

# ON FILLINGS OF CONTACT LINKS OF QUOTIENT SINGULARITIES

ZHENGYI ZHOU

**ABSTRACT.** We study several aspects of fillings for links of general quotient singularities using Floer theory, including co-fillings, Weinstein fillings, strong fillings, exact fillings and exact orbifold fillings, focusing on non-existence of exact fillings of contact links of isolated terminal quotient singularities. We provide an extensive list of isolated terminal quotient singularities whose contact links are not exactly fillable, including  $\mathbb{C}^n/(\mathbb{Z}/2)$  for  $n \geq 3$ , which settles a conjecture of Eliashberg, quotient singularities from general cyclic group actions and finite subgroups of  $SU(2)$ , and all terminal quotient singularities in complex dimension 3. We also obtain uniqueness of the *orbifold* diffeomorphism type of *exact orbifold fillings* of contact links of some isolated terminal quotient singularities.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Basics of the contact link $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$	6
3. Symplectic cohomology of fillings of $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$	12
4. The secondary coproduct on symplectic cohomology	15
5. Fundamental groups	19
6. Non-existence of exact fillings for real projective spaces	21
7. More non-existence results	27
8. Orbifold fillings of quotient singularity links	38
Appendix A. Bernoulli numbers	40
References	43

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Links of isolated singularities are natural and interesting examples of contact manifolds. In complex dimension 2, their contact properties, especially filling properties, in particular Weinstein fillings, were extensively studied, see [47] for an introduction and references therein for details. In such low dimension, tools from low dimensional topology and intersection theory of pseudo-holomorphic curves allow us obtain classification results. For example, the diffeomorphism type of symplectic fillings of lens spaces is classified by Lisca [37]. Moreover, such classification can be strengthened to a classification up to symplectic deformation by a powerful result of Wendl [60]. In this paper, we study, by means of Floer theories, symplectic fillings, including co-fillings, Weinstein fillings, strong fillings, exact fillings and exact orbifold fillings, of contact links of quotient singularities in any dimension.

**1.1. Weinstein fillings, strong fillings and co-fillings.** Unlike the situation in complex dimension 2, it is a classical fact that isolated quotient singularities of complex dimension at least 3 are not smoothable, which

was proved using algebraic methods by showing those singularities are rigid [52]. In particular, the contact link of an isolated quotient singularity of complex dimension at least 3 can not be filled by a Milnor fiber. On the symplectic side, it is an easy corollary of the Eliashberg-McDuff-Floer theorem [38] that the contact link of an isolated quotient singularity of complex dimension at least 3 has no Weinstein filling. We first state the following result on fundamental groups of symplectic fillings, which also yields the non-existence of Weinstein fillings in complex dimension at least 3.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  be an isolated singularity and  $n \geq 2$ .*

- (1) *If  $W$  is an exact filling of the contact link  $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$ , then  $G = \pi_1(S^{2n-1}/G) \rightarrow \pi_1(W)$  is not injective.*
- (2) *If  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  is a terminal quotient singularity (Definition 2.10) and  $W$  is a strong filling of the contact link, then  $\pi_1(S^{2n-1}/G) \rightarrow \pi_1(W)$  is surjective. If moreover  $W$  is an exact filling, then  $W$  is simply connected.*
- (3) *If  $G = \mathbb{Z}/m$ , where  $m$  is not divisible by a non-trivial square, and  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  is terminal, then any strong filling of the contact link is simply connected.*

**Remark 1.1.** (1) of Theorem A also implies that the contact link of an isolated quotient singularity of complex dimension at least 3 has no Weinstein filling. In fact, by the topological argument in [26], those contact links have no almost Weinstein filling.

Terminal singularities are important players in the minimal model program in higher dimensions introduced by Reid [48]. A deep theorem due to McLean [40] shows that the terminal property is in fact a contact property of the link. In the context of isolated quotient singularities, such relation is contained in the terminal criterion due to Reid, Shepherd, Barron [48] and Tai [57].

By arguments in the same spirit of the proof of Theorem A, we can obtain the following result on co-fillings. However, we expect the terminal assumption to be irrelevant.

**Proposition B.** *Let  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  be an isolated terminal singularity,  $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  is not co-fillable.*

**1.2. Non-existence of exact fillings.** One of the fundamental questions in contact topology is understanding the differences between exactly fillable manifolds and strongly fillable manifolds, especially those with strong fillings that are not exactly fillable. The first such example was found in dimension 3 by Ghigini [29], which was generalized recently by Min [43]. In higher dimensions, a guiding conjecture is due to Eliashberg as follows.

**Conjecture C** ([22, §1.9]).  *$(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}, \xi_{\text{std}})$  is not exact fillable if  $n \geq 3$ .*

Note that  $(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}, \xi_{\text{std}})$  is the contact link of the simplest isolated quotient singularity  $\mathbb{C}^n/(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ . We showed in [63] that Conjecture C holds if  $n \neq 2^k$ . In this paper, we fill the gap, hence settle the conjecture.

**Theorem D.** *For  $n \geq 3$ ,  $(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}, \xi_{\text{std}})$  is not exactly fillable.*

Note that the threshold  $n \geq 3$  is precisely the threshold for the singularity  $\mathbb{C}^n/(\mathbb{Z}/2)$  to be terminal. This leads us to make the following conjecture in [63].

**Conjecture E.** *Let  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  be an isolated terminal singularity, then the contact link  $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  is not exactly fillable.*

In view of the conjecture above and Hironaka's resolution of singularities, isolated terminal quotient singularities shall provide natural contact manifolds with strong fillings that are not exactly fillable. One

direction to simplify Conjecture **E** is fixing the dimension. We are able to verify the conjecture in the smallest non-trivial dimension, namely complex dimension 3. In such case, terminal quotient singularities are completely classified in [44], where the group can be any finite cyclic group.

**Theorem F.** *The contact link of any isolated terminal quotient singularity in complex dimension 3 has no exact filling.*

Another direction to simplify Conjecture **E** is fixing the group. The simplest case is when  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$  covered in Theorem **D**, where the only variable is the complex dimension. The next simplest case is when  $G = \mathbb{Z}/3$ , where the group action is no longer unique in a given dimension. We are able to confirm Conjecture **E** for most cases when  $G = \mathbb{Z}/3, \mathbb{Z}/4$ .

**Theorem G.** *For any isolated terminal quotient singularity  $\mathbb{C}^n/(\mathbb{Z}/3)$ , except for the case of  $\mathbb{Z}/3$  action with the same weight for  $n = 3^k$ , the contact link has no exact filling. For any isolated terminal quotient singularity  $\mathbb{C}^n/(\mathbb{Z}/4)$  and  $n$  is not a power of 2, the contact link has no exact filling.*

Another natural family of isolated quotient singularities is  $\mathbb{C}^n/(\mathbb{Z}/m)$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}/m$  acts by the same weight, namely, the generator is sent to  $\text{diag}(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}, \dots, e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}})$ . Such singularity is terminal if and only if  $n > m$ . For such singularities, we have the following.

**Theorem H.** *Let  $\mathbb{Z}/m$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with the same weight for  $m \geq 3$ . When  $n > m$  and there is no prime number  $p$  such that  $n = p^s, m = p^t$ , then the lens space  $(L(m; 1, \dots, 1), \xi_{\text{std}}) = (S^{2n-1}/(\mathbb{Z}/m), \xi_{\text{std}})$  is not exactly fillable.*

For general cyclic group actions, we have the following.

**Theorem I.** *Assume  $\mathbb{C}^n/(\mathbb{Z}/m)$  is an isolated terminal singularity. If  $c_1(S^{2n-1}/(\mathbb{Z}/m), \xi_{\text{std}}) \in \mathbb{Z}/m$  is not zero modulo a prime factor  $p$  of  $m$ , then  $(S^{2n-1}/(\mathbb{Z}/m), \xi_{\text{std}})$  is not exactly fillable. In particular, when  $m$  is a prime and  $\mathbb{Z}/m \subset U(n)$  is not contained in  $SU(n)$ , then  $(S^{2n-1}/(\mathbb{Z}/m), \xi_{\text{std}})$  is not exactly fillable.*

For general group actions, we have the following result with a simple assumption.

**Theorem J.** *Assume  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  is an isolated terminal singularity with  $n$  odd and  $|\text{Conj}(G)|$  even, then the contact link  $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  has no exact filling.*

Finally, another natural family of quotient singularities is given by finite subgroups of  $SU(2)$ , namely subgroups of  $A, D, E$  types. Given a finite subgroup  $G \subset SU(2)$ , we have an isolated quotient singularity  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}/G$  given by the diagonal action  $G \subset SU(2) \rightarrow SU(2) \times \dots \times SU(2) \subset SU(2n)$ , which is terminal if and only if  $n \geq 2$ .

**Theorem K.** *Let  $G \subset SU(2)$  with the action on  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$  as above.*

- (1) *When  $G$  is of  $A_{m-1}$  type, i.e.  $G = \mathbb{Z}/m$ , the contact link  $(S^{4n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  is not exactly fillable if one of the followings holds.
 
  - (a)  $m \neq p^s$  for any prime number  $p$  and  $n > 2$ .
  - (b)  $m = p^s, n \neq 2p^r, p^r$  for a prime number  $p$ .*
- (2) *When  $G$  is of  $2D_{2m}$  type, i.e. the binary dihedral group of order  $4m \geq 8$ , the contact link  $(S^{4n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  is not exactly fillable if  $m, n$  are not powers of 2 simultaneously and  $n > 2$ .*
- (3) *When  $G$  is of  $E$  type, i.e. the binary tetrahedral group, binary octahedral group, and binary icosahedral group, the contact link  $(S^{4n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  is not exact fillable if  $n > 2$ .*

The proof of above results is based on the study of symplectic cohomology of a hypothetical exact filling. Recall that in [63] we show that the symplectic cohomology with rational coefficient vanishes for any hypothetical exact filling  $W$  of  $(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}, \xi_{\text{std}})$  as long as  $n \geq 3$ . Then we get an estimate of  $\dim H^*(W; \mathbb{Q})$  from an estimate from the filtered positive symplectic cohomology of  $W$  and derive a topological contradiction from there. The argument hinges on two places, namely (1) the existence of a holomorphic disk with a point constraint which can be lifted to the universal cover of the symplectization of the boundary; (2) Nice and simple Reeb dynamics on the boundary so that we can analyze all possible configurations and estimate the filtered positive symplectic cohomology. In the general setup in this paper, the existence of the holomorphic disk still holds as long as  $n \geq 2$ , but the Reeb dynamics on the boundary, although well-structured due to the symmetry, is too complicated to carry out a detailed discussion of holomorphic curves as in [63].

The starting point is that the rational first Chern class  $c_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  vanishes and all Reeb orbits are of torsion homotopy classes, therefore we have a well-defined rational Conley-Zehnder index assigned to each Reeb orbit. It is important to note that, as a hypothetical filling may not have vanishing first Chern class, those ‘‘boundary’’ Conley-Zehnder indices may not agree with actual Conley-Zehnder indices used in the construction of symplectic cohomology. However, when the singularity is terminal, those boundary Conley-Zehnder indices induce a filtration on the cochain complex of the positive symplectic cohomology, which is compatible with the differential. Moreover, certain pages of the spectral sequence are independent of the filling/augmentation. Therefore, we can compare it with the computation we did in [31] for the standard exact *orbifold* filling  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  and conclude that the spectral sequence degenerates at some point due to parity reasons. Moreover, we can show that the total dimension  $\dim H^*(W; \mathbb{Q})$  is  $|\text{Conj}(G)|$ , the size of the set of conjugacy classes, for a hypothetical exact filling  $W$  whenever  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  is terminal.

Next we study the secondary coproduct on positive symplectic cohomology, which was proposed by Seidel [54], defined by Ekholm and Oancea [24], and recently studied extensively by Cieliebak, Hingston and Oancea [17, 18, 20]. The secondary coproduct is again compatible with the filtration, therefore we read off the secondary coproduct on the associated graded from the spectral sequence. By a result of Eliashberg, Ganatra and Lazarev [25], the secondary coproduct is transferred to the coproduct on  $H^*(W)$  through the connecting map  $SH_+^*(W) \rightarrow H^{*+1}(W)$ . Therefore by comparing to the Chen-Ruan coproduct<sup>1</sup> on the standard *orbifold* filling  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$ , we can recover the secondary coproduct on the associated graded for any exact filling  $W$ . In some preferred cases, this is enough to determine the whole secondary coproduct, hence the coproduct on  $H^*(W; \mathbb{Q})$ . Since  $S^{2n-1}/G$  is a rational sphere, the coproduct on  $H^*(W; \mathbb{Q})$  will determine the product on  $H^*(W; \mathbb{Q})$  through Lefschetz duality. Along with the fundamental group restrictions in Theorem A (which is also obtained by means of symplectic cohomology), we have very strong restrictions on the topology of  $W$ , which in many cases lead to contradictions to the existence of  $W$ . For example, for the case of  $(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}, \xi_{\text{std}})$  when  $n = 2^k > 4$ , the contradiction is a non-integer Chern number derived from the Hirzebruch signature theorem. The argument essentially boils down to studying the 2 factors of the numerators of Bernoulli numbers, whose proof is motivated by a proof of the Clausen-Staudt Theorem dated back to 1883 [62]. When  $n = 4$ , the arguments above yield information on the middle Pontryagin class of a hypothetical exact filling  $W$ . Then we can glue  $W$  to itself by an orientation reversing diffeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^7$  to obtain a closed manifold  $X$ , which is spin. The Hirzebruch signature theorem then computes the top Pontryagin class. Finally we can arrive at a contradiction by fining its  $\hat{A}$ -genus is not integer violating the celebrated Atiyah–Singer index theorem for the Dirac operator.

---

<sup>1</sup>It is defined by the same principle as the Chen-Ruan cohomology [16].

**1.3. Non-existence of Calabi-Yau fillings.** It was noticed in [64, 65] that the exactness assumption in the Eliashberg-Floer-McDuff theorem can be replaced by the Calabi-Yau condition, i.e. the vanishing of the rational first Chern class. As explained in [63, Remark 3.6], although a Calabi-Yau (strong) filling may have deformed quantum product, the  $\mathbb{Z}$  grading (and  $\mathbb{Q}$ -grading in the context of this paper) allows the arguments in [63] to go through. By the same argument as in [63, Remark 3.6], all results in §1.2 apply to Calabi-Yau fillings. Moreover, we have the following.

**Theorem L.** *Assume  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  is an isolated terminal singularity with  $G \not\subset SU(n)$ , then  $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  has no Calabi-Yau filling.*

**1.4. Uniqueness of the diffeomorphism type of exact orbifold fillings.** The celebrated Eliashberg-Floer-McDuff theorem [38] states that any exact filling of  $(S^{2n-1}, \xi_{\text{std}})$  is diffeomorphic to a ball whenever  $n \geq 2$ . This theorem has been generalized in many directions [6, 45, 64, 65]. From the singularity perspective,  $(S^{2n-1}, \xi_{\text{std}})$  is the link of a smooth point and a smooth point viewed as a singularity is terminal if and only if  $n \geq 2$ . Hence it naturally leads to the following conjecture, which in particular will imply Conjecture E.

**Conjecture M.** *Let  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  be an isolated terminal singularity, then exact orbifold fillings of the contact link  $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  have a unique diffeomorphism type<sup>2</sup>.*

**Remark 1.2.** *By [31, Theorem C], any exact orbifold filling of  $(S^{2n-1}, \xi_{\text{std}})$  for  $n \geq 2$  must be a manifold. Therefore Conjecture M holds for smooth points by the Eliashberg-Floer-McDuff theorem.*

In this paper, we prove this conjecture in some simple cases.

**Theorem N.** *Let  $n \geq 3$  be an odd number. Then any exact orbifold filling of  $(\mathbb{RP}^{2n-1}, \xi_{\text{std}})$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{D}^{2n}/(\mathbb{Z}/2)$  as an orbifold with boundary.*

The original proof of the Eliashberg-Floer-McDuff theorem [38] established a homological foliation of a partial compactification using closed holomorphic curves, such method was generalized in [6, 45]. In [64, 65], we studied similar questions from the perspective of symplectic cohomology and obtained slightly stronger results. However, in the orbifold context of Theorem N, the homological foliation method seems to be difficult to deploy, while Floer theoretic methods are flexible enough to apply. More generally, to obtain uniqueness results for other quotient singularities, we need to assume the symplectic cohomology package for exact orbifolds is well-defined to the same extent as the manifold case, which will be established in a future work.

**Theorem O.** *Assume the orbifold symplectic cohomology is well-defined with expected properties. Let  $p$  be an odd prime. Let  $\mathbb{Z}/p \subset U(n)$  be an embedding, such that  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  is not contained in  $SU(n)$  and  $\mathbb{C}^n/(\mathbb{Z}/p)$  is a terminal singularity.*

- (1) *Any exact orbifold filling of the contact link as an open orbifold is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^n/(\mathbb{Z}/p)$  for some (potentially different)  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  action on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  subjected to some constraints, see Theorem 8.3 for details. Moreover, the link of this singularity is  $h$ -cobordant to the link we start with.*
- (2) *If the  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  action has the same weight, then the diffeomorphism type of exact orbifold fillings as open orbifolds is unique.*
- (3) *Exact orbifold fillings of the contact link of any terminal quotient singularity  $\mathbb{C}^3/(\mathbb{Z}/p)$  for a prime  $p$  has a unique diffeomorphism type as open orbifolds.*

---

<sup>2</sup>More aggressively, we can conjecture that exact orbifold fillings are unique.

**Organization of the paper.** We discuss basic topological, contact and algebro-geometric properties of quotient singularities in §2. We study symplectic cohomology of hypothetical exact fillings in §3 and secondary coproduct in §4. §5 focuses on fundamental groups of strong fillings of links. We prove Theorem D in §6 and other non-existence of exact fillings in §7. The uniqueness of exact orbifold fillings in Theorem N and O will be established in §8. Appendix A is devoted to proving some properties of the Bernoulli numbers.

**Acknowledgments.** The author would like to thank Ruizhi Huang for helpful discussion on the modified signature.

## 2. BASICS OF THE CONTACT LINK $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$

In this section, we recall and prove some basics of the contact topology of  $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$ . Unless specified otherwise,  $G \subset U(n)$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with an isolated singularity through out this paper.

**2.1. Topology of  $S^{2n-1}/G$ .** Since  $G$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with an isolated singularity, the same is true for the diagonal action of  $G$  on  $(\mathbb{C}^n)^k$ . In particular, this gives rise to a model of  $K(G, 1) = BG$  as  $S^\infty/G := \varinjlim \partial(\mathbb{D}^{2nk})/G$ , where  $\mathbb{D}^{2nk}$  is the unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^{nk}$ . As a consequence, we have the following.

**Proposition 2.1.** *The inclusion  $S^{2n-1}/G \hookrightarrow BG = \varinjlim \partial(\mathbb{D}^{2nk})/G$  induces an isomorphism on cohomology over any coefficient for degrees smaller than  $2n - 1$ .*

*Proof.* On the unit sphere  $\partial(\mathbb{D}^{2nk})$ , we have a Morse-Bott function

$$f = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} i \sum_{j=1}^n |z_{in+j}|^2 \quad (2.1)$$

which is  $G$  invariant. The critical submanifolds of the induced function on  $S^{2nk-1}/G$  are  $k$  copies of  $S^{2n-1}/G$  with Morse-Bott indices  $0, 2n, \dots, 2(k-1)n$ . By the standard Morse-Bott theory, for any  $k \geq 1$ , we have that  $S^{2n-1}/G \hookrightarrow \partial(\mathbb{D}^{2nk})/G$  induces isomorphism on cohomology over any coefficient for degrees smaller than  $2n - 1$ . Hence the claim follows.  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** *A few remarks on the cohomology of  $BG$  are in order.*

(1) Let  $\mathbf{z}_i$  denote  $(z_{in+1}, \dots, z_{(i+1)n})$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{nk}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq k-1$ . Then

$$\phi_t : (\mathbf{z}_0, \dots, \mathbf{z}_{k-1}) \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} e^{it} \mathbf{z}_i \Big/ \left| \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} e^{it} \mathbf{z}_i \right|$$

defines the flow of a  $G$ -equivariant gradient like vector field for (2.1). Then the moduli space of Morse trajectories on  $\partial(\mathbb{D}^{4n})/G$  connecting the critical submanifolds of Morse-Bott indices 0 and  $2n$  is diffeomorphic to  $(S^{2n-1} \times S^{2n-1})/G$ , with the evaluation maps to the two critical submanifolds given by the two projections. To see this, note that the moduli space of Morse trajectories on  $\partial(\mathbb{D}^{4n})$  connecting the critical submanifolds of Morse-Bott indices 0 and  $2n$  is diffeomorphic to  $S^{2n-1} \times S^{2n-1}$  by the evaluation maps at the two ends (as it is direct to check that given two end points, there is a unique Morse trajectory). Then the claim follows from that the evaluation maps are obviously  $G$ -equivariant. Then using the push-pull formula for differentials, we can compute that  $H^{2n-1}(BG; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  and  $H^{2n}(BG; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/|G|$ . The same situation applies to other critical submanifolds. As a consequence, we have that  $H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}) = H^{*+2n}(BG; \mathbb{Z})$  for  $* > 0$ . This puts strong restrictions on which finite group  $G$  is allowed to have an isolated singularity  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$ .

- (2) *The above discussion can be generalized to the topological setting. Assume  $G$  acts  $S^{2n-1}$  freely. Then the diagonal  $G$  action on the join  $S^{4n-1} \simeq S^{2n-1} \star S^{2n-1} := S^{2n-1} \times S^{2n-1} \times [0, 1] / \sim$  is again free. We can inductively apply the argument above to obtain a model for  $BG$ . One can chop up  $S^{4n-1}/G$  along the middle slice  $(S^{2n-1} \times S^{2n-1} \times \{1/2\})/G$  (the moduli space of “Morse trajectories”) to compute the cohomology using the long exact sequence of pairs. As a consequence, we also have the periodicity on  $H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z})$ .*
- (3) *The above discussion is an interpretation of a classical result on free actions on spheres using Morse theory. Smith [55] showed that if a finite group  $G$  acts on  $S^n$  freely<sup>3</sup>, then any abelian subgroup of  $G$  must be cyclic. This turns out to be equivalent to the periodicity of the cohomology of  $G$  [14, Theorem 11.6] due to Artin and Tate.*
- (4) *Groups which admit complex representations with isolated singularities are completely classified, c.f. [61, Theorem 6.1.11, 6.3.1].*

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $G$  be a finite abelian group acting on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with an isolated singularity, then  $G = \mathbb{Z}/m$  and the action up to conjugation is given by sending the generator to multiplication of  $\text{diag}(e^{\frac{2\pi a_1 i}{m}}, \dots, e^{\frac{2\pi a_n i}{m}})$  for  $1 \leq a_i < m$  coprime to  $m$ .*

*Proof.* Although  $G$  being cyclic follows from Remark 2.2 even if we only assume  $G$  is a continuous free action on  $S^{2n-1}$ . In our linear setting,  $G$  being abelian implies that they can be simultaneously diagonalized. Since the fixed subspace of every nontrivial element of  $G$  is  $\{0\}$ ,  $G$  must be cyclic with the action in the statement.  $\square$

We denote such lens space quotient  $S^{2n-1}/G$  in Proposition 2.3 by  $L(m; a_1, \dots, a_n)$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** *Under the ring isomorphism  $H^*(L(m; a_1, \dots, a_n); \mathbb{Z}) = H^*(B\mathbb{Z}/m; \mathbb{Z})$  for  $* < 2n - 1$ , the total Chern class of  $(L(m; a_1, \dots, a_n), \xi_{\text{std}})$  is given by  $\prod_{i=1}^n (1 + a_i u)$  where  $u$  is a generator of  $H^2(B\mathbb{Z}/m; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/m$ .*

Although the choice of the generator will be made clear in the proof, we do not need that level of precision as properties such as whether  $c_k(\xi_{\text{std}}) = 0$  and whether  $c_k(\xi_{\text{std}})$  generates  $H^{2k}(L(m; a_1, \dots, a_n); \mathbb{Z})$  are independent of the choice of the generator.

