

EQUIVARIANT CHERN WEIL FORMS AND THE FAMILIES INDEX THEOREM

RICHARD WEDEEN

ABSTRACT. We apply the equivariance \rightarrow families principle to a geometric family of Clifford module bundles with an action of a compact Lie group G to prove an equivariant version of Bismut's families index theorem on the differential Borel quotient of the geometric family.

In certain incarnations of the families index theorem, one considers a geometric family of Clifford module bundles over compact even dimensional Riemannian manifolds parametrized by a base S , i.e. an iterated bundle

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X \rightarrow S$$

where $X \rightarrow S$ is a fiber bundle with compact even dimensional fibers, a horizontal distribution, and a metric on the vertical tangent bundle $T(X/S)$, and $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ is a $\mathcal{C}\ell(T(X/S))$ -module bundle with compatible connection.

This is the setting considered in [Bis86], where Bismut adapted Quillen's ideas in [Qui85] to construct a one-parameter family of superconnections

$$(2) \quad \mathbb{B}^t : \Omega_S(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \Omega_S(\mathcal{H})$$

on the infinite dimensional vector bundle \mathcal{H} of fiberwise sections of $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ over S and proved that

$$(3) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \text{ch}(\mathbb{B}^t) = \int_{X/S} \hat{A}(\Omega^{X/S}) \text{ch}_{\mathcal{E}/S}(F^{\mathcal{E}/S})$$

where $\hat{A}(\Omega^{X/S})$ is the \hat{A} -genus of the vertical curvature and $\text{ch}_{\mathcal{E}/S}(F^{\mathcal{E}/S})$ is the relative Chern character of the twisting curvature of \mathcal{E} .

In this paper we consider the case when (1) has an action of a Lie group G preserving the geometric data. A similar situation was considered in [Fre16] which studied the case of a G -action on a geometric family $X \rightarrow S$ which induced a G -action on the determinant line bundle

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{L} \rightarrow S.$$

There, the G -action was used to construct an iterated map of differential Borel quotients

$$(5) \quad (\mathcal{L}_G)_\nabla \rightarrow (S_G)_\nabla \rightarrow B_\nabla G$$

over the simplicial sheaf $B_\nabla G$ of principal G -bundles with connection introduced and studied in [FH13]. The G -equivariant connection on $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow S$ from [BF86] was used to construct a connection on $(\mathcal{L}_G)_\nabla \rightarrow (S_G)_\nabla$ from which the moment map and curvature were computed. The curvature was identified with the G -equivariant curvature on \mathcal{L} using the identification of $\Omega_{(X_G)_\nabla}$ with the Weil-model for X [FH13].

We adapt these ideas and consider a geometric family of differential Borel quotients

$$(6) \quad (\mathcal{E}_G)_\nabla \rightarrow (X_G)_\nabla \rightarrow (S_G)_\nabla$$

fibered over $B_{\nabla}G$. From this we construct a family of superconnections \mathbb{B}_G^t on a bundle $(\mathcal{H}_G)_{\nabla} \rightarrow (S_G)_{\nabla}$ whose curvature is an equivariant form in the Weil-model for S . We also construct equivariant curvatures $\Omega_G^{X/S}$ and $F_G^{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{S}}$ on $(X_G)_{\nabla}$. We then prove our main theorem

$$(7) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \text{ch}(\mathbb{B}_G^t) = \int_{X/S} \hat{A}(\Omega_G^{X/S}) \text{ch}_{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{S}}(F_G^{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{S}}).$$

An outline of the paper is as follows. In §1 we set up the necessary differential geometry on manifolds and generalized manifolds, i.e. simplicial sheaves on the site of manifolds. In particular we construct the connection and curvature of the differential Borel quotient of a vector bundle with G -action and G -equivariant connection. In §2 we review the families index theorem as proved by Bismut following [BGV03]. Finally in §3 we construct the superconnection \mathbb{B}_G^t and prove the main result.

I thank Dan Freed, Charlie Reid, and Dan Weser for helpful discussions and the mathematics department at UT Austin that made this paper possible.

1. PRELIMINARIES

1.0.1. *Principal Bundles.* This section is a summary of the discussion appearing in [Fre16]. Let G, H be Lie groups and let $\pi : P \rightarrow X$ be a principal H -bundle with a G -action. We endow π with a G -invariant connection, i.e. a horizontal distribution $W \subset TP$ that is invariant under the G -action on the left and the H -action on the right.

Let $r : Q \rightarrow M$ be a principal G -bundle.

Notation. Given a G -space Y we can form the associated bundle

$$(8) \quad Y_Q := Q \times_G Y.$$

We will denote by $q : Q \times Y \rightarrow Y_Q$ the quotient and by $p : Q \times Y \rightarrow Y$ the projection. Finally, if $E \rightarrow Y$ is a vector bundle, we will write

$$(9) \quad \underline{E} := p^* E$$

to denote the pullback vector bundle of E over $Q \times Y$.

The map $Q \times P \xrightarrow{id \times \pi} Q \times X$ is G -equivariant and descends to a map $\pi_Q : P_Q \rightarrow X_Q$ which is a principal H -bundle. Suppose given a connection on r , i.e. a G -invariant horizontal distribution

$$(10) \quad V \subset TQ.$$

We use the given data to construct a connection on π_Q .

Construction 1.1. The distribution $V \oplus W \subset TQ \oplus TP$ is G -invariant and descends to an H -invariant horizontal distribution $q_*(V \oplus W) \subset TP_Q$.

Denote by $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}$ the Lie algebras of G, H and choose a basis (e_a) of \mathfrak{g} . Let $\Phi \in \Omega_Q^1(\mathfrak{g})$ be the connection 1-form on Q with $\ker(\Phi) = V$. We will write $\Phi := \phi^a e_a$ where the coefficients $\phi^a \in \Omega_Q^1$ are G -invariant. Let $\Theta \in \Omega_P^1(\mathfrak{h})$ be the G -invariant connection 1-form on P satisfying $\ker(\Theta) = W$. We set $\omega := \omega^a e_a \in \Omega_Q^2(\mathfrak{g})$ to be the curvature of Φ and $\Omega \in \Omega_P^2(\mathfrak{h})$ the curvature of Θ .

Finally, let $\Theta_Q \in \Omega_{P_Q}^1(\mathfrak{h}) \simeq \Omega_{Q \times P}^1(\mathfrak{h})_{\text{bas}}$ be the connection 1-form on π_Q with $\ker(\Theta_Q) = q_*(V \oplus W)$ and let $\Omega_Q \in \Omega_{P_Q}^2(\mathfrak{h}) \simeq \Omega_{Q \times P}^2(\mathfrak{h})_{\text{bas}}$ denote its curvature.

Lemma 1.2.

$$(11) \quad \Theta_Q = \Theta - \phi^a \iota_a \Theta$$

$$(12) \quad \Omega_Q = \Omega - \phi^a \iota_a \Omega + \frac{1}{2} \phi^a \phi^b \iota_b \iota_a \Omega - \omega^a \iota_a \Theta$$

See [Fre16] for a proof of this calculation.

1.2.1. *Associated Bundles.* We now consider the case where $\pi : P \rightarrow X$ is the principal $H := GL_N(\mathbf{C})$ bundle of frames for a complex vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ of rank N with a G -action. This action induces a left G -action on π that commutes with the H -action on the right.

Pulling back E along π gives the trivial bundle of rank N over the bundle of frames

$$(13) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\mathbf{C}}^N & \longrightarrow & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \end{array}$$

This implies that sections of E can be expressed as H -invariant functions on P valued in V , and more generally,

$$(14) \quad \Omega_X(E) \simeq \Omega_P(\mathbf{C}^N)_{\text{bas}}$$

where the basic forms are those that are horizontal and H -invariant under the action of H on both P and \mathbf{C}^N .

Suppose given a G -equivariant covariant derivative

$$(15) \quad \nabla : \Omega_X^0(E) \rightarrow \Omega_X^1(E)$$

on E . Using the identification (14), ∇ becomes a derivation on $\Omega_P(\mathbf{C}^N)_{\text{bas}}$ and can be expressed as

$$(16) \quad \nabla = d + \Theta$$

where $\Theta \in \Omega_P^1(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ is a G -invariant connection 1-form on P thought of as a matrix of 1-forms. Applying 1.2, we can construct a connection 1-form $\Theta_Q \in \Omega_{P_Q}^1(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ on $\pi_Q : P_Q \rightarrow X_Q$.

We now proceed to construct the covariant derivative on E_Q associated to this connection. First, we note that there are identifications

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega_{X_Q}(E_Q) &\simeq \Omega_{P_Q}(\mathbf{C}^N)_{\text{bas}_H} \\ &\simeq \Omega_{Q \times P}(\mathbf{C}^N)_{\text{bas}_{G \times H}} \\ &\simeq \Omega_{Q \times X}(\underline{E})_{\text{bas}_G}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1.3. *The connection Θ_Q induces a covariant derivative on $E_Q \rightarrow X_Q$, expressed under the identification (17) as a derivation $\nabla_Q : \Omega_{Q \times X}(\underline{E})_{\text{bas}} \rightarrow \Omega_{Q \times X}(\underline{E})_{\text{bas}}$, given by*

$$(18) \quad \nabla_Q := \nabla - \phi^a \tau_a.$$

where ∇ is understood to be the covariant derivative on \underline{E} obtained by pulling back the covariant derivative on E by p . Here, $\tau_a \in \text{End}(\underline{E})$ is the endomorphism

$$(19) \quad \tau_a := \mathcal{L}_{\xi_a} - \nabla_{\xi_a}$$

where ξ_a is the vector field generated by the action of e_a on $Q \times X$ and \mathcal{L}_{ξ_a} is the Lie derivative on $\Omega_{Q \times X}(\underline{E})$.

