5: Von Neumann as a function of λ_{FF} . Quantum correlations of bosons (red line) and fermions (blue line). The fastest entanglement growth takes place at $\lambda_{FF} = 5.7 \times 10^{-3}$ for both species.

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

We have investigated the time evolution of probability density, the ground-state fidelity and the entanglement of a Bose-Fermi mixture in a 1D double well potential, considering spinless bosons in the soft-core limit and spin one half fermions with inter and intra particles weak repulsive contact interaction.

Tuning the ratio between the inter and intraspecies interaction strengths, we found that the behaviour of the quantum return probability P_{RR} present three distinct regions. The first region is characterized by correlated tunneling of bosons and fermions, where probabilities are periodic and corresponds to very small values of the effective coupling constants. In this region the bosonic and fermionic probability densities exhibit complete miscibility, characterized by an overlapping of the probability densities of the two species as a function of time. The second region indicates that particles of both species tend to separate and occupy both sides of the confinement potential and gives rise to correlated sequential tunneling, characterized by one particle of each species on each side of the well. In the last region we find an increasing in the tunneling frequency of the two species, characterized by a higher damping of the probability densities and a higher return quasi periodicity for bosons than for fermions. The above results allow us to conclude that, the tunneling of bosons and fermions is sequential for small values of the interaction constant and correlated for large values.

The ground-state phase diagram of the model, was briefly studied through of quantum entanglement and quantum fidelity concepts. We found through the Von Neumann entropy S as a function of fermion-fermion interaction, that the boson-fermion coupling allows a maximum entanglement of quantum correlations of bosons and fermions in the same value.

Finally we calculated the fidelity in the $\lambda_{FF} - \lambda_{BF}$ and $\lambda_{BB} - \lambda_{BF}$ planes and we found that the drop of the two fidelities becomes deeper and deeper as the boson-fermion interaction decreases. This phenomenon indicates that although the phase transition is within the same class but the similarity of the ground state is changing, as a consequence of the variation of the parameter λ_{BF} .

Our findings can help to interpret experimental results in bosonic Josephson junction, squeezing, entanglement of matter waves, matter wave interference and in quantum information processing.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

R. A. and J.P.R. are thankful for the support of Departamento Administrativo de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación (COLCIENCIAS) (Convocatoria Doctorados Nacionales 727 of 2015 and 567 of 2012 respectively.) and

gratefully acknowledge support from "Fundación Universitaria los libertadores".

