

GAUSS SUMS IN ALGEBRA AND TOPOLOGY

LAURENCE R. TAYLOR

ABSTRACT. We consider Gauss sums associated to functions $T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ which satisfy some sort of “quadratic” property and investigate their elementary properties. These properties and a Gauss sum formula from the nineteenth century due to Dirichlet give the Milgram Gauss sum formula computing the signature mod 8 of a non-singular bilinear form over \mathbb{Q} . Brown derived some results on the signature mod 8 of non-singular integral forms. Kirby and Melvin gave a formula for a generalization of this invariant to possibly non-singular forms and we further generalize it here. The Milgram Gauss sum formula and these formulas allow us to reprove Brown’s result without resort to Witt group calculations. Assuming a bit of algebraic topology, we reprove a theorem of Morita’s computing the signature mod 8 of an oriented Poincaré duality space from the Pontrjagin square without using Bockstein spectral sequences. Since we work with forms which may be singular, we also obtain a version of Morita’s theorem for Poincaré spaces with boundary. Finally we apply our results to the bilinear form $Sq^1x \cup y$ on $H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ of an orientable 3-manifold.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Gauss sums have a long and venerable history. A general version has a finite set T , a function $\psi: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ and the associated Gauss sum

$$G(\psi) = \sum_{t \in T} e^{2\pi i \psi(t)} .$$

Even more general notions would replace T by a measure space and the finite sum by an integral. The Gauss sum problem is to evaluate $G(\psi)$ with the first examples going back to Gauss [6, Art.356].

In the generality of a function on a finite set, it is difficult to say very much useful except that the problem can be divided into a magnitude and a phase. We can consider the magnitude or norm, $N(\psi) = |G(\psi)|$, and when $N(\psi) \neq 0$, define the phase $\beta(\psi) \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ by

$$G(\psi) = N(\psi) \cdot e^{2\pi i \beta(\psi)} .$$

Partially supported by the N.S.F..

Here are two general constructions. Given ψ_i on T_i , $i = 1, 2$, define the *orthogonal* sum $\psi_1 \perp \psi_2: T_1 \times T_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ by $(\psi_1 \perp \psi_2)(x_1, x_2) = \psi_1(x_1) + \psi_2(x_2)$. Check that

$$(1.1) \quad G(\psi_1 \perp \psi_2) = G(\psi_1) \cdot G(\psi_2) .$$

For the second construction, observe \mathbb{Z} acts on the functions by $(a \cdot \psi)(x) = a \cdot \psi(x)$ for $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $a = -1$,

$$(1.2) \quad G(-\psi) = \overline{G(\psi)} .$$

We review and extend some examples for which the magnitude and phase can be calculated and apply these results to some problems in algebra and topology.

Start with an easy example: T is a finite group and ψ is a homomorphism. If ψ is trivial, the answer is immediate: $N(\psi) = |T|$ and $\beta(\psi) = 0$ since every term in the sum is 1. For the non-trivial case do the sum in two ways and compare the answers: specifically, fix an element $c \in T$ with $\psi(c) \neq 0$ and observe

$$\sum_{t \in T} e^{2\pi i \psi(t)} = \sum_{t \in T} e^{2\pi i \psi(t+c)}$$

because we are summing over the same set and

$$\sum_{t \in T} e^{2\pi i \psi(t+c)} = e^{2\pi i \psi(c)} \sum_{t \in T} e^{2\pi i \psi(t)}$$

since ψ is a homomorphism. Since $e^{2\pi i \psi(c)} \neq 1$, $N(\psi) = 0$.

Our main interest is the case where T is a finite abelian group and ψ has some sort of ‘‘quadratic’’ property, which we now review. A function $\psi: T \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is called a *quadratic function* provided

$$(1.3) \quad \psi(ax) = a^2\psi(x) \text{ for all integers } a \text{ and all } x \in T$$

$$(1.4) \quad b(x, y) = \psi(x + y) - \psi(x) - \psi(y): T \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \text{ defines a bilinear form.}$$

We call ψ a *quadratic enhancement* of b and b the *associated bilinear form* to ψ . If ψ just satisfies 1.4, we say ψ is an *enhancement* of b . Condition 1.4 is equivalent to the condition

$$(1.5) \quad \psi(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) = \psi(x_1 + x_2) + \psi(x_1 + x_3) + \psi(x_2 + x_3) - (\psi(x_1) + \psi(x_2) + \psi(x_3))$$

for all $x_i \in T$. Any function $\psi: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ satisfying 1.4 will be called *2-linear*. The *associated bilinear form*, b_ψ , is defined by 1.4: note that

the bilinear form is symmetric. The set of functions from T to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} is a group and the set of 2-linear functions is a subgroup. The orthogonal sum of 2-linear functions is 2-linear.

Any symmetric bilinear form has enhancements. Given $b: T \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ define $\mathcal{W}(b)$ to be $T \oplus \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ with group structure $(t_1, r_1) + (t_2, r_2) = (t_1 + t_2, r_1 + r_2 - b(t_1, t_2))$. It is straightforward to check that $\mathcal{W}(b)$ is an abelian group and that $\iota: \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(b)$ defined by $\iota(r) = (0, r)$ is an injective homomorphism. Since \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} is divisible, ι has a section, $\Psi: \mathcal{W}(b) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. Define $\psi(t) = \Psi(t, 0)$ and check that ψ is an enhancement of b . Conversely, given an enhancement of b , define $\Psi(t, m) = \psi(t) + m$ and check that it is a section, so the set of enhancements of b corresponds bijectively to the set of sections of ι . Let $\Gamma(b)$ denote the space of sections of ι or equivalently the space of enhancements of b .

The set $\Gamma(b)$ is acted on by the group of homomorphisms, $T^* = \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$: given one enhancement of b , say ψ , and $h \in T^*$ define ψ_h by $\psi_h(t) = \psi(t) + h(t)$ and check that ψ_h is an enhancement of b . If ψ_1 and ψ_2 are enhancements of b , check that $\psi_1 - \psi_2 \in T^*$. Pick an element $\psi \in \Gamma(b)$ and define $T^* \rightarrow \Gamma(b)$ using the action on ψ : this function is a bijection.

A homomorphism, $h: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ is an *isometry* between two 2-linear functions provided $\psi_2(h(x)) = \psi_1(x)$: if h is an isomorphism we say ψ_1 and ψ_2 are *isometric*, written $\psi_1 \cong \psi_2$. The map h is an isometry in the usual sense between the associated bilinear forms. As an example, if $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ is relatively prime to $|T|$ then multiplication by a on T gives an isometry between $a^2 \cdot \psi$ and ψ if ψ is quadratic.

Any 2-linear function satisfies $\psi(0) = 0$ and $b(x, x) = \psi(x) + \psi(-x)$ (compute $b(x, -x)$ using bilinearity). Induction shows that

$$(1.6) \quad \psi(ax) = \frac{a^2 + a}{2} \cdot \psi(x) + \frac{a^2 - a}{2} \cdot \psi(-x) .$$

Furthermore, $\psi^q: T \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ defined by $\psi^q(x) = \psi(x) - \psi(-x)$ is a homomorphism and ψ is quadratic if and only if ψ^q is identically 0. Check that ψ^q vanishes on elements in T of order 2 and that ψ^q behaves well under our two constructions: $(\psi_1 \perp \psi_2)^q = \psi_1^q + \psi_2^q$ and $(a \cdot \psi)^q = a \cdot \psi^q$.

