Quantification of metabolic niche occupancy dynamics in a Baltic Sea bacterial community

Jana C. Massing^{1,2,3*}, Ashkaan Fahimipour⁴, Carina Bunse^{1,5}, Jarone Pinhassi⁶, Thilo Gross^{1,2,3}

1 Helmholtz Institute for Functional Marine Biodiversity at the University of Oldenburg (HIFMB), Oldenburg, Germany

2 Helmholtz Centre for Marine and Polar Research, Alfred-Wegener-Institute, Bremerhaven, Germany

3 Institute for Chemistry and Biology of the Marine Environment (ICBM), Carl-von-Ossietzky University, Oldenburg, Germany

4 Institute of Marine Sciences, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA, USA

5 Department of Marine Sciences, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

6 Centre for Ecology and Evolution in Microbial Model Systems - EEMiS, Linnaeus University, Kalmar, Sweden

* jana.massing@hifmb.de

Abstract

Progress in molecular methods has enabled the monitoring of bacterial populations in time. Nevertheless, understanding community dynamics and its links with ecosystem functioning remains challenging due to the tremendous diversity of microorganisms. Conceptual frameworks that make sense of time-series of taxonomically-rich bacterial communities, regarding their potential ecological function, are needed. A key concept for organizing ecological functions is the niche, the set of strategies that enable a population to persist and define its impacts on the surroundings. Here we present a framework based on manifold learning, to organize genomic information into potentially occupied bacterial metabolic niches over time. We apply the method to re-construct the dynamics of putatively occupied metabolic niches using a long-term bacterial time-series from the Baltic Sea, the Linnaeus Microbial Observatory (LMO). The results reveal a relatively low-dimensional space of occupied metabolic niches were strongly driven by seasonality. Some metabolic niches were dominated by one bacterial taxon whereas others were occupied by multiple taxa, and this depended on season. These results illustrate the power of manifold learning approaches to advance our understanding of the links between community composition and functioning in microbial systems.

Importance

The increase in data availability of bacterial communities highlights the need for conceptual frameworks to advance our understanding of these complex and diverse communities alongside the production of such data. To understand the dynamics of these tremendously diverse communities, we need tools to identify overarching strategies and describe their role and function in the ecosystem in a comprehensive way. Here, we show that a manifold learning approach can coarse grain bacterial communities in terms of their metabolic strategies and that we can thereby quantitatively organize genomic information in terms of potentially occupied niches over time. This approach therefore advances our understanding of how fluctuations in bacterial abundances and species composition can relate to ecosystem functions and it can facilitate the analysis, monitoring and future predictions of the development of microbial communities.

Keywords

niche, marine, manifold learning, diffusion map, bacterial communities

1 Introduction

More than 60 years ago, Hutchinson formulated the paradox of plankton, expressing astonishment at the enormous diversity of organisms in the face of an apparently limited number of resources (1). Today, the estimated diversity of microbial species in the ocean extends this apparent contradiction to marine bacterial communities (2; 3). Over 40,000 marine microbial species have been detected so far (4; 5), and these microorganisms are critical for life in the oceans and on land because of their capacity to perform sophisticated and diverse chemical reactions, to drive major biogeochemical cycles (6), and to exhibit diverse interactions among each other and with macroorganisms (e.g. 7; 8). In addition to their huge diversity overall, marine bacterial communities undergo complex dynamic fluctuations in abundances and species compositions on the daily, monthly and annual scale (9; 10). In surface waters in temperate and polar regions, bacterial communities show strong seasonal patterns driven by changes in multiple interacting environmental features (9).

Due to the recent advances in modern molecular methods it has become possible to monitor bacterial community composition over time and establish short and long-term time series (examples in 11). These revealed for instance stability in average community composition in spite of strong variation on shorter scales (10), environmental selection as an important driver of seasonal community succession (12) and the significance of biological interactions among bacteria themselves, and between bacteria and other organisms e.g. phytoplankton, in shorter scale community dynamics (13).

Still, the tremendous diversity of bacteria poses a challenge for data analysis. Suppose for example that we sample a bacterial community and record the relative population densities for each detected taxon *e.g.*, amplicon sequence variants, operational taxonomic units, species). In this case, the number of variables per sample is identical to the number of taxa. Mathematically we can say that the dimensionality of the data space equals the number of taxa. Dealing with such high-dimensional data is inherently difficult (the so-called curse of dimensionality) (14). In particular in high-dimensional spaces, comparisons between all but the most similar data points become so noisy that they hurt rather than help the analysis (15). Hence analysis can benefit from a coarse-graining step in which the dimensionality of the data set is reduced to a smaller number of variables. If done well this reduction yields new informative variables and also greatly reduces the noise in the data (16; 17).

When dealing with high-dimensional data spaces only a tiny portion of space is typically inhabited by data points. These data may approximately trace a curve, a curved surface, or some other comparatively low-dimensional object within the data space. Such objects in data-space are called data manifolds, and the task of locating them is known as manifold learning. Because the dimensionality of the manifold is lower than that of the embedding data space, manifold learning allows us to reduce the complexity of the data without losing information (18).

A widely used de-facto manifold learning method is principal component analysis (PCA) (19). PCA is a linear method that can only approximate the curved manifolds in the data by a flat surface. Therefore, it often works well in identifying global contrasts and for linearly distributed data. However, it cannot handle complex nonlinear data adequately. An alternative is offered by diffusion maps (20; 21; 22). The diffusion map identifies pairs of data points that are similar enough to be trusted even in the high dimensional space. Comparisons between any data points can then be made safely by measuring their distance on the network of all trusted comparisons. Mathematically the diffusion map is of similar complexity as PCA. However, unlike PCA it can identify curved manifolds and is immune from the curse of dimensionality. Like PCA, diffusion maps identify a new set of variables that describe the data in a coordinate system that follows the manifolds. Recent papers demonstrate that the application of diffusion maps to ecological data (22; 23; 24) yields new variables that can be interpreted as composite functional strategies. The diffusion map thus relates to the fundamental ecological niche space in a system (22; 25).

Here we apply diffusion maps to re-construct the metabolic niche space of a bacterial community from a long-term time-series in the Baltic Sea. We use the newly predicted variables to convert the taxonomic time-series into multiple strategy time-series and to quantify changes in functional diversity. Our results indicate that the diffusion map can reveal interpretable ecological strategies in the Baltic Sea bacterial community. This provides a quantitative framework to organize genomic information into potentially occupied ecological niches over time.

2 Results and Discussion

Our goal is to describe communities in terms of a coarse-grained functional coordinate system. Using diffusion maps, the coordinate axes that span this functional space can be found by a simple mathematical procedure (see Methods). The identified functional axes are new variables that are composites of functional capabilities of the analyzed bacterial community. These new variables are ordered according to their importance in structuring the dataset. Hence, in the following we refer to variable 1 as the most important, variable 2 the second most important and so on.

Moreover, the diffusion map assigns each species a score on these functional axes, i.e. a score for each new variable. Following Fahimipour and Gross (22) we interpret these new variables as effective composite metabolic strategies of the taxa. As each taxon from the dataset is assigned a score for each new variable, we can use these scores to order taxa from the most negative to the most positive entries for each variable. By analyzing the functional capabilities of exemplar taxa near the axis extrema, we can interpret the metabolic strategies that are described by the newly identified variables. For the interpretation, we divide each variable into positive and negative side because the taxa at the two sides can be characterized by different composite metabolic strategies.

