

Investigating the $\eta'_1(1855)$ exotic state in the $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'_1(1855)\eta^{(\prime)}$ decays

Yao Yu,^{1,2,*} Zhuang Xiong,¹ Han Zhang,³ Bai-Cian Ke,^{3,†} Yi Teng,¹ Qing-Shan Liu,¹ and Jia-Wei Zhang^{4,‡}

¹*Chongqing University of Posts & Telecommunications, Chongqing, 400065, China*

²*Department of Physics and Chongqing Key Laboratory for Strongly Coupled Physics, Chongqing University, Chongqing 401331, People's Republic of China*

³*School of Physics and Microelectronics, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan 450001, China*

⁴*Department of Physics, Chongqing University of Science and Technology, Chongqing, 401331, China*

(Dated: May 16, 2023)

Abstract

An analysis of the $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta\eta'\gamma$ decay by the BESIII collaboration claims the observation of an exotic state $\eta_1(1855)$ with $I^G J^{PC} = 0^+ 1^{-+}$. To establish its C-parity partner $\eta'_1(1855)$ in the picture of the $K\bar{K}_1(1400)$ molecular state, we propose that $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'_1(1855)\eta^{(\prime)}$ receives the main contributions from the final state interaction of $KK^*(K^+K^{*-}, K^-K^{*+}, K^0\bar{K}^{*0}, \text{ and } \bar{K}^0K^{*0})$. Specifically, K and K^* in $J/\psi \rightarrow KK^*$ decays transform as $\eta'_1(1855)\eta^{(\prime)}$, by exchanging a $K_1(1400)$. We predict $\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'_1(1855)\eta) = (6.3_{-3.5}^{+12.6}) \times 10^{-6}$, and $\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'_1(1855)\eta') = (6.5_{-4.6}^{+6.6}) \times 10^{-6}$, which can be studied in the $J/\psi \rightarrow K^*\bar{K}^*\eta^{(\prime)}$ decays.

* Corresponding author: yuyao@cqupt.edu.cn

† Corresponding author: baiciank@ihep.ac.cn

‡ Corresponding author: jwzhang@cqust.edu.cn

I. INTRODUCTION

Although most conventional hadrons are mesons or baryon, Quantum Chromodynamics actually allows the existence of other types of states, called exotic states as long as the color confinement is satisfied. One decisive way to judge whether a meson is exotic states or not is to examine its J^{PC} , for which conventional mesons can't have quantum numbers $J^{PC} = 0^{--}$, (even) $^{+-}$, and (odd) $^{-+}$. The BESIII Collaboration has recently observed a new state $\eta_1(1855) \equiv \eta_1$ with quantum numbers $J^{PC} = 1^{-+}$ on the $\eta\eta'$ invariant mass spectrum of the $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta\eta'\gamma$ decay [1, 2] and determined the mass and width to be

$$m_{\eta_1} = (1.855 \pm 0.009_{-0.001}^{+0.006}) \text{ GeV}, \Gamma_{\eta_1} = (188 \pm 18_{-8}^{+3}) \text{ MeV}. \quad (1)$$

The J^{PC} of η_1 unambiguously indicates it is an exotic state. However, it deserves more efforts to further determine which type of exotic states the η_1 is.

Many theoretical hypotheses interpreting the nature of η_1 have been proposed immediately after its observation, such as an $\bar{s}sg$ isoscalar hybrid meson [3–7] or a tetraquark state [8], but the mass' being around the threshold of total mass of K and $\bar{K}_1(1400) \equiv \bar{K}_1$ makes the η_1 more naturally to be interpreted as a $K\bar{K}_1$ +c.c. molecular state [9–11]. ($K\bar{K}_1$ denotes the various combinations $K^+K_1^-$, $K^-K_1^+$, $K^0\bar{K}_1^0$, and $\bar{K}^0K_1^0$ in the following.) Reference [10] has showed the binding energies of the isoscalar $K\bar{K}_1(1400)$ are all negative in various situations in its Fig. 2 and proved the attractive force between K and \bar{K}_1 , by exchanging mesons, is strong enough to form a bound state using the one-boson-exchange model. This shows the newly discovered η_1 could be the candidate of a $K\bar{K}_1$ molecular state with $J^{PC} = 1^{-+}$. At the same time, the molecular model uniquely predicted that $\eta_1(1855)$ should have a C-parity partner with $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$, called $\eta_1'(1855) \equiv \eta_1'$ [10, 11]. Hence, examining existence of the η_1' is very important to decide whether the $\eta_1^{(\prime)}$ are molecular states or not.