It follows that, if $ax = 0$ then $a\psi(x) \pm a\psi(-x) = 0$. Hence $2a\psi(x) = 0$ and any 2-linear function automatically lands in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} . If a is odd it further follows that $a^2\psi(x) = 0$ and hence $a\psi(x) = 0$. It follows that $\psi = \perp_p \psi_p$, where ψ_p denotes ψ restricted to the p -torsion subgroup and ψ_p takes values in $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]/\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. Finally, it follows that the group of 2-linear functions is finite.

The action of T^* on enhancements has the following effect. Check that $(\psi_h)^q = \psi^q + 2h$ so if ψ is quadratic, ψ_h is also quadratic if and only if h takes values in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. Since ψ^q vanishes on elements of order 2, there exists a homomorphism such that $\psi^q = 2h$ and then ψ_{-h} is quadratic. If ψ is a quadratic enhancement of b then ψ_h is also quadratic if and only if h takes values in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$.

If $K \subset T$ is a subgroup, define $K^\perp = \{t \in T \mid b_\psi(t, k) = 0 \forall k \in K\}$. We call ψ and b_ψ *non-singular* provided $T^\perp = \{0\}$. Note $(T_1 \perp T_2)^\perp = T_1^\perp \oplus T_2^\perp$. If K satisfies $\psi|_K = 0$, then $K \subset K^\perp$ and ψ induces a well-defined function

$$\psi_{K^\perp/K}: K^\perp/K \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

Check that $(K^\perp/K)^\perp = (T^\perp)/(T^\perp \cap K)$ so $\psi_{K^\perp/K}$ is tame (resp. non-singular) if ψ is.

Any bilinear form b defines an adjoint homomorphism $Ad(b): T \rightarrow \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ and T^\perp is the kernel of $Ad(b)$. This means that non-singular forms have the property that for any $h \in T^*$ there exists a unique $c \in T$ such that $h(t) = b(t, c)$ for all $t \in T$. In the singular case, given any $h \in T^*$ with $h|_{T^\perp}$ trivial, there exist $c \in T$, not unique, such that $h(t) = b(t, c)$ for all $t \in T$.

Definition 1.7. For $x \in T/T^\perp$ we use the notation ψ_x to denote the enhancement $\psi_x(t) = \psi(t) + b(x_1, t)$ for all $t \in T$, where $x_1 \in T$ maps to x .

Check that ψ_x is independent of the choice of x_1 as is $\psi(x_1)$, which will be denoted $\psi(x)$.

Lemma 1.8. $\psi_x(t) = \psi(t + x_1) - \psi(x_1)$ so $\beta(\psi_x) = \beta(\psi) - \psi(x)$.

For any 2-linear function, ψ restricted to T^\perp is a homomorphism: denote it by ψ^s . We say ψ is *tame* provided ψ^s is trivial. If ψ is tame then it induces a non-singular form on T/T^\perp , which we denote by ψ^{red} . The orthogonal sum of two tame 2-linear functions is tame. If ψ is tame, so is $a \cdot \psi$ for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ which is relatively prime to $|T|$. If ψ is quadratic ψ^s takes values in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ and whenever $2x \in T^\perp$, $\psi^s(2x) = 0$.

Suppose ψ is tame. Then ψ_h is tame if and only if h vanishes on T^\perp .

Remarks 1.9. Since \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} is injective there is always an extension h of ψ^s to all of T and for any such h , ψ_{-h} is tame. If ψ is tame the function $x \in T/T^\perp \mapsto \psi_x$ defines a bijection between T/T^\perp and the tame enhancements of b .

Remarks 1.10. For any abelian group T and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let ${}_nT = \{x \in T \mid nx = 0\}$ and let $n \cdot T = \{x \in T \mid x = ny\}$. If ψ is tame and quadratic the function $x \in {}_2(T/T^\perp) \mapsto \psi_x$ defines a bijection between ${}_2(T/T^\perp)$ and the tame quadratic enhancements of b .

Theorem 1.11. *Every symmetric bilinear form b has a tame quadratic enhancement.*

Proof. We have seen b has enhancements. Using (1.9) construct a tame one ψ_t . Pass to ψ_t^{red} and use (1.10) to construct a quadratic $\hat{\psi}: T/T^\perp \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ and check that the composition $\psi: T \rightarrow T/T^\perp \xrightarrow{\hat{\psi}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is a tame quadratic enhancement of b . \square

For subgroups $K \subset T$ with $\psi|_K = 0$, the Gauss sums for ψ and $\psi_{K^\perp/K}$ are related. This has been noticed before, [2], [3], [11], [12], [14] and many others, but apparently only for non-singular quadratic functions.

Theorem 1.12. *Let $\psi: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ be 2-linear and suppose $\psi|_K = 0$ for some subgroup K . Then*

$$G(\psi) = |K| \cdot G(\psi|_{K^\perp/K}) .$$

We emphasize that neither tame nor quadratic is assumed.

Proof. The usual proof assuming non-singular still works. Pick coset representatives α_i for T/K^\perp and γ_j for K^\perp/K and let κ_k run over the elements of K . Then every element of T can be written uniquely as $\alpha_i + \gamma_j + \kappa_k$ and $\psi(\alpha_i + \gamma_j + \kappa_k) = b(\alpha_i, \gamma_j) + b(\alpha_i, \kappa_k) + \psi(\alpha_i) + \psi(\gamma_j)$. If we fix α_i and γ_j and sum over κ_k then the only term which depends on κ_k is $b(\alpha_i, \kappa_k)$ which is a homomorphism. Hence the sum is 0 if $b(\alpha_i, \kappa_k)$ is non-zero for some κ_k . But by definition of K^\perp , $b(\alpha_i, \kappa_k)$ is non-trivial except for the α_i in the 0 coset, say α_0 , and in this case the sum is just $|K| \cdot e^{2\pi i \psi(\alpha_0 + \gamma_j)}$. \square

A standard observation permits us to evaluate the magnitude of $G(\psi)$.

Theorem Theorem 1.13. *If ψ is 2-linear then $N(\psi) = 0$ if ψ is not tame and $N(\psi) = \sqrt{|T^\perp| \cdot |T|}$ if ψ is tame.*

Proof. If ψ is not tame then there is a $c \in T^\perp$ such that $\psi(c) \neq 0$ and $\psi(t + c) = \psi(t) + \psi(c)$ for all $t \in T$. As in the homomorphism case it follows that $N(\psi) = 0$. If ψ is tame, check that the evident inclusion $\Delta: T \subset T \perp T/T^\perp$ with 2-linear function $\psi \perp -\psi^{red}$ satisfies

$(\Delta(T))^T = \Delta(T)$ and $\psi \perp -\psi^{red}$ restricted to $\Delta(T)$ vanishes so $G(\psi \perp -\psi^{red}) = N(T)$. But $G(\psi \perp -\psi^{red}) = G(\psi) \cdot G(-\psi^{red})$; $G(\psi) = N(T^\perp) \cdot G(\psi^{red})$; and $G(-\psi^{red}) = G(\psi^{red})$. \square

We can evaluate $\beta(\psi_h)$ in terms of $\beta(\psi)$ if both are tame.