Variable scores (from negative and positive side of the variable) can be used to convert the taxonomic time-series into multiple strategy time-series by calculating the abundance-weighted means of each variable side for each time-point (Figure 1). This enables us to observe the dynamics of community composition over time in terms of the dynamics of putatively occupied metabolic strategies, i.e. niches. Altogether the newly identified variables span the metabolic niche space of the analyzed community.



Figure 1: Overview of the procedure from diffusion mapping the dataset of genomes and genes to conversion of the species time-series into strategy time-series.

2.1 Important metabolic strategies.

The most important variable identified via diffusion mapping, variable 1, separates primarily animal- or humanassociated members of the Enterobacteriaceae *e.g.* close relatives of *Salmonella*, *Cronobacter* and *Yersinia* from all other taxa (Supplementary Table 2). A collection of 92 genomes from representatives of the Enterobacteriaceae score low values, whereas all other genomes are assigned values near zero (Figure 2 A). Such a variable in which the majority of species score close-to-zero is said to be a localized variable of the diffusion map (26), because it appears as a result of Anderson localization (27). In diffusion map results, the appearance of localized variables identifies a clear cluster of species that is well separated from the rest.

To reveal which metabolic capabilities characterize these taxa and distinguish them from all others, we identified genes that were over-represented in the genomes of the taxa scoring far-from-zero entries (see *Methods*). Genes encoding machinery for iron acquisition common in Enterobacteriaceae, such as the Enterobactin synthase component F (28; 29), genes responsible for the flagellar formation (30) and genes associated to biofilm formation (31) are enriched in these taxa (Supplementary Table 3).

Despite very low abundances of the respective taxa in the samples (mean relative abundances of 0.007 over all samples, see also SI material for abundance data), this variable appears first in the diffusion map. This may at first appear a surprising result given what is known about the ecological role of Enterobacteraceae in marine ecosystems. However, it makes sense that this group is so clearly separated from the other bacterial taxa due to well-known biases toward sequences of pathogenic taxa and genes involved in pathogenesis in global databases (e.g. 32). Consequently, these taxa are characterized as very different to other bacterial species in the marine community. Because the 'full' size fraction was sampled, we might have captured plankton pathogens (e.g. from zooplankton microbiomes or similar) that are very close relatives to these Enterobacteriaceae separated by the first diffusion variable. Hence, this variable separates the most different bacterial taxa in terms of their known gene composition from the rest of the community, demonstrating the power of the diffusion map method to reveal such differences and to identify biases in the dataset.

The diffusion map reveals further localized variables that represent relevant metabolic strategies for the Baltic Sea bacterial community, *e.g.* variable 4 negative, which separates the Cyanobacteria from all other taxa (Supplementary Figure 3). The cyanobacterial genomes are assigned large negative values, whereas all other taxa score positive or close-to-zero values. Genes that encode the subunits of photosystem I and photosystem II as well as associated cytochrome components and cyanobacterial-specific light-harvesting antennae (33) are among the enriched genes, indicating that this variable detects cyanobacterial photosynthesis (Supplementary Table 6). Supporting the findings of a previous study (22), the localized character of this variable reveals that cyanobacterial photosynthesis is a yes-or-no strategy, indicating that this photosynthetic lifestyle has wide-ranging metabolic consequences with distinct implications. For example, oxygenic photosynthetic lifestyle is expensive in terms of avoiding or repairing photoinhibition and -damage therefore many costly adaptations are necessary and the energy spent can not be invested into other metabolic pathways (34).

There are also variables that span a continuum of strategies, such as variable 2 and 3. In variable 2, marine host-associated Gammaproteobacteria, e.g. *Vibrio, Shewanella* and *Photobacterium*, are found at the positive extremum, whereas oligotrophic Gamma- and Alphaproteobacteria are assigned values close to zero (Figure 2 B). Among the most correlated capabilities for the taxa at the positive end of variable 2 are chemotaxis and response to various stressors (Supplementary Table 4). Variable 3 identifies the different strategies of marine Alphaproteobacteria: We find the Rhodobacteraceae and the Rhizobiales, known for their capability of utilizing a variety of carbon sources (35; 36), at the positive extremum (Supplementary Figure 2). Major enriched genes encode machinery for the utilization of various dissolved organic carbon compounds (Supplementary Table 5), *e.g.* phosphonate, acetate and urea that constitute exudates of phytoplankton (37). The streamlined genomes of the free-living Pelagibacterales and the obligate intracellular pathogens Rickettsiales score close-to-zero values. Hence we interpret variable 2 positive as metabolic strategy of Malphaproteobacteria able of using a wide range of carbon sources.

Taxa that are grouped together in one variable can be separated by another variable. For example, Cyanobacteria group together in variable 4 negative, whereas they are split in variable 33: The Picocyanobacteria score extreme negative values, whereas the other cyanobacterial genomes group towards the positive side, with the heterocyst-forming family Nostocaceae scoring highest values (Figure 2 C and Supplementary Figure 6). The Enterobacterales that score highest in variable 2 are separated in variable 27, for which the family Shewanellaceae scores extreme negative values and the other enterobacterial families, *e.g.* the Vibrionaceae score positive values (Supplementary Figure 5). Variable 14 separates the Bacteroidota into anaerobic, intestinal Bacteroidota e.g. *Prevotella* (38) on the positive side and complex polysaccharide degraders e.g. *Flavobacterium* (39) on the negative side (Supplementary Figure 4). Enriched genes for the latter encode different CAZymes (40), responsible for the degradation of major plant cell wall components (Supplementary Table 7).

Diffusion mapping also identified strategies that group genera from different taxonomic groups together, we find for example bacteria that oxidize methyl groups and C1 compounds, such as methanol and formaldehyde from different families such as Beijerinckiaceae, Xanthobacteraceae, Acetobacteraceae at the negative end of variable 38 (Supplementary Figure 7). Most correlated genes (Supplementary Table 8) encode machinery for methanol and formaldehyde degradation (41). Another example is variable 43 positive that groups the non-spore

forming sulfate-reducing bacteria from the families Desulfocapsaceae, Desulfobacteraceae, Desulfurivibrionaceae and others together (Supplementary Figure 8), enriched genes (Supplementary Table 9) are responsible for sulfate respiration (42).



Figure 2: The ordering of taxa defined by variable 1 (A), variable 2 (B) and variable 33 (C) entries, from negative to positive (left to right). The taxonomic compositions corresponding to variable entries are shown for each of 80 equally spaced bins.

2.2 Structure of the inferred niche space.

The examples from above demonstrate that the diffusion map finds reasonable metabolic strategies of the bacterial community. Jointly these strategies define the metabolic niche space of the community and assign the taxa to specific coordinates in a multidimensional space. Using the PHATE procedure (15), we combined the diffusion variables to embed the data geometry in a low-dimensional visualization of the metabolic niche space (Figure 3). Although it is important to interpret low-dimensional embeddings cautiously, as in previous work, the strategy space of the Baltic Sea bacterial community appears as a tree-like structure comprising clusters of taxa featuring localized strategies and continuous branches (22) (Figure 3). The geometric structure implies large areas of the metabolic niche space that are unoccupied, reflecting either strategies that have yet to be discovered or strategies that are not feasible in the respective ecosystem or even in general (22).

The structure of the metabolic niche space roughly aligns with the phylogeny of the taxa. Uncultured taxa and the streamlined genomes of Patescibacter (43), Pelagibacterales (44) and Rickettsiales (45) form the core from which the branched structure emerges. The position of the streamlined genomes seems reasonable as these genomes retain mostly the key basic functions necessary for survival and reproduction that they share with many other organisms and they lack many of the more 'specialized' genes (46). The uncultured taxa group to the center either because they as well possess streamlined genomes or due to the lack of knowledge about their genes and respective functions. Therefore, their position in the metabolic niche space might change with further knowledge gained.