In this paper, we analyze the productions of $\eta_1^{(\prime)}$, assuming they are $K\bar{K}_1$ bound states, in the $J/\Psi \rightarrow \eta_1'\eta^{(\prime)}$ decays. In principle, all the possible bases that can connect the initial state J/Ψ and final state $\eta_1'\eta^{(\prime)}$ should be considered. The direct estimations of these production process at the quark level are difficult, but the loops composed by hadrons can be regarded as the major contributions as indicated in Refs. [12, 13]. The dominant diagrams contributing to $J/\Psi \rightarrow \eta_1'\eta^{(\prime)}$, as depicted in Fig. 1, can give large enough branching ratios to be observed. The η_1' could be produced through the final state interaction in the $J/\psi \rightarrow K\bar{K}^*$ decay




FIG. 1. Rescattering $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_1' \eta^{(l)}$ decays.

($KK^* = K^+K^{*-}, K^-K^{*+}, K^0\bar{K}^{*0}$, and \bar{K}^0K^{*0}), followed by the K - K^* rescattering. The K and K^* then transform to $\eta_1' \eta^{(l)}$ with the K_1 exchange in the triangle-rescattering process. The size of the contribution from this triangle-rescattering effect highly depends on the couplings of involved intermediate interactions, which are crucial and required in calculation of the triangle loop. Fortunately, the branching fractions of the $J/\psi \rightarrow KK^*$ and $K_1 \rightarrow K^*\pi$ decays have been measured to be at 10^{-2} level and almost 100%, respectively [14], implying a strong coupling constant $g_{K_1K^*\eta^{(l)}}$ with the helping of the SU(3) flavour symmetry. In addition, the η_1' , as a candidate of a KK_1 molecular, should couple to KK_1 strongly [10]. Therefore, we investigate the $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_1' \eta^{(l)}$ decays in the molecular model in this work and show that they are anticipated to be accessible in the BESIII experiment.

II. FORMALISM

In this section, we analyze the $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_1' \eta^{(l)}$ decay in the molecular model. Its triangle-rescattering process, as depicted in Fig. 1, can be separated into three parts: $J/\psi \rightarrow KK^*$, $\eta_1' \rightarrow KK_1$, and $K_1 \rightarrow K^*\eta^{(l)}$.

The first part is $J/\psi \rightarrow KK^*$. The relevant Lagrangian term is [15, 16]

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \frac{g_{\psi KK^*}}{m_\psi} K \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \partial^\mu \psi^\nu \partial^\rho K^{*\sigma}, \quad (2)$$

where $g_{\psi KK^*}$ is the coupling constant for the $J/\psi \rightarrow KK^*$ decay and ϵ_ψ^ν ($\epsilon_{K^*}^\nu$) is the polarization four-vector of the J/ψ (K^*). We derive this amplitude to be

$$\mathcal{M}_1(J/\psi \rightarrow KK^*) = \frac{g_{\psi KK^*}}{m_\psi} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} p_\psi^\mu \epsilon_\psi^\nu p_{K^*}^\rho \epsilon_{K^*}^{*\sigma}. \quad (3)$$

The relations between various $g_{\psi KK^*}$ can be given by SU(3) flavour symmetry [15, 16]:

$$g_{\psi K^+K^{*-}} = g_{\psi K^-K^{*+}} = g_8 - \frac{g_{88}^M}{2\sqrt{3}} + \frac{|g_{88}^E|}{3} e^{i\delta_E},$$

$$g_{\psi K^0 \bar{K}^{*0}} = g_{\psi \bar{K}^0 K^{*0}} = g_8 - \frac{g_{88}^M}{2\sqrt{3}} - \frac{2|g_{88}^E|}{3} e^{i\delta_E}, \quad (4)$$

where g_8 , g_{88}^M , g_{88}^E and δ_E are the coupling constants of the octet term, the mass-breaking term, and the electromagnetic-breaking term, and the phase angle between electromagnetic and strong interaction, respectively.

