Surface Ferromagnetism in Superconducting and Non-superconducting Fe-chalcogenide

Camron Farhang¹, Nader Zaki², Jingyuan Wang¹, Genda Gu², Peter D. Johnson² and Jing Xia¹

¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Irvine, California 92697, USA

²Condensed Matter Physics and Materials Science Division (CMPMSD), Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY

11973

Email: xia.jing@uci.edu

We report direct evidence of Time-reversal symmetry breaking (TRSB) in superconducting (SC) Fechalcogenide $FeTe_{1-x}Se_x$ by surface-magneto-optic-Kerr effect (SMOKE) measurements using a high precision Sagnac interferometer at zero magnetic field. Bulk magnetic susceptibility measurements show clear diamagnetic signatures of SC but no sign of any ferromagnetic (FM) transition, allowing us to pinpoint the observed TRSB to the surface, where we observe a Dirac gap in the topological surface state (TSS). We find surface TRSB in the non-SC $FeTe_{0.55}Se_{0.45}$, indicating that TRSB arises from surface FM that is a competing order to SC. The observed surface FM bears striking similarities to the two-dimensional (2D) FM found in 2D van der Waals crystals. It is highly sensitive to the exact chemical composition, thereby providing a means for optimizing the conditions for Majorana particles that are useful for robust quantum computing.

The quest for a robust quantum computer that is immune to external perturbations has stimulated intense searches for topologically protected quantum phases of matter where quasiparticles obey non-Abelian exchange rules [1]. One such example, the Majorana zero modes (MZM), have been reported in Fe-chalcogenide superconductors FeTe_{1-x}Se_x as bound states in magnetic vortex cores [2–5] and as propagating 1D modes [6] by scanning tunnelling microscopy (STM) that performs spectroscopic imaging of the surface state. However, the imperfect conductance quantization [5] and the unexpected field dependence of MZM's occurrence [4] highlight the need for a deeper understanding of FeTe_{1-x}Se_x towards the optimization of material conditions for MZM. The hallmark of topological superconductivity [7], the topological surface state (TSS) has been reported [8,9] in FeTe_{1-x}Se_x by angle-resolved photo emission spectroscopy (ARPES) that probes the energy dispersions of surface electrons. Photoemission spectra reveal [8,9] a topologically protected TSS characterized by a linear dispersion centered at the Dirac point at chemical potential μ below E_F , and below the superconducting critical temperature T_c , a superconducting gap at the Fermi energy E_F . It is this superconducting TSS, when subjected to a magnetic field, that hosts the reported MZM that is potentially useful for topologically protected quantum computing. Without the magnetic field, the TSS is expected to obey time-reversal symmetry (TRS) and remain massless.

Therefore, it came as a surprise when in a low temperature ARPES study at zero magnetic field [10] the above linear dispersion was interrupted by the opening of a Dirac gap as temperature is lowered across T_c in FeTe_{1-x}Se_x. The associated mass acquisition points to symmetry breaking. To explain this observation, a phenomenological Weiss field h was introduced to the surface Hamiltonian, which fits well to the observed photoemission spectra [10]. A Weiss field in the absence of an external magnetic field represents spontaneous time-reversal symmetry breaking (TRSB), which could arise from ferromagnetism (FM) or an intrinsic TRSB superconducting (SC) state [11]. So far, the results of magnetic characterizations of FeTe_{1-x}Se_x remain mixed. While nitrogen vacancy center (NV) magnetometry has detected static magnetic fields that are best described by a combination of SC supercurrents and FM in micron-sized exfoliated flakes [12], high resolution magnetic neutron scattering measurements of bulk crystals have revealed instead antiferromagnetic (AFM) orders of either double or single stripe spin arrangements [13]. Since ARPES is surface sensitive while NV magnetometry and neutron scattering probe predominantly the bulk, a critical step to solve this mystery is to separately identify the TRSB properties of the bulk and surface in FeTe_{1-x}Se_x. Another central issue is to resolve the relation between the possible TRSB and the SC order: is TRSB from a FM phase that competes with the SC; or does the SC state in $FeTe_{1-x}Se_x$ have an intrinsically TRSB order parameter analogous to the superfluidity [14] in He^{3} ? If it is the first case, one might be able to separately control the FM and SC orders in the surface and engineer the optimal conditions for MZM in vortex cores. And the second scenario points to a new unconventional SC state. Based on ARPES spectra taken at discrete temperatures [10], the Dirac gap in TSS opens at a temperature close to T_c . Therefore the second scenario was favored in prior theoretical treatments [11], but needs to be tested by stringent experiments.