Proof. As a derivation on $\Omega_{Q \times P}(\mathbf{C}^N)_{\text{bas}_{G \times H}}$, the covariant derivative is expressed as

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_Q &= d + \Theta_Q \\ &= d_Q + d_P + \Theta - \phi^a \iota_a \Theta. \end{aligned}$$

where d_P, d_Q are the de Rham differentials on P and Q . The term $d_Q + d_P + \Theta$ on $\Omega_{Q \times P}(\mathbf{C}^N)$ becomes identified with $d_Q + \nabla = p^* \nabla$ on $\Omega_{Q \times X}(\underline{E})$ and the term $\iota_a \Theta \in \Omega_{Q \times P}^0(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ is identified with $\tau_a \in \text{End}(\underline{E})$. \blacksquare

The following lemma is standard and we state it without proof.

Lemma 1.4. *Under the identification $\Omega_{P_Q}(\mathfrak{gl}_N)_{\text{bas}_H} \simeq \Omega_{X_Q}(\text{End}(E_Q))$ the curvature of ∇_Q is given by (12), i.e.*

$$(21) \quad \nabla_Q^2 = \Omega_Q$$

1.4.1. *Generalized Manifolds.* We now enter the category of generalized manifolds with the aim of constructing a universal connection and covariant derivative. We first review some background on simplicial sheaves and refer the reader to [FH13] for proofs and details.

Let $\Omega^k : \text{Man}^{op} \rightarrow \Delta\text{-Set}$ be the simplicial sheaf that assigns a test manifold M the set Ω_M^k of k -forms on M . The *simplicial de Rham complex* [FH13] is defined to be the simplicial sheaf

$$(22) \quad \Omega := \left(\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \Omega^k, d \right)$$

which assigns an n -dimensional test manifold M the differential graded algebra of forms Ω_M on M .

We will have reason to consider formal series of forms in the completion of (22) and we review the construction here. For $k \geq 0$ let $\Omega^{\geq k} \subset \Omega$ be the ideal generated by forms of degree k . There is an inverse system

$$(23) \quad \dots \rightarrow \Omega/\Omega^{\geq k+1} \rightarrow \Omega/\Omega^{\geq k} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Omega/\Omega = 0$$

The completion is defined as the limit

$$(24) \quad \overline{\Omega} := \lim_{\leftarrow} \left(\dots \rightarrow \Omega/\Omega^{\geq k+1} \rightarrow \Omega/\Omega^{\geq k} \rightarrow \dots \right)$$

and can be identified with the direct product

$$(25) \quad \overline{\Omega} \simeq \prod_{k \geq 0} \Omega^k.$$

We will later use the completion to consider forms of unbounded degree on generalized manifolds. For now, we note that for a finite dimensional manifold M , the completion is isomorphic to the ordinary de Rham complex

$$(26) \quad \overline{\Omega}_M \simeq \Omega_M.$$

The Koszul complex associated to a vector space V is the differential graded algebra generated by $\Lambda^1 V$. It is given by

$$(27) \quad \text{Kos}(V) := \Lambda V \otimes \text{Sym}(V)$$

with differential

$$(28) \quad d_K(v) = \tilde{v}, \quad d_K(\tilde{v}) = 0$$

where $v \in \Lambda^1 V$ and $\tilde{v} \in \text{Sym}^1(V)$ is v regarded as an element of the symmetric algebra of total degree 2. As in (24) we let $\text{Kos}^{\geq k}$ be the ideal generated by elements of degree k and we define the *completed Koszul algebra* to be

$$(29) \quad \overline{\text{Kos}}(V) := \varprojlim (\cdots \rightarrow \text{Kos}(V)/\text{Kos}^{\geq k+1}(V) \rightarrow \text{Kos}(V)/\text{Kos}^{\geq k}(V) \rightarrow \cdots)$$

which can be identified with

$$(30) \quad \overline{\text{Kos}}(V) \simeq \Lambda V \otimes \overline{\text{Sym}}(V)$$

where $\overline{\text{Sym}}(V)$ is the algebra of formal power series on V^* .

We now recall some theorems from [FH13] and their corresponding statements on completions for future use.

Theorem 1.5 ([FH13] Theorem 8.1). *Let V be a finite dimensional real vector space. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$(31) \quad \eta : \text{Kos}(V^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{\Omega^1 \otimes V}$$

defined on $\ell \in \Lambda^1(V^*)$ by

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} \eta(\ell) : \Omega^1 \otimes V &\rightarrow \Omega^1 \\ \alpha^i v_i &\mapsto \langle \ell, v_i \rangle \alpha^i \end{aligned}$$

and extended to $\text{Kos}(V^*)$ by requiring that it be a morphism of differential graded algebras.

Corollary 1.6. *The isomorphism (31) extends to an isomorphism of completions*

$$(33) \quad \overline{\Omega}_{\Omega^1 \otimes V} \simeq \overline{\text{Kos}}(V^*).$$

Let $E_{\nabla} G$ be the simplicial sheaf of principal G bundles with connection and trivialization. We remark that pulling down the connection form along the trivializing section gives a weak equivalence

$$(34) \quad E_{\nabla} G \simeq \Omega^1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}.$$

Combining (33) and (34) gives

Corollary 1.7. *There is an identification*

$$(35) \quad \overline{\Omega}_{E_{\nabla} G} \simeq \overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$$

where $\overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*) := \Lambda \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \overline{\text{Sym}}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is the completed Weil algebra.

Similarly, for a smooth manifold Y we have

Proposition 1.8 ([FH13] Corollary 8.24). *There is an isomorphism*

$$(36) \quad \Omega_{E_{\nabla} G \times Y} \simeq \text{Kos}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_Y$$

which extends to an isomorphism of completions

$$(37) \quad \overline{\Omega}_{E_{\nabla} G \times Y} \simeq \overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_Y$$

We recall that the groupoid sheaf of principal G bundles with connection is weakly equivalent to the simplicial sheaf

$$(38) \quad B_{\nabla} G \simeq (E_{\nabla} G \rightrightarrows E_{\nabla} G \times G \rightrightarrows \cdots)$$

where the 1-simplices are determined by the G -action on $E_{\nabla} G$ and the higher simplices by group composition.

For a G -space Y , one can use (38) to define the differential Borel quotient as the simplicial sheaf

$$(39) \quad (Y_G)_\nabla := (E_\nabla G \times Y \rightrightarrows E_\nabla G \times Y \times G \rightrightarrows \cdots)$$

constructed using the G action on $E_\nabla G \times Y$.

The action of G on $E_\nabla G$ in (38) induces two derivations on the de Rham complex $\Omega_{E_\nabla G}$. The *contraction* ([FH13] Lemma 7.25) in the direction $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a degree (-1) derivation on $\Omega_{E_\nabla G} \simeq \text{Kos}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ which extends to a derivation on $\overline{\Omega}_{E_\nabla G} \simeq \overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. If we choose bases $\theta^a \in \Lambda^1 \mathfrak{g}^*$ and $z^a := d\theta^a \in \text{Sym}^1(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ dual to $e_a \in \mathfrak{g}$, we can express this as

$$(40) \quad \iota_{e_b} \theta^a := \delta_b^a, \quad \iota_{e_b} z^a := -f_{bc}^a \theta^c$$

where $f_{bc}^a := \langle e^a, [e_b, e_c] \rangle$.

The *Lie derivative* in the direction $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a degree 0 derivation \mathcal{L}_ξ on $\text{Kos}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ which extends to $\overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ and is induced from the coadjoint action of G on \mathfrak{g}^* . It is given by

$$(41) \quad \mathcal{L}_{e_b} \theta^a := -f_{bc}^a \theta^c, \quad \mathcal{L}_{e_b} z^a := -f_{bc}^a z^c.$$

These satisfy Cartan's relation

$$(42) \quad \mathcal{L}_\xi = d\iota_\xi + \iota_\xi d.$$

Similarly, there are two derivations ι, \mathcal{L} on the completed de Rham complex of $\overline{\Omega}_{E_\nabla G \times Y} \simeq \text{Kos}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_Y$. They act on $\overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ by the derivations given above and by contraction and Lie derivative on Ω_Y . Finally define the subcomplexes *basic forms*

$$(43) \quad (\overline{\Omega}_{E_\nabla G})_{\text{bas}} \subset \overline{\Omega}_{E_\nabla G}$$

$$(44) \quad (\overline{\Omega}_{E_\nabla G \times Y})_{\text{bas}} \subset \overline{\Omega}_{E_\nabla G \times Y}$$

to be those elements annihilated by \mathcal{L} and ι .

Theorem 1.9 ([FH13] Theorem 7.20, 7.28). *There are identifications*

$$(45) \quad \Omega_{B_\nabla G} \simeq (\Omega_{E_\nabla G})_{\text{bas}} \simeq (\text{Kos}(\mathfrak{g}^*))_{\text{bas}} \simeq \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G$$

$$(46) \quad \Omega_{(Y_G)_\nabla} \simeq (\text{Kos}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_Y)_{\text{bas}}$$

and they extend to identifications of their respective completions.