*Proof of Proposition 2.4.* We use  $\underline{\mathbb{C}}$  to denote the trivial complex bundle. Note that  $\xi_{\text{std}} \oplus \underline{\mathbb{C}}$  is isomorphic  $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^n/(\mathbb{Z}/m)$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}/m$  acts diagonally as in Proposition 2.3. Since  $H^*(L(m; a_1, \dots, a_n); \mathbb{Z}) = H^*(B\mathbb{Z}/m; \mathbb{Z})$  for  $* < 2n - 1$ . We can compute the total Chern class of  $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^n/(\mathbb{Z}/m)$  on  $B\mathbb{Z}/m = \varinjlim \partial(\mathbb{D}^{2nk})/(\mathbb{Z}/m)$ . Then the claim follows from that  $c(\underline{\mathbb{C}}/(\mathbb{Z}/m)) = 1 + au$  for the  $\mathbb{Z}/m$  action on  $\mathbb{C}$  given by  $z \mapsto e^{\frac{2\pi a i}{m}} z$ . Here  $u$  is the first Chern class of  $\underline{\mathbb{C}}/(\mathbb{Z}/m)$  for the  $\mathbb{Z}/m$  action on  $\mathbb{C}$  given by  $z \mapsto e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}} z$ , which is a generator of  $H^2(B\mathbb{Z}/m; \mathbb{Z}) = H^2(\varinjlim \partial(\mathbb{D}^{2nk})/(\mathbb{Z}/m); \mathbb{Z})$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.5.** *When  $n \geq 3$ ,  $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  is not Weinstein fillable.*

*Proof.* If  $W$  is a Weinstein filling of  $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$ , since  $n \geq 3$ , we have  $\pi_1(W) = \pi_1(S^{2n-1}/G) = G$ . Let  $\widetilde{W}$  be the universal cover of  $W$ . Then  $\widetilde{W}$  is a Weinstein filling of  $(S^{2n-1}, \xi_{\text{std}})$ , which by the Eliashberg-Floer-McDuff theorem [38] is diffeomorphic to a ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Now a non-trivial deck transformation acts freely, contradicting the Brouwer fixed-point theorem.  $\square$

In fact, all of them are not even almost Weinstein fillable.

<sup>3</sup>If  $n$  is even, it is easy to show that  $G$  has to be  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  and reverses the orientation.

**Proposition 2.6.**  $(S^{2n-1}/G, J_{\text{std}})$  is not almost Weinstein fillable when  $n \geq 3$ .

*Proof.* Assume otherwise that there is an almost Weinstein filling  $W$ . Let  $g \in G$  a non-trivial element, we consider the covering space  $\widetilde{W}$  of  $W$  corresponding to the subgroup  $\langle g \rangle \subset \pi_1(W) = \pi_1(S^{2n-1}/G) = G$ . As we assume  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\widetilde{W}$  is also constructed using only handles up to dimension  $n$  with  $\partial\widetilde{W} = S^{2n-1}/\langle g \rangle$ . Then using that  $H^*(\partial\widetilde{W}; \mathbb{Z}) = H^*(L(\text{ord}(g); 1, \dots, 1); \mathbb{Z})$  as a ring in degrees less than  $2n - 1$ , we have a class  $\alpha$  in  $H^2(\partial\widetilde{W}; \mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\alpha^{n-1} \neq 0$ . We can draw a contradiction by the same argument of that  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}$  is not almost Weinstein fillable for  $n \geq 3$  as in [26, §6.2].  $\square$

**Example 2.7.** Another intersecting class of examples of isolated quotient singularities comes from finite subgroups of  $SU(2)$ , namely the  $A, D, E$  types of subgroups. The associated quotient singularity  $\mathbb{C}^2/G$  is canonical and has a minimal (also crepant) resolution whose exceptional locus has an intersection pattern same as the associated Dynkin diagram. Moreover, the contact link  $(S^3/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  has a Weinstein filling from plumbings of  $T^*S^2$  of the the associated Dynkin diagram. The  $A_{m-1}$  type subgroup is  $\mathbb{Z}/m$ , which acts on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  by sending the generator to  $\text{diag}(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}, e^{\frac{2(m-1)\pi i}{m}})$ . The  $D, E$  types of subgroups are recalled in Proposition 7.15 using quaternions. Given any finite  $G \subset SU(2)$ , it acts diagonally on  $(\mathbb{C}^2)^n$  giving rise to an isolated (terminal, see Proposition 7.13) quotient singularity for  $n > 1$ . The group cohomology ring  $H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z})$  is computed, e.g. in [58]. In particular, we have a ring isomorphism  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} H^{4i}(BG; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[v]/(|G|v = 0)$  with  $\deg(v) = 4$ .

**Proposition 2.8.** Let  $G \subset SU(2)$  be a finite subgroup, then  $G$  acts on  $(\mathbb{C}^2)^n$  by the natural diagonal action. The total Chern class of  $(S^{4n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  is given by

$$(1 - v)^n \pmod{\langle |G|, v^n \rangle},$$

where  $v \in H^4(S^{4n-1}/G; \mathbb{Z}) = H^4(BG, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/|G|$  is a generator.

*Proof.* Let  $V$  denote the tautological quaternion line bundle over  $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ , then  $\underline{\mathbb{H}}^n/\mathbb{H}^\times = T\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \oplus \underline{\mathbb{H}} = \bigoplus^n \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(V, \underline{\mathbb{H}})$ , where the first  $\underline{\mathbb{H}}^n$  is the tangent bundle over  $\mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{0\}$ . Note that the underlying complex bundle  $(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}}(V, \underline{\mathbb{H}}))_{\mathbb{C}}$  using  $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{H}^\times$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_{\mathbb{C}}, \underline{\mathbb{C}}) \simeq \overline{V_{\mathbb{C}}}$ . We use  $\pi$  to denote the map  $S^{4n-1}/G \rightarrow \mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  induced from the Hopf fibration  $S^{4n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ . Then the complex bundle  $\xi_{\text{std}} \oplus \underline{\mathbb{C}}$  is isomorphic to  $\pi^*(\underline{\mathbb{H}}^n/\mathbb{H}^\times)$  as a complex bundle, where  $\underline{\mathbb{H}}^n/\mathbb{H}^\times$  is equipped with complex structure from  $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{H}^\times$ . Since  $c(V_{\mathbb{C}}) = c(\overline{V_{\mathbb{C}}}) = 1 - v$  for  $v \in H^4(\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}; \mathbb{Z})$  is a generator and  $\pi^*$  is modulo  $|G|$  on  $H^{4i}(\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}; \mathbb{Z})$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ , the claim follows.  $\square$

**Example 2.9.** Assume  $G \subset O(n)$ , such that the only fixed point of the  $G$ -action on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is 0. If we view  $G$  as in  $O(n) \subset SU(n)$ , the quotient singularity  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  is isolated. Moreover, since each  $g \in G$  is represented by a real matrix, the eigenvalues must be conjugated complex numbers in pairs. Therefore  $\text{age}(g) = \frac{n}{2}$  (see (2.2)) for any  $g \neq \text{id}$  and  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  is terminal if  $n > 2$  by Proposition 2.12. If  $M$  is a closed orbifold with isolated singularities, then  $T^*M$  is an symplectic orbifold with singularities of such type.

**2.2. Reeb dynamics on  $S^{2n-1}/G$ .** Since  $G \subset U(n)$ , we have that  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \sum (x_i dy_i - y_i dx_i) = -\frac{1}{2} r dr \circ I$  is  $G$ -invariant, where  $I$  is the standard complex structure on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Hence  $\alpha$  descends to a contact form  $\alpha_{\text{std}}$  on  $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$ . We now recall the description of the Reeb dynamics of  $\alpha_{\text{std}}$  from [41, §2.1]. Since  $c_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(\xi_{\text{std}}) = 0$  and  $\pi_1(S^{2n-1}/G)$  is finite, we can assign a well-defined rational generalized Conley-Zehnder index to every Reeb orbit following [40, §4.1], see also [31, §3.3].



For  $g \in U(n)$ , we can diagonalize  $g$  as  $\text{diag}(e^{\frac{2\pi a_1 i}{o(g)}}, \dots, e^{\frac{2\pi a_n i}{o(g)}})$ , where  $o(g)$  is the order of  $g$  and  $1 \leq a_i < o(g)$ . Then we define

$$\text{age}(g) := \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i}{o(g)} \in \mathbb{Q}. \quad (2.2)$$

$\text{age}(g)$  only depends on the conjugacy class  $(g)$ .

For  $l > 0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $g \in V$ , we define

$$V_{g,l} = \left\{ v \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid g(v) = e^{li} v \right\}.$$

It is easy to check that  $h(V_{g,l}) = V_{hgh^{-1},l}$  for  $h \in G$ . Since the Reeb flow of  $\alpha$  on  $S^{2n-1}$  is given by  $\phi_t(z) = e^{ti}z$ , we have the following properties of the Reeb dynamics of  $\alpha_{\text{std}}$  on  $S^{2n-1}/G$ .

- (1) Let  $B_{g,l} = \pi_G(V_{g,l} \cap S^{2n-1})$ , where  $\pi_G$  is the quotient map  $S^{2n-1} \rightarrow S^{2n-1}/G$ . Then,  $B_{g,l} = (V_{g,l} \cap S^{2n-1})/C(g)$  is a submanifold of  $S^{2n-1}/G$  of dimension  $2 \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_{g,l} - 1$ , where  $C(g)$  is centralizer of  $g$ . Moreover,  $B_{g,l} = B_{hgh^{-1},l}$ , hence, for  $(g) \in \text{Conj}(G)$ , one can define  $B_{(g),l}$  to just be  $B_{g,l}$ .
- (2)  $\sqcup_{(g),l} B_{(g),l}$  is the space of all *parameterized* Reeb orbits of  $\alpha_{\text{std}}$ . For  $z \in B_{(g),l}$ , the time  $l$  Reeb flow from  $z$  is an orbit with free homotopy class  $(g) \in \text{Conj}(G) = \text{Conj}(\pi_1(S^{2n-1}/G))$ .
- (3) The generalized Conley-Zehnder index of the family  $B_{(g),l}$  is given in [41, Theorem 2.6] by

$$\mu_{\text{CZ}}(B_{(g),l}) = n - 2 \text{age}(g) + 2 \sum_{l' < l} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_{g,l'} + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_{g,l}.$$

Then we have  $\mu_{\text{CZ}}(B_{(g),l+2k\pi}) = \mu_{\text{CZ}}(B_{(g),l}) + 2kn$ .

- (4) The space of *unparameterized* Reeb orbits is the orbifold  $B_{(g),l}/S^1$ , which is Morse-Bott non-degenerate in the sense of [10, Definition 1.7]. By [10, Lemma 2.3], we can find a small perturbation of  $\alpha_{\text{std}}$ , such that all Reeb orbits with period smaller than any given  $R \gg 0$  are non-degenerate and corresponds to critical points of auxiliary Morse functions on  $B_{(g),l}/S^1$  along with positive winding numbers. The minimal rational Conley-Zehnder index is given by

$$\min_{(g) \in \text{Conj}(G)} \{n - 2 \text{age}(g) + 1\}.$$

After such perturbation, the  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  Conley-Zehnder index of the orbit in each conjugacy class with the minimal rational Conley-Zehnder index (or period) is  $n + 1$ . One way to see this is through direct computation. There is a roundabout as follows: in the computation of the symplectic cohomology of  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  in [31, Theorem B], there are differentials from those orbits with minimal rational Conley-Zehnder index to constant twisted sectors at the origin. Now by [31, Example 3.7], those constant twisted sectors have  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  Conley-Zehnder index  $n$ , which implies the claim.

In §3, we will consider Hamiltonians on hypothetical fillings of  $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  that are in the form of  $H(r)$ , where  $r \in [1, \infty)$  is the radical coordinate determined by the contact form  $\alpha_{\text{std}}$ . We will assume that with  $H''(r) \geq 0$  for  $r \geq 1$  and  $H'(r) = a \notin \frac{2\pi\mathbb{N}}{|G|}$  for  $x > 1 + \epsilon$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then non-constant Hamiltonian orbits of  $H$  are parameterized by  $B_{(g),l}$  for  $l < a$ . In particular, the symplectic cohomology can be equivalently defined using the cascades construction, c.f. [11, 23], i.e. we need to choose a Morse function  $f_{(g),l}$  for each  $B_{(g),l}$  and the generators from non-constant orbits of  $H$  are replaced by critical points of  $f_{(g),l}$ .

The Conley-Zehnder index of a critical point  $x$  of  $f_{(g),l}$  is given by

$$\mu_{CZ}(x) = n - 2 \operatorname{age}(g) + 2 \sum_{l' < l} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_{g,l'} + 1 + \operatorname{ind}(x), \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\operatorname{ind}(x)$  is the Morse index of  $x$ . With such conventions, the cascades flow lines in  $B_{(g),l}$  are *negative* gradient flow lines of  $f_{(g),l}$  in the cascades construction. On the other hand, if we use  $f_{(g),l}$  to perturb the Hamiltonian following [11], then each critical point of  $x$  gives rise to a non-degenerate Hamiltonian orbit  $\gamma_x$ , whose Conley-Zehnder index is given by (2.3). The symplectic action of  $\gamma_x$  is greater than that of  $\gamma_y$  iff  $f_{(g),l}(x) < f_{(g),l}(y)$ . Moreover, we may choose  $f_{(g),l}$  to have a unique minimum. Then for each conjugacy class  $(g)$ , there is a unique generator  $x_{(g)}$  with the minimal rational Conley-Zehnder index  $n - 2 \operatorname{age}(g) + 1$ , or equivalently minimal period, or maximal symplectic action. Those generators will have critical roles in §3, 4.

In general, given a  $2n - 1$  dimensional contact manifold  $(Y, \xi)$  with  $H_1(Y; \mathbb{Z})$  is torsion and  $c_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(Y) = 0$ , then every non-degenerate Reeb orbit can be assigned with a well-defined rational Conley-Zehnder index  $\mu_{CZ}(\gamma)$ . Then we define the lower SFT index by

$$\operatorname{LSFT}(\gamma) := \mu_{CZ}(\gamma) + (n - 3) - \frac{1}{2} \dim \ker(D_{\gamma(0)} \phi_L|_{\xi} - \operatorname{id}), \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\phi_L$  is the time  $L$  flow of the Reeb vector field and  $L$  is the period of  $\gamma$ . When  $\gamma$  is non-degenerate,  $\operatorname{LSFT}(\gamma)$  is the standard SFT grading. When  $\gamma$  comes from a Morse-Bott family, then  $\operatorname{LSFT}$  is the minimal SFT grading of the non-degenerate orbits after a small perturbation. Following [40], the highest minimal SFT index is defined as

$$\operatorname{hmi}(Y, \xi) := \sup_{\alpha} \inf_{\gamma} \{\operatorname{LSFT}(\gamma)\}.$$

**2.3. Chen-Ruan cohomology of  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$ .** From a string theory point of view, the correct cohomology theory of an almost complex orbifold is the Chen-Ruan cohomology introduced in [16], which can be viewed as the constant part of the orbifold quantum product introduced in [15]. The Chen-Ruan cohomology is the replacement of the ordinary cohomology in the definition of orbifold symplectic cohomology [31]. We refer readers to [4] for the definition and properties of the Chen-Ruan cohomology.

For orbifold  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$ , with  $G \subset U(n)$  having only isolated singularity at 0, we have

$$H_{CR}^*(\mathbb{C}^n/G; R) = \bigoplus_{(g) \in \operatorname{Conj}(G)} R[-2 \operatorname{age}(g)]. \quad (2.5)$$

**2.3.1. Product on Chen-Ruan cohomology.** To describe the product structure on  $H_{CR}^*(\mathbb{C}^n/G; R)$ , we denote by  $[(g)]$  the (positive) generator in cohomology for the conjugacy class  $(g)$ . For  $(g_1), (g_2) \neq (\operatorname{id})$ , one can define

$$I_{g_1, g_2} = \{(h_1, h_2) | h_i \in (g_i), \operatorname{age}(h_1) + \operatorname{age}(h_2) = \operatorname{age}(h_1 h_2)\} / (h_1, h_2) \sim (gh_1 g^{-1}, gh_2 g^{-1}).$$

Then by [4, Examples 4.26 and 4.27], we have

$$[(g_1)] \cup [(g_2)] = \sum_{(h_1, h_2) \in I_{g_1, g_2}} \frac{|C(h_1 h_2)|}{|C(h_1) \cap C(h_2)|} [(h_1 h_2)], \quad (2.6)$$

for  $(g_1), (g_2) \neq (\operatorname{id})$ , while  $[(\operatorname{id})]$  is the ring unit in  $H_{CR}^*(\mathbb{C}^n/G; R)$ .

The formula (2.6) can be explained through holomorphic curves as follows. Just like the manifold case, the cup product can be defined through counting moduli spaces of punctured holomorphic curves of zero energy with 2 inputs (positive punctures) and 1 output (negative puncture). But in the orbifold case at hand, there

are constant holomorphic curves which, near punctures, are asymptotic to twisted sectors at the singularity. In fact, each  $(h_1, h_2) \in I_{g_1, g_2}$  corresponds to a constant holomorphic curve with two positive punctures asymptotic to  $(g_1), (g_2)$  and one negative puncture asymptotic to  $(h_1 h_2)$ , which is cut out transversely (by the conditions on the age invariants). Moreover, such holomorphic curve is an orbifold point in the space of curves in an orbifold with isotropy group  $C(h_1) \cap C(h_2) \cap C(h_1 h_2) = C(h_1) \cap C(h_2)$ . In view of the pull-push definition of the structural constants in [31, §2.1.3], the coefficient is given by  $|C(h_1 h_2)|/|C(h_1) \cap C(h_2)|$ .

**2.3.2. Coproduct on Chen-Ruan cohomology.** By the same philosophy, we can define the Chen-Ruan coproduct on the Chen-Ruan cohomology, which is dual to the Chen-Ruan cohomology through the Poincaré/Lefschetz duality on Chen-Ruan cohomology. In the case of  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$ , we define

$$I_g = \{(h_1, h_2) | h \in (g), h_1 h_2 = h, \text{age}(h_1) + \text{age}(h_2) = \text{age}(h) + n\} / (h_1, h_2) \sim (ph_1 p^{-1}, ph_2 p^{-1}).$$

Then we define the Chen-Ruan coproduct to be

$$\Delta[(g)] = \sum_{(h_1, h_2) \in I_g} \frac{|C(h_1)| \cdot |C(h_2)|}{|C(h_1) \cap C(h_2)|} [(h_1)] \otimes [(h_2)].$$

Here, as before, each element in  $I_g$  corresponds a constant holomorphic curve with two negative punctures asymptotic to  $(h_1), (h_2)$  and one positive puncture asymptotic to  $(g)$ , which is cut out transversely with isotropy group  $C(h_1) \cap C(h_2)$ . The coefficient is again a consequence of the the pull-push definition of the structural constants on orbifolds. It is indeed dual to the Chen-Ruan product on the *compactly supported* Chern Ruan cohomology considering the Lefschetz duality [4, §4.2] on the inertia orbifold of  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  has coefficients from the isotropy groups.

As a special case, we have

$$\Delta[(\text{id})] = \sum_{(g) \neq (\text{id}) \in \text{Conj}(G)} |C(g)| [(g)] \otimes [(g^{-1})].$$

That is the “orbifold intersection form” is non-degenerate.

**2.4. Minimal discrepancy number of  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$ .** Let  $A$  be a singularity, that is isolated at 0. Let  $\pi : \tilde{A} \rightarrow A$  be a resolution (if 0 is smooth, we need to blow up once), such that  $\pi^{-1}(0)$  a union of smooth normal crossing divisors  $E_i$ . We view the canonical bundle  $K_{\tilde{A}}$  of  $\tilde{A}$  as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor. We say  $A$  is numerically  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein if there exists a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor  $K_{\tilde{A}}^{\text{num}} = \sum a_i E_i$  with the property that  $C \cdot (K_{\tilde{A}}^{\text{num}} - K_{\tilde{A}}) = 0$  for any projective algebraic curve  $C$  in  $\pi^{-1}(0)$ . Here  $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$  is called the discrepancy of  $E_i$ . Following [40, Lemma 3.3],  $A$  being numerically  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein is equivalent to that  $c_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(L_A, \xi) = 0$ , where  $L_A$  is the link of the singularity and  $\xi$  is induced contact structure [59]. Moreover,  $c_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(\tilde{A})$  viewed as a class in  $H_c^2(\tilde{A}; \mathbb{Q}) = H^2(\tilde{A}, L_A; \mathbb{Q})$  is the Lefschetz dual to  $\sum a_i [E_i]$ , where  $a_i$  is the discrepancy of  $E_i$  above. Since  $H^2(S^{2n-1}/G; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ , isolated quotient singularities are numerically  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein.

**Definition 2.10** ([40, Definition 3.4]). *The minimal discrepancy number  $\text{md}(A, 0)$  is the given by infimum of  $a_i$  over all resolutions. A singularity is called terminal if the minimal discrepancy number is positive. A singularity is called canonical if the minimal discrepancy number is non-negative.*

A beautiful theorem of McLean relates the algebraic geometry of a singularity with the contact geometry of the link, which applies to quotient singularities.

**Theorem 2.11** (McLean [40, Theorem 1.1]). *Let  $A$  have a normal isolated singularity at 0 that is numerically  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein with  $H^1(L_A; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ . Then:*

- *If  $\text{md}(A, 0) \geq 0$  then  $\text{hmi}(L_A, \xi_A) = 2\text{md}(A, 0)$ ;*
- *If  $\text{md}(A, 0) < 0$  then  $\text{hmi}(L_A, \xi_A) < 0$ .*

As an application of McLean’s theorem, we obtain a symplectic proof of the Reid-Shepherd-Barron-Tai criterion [48, (3.1)], [57, Proposition 3.2] for isolated singularities.