Surface-magneto-optic-Kerr effect (SMOKE) [15,16] measurements performed by a zero-area loop fiber optic Sagnac interferometer [17] are ideally suited to provide answers to the aforementioned central questions. In a conventional SMOKE setup, a linearly polarized light beam interacts with the surface magnetic moment M through spin-orbit coupling and will experience a rotation θ_K of the polarization plane [18]. The Kerr rotation θ_K is proportional to M, and thus provides a direct measurement of surface magnetization. It has proven to be a powerful probe for surface magnetization within an optical penetration depth δ , typically a few nanometers for conductors [15,16]. Primarily for detecting even smaller Kerr signals that

arise in unconventional superconductors, we have introduced a zero-area loop [17] fiber optic Sagnac interferometer [19] that measures directly the non-reciprocal phase difference between counter-propagating circularly polarized light beams. This approach fundamentally rejects polarization rotations due to non-TRSB effects such as linear and circular dichroism [20]. By reducing the Sagnac loop to zero area within a single fiber, it also rejects a background Sagnac signal from earth rotation, which breaks time-reversal symmetry and is the basis for fiber gyroscopes [21].



Fig. 1. (a) Sagnac setup (b) Scanning images of reflected optical power P_0 and Kerr signal (θ_K) of a FeTe_{0.55}Se_{0.45} sample (type B) at 1.8 K and zero magnetic field.

As illustrated in Fig. 1a, for this study we utilize a scanning Sagnac microscope with 2 μm lateral spatial resolution [22,23]. inside a cryostat with 1.8 *K* base temperature and 9 *T* magnetic field capability. The interferometer itself is maintained at room temperature. And a polarization maintaining fiber delivers light beams of orthogonal linear polarizations into the high vacuum sample space inside the cryostat. A cryogenic quarter wave ($\lambda/4$) plate converts the polarization of these light beams to circular polarization of opposite chirality that will interact with the sample surface and detect TRSB in the form of the non-reciprocal phase difference $\phi_{nr} = 2 \theta_K$ when they finish the Sagnac loop and interfere at the detector. The Kerr resolution is at the ten nanoradian (*nrad*) level [24,25] that is about a thousand times better than conventional SMOKE [15,16]. The ARPES studies were carried out using the frequency quadrupled output of a 3-ps pulse width, 76-MHz repetition rate Coherent Mira 900P Ti:sapphire laser. The photoemission spectra were obtained using a Scienta SES 2002 electron spectrometer with an effective energy resolution of 2.5 meV. Bulk magnetic characterizations are performed using an AC susceptometer. Instrumentation details are presented in the Supplementary Information (FIG. S1-S3).

Single crystals of FeTe_{1-x}Se_x with nominal x values of 0.3 and 0.45 were grown by a unidirectional solidification method (Supplementary Information FIG. S5). Flat *ab* plane surface regions of tens of microns in size can be found in as-grown crystals (Fig. 1a inset) for optical measurements. We locate such flat regions with uniform reflected optical power (P_0) before performing spatial Kerr (θ_K) scans at a fixed temperature or temperature scans at a fixed location. Fig. 1b demonstrates an example of this experimental procedure for a FeTe_{0.55}Se_{0.45} sample. In the flat region inside the white square with a uniform $P_0 = 6 \ \mu W$, the Kerr scan shows a signal of up to $\theta_K = 500 \ nrad$ at the base temperature of 1.8 K and zero magnetic field, indicating spontaneous TRSB. As is typical of spontaneous symmetry breaking, the sign and size of θ_K at zero magnetic field normally varies as a function of location and temperature, which agrees with the Kerr scan in Fig. 1b.



Fig. 2. Superconducting FeTe_{0.7}Se_{0.3} (a) Kerr θ_K (left axis) up to 150 *nrad* during zero field warmups (ZFW) indicate onset of spontaneous TRSB at T_{Kerr} ; bulk magnetic susceptibility χ' (right axis) indicates onset of SC at T_C without any sign of bulk FM. (b) θ_K during ZFW after zero field cooldown. (c) θ_K during ZFW after +/- 0.1T trainings during cooldown. (d) ARPES spectral intensity measured in the vicinity of the Γ -point ($k_{//} = 0$), using s-polarized light and with the sample in the superconducting state at 7 K.