Let $r : Q \rightarrow M$ be a principal G -bundle with connection $\Phi \in \Omega_Q^1(\mathfrak{g})$ and curvature $\omega \in \Omega_Q^2(\mathfrak{g})$. We express $\Phi = \phi^a e_a$ and $\omega = \omega^a e_a$ where ϕ^a, ω^a are G -invariant forms on Q . Let

$$(47) \quad f : M \rightarrow B_\nabla G$$

be the classifying map which induces the pullback

$$(48) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Q & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & E_\nabla G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & B_\nabla G \end{array}$$

where \tilde{f} corresponds to the form Φ under the identification $E_\nabla G(Q) \simeq \Omega_Q^1(\mathfrak{g})$.

Using the map (32) we see that

$$(49) \quad Q \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} E_\nabla G \xrightarrow{\eta^{(\theta^b)}} \Omega$$

is the element of Ω_Q given by $\langle \theta^b, e_a \rangle \phi^a = \phi^b$. Pulling back forms along \tilde{f} gives the Chern Weil map

$$(50) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{f}^* : \overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*) &\rightarrow \Omega_Q \\ \theta^a &\mapsto \phi^a. \end{aligned}$$

The map \tilde{f}^* restricts to a morphism of differential graded algebras on $\text{Kos}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \subset \overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ and this defines the rest of the map. In particular, if we set

$$(51) \quad \chi^a := z^a + \frac{1}{2} f_{bc}^a \theta^b \theta^c$$

in degree 2 of $\text{Kos}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \subset \overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, we see that

$$(52) \quad \tilde{f}^* : \chi^a \mapsto \omega^a.$$

We also note that

$$(53) \quad \tilde{f} \times id : Q \times Y \rightarrow E_{\nabla}G \times Y$$

is G -equivariant and descends to a map

$$(54) \quad f_Y : Y_Q \rightarrow (Y_G)_{\nabla}$$

which induces a map on the level of forms

$$(55) \quad \begin{aligned} f_Y^* : (\overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_Y)_{\text{bas}} &\rightarrow (\Omega_{Q \times Y})_{\text{bas}} \\ \theta^a \otimes \alpha &\mapsto \phi^a \wedge \alpha \\ \chi^a \otimes \alpha &\mapsto \omega^a \wedge \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, let $E \rightarrow Y$ be a vector bundle on Y with a G action. Then (55) extends to a map on forms valued in sections of E

$$(56) \quad f_Y^* : (\overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_Y(E))_{\text{bas}} \rightarrow \Omega_{Y_Q}(E_Q)$$

by pulling back sections along $p : Q \times Y \rightarrow Y$.

1.9.1. *Covariant Derivative on Generalized Manifolds.* In this section we construct a covariant derivative on the differential Borel quotient of a vector bundle. As before, let $E \rightarrow X$ be a complex vector bundle with a G -action and a G -equivariant covariant derivative ∇ . The map $E_{\nabla}G \times E \xrightarrow{id \times \pi} E_{\nabla}G \times X$ is G -equivariant and descends to a map of Borel quotients

$$(57) \quad \pi_G : (E_G)_{\nabla} \rightarrow (X_G)_{\nabla}.$$

We will denote the bundle $E_{\nabla}G \times E$ by \underline{E} and we observe that it can be written as a pullback of simplicial sheaves

$$(58) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \underline{E} & \longrightarrow & (E_G)_{\nabla} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_G \\ E_{\nabla}G \times X & \longrightarrow & (X_G)_{\nabla} \end{array}$$

We define forms on $(X_G)_{\nabla}$ valued in sections of $(E_G)_{\nabla}$ by

$$(59) \quad \begin{aligned} \overline{\Omega}_{(X_G)_{\nabla}}((E_G)_{\nabla}) &:= \overline{\Omega}_{E_{\nabla}G \times X}(\underline{E})_{\text{bas}} \\ &\simeq (\overline{\Omega}_{E_{\nabla}G} \otimes \Omega_X(E))_{\text{bas}} \\ &\simeq (\overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_X(E))_{\text{bas}}. \end{aligned}$$

where we have used 1.8 in the second line and the grading is given by the total degree.

There is a covariant derivative on $\Omega_{(X_G)\nabla}((E_G)\nabla)$ over the algebra $\Omega_{(X_G)\nabla}$ given by

$$(60) \quad \nabla_G := d_K + \nabla - \theta^a \tau_a.$$

Its curvature

$$(61) \quad \Omega_G := \nabla_G^2$$

is a basic endomorphism valued 2-form, i.e. $F_G \in (\overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_X(\text{End}(E)))_{\text{bas}}$.

Lemma 1.10. *The equivariant curvature satisfies*

$$(62) \quad f_X^* \Omega_G = \Omega_Q$$

where Ω_Q is (12) and f_X^* is (56) applied to the bundle $\text{End}(E) \rightarrow X$.

Proof. Let $\bar{\nabla}_Q : \Omega_{Q \times X}(\underline{E}) \rightarrow \Omega_{Q \times X}(\underline{E})$ be the extension of $\nabla_Q : \Omega_{Q \times X}(\underline{E})_{\text{bas}} \rightarrow \Omega_{Q \times X}(\underline{E})_{\text{bas}}$ using formula (60). Similarly, let $\bar{\nabla}_G$ be the extension of ∇_G to $\overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_X(\text{End}(E))$ to all basic and nonbasic forms. Applying definitions shows that

$$(63) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_X(E) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\nabla}_G} & \overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_X(E) \\ \downarrow f_X^* & & \downarrow f_X^* \\ \Omega_{Q \times X}(\underline{E}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\nabla}_Q} & \Omega_{Q \times X}(\underline{E}) \end{array}$$

commutes. which implies that

$$(64) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_X^0(E) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\nabla}_G^2} & \overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_X(E) \\ \downarrow \tilde{f}_X^* = p^* & & \downarrow \tilde{f}_X^* \\ \Omega_{Q \times X}^0(\underline{E}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\nabla}_Q^2} & \Omega_{Q \times X}(\underline{E}) \end{array}$$

commutes.

Since sections of $\underline{E} \simeq p^*E$ are generated over the ring of functions $\Omega_{Q \times X}^0$ by $p^*\sigma$ for $\sigma \in \Omega_X^0(E)$, we see that $\bar{\nabla}_Q^2 = \tilde{f}_X^* \bar{\nabla}_G^2$ which implies $\nabla_Q^2 = f_X^* \nabla_G^2$. Applying Lemma 1.4 finishes the proof. \blacksquare

2. REVIEW OF THE FAMILIES INDEX THEOREM

We now recall the statement of the families index theorem [Bis86]. This section is based on [BGV03] to which we refer the reader for a more thorough exposition.

2.0.1. The Bismut Superconnection.

Definition 2.1. A *geometric family* is

- A smooth fiber bundle $X \xrightarrow{\pi} S$ with compact even dimensional fibers
- A horizontal distribution $H \subset TX$; i.e. π induces an isomorphism on the fibers $\pi_* : H_x \xrightarrow{\sim} TS_{\pi(x)}$
- A metric $g^{X/S}$ on $T(X/S) := \ker(\pi_*)$.

Let $(X \xrightarrow{\pi} S, H, g^{X/S})$ be a geometric family. We construct a connection $\nabla^{X/S}$ on $T(X/S)$ as follows.

Construction 2.2. Choose a metric g^S on TS and pull it back to a metric π^*g^S on H . The metric $g^X := g^{X/S} \oplus \pi^*g^S$ induces a Levi-Civita connection ∇^{g^X} on TX . The horizontal distribution induces a split short exact sequence of bundles

$$(65) \quad 0 \rightarrow H \hookrightarrow TX \xrightarrow[\iota]{P} T(X/S) \rightarrow 0.$$

Define $\nabla^{X/S} := P \circ \nabla^{g^X} \circ \iota$, i.e. the compression of the Levi-Civita connection to the vertical tangent space.

Remark 2.3. The proof that this construction is independent of the choice of metric g^S is straightforward and can be found for example in [BGV03].

Remark 2.4. The curvature of $\nabla^{X/S}$

$$(66) \quad \Omega^{X/S} := (\nabla^{X/S})^2$$

is a 2-form in $\Omega_X(\mathfrak{so}(T(X/S)))$.

Definition 2.5. A *geometric Clifford family* is

- A geometric family $(X \xrightarrow{\pi} S, H, g^{X/S})$
- A $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -graded $\mathbb{C}\ell(T(X/S), g^{X/S})$ -module bundle $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$
- A *Clifford connection* $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}$ on \mathcal{E} satisfying the Leibniz rule for Clifford multiplication: for v a section of $T(X/S) \subset \mathbb{C}\ell(T(X/S))$ and $\sigma \in C^\infty(\mathcal{E})$

$$(67) \quad \nabla^{\mathcal{E}}(v \cdot \sigma) = \nabla^{X/S}v \cdot \sigma + v \cdot \nabla^{\mathcal{E}}\sigma.$$

Remark 2.6. The $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -grading $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{E}^+ \oplus \mathcal{E}^-$ is an eigen-decomposition for the action of the *chirality operator*, $\Gamma \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C}\ell(TX/S))$, defined locally as

$$(68) \quad \Gamma := i^{n/2}e_1 \cdots e_n$$

where (e_i) is a local orthonormal basis of $T(X/S)$. It satisfies $\Gamma^2 = 1$ and its ± 1 eigenbundle is \mathcal{E}^\pm .

