

ATIYAH–BOTT FORMULA AND HOMOLOGICAL BLOCK OF SEIFERT FIBERED HOMOLOGY 3-SPHERES

SHOMA SUGIMOTO

ABSTRACT. We show that the homological block of Seifert fibered homology 3-spheres are computed by the iterated use of Atiyah–Bott fixed point formula.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [GPPV], Gukov–Pei–Putrov–Vafa introduced q -series called homological block for any plumbed 3-manifold. Homological blocks have a remarkable property that their radial limits coincides with the Witten–Reshetikhin–Turaev invariant, as conjectured in [GPPV]. It had been proved in various cases [AnM, FIMT, MMur, Mur1], and a general proof was given very recently in [Mur2]. It is also known that “spoiled” modular forms such as false/mock theta functions appear as homological blocks, and their modular transformation has been studied [MTer, BMM].

On the other hand, homological blocks are expected to appear as characters of modules of logarithmic vertex operator algebras. In fact, it is known that [CFGH, CFGHP] the homological blocks of 3 or 4-fibered Seifert 3-manifolds are given by certain linear combinations of the irreducible modules of $(1, p)$ -log VOA [AdM, CRW, FT] and (p, p') -log VOA [FGST, TW], respectively. The irreducible modules (in general, baby Verma modules) of $(1, p)$ -log VOA have a geometric realization by Feigin–Tipunin [FT, S1, S2]. They are given by the space of global sections $H^0(G \times_B V_\lambda)$ of certain equivariant bundle $G \times_B V_\lambda$ over the flag variety G/B whose fiber space is the corresponding irreducible module V_λ of the lattice VOA $V_{\sqrt{p}Q}$. The key point is that by combining the cohomology vanishing $H^{n>0}(G \times_B V_\lambda) = 0$, which is a natural consequence of the theory of Felder exactness [S1, CNS2], and Atiyah–Bott fixed point formula [AB], the character of $H^0(G \times_B V_\lambda)$ [FT, S1] is computed from that of V_λ . Recently, this technique was also used to compute the characters of the irreducible modules of (p, p') -log VOA [KS] and of the inverse Hamiltonian reduction of $(1, p)$ -log VOA [CNS, CNS2].

In this paper, we show that the homological blocks of $(N + 2)$ -fibered Seifert homology 3-spheres are computed by repeating the technique above ($N \geq 1$). In other words, iterated use of Atiyah–Bott formula to the corresponding lattice theta function gives the desired homological block. This result suggests the existence of “nested Feigin–Tipunin construction” of log VOAs and their modules of the form ¹

$$“H^0(G \times_B H^0(G \times_B \cdots H^0(G \times_B V_{\tilde{\lambda}})))” \tag{1.1}$$

whose construction and the study of representation theory are for future works.

Acknowledgements. The author wishes to express his gratitude to Yuji Terashima, Kazuhiro Hikami, Toshiki Matsusaka, Yuya Murakami, Tomoyuki Arakawa, Shigenori Nakatsuka for useful comments and discussions. The author is also deeply grateful to Sergei Gukov for his interest in this idea. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant number 22J00951.

¹If taken literally, this is nonsense, but the point is that nested character computation can be performed using Atiyah–Bott formula. See §2.4 and 2.5 below.