Taxa that are characterized by localized variables such as the Cyanobacteria are more distinct within the structure. These distinct clusters could indicate that complex, costly adaptions are necessary to follow the respective metabolic strategy and the machinery cannot easily be acquired for example through horizontal gene transfer (47). Localized strategies could also reflect that an intermediate strategy is not feasible, for instance due to certain trade-offs resulting from adopting the respective strategy (48).

Bacterial taxa associated with human diseases, like relatives of *Klebsiella*, *Mycobacterium*, *Staphylococcus* and *Fusobacterium*, group furthest away from the main structure and appear as clusters of dots in the periphery (Figure 3). The reason for these taxa to group away is probably the bias in global databases towards human pathogens and their functional capabilities (see above).



Figure 3: Two-dimensional embedding of diffusion variables created using the PHATE algorithm (15). Each point represents an individual genome that is coloured by taxonomic class.

2.3 Metabolic strategies over time.

Above, we saw that the new variables identified by diffusion mapping represent interpretable metabolic strategies of the sampled community. Taking the relative abundances of the taxa that map to different genomes into account, we calculated the abundance-weighted means of each diffusion variable side for each sampling time-point (see *Methods*). This enables us to observe how the occupation of metabolic niches or strategies change over time in the Baltic Sea bacterial community.

For example, the variable 4 negative, identified as cyanobacterial photosynthesis, reaches its highest abundanceweighted niche values in summer (Figure 4). Cyanobacteria are known to cause massive summer blooms in the Baltic Sea (49), supporting our interpretation that this strategy represents cyanobacterial photosynthesis. Separating the different cyanobacterial families reveals an early summer peak caused by the filamentous Nostocaceae and a plateau high of the niche values for the unicellular Cyanobiaceae increasing until the beginning of autumn (Figure 4). Utilization of nutrients from filamentous Cyanobacteria might fuel the metabolism of opportunistic picocyanobacteria (50).



Figure 4: Abundance-weighted mean values of inferred cyanobacterial photosynthesis over the yearly cycle. Summer months are indicated by a gray background. Taxonomic class (A) and cyanobacterial taxonomic families (B) are color-coded.

Another strategy that is affected by seasonality is the catabolism of complex polysaccharides, identified in the variable 14 negative. Dominated by members of the Flavobacteriaceae, this strategy reaches highest abundance-weighted mean values in May (Figure 5 B), following the peak of the phytoplankton spring bloom (51). Large amounts of photosynthetic products, mainly polysaccharides, are exuded by phytoplankton (52) and Flavobacteria are adapted to use these high-molecular-weight molecules (39). In spring 2011 coinciding with the highest phytoplankton biomass (51), this strategy reaches highest values, compared to all other sampling years (Figure 5 A).

Variable positive 3, describing the ability of utilizing a variety of carbon sources, also reaches highest values in May, but does not show a pronounced peak and instead decreases more slowly, reaching a minimum mean value in September (Figure 5 D). This strategy is dominated by the marine Rhodobacteraceae, that are crucial in processing low-molecular-weight phytoplankton-derived metabolites and characterized by their high trophic versatility (53; 54). In winter 2015/16 and 2016/17 elevated values of this variable positive 3 are driven by Rhodospirillales, especially of the genus *Thalassospira* (Figure 5 C). The latter is known for its ability to degrade polycylic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) (55) and their appearance in the upper water column could be related to Major Baltic Inflow events (56) and subsequent winter mixing.



Figure 5: Abundance-weighted mean values of inferred ability of using complex polysaccharides (A, B) and inferred ability of using a variety of carbon sources(C,D) over the whole time period and over the yearly cycle. Summer months are indicated by a gray background. Taxonomic orders (B) and taxonomic classes (D) are color-coded.

In contrast to the strategies we discussed above that were positively impacted by the seasonal phytoplankton spring bloom, variable negative 38 reaches its minimum in May, right after the phytoplankton bloom (Supplementary Figure 11). Describing the metabolic ability to oxidize methyl groups and C1 compounds, such as methanol and formaldehyde, this strategy is dominated by Alphaproteobacteria, especially *Pelagibacter* in winter and Planctomycetes in autumn in the Baltic Sea bacterial community. Marine dissolved organic carbon is a source for diverse C1 and methylated compounds, methanol constitutes a major fraction of oxygenated volatile organic chemicals and formaldehyde is omnipresent in seawater (41). The ability of using these compounds enables energy production from relatively abundant substrates in the water, but this ability is outcompeted when concentrations of phytoplankton-derived substrates increase in spring. Variable 43 positive describing non-spore forming sulfate reducers also reaches its minimum mean value in May (Supplementary Figure 12). Baltic Sea sulfate reducers of the phylum Desulfobacterota, inhabiting mostly sediments and oxygen-depleted waters (57; 58), drive the peak of this strategy in February, probably appearing in the upper water column due to strong winter mixing.

Comparing the strategy time-series to the environmental data obtained at LMO and the abundance-weighted mean values over the months, we can see a strong signal of seasonality (Figure 6): The variables on the left side of Figure 6 A are correlated to rather high nutrient concentrations and lower temperatures, hence winter conditions, whereas the variables on the right side of the heatmap are correlated to higher temperatures and chlorophyll a concentrations, hence summer conditions. Figure 6 B complements this picture: On the left side we find higher variable values in summer and autumn, while the variables on the right hand side show higher values in winter and spring.



Figure 6: Heatmap of Spearman correlation coefficients (CV) between the first 49 variables, i.e. strategy time-series and the environmental variables (metadata) (A) and heatmap of abundance-weighted variable mean values of the first 49 variables for each month over the whole sampling period, standardized to mean = 0 and standard deviation = 1 for each variable side (B). T: temperature, DOC: dissolved organic carbon concentrations, Chla: chlorophyll a concentrations.

2.4 Functional diversity.

From the variables obtained via diffusion mapping we derived the diffusion distances between all pairs of species to quantify the functional diversity calculated as Rao index (23) (see Methods). The yearly cycle summarized over all sampling years shows highest functional diversity mean values in February and July, whereas it reaches lowest values in May and October (Fig. 7). This pattern is likely explained by the absence of the thermocline in winter, that causes deeper mixing of the water layers (59), resulting in bacterial communities from the former mesopelagic to be found also in surface waters (60; 61). Members of these communities can possess very different strategies due to their adaptation to deeper water layer or sediment conditions (61) and their taxonomic and functional diversity is in general higher than in surface communities (4), hence they increase the functional diversity of nutrients available in winter, leading to an increased resource heterogeneity (62; 63). Lower values of functional diversity in May, following the phytoplankton bloom, could be explained by the strong dominance of strategies that relate to the utilization of phytoplankton-derived substrates during bloom phases as these compounds increase drastically in relative abundance (40; 39; 64).



Figure 7: Mean and standard error of functional diversity estimation calculated as Rao index (23) for the sampled Baltic Sea bacterial community over the yearly cycle summarizing the years 2011-2019. Gray background indicates summer months.

3 Conclusion

In this paper we showed that relative abundance of prokaryotic populations obtained from amplicon sequencing data from monitoring datasets can be translated into potentially occupied ecological niches over time. The diffusion map of the bacterial capabilities detected a wide spectrum of interpretable metabolic strategies of the Baltic Sea bacterial community: From localized strategies such as cyanobacterial photosynthesis to continua of strategies such as degree of association with marine hosts and degree of trophic versatility, revealing both, strategies that align with phylogeny, strategies that differentiate closely-related taxa, and similar strategies among distantly-related taxa (22). The latter may reflect metabolic niche convergence (65) or horizontal gene transfer (e.g. 66).