D. G. gratefully acknowledge support from "Universidad EAN".

-
- [1] S. Ospelkaus, C. Ospelkaus, L. Humbert, K. Sengstock, and K. Bongs, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 120403 (2006).
- [2] Z. Hadzibabic, S. Gupta, C. A. Stan, C. H. Schunck, M. W. Zwierlein, K. Dieckmann, and W. Ketterle, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 160401 (2003).
- [3] S. Inouye, J. Goldwin, M. L. Olsen, C. Ticknor, J. L. Bohn, and D. S. Jin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 183201 (2004).
- [4] J. R. Anglin and W. Ketterle, *Nature* **416**, 211 (2002).
- [5] L. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, *Bose-Einstein Condensation* (Oxford University Press, 2003).
- [6] C. J. Pethick and H. Smith, *Bose-Einstein Condensation in Dilute Gases* (Cambridge University Press, 2001).
- [7] M. Albiez, R. Gati, J. Fölling, S. Hunsmann, M. Cristiani, and M. K. Oberthaler, Direct observation of tunneling and nonlinear self-trapping in a single bosonic josephson junction, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 010402 (2005).
- [8] R. Gati and M. K. Oberthaler, A bosonic josephson junction, *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics* **40**, R61 (2007).
- [9] A. Kastberg, W. D. Phillips, S. L. Rolston, R. J. C. Spreeuw, and P. S. Jessen, Adiabatic cooling of cesium to 700 nk in an optical lattice, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 1542 (1995).
- [10] S. K. Adhikari and L. Salasnich, Localization of a bose-einstein condensate in a bichromatic optical lattice, *Phys. Rev. A* **80**, 023606 (2009).
- [11] S. Trotzky, P. Cheinet, S. Fölling, M. Feld, U. Schnorrberger, A. M. Rey, A. Polkovnikov, E. A. Demler, M. D. Lukin, and I. Bloch, Time-Resolved Observation and Control of Superexchange Interactions with Ultracold Atoms in Optical Lattices, *Science* **319**, 295 (2008).
- [12] J. Sebby-Strabley, B. L. Brown, M. Anderlini, P. J. Lee, W. D. Phillips, J. V. Porto, and P. R. Johnson, Preparing and probing atomic number states with an atom interferometer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 200405 (2007).
- [13] I. Danshita, J. E. Williams, C. A. R. Sá de Melo, and C. W. Clark, Quantum phases of bosons in double-well optical lattices, *Phys. Rev. A* **76**, 043606 (2007).
- [14] R. P. Feynman, R. B. Leighton, M. Sands, and R. B. Lindsay, The feynman lectures on physics, vol. 3: Quantum mechanics, *Physics Today* **19**, 80 (1966), <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3047826>.
- [15] B. R. Holstein, Semiclassical treatment of the double well, *American Journal of Physics* **56**, 338 (1988), <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.15634>.
- [16] L. V. Chebotarev, Extensions of the bohr-sommerfeld formula to double-well potentials, *American Journal of Physics* **66**, 1086 (1998), <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.19049>.
- [17] A. Garg, Tunnel splittings for one-dimensional potential wells revisited, *American Journal of Physics* **68**, 430 (2000), <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.19458>.
- [18] M. Anderlini, P. Lee, B. Brown, and et al., Controlled exchange interaction between pairs of neutral atoms in an optical lattice, *Nature* **448**, 452 (2007).
- [19] J. Sebby-Strabley, M. Anderlini, P. S. Jessen, and J. V. Porto, Lattice of double wells for manipulating pairs of cold atoms, *Phys. Rev. A* **73**, 033605 (2006).
- [20] R. Wiesendanger, *Scanning Probe Microscopy and Spectroscopy: Methods and Applications* (Cambridge University Press, 1994).
- [21] D. Hayes, P. S. Julienne, and I. H. Deutsch, Quantum logic via the exchange blockade in ultracold collisions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 070501 (2007).
- [22] G. J. Milburn, J. Corney, E. M. Wright, and D. F. Walls, Quantum dynamics of an atomic bose-einstein condensate in a double-well potential, *Phys. Rev. A* **55**, 4318 (1997).
- [23] A. Smerzi, S. Fantoni, S. Giovanazzi, and S. R. Shenoy, Quantum coherent atomic tunneling between two trapped bose-einstein condensates, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 4950 (1997).
- [24] G.-B. Jo, Y. Shin, S. Will, T. A. Pasquini, M. Saba, W. Ketterle, D. E. Pritchard, M. Vengalattore, and M. Prentiss, Long phase coherence time and number squeezing of two bose-einstein condensates on an atom chip, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 030407 (2007).
- [25] J. Estève, C. Gross, A. Weller, S. Giovanazzi, and M. Oberthaler, Squeezing and entanglement in a bose-einstein condensate, *Nature* **455**, 7217 (2008).
- [26] M. R. Andrews, C. G. Townsend, H.-J. Miesner, D. S. Durfee, D. M. Kurn, and W. Ketterle, Observation of interference between two bose condensates, *Science* **275**, 637 (1997).
- [27] T. Schumm, P. Krüger, S. Hofferberth, I. Lesanovsky, S. Wildermuth, S. Groth, I. Bar-Joseph, L. M. Anderson, and J. Schmiedmayer, A double well interferometer on an atom chip, *Quantum Information Processing* **5**, 537 (2006).
- [28] F. Ancilotto, L. Salasnich, and F. Toigo, dc josephson effect with fermi gases in the bose-einstein regime, *Phys. Rev. A* **79**, 033627 (2009).
- [29] L. Pezzè, L. Pitaevskii, A. Smerzi, S. Stringari, G. Modugno, E. de Mirandes, F. Ferlaino, H. Ott, G. Roati, and M. Inguscio, Insulating behavior of a trapped ideal fermi gas, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 120401 (2004).
- [30] Adhikari, S. K., Josephson oscillation of a superfluid fermi gas, *Eur. Phys. J. D* **47**, 413 (2008).
- [31] L. Morales-Molina and J. Gong, Controlling the population imbalance of a bose-einstein condensate by a symmetry-breaking driving field, *Phys. Rev. A* **78**, 041403 (2008).
- [32] E. A. Ostrovskaya, Y. S. Kivshar, M. Lisak, B. Hall, F. Cattani, and D. Anderson, Coupled-mode theory for bose-einstein condensates, *Phys. Rev. A* **61**, 031601 (2000).
- [33] F. S. Cataliotti, S. Burger, C. Fortp, P. Maddaloni, F. Minardi, A. Trombettoni, A. Smerzi, and M. Inguscio, Josephson junction arrays with bose-einstein condensates, *Science* **293**, 843 (2001).
- [34] L. Pollet, C. Kollath, U. Schollwöck, and M. Troyer, *Phys. Rev. A* **77**, 023608 (2008).
- [35] J. M. McNamara, T. Jelts, A. S. Tychkov, W. Hogervorst, and W. Vassen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 080404

