

# Quantifying the homology of periodic cell complexes

Adam Onus\* and Vanessa Robins†

April 11, 2024

## Abstract

A periodic cell complex,  $K$ , has a finite representation as the quotient space,  $q(K)$ , consisting of equivalence classes of cells identified under the translation group acting on  $K$ . We study how the Betti numbers and cycles of  $K$  are related to those of  $q(K)$ , first for the case that  $K$  is a graph, and then higher-dimensional cell complexes. When  $K$  is a  $d$ -periodic graph, it is possible to define  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -weights on the edges of the quotient graph and this information permits full recovery of homology generators for  $K$ . The situation for higher-dimensional cell complexes is more subtle and studied in detail using the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence.

**Keywords:** quotient graphs, quotient cell complexes, topological crystallography, computational homology, Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence

**MSC(2020):** 57Z25 (primary), 55-08, 55N31, 55T99 (secondary)

## 1 Introduction

Spatially periodic point patterns arise naturally as models of atomic positions in crystalline materials, and as a tractable way to simulate many interacting objects without the influence of boundary effects. Although simulations using periodic boundary conditions treat points as located in a flat  $d$ -dimensional torus, the structure being modelled is really some large finite domain built from many copies of a unit cell and thus a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

Given the increasing usefulness of persistent homology in many application areas, particularly materials science, the following questions naturally arise.

1. Is the persistence diagram of an infinite crystalline structure well-defined, since the persistent homology of most crystalline structures will not be  $q$ -tame, which is usually a minimum requirement for the existence of persistence diagrams [9].
2. How to normalise the persistence diagram of an infinite crystalline structure so that it is independent of the unit cell used.
3. How to approximate the persistence diagram for a large finite domain of a periodic point pattern in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  given a periodic unit cell embedded in a flat  $d$ -torus.

Physical intuition from more familiar geometric properties suggests that we should be able to normalise the number of points in a persistence diagram by the volume of the domain in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  to obtain a quantity that is independent of domain size. This is exactly how we define the porosity of a material for example: as the volume of solid matter normalised by the volume of the domain. However, this type of normalisation is appropriate only when working with what physicists term an *extensive property*;

---

\*School of Mathematical Sciences, Queen Mary University of London, UK (a.onus@qmul.ac.uk).

†Research School of Physics, The Australian National University, Canberra, Australia. (vanessa.robins@anu.edu.au).

a quantity  $f$  that satisfies the properties of a *valuation*, most notably the inclusion-exclusion formula  $f(A \cup B) = f(A) + f(B) - f(A \cap B)$ . It is easy to show that the Betti number invariants of homology  $\beta_k$ , and consequently the persistence diagrams, are not valuations. For example, two  $\sqsubset$ -shaped domains that overlap to form a square annulus have  $\beta_k(\sqsubset) + \beta_k(\sqsubset) \neq \beta_k(\square) + \beta_k(\sqsubset)$ , for  $k = 0, 1$ .

Given that there is this fundamental obstruction to a simple normalisation procedure for persistence diagrams, the current paper begins the process of providing an answer to the above questions by studying the homology of periodic cell complexes. We are particularly motivated by peculiar behaviour in the topology of finite presentations of these complexes, such as two disconnected interwoven graphs over cubical lattices projecting onto a single connected quotient graph as in Figure 1 below. We present a detailed study of how the Betti numbers of a finite cell complex (the quotient space) are related to those of its infinite periodic cover,  $K$ .




Figure 1: A section of two interwoven cubical lattices along integer and half integer coordinates in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  (with connected components coloured green and purple) and its weighted quotient graph with respect to a basis of translations in the directions  $v_1 = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ ,  $v_2 = (\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $v_3 = (1, 0, 0)$ .

The case of a periodic 1-dimensional cell-complex in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  i.e., a *periodic graph*, is considerably simpler than the general  $k$ -dimensional case, and has well studied representations [12, 5, 14]. Results about the number of connected components ( $\beta_0$ ) of periodic graphs can be found in computer science, electronics, crystallography and graph theory literature [13, 11, 1, 25]. We rephrase these results in Section 3 using the terminology of chain complexes and homology so that we can study the generalisation to higher dimensions. In short, a periodic graph with undirected edges is mapped to the quotient space built from translational equivalence classes of vertices and edges (see Figure 1). This finite quotient graph can be given  $d$ -dimensional vector weights that encode a translational offset between the vertex representatives at each end of the oriented edge. The number of components of the infinite periodic graph is then determined by comparing the span of the vector weights with the unit lattice basis for  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

In Section 4 we then look at  $k$ -dimensional periodic cell-complexes,  $k \geq 2$ , and discuss how there is no simple method analogous to the vector weights on edges for encoding the translational offsets of boundaries of  $k$ -cells. With no simple generalisations of the formulae derived for periodic graphs, this means we have trouble distinguishing cycles in  $K$  from cycles in its quotient. We instead look at successively larger (but still periodic) finite domains,  $X$ , and establish a heuristic to identify *toroidal* or *open* cycles that are due to the periodic boundary conditions and do not lift to cycles in the infinite periodic structure  $K$ . This involves application of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence, which we introduce in Section 5, and enables us to identify and classify true cycles of  $K$  and impose an approximate lower bound for the size of  $X$  required to view these features.

Our results are motivated by application to the analysis of crystal structures, where we have a periodic point cloud in space (i.e., atom positions) and a fixed cellular complex where edges represent bonds and higher dimensional cells represent higher order atomic interactions. Persistent homology is another natural tool for studying topological and geometric structure of periodic point clouds. Although we focus on regular homology in this paper, we will note when a result can be adjusted to the case of persistent homology.

## 1.1 Related Work

In Section 3 we define the notion of a *weighted quotient graph*. The definition we employ agrees with the “vector method” introduced in [10], to which we direct the reader for more details. In recent years, weighted quotient graphs have been studied extensively and become ubiquitous with structure classification in topological crystallography (c.f., [12, 14]), where they are known as *labelled quotient graphs*. A weighted quotient graph is also referred to as a *static graph* in a discrete mathematical setting (c.f., [17, 11]) where it is used to model Very Large Scale Integration and dynamic optimisation problems (see [18, 23]). In this setting, the periodic graph is called the *dynamic graph* constructed by interpreting edge weights as shift vectors which generate translational symmetries.

In Section 3 we present Theorem 1 which relates the homology of a periodic graph to properties of its weighted quotient graph. The degree-0 result was first derived in [11] in the language of electronics and computer science and was independently reformulated in the language of graph theory in Corollary 1.9.3 of [13] and Theorem 3.6 of [1] to prove an analogous result for any action on a connected graph. We rephrase and provide a new proof from our perspective in the language of algebraic topology, and use these methods to generalise to degree-1 homology, which we believe to be an original result. Theorems 6.2 and 6.3 of [25] use similar techniques as in our proof of Theorem 1. However, their analysis of these results focuses on categorical properties and classifications of quotient graphs, whereas we focus on an explicit construction of the homology of the infinite cover.

In the case of a 1-periodic cell complex  $K$  (of arbitrary dimension) we may think of the map  $K \rightarrow q(K)$  sending  $K$  to its quotient space  $q(K)$  of translational equivalence classes as being equivalent to a map  $K \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ . This case has been well-studied with Novikov homology [22] which generalises the methods of Morse theory, allowing one to explicitly calculate the homology of  $K$  over the field of Laurent power series. A computer-friendly method to calculate this with Jordan blocks is described in [7].

The Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence (MVSS) was first used in topological data analysis to localise low-dimensional cycles of a topological space [26]. More recently, it has been presented primarily as a method for parallelising persistent homology calculations of large data sets (c.f. [21, 4]) and has been implemented for abstract simplicial complexes [20]. In [16] the authors use a persistence version of the MVSS to prove an approximate nerve theorem for persistence diagrams. Computer implementations of a persistence Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence can be found in [19, 8], although these encounter what [16] refer to as the “extension problem”, which we briefly discuss in Section 5.1. It is also well-known that persistent homology can be calculated through the spectral sequence of a filtration (c.f. [3, 2]). However, none of these applications have yet been used to study periodic spaces.

## 2 Notation

This section covers basic definitions and sets up our notation for the objects studied in this paper.

### 2.1 Periodic Spaces and Unit Cells

A  $d$ -periodic complex,  $K$ , in  $\mathbb{R}^l$  is a cell complex which permits a free action by a free abelian group  $T$  of rank  $d \leq l$ , so that  $T$  has a basis of  $d$  automorphisms  $\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_d : K \rightarrow K$ . Throughout this paper, we assume  $d > 0$ ,  $K$  is locally finite so contains countably many cells, and that  $T$  is a cellular action

on  $K$  by translations, so that the  $\mathbf{t}_i$  are geometrically realised as  $d$  linearly independent translations in  $\mathbb{R}^l$ .

A *unit cell*,  $U \subset K$ , contains a single representative of each equivalence class of cells in  $K/T$ , where  $K/T := K/\sim$  for the equivalence relation  $a \sim b$  if  $b = \mathbf{t}(a)$  for some  $\mathbf{t} \in T$ . A *fundamental domain* for  $T$  is a convex subset  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^l$  such that the projection  $D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l/T$  is a bijection. It is always possible to choose  $U$  so that the vertex representatives of  $K/T$  belong to a fundamental domain for  $T$ .  $U$  is in general not a subcomplex of  $K$ , however  $\{\mathbf{t}(U) : \mathbf{t} \in T\}$  partitions  $K$ . We call the smallest subcomplex of  $K$  containing  $U$  the *closure* of  $U$  and denote it by  $\overline{U}$ .

We say  $X$  is constructed from  $n_1 \times \cdots \times n_d$  copies of the quotient space of  $K$  with *periodic boundary conditions* if  $X = K/\tilde{T}$  for the subgroup  $\tilde{T} = \langle n_1\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, n_d\mathbf{t}_d \rangle$  of  $T$ . We say  $Y$  is constructed from  $n_1 \times \cdots \times n_d$  copies of the quotient space of  $K$ , if  $Y$  is the closure of a unit cell for  $X$ .

## 2.2 Homology and the Fundamental Group

For an abelian group  $G$  we denote that  $N$  is a subgroup of  $G$  by  $N < G$  or  $N \leq G$ . For some  $N \leq G$ , recall that the index of  $N$  in  $G$  is the cardinality of the quotient group  $G/N$  and we denote this by  $[G : N]$ .

Given a cell complex  $K$ ,  $\pi_1(K, v)$  denotes the fundamental group of  $K$  with basepoint at  $v$ . If the choice of basepoint is understood or is arbitrary (up to connected component), then we will write  $\pi_1(K)$ .