To pinpoint the location of the observed TRSB, we first focus on a FeTe_{0.7}Se_{0.3} sample that was studied by ARPES in the prior publication [10] where a Dirac gap opens below the superconducting critical temperature $T_c = 14 K$. This Dirac gap in the TSS can be seen in the 7 K ARPES spectral intensity map with s-polarized light in Fig. 2d. Bulk superconductivity is verified in AC susceptibility measurements (right axis, blue line) shown in Fig. 2a, where χ' displays a pronounced sharp diamagnetic Meisner drop when the sample is cooled below $T_c = 14 K$. There is no sign in $\chi'(T)$ of any bulk FM transition, which agrees with magnetic neutron scattering [13]. In contrast, the surface Kerr signal measured during zero magnetic field warmups (ZFW), as shown (left axis, lines with dots of various colors) in Fig. 2a, display clear onsets of θ_K at $T_{Kerr} = 12.5 K$. This serves as direct evidence of TRSB on the surface. In exfoliated flakes with a large surface-to-volume ratio, the TRSB surface might generate a magnetic field that is comparable in size to that from bulk SC, and account for the detected static field by nitrogen vacancy center (NV) magnetometry [12]. The AC susceptibility and surface Kerr measurements are consistent with ARPES spectra taken at 7 K (Fig. 2d) that shows the coexistence of the SC gap at $E_F = 0 \ meV$ and the Dirac gap at the Dirac point of $-0.008 \ eV$. We note that T_{Kerr} and T_c are close to each other within 1.5 K, and are difficult to distinguish in prior experiments [10,13]. We shall describe a decisive experiment later in this paper to tell them apart.

As explained earlier, the sign and size of spontaneous θ_K are normally not fixed during ZFW. This is evident in Fig. 2b where ZFW takes place after cooling down in zero magnetic field and θ_K fluctuates between $\pm 50 \ nrad$ below $T_{Kerr} = 12.5 \ K$. The sign of θ_K can be trained by cooling down in a symmetry-breaking magnetic field $B_{Cooling}$ before the measurements during subsequent ZFWs. This is demonstrated in Fig. 2c where $B_{Cooling} = \pm 0.1 \ T$ during cooldowns result in $\pm 100 \ nrad$ of spontaneous Kerr signal in subsequent ZFWs. In the case of FM, this is the well-known alignment of FM domains by an external magnetic field [26]. This training effect has also been demonstrated in TRSB superconductors [24,25,27,28], but with an important caveat. In a type II superconductor such as FeTe_{1-x}Se_x, magnetic vortices form when $B_{Cooling}$ is larger than the

lower critical field H_{C1} and penetrates the superconductor. After removal of $B_{Cooling}$, a small fraction of vortices can still be trapped at pinning sites. The trapped vortices break time-reversal symmetry and would result in a spontaneous Kerr signal during ZFW. In fact, we speculate that motions of trapped vortices under thermal gradients may account for the reported spontaneous Nernst signal [29] in FeTe_{1-x}Se_x. To test this possibility, we have performed training with a cooling field of 0.01 T that is much smaller than $H_{C1} \sim 0.035 T$ [30], and the resulting spontaneous θ_K is shown as the red curve in Fig. 2a. The clear onset of θ_K at T_{Kerr} with a magnitude of 100 nrad at the base temperature rules out the source of the observed surface TRSB being trapped vortices. Instead, TRSB arises either due to the formation of surface FM, or an unconventional TRSB SC state.

Now we have experimentally established that TRSB occurs only on the surface, we turn to experiments on samples with the nominal chemical composition of FeTe_{0.55}Se_{0.45} to identify the origin of this surface TRSB. Recently neutron scattering, ARPES, and resistivity measurements have been carried out to establish that FeTe_{0.55}Se_{0.45} is located very close to phase boundaries in a complex phase diagram [13]. By a few percent change of the Fe concentration, at T = 0 a nominal FeTe_{0.55}Se_{0.45} can be a non-superconductor (Type A), a SC with TSS (Type B), or a trivial SC without TSS [13]. In a Type A sample, neutron scattering has revealed a double stripe spin arrangement for the bulk AFM order, and the APRES spectra are featureless; while in a Type B sample, a single stripe spin arrangement is found, and the APRES spectra show both SC and TSS states.



Fig. 3. Non-superconducting FeTe_{0.55}Se_{0.45} (Type A) (a) Resistivity ρ and bulk magnetic susceptibility χ' show no sign of SC or bulk FM transitions; (b) θ_K up to 400 *nrad* during ZFW indicates onset of surface FM at T_{Kerr} ; (c) θ_K during ZFW after $\pm 0.1 T$ trainings. (d) θ_K during ZFW after $\pm 0.5 T$ trainings.

We have performed measurements on both types of FeTe_{0.55}Se_{0.45} samples. The experimental results on a Non-SC (Type A) FeTe_{0.55}Se_{0.45} sample are summarized in Fig. 3, where the ARPES spectra are featureless indicating the absence of TSS. And those on a SC+TSS (Type B) FeTe_{0.55}Se_{0.45} sample are summarized in Fig. 4, with a Dirac gap in TSS and a SC gap at E_F in the ARPES spectra (Fig. 4d) at $T \sim 5 K$.