- (2006).
- [36] C. Klempt, T. Henninger, O. Topic, J. Will, S. Falke, W. Ertmer, and J. Arlt, *Eur. Phys. J. D* **48**, 121 (2008).
- [37] T. Karpiuk, M. Brewczyk, and K. Rzazewski, *Phys. Rev. A* **73**, 053602 (2006).
- [38] R. S. Lous, I. Fritsche, M. Jag, F. Lehmann, E. Kirilov, B. Huang, and R. Grimm, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 243403 (2018).
- [39] S. Ospelkaus, C. Ospelkaus, O. Wille, M. Succo, P. Ernst, K. Sengstock, and K. Bongs, *Physical review letters* **96**, 180403 (2006).
- [40] S. Sugawa, K. Inaba, S. Taie, and et al., Interaction and filling-induced quantum phases of dual mott insulators of bosons and fermions, *Nature Phys.* **7**, 642 (2011).
- [41] Z. Akdeniz, P. Vignolo, A. Minguzzi, and M. P. Tosi, *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **35**, L105 (2002).
- [42] L. Vichi, M. Inguscio, S. Stringari, and G. M. Tino, *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **31**, L899 (1998).
- [43] R. Roth and H. Feldmeier, Mean-field instability of trapped dilute boson-fermion mixtures, *Phys. Rev. A* **65**, 021603 (2002).
- [44] X.-J. Liu, M. Modugno, and H. Hu, *Phys. Rev. A* **68**, 053605 (2003).
- [45] M. Modugno, F. Ferlaino, F. Riboli, G. Roati, G. Modugno, and M. Inguscio, *Phys. Rev. A* **68**, 043626 (2003).
- [46] S. K. Adhikari, *Phys. Rev. A* **70**, 043617 (2004).
- [47] T. Miyakawa, T. Suzuki, and H. Yabu, *Phys. Rev. A* **64**, 033611 (2001).
- [48] T. Karpiuk, M. Brewczyk, M. Gajda, and K. Rzazewski, *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics* **38**, L215 (2005).
- [49] P. A. Röthel, S., *The European Physical Journal B* **59**, 343 (2007).
- [50] M. J. Bijlsma, B. A. Heringa, and H. T. C. Stoof, *Phys. Rev. A* **61**, 053601 (2000).
- [51] H. Heiselberg, C. J. Pethick, H. Smith, and L. Viverit, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 2418 (2000).
- [52] L. Viverit and S. Giorgini, *Phys. Rev. A* **66**, 063604 (2002).
- [53] A. Mering and M. Fleischhauer, *Phys. Rev. A* **77**, 023601 (2008).
- [54] M. Bukov and L. Pollet, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 094502 (2014).
- [55] H. Fehrmann, M. Baranov, M. Lewenstein, and L. Santos, *Opt. Express* **12**, 55 (2004).
- [56] S. K. Adhikari, B. A. Malomed, L. Salasnich, and F. Toigo, Spontaneous symmetry breaking of bose-fermi mixtures in double-well potentials, *Phys. Rev. A* **81**, 053630 (2010).
- [57] T. Best, S. Will, U. Schneider, L. Hackermüller, D. van Oosten, I. Bloch, and D.-S. Lühmann, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 030408 (2009).
- [58] A. Albus, F. Illuminati, and J. Eisert, *Phys. Rev. A* **68**, 023606 (2003).
- [59] T. P. Polak and T. K. Kopeć, *Phys. Rev. A* **81**, 043612 (2010).
- [60] I. Titvinidze, M. Snoek, and W. Hofstetter, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 100401 (2008).
- [61] T. J. Osborne and M. A. Nielsen, Entanglement in a simple quantum phase transition, *Phys. Rev. A* **66**, 032110 (2002).
- [62] S.-J. Gu, H. Li, Y.-Q. Li, and H.-Q. Lin, Entanglement of the heisenberg chain with the next-nearest-neighbor interaction, *Phys. Rev. A* **70**, 052302 (2004).
- [63] H. T. Quan, Z. Song, X. F. Liu, P. Zanardi, and C. P. Sun, Decay of loschmidt echo enhanced by quantum criticality, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 140604 (2006).
- [64] M. Cozzini, P. Giorda, and P. Zanardi, Quantum phase transitions and quantum fidelity in free fermion graphs, *Phys. Rev. B* **75**, 014439 (2007).