Systematizing the genomic information via our diffusion map approach provided the possibility to express the changes in bacterial species abundances as quantitative changes in potential occupation of metabolic niches over time. These here called abundance-weighted strategy values showed a variety of patterns in time: Strategies displaying seasonal dynamics, e.g. increasing trends in summer or an increase following the phytoplankton bloom or higher values as a consequence of winter mixing as well as strategies showing interannual changes in time patterns. Impacts of single events, for example a very pronounced spring bloom or a Major Baltic Inflow event, were also reflected in the strategy time-series. Some functional strategies are clearly dominated by one bacterial group, whereas others are divided between bacterial groups often depending on season. Overall, seasonality seems to be a strong driver not only for phylogenetic bacterial composition (61; 60) but also for bacterial metabolic niche occupation and functional diversity of bacterial communities in the Baltic Sea. Seasonality is probably such an important driver also for metabolic strategies due to the interplay of seasonal changes in substrate availabilities together with changes in abiotic parameters that influence metabolic activities (67; 68; 60).

The first new variable identified by the diffusion map separates the metabolic strategies of pathogenic members of the Enterobacteriaceae from all other taxa. The reason for this variable showing up first is that the diffusion map probably detects the bias that bacterial pathogenic taxa and genes involved in pathogenesis are overrepresented in global databases (e.g. 32). This makes clear that a major limitation of this approach is our understanding of genes and their functions, implying also that the metabolic niche space might change due to further knowledge gained. For example, we might observe more branches representing currently undetected taxa or strategies and taxa currently grouped towards the center might shift further outwards and distances between taxa might change with increasing information. On the other hand this result also highlights the power of the diffusion map approach to objectively detect such biases in the data.

It should be noted that genes only encode the theoretical capabilities of a species (22), conceptually corresponding to the fundamental niche concept (25). It was however shown that functional genes can be used to predict the position of species along major niche gradients, outperforming the predictions based on phylogenetic information (69). Gowda et al. (70) also showed for the process of denitrification that it is possible to predict community metabolic dynamics from the presence and absence of genes in metagenomes. In comparison to their studies, our approach of assigning ASVs to species and obtaining their complete genomes from a database relies heavily on the quality of the databases and genome assemblages available. To overcome these shortcomings, the analysis of metagenomes is a desirable tool to improve our analysis by accounting also for within-species genome variability, the accessory genome and prophages that can play a role in shaping the strategies and traits of the respective organisms (71; 72; 73). Ideally, we would have all the genomes available from exactly the taxa from the habitat sampled, as we do not, we rely on a simple mapping scheme. In the future, deep shotgun metagenomic sequencing and long read technologies might be important for collecting the sorts of data that would make our method even more powerful.

We conclude that the diffusion map approach presented here enables us to coarse grain complex bacterial communities in terms of reasonable metabolic strategies and provides a quantitative framework to organize genomic information into potentially occupied metabolic niches over time. Thereby, this approach enables us to understand dynamics of community composition on different scales in terms of their impact on potentially occupied metabolic strategies enhancing our understanding of the relationships between community compositions and ecosystem functions.

4 Materials and Methods

4.1 Sampling data.

Seawater samples were obtained from the Linnaeus Microbial Observatory (LMO) (N 56°55.854', E 17°3.6420') situated in the Western Baltic Proper approximately weekly during 2011-2013 and monthly during 2014-2019. Using 3 or 5 l Ruttner water samplers, water was sampled from 2 m depth at at ~ 9 a.m. during each sampling occasion. Seawater was processed in the laboratory (Linnaeus University) where environmental parameters (temperature, salinity, chlorophyll a, DOC, nitrate and nitrite (together named as nitrate), phosphate, silicate and ammonium were analyzed as previously described (61; 51), Fridolfsson et al. in prep.). For microbial community composition, we filtered the seawater directly onto 0.22 µm SterivexTM cartridge filters (Millipore), or prefiltered onto 3 µm polycarbonate filters and subsequently on 0.22 µm SterivexTM cartridges (named 3-0.2 µm size fraction) using a persistaltic pump. We stored the filters in TE buffer at -80°C until DNA extraction using a phenol-chloroform method described by Boström et al. (74) and modified after Bunse et al. (75). We amplified the V3V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene using PCRs with the primer pair 341f-805r (76; 77). We analyzed the DNA concentrations with a Nanodrop or Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Life Technologies) and ran gel electrophoresis to confirm the amplicon specificity. Sample batches for sequencing were successively

sent to the Science for Life Laboratory, Sweden on the Illumina MiSeq platform, resulting in 2×300 bp paired-end reads. For bioinformatic processing, we used the nf-core Ampliseq pipeline (78; 79) with the following software versions: nf-core/ampliseq = v1.2.0dev; Nextflow = v20.10.0; FastQC = v0.11.8; MultiQC = v1.9; Cutadapt = v2.8; QIIME2 = v2019.10.0. We used DADA2 (80) implemented in QIIME2 (81) and trimmed the sequences at forward 259 bp and reverse 199 bp before denoising. Of all LMO samples, we used all filter fractions for the niche space analysis but only the non-prefiltered 0.22 µm fraction for abundance estimates (see method description below). The LMO 16S rRNA raw data used in this study are deposited in the EMBL-EBI European Nucleotide Archive repository (https://www.ebi. ac.uk/ena) under accession numbers PRJEB52828, PRJEB52855, SRP048666, PRJEB52837, PRJEB40890, PRJEB52851, PRJEB52854, PRJEB52627, PRJEB52772, PRJEB52496, PRJEB52780, PRJEB52782, PRJEB52850.

4.2 Obtaining genomes and genes from ASV data.

We conducted a BLAST sequence similarity search to match the ASV sequences obtained from 16S rRNA analysis from the time-series to the Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB, https://data.gtdb.ecogenomic.org/, release 95 database). 21,102 (so 44%) of the 48,098 ASVs, could be matched well (similarity greater than 95%) to a genome from the GTDB. In terms of abundances, a mean of 82% (column sums) were good matches. From the well matched species we obtained the complete genomes from GTDB and NCBI (Refseq and GenBank). These 4,265 complete genomes were annotated using Prokka (82).

4.3 Diffusion mapping the strategy space.

We diffusion mapped the genomes according to their similarity in known gene composition (absence-presence of genes) using the algorithm described in (16), applying the inverse of the hamming distance as a similarity measure. This method briefly consists of five steps. The starting point is the data matrix A with the dimensions $M \ge N$, where M=4,265 is the number of genomes and N=15,361 is the number of annotated genes. First, the data is standardized so that each column has a mean of zero and a standard deviation of 1. Each row of our standardized data matrix can be interpreted as a vector of coordinates of the respective genome in a N-dimensional space. Second, we define similarities of two genomes as the inverse of the hamming distance between these vectors. The computed similarities form a $M \times M$ matrix, in which we set the diagonal elements to zero. We interpret the results as the weight matrix of the network with high values indicating close similarities between the respective genomes in terms of known gene composition. Third, we threshold the weight matrix, keeping only the top-25 highest similarities for each genome. From this weighted adjacency matrix we compute the corresponding row-normalized Laplacian matrix (step 4). In a final step, the eigenvectors and corresponding eigenvalues of this Laplacian are computed, defining new variables describing important variation in the dataset. The diffusion map identifies new variables spanning the strategy space of the analyzed bacteria and archaea and orders these variables according to their importance. Every genome is assigned a coordinate entry for each variable, so that we can order the corresponding taxa according to their entry, from most negative to most positive entries. Strategies of taxa that are assigned extreme entries along a variable can help interpret the meaning of each variable.