The second part is $\eta'_1 \rightarrow KK_1$. The corresponding Lagrangian term is

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = g_{\eta'_1 KK_1} \Phi[-p_E^2] K \eta'_1 \cdot K_1, \quad (5)$$

and the amplitude is derived to be

$$\mathcal{M}_2(\eta'_1 \rightarrow KK_1) = g_{\eta'_1 KK_1} \Phi[-p_E^2] \epsilon_{\eta'_1} \cdot \epsilon_{K_1}, \quad (6)$$

where p_E is the Euclidean Jacobi momentum and $g_{\eta'_1 KK_1} = g_{\eta'_1 K+K_1^{*-}} = g_{\eta'_1 K-K_1^{*+}} = g_{\eta'_1 K^0 \bar{K}_1^{*0}} = g_{\eta'_1 \bar{K}^0 K_1^{*0}}$ are the coupling constants of the $\eta'_1 \rightarrow KK_1$ decays. For the sake of calculation, $p_E^2 = -(p_{K_1} - \lambda p_{\eta'_1})^2$ and $\lambda = \frac{m_K}{m_{K_1} + m_K}$. The correlation function $\Phi[-p_E^2]$ can be parameterized as a Gaussian form vertex function [17, 18] or a pole form vertex function [19–21]:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi[-p_E^2] &= \exp[-p_E^2/\Lambda_1^2], & [\text{Gaussian form}] \\ \Phi[-p_E^2] &= 1 + p_E^2/\Lambda_1^2, & [\text{Pole form}] \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

with Λ_1 is the size parameter. In this paper, the Gaussian form will cause the integration divergence and, alternatively, we choose the pole vertex form correlation function. We determine the coupling constants between the hadronic molecule and its components using the pole vertex form by the consequence of the Källén-Lehmann representation (see Eqs. 22–27) and the triangle diagram can be calculate by the 'tHooft-Veltman technique [22] (see Eq. 19).

Note that using the Gaussian form is more common than the Pole form to determine the coupling constant by the compositeness condition in an one loop diagram [23]. However, calculating triangle diagram with the Gaussian form may have singularities, called anomalous thresholds [24]. A parameter z_{loc} has been proposed [25] to prejudge whether there are anomalous thresholds. The parameter z_{loc} describes the triangle diagram in which A particle decay into B and C particles with a , b , and c particles as propagators. Take Fig. 1 for example, $A/B/C = J/\Psi, \eta'_1, \eta^{(\prime)}$ and $a/b/c = K/K_1/K^*$. Then, z_{loc} is given by

$$z_{loc} = \alpha_a m_a^2 + \alpha_b m_b^2 + \alpha_c m_c^2 - \alpha_a \alpha_c M_A^2 - \alpha_a \alpha_b M_B^2 - \alpha_b \alpha_c M_C^2, \quad (8)$$

with $\alpha_a + \alpha_b + \alpha_c = 1$ and $\alpha_i \geq 0$ ($i = a, b, c$). There will be no anomalous thresholds if z_{loc} is always positive.¹ In this paper, the set of masses $(M_A, M_B, M_C, m_a, m_b, m_c) = (m_{J/\psi}, m_{\eta'_1}, m_{\eta^{(\prime)}}, m_K, m_{K_1}, m_{K^*})$ make z_{loc} not always positive, implying divergence will happen if the Gaussian form is used.

The third part is $K_1 \rightarrow K^*\eta^{(\prime)}$, whose Lagrangian term and amplitude are written as

$$\mathcal{L}_3 = g_{K_1 K^* \eta^{(\prime)}} \eta^{(\prime)} K_1 \cdot K^*, \quad (9)$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_3(K_1 \rightarrow K^*\eta^{(\prime)}) = -i g_{K_1 K^* \eta^{(\prime)}} \epsilon_{K_1} \cdot \epsilon_{K^*}, \quad (10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_{K_1^- K^{*-} \eta} &= \frac{b}{4} \cos \theta \cos \phi - \frac{a}{4} \sin \theta \cos \phi + \frac{b}{2\sqrt{2}} \cos \theta \sin \phi + \frac{a}{2\sqrt{2}} \sin \theta \sin \phi \\ g_{K_1^- K^{*-} \eta'} &= \frac{b}{2\sqrt{2}} \cos \theta \cos \phi + \frac{a}{2\sqrt{2}} \sin \theta \cos \phi - \frac{b}{4} \cos \theta \sin \phi + \frac{a}{4} \sin \theta \sin \phi \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