We recall that the chain complex of  $K$ ,  $C_\bullet(K, G)$ , is the differential  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded module where  $C_k(K, G)$  is the free  $G$ -module whose basis is the oriented  $k$ -cells of  $K$  and whose boundary map  $\partial$  sends a  $k$ -cell to the sum of its boundary  $(k-1)$ -cells. If there are infinitely many  $k$ -cells,  $C_k(K, G)$  contains only finite oriented  $G$ -sums of  $k$ -cells. We will write  $C_\bullet(K, G) = C_\bullet(K)$  whenever  $G$  is understood. We denote  $Z_\bullet(K) := \ker(\partial)$  to be the *cycles* of  $K$  and  $B_\bullet(K) := \text{im}(\partial)$  to be the *boundaries* of  $K$ . The fundamental result of homology,  $\partial\partial = 0$ , tells us that  $B_\bullet(K) < Z_\bullet(K)$ , and the *cellular homology* of  $K$  is then  $H_\bullet(K) := Z_\bullet(K)/B_\bullet(K)$ . The  $k^{\text{th}}$  *Betti number* of  $K$  (with respect to  $G$ ) is the rank of the free part of  $H_k(K)$ .

Throughout, we also assume standard concepts and results from algebraic topology and linear algebra such as covering spaces, homotopy equivalence, and the rank-nullity theorem.

## 3 Periodic Graphs

In this section,  $K$  denotes a  $d$ -periodic simplicial complex with only 0- and 1-dimensional cells (a graph) embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^l$ . We assume a group  $T \cong \mathbb{Z}^d$  of vectors acts freely on  $K$  by translations, and let  $q : K \rightarrow q(K) := K/T$  denote the canonical quotient map. Further, chain complexes and homology groups are understood to have coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

### 3.1 Weighted Quotient Graphs

We start by defining the weight of an edge in  $q(K)$  as the translation offset between end points of its lift in  $K$ .

**Definition 1.** Weighted quotient graph (WQG). *For each edge  $e \in q(K)$ , we define a weight  $w(e) \in T$  as follows.*

1. *Enumerate the vertices of  $q(K)$ . When  $i \leq j$ , any edge joining  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  in  $q(K)$  is given the direction pointing from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$ .*
2. *For each vertex  $v_i \in q(K)$  choose a fixed representative  $\tilde{v}_i \in q^{-1}(v_i)$ . Then each vertex  $a \in K$  can be written uniquely as  $a = \mathbf{t}_a(\tilde{v}_i)$  for some  $i$  and  $\mathbf{t}_a \in T$ .*

3. Each edge  $(a, b) \in K$  therefore joins  $\mathbf{t}_a(\tilde{v}_i)$  and  $\mathbf{t}_b(\tilde{v}_j)$ . Swapping the order of  $a$  and  $b$  if necessary we assume  $i \leq j$ . Now define  $w(e) = w((v_i, v_j)) = w([(a, b)]) = \mathbf{t}_b - \mathbf{t}_a$ .

Observe that  $w([(a, b)]) = \mathbf{t}_b - \mathbf{t}_a$  is independent of the choice of representative  $(a, b)$  from  $q^{-1}((v_i, v_j))$  because any other representative is a translated copy of this one. Given a basis for  $T$ , we can write  $w(e)$  as an integer vector of coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ .

WQG's are not uniquely determined by  $K$ , as  $q(K)$  depends on the choice of  $T$  (which is not necessarily maximal) and the edge weights depend on the choice of vertex representatives  $\tilde{v}_i \in K$ . However, in any WQG we can extend the domain of  $w$  to be any directed path of edges in  $q(K)$ . Explicitly, for a path  $p$  along the directed edges  $e_1, \dots, e_m$  we define  $w(p) = \sum_{i=1}^m w(e_i)$  and  $w(p^{-1}) = -w(p)$  (where  $p^{-1}$  denotes the reverse of the path  $p$  in  $q(K)$ ). This means  $w$  takes the same value on homotopy-equivalent edge-paths and it restricts to a group homomorphism  $w : \pi_1(q(K), v) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^d$  for any basepoint  $v$ .<sup>1</sup> From a homological perspective, we may also consider  $w$  a  $T$ -valued cochain (in particular a cocycle) of  $q(K)$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $Q$  be a connected WQG with weights given as  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -coefficients. We set  $W_Q$  to be the subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  containing weights of all cycles in  $q(K) = Q$ ,

$$W_Q := \langle w(\ell) : \ell \text{ is a loop in } Q \rangle$$

The subgroup  $W_Q$  is independent of choice of basepoint, so we see that

$$W_Q = \text{im} (w : \pi_1(Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^d).$$

Connectivity of  $q(K)$  does not guarantee that  $K$  is connected, as the example of Fig. 1 shows. The index of  $W_{q(K)}$  in the original lattice group  $T = \mathbb{Z}^d$  will tell us the number of path-connected components of  $K$ . Also, since  $w(p)$  encodes the relative offset in  $K$  between endpoints of a path  $p$  in  $q(K)$ , we see that if  $p$  is a cycle in  $q(K)$  with  $w(p) = 0$ , then the lift  $q^{-1}[p]$  in  $K$  contains elements of  $Z_1(K)$ . These concepts are the basis of the following result.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose  $q(K) = \sqcup_{i=1}^N Q_i$  is a WQG of  $\varepsilon$  edges and  $\nu$  vertices, decomposed into  $N < \infty$  connected components,  $Q_i$ . Then

1.  $H_0(K)$  has a basis of  $\sum_{i=1}^N [\mathbb{Z}^d : W_{Q_i}]$  elements.
2. If  $\beta_0(K) < \infty$  then  $H_1(K)$  is generated by  $\varepsilon - \nu + N \binom{d-1}{2}$  homology classes up to translation<sup>2</sup>.

Moreover,  $q(K)$  has sufficient information to construct a generating set of  $H_\bullet(K)$ .

**Remark 1.** When  $\beta_0(K) = \infty$ , we may generalise Case 2 of Theorem 1 to show that each  $Q_i$  contributes  $\varepsilon_i - \nu_i + \binom{d_i-1}{2}$  generators of  $H_1(K)$  (up to translation) where  $\varepsilon_i$  is the number of edges of  $Q_i$ ,  $\nu_i$  is the number of vertices of  $Q_i$  and  $d - d_i = \dim(\mathbb{Z}^d/W_{Q_i})$ . Geometrically, this means every connected component of  $q^{-1}(Q_i)$  is  $d_i'$ -periodic for  $d_i' \leq d$ .

In Section 3.2 we illustrate the proof of Theorem 1 for the case of the 2-periodic Kagome lattice.

*Proof.* (1) Disconnected subgraphs of  $q(K)$  will have disconnected lifts, so without loss of generality we may assume  $q(K)$  is connected. Let  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  be the vertices of  $q(K)$  whose representatives are  $\tilde{v}_1, \dots, \tilde{v}_k$  in  $K$ . Since  $q(K)$  is connected, each vertex  $v_2, \dots, v_k$  is homologous to  $v_1$ . Also,  $q : K \rightarrow q(K)$  is a covering space, so any path in  $q(K)$  has a unique lift up to the choice of basepoint, so every vertex of  $q^{-1}(\{v_2, \dots, v_k\})$  is homologous to a vertex of  $q^{-1}(v_1)$ . Furthermore, if there is a cycle of weight  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  about  $v_1$  then for any  $\mathbf{s} \in T$  this lifts to a unique path from  $\mathbf{s}(\tilde{v}_1)$  to  $(\mathbf{t} + \mathbf{s})(\tilde{v}_1)$ .

<sup>1</sup>We may also think of  $w : \mathcal{C}(q(K)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^d$  as a groupoid morphism, where  $\mathcal{C}(q(K))$  is the graph groupoid of  $q(K)$ , although this is beyond the scope of this paper.

<sup>2</sup>We adhere to the convention that  $\binom{0}{2} = \binom{1}{2} = 0$ .

Conversely, by translational symmetry of  $K$  any path from  $\tilde{v}_1$  to  $\mathbf{t}(\tilde{v}_1)$  corresponds to a unique path from  $\mathbf{s}(\tilde{v}_1)$  to  $(\mathbf{t} + \mathbf{s})(\tilde{v}_1)$  and projects onto a cycle of weight  $\mathbf{t}$ . Hence  $\mathbf{t}(\tilde{v}_1)$  and  $\mathbf{s}(\tilde{v}_1)$  are homologous if and only if  $\mathbf{s} + W_{q(K)} = \mathbf{t} + W_{q(K)}$  as cosets. Thus each generator of  $H_0(K)$  is represented by  $\tilde{v}_1$ , offset by a translation in  $\mathbb{Z}^d/W_{q(K)}$ .

(2) As above, we may assume  $q(K)$  is connected. Let  $v_1$  and  $\tilde{v}_1$  be as above.  $H_1(K)$  can be identified with the abelianisation of  $\pi_1(K, \tilde{v}_1)$ , with additional translated copies for each connected component of  $K$ , so it suffices to find the generators of  $\pi_1(K, \tilde{v}_1)$ . The quotient map  $q : K \rightarrow q(K)$  is a covering space so  $q_* : \pi_1(K, \tilde{v}_1) \hookrightarrow \pi_1(q(K), v_1)$ , where the image  $q_*(\pi_1(K, \tilde{v}_1))$  contains the homotopy classes of zero-weight cycles based at  $v_1 \in q(K)$ .

We construct generators of  $\pi_1(K, \tilde{v}_1)$  by building  $q(K)$  from a spanning tree and identifying all cycles of weight zero created as each additional edge is added. A chosen spanning tree  $F_0$ , lifts to a collection of disconnected trees  $q^{-1}(F_0) \subset K$ . Adding another edge of  $q(K)$  to  $F_0$  creates a generator of  $\pi_1(q(K), v_1)$  which lifts either to (translated copies of) a closed circuit in  $K$  or to a path from  $\tilde{v}_1$  to  $\mathbf{t}(\tilde{v}_1)$ . We proceed as follows, first sorting edges of  $q(K)$  which lift to generate the lattice structure of  $K$ , and then sorting through all other edges, to determine the implication for  $q_*(\pi_1(K, \tilde{v}_1))$ .

1. Inductively for  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , if the addition of an edge  $e$  to  $F_{i-1}$  has the property that  $[W_{F_{i-1} \cup \{e\}} : W_{F_{i-1}}] = \infty$  then choose a new cycle  $p_i$  through  $v_1$  such that  $\langle w(p_j) \mid j \leq i \rangle$  is a basis for  $F_{i-1} \cup \{e\}$ . We record  $p_i, w(p_i)$  and construct  $F_i := F_{i-1} \cup \{e\}$ .
2. For each  $j = 1, \dots, \varepsilon - d - \nu + 1$ , pick any edge  $e$  in  $q(K) \setminus F_{j+d-1}$  and choose a new cycle  $\ell_j$  through  $v_1$  in  $F_{j+d-1} \cup \{e\}$  which satisfies the property that  $\{w(p_k) : k = 1, \dots, d\} \cup \{w(\ell_k) : k = 1, \dots, j\}$  generates  $W_{F_{j+d-1} \cup \{e\}}$ . We record  $\ell_j, w(\ell_j)$  and construct  $F_{j+d} = F_{j+d-1} \cup \{e\}$ .