2. NESTED FEIGIN–TIPUNIN CONSTRUCTION

2.1. Notation. Unless otherwise noted, the ground field is an complex field \mathbb{C} . Let \mathfrak{g} be a simply-laced simple Lie algebra, and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$ the triangular decomposition, \mathfrak{h} the Cartan subalgebra, $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ the Borel subalgebra, G and B the semisimple, simply-connected algebraic groups corresponding to \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{b} , respectively. Set $I := \{1, \dots, \text{rank} \mathfrak{g}\}$. We adopt the standard numbering for the simple roots $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I}$ of \mathfrak{g} and $\{\varpi_i\}_{i \in I}$ denotes the corresponding fundamental weights. For $j \in I$, denote $\mathfrak{sl}_2^j \simeq \mathfrak{sl}_2$ (resp. SL_2^j) the Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} generated by $\{e_j, h_j, f_j\}$ (resp. corresponding subgroup). For $J \subseteq I$, P_J means the corresponding parabolic subgroup. Denote by Δ^\pm the sets of positive roots and negative roots of \mathfrak{g} , respectively. Let Q be the root lattice of \mathfrak{g} , P the weight lattice of \mathfrak{g} , P_+ the set of dominant integral weights of \mathfrak{g} . Denote by (\cdot, \cdot) the invariant form of \mathfrak{g} normalized as $(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) = 2$ for any $1 \leq i \leq \text{rank} \mathfrak{g}$, W the Weyl group of \mathfrak{g} generated by the simple reflections $\{\sigma_i\}_{i \in I}$, (c^{ij}) the inverse matrix to the Cartan matrix of \mathfrak{g} , ρ the half sum of positive roots, θ the highest root of \mathfrak{g} , h the Coxeter number of \mathfrak{g} . For $\sigma \in W$, $l(\sigma)$ denotes the length of σ . For $\beta \in P_+$, $L(\beta)$ denote the irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module with highest weight β . Let $\{h_i\}_{i \in I}$ be the basis of \mathfrak{h} corresponding to the simple roots by (\cdot, \cdot) . For $\mu \in P$, $\mathbb{C}(\mu)$ denotes the one-dimensional \mathfrak{b} -module such that the action of \mathfrak{n}_- is trivial and h_i acts as $(\alpha_i, \mu) \text{id}$ for $i \in I$. For a B -module V and $\mu \in P$, we use the letter $V(\mu)$ for the B -module $V \otimes \mathbb{C}(\mu)$. For $\beta \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, $V^{h=\beta}$ denotes the weight space of the B -module V .

2.2. Lattice VOA. Let us consider the case of simply-laced \mathfrak{g} . Let p_1, \dots, p_N be a family of coprime integers and $p := p_1 \cdots p_N$. We consider the lattice VOA $V_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ associated with the rescaled root lattice $\sqrt{p}Q$ with the conformal vector

$$\omega := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq \text{rank} \mathfrak{g}} c^{ij} \alpha_{i(-1)} \alpha_j + \sqrt{p} Q_0 \rho_{(-2)} \mathbf{1}, \quad Q_0 := \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2} - \cdots - \frac{1}{p_N},$$

where $(c^{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq \text{rank} \mathfrak{g}}$ is the inverse of Cartan matrix and ρ is the Weyl vector, namely, the half sum of positive roots. For $1 \leq m \leq N$, set

$$\Lambda_{p_m} = \left\{ \lambda_m = \sum_{i=1}^{\text{rank} \mathfrak{g}} \frac{1-r_{m,i}}{p_m} \varpi_i \mid 1 \leq r_{m,i} \leq p_m \right\},$$

$$\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) := \sqrt{p}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \cdots - \lambda_N)$$

Then we have the complete set of irreducible $V_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -modules

$$V_{\vec{\lambda}; \vec{\lambda}} = V_{\vec{\lambda}; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N} := V_{\sqrt{p}(Q - \vec{\lambda}) + \vec{\lambda}}, \quad \hat{\lambda} \text{ is a minuscule weight,}$$

and the conformal weight $\Delta_{\sqrt{p}\beta + \vec{\lambda}}$ of $e^{\sqrt{p}\beta + \vec{\lambda}} \in V_{\vec{\lambda}; \vec{\lambda}}$ is given by

$$\Delta_{\sqrt{p}\beta + \vec{\lambda}} = \frac{p}{2} |\beta + \vec{\lambda} - Q_0 \rho|^2.$$

When $\hat{\lambda}$ is unimportant, $V_{\vec{\lambda}; \vec{\lambda}}$ is simply written as $V_{\vec{\lambda}}$. For a vector space M with the conformal grading, the character of M is defined by $\text{ch}_q M = \text{tr}_M q^{L_0 - \frac{c}{24}}$.