and

$$g_{K_1^- K^{*-} \eta^{(\prime)}} = g_{K_1^+ K^{*+} \eta^{(\prime)}} = g_{K_1^0 K^{*0} \eta^{(\prime)}} = g_{\bar{K}_1^0 \bar{K}^{*0} \eta^{(\prime)}}, \quad (12)$$

where (a, b) are the parameters for coupling constants and (θ, ϕ) are the mixing angles of $(K_1 \sim K'_1, \eta \sim \eta')$ [26].

Eventually, the amplitude of the triangle-rescattering process for the $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'_1 \eta^{(\prime)}$ decay is obtained by

$$\mathcal{M}(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'_1 \eta^{(\prime)}) = \sum_{i=1}^4 \int \frac{d^4 q_1}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\mathcal{M}_1 \mathcal{M}_2 \mathcal{M}_3 F_{\Lambda_{K_1}}(q_2^2)}{(q_1^2 - m_K^2)(q_2^2 - m_{K_1}^2)(q_3^2 - m_{K^*}^2)}, \quad (13)$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^4$ sums over all possible Feynman diagrams for $K_{(1)}^{+(*)}$, $K_{(1)}^{-(*)}$, $K_{(1)}^{0(*)}$, and $\bar{K}_{(1)}^{0(*)}$, and $F_{\Lambda_{K_1}}(q_2^2) \equiv (\Lambda_2^2 - m_{K_1}^2)/(\Lambda_2^2 - q_2^2)$ is the monopole form factor [27–29], which can be adopted to represent the off-shell effect by exchanging K_1 mesons and also plays the role of avoiding integration divergences. Besides, $q_2 = p_{\eta'_1} - q_1$ and $q_3 = p_\psi - q_1$ correspond to the momentum flows in Fig. 1. In the general form, one can express the amplitude as

$$\mathcal{M}(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'_1 \eta^{(\prime)}) = -i \frac{g_{\psi \eta'_1 \eta^{(\prime)}}}{m_\psi} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} p_\psi^\mu \epsilon_\psi^\nu p_{\eta'_1}^\rho \epsilon_{\eta'_1}^{*\sigma}. \quad (14)$$

¹ This could happen when, for example, A is a molecular state and the circumstance $|m_a - m_c| < m_A < m_a + m_c$ is satisfied.

To obtain $g_{\psi\eta'_1\eta^{(\prime)}}$, one needs to integrate over the variables of the triangle loop in Eq. 13, which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'_1\eta^{(\prime)}) = & \\ & -i \frac{g_{\eta_1 K K_1}}{4\pi^2 m_\psi} \left(g_8 - \frac{g_M^{88}}{2\sqrt{3}} - \frac{g_E^{88}}{6} e^{i\delta_E} \right) \Lambda_1^2 g_{K_1 K^* \eta^{(\prime)}} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} p_\psi^\mu \epsilon_\psi^\nu (D^\rho - D'^\rho) \epsilon_{\eta'_1}^{*\sigma}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The propagators of K^* and K_1 are supposed to contain vector and tensor structures, but contributions from the tensor structures will be zero due to the term $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ in Eq. 13. Hence, we only consider the vector structures here. The vector four-point function is written as

$$D^\rho = \int \frac{d^4 q_1}{i\pi^2} \frac{q_1^\rho}{(q_1^2 - m_K^2 + i\epsilon)[q_2^2 - m_{K_1}^2 + i\epsilon][q_3^2 - m_{K^*}^2 + i\epsilon][(q_1 - \lambda p_{\eta'_1})^2 - \Lambda_1^2 + i\epsilon]}, \quad (16)$$

such that one obtains

$$D^\rho = p_{\eta'_1}^\rho D_1 + p_\psi^\rho D_2, \quad (17)$$

with the linear combination of scalar point functions

$$D_1 \equiv \frac{m_\psi^2 + m_{\eta^{(\prime)}}^2 - m_{\eta'_1}^2}{m_\psi^2 m_{\eta'_1}^2 - (m_\psi^2 + m_{\eta^{(\prime)}}^2 - m_{\eta'_1}^2)^2} [(m_\psi^2 + m_K^2 - m_{K_1}^2) D_0 + C_{0A} - C_{0B}]. \quad (18)$$