In Step 1, the condition  $[W_{F_{i-1} \cup \{e\}} : W_{F_{i-1}}] = \infty$  ensures the existence of some  $p_i$  such that  $\langle w(p_j) \mid j < i \rangle$  is a basis for  $F_i = F_{i-1} \cup \{e\}$ . Each  $p_i$  will lift to a collection of infinite paths through  $K$  in independent directions. Such  $p_i$  can be chosen since  $\pi_1(q(K), v_1)$  is generated by loops  $p_e$  of  $F_0 \cup \{e\}$  for each edge  $e \in q(K) \setminus F_0$ , and the fact that  $\beta_0(K) = [\mathbb{Z}^d : W_{q(K)}] < \infty$  means  $w(p_1), \dots, w(p_d)$  will be a basis for a finite index subspace of  $W_{q(K)}$ . These properties also ensure that all loops of weight zero in  $\pi_1(F_d, v_1)$  have a minimal generating set of cycles of the form  $p_i^{-1}[p_j, p_k]p_i$  (where  $[p_j, p_k]$  denotes the commutator of  $p_j$  and  $p_k$ , given by  $p_j^{-1}p_k^{-1}p_jp_k$ ) for  $j \neq k$ . There are  $\binom{d}{2}$  of these up to conjugation.

In Step 2, each edge  $e$  introduces a single generator to  $\pi_1(F_{j+d}, v_1)$ , represented, say, by  $\gamma_e$ . Likewise, each edge in  $q^{-1}(e)$  added to  $K$  introduces a single generator to  $\pi_1(q^{-1}(F_{j+d}), \tilde{v}_1)$ . We can identify these generators as conjugation of  $\gamma_e$  in  $q(K)$  lifted to  $K$ , but also may slightly change which cycles are in our generating set of  $\pi_1(F_{j+d}, v_1)$  to achieve this if necessary. Explicitly, since  $[\mathbb{Z}^d : F_{j+d}] \leq [\mathbb{Z}^d : F_d] < \infty$  there is some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $N \cdot w(\ell_j) = \sum_{k=1}^d c_k w(p_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} d_k w(\ell_k)$ . Thus  $p_d^{-c_d} \dots p_2^{-c_2} p_1^{-c_1} \ell_{j-1}^{-d_{j-1}} \dots \ell_1^{-d_1} \ell_j^N$  will be a loop of weight zero in  $q(K)$  (where we read each path from right to left) and we think of each  $\ell_j^N$  as lifting to a collection of shortcuts through the lattice network of  $K$ . In this step we add  $|\{\ell_j\}| = \varepsilon - d - \nu + 1$  such cycles, since  $F_0$  has  $\nu - 1$  edges, Step 1 adds  $d$  edges, and  $q(K) = F_{\varepsilon - \nu + 1}$  has  $\varepsilon$  total edges.

Conjugation of a loop of zero-weight by loops of non-zero weight in  $q(K)$  lifts to conjugation of a loop by a path in  $K$ . In this case it is necessary that the conjugation act on a loop of zero-weight so that it may lift to a lift. Conjugation by different loops weight creates translated copies of the same 1-cycle after lifting to  $K$  and abelianising, so generators of  $H_1(K)$  can be constructed from translations of lifts of the above cycles. The former collection contributes  $\binom{d}{2}$  such generators and the latter contributes  $\varepsilon - \nu + 1 - d$ , and the result follows.  $\square$

Theorem 1 allows us to construct the homology of a periodic graph from only the information of a single weighted quotient graph, thus any and all information about its topology can be studied in a finite setting. Moreover, the quantity  $\beta_0(K) = \sum_{i=1}^N [\mathbb{Z}^d : W_{Q_i}]$  is an algebraic invariant among all WQG's of  $K$  and could be used to extend the classification of WQG's outlined in [12]. Admittedly, this invariant is particularly weak in the case  $\beta_0(K) = \infty$ , where  $\dim(\mathbb{Z}^d/W_{Q_i})$  will elucidate more

about  $K$  as touched on in Remark 1. However, the number of translation-independent generators for  $H_1(K)$ ,  $\varepsilon - \nu + N \binom{d-1}{2}$ , will vary with the choice of translation group used to form the WQG. If  $T' < T$  is used to form  $q'(K)$  then the value of  $\varepsilon - \nu$  will in general increase by  $O([T : T'])$ , and the value of  $N$  (the number of components of  $q(K)$ ) may fluctuate if  $K$  is not connected.

Another issue with Theorem 1 is the inability to experimentally verify the results, as all simulations and computations of periodic structures can only be done for finite representations. In practice, periodic structures are studied by taking  $n_1 \times \cdots \times n_d$  copies of  $q(K)$  with periodic boundary conditions and determining behaviour as  $n_i \rightarrow \infty$ . This introduces toroidal properties in the topology, as with WQG's, but benefits from locally approximating the infinite cover with increasing precision. The methods used to prove Theorem 1 easily translate into such finite settings, where we consider weights as elements of  $\mathbb{Z}^d / \prod_{j=1}^d n_j \mathbb{Z}$  instead of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  making  $W_Q$  a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}^d / \prod_{j=1}^d n_j \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Corollary 1.** *Suppose  $X$  is the graph constructed from  $n_1 \times \cdots \times n_d$  copies of  $q(K)$  with periodic boundary conditions, and let  $q(K) = \sqcup_{i=1}^N Q_i$  be a WQG as in Theorem 1. Then*

1.

$$\beta_0(X) = \sum_{i=1}^N \left[ \mathbb{Z}^d / \prod_{j=1}^d n_j \mathbb{Z} : W_{Q_i} \right]$$

2.

$$\beta_1(X) = (\varepsilon - \nu)n_1 \cdots n_d + \sum_{i=1}^N \left[ \mathbb{Z}^d / \prod_{j=1}^d n_j \mathbb{Z} : W_{Q_i} \right]$$

*Proof.* (1) follows by analogy from the proof of Statement 1 of Theorem 1. (2) follows by equating

$$\chi(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i \beta_i(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i \dim C_i(X)$$

where  $\chi(X)$  is the Euler characteristic. □

### 3.2 Kagome Lattice Example

Here we provide an illustrated example for the proof of Theorem 1 with the 2-periodic Kagome lattice  $K_G$ , shown in Figure 2 alongside one of its weighted quotient graphs  $q(K_G)$ . We assume only  $q(K_G)$  is given and we are using Theorem 1 to reconstruct the homology of  $K_G$ . For illustrative purposes we use the known structure of  $K_G$  to depict the construction of the spanning tree and homology generators.

We define  $e_{ij}$  and  $f_{ij}$  to be the edges between  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  of zero and non-zero weight respectively in the weighted quotient graph  $q(K_G)$ . The cycles  $f_{13}^{-1}e_{13}$  and  $f_{12}^{-1}e_{12}$  have weights  $(1, 0)$  and  $(0, 1)$  respectively<sup>3</sup>, so their weights generate  $W_{q(K_G)} = \mathbb{Z}^2$ . The procedure in Theorem 1 then suggests  $\beta_0(K_G) = 1$  and the single generator of  $H_0(K_G)$  is represented by  $\tilde{v}_1$ . That is, the Kagome lattice clearly has one connected component (connected to  $\tilde{v}_1$ ).

Moreover, since  $K_G$  is 2-periodic and  $q(K_G)$  is connected with six edges and three vertices we can conclude that  $H_1(K_G)$  has three generators up to translation. To construct these generators, we first choose a spanning tree of  $q(K_G)$ ; for example, take the subgraph with only the edges  $e_{12}$  and  $e_{23}$ . We consider the spanning tree and the corresponding lift to  $F_0 \subset K_G$  below.

<sup>3</sup>We use the convention that for paths  $\alpha, \beta$ , the path  $\alpha\beta$  is “ $\beta$  followed by  $\alpha$ ”






Figure 2: A section of the Kagome lattice (left) and a choice of weighted quotient graph (right) with respect to the translational basis in blue and labelled representative vertices.



We then include the edge  $f_{12}$  since  $p_1 = f_{12}^{-1}e_{12}$  is a cycle of weight  $(0, 1)$  passing through  $v_1$ . The addition of this edge creates an oblique vertical path through the lattice network when lifting to  $F_1 \subset K_G$  below, with only trivial cycles.




Next, we add the edge  $f_{13}$  since  $p_2 = f_{13}^{-1}e_{23}e_{12}$  is a cycle passing through  $v_1$  of weight  $(1, 0)$ , not spanned by the weight of  $p_1$ . The addition of this edge lifts to a collection of infinite horizontal paths through the Kagome lattice, in addition to the oblique vertical paths created in the first step. This introduces a single type of cycle (up to translation and concatenation) in  $F_2$  formed by lifting the commutator of  $p_1$  and  $p_2$


$$p_2^{-1}p_1^{-1}p_2p_1 = e_{12}^{-1}e_{23}^{-1}f_{13}e_{12}^{-1}f_{12}f_{13}^{-1}e_{23}e_{12}f_{12}^{-1}e_{12}.$$

The corresponding 1-cycle in  $K_G$  is highlighted in red below, where we have omitted self cancelling paths. Moreover, the weights of  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  span  $W_{q(K_G)} = \mathbb{Z}^2$  and so this lift must now be connected.

More generally the fact that  $[\mathbb{Z}^2 : W_{q(K_G)}] < \infty$  at this stage indicates we have finished connecting the lattice framework, and the addition of the remaining edges to  $q(K_G)$  will create additional cycles in  $K_G$  which are not simply a consequence of the periodicity of the graph.




Now, we include  $e_{13}$  to the quotient graph and consider the cycle  $\ell_1 = e_{13}^{-1}e_{23}e_{12}$ . This cycle satisfies  $w(\ell_1) = (0, 0)$ , so  $\ell_1$  lifts to a unique cycle in  $F_3 \subset K_G$  (up to translation) whose corresponding cycle is again shown below in red.



The final addition of  $f_{23}$  to complete  $F_4 = q(K_G)$  adds a cycle  $\ell_2 = e_{13}^{-1}f_{23}e_{12}$  with  $w(\ell_2) = (-1, 1)$ . Thus

$$p_1^{-1}p_2\ell_2 = e_{12}^{-1}e_{23}^{-1}f_{13}f_{12}^{-1}e_{12}e_{13}^{-1}f_{23}e_{12}$$

will lift to create a new type of cycle in  $K_G$  indicated in red below.



The choice of edges and cycles were not necessarily efficient at times to emphasise how this procedure works independent of such choice, and can be tailored to study persistence or to optimise computational time if desired.

### 3.3 Interwoven Cubical Lattices Example

Here we again look at the interwoven cubical lattices and illustrate the results of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 with two different WQG's. This shows that despite having a deterministic formula, the betti numbers identified are neither invariant nor do they necessarily behave nicely with  $n$ .

We have seen that interwoven cubical lattices,  $K_L$ , in Figure 1 admit a connected weighted quotient graph  $B$  with respect to translations generated by the basis  $\{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}), (\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}), (0, 0, 1)\}$ . However, with respect to the standard translational basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  the weighted quotient graph  $D$  is no longer connected and takes the other form shown in Figure 3.  $D$  respects the connected components of  $K_L$  at




Figure 3: The connected WQG  $q_1(K_L) = B$  (in black) and the disconnected WQG (coloured according to the connected components in Figure 1).

the cost of recording twice as many vertices, edges and weights as  $B$ , so comparing the two WQG's is a microcosm for applications where one might require smaller storage space at the expense of accurate geometry or topology. Using the tools we have constructed, we shall compare and contrast the topology of  $K_L$  which we can gather from each WQG.