4.4 Translating ASV time-series into strategy time-series.

For analysis, we separated the negative and positive values for each diffusion variable. To convert the species time-series into a strategy time-series, we calculated the weighted means of each diffusion variable for each sampling time-point, multiplying the eigenvector entries of each taxa by the normalized abundance of this taxa at this time-point.

4.5 Identifying over-represented genes.

To identify the genes that were over-represented in the genomes of the taxa that themselves were assigned extreme entries along diffusion map variables, we used a permutational variant of the gene set enrichment analysis, GSEA (83). Genomes were ranked by the orderings specified by each diffusion variable. Gene set enrichment analysis was performed using the fgsea library in R (84; 85) with a Benjamini-Hochberg-adjusted (86) P value <0.01 used as a threshold for retaining genes corresponding to taxa that scored extreme values in the respective diffusion variable.

4.6 Estimating functional diversity.

Functional diversity was estimated using the procedure described by Ryabov et al. (23). Briefly, the method uses the euclidean distances between species in the strategy space, rescaling the eigenvector elements according to their respective eigenvalue to quantify pairwise functional dissimilarities between all species. These newly defined diffusion distances can then be used to obtain the functional diversity of each sample, calculated as the Rao index.

4.7 Data processing.

Data was processed with R 4.1.2 (84) and Julia 1.7.1 (87). The two dimensional mapping of the diffusion variables was made using the package phateR (15). Also the R packages ggplot2 (88), tidyverse (89), plyr (90), ggbreak (91), ggpubr (92), seriation (93) and reshape (94) were used.

Supplemental Material file list

Supplementary Tables

- S1 Genomes that map to the 100 most abundant ASVs.
- S2 Species that map to the 100 ASVs scoring most negative values in Variable 1.
- S3 Top 100 over-represented annotated genes in the genomes of the taxa that receive the most negative entries on variable 1.
- S4 Top 100 over-represented annotated genes in the genomes of the taxa that receive the most positive entries on variable 2.
- S5 Top 100 over-represented annotated genes in the genomes of the taxa that receive the most positive entries on variable 3.
- S6 Top 100 over-represented annotated genes in the genomes of the taxa that receive the most negative entries on variable 4.
- S7 Top 100 over-represented annotated genes in the genomes of the taxa that receive the most negative entries on variable 14.
- S8 Top 100 over-represented annotated genes in the genomes of the taxa that receive the most negative entries on variable 38.
- S9 Top 100 over-represented annotated genes in the genomes of the taxa that receive the most positive entries on variable 43.

Supplementary Figures

S1 Relative mean abundances of classes that map to the genomes obtained from amplicon sequencing data over the whole sampling period.

- S2 The ordering of taxa defined by variable 3 entries.
- S3 The ordering of taxa defined by variable 4 entries.
- S4 The ordering of taxa defined by variable 14 entries.
- S5 The ordering of taxa defined by variable 27 entries.
- S6 The ordering of taxa defined by variable 33 entries.
- S7 The ordering of taxa defined by variable 38 entries.
- S8 The ordering of taxa defined by variable 43 entries.
- S9 Abundance-weighted mean values of inferred ability of utilizing a variety of carbon sources over the yearly cycle.
- S10 Abundance-weighted mean values of inferred ability of degrading complex polysaccharides over the yearly cycle.
- S11 Abundance-weighted mean values of inferred ability of oxidizing methyl groups and C1 compounds over the yearly cycle.
- S12 Abundance-weighted mean values of trait dominated by non-spore forming sulfate reducers over the yearly cycle.

Acknowledgments

We thank the many people involved in LMO sampling and lab work over the years, especially Sabina Arnautovic, Anders Månsson, Emil Fridolfsson, Benjamin Pontiller and Kristofer Bergström. Furthermore, we acknowledge the help and assistance from Northern Offshore Services (NOS), M/V Provider crew, E.ON and RWE on the samplings. We acknowledge Daniel Lundin for bioinformatic support. The LMO research was supported by the Swedish Research Council FORMAS Strong Research environment EcoChange (Ecosystem dynamics in the Baltic Sea in a changing climate) to JP. CB, JM and TG were supported by the Helmholtz Institute for Functional Marine Biodiversity (HIFMB), a collaboration between the Alfred-Wegener-Institute, Helmholtz-Center for Polar and Marine Research, and the Carl-von-Ossietzky University Oldenburg, initially funded by the Ministry of Science and Culture of Lower Saxony (HIFMB Project) and the Volkswagen Foundation through the "Niedersächsisches Vorab" grant program (grant number ZN3285).We acknowledge support by the Open Access Publication Funds of Alfred-Wegener-Institut Helmholtz- Zentrum für Polar- und Meeresforschung.

References

- [1] G Evelyn Hutchinson. The paradox of the plankton. The American Naturalist, 95(882):137-145, 1961.
- [2] Kenneth J Locey and Jay T Lennon. Scaling laws predict global microbial diversity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 113(21):5970–5975, 2016.
- [3] Stilianos Louca, Florent Mazel, Michael Doebeli, and Laura Wegener Parfrey. A census-based estimate of earth's bacterial and archaeal diversity. *PLoS biology*, 17(2):e3000106, 2019.
- [4] Shinichi Sunagawa, Luis Pedro Coelho, Samuel Chaffron, Jens Roat Kultima, Karine Labadie, Guillem Salazar, Bardya Djahanschiri, Georg Zeller, Daniel R Mende, Adriana Alberti, et al. Structure and function of the global ocean microbiome. *Science*, 348(6237):1261359, 2015.

- [5] Weipeng Zhang, Wei Ding, Yong-Xin Li, Chunkit Tam, Salim Bougouffa, Ruojun Wang, Bite Pei, Hoyin Chiang, Pokman Leung, Yanhong Lu, et al. Marine biofilms constitute a bank of hidden microbial diversity and functional potential. *Nature communications*, 10(1):1–10, 2019.
- [6] Paul G Falkowski, Tom Fenchel, and Edward F Delong. The microbial engines that drive earth's biogeochemical cycles. *science*, 320(5879):1034–1039, 2008.
- [7] Michael G Hadfield. Biofilms and marine invertebrate larvae: what bacteria produce that larvae use to choose settlement sites. Annual review of marine science, 3:453–470, 2011.
- [8] David G Bourne, Kathleen M Morrow, and Nicole S Webster. Insights into the coral microbione: underpinning the health and resilience of reef ecosystems. *Annual Review of Microbiology*, 70:317–340, 2016.
- [9] Carina Bunse and Jarone Pinhassi. Marine bacterioplankton seasonal succession dynamics. Trends in microbiology, 25(6):494–505, 2017.
- [10] Jed A Fuhrman, Jacob A Cram, and David M Needham. Marine microbial community dynamics and their ecological interpretation. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 13(3):133–146, 2015.
- [11] Pier Luigi Buttigieg, Eduard Fadeev, Christina Bienhold, Laura Hehemann, Pierre Offre, and Antje Boetius. Marine microbes in 4d—using time series observation to assess the dynamics of the ocean microbiome and its links to ocean health. *Current opinion in microbiology*, 43:169–185, 2018.
- [12] Adrià Auladell, Albert Barberán, Ramiro Logares, Esther Garcés, Josep M Gasol, and Isabel Ferrera. Seasonal niche differentiation among closely related marine bacteria. *The ISME Journal*, pages 1–12, 2021.
- [13] David M Needham and Jed A Fuhrman. Pronounced daily succession of phytoplankton, archaea and bacteria following a spring bloom. *Nature microbiology*, 1(4):1–7, 2016.
- [14] Richard Bellman. Dynamic programming. Princeton, USA: Princeton University Press, 1(2):3, 1957.
- [15] Kevin R Moon, David van Dijk, Zheng Wang, Scott Gigante, Daniel B Burkhardt, William S Chen, Kristina Yim, Antonia van den Elzen, Matthew J Hirn, Ronald R Coifman, et al. Visualizing structure and transitions in high-dimensional biological data. *Nature biotechnology*, 37(12):1482–1492, 2019.
- [16] Edmund Barter and Thilo Gross. Manifold cities: social variables of urban areas in the uk. Proceedings of the Royal Society A, 475(2221):20180615, 2019.
- [17] Amin Ghafourian, Orestis Georgiou, Edmund Barter, and Thilo Gross. Wireless localization with diffusion maps. Scientific Reports, 10(1):1–10, 2020.
- [18] Yunqian Ma and Yun Fu. Manifold learning theory and applications, volume 434. CRC press Boca Raton, 2012.
- [19] Ian T Jolliffe and Jorge Cadima. Principal component analysis: a review and recent developments. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 374 (2065):20150202, 2016.
- [20] Ronald R Coifman, Stephane Lafon, Ann B Lee, Mauro Maggioni, Boaz Nadler, Frederick Warner, and Steven W Zucker. Geometric diffusions as a tool for harmonic analysis and structure definition of data: Diffusion maps. *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences*, 102(21):7426–7431, 2005.
- [21] Ronald R Coifman and Stéphane Lafon. Diffusion maps. Applied and computational harmonic analysis, 21 (1):5–30, 2006.