Fig. 4. Superconducting FeTe_{0.55}Se_{0.45} (Type B) (a) θ_K (left axis) up to 600 *nrad* during ZFW indicates onset of TRSB at T_{Kerr} ; bulk magnetic susceptibility χ' (right axis) indicates onset of SC at T_C without any sign of bulk FM. (b) θ_K during ZFW after $\pm 0.1 T$ trainings. (c) θ_K during ZFW after $\pm 0.5 T$ trainings. (d) ARPES spectral intensity measured in the vicinity of the Γ -point ($k_{//} = 0$), using p-polarized light, showing TSS at all temperatures, and the opening of a SC gap below T_C .

As shown in Fig. 3a, in the non-SC type A sample, the resistivity ρ shows no sign of SC either in the bulk or on the surface. Magnetic susceptibility χ' confirms that there is no bulk SC, and it shows no sign of any bulk FM transition. Comparing the size of χ' in this non-SC sample to the drop of χ' across T_c in the SC type B sample (Fig. 4a), we can estimate an upper bound of 1% for superconducting volume fraction, indicating that this type A sample is indeed deep in the non-SC region of the phase diagram [13]. Therefore, the onsets of the surface Kerr signal up to $\theta_K = 400 \text{ nrad}$ (Fig. 3b) during ZFW undoubtably indicate that the observed surface TRSB is not due to a TRSB order parameter of the SC state. Instead, it originates from a competing surface FM order. In a non-SC sample (Fig. 3), $T_{Kerr} > 0$ and $T_c = 0$; while in superconducting samples (Fig. 2 and Fig. 4), T_{Kerr} and T_c are not necessarily identical, but they are close to each other. The observed closeness between T_{Kerr} and T_c , being a mere coincidence or not, puts the competing FM and SC orders very close in energy, and may be partially responsible for the complex phase diagram [13].

The temperature dependences of the spontaneous Kerr signal θ_K (Fig. 2a, Fig. 3a, and Fig. 4a) in FeTe_{1-x}Se_x bare striking similarities to what we have discovered in the intrinsic two-dimensional (2D) ferromagnetism in 2D van der Waals (vdW) crystals of Cr₂Ge₂Te₆, especially the bilayer case [22] (Supplementary Information FIG. S4). Namely, $\theta_K(T)$ doesn't saturate quickly with a reduced temperature following the well-known tanh $\left(\frac{\mu B_E}{k_B T}\right)$ function of the 3D Heisenberg model [26], where B_E is the molecular field. Instead, like in the exfoliated bilayers of Cr₂Ge₂Te₆, $\theta_K(T)$ appears to keep growing at the lowest temperatures [22], which is a direct consequence of the fact that 2D magnetism is stabilized by magnetic anisotropy instead of magnetic exchange coupling [22], even though the surface FM in FeTe_{1-x}Se_x is semi-2D due to couplings to the bulk. Just as the FM in bilayers of Cr₂Ge₂Te₆ is sensitive to magnetic anisotropy [22], the surface FM in FeTe_{1-x}Se_x is sensitive to the exact chemical composition, which in principle could modify the magnetic anisotropy. Indeed, the amplitude of observed spontaneous θ_K in FeTe_{0.55}Se_{0.45} of both type A and B are four times larger than in FeTe_{0.7}Se_{0.3}. In addition, while a training

field of a mere 0.01 *T* is enough to achieve a saturating θ_K in FeTe_{0.7}Se_{0.3}, 0.5 *T* is needed in FeTe_{0.55}Se_{0.45} samples. The fifty times difference in coercivity suggests a large difference in the magnetic anisotropy between FeTe_{0.55}Se_{0.45} and FeTe_{0.7}Se_{0.3}. In contrast, the SC and FM onset temperatures T_C and T_{Kerr} only shift by a few Kelvin between FeTe_{0.7}Se_{0.3} and SC FeTe_{0.55}Se_{0.45}. It is unclear at this stage whether this is a coincidence, but it keeps the FM and SC orders in close competition when tuning the surface FM order via chemical doping.

In summary, we have unambiguously identified TRSB in FeTe_{1-x}Se_x. We pinpoint its origin to be surface ferromagnetism, which displays clear 2D features similar to the 2D FM in exfoliated vdW crystals [22] where the FM can be tuned by magnetic anisotropy. While it is premature to speculate on the origin of the surface FM, we note that magnetism could occur at surfaces and interfaces where inversion symmetry is broken [31]. The surface FM in FeTe_{1-x}Se_x is highly sensitive to the exact chemical composition, and it adds a new dimension of surface FM to the already complex phase diagram of SC and TSS [13]. By tuning the surface FM, we could explore the optimal conditions for stabilizing MZM in magnetic vortex cores [2–5] and 1D modes [6] that are potentially useful for topologically protected quantum computing [1].

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge useful discussions with C. Wu and I. Zaliznyak. The work at UC Irvine was supported by NSF award DMR-1807817, and in part by the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation through Grant GBMF10276 to J.X.. The work at BNL was supported by the US Department of Energy, office of Basic Energy Sciences, contract no. DOE-sc0012704.