Theorem 1.14. *Suppose ψ is 2-linear and tame and that $h: T \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is a homomorphism. Then ψ_h is tame if and only if $h(T^\perp) = 0$. If q_h is tame let $c \in T/T^\perp$ be the unique element corresponding to h , so $\psi_h = \psi_c$. The value of $\psi(c_1) \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is the same for all elements reducing to c , so let us denote that common value by $\psi(c)$. Then*

$$\beta(\psi_h) = \beta(\psi) - \psi(c).$$

Proof. Since $\psi_h = \psi_c$, the displayed formula holds by Lemma 1.8. \square

Remark 1.15. We check that a potential source of new functions in fact yields nothing new. Let ψ be a tame enhancement and define a new function

$$\Delta_\beta: T/T^\perp \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$$

by $\Delta_\beta(x) = \beta(\psi) - \beta(\psi_x)$ for all $x \in T/T^\perp$. Then (1.14) says $\Delta_\beta = \psi^{red}$. If ψ is additionally quadratic then ${}_2(T/T^\perp)$ acts simply-transitively on the tame quadratic enhancements. In example (3.3) below we encounter the construction Δ_β and it is nice to be able to identify it.

It follows from a beautiful argument due to Frank Connolly, [4, p.393], that, in the quadratic case, $\psi \perp \psi \perp \psi \perp \psi$ is isometric to $-\psi \perp -\psi \perp -\psi \perp -\psi$. It follows that for any tame, quadratic 2-linear function, $\beta(\psi) \in \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ and it then follows from (1.14) that $\beta(\psi) \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ for any tame 2-linear function.

Connolly's result can be made more precise: let T_p denote the p -torsion subgroup of T and let $\psi_p = \psi|_{T_p}$. As usual, it suffices to understand ψ_p .

Theorem 1.16. *Assume ψ_p is quadratic 2-linear. If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $\psi_p \cong -\psi_p$; if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $\psi_p \perp \psi_p \cong -\psi_p \perp -\psi_p$; if $p = 2$, $\psi_2 \perp \psi_2 \cong -\psi_2 \perp -\psi_2$.*

Here is a version of Connolly's argument. Choose k so that p^k is an exponent for T_p and hence ψ_p takes values in $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, p odd or in $\mathbb{Z}/2^{k+1}\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. Find integers x_i such that $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p^\ell}$ with $\ell \geq k$ p odd or $\ell > k + 1$ if $p = 2$. Additionally assume $x_3 = x_4 = 0$ if p is odd and further assume $x_2 = 0$ if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$

4. Assuming this done, Connolly's matrix $M = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\ -x_2 & x_1 - x_4 & x_3 & \\ x_3 - x_4 - x_1 & x_2 & & \\ x_4 & x_3 - x_2 - x_1 & & \end{bmatrix}$

corresponds to an evident linear map from $T_p \oplus T_p \oplus T_p \oplus T_p$ to itself. Check $M \cdot M^T = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2)I$ where I is the 4 by 4 identity matrix. Hence M defines a bijection which can be checked to give an isometry between $\psi_p \perp \psi_p \perp \psi_p \perp \psi_p$ and $-\psi_p \perp -\psi_p \perp -\psi_p \perp -\psi_p$. If $x_3 = x_4 = 0$ or $x_2 = x_3 = x_4 = 0$ the evident square submatrix gives the required isometry.

Connolly produced the x_i by an appeal to Lagrange's four-squares theorem. Here is a different approach which uses only quadratic reciprocity. If we could solve

$$(1.17) \quad x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p^\ell}$$

for all large ℓ we would be done.

If (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) satisfies (1.17) for p odd and $\ell \geq 1$, then we can find a such that $(x_1 + ap^\ell)^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p^{\ell+1}}$. If $p = 2$ and if (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) satisfies (1.17) for $p = 2$ and $\ell \geq 3$, then we can find a so that $(x_1 + a2^{\ell-1})^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{2^{\ell+1}}$. This construction is just Hensel's lemma for this simple case.

Note $(1, 1, 1, 2)$ satisfies (1.17) for $p = 2$, $\ell = 3$. If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then -1 is a quadratic residue so we can solve $x_1^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. If $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ has solutions since there are $\frac{p+1}{2}$ distinct values for $-1 - x_2^2$ and only $\frac{p-1}{2}$ non-residues. \square

Brown [2] studied the case in which T is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ vector space. Any 2-linear function on a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ vector space is quadratic and Brown's functions were assumed non-singular, although tame would have sufficed for many of his results. For example, Brown gave a different argument for $\beta(\psi) \in \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$. An element $\omega \in T$ is *characteristic* provided $b(x, x) = b(\omega, x)$ for all $x \in T$. Brown's argument is to observe that characteristic elements exist and then $\psi_\omega = -\psi$. By (1.14), if ψ is tame, $\beta(\psi) = \psi(\omega) + \beta(\psi_\omega) \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, so $2\beta(\psi) = \psi(\omega) \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. For later use, recall the Gauss sum formula of Dirichlet [5] that we require.

Theorem 1.18. *If $m > 0$ then*

$$\sum_{s=0}^{m-1} e^{2\pi i s^2/m} = \begin{cases} (1+i)\sqrt{m} & m \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ \sqrt{m} & m \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ 0 & m \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ i\sqrt{m} & m \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

Some elementary Galois theory allows us to extend (1.6). Let $\psi: T \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ be a quadratic function on a finite p -group of order p^r and let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ be prime to p . As we saw above, if $a_1 \equiv a_2 \cdot s^2 \pmod{p^{r+1}}$, then $\beta(a_1 \cdot \psi) = \beta(a_2 \cdot \psi)$. For odd p the integers mod p^{r+1} divide into two sets, the quadratic residues and the quadratic non-residues and the class to which an integer belongs can be determined by examining it mod p . For $p = 2$ life is slightly more complicated: given odd integers a_1 and a_2 , the equation $a_1 \equiv a_2 \cdot s^2 \pmod{2^r}$ can be solved for all r if and only if $a_1 \equiv a_2 \pmod{8}$.

We can now work out the formula for $\beta(a \cdot \psi)$ when a is prime to p . (For $p^i \cdot \psi$ see (2.10) and (2.12)).

Theorem 1.19. *Let T be a p group with a tame quadratic enhancement ψ and let a be an integer prime to p . Let $|T/T^\perp| = p^e$. For p odd, let $\ell_p(a) = 0$ if a is a quadratic residue mod p and $\ell_p(a) = 1$ if a is not. Let $\ell_2(a) = 0$ if $a \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$ and $\ell_2(a) = 1$ if $a \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$. Then for p odd*

$$(1.19_p) \quad \beta(a \cdot \psi) = \beta(\psi) + \frac{\ell_p(a) \cdot e}{2}$$

and for $p = 2$

$$(1.19_2) \quad \beta(a \cdot \psi) = a \cdot \beta(\psi) + \frac{\ell_2(a) \cdot e}{2}$$

Proof. It suffices to do the non-singular case since we can work with ψ^{red} . Let ζ be a primitive p^r root of unity where p^r annihilates $\psi(t)$ for all $t \in T$. Let $\omega = e^{2\pi i \frac{1}{8}}$.

Using 1.16 we see

$$(1.20) \quad G(\psi) = p^{\frac{e}{2}} \omega^\sigma \quad \text{where } \beta(\psi) = \frac{\sigma}{8}.$$

Now the left hand side of (1.20) lies in $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta]$ and hence so must the right. The integers relatively prime to p map onto the Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta]$ over \mathbb{Q} . The map sends the integer a to ζ^a so we need to study the effect of the Galois automorphism $\gamma_a: \zeta \mapsto \zeta^a$ on $G(\psi)$.