- [22] Ashkaan K Fahimipour and Thilo Gross. Mapping the bacterial metabolic niche space. Nature communications, 11(1):4887, 2020.
- [23] Alexey Ryabov, Bernd Blasius, Helmut Hillebrand, Irina Olenina, and Thilo Gross. Trait reconstruction and estimation of functional diversity from ecolocical monitoring data. arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.13792, 2021.
- [24] Taylor Reiter, Rachel Montpetit, Ron Runnebaum, C Titus Brown, and Ben Montpetit. Charting shifts in saccharomyces cerevisiae gene expression across asynchronous time trajectories with diffusion maps. *Mbio*, 12(5):e02345–21, 2021.
- [25] G Evelyn Hutchinson. Cold spring harbor symposium on quantitative biology. Concluding remarks, 22: 415–427, 1957.
- [26] Amy Nyberg, Thilo Gross, and Kevin E Bassler. Mesoscopic structures and the laplacian spectra of random geometric graphs. *Journal of Complex Networks*, 3(4):543–551, 2015.
- [27] Philip W Anderson. Absence of diffusion in certain random lattices. *Physical review*, 109(5):1492, 1958.
- [28] J Ri Pollack and JB Neilands. Enterobactin, an iron transport compound from salmonella typhimurium. Biochemical and biophysical research communications, 38(5):989–992, 1970.
- [29] IG O'brien and F Gibson. The structure of enterochelin and related 2, 3-dihydroxy-n-benzoyne conjugates from eschericha coli. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-General Subjects*, 215(2):393–402, 1970.
- [30] Phillip Aldridge and Kelly T Hughes. Regulation of flagellar assembly. Current opinion in microbiology, 5 (2):160–165, 2002.
- [31] Joanna Domka, Jintae Lee, and Thomas K Wood. Ylih (bssr) and ycep (bsss) regulate escherichia coli k-12 biofilm formation by influencing cell signaling. *Applied and environmental microbiology*, 72(4):2449–2459, 2006.
- [32] Grace A Blackwell, Martin Hunt, Kerri M Malone, Leandro Lima, Gal Horesh, Blaise TF Alako, Nicholas R Thomson, and Zamin Iqbal. Exploring bacterial diversity via a curated and searchable snapshot of archived dna sequences. *PLoS biology*, 19(11):e3001421, 2021.
- [33] Patrick C Hallenbeck. Modern topics in the phototrophic prokaryotes: Metabolism, bioenergetics, and omics. Springer, 2017.
- [34] John A Raven. The cost of photoinhibition. Physiologia Plantarum, 142(1):87–104, 2011.
- [35] Haiwei Luo and Mary Ann Moran. How do divergent ecological strategies emerge among marine bacterioplankton lineages? Trends in microbiology, 23(9):577–584, 2015.
- [36] Lucia Maria Carrareto Alves, Jackson Antônio Marcondes de Souza, Alessandro de Mello Varani, and EG de Macedo Lemos. The family rhizobiaceae. *The Prokaryotes*, pages 419–437, 2014.
- [37] Karsten Zecher, Kristiane Rebecca Hayes, and Bodo Philipp. Evidence of interdomain ammonium cross-feeding from methylamine-and glycine betaine-degrading rhodobacteraceae to diatoms as a widespread interaction in the marine phycosphere. *Frontiers in microbiology*, 11, 2020.
- [38] Adrian Tett, Edoardo Pasolli, Giulia Masetti, Danilo Ercolini, and Nicola Segata. Prevotella diversity, niches and interactions with the human host. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 19(9):585–599, 2021.
- [39] Alison Buchan, Gary R LeCleir, Christopher A Gulvik, and José M González. Master recyclers: features and functions of bacteria associated with phytoplankton blooms. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 12(10): 686–698, 2014.

- [40] Hanno Teeling, Bernhard M Fuchs, Dörte Becher, Christine Klockow, Antje Gardebrecht, Christin M Bennke, Mariette Kassabgy, Sixing Huang, Alexander J Mann, Jost Waldmann, et al. Substrate-controlled succession of marine bacterioplankton populations induced by a phytoplankton bloom. *Science*, 336(6081): 608–611, 2012.
- [41] Jing Sun, Laura Steindler, J Cameron Thrash, Kimberly H Halsey, Daniel P Smith, Amy E Carter, Zachary C Landry, and Stephen J Giovannoni. One carbon metabolism in sar11 pelagic marine bacteria. *PloS one*, 6 (8):e23973, 2011.
- [42] Sofia S Venceslau, Rita R Lino, and Ines AC Pereira. The qrc membrane complex, related to the alternative complex iii, is a menaquinone reductase involved in sulfate respiration. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 285 (30):22774–22783, 2010.
- [43] Renmao Tian, Daliang Ning, Zhili He, Ping Zhang, Sarah J Spencer, Shuhong Gao, Weiling Shi, Linwei Wu, Ya Zhang, Yunfeng Yang, et al. Small and mighty: adaptation of superphylum patescibacteria to groundwater environment drives their genome simplicity. *Microbiome*, 8(1):1–15, 2020.
- [44] Jana Grote, J Cameron Thrash, Megan J Huggett, Zachary C Landry, Paul Carini, Stephen J Giovannoni, and Michael S Rappé. Streamlining and core genome conservation among highly divergent members of the sar11 clade. *MBio*, 3(5):e00252–12, 2012.
- [45] Alistair C Darby, Nam-Huyk Cho, Hans-Henrik Fuxelius, Joakim Westberg, and Siv GE Andersson. Intracellular pathogens go extreme: genome evolution in the rickettsiales. *TRENDS in Genetics*, 23(10): 511–520, 2007.
- [46] Stephen J Giovannoni, J Cameron Thrash, and Ben Temperton. Implications of streamlining theory for microbial ecology. *The ISME journal*, 8(8):1553–1565, 2014.
- [47] Robert E Blankenship. Early evolution of photosynthesis. *Plant physiology*, 154(2):434–438, 2010.
- [48] Thomas Ferenci. Trade-off mechanisms shaping the diversity of bacteria. Trends in microbiology, 24(3): 209–223, 2016.
- [49] Markus V Lindh and Jarone Pinhassi. Sensitivity of bacterioplankton to environmental disturbance: a review of baltic sea field studies and experiments. Frontiers in Marine Science, 5:361, 2018.
- [50] Mireia Bertos-Fortis, Hanna M Farnelid, Markus V Lindh, Michele Casini, Agneta Andersson, Jarone Pinhassi, and Catherine Legrand. Unscrambling cyanobacteria community dynamics related to environmental factors. *Frontiers in microbiology*, 7:625, 2016.
- [51] Carina Bunse, Stina Israelsson, Federico Baltar, Mireia Bertos-Fortis, Emil Fridolfsson, Catherine Legrand, Elin Lindehoff, Markus V Lindh, Sandra Martínez-García, and Jarone Pinhassi. High frequency multi-year variability in baltic sea microbial plankton stocks and activities. *Frontiers in microbiology*, 9:3296, 2019.
- [52] Marco Mühlenbruch, Hans-Peter Grossart, Falk Eigemann, and Maren Voss. Mini-review: Phytoplanktonderived polysaccharides in the marine environment and their interactions with heterotrophic bacteria. *Environmental microbiology*, 20(8):2671–2685, 2018.
- [53] Frank Xavier Ferrer-González, Brittany Widner, Nicole R Holderman, John Glushka, Arthur S Edison, Elizabeth B Kujawinski, and Mary Ann Moran. Resource partitioning of phytoplankton metabolites that support bacterial heterotrophy. *The ISME journal*, 15(3):762–773, 2021.
- [54] Ryan J Newton, Laura E Griffin, Kathy M Bowles, Christof Meile, Scott Gifford, Carrie E Givens, Erinn C Howard, Eric King, Clinton A Oakley, Chris R Reisch, et al. Genome characteristics of a generalist marine bacterial lineage. *The ISME journal*, 4(6):784–798, 2010.