It is clear that  $W_B = \langle (1, -1, 0), (1, 1, -1), (0, 0, 1) \rangle$ , which has two cosets in  $\mathbb{Z}^3$  – namely  $W_B$  and  $(1, 0, 0) + W_B$ . Thus  $B$  tells us that  $\beta_0(K_L) = 2$ , and the two generators of  $H_0(K_L)$  will be represented by  $[\tilde{v}]$  and  $[\mathbf{t}_1(\tilde{v})]$  where  $\mathbf{t}_1$  indicates translation by  $(1/2, 1/2, 1/2)$ .

On the other hand, decomposing  $D = D_1 \sqcup D_2$  into two identical connected components, we see that  $W_{D_1} = W_{D_2} = \mathbb{Z}^3$  is index 1 in  $\mathbb{Z}^3$ . So  $\beta_0(K_L) = 2$  where the generators of  $H_0(K_L)$  are given by the representative of the lift of each vertex. Thus both  $B$  and  $D$  will recover exactly the same results about the 0-dimensional homology, albeit the latter is somewhat more direct.

Next,  $B$  has three edges, one vertex, a single connected component and  $K_L$  is 3-periodic, so there will be three generators of  $H_1(K_L)$  identified by  $B$  up to translation. Following the same procedure as we used for the Kagome lattice, choosing  $p_1, p_2, p_3$  to simply be the edges of  $B$ , the generators are identified to be the 1-cycles corresponding to the lift of paths  $p_2^{-1}p_1^{-1}p_2p_1$ ,  $p_3^{-1}p_1^{-1}p_3p_1$  and  $p_3^{-1}p_2^{-1}p_3p_2$  (the commutators of  $p_1, p_2, p_3$ ) to  $K_L$ . These cycles are exactly the squares which appear when fixing one coordinate value, and we consider cycles to be identical to their translated copies on the other connected component.

On the other hand, since  $D$  has six edges, two vertices and two connected components, there will instead be six generators of  $H_1(K_L)$  up to translation identified by  $D$ . Following the same process as for  $B$  will identify the same cycles as generators – the squares which appear when fixing one coordinate – although the cycles on the green and purple component are no longer considered equivalent up to translation. This is obviously less concise, but there may be physical significance in distinguishing between the two components in application.

We note, however, the generating set of 1-cycles identified by Theorem 1 for either quotient graph contains degenerate terms. In the 1-skeleton of the 3-dimensional cube  $C_3$ , it is well known that five square faces form a basis for  $H_1(C_3)$  and the sixth square face can be written as a sum of the remaining

five. In the same way, we note that the square face obtained by lifting  $p_1^{-1}[p_2, p_3]p_1$  in  $K_L$  can be written as the sum of the lifts of  $[p_1, p_2]$ ,  $[p_1, p_3]^{-1}$ ,  $[p_2, p_3]$ ,  $p_3^{-1}[p_1, p_2]p_3$  and  $p_2^{-1}[p_1, p_3]^{-1}p_2$ . To remove this degeneracy, we keep copies of  $\mathbf{t}(\gamma)$  for the 1-cycle  $\gamma$  corresponding to the lift of  $[p_2, p_3]$  if and only if  $\mathbf{t} \in \langle w(p_2), w(p_3) \rangle$ . In general, this will be a problem for  $d$ -periodic graphs with  $d \geq 3$ , where of the  $\binom{d}{2}n^d$  “commutator” type cycles in  $X_n$ , in fact fewer than  $(d-1)n^d$  can ever be independent. This bound is seen when applying Corollary 1 to the standard WQG of a  $d$ -dimensional lattice. We can identify redundant cycles with this approach – analysing the 1-skeleton of a  $d$ -dimensional cube  $C_d$ , identifying an appropriate basis for  $H_1(C_d)$  and keeping commutator cycles of  $K$  if and only if they correspond to the basis of  $H_1(C_d)$ .

For both  $B$  and  $D$ , the corresponding translation groups  $T_B$  and  $T_D$  acting on  $K_L$  both partition  $K_L$  into unit cells contained in parallelepiped fundamental domains of volume  $\frac{1}{2}$  and 1 respectively. Taking  $X_B$  and  $X_D$  to be constructed from  $n_1 \times n_2 \times n_3$  copies of  $q(K)$  with periodic boundary conditions (corresponding to  $T_B$  and  $T_D$  respectively), we may deduce from Corollary 1 that  $\beta_0(X_B) = (3 + (-1)^{n_1+n_2+n_1n_2})/2$ ,  $\beta_1(X_B) = 2n_1n_2n_3 + (3 + (-1)^{n_1+n_2+n_1n_2})/2$ ,  $\beta_0(X_D) = 2$  and  $\beta_1(X_D) = 4n_1n_2n_3 + 2$ .

$\beta_0$  is  $O(1)$  and  $\beta_1$  is  $O(n_1n_2n_3)$  for both, although in each case  $\beta_i(X_D)$  can be fit with a polynomial function while  $\beta_i(X_B)$  cannot.  $\beta_1(X_B), \beta_1(X_D) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n_i \rightarrow \infty$ . This invariant behaviour does not tell us much, because  $K_L$  has infinitely many 1-cycles. In particular, it tells us nothing about the local concentration of cycles. Instead, we may look at the density. For  $N = n_1n_2n_3$ ,  $X_B$  has volume  $V_B = N/2$  and  $X_D$  has volume  $V_D = N$ . While  $\beta_1(X_B)/N \rightarrow 2$  and  $\beta_1(X_D)/N \rightarrow 4$ , we at least recover that  $\beta_1(X_B)/V_B, \beta_1(X_D)/V_D$  both converge to 2 cycles per unit volume as  $n_i \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Remark 2.** *We believe that calculating betti number per unit volume is a geometric invariant of  $B, D$  in the sense that the limiting behaviour of  $\beta_k(X)/V$  is fixed with respect to any WQG of  $K$ . This is not a topological invariant (as it is scale dependent), although it is of use in modelling molecular dynamics where length scale is important.*

On the other hand, in the limit as  $n_i \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\beta_0(X_B)$  does not converge (it keeps alternating between 1 and 2) whereas  $\beta_0(X_D) \rightarrow 2 = \beta_0(K_L)$ . Instead, the density of  $\beta_0$  per copy of  $q(K)$  and unit volume in each case converges to 0, so there is a way to obtain invariant convergent behaviour, albeit this interpretation only tells us there are (on average) no (new) connected components per copy of  $q(K)$ . This tells us that taking  $n_i \rightarrow \infty$  is a poor way to analyse topological behaviour constant in  $n$ , and in general for a  $d$ -periodic graph it will be a poor way to analyse  $O(n^{d-1})$  topological behaviour.

## 4 Periodic Cellular Complexes

In this section,  $K$  denotes a  $d$ -periodic cellular complex equipped with a group of translations  $T \cong \mathbb{Z}^d$  acting on  $K$ , and  $q: K \rightarrow q(K) := K/T$  denotes the canonical quotient map. We fix an orientation for each cell  $q(K)$ , which induces an orientation of all cells in  $K$ . Further, chain complexes and homology groups are now understood to have coefficients in some field  $\mathbb{F}$  so that homology groups are vector spaces.

### 4.1 Quotient Spaces and Finite Approximations

For cell complexes with dimension  $k \geq 2$  it is difficult to generalise the notion of a weighted quotient graph to a “weighted quotient space”. Weights on edges encode the relative offset of boundary vertices, allowing us to distinguish between 1-cycles of  $q(K)$  that lift to true cycles in  $K$  and those which are essentially a path through the periodic structure. For higher dimensions there is no such canonical pairing of boundary cells. Without this information there are several cases we cannot in general uncouple when looking at the quotient space.

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose  $\gamma \in C_\bullet(K)$  is such that  $q(\gamma) \in Z_\bullet(q(K))$ . Then exactly one of the following holds*




Figure 4: A section of a 2-periodic graph and its weighted quotient graph with respect to translations by  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ . In red is a cycle satisfying Case 1 of Lemma 1, in orange a cycle satisfying Case 2, in green a chain satisfying Case 3, and in blue a chain satisfying Case 4.

1.  $q(\gamma) = 0$  and  $\gamma \in Z_{\bullet}(K) \cap \ker(q)$
2.  $q(\gamma) \neq 0$  and  $\gamma \in Z_{\bullet}(K) \setminus \ker(q)$
3.  $q(\gamma) = 0$  and  $\partial(\gamma) \in \ker(q) \setminus \{0\}$
4.  $q(\gamma) \neq 0$  and  $\partial(\gamma) \in \ker(q) \setminus \{0\}$

See Figure 4 for an illustration of each case for a periodic graph.

*Proof.*  $q$  induces a surjective map  $q_{\bullet} : C_{\bullet}(K) \rightarrow C_{\bullet}(q(K))$  which we also denote by  $q$ . The four cases above are mutually exclusive, so the result follows by the assumption that  $0 = \partial q(\gamma) = q(\partial\gamma)$ . Case 3 (resp. 4) occurs when  $q(\gamma) = 0$  ( $q(\gamma) \neq 0$ ) and Case 1 (Case 2) fails.  $\square$

**Remark 3.** Note that in Case 1,  $\gamma$  is a cycle in  $C_{\bullet}(K)$  which disappears in  $C_{\bullet}(q(K))$  while in Case 4 we have gained a cycle in  $C_{\bullet}(q(K))$  from a non-cycle in  $C_{\bullet}(K)$ . This captures the basic obstruction to determining the homology of  $K$  from the homology of  $q(K)$ .

Since the boundary map is trivial in degree-0,  $\ker(q_0) \leq Z_0(K) = C_0(K)$ , the 0-cycles of periodic cell-complexes all satisfy Cases 1 and 2 of Lemma 1. In the case of a periodic graph, the first part of Theorem 1 essentially determines when a 0-cycle satisfying Case 1 is also a boundary. Theorem 1 also helps us to distinguish between the four cases in degree-1 homology. A 1-cycle in  $Z_1(q(K))$  of zero weight exactly corresponds to Case 2, those of non-zero weight exactly correspond to Case 4. There are no 2-simplices in a periodic graph, so this entirely defines the degree-1 homology. For higher dimension, without a well-defined “weighted quotient space”, we have no analogous tool to recover the homology of  $K$  from  $q(K)$  and distinguish between cycles in  $q(K)$  satisfying Case 2 and Case 4, nor to recover cycles in  $K$  satisfying Case 1.

## 4.2 Finite Approximations

An alternative way to calculate the homology of  $K$  is to approximate it from finite subcomplexes of increasing size. Recall the definitions of the complexes  $X$  and  $Y$  in Section 2, built from  $n_1 \times \cdots \times n_d$  copies of  $q(K)$ . Let  $n_1 = \cdots = n_d = n$  and write  $Y_n$  for the closure of  $n^d$  copies of  $q(K)$ , then for each  $m \leq n$  we have a natural embedding  $i : Y_m \hookrightarrow Y_n$ . This creates a directed system  $(Y_n, i)$  over  $\mathbb{N}$  whose direct limit is  $K$ .

