

Neinei - The Neutron Imaging Center at the Brazilian Multipurpose Reactor

LP de Oliveira^{a,*}, APS Souza^a, FA Genezini^a and A dos Santos^a

^aInstituto de Pesquisas Energéticas e Nucleares, IPEN/CNEN, Av. Prof. Lineu Prestes, 2242 – Cidade Universitária – CEP 05508-000 São Paulo – SP – Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
Neutron Imaging
Monte Carlo
MCSTAS

ABSTRACT

Neutron imaging is a non-destructive technique for analyzing a wide class of materials, such as archeologic and industrial structures. Technological advances, in recent decades, have had a great impact on the neutron imaging technique, evolving from simple radiographs using films (2D) to modern tomography systems with digital processing (3D).

The Instituto de Pesquisas Energéticas e Nucleares (IPEN), in Brazil, houses a 5MW research nuclear reactor, called IEA-R1, where there is a neutron imaging instrument located at the beam hole 08 (BH08) with $1.0 \times 10^6 \text{ n/cm}^2\text{s}$ at the sample position. IEA-R1 is over 60 years old and the future of nuclear techniques in Brazil, including neutron imaging, depends on a new facility called Brazilian Multipurpose Reactor (RMB).

RMB will house a suite of instruments at the Neutron National Laboratory, including the neutron imaging center called Neinei. Inspired by recent works, we have calculated the thermal neutron flux at the sample position, using the Monte Carlo method, in the Neinei and compared it to the results obtained with the Neutra (PSI), Antares (FRM II), BT2 (NIST) and DINGO (OPAL) instruments. The results are promising and provide avenues for future improvements.

1. Introduction

The Brazilian Multipurpose Reactor (RMB) project inaugurates a new era of research on nuclear science and technologies in Brazil and Latin America. Besides the radiopharmaceutical feedstock production, the reactor will allow the study of irradiation in materials and the use of neutron beams to investigate the structures of materials in low dimensions [1, 2]. The RMB project has a suite of 15 instruments that use thermal and cold neutrons, allowing the investigation of structures with a wide range of micro and nano scales [2]. The Instituto de Pesquisas Energéticas e Nucleares (IPEN), in São Paulo - Brazil, opened a research reactor with 5MW of power, called IEA-R1. The reactor research center opened a neutron radiography/tomography instrument that has made a great contribution to the Brazilian scientific community, since its creation in 1987 [3], going through recent upgrades and remaining in the select group of international instruments with a high thermal neutrons flux at the sample position ($1.0 \times 10^6 \text{ n/cm}^2\text{s}$) and consequently, excellent spatial resolution [4, 5]. However, IEA-R1 reactor is over 60 years old and the RMB, naturally, represents an evolution that will bring many benefits to the Brazilian society.

Like the other RMB instruments, the neutron imaging center/instrument (radiography and tomography) has been named after a bird that belongs to the Brazilian fauna. Neinei (scientific name = *Megarynchus pitangua*) is a bird found in Brazil and belongs to the Tyrannidae family. Neinei will be located on the south face of RMB building N01, where the proximity to the reactor pool (see Figure 1) will allow the use of $1.0 \times 10^{14} \text{ n/cm}^2\text{s}$ thermal neutron flux in the core. RMB has six times the power of the IEA-R1, i.e, 30 MW. Therefore, Neinei will enable research in hydrogenous substances [5], cultural heritage [4] and other materials that are analyzed using the traditional X-ray imaging technique [6].

Neutrons are spin 1/2 neutral fermions that have great penetration into matter because of their weak interaction with most chemical elements. However, neutrons interact strongly with a small group of light elements and distinguish isotopes of the same element. The spin projection, which with the magnetic field of the nuclei that compose the sample, and the use of polarized beams can reveal details of the structure of magnetic materials [7]. The neutron imaging technique uses neutronic properties to study materials in 2D (radiography), and in 3D (tomography). Neutron imaging is a non-destructive technique that has been used to investigate metallic materials, ceramics, rocks and industrial structures

*Corresponding author

✉ oliveira.phys@gmail.com (L.d. Oliveira)

[4, 6, 7]. A disadvantage that neutron beam techniques possess in comparison to the X-ray technique is that nuclear facilities have high cost of design and construction. In this scenario, Monte Carlo simulations through the MCNP [8] and McStas [9] codes are essential, making it possible to develop the design of instruments and optical components necessary for the transport of neutrons [10, 11, 12, 13].