**Proposition 2.12.** *The isolated singularity  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  is terminal (canonical) if and if  $\min_{g \neq \text{id} \in G} \{\text{age}(g)\} > 1$  ( $\geq 1$ ). More precisely, we have  $\text{md}(\mathbb{C}^n/G) = \min_{g \neq \text{id} \in G} \{\text{age}(g)\} - 1$ , if the singularity is canonical.*

*Proof.* By the standard Reeb dynamics on  $S^{2n-1}/G$  in §2.2, we have  $\text{hmi}(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}}) \geq \min_{g \in G} \{2n - 2\text{age}(g) - 2\}$ . On the other hand, by [31, Theorem B],  $SH^*(\mathbb{C}^n/G; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ , which implies that  $SH_{S^1}^*(\mathbb{C}^n/G; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$  by the Gysin exact sequence [12]. As a consequence, we have  $SH_{+, S^1}^{*-1}(\mathbb{C}^n/G; \mathbb{Q}) = H_{CR}^*(\mathbb{C}^n/G; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes (\mathbb{Q}[u, u^{-1}]/\mathbb{Q}[u])$ . In particular,  $SH_{S^1}^*(\mathbb{C}^n/G; \mathbb{Q})$  is nontrivial in degree  $2\text{age}(g) - 1$  for any  $g \in G$ . If  $\text{hmi}(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}}) > \min_{g \in G} \{2n - 2\text{age}(g) - 2\}$ , then there exists a contact form  $\alpha$ , with  $\inf_{\gamma} \{\text{ISFT}(\gamma)\} > \min_{g \in G} \{2n - 2\text{age}(g) - 2\}$ . By [40, §4.3], for arbitrarily large period threshold  $K$ , any small perturbation of  $\alpha$  yields a contact form such that all Reeb orbits with period smaller than  $R \gg 0$  is non-degenerate and the SFT degree is at least  $\inf_{\gamma} \{\text{ISFT}(\gamma)\}$ . Then by [34], we have that  $SH_{+, S^1}^*(\mathbb{C}^n/G; \mathbb{Q})$  is supported in degree at most  $2n - 3 - \inf_{\gamma} \{\text{ISFT}(\gamma)\} < 2n - 3 - \min_{g \in G} \{2n - 2\text{age}(g) - 2\} = 2 \max_{g \in G} \{\text{age}(g)\} - 1$ , contradiction. Therefore we have  $\text{hmi}(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}}) = \min_{g \in G} \{2n - 2\text{age}(g) - 2\}$ . Finally note that  $2n - 2\text{age}(\text{id}) - 2 = 2n - 2 \geq 2$  and  $\text{age}(g) + \text{age}(g^{-1}) = 2n$  for  $g \neq \text{id}$ . We have  $\min_{g \in G} \{2n - 2\text{age}(g) - 2\} > 0$  ( $\geq 0$ ) is equivalent to that  $\min_{g \neq \text{id} \in G} \{\text{age}(g)\} > 1$  ( $\geq 1$ ). Then the claim follows from Theorem 2.11.  $\square$

### 3. SYMPLECTIC COHOMOLOGY OF FILLINGS OF $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$

**3.1. Symplectic cohomology.** We first give a very brief account of the definition and properties of symplectic cohomology to set up notations for later reference. More detailed discussions on various aspects of symplectic cohomology can be found in [19, 54].

Given an exact filling  $(W, \lambda)$ , roughly speaking, symplectic cohomology is the “Morse cohomology” of the free loop space w.r.t. the symplectic action functional

$$\mathcal{A}(x) := - \int x^* \widehat{\lambda} + \int_{S^1} (x^* H) dt \quad (3.1)$$

where  $H : S^1 \times \widehat{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a Hamiltonian on the completion  $(\widehat{W}, \widehat{\lambda}) := (W \cup \partial W \times (1, \infty)_r, \lambda \cup r\lambda|_{\partial W})$  such that “the slope  $\frac{d}{dr} H$ ” goes to infinity as  $r$  goes to infinity. Let  $R$  be any commutative ring, the cochain complex  $C^*(H)$  is a free  $R$ -module generated by 1-periodic orbits of  $X_H$  and the differential is defined by counting rigid solutions to the Floer equation. Let  $x, y$  be two generators in  $C^*(H)$  represented by periodic orbits, we use  $\mathcal{M}_{x,y}$  to denote the compactified moduli space of Floer cylinders from  $x$  to  $y$ , whose specific meaning depends on the construction of the symplectic cohomology as follows.

- (1) If  $H$  is a non-degenerate Hamiltonian, i.e. all 1-periodic orbits are non-degenerate, then we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{x,y} = \overline{\left\{ u : \mathbb{R}_s \times S_t^1 \rightarrow \widehat{W} \mid \partial_s u + J(\partial_t u - X_H) = 0, \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} u = x, \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} u = y \right\}} / \mathbb{R}.$$

- (2) If  $H$  is Morse-Bott non-degenerate, including the case of  $H = 0$  on  $W$  considered in [23, 64], then  $x, y$  are critical points of the auxiliary Morse functions on the Morse-Bott families of periodic orbits

(which can be viewed as a submanifold in  $\widehat{W}$  using the starting point of the orbit). In this case,  $\mathcal{M}_{x,y}$  is the compactified moduli space of cascades from  $x$  to  $y$ , which can be pictorially described as




FIGURE 1. 2 level cascades

Here the horizontal lines are *negative gradient flow* of the auxiliary Morse function used in (2.3) except for the bottom line of the right of the figure above, which is the *gradient flow* of an admissible Morse function [64, Definition 2.1] on  $W$  when  $H = 0$  on  $W$ . For the more formal description of the moduli spaces see [23, Definition 4.7] or [63, §2] for details.

In both cases, for a generic compatible (which is also cylindrically convex to guarantee the integrated maximum principle [2, 19]) almost complex structure  $J : S^1 \rightarrow \text{End}(T^*\widehat{W})$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{x,y}$  is cut out transversely as a manifold with boundary for those with expected dimension  $\text{vir} \dim \mathcal{M}_{x,y} \leq 1$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{M}_{x,y}$  can be oriented in a coherent way such that

$$\delta(x) = \sum_{y, \text{vir} \dim \mathcal{M}_{x,y} = 0} (\# \mathcal{M}_{x,y}) y$$

defines a differential on  $C^*(H)$ .

**Remark 3.1.** *The symplectic cochain complex is graded by  $n - \mu_{\text{CZ}}(x)$ . In general, since  $\mu_{\text{CZ}}$  is only well-defined in  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ , symplectic cohomology always has a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  grading. If  $c_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(W) = 0$ , then  $\mu_{\text{CZ}}$  is well-defined in  $\mathbb{Z}$  for any periodic orbits with finite order homology class. Moreover, if  $c_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(W) = 0$ , then  $\mu_{\text{CZ}}$  is well-defined in  $\mathbb{Q}$  (as in §2.2) for any periodic orbits with finite order homology class and symplectic cohomology can be graded by  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For our situation, although  $c_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}}) = 0$  and  $\pi_1(S^{2n-1}/G)$  is of finite order, the Conley-Zehnder index in §2.2 is only well-defined using a trivialization of  $\det_{\mathbb{C}}(\oplus^N \xi_{\text{std}})$ , which can not extend to the filling  $W$  if  $c_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(W) \neq 0$ . Therefore typically, the symplectic cohomology of  $W$  is not graded by  $\mathbb{Q}$ . However, the existence of the boundary grading indeed plays an important role in the proof.*

Symplectic cohomology  $SH^*(W; R)$  has the following properties:

- (1) If we choose  $H$  to be  $C^2$  small on  $W$  and to be  $h(r)$  on  $\partial W \times (1, \infty)_r$  with  $h''(r) > 0$ , then the periodic orbits of  $X_H$  are either constant orbits on  $W$  or non-constant orbits on  $\partial W \times (1, \infty)$ , which, in pairs after a small perturbation, correspond to Reeb orbits on  $(\partial W, \lambda|_{\partial W})$ . Those constant orbits generate a subcomplex corresponding to the cohomology of  $W$  (i.e. the space constant loops), and those non-constant orbits generate a quotient complex  $C_+^*(H)$ , whose cohomology is called the positive symplectic cohomology  $SH_+^*(W; R)$ . Then we have a tautological long exact sequence,

$$\dots \rightarrow H^*(W; R) \rightarrow SH^*(W; R) \rightarrow SH_+^*(W; R) \rightarrow H^{*+1}(W; R) \rightarrow \dots \quad (3.2)$$

- (2)  $SH^*(W; R)$  is a unital ring and  $H^*(W; R) \rightarrow SH^*(W; R)$  is a unital ring map.

Most of the above discussion (except for the ring structure) can be generalized to the orbifold setting using classical techniques, see [31]. Since  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  is the natural exact orbifold filling of  $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$ , the following result provides the natural reference to compare our hypothetical exact fillings to.

**Theorem 3.2** ([31, Theorem B]). *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring such that  $|G|$  is invertible. Then we have  $SH^*(\mathbb{C}^n/G; R) = 0$  for the isolated quotient singularity  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$ . In particular, we have  $SH_+^*(\mathbb{C}^n/G; R) = H_{CR}^{*+1}(\mathbb{C}^n/G; R)$  (using the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -grading), which is generated by  $x_{(g)}$  for  $(g) \in \text{Conj}(G)$ .*

**3.2. Symplectic cohomology of exact fillings of  $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$ .** Using the Hamiltonian in §2.2, we define the boundary grading of a non-constant periodic orbit (or a critical point of the auxiliary Morse function on a Morse-Bott family of non-constant period orbits) as

$$|x|^\partial := n - \mu_{\text{CZ}}(x),$$

where  $\mu_{\text{CZ}}$  is the rational Conley-Zehnder index in §2.2. With this rational boundary grading, we have a filtration  $F^k C_+(H) \supset F^{k+1} C_+(H)$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  defined by

$$F^k C_+(H) := \left\langle x \mid |x|^\partial \geq \frac{k}{|G|} \right\rangle. \quad (3.3)$$

**Proposition 3.3.** *When  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  is terminal, the differential is compatible with the filtration and the first  $|G| + 1$  pages of the associated spectral sequence are independent of exact fillings/augmentations. When  $|G|$  is invertible in the coefficient ring, the  $(|G| + 1)$ th page of the spectral sequence is spanned by  $\{x_{(g)}\}_{(g) \in \text{Conj}(G)}$  and degenerates.*

*Proof.* Let  $x, y$  be two generators of the Hamiltonian-Floer cohomology, we can apply neck-stretching to the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{x,y}$ , see [63, §2.2] for more details on neck-stretching in a similar setting. In the fully-stretched picture, the top level consists of Hamiltonian-Floer cylinders possibly with negative punctures asymptotic to Reeb orbits in  $B_{(g_1), l_1}, \dots, B_{(g_m), l_m}$ . If there is at least one negative puncture, the virtual dimension of the corresponding moduli space is

$$|y|^\partial - |x|^\partial - \sum_{i=1}^m \text{ISFT}(B_{(g_i), l_i}) - 1 \leq |y|^\partial - |x|^\partial - 2 \text{md}(\mathbb{C}^n/G) - 1. \quad (3.4)$$

Since we use  $S^1$ -dependent almost complex structures, we can assume the transversality for the top level. Therefore the differential is compatible with filtration by neck-stretching as  $\text{md}(\mathbb{C}^n/G) > 0$ . Moreover, the filtration is clearly Hausdorff, hence induces a convergent spectral sequence. The differential on the first  $|G| - 1$  pages is counting  $\mathcal{M}_{x,y}$  with  $|y|^\partial - |x|^\partial < 1$ . In view of (3.4), the expected dimension of the top level with at least one negative puncture is  $< -2 \text{md}(\mathbb{C}^n/G) < 0$ . Therefore the differential is independent of fillings after a sufficient neck-stretching and hence is zero. The differential on the  $|G|$ th page counts  $\mathcal{M}_{x,y}$  with  $|y|^\partial - |x|^\partial \leq 1$  (note that  $|G||x|^\partial$  is always an integer), which by the same argument, is independent of fillings. Therefore the first  $|G| + 1$  pages of the induced spectral sequence are independent of augmentations/fillings (not necessary for the differential on the  $(|G| + 1)$ th page).

Moreover, the neck-stretching argument above shows that moduli spaces  $\mathcal{M}_{x,y}$  with  $|y|^\partial - |x|^\partial = 1$  are the only non-empty moduli spaces appearing in the computation of the first  $|G| + 1$  pages of the spectral sequence. Now, we can consider the orbifold filling  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  of  $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$ , we have  $c_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{C}^n/G) = 0$ . Therefore the filtration is just the natural filtration from the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -grading on the symplectic cohomology and the differential is solely contributed by those  $\mathcal{M}_{x,y}$  with  $|y|^\partial - |x|^\partial = 1$ . By the independence of fillings, the  $(|G| + 1)$ th page of the spectral sequence is spanned by  $x_{(g)}$ , as  $SH_+^*(\mathbb{C}^n/G)$  is spanned by  $x_{(g)}$ . Finally, the degeneracy

follows tautologically as the  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  grading of  $x_{(g)}$  is odd by property (4) in §2.2 and all differentials in the spectral sequence respect this  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  grading.  $\square$

The following is a generalization of [63, Proposition 2.12, 2.14], which is another place where the terminality is crucial.

**Proposition 3.4.** *If  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  is an isolated terminal singularity. Let  $W$  be an exact filling of  $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  and  $R$  be a ring where  $|G|$  is invertible, then  $SH^*(W; R) = 0$ ,  $H^*(W; \mathbb{R})$  is a free  $R$ -module with rank  $H^*(W; R) = \# \text{Conj}(G)$  and  $H^*(W; R)$  is supported in even degrees.*

*Proof.* Let  $a$  represent the cohomology class in  $SH_+^*(W)$  represented by  $x_{(\text{id})}$  in the spectral sequence in Proposition 3.3. Therefore  $a = x_{(\text{id})} + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i$  for  $c_i \in R$  and  $|x_i|^\partial \geq |x_{(\text{id})}|^\partial + \frac{1}{|G|} = -1 + \frac{1}{|G|}$ . Now we consider differentials from a non-constant orbit  $x$  to 1, or equivalent the moduli spaces  $\mathcal{M}_{x,q}$  in [63, Proposition 2.12] with  $q \in S^{2n-1}/G$  a point constraint on the contact boundary. We can apply neck-stretching for  $\mathcal{M}_{x_{(\text{id})},q}$  as in [63, Proposition 2.12 step 1]. The virtual dimension of the top SFT level is

$$2n - |x_{(\text{id})}|^\partial - \sum_{i=1}^l \text{ISFT}(B_{(g_i), l_i}) - 2n - 1 \leq 0,$$

where  $B_{(g_i), l_i}$  are where the asymptotics of the negative punctures land. Since  $\text{ISFT}(B_{(g_i), l_i}) > 0$  by the terminality assumption, the equality holds iff  $l = 0$ . Then by the same covering argument in [63, Proposition 2.12 step 3], we have  $\# \mathcal{M}_{x_{(\text{id})}, q} = |G|$ . Next for  $\mathcal{M}_{x_i, q}$ , the virtual dimension of the top SFT level after neck-stretching is

$$2n - |x_i|^\partial - \sum_{i=1}^l \text{ISFT}(B_{(g_i), l_i}) - 2n - 1 < 0.$$

Hence we may assume  $\mathcal{M}_{x_i, q} = \emptyset$ . As a consequence, we have  $SH_+^*(W; R) \rightarrow H^0(W; R) \rightarrow H^0(S^{2n-1}/G; R)$  is surjective by  $a$ . Then by [63, Proposition 2.10], we have  $SH^*(W; R) = 0$  and  $SH_+^*(W; R) = H^{*+1}(W; R)$  is the  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -grading sense. Since the  $(|G| + 1)$ th page of the spectral sequence in Proposition 3.3 consists of free finitely generated  $R$ -modules, there is no non-trivial extension, i.e.  $SH_+^*(W; \mathbb{R})$  is a free  $R$ -module of rank  $\# \text{Conj}(G)$ . Hence the claim follows as the  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  grading of  $x_{(g)}$  is odd.  $\square$

**Remark 3.5.** *Under a non-canonical isomorphism  $H^*(W; R) \simeq \bigoplus_{(g) \in \text{Conj}(G)} \langle x_{(g)}[-1] \rangle$  in Proposition 3.4,  $x_{(g)}[-1]$  for  $g \neq \text{id}$  corresponds to an element in  $\bigoplus_{i>0} H^{2i}(W; \mathbb{Q})$ . For otherwise,  $x_{(g)}[-1]$  will be mapped to the generator of  $H^0(S^{2n-1}/G)$  in  $H^*(W; R) \rightarrow H^0(S^{2n-1}/G; R)$ , which shall not happen by the argument in Proposition 3.4.*

**Remark 3.6.** *Assume the theory of symplectic cohomology for exact orbifolds is established, i.e. in addition to the definition of the group level in [31], we also need various structures like the ring structure, which will be constructed using polyfolds in a future work. Then Proposition 3.4 holds for exact orbifold fillings and  $R = \mathbb{Q}$  (this is due to the nature of polyfolds).*

#### 4. THE SECONDARY COPRODUCT ON SYMPLECTIC COHOMOLOGY

**4.1. Secondary coproduct and intersection form.** The ordinary coproduct on symplectic cohomology, i.e. counting solutions to perturbed Cauchy-Riemann equations on a pair of pants with one positive end and two negative ends, as explained by Seidel in [54], is highly degenerate due to degeneration of the Riemann surface (pinching a circle near a negative end). In particular, the coproduct on the positive symplectic

cohomology is necessarily trivial. From such vanishing result, considering solutions to perturbed Cauchy-Riemann equations on the family of Riemann surfaces connecting the two pinchings yields the following secondary coproduct on the positive symplectic cohomology, which was introduced by Ekholm and Oancea in [24],

$$\lambda : SH_+^*(W; \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow (SH_+^*(W; \mathbf{k}) \otimes SH_+^*(W; \mathbf{k})) [2n - 1].$$

Here  $\mathbf{k}$  is some field coefficient<sup>4</sup>.

- (1) A secondary coproduct on  $SH_+^*(T^*M)$  was defined by Abbondandolo and Schwarz [1]. The corresponding coproduct in string topology, through the Viterbo isomorphism, was defined by Goresky and Hingston [33] in its dual form, also see [56].
- (2) Another (extended) secondary coproduct appears in the recent work of Cieliebak and Oancea [20]. For the case of cotangent bundles, the role of the secondary coproduct and the equivalence between those secondary coproducts were studied in recent works of Cieliebak, Hingston and Oancea [17].

The secondary coproduct can be defined pictorially by counting perturbed Floer equations on the following family of surfaces




FIGURE 2. Secondary coproduct  $\lambda$ , numbers are weights at the puncture

In a more formal way, the secondary coproduct can be defined as follows [17, §4.1]. Let  $\Sigma$  be a 3-punctured Riemann sphere with one positive puncture and two negative punctures. A 1-form  $\beta$  has weight  $A$  near a puncture if  $\beta = Adt$  in the cylindrical coordinate  $[0, \infty)_s \times S_t^1$  (or  $(-\infty, 0]_s \times S_t^1$ ). Let  $H$  be a Hamiltonian as in §3. We choose a 1-parameter family of 1-forms  $\beta_\tau$  for  $\tau \in (0, 1)$  with the following properties:

- (1)  $d\beta_\tau \leq 0$  for all  $\tau$ ;
- (2)  $\beta_\tau$  equals  $dt$  near all three punctures;
- (3) as  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\beta_\tau$  equals  $0dt$  near the first negative puncture whose length goes to infinity, so that  $\beta_0$  consists of a 1-form with weights 1, 0, 1 on  $\Sigma$  and 0, 1 on a cylinder attached to the first negative puncture; hence “c” in Figure 4.1 stands for the continuation map corresponding to the cylinder with weights 0, 1.
- (4) as  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\beta_\tau$  equals  $0dt$  near the second negative puncture whose length goes to infinity, so that  $\beta_1$  consists of a 1-form with weights 1, 1, 0 on  $\Sigma$  and 0, 1 on a cylinder attached to the second negative puncture.

The algebraic count of rigid solutions to

$$(du - X_H \otimes \beta_\tau)^{0,1} = 0, \quad \tau \in (0, 1)$$

<sup>4</sup>The requirement of field coefficient is for the sake of the Künneth formula.



defines a secondary coproduct  $C_+^*(H) \rightarrow (C_+^*(H) \otimes C_+^*(H))[2n-1]$ . It is a cochain map as the extra boundary corresponding to  $\tau = 0, 1$  will not appear since we only consider the positive cochain complex. Passing to the direct limit, we get the secondary coproduct  $\lambda$  on cohomology.

The significance of the secondary coproduct for our purpose is the following result due to Eliashberg, Ganatra and Lazarev [25].

**Theorem 4.1** ([25]). *The following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} SH_+^*(W) & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & (SH_+^*(W) \otimes SH^*(W))[2n-1] \\ \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow \delta \otimes \delta \\ H^*(W)[1] & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & (H^*(W) \otimes H^*(W))[2n+1] \end{array}$$

where  $\delta : SH^*(W) \rightarrow H^{*+1}(W)$  is the connecting map in the tautological exact sequence and the coproduct  $\Delta : H^*(W) \rightarrow (H^*(W) \otimes H^*(W))[2n]$  is the dual of the cup product  $H_c^i(W) \otimes H_c^j(W) \rightarrow H_c^{i+j}(W)$ .

The proof can be explained schematically by the following figures.




FIGURE 3. Pictorial proof of Theorem 4.1

The numbers stand for weights as before. By  $\bullet$ , we mean that the end is asymptotic to a constant orbit. By a circle, we mean the end is asymptotic to non-constant orbit, i.e. a generator of the positive cochain complex. The figure equipped with  $\tau$  is the moduli space in Figure 4.1. The boundary components correspond to the differential on the positive cochain complex and zero cochain complex (Morse cochain complex) are omitted, which will correspond to the homotopy in the commutative diagram in Theorem 4.1.

A priori, there is another boundary for the second relation in Figure 4.1 from pinching the second negative end, but it will not appear since the asymptotic of the second negative puncture is a non-constant orbit.

**4.2. The secondary coproduct for  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$ .** We have the following special case of the orbifold version of Theorem 4.1.

**Proposition 4.2.** *The secondary coproduct is well-defined on  $SH_+^*(\mathbb{C}^n/G; \mathbf{k})$ . When  $|G|$  is invertible in  $\mathbf{k}$ , the following is commutative,*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} SH_+^*(\mathbb{C}^n/G; \mathbf{k}) & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & (SH_+^*(\mathbb{C}^n/G; \mathbf{k}) \otimes SH^*(\mathbb{C}^n/G; \mathbf{k}))[2n-1] \\ \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow \delta \otimes \delta \\ H_{CR}^*(\mathbb{C}^n/G; \mathbf{k})[1] & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & (H_{CR}^*(\mathbb{C}^n/G; \mathbf{k}) \otimes H_{CR}^*(\mathbb{C}^n/G; \mathbf{k}))[2n+1] \end{array}$$

where the bottom row is the Chen-Ruan coproduct in §2.3.1 and the grading is the rational grading.

*Proof.* In view of [31], the difficulty of generalizing Theorem 4.1 to the orbifold setting is the appearance of constant holomorphic curves with more than 3 punctures of negative virtual dimension, which would require the machinery of virtual perturbations. However, in the special case of  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$ , such problem will not appear. First note that the secondary coproduct is defined on  $SH_+^*(W; \mathbf{k})$  for a general exact orbifold filling  $W$ , as the relevant moduli spaces do not involve constant curves in the compactification. Now by [31, Theorem B],  $SH_+^*(\mathbb{C}^n/G; \mathbf{k})$  is spanned by classes represented by  $x_{(g)}$  and is equipped with a global  $\mathbb{Q}$ -grading. Moreover,  $x_{(g)}$  is sent to the constant twisted sector corresponding to the conjugacy class  $(g)$  in the connecting map  $SH_+^*(\mathbb{C}^n/G; \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow H_{CR}^{*+1}(\mathbb{C}^n/G; \mathbf{k})$ . Therefore it suffices to prove the diagram on those generators (instead of the whole cochain complex) with correct virtual dimension. In the moduli spaces in Figure section 4.1, the only place to see constant holomorphic curves with more than 2 punctures is the first moduli space. But since we only consider those generators with correct virtual dimension (namely 1 here) and each  $x_{(g)}$  is determined uniquely by the homotopy class, the only possible constant curve is the one in Figure section 4.1 with virtual dimension 0, i.e. cut out transversely tautologically. Therefore the proof of Theorem 4.1 goes through for  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.3.** *Assume  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  is terminal. Let  $W$  be an exact filling of  $(S^{2n-1}/G; \xi_{\text{std}})$ . The secondary coproduct on  $SH_+^*(W; \mathbf{k})$  is compatible with boundary grading filtration. In particular, it induces an map on the associated graded, which is isomorphic to the secondary coproduct on  $SH_+^*(\mathbb{C}^n/G; \mathbf{k})$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\text{md}(\mathbb{C}^n/G) > 0$ , the neck-stretching argument shows that  $\langle \lambda(x), y \otimes z \rangle = 0$  whenever  $|y|^\partial + |z|^\partial - |x|^\partial - 2n + 1 < 0$ . Note that the boundary rational gradings are multiplies of  $\frac{1}{|G|}$ , this implies that  $\lambda$  is compatible with filtration. The independence follows from neck-stretching and comparison with  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  in Proposition 4.2.  $\square$

Recall that the cup product length of a topological space  $W$  is defined to be

$$\text{cl}(W) := \max \{n \mid \exists \alpha_i \in \oplus_{* > 0} H^*(W; \mathbb{Q}), i \leq n, \text{ such that } \cup \alpha_i \neq 0\}.$$

An consequence of Proposition 4.3 is the following upper bound.