The D_2 term doesn't contribute to $\mathcal{M}(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'_1\eta^{(\prime)})$ due to $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} p_\psi^\mu p_\psi^\nu = 0$. The one loop scalar 3- and 4-point functions can be calculated with the 'tHooft-Veltman technique [22, 30–34] and are given by

$$\begin{aligned} D_0 &= \int \frac{d^4 q_1}{i\pi^2} \frac{1}{(q_1^2 - m_K^2 + i\epsilon)[q_2^2 - m_{K_1}^2 + i\epsilon][q_3^2 - m_{K^*}^2 + i\epsilon][(q_1 - \lambda p_{\eta'_1})^2 - \Lambda_1^2 + i\epsilon]}, \\ C_{0A} &= \int \frac{d^4 q_1}{i\pi^2} \frac{1}{[q_2^2 - m_{K_1}^2 + i\epsilon][q_3^2 - m_{K^*}^2 + i\epsilon][(q_1 - \lambda p_{\eta'_1})^2 - \Lambda_1^2 + i\epsilon]}, \\ C_{0B} &= \int \frac{d^4 q_1}{i\pi^2} \frac{1}{(q_1^2 - m_K^2 + i\epsilon)[q_3^2 - m_{K^*}^2 + i\epsilon][(q_1 - \lambda p_{\eta'_1})^2 - \Lambda_1^2 + i\epsilon]}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

As for the term D'^ρ , one can obtain $D'^\rho = p_{\eta'_1}^\rho D'_1 + p_\psi^\rho D'_2$ by replacing m_{K_1} in Eqs. (17-19) with Λ_2 . Next, one can derive $g_{\psi\eta'_1\eta^{(\prime)}}$ by comparing Eqs. 14 and 15 and defining $\tilde{D}_1 = D_1 - D'_1$:

$$g_{\psi\eta'_1\eta^{(\prime)}} = -\frac{g_{\eta_1 K K_1}}{4\pi^2} \left(g_8 - \frac{g_M^{88}}{2\sqrt{3}} - \frac{g_E^{88}}{6} e^{i\delta_E} \right) \Lambda_1^2 g_{K_1 K^* \eta^{(\prime)}} \tilde{D}_1. \quad (20)$$

At this point, all parameters relevant to $\mathcal{M}(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'_1\eta^{(\prime)})$ are given except $g_{\eta'_1 K K_1}$, which can be determined by a consequence of the Källén-Lehmann representation (see the discussion below).




FIG. 2. the one-loop correction to the propagator of η'_1 .

Upon resummation of the one-loop contributions, as in Fig. 2, the propagator of η'_1 takes the form

$$D_{\eta'_1}^{\mu\nu} = -i \frac{g^{\mu\nu} + \dots}{p^2 - m_{\eta'_1}^2 + \text{Re}[\Sigma(m_{\eta'_1}^2)] - \Sigma(p^2) + i\epsilon}. \quad (21)$$

The metric tensor term, $g^{\mu\nu}$, already provides enough information to determine the coupling $g_{\eta'_1 K K_1}$ and the rest can be ignored (denoted as [...]). The spectral function of the state η'_1 in the Källén-Lehmann representation can be obtained as the imaginary part of the propagator [19, 20]:

$$d_{\eta'_1}(p^2) = \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \text{Im}[p^2 - m_{\eta'_1}^2 + \text{Re}[\Sigma(m_{\eta'_1}^2)] - \Sigma(p^2) + i\epsilon]^{-1} \quad (22)$$

and the normalization is required to be satisfied:

$$\int_0^{+\infty} d_{\eta'_1}(p^2) dp^2 = 1. \quad (23)$$

In the above equation, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^{\mu\nu}(p^2) &= -g^{\mu\nu} \Sigma(p^2) + \dots, \\ \Sigma^{\mu\nu}(p^2) &= i \int \frac{d^4 q}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{(-g^{\mu\nu} + q^\mu q^\nu / m_{K_1}^2) \Phi^2[(q - (1 - \lambda)p)^2]}{(q^2 - m_{K_1}^2 + i\epsilon)[(q - p)^2 - m_K^2 + i\epsilon]}, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