The absence of other instruments, in the design stage of the RMB, in the neighborhood of Neinei brings the advantage of free assembly of the instruments without spatial constraints, relying only on the physical limitation of the N01 building. The proximity to the reactor face allows the use of short lengths of neutron guides (for collimation purposes only) or tubes. According to Schillinger *et al.* [14], neutron guides alone are not a good choice for a radiography setup because of the high divergence at the end of the guide ($L/D = 70 - 115$, where L is the distance between the slit, of diameter D , and the detector). According to the authors, the only way to obtain a parallel neutron beam is to use a slit and a long flight tube. If the beam area is to be covered, the arrangement can be followed by a neutron guide that carries the reactor flux within an acceptance angle of the guide. Recently, in the DINGO imaging instrument design project for the OPAL reactor, Garbe *et al.* used an in-pile collimator to obtain a homogeneous neutron beam for two different configurations, at the end of a guide system (TG1) and beam port position (HB-1/2) for $L/D = 250, 1000$ [12, 13]. The design chosen for implementation in the project was HB-1/2, due to the flux of $4.75 \times 10^7 \text{ n/cm}^2\text{s}$ in the detector position for $L/D = 250$, although the intensity distribution with the McStas software reveals inhomogeneous spatial distribution [12]. The uniformity of the beam incident on the sample directly affects the quality of the imaging technique [7, 11]. The unexpected inhomogeneous spatial distribution phenomenon, which always appears in the neutron radiography facilities installed at the end of the neutron guide, was investigated by Wang *et al.* [11]. The authors report that the phenomenon observed is related to the spacing gaps in the guide transmission system and can be mitigated by decreasing the distances between the source and the guide entrance, increasing the guide length and source size, decreasing the guide cross-section [11]. Neutron radiography and tomography have received improvements in the last decades and the development of new instruments can be guided by all the knowledge presented in the literature [7, 15, 16, 17, 18].

In this spirit, the proposal of this work is the conception of the basic design of the Neinei instrument in RMB. Inspired by the works of Garbe *et al.* [12], Wang *et al.* [11] and Schillinger *et al.* [14], we propose a basic configuration for Neinei using the McStas software [9]. In this proposal, we have investigated the uniformity and flux of the neutron beam at the detector position for L/D ratios = 100, 500 and 1000. The results are compared to DINGO at OPAL [12, 13], Neutra at PSI [19], ANTARES at FRM-II [14] and BT2 at NIST [20]. Our results will have a direct impact on the Neinei instrument design project at more advanced stages.




Figure 1: Side-view sketch of the RMB and Neinei instrument. The remaining shutters and the neutron beam hall are on the west face of the reactor and are not shown to not overload the figure.

2. Basic Neinei Design and McStas Simulations

The basic design of the Neinei instrument is shown in Figure 2. Inspired by DINGO instrument at OPAL, the neutron source for the instrument features an in-pile collimator in the primary shutter to homogenize the high-flux beam at the reactor face. To circumvent the inhomogeneous spatial distribution phenomenon, we have used a short neutron guide length according to the work of Wang *et al.* [11] and Schillinger *et al.* [14]. In Monte Carlo simulations, two values to guide length ($l_g = 0.5$ m and 1.0 m) and cross-section of 50 mm x 300 mm are used to evaluate the beam divergence before it enters the instrument slit. In another configuration of guides for neutrons transport, the use of a collimation length (extra guide) proved to be proficuous [10]. A slit selector allows the adoption of 3 different diameters, $D = 5$ mm, 10 mm and 50 mm, which allow obtaining L/D ratios 100, 500 and 1000, for $L = 5$ m, which is the same value adopted in the imaging instrument of IEA-R1 [4]. A rotating table with a stepper motor is used to obtain different angles of the sample in front of the incident neutron beam. The sample rotation adjustment will be determined according to the resolution of the CCD camera and the image storage system. The neutrons transmitted by the sample sensitize the scintillator (e.g Li/ZnS), which generate 2D images captured by the ccd camera [5]. In the system, to avoid direct exposure of the ccd chip to radiation, a plane mirror reflects the image to the camera, which is installed at 90 degrees for to the incident beam, as shown in Figure 2.

Monte Carlo simulations are performed using McStas ray tracing software [9]. A file containing the neutron distribution at the primary shutter position is generated through the PTRAC function of the MCNP code [8] for the RMB core. The neutron distribution is used by McStas as a source for the instrument to be designed. For this purpose, we have optical components arranged along the Z axis, in the sequence: Source_gen(), Collimator_radial(), Guide_simple(), Slit(), Absorber(), PSD_monitor() and Monitor_nD(). All components and parameters used for the simulations are listed in Table 1.

The simulations has been performed and results correspond to data collected in the detector at the end of the long flight box (absorber). Such data are the flux and the intensity of thermal neutrons, as function of planar dimensions (x and y) and are presented and discussed in the next section.




Figure 2: Basic Neinei Design.