Let $(\mathcal{E} \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{\pi} S, H, g^{X/S}, \nabla^{\mathcal{E}})$ be a geometric Clifford family and let $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow S$ be the $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -graded infinite dimensional vector bundle whose fiber over $z \in S$ is the space of C^∞ sections of \mathcal{E} over $X_z := \pi^{-1}(z)$. We can identify its space of sections as $\Gamma(S; \mathcal{H}) := C^\infty(X; \mathcal{E})$ and we define forms valued in \mathcal{H} to be

$$(69) \quad \Omega_S(\mathcal{H}) := C^\infty(X; \Lambda H^* \otimes \mathcal{E})$$

where we are using that H is horizontal to identify $\pi^*\Lambda T^*S \simeq \Lambda H^*$. There is a $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -grading on \mathcal{E} and a \mathbf{Z} -grading on ΛH^* and we use the total (mod 2) to endow $\Omega_S(\mathcal{H})$ with a $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -grading.

A *superconnection* on \mathcal{H} is a differential operator \mathbb{A} on $\Omega_S(\mathcal{H})$ of odd degree such that

$$(70) \quad \mathbb{A}(\nu \cdot \sigma) = d_S \nu \cdot \sigma + (-1)^{|\nu|} \nu \cdot \mathbb{A}\sigma$$

where $\nu \in \Omega_S$ and $\sigma \in \Gamma(S; \mathcal{H})$. There is a decomposition $\mathbb{A} = \sum_i \mathbb{A}_{[i]}$ where

$$(71) \quad \mathbb{A}_{[i]} : \Omega_S^\bullet(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \Omega_S^{\bullet+i}(\mathcal{H})$$

raises the total degree by i .

It follows from the definition that $\mathbb{A}_{[1]}$ is a connection on \mathcal{H} . The other components $\mathbb{A}_{[i \neq 1]}$ supercommute with the action of the algebra of forms Ω_S which shows that $\mathbb{A}_{[i \neq 1]}$ acts by exterior multiplication by forms on S valued in vertical differential operators. More precisely, let

$$(72) \quad \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow S$$

be the bundle whose fiber over $z \in S$ is the space of differential operators on $\Gamma(X_z; \mathcal{E}_z)$ where \mathcal{E}_z is the restriction of \mathcal{E} to X_z ; a section of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ is a smooth family of vertical differential operators on \mathcal{E} . Then

$$(73) \quad \mathbb{A}_{[i \neq 1]} \in \Omega_S^i(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})).$$

There is a specific superconnection constructed from the data of a geometric Clifford family, the *Bismut superconnection*, which has components up to degree 2 and is defined as

$$(74) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{B} &= \mathbb{B}_{[0]} + \mathbb{B}_{[1]} + \mathbb{B}_{[2]} \\ &:= \mathcal{D} + \left(\tilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E}} + \frac{1}{2}k \right) - \frac{1}{4}c(T). \end{aligned}$$

The terms in the formula are as follows:

- $\mathcal{D} \in \Omega_S^0(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$ is the vertical Dirac operator given locally by

$$(75) \quad \mathcal{D} = \sum_i c(e_i) \nabla_{e_i} : C^\infty(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathcal{E})$$

where (e_i) is a local orthonormal basis of $T(X/S)$.

- For $\xi \in \Gamma(TS)$ and $\sigma \in \Omega_S^0(\mathcal{H})$, we define

$$(76) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_\xi^{\mathcal{E}} \sigma := \nabla_{\tilde{\xi}}^{\mathcal{E}} \sigma$$

where $\tilde{\xi} \in \Gamma(H)$ is the horizontal lift of ξ .

- $T \in C^\infty(\Lambda^2 H^* \otimes T(X/S))$ is the Frobenius tensor defined by

$$(77) \quad T(\eta, \zeta) = -P[\eta, \zeta]$$

for $\eta, \zeta \in \Gamma(H)$, where P is the projection onto the vertical defined in (65).

- $c(T) \in C^\infty(\Lambda^2 H^* \otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{E})) \subset \Omega_S^2(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$ is obtained by applying the Clifford map

$$(78) \quad c : \mathcal{C}\ell(T(X/S)) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{E})$$

to the Frobenius tensor T .

- $k \in C^\infty(H^*) \subset C^\infty(H^* \otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{E})) \subset \Omega_S^1(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$ is multiplication by the mean curvature tensor of the fibers. Using the horizontal distribution, both k and the vertical volume form $\nu^{X/S} \in C^\infty(\Lambda^n T^*(X/S))$ can be identified with forms of degree 1 and n in Ω_X . Then the mean curvature form is characterized by the equation

$$(79) \quad d\nu^{X/S} = k \wedge \nu^{X/S} + \sum_{i=1}^n \langle T, e_i \rangle \iota_{e_i} \nu^{X/S}.$$

Remark 2.7. \mathcal{D} is valued in vertical differential operators of order 1 on \mathcal{E} while $k, c(T)$ are valued in vertical differential operators of order 0.

The curvature of the Bismut superconnection

$$(80) \quad \mathcal{F} := \mathbb{B}^2$$

is an element of $\Omega_S(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$. We express it as

$$(81) \quad \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{D}^2 + \mathcal{F}^+$$

where $\mathcal{D}^2 \in \Omega_S^0(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$ is the Dirac Laplacian and $\mathcal{F}^+ \in \Omega_S^{i \geq 1}(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$ is a finite sum of forms valued in vertical differential operators of order 1.

Remark 2.8. The heat operator $e^{-t\mathcal{D}^2}$ of the Dirac Laplacian has a smoothing kernel and is therefore trace-class due to compactness of the fibers. We refer the reader to [BGV03] for a construction.

2.8.1. *Heat Operators and Smoothing Kernels.* We now recount from [BGV03] the definition of the heat operator for the Bismut superconnection and the argument to show it has a smoothing kernel with an eye toward generalization in the next section. To do so, we first recall some facts about smoothing operators.

Let

$$(82) \quad \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow S$$

be the bundle whose fiber over $z \in S$ is the space of smoothing operators on $\Gamma(X_z; E_z)$. A section $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})$ is a smooth family of vertical smoothing operators on \mathcal{E} and has a smooth family of smooth integral kernels $k \in C^\infty(X \times_\pi X; \mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}^*)$. The smooth family K acts on sections $\varphi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ by

$$(83) \quad (K\varphi)(x) := \int_{X/S} k(x, y)\varphi(y)d\text{Vol}_{X/S}(y)$$

where $d\text{Vol}_{X/S}$ is the vertical Riemannian volume measure for the vertical metric $g^{X/S}$.

The restriction of K to the diagonal $X \subset X \times_\pi X$ is a section of $\text{End}(\mathcal{E})$. Applying the supertrace on $\text{End}(\mathcal{E})$ to this section gives a function on X which can be integrated along the compact vertical fibers using the vertical volume form to give a smooth function on S . This is the *supertrace* on sections of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})$:

$$(84) \quad \begin{aligned} sTr : \Gamma(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})) &\rightarrow C^\infty(S) \\ K &\mapsto \int_{X/S} sTr(k(x, x)) d\text{Vol}_{X/S}(x). \end{aligned}$$

For $t > 0$ the heat operator is defined using the *Volterra series*

$$(85) \quad e^{-t\mathcal{F}} := e^{-t\mathcal{D}^2} + \sum_{k \geq 1} (-t)^k I^{(k)}$$

where $e^{-t\mathcal{D}^2} \in \Omega_S^0(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E}))$ is the heat operator for the Dirac Laplacian \mathcal{D}^2 (see Remark 2.8) and

$$(86) \quad I^{(k)} := \int_{\Delta_k} e^{-t\sigma_0\mathcal{D}^2} \mathcal{F}^+ e^{-t\sigma_1\mathcal{D}^2} \dots \mathcal{F}^+ e^{-t\sigma_k\mathcal{D}^2} d\sigma$$

is a section of vertical operators obtained by integrating a continuous family of vertical operators over the k -simplex $\Delta_k := \{\sigma \in \mathbf{R}_+^{k+1} | \sigma_0 + \dots + \sigma_k = 1\}$ with the standard Lebesgue measure. Note that (85) is a finite sum since $I^{(k)}$ is a form of degree $\geq k$ and therefore vanishes for large k due to the finite dimensionality of the exterior algebra $\Lambda(T^*S)$.

The proof of the following lemma can be found in the appendix to Chapter 9 of [BGV03].

Lemma 2.9. *Let $D \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$ be a smooth family of vertical differential operators. Then the vertical operator*

$$(87) \quad \int_{\Delta_k} e^{-t\sigma_0\mathcal{D}^2} D e^{-t\sigma_1\mathcal{D}^2} \dots D e^{-t\sigma_k\mathcal{D}^2} d\sigma$$

is a family of vertical smoothing operators, i.e. it is a section of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})$.

Proposition 2.10. *The section of vertical operators $I^{(k)}$ is a form on S valued in vertical smoothing operators, i.e. $I^{(k)} \in \Omega_S(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E}))$*

Proof. Since \mathcal{F}^+ is valued in sections of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$, applying Lemma 2.9 to each term gives the desired result. \blacksquare

Corollary 2.11. *For $t > 0$, the heat operator associated to the Bismut superconnection is a form valued in vertical smoothing operators, i.e. $e^{-t\mathcal{F}} \in \Omega_S(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E}))$*

2.11.1. *Bismut's Theorem.* We now recall the ingredients necessary to state Bismut's index theorem for geometric families.