and can give

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma(p^2) &= -\frac{2}{3} I'_3 - \frac{(p^2 + m_{K_1}^2 - m_K^2)^2}{12p^2 m_{K_1}^2} I'_3 - \frac{I'_{2a}}{m_{K_1}^2} \left(\frac{3 - 2\lambda}{12} + \frac{m_{K_1}^2 - m_K^2}{12p^2} + \frac{m_{K_1}^2 - \Lambda_1^2}{6(1 - \lambda)p^2} \right) \\ &+ \frac{I'_{2b}}{m_{K_1}^2} \left(\frac{1 - 2\lambda}{12} + \frac{m_{K_1}^2 - m_K^2}{12p^2} + \frac{\Lambda_1^2 - m_K^2}{6\lambda p^2} \right) + \frac{I_{2a} - I_{2c}}{6(1 - \lambda)m_{K_1}^2 p^2} + \frac{I_{2b} - I_{2c}}{6\lambda m_{K_1}^2 p^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where

$$I_3 = \frac{g_{\eta'_1 K K_1}^2}{\pi^2} \int \frac{d^4 q}{i\pi^2} \frac{1}{(q^2 - m_{K_1}^2 + i\epsilon) \{ [q - (1 - \lambda)p]^2 - \Lambda_1^2 + i\epsilon \} [(q - p)^2 - m_K^2 + i\epsilon]},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{2a} &= \frac{g_{\eta'_1 KK_1}^2}{\pi^2} \int \frac{d^4 q}{i\pi^2} \frac{1}{(q^2 - m_{K_1}^2 + i\epsilon)\{[q - (1 - \lambda)p]^2 - \Lambda_1^2 + i\epsilon\}}, \\
I_{2b} &= \frac{g_{\eta'_1 KK_1}^2}{\pi^2} \int \frac{d^4 q}{i\pi^2} \frac{1}{\{[q - (1 - \lambda)p_{\eta'_1}]^2 - \Lambda_1^2 + i\epsilon\}[(q - p)^2 - m_K^2 + i\epsilon]}, \\
I_{2c} &= \frac{g_{\eta'_1 KK_1}^2}{\pi^2} \int \frac{d^4 q}{i\pi^2} \frac{1}{(q^2 - m_{K_1}^2 + i\epsilon)[(q - p)^2 - m_K^2 + i\epsilon]},
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

and

$$I'_3 = \frac{dI_3}{d\Lambda_1^2}, \quad I'_{2a(b)} = \frac{dI_{2a(b)}}{d\Lambda_1^2}. \tag{27}$$

The normalization of the spectral function of the η'_1 , Eq. 23, causes a constraint in the Källén-Lehmann representation. With this constraint, the $g_{\eta'_1 KK_1}$ term in Eq. 26 can be evaluated analytically with the 'tHooft-Veltman technique. More specifically, one can obtain an analytical expression for $d_{\eta'_1}(p^2)$ by substituting Eqs. 24-27 into Eq. 22. After integrating out the momentum p^2 in Eq. 23, one can determine the relationship between $g_{\eta'_1 KK_1}$ and Λ_1 , as shown in Fig. 3. In this relationship, the masses of the relevant mesons are the only input parameters.

Note that I_{2a} , I_{2b} , or I_{2c} itself possesses logarithmic divergence. However, Eq. 25 doesn't diverge because the divergence will cancel by $I_{2a} - I_{2c}$ and $I_{2b} - I_{2c}$.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In the numerical analysis, we adopt $(g_8, g_{88}^M, g_{88}^E) = (6.57 \pm 0.16, 1.81 \pm 0.41, 1.73 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-3}$, $\delta_E = (69.5 \pm 12.6)^\circ$ from Ref. [16]², $(a, b) = (5.43 \pm 0.26, 7.00 \pm 0.22)$ GeV, and $\phi = (56.3 \pm 4.2)^\circ$ from Ref. [26].