3. Results

In Figures 3-8, we present the beam intensities as function of the spatial coordinates for $l_g = 0.5 - 1$ m and $L/D = 100, 500$ and 1000. We can see that the results reveal the effects of collimation by verifying higher neutron signal at the center of the detector. We found that the results for the guide length of 1.0 m (Figures 10-12) provide the best neutron flux values in the detector. Therefore, we selected the results for $l_g = 1.0$ m for a comparative analysis presented in Table 2. We can observe that the results have excellent agreement with the instruments of other international facilities, if we compare the usual values for $L/D = 500$ and 1000. Additionally, for testing purposes, we provided the value corresponding to the L/D ratio = 100 only as an upper limit, finding a promising result, physically expected. We have observed that even with the use of an in-pile collimator and an auxiliary neutron guide, before the slit, the neutron beam still presents inhomogeneity along the detection plane, as was observed by Garbe *et al.* [12]. As pointed out by

Table 1


Simulation parameters of Neinei instrument.

Components	Parameters
Source	RMB thermal beam, Intensity = 2.3×10^{18} ; nps = 79909340;
Collimator	Section (width \times height) = 5 cm \times 30 cm; Length = 0.3 m; Divergence = 30';
Neutron guide	Section (width \times height) = 5 cm \times 30 cm; Length = 0.5 m and 1.0 m; Curvature = infinity;
Slit	Supermirror indexes: $M_{out} = 3$, $M_{top} = 3$, $M_{bottom} = 3$, $M_{in} = 3$; Diameters = 5 mm, 10 mm and 50 mm;
Absorbent box	Section (width \times height) = 20 cm \times 30 cm; Length = 5.0 m;
Detector	Section (width \times height) = 5 cm \times 30 cm;

Table 2

Calculated flux compared with measured flux of neutron imaging instruments at OPAL, PSI, FRM2 and NIST (n/cm^2s).

L/D	Neinei (RMB)	DINGO (OPAL)	Neutra (PSI)	ANTARES (FRM2)	BT2 (NIST)
100	7.20×10^8	—	—	—	—
500	3.64×10^7	$4.75 \times 10^7 (L/D = 250)$	$3.96 \times 10^6 (L/D = 550)$	$9.4 \times 10^7 (L/D = 400)$	$5.9 \times 10^7 (L/D = 400)$
1000	1.10×10^7	1.15×10^7	NA	$2.5 \times 10^7 (L/D = 800)$	$1.0 \times 10^6 (L/D = 1200)$


Figure 3: Calculated intensity distribution for $l_g = 0.5$ m and $L/D = 1000$ at the location of the detector.

Wang *et al.*, we observed a better distribution of the beam in the $L/D = 100$ ratio, in view of a larger slit opening and, consequently, better neutron sampling. If we compare it to the DINGO instrument from OPAL, reference reactor for the RMB, we observe a difference of only 4 percent in neutron flux for the high resolution configuration. We also verified that all the results of our simulations show an expected decrease in intensity with the increase in the resolution of the instrument. As an initial study, the simulations have proven to provide guidelines for future, more in-depth studies where we will improve the instrument design.




Figure 4: Calculated intensity distribution for $l_g = 0.5$ m and $L/D = 500$ at the location of the detector.




Figure 5: Calculated intensity distribution for $l_g = 0.5$ m and $L/D = 100$ at the location of the detector.




Figure 6: Calculated intensity distribution for $l_g = 1.0$ m and $L/D = 1000$ at the location of the detector.

4. Conclusions

We can conclude that the beam intensities found are in agreement with the results of other neutron imaging instruments [12, 14, 20, 15]. Additionally, we provide the results referring to a low resolution configuration, which provides excellent signal intensity, physically expected. Our model still presents an inhomogeneous neutron beam, even after a collimation system formed by an in-pile collimator and an auxiliary neutron guide. However, this phenomenon is found in the literature and associated with spatial gaps between the components of the imaging instrument [11],




Figure 7: Calculated intensity distribution for $l_g = 1.0$ m and $L/D = 500$ at the location of the detector.




Figure 8: Calculated intensity distribution for $l_g = 1.0$ m and $L/D = 100$ at the location of the detector.

although there are other geometric constraints that can cause beam divergence [14].

In general, our results are promising and indicate important directions for the continuity of the project. A fact that cannot be verified by the McStas software is the incidence of gamma radiation, both in the region of the detectors and in the vicinity of the primary shutter. Therefore, a more detailed study with the MCNP code should be carried out for details of shielding the Neinei instrument. The sensitivity of the camera's CCD chip requires special care and the use of filters (e.g bismuth) in the beam path can be very important.