If instead we use periodic boundary conditions to obtain the spaces  $X_n$ , then when  $m$  divides  $n$  we have a natural projection map  $p : X_n \rightarrow X_m$ . This creates an inverse system  $(X_n, p)$  over  $\mathbb{N}$  with partial ordering induced by divisibility, and while  $K$  is a common covering space of this system it is not the inverse limit. Applying the homology functor to both systems, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H_\bullet(Y_1) & \xrightarrow{i} & H_\bullet(Y_2) & \xrightarrow{i} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{i} & H_\bullet(Y_n) & \xrightarrow{i} & \cdots \\ & & & & & & & & \cdots & \xrightarrow{i} & H_\bullet(K) & \xrightarrow{p} & \cdots \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & \cdots & \xrightarrow{p} & H_\bullet(X_n) & \xrightarrow{p} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{p} & H_\bullet(X_2) & \xrightarrow{p} & H_\bullet(X_1). \end{array}$$

In the right side of the diagram, we have slightly abused notation in writing all homologies in a single line, as  $H_\bullet(X_n) \xrightarrow{p} H_\bullet(X_m)$  exists if and only if  $m|n$ . The diagram shows that is reasonable to approximate the homology of  $K$  with  $X_n$  or  $Y_n$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . However, as was the case in Section 3.3 there are some subtleties we must be careful of with this approach.

For  $\bar{U}$  the closure of a unit cell of  $K$ , we can write every  $k$ -cell of  $X_n$  (not necessarily uniquely) as  $\mathbf{t}(\sigma)$  where  $\sigma \in \bar{U}$  and  $\mathbf{t} \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^d$ . Thus  $\dim C_k(X_n) \leq n^d \cdot \dim C_k(\bar{U})$  and for large  $n$  we can thus bound

$$\beta_k(X_n) \leq \dim C_k(X_n) \leq n^d \cdot \dim C_k(\bar{U}) = O(n^d)$$

That is, the homology of  $X_n$  is bounded by polynomial growth. We also obtain a similar result for  $Y_n$ . Not all behaviour will be regular, as seen when calculating the homology of interwoven cubical lattices with respect to the maximal translational group. The difficulty therein lies with balancing

- When is  $n$  sufficiently large that  $X_n$  or  $Y_n$  contain a set of cycles and boundaries which generate the homology of  $K$  up to translation?
- When is  $H_\bullet(X_n)$  or  $H_\bullet(Y_n)$  too large to compute in a practical timeframe?

**Remark 4.** If  $K = \{K_t\}$  is a filtered complex such that each  $K_t$  is also  $d$ -periodic with respect to the same translational group  $T$  then this induces a filtration on each  $X_n$  (or  $Y_n$ ). For any point  $(a, b)$  of the persistence diagrams occurring with multiplicity  $M(n)$  for  $X_n$  (and analogously for  $Y_n$ ) we may bound

$$M(n) \leq \beta_{\bullet}^{a,b}(X_{n,t}) \leq \beta_{\bullet}(X_{n, \frac{a+b}{2}}) \leq O(n^d)$$

where  $\beta_{\bullet}^{a,b}(X_{n,t})$  denotes the persistence Betti number between  $X_{n,a}$  and  $X_{n,b}$ .

If we approximate  $K$  with either  $X_n$  or  $Y_n$ , the inaccuracy of the topology occurs due to boundary conditions. When truncating  $K$ , the boundary of  $Y_n$  introduces irregular cycles only present since  $Y_n$  is not locally homeomorphic to  $K$  at these locations. When imposing periodic boundary conditions, we instead introduce *toroidal* or *open* cycles which are present in  $X_n$  but not present in the lift to  $K$ . Toroidal cycles are related to Case 4 of Lemma 1, and appear since the quotient space is embedded in an ambient  $d$ -dimensional torus. After lifting to  $K$ , we think of such cycles as representing part of an unbounded  $k$ -dimensional network through  $K$  (i.e., infinite paths for  $k = 1$ , infinite sheets for  $k = 2$  and so on). For  $n$  sufficiently large, toroidal cycles will themselves have translational symmetry in  $X_n$ , so that a cycle may be fixed by some translations and mapped to distinct copies by other translations. This allows us to make a strong statement about the number of translational equivalence classes of toroidal cycles in  $X_n$ .

**Theorem 2.** (Necessary bound for toroidal cycle growth) Let  $I_\bullet^n$  be the subgroup of  $H_\bullet(X_n)$  induced by the image of the covering map  $p_n : K \rightarrow X_n$ . Then  $\dim(H_\bullet(X_n)/I_\bullet^n) = O(n^{d-1})$  for  $n$  sufficiently large.

*Proof.* Let  $N$  denote the number of cells in  $\bar{U}$  and let

$$M = \max_{\mathbf{t} \in T} \max_{i=1, \dots, d} \left\{ |c_i| : \mathbf{t}(\bar{U}) \cap \bar{U} \neq \emptyset, \mathbf{t} = \sum_{i=1}^d c_i \mathbf{t}_i \right\}$$

so that  $M$  bounds the furthest distance (relative to box width) a cell in  $\bar{U}$  may traverse. For  $n > 4M$ , let  $Y_n$  be built from  $n^d$  copies of  $q(K)$  without periodic boundary conditions and let  $Y_n \supset Z_n = \bigcup_{c_1, \dots, c_d=2M}^{n-2M-1} (\sum_{i=1}^d c_i \mathbf{t}_i)(\bar{U})$  where  $Z_n \cong Y_{n-4M}$ . Let  $\gamma \in C_\bullet(Y_n)$  map to a cycle in  $X_n$  (i.e.,  $p_n(\gamma) \in Z_\bullet(X_n)$ ). Then  $\partial\gamma = 0$  or  $\partial\gamma \in C_\bullet(Y_n) \setminus C_\bullet(Z_n)$ . In either case, if  $\tilde{\gamma}$  also maps to a cycle in  $X_n$  and  $\partial\gamma = \partial\tilde{\gamma}$ , then  $\gamma$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}$  must represent the same element of  $H_\bullet(X_n)/I_\bullet^n$ . This is illustrated in Figure 5, where the difference of the red and blue 1-cycles must necessarily project onto  $I_1^n$ .




Figure 5: An illustration of  $Y_n$  and  $Z_n$  for  $d = 2$  where the arrow labelled  $n$  (resp.  $M$ ) indicates a width of  $n$  ( $M$ ) fundamental domains. The chains representing  $\gamma$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}$  have common boundary. They represent toroidal cycles of  $H_1(X_n)$  and will represent the same class of  $H_1(X_n)/I_1^n$ . Moreover,  $\partial\gamma$  is not in  $C_\bullet(Z_n)$  as  $Z_n$  is strictly contained in the green region.

Now, fix a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $H_\bullet(X_n)/I_\bullet^n$ . For every basis element  $\beta_i \in \mathcal{B}$ , choose a representative chain  $\gamma_i \in C_\bullet(Y_n)$  and map  $\beta_i \mapsto \partial\gamma_i \bmod C_\bullet(Z_n)$ . The argument above ensures that the  $\gamma_i$  will be linearly independent, so this map extends linearly to an injective homomorphism into  $C_\bullet(Y_n)/C_\bullet(Z_n)$ . The dimension of the codomain is bounded by the number of cells in  $Y_n$  not contained in  $Z_n$ , so

$$\dim(H_\bullet(X_n)/I_\bullet^n) \leq \dim(C_\bullet(Y_n)/C_\bullet(Z_n)) \leq N [n^d - (n - 4M)^d] = O(n^{d-1}).$$

□

It is also possible for translational equivalence classes of non-toroidal cycles to appear with multiplicity  $O(n^{d-1})$ . For example, consider a (1-periodic) infinite cylinder,  $K_C$ , with  $\beta_1(K_C) = 1$ . Then  $X_n \cong \mathbb{T}^2$  for each  $n$  contains one toroidal and one non-toroidal cycle, so  $\beta_1(X_n) = O(1)$  despite the existence of the non-toroidal 1-cycle. However, in this case, the non-toroidal cycle (the circle of the cylinder) is, in a sense, coupled to the toroidal cycle (the torus formed by gluing opposite ends of the cylinder). In fact, this generalises to a weakly sufficient condition, whereby all non-toroidal cycles that grow as  $O(n^{d-1})$  are canonically associated with toroidal cycles.

**Theorem 3.** (Weakly sufficient bound for toroidal cycle growth) Suppose  $\gamma \in Z_k(X_n)$  is a non-toroidal  $k$ -cycle and  $\{[\mathbf{t}(\gamma)] : \mathbf{t} \in T/nT\}$  is a family of  $O(n^{d-m})$  non-toroidal homology classes for some positive integer  $m \leq d$ . Then there exists toroidal  $(k+1)$ -cycles  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in Z_{k+1}(X_n)$  such that  $\{[\mathbf{t}(\alpha_i)] : \mathbf{t} \in T/nT\}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$  are families of  $O(n^{d-m})$  classes of  $H_{k+1}(X_n)/I^n$ .

*Proof.* There exists independent translations  $\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_m \in T$  such that  $\tilde{\gamma} \sim \mathbf{t}_i(\tilde{\gamma})$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and  $\tilde{\gamma} \in Z_k(K)$  an appropriate lift of  $\gamma$ . Take  $\tilde{\alpha}_i$  so that  $\partial(\tilde{\alpha}_i) = \tilde{\gamma} - \mathbf{t}_i(\tilde{\gamma})$ . Then  $\alpha_i = q\left(\sum_{l=0}^{n'} \mathbf{t}_i^l(\tilde{\alpha}_i)\right)$  is a toroidal cycle in  $X_n$  where  $n' = \min(l : \mathbf{t}_i^l \in nT)$ . Then  $\mathbf{t}_j(\alpha_i) \sim \alpha_i$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ .  $\square$

In fact, this coupling of toroidal and non-toroidal cycles will help us determine a large collection of other cycles, both toroidal or non-toroidal.