We can then fit $g_{\eta'_1 K_1 K} = 1.00^{+0.26}_{-0.25}$ GeV using $\Lambda_1 = 1.50^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$ GeV [19-21] and Eqs. 22-27. The choice of Λ_1 are typical in hadronic theories. Figure 3 shows the coupling constant $g_{\eta'_1 KK_1}$ related to Λ_1 . Empirically, we are allowed to use $\Lambda_2 \simeq 2 \sim 3$ GeV, which is not sensitive for the branching ratio of $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'_1 \eta^{(\prime)}$ because the triangle Feynman diagrams to calculate $\mathcal{M}(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'_1 \eta^{(\prime)})$ is convergent even without the monopole form factor. As a consequence, we obtain

$$\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'_1 \eta) = (6.3^{+12.6}_{-3.5}) \times 10^{-6}, \quad \mathcal{B}(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'_1 \eta') = (6.5^{+6.6}_{-4.6}) \times 10^{-6}. \tag{28}$$

² Parameters $(g_8, g_{88}^M, g_{88}^E)$, and δ_E in SU(3) flavor symmetry theory describe the J/ψ to pseudoscalar-vector decays, e.g. $J/\psi \rightarrow \rho\pi$, $J/\psi \rightarrow \omega\pi$, and $J/\psi \rightarrow K^*K$. They are extracted from fitting to measured branching ratios.




FIG. 3. The coupling constant $g_{\eta'_1 K K_1}$ related to the parameter Λ_1 .

Approximately, we present the resonant branching fractions as

$$\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'_1 \eta^{(\prime)}, \eta'_1 \rightarrow K^* \bar{K}^*) \simeq \mathcal{B}(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'_1 \eta^{(\prime)}) \mathcal{B}(\eta'_1 \rightarrow K^* \bar{K}^*), \quad (29)$$

and, with $\mathcal{B}(\eta'_1 \rightarrow K^* \bar{K}^*) \simeq 22\%$ [10], predict

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'_1 \eta, \eta'_1 \rightarrow K^* \bar{K}^*) &= (1.4_{-0.8}^{+2.8}) \times 10^{-6}, \\ \mathcal{B}(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'_1 \eta', \eta'_1 \rightarrow K^* \bar{K}^*) &= (1.4_{-1.0}^{+1.5}) \times 10^{-6}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

The uncertainty is evaluated by repeating the calculation after varying the parameters according to their uncertainties. The uncertainty associated with Λ_1 dominates. The larger Λ_1 yields the lower predicted branching fractions, but when Λ_1 is set to be in the typical region, the predicted branching fractions remains being of $\mathcal{O}(10^{-6})$.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The C-parity partner of η_1 , called η'_1 , is a peculiar prophecy in the molecular model. Confirming the existence of the η'_1 will be a critical support of the molecular model and helps to pin down the nature of η_1 . On the other side, the absence of η'_1 would correspond to a falsification of the molecular approach. We have studied the rescattering decays $J/\psi \rightarrow K K^* \rightarrow \eta'_1 \eta^{(\prime)}$. In the triangle loop, K and K^* transform as η'_1 and $\eta^{(\prime)}$ by exchanging K_1 , respectively. We have proposed the $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'_1 \eta^{(\prime)}, \eta'_1 \rightarrow K^* \bar{K}^*$ decay as a candidate decay to search for the η'_1 exotic state. In particular, we have predicted $\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'_1 \eta) = (6.3_{-3.5}^{+12.6}) \times 10^{-6}$ and $\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'_1 \eta') = (6.5_{-4.6}^{+6.6}) \times 10^{-6}$ in the molecular model.

The BESIII collaboration has collected about 10 billion J/ψ events at $\sqrt{s} = 3.097$ GeV and can reach the sensitivity of 10^{-7} - 10^{-6} level for branching fractions of J/ψ decays. Our proposal is shown to be accessible by the BESIII experiment.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Dr. Zhi Yang, Xu-Chang Zheng, and Rui-Yu Zhou for useful discussions. YY was supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Contracts No. 11905023, No. 12047564 and No. 12147102, the Natural Science Foundation of Chongqing (CQCSTC) under Contracts No. cstc2020jcyj-msxmX0555 and the Science and Technology Research Program of Chongqing Municipal Education Commission under Contracts No. KJQN202200605 and No. KJQN202200621; BCK was supported in part by NSFC under Contracts No. 11875054 and No. 12192263 and Joint Large-Scale Scientific Facility Fund of the NSFC and the Chinese Academy of Sciences under Contracts No. U2032104; JWZ was supported in part by NSFC under Contracts No. 12275036, CQCSTC under Contracts No. cstc2021jcyj-msxmX0681 and the Science and Technology Research Program of Chongqing Municipal Education Commission under Contracts No. KJQN202001541.