**Corollary 4.4.** *Assume  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  is terminal. Let  $W$  be an exact filling of  $(S^{2n-1}/G; \xi_{\text{std}})$ . Then we have*

$$\text{cl}(W) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n-1 - \text{md}(\mathbb{C}^n/G)}{1 + \text{md}(\mathbb{C}^n/G)} \right\rfloor.$$

In particular, we always have  $\text{cl}(W) \leq n - 2$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.4, we have a (non-canonical) isomorphism  $H^*(W; \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \bigoplus_{(g) \in \text{Conj}(G)} \langle x_{(g)}[-1] \rangle$ , the latter has a rational grading by  $2 \text{age}(g)$ . Under such isomorphism, Proposition 4.3 implies that the coproduct  $\Delta(x_{(g)}[-1])$  has minimal rational grading  $2n + 2 \text{age}(g)$ . In view of the Lefschetz duality  $H_c^*(W; \mathbb{Q}) = \text{Hom}(H^{2n-*}(W; \mathbb{Q}), \mathbb{Q})$ , we may write  $H_c^*(W; \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \bigoplus_{(g) \in \text{Conj}(G)} \langle x_{(g)}^\vee[1] \rangle$ , where  $x_{(g)}^\vee[1]$  is the linear dual of  $x_{(g)}[-1]$  in that basis. We define a rational grading of  $x_{(g)}^\vee[1]$  to be  $2n - 2 \text{age}(g)$  (i.e.  $2 \text{age}(g^{-1})$ ). Under such isomorphism, the dual of Proposition 4.3 implies that the cup product  $\cup : H_c^*(W; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H_c^*(W; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_c^*(W; \mathbb{Q})$  has the property that the minimal rational grading of  $x_{(g)}^\vee[1] \cup x_{(h)}^\vee[1]$  is  $4n - 2 \text{age}(g) - 2 \text{age}(h)$ .

Since  $S^{2n-1}/G$  is a rational homology sphere, we have that  $H_c^*(W; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(W; \mathbb{Q})$  is an isomorphism for  $0 < * < 2n$  and the cup product length of  $W$  is precisely the cup product length of the monoid  $\text{im}(H_c^*(W; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(W; \mathbb{Q}))$  or equivalently  $H_c^*(W; \mathbb{Q})/H_c^{2n}(W; \mathbb{Q})$ . By Remark 3.5,  $x_{(g)}[-1]$  in the isomorphism  $H^*(W; \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \bigoplus_{(g) \in \text{Conj}(G)} \langle x_{(g)}[-1] \rangle$  does not have component in  $H^0(W; \mathbb{Q})$  when  $g \neq \text{id}$ , while  $x_{(\text{id})}[-1]$  does. As a consequence, the cup length product of  $H_c^*(W; \mathbb{Q})/H_c^{2n}(W; \mathbb{Q})$  is same as the cup product length on  $\bigoplus_{(g) \neq (\text{id}) \in \text{Conj}(G)} \langle x_{(g)}^\vee[1] \rangle$ . The cup product length of the latter can be estimated by the rational grading. Since the minimal and maximal rational gradings of  $x_{(g)}^\vee[1]$  for  $g \neq \text{id}$  are  $2(1 + \text{md}(\mathbb{C}^n/G))$  and  $2n - 2(1 + \text{md}(\mathbb{C}^n/G))$  respectively. Hence the claim follows.  $\square$

By Proposition 3.4, we have  $H^*(W)$  is supported in even degrees, hence the cup product length is tautologically smaller than  $n$ . Although Corollary 4.4 only improves the upper bound by 1 if we only know that  $\text{md}(\mathbb{C}^n/G) > 0$ , it is crucial in the proof of Theorem 7.8.

In some cases, the map on the associated graded can be identified with the map on the original space. Then Proposition 4.3 can recover the coproduct on cohomology. The following is the simplest case when it happens.

**Corollary 4.5.** *Let  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  be a terminal singularity of the following cases, then any exact filling of  $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  has same coproduct as  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  rationally.*

- (1) Diagonal actions on  $\mathbb{C}^{n=2m}$  by finite subgroups of  $SU(2)$ .
- (2)  $G \subset O(n) \subset SU(n)$  with isolated singularity.

*Proof.* The missed information of the induced map on the associated graded is the component in the higher filtration of the image. In both cases, we have  $\text{age}(g) = n/2$  if  $g \neq \text{id}$ , then there is no higher filtration for  $\Delta(x_{(\text{id})}[-1])$  or  $\Delta(x_{(g)}[-1])$ .  $\square$

Since the meaning of higher filtration depends on the stride length ( $\frac{1}{|G|}$ ) of the filtration in (3.3). Therefore, one can generalize Corollary 4.5 to other terminal singularities as long as  $\text{age}(g)$  is concentrated around  $n/2$  for  $g \neq \text{id}$ . Moreover, one can adjust the stride length so that results in §3 and Proposition 4.3 still hold if we know more about  $\text{md}(\mathbb{C}^n/G)$ , which will lead to different requirement of the concentration. We will not pursue the precise statements here.

## 5. FUNDAMENTAL GROUPS

In this section, we discuss fundamental groups for *strong fillings* of contact links of quotient singularities. This requires the definition of (positive) symplectic cohomology for strong fillings. The existence of positive symplectic cohomology is guaranteed by the asymptotic behaviour lemma [19, Lemma 2.3] instead of the usual action separation. The transversality issues from sphere bubbles require the deployment of virtual

techniques, for example, Pardon's setup for Hamiltonian-Floer cohomology for a general closed symplectic manifold [46] suffices for the setup for symplectic cohomology used in this paper. If one wishes to stay within the realm of geometric perturbations, then strong fillings should be replaced by semi-positive fillings [39, Definition 6.4.1] in the following results.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $W$  be a strong filling of  $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$ . We write  $G_0$  as the kernel of  $\pi_1(S^{2n-1}/G) \rightarrow \pi_1(W)$ . If  $\mathbb{C}^n/G_0$  is terminal, then  $\pi_1(S^{2n-1}/G) \rightarrow \pi_1(W)$  is surjective.*

*Proof.* Assume  $\pi_1(S^{2n-1}/G) \rightarrow \pi_1(W)$  is not surjective. We write  $Q = \pi_1(\widetilde{W})/\text{im}(\pi_1(S^{2n-1}/G) \rightarrow \pi_1(W)) \neq 0$ . We can consider the symplectic cohomology for the universal cover  $\widetilde{W}$  as in [64, §3.3]. Under our assumption,  $\partial\widetilde{W}$  is  $\#Q$  many copies of  $(S^{2n-1}/G_0, \xi_{\text{std}})$ . Similarly to Proposition 3.3, by comparing to the natural filling  $\bigsqcup_Q \mathbb{C}^n/G_0$  of  $\partial\widetilde{W}$ , we have  $SH_+^*(\widetilde{W}; \Lambda) \simeq \prod_Q H_{CR}^{*+1}(\mathbb{C}^n/G_0; \Lambda)$  where  $\Lambda$  is the Novikov field (but  $H^*(\widetilde{W}; \Lambda)$  may not be the same as  $\prod_Q H_{CR}^*(\mathbb{C}^n/G_0; \Lambda)$ , as the quantum product may be deformed). Moreover, we have

$$SH_+^*(\widetilde{W}; \Lambda) \rightarrow \prod_Q H_{CR}^{*+1}(\mathbb{C}^n/G_0; \Lambda) \rightarrow \prod_Q H_{CR}^0(\mathbb{C}^n/G_0) \otimes \Lambda = H^0(\partial\widetilde{W}) \otimes \Lambda = \prod_Q \Lambda$$

is surjective by the proof of Proposition 3.4. On the other hand, the map above factors through  $H^0(\widetilde{W}) \otimes \Lambda \rightarrow H^0(\partial\widetilde{W}) \otimes \Lambda$ , which is clearly not surjective, contradiction.  $\square$

In some sense, the argument above hints that  $(S^{2n-1}/G_0, \xi_{\text{std}})$  should not be the boundary of strong domain with multiple boundary components, i.e. not co-fillable, see Remark 5.6 for explanations.

If we only consider exact fillings, the un-deformed ring structure on quantum cohomology allows us to say the following without the terminal assumption.

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $W$  be an exact filling of  $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $\pi_1(S^{2n-1}/G) \rightarrow \pi_1(W)$  is not injective.*

*Proof.* Assume  $\pi_1(S^{2n-1}/G) \rightarrow \pi_1(W)$  is injective. Since all contractible orbits have positive integer SFT degrees (same as  $(S^{2n-1}, \xi_{\text{std}})$ ), the same invariance of spectral sequences in §3 holds. Note that  $\pi_1(S^{2n-1}/G) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{C}^n/G)$  is an isomorphism, the arguments of Propositions 3.3 and 3.4 go through and the same conclusions hold for  $W$  without the terminal assumption. In particular, we have  $SH_+^*(W; \mathbb{Q}) = H_{CR}^{*+1}(\mathbb{C}^n/G; \mathbb{Q})$ , which are represented by  $x_{(g)} + a$  for  $(g) \in \text{Conj}(G)$ . Here the homotopy class of  $a$  must be the same as  $x_{(g)}$  as  $\pi_1(S^{2n-1}/G) \rightarrow \pi_1(W)$  is injective, which is non-trivial when  $(g) \neq (\text{id})$ . This contradicts with that  $SH_+^*(W; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{*+1}(W; \mathbb{Q})$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.3.** *Let  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  be a terminal singularity, then any exact filling of  $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  is simply connected.*

*Proof.* Let  $W$  be an exact filling. Since  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  is terminal, by Proposition 2.12,  $\mathbb{C}^n/G_0$  must be terminal as well, where  $G_0 = \ker(\pi_1(S^{2n-1}/G) \rightarrow \pi_1(W))$ . Therefore Proposition 5.1 implies that  $\pi_1(S^{2n-1}/G) \rightarrow \pi_1(W)$  is surjective. If  $\pi_1(W) \neq 0$ , we can obtain a contradiction similar to Proposition 5.2.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.4.** *Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that there is no non-trivial square that divides  $m$ . Assume  $\mathbb{C}^n/(\mathbb{Z}/m)$  is terminal, then any strong filling of  $(S^{2n-1}/(\mathbb{Z}/m), \xi_{\text{std}})$  is simply connected.*

*Proof.* Let  $W$  be a strong filling. By Proposition 5.1, we have that  $\mathbb{Z}/m = \pi_1(S^{2n-1}/(\mathbb{Z}/m)) \rightarrow \pi_1(W)$  is surjective. If  $\pi_1(W) \neq 0$ , then  $\pi_1(W) = \mathbb{Z}/p$ , where  $p$  divides  $m$ . Therefore, by the universal coefficient theorem,

we have  $H^1(W; \mathbb{Z}/m) \rightarrow H^1(S^{2n-1}/(\mathbb{Z}/m); \mathbb{Z}/m)$  and  $H^2(W; \mathbb{Z}/m) \rightarrow H^2(S^{2n-1}/(\mathbb{Z}/m); \mathbb{Z}/m)$  are both non-trivial and contain  $\mathbb{Z}/p \subset \mathbb{Z}/m$  in the image. As  $m$  is not divided by  $p^2$ , we get  $\alpha \in H^1(W; \mathbb{Z}/m), \beta \in H^2(W; \mathbb{Z}/m)$  such that  $(\alpha \cup \beta^{n-1})|_{\partial W} \neq 0$ , i.e.  $H^{2n-1}(W; \mathbb{Z}/m) \rightarrow H^{2n-1}(S^{2n-1}/(\mathbb{Z}/m); \mathbb{Z}/m)$  is nontrivial, contradiction.  $\square$

Theorem A is assembled from Propositions 5.1, 5.2 and 5.4 and Corollary 5.3

**Remark 5.5.** *The  $m = 2$  case of Proposition 5.4 was proven by Ghiggini and Niederkrüger [30, Theorem 1.2]. Unlike the  $m = 2$  case, when  $m \geq 3$ , there are multiple  $\mathbb{Z}/m$  actions such that  $\mathbb{C}^n/(\mathbb{Z}/m)$  is terminal. There are also many non-terminal examples  $\mathbb{C}^2/(\mathbb{Z}/m)$ , whose contact links admit Weinstein fillings that are not simply connected, see e.g. [28].*

**Remark 5.6** (Proposition B). *If  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  is a terminal singularity, the arguments in §3 implies that there is always a holomorphic curve passing through a point constraint independent of the point and for any strong filling. We claim that  $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  is not co-fillable. Assume otherwise,  $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}}) \sqcup Y$  can be filled by  $W$ . We can setup our Hamiltonian to be standard on the cylindrical end of  $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  as in §3 and 0 on the cylindrical end on  $Y$ . By moving the point constraint to the cylindrical end of  $Y$ , we then obtain a contradiction with the maximum principle. However, we expect that  $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  is not co-fillable without any constraint on the isolated singularity  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$ . In dimension 3, by [53],  $(S^3/(\mathbb{Z}/m), \xi_{\text{std}})$  supports a planar open book, hence is not co-fillable by [27]. In higher dimensions, it is interesting to see whether  $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  supports an iterated planar open book [3]. If the answer to this question is affirmative, then  $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  is not co-fillable by [3]. However, one should not expect the (iterated) planar property holds in general, as there are already counterexamples in dimension 3 [9]. On the other hand, from the symplectic cohomology point of view in this paper, when the terminality condition is removed, we expect fillings of  $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  to have  $k$ -semi-dilations [66], see [67] for the special case in complex dimension 2. The existence of  $k$ -semi-dilation, which is also an existence of holomorphic curves with a point constraint, leads to obstructions to co-fillability by a similar argument.*

## 6. NON-EXISTENCE OF EXACT FILLINGS FOR REAL PROJECTIVE SPACES

The main result in this section is

**Theorem 6.1.** *For  $n = 2^k \geq 4$ ,  $(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}, \xi_{\text{std}})$  is not exactly fillable.*

Combining with [63, Theorem 1.1], we have

**Theorem 6.2** (Theorem D). *For any  $n \geq 3$ ,  $(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}, \xi_{\text{std}})$  is not exactly fillable.*

**6.1. Properties of a hypothetical exact filling.** Assume  $W$  is a hypothetical exact filling of  $(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}, \xi_{\text{std}})$  for  $n = 2^k > 2$ . We first list the properties of  $(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}, \xi_{\text{std}})$  and  $W$  from previous sections.

- (1) By [63, Remark 2.3], the total Chern class of  $(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}, \xi_{\text{std}})$  is trivial.
- (2) By Corollary 5.3, we have  $\pi_1(W) = 0$ . As a consequence, we have  $H_1(W; \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Tor } H^2(W; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ .
- (3) By Corollary 4.5, we have  $H^*(W; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}[-2^k]$  and  $H_c^*(W; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[-2^k] \oplus \mathbb{Q}[-2^{k+1}]$  with a positive intersection form. Therefore we have  $H^2(W; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  and hence  $c_1(W) = 0$ .
- (4) Let  $C$  be the disk bundle of the degree 2 line bundle over  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ , which is the natural symplectic cap of  $(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}, \xi_{\text{std}})$ . Then we can glue  $C$  to  $W$  along  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}$  to obtain a closed symplectic manifold  $X$ . Using the rational cohomology, it is easy to see that Euler characteristic  $\chi(X) = n + 2$  and signature  $\sigma(X) = 2$ .

**Proposition 6.3.** *We have  $\text{Tor } H^n(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^n(\mathbb{RP}^{2n-1}; \mathbb{Z})$  is zero but  $H^n(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^n(\mathbb{RP}^{2n-1}; \mathbb{Z})$  is surjective.*

*Proof.* Note that we have the following long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^n(W, \mathbb{RP}^{2n-1}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^n(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^n(\mathbb{RP}^{2n-1}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(W, \mathbb{RP}^{2n-1}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0. \quad (6.1)$$

By the Lefschetz duality, (6.1) can be rewritten as

$$0 \rightarrow H_n(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^n(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow H_{n-1}(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0. \quad (6.2)$$

By the universal coefficient theorem, we have

$$H_n(W; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus H^{n+1}(W; \mathbb{Z}), \quad H_{n-1}(W; \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Tor } H^n(W; \mathbb{Z}).$$

Hence (6.2) can be written as

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus H^{n+1}(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{Tor } H^n(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \text{Tor } H^n(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0. \quad (6.3)$$

If  $\text{Tor } H^n(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^n(\mathbb{RP}^{2n-1}; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2$  is nonzero, then we have  $\text{Tor } H^n(W; \mathbb{Z}) = H^{n+1}(W; \mathbb{Z})$ . On the other hand, (6.3) implies that the torsion group  $H^{n+1}(W; \mathbb{Z})$  injects into  $\text{Tor } H^n(W; \mathbb{Z})$ . Hence we have  $|\text{Tor } H^n(W; \mathbb{Z})| \geq 2|H^{n+1}(W; \mathbb{Z})|$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $\text{Tor } H^n(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^n(\mathbb{RP}^{2n-1}; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2$  is zero.

On the other hand, if  $H^n(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^n(\mathbb{RP}^{2n-1}; \mathbb{Z})$  is zero. We have  $|\text{Tor } H^n(W; \mathbb{Z})| = 2|H^{n+1}(W; \mathbb{Z})|$  from the right three terms of (6.3), while  $|\text{Tor } H^n(W; \mathbb{Z})| = |H^{n+1}(W; \mathbb{Z})|$  from the left two terms of (6.3), contradiction.  $\square$

Note that the rank of  $H^n(X; \mathbb{Z})$  is two. Let  $\mu$  denote a generator of the free part of  $H^n(W; \mathbb{Z})$ <sup>5</sup>. In view of the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for  $X = W \cup C$ , the free part of  $H^n(X; \mathbb{Z})$  is generated by  $\mu + u^{n/2}$  and  $2u^{n/2}$ , where  $u \in H^2(C; \mathbb{Z}) = H^2(\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}; \mathbb{Z})$  is the generator represented by the first Chern class of  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ . On the other hand, since  $H^*(\mathbb{RP}^{2n-1}; \mathbb{Q}) = H^*(S^{2n-1}; \mathbb{Q})$ , we have  $H^n(X; \mathbb{Q}) = H^n(C; \mathbb{Q}) \oplus H^n(W; \mathbb{Q}) = H_c^n(C; \mathbb{Q}) \oplus H_c^n(W; \mathbb{Q})$ , the later is from the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -isomorphism  $c_W : H_c^n(W; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^n(W; \mathbb{Q})$ ,  $c_C : H_c^n(C; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^n(C; \mathbb{Q})$  (which is non-trivial on the integer lattice). Therefore it makes sense to write  $\int_X (u^{n/2})^2 := \int_X 0 \oplus (u^{n/2})^2 = \int_C (c_C^{-1}(u^{n/2}))^2 = \frac{1}{2}$  as  $c_C$  is multiplying by 2 on the integer lattice.

**Proposition 6.4.** *We have*

$$\int_X \mu^2 = \frac{1}{2}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\int_X (2u^{n/2})^2 = 2$  and  $\int_X (2u^{n/2}) \cup (\mu + u^{n/2}) = 1$  and  $\int_X (\mu + u^{n/2})^2 = \int_X \mu^2 + \frac{1}{2} > \frac{1}{2}$ . To have the intersection being unimodular, we must have  $\int_X \mu^2 = \frac{1}{2}$ .  $\square$

**6.2. Proof of Theorem 6.1.** The proof of Theorem 6.1 seeks contradictions in finding non-integer Chern numbers using tools like the Hirzebruch signature theorem. As a warm-up, we first look at the  $n = 8$  case by direct computations.

<sup>5</sup>Strictly speaking, there is no canonical free part as  $0 \rightarrow \text{Tor } H^n(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^n(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$  does not have a natural splitting. But since we only discuss the intersection number, such imprecision does not matter.

6.2.1. *The  $n = 8$  case.*

*Proof of Theorem 6.1 for  $n = 8$ .* In this case, the  $L$ -genus is

$$\begin{aligned} L_4(X) &= \frac{1}{14175}(381p_4(X) - 71p_1(X)p_3(X) - 19p_2^2(X) + 22p_1^2(X)p_2(X) - 3p_1^4(X)), \\ p_1(X) &= c_1^2(X) - 2c_2(X), \\ p_2(X) &= c_2^2(X) - 2c_1(X)c_3(X) + 2c_4(X), \\ p_3(X) &= c_3^2(X) - 2c_2(X)c_4(X) + 2c_1(X)c_5(X) - 2c_6(X), \\ p_4(X) &= c_4^2(X) - 2c_3(X)c_5(X) + 2c_2(X)c_6(X) - 2c_1(X)c_7(X) + 2c_8(X). \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$c(C) = 1 + 10u + 44u^2 + 112u^3 + 182u^4 + 196u^5 + 140u^6 + 64u^7, u \in H^2(C; \mathbb{Z}),$$

and  $\int_X u^8 = \frac{1}{2}$ . We also have  $\int_X c_8(X) = \chi(X) = 10$  and  $\int_X c_I(X)c_4(X) = \int_X c_I(C)c_4(C)$  for  $c_I = \prod_{j=1}^I c_j^{i_j}$  if  $i_4 = 0$  using the natural inclusion from  $c_i^{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = c_i^{\mathbb{Q}}(W) \oplus c_i^{\mathbb{Q}}(C)$ . Then the Hirzebruch signature theorem reads

$$2 = \sigma(X) = \int_X L_4(X) = \frac{1}{14175}(305 \int_X c_4^2(X) - 5066092).$$

Hence we have  $\int_X c_4^2(X) = \frac{5094442}{305} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , contradiction.  $\square$

6.2.2. *The general case of  $n = 2^k > 8$ .* In principle, one can continue the computation above for larger  $n$ . However, it is unrealistic to carry out the specific computations, as the complexity grows exponentially w.r.t.  $k$  both in coefficients and Chern numbers. The computation is simplified significantly if we compare the discrepancy between of the Hirzebruch signature formulae for  $X, X'$ , where  $X'$  is the orbifold obtained from gluing  $C$  to  $\mathbb{C}^n/(\mathbb{Z}/2)$  and only look at the factor of 2. We first get more room of the factor of 2 for later purpose.