**Corollary 2.** *Suppose  $\gamma \in Z_k(X_n)$  is a non-toroidal  $k$ -cycle and  $\{[\mathbf{t}(\gamma)] : \mathbf{t} \in T/nT\}$  is a family of  $O(n^{d-m})$  non-toroidal homology classes for some positive integer  $m \leq d$ . For every non-empty  $L \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$  with  $\ell = |L|$  there exists a trichotomy*

1. *There exists a corresponding toroidal  $(k + \ell)$ -cycle,  $\alpha_L \in Z_{k+\ell}(X_n)$ , such that  $\{[\mathbf{t}(\alpha_L)] : \mathbf{t} \in T/nT\}$  is a family of  $O(n^{d-m})$  classes of  $H_{k+\ell}(X_n)/I^n$ .*
2. *There exists a corresponding non-toroidal  $(k + \ell - 1)$ -cycle,  $\alpha_L \in Z_{k+\ell-1}(X_n)$ , such that  $\{[\mathbf{t}(\alpha_L)] : \mathbf{t} \in T/nT\}$  is a family of  $O(n^d)$  classes of  $I^n$ .*
3. *Some proper subset of  $L$  satisfies (2).*

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 3 inductively, with base case  $\ell = 1$ . For  $\ell > 1$  and toroidal cycles associated with  $\mathbf{t}_{L_1}, \dots, \mathbf{t}_{L_{\ell-1}}$ , take alternating sum of these with  $\mathbf{t}_{L_\ell}$ . This either generates another family of  $O(n^{d-m})$  toroidal  $(k + \ell)$ -cycles if the alternating sum of  $(k + \ell - 1)$ -cycles is a boundary, or a family of  $O(n^d)$  non-toroidal  $(k + \ell - 1)$ -cycles otherwise. If we identify non-toroidal  $(k + \ell - 1)$ -cycles then our induction is complete, as we are unable to generate higher-dimensional cells with this method. This shows (1) and (2), and for (3) we note that Theorem 3 implies the existence of subsets of  $L$  satisfying (1), and we need only apply the above inductive process to completion along a given sequence to gain a subset of  $L$  satisfying (2).  $\square$

These couplings between toroidal and non-toroidal cycles which arise from Theorem 3 essentially show that many toroidal  $k$ -cycles can be decomposed into a “true”  $j$ -cycle and a  $(k - j)$ -cycle of an ambient torus,  $\mathbb{T}^d$ . We conjecture that this is in fact true of all toroidal cycles, and moreover that this association will help generalise weighted quotient graphs to higher dimensional spaces.

**Conjecture 1.** *With the notation of Theorem 2, there exists a canonical embedding*

$$H_k(X_n)/I_k^n \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=0}^{k-1} I_j^n \otimes H_{k-j}(\mathbb{T}^d) \cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^{k-1} I_j^n \otimes \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{d}{k-j}}$$

## 5 The Mayer-Vietoris Spectral Sequence

In this section,  $K$  is as in Section 4, and for any differential graded module  $(M, d)$  such that  $\text{im}(d) < \ker(d)$ , we will denote  $H(M, d) := \ker(d)/\text{im}(d)$  to be its homology or  $H(M)$  when the differential  $d$  is clear from the context (e.g., the boundary map). If the reader is already familiar with the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence, they may wish to skip to Section 5.2.

### 5.1 Construction

Here we give an overview of the construction of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence. We direct the reader to [6, 24] for further details (or to [20] for an interpretation with tensors).

Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{i \in I}\}$  be a cover of  $K$  by subcomplexes such that only finite intersections of sets in  $\mathcal{U}$  may be non-empty, and let  $\mathcal{N}$  denote the nerve of  $\mathcal{U}$ . The *blow-up* complex of  $K$  with respect to  $\mathcal{U}$  is the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bigraded module  $E^0 = \{E_{p,q}^0\}_{p,q \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , where

$$E_{p,q}^0 := \langle (J, \gamma) : \gamma \in C_q(\cap_{j \in J} U_j), |J| = p + 1 \rangle$$

is a subspace of the tensor product  $C_p(\mathcal{N}) \otimes C_q(K)$  representing  $q$ -chains appearing in  $(p + 1)$ -fold intersections of  $\mathcal{U}$  (here we use  $(V, \gamma)$  to denote  $V \otimes \gamma$ ).  $E^0$  is equipped with the maps  $\partial_{p,q}^0 : E_{p,q}^0 \rightarrow E_{p,q-1}^0$  and  $\partial_{p,q}^1 : E_{p,q}^0 \rightarrow E_{p-1,q}^0$  induced by the boundary maps on  $K$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  respectively. Explicitly:  $\partial_{p,q}^0(x, y) = (x, \partial y)$ ,  $\partial_{p,q}^1(x, y) = (-1)^q(\partial x, y)$  where  $\partial$  is the usual boundary map/s and both maps extend linearly to  $E_{p,q}^0$ .


The maps  $\partial^0$  and  $\partial^1$  satisfy  $(\partial^0)^2 = 0, (\partial^1)^2 = 0, \partial^0 \partial^1 + \partial^1 \partial^0 = 0$ , which makes the blow-up complex  $(E^0, \partial^0, \partial^1)$  a *bi-complex* — a two-parameter analogue of a differential graded complex (e.g., a chain complex). Algebraically, we picture the blow-up complex with the diagram below, where every square *anticommutes*.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
& & \downarrow \partial^0 & & \downarrow \partial^0 & & \downarrow \partial^0 \\
0 & \leftarrow & E_{02}^0 & \xleftarrow{\partial^1} & E_{12}^0 & \xleftarrow{\partial^1} & E_{22}^0 & \xleftarrow{\partial^1} & \dots \\
& & \downarrow \partial^0 & & \downarrow \partial^0 & & \downarrow \partial^0 & & \\
0 & \leftarrow & E_{01}^0 & \xleftarrow{\partial^1} & E_{11}^0 & \xleftarrow{\partial^1} & E_{21}^0 & \xleftarrow{\partial^1} & \dots \\
& & \downarrow \partial^0 & & \downarrow \partial^0 & & \downarrow \partial^0 & & \\
0 & \leftarrow & E_{00}^0 & \xleftarrow{\partial^1} & E_{10}^0 & \xleftarrow{\partial^1} & E_{20}^0 & \xleftarrow{\partial^1} & \dots \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 
\end{array}$$

A *spectral sequence*  $(E^r, d^r)$  is a collection of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bigraded modules  $E^r$  and differential maps  $d^r$  with the property that  $H(E^r, d^r) = E^{r+1}$  (that is, the homology with respect to  $d^r$  of  $E^r$  determines  $E^{r+1}$ ). We say that  $E^r$  is the  $r^{\text{th}}$ -page of the spectral sequence. If for each  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$  there exists an  $r_{p,q}$  such that  $E_{p,q}^r \cong E_{p,q}^{r_{p,q}}$  for  $r \geq r_{p,q}$ , then we define  $E^\infty = \{E_{p,q}^{r_{p,q}}\}_{p,q \in \mathbb{Z}}$  to be the  $\infty$ -page of the spectral sequence and say that  $(E^r, d^r)$  converges to  $E^\infty$ . In most cases, the  $r_{p,q}$  achieve a maximum  $R$  and we set  $E^\infty := E^R$ .

Every bi-complex  $(M, d^0, d^1)$  induces a spectral sequence for which  $M = E^0, E^1 = H(M, d^0)$  and  $E^2 = H(E^1, d^1)$ . In the latter, we have overloaded the notation by writing  $d^1$  for the map on the quotient space  $E^1 = H(E^0, d^0)$  induced by the second differential map  $d^1 : E^0 \rightarrow E^0$ . Finally, the *Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence* (MVSS) of a covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $K$  is the spectral sequence induced by the blow-up complex,  $(E^0, \partial^0, \partial^1)$ , whose diagonals can be identified with the homology of  $K$ , as we will soon see. This means

- $E^1 = H(E^0, \partial^0)$  is the combined (cellular) homology of the components of the cover.
- $E^2 = H(E^1, \partial^1)$  is induced by the (simplicial) homology of the nerve.
- For  $r > 1$ ,  $\partial^r = \partial^1(\partial^0)^{-1}\partial^{(r-1)} : E_{p,q}^r \rightarrow E_{p-r,q+r-1}^r$  inductively determines the differential map on the  $r^{\text{th}}$  page whose image is independent of the choice of representative (c.f. [21]) when applying  $(\partial^0)^{-1}$  (see the following diagram).
- $(E^r, \partial^r)$  converges (with  $r_{p,q} = \max(0, p + 1, q + 2)$ ).



The Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is so named because it generalises the standard exact sequence to covers with more than two elements. We can determine the homology of  $K$  from the diagonals of  $E^\infty$ . The simplest possible scenario is when  $\mathcal{U} = \{A, B\}$ ,  $K = A \cup B$ , and  $\mathcal{N} = \{A, B, A \cap B\}$ . The standard Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence is

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H_q(A \cap B) \xrightarrow{(i^*, j^*)} H_q(A) \oplus H_q(B) \xrightarrow{k^* - l^*} H_q(K) \xrightarrow{\delta} H_{q-1}(A \cap B) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

The blow-up complex has just two columns of chain complexes  $E_{0, \bullet}^0$  and  $E_{1, \bullet}^0$  and the spectral sequence will converge on the second page. To see this, we note  $E_{0, q}^1 = H_q(A) \oplus H_q(B)$  and  $E_{1, q}^1 = H_q(A \cap B)$ . We may then identify the kernel and image of  $\partial^1 : E_{1, q}^1 \rightarrow E_{0, q}^1$  as the kernel and image (resp.) of the map  $H_q(A \cap B) \xrightarrow{(i^*, j^*)} H_q(A) \oplus H_q(B)$  in the exact sequence. And from the exact sequence we determine the homology of  $K$  by

$$H_q(K) \cong \operatorname{coker} \left( H_q(A \cap B) \xrightarrow{(i^*, j^*)} H_q(A) \oplus H_q(B) \right) \oplus \ker \left( H_{q-1}(A \cap B) \xrightarrow{(i^*, j^*)} H_{q-1}(A) \oplus H_{q-1}(B) \right)$$

which translates to the expression  $H_k(K) \cong E_{0, k}^\infty \oplus E_{1, k-1}^\infty$  in the spectral sequence notation. This generalises to a more fundamental result of [15], where  $E_{0, k}^\infty \oplus E_{1, k-1}^\infty$  generalises to the direct sum of off-diagonal terms. To make this explicit, we identify the *total complex* of  $E^0$ , denoted  $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ , to be the graded complex of diagonals from the blow-up complex  $E^0$ . That is,  $(T_{\mathcal{U}})_k := \bigoplus_{p+q=k} E_{p, q}^0$ . The fact that squares in the above diagram anticommute means  $(\partial^0 + \partial^1)^2 = 0$ , making  $(T_{\mathcal{U}}, \partial^0 + \partial^1)$  a differential graded complex.

**Theorem 4.**

$$H_k(K) \cong H_k(T_{\mathcal{U}}, \partial^0 + \partial^1) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=k} E_{p, q}^\infty$$

Explicitly,  $H_k(T_{\mathcal{U}}, \partial^0 + \partial^1)$  permits a filtration  $\{H_k(T_{\mathcal{U}}, \partial^0 + \partial^1)^i : i = 0, \dots, k\}$  for which  $E_{p, k-p}^\infty \cong H_k(T_{\mathcal{U}}, \partial^0 + \partial^1)^p / H_k(T_{\mathcal{U}}, \partial^0 + \partial^1)^{p-1}$ . The right-most isomorphism of the theorem applies in general only for vector spaces and free modules. When we generalise to other modules (for instance persistence modules over the ring  $\mathbb{F}[t]$ ), we run into an “extension problem” in how to determine  $H_k(T_{\mathcal{U}}, \partial^0 + \partial^1)^p$  from  $E_{p, k-p}^\infty$  and  $H_k(T_{\mathcal{U}}, \partial^0 + \partial^1)^{p-1}$ .