There is a one parameter family of geometric Clifford families obtained by scaling the vertical metric to $\frac{1}{t}g^{X/S}$ for each $t > 0$. This gives rise to a one parameter family of Bismut superconnections

$$(88) \quad \mathbb{B}^t := t^{1/2}\mathcal{D} + \left(\nabla^\mathcal{E} + \frac{1}{2}k \right) - t^{1/2}\frac{1}{4}c(T)$$

which can be expressed as

$$(89) \quad \mathbb{B}^t = t^{1/2}\delta_t\mathbb{A}\delta_t^{-1}$$

if we set $\delta_t : \Omega_S(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \Omega_S(\mathcal{H})$ to be the operator that multiplies $\Omega_S^i(\mathcal{H})$ by $t^{-i/2}$.

The curvature of \mathbb{B}^t is given by

$$(90) \quad \mathcal{F}^t = (\mathbb{B}^t)^2 = \delta_t(t\mathcal{F})\delta_t^{-1}.$$

Using Corollary 2.11 the *Chern character* of \mathbb{B}^t is defined as the supertrace

$$(91) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{ch}(\mathbb{B}^t) &:= sTr(e^{-\mathcal{F}^t}) \\ &= sTr(e^{-\delta_t t\mathcal{F}\delta_t^{-1}}) \\ &= \delta_t sTr(e^{-t\mathcal{F}})\delta_t^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where we have extended the supertrace (84) to a map $sTr : \Omega_S(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})) \rightarrow \Omega_S$ on the level of forms.

Recall there is a map

$$(92) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha : \mathfrak{so}_n &\rightarrow \mathfrak{spin}_n \subset \mathbb{C}\ell_n \\ A_{ij} &\mapsto \frac{1}{4} \sum_{ij} A_{ij}c(e_i)c(e_j) \end{aligned}$$

The *twisting curvature* $F^{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{S}} \in \Omega_X^2(\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}\ell(T(X/S))}(\mathcal{E}))$ is

$$(93) \quad F^{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{S}} := (\nabla^\mathcal{E})^2 - c(\alpha(\Omega^{X/S}))$$

Here $c : \mathbb{C}\ell(T(X/S)) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{E})$ is (78) and $\Omega^{X/S}$ is (66). We refer the reader to Proposition 3.43 in [BGV03] for a justification of the statement that $F^{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{S}}$ is valued in endomorphisms that commute with the vertical Clifford action. In particular, $F^{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{S}}$ commutes with Γ defined in (68) and therefore preserves the $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -grading on \mathcal{E} .

The *relative Chern character* of $F^{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{S}}$ is then defined to be

$$(94) \quad \text{ch}_{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{S}}(F^{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{S}}) := 2^{-n/2} sTr(\exp(-\Gamma \cdot F^{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{S}}))$$

where n is the dimension of the even dimensional fiber of π .

We can now state the families index theorem:

Theorem 2.12 ([Bis86]).

$$(95) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \text{ch}(\mathbb{B}^t) = \int_{X/S} \hat{A}(\Omega^{X/S}) \text{ch}_{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{S}}(F^{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{S}})$$

3. THE EQUIVARIANT FAMILIES INDEX THEOREM

We now embark on proving an equivariant version of Theorem 2.12 using the tools laid out in §1.

Let $(\mathcal{E} \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{\pi} S, g^{X/S}, H, \nabla^{\mathcal{E}})$ be a geometric Clifford family with a G -action that preserves the geometric data. There is an iterated bundle of Borel quotients

$$(96) \quad (\mathcal{E}_G)_{\nabla} \rightarrow (X_G)_{\nabla} \rightarrow (S_G)_{\nabla} \rightarrow B_{\nabla}G$$

and pulling back (96) along the map (47) gives an iterated map of fiber bundles

$$(97) \quad \mathcal{E}_Q \rightarrow X_Q \xrightarrow{\pi_Q} S_Q \rightarrow M$$

associated to the principal G -bundle $Q \rightarrow M$ with connection Φ .

We recall that the total space of the bundle $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow S$ is infinite dimensional and we regard it as a sheaf of sets on the site of manifolds. In particular, a map from the test manifold M to \mathcal{H} consists of a map $\varphi : M \rightarrow S$ and a section of the pullback bundle $\varphi^*\mathcal{H} \rightarrow M$, which can be identified as a section of $\varphi^*\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \varphi^*X$. Thus the set $\mathcal{H}(M)$ fibers over $S(M)$ where the fiber over $\varphi \in S(M)$ is $\Gamma(\varphi^*X; \varphi^*\mathcal{E})$.

The action on sections of \mathcal{E} induces an action on the bundle \mathcal{H} . We use this action to construct the simplicial sheaf $(\mathcal{H}_G)_{\nabla}$ whose value on M is the simplicial set

$$(98) \quad (\mathcal{H}_G)_{\nabla}(M) := E_{\nabla}G(M) \times \mathcal{H}(M) \rightrightarrows E_{\nabla}G(M) \times \mathcal{H}(M) \times G(M) \rightrightarrows \cdots$$

This fits into a bundle of simplicial Borel quotients

$$(99) \quad (\mathcal{H}_G)_{\nabla} \rightarrow (S_G)_{\nabla} \rightarrow B_{\nabla}G$$

that pulls back along f to

$$(100) \quad \mathcal{H}_Q \rightarrow S_Q \rightarrow M.$$

There is a horizontal distribution $H_Q := q_*(V \oplus H)$ on π_Q obtained by applying Construction 1.1 to π , where V is (10). By G -invariance, the metric $p^*g^{X/S}$ descends to a metric $g_Q^{X/S}$ on $T(X_Q/S_Q)$. Thus we have shown

Lemma 3.1. $(X_Q \xrightarrow{\pi_Q} S_Q, H_Q, g_Q^{X/S})$ is a geometric family.

Applying Lemma 1.3 to $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}$ implies there is a covariant derivative $\nabla_Q^{\mathcal{E}}$ on $\mathcal{E}_Q \rightarrow X_Q$. Similarly there is a covariant derivative $\nabla_Q^{X/S}$ on $T(X_Q/S_Q)$. In fact, there is yet another covariant derivative ∇^{X_Q/S_Q} on $T(X_Q/S_Q)$ obtained by applying Construction 2.2 to the geometric family and they are equal:

$$(101) \quad \nabla^{X_Q/S_Q} = \nabla_Q^{X/S}.$$

We refer the reader to Lemma 16 of [Fre16] for a proof of this statement.

Remark 3.2. The curvature

$$(102) \quad \Omega_Q^{X/S} := (\nabla_Q^{X/S})^2$$

is a form in $\Omega_{X_Q}(\mathfrak{so}(TX/S)) \simeq \Omega_{\mathcal{B}_{SO}(T(X_Q/S_Q))}(\mathfrak{so}(n))$. It is identified with (12) when $P = \mathcal{B}_{SO}(T(X/S))$ is the bundle of frames on $T(X/S)$.

Proposition 3.3. $(\mathcal{E}_Q \rightarrow X_Q \rightarrow S_Q, g_Q^{X/S}, H_Q, \nabla_Q^{\mathcal{E}})$ is a geometric Clifford family.

Proof. In light of Lemma 3.1, we are left with showing that $\mathcal{E}_Q \rightarrow X_Q$ is a vertical Clifford module bundle with Clifford connection $\nabla_Q^\mathcal{E}$. Clifford multiplication on \mathcal{E}_Q by $\mathbb{C}\ell(T(X_Q/S_Q), g_Q^{X/S})$ is defined fiberwise as the action of $\mathbb{C}\ell(T(X/S), g^{X/S})$ on \mathcal{E} , i.e. sections $v \in \Gamma(X_Q; T(X_Q/S_Q))$, $\sigma \in \Gamma(X_Q, \mathcal{E}_Q)$ lift to G -equivariant sections $\tilde{v} := q^*v \in \Gamma(Q \times X; \underline{T(X/S)})^G$, $\tilde{\sigma} := q^*\sigma \in \Gamma(Q \times X; \underline{\mathcal{E}})^G$ and we set

$$(103) \quad v \cdot \sigma := q_*(\tilde{v} \cdot \tilde{\sigma}).$$

Recall that by Lemma 1.3

$$(104) \quad q^*\nabla_Q^\mathcal{E} = \nabla^\mathcal{E} - \phi^a \tau_a^\mathcal{E}$$

where $\tau_a^\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{L}_a - \nabla_a^\mathcal{E}$ in particular has the property

$$(105) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau_a^\mathcal{E}(\tilde{v} \cdot \tilde{\sigma}) &= (\mathcal{L}_a - \nabla_a^\mathcal{E})(\tilde{v} \cdot \tilde{\sigma}) \\ &= -\nabla_a^\mathcal{E}(\tilde{v} \cdot \tilde{\sigma}) \\ &= -\nabla_a^{X/S} \tilde{v} \cdot \tilde{\sigma} - \tilde{v} \cdot \nabla_a^\mathcal{E} \tilde{\sigma} \\ &= \tau_a^{X/S}(\tilde{v}) \cdot \tilde{\sigma} + \tilde{v} \cdot \tau_a^\mathcal{E}(\tilde{\sigma}) \end{aligned}$$

where we used the G -equivariance of $\tilde{v}, \tilde{\sigma}$ in the second and fourth equalities.