**Proposition 6.5.** *For  $n = 2^k$  and  $k \geq 2$ , we have  $c_{n/2}(W) = 0 \pmod{4}$  for a hypothetical exact filling  $W$ .*

*Proof.* Following [35], we have  $\sigma(X) - \int_X v_n^2 = 0 \pmod{8}$ , where  $v_n$  is an integral lift of the middle Wu class. Since  $X$  has an almost complex structure, there are integral lifts of the Wu classes in terms of the Chern classes by [35, E 1.2]. Namely, the total integral Wu classes has characteristic series ( $x$  is the Chern root)

$$1 + x + x^3 + \dots + x^{2^m - 1} + \dots$$

Let  $v_n$  denote the integral lift of the middle Wu class in  $H^n(X; \mathbb{Q})$ . As  $H^n(X; \mathbb{Q}) = H^n(W; \mathbb{Q}) \oplus H^n(C; \mathbb{Q})$ , we will compute the two components of  $v_n$ .

For the component on  $C$ , as  $TC|_{\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}} \oplus \underline{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{O}(1)^n \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)$ , using the characteristic series, we have

$$v_n|_C = u^{2^{k-1}} \text{ coefficient of } (1 + u + u^3 + \dots)^{2^k} (1 + 2u + 8u^3 + \dots).$$

Note that  $\binom{2^k}{i} = 0 \pmod{4}$  if  $1 \leq i < 2^{k-1}$  and  $\binom{2^k}{2^{k-1}} = 2 \pmod{4}$ . Therefore, modulo  $\langle 4, u^{2^{k-1}+1} \rangle$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + u + u^3 + \dots)^{2^k} (1 + 2u + 8u^3 + \dots) &= (1 + 2(u + u^3 + \dots)^{2^{k-1}})(1 + 2u) \\ &= 1 + 2u + 2u^{2^{k-1}} \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have  $v_n|_C = 2u^{2^{k-1}} \pmod{4}$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 6.6 below, we have  $v_n|_W = c_{n/2}(W)$ . By Proposition 6.3 and  $c_{n/2}(\mathbb{R}P^{2n-1}, \xi_{\text{std}}) = 0$ , we have  $c_{n/2}(W) = 0 \pmod{2}$ . If we write  $c_{n/2}(W) = 2m\mu$ , then by Proposition 6.4, we have

$$\int_X v_n^2 = 2 + 2m^2 \pmod{8}.$$

Since  $\sigma(X) = 2$ , we must have  $m = 0 \pmod{2}$ , i.e.  $c_{n/2}(W) = 0 \pmod{4}$  for the hypothetical exact filling  $W$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.6.** *The coefficient of  $c_{n/2}$  in the integral Wu class  $v_n$  is 1.*

*Proof.* We write  $n = 2m$ . Let  $f(x) = 1 + x + x^3 + \dots$  be the characteristic series. We want to write

$$f(x_1) \cdot \dots \cdot f(x_{2m}) = g_{2m}(e_1, \dots, e_{2m})$$

where  $e_1, \dots, e_{2m}$  are the elementary symmetric polynomials. Then the coefficient we are looking for is coefficient of the monomial  $e_m$  in  $g_{2m}$ . Note that  $e_{2m}$  divides  $f(x_1) \cdot \dots \cdot f(x_{2m}) - g_{2m-1}(e_1, \dots, e_{2m-1})$  as  $x_{2m}$  divides it. We have

$$f(x_1) \cdot \dots \cdot f(x_{2m}) - g_{2m-1}(e_1, \dots, e_{2m-1}) = e_{2m}s$$

for some symmetric polynomial  $s$ . As a consequence, the  $e_m$  coefficient of  $g_{2m}$  is the same as the  $e_m$  coefficient of  $g_{2m-1}$ . We can keep applying the argument for  $m-1$  more times and conclude that  $e_m$  coefficient of  $g_{2m}$  is the same as the  $e_m$  coefficient of  $g_m$ , which is clearly 1.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 6.1.* Let  $X'$  be the orbifold obtained from gluing  $\mathbb{C}^n/(\mathbb{Z}/2)$  to  $C$ . With the orbifold Hirzebruch signature theorem in [36], we have

$$2 = \sigma(X) = \int_X L(TX), \quad 1 = \sigma(X') = \int_{X'} L(TX'),$$

Here  $\sigma(X')$  is the signature on the *de Rham cohomology* of the orbifold  $X'$ , which is isomorphic to the real singular cohomology of the orbit space, *not the Chen-Ruan cohomology*. And the  $L$ -genus of  $TX'$  is defined through the orbifold Chern-Weil theory, which can be expressed in terms of (orbifold) Pontryagin classes and Chern classes with the same coefficients as in the manifold case. It is important to note that the orbifold Hirzebruch signature theorem usually involves integrating characteristic classes over the singular locus. However, it vanishes in our case, as the corresponding characteristic is given by [5, §6, page 581] (also see Proposition 7.4) over the isolated singular point. Note that the only difference between  $H^*(X; \mathbb{R}), H^*(X'; \mathbb{R})$  is in the middle degree from the difference between  $W$  and  $\mathbb{C}^n/(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ . Hence we know that the difference of  $\int_X L(TX)$  and  $\int_{X'} L(TX')$  is given by a multiple of the integration of  $c_n$  and a multiple of the integration of  $c_{n/2}^2(W)$ . For even  $m$ , we can write the  $L$ -genus of a complex bundle in terms of Chern classes as

$$L_m = a_m c_{2m} + b_m c_m^2 + \text{other combinations of Chern classes.}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \int_X L(TX) - \int_{X'} L(TX') \\ &= \int_X a_{n/2} c_n(X) + \int_X b_{n/2} c_{n/2}^2(W) - \int_{X'} a_{n/2} c_n(X'). \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$



Now by the Gauss-Bonnet theorem for orbifolds in [51] and the identification between the Pfaffian polynomial and the top Chern class on a complex vector space, we have

$$\int_{X'} c_n(X') = \chi^{\text{orb}}(X') = n + \frac{1}{2},$$

where the singularity is counted as  $1/2$ . Since  $\int_X c_n(X) = \chi(X) = n + 2$ , and we assume  $c_{n/2}(W) = 4l\mu$  by Proposition 6.5, (6.4) reads

$$\frac{3}{2}a_{n/2} + 8l^2b_{n/2} = 1. \quad (6.5)$$

Therefore we need to figure out the coefficient  $a_{n/2}$  and  $b_{n/2}$ . First for even  $m$ , we write  $L_m$  as

$$\alpha_m p_m + \beta_m p_{m/2}^2 + \text{other combinations of the Pontryagin classes.}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} p_m &= 2c_{2m} + c_m^2 + \text{other quadratic terms of Chern classes,} \\ p_{m/2} &= \pm 2c_m + \text{other quadratic terms of Chern classes,} \end{aligned}$$

we have  $a_m = 2\alpha_m$  and  $b_m = \alpha_m + 4\beta_m$ . Hence (6.5) becomes

$$3\alpha_{n/2} + 8l^2(\alpha_{n/2} + 4\beta_{n/2}) = 1. \quad (6.6)$$

By [7, (5)], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_m &= \frac{2^{2m}(2^{2m-1} - 1)}{(2m)!} B_m, \\ \beta_m &= \frac{2^{2m-1}}{\pi^{2m}} (-\zeta^*(2m) + \zeta^*(m)^2) \\ &= -\frac{2^{2m-1}(2^{2m-1} - 1)}{(2m)!} B_m + \frac{2^{2m-1}(2^{m-1} - 1)^2}{m!m!} B_{m/2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $B_*$  are the Bernoulli numbers with positive sign, see Appendix A. For  $m = n/2 = 2^{k-1}$ , (6.6) becomes

$$3 \cdot 2^{2m}(2^{2m-1} - 1)B_m + 2^{2m+3} \left( -(2^{2m-1} - 1)B_m + 2 \cdot (2^{m-1} - 1)^2 \binom{2m}{m} B_{m/2}^2 \right) l^2 = (2m)!$$

By Theorem A.1,  $B_m$  in its lowest fraction form can be written as  $N_m/(2D_m)$  for an odd number  $D_m$ . Hence we have

$$3 \cdot 2^{2m-1}(2^{2m-1} - 1)N_m D_{m/2}^2 + 2^{2m+2} \left( -(2^{2m-1} - 1)N_m D_{m/2}^2 + (2^{m-1} - 1)^2 \binom{2m}{m} N_{m/2}^2 D_m \right) l^2 = (2m)! D_m D_{m/2}^2.$$

Note that  $2^{2m-1}$  divides  $(2m)!$  as  $2m$  is a power of 2.<sup>6</sup> We have

$$8 \left( (2^{m-1} - 1)^2 \binom{2m}{m} N_{m/2}^2 D_m - (2^{2m-1} - 1)N_m D_{m/2}^2 \right) l^2 = D_{m/2}^2 \left( \frac{(2m)!}{2^{2m-1}} D_m - 3(2^{2m-1} - 1)N_m \right) \quad (6.7)$$

By Lemmas A.2 and A.5 for  $m = 2^{k-1} > 8$ , the right side of (6.7) is  $-8 \pmod{64}$ . While the left side of (6.7) is  $8(1 - \binom{2m}{m})l^2 \pmod{64}$  by Lemma A.2. By Lemma A.5,

$$\binom{2m}{m} = 6 \pmod{8}, \quad l^2 = 0, 1, 4 \pmod{8},$$

<sup>6</sup>This holds only if  $m$  is a power of 2, hence it yields a new proof of the main result in [63].

hence

$$8\left(1 - \binom{2m}{m}\right)l^2 = 0, 24, 32 \pmod{64},$$

contradiction!

When  $m = 8$ , we have  $N_8 = 3617, N_4 = 1, D_8 = 255, D_4 = 15$ . Then (6.7) becomes

$$8 \cdot 26266354875 \cdot l^2 = 15^2 \cdot 162465228408.$$

In particular,  $l^2$  is not an integer, contradiction. □

**Remark 6.7.** *There is a more direct approach to obtain the key relation (6.5) without using the orbifold Hirzebruch signature theorem and Gauss-Bonnet theorem. Note the total Chern class of the symplectic cap is in the product form*

$$c(C) = (1 + u)^n(1 + 2u), \pmod{u^{n+1}}.$$

*Roughly speaking, the L-genus  $L(TX)$  should be “close” to that of  $C$ , i.e.  $(\frac{u}{\tanh u})^n \frac{2u}{\tanh 2u}$ . More precisely,  $c(C) = c(X)$  except for  $c_{n/2}$  and  $c_n$ . Using that  $\int_X u^n = \frac{1}{2}$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X \left(\frac{u}{\tanh u}\right)^n \frac{2u}{\tanh 2u} &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \text{the coefficient of } u^n \text{ in } \left(\frac{u}{\tanh u}\right)^n \frac{2u}{\tanh 2u} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi i} \oint \left(\frac{u}{\tanh u}\right)^n \frac{2u}{\tanh 2u} \frac{1}{u^{n+1}} du \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi i} \oint \frac{(1 + \tanh^2 u)}{\tanh^{n+1} u} du \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi i} \oint \frac{(1 + z^2)}{z^{n+1}(1 - z^2)} dz = 1, \quad (z = \tanh u) \end{aligned}$$

*Since the  $u^n$  coefficient  $c(C)$  is  $2n + 1$ , by that  $\int_X u^n = \frac{1}{2}$ , the above computes  $\int_X L(TX)$  using “ $\int_X c_n(X) = n + \frac{1}{2}$  and  $c_{n/2}(X) = 0 \oplus c_{n/2}(C)$ ”. If we use the correct  $c_{n/2}$  and that  $\int_X c_n(X) = n + 2$ , the Hirzebruch signature theorem becomes*

$$2 = \frac{3}{2}a_{n/2} + b_{n/2} \int_X c_{n/2}^2(W) + \int_X \left(\frac{u}{\tanh u}\right)^n \frac{2u}{\tanh 2u},$$

*that is precisely (6.5).*

*However, the orbifold approach generalizes to a general quotient singularity without any reference to a particular symplectic cap  $C$ , while the direct computation above requires knowledge of  $C$ .*

**6.2.3. The  $n = 4$  case.** To prove the  $n = 4$  case, the Hirzebruch signature theorem along (or with the module 8 property of the modified signature in [35]) will not give us a contradiction. We also need to use that the  $\hat{A}$ -genus is an integer for spin manifolds.

*Proof of Theorem 6.1 for  $n = 4$ .* The second L-genus is given by

$$L_2(X) = \frac{1}{45}(7p_2(X) - p_1^2(X)).$$

Since  $X$  is an almost complex manifold, hence the Pontryagin classes can be expressed using Chern classes as

$$p_1(X) = c_1^2(X) - 2c_2(X), \quad p_2(X) = c_2^2(X) - 2c_1(X)c_3(X) + 2c_4(X).$$

As a consequence, we have

$$L_2(X) = \frac{1}{45}(3c_2^2(X) - 14c_1(X)c_3(X) + 14c_4(X) - c_1^4(X) + 4c_1^2(X)c_2(X)).$$

On one hand, we have

$$c(C) = (1+u)^4(1+2u) \pmod{u^4} = 1 + 6u + 14u^2 + 16u^3, u \in H^2(C; \mathbb{Z})$$

We have  $\int_X u^4 = \frac{1}{2}$  when we view  $u \in H^2(C; \mathbb{Z}) \subset H^2(C; \mathbb{Q}) \simeq H_c^2(C; \mathbb{Q})$ . Finally, we have  $\int_X c_4(X) = 6$  and  $\int_X c_1^2(X)c_2(X) = \int_X c_1^2(C)c_2(C)$  using the natural inclusion from  $c_i^{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = c_i^{\mathbb{Q}}(W) \oplus c_i^{\mathbb{Q}}(C)$ . Then by the Hirzebruch signature theorem we have

$$\int_X L_2(X) = \sigma(X) = 2.$$

Hence we can compute that  $\int_X c_2^2(X) = 106$ . As a consequence, we have  $\int_W c_2^2(W) = 8$ . Since  $p_1(W) = 2c_2(W)$ , we have  $\int_W p_1^2(W) = 32$ .

Now we consider a new closed manifold  $Y = W \cup_{\phi} W$ , where  $\phi : \mathbb{R}P^7 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^7$  is the orientation reversing diffeomorphism induced from  $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \rightarrow (\bar{z}_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$ . Then we have  $\sigma(Y) = 2$  and  $\int_Y p_1^2(Y) = 2 \int_W p_1^2(W) = 64$ . Hence from the Hirzebruch signature theorem

$$\frac{1}{45} \int_Y 7p_2(Y) - p_1^2(Y) = 2,$$

we have  $\int_Y p_2(Y) = 22$ .

We claim that  $Y$  is a spin manifold. To prove  $w_2(Y) = 0$ , it is sufficient to prove  $\langle w_2(Y), A \rangle = 0$  for any  $A \in H_2(Y; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ . Since  $W$  is simply connected, we have  $Y$  is also simply connected, then by the universal coefficient theorem, Hurewicz theorem and Whitney embedding theorem, we have  $H_2(Y; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  is generated by embedded spheres. Therefore we have that  $H^2(Y; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  is generated by embedded spheres contained in one of the two copies of  $W$  and the glued sphere from two disks in each  $W$  whose boundary is nontrivial in  $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}P^7)$ . Assume  $A \in H_2(Y; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  is represented by a map  $f : S^2 \rightarrow Y$ , then  $\langle w_2(Y), A \rangle = 0$  is equivalent to that  $f^*TY$  is trivial. If  $f$  is contained in one of  $W$ , since  $c_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(W) = 0$ , we have  $f^*TY$  is trivial. If  $f$  is the glued sphere, we may assume the separating circle  $C$  is the circle in the  $z_1$  complex line. We can fix a trivialization of  $TY|_C$ , which is derived from a trivialization of the normal bundle of  $C$  in  $\mathbb{R}P^7$ , a chosen orientation of  $C$  and outward normal vectors of the first copy of  $W$ . Since  $\phi$  maps  $C$  to  $C$  in an orientation reversing manner,  $\phi$  push the trivialization to the same (up to homotopy as it is multiplying the constant map  $S^1 \rightarrow \text{diag}(-1, -1, 1, \dots, 1)$ ) trivialization on the second copy of  $W$ . Therefore the second Stiefel-Whitney class of  $f^*TY$  is measured by the sum of the discrepancy of the trivialization of  $f^*TY$  on the two disks with the above trivialization, which is clearly a multiple of 2, hence zero. Therefore  $Y$  is a spin manifold. Now we have

$$\mathbb{Z} \ni \int_Y \hat{A}(Y) = \frac{1}{5760} \int_Y -4p_2(Y) + 7p_1^2(Y) = \frac{1}{16}$$

which is a contradiction.  $\square$

## 7. MORE NON-EXISTENCE RESULTS

Propositions 3.4 and 4.3 provide evidences for that exact orbifold fillings of  $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  might be unique, namely  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$ , when it is a terminal singularity. If this conjecture is true, then in particular,  $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  has no exact filling when  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  is terminal. In addition to the case of real projective spaces

discussed in the previous section, we give various, but non-exhausting lists of cases where we can establish the non-existence of exact fillings using the topological information obtained in previous sections. The followings are some of the arguments we use to arrive at a contradiction assuming there is an exact filling  $W$ :

- (1) From enough non-vanishing maps  $H^*(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(S^{2n-1}/G; \mathbb{Z})$ , we obtain a contradiction with  $\dim H^*(W; \mathbb{Q}) = |\text{Conj}(G)|$  using the Lefschetz duality and the universal coefficient theorem. This can be achieved by showing enough non-vanishing Chern classes of  $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$ , which is the method used in [63] or exploit the non-trivial product structure on  $H^*(S^{2n-1}/G; \mathbb{Z})$  as well as the cup product length estimate in §4, e.g. Theorem 7.8.
- (2) For the glued compact symplectic manifold  $X$  from a gluing a symplectic cap of dimension  $4k$ , we can consider the signature  $\sigma(X)$  of  $X$ . Then a potential contradiction of having non-integral characteristic classes may arise using the the Hirzebruch signature theorem. Moreover, by [35], we have  $\sigma(X) - \int_X v_{2k}^2 = 0 \pmod{8}$ , where  $v_{2k}^2$  is an integral lift of the middle Wu class, which always exists for almost complex manifolds. This also provides a place to look for contradiction.
- (3) If  $c_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(W) = 0$ , then the boundary rational grading is a global rational grading. Then we can get a contradiction if some of them are not integers.

### 7.1. Preliminary facts.

**Proposition 7.1.** *Assume  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  is an isolated quotient singularity. Let  $W$  be a compact oriented manifold such that  $\partial W = S^{2n-1}/G$ . Then  $H^i(W; \mathbb{Q}) = H^{2n-i}(W; \mathbb{Q})$  for  $0 < i < 2n$ .*

*Proof.* Note that we have the long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H_c^*(W; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(W; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(\partial W; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Since  $H^*(S^{2n-1}/G; \mathbb{Q}) = H^*(S^{2n-1}; \mathbb{Q})$ . We have  $H^i(W; \mathbb{Q}) = H_c^{2n-i}(W; \mathbb{Q}) = H^{2n-i}(W; \mathbb{Q})$  by Lefschetz duality for  $0 < i < 2n$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 7.2.** *Assume  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  is an isolated quotient singularity. Suppose that both  $H^*(W; \mathbb{Q})$  and  $H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z})$  are supported in even degrees. Let  $\phi^*, \phi_{\text{Tor}}^*$  denote the map  $H^*(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(S^{2n-1}/G; \mathbb{Z})$  and  $\text{Tor } H^*(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(S^{2n-1}/G; \mathbb{Z})$ . For  $0 < i < n$ , we have*

$$|\text{im } \phi_{\text{Tor}}^{2n-2i}| = |\text{coker } \phi^{2i}|.$$

*Proof.* We have exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow H^{2i}(W, \partial W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2i}(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2i}(S^{2n-1}/G; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2i+1}(W, \partial W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2i+1}(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \rightarrow H^{2n-2i}(W, \partial W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2n-2i}(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2n-2i}(S^{2n-1}/G; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2n-2i+1}(W, \partial W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2n-2i+1}(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let  $k = \dim H^{2i}(W; \mathbb{Q}) = \dim H^{2n-2i}(W; \mathbb{Q})$ . By Lefschetz duality and the universal coefficient theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^{2i}(W, \partial W; \mathbb{Z}) &= H_{2n-2i}(W; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^k \oplus \text{Tor } H^{2n-2i+1} = \mathbb{Z}^k \oplus H^{2n-2i+1}, \\ H^{2n-2i}(W, \partial W; \mathbb{Z}) &= H_{2i}(W; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^k \oplus \text{Tor } H^{2i+1} = \mathbb{Z}^k \oplus H^{2i+1}, \\ H^{2i+1}(W, \partial W; \mathbb{Z}) &= H_{2n-2i-1}(W; \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Tor } H^{2n-2i}(W; \mathbb{Z}), \\ H^{2n-2i+1}(W, \partial W; \mathbb{Z}) &= H_{2i-1}(W; \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Tor } H^{2i}(W; \mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the two long exact sequences become

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^k \oplus H^{2n-2i+1}(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^k \oplus \text{Tor } H^{2i}(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2i}(S^{2n-1}/G; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Tor } H^{2n-2i}(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2i+1}(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^k \oplus H^{2i+1}(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^k \oplus \text{Tor } H^{2n-2i}(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2n-2i}(S^{2n-1}/G; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Tor } H^{2i}(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2n-2i+1}(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0.$$

From the first exact sequence, we have

$$|\text{Tor } H^{2n-2i}(W; \mathbb{Z})| = |H^{2i+1}(W; \mathbb{Z})| \cdot |\text{coker } \phi^{2i}|.$$

From the second exact sequence, we have a short exact sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow H^{2i+1}(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Tor } H^{2n-2i}(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{im } \phi_{\text{Tor}}^{2n-2i} \rightarrow 0,$$

hence

$$|\text{Tor } H^{2n-2i}(W; \mathbb{Z})| = |H^{2i+1}(W; \mathbb{Z})| \cdot |\text{im } \phi_{\text{Tor}}^{2n-2i}|,$$

which implies the claim.  $\square$

That  $H^*(W; \mathbb{Q})$  is supported in even degrees holds for our hypothetical fillings if the singularity is terminal by Proposition 3.4 and that  $H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z})$  is supported in even degrees holds for cyclic or  $A, D, E$  type groups. A special case of Proposition 7.3 is when  $n$  is even and  $i = n/2$ . If  $|H^n(S^{2n-1}/G; \mathbb{Z})|$  is not a square, then we have  $|\text{im } \phi_{\text{Tor}}^n| \neq |\text{im } \phi^n|$ , i.e.  $H^n(W; \mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$ . If one can argue that  $\phi^{2i}, \phi^{2n-2i}$  are both surjective and  $H^{2i}(S^{2n-1}/G; \mathbb{Z}) = H^{2n-2i}(S^{2n-1}/G; \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$ ,<sup>7</sup> then we must have  $H^{2i}(W; \mathbb{Q}) = H^{2n-2i}(W; \mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$ . This is the argument for [63, Theorem 1.1]. More generally, we have

**Proposition 7.3.** *Under the same assumptions of Proposition 7.2. Suppose we have  $H^{2i}(S^{2n-1}/G; \mathbb{Z}) = H^{2n-2i}(S^{2n-1}/G; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/m$  where  $1 < i < n$ . Let  $p$  be a prime number that divides  $m$ . If we know that both  $\text{im } \phi^{2i}, \text{im } \phi^{2n-2i}$  contain elements which are non-zero modulo  $p$ , then  $H^{2i}(W; \mathbb{Z}), H^{2n-2i}(W; \mathbb{Z})$ , can not be torsion.*

*Proof.* Under the assumption, we know that  $\text{coker } \phi^{2i}, \text{coker } \phi^{2n-2i}$  can only be  $\mathbb{Z}/k$ , where  $k$  is a factor of  $m$  and is coprime  $p$ . If  $H^{2n-2i}(W; \mathbb{Z})$  is torsion, by Proposition 7.2, we know that  $|\text{im } \phi^{2n-2i}| = |\text{im } \phi_{\text{Tor}}^{2n-2i}| = |\text{coker } \phi^{2i}|$  is in the form of  $\mathbb{Z}/k$ , contradicting  $|\text{im } \phi^{2n-2i}| \cdot |\text{coker } \phi^{2n-2i}| = m$ .  $\square$

Finally, we recall the orbifold Hirzebruch signature theorem for orbifolds with isolated singularities following [5, 36]. Assume  $G \subset U(n)$ , such that  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  is an isolated singularity. For each  $g \in G \setminus \{\text{id}\}$ ,  $g$  can be diagonalized as  $\text{diag}(\theta_g^1, \dots, \theta_g^n)$  for  $0 < \theta_g^i < 2\pi$ . Then we define

$$L_{\mathbb{C}^n/G} := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\substack{g \in G \setminus \{\text{id}\} \\ g \neq -\text{id}}} \prod_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{i} \tan(\theta_g^i/2))^{-1} = \sum_{\substack{(g) \in \text{Conj}(G) \setminus \{(\text{id})\} \\ (g) \neq (-\text{id})}} \frac{1}{|C_g|} \prod_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{i} \tan(\theta_g^i/2))^{-1}.$$

Here  $\tan(\pi/2)$  is defined to be  $\infty$  (this also explains why we drop  $g = -\text{id}$ ). In particular, when  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2 = \{\text{id}, -\text{id}\}$ , we define  $L_{\mathbb{C}^n/G} = 0$ .