The isomorphism  $H_k(T_{\mathcal{U}}, \partial^0 + \partial^1) \rightarrow H_k(K)$  is induced by the (sum of) inclusion map(s) from  $E_{0,k}^0$  into  $C_k(K)$  (we denote these maps by  $i_U^*$  below). This relates a cycle in  $K$  to a “blow-up” of its cells in each component of the cover (the  $E_{0,k}^0$  component of  $(T_{\mathcal{U}})_k$ ) and the lower-dimensional intersections of these cells occurring in the higher-dimensional components of the cover (the  $E_{p,k-p}^0$  components of  $(T_{\mathcal{U}})_k$  for  $p > 0$ ). On the other hand, the isomorphism  $H_k(T_{\mathcal{U}}, \partial^0 + \partial^1) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=k} E_{p,q}^\infty$  describes a filtration of  $H_k(T_{\mathcal{U}}, \partial^0 + \partial^1)$ , whereby  $H_k(E_{0,k}^0, \partial^0 + \partial^1) \cong E_{0,k}^\infty$  and inductively  $E_{p,k-p}^\infty \cong H_k(\bigoplus_{i=0}^p E_{i,k-i}^0, \partial^0 + \partial^1) / H_k(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} E_{i,k-i}^0, \partial^0 + \partial^1)$  for  $0 < p \leq k$ . We think of an element  $\gamma \in E_{p,k-p}^\infty$  existing only due to  $p$ -fold intersections of the cover as it corresponds to a class of  $H_k(T_{\mathcal{U}}, \partial^0 + \partial^1)$  with representative cycles in  $\bigoplus_{i=0}^p E_{i,k-i}^0$  but none in  $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} E_{i,k-i}^0$ .

$$[\alpha_p] \in E_{p,k-p}^\infty \implies \exists [(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_p, 0, \dots, 0)] \in H_k(T_{\mathcal{U}}, \partial^0 + \partial^1) \iff \left[ \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} i_U^*(\alpha_0) \right] \in H_k(K)$$

The benefits of the MVSS in application are two-fold. First, that the isomorphism  $H_k(K) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=k} E_{p,q}^\infty$  decomposes in such a way that a given basis of cycles is minimal with respect to intersections of the cover. This is explicitly due to the above correspondence, the left implication is an equivalence whenever  $p$  is the minimal value for which there exists  $[(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_p, 0, \dots, 0)] \in H_k(T_{\mathcal{U}}, \partial^0 + \partial^1)$ . Second, that we may replace one large (possibly infinite) calculation of homology for  $H_\bullet(K)$  with many smaller calculations done in parallel. The latter allows us to think of the MVSS as an algorithm for difficult homology calculations, where each page can be considered a refinement of  $H_\bullet(K)$ .

## 5.2 Application to Periodic Cellular Complexes

A partial solution to the problem of identifying toroidal cycles involves introducing a Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence to calculate the homology. Observe that

$$\mathcal{U} = \{\mathbf{t}(\overline{U}) : \mathbf{t} \in T\}$$

is a covering of  $K$  by subcomplexes, so induces a blow-up complex  $(E^0, \partial_0, \partial_1)$  of  $K$  from which  $H_\bullet(K)$  may be calculated. There are still infinitely many cells and so we cannot implement homology calculations with matrices, although we at least gain information of the local topology of  $K$  by breaking down the homology into many smaller identical (up to translation) parallel calculations on finite complexes on each page<sup>4</sup>.

Recall that  $X_n$  denotes the bounded space of  $n \times \dots \times n$  copies of  $q(K)$  with periodic boundary conditions. An analogous construction creates a MVSS for  $X_n$ , which may instead be calculated with a finite algorithm. The toroidal cycles of  $X_n$  will be elucidated on the second (and higher) page(s) of this MVSS as a result of applying the nerve boundary map.

These cycles will intersect many components of the cover  $\mathcal{U}$  and therefore be non-localised in  $X_n$  – reconstructed using higher-dimensional components of  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})$  and therefore in the right-most columns of  $E^\infty$ . Conversely, we expect “true” cycles of  $K$  to be localised in  $X_n$ , and so it is possible for some of these cycles to be reconstructed with lower-dimensional components of  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})$ .

**Theorem 5.** *If  $\gamma \in H_\bullet(X_n)$  can be identified with an element of  $E_{0,\bullet}^\infty$  then  $\gamma$  is a non-toroidal cycle.*

*Proof.* If  $\gamma$  corresponds to an element of  $E_{0,\bullet}^\infty$  then  $\gamma$  in turn corresponds to an element of  $H_\bullet(T_{\mathcal{U}}, \partial^0 + \partial^1)$  with representative in  $E_{0,\bullet}^0$ . But then  $\gamma$  must be a sum of cycles, each of which is contained in a single copy of  $\overline{U}$  and necessarily lifts to  $K$ .  $\square$

We now have two necessary (one of which is weakly sufficient) conditions for identifying toroidal cycles of  $X_n$  via a MVSS – those that appear in a collection of *strictly fewer* than  $O(n^d)$  translationally equivalent cycles which also do *not* correspond to an element of  $E_{0,\bullet}^\infty$ . These conditions provide a strong heuristic with which we can identify cycles, albeit without the ability to computationally confirm that  $\dim(E_{pq}^\infty) = O(n^{d-1})$  for each  $p, q$  without additional manual calculations.

<sup>4</sup>In principle,  $\overline{U}$  may be replaced by any subcomplex whose periodic copies cover  $K$ .



by  $H(E_{\bullet,0}^1, \partial^1) \cong H_{\bullet}(\mathbb{R}^3/(n\mathbb{Z})^3) \cong H_{\bullet}(\mathbb{T}^3)$ . Next,  $E_{p,1}^1 \cong \mathbb{F}[(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^3]$  for  $p = 0, 1$  where  $\mathbb{F}[(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^3]$  denotes the  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space with basis  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^3$ . The isomorphism identifies the sole generator of the homology of the  $(i, j, k)^{\text{th}}$  copy of  $V$  with the basis element  $(i, j, k)$ . This identification associates  $\partial_1 : E_{11}^1 \rightarrow E_{01}^1$  with the map  $(i, j, k) \mapsto (i, j, k) + (i + 1, j, k)$  which has rank  $n^3 - n^2$ .

$$E^2 : \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \mathbb{F}^{n^2} & & \mathbb{F}^{n^2} & & \\ & & \swarrow & & \swarrow & & \\ & & \partial^2 & & \partial^2 & & \\ & & \mathbb{F} & & \mathbb{F}^3 & & \mathbb{F} \end{array}$$

Finally, we observe that  $E^3 = E^\infty$ . To calculate  $E^3$  we note that  $\partial^2 : E_{30}^2 \rightarrow E_{11}^2$  must be injective, as otherwise this implies  $H_3(X_n) \neq 0$  despite  $X_n$  being a 2-dimensional complex. Note also that for a fixed choice of vertex  $v$  in  $V$  and the permutation  $\varsigma$  which maps  $\varsigma(i, j, k) = (k, j, i)$ , the three classes of  $E_{20}^2$  of the form

$$\sum_{i,j} [(\mathbf{t}_{\varsigma^\ell(i,j,0)}(v), \{\mathbf{t}_{\varsigma^\ell(i,j,0)}, \mathbf{t}_{\varsigma^\ell(i,j+1,0)}, \mathbf{t}_{\varsigma^\ell(i+1,j,0)}\}) - (\mathbf{t}_{\varsigma^\ell(i,j,0)}(v), \{\mathbf{t}_{\varsigma^\ell(i,j+1,0)}, \mathbf{t}_{\varsigma^\ell(i+1,j,0)}, \mathbf{t}_{\varsigma^\ell(i+1,j+1,0)}\})]$$


for  $\ell = 0, 1, -1$  form a basis. After diagram chase, each basis element will map under  $\partial^2$  to the sum over each copy of  $V$  of a single square face (where any pair of basis elements will map to squares in orthogonal planes). Only one of these three generators will have non-trivial image (the one whose square faces are not the boundary of a 2-cell) and so  $\partial^2$  has rank 1. Thus the MVSS converges to the following complex.

$$E^\infty : \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \mathbb{F}^{n^2-1} & & \mathbb{F}^{n^2-1} & & \\ & & \swarrow & & \swarrow & & \\ & & \mathbb{F} & & \mathbb{F}^3 & & \mathbb{F}^2 \end{array}$$

- $E_{00}^\infty$  is identified with the single connected component of  $X_n$ , which will always be non-toroidal and tells us that  $K$  is connected since this is true for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- $E_{01}^\infty$  is identified with the square 1-cycles in each copy of  $V$  as discussed above, which again must be true cycles of  $K$  and lift in the obvious way.
- $E_{10}^\infty$  is identified with three 1-cycles generated by orthogonal paths through  $X_n$ . These are toroidal and lift to construct the 1-dimensional lattice framework of  $K$  in the standard basis directions. In particular, these generate the 1-homology of the ambient torus.
- $E_{11}^\infty$  is identified with square tori 2-cycles in  $X_n$ .  $E_{11}^\infty$  is generated by the same square cylinders that generate  $E_{01}^\infty$ , except now these are viewed as sitting in the intersection of two adjacent copies of  $V$ . These in turn correspond to infinite square cylinders in  $K$  which project onto square tori in  $X_n$ . There are  $n^2 - 1$  cycles by analogy to  $E_{01}^\infty$ , all of which are toroidal.
- $E_{20}^\infty$  is represented in  $E_{20}^0$  by the sum of vertices in three-fold intersections of co-planar copies of  $V$ . In  $K$  these correspond to the collection of orthogonal planes (purple and cyan) which can be identified with open 2-tori in  $X_n$ . These are toroidal cycles. There are a constant number of generators of  $E_{20}^\infty$  for similar reasons to  $E_{10}^\infty$ , and likewise correspond to generators of the 2-homology of the ambient torus.

In particular, the toroidal 2-cycles represented by  $E_{11}^\infty$  are identified by taking the  $O(n^2)$  non-toroidal cycles identified by  $E_{10}^\infty$  and applying Theorem 3.

By recentering the fundamental domain of  $K$  we also construct examples of spectral sequences where both toroidal and non-toroidal cycles fall *outside* the zeroth column with multiplicity  $O(n^2)$ . Indeed, the X-shaped complex shown below, and its periodic copies (with an appropriate new cellular decomposition), provide an acyclic cover of  $X_n$ , where *every*  $k$ -cycle of  $X_n$  will appear in the  $k^{\text{th}}$  column and zeroth row of  $E^\infty$ .



In this case, Theorem 3 and Corollary 2 tell us that the  $O(n^2)$  non-toroidal cycles are likely coupled to the  $O(n^2)$  toroidal cycles. In fact they are in this case, where the square tori described by the in  $E_{11}^\infty$  will exactly have boundaries which are a sum of squares described by  $E_{10}^\infty$  when lifted to  $K$ .

## 6 Discussion and Future Work

We have seen that the homology of periodic graphs can be entirely quantified by the information stored in a weighted quotient graph, and that this information is also conducive to quantifying the behaviour of finite windows with periodic boundary conditions. However, the weights of a weighted quotient graph do not generalise in an obvious way so we have no similar method to treat higher dimensional cellular complexes.