Author Contributions

J.X. designed and directed the study. C.F., J.W. and J.X. constructed the Sagnac microscope, carried out Sagnac, susceptibility and resistivity measurements. N.Z. and P.J. carried out the ARPES measurements. G.D.G. grew the crystals. The manuscript is mainly written by J.X. and C.F. with input from all authors.

Data availability

All data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper are present in the paper and/or the Supplementary Information. Additional data are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

References

- C. Nayak, S. H. Simon, A. Stern, M. Freedman, and S. Das Sarma, Non-Abelian Anyons and Topological Quantum Computation, Reviews of Modern Physics 80, 1083 (2008).
- [2] D. Wang et al., Evidence for Majorana Bound States in an Iron-Based Superconductor, Science 362, 333 (2018).
- [3] L. Kong et al., *Half-Integer Level Shift of Vortex Bound States in an Iron-Based Superconductor*, Nat. Phys. **15**, 1181 (2019).
- [4] T. Machida, Y. Sun, S. Pyon, S. Takeda, Y. Kohsaka, T. Hanaguri, T. Sasagawa, and T. Tamegai, Zero-Energy Vortex Bound State in the Superconducting Topological Surface State of Fe(Se, Te), Nat. Mater. 18, 811 (2019).
- [5] S. Zhu et al., Nearly Quantized Conductance Plateau of Vortex Zero Mode in an Iron-Based Superconductor, Science 367, 189 (2020).
- [6] Z. Wang, J. O. Rodriguez, L. Jiao, S. Howard, M. Graham, G. D. Gu, T. L. Hughes, D. K. Morr, and V. Madhavan, Evidence for Dispersing 1D Majorana Channels in an Iron-Based Superconductor, Science 367, 104 (2020).
- [7] X.-L. Qi and S. Zhang, Topological Insulators and Superconductors, Reviews of Modern Physics 83, 1057 (2011).
- [8] P. Zhang et al., Observation of Topological Superconductivity on the Surface of an Iron-Based Superconductor, Science 360, 182 (2018).
- [9] J. D. Rameau, N. Zaki, G. D. Gu, P. D. Johnson, and M. Weinert, Interplay of Paramagnetism and Topology in the Fe-Chalcogenide High- T c Superconductors, Phys. Rev. B 99, 205117 (2019).
- [10] N. Zaki, G. Gu, A. Tsvelik, C. Wu, and P. D. Johnson, *Time-Reversal Symmetry Breaking in the Fe-Chalcogenide Superconductors*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 118, e2007241118 (2021).
- [11] L.-H. Hu, P. D. Johnson, and C. Wu, *Pairing Symmetry and Topological Surface State in Iron-Chalcogenide Superconductors*, Phys. Rev. Research **2**, 022021 (2020).
- [12] N. J. McLaughlin et al., Strong Correlation Between Superconductivity and Ferromagnetism in an Fe-Chalcogenide Superconductor, Nano Lett. 21, 7277 (2021).
- [13] Y. Li et al., *Electronic Properties of the Bulk and Surface States of Fel+yTel-xSex*, Nat. Mater. 20, 9 (2021).
- [14] A. J. Leggett, A Theoretical Description of the New Phases of Liquid He3, Reviews of Modern Physics 47, 331 (1975).
- [15] Z. Qiu, Surface Magneto-Optic Kerr Effect (SMOKE), Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials 200, 664 (1999).