**Proposition 7.4** ([36]). *Assume  $X$  is  $4n$  dimensional oriented orbifold with only isolated singularities modelled on  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}/G_i$  with the complex orientation for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Then we have*

$$\sigma(X) = \int_X L(TX) + \sum_{i=1}^k L_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}/G_i}.$$

<sup>7</sup>The first equality always holds by Poincaré duality and the universal coefficient theorem.

**7.2. General results.** Before moving on the special cases of cyclic groups and finite subgroups of  $SU(2)$ , we first state some general results on the non-existence of exact fillings.

**Theorem 7.5** (Theorem J). *Assume  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  is an isolated terminal singularity with  $n$  odd and  $|\text{Conj}(G)|$  even, then the contact link  $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  has no exact filling.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 7.1, we have  $\dim H^*(W; \mathbb{Q})$  is odd, contradicting Proposition 3.4, i.e.  $\dim H^*(W; \mathbb{Q}) = |\text{Conj}(G)|$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 7.6** (Theorem L). *For any isolated terminal singularity  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  with  $G \not\subset SU(n)$ , we have  $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  has no Calabi-Yau filling, namely there is no strong filling  $W$  with  $c_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(W) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* If  $c_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(W) = 0$ , we have a global rational grading (which is canonical, as all Reeb orbits are of finite order in the homology class) for the symplectic cohomology for any Calabi-Yau filling  $W$ . In particular, the filtration in Proposition 3.3 is just the filtration from the global grading. As explained in [63, Remark 3.6], the argument of Proposition 3.4 applies,  $SH^*(W)$  is also zero as  $1 \in QH^*(W)$  is in the image of  $SH_+^*(W) \rightarrow QH^{*+1}(W)$ , where  $QH^*(W)$  is the quantum cohomology of  $W$ . In particular, we have  $H^*(W; \mathbb{Q})$  is supported in even degrees as well as in  $\{2 \text{age}(g)\}_{(g) \in \text{Conj}(G)}$ . However,  $G \subset SU(u)$  is equivalent to that  $\text{age}(g) \in \mathbb{N}$  for any  $g$ . Hence we arrive at a contradiction.  $\square$

**Remark 7.7.** *Having the Calabi-Yau condition makes the boundary rational grading a global rational grading, which puts stronger restrictions on the topology of the hypothetical filling. A lot of the proofs for non-existence of exact fillings below will prove the Calabi-Yau condition holds first. Indeed, all results on non-existence of exact fillings in this paper hold for non-existence of Calabi-Yau fillings, as Proposition 3.4 holds for Calabi-Yau fillings by [63, Remark 3.6].*

### 7.3. Cyclic groups.

**Theorem 7.8** (Theorem I). *Assume  $\mathbb{C}^n/(\mathbb{Z}/m)$  is an isolated terminal singularity. If  $c_1(S^{2n-1}/(\mathbb{Z}/m), \xi_{\text{std}}) \in \mathbb{Z}/m$  is not zero modulo a prime factor  $p$  of  $m$ , then  $(S^{2n-1}/(\mathbb{Z}/m), \xi_{\text{std}})$  is not exactly fillable. In particular, when  $m$  is a prime and  $\mathbb{Z}/m \subset U(n)$  is not contained in  $SU(n)$ , then  $(S^{2n-1}/(\mathbb{Z}/m), \xi_{\text{std}})$  is not exactly fillable.*

*Proof.* By Corollary 4.4, we have  $c_1(\xi_{\text{std}})^{n-1} \in \text{Tor } H^{2n-2}(W; \mathbb{Z})$  for any hypothetical exact filling  $W$ . By assumption, we have  $\text{im } \phi_{\text{Tor}}^{2n-2}$  contains element that is nonzero modulo  $p$ . If we write  $m = p^s a$  for  $(a, p) = 1$ , we have  $p^s$  divides  $|\text{im } \phi_{\text{Tor}}^{2n-2}|$ . On the other hand,  $p$  does not divide  $|\text{coker } \phi^2|$ , we get a contradiction by Proposition 7.2.  $\square$

By the same argument, one can generalize Theorem 7.8 to other groups and other Chern classes using the cup product length estimate in Corollary 4.4. We will not pursue the precise statement in this paper.

According to [49], isolated terminal singularities in complex dimension 3 are either cyclic quotient singularities or cDV singularities. Moreover, terminal cyclic quotient singularities in complex dimension 3 are completely classified by [44]. Namely,  $\mathbb{C}^3/(\mathbb{Z}/m)$  is terminal iff the generator acts by  $\text{diag}(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}, e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{m}}, e^{\frac{2a\pi i}{m}})$ , where  $a$  is coprime to  $m$ . Then Theorem F is a corollary of Theorem 7.8.

**7.3.1. Cyclic actions with the same weight.** We first consider the  $\mathbb{Z}/k$  action on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  sending the generator to  $\text{diag}(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}}, \dots, e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}})$ . Then the quotient singularity is terminal if and only if  $n > k$ , and the contact link is the lens space  $(L(k; 1, \dots, 1), \xi_{\text{std}})$ .

**Theorem 7.9** (Theorem H). *Assume  $n > k$  and there is no prime number  $p$  such that  $n = p^s, k = p^t$ , then the lens space  $(L(k; 1, \dots, 1), \xi_{\text{std}})$  is not exactly fillable.*

*Proof.* Assume  $W$  is an exact filling. First by Proposition 3.4, we have  $\dim H^*(W; \mathbb{Q}) = k$ . By Proposition 4.3 and Remark 3.5, there exists an element of  $a \in \oplus_{* > 0} H^*(W; \mathbb{Q})$  such that  $a^{n-1} \neq 0$ , such  $a$  corresponds to  $x_{(1)}[-1]$  in a non-canonical isomorphism  $H^*(W; \mathbb{Q}) = \oplus_{i=0}^{k-1} \langle x_{(i)}[-1] \rangle$ . We define  $|a|_L$  to be the minimal grading of the components of  $a$  in  $H^*(W; \mathbb{Q})$  and formally write  $|0|_L = -\infty$ . Since  $|a|_L \geq 2$ , we have  $|a^{i+1}|_L > |a^i|_L$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k-2$ . Therefore  $H^*(W; \mathbb{Q})$  is spanned by 1 and  $a^i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$  and is supported in degree 0 and  $|a^i|_L$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ . Therefore there exist classes of pure degree  $\alpha_i$  (possibly with duplicates) for  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ , such that  $\alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_{k-1} \neq 0$ . We may assume  $|\alpha_1| \leq \dots \leq |\alpha_k|$ , then  $\{\alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq k-1}$  are non-zero and with increasing degrees. As a consequence, their degrees should be the support of  $H^*(W; \mathbb{Q})$  minus 0. However, in order to have the symmetry in Proposition 7.1, we must have  $|\alpha_1| = \dots = |\alpha_{k-1}|$ . Hence  $H^*(W; \mathbb{Q})$  must be supported in degree  $i \frac{2n}{k}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq k-1$ . As a consequence, we would have a contradiction if  $k$  does not divide  $n$ . We will assume  $k|n$  from now on.

If  $k \neq p^t$  for a prime number  $p$ . There exists primes  $p, q$  such that  $p, q$  divide  $k$ . We can write  $n = ap^c q^d$  and  $k = ep^f q^g$  with  $a, e$  coprime to  $p$  and  $q$  and  $c \geq f \geq 1, d \geq g \geq 1$ . By [63, Proposition 3.2], we have  $c_{p^c}(\xi_{\text{std}}), c_{n-p^c}(\xi_{\text{std}}) \neq 0 \pmod p$  and  $c_{q^d}(\xi_{\text{std}}), c_{n-q^d}(\xi_{\text{std}}) \neq 0 \pmod q$ . Then Proposition 7.3 implies that  $H^{2p^c}(W; \mathbb{Q})$  and  $H^{2q^d}(W; \mathbb{Q})$  are not zero. As a consequence, we have  $p^c, q^d$  is divisible by  $n/k = \frac{a}{e} p^{c-f} q^{d-g}$ . But this is only possible when  $a = e, c = f, d = g$ , i.e.  $n = k$ . Therefore we reach at a contradiction.

Now assume  $k = p^t$  for a prime number, but  $n$  is not in the form of  $p^s$ . As a consequence, we have  $n = ap^s$  for  $s \geq t$  and  $a \geq 2$  coprime to  $p$ . By [63, Proposition 3.2], we have  $c_{p^s}(\xi_{\text{std}}), c_{n-p^s}(\xi_{\text{std}}) \neq 0 \pmod p$ . Then Proposition 7.3 implies that  $H^{2p^s}(W; \mathbb{Q})$  is not zero. As a consequence, we have  $p^s$  is divisible by  $n/k = ap^{s-t}$ , contradicting that  $a$  is coprime to  $p$ . □

### 7.3.2. $\mathbb{Z}/3$ actions.

**Theorem 7.10** (Theorem G part I). *For any isolated terminal quotient singularity  $\mathbb{C}^n/(\mathbb{Z}/3)$ , which is not  $\mathbb{C}^{3^s}/(\mathbb{Z}/3)$  from the action of the same weight, the contact link  $(S^{2n-1}/(\mathbb{Z}/3), \xi_{\text{std}})$  is not exactly fillable.*

*Proof.* The  $\mathbb{Z}/3$  action on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with an isolated singularity is determined by the weights of a generator. Namely an integer  $0 \leq m \leq n$ , such that the generator acts by  $\text{diag}(\underbrace{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}, \dots, e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}}_m, \underbrace{e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}}, \dots, e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}}}_{n-m})$ . By possibly

choosing the other non-trivial element as the generator of  $\mathbb{Z}/3$ , we may assume  $m \leq n-m$ . Moreover, being terminal implies that  $n \geq 3$ .

**Case 1:**  $n - 2m \neq 0$ . We assume  $(m, n - 2m) = a3^s$  for  $(a, 3) = 1$ .

- (1) If  $3^{s+1}$  does not divide  $n - 2m$ . Then by [63, Proposition 3.2], we have  $c_{3^s}(\xi_{\text{std}}) \neq 0$ . Now since  $3^s$  divides  $n$ , we have  $c_{\frac{n-3^s}{3^s}}(\xi_{\text{std}}) \neq 0$ . Note that  $\text{md}(\mathbb{C}^n/(\mathbb{Z}/3)) = \frac{n+m}{3} - 1$ , hence Corollary 4.4 implies that

$$\text{cl}(W) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{\frac{2n}{3} - \frac{m}{3}}{\frac{n}{3} + \frac{m}{3}} \right\rfloor \leq 1.$$

Now note that  $n/3^s = (n - 2m)/3^s + 2m/3^s \geq 3$ , we have  $c_{\frac{n-3^s}{3^s}}(\xi_{\text{std}}) \in \text{Tor}$ . Therefore  $\text{im } \phi_{\text{Tor}}^{2n-2 \cdot 3^s} = \mathbb{Z}/3$ , while  $\text{coker } \phi^{2 \cdot 3^s} = 0$ , contradicting Proposition 7.2.

- (2) If  $3^{s+1}$  divides  $n - 2m$ . We write  $n - 2m = b3^t$  for  $t > s$  and  $(b, 3) = 1$ . Then by [63, Proposition 3.2], we have  $c_{3^t}(\xi_{\text{std}}) \neq 0$  and  $c_{2 \cdot 3^s}(\xi_{\text{std}}) \neq 0$ . Since  $3^t$  divides  $n - 2m$ , we have  $\text{coker } \phi^{2n-4m} = 0$ . Similarly, we have  $\text{coker } \phi^{4m} = 0$ . Then by Proposition 7.2,  $H^*(W; \mathbb{Q})$  must be supported in degree  $0, 4m, 2n - 4m$ . In particular, we have  $c_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(W) = 0$ , i.e. we have a global rational grading. Therefore we must have

$$\{4m, 2n - 4m\} = \left\{ \frac{4n}{3} - \frac{2m}{3}, \frac{2m}{3} + \frac{2n}{3} \right\},$$

which implies that  $7m = 2n$  or  $5m = 2n$ . In both cases, we have  $m \geq 2$  is an even number

- (a) If  $7m = 2n$ , we can write  $7m = 2n = 14r$  for an integer  $r \geq 1$ . Since  $m = 2r$ , we have  $2 \cdot 3^s$  divides  $m$ . Then from  $c_{2 \cdot 3^s}(\xi_{\text{std}}) \neq 0$ , we have  $\phi^{2m}$  is surjective. From the discussion above, we have  $\phi^{2n-4m}$  is surjective, hence  $\phi^{2n-2m}$  is also surjective. In view of Proposition 7.3, we must have  $H^{2m}(W; \mathbb{Q}) = H^{2n-2m}(W; \mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$ , contradicting with that  $H^*(W; \mathbb{Q})$  must be supported in degree  $0, 4m, 2n - 4m$ .
- (b) If  $5m = 2n$ , we can write  $5m = 2n = 10r$  for an integer  $r \geq 1$ . Then  $n - 2m = r$  and  $m = 2r$ , contradicting with  $(n - 2m, m) = a3^s$  and  $3^{s+1}$  divides  $n - 2m$ .

**Case 2:**  $n = 2m$ . By Proposition 7.2, we have  $\dim H^n(W; \mathbb{Q}) \geq 1$ . In this case, the total Chern class of  $(S^{2n-1}/(\mathbb{Z}/3), \xi_{\text{std}})$  is  $(1 - u^2)^m \pmod{3}$ . Hence if  $m$  is not  $3^k$  or  $2 \cdot 3^k$ , there exists  $i < m$  such that  $c_i(\xi_{\text{std}}), c_{n-i}(\xi_{\text{std}}) \neq 0$  by [63, Proposition 3.2]. Therefore by Proposition 7.3, they have no exact filling.

- (1) When  $m = 3^k$ , by Corollary 4.5, the signature of  $W$  is 0. Then by the same argument of Theorem 6.1 and Proposition 7.4, we have

$$\frac{16}{3} \cdot \frac{2^{2m}(2^{2m-1} - 1)}{(2m)!} B_m + \frac{2^{2m}(2^{2m-1} - 1)}{(2m)!} B_m \int_X c_m^2(W) = L_{\mathbb{C}^n/(\mathbb{Z}/3)} = \frac{2}{3^{m+1}},$$

where  $X$  is a closed symplectic manifold glued from  $W$  and a symplectic cap. Therefore we have

$$3^m \cdot 2^{2m+3}(2^{2m-1} - 1)N_m + 3^{m+1} \cdot 2^{2m-1}(2^{2m-1} - 1)N_m \int_X c_m^2(W) = 2 \cdot (2m)! D_m. \quad (7.1)$$

Since  $3c_m(W)$  lies in the image of  $H^{2m}(W, \partial W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2m}(W; \mathbb{Z})$ , we have  $3 \int_X c_m^2(W) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Now by Lemma A.6,  $2^{2m-2}$  divide  $(2m)!$  if and only if  $2m$  when written in the 2-adic representation has at most 2 non-zero digits. In order to avoid a contradiction, we must have  $3^k = 2^s + 1$ , which implies  $k = 1, s = 1$  or  $k = 2, s = 3$ .

- (a) When  $k = 1$ , (7.1) is

$$3^3 \cdot 2^9 \cdot 31 + 3^4 \cdot 2^5 \cdot 31 \int_X c_3^2(W) = 2 \cdot 6! \cdot 21.$$

In particular,  $3 \int_X c_3^2(W)$  is not an integer as 31 does not divide the right hand side, contradiction.

- (b) When  $k = 2$ , (7.1) is

$$\left( 3^9 \cdot 2^{21} \cdot (2^{17} - 1) + 3^{10} \cdot 2^{17} \cdot (2^{17} - 1) \int_X c_9^2(W) \right) \cdot 43867 = 2 \cdot (18)! \cdot 399.$$

We get a contradiction, as 43867 is a prime number, which does not divide the right hand side.



(2) When  $m = 2 \cdot 3^k$ , we have

$$\frac{16}{3} \cdot \frac{2^{2m}(2^{2m-1} - 1)}{(2m)!} B_m + \left( -\frac{2^{2m}(2^{2m-1} - 1)}{(2m)!} B_m + \frac{2^{2m+1}(2^{m-1} - 1)^2}{m!m!} B_{m/2}^2 \right) \int_X c_m^2(W) = \frac{2}{3^{m+1}}$$

Hence by the same argument above of looking at the factor of 2, we have a contradiction if  $k > 2$ .

(a) When  $k = 1$ , we have

$$3^6 \cdot 2^{15} \cdot (2^{11} - 1) \cdot 691 \cdot 21^2 + 3^7 \cdot 2^{11} \left( -(2^{11} - 1) \cdot 691 \cdot 21^2 + (2^5 - 1)^2 \binom{12}{6} \cdot 1365 \right) \int_X c_6^2(W) = 2(12!) \cdot 1365 \cdot 21^2.$$

Note that  $3 \int_X c_6^2(W) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . As 3 divides 1365 and  $\binom{12}{6}$ , we have  $3^8$  divides the left hand side, while the right hand side only has  $3^7$  in the factorization, contradiction.

(b) When  $k = 2$ , i.e.  $m = 18$ , we have

$$\frac{16}{3} \cdot \frac{2^{36}(2^{35} - 1)}{(36)!} B_{18} + \left( -\frac{2^{36}(2^{35} - 1)}{(36)!} B_{18} + \frac{2^{37}(2^{17} - 1)^2}{18!18!} B_9^2 \right) \int_X c_{18}^2(W) = \frac{2}{3^{19}}.$$

That is

$$3^{18} 2^{39} (2^{35} - 1) N_{18} D_9^2 + 3^{19} \left( -2^{35} (2^{35} - 1) N_{18} D_9^2 + 2^{35} (2^{17} - 1)^2 \binom{36}{18} N_9^2 D_{18} \right) \int_X c_{18}^2(W) = 2 \cdot 36! D_{18} D_9^2.$$

We have  $D_9 = 399, D_{18} = 959595, N_9 = 43867, N_{18} = 26315271553053477373$ . Then we can write it as

$$2^{35} \cdot 3^{19} \cdot A \int_X c_{18}^2(W) = B,$$

where<sup>8</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} A &= -(2^{35} - 1) N_{18} D_9^2 + (2^{17} - 1)^2 \binom{36}{18} N_9^2 D_{18} \\ &= 143945095833471912023375935245554409 \\ &= 3^3 \cdot 7^3 \cdot 19^3 \cdot 31 \cdot 31307 \cdot 2911373 \cdot 9307691 \cdot 86165861 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B &= 2 \cdot 36! D_{18} D_9^2 - 3^{18} 2^{39} (2^{35} - 1) N_{18} D_9^2 \\ &= -30545195871712107035689349862759906189459781054063706112 \\ &= 2^{35} \cdot 3^{21} \cdot 7^2 \cdot 19^2 \cdot 31 \cdot 39177751 \cdot 3955872080978053464367 \end{aligned}$$

We see that  $3 \int_X c_{18}^2(W)$  is not an integer, contradiction. □

<sup>8</sup>The computations use [Full Precision Calculator](#) and [Number Factorizer](#).

7.3.3.  $\mathbb{Z}/4$  actions.

**Theorem 7.11** (Theorem G part II). *For any isolated terminal singularity  $\mathbb{C}^n/(\mathbb{Z}/4)$  and  $n$  is not a power of 2, then the contact link is not exactly fillable.*

*Proof.* The  $\mathbb{Z}/4$  action on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with an isolated singularity is determined by the weights of a generator. Namely an integer  $0 \leq m \leq n$ , such that the generator acts by  $\text{diag}(\underbrace{\mathbf{i}, \dots, \mathbf{i}}_m, \underbrace{-\mathbf{i}, \dots, -\mathbf{i}}_{n-m})$ . By possibly choosing the

other generator of  $\mathbb{Z}/4$ , we may assume  $m \leq n - m$ . Moreover, being terminal implies that  $n \geq 3$ . The total Chern class of  $(S^{2n-1}/(\mathbb{Z}/3), \xi_{\text{std}})$  is given by

$$(1 - u^2)^m (1 - u)^{n-2m} \pmod{4}.$$

By Theorem 7.5, we can assume  $n \geq 4$  is even. Now assume  $(m, n - 2m) = 2^s a$  for  $(2, a) = 1$ . The  $m = 0$  case is established in Theorem 7.9. We first assume that  $n - 2m > 0$ . Note that  $\text{md}(\mathbb{C}^n/(\mathbb{Z}/4)) = \frac{n}{4} + \frac{m}{2} - 1$ , by Corollary 4.4, we have

$$\text{cl}(W) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{\frac{3n}{4} - \frac{m}{2}}{\frac{n}{4} + \frac{m}{2}} \right\rfloor \leq 2$$

- (1) If  $m/2^s$  is even, then  $(1 - u^2)^m (1 - u)^{n-2m} = 1 + u^{2^s} + \text{h.o.t.} \pmod{2}$ . Now since  $2^s$  divides  $n$ , we have that  $c_{2^s}(\xi)$  and  $c_{2^s}^{n/2^s-1}(\xi) \neq 0, \pmod{2}$ . Since  $n/2^s = (n - 2m)/2^s + 2m/2^s \geq 1 + 4$ , we must have  $c_{2^s}^{n/2^s-1}(\xi) \in \text{Tor}$ . Therefore  $\text{im } \phi_{\text{Tor}}^{2n-2^s+1} = \mathbb{Z}/4$ . On the other hand, we have  $\text{coker } \phi^{2^s+1} = 0$ , we obtain a contradiction by Proposition 7.2.
- (2) If  $m/2^s$  is odd, and  $(n - 2m)/2^s$  can be divided by 4. Then  $(1 - u^2)^m (1 - u)^{n-2m} = 1 + u^{2^{s+1}} + \text{h.o.t.} \pmod{2}$ . Since  $2^{s+1}$  divides  $n - 2m$  and  $2^s$  divides  $m$ , we have that  $2^{s+1}$  divides  $n$ . We have that we have  $c_{2^{s+1}}(\xi)$  and  $c_{2^{s+2}}^{n/2^{s+1}-1}(\xi) \neq 0, \pmod{2}$ . We have  $(n - 2m)/2^{s+1} + 2m/2^{s+1} \geq 3$ , we are done as before.
- (3) If both  $m/2^s$  and  $(n - 2m)/2^s$  are odd. Then  $(1 - u^2)^m (1 - u)^{n-2m} = 1 + u^{2^s} + \text{h.o.t.} \pmod{2}$ . An obstruction can be derived similarly.
- (4) If both  $m/2^s$  and  $(n - 2m)/2^{s+1}$  are odd. Then we have

$$(1 - u^2)^m (1 - u)^{n-2m} = (1 - u^2)^{m+\frac{n}{2}-m} = (1 - u^2)^{\frac{n}{2}} \pmod{2}.$$

As  $n$  is not a power of 2, then we can derive a contradiction similarly.