The difficulty in generalising vector weights arises by how  $q(K)$  glues opposite sides of a unit cell together. The boundary map and  $q$  commute so a chain in  $K$  can map to a cycle in  $q(K)$  if the chain boundary cells are in the same translation equivalence class of  $q(K)$ . Suppose though that we were to have some tool which in general could distinguish between Case 2 and Case 4 of Lemma 1 for cycles in  $q(K)$ . Since we also care about translation invariance of  $K$ , such a tool *must* satisfy the following axioms in order to uncouple this information:

1. Evaluates differently on Cases 2 and 4 of Lemma 1
2. Encodes information about the boundaries of cells
3. Translation invariant when lifted to  $K$ .

Analogous to the weights of weighted quotient graphs, we may also require that this *explicitly* encodes the relative offsets of boundary cells when lifted to  $K$

4. Assigns a value in  $T$  to each cell in  $q(K)$
5. Respects the linearity of the chain group

A relatively simple candidate which satisfies these axioms is a  $T$ -valued cochain of  $q(K)$  which lifts in a “nice” way to  $K$ . This motivates the notion of a  $k$ -weighted quotient space of  $K$  as being  $q(K)$  equipped with a  $k$ -weight  $\omega_k \in C^k(q(K); T)$  satisfying the following properties

1. There exists  $f_{k-1} \in C^{k-1}(K; T)$  such that  $df_{k-1} = \omega_k \circ q$
2. For any  $\tilde{\gamma} \in Z_k(q(K))$ ,  $\omega_k(\tilde{\gamma}) = 0$  if and only if  $\tilde{\gamma} = q(\gamma)$  for some  $\gamma \in Z_k(K)$ .

This definition solves the issue of uniquely pairing boundary cells by pairing all of them, at the cost of losing information of relative offsets of any individual pair of boundary cells. For  $k = 0$ ,  $q(K)$  will have a unique 0-weight  $\omega_0 = 0$ . For  $k = 1$  we have shown that connected weighted quotient graphs exactly satisfy this definition of 1-weighted quotient spaces, where  $f_0$  is defined on a vertex  $v$  in  $K$  by its translation from the representative of its translational equivalence class and extends  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linearly

to  $C_k(K)$ . However, for disconnected WQG's this may fail. For instance, the difference of the two top edges of the weighted quotient graph  $D$  in Figure 3 has weight zero but is a toroidal 1-cycle. We conjecture that  $k$ -weighted quotient spaces of  $K$  exist and are well-defined (possibly with minor additional restrictions) and leave it as an open question as to whether other constructions of  $k$ -weighted quotient spaces may exist in general.

If weighted quotient spaces exist, an interesting problem with this approach is also to generalise the concept of these weights to a broader class of spaces. In Theorem 1 we appealed to covering space theory and the fact that  $T$  acts freely on  $K$  to relate edge weights in  $q(K)$  to the homology of  $K$ , but we may question which, if any, of these conditions may be relaxed and, if so, then how much. Is it perhaps possible to define such weights on  $q(K)$  to determine the homology of  $K$  when  $q : K \rightarrow q(K)$  is no longer even a fibration, such as for the projection of a Rips complex onto its shadow?

We have also shown that the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence and scaling analysis provides a heuristic for identifying toroidal cycles which partially addresses how to recover higher-dimensional homology of a periodic cell complex.

To conclude, we return to the discussion in Section 1 on periodic point patterns. The persistent homology of distance filtrations on periodic point patterns will be neither pointwise finite dimensional nor  $q$ -tame, meaning there may not necessarily be an associated persistence diagram. Birth and death pairs will appear with infinite multiplicity if such pairs are well-defined. Our method of employing the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence will not necessarily be affected by this as the analysis is done only on finite quotient spaces. The heuristics used with the MVSS will generalise to persistence calculations, although there are other problems we will encounter with the persistence-MVSS. Namely, the MVSS will change for different choices of  $T$  and corresponding  $q(K)$ . Even ignoring this issue, one must also solve the extension problem, whereby a point  $(a, b)$  in the  $(p + q)$ -dimensional persistence diagram may, for example, decompose into the points  $(a, c)$  and  $(c, b)$  in the persistence diagrams of  $E_{pq}^\infty$  and  $E_{p-1, q+1}^\infty$  respectively.

On the other hand, our analysis of periodic graphs with weighted quotient graphs should still extend to a non-tame notion of persistence. If we recall that  $[G : H] = |G/H|$  denotes the cardinality of the quotient group  $G/H$ , we take the following outlook motivated by the proof of Theorem 1:

- The addition of a new connected component in  $q(K)$  causes infinitely many 0-cycle births in  $K$ ,
- If the addition of an edge  $e$  to a connected component  $Q \subset q(K)$  causes  $[W_{Q \cup \{e\}} : W_Q] = \infty$  then infinitely many 0-cycles of  $K$  die and if  $\text{rank}(W_Q) > 0$ , infinitely many 1-cycles are born in  $K$ .
- If the addition of an edge  $e$  to connected component  $Q$  causes  $1 < [W_{Q \cup \{e\}} : W_Q] < \infty$ 
  - If  $\text{rank}(W_Q) < d$  then infinitely many 0-cycles die and infinitely many 1-cycles are born in  $K$ ,
  - Otherwise, finitely many 0-cycles die and infinitely many 1-cycles are born,
- If after the addition of an edge  $e$  to connected component  $Q$  we have  $W_{Q \cup \{e\}} = W_Q$  then infinitely many 1-cycles are born in  $K$ ,
- If the addition of an edge  $e$  joins two connected components  $Q_1, Q_2$  in the WQG
  - If  $[\mathbb{Z}^d : W_{Q_1}], [\mathbb{Z}^d : W_{Q_2}] < \infty$  then finitely many 0-cycles die in  $K$  and infinitely many 1-cycles are born,
  - Otherwise infinitely many 0-cycles die in  $K$ , and if  $\text{rank}(W_{Q_1}), \text{rank}(W_{Q_2}) > 0$ , then infinitely many 1-cycles will be born in  $K$ .

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their very detailed and insightful feedback. The authors would also like to thank Primož Skraba for many helpful discussions, particularly in relation to the statement and proofs of Theorem 2 and Theorem 3. These conversations commenced at the Hausdorff Research Institute for Mathematics special program in Applied and Computational Topology, September 2017, and V.R. gratefully acknowledges financial support from the University of Bonn for her visit there. Much of the development of this material also benefited from many conversations with Martin Helmer during his time at the Australian National University. A.O. acknowledges the support of the Additional Funding Programme for Mathematical Sciences, delivered by EPSRC (EP/V521917/1) and the Heilbronn Institute for Mathematical Research.

## References

- [1] Hyman Bass. Covering theory for graphs of groups. *Journal of pure and applied algebra*, 89(1-2):3–47, 1993.
- [2] Saugata Basu and Laxmi Parida. Spectral sequences, exact couples and persistent homology of filtrations. *Expositiones Mathematicae*, 35(1):119–132, 2017.
- [3] Ulrich Bauer, Michael Kerber, and Jan Reininghaus. Clear and compress: Computing persistent homology in chunks. In *Topological methods in data analysis and visualization III*, pages 103–117. Springer, 2014.
- [4] Dobrina Boltcheva, Sara Merino Aceitunos, Jean-Claude Léon, and Franck Hétroy. *Constructive Mayer-Vietoris algorithm: computing the homology of unions of simplicial complexes*. PhD thesis, INRIA, 2010.
- [5] Peter G Boyd and Tom K Woo. A generalized method for constructing hypothetical nanoporous materials of any net topology from graph theory. *CrystEngComm*, 18(21):3777–3792, 2016.
- [6] Kenneth S. Brown. *Cohomology of groups*. Springer, 1982.
- [7] Dan Burghelea and Stefan Haller. Topology of angle valued maps, bar codes and jordan blocks. *Journal of Applied and Computational Topology*, 1(1):121–197, 2017.
- [8] Alvaro Torras Casas. Distributing persistent homology via spectral sequences. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.05228*, 2019.
- [9] Frédéric Chazal, Vin De Silva, Marc Glisse, and Steve Oudot. *The structure and stability of persistence modules*, volume 10. Springer, 2016.
- [10] Sui Jin Chung, Th Hahn, and WE Klee. Nomenclature and generation of three-periodic nets: the vector method. *Acta Crystallographica Section A: Foundations of Crystallography*, 40(1):42–50, 1984.
- [11] Edith Cohen and Nimrod Megiddo. Recognizing properties of periodic graphs. In *Applied geometry and discrete mathematics*, pages 135–146. Citeseer, 1990.
- [12] Olaf Delgado-Friedrichs, Martin D Foster, Michael O’Keeffe, Davide M Proserpio, Michael MJ Treacy, and Omar M Yaghi. What do we know about three-periodic nets? *Journal of Solid State Chemistry*, 178(8):2533–2554, 2005.
- [13] Warren Dicks and Martin John Dunwoody. *Groups acting on graphs*, volume 17. Cambridge University Press, 1989.

- [14] Jean-Guillaume Eon. Symmetry and topology: The 11 uninodal planar nets revisited. *Symmetry*, 10(2):35, 2018.
- [15] Roger Godement. Topologie algébrique et théorie des faisceaux. *Publications de*, 1, 1958.
- [16] Dejan Govc and Primož Skraba. An approximate nerve theorem. *Foundations of Computational Mathematics*, 18(5):1245–1297, 2018.
- [17] Kazuo Iwano and Kenneth Steiglitz. Testing for cycles in infinite graphs with periodic structure. In *Proceedings of the nineteenth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing*, pages 46–55, 1987.
- [18] S Rao Kosaraju and Gregory Sullivan. Detecting cycles in dynamic graphs in polynomial time. In *Proceedings of the twentieth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing*, pages 398–406, 1988.
- [19] Ryan Lewis and Dmitriy Morozov. Parallel computation of persistent homology using the blowup complex. In *Proceedings of the 27th ACM Symposium on Parallelism in Algorithms and Architectures*, pages 323–331, 2015.
- [20] Ryan H Lewis and Afra Zomorodian. Multicore homology via mayer vietoris. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1407.2275*, 2014.
- [21] David Lipsky, Primož Skraba, and Mikael Vejdemo-Johansson. A spectral sequence for parallelized persistence. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1112.1245*, 2011.
- [22] SP Novikov. Quasiperiodic structures in topology. In *Topological methods in modern mathematics, Proceedings of the symposium in honor of John Milnor’s sixtieth birthday held at the State University of New York, Stony Brook, New York*, pages 223–233, 1991.
- [23] James B Orlin. Some problems on dynamic/periodic graphs. In *Progress in Combinatorial Optimization*, pages 273–293. Elsevier, 1984.
- [24] Mentor Stafa. The Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence. *Department of Mathematics, Tulane University. New Orleans, LA*, 2015.
- [25] Toshikazu Sunada. *Topological crystallography: with a view towards discrete geometric analysis*, volume 6. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [26] Afra Zomorodian and Gunnar Carlsson. Localized homology. *Computational Geometry*, 41(3):126–148, 2008.