For p odd, $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta] \cap \mathbb{Q}[\omega] = \mathbb{Q}$, so ± 1 are the only powers of ω in $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta]$. For $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ Dirichlet (1.18) shows $\sqrt{p} \in \mathbb{Q}[\zeta]$ and one can check that $\gamma_\eta(\sqrt{p}) = -\sqrt{p}$ for some η in the Galois group.

If e is even, then the right hand side of (1.20) must be an integer, so $\beta(\eta \cdot \psi) = \beta(\psi)$. If e is odd, then the right hand side of (1.20) must be \sqrt{p} times an integer, so $\beta(\eta \cdot \psi) = \beta(\psi) + \frac{1}{2}$. Additionally $\beta(\psi)$ is either 0 or $\frac{1}{2}$. Note both cases are covered by the formula (1.19) _{p} .

For $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ Dirichlet (1.18) shows $i\sqrt{p} \in \mathbb{Q}[\zeta]$ and $\gamma_\eta(\sqrt{pi}) = -\sqrt{pi}$. If e is even, then the right hand side of (1.20) must again be

an integer, so $\beta(\eta \cdot \psi) = \beta(\psi)$. If e is odd, then the right hand side of (1.20) must be \sqrt{pi} times an integer, so $\beta(\eta \cdot \psi) = \beta(\psi) + \frac{1}{2}$ again and (1.19) _{p} holds in this case too. Additionally $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $\beta(\psi) = \pm \frac{1}{4}$ if e is odd and 0 or $\frac{1}{2}$ if e is even.

For $p = 2$, there are three multiplications to be worked out. Which class an integer a belongs to can be determined by reducing $a \pmod{8}$. The numbers are -1 and $\pm 3 \pmod{8}$. The affect of -1 we know: $\beta(-\psi) = -\beta(\psi)$. The wrinkle when we multiply by ± 3 is that these Galois actions send $\sqrt{2}$ to $-\sqrt{2}$. If e is even then this does not matter and $\beta(\eta \cdot \psi) = \eta \cdot \beta(\psi)$ When e is odd we get $\beta(\eta \cdot \psi) = \eta \cdot \beta(\psi) + \frac{1}{2}$. So for $p = 2$ (1.20) holds. \square

2. SOME ALGEBRA APPLICATIONS.

Theorem 2.1. *Given a symmetric bilinear form B on a rational vector space, V , define $Q: V \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ by $Q(v) = \frac{B(v,v)}{2}$. Call a lattice integral if $B(v_1, v_2) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $v_1, v_2 \in L$. Pick a lattice $L \subset V$ such that $Q(x)$ is integral for all $x \in L$. Define $L^\# = \{v \in V \mid B(v, \ell) \in \mathbb{Z} \forall \ell \in L\}$ and check that L integral implies $L \subset L^\#$. If B is non-singular, check that $L^\# / L$ is finite. Check that Q induces a non-singular quadratic function $\psi_L: L^\# / L \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$ which enhances the symmetric bilinear form $b_L: L^\# / L \times L^\# / L \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$ induced by B . The Milgram Gauss Sum Formula [11] says*

$$(2.1) \quad \beta(\psi_L) = \frac{\sigma(B)}{8},$$

where $\sigma(B)$ denotes the signature of B .

We prove the formula using some straightforward manipulations and Dirichlet's Gauss sum formula. The basic outline, except for the appeal to Dirichlet, is in Milnor and Husemoller [12] who attribute it to Knebusch. A proof for $\det B$ odd was given earlier by Blij [1].

Proof. Call a lattice L acceptable if $Q(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $x \in L$. If L_1 and L_2 are acceptable lattices, so is $L_1 \cap L_2$. To show all acceptable lattices give the same answer, it suffices to show $\beta(\psi_{L_2}) = \beta(\psi_{L_1})$ under the additional assumption that $L_1 \subset L_2$, and hence $L_1 \subset L_2 \subset L_2^\# \subset L_1^\#$. Let $T = L_1^\# / L_1$ and apply (1.12) to $K = L_2 / L_1 \subset T$. Check $K^\perp = L_2^\# / L_1$ so $K^\perp / K = L_2^\# / L_2$.

Over \mathbb{Q} , B can be diagonalized and there are acceptable diagonal lattices, so it suffices to show $\beta(\langle 2m \rangle) = \frac{1}{8}$ if $m > 0$. Now $G(\langle 2m \rangle) = \sum_{s=0}^{2m-1} e^{2\pi i \frac{s^2}{4m}}$. Dirichlet (1.18) in case $4m$ says $\sum_{s=0}^{4m-1} e^{2\pi i \frac{s^2}{4m}} = (1 +$

$i\sqrt{4m}$. Since $(s + 2m)^2 = s^2 \pmod{4m}$, $(1 + i)\sqrt{4m} = 2 \cdot G(\langle 2m \rangle)$ and the result follows. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose as above that B is a non-singular bilinear form on a rational vector space V and L is an integral lattice with $L^\#$ defined as in (2.1). Check B still induces a symmetric, bilinear form b_L on $L^\#/L$ which is still non-singular. To apply the Milgram Gauss sum formula to $L^\#/L$ we need additionally that $B(v, v) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for all $v \in L$. If L does not satisfy this condition we can proceed as follows. There exists a characteristic element $\nu \in L$: i.e. $B(v, v) \equiv B(\nu, v) \pmod{2}$ for all $v \in L$. The element ν is not unique but pick one. Then set $Q(x) = \frac{B(x,x) - B(\nu,x)}{2}$ for all $x \in L^\#$. Check that Q induces a quadratic function $\psi_\nu: L^\#/L \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ and that ψ_L enhances b_L . Then*

$$(2.2) \quad \beta(\psi_\nu) = \frac{\sigma(B)}{8} - \frac{B(\nu, \nu)}{8} \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} .$$

Proof. Let $L_1 = 2L \subset L$. Check that the function Q defined above induces a function $\psi_{L_1}: L_1^\#/L_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ which is an enhancement of b_{L_1} . The function ψ_{L_1} is almost certainly not quadratic, but just as in the proof of (2.1) prove that $\beta(\psi_\nu) = \beta(\psi_{L_1})$. Note $\psi_{L_1} + B(-, \nu/2) = \psi$ on $L_1^\#/L_1$ where ψ is the quadratic function induced by $\frac{B(x,x)}{2}$ on $L_1^\#/L_1$. Use (2.1) to calculate $\beta(\psi) = \frac{\sigma(B)}{8}$ and use (1.14) to deduce that $\beta(\psi_\nu) = \beta(\psi) - \psi(\nu/2) = \beta(\psi) - \frac{B(\nu, \nu)}{8}$. \square

Remarks 2.3. If $\det B$ is odd, then ν is unique up to sums with elements of the form $2x$. A classical argument shows $B(\nu, \nu) \equiv B(\nu + 2x, \nu + 2x) \pmod{8}$ and one checks that ψ_ν does not depend on the choice of ν either. For $\det B$ odd (2.2) is a result of Blij [1]. The general case appears in Brumfiel&Morgan [3].

If $\det B$ is even however, there are different choices for ν which give different enhancements and different values of $B(\nu, \nu)$. Indeed, it is a theorem of Brumfiel&Morgan [3] and Wall [16] that any quadratic enhancement of a non-singular symmetric bilinear form can be obtained as ψ_L for an appropriate B and ν .