- [55] Taishi Tsubouchi, Yukari Ohta, Takuma Haga, Keiko Usui, Yasuhiro Shimane, Kozue Mori, Akiko Tanizaki, Akiko Adachi, Kiwa Kobayashi, Kiyotaka Yukawa, et al. Thalassospira alkalitolerans sp. nov. and thalassospira mesophila sp. nov., isolated from a decaying bamboo sunken in the marine environment, and emended description of the genus thalassospira. *International journal of systematic and evolutionary microbiology*, 64 (Pt_1):107–115, 2014.
- [56] Benjamin Bergen, Michael Naumann, Daniel PR Herlemann, Ulf Gräwe, Matthias Labrenz, and Klaus Jürgens. Impact of a major inflow event on the composition and distribution of bacterioplankton communities in the baltic sea. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, page 383, 2018.
- [57] Adrien Vetterli, Kirsi Hyytiäinen, Minttu Ahjos, Petri Auvinen, Lars Paulin, Susanna Hietanen, and Elina Leskinen. Seasonal patterns of bacterial communities in the coastal brackish sediments of the gulf of finland, baltic sea. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 165:86–96, 2015.
- [58] VA Korneeva, NV Pimenov, AV Krek, TP Tourova, and AL Bryukhanov. Sulfate-reducing bacterial communities in the water column of the gdansk deep (baltic sea). *Microbiology*, 84(2):268–277, 2015.
- [59] Jan Hinrich Reissmann, Hans Burchard, Rainer Feistel, Eberhard Hagen, Hans Ulrich Lass, Volker Mohrholz, Günther Nausch, Lars Umlauf, and Gunda Wieczorek. Vertical mixing in the baltic sea and consequences for eutrophication–a review. *Progress in Oceanography*, 82(1):47–80, 2009.
- [60] Daniel PR Herlemann, Daniel Lundin, Anders F Andersson, Matthias Labrenz, and Klaus Jürgens. Phylogenetic signals of salinity and season in bacterial community composition across the salinity gradient of the baltic sea. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 7:1883, 2016.
- [61] Markus V Lindh, Johanna Sjöstedt, Anders F Andersson, Federico Baltar, Luisa W Hugerth, Daniel Lundin, Saraladevi Muthusamy, Catherine Legrand, and Jarone Pinhassi. Disentangling seasonal bacterioplankton population dynamics by high-frequency sampling. *Environmental microbiology*, 17(7):2459–2476, 2015.
- [62] Angelika Rieck, Daniel PR Herlemann, Klaus Jürgens, and Hans-Peter Grossart. Particle-associated differ from free-living bacteria in surface waters of the baltic sea. Frontiers in Microbiology, 6:1297, 2015.
- [63] Eric Raes, Jennifer Tolman, Dhwani Desai, Jenni-Marie Ratten, Jackie Zorz, Brent M Robicheau, Diana Haider, and Julie LaRoche. Seasonal bacterial niche structures and chemolithoautotrophic ecotypes in a north atlantic fjord. doi:doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1469763/v1, 2022.
- [64] Jakob Pernthaler. Competition and niche separation of pelagic bacteria in freshwater habitats. Environmental microbiology, 19(6):2133–2150, 2017.
- [65] Eric R Pianka, Laurie J Vitt, Nicolás Pelegrin, Daniel B Fitzgerald, and Kirk O Winemiller. Toward a periodic table of niches, or exploring the lizard niche hypervolume. *The American Naturalist*, 190(5): 601–616, 2017.
- [66] J Peter Gogarten and Jeffrey P Townsend. Horizontal gene transfer, genome innovation and evolution. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 3(9):679–687, 2005.
- [67] Christopher S Ward, Cheuk-Man Yung, Katherine M Davis, Sara K Blinebry, Tiffany C Williams, Zackary I Johnson, and Dana E Hunt. Annual community patterns are driven by seasonal switching between closely related marine bacteria. *The ISME journal*, 11(6):1412–1422, 2017.
- [68] Michael Seidel, Marcus Manecki, Daniel PR Herlemann, Barbara Deutsch, Detlef Schulz-Bull, Klaus Jürgens, and Thorsten Dittmar. Composition and transformation of dissolved organic matter in the baltic sea. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, 5:31, 2017.