Acknowledgement

A.P.S. Souza and L.P. de Oliveira also would like to thank CNPq for financial support.

References

- [1] J. Perrotta and A. Soares, *RMB: the new brazilian multipurpose research reactor*, *International Journal for Nuclear Power* **60** (2015) 30.
- [2] A. Souza, L. de Oliveira, F. Yokaichiya, F. Genezini and M. Franco, *Neutron guide building instruments of the Brazilian Multipurpose Reactor (RMB) project*, *Journal of Instrumentation* **15** (2020) P04011.
- [3] F. Souza, G. Zahn and P. Silva, *Contribuições do Reator IEA-R1 para a Pesquisa Nuclear: Workshop Anual do Reator de Pesquisas – WARP 2*, vol. 1. Editora Blucher, 2022, <http://dx.doi.org/10.5151/9786555501483>.
- [4] M. S. Pereira, R. Schoueri, C. Domienikan, F. de Toledo, M. Andrade and R. Pugliesi, *The neutron tomography facility of ipen-cnec/sp and its potential to investigate ceramic objects from the brazilian cultural heritage*, *Applied Radiation and Isotopes* **75** (2013) 6.
- [5] R. Schoueri, C. Domienikan, F. de Toledo, M. Andrade, M. Stanojev Pereira and R. Pugliesi, *The new facility for neutron tomography of ipen-cnec/sp and its potential to investigate hydrogenous substances*, *Applied Radiation and Isotopes* **84** (2014) 22.
- [6] J. Banhart, A. Borbély, K. Dzieciol, F. Garcia-Moreno, I. Manke, N. Kardjilov et al., *X-ray and neutron imaging – complementary*

- techniques for materials science and engineering: Dedicated to professor dr. h.-p. degischer on the occasion of his 65th birthday, International Journal of Materials Research* **101** (2010) 1069.
- [7] N. Kardjilov, I. Manke, A. Hilger, M. Strobl and J. Banhart, *Neutron imaging in materials science, Materials Today* **14** (2011) 248.
 - [8] T. Goorley, M. James, T. Booth, F. Brown, J. Bull, L. Cox et al., *Features of MCNP6, Annals of Nuclear Energy* **87** (2016) 772.
 - [9] K. Lefmann and K. Nielsen, *MCSTAS, a general software package for neutron ray-tracing simulations, Neutron News* **10** (1999) 20.
 - [10] A. Souza, L. de Oliveira and F. Genezini, *Monte carlo simulations of the s-shaped neutron guides with asymmetric concave and convex surface coatings, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* **1031** (2022) 166607.
 - [11] Y. Wang, G. Wei, H. Wang, Y. Liu, L. He, K. Sun et al., *A study on inhomogeneous neutron intensity distribution origin from neutron guide transportation, Physics Procedia* **88** (2017) 354.
 - [12] U. Garbe, T. Randall and C. Hughes, *The new neutron radiography/tomography/imaging station dingo at opal, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* **651** (2011) 42.
 - [13] U. Garbe, T. Randall, C. Hughes, G. Davidson, S. Pangelis and S. Kennedy, *A new neutron radiography / tomography / imaging station dingo at opal, Physics Procedia* **69** (2015) 27.
 - [14] B. Schillinger, E. Calzada, F. Grünauer and E. Steichele, *The design of the neutron radiography and tomography facility at the new research reactor frm-ii at technical university munich, Applied Radiation and Isotopes* **61** (2004) 653.
 - [15] A. Hilger, N. Kardjilov, M. Strobl, W. Treimer and J. Banhart, *The new cold neutron radiography and tomography instrument conrad at hmi berlin, Physica B: Condensed Matter* **385-386** (2006) 1213.
 - [16] I. Manke, N. Kardjilov, A. Hilger, M. Strobl, M. Dawson and J. Banhart, *Polarized neutron imaging at the conrad instrument at helmholtz centre berlin, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* **605** (2009) 26.
 - [17] N. Kardjilov, E. Lehmann, E. Steichele and P. Vontobel, *Phase-contrast radiography with a polychromatic neutron beam, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* **527** (2004) 519.
 - [18] N. Kardjilov, S. Baechler, M. Bastürk, M. Dierick, J. Jolie, E. Lehmann et al., *New features in cold neutron radiography and tomography part ii: applied energy-selective neutron radiography and tomography, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* **501** (2003) 536.
 - [19] E. Lehmann, H. Pleinert and L. Wiesel, *Design of a neutron radiography facility at the spallation source sinq, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* **377** (1996) 11.
 - [20] D. Hussey, D. Jacobson, M. Arif, P. Huffman, R. Williams and J. Cook, *New neutron imaging facility at the nist, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* **542** (2005) 9.