2.3. Felder complex. For the Weyl group W , $\sigma \in W$ and $\lambda_m \in \Lambda_m$, set

$$\sigma * \lambda_m = \sigma(\lambda_m + \frac{1}{p_m} \rho) - \frac{1}{p_m} \rho.$$

For $\gamma \in \frac{1}{p_m} P$, denote $[\gamma]$ by the representative of γ in $\Lambda_{p_m} \simeq \frac{1}{p_m} P/P$. Then $\lambda_m \rightarrow [\sigma * \lambda_m]$ above defines a W -action on Λ_m . We introduce a useful notation

$$\epsilon_{\lambda_m}(\sigma) := \frac{1}{p_m} (\sigma * \lambda_m - [\sigma * \lambda_m]) \in P.$$

For the properties of the W -action and $\epsilon_{\lambda_m}(\sigma)$, see [S1, CNS2].

Definition 2.1. Let $\{V_{\lambda_m}\}_{\lambda_m \in \Lambda_m}$ be a family of weight B -modules equipped with linear operators $Q_j^{[\lambda_m]}: V_{\lambda_m} \rightarrow V_{\sigma_j * \lambda_m}$ ($j \in I$). We call $\{V_{\lambda_m}, Q_j^{[\lambda_m]}\}_{\lambda_m \in \Lambda_m, j \in I}$ Felder exact if

- (1) $Q_j^{[\lambda_m]}: V_{\lambda_m} \rightarrow V_{\sigma_j * \lambda_m}(\epsilon_\lambda(\sigma_j))$ is a B -module homomorphism.
- (2) $W_{j, \lambda_m} := \ker_{V_{\lambda_m}} Q_j^{[\lambda_m]}$ is an P_j -submodule of V_{λ_m} .
- (3) For $j \in I$ and $\lambda_m \notin \Lambda_m^{\sigma_j}$, we have the short exact sequence of B -modules

$$0 \rightarrow W_{j, \lambda_m} \rightarrow V_{\lambda_m} \rightarrow W_{j, \sigma_j * \lambda_m}(\epsilon_\lambda(\sigma_j)) \rightarrow 0,$$

which is called Felder exact sequence.

A Felder exact sequence is illustrated as Figure 1. Here, each \boxed{k} represents a family of weight vectors of simple SL_2^j -module $L(k\varpi)$ (or B -module $L(k\varpi)(-\varpi)$) with highest weight $k\varpi$.




FIGURE 1. Felder exact sequence.

In the same manner as [S1], we have

- The evaluation map $H^0(G \times_B V_{\lambda_m}) \rightarrow V_{\lambda_m}$, $s \mapsto s(\text{id}_{G/B})$ is an injective B -module homomorphism [S1, Theorem 4.14]. In particular, we can identify $H^0(G \times_B V_{\lambda_m})$ with the maximal G -submodule of V_{λ_m} .
- The image of the evaluation map above is contained in $\bigcap_{j \in I} \ker Q_j^{[\lambda_m]}$. We have $H^0(G \times_B V_{\lambda_m}) \simeq \bigcap_{j \in I} \ker Q_j^{[\lambda_m]}$ iff λ_m satisfies [S1, (100)].
- (Borel–Weil–Bott type duality, [S1, Theorem 4.8]) If $(-p_m \lambda_m + \rho, \theta) \leq p_m$, then we have a G -module isomorphism

$$H^n(G \times_B V_{\lambda_m}) \simeq H^{n+l(w_0)}(G \times_B V_{w_0 * \lambda_m}(-\rho)).$$

In particular, $H^{n>0}(G \times_B V_{\lambda_m}) = 0$.

Remark 2.2. The Borel–Weil–Bott type duality above is an analog of [J, Corollary 5.5(c)]. From the results in [S1, S2], a strong parallel relationship between the theory of Felder complex and that of algebraic groups in finite characteristic (or that of quantum group at root of unity) is expected. The author expects that the Borel–Weil–Bott type duality only holds for the case $(-p_m \lambda_m + \rho, \theta) \leq p_m$, but the cohomology vanishing $H^{n>0}(G \times_B V_{\lambda_m}) = 0$ holds for any λ_m , because it would be an analog of Kempf’s vanishing theorem [J, Proposition 4.5].