We next turn to Brown [2] for other ways to obtain quadratic functions. Given a symmetric bilinear form, $B: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$\psi_B: V \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

by $\psi_B(x) = \frac{B(x, x)}{4}$. This function is 2-linear, quadratic and the associated bilinear form is $B_2: (V \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \times (V \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ obtained by reducing B mod 2. There is an obvious generalization: let $V_m = V \otimes \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ and define

$$\psi_{B,m}: V_m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

with $\psi_{B,m}(x) = \frac{B(x, x)}{2m}$. In order for $\psi_{B,m}$ to be defined on V_m it is necessary and sufficient that m be even. This quadratic enhancement, even for $m = 2$, need not be tame. It is non-singular if and only if $\det B$ is relatively prime to m . A further generalization is to recall that for any quadratic $\psi: T \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ the function $B \otimes \psi: V \otimes T \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ defined by $(B \otimes \psi)(v \otimes x) = B(v, v)\psi(x)$ is also quadratic [12, p.111]. (One should also check that it really is defined. Note that the formula for $B = \langle 1 \rangle$ implies that ψ is quadratic so the formula does not work for non-quadratic enhancements.) If m is even, let $\mathbf{1}_m: \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ be defined by $\mathbf{1}_m(1) = \frac{1}{2m}$. Then $B \otimes \mathbf{1}_m = \psi_{B,m}$ so this generalizes Brown's construction.

Theorem 2.4. *If B is a symmetric form over \mathbb{Z} with determinant ± 1 and if ψ is tame quadratic, then*

$$(2.4) \quad \beta(B \otimes \psi) = \sigma(B) \cdot \beta(\psi) \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} .$$

Proof. As Brown remarks, (1.12) shows that $\beta(B \otimes \psi)$ only depends on the Witt class of B . Now the Witt ring of \mathbb{Z} is infinite cyclic generated by the form $\langle 1 \rangle$. But $\langle 1 \rangle \otimes \psi = \psi$. \square

Theorem 2.5. *Another application of Dirichlet's Gauss sum formula as in (2.1) shows that for positive even m , $\beta(\mathbf{1}_m) = \frac{1}{8}$, so we get Brown's theorem, $\beta(\psi_{B,2}) = \frac{\sigma(B)}{8}$.*

Theorem 2.6. *If m is odd, define $\mathbf{1}_m: \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ by $\mathbf{1}_m(1) = \frac{1}{m}$. If $m > 0$ and $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $\beta(\mathbf{1}_m) = 0$ and if $m > 0$, $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $\beta(\mathbf{1}_m) = \frac{1}{4}$.*

In a bit, we will give another proof of (2.4) that bypasses the Witt ring calculation, but before that, we generalize a formula of Melvin and Kirby [7, p.522] for computing $\beta(\psi_{B,2})$ and use it to compute $\beta(B \otimes \psi)$ in favorable situations.

Given ψ , fix a positive integer m so that all the values of ψ applied to elements of T lie in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. When computing $\beta(B \otimes \psi)$ any two integer matrices which are the same mod m clearly yield the same result. We can also split ψ into its p -primary pieces and work with one prime at a

time since $(B \otimes \psi)_p = B \otimes \psi_p$, so let $m = p^k$. We say B is p^r -similar to C , written $B \sim_{p^r} C$, if there is an integral entry matrix M with $\det M$ prime to p so that $C \equiv M^{tr} B M \pmod{p^r}$. We note that if $B \sim_m C$ then M gives an isometry between $B \otimes \psi$ and $C \otimes \psi$, so $\beta(B \otimes \psi) = \beta(C \otimes \psi)$ or neither is tame.

Call any integral matrix of the form $H_{m_1, m_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 2m_1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2m_2 \end{pmatrix}$ *pseudo-hyperbolic*. Call any form which is an orthogonal sum of rank one forms and pseudo-hyperbolics *reduced*. A form which is an orthogonal sum of rank one forms will be called *diagonal*.

The usual Gram-Schmidt process can be applied mod p^r to yield the following algorithm for finding a reduced matrix p^r -similar to B . If there is a diagonal entry α in B generated by x with α relatively prime to p , the usual Gram-Schmidt process defines a projection $p: V \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow V \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ by $p(v) = v - \frac{b(x, v)}{b(x, x)}x$ and then observes that B is isometric to $\langle \alpha \rangle \perp \text{Im}(p)$. The only denominators in the matrix B' for $\text{Im}(p)$ are divisors of $b(x, x)$ so we can find a positive integer $r \equiv 1 \pmod{p^r}$ so that rB' is integral and $\langle \alpha \rangle \perp (rB')$ is congruent mod m to B . Note B and $\langle \alpha \rangle \perp (rB')$ are p^r -similar. Continue until we get to a new form $\bar{B}' = D_0 \perp B_0$ where D_0 is diagonal, B_0 has all diagonal entries divisible by p and \bar{B}' is p^r -similar to B .

Next suppose that some entry in B_0 is prime to p . This means there are basis elements x, y such that $B(x, y)$ is prime to p . If p is odd change the basis to $x + y, x - y$ and note that there are now diagonal entries prime to p .

If $p = 2$ this does not work, but we can change the basis element y to ay , a odd so that in this new basis $B(x, y) = 1$. One can now orthogonally split off a pseudo-hyperbolic. Since before we split off the pseudo-hyperbolic all squares were even afterwards all diagonal entries will still be even.

We can continue these reductions until we have found a matrix $\bar{B}_0 = R_0 \perp B_1$ with \bar{B}_0 p^r -similar to B , with R_0 reduced and with every entry of B_1 divisible by p . Divide B_1 by p and continue. Eventually we will obtain an orthogonal sum

$$(2.7) \quad C = C_0 \perp pC_1 \perp \cdots \perp p^w C_w$$

where C is p^r -similar to B and each C_i is reduced with $\det C_i$ prime to p . If we take r so that p^r is at least the largest power of p dividing $\det B$ then w and the sizes of each of the C_i are determined by B since the p torsion in cokernel of C determines the w and the size of the C_i

and for this large an r the p torsion in the cokernel of C is isomorphic to the p torsion in the cokernel of B .

For $\det A$ prime to p define a mod 8 integer $\sigma_p(A)$ by

$$\sigma_p(A) \equiv \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \text{rank } A & \text{if } p \text{ is odd} \\ N_1 - N_{-1} + 3N_3 - 3N_{-3} & \text{if } p = 2 \end{array} \right\} \pmod{8}$$

where N_i is the number of diagonal entries congruent to $i \pmod{8}$ in any reduced matrix 8-similar to A . It is not obvious that $\sigma_p(A)$ is well-defined for $p = 2$ but this is checked in the proof of the next result.

Theorem 2.8. *For each p and $\psi: T \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ with ψ tame and quadratic, T a p -group with $|T/T^\perp| = p^e$, and B a symmetric integral form with $\det B$ prime to p ,*

$$\beta(B \otimes \psi) = \sigma_p(B) \cdot \beta(\psi) + \frac{\ell_p(\det B) \cdot e}{2}.$$

Proof. We may pass to ψ^{red} so without loss of generality assume ψ in also non-singular. Note $B \otimes \psi$ is also non-singular. We start by proving the formula under the additional assumptions that B is reduced and we have define $\sigma_p(B)$ using B as our reduced form if $p = 2$. The desired formula is additive for orthogonal sum so it suffices to prove the result for $\langle a \rangle$ plus the pseudo-hyperbolic if $p = 2$.

Since $a \cdot \psi = \langle a \rangle \otimes \psi$ the formula for rank one forms is just a restatement of the formula in 1.19.