We now check

$$(106) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_Q^\mathcal{E}(v \cdot \sigma) &= q_* \left(\nabla^\mathcal{E}(\tilde{v} \cdot \tilde{\sigma}) - \phi^a \tau_a^\mathcal{E}(\tilde{v} \cdot \tilde{\sigma}) \right) \\ &= q_* \left(\nabla^{X/S} \tilde{v} \cdot \tilde{\sigma} + \tilde{v} \cdot \nabla^\mathcal{E} \tilde{\sigma} - \phi^a (\tau_a^{X/S} \tilde{v}) \cdot \tilde{\sigma} - \phi^a \tilde{v} \cdot \tau_a^\mathcal{E} \tilde{\sigma} \right) \\ &= q_* \left((\nabla^{X/S} \tilde{v} - \phi^a \tau_a^{X/S} \tilde{v}) \cdot \tilde{\sigma} + \tilde{v} \cdot (\nabla^\mathcal{E} \tilde{\sigma} - \phi^a \tau_a^\mathcal{E} \tilde{\sigma}) \right) \\ &= (\nabla_Q^{X/S} v) \cdot \sigma + v \cdot \nabla_Q^\mathcal{E} \sigma \end{aligned}$$

■

As before, there is a 1-parameter family of Bismut superconnections

$$(107) \quad \mathbb{B}_Q^t : \Omega_{S_Q}(\mathcal{H}_Q) \rightarrow \Omega_{S_Q}(\mathcal{H}_Q)$$

associated to the 1-parameter family of geometric Clifford families $(\mathcal{E}_Q \rightarrow X_Q \rightarrow S_Q, \frac{1}{t}g_Q^{X/S}, H_Q, \nabla_Q^\mathcal{E})$. For $t > 0$, \mathbb{B}_Q^t is an odd derivation over the algebra of forms Ω_{S_Q} defined on $\Omega_{S_Q}(\mathcal{H}_Q)$ by

$$(108) \quad \mathbb{B}_Q^t := t^{1/2} \mathcal{D}_Q + \left(\tilde{\nabla}_Q^\mathcal{E} + \frac{1}{2} k_Q \right) - t^{-1/2} \frac{1}{4} c(T_Q).$$

Here $\mathcal{D}_Q \in \Omega_{S_Q}^0(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_Q))$ is the vertical Dirac operator, $\tilde{\nabla}_Q^\mathcal{E}$ is the covariant derivative on \mathcal{H}_Q constructed from $\nabla_Q^\mathcal{E}$ as in (76), $k_Q \in \Omega_{S_Q}^1(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_Q))$ is multiplication by the mean curvature tensor (79), and $c(T_Q) \in \Omega_{S_Q}^2(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_Q))$ is Clifford multiplication by the Frobenius tensor (77). The curvature

$$(109) \quad \mathcal{F}_Q^t := (\mathbb{B}_Q^t)^2$$

is a form in $\Omega_{S_Q}(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_Q))$.

Lemma 3.4. *The vertical Dirac operator satisfies*

$$(110) \quad \mathcal{D}_Q = \mathcal{D}$$

in $\Omega_{Q \times X}^0(\mathcal{D}(\underline{\mathcal{E}}))_{\text{bas}}$.

Proof. The vertical Dirac operator $\mathcal{D} \in \Omega_X^0(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$ is G -invariant because the action preserves the vertical metric $g^{X/S}$. This implies $p^*\mathcal{D} \in \Omega_{Q \times X}^0(\mathcal{D}(\underline{\mathcal{E}}))$ is also G -invariant and it descends to \mathcal{D}_Q . \blacksquare

There is a projection [MQ86], which we call the *Mathai-Quillen map*,

$$(111) \quad \exp(-\phi^a \iota_a) : \Omega_{Q \times X} \rightarrow (\Omega_{Q \times X})^{hor}$$

onto horizontal forms on $Q \times X$, i.e. those that are annihilated by ι_a for all $e_a \in \mathfrak{g}$. It restricts to a map on G -invariants

$$(112) \quad \Omega_X^G \xrightarrow{p^*} \Omega_{Q \times X}^G \xrightarrow{\exp(-\phi^a \iota_a)} (\Omega_{Q \times X})_{bas}.$$

We note that the vertical volume form for the geometric family $(\pi, g^{X/S}, H)$ is G -invariant and we identify it with a form $\nu^{X/S} \in (\Omega_X^n)^G$ using the horizontal distribution H .

Lemma 3.5. *The image of $\nu^{X/S}$ under the Mathai-Quillen map is the vertical volume form $\nu^{X_Q/S_Q} \in (\Omega_{Q \times X}^n)_{bas}$ on the geometric family $(\pi_Q, g_Q^{X/S}, H_Q)$, i.e.*

$$(113) \quad \begin{aligned} \nu^{X_Q/S_Q} &= \exp(-\phi^a \iota_a) \nu^{X/S} \\ &= \nu^{X/S} - \phi^a \iota_a \nu^{X/S} + \frac{1}{2} \phi^a \phi^b \iota_b \iota_a \nu^{X/S} - \dots \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The restriction to a fiber of the form $\exp(-\phi^a \iota_a) \nu^{X/S}$ agrees with the vertical Riemannian volume form. Moreover, for any $\xi \in V \oplus H$, $\iota_\xi \exp(-\phi^a \iota_a) \nu^{X/S} = 0$. Since these two properties uniquely characterize ν^{X_Q/S_Q} , this concludes the proof. \blacksquare

Proposition 3.6. *The mean curvature satisfies*

$$(114) \quad k_Q = k - \phi^a \iota_a k$$

in $\Omega_{Q \times S}^1(\mathcal{D}(\underline{\mathcal{E}}))_{bas}$.

Proof. For the purposes of this proof only, we will call a form $\omega \in \Omega_{Q \times X}$ *irrelevant* if

$$(115) \quad \iota_{e_1} \cdots \iota_{e_n} \omega = 0$$

for (e_i) an orthonormal frame of the vertical tangent bundle, i.e. ω is irrelevant if it does not contain a factor of $\nu^{X/S}$.

We now apply the previous lemma. The equation characterizing k_Q is

$$(116) \quad \begin{aligned} d\nu^{X_Q/S_Q} &= k_Q \wedge \nu^{X_Q/S_Q} + \sum_{i=1}^n \langle T_Q, e_i \rangle \iota_{e_i} \nu^{X_Q/S_Q} \\ &= k_Q \wedge \nu^{X/S} + \text{irrelevant terms} \end{aligned}$$

The left hand side of (116) is

$$(117) \quad \begin{aligned} d\nu^{X_Q/S_Q} &= d\nu^{X/S} + \phi^a d\iota_a \nu^{X/S} + \text{irrelevant terms} \\ &= k \wedge \nu^{X/S} - \phi^a \iota_a d\nu^{X/S} + \text{irrelevant terms} \\ &= (k - \phi^a \iota_a k) \wedge \nu^{X/S} + \text{irrelevant terms} \end{aligned}$$

where we used G -invariance of $\nu^{X/S}$ to say that $\mathcal{L}_a \nu^{X/S} = [d, \iota_a] \nu^{X/S} = 0$ in the second equality. Matching the relevant terms gives

$$(118) \quad k_Q = k - \phi^a \iota_a k$$

as forms in $(\Omega_{Q \times X})_{\text{bas}}$. Let $(\Omega_{Q \times X}^{H_Q})_{\text{bas}}$ denote those forms annihilated by ι_ζ where ζ is a section of $T(X/S)$ and let $\pi_* \mathbf{R}$ denote the bundle on $Q \times S$ whose preimage over a point in $Q \times S$ is the space of smooth functions on the fiber. Under the identification

$$(119) \quad (\Omega_{Q \times X}^{H_Q})_{\text{bas}} \simeq \Omega_{Q \times S}(\pi_* \mathbf{R})_{\text{bas}} \subset \Omega_{Q \times S}(\mathcal{D}(\underline{\mathcal{E}}))_{\text{bas}}$$

(118) becomes (114). ■

Proposition 3.7. *The Frobenius tensor satisfies*

$$(120) \quad T_Q = T - \phi^a \iota_a T + \frac{1}{2} \phi^a \phi^b \iota_b \iota_a T - \omega^a P \xi_a$$

where P is (65) and ξ_a is the vector field on X generated by the action of $e_a \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Proof. We first set some notation. Given a split short exact sequence of vector bundles

$$(121) \quad 0 \rightarrow W \hookrightarrow E \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{j} \\ \xleftarrow{\iota} \end{array} V \rightarrow 0$$

we denote by $P_W^V := \iota \circ j \in \text{End}(E)$ the projection operator onto $\iota(V)$ with kernel W .

By definition,

$$(122) \quad T_Q := P_{H_Q}^{(T(X_Q/S_Q))} [P_{T(X_Q/S_Q)}^{H_Q} -, P_{T(X_Q/S_Q)}^{H_Q} -]$$

which is a tensor, i.e. an element of $\Omega_{X_Q}^2(T(X_Q/S_Q))$. To show (120), we will evaluate $q^* T_Q \in \Omega_{Q \times X}^2(T(X/S))_{\text{bas}}$ pointwise on vectors $\eta, \zeta \in T(Q \times X)$. By tensoriality, this value does not change regardless of how we extend η, ζ to global vector fields and we will make use of this freedom when doing the calculation.