When  $n - 2m$  is 0, it is covered in Theorem 7.12. □

7.3.4.  $\mathbb{Z}/m$  action of  $A_{m-1}$  type. A  $\mathbb{Z}/m$  action on  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$  of  $A_{m-1}$  type is given by sending a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}/m$  to  $\text{diag}(e^{\frac{2\pi\mathbf{i}}{m}}, e^{-\frac{2\pi\mathbf{i}}{m}}, \dots, e^{\frac{2\pi\mathbf{i}}{m}}, e^{-\frac{2\pi\mathbf{i}}{m}})$ . The associated quotient singularity is terminal if and only if  $n \geq 2$ . Moreover, we have  $\text{age}(g) = n$  for any  $g \neq \text{id} \in \mathbb{Z}/m$ .

**Theorem 7.12** (Theorem K part I). *Assume  $\mathbb{Z}/m$  ( $m \geq 3$ ) acts on  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$  of  $A_{m-1}$  type, then  $(S^{4n-1}/(\mathbb{Z}/m), \xi_{\text{std}})$  has no exact filling if one of the following holds.*

- (1)  $m \neq p^s$  for a prime number  $p$  and  $n > 2$ .
- (2)  $m = p^s$ , and  $n \neq 2p^r, p^r$  for a prime number  $p$ .

*Proof.* When  $m \neq p^s$ ,  $m$  is divisible by two different primes  $p, q$ . It is easy to check that  $\{p^r, 2p^r | r \in \mathbb{N}\} \cap \{q^r, 2q^r | r \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{1, 2\}$ . Therefore when  $n > 2$ , either we have  $n \neq p^r, 2p^r$  or we have  $n \neq q^r, 2q^r$ . W.L.O.G., we assume  $n \neq p^r, 2p^r$ . By [63, Proposition 3.2], there is  $s$  such that  $p^s | n$  and  $n/p^s > 2$ , such

that  $c_{2p^s}(\xi_{\text{std}}) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . As a consequence, we have  $\text{coker } \phi^{2p^s} = \mathbb{Z}/l$ , where  $l$  divides  $m$  and  $l$  is coprime to  $p$ . Then  $(c_{2p^s}(\xi_{\text{std}}))^{\frac{n-p^s}{p^s}} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . By Corollary 4.4, we have  $(c_{2p^s}(\xi_{\text{std}}))^{\frac{n-p^s}{p^s}} \in \text{Tor } H^{2n-2p^s}(W; \mathbb{Z})$ . As a consequence, we have  $\text{im } \phi_{\text{Tor}}^{2n-2p^s} = \mathbb{Z}/k$ , where  $k$  divides  $m$  and  $m/k$  is coprime to  $p$ . In particular, we have  $p$  divides  $k$ . Therefore, we get a contradiction by Proposition 7.2. When  $m = p^s$ , and  $n \neq 2p^r, p^r$  for a prime number  $p$ . A contradiction can be derived similarly.  $\square$

**7.4. ADE actions.** Let  $G$  be a finite subgroup of  $SU(2)$  throughout this subsection, i.e.  $G$  is of  $A_m(m \geq 1)$ ,  $D_m(m \geq 4)$ ,  $E_6, E_7, E_8$  types (of Dynkin diagrams). We will focus on  $D, E$  types, as the  $A_m$  type is the cyclic  $\mathbb{Z}/(m+1)$  action considered in Theorem 7.12. We are interested in the (non-)existence of exact fillings of  $(S^{4n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$ , where  $G$  acts on  $(\mathbb{C}^2)^n$  through the diagonal map  $SU(2) \rightarrow \oplus^n SU(2) \rightarrow SU(2n)$ .

**Proposition 7.13.** *For the diagonal action of  $G$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ , we have  $\text{age}(g) = n$  for any  $g \neq \text{id} \in G$ , in particular,  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}/G$  is terminal if and only if  $n \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* For  $g \neq \text{id} \in G$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , since  $g \in SU(2)$ , we have  $\text{age}(g) \in \mathbb{N}$ . As  $0 < \text{age}(g) < 2$ , we must have  $\text{age}(g) = 1$ . Then for the diagonal action of  $g$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ , it is clear that  $\text{age}(g) = n$ . The last claim follows from Proposition 2.12.  $\square$

**Remark 7.14.** *When  $n = 1$ ,  $\mathbb{C}^2/G$  is canonical. Moreover, the contact link  $(S^3/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  is exactly fillable by the plumbing of  $T^*S^2$  according to the corresponding Dynkin diagram.*

We gather some basic properties of groups of  $D, E$  types, see e.g. [21].

**Proposition 7.15.** *Let  $\mathbb{H} = \langle 1, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k} \rangle$  be the quaternion space. Then  $SU(2)$  is the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{H}$  and we have the following*

- (1) *The binary dihedral group of order  $4m \geq 8$ ,  $2D_{2m}$  (corresponds to Dynkin diagram  $D_{m+2}$ ), is generated  $a = e^{\frac{\pi \mathbf{i}}{m}}$  and  $x = \mathbf{j}$ . It has  $m + 3$  conjugacy classes:*

Conjugacy class	Order of the centralizer	Eigenvalues of the action on $\mathbb{C}^2$
(1)	$4m$	$1, 1$
(-1)	$4m$	$-1, -1$
( $x$ )	4	$e^{\frac{\pi \mathbf{i}}{2}}, e^{\frac{3\pi \mathbf{i}}{2}}$
( $a^i$ ) for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$	$2m$	$e^{\frac{i\pi \mathbf{i}}{m}}, e^{\frac{(2m-i)\pi \mathbf{i}}{m}}$
( $ax$ )	4	$e^{\frac{\pi \mathbf{i}}{2}}, e^{\frac{3\pi \mathbf{i}}{2}}$

Moreover, we have  $(g) = (g^{-1})$  when  $m$  is even. When  $m$  is odd, we have  $(g) = (g^{-1})$  except that  $(x^{-1}) = (ax)$ .

- (2) *The binary tetrahedral group of order 24,  $2T$  (corresponds to Dynkin diagram  $E_6$ ), consists of*

$$\pm 1, \pm \mathbf{i}, \pm \mathbf{j}, \pm \mathbf{k}, \frac{1}{2}(\pm 1 \pm \mathbf{i} \pm \mathbf{j} \pm \mathbf{k}).$$

*It has 7 conjugacy classes with the following properties.*

<i>Conjugacy class</i>	<i>Order of the centralizer</i>	<i>Eigenvalues of the action on <math>\mathbb{C}^2</math></i>
(1)	24	1, 1
(-1)	24	-1, -1
(i)	4	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}}, e^{\frac{3\pi i}{2}}$
$(a = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j} + \mathbf{k}))$	6	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{3}}, e^{\frac{5\pi i}{3}}$
$(b = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j} - \mathbf{k}))$	6	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{3}}, e^{\frac{5\pi i}{3}}$
$(c = \frac{1}{2}(-1 + \mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j} + \mathbf{k}))$	6	$e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}, e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}}$
$(d = \frac{1}{2}(-1 + \mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j} - \mathbf{k}))$	6	$e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}, e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}}$

And we have  $(g) = (g^{-1})$ , except for  $(a^{-1}) = (b)$  and  $(c^{-1}) = (d)$ .

(3) The binary octahedral group of order 48,  $2O$  (corresponds to Dynkin diagram  $E_7$ ), consists of  $2T$  and

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\pm 1 \pm \mathbf{i}), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\pm 1 \pm \mathbf{j}), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\pm 1 \pm \mathbf{k}), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\pm \mathbf{i} \pm \mathbf{j}), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\pm \mathbf{i} \pm \mathbf{k}), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\pm \mathbf{j} \pm \mathbf{k}).$$

It has 8 conjugacy classes:

<i>Conjugacy class</i>	<i>Order of the centralizer</i>	<i>Eigenvalues of the action on <math>\mathbb{C}^2</math></i>
(1)	48	1, 1
(-1)	48	-1, -1
(i)	8	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}}, e^{\frac{3\pi i}{2}}$
$(\frac{1}{2}(1 + \mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j} + \mathbf{k}))$	6	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{3}}, e^{\frac{5\pi i}{3}}$
$(\frac{1}{2}(-1 + \mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j} + \mathbf{k}))$	6	$e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}, e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}}$
$(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 + \mathbf{i}))$	8	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}}, e^{\frac{7\pi i}{4}}$
$(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-1 + \mathbf{i}))$	8	$e^{\frac{3\pi i}{4}}, e^{\frac{5\pi i}{4}}$
$(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j}))$	4	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}}, e^{\frac{3\pi i}{2}}$

Moreover, we have  $(g) = (g^{-1})$ .

(4) The binary icosahedral group of order 120,  $2I$  (corresponds to Dynkin diagram  $E_8$ ), consists of  $2T$  and 96 quaternions in the form of

$$\frac{1}{2}(\pm 0 \pm \mathbf{i} \pm \phi^- \mathbf{j} \pm \phi^+ \mathbf{k}),$$

formed from the 12 even permutations of the coefficients  $0, 1, \phi^-, \phi^+$ , where  $\phi^+ = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$  and  $\phi^- = \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$ . There are 9 conjugacy classes, namely,

Conjugacy class	Order of the centralizer	Eigenvalues of the action on $\mathbb{C}^2$
(1)	120	1, 1
(-1)	120	-1, -1
(i)	4	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}}, e^{\frac{3\pi i}{2}}$
$(\frac{1}{2}(1 + \mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j} + \mathbf{k}))$	6	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{3}}, e^{\frac{5\pi i}{3}}$
$(\frac{1}{2}(-1 + \mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j} + \mathbf{k}))$	6	$e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}, e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}}$
$(-\frac{1}{2}(\phi^+ + \phi^- \mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j}))$	10	$e^{\frac{4\pi i}{5}}, e^{\frac{6\pi i}{5}}$
$(-\frac{1}{2}(\phi^- + \mathbf{j} + \phi^+ \mathbf{k}))$	10	$e^{\frac{3\pi i}{5}}, e^{\frac{7\pi i}{5}}$
$(\frac{1}{2}(\phi^+ + \phi^- \mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j}))$	10	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{5}}, e^{\frac{11\pi i}{5}}$
$(\frac{1}{2}(\phi^- + \mathbf{j} + \phi^+ \mathbf{k}))$	10	$e^{\frac{2\pi i}{5}}, e^{\frac{8\pi i}{5}}$

We also have  $(g) = (g^{-1})$ .

*Proof.* Direct computation. □

**Theorem 7.16** (Theorem K part II). *We have the following:*

- (1) If  $G = 2D_{2m}$  for  $m \geq 2$ , then  $(S^{4n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  has no exact filling when  $n > 2$  and  $m, n$  are not powers of 2 simultaneously.
- (2) If  $G = 2T$ , then  $(S^{4n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  has no exact filling when  $n > 2$ .
- (3) If  $G = 2O$ , then  $(S^{4n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  has no exact filling when  $n > 2$ .
- (4) If  $G = 2I$ , then  $(S^{4n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  has no exact filling when  $n > 2$ .

*Proof.* In view of Corollary 4.4 and the proof of Theorem 7.12, it suffices to find  $i < \frac{n}{2}$  such that  $i$  divides  $n$  and  $c_{2i}(\xi_{\text{std}}) \neq 0 \pmod p$ , where  $p$  is prime factor of  $|G|$ .

**Proof of (1):** By Proposition 2.8, the total Chern class of the contact boundary is given by

$$(1 - v)^n \pmod{\langle 4m, v^n \rangle}.$$

If  $m$  is not a power of 2, then there is an odd prime  $p$  divides  $m$ . As  $n$  not be in the form of  $2^s$  or  $p^k$  (or  $2p^k$ ) simultaneously, there must be  $i < \frac{n}{2}$  such that  $i$  divides  $n$  and  $c_{2i}(S^{4n-1}/(2D_{2m}), \xi_{\text{std}}) \neq 0 \pmod p$  or  $\neq 0 \pmod 2$ . Now we assume  $m = 2^k \geq 2$ . If  $n$  is not a power of 2, then there must be  $i < \frac{n}{2}$  such that  $c_{2i}(S^{4n-1}/(2D_{2m}), \xi_{\text{std}}), c_{2n-2i}(S^{4n-1}/(2D_{2m}), \xi_{\text{std}}) \neq 0 \pmod 2$  and a contradiction arises similarly.

**Proof of (2):** If  $n$  is not a power of 2 and  $i$ th digit of the 2-adic representation of  $n$  is the lowest digit with non-zero value. We have  $c_{2i}(\xi_{\text{std}}) \neq 0 \pmod 2$ . If  $n = 2^k$  but  $k \geq 2$ , then we have  $c_2(\xi_{\text{std}}) \neq 0 \pmod 3$ .

**Proof of (3) and (4):** The  $n \geq 3$  case is similar to case (2).

**Alternative proof for (1) for even  $m$ , (3), and (4):** By Proposition 7.15 that  $(g) = (g^{-1})$  for  $G = 2D_{2m}$  for even  $m$ ,  $2O$  or  $2I$ , Corollary 4.5 implies that  $H^*(W; \mathbb{Q})$  is supported in degree 0,  $2n$  for a hypothetical exact filling  $W$ , for otherwise the intersection form can not be positive. Then the argument above implies a contradiction by Proposition 7.3. □

The argument used in Theorems 7.12 and 7.16 can be abstractized as follows.

**Theorem 7.17.** *Assume  $G$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with isolated singularity for  $n \geq 3$ , such that  $\text{age}(g) = \frac{n}{2}$  for any  $g \neq \text{id} \in G$ . Hence  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  is terminal by Proposition 2.12. Then  $(S^{2n-1}/G, \xi_{\text{std}})$  has no exact filling if the following conditions are met.*

- (1) There exists  $i$ , which divides  $n$  and  $n/i \geq 2$ , such that  $\bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} H^{2ij}(BG; \mathbb{Z}) = H^*(B\mathbb{Z}/m; \mathbb{Z})$  as a ring.
- (2)  $c_i(\xi_{\text{std}}) \neq 0 \pmod p$  for some prime factor of  $m$ .

**Remark 7.18.** When  $n = 2$ , take  $G = 2O$  as an example, since  $c_2(\xi_{\text{std}}) = 2 \pmod{48}$ , we know that  $24c_2(W)$  is represented by a class in  $H^4(W, \partial W, \mathbb{Z})$ . As a consequence, we have  $24 \int_X c_2^2(W) \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $X$  is the closed symplectic manifold glued from  $W$  and a cap and the meaning of integration is the same as in §6. We have by the same argument in Theorem 6.1

$$(16 - \frac{1}{24}) \cdot 16 \cdot 7 \cdot B_2 + 16(-7B_2 + 12B_1^2) \int_X c_2^2(W) = 4!(7 + L_{\mathbb{C}^4/2O}).$$

Here we compute using Proposition 7.15

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\mathbb{C}^4/2O} &= \frac{1}{8}(\mathbf{i} \tan \frac{\pi}{4})^{-2}(\mathbf{i} \tan \frac{3\pi}{4})^{-2} + \frac{1}{6}(\mathbf{i} \tan \frac{\pi}{6})^{-2}(\mathbf{i} \tan \frac{5\pi}{6})^{-2} + \frac{1}{6}(\mathbf{i} \tan \frac{\pi}{3})^{-2}(\mathbf{i} \tan \frac{2\pi}{3})^{-2} + \\ &\frac{1}{8}(\mathbf{i} \tan \frac{\pi}{8})^{-2}(\mathbf{i} \tan \frac{7\pi}{8})^{-2} + \frac{1}{8}(\mathbf{i} \tan \frac{3\pi}{8})^{-2}(\mathbf{i} \tan \frac{5\pi}{8})^{-2} + \frac{1}{4}(\mathbf{i} \tan \frac{\pi}{4})^{-2}(\mathbf{i} \tan \frac{3\pi}{4})^{-2} \\ &= \frac{1}{8} + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{54} + \frac{(1 + \sqrt{2})^4}{8} + \frac{(\sqrt{2} - 1)^4}{8} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1327}{216} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have  $\int_X c_2^2(W) = 1919/12$ , which leads to no contradiction. When  $G = 2I$ , we can similarly compute that  $\int_X c_2^2(W) = 7349/30$ . When  $G = 2T$  and assume  $H^*(W; \mathbb{Q})$  is supported in degree 0 and 4, we can compute that  $\int_X c_2^2(W) = 281/6$ . In particular, we can not derive a contradiction in either case. The same situation appears for  $2D_{2m}$  with even  $m$  using the cotangent sum formula in [8].

## 8. ORBIFOLD FILLINGS OF QUOTIENT SINGULARITY LINKS

### 8.1. Uniqueness of exact orbifold fillings for real projective space.

**Proposition 8.1.** Let  $M$  be an exact cobordism from  $(S^{2n-1}, \xi_{\text{std}})$  to itself for  $n \geq 2$ , then  $M$  is an  $h$ -cobordism.

*Proof.* Since  $M$  glued with  $\mathbb{D}^{2n}$  is an exact filling of  $(S^{2n-1}, \xi_{\text{std}})$ , which is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{D}^{2n}$ . Hence we have  $\pi_1(M) = 0$  and  $H^*(M, \partial_- M; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  by the tautological long exact sequence of pairs and excision. Then by Lefschetz duality and universal coefficient theorem, we have  $H_*(M, \partial_- M; \mathbb{Z}) = H_*(M, \partial_+ M; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . Then the Hurewicz theorem implies that  $M$  is an  $h$ -cobordism.  $\square$

**Theorem 8.2** (Theorem N). Let  $W$  be an exact orbifold filling of  $(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}, \xi_{\text{std}})$  for  $n \geq 3$  odd. Then  $W$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{D}^{2n}/(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $W$  be an exact orbifold filling, by [31, Theorem G], there is exactly one orbifold point modelled on  $\mathbb{C}^n/(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ . Then, outside a small neighborhood of the singularity, we get an exact cobordism  $M$  with both concave boundary  $\partial_- M$  and convex boundary  $\partial_+ M$  are  $(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}, \xi_{\text{std}})$ . By the same argument of Proposition 5.1, we know that  $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(W)$  is surjective. Since  $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{C}^n/(\mathbb{Z}/2))$  is an isomorphism, by van-Kampen theorem, we have  $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(W) = \pi_1(M)$ . Therefore we have  $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(W) = \pi_1(M) = 0$  or  $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(W) = \pi_1(M) = \mathbb{Z}/2$ . If  $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(W) = \pi_1(M) = 0$ , then  $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$  has no torsion. Since  $c_1(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}, \xi_{\text{std}}) \neq 0$  when  $n$  is odd, we must have  $\text{rank } H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}) \geq 1$ . As a consequence, we have  $\dim H_{CR}^*(W; \mathbb{Q}) \geq 3$  if the product is well-defined on symplectic cohomology of exact orbifolds with expected properties, which then leads to a contradiction in view of Remark 3.6. In the special case, we can stack several copies of  $M$  together. Hence we may assume  $\text{rank } H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}) \geq 3$ . Now using the homotopy argument in [31, §4.2], we know that classes in  $H^2(M; \mathbb{Q})$  will be mapped to zero in  $SH^*(W; \mathbb{Q})$ , as the moduli spaces do not

involve any constant curves with negative virtual dimension<sup>9</sup>. This will contradict with Proposition 3.3 and [31, Theorem A].

When  $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(W) = \pi_1(M) = \mathbb{Z}/2$ . In this case  $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(W) = \pi_1(M) = \mathbb{Z}/2$  is an isomorphism. We claim that  $\mathbb{Z}/2 = \pi_1(\partial_- M) \rightarrow \pi_1(W)$  is also an isomorphism. For otherwise, the map is zero. We can consider the universal cover of  $M$ , which an exact cobordism with convex boundary  $(S^{2n-1}, \xi_{\text{std}})$  and concave end  $(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}, \xi_{\text{std}}) \sqcup (\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}, \xi_{\text{std}})$ . This would yield an exact orbifold filling of  $(S^{2n-1}, \xi_{\text{std}})$  with two orbifold points, contradicting [31, Theorem C]. Now since  $\mathbb{Z}/2 = \pi_1(\partial_- M) \rightarrow \pi_1(W)$  is an isomorphism, the universal cover  $\widetilde{M}$  is an  $h$ -cobordism by Proposition 8.1. In particular,  $M$  itself an  $h$ -cobordism. Since the Whitehead group of  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  is trivial,  $M$  is an  $s$ -cobordism, hence a trivial cobordism as  $n \geq 3$ . As a consequence, we have  $W$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{D}^n/(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ .  $\square$

**8.2. More uniqueness results.** Throughout this subsection, we assume the full package of the orbifold symplectic cohomology for exact orbifold fillings is well-defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Here, by full package, we mean, in addition to the group structure in [31], the product and coproduct structures and many classical properties of symplectic cohomology (on the level of [19, 50]) are defined for the orbifold symplectic cohomology. We plan to carry out the construction using polyfolds in a future work and hence lift the assumption.

**Theorem 8.3** (Theorem O). *Let  $p$  be an odd prime. Assume  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with weights*

$$\underbrace{\left( e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p}}, \dots, e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p}} \right)}_{n_1}, \dots, \underbrace{\left( e^{\frac{2(p-1)\pi i}{p}}, \dots, e^{\frac{2(p-1)\pi i}{p}} \right)}_{n_{p-1}}$$

such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} n_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and the quotient singularity  $\mathbb{C}^n/(\mathbb{Z}/p)$  is terminal.

- (1) Any exact orbifold filling of the contact link as an open orbifold is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^n/(\mathbb{Z}/p)$ , where the  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  action is given by weights  $\underbrace{\left( e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p}}, \dots, e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p}} \right)}_{m_1}, \dots, \underbrace{\left( e^{\frac{2(p-1)\pi i}{p}}, \dots, e^{\frac{2(p-1)\pi i}{p}} \right)}_{m_{p-1}}$  subject to the following

constraints

$$\prod_{i=1}^{p-1} (1 + iu)^{m_i} = \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} (1 + iu)^{n_i}, \quad \text{mod } \langle p, u^n \rangle,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} m_i \left\{ \frac{ik}{p} \right\} = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} n_i \left\{ \frac{ik}{p} \right\}, \quad 0 < k < p.$$

Here  $\{\cdot\}$  is the non-negative residue modulo 1. More generally,  $S^{2n-1}/(\mathbb{Z}/p)_{n_1, \dots, n_{p-1}}$  is  $h$ -cobordant to  $S^{2n-1}/(\mathbb{Z}/p)_{m_1, \dots, m_{p-1}}$ .

- (2) If  $n_2 = \dots = n_{p-1} = 0$ , i.e.  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  acts by same weights, then exact orbifold filling of the contact link as an open orbifold is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^n/(\mathbb{Z}/p)$  with the same weight.
- (3) Exact orbifold fillings of the contact link of any terminal quotient singularity  $\mathbb{C}^3/(\mathbb{Z}/p)$  for a prime  $p$  have a unique diffeomorphism type as open orbifolds.