Next let H be a pseudo-hyperbolic. Working mod 8 one can show that

$$\langle -1 \rangle \perp \begin{pmatrix} 2m_1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2m_2 \end{pmatrix} \sim_8 \langle 2m_1 - 1 \rangle \perp \langle 2m_2 - 1 \rangle \perp \langle 1 - 2m_1 - 2m_2 \rangle.$$

Compute that

$$\beta(H \otimes \mathbf{1}_2) = 0 \cdot \beta(\mathbf{1}_2) + \frac{\ell_2(\det H) \cdot e}{2}.$$

Now work mod 2^r , $r > 3$, and suppose $\langle -1 \rangle \perp \begin{pmatrix} 2m_1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2m_2 \end{pmatrix} \sim_{2^r} \langle a_1 \rangle \perp \langle a_2 \rangle \perp \langle a_3 \rangle$. Calculating $\beta(H \otimes \mathbf{1}_2)$ using this decomposition shows $1 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$. It then follows that, for any tame ψ ,

$$\beta(H \otimes \psi) = \beta(\psi)(1 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3) + \frac{\ell_2(a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot a_3) \cdot e}{2} = \frac{\ell_2(\det H) \cdot e}{2}.$$

But $\sigma_2(H) = 0$ by definition. Hence the formula holds for pseudo-hyperbolics.

Note next that if $B \sim_{p^r} C$, then $\ell_p(\det B) = \ell_p(\det C)$, provided $r \geq 3$ if $p = 2$.

If p is odd but B is not necessarily reduced, chose a reduced C with C p^r -similar to B and with $p^r \cdot \psi(t) = 0$ for all $t \in T$. Then $\beta(B \otimes \psi) = \beta(C \otimes \psi)$ and for odd primes clearly $\sigma_p(B) = \sigma_p(C)$ so the formula holds for odd primes.

Now suppose C_1 and C_2 are two matrices 8-similar to B . Then $\beta(B \otimes \mathbf{1}_4) = \beta(C_i \otimes \mathbf{1}_4) = \frac{\sigma_2(C_i)}{8}$, so $\sigma_2(C_1) \equiv \sigma_2(C_2) \pmod{8}$ and we let $\sigma_2(B)$ denote this common value. For any ψ and B as in theorem, choose a reduced C 2^r -similar to B with $2^r \cdot \psi(t) = 0$ for all $t \in T$ and just as in the odd case $\beta(B \otimes \psi) = \beta(C \otimes \psi)$. We have just checked that $\sigma_2(B) = \sigma_2(C)$ and $\ell_2(\det B) = \ell_2(\det C)$. \square

We can now prove (2.4) directly from 2.8. It suffices to prove (2.4) a prime at a time. For $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $\beta(\psi)$ is a multiple of $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\ell_p(-1) = 0$. Since $\text{rank } B \equiv \sigma(B) \pmod{2}$, (2.4) holds. For $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $\beta(\psi)$ is a multiple of $\frac{1}{4}$: recall $\text{rank } B \equiv \sigma(B) \pmod{4}$ if $\det B > 0$ and $\text{rank } B \equiv \sigma(B) + 2 \pmod{4}$ if $\det B < 0$. Since $\ell_p(-1) = 1$ (2.4) holds again.

For $p = 2$ we adopt a different approach. Recall that we calculated $\beta(B \otimes \mathbf{1}_4) = \frac{\sigma_2(B)}{8}$. But we can calculate $\beta(B \otimes \mathbf{1}_4)$ directly using the Milgram Gauss sum formula. First note that $2V$ is an acceptable lattice in $V \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and since $\det B = \pm 1$, $(2V)^\# = \frac{1}{2}V$. Calculate that $V^\#/V \cong V \otimes \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ and under this isomorphism the enhancement on $V^\#/V$ is the one on $B \otimes \mathbf{1}_4$. Since $\det B = \pm 1$, $\ell_2(\det B) = 0$ so $\sigma(B) \equiv \sigma_2(B) \pmod{8}$. \square

Kirby and Melvin [7] introduced this reduction for $p^r = 4$ including the removal of pseudo-hyperbolics by orthogonally summing with $\langle 1 \rangle \perp \langle -1 \rangle$. If there are any diagonal entries of the form $\langle 2 \rangle$ they observe that $\psi_{B,2}$ is not tame; otherwise it is. Then they compute that

$$\beta(\psi_{B,2}) = \frac{n_1 - n_3 + 4\epsilon_H}{8} \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

where n_1 is the number of diagonal entries congruent to 1 mod 4; n_3 is the number of diagonal entries congruent to 3 mod 4; and ϵ_H is the number of pseudo-hyperbolics congruent to $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & \pm 1 \\ \pm 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{4}$.

For a proof, let $C \sim_4 B$ where $C = R_0 \perp 2R_1 \perp \cdots$ and $B \otimes \mathbf{1}_2 = R_0 \otimes \mathbf{1}_2 \perp R_1 \otimes (2 \cdot \mathbf{1}_2)$. Now n_2 is the number of diagonal summands of R_1 . If $n_2 > 0$ the second summand is not tame and so neither is

$B \otimes \mathbf{1}_2$. Kirby and Melvin note that if $n_2 > 0$ then we can choose a C so that n_2 is any number between 1 and $\text{rank } R_1 + \text{rank } R_2$. If $n_2 = 0$ then $B \otimes \mathbf{1}_2 = R_0 \otimes \mathbf{1}_2$ orthogonal sum a 0 form. In this case $n_1 = N_1 + N_{-3}$ and $n_3 = N_3 + N_{-1}$, so we would have $\beta(\psi_{B,2}) = \beta(R_0 \otimes \mathbf{1}_2) = \frac{n_1 - n_3 + 4(N_3 - N_{-3})}{8} + \frac{\ell_2(\det R_0)}{2}$ and one checks that $\ell_2(\det R_0) + N_3 + N_{-3}$ is $\epsilon_H \pmod{2}$.

Even though we can compute σ_2 by working mod 4 it is worth considering the mod 8 calculation since for $\det B$ odd we need only do the reduction until all the diagonal elements are even, we need not actually split off the pseudo-hyperbolics to compute σ_2 . As an extreme example, if B is a symmetric matrix over \mathbb{Z} with all diagonal entries even and with $\det B$ odd, then $\sigma_2(B) = 0$.

Remark 2.9. Given a symmetric matrix over B with even diagonal entries we can find matrices S such that $B = S + S^{tr}$.

The function $\psi: V \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ given by $\psi(x) = \frac{x^{tr} S x}{2}$ is a quadratic enhancement of B : in fact $\psi = B \otimes \mathbf{1}_2$. If $\det B$ is odd, it follows that $\beta(\psi) = \beta(\psi_{B,2}) = \frac{\ell_2(\det B)}{2}$. If S is a Seifert matrix for a knot S^{4k-3} in S^{4k-1} , then $\beta(\psi)$ is the Arf invariant of the knot, $\det B = \Delta(-1)$ is the value of the Alexander polynomial of the knot evaluated at -1 and $\beta(\psi) = \frac{\ell_2(\Delta(-1))}{2}$ is a theorem of Levine [9, p.544]. In [14] we

employed a slightly different variant of the Gram–Schmidt process to classify arbitrary non-singular quadratic functions on a p group: Thm. 3.5 (p.268) asserts that any non-singular ψ_p on a finite abelian p group is an orthogonal sum

Theorem 2.10.

$$(2.10) \quad \psi_p = R_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}_p \perp \cdots \perp R_k \otimes \mathbf{1}_{p^k}$$

where each R_i is reduced and has $\det R_i$ prime to p . Furthermore, rank R_i depends only on T and

$$\beta(\psi_p) = \sum_{s=1}^k \beta(R_s \otimes \mathbf{1}_{p^s}) = \sum_{s=1}^k \left(\sigma_p(R_s) + \frac{\ell_p(\det R_s) \cdot s}{2} \right).$$

Remark 2.11. Brown [2] defined a product on enhancements on $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ vector spaces. We see no hope for defining a product in general, but given two quadratic enhancements on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ vector spaces, say $\psi_1 = R \otimes \mathbf{1}_p$ and $q_2 = S \otimes \mathbf{1}_p$, define $\psi_1 \bullet \psi_2$ to be $(R \otimes S) \otimes \mathbf{1}_p$. Check that this product is well defined. It clearly is commutative and it is not hard to verify that if $\beta(\psi_i) = \frac{\alpha_i}{8}$ then $\beta(\psi_1 \bullet \psi_2) = \frac{\alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2}{8}$. When $p = 2$ this is Brown’s product and Brown’s theorem.