- [16] S. D. Bader, Smoke, Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials 100, 440 (1991).
- [17] J. Xia, P. T. Beyersdorf, M. M. Fejer, and A. Kapitulnik, Modified Sagnac Interferometer for High-Sensitivity Magneto-Optic Measurements at Cryogenic Temperatures, Applied Physics Letters 89, (2006).
- [18] P. Weinberger, John Kerr and His Effects Found in 1877 and 1878, Philosophical Magazine Letters 88, 897 (2008).
- [19] G. Sagnac, On the Proof of the Reality of the Luminiferous..., Comptes Rendus (1913).
- [20] A. Kapitulnik, J. Xia, E. Schemm, and A. Palevski, *Polar Kerr Effect as Probe for Time-Reversal Symmetry Breaking in Unconventional Superconductors*, New Journal of Physics **11**, (2009).
- [21] R. Anderson, H. Bilger, and G. Stedman, "Sagnac" Effect: A Century of Earth-Rotated Interferometers, American Journal of Physics 62, 975 (1994).
- [22] C. Gong et al., Discovery of Intrinsic Ferromagnetism in Two-Dimensional van Der Waals Crystals, Nature 546, 265 (2017).
- [23] S. Thomas et al., Localized Control of Curie Temperature in Perovskite Oxide Film by Capping-Layer-Induced Octahedral Distortion, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 177203 (2017).
- [24] J. Xia, Y. Maeno, P. T. Beyersdorf, M. M. Fejer, and A. Kapitulnik, High Resolution Polar Kerr Effect Measurements of Sr2RuO4: Evidence for Broken Time-Reversal Symmetry in the Superconducting State, Physical Review Letters 97, (2006).
- [25] X. Gong, M. Kargarian, A. Stern, D. Yue, H. Zhou, X. Jin, V. M. Galitski, V. M. Yakovenko, and J. Xia, *Time-Reversal Symmetry-Breaking Superconductivity in Epitaxial Bismuth/Nickel Bilayers*, Sci. Adv. 3, e1602579 (2017).
- [26] C. Kittel, Introduction to Solid State Physics (Wiley, 2004).
- [27] E. R. Schemm, W. J. Gannon, C. M. Wishne, W. P. Halperin, and A. Kapitulnik, Observation of Broken Time-Reversal Symmetry in the Heavy-Fermion Superconductor UPt3, Science 345, 190 (2014).
- [28] I. M. Hayes et al., Multicomponent Superconducting Order Parameter in UTe 2, Science 373, 797 (2021).
- [29] L. Chen, Z. Xiang, C. Tinsman, B. Lei, X. Chen, G. D. Gu, and L. Li, Spontaneous Nernst Effect in the Iron-Based Superconductor Fe1+yTe1-xSex, Phys. Rev. B 102, 054503 (2020).
- [30] C. S. Yadav and P. L. Paulose, Upper Critical Field, Lower Critical Field and Critical Current Density of FeTe0.60Se0.40 Single Crystals, New J. Phys. 11, 103046 (2009).
- [31] F. Hellman et al., Interface-Induced Phenomena in Magnetism, Rev. Mod. Phys. 89, 025006 (2017).

Supplementary Information for

Surface Ferromagnetism in Superconducting and Non-superconducting Fe-chalcogenide

Camron Farhang¹, Nader Zaki², Jingyuan Wang¹, Genda Gu², Peter D. Johnson² and Jing Xia¹ ¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Irvine, California 92697, USA ²Condensed Matter Physics and Materials Science Division (CMPMSD), Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973

(A) Zero-area-loop Sagnac interferometer for SMOKE measurements

The surface magneto-optic Kerr effect measurements were carried out with a zero-area-loop fiber optic Sagnac interferometer that was introduced by us in 2006 for detecting nano-radian level magneto-optic Kerr effects [1,2]. The optical fiber of the interferometer is connected to piezo-based scanner to allow Kerr measurements at locations of interest and spatial imaging as described in previous publications [3,4]. In this project, the scanner and sample are housed in a cryostat with 1.8 K base temperature and up to 9 Tesla magnetic field. The operation of the Sagnac interferometer and its performance are summarized below.



FIG. S1. **Sagnac interferometer and its performance:** (a) Schematics of zero-area-loop fiber-optic interferometer. (b) Drift of the Sagnac interferometer over 25 hours. The - 800 nrad offset is due to imperfections in the alignment and is subtracted in all measurements.

As shown in FIG.S1 (a), the beam of light centered at 1552 nm is routed by a fiber circulator to a polarizer, which polarizes the beam. The circulator transmits light from port 1 to port 2 and port 2 to port 3 with better than 30 dB isolation in the reverse direction. After the polarizer the polarization of the beam is at 45° to the axis of an electro-optic modulator (EOM), which generates 4.590 MHz time-varying phase shifts with an amplitude of $\phi_m = 0.92$ rad between the two orthogonal polarizations that are then launched into the fast and slow axes of a PM fiber that is fed into the cryostat. Upon exiting the fiber, the two orthogonally polarized beams are converted into right- and left-circularly polarized light by a quarter-wave plate and are then focused by a numerical aperture NA = 0.3 aspheric lens onto the sample. The nonreciprocal phase shift ϕ_{nr} between the two circularly polarized beams upon reflection from the magnetic sample is twice the Kerr rotation θ_K . The same quarter-wave plate converts the reflected beams back into linear polarization, but with a net 90° rotation of the polarization axis. The two beams then pass through the Same path but in opposite directions, except for a phase difference of ϕ_{nr} from reflection off of the magnetic sample and another time-varying phase difference by the modulation of EOM. The two beams are once again combined at the detector and interfere to produce an optical signal. Lock-in detection was used to measure both first (P1) and second (P2) harmonics of this optical signal. The Kerr rotation can then be extracted using the formula: $\theta_K = \frac{1}{2} tan^{-1} [\frac{J_2(2\phi_m)P_1}{J_1(2\phi_m)P_2}]$, where $J_1(2\phi_m)$ and $J_2(2\phi_m)$ are Bessel functions.