Now we observe that pointwise

$$(123) \quad P_{T(X_Q/S_Q)}^{H_Q} \circ q_* = q_* \circ P_{\dot{\rho}(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus T(X/S)}^{V \oplus H}$$

and

$$(124) \quad P_{H_Q}^{T(X_Q/S_Q)} \circ q_* = q_* \circ P_{\dot{\rho}(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus V \oplus H}^{T(X/S)}$$

Here $\dot{\rho}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset T(Q \times X)$ is the subbundle generated the action of \mathfrak{g} on $Q \times X$. We will use $\dot{\rho}_X : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow C^\infty(TX)$ and $\dot{\rho}_Q : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow C^\infty(TQ)$ to denote the action of \mathfrak{g} on X and Q .

We now use our freedom and extend η, ζ to G -invariant vector fields on $Q \times X$. Then

$$(125) \quad \begin{aligned} (q^* T_Q)(\eta, \zeta) &= q^* P_{H_Q}^{T(X_Q/S_Q)} [P_{T(X_Q/S_Q)}^{H_Q} q_* \eta, P_{T(X_Q/S_Q)}^{H_Q} q_* \zeta] \\ &= q^* P_{H_Q}^{T(X_Q/S_Q)} q_* [P_{\dot{\rho}(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus T(X/S)}^{V \oplus H} \eta, P_{\dot{\rho}(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus T(X/S)}^{V \oplus H} \zeta] \\ &= P_{\dot{\rho}(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus V \oplus H}^{T(X/S)} [P_{\dot{\rho}(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus T(X/S)}^{V \oplus H} \eta, P_{\dot{\rho}(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus T(X/S)}^{V \oplus H} \zeta] \end{aligned}$$

where (123) and (124) were used in the second and third equalities.

We note that η has a decomposition

$$(126) \quad \eta = \eta_Q + \eta_X$$

into components in $TQ \oplus TX$, which has a further decomposition

$$(127) \quad \eta = \underbrace{(\dot{\rho}_Q \Phi(\eta) + \dot{\rho}_X \Phi(\eta))}_{\in \dot{\rho}(\mathfrak{g})} + \underbrace{(\eta_Q - \dot{\rho}_Q \Phi(\eta))}_{\eta_V \in V} + \underbrace{P_{T(X/S)}^H (\eta_X - \dot{\rho}_X \Phi(\eta))}_{\eta_H \in H} + \underbrace{P_H^{T(X/S)} (\eta_X - \dot{\rho}_X \Phi(\eta))}_{\in T(X/S)}.$$

There is a similar decomposition for ζ .

Continuing (125),

(128)

$$\begin{aligned}
(q^*T_Q)(\eta, \zeta) &= P_{\dot{\rho}(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus V \oplus H}^{T(X/S)}[\eta_H + \eta_V, \zeta_H + \zeta_V] \\
&= P_{\dot{\rho}(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus V \oplus H}^{T(X/S)} \left([\eta_H, \zeta_H] + \underbrace{[\eta_H, \zeta_V] + [\eta_V, \zeta_H]}_{\in V \oplus H} + [\eta_V, \zeta_V] \right) \\
&= \underbrace{P_H^{T(X/S)}[P_{T(X/S)}^H \eta_X, P_{T(X/S)}^H \zeta_X]}_{\iota_{\zeta} \iota_{\eta} T} \\
&\quad - \underbrace{\left(P_H^{T(X/S)}[P_{T(X/S)}^H \dot{\rho}_X \Phi(\eta), P_{T(X/S)}^H \zeta_X] + P_H^{T(X/S)}[P_{T(X/S)}^H \eta_X, P_{T(X/S)}^H \dot{\rho}_X \Phi(\zeta)] \right)}_{\iota_{\zeta} \iota_{\eta} \phi^a \iota_a T} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{P_H^{T(X/S)}[P_{T(X/S)}^H \dot{\rho}_X \Phi(\eta), P_{T(X/S)}^H \dot{\rho}_X \Phi(\zeta)]}_{\frac{1}{2} \iota_{\xi} \iota_{\eta} \phi^a \phi^b \iota_b \iota_a T} \\
&\quad - \underbrace{P_H^{T(X/S)} \dot{\rho}_X \omega(\eta, \zeta)}_{\iota_{\zeta} \iota_{\eta} \omega^a P \xi_a}
\end{aligned}$$

■

Applying the Clifford map (78) and the identification (119), we get

Corollary 3.8. *There is an equality*

$$(129) \quad c(T_Q) = T - \phi^a \iota_a c(T) + \frac{1}{2} \phi^a \phi^b \iota_b \iota_a c(T) - \omega^a c(P \xi_a)$$

of forms in $\Omega_{Q \times S}(\mathcal{D}(\underline{\mathcal{E}}))_{\text{bas}}$

3.8.1. *The Equivariant Bismut Superconnection.* We define the equivariant Bismut superconnection to be the derivation

$$(130) \quad \mathbb{B}_G : \Omega_{(S_G)_{\nabla}}((\mathcal{H}_G)_{\nabla}) \rightarrow \Omega_{(S_G)_{\nabla}}((\mathcal{H}_G)_{\nabla})$$

over the algebra $\Omega_{(S_G)_{\nabla}} \simeq (\overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_S)_{\text{bas}}$ given by

$$(131) \quad \mathbb{B}_G := \not{D} + \left(\tilde{\nabla}_G^{\mathcal{E}} + \frac{1}{2} k_G \right) - \frac{1}{4} c(T)_G$$

where the terms are given as follows:

- $\not{D} \in \Omega_S^0(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$ is the vertical Dirac operator. It is G -equivariant and defines a degree 0 map on $\Omega_{(S_G)_{\nabla}}((\mathcal{H}_G)_{\nabla}) := (\text{Kos}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_S(\mathcal{H}))_{\text{bas}}$.
- $\tilde{\nabla}_G^{\mathcal{E}}$ is defined as

$$(132) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_G^{\mathcal{E}} := d_K + \tilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E}} - \theta^a \tau_a$$

where $\tilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E}}$ is (76) and τ_a is (19)

- $k_G \in (\text{Kos}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_S(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})))_{\text{bas}}^{\bullet=1}$ is

$$(133) \quad k_G := k - \theta^a \iota_a k$$

- $c(T)_G \in (\text{Kos}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_S(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})))_{\text{bas}}^{\bullet=2}$ is

$$(134) \quad c(T)_G := c(T) - \theta^a \iota_a c(T) + \frac{1}{2} \theta^a \theta^b \iota_b \iota_a c(T) - \chi^a c(P(\xi_a))$$

where χ^a is (51).

Proposition 3.9. *The curvature*

$$(135) \quad \mathcal{F}_G := (\mathbb{B}_G)^2$$

is a form in $(\text{Kos}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_S(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})))_{\text{bas}}$ and it satisfies

$$(136) \quad f_S^* \mathcal{F}_G = \mathcal{F}_Q$$

Proof. The map

$$(137) \quad \mathbb{B}_G^2 : (\text{Kos}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_S(\mathcal{H}))_{\text{bas}} \rightarrow (\text{Kos}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_S(\mathcal{H}))_{\text{bas}}$$

supercommutes with exterior multiplication by forms in $\Omega_{(S_G)^\nabla}$, and therefore defines an element of $(\text{Kos}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_S(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})))_{\text{bas}}$.

Let $\overline{\mathbb{B}}_Q : \Omega_{Q \times S}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \Omega_{Q \times S}(\mathcal{H})$ be the extension of \mathbb{B}_Q using formula (107) with $t = 1$. Similarly, let $\overline{\mathbb{B}}_G$ be the extension of \mathbb{B}_G to $\overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_S(\mathcal{H})$. Then $\overline{\mathbb{B}}_G^2 : \overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_S(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_S(\mathcal{H})$. One checks that

$$(138) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_S(\mathcal{H}) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\mathbb{B}}_G} & \overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_S(\mathcal{H}) \\ \downarrow f_S^* & & \downarrow f_S^* \\ \Omega_{Q \times S}(\mathcal{H}) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\mathbb{B}}_Q} & \Omega_{Q \times S}(\mathcal{H}) \end{array}$$

commutes, which implies that

$$(139) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_S^0(\mathcal{H}) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\mathbb{B}}_G^2} & \overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_X(E) \\ \downarrow f_S^* & & \downarrow f_S^* \\ \Omega_{Q \times S}^0(\mathcal{H}) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\mathbb{B}}_Q^2} & \Omega_{Q \times S}(\mathcal{H}) \end{array}$$

commutes.

Since sections of $\mathcal{H} \simeq p^* \mathcal{H}$ are generated over the ring of functions on $Q \times S$ by $p^* \sigma$ for $\sigma \in \Omega_S^0(\mathcal{H})$, we see that $\overline{\mathbb{B}}_Q^2 = f_S^* \overline{\mathbb{B}}_G^2$ which implies $\mathcal{F}_Q = f_S^* \mathcal{F}_G$. \blacksquare

We can write

$$(140) \quad \mathcal{F}_G = \mathcal{D}^2 + \mathcal{F}_G^+$$

where $\mathcal{F}_G^+ \in (\overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))_{\text{bas}}$ is a form with terms of degree between 1 and 4, valued in vertical differential operators of order 1.