2.4. **B -submodules.** Let us assume that there exists B -modules

$$\underbrace{\tilde{H}^0(G \times_B \cdots \tilde{H}^0(G \times_B \tilde{V}_{\tilde{\lambda}; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N}) \cdots)}_m \quad (0 \leq m \leq N-1)$$

with the conformal grading such that

(1) For a dominant integral weight $\beta \in P_+$ and $\sigma \in W$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{ch}_q \underbrace{\tilde{H}^0(G \times_B \cdots \tilde{H}^0(G \times_B \tilde{V}_{\tilde{\lambda}; \dots, \lambda_{m+1}, \dots}) \cdots)}_{m \geq 0}^{h=\sigma \circ \beta} \\ &= \text{ch}_q \underbrace{\tilde{H}^0(G \times_B \cdots \tilde{H}^0(G \times_B \tilde{V}_{\tilde{\lambda} - \epsilon_{\lambda_{m+1}}(\sigma); \dots, \sigma * \lambda_{m+1}, \dots}) \cdots)}_{m \geq 0}^{h=\beta - \epsilon_{\lambda_{m+1}}(\sigma)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

(2) For $\beta_m \in P_+$ such that $\beta_m - (N - m - 1)\rho \in P_+$, we have

$$\text{ch}_q(\tilde{V}_{\tilde{\lambda}; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N})^{h=\beta_0} = \text{ch}_q(V_{\tilde{\lambda}; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N})^{h=\beta_0}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{ch}_q \underbrace{\tilde{H}^0(G \times_B \cdots \tilde{H}^0(G \times_B \tilde{V}_{\tilde{\lambda}; \dots, \lambda_m, \dots}) \cdots)}_{m \geq 2}^{h=\beta_m} \\ &= \text{ch}_q \underbrace{H^0(G \times_B \cdots \tilde{H}^0(G \times_B \tilde{V}_{\tilde{\lambda} + \rho; \dots, \lambda_m, \dots}) \cdots)}_{m \geq 2}^{h=\beta_m + \rho}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\text{ch}_q \tilde{H}^0(G \times_B \tilde{V}_{\tilde{\lambda}; \lambda_1, \dots})^{h=\beta_1} = \text{ch}_q H^0(G \times_B \tilde{V}_{\tilde{\lambda} + \rho; w_0 * \lambda_1, \dots})^{h=\beta_1 + \rho}.$$

(3) We have the cohomology vanishings

$$H^1(G \times_B \underbrace{\tilde{H}^0(G \times_B \cdots \tilde{H}^0(G \times_B \tilde{V}_{\tilde{\lambda}; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N}) \cdots)}_{m \geq 0}) = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

In particular, we can use the Atiyah–Bott fixed point formula [AB]

$$\sum_{a \geq 0} (-1)^a \text{ch}_q H^a(G \times_B V) = \sum_{\beta \in P_+} \dim L(\beta) \sum_{\sigma \in W} (-1)^{l(\sigma)} \text{ch}_q V^{h=\sigma \circ \beta}$$

to compute the characters of 0-th sheaf cohomology $H^0(G \times_B -)$.

Our goal is to compute the character of $H^0(G \times_B \underbrace{\tilde{H}^0(G \times_B \cdots \tilde{H}^0(G \times_B \tilde{V}_{\tilde{\lambda}}) \cdots)}_{N-1})$.


Conditions (2.1) and (2.4) are understood from the viewpoint of Felder exactness. Namely, it is expected that for each $0 \leq m \leq N-1$, there exists a Felder exact $\{V_{\lambda_m}, Q_j^{[\lambda_m]}\}_{\lambda_m \in \Lambda_m, j \in I}$ such that

$$V_{\lambda_m} = \underbrace{\tilde{H}^0(G \times_B \cdots \tilde{H}^0(G \times_B \tilde{V}_{\tilde{\lambda}; \dots, \lambda_m, \dots}) \cdots)}_m.$$

The meaning of (2.2) is clear. Condition (2.3) is illustrated as Figure 2. Here, k represents the difference between $\tilde{H}^0(G \times_B V)$ and $H^0(G \times_B V)$. In $H^0(G \times_B V)$, $(\boxed{k} \quad \boxed{k+1})$ (or $(\boxed{k} \quad \boxed{k+1})$) is considered as a family of weight vectors of simple SL_2^j -module with highest weight $k\varpi$. Note that adding k does not change the subspace $h_j \geq 0$, and that Cartan weight shifts by ρ between $\tilde{H}^0(G \times_B V)$ and $H^0(G \times_B V)$. For the reason of $\lambda_1 \mapsto w_0 * \lambda_1$, see [KS].

Example 1. ((1, p)-log VOA, see [FT, S1]). Let us consider the case $N = 1$, and denote $\tilde{\lambda}$ simply as λ . In this case, $V_{\tilde{\lambda}; \lambda}$ has the B -action by

$$f_i = \int e^{\sqrt{p}\alpha_i}(z) dz, \quad h = \left[-\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}\alpha_{i(0)} \right].$$

FIGURE 2. $\tilde{H}^0(G \times_B V)$ and $H^0(G \times_B V)$.

The B -action commutes with the short screening operators $Q_j^{[\lambda]}: V_\lambda \rightarrow V_{\sigma_j * \lambda}$, and $\{V_\lambda, Q_j^{[\lambda]}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda, j \in I}$ is Felder exact. The condition (2.3) does not appear in this case and (2.1), (2.2) are clear. As explained above, (2.4) was proved for special cases $(-p\lambda + \rho, \theta) \leq p$ in [S1].

Example 2. ((p_1, p_2) -log VOA, see [KS, Section 6.2].) Let us consider the case $N = 2$. The representation theory of (p_1, p_2) -log VOA is studied algebraically for the case of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ [FGST, TW], but not for general \mathfrak{g} or geometrically. Let us consider the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$. Unlike the case of $N = 1$, $V_{\tilde{\lambda}}$ cannot consist of a Felder exact sequence, because of the shape of socle sequence [KS, Figure 3]. However, the image of the short screening operator $Q^{[\lambda_1]}$ has the B -module structure defined by the Frobenius homomorphism [TW], and combining another short screening operator $Q^{[\lambda_2]}$ defines a Felder exact set. Hence we can take $\tilde{H}^0(G \times_B V_{\tilde{\lambda}})$ as $\text{Im } Q^{[\lambda_1]}$. However, the structure of $\tilde{V}_{\tilde{\lambda}}$ is not well understood. In [KS], we gave a makeshift definition of $\tilde{V}_{\tilde{\lambda}}$ that works for the computation of character below, but from a viewpoint of free field realization of log VOAs, $\tilde{V}_{\tilde{\lambda}}$ should be a direct sum of Fock modules. In that case, however, the B -action would be complicated and not be a Virasoro homomorphism, although the conformal grading be preserved.

Remark 2.3. *There is another reason to believe that our B -actions might not be Virasoro homomorphisms in general. For simplicity, let us consider the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$. As we will see below, our character computation is to divide $\tilde{V}_{\tilde{\lambda}}$ (or Fock modules) into 2^N kinds of components and reduce them by half each time $H^0(G \times_B -)$ is taken (see Figure 3). In fact, when $N = 1, 2$, the socle sequence of $\tilde{V}_{\tilde{\lambda}}$ consists of 2 and 4 types of irreducible Virasoro modules, respectively, and the method above results in a direct sum of one type of irreducible Virasoro module at the end. However, the decomposition of Fock modules by irreducible Virasoro modules is the finest for $N = 2$ (i.e., minimal model). Therefore, a finer decomposition of $\tilde{V}_{\tilde{\lambda}}$ than Virasoro is expected in the case of $N \geq 3$.*

2.5. Character formula. Using (2.1)~(2.4), we can compute the character as

$$\begin{aligned} & \eta(q)^{\text{rank } \mathfrak{g}} \text{ch}_q H^0(G \times_B \underbrace{\tilde{H}^0(G \times_B \cdots \tilde{H}^0(G \times_B V_{\tilde{\lambda}; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N}) \cdots)}_{N-1})^{h=\tilde{\lambda}} \\ &= \sum_{\beta_N \in P_+} \sum_{w_N \in W} (-1)^{l(w_N)} m_{\beta_N, \tilde{\lambda}} \sum_{\beta_{N-1} \in P_+} m_{\beta_{N-1}, \beta_N - \epsilon_{\lambda_N}(w_N) + \rho} \sum_{w_{N-1} \in W} (-1)^{l(w_{N-1})} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\beta_{N-2} \in P_+} m_{\beta_{N-2}, \beta_{N-1} - \epsilon_{\lambda_{N-1}}(w_{N-1}) + \rho} \sum_{w_{N-2} \in W} (-1)^{l(w_{N-2})} \\
& \cdots \sum_{\beta_1 \in P_+} m_{\beta_1, \beta_2 - \epsilon_{\lambda_2}(w_2) + \rho} \sum_{w_1 \in W} (-1)^{l(w_1)} \text{ch}_q V_{w_1 * w_0 * \lambda_1, w_2 * \lambda_2, \dots, w_N * \lambda_N}^{h = \beta_1 - \epsilon_{w_0 * \lambda_1}(w_1)} \\
& = \sum_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N \geq 0} p(\gamma_1) \cdots p(\gamma_N) \sum_{w_1, \dots, w_N \in W} (-1)^{l(w_1) + \dots + l(w_N)} \\
& \text{ch}_q V_{w_1 * w_0 * \lambda_1, w_2 * \lambda_2, \dots, w_N * \lambda_N}^{h = \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_N + \hat{\lambda} + (N-1)\rho - \epsilon_{w_0 * \lambda_1}(w_1) - \sum_{i=2}^N \epsilon_{\lambda_i}(w_i)},
\end{aligned}$$

where $p(-)$ and $m_{-, -}$ are Kostant's partition function and multiplicity, respectively. In the case of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$, this computation is illustrated as Figure 3. An appropriate linear combination of them would give [CFGHP, (4.32)].




FIGURE 3. Flow of computation for $\text{ch}_q H^0(G \times_B \tilde{H}^0(G \times_B \cdots V_{\hat{\lambda}}) \cdots)$.

Example 3. Let us restrict ourselves to the case of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \eta(q) \text{ch}_q H^0(G \times_B \tilde{H}^0(G \times_B \cdots V_{\hat{\lambda}}) \cdots)^{h = \hat{\lambda}} \\
& = \sum_{n \geq 0} \binom{n + N - 1}{N - 1} \sum_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N \in \{\pm 1\}} \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_N q^{\frac{p}{4}(2n + N + s - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\epsilon_i r_i}{p_i})^2},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\lambda} = (s-1)\varpi$ for $s \in \{1, 2\}$. An appropriate linear combination of them would give the homological block of the corresponding $(N+2)$ -fibered Seifert manifold [MTer, Definition 2.1].

Example 4. Let us restrict ourselves to the case of $N = 2$. As noted above, the (p_1, p_2) -log VOA and its modules associated with general \mathfrak{g} have not yet been

constructed, but their characters can be inferred as

$$\sum_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \geq 0} p(\gamma_1)p(\gamma_2) \sum_{w_1, w_2 \in W} (-1)^{l(w_1)+l(w_2)} \text{ch}_q V_{w_1 * w_0 * \lambda_1, w_2 * \lambda_2}^{h=\gamma_1+\gamma_2+\hat{\lambda}+\rho-\epsilon_{w_0 * \lambda_1}(w_1)-\epsilon_{\lambda_2}(w_2)}.$$

We hope to generalize [KS, §5.3] to a relationship between this formula with \mathfrak{g} -quantum invariant for torus link $T_{p_1 \text{rank} \mathfrak{g}, p_2 \text{rank} \mathfrak{g}}$ as a generalization of [K].

REFERENCES

- [AB] M. Atiyah, R. Bott, A Lefschetz fixed point formula for elliptic complexes I, II *Ann. of Math.*, **86**, 1967, 374-407; **88**, 1968, 451-491.
- [AdM] D. Adamovic, A. Milas, On the triplet vertex algebra $\mathscr{W}(p)$, *Advances in Mathematics* **217** (2008), 2664-2699.
- [AnM] J. E. Andersen and W. Mistegård, Resurgence analysis of quantum invariants of Seifert fibered homology spheres, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, **105**(2):709–764, 2022.
- [BMM] K. Bringmann, K. Mahlburg, and A. Milas, Higher depth quantum modular forms and plumbed 3-manifolds, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, **110**(10):2675–2702, 2020.
- [CFGH] M. C. N. Cheng, S. Chun, F. Ferrari, S. Gukov, S. M. Harrison, 3d-modularity *Journal of High Energy Physics* volume 2019, Article number: 10 (2019).
- [CFGHP] M. C. N. Cheng, S. Chun, B. Feigin, F. Ferrari, S. Gukov, S. M. Harrison, D. Passaro, 3-Manifolds and VOA Characters, [arXiv:2201.04640](https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.04640)
- [CNS] T. Creutzig, S. Nakatsuka and S. Sugimoto, Quasi-lisse extension of affine \mathfrak{sl}_2 à la Feigin–Tipunin, *coming soon*.
- [CNS2] T. Creutzig, S. Nakatsuka and S. Sugimoto, *in preparation*.
- [CRW] T. Creutzig, D. Ridout, S. Wood, Coset Constructions of Logarithmic $(1, p)$ Models, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **104**, 2014, 5, 553-583.
- [FIMT] H. Fuji, K. Iwaki, H. Murakami, and Y. Terashima, Witten–Reshetikhin–Turaev function for a knot in Seifert manifolds, *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 2021.
- [FF] B. L. Feigin and D.B. Fuchs, Representations of the Virasoro algebra, *Representations of Lie Groups and Related Topics, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math., Gordon and Breach, New York*, 7 465–554 (1990).
- [FGST] B.L. Feigin, A.M. Gainutdinov, A.M. Semikhatov, I.Yu. Tipunin, Logarithmic extensions of minimal models: Characters and modular transformations, *Nuclear Physics B* Volume 757, Issue 3, 27 November 2006, Pages 303-343.
- [FT] B. Feigin, I. Tipunin, Logarithmic CFTs connected with simple Lie algebras, [arXiv:1002.5047](https://arxiv.org/abs/1002.5047).
- [GPPV] S. Gukov, D. Pei, P. Putrov, and C. Vafa, BPS spectra and 3-manifold invariants, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **29** (2020), no. 2, 2040003, 85. MR4089709
- [J] J. C. Jantzen, *Representations of Algebraic Groups*, 2nd ed., *AMS* (2003).
- [K] S. Kanade, Characters of logarithmic vertex operator algebras and coloured invariants of torus links, [arXiv:2305.17543](https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.17543).
- [KS] K. Hikami, S/ Sugimoto, Torus links $T_{2s, 2t}$ and (s, t) -log VOA, [arXiv:2306.03338](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.03338)
- [MMur] A. Mori and Y. Murakami. Witten–Reshetikhin–Turaev invariants, homological blocks, and quantum modular forms for unimodular plumbing H-graphs. *SIGMA. Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications*, 18:034, 2022.
- [MTER] T. Matsusaka, Y. Terashima, Modular transformations of homological blocks for Seifert fibered homology 3-spheres, [arXiv:2112.06210](https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.06210)
- [Mur1] Y. Murakami, Witten–Reshetikhin–Turaev invariants and homological blocks for plumbed homology spheres. [arXiv:2205.01282](https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.01282).
- [Mur2] A proof of a conjecture of Gukov-Pei-Putrov-Vafa [arXiv:2302.13526v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.13526v2)
- [S1] S. Sugimoto, On the Feigin–Tipunin conjecture, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **27**, 2021, 5, Paper No. 86, 43.
- [S2] S. Sugimoto. Simplicity of higher rank triplet W-algebras, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2023**, **8**, 7169-719.
- [TK] A. Tsuchiya, Y. Kanie, Fock space representations of the Virasoro algebra. Intertwining operators, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, **22**, 1986, 2, 259–327.
- [TW] A. Tsuchiya. S. Wood, On the extended W -algebra of type \mathfrak{sl}_2 at positive rational level, *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, Vol.2015, 14, 5357-5435.