- [69] Johannes Alneberg, Christin Bennke, Sara Beier, Carina Bunse, Christopher Quince, Karolina Ininbergs, Lasse Riemann, Martin Ekman, Klaus Jürgens, Matthias Labrenz, et al. Ecosystem-wide metagenomic binning enables prediction of ecological niches from genomes. *Communications biology*, 3(1):1–10, 2020.
- [70] Karna Gowda, Derek Ping, Madhav Mani, and Seppe Kuehn. Genomic structure predicts metabolite dynamics in microbial communities. *Cell*, 2022.
- [71] Natalia García-García, Javier Tamames, Alexandra M Linz, Carlos Pedrós-Alió, and Fernando Puente-Sánchez. Microdiversity ensures the maintenance of functional microbial communities under changing environmental conditions. *The ISME journal*, 13(12):2969–2983, 2019.
- [72] Ana Zhu, Shinichi Sunagawa, Daniel R Mende, and Peer Bork. Inter-individual differences in the gene content of human gut bacterial species. *Genome biology*, 16(1):1–13, 2015.
- [73] Kathryn Forcone, Felipe H Coutinho, Giselle S Cavalcanti, and Cynthia B Silveira. Prophage genomics and ecology in the family rhodobacteraceae. *Microorganisms*, 9(6):1115, 2021.
- [74] Kjärstin H Boström, Karin Simu, Åke Hagström, and Lasse Riemann. Optimization of dna extraction for quantitative marine bacterioplankton community analysis. *Limnology and Oceanography: Methods*, 2(11): 365–373, 2004.
- [75] Carina Bunse, Mireia Bertos-Fortis, Ingrid Sassenhagen, Sirje Sildever, Conny Sjöqvist, Anna Godhe, Susanna Gross, Anke Kremp, Inga Lips, Nina Lundholm, et al. Spatio-temporal interdependence of bacteria and phytoplankton during a baltic sea spring bloom. *Frontiers in microbiology*, 7:517, 2016.
- [76] Daniel PR Herlemann, Matthias Labrenz, Klaus Jürgens, Stefan Bertilsson, Joanna J Waniek, and Anders F Andersson. Transitions in bacterial communities along the 2000 km salinity gradient of the baltic sea. The ISME journal, 5(10):1571–1579, 2011.
- [77] Luisa W Hugerth, Hugo A Wefer, Sverker Lundin, Hedvig E Jakobsson, Mathilda Lindberg, Sandra Rodin, Lars Engstrand, and Anders F Andersson. Degeprime, a program for degenerate primer design for broad-taxonomic-range pcr in microbial ecology studies. *Applied and environmental microbiology*, 80(16): 5116–5123, 2014.
- [78] Philip A Ewels, Alexander Peltzer, Sven Fillinger, Harshil Patel, Johannes Alneberg, Andreas Wilm, Maxime Ulysse Garcia, Paolo Di Tommaso, and Sven Nahnsen. The nf-core framework for communitycurated bioinformatics pipelines. *Nature biotechnology*, 38(3):276–278, 2020.
- [79] Daniel Straub, Nia Blackwell, Adrian Langarica-Fuentes, Alexander Peltzer, Sven Nahnsen, and Sara Kleindienst. Interpretations of environmental microbial community studies are biased by the selected 16s rrna (gene) amplicon sequencing pipeline. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 11:550420, 2020.
- [80] Benjamin J Callahan, Paul J McMurdie, Michael J Rosen, Andrew W Han, Amy Jo A Johnson, and Susan P Holmes. Dada2: High-resolution sample inference from illumina amplicon data. *Nature methods*, 13(7): 581–583, 2016.
- [81] Evan Bolyen, Jai Ram Rideout, Matthew R Dillon, Nicholas A Bokulich, Christian C Abnet, Gabriel A Al-Ghalith, Harriet Alexander, Eric J Alm, Manimozhiyan Arumugam, Francesco Asnicar, et al. Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using quime 2. Nature biotechnology, 37(8): 852–857, 2019.
- [82] Torsten Seemann. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics*, 30(14):2068–2069, 2014.
- [83] Aravind Subramanian, Pablo Tamayo, Vamsi K Mootha, Sayan Mukherjee, Benjamin L Ebert, Michael A Gillette, Amanda Paulovich, Scott L Pomeroy, Todd R Golub, Eric S Lander, et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 102(43):15545–15550, 2005.

- [84] R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2020. URL https://www.R-project.org/.
- [85] Gennady Korotkevich, Vladimir Sukhov, and Alexey Sergushichev. Fast gene set enrichment analysis. bioRxiv, 2019. doi: 10.1101/060012. URL http://biorxiv.org/content/early/2016/06/20/060012.
- [86] Yoav Benjamini and Yosef Hochberg. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. Journal of the Royal statistical society: series B (Methodological), 57(1):289–300, 1995.
- [87] Jeff Bezanson, Alan Edelman, Stefan Karpinski, and Viral B Shah. Julia: A fresh approach to numerical computing. SIAM Review, 59(1):65-98, 2017. doi: 10.1137/141000671. URL https://epubs.siam.org/ doi/10.1137/141000671.
- [88] Hadley Wickham. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York, 2016. ISBN 978-3-319-24277-4. URL https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org.
- [89] Hadley Wickham, Mara Averick, Jennifer Bryan, Winston Chang, Lucy D'Agostino McGowan, Romain François, Garrett Grolemund, Alex Hayes, Lionel Henry, Jim Hester, Max Kuhn, Thomas Lin Pedersen, Evan Miller, Stephan Milton Bache, Kirill Müller, Jeroen Ooms, David Robinson, Dana Paige Seidel, Vitalie Spinu, Kohske Takahashi, Davis Vaughan, Claus Wilke, Kara Woo, and Hiroaki Yutani. Welcome to the tidyverse. Journal of Open Source Software, 4(43):1686, 2019. doi: 10.21105/joss.01686.
- [90] Hadley Wickham. The split-apply-combine strategy for data analysis. Journal of Statistical Software, 40(1): 1-29, 2011. URL https://www.jstatsoft.org/v40/i01/.
- [91] Shuangbin Xu, Meijun Chen, Tingze Feng, Li Zhan, Lang Zhou, and Guangchuang Yu. Use ggbreak to effectively utilize plotting space to deal with large datasets and outliers. *Frontiers in Genetics*, 12:774846, 2021. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2021.774846.
- [92] Alboukadel Kassambara. ggpubr: 'ggplot2' Based Publication Ready Plots, 2020. URL https://rpkgs. datanovia.com/ggpubr/. R package version 0.4.0.999.
- [93] Michael Hahsler, Kurt Hornik, and Christian Buchta. Getting things in order: An introduction to the r package seriation. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 25(3):1–34, March 2008. ISSN 1548-7660. doi: 10.18637/jss.v025.i03.
- [94] Hadley Wickham. Reshaping data with the reshape package. Journal of Statistical Software, 21(12), 2007. URL http://www.jstatsoft.org/v21/i12/paper.

Supplementary material: Quantification of metabolic niche occupancy dynamics in a Baltic Sea bacterial community

Jana C. Massing^{1,2,3*}, Ashkaan Fahimipour⁴, Carina Bunse^{1,5}, Jarone Pinhassi⁶, Thilo Gross^{1,2,3}

1 Helmholtz Institute for Functional Marine Biodiversity at the University of Oldenburg (HIFMB), Oldenburg, Germany

2 Helmholtz Centre for Marine and Polar Research, Alfred-Wegener-Institute, Bremerhaven, Germany

3 Institute for Chemistry and Biology of the Marine Environment (ICBM), Carl-von-Ossietzky University, Oldenburg, Germany

4 Institute of Marine Sciences, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA, USA

5 Department of Marine Sciences, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

6 Centre for Ecology and Evolution in Microbial Model Systems - EEMiS, Linnaeus University, Kalmar, Sweden

* jana.massing@hifmb.de

Supplementary Tables

Table S1: Genomes that map to the 100 most abundant ASVs obtained from amplicon sequencing data in terms of relative mean abundance over the whole sampling period. Genome, taxonomic information, mean and maximum abundance over the whole sampling period are provided.

Genome	Class	Family	Species	Mean abundance	Max abundance
GCF_003011885.1	Cyanobacteriia	Cyanobiaceae	Cyanobium_A usitatum	0.1150	0.7222
GCF_002252665.1	Cyanobacteriia	Cyanobiaceae	Cyanobium_A sp002252665	0.0533	0.2779
GCA_003569125.1	Acidimicrobiia	Ilumatobacteraceae	BACL27 sp003569125	0.0488	0.3656
GCA_001593825.1	Cyanobacteriia	Nostocaceae	Aphanizomenon_B flosaquae	0.0400	0.5437
GCF_000173115.1	Bacteroidia	Flavobacteriaceae	MAG-120531 sp000173115	0.0397	0.5443
GCA_002358295.1	Gammaproteobacteria	D2472	D2472 sp002358345	0.0287	0.2754
GCA_003569145.1	Actinomycetia	Nanopelagicaceae	MAG-120802 sp003569145	0.0286	0.2757
GCA_001438235.1	Alphaproteobacteria	