-
- [1] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. Lett. **129**, 192002 \(2022\)](#).
 - [2] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. D **106**, 072012 \(2022\)](#).
 - [3] V. Shastry and F. Giacosa, [arXiv:2302.07687 \[hep-ph\]](#).
 - [4] L. Qiu and Q. Zhao, [Chin. Phys. C **46** no.5, 051001 \(2022\)](#).
 - [5] H. X. Chen, N. Su, and S. L. Zhu, [Chin. Phys. Lett. **39** no.5, 051201 \(2022\)](#).
 - [6] V. Shastry, C. S. Fischer, and F. Giacosa, [Phys. Lett. B **834**, 137478 \(2022\)](#).
 - [7] F. Chen, X. Jiang, Y. Chen, M. Gong, Z. Liu, C. Shi, and W. Sun, [arXiv:2207.04694 \[hep-lat\]](#).
 - [8] B. D. Wan, S. Q. Zhang, and C. F. Qiao, [Phys. Rev. D **106**, 074003 \(2022\)](#).
 - [9] F. Yang and Y. Huang, [Nucl. Phys. A **1030**, 122571 \(2023\)](#).
 - [10] X. K. Dong, Y. H. Lin, and B. S. Zou, [Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron. **65** no.6, 261011 \(2022\)](#).
 - [11] X. Y. Wang, F. C. Zeng, and X. Liu, [Phys. Rev. D **106**, 053006 \(2022\)](#).

- [12] Q. Wu, D. Y. Chen and R. Ji, *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **38**, no.7, 071301 (2021).
- [13] Q. Wu, D. Y. Chen, W. H. Qin and G. Li, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82**, no.6, 520 (2022).
- [14] R.L. Workman *et al.* (Particle Data Group), to be published in *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* 2022, 083C01 (2022).
- [15] H. E. Haber and J. Perrier, *Phys. Rev. D* **32**, 2961 (1985).
- [16] J. S. Huang, J. F. Sun, G. R. Lu, and H. B. Li, *Chin. Phys. C* **37**, 073103 (2013).
- [17] T. Branz, T. Gutsche and V. E. Lyubovitskij, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **37**, 303-317 (2008).
- [18] D. Y. Chen and Y. B. Dong, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, no.1, 014003 (2016).
- [19] F. Giacosa and G. Pagliara, *Phys. Rev. C* **76**, 065204 (2007).
- [20] F. Giacosa and T. Wolkanowski, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **27**, 1250229 (2012).
- [21] J. Schneitzer, T. Wolkanowski, and F. Giacosa, *Nucl. Phys. B* **888**, 287-299 (2014).
- [22] G. 't Hooft and M.J.G. Veltman, *Nucl. Phys. B* **153**, 365 (1979).
- [23] S. Weinberg, *Phys. Rev.* **130**, 776-783 (1963)
- [24] M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Korner and P. Santorelli, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 014005 (2004).
- [25] T. Branz, A. Faessler, T. Gutsche, M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Korner and V. E. Lyubovitskij, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 034010 (2010).
- [26] F. Divotgey, L. Olbrich, and F. Giacosa, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **49**, 135 (2013).
- [27] N. A. Tornqvist, *Z. Phys. C* **61**, 525-537 (1994).
- [28] X. Q. Li, D. V. Bugg and B. S. Zou, *Phys. Rev. D* **55**, 1421-1424 (1997).
- [29] Y. Yu and Y. K. Hsiao, *Phys. Lett. B* **820**, 136586 (2021).
- [30] T. Hahn and M. Perez-Victoria, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **118**, 153 (1999).
- [31] A. Denner and S. Dittmaier, *Nucl. Phys. B* **734**, 62 (2006).
- [32] Y. K. Hsiao, Y. Yu and B. C. Ke, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, no.9, 895 (2020).
- [33] Y. K. Hsiao and Y. Yu, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, no.3, 034008 (2021).
- [34] Y. Yu, Y. K. Hsiao and B. C. Ke, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, no.12, 1093 (2021).