Given a form B and a quadratic enhancement ψ we can work out $(B \otimes \psi)_p$ by finding a matrix p^r -similar to one as in (2.7) and a quadratic enhancement ψ_p decomposed as in (2.10), it is clear that $(B \otimes \psi)_p$ is an orthogonal sum of terms of the form $(C_i \otimes R_j) \otimes (p^i \cdot \mathbf{1}_{p^j})$. Hence, to describe $B \otimes \psi$ or even just $p^i \cdot \psi_p$ it suffices to describe $p^i \cdot \mathbf{1}_{p^r}$. Let $T = \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}$ denote the domain of $p^i \cdot \mathbf{1}_{p^r}$. This form has $T^\perp = p^{r-i}T$. If p is odd $p^i \cdot \mathbf{1}_{p^r}$ is tame and $(p^i \cdot \mathbf{1}_{p^r})^{red} = \mathbf{1}_{p^{r-i}}$. (Or trivial if $i \geq r$). If $p = 2$ this still works *except* if $r = i$: the form $2^r \cdot \mathbf{1}_{2^r}$ is not tame, although $H_{m,n} \otimes (2^r \cdot \mathbf{1}_{2^r}) = 2^r \cdot (H_{m,n} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{2^r})$ is trivial, hence tame. Hence $2^i \cdot \psi_2$ is tame if and only if R_i has no diagonal summands. If $p^i \cdot \psi_p$ is tame, we have

Theorem 2.12.

$$(2.12) \quad (p^i \cdot \psi_p)^{red} = R_{i+1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_p \perp \cdots \perp R_k \otimes \mathbf{1}_{p^{k-i}}$$

Remark 2.13. Note for p odd, each $\beta(R_i \otimes \mathbf{1}_{p^{k-i}})$ is an invariant of the isotropy class of ψ_p . The proof is complicated a bit by the fact that

although $\beta(p^i \cdot \psi_p) = \sum_{s=1}^{k-i} \beta(R_{i+s} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{p^s})$, $\beta(R \otimes \mathbf{1}_{p^s})$ does not in general equal $\beta(R \otimes \mathbf{1}_{p^{s-1}})$ since the e in (2.8) keeps changing but still we have that each $\sigma_p(R_i)$ and each $\ell_p(\det R_i)$ depend only on the isotropy class of ψ_p . If we have a symmetric matrix B with $\det B$ prime to p then if B is p^r -similar to a C as in (2.7) with a large enough r , then B determines each $\sigma_p(C_i)$ and each $\ell_p(\det C_i)$. From this information, $\beta((B \otimes \psi)_p)$ can be worked out.

If $\det A$ is prime to p , then $\sigma_p(A) \equiv \text{rank } A \pmod{2}$. For all primes p one can check that for matrices with $\det(C \otimes R)$ prime to p , $\sigma_p(C \otimes R) = \sigma_p(C) \cdot \sigma_p(R)$ and $\ell_p(\det(C \otimes R)) = \sigma_p(C) \cdot \ell_p(R) + \ell_p(C) \cdot \sigma_p(R)$ computed mod 2.

It follows that

Theorem 2.14.

$$\beta((B \otimes \psi)_p) = \sum_{i < j} \frac{\sigma_p(C_i) \cdot \sigma_p(R_j)}{8} + \frac{(\sigma_p(C_i) \cdot \ell_p(R_j) + \ell_p(C_i) \cdot \sigma_p(R_j)) \cdot (j - i)}{2}$$

The result can be packaged a little better.

Let $\sigma'_p(A) = \sigma_p(A) + 4 \cdot \ell_p(\det A)$. Then

$$(2.14)' \quad \beta((B \otimes \psi)_p) = \sum_{\substack{i < j \\ i \not\equiv j \pmod{2}}} \frac{\sigma_p(C_i) \cdot \sigma_p(R_j)}{8} + \sum_{\substack{i < j \\ i \equiv j \pmod{2}}} \frac{\sigma'_p(C_i) \cdot \sigma'_p(R_j)}{8}$$

For $p = 2$ the argument for invariance fails, as does the result: $\mathbf{1}_4 \perp \mathbf{1}_2$ is isometric to $\langle 3 \rangle \otimes \mathbf{1}_4 \perp \langle 3 \rangle \otimes \mathbf{1}_2$ but $\beta(\mathbf{1}_4) = \frac{1}{8} \neq \beta(\langle 3 \rangle \otimes \mathbf{1}_4) = \frac{3}{8}$.

But just make any choice of C_i and R_j and then compute. The only problem occurs for terms of the form $C_i \otimes R_i$ (same subscripts): if $N_1 + N_3 + N_5 + N_7$ for C_i is not 0 and if $N_1 + N_3 + N_5 + N_7$ for R_i is not 0, then $B \otimes \psi$ will not be tame. Otherwise $B \otimes \psi$ will be tame both (2.14) and (2.14)' hold without change. This generalizes the Kirby&Melvin calculation: their $\psi = \mathbf{1}_2$ so $R_1 = \langle 1 \rangle$ and $C = C_0 \perp 2C_1 \perp \dots$. For R_1 , $N_1 + N_3 + N_5 + N_7 = 1$ so to be tame we must have $N_1 + N_3 + N_5 + N_7 = 0$ for C_1 , which translates to no $\langle 2 \rangle$'s in C . Moreover $\sigma'_2(R_1) = 1$ and $\sigma'_2(C_0) = n_1 - n_3 + 4\epsilon_H$.

3. TOPOLOGY APPLICATIONS.

Theorem 3.1. *(A theorem of Morita [13]) Let X be a $4k$ dimensional, oriented, connected Poincaré duality space without boundary. The Pontrjagin square*

$$\mathcal{P}: H^{2k}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$$

is a quadratic enhancement of the cup product pairing $H^{2k}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \times H^{2k}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Brown conjectured and Morita proved that

$$\beta(\mathcal{P}) = \frac{\sigma(X)}{8} \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

where $\sigma(X)$ denotes the signature of X .