The noise in Kerr signal is shot-noise-limited to $10^{-7}rad/\sqrt{Hz}$ with $10 \mu W$ of optical power, which is small enough not to heat up the sample at low temperatures. By averaging over 100 seconds, 10 nanoradian (nrad) Kerr resolution can be achieved. In practice, the bias offset in our system drifts about 20 nrad per 25 hours (FIG.S1 (b)), which limits the ultimate resolution we can achieve in experiments.

(B) Calibration of Low Temp Piezo Scanner of Sagnac microscope

A low temp Piezo scanner was constructed for integration with the Sagnac interferometer to allow spatial scanning and to target reflective areas on samples to maximize the sensitivity in measurements. The scanner follows the basic S-bender piezo bimorph scanner design [5], and can operate at cryogenic temperatures in limited space, with a scan range as high as 0.25mm at 2K, and nm spatial resolution. Scanner position is controlled using a DAQ device and high voltage amplifier to apply voltage to the piezo.





FIG. S2. Cryogenic scanner calibration: Scan at 1.8K of copper TEM grid for scanner calibration.

The piezo voltage was calibrated in a cryostat at 1.8K with a copper transmission electron microscope (TEM) grid of 16.5 micro pitch size on top of a mirror, to determine the scan range

voltage/distance conversion factor for the scan at 1.8 K shown in Fig. 1b the main text. Calibration for different temperatures is necessary since the piezo coercive field increases at lower temperature, and therefore requires greater voltage to move the same distance at low temperature as at high temperature (~ 6X). A scan image for voltage calibration at 1.8 K is shown in FIG.S2.

(C) Angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) measurements

Single-crystal samples were cleaved in situ at T≤ 5 K and base pressure of $\leq 2 \times 10-11$ Torr. The photoemission studies were carried out using a 3-ps pulse width, 76-MHz repetition rate Coherent Mira 900P Ti:sapphire laser, the output of which was quadrupled to provide 6.0-eV incident light, focused into a spot on the sample ~20 µm in diameter. The polarization of the latter could be varied with the use of quarter and/or half-wave plates to provide linear or circularly polarized light of arbitrary orientation on the Poincaré sphere. Photoemission spectra were obtained using a Scienta SES 2002 electron spectrometer. The effective energy resolution is ~2.5 meV full width at half maximum as determined by the width of the sharpest features in the measured spectra. The angular resolution was ~0.002 Å-1 at the low photon energy used. The value of EF is determined by reference to a gold sample in contact with the FeTexSe1-x (FTS) samples.

(D) Magnetic susceptibility measurements

Magnetic susceptibility measurements were done with a homemade AC susceptometer [6]. The AC susceptometer is composed of two concentric coils, A primary coil (excitation) and secondary (pickup) coil made from 15-micron copper wire. The primary coil has a diameter of ~0.5 mm and is ~60 turns by 3 layers, and the secondary coil has a diameter of ~0.25mm respectively with 60 turns by 3 layers with winding direction switched halfway up the coil to reduce the background signal. The AC susceptometer is driven and measured by a lock-in amplifier supplying a 1017 Hz and 1 mA excitation current to the primary coil. The lock-in phase is set at room temperature to maximize out of phase component (with respect to the primary coil voltage phase) of the secondary coil voltage, referred to as V_y in Fig.2a of the main text.

The magnetic susceptibility χ' of a material in an AC magnetic field can be measured by detecting a change in the magnetic field when the primary coil of an ac susceptometer is put near the materials surface. If c' >0 the magnetic field near the primary coil will increase, and if c' <0 the magnetic field will decrease. This change in AC field can be detected through the secondary coil which will "pickup" an AC voltage across it's ends which is 180 degrees out of phase with the AC field produced by the primary coil due to Faraday's law of Induction $V = -d\Phi/dt$, where Φ is the magnetic flux through the secondary coil. If the AC field produced by the primary coil and applied to the secondary coil is given by $B(t) = B_{applied} \cos \omega t$, then V across the secondary coil will have the form $V(t) = \sqrt{2}V_y \sin(\omega t + \phi)$, with ϕ being a small phase shift due to lag in the circuit and the $\sqrt{2}$ factor to account for V_y being an rms voltage from the lockin. When c' of a material changes, the ac field around it is either increased or decreased and V_y measured by the lockin will change as well. In the main text, c' as a function of temperature and voltage is represented as $\Delta V_y(T)=V_y(T) - V_y(T = 2K)$, the difference in the voltage of the secondary coil at T and it's voltage at 2K. The value of this voltage is arbitrary for our measurement since we are only looking for a drop in c'. We see for the SC samples that ΔV_y has a clear change from a positive value to zero, where c'=-1.