As in (85) we define the heat operator of the equivariant Bismut superconnection as the Volterra series

$$(141) \quad e^{-t\mathcal{F}_G} := e^{-t\mathcal{D}^2} + \sum_{k \geq 1} (-t)^k I_G^{(k)}$$

where

$$(142) \quad I_G^{(k)} := \int_{\Delta_k} e^{-t\sigma_0 \mathbb{D}^2} \mathcal{F}_G^+ e^{-t\sigma_1 \mathbb{D}^2} \dots \mathcal{F}_G^+ e^{-t\sigma_k \mathbb{D}^2} d\sigma.$$

A notable difference to (85) is that (141) defines a form of unbounded degree on $(S_G)_\nabla$ with coefficients in vertical operators on \mathcal{E} . However, we have the following analogous statements

Proposition 3.10. *The operators $I_G^{(k)}$ are forms of degree $\geq k$ on $(S_G)_\nabla$ valued in vertical smoothing operators, i.e. $I_G^{(k)} \in (\overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_S(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})))_{\text{bas}}$.*

Proof. The form \mathcal{F}_G^+ is valued in sections of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ so applying Lemma (2.9) to each term shows that $I_G^{(k)}$ is valued in sections of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})$. It is clear that $I_G^{(k)}$ is horizontal and G -invariant. \blacksquare

Corollary 3.11. *For $t > 0$, the heat operator is an unbounded form valued in vertical smoothing operators, i.e. $e^{-t\mathcal{F}_G} \in (\overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_S(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})))_{\text{bas}}$.*

Lemma 3.12. *The supertrace*

$$(143) \quad sTr : \overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_S(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})) \rightarrow \overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_S$$

is G -equivariant.

Proof. For $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})$, $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{E})$, and $g \in G$ we have

$$(144) \quad \begin{aligned} (g.K)(\varphi) &= gK(g^{-1}.\varphi) \\ &= g \int_{X/S} k(x, y) \varphi(g.y) \\ &= \int_{X/S} k(g^{-1}x, y) \varphi(g.y) d\text{Vol}_{X/S}(y) \\ &= \int_{X/S} k(g^{-1}x, g^{-1}y) \varphi(y) d\text{Vol}_{X/S}(y) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the G -invariance of $g^{X/S}$ in the fourth equality. Thus

$$(145) \quad \begin{aligned} sTr(g.K)(z) &= \int_{X_z/S_z} sTr(k(g^{-1}x, g^{-1}x)) d\text{Vol}_{X/S}(x) \\ &= sTr(K)(g^{-1}.z) = g.sTr(K)(z) \end{aligned}$$

\blacksquare

Lemma 3.12 implies that the map

$$(146) \quad 1 \otimes sTr : \overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_S(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})) \rightarrow \overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_S$$

is G -equivariant and preserves horizontal forms so it restricts to a map on basic forms

$$(147) \quad sTr : (\overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_S(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})))_{\text{bas}} \rightarrow (\overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_S)_{\text{bas}}.$$

Analogous to (88), for $t > 0$ the scaled equivariant superconnection satisfies

$$(148) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{B}_G^t &:= t^{1/2} \mathbb{D} + \left(\tilde{\nabla}_G^\mathcal{E} + \frac{1}{2} k_G \right) - t^{-1/2} \frac{1}{4} c(T)_G \\ &= t^{1/2} \delta_t \circ \mathbb{B}_G \circ \delta_t^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We now define the Chern character of the scaled equivariant superconnection to be

$$\begin{aligned}
(149) \quad \text{ch}(\mathbb{B}_G^t) &:= sTr(e^{-\mathcal{F}_G^t}) \\
&= sTr(e^{-\delta_t t \mathcal{F}_G \delta_t^{-1}}) \\
&= \delta_t sTr(e^{-t \mathcal{F}_G}) \delta_t^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

which is a form in $\Omega_{(S_G)_\nabla}$.

Proposition 3.13. *The Chern Weil map (55) applied to the Chern character is a form in Ω_{S_Q} that satisfies*

$$(150) \quad f_S^* \text{ch}(\mathbb{B}_G^t) = \text{ch}(\mathbb{B}_Q^t)$$

Proof. It follows from 3.9 that

$$(151) \quad f_S^* e^{-t \mathcal{F}_G} = e^{-t \mathcal{F}_Q}$$

and a check of the definitions shows that

$$(152) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
(\overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_S(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})))_{\text{bas}} & \xrightarrow{sTr} & (\overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_S)_{\text{bas}} \\
\downarrow f_S^* & & \downarrow f_S^* \\
\Omega_{S_Q}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E}_Q)) & \xrightarrow{sTr} & \Omega_{S_Q}
\end{array}$$

commutes. ■

Let $\nabla_G^{X/S}$ be the covariant derivative on $(\overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_X(T(X/S)))_{\text{bas}}$ given by

$$(153) \quad \nabla_G^{X/S} := d_K + \nabla^{X/S} - \theta^a \tau_a.$$

Its curvature

$$(154) \quad \Omega_G^{X/S} := (\nabla_G^{X/S})^2$$

is a form in $(\text{Kos}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_X(\mathfrak{so}(T(X/S))))_{\text{bas}}$. By Lemma 1.10, it satisfies

$$(155) \quad f_X^* \Omega_G^{X/S} = \Omega_Q^{X/S}$$

where $\Omega_Q^{X/S}$ is (102). The \hat{A} polynomial applied to the curvature $\Omega_G^{X/S}$ is the unbounded form

$$(156) \quad \hat{A}(\Omega_G^{X/S}) := \det^{1/2} \left(\frac{\Omega_G^{X/S}/2}{\sinh(\Omega_G^{X/S}/2)} \right)$$

lying in $(\overline{\text{Kos}}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_X(\mathfrak{so}(T(X/S))))_{\text{bas}}$. Applying (155) gives

$$(157) \quad \hat{A}(\Omega_Q^{X/S}) = f_X^* \hat{A}(\Omega_G^{X/S}).$$

Finally, let $F_G^\mathcal{E} \in (\text{Kos}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_X(\text{End}(\mathcal{E})))_{\text{bas}}$ be the curvature of $\nabla_G^\mathcal{E}$ and set

$$(158) \quad F_G^{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{S}} := F_G^\mathcal{E} - c(\alpha(\Omega_G^{X/S}))$$

in $(\text{Kos}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_X(\text{End}(\mathcal{E})))_{\text{bas}}$, where $\alpha : \mathfrak{so}(n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{spin}_n \subset \mathbb{C}\ell_n$ is (92) and $c : \mathbb{C}\ell(T(X/S)) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{E})$ is the Clifford map. Again, Lemma 1.10 implies that

$$(159) \quad F_Q^{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{S}} = f_X^* F_G^{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{S}}$$

and applying the relative chern character (94) gives the relation

$$(160) \quad \text{ch}_{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{S}}(F_Q^{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{S}}) = f_X^* \text{ch}_{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{S}}(F_G^{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{S}}).$$

We now prove the main theorem.

Theorem 3.14. *There is an equality*

$$(161) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \text{ch}(\mathbb{B}_G^t) = \int_{X/S} \hat{A}(\Omega_G^{X/S}) \text{ch}_{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{S}}(F_G^{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{S}}).$$

of unbounded forms in $\overline{\Omega}_{(S_G)_\nabla}$.

Proof. The statement is proved if we show that the equation is satisfied on pullbacks along maps $f : M \rightarrow B_\nabla G$:

$$(162) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} f_S^* \text{ch}(\mathbb{B}_G^t) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \text{ch}(\mathbb{B}_Q^t) \\ &= \int_{X_Q/S_Q} \hat{A}(\Omega_Q^{X/S}) \text{ch}_{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{S}}(F_Q^{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{S}}) \\ &= \int_{X_Q/S_Q} f_X^* \hat{A}(\Omega_G^{X/S}) f_X^* \text{ch}_{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{S}}(F_G^{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{S}}) \\ &= f_S^* \int_{X/S} \hat{A}(\Omega_G^{X/S}) \text{ch}_{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{S}}(F_G^{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{S}}) \end{aligned}$$

where we used Proposition 3.13 in the first equality and Theorem 2.12 in the second. The fourth equality follows from the equality

$$(163) \quad \int_{(Q \times X)/(Q \times S)} p^* \omega = p^* \int_{X/S} \omega$$

for all $\omega \in \Omega_X$. ■

REFERENCES

- [BF86] Jean-Michel Bismut and Daniel S. Freed. The analysis of elliptic families. i. metrics and connections on determinant bundles. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 106(1):159–176, 1986.
- [BGV03] Nicole Berline, Ezra Getzler, and Michele Vergne. *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2003.
- [Bis86] Jean-Michel Bismut. The atiyah-singer index theorem for families of dirac operators: Two heat equation proofs. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 83(1):91–151, 1986.
- [FH13] Daniel S. Freed and Michael J. Hopkins. Chern-weil forms and abstract homotopy theory, 2013.
- [Fre16] Daniel S. Freed. On equivariant chern-weil forms and determinant lines, 2016.
- [MQ86] Varghese Mathai and Daniel Quillen. Superconnections, thom classes, and equivariant differential forms. *Topology*, 25(1):85–110, 1986.
- [Qui85] Daniel Quillen. Superconnections and the chern character. *Topology*, 24(1):89–95, 1985.

(Richard Wedeen) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN, 2515 SPEEDWAY, AUSTIN TX, 78712, USA

Email address: `rwedeen@math.utexas.edu`