- [65] P. Buonsante and A. Vezzani, Ground-state fidelity and bipartite entanglement in the bose-hubbard model, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 110601 (2007).
- [66] D. Ananikian and T. Bergeman, The gross-pitaevskii equation for bose particles in a double well potential: Two mode models and beyond, *Physical Review A* **73** (2005).
- [67] E. A. Ostrovskaya, Y. S. Kivshar, M. Lisak, B. Hall, F. Cattani, and D. Anderson, Coupled-mode theory for bose-einstein condensates, *Phys. Rev. A* **61**, 031601 (2000).
- [68] A.-M. Rey, P. B. Blakie, and C. W. Clark, Dynamics of a period-3 pattern-loaded bose-einstein condensate in an optical lattice, *Phys. Rev. A* **67**, 053610 (2003).
- [69] Y. Shin, M. Saba, T. A. Pasquini, W. Ketterle, D. E. Pritchard, and A. E. Leanhardt, Atom interferometry with bose-einstein condensates in a double-well potential, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 050405 (2004).
- [70] R. V. Pai, R. Pandit, H. R. Krishnamurthy, and S. Ramasesha, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 2937 (1996).
- [71] D. Rossini and R. Fazio, *New J. Phys.* **14**, 065012 (2012).
- [72] C. J. Foot and M. D. Shotter, Double well potentials and quantum gates, *American Journal of Physics* **79**, 762 (2011), <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.3583478>.
- [73] L. Salasnich, N. Manini, and F. Toigo, Macroscopic periodic tunneling of fermi atoms in the bcs-bec crossover, *Phys. Rev. A* **77**, 043609 (2008).
- [74] R. Avella, R. R. R. González, and K. M. F. Romero, From sequential to correlated tunneling of two bosons, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* **687**, 012074 (2016).
- [75] S. Dutta, A. Barman, A. Siddharth, A. Khan, and S. Basu, Tunneling dynamics of correlated bosons in a double well potential, *The European Physical Journal B* **88** (2015).
- [76] M. Thorwart, R. Egger, and M. Grifoni, Correlated sequential tunneling through a double barrier for interacting one-dimensional electrons, *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 035330 (2005).
- [77] Y. Yang, Penetration of arbitrary double potential barriers with probability unity (2022).
- [78] x. jiang, s. wu, Q. Ye, and C. Lee, Universality of miscible-immiscible phase separation dynamics in two-component bose-einstein condensates, *New Journal of Physics* **21** (2019).
- [79] P. Siegl, S. I. Mistakidis, and P. Schmelcher, Many-body expansion dynamics of a bose-fermi mixture confined in an optical lattice, *Phys. Rev. A* **97**, 053626 (2018).
- [80] L. Pollet, M. Troyer, K. Van Houcke, and S. M. A. Rombouts, Phase diagram of bose-fermi mixtures in one-dimensional optical lattices, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 190402 (2006).
- [81] W.-Q. Ning, S.-J. Gu, Y.-G. Chen, C.-Q. Wu, and H.-Q. Lin, Concurrence and fidelity of a bose-fermi mixture in a one-dimensional optical lattice, *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **20**, 235236 (2008).
- [82] K. Eckert, J. Schliemann, D. Bruß, and M. Lewenstein, Quantum correlations in systems of indistinguishable particles, *Annals of Physics* **299**, 88 (2002).
- [83] G. Ghirardi and L. Marinatto, General criterion for the

entanglement of two indistinguishable particles, Phys. Rev. A **70**, 012109 (2004).

[84] A. Okopińska, Correlation and entanglement measures in

trapped few-particle systems, Journal of Physics: Conference Series **213**, 012004 (2010).