For the proof, let K denote the image of the torsion in $H^{2k}(X; \mathbb{Z})$ in $H^{2k}(X; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. An observation of Massey [10] which he claims was well-known at the time says that, in our notation,

$$K^\perp/K = (H^{2k}(X; \mathbb{Z})/\text{torsion}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

Recall that on $H^{2k}(X; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \subset H^{2k}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ the Pontrjagin square is just the cup product square reduced mod 4. This shows that \mathcal{P} vanishes on K and that the enhancement induced by \mathcal{P} on K^\perp/K is the mod 4 quadratic enhancement of the cup product form B on $H^{2k}(X; \mathbb{Z})/\text{torsion}$. Now 2.4 completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose X is a $4k$ dimensional, oriented, connected Poincaré duality space with boundary. The Pontrjagin square*

$$\mathcal{P}: H^{2k}(X, \partial X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$$

is a quadratic enhancement of the cup product pairing. If $H^{2k}(\partial X; \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion free, then

$$\beta(\mathcal{P}) = \frac{\sigma(X)}{8} \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

Several differences arise in the bounded case. The first is that the cup product pairing has an annihilator: if $T = H^{2k}(X, \partial X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, T^\perp is the image of $H^{2k-1}(\partial X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ in $H^{2k}(X, \partial X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. A theorem of Thomas [15] calculates that the compositions

$$H^{2k-1}(\partial X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2k}(X, \partial X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} H^{4k}(X, \partial X; \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$$

$H^{2k-1}(\partial X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{x \cup Sq^1 x} H^{4k-1}(\partial X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ are equal. Note that if $H^{2k}(\partial X; \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion-free, $Sq^1 x = 0$ so \mathcal{P} is tame in this case. (But not in general: any oriented boundary for an RP^{2k-1} is going to have \mathcal{P} not tame.) We can identify T/T^\perp with the image of $H^{2k}(X, \partial X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ in $H^{2k}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.

Let I_X denote the image of $H^{2k}(X, \partial X; \mathbb{Z})$ in $H^{2k}(X; \mathbb{Z})$. Since $H^{2k}(\partial X; \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion-free, $I_X \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \subset T/T^\perp$. Let $K \subset T/T^\perp$ denote the image of the torsion of I_X in T/T^\perp .

A straightforward generalization of Massey's observation shows that $K^\perp = I_X$. Let B_X denote the matrix for the form on $I_X/\text{torsion}$ so $\sigma(X) = \sigma(B_X)$. Since $H^{2k}(\partial X; \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion-free, $\det B_X = \pm 1$ and just as in 3.1 $\beta(\mathcal{P}) = \beta(\psi_{B_X, 1})$. 2.4 completes the proof. \square

The function $x \cup Sq^1 x$ arises in other contexts. It is the squaring homomorphism associated to the bilinear form

$$R: H^k(M; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \times H^k(M; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

defined by $R(x, y) = \langle Sq^1 x \cup y, [M] \rangle$ on any oriented $2k+1$ dimensional manifold. The form R is symmetric.

Let M^3 be a closed 3 manifold with a fixed Spin structure. In [8, p.209], we showed how to quadratically enhance the linking form ℓ on $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$. Let ψ denote this enhancement. We further showed that $\beta(\psi)$ is just Rochlin's μ -invariant of M mod 8. Spin structures on M are acted on by $H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. For $x \in H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, define $\hat{\mu}(x)$ to be the difference of the μ invariant for M with its given Spin structure minus the μ invariant for M with Spin structure obtained by acting via x , all reduced mod 8. We showed that $\hat{\mu}$ is a quadratic enhancement of R . (See the remark after formula 4.9, p.213 [8]).

Now in general R is singular: in fact R^\perp is precisely the kernel of Sq^1 . We see that the image of $H^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ in $H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ acts trivially on the quadratic enhancement on ℓ so we get an action of $H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})/H^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ on this set. This group is identified via the integral Bockstein δ with ${}_2H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ and R naturally induces a bilinear form on ${}_2H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$: the enhancement $\hat{\mu}$ also extends to ${}_2H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$.

In (1.15) we remarked that ${}_2H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ acts on the quadratic enhancements of the linking form, and a comparison of (1.15) and the enhancement $\hat{\mu}$ shows that $\hat{\mu}(x) = \Delta_\beta(\delta x)$ for any $x \in H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. So the quadratic enhancement on R is just the quadratic enhancement of the linking form restricted to ${}_2H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$.

Theorem 3.3. *If the torsion subgroup of $H_1(M^3; \mathbb{Z})$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ vector space, then the μ invariant mod 8 is $\beta(\hat{\mu})$ since $\hat{\mu} = \psi$.*

Remark 3.4. In general, $\hat{\mu}$ is tame unless ${}_4(H^2(M^3; \mathbb{Z}))$ contains an x with $\ell(x, x) = \pm\frac{1}{4}$, in which case $\hat{\mu}$ is not tame: e.g. the lens spaces $L(4, \pm 1)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. van der Blij, *An invariant of quadratic forms mod 8*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A **62** = Indag. Math. **21** (1959), 291–293. MR 0108467
- [2] Edgar H. Brown Jr., *Generalizations of the Kervaire invariant*, Ann. of Math. (2) **95** (1972), 368–383. MR 0293642 (45 #2719)
- [3] Gregory W. Brumfiel and John W. Morgan, *Quadratic functions, the index modulo 8, and a $\mathbb{Z}/4$ -Hirzebruch formula*, Topology **12** (1973), 105–122. MR 0324709
- [4] Francis X. Connolly, *Linking numbers and surgery*, Topology **12** (1973), 389–409. MR 0334245
- [5] P. G. Lejeune Dirichlet, *Vorlesungen über Zahlentheorie*, Herausgegeben und mit Zusätzen versehen von R. Dedekind. Vierte, umgearbeitete und vermehrte Auflage, Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1968 (German). MR 0237283
- [6] Carl Friedrich Gauss, *Disquisitiones arithmeticae*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986. Translated and with a preface by Arthur A. Clarke; Revised by William C. Waterhouse, Cornelius Greither and A. W. Grootendorst and with a preface by Waterhouse. MR 837656
- [7] Robion Kirby and Paul Melvin, *The 3-manifold invariants of Witten and Reshetikhin-Turaev for $sl(2, \mathbb{C})$* , Invent. Math. **105** (1991), no. 3, 473–545, DOI 10.1007/BF01232277. MR 1117149
- [8] R. C. Kirby and L. R. Taylor, *Pin structures on low-dimensional manifolds*, Geometry of low-dimensional manifolds, 2 (Durham, 1989), pp. 177–242. MR 1171915 (94b:57031)
- [9] J. Levine, *Polynomial invariants of knots of codimension two*, Ann. of Math. (2) **84** (1966), 537–554. MR 0200922

- [10] W. S. Massey, *On the Stiefel-Whitney classes of a manifold. II*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1962), 938–942. MR 0142129
- [11] R. James Milgram, *Surgery with coefficients*, Ann. of Math. (2) **100** (1974), 194–248. MR 0362359
- [12] John Milnor and Dale Husemoller, *Symmetric bilinear forms*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 73. MR 0506372 (58 #22129)
- [13] Shigeyuki Morita, *On the Pontrjagin square and the signature*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **18** (1971), 405–414. MR 0301744 (46 #899)
- [14] Laurence R. Taylor, *Relative Rochlin invariants*, Topology Appl. **18** (1984), no. 2-3, 259–280. MR 769295 (86g:57027)
- [15] Emery Thomas, *The suspension of the generalized Pontrjagin cohomology operations.*, Pacific J. Math. **9** (1959), 897–911. MR 0110098
- [16] C. T. C. Wall, *Quadratic forms on finite groups, and related topics*, Topology **2** (1963), 281–298. MR 0156890

Email address: `taylor.2@nd.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME NOTRE DAME,
IN 46556