<sup>9</sup>This follows from that there is no trajectory from critical points representing  $H^2(M; \mathbb{Q})$  to twisted sectors at the singularity. On the contrary, the argument does not work for the non-trivial twisted sector on  $\mathbb{C}^n/(\mathbb{Z}/2) \subset W$  as we lose the restriction of homotopy classes unlike the situation in [31, Theorem B].

*Proof.* We apply Proposition 3.4 for  $R = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ . Then for any exact orbifold filling, there is an integral closed cochain of the positive symplectic cohomology, which is sent to  $p^m$  under the map  $SH^*(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{*+1}(W; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^0(S^{2n-1}/(\mathbb{Z}/p); \mathbb{Z})$ . Then by [31, Proposition 3.26], the isotropy group  $G$  of an orbifold singularity of  $W$  must have order a power of  $p$ . By Remark 2.2, any abelian subgroup of  $G$  is cyclic. Then by [32, Chapter 5, Theorem 4.10],  $G$  itself must be cyclic. Then in view of Remark 3.6, we must have  $G = \mathbb{Z}/p$  and there is precisely one orbifold point. Let  $M$  be the exact cobordism when we delete the neighborhood  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  of the singularity. Similar to the case in Theorem 8.2, we must have  $M$  is an  $h$ -cobordism as  $p$  is a prime and  $c_1(\xi_{\text{std}}) \neq 0$  by that  $\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} in_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Using the  $h$ -cobordism, we can identify homotopy classes on  $\partial W$ , to homotopy classes of  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$ . Using the rational grading, which is global here, we obtain the second constraint. The first relation follows from the constraint on the total Chern class.

For (2), the minimum of the minimum positive half grading, namely  $\frac{n}{p}$ , is reached, this only happens when  $m_i = 0$  except for one  $i$ . It is clear that we have  $m_1 \neq 0$ , hence the singularity is the one we start with.

For (3), since the singularity we start with given by weights  $(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p}}, e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{p}}, e^{\frac{2a\pi i}{p}})$  for  $1 \leq a \leq p-1$ . The second constraint implies the quotient singularity in the given filling is also terminal, hence the singularity is given by weights  $(e^{\frac{2b\pi i}{p}}, e^{-\frac{2b\pi i}{p}}, e^{\frac{2c\pi i}{p}})$ . From the second constraint for  $k=1$ , we have  $a=c$ . The first constraint then is equivalent to  $b^2 = 1 \pmod{p}$ . Hence  $b = 1$  or  $-1$ . In both cases the singularity is isomorphic to the singularity we start with.  $\square$

**Remark 8.4.** *The constraints in Theorem 8.3 will not determine a unique solution in general up to automorphisms of  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  or changes of coordinates on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . For example, when  $p=7$ ,  $n_1 = n_6 = n_2 = n_5 = 7, n_3 = n_4 = 0$ , except for the obvious solution,  $m_1 = m_6 = m_3 = m_4 = 0, m_2 = m_5 = 14$  is also a solution.*

#### APPENDIX A. BERNOULLI NUMBERS

Bernoulli numbers  $B_1, B_2, \dots$  can be defined as the coefficients which occur in the power series expansion

$$\frac{x}{\tanh x} = 1 + \frac{B_1}{2!}(2x)^2 - \frac{B_2}{4!}(2x)^4 + \frac{B_3}{6!}(2x)^6 - \dots$$

Hence they appear as coefficients in  $L$ -genus naturally. An alternative formula due to Worpitzky [62] in 1883 for the Bernoulli numbers is given by

$$B_n = (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{r=0}^{2n} \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{s=0}^r (-1)^s \binom{r}{s} s^{2n} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

One fundamental property of the Bernoulli numbers is the following theorem due to Clausen and Staudt back in 1840.

**Theorem A.1** (c.f. [42, Theorem B.3]).  *$(-1)^n B_n$  is congruent modulo 1 to  $\sum \frac{1}{p}$ , where the sum is over all primes  $p$  such that  $(p-1)|2n$ .*

An instant corollary of the theorem is that  $B_n$ , when written in the lowest fraction, is

$$\frac{N_n}{2D_n}, \text{ where } D_n = \prod_{\substack{\text{odd prime } p, \\ (p-1)|2n}} p.$$

The property of Bernoulli numbers we need is the following, whose proof is motivated from Worpitzky's proof of the Clausen-Staudt Theorem explained in [13].



**Lemma A.2.** *When  $n = 2^k > 8$ , we have  $N_n = 1 \pmod{64}$  and  $D_n = -1 \pmod{64}$ .*

*Proof.*  $D_n$  is the product of odd primes  $p$ , such that  $p - 1$  divides  $2^{k+1}$ . Hence the prime  $p$  is in the form  $2^m + 1$ , which starts with  $3, 5, 17, 257, \dots$ . Then the last claim follows from that  $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 17 = -1 \pmod{64}$ .

Then we will prove the first claim for  $k > 3$ . Note that we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_n &= -2D_n \cdot \sum_{r=0}^{2n} \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{s=0}^r (-1)^s \binom{r}{s} s^{2n} \\ &= -2D_n \cdot \sum_{r=0}^{2n} (-1)^r \frac{r!}{r+1} \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{s=0}^r (-1)^{r-s} \binom{r}{s} s^{2n} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

- (1) The  $r = 1$  term of (A.2) is  $D_n$ , which is  $-1 \pmod{64}$ .
- (2) The  $r = 2$  term of (A.2) is  $-2\frac{D_n}{3}(-2 + 2^{2n}) = 4\frac{D_n}{3} = 20 \pmod{64}$  as  $n > 8$ .
- (3) The  $r = 3$  term of (A.2) is

$$-D_n \frac{1}{2} (3 \cdot 2^{2n} - 3 - 3^{2n}). \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Note that  $3^{2n} = (9)^n = (81)^{2^{k-1}} = (33)^{2^{k-2}} = (65)^{2^{k-3}} = 1 \pmod{128}$  as  $k \geq 4$ , then (A.3) is  $-2 \pmod{64}$ .

- (4) The  $r = 4$  term of (A.2) is

$$-2\frac{D_n}{5}(-4 + 6 \cdot 2^{2n} - 4 \cdot 3^{2n} + 4^{2n}),$$

which modulo 64 is  $-16$  for  $k > 3$ .

- (5) The  $r = 5$  term of (A.2) is

$$-2\frac{D_n}{6}(-5 + 10 \cdot 2^{2n} - 10 \cdot 3^{2n} + 5 \cdot 4^{2n} - 5^{2n}). \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Since  $5^{2n} = (25)^n = (49)^{2^{k-1}} = (33)^{2^{k-2}} = 1 \pmod{32}$  for  $k \geq 3$ , (A.4) mod 64 is 16 when  $k > 3$ .

- (6) The  $r = 6$  term of (A.2) is

$$-2\frac{D_n}{7}(-6 + 15 \cdot 2^{2n} - 20 \cdot 3^{2n} + 15 \cdot 4^{2n} - 6 \cdot 5^{2n} + 6^{2n}),$$

which is  $0 \pmod{64}$ .

- (7) The  $r = 7$  term of (A.2) is

$$-2\frac{D_n}{8}(-7 + 21 \cdot 2^{2n} - 35 \cdot 3^{2n} + 35 \cdot 4^{2n} - 21 \cdot 5^{2n} + 7 \cdot 6^{2n} - 7^{2n}),$$

which modulo 64 is  $-16$  (which requires checking separately for  $k = 4$ ).

Now suppose  $r + 1 = p \geq 11$  is a prime number. Then

$$A_{2n, p-1} = \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} (-1)^s \binom{p-1}{s} s^{2n} = \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} s^{2n} \pmod{p}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

- (1) If  $p - 1$  does not divide  $2n$ , then  $p$  divides (A.5). Since  $\frac{A_{2n, p-1}}{(p-1)!}$  is an integer by [13, (2.2)] and  $2(p-1)!$  is divisible by 64, we know that the  $r = p - 1$  term of (A.2) is  $0 \pmod{64}$ .

- (2) If  $p - 1$  divides  $2n$ , then (A.5) is  $-1 \pmod{p}$ . Then this  $p$  appears in  $D_n$ . Note that  $p = 2^m + 1$  for  $m \geq 8$ . Hence we have

$$\sum_{s=0}^{2^m} (-1)^s \binom{2^m}{s} s^{2n} = - \sum_{s=1}^{2^m-1} \binom{2^m}{2s-1} (2s-1)^{2n} \pmod{64}.$$

Now note that  $(p - 2l)^{2n} = p^{2n} = 1 \pmod{64}$  as  $n \geq 2^{m-1} \geq 128$ . The above is  $-\sum_{s=1}^{2^m-1} \binom{2^m}{2s-1} = -2^{2^m-1} = 0 \pmod{64}$ . Therefore the  $r = p - 1$  term of (A.2) is  $0 \pmod{32}$ .

Now suppose  $r + 1 \geq 9$  is not a prime. We write  $r + 1 = 2^m q$  for an odd number  $q$ . By [13, (2.2)], we have  $\frac{1}{r!} \sum_{s=0}^r (-1)^{r-s} \binom{r}{s} s^{2n}$  is an integer. And  $(2^m q - 1)! / 2^{2^m q}$  is also an integer. Since  $(2^m - 1)! = 0 \pmod{2^{2^m-1-m}}$ , then  $(2^m q - 1)! / 2^{2^m q} = 0 \pmod{2^{2^m-1-2m}}$ . Therefore if  $m \geq 4$ , the  $r + 1 = 2^m q$  term of (A.2) is  $0 \pmod{64}$ . When  $m = 0$ , we have  $(q - 1)! / q = 0 \pmod{64}$  as  $q \geq 9$ . When  $m = 1$ , we have  $(2q - 1)! / (2q) = 0 \pmod{64}$  as  $2q - 1 \geq 9$ . When  $m = 2$ , we have  $(4q - 1)! / (4q) = 0 \pmod{64}$  as  $4q - 1 \geq 11$ . When  $m = 3$ , we have  $(8q - 1)! / (8q) = 0 \pmod{64}$  as  $8q - 1 \geq 23$ . Sum up all the cases above, we have the first claim holds for  $k \geq 4$ .  $\square$

**Remark A.3.** From *this list*, we have<sup>10</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} N_{16} &= 7709321041217, \\ N_{32} &= 1067838301478665298863854444979142647942017, \\ N_{64} &= 2677547077425480828869544055852823947792914595925517406 \\ &\quad 29978686063357792734863530145362663093519862048495908453718017. \end{aligned}$$

One can check that Lemma A.2 holds.

**Remark A.4.** By the same argument of Lemma A.2, one can show that  $N_{2^k} \pmod{2^l}$  stabilizes for any fixed  $l$  and  $k \gg 0$ .

**Lemma A.5.** For  $m = 2^k \geq 16$ , we have

$$\frac{(2m)!}{2^{2^m-1}} = 11 \pmod{64}, \quad \binom{2m}{m} = 6 \pmod{8}.$$

*Proof.* We first compute

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \cdot 3 &= 3 \pmod{64}, \\ 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 &= 41 \pmod{64}, \\ 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot \dots \cdot 13 \cdot 15 &= 17 \pmod{64}, \\ 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot \dots \cdot 29 \cdot 31 &= 33 \pmod{64}, \\ \prod_{i=1}^{32} (2i - 1) &= 1 \pmod{64}. \end{aligned}$$

$\frac{(2m)!}{2^{2^m-1}}$  is  $(2m)!$  with all factors of 2 taken out. When  $k = 4$ ,  $\frac{(2m)!}{2^{2^m-1}}$  is product of the first 4 terms, which is  $11 \pmod{64}$ . Note that for  $k \geq 5$

$$\frac{(2^{k+2})!}{2^{2^{k+2}-1}} = \frac{(2^{k+1})!}{2^{2^{k+1}-1}} \cdot \left( \prod_{i=1}^{32} (2i - 1) \right)^{2^{k-5}} = \dots = \frac{(32)!}{2^{31}} = 11 \pmod{64}.$$

<sup>10</sup>Note that  $N_k$  in our convention is the absolute value of the  $2k$ th term in the list

Note that we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \binom{2m}{m} = \frac{\frac{(2m)!}{2^{2m-1}}}{\frac{m!}{2^{m-1}} \frac{m!}{2^{m-1}}}. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

The previous computation shows that for  $k \geq 4$ , we have

$$\frac{(2m)!}{2^{2m-1}} = \frac{m!}{2^{m-1}} = 3 \pmod{4}.$$

Therefore we have  $(\text{A.6}) = 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $\binom{2m}{m} = 6 \pmod{8}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma A.6.** *Let  $p$  be a prime number and  $m = \sum_{i=0}^N a_i p^i$ , the number of factor  $p$  in  $m!$  is given by*

$$\sum_{i=1}^N a_i \cdot \frac{p^i - 1}{p - 1}$$

*Proof.* Note that there are precisely  $\sum_{i \geq j}^N a_i p^{i-j}$  many integers no larger than  $m$  which are divisible by  $p^j$ . It is clear that number of factor  $p$  in  $m!$  is given by

$$\sum_{j \leq 1}^N \sum_{i \geq j}^N a_i p^{i-j} = \sum_{i \geq 1}^N a_i \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} p^j = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \cdot \frac{p^i - 1}{p - 1}.$$

$\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Alberto Abbondandolo and Matthias Schwarz. On product structures in Floer homology of cotangent bundles. In *Global differential geometry*, volume 17 of *Springer Proc. Math.*, pages 491–521. Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.
- [2] Mohammed Abouzaid and Paul Seidel. An open string analogue of Viterbo functoriality. *Geom. Topol.*, 14(2):627–718, 2010.
- [3] Bahar Acu and Agustin Moreno. Planarity in higher-dimensional contact manifolds. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (6):4222–4258, 2022.
- [4] Alejandro Adem, Johann Leida, and Yongbin Ruan. *Orbifolds and stringy topology*, volume 171 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [5] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer. The index of elliptic operators. III. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 87:546–604, 1968.
- [6] Kilian Barth, Hansjörg Geiges, and Kai Zehmisch. The diffeomorphism type of symplectic fillings. *J. Symplectic Geom.*, 17(4):929–971, 2019.
- [7] Alexander Berglund and Jonas Bergström. Hirzebruch  $L$ -polynomials and multiple zeta values. *Math. Ann.*, 372(1-2):125–137, 2018.
- [8] Bruce C. Berndt and Boon Pin Yeap. Explicit evaluations and reciprocity theorems for finite trigonometric sums. *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, 29(3):358–385, 2002.
- [9] Mohan Bhupal. Open book decompositions of links of simple surface singularities. *Internat. J. Math.*, 20(12):1527–1545, 2009.
- [10] Frederic Bourgeois. *A Morse-Bott approach to contact homology*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2002. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Stanford University.
- [11] Frédéric Bourgeois and Alexandru Oancea. Symplectic homology, autonomous Hamiltonians, and Morse-Bott moduli spaces. *Duke Math. J.*, 146(1):71–174, 2009.
- [12] Frédéric Bourgeois and Alexandru Oancea. The Gysin exact sequence for  $S^1$ -equivariant symplectic homology. *J. Topol. Anal.*, 5(4):361–407, 2013.
- [13] L. Carlitz. The Staudt-Clausen theorem. *Math. Mag.*, 34:131–146, 1960/61.
- [14] Henri Cartan and Samuel Eilenberg. *Homological algebra*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1956.
- [15] Weimin Chen and Yongbin Ruan. Orbifold Gromov-Witten theory. In *Orbifolds in mathematics and physics (Madison, WI, 2001)*, volume 310 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 25–85. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.

- [16] Weimin Chen and Yongbin Ruan. A new cohomology theory of orbifold. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 248(1):1–31, 2004.
- [17] Kai Cieliebak, Nancy Hingston, and Alexandru Oancea. Loop coproduct in Morse and Floer homology. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.13168*, 2020.
- [18] Kai Cieliebak, Nancy Hingston, and Alexandru Oancea. Poincaré duality for loop spaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.13161*, 2020.
- [19] Kai Cieliebak and Alexandru Oancea. Symplectic homology and the Eilenberg-Steenrod axioms. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 18(4):1953–2130, 2018. Appendix written jointly with Peter Albers.
- [20] Kai Cieliebak and Alexandru Oancea. Multiplicative structures on cones and duality. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.13165*, 2020.
- [21] John H. Conway and Derek A. Smith. *On quaternions and octonions: their geometry, arithmetic, and symmetry*. A K Peters, Ltd., Natick, MA, 2003.
- [22] Sylvain Courte. AIM workshop - contact topology in higher dimensions - (may 21-25, 2012): Questions and open problems. [https://aimath.org/WWN/contacttop/notes\\_contactworkshop2012.pdf](https://aimath.org/WWN/contacttop/notes_contactworkshop2012.pdf), 2012.
- [23] Luis Diogo and Samuel T. Lisi. Symplectic homology of complements of smooth divisors. *J. Topol.*, 12(3):967–1030, 2019.
- [24] Tobias Ekholm and Alexandru Oancea. Symplectic and contact differential graded algebras. *Geom. Topol.*, 21(4):2161–2230, 2017.
- [25] Yakov Eliashberg, Sheel Ganatra, and Oleg Lazarev. On the signature of flexible fillings. in preparation.
- [26] Yakov Eliashberg, Sang Seon Kim, and Leonid Polterovich. Geometry of contact transformations and domains: orderability versus squeezing. *Geom. Topol.*, 10:1635–1747, 2006.
- [27] John B. Etnyre. Planar open book decompositions and contact structures. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (79):4255–4267, 2004.
- [28] John B. Etnyre and Agniva Roy. Symplectic fillings and cobordisms of lens spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 374(12):8813–8867, 2021.
- [29] Paolo Ghiggini. Strongly fillable contact 3-manifolds without Stein fillings. *Geom. Topol.*, 9:1677–1687, 2005.
- [30] Paolo Ghiggini and Klaus Niederkrüger-Eid. On the symplectic fillings of standard real projective spaces. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 24(2):Paper No. 37, 18, 2022.
- [31] Fabio Gironella and Zhengyi Zhou. Exact orbifold fillings of contact manifolds. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.12247*, 2021.
- [32] Daniel Gorenstein. *Finite groups*. Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, second edition, 1980.
- [33] Mark Goresky and Nancy Hingston. Loop products and closed geodesics. *Duke Math. J.*, 150(1):117–209, 2009.
- [34] Jean Gutt. The positive equivariant symplectic homology as an invariant for some contact manifolds. *J. Symplectic Geom.*, 15(4):1019–1069, 2017.
- [35] M. J. Hopkins and I. M. Singer. Quadratic functions in geometry, topology, and M-theory. *J. Differential Geom.*, 70(3):329–452, 2005.
- [36] Tetsuro Kawasaki. The signature theorem for  $V$ -manifolds. *Topology*, 17(1):75–83, 1978.
- [37] Paolo Lisca. On symplectic fillings of lens spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 360(2):765–799, 2008.
- [38] Dusa McDuff. Symplectic manifolds with contact type boundaries. *Invent. Math.*, 103(3):651–671, 1991.
- [39] Dusa McDuff and Dietmar Salamon. *J-holomorphic curves and symplectic topology*, volume 52 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, 2012.
- [40] Mark McLean. Reeb orbits and the minimal discrepancy of an isolated singularity. *Invent. Math.*, 204(2):505–594, 2016.
- [41] Mark McLean and Alexander F. Ritter. The McKay correspondence via Floer theory. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1802.01534, February 2018.
- [42] John W. Milnor and James D. Stasheff. *Characteristic classes*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 76. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1974.
- [43] Hyunki Min. Strongly fillable contact structures without liouville fillings. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.09912*, 2022.
- [44] David R. Morrison and Glenn Stevens. Terminal quotient singularities in dimensions three and four. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 90(1):15–20, 1984.
- [45] Alexandru Oancea and Claude Viterbo. On the topology of fillings of contact manifolds and applications. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 87(1):41–69, 2012.
- [46] John Pardon. An algebraic approach to virtual fundamental cycles on moduli spaces of pseudo-holomorphic curves. *Geom. Topol.*, 20(2):779–1034, 2016.
- [47] Patrick Popescu-Pampu. Complex singularities and contact topology. *Winter Braids Lect. Notes*, 3(Winter Braids VI (Lille, 2016)):Exp. No. 3, 74, 2016.

- [48] Miles Reid. Canonical 3-folds. In *Journées de Géométrie Algébrique d'Angers, Juillet 1979/Algebraic Geometry, Angers, 1979*, pages 273–310. Sijthoff & Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn—Germantown, Md., 1980.
- [49] Miles Reid. Minimal models of canonical 3-folds. In *Algebraic varieties and analytic varieties (Tokyo, 1981)*, volume 1 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 131–180. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.
- [50] Alexander F. Ritter. Topological quantum field theory structure on symplectic cohomology. *J. Topol.*, 6(2):391–489, 2013.
- [51] Ichirô Satake. The Gauss-Bonnet theorem for  $V$ -manifolds. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 9:464–492, 1957.
- [52] Michael Schlessinger. Rigidity of quotient singularities. *Invent. Math.*, 14:17–26, 1971.
- [53] Stephan Schönenberger. Determining symplectic fillings from planar open books. *J. Symplectic Geom.*, 5(1):19–41, 2007.
- [54] Paul Seidel. A biased view of symplectic cohomology. In *Current developments in mathematics, 2006*, pages 211–253. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2008.
- [55] P. A. Smith. Permutable periodic transformations. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 30:105–108, 1944.
- [56] Dennis Sullivan. Open and closed string field theory interpreted in classical algebraic topology. In *Topology, geometry and quantum field theory*, volume 308 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 344–357. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [57] Yung-Sheng Tai. On the Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of abelian varieties. *Invent. Math.*, 68(3):425–439, 1982.
- [58] Satoshi Tomoda and Peter Zvengrowski. Remarks on the cohomology of finite fundamental groups of 3-manifolds. In *The Zieschang Gedenkschrift*, volume 14 of *Geom. Topol. Monogr.*, pages 519–556. Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2008.
- [59] A. N. Varčenko. Contact structures and isolated singularities. *Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh.*, (2):18–21, 101, 1980.
- [60] Chris Wendl. Strongly fillable contact manifolds and  $J$ -holomorphic foliations. *Duke Math. J.*, 151(3):337–384, 2010.
- [61] Joseph A. Wolf. *Spaces of constant curvature*. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, sixth edition, 2011.
- [62] J. Worpitzky. Studien über die Bernoullischen und Eulerschen Zahlen. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 94:203–232, 1883.
- [63] Zhengyi Zhou.  $(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}, \xi_{\text{std}})$  is not exactly fillable for  $n \neq 2^k$ . *Geom. Topol.*, 25(6):3013–3052, 2021.
- [64] Zhengyi Zhou. Symplectic fillings of asymptotically dynamically convex manifolds I. *J. Topol.*, 14(1):112–182, 2021.
- [65] Zhengyi Zhou. On fillings of  $\partial(V \times \mathbb{D})$ . *Math. Ann.*, 2022.
- [66] Zhengyi Zhou. Symplectic fillings of asymptotically dynamically convex manifolds II- $k$ -dilations. *Adv. Math.*, 406:Paper No. 108522, 2022.
- [67] Zhengyi Zhou. Surface quotient singularities and symplectic dilation. in preparation.

Zhengyi Zhou,

MORNINGSIDE CENTER OF MATHEMATICS AND INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, AMSS, CAS, CHINA

*E-mail address:* [zhyzhou@amss.ac.cn](mailto:zhyzhou@amss.ac.cn)