(E) Resistivity measurements

Electrical transport was measured by a lock-in amplifier using 4-probe measurement technique with 5mA excitation current at 13 Hz. The voltage and current leads are attached as shown in FIG. S3. Contacts were made on the 4 corners of a thin square sheet-like FeTe_{0.55}Se_{0.45} Type A sample using silver epoxy and attached to 25-micron thick platinum wires. Voltage measured by the lockin in converted to resistance dividing the lockin voltage signal by the excitation current. The resistance is calculated by R = V/I. Sheet resistance R_s was estimated using the Van der Pauw formula [7] for a uniform square sample $R_s = \frac{\pi R}{\ln 2}$, and resistivity was calculated as $\rho = R_s \cdot t$, where t is the thickness of the sample, 30 mm.



FIG. S3. Schematic of 4-probe electrical transport setup used in resistivity measurement

(F) 2D Ferromagnetic order in vdW Cr₂Ge₂Te₆

The temperature dependences of the spontaneous Kerr signal θ_K in main text Fig. 2a, Fig. 3a, and Fig. 4a in FeTe_{1-x}Se_x bare striking similarities to what we have discovered previously [3] in the intrinsic twodimensional (2D) ferromagnetism in 2D van der Waals (vdW) crystals of Cr₂Ge₂Te₆, especially the bilayer case as shown. Sagnac measurements on of Cr₂Ge₂Te₆ various thicknesses from bilayer to bulk are shown in Fig. S4 below. In bulk Cr₂Ge₂Te₆ (right inset), $\theta_K(T)$ saturates quickly with a reduced temperature following the well-known tanh $\left(\frac{\mu B_E}{k_B T}\right)$ function of 3D Heisenberg model [8], where B_E is the molecular field. In bilayer Cr₂Ge₂Te₆ (left inset) $\theta_K(T)$ appears to keep growing at the lowest temperatures [3], which is a direct consequence of the fact that 2D magnetism is stabilized by magnetic anisotropy instead of magnetic exchange coupling [3].



FIG. S4. Ferromagnetic order in vdW $Cr_2Ge_2Te_6$ measured at zero magnetic field by Sagnac interferometer: As the thickness of the crystals thin down from bulk to bilayer, the Curie temperature monotonically drops from ~68 K to ~33 K. Insets show Kerr signal θ_K as function of temperature for bilayer and bulk cases, showing very different temperature dependences. The temperature dependences of the spontaneous Kerr signal θ_K in FeTe_{1-x}Se_x bare striking similarities to that in bilayer exfoliated Cr₂Ge₂Te₆. Details of this study can be found in our prior publication [3].

(G) Synthesis and mounting of FeTe1-xSex crystals

Single crystals of $FeTe_{1-x}Se_x$ were grown by a unidirectional solidification method. The nominal compositions had no excess Fe, and Te. Photos of the crystals used in this work can be found below on 1-mm grid papers. During the experiment, crystals are mounted on the cryogenic sample stage using either silver paste or Ge-varnish. Flat *ab* plane surface regions of tens of microns in size can be found in as-grown crystals for optical measurements. We locate such flat regions with Sagnac scanning imaging.



FIG. S5. FeTe1-xSex crystals used in this work

References

- [1] J. Xia, P. T. Beyersdorf, M. M. Fejer, and A. Kapitulnik, *Modified Sagnac Interferometer for High-Sensitivity Magneto-Optic Measurements at Cryogenic Temperatures*, Applied Physics Letters 89, (2006).
- [2] J. Xia, Y. Maeno, P. T. Beyersdorf, M. M. Fejer, and A. Kapitulnik, High Resolution Polar Kerr Effect Measurements of Sr2RuO4: Evidence for Broken Time-Reversal Symmetry in the Superconducting State, Physical Review Letters 97, (2006).
- [3] C. Gong et al., *Discovery of Intrinsic Ferromagnetism in Two-Dimensional van Der Waals Crystals*, Nature **546**, 265 (2017).
- [4] S. Thomas et al., Localized Control of Curie Temperature in Perovskite Oxide Film by Capping-Layer-Induced Octahedral Distortion, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 177203 (2017).
- [5] D. Low, G. M. Ferguson, A. Jarjour, B. T. Schaefer, M. D. Bachmann, P. J. W. Moll, and K. C. Nowack, Scanning SQUID Microscopy in a Cryogen-Free Dilution Refrigerator, Review of Scientific Instruments 92, 083704 (2021).
- [6] M. Nikolo, Superconductivity: A Guide to Alternating Current Susceptibility Measurements and Alternating Current Susceptometer Design, American Journal of Physics **63**, 57 (1995).
- [7] L. J. van der PAUW, A Method of Measuring Specific Resistivity and Hall Effect of Discs of Arbitrary Shape, in Semiconductor Devices: Pioneering Papers (WORLD SCIENTIFIC, 1991), pp. 174–182.
- [8] C. Kittel, Introduction to Solid State Physics (Wiley, 2004).