

Water inside charged nanoslits: Structure and Dielectric study with a novel water model

FAB/ ϵ

Raúl Fuentes-Azcatl,^{*,†,¶} José Rafael Bordin,^{*,†} and Marcia C. Barbosa^{*,‡}

[†]*Departamento de Física, Instituto de Física e Matemática, Universidade Federal de Pelotas. Caixa Postal 354, 96001-970, Pelotas, Brazil.*

[‡]*Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Caixa Postal 15051, CEP 91501-970, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil*

[¶]*Departamento SIC. Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, Lerma. Av. de las Garzas 10, El panteón, 52005 Lerma de Villada, Edomex. México.*

E-mail: r.fuentes@correo.ler.uam.mx; jrbordin@ufpel.edu.br; marcia.barbosa@ufrgs.br

Abstract

In this work, the dielectric behavior of water inside charged nanoslit of graphene is studied to analyze the water molecules under electrical confinement; through polarizing the nanoslit of graphene, creating an electric field inside the nanopore. How the water molecules are structured under this type of electrical confinement is studied with two force fields of water, the three-site water models here used are the SCP/ ϵ and the FAB/ ϵ , the first is a rigid model that improves the SPC model and the second is a flexible model that improves all the force fields of three sites non-polarizables and flexibles.

Introduction

Water is unique not only because life, as we known, happens in water but also due to its relevance in industry. It exhibits more than 70 known anomalous behavior,¹ and the water behavior becomes even more stranger when confined in pores with few nanometers.²⁻⁴ Specially, the comprehension of confined water is important from many aspects. Water confined inside biological proteins,⁵ in the DNA grooves⁶ or inside rocks nanopores, as it is in the shale formation process⁷ presents additional anomalies and modify in a non trivial way the confined media. Also, many new technologies have been proposed based in the water behavior at this scale.⁸ Among the many nanomaterials employed in these new technologies,⁹ single layers of graphene (SLG) emerge as one of the most prominent material.¹⁰ It was successfully used in a wide range of applications such as water filtration,^{11,12} single-molecule sensors,^{13,14} ion selectivity,¹⁵⁻¹⁷ and energy conversion and storage.¹⁸⁻²¹

Water ordering and orientation at the nanopore interface play a major role in the nanofluidic research and all the possible applications. For instance, recent experimental^{22,23} and computational²⁴⁻²⁸ studies have indicated an confinement induced reduction in the water dielectric constant that can be related to the water structure at the interface or to long-ranged anisotropic dipole correlations combined with an excluded-volume effect of the low-dielectric pore material. Also, the surface layer structure can drastically affect the dynamical behavior of nanoconfined water,^{29,30} in fact, the dynamics and the static dielectric constant are related as well.³¹ Therefore, understand the water behavior at the solid boundaries under extreme confinement is of great importance (and a great challenge) to fundamental research³² and technological applications.

Despite the huge advances in nanotechnology, experiments of fluids confined at the length scale of few nanometers remain as a considerable challenge.³³⁻³⁵ In this sense, the use of Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulations arises as a suited approach to study nanofluidic systems.³⁶ Simulations are used to interpret experiments, but also to study properties that can not be accessed directly by them. The proper depiction by MD simulations relies on the

definition of the Classical Force Fields based in additive pairwise potentials for the particle particles interaction.^{37,38}

In the specific case of water, a large number of atomistic models have been proposed. Most of them are rigid nonpolarizable models, with 3 or more sites.³⁹⁻⁴¹ Even this simple models can reproduce most of the bulk water behavior, there are recent models that can reproduce the structure and infrared spectrum as the FBA/ ϵ and TIP4P/ ϵ flexible,^{42,43} improving all current non-polarizable and flexible models.⁴³ Properly include polarization in classical simulations remain as an huge task, but efforts are being done in this direction in the recent years,⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶ but the flexibility offers a type of polarization to the molecule giving freedom of movement to the charge, as in the model FBA/ ϵ .⁴²

Also, the addition of the molecule flexibility is important particularly in the study of water solutions. For instance, the H-O-H angle is $104,474^\circ$ for water molecules in the gas phase, with a distance of 0.095718 nm between oxygen and each hydrogen. However, in the liquid phase at 298 K and 1 bar, the average angle changes to 106° .⁴⁷ Once each water molecule, in bulk, form up to four hydrogen bonds, small variations in the H-O-H angle can be relevant to the molecules cluster structure. Under extreme confinement, where the hydrogen bond is suppressed by the geometrical constraints, variations in the angle can be even more relevant for the confined water structural, dynamic, thermodynamic and dielectric properties.

A drawback of the classical atomistic models fitted using bulk properties is that distinct classical models, even though agree in some measures in the confined geometries, lead to different quantitative results in other quantities.⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰ For instance, Celebi and co-workers³⁰ recent analyzed the slip length of water inside graphene nanopores employing rigid non-polarizable models and found considerable differences and deviations in the viscosity and slip length when compared with experimental results. With this, a question that naturally arises is how the molecule flexibility can improve the reproduction of the behavior of nanoconfined water when compared with the classical atomistic approach.

In order to answer this question we here study the behavior of two water models confinement between two parallel single layers graphene (SLG) sheets. The SLG walls can be neutral, modeling a nanopore made by pristine graphene, or charged, as a minimal model for a nanocapacitor. This charged system is not only interesting from the practical applications of nanocapacitors, but to understand how the charged wall and electric field, modify the structure of the water and how it will reflect in the fluid behavior.

We compare the behavior under confinement of two recent three site water models that improved the traditional SPC water model.⁵¹ The rigid SPC/ ϵ model,⁴⁰ was constructed based on the SPC model, but show a with better depiction of the dielectric behavior. More recently, the flexible FAB/ ϵ ⁴² model was constructed to enhance the thermodynamic properties at different pressure and temperature conditions in comparison to all three-site models by adding extra degrees of freedom to the sites. With this, we can analyze how variations in the internal angle and bond lengths will affects the behavior of the interfacial and bulk-like water inside neutral and charged nanopores.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the water and nanopore models and the details about the simulation method. In Section 3 we show and discuss our results, and the conclusions are presented in Section 4.

The Models

The Water Models

In this work we compare two water models, one rigid and one fully flexible. The rigid model, namely SPC/ ϵ ⁴⁰ model, is an improved SPC model with better depiction of the dielectric behavior which helped to have better force fields of monovalent ions.^{52,53} The another water model is the recently proposed FAB/ ϵ ,⁴² flexibility helps to study changes in structure due to other substances such as ionic liquids,⁵⁴ fully flexibility of the model: it has harmonic potentials at the O-H_{bond} and at the angle formed by the three molecule site, which helps to

improved the force field as in the CO₂ molecule.⁵⁵

While in the SPC/ ϵ model the atoms in each molecule are maintained connected by rigid constraints, in the FAB/ ϵ flexible model there is the addition of the harmonic potential in the O-H bond,

$$U_k(r) = \frac{k_r}{2}(r - r_0)^2 \quad (1)$$

and in the H-O-H angle,

$$U_\theta(\theta) = \frac{k_\theta}{2}(\theta - \theta_0)^2, \quad (2)$$

where r is the bond distance and θ is the bond angle. The subscript 0 denotes their equilibrium values, and k_r and k_θ are the corresponding spring constants.

For the intermolecular potential between two molecules the LJ and Coulomb interactions are used for non-polarizable models,

$$u(r) = 4\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \left[\left(\frac{\sigma_{\alpha\beta}}{r} \right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma_{\alpha\beta}}{r} \right)^6 \right] + \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{q_\alpha q_\beta}{r} \quad (3)$$

where r is the distance between sites α and β , q_α is the electric charge of site α , ϵ_0 is the permittivity of vacuum, $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$ is the LJ energy scale and $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ the repulsive diameter for an $\alpha - \beta$ pair. The cross interactions between unlike atoms are obtained using the Lorentz-Berthelot mixing rules,

$$\sigma_{\alpha\beta} = \left(\frac{\sigma_{\alpha\alpha} + \sigma_{\beta\beta}}{2} \right); \quad \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} = (\epsilon_{\alpha\alpha}\epsilon_{\beta\beta})^{1/2} \quad (4)$$

The table 1 shows the force field values of the models mentioned above.

Table 1: Parameters of the three-site water models considered in this work.

model	k_b kJ/ mol \AA^2	r_{OH} \AA	k_a kJ/ mol rad ²	Θ deg	ϵ_{OO} kJ/mol	σ_{OO} \AA	q_O e	q_H e
SPC/ ϵ ⁴⁰	-	1.000	-	109.45	0.705859	3.1785	-0.8900	0.4450
FBA/ ϵ ⁴²	3000	1.027	383	114.70	0.792324	3.1776	-0.8450	0.4225

The Graphene Model

The graphene walls were modeled as a highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) sheet. It is a highly pure and ordered form of synthetic graphite. It is characterized by a low mosaic spread angle, meaning that the individual graphite crystallites are well aligned with each other. The original force field for the carbons in this graphene model is composed only by a Lennard-Jones interaction.⁵⁶ Here, charges are included in every carbon atom to have an uniform surface charge densities, σ_S , as given by Shim et al.⁵⁷ The charges are increased from zero (neutral confinement) to create the desired voltage between the walls.

Table 2: Force field parameters of grafene model.

Model	q_C/e	$\sigma/\text{\AA}$	$(\epsilon/k_B)/\text{K}$
C	0.0143 [†]	3.401	35.46

[†]-example of charge for a uniform surface charge densities of $\sigma_S = 0.86\text{e}/\text{nm}^2$

Simulation Details

We employed Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulation in the *NVT* ensemble to study the behavior of the confined water inside a nano-capacitor. The nano-capacitor was constructed with two parallel SLG with 240 carbon atoms placed in an area $L_x = 2.39315$ $L_y = 2.39315$ nm separated by a distance of $L_z = 2.85$ nm. Then, 458 H₂O molecules were initially placed randomly inside the nano-capacitor space. Simulations with electric potential ranging from 0 to 150 V were performed. The electrical potential was created by inducing a surface charge density in the SLG parallel plates by including point charges in each carbon atom in order to get the desired value. The left wall is the positive plate and the right wall the negative one. The net charge of the system is zero.

All simulations were conducted using the molecular dynamics package GROMACS 2016.⁵⁸ Periodic boundary conditions were imposed in the *xy*-plane. The equations of motion were

solved using the leap-frog algorithm^{37,58} with a time step of 1 fs. The temperature was set to $T = 298$ K with the Nosé-Hoover thermostat⁵⁹ with coupling parameter 0.6 ps. The LINCS algorithm was employed for the rigid bonds. The Lennard-Jones potential was truncated at 10 \AA without any long-range correction in energy or pressure. The real part of the Coulomb potential was also truncated at 10 \AA . The Fourier component of the Ewald sums was evaluated with the particle mesh Ewald (PME) method⁶⁰ using a grid spacing of 0.35 \AA and a three degree polynomial for the interpolation. All simulations were run for 50 ns, where the first 5 ns are the equilibration time and the next 45 ns the production time, with a output frequency for positions and velocities at each 1000 steps.

Results




Figure 1: Density profile along the Z direction for the FAB/ ε model (a) and for the SPC/ ε model (b) for various potentials between the plates. Three regions are defined: region I, near the left wall, region II, the bulk-like region at the center, and region III, near the right wall.

Water (and fluids in general) under confinement assumes a layered structure near the walls and have a bulk-like region in the nanopore center.^{3,61-65} We observe the same behavior for both water models as illustrated by the density profiles along the z -direction for the distinct applied voltages are shown in figure 1(a) for the flexible FAB/ ε model and in graph 1(b) for the rigid the SPC/ ε model. The water central density is the value expected by the bulk H_2O

density of each model for the specific temperature and pressure, and the density profiles are similar to the observed in previous works for water confined by neutral and charged nanoplates.^{3,66-69} The same trends are observed for both H₂O models. To understand the distinct water behaviors inside the nanopore, we divided it in three regions: the contact layers in the region I - near the positive wall - and in the region III - at the negative wall and the bulk-like region II.

The distinct occupancy and layering in the regions defined by the slabs I, II and III can lead to distinct physical properties for the water molecules. In order to understand the role of model flexibility in water properties in each region we evaluated the molecule dipole moment μ and variation in the internal bonds and angles. These quantities are constant for rigid models and varies for flexible models. The dipole moment versus voltage for the different regions I, II and III, are shown in figure 2(a), while the H–O–H internal angles and the H–O bond length are shown in the figures 2(b) and (c), respectively. The results indicates that for the FAB/ ε model μ changes as the voltage increases in a non monotonic way. In the region II, μ presents values slightly above the bulk with a small increase with the voltage. In the region I, μ increases with the voltage. In the region II, μ decreases with the voltage below a threshold, $V < V^*$ (V^* is a threshold voltage), and increases above it.

The behavior of μ correlates with changes in the H-O-H angle, θ and the O-H_{bond} length as shown in figure 2(b) and (c). Near the cathode, in the slab region I, θ increases as V increases for $V < V^*$, a consequence of the repulsion between the H's atoms and the positive wall. Likewise, this repulsion compress the hydrogens in direction to the O atom, leading to a decrease of the O-H_{bond} length for $V < V^*$, as shown in the figure 2(c). These structural changes lead to the decrease in μ observed in the region I, $V < V^*$ in figure 2(a). Above this voltage threshold, μ increases with V . This change in the slope is followed by the structural changes. As V grows above this threshold, θ decreases and the O-H_{bond} length increases.

The inflection point in $V = V^*$ coincides with the voltage where the peak in the density profile moves closer to the charged wall, as we can see in figure 1(a) for $V = 75$ V and 150




Figure 2: (a) Dipole moment (b) H-O-H average angle (c) O-H average length for confined H_2O versus voltage in the distinct regions between the plates for the SPC/ ϵ rigid model (square) and FBA/ ϵ flexible (circles) water models. (d) Schematic view of the water near the neutral nanopore walls and close to the charged walls.

V. This indicates that the negatively charged O atoms are now closer to the wall, increasing the wall repulsion to the H atoms and increasing the bond length and the bond angle.

Near the anode, in the region III, we do not see the monotonic behavior of μ , θ and the H-O bond length. μ increases with V , as the bond length also increases and θ decreases, reinforcing the relations observed near the positive plate. Here, also the increase in μ is consequence of the molecules going closer to the negative wall. However, here the H atoms are attracted. As the graphene wall pull them in her direction, the bond length increases and θ decrease. The elongation in the bond length lead to the increase in the dipole moment. Also, is interesting to mention that the confinement changes the bond length (and μ) even for the bulk-like region II and neutral walls case. As reported by Fuentes and Barbosa,⁴² the bond length for the FAB/ ε in the liquid phase at 298 K and 1 bar is 0.9495 Å. However, for zero volts, the average bond length in the region II is 1.058 Å, this indicates that the molecule internal structure in the bulk-like regime is affected by the confinement.

The changes in the intermolecular bonds length and angle can also be observed in the Infrared (IR) spectrum of the flexible model. Analyzing the behavior of the IR properties with respect to the increase of the electrical potential, we can see in figure 3 that there are small differences that corresponds to the frequency at the maximum for the angle bending, the bond stretching and the bands. It's corresponds to the librational motion with symmetries A2, B2. As V increases, the intermolecular stretch definition is lost as shown in Table 3. This leads to the molecule becoming less flexible and the cage vibrations, that are located at 50 cm^{-1} , becomes more defined as the applied tension increases.

Table 3: Experimental and simulation IR data results of FAB/ ε water model.⁴² In liquid phase at 298K and 1bar of temperature and pressure respectively. Wave numbers(cm^{-1}) at the peak of the bands of the power spectrum

	Exp.	FBA/ ε
Cage vibrations	50	50
Intermolecular stretch	183.4	278
Librations A2	430	536
Librations B2	650	685
Bending (H-O-H)	1643.5	1613
Stretching (O-H)	3404	3060




Figure 3: Infrared spectrum of FAB/ ϵ flexible model around different voltages is compared with the experimental value of bulk liquid at 298K and 1 bar of temperature and pressure respectively.

The polarization factor G_k measures the equilibrium fluctuations of the collective dipole moment of the system and is related to the orientational correlation function. Kirkwood postulate that it should be possible to express ϵ in terms of a short-range orientational correlation function.⁷⁰ In this way, here we evaluate the polarization factor G_K ,⁷¹

$$G_K = \langle \mathbf{M}^2 \rangle / N\mu^2 \quad (5)$$

Here, N is the total number of molecules, M is the sum total of dipoles μ in the system. Local orientational correlations are averaged out by thermal motion after the first few coordination shells.

Analyzing the polarization values G_k and the average dielectric constant ϵ inside the pore as seen in the figure 4, the polarization have a great increment up the V^* and from there it




Figure 4: (a) Kirkwood factor G_k and (b) Total dielectric constant as function of the electrical potential for the two H_2O models. Black circles stands for the FAB/ ϵ flexible model and red squares for the SPC/ ϵ rigid model.

decreases, as the dielectric constant.

The changes in the water distinct dipole moment and the suppression of the molecule flexibility observed in the IR influences how the water behaves as a dielectric medium. The static dielectric constant ϵ of a polar liquid is related to the thermal equilibrium fluctuations of the polarization at zero field.⁷² Polarization fluctuations are long-range and vary with the shape of the dielectric body therefore, the confinement strongly affects the long-range interaction. We can see in the figure 4 that both flexible and rigid water have a similar behavior. For low voltages the dielectric constant decreases with voltage and reaches a minimum value. For voltages above V_{min} , the dielectric constant increases with voltage to a maximum, $V = V^*$. For $V > V^*$ the dielectric constant decreases with voltage. For the flexible model the maximum is located at V^* . The maximum values in ϵ for the FAB/ ϵ model is higher than the observed for the rigid SPC/ ϵ water. This is a consequence from the fact that for rigid models, variations in the dielectric permittivity are related to distinct orientations of the molecules, changing the molecular arrangement. For flexible models, besides the orientations, the variation in the internal bonds length and angle leads to stronger voltage effects in the dielectric behavior.

Is interesting to compare the total dielectric constant in bulk and confined for both

water models. For the rigid model SPC/ ϵ , the bulk dielectric constant is $\epsilon_{\text{bulk}} = 78$.⁴⁰ The comparison between this value and the dielectric constant of confined SPC/ ϵ as shown in figure 2 indicates that the confinement decreases the dielectric constant. This result agrees with previous computational and experimental studies^{23,25,73-76} that indicates that water dipoles tends to orientate parallel to the confining surfaces, suppressing the parallel contribution to the total dielectric constant and the total dielectric constant itself.

For FBA/ ϵ water we observe a distinct scenario. This model have, in bulk, a dielectric constant of $\epsilon = 76$. As we can see in the figure 4, the total dielectric constant in the confined regime is smaller than the bulk value for low applied voltages. However, in the voltage regime where the dipole moment of the interfacial water molecules in regions I and III sharply increases, above 50V, the total dielectric constant increases, becoming higher than the bulk value. This shows that not only the orientation of the water molecule at the nanopore interface affects the dielectric constant, but at high voltages the internal bonds can play a major role.

Finally, we analyze the dynamical behavior. Recent works have explored the relation between the dynamics of the contact layer and their electric properties. Experiments by Fumagalli and co-workers²³ have shown that the contact layer - with 3 molecules thickness - is electrically dead, with out-of-plane ϵ of only 2. Using simulations of the rigid SPC/E water model, Mondal *et al* observed that, despite electrically dead, this layers is not dynamically dead near a hydrophobic surface.³¹ For our hydrophobic case, when the voltage is 0V, the interfacial layer for the rigid SPC/ ϵ water has a slightly higher diffusion than the flexible FBA/ ϵ , as we can see in the figure 5, despite of have the same dielectric constant. Interesting, the diffusion of the contact layers for the SPC/ ϵ model reaches a minima at 22.5 V - like the dielectric constant. However, for the flexible model D increases, diffusing faster than the non-confined case, whose diffusion constant is $2.39 \text{ cm}^2\text{s}^{-1}$ at 300 K and 1 bar. This can be related also to the dielectric constant: the FBA/ ϵ water ϵ decreases less in comparison to the rigid model. As the voltage increases even more, both models achieves higher diffusion




Figure 5: Diffusion coefficients for the H_2O confined between two charged walls calculated in the different regions defined in figure 1 and for different electric potentials. Black circles FAB/ ϵ flexible model and red squares with the SPC/ ϵ rigid model.

than the non-confined case for the SPC/ ϵ model is $2.4 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$. This increase in the diffusion constant is followed by the increase in ϵ , reinforcing the relation between this two quantities for water under extreme confinement.

Conclusions

Molecular Dynamics simulations were carried out to study confinement of H_2O between two parallel graphene walls with a surface charge distribution, i.e a electric potential. Two different H_2O models were tested, the FAB/ ϵ flexible and SPC/ ϵ rigid models. At zero electric potential both models present similar structure along the nano-capacitor and when the electric potential is increased a strong structure is formed close to the walls. In particular the FAB/ ϵ model can adapt their structure and give us more information about this changes and if they are representative in the dielectric properties as the electric field increases.

Due to the dipole moment induced at very strong electrical potentials, the dielectric constant changes within the nanopore and, therefore, the electrostatic properties are expected

to change. We see a non-monotonic behavior of the total dielectric constant as function of the applied voltage, with a minima at 22.5 V. This minima is more pronounced for the rigid molecule, and is followed by a minima in the diffusion at the interfacial layer for this water model. The flexible molecule has a less pronounced minima in ϵ and did not show a minima in D . This findings helps to elucidate the role of the water model to understand the behavior of fluids confined at extreme conditions.

Acknowledgement

RFA acknowledge support from CONACYT, SECTIE, UAM Supercomputing Center (Yotla) for the computer time allocated for some of our calculations. MCB and JRB thanks the Brazilian science agencies - Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) (INCT-Fc) and Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES Print Program)

References

- (1) Chaplin, M. Anomalous properties of water. <http://www.lsbu.ac.uk/water/anmlies.html>, 2020.
- (2) Zangi, R. Water confined to a slab geometry: a review of recent computer simulation studies. *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **2004**, *16*, S5371.
- (3) Gao, Z.; Giovambattista, N.; Sahin, O. Phase Diagram of Water Confined by Graphene. *Schientific Reports* **2016**, *8*, 6228.
- (4) Muñoz-Santiburcio, D.; Marx, D. Confinement-Controlled Aqueous Chemistry within Nanometric Slit Pores. *Chemical Reviews* **2021**, *121*, 6293–6320, PMID: 34006106.
- (5) Lynch, C. I.; Rao, S.; Sansom, M. S. P. Water in Nanopores and Biological Channels: A

- Molecular Simulation Perspective. *Chemical Reviews* **2020**, *120*, 10298–10335, PMID: 32841020.
- (6) Passos, C.; Kuhn, P.; Barbosa, M. DNA denaturation in the rodlike polyelectrolyte model. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **2014**, *413*, 481–488.
- (7) Sun, F.; Yao, Y.; Li, G.; et al., Effect of critical thickness on nanoconfined water fluidity: review, communication, and inspiration. *J. Petrol. Explor. Prod. Technol.* **2019**, *9*, 1149–1159.
- (8) Bampoulis, P.; Sotthewes, K.; Dollekamp, E.; Poelsema, B. Water confined in two-dimensions: Fundamentals and applications. *Surface Science Reports* **2018**, *73*, 233–264.
- (9) Shen, J.; Liu, G.; Han, Y.; et al., Artificial channels for confined mass transport at the sub-nanometre scale. *Nat. Rev. Mater.* **2021**, *6*, 294–312.
- (10) Naushad, M. *A New Generation Material Graphene: Applications in Water Technology*; Springer, Cham, 1989; p 471.
- (11) Wei, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Gao, X.; Ma, Z.; Wang, X.; Gao, C. Multilayered graphene oxide membranes for water treatment: A review. *Carbon* **2018**, *139*, 964–981.
- (12) Xu, L.; Wang, J. The application of graphene-based materials for the removal of heavy metals and radionuclides from water and wastewater. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology* **2017**, *47*, 1042–1105.
- (13) Geng, J.; Kim, K.; Zhang, J.; Escalada, A.; Tunuguntla, R.; Comolli, L. R.; Allen, F. I.; Shnyrova, A. V.; Cho, K.; Munoz, D.; Wang, Y. M.; Grigoropoulos, C. P.; Ajo-Franklin, C. M.; Frolov, V. A.; Noy, A. Stochastic transport through carbon nanotubes in lipid bilayers and live cell membranes. *Nature* **2014**, *514*, 612–615.

- (14) Valenga, M. G. P.; Felsner, M. L.; de Matos, C. F.; de Castro, E. G.; Galli, A. Development and validation of voltammetric method for determination of amoxicillin in river water. *Analytica Chimica Acta* **2020**, *1138*, 79–88.
- (15) Thomas, M.; Corry, B.; Hilder, T. A. What Have We Learnt About the Mechanisms of Rapid Water Transport, Ion Rejection and Selectivity in Nanopores from Molecular Simulation? *Small* **2014**, *10*, 1453–1465.
- (16) Bordin, J. R.; Diehl, A.; Barbosa, M. C.; Levin, Y. Ion fluxes through nanopores and transmembrane channels. *Phys. Rev. E* **2012**, *85*, 031914.
- (17) Andreeva, D.; Trushin, M.; Nikitina, A.; et al, Two-dimensional adaptive membranes with programmable water and ionic channels. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2021**, *16*, 174–180.
- (18) Park, H. G.; Jung, Y. Carbon nanofluidics of rapid water transport for energy applications. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, *43*, 565–576.
- (19) Liu, Z.; Zhang, H.; Eredia, M.; Qiu, H.; Baaziz, W.; Ersen, O.; Ciesielski, A.; Bonn, M.; Wang, H. I.; Samorì, P. Water-Dispersed High-Quality Graphene: A Green Solution for Efficient Energy Storage Applications. *ACS Nano* **2019**, *13*, 9431–9441, PMID: 31386338.
- (20) Olabi, A.; Abdelkareem, M. A.; Wilberforce, T.; Sayed, E. T. Application of graphene in energy storage device – A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* **2021**, *135*, 110026.
- (21) Fuentes-Azcatl, R.; Domínguez, H. Carbon Dioxide Confined between Two Charged Single Layers of Graphene: Molecular Dynamics Studies. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **2019**, *123*, 23705–23710.
- (22) Sugahara, A.; Ando, Y.; Kajiyama, S.; Yazawa, K.; Gotoh, K.; Otani, M.; Okubo, M.;

- Yamada, A. Negative dielectric constant of water confined in nanosheets. *Nature Communications* **2019**, *10*, 850.
- (23) Fumagalli, L.; Esfandiar, A.; Fabregas, R.; Hu, S.; Ares, P.; Janardanan, A.; Yang, Q.; Radha, B.; Taniguchi, T.; Watanabe, K.; Gomila, G.; Novoselov, K. S.; Geim, A. K. Anomalously low dielectric constant of confined water. *Science* **2018**, *360*, 1339–1342.
- (24) Zhang, C.; Gygi, F.; Galli, G. Strongly Anisotropic Dielectric Relaxation of Water at the Nanoscale. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters* **2013**, *4*, 2477–2481.
- (25) Zhu, H.; Hu, B.; Hu, H.; He, W.; Huang, J.; Li, G. Tunable dielectric constant of water confined in graphene oxide nanochannels. *Journal of Molecular Liquids* **2021**, *324*, 115139.
- (26) Motevaselian, M. H.; Aluru, N. R. Confinement-Induced Enhancement of Parallel Dielectric Permittivity: Super Permittivity Under Extreme Confinement. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters* **2020**, *11*, 10532–10537, PMID: 33290076.
- (27) Motevaselian, M. H.; Aluru, N. R. Universal Reduction in Dielectric Response of Confined Fluids. *ACS Nano* **2020**, *14*, 12761–12770, PMID: 32966055.
- (28) Olivieri, J.-F.; Hynes, J. T.; Laage, D. Confined Water’s Dielectric Constant Reduction Is Due to the Surrounding Low Dielectric Media and Not to Interfacial Molecular Ordering. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters* **2021**, *12*, 4319–4326, PMID: 33914550.
- (29) Köhler, M. H.; Bordin, J. R.; da Silva, L. B.; Barbosa, M. C. Breakdown of the Stokes–Einstein water transport through narrow hydrophobic nanotubes. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* **2017**, *19*, 12921–12927.
- (30) Celebi, A. T.; Nguyen, C. T.; Hartkamp, R.; Beskok, A. The role of water models on the

- prediction of slip length of water in graphene nanochannels. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **2019**, *151*, 174705.
- (31) Mondal, S.; Bagchi, B. Water Layer at Hydrophobic Surface: Electrically Dead but Dynamically Alive? *Nano Letters* **2020**, *20*, 8959–8964, PMID: 33253589.
- (32) Cervený, S.; Mallamace, F.; Swenson, J.; Vogel, M.; Xu, L. Confined Water as Model of Supercooled Water. *Chemical Reviews* **2016**, *116*, 7608–7625, PMID: 26940794.
- (33) Sam, A.; Hartkamp, R.; Kannam, S. K.; Babu, J. S.; Sathian, S. P.; Daivis, P. J.; Todd, B. D. Fast transport of water in carbon nanotubes: a review of current accomplishments and challenges. *Molecular Simulation* **2020**, *0*, 1–20.
- (34) Xu, H. Probing nanopore structure and confined fluid behavior in shale matrix: A review on small-angle neutron scattering studies. *International Journal of Coal Geology* **2020**, *217*, 103325.
- (35) Yue Hong, Q. L. Progress of Scanning Probe Microscopy in the Study of Confined Water in Two-Dimensional Materials. *Chinese Science Bulletin* **2021**, *66*, 1689–1702.
- (36) Gravelle, S. <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02375018v1>.
- (37) Allen, M. P.; Tildesley, D. J. *Computer simulation of liquids*; Clarendon Press, 1989; p 385.
- (38) Underwood, T. R.; Greenwell, H. C. The Water-Alkane Interface at Various NaCl Salt Concentrations: A Molecular Dynamics Study of the Readily Available Force Fields. *Scientific Reports* **2018**, *8*, 352.
- (39) Fuentes-Azcatl, R.; Alexandre, J. Non-Polarizable Force Field of Water Based on the Dielectric Constant: TIP4P/ε. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* **2014**, *118*, 1263–1272, PMID: 24422512.


- (40) Fuentes-Azcatl, R.; Mendoza, N.; Alejandre, J. Improved SPC force field of water based on the dielectric constant: SPC/ ϵ . *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **2015**, *420*, 116 – 123.
- (41) Fuentes-Azcatl, R.; Barbosa, M. C. Thermodynamic and dynamic anomalous behavior in the TIP4P/ ϵ water model. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **2016**, *444*, 86–94.
- (42) Fuentes-Azcatl, R.; Barbosa, M. C. Flexible bond and angle, FBA/ ϵ model of water. *Journal of Molecular Liquids* **2020**, *303*, 112598.
- (43) Fuentes-Azcatl, R. Flexible model of water based on the dielectric and electromagnetic spectrum properties: TIP4P/ ϵ_{Flex} . *Journal of Molecular Liquids* **2021**, *338*, 116770
.
- (44) Yu, H.; Whitfield, T. W.; Harder, E.; Lamoureux, G.; Vorobyov, I.; Anisimov, V. M.; MacKerell, A. D.; Roux, B. Simulating Monovalent and Divalent Ions in Aqueous Solution Using a Drude Polarizable Force Field. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation* **2010**, *6*, 774–786, PMID: 20300554.
- (45) Halgren, T. A.; Damm, W. Polarizable force fields. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology* **2001**, *11*, 236 – 242.
- (46) Dang, L. X.; Rice, J. E.; Caldwell, J.; Kollman, P. A. Ion solvation in polarizable water: molecular dynamics simulations. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1991**, *113*, 2481–2486.
- (47) Hasted, J. B. In *The Physics and Physical Chemistry of Water.*; Franks, F., Ed.; Water (A Comprehensive Treatise); Springer, Boston, 1972.
- (48) Tsimpanogiannis, I. N.; Moulton, O. A.; Franco, L. F. M.; de M. Spera, M. B.; Erdős, M.;

- Economou, I. G. Self-diffusion coefficient of bulk and confined water: a critical review of classical molecular simulation studies. *Molecular Simulation* **2019**, *45*, 425–453.
- (49) Tsimpanogiannis, I. N.; Jamali, S. H.; Economou, I. G.; Vlugt, T. J. H.; Moulton, O. A. On the validity of the Stokes–Einstein relation for various water force fields. *Molecular Physics* **2020**, *118*, e1702729.
- (50) Abal, J. P. K.; Bordin, J. R.; Barbosa, M. C. Salt parameterization can drastically affect the results from classical atomistic simulations of water desalination by MoS₂ nanopores. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2020**, *22*, 11053–11061.
- (51) Berendsen, H. J. C.; Grigera, J. R.; Straatsma, T. P. The missing term in effective pair potentials. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry* **1987**, *91*, 6269–6271.
- (52) Fuentes-Azcatl, R.; Barbosa, M. C. Sodium Chloride, NaCl/ ϵ : New Force Field. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* **2016**, *120*, 2460–2470, PMID: 26890321.
- (53) Fuentes-Azcatl, R.; Barbosa, M. C. Potassium bromide, KBr/ ϵ : New Force Field. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **2018**, *491*, 480–489.
- (54) Fuentes-Azcatl, R.; Araujo, G. J. C.; Lourenço, T. C.; Costa, C. T. O. G.; Carneiro, J. W. d. M.; Costa, L. T. Dielectric behavior of water in [bmim] [Tf₂N] room-temperature ionic liquid: molecular dynamic study. *Theoretical Chemistry Accounts* **2021**, *140*, 125.
- (55) Fuentes-Azcatl, R.; Domínguez, H. Prediction of experimental properties of CO₂: improving actual force fields. *Journal of Molecular Modeling* **2019**, *25*.
- (56) Bonthuis, D. J.; Gekle, S.; Netz, R. R. Dielectric Profile of Interfacial Water and its Effect on Double-Layer Capacitance. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2011**, *107*, 166102.
- (57) Shim, Y.; Jung, Y.; Kim, H. J. Graphene-Based Supercapacitors: A Computer Simulation Study. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **2011**, *115*, 23574–23583.

- (58) Páll, S.; Abraham, M. J.; Kutzner, C.; Hess, B.; Lindahl, E. Tackling Exascale Software Challenges in Molecular Dynamics Simulations with GROMACS. Solving Software Challenges for Exascale. Cham, 2015; pp 3–27.
- (59) Tuckerman, M. E.; Liu, Y.; Ciccotti, G.; Martyna, G. J. Non-Hamiltonian molecular dynamics: Generalizing Hamiltonian phase space principles to non-Hamiltonian systems. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **2001**, *115*, 1678–1702.
- (60) Essmann, U.; Perera, L.; Berkowitz, M. L.; Darden, T.; Lee, H.; Pedersen, L. G. A smooth particle mesh Ewald method. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **1995**, *103*, 8577–8593.
- (61) Sobrino Fernández, M.; Peeters, F. M.; Neek-Amal, M. Electric-field-induced structural changes in water confined between two graphene layers. *Phys. Rev. B* **2016**, *94*, 045436.
- (62) Zokaie, M.; Foroutan, M. Comparative study on confinement effects of graphene and graphene oxide on structure and dynamics of water. *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5*, 39330–39341.
- (63) Krott, L. B.; Bordin, J. R. Distinct dynamical and structural properties of a core-softened fluid when confined between fluctuating and fixed walls. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **2013**, *139*, 154502.
- (64) Bordin, J. R.; Krott, L. B.; Barbosa, M. C. High pressure induced phase transition and superdiffusion in anomalous fluid confined in flexible nanopores. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **2014**, *141*, 144502.
- (65) Krott, L. B.; Bordin, J. R.; Barraç, N. M.; Barbosa, M. C. Effects of confinement on anomalies and phase transitions of core-softened fluids. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **2015**, *142*, 134502.
- (66) Xia, X.; Berkowitz, M. L. Electric-Field Induced Restructuring of Water at a Platinum-

- Water Interface: A Molecular Dynamics Computer Simulation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1995**, *74*, 3193–3196.
- (67) Harrach, M. F.; Drossel, B. Structure and dynamics of TIP3P, TIP4P, and TIP5P water near smooth and atomistic walls of different hydroaffinity. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **2014**, *140*, 174501.
- (68) Celebi, A. T.; Barisik, M.; Beskok, A. Surface charge-dependent transport of water in graphene nano-channels. *Microfluidics and Nanofluidics* **2018**, *22*, 7.
- (69) Zhao, Z.; Zhou, R.; Sun, C. Molecular Dynamics Study of Water Diffusivity in Graphene Nanochannels. *International Journal of Thermophysics* **2020**, *41*, 79.
- (70) Kirkwood, J. G. The Dielectric Polarization of Polar Liquids. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **1939**, *7*, 911–919.
- (71) Glättli, A.; Daura, X.; van Gunsteren, W. F. Derivation of an improved simple point charge model for liquid water: SPC/A and SPC/L. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **2002**, *116*, 9811–9828.
- (72) Fröhlich, H. *Theory of Dielectrics: Dielectric Constant and Dielectric Loss*, 2nd ed.; Oxford University Press, 1958; p 385.
- (73) Lee, C.; McCammon, J. A.; Rossky, P. J. The structure of liquid water at an extended hydrophobic surface. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **1984**, *80*, 4448–4455.
- (74) Tocci, G.; Joly, L.; Michaelides, A. Friction of Water on Graphene and Hexagonal Boron Nitride from Ab Initio Methods: Very Different Slippage Despite Very Similar Interface Structures. *Nano Letters* **2014**, *14*, 6872–6877, PMID: 25394228.
- (75) Sato, T.; Sasaki, T.; Ohnuki, J.; Umezawa, K.; Takano, M. Hydrophobic Surface Enhances Electrostatic Interaction in Water. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2018**, *121*, 206002.

- (76) Varghese, S.; Kannam, S. K.; Hansen, J. S.; P. Sathian, S. Effect of Hydrogen Bonds on the Dielectric Properties of Interfacial Water. *Langmuir* **2019**, *35*, 8159–8166, PMID: 31121091.



(a)

Figure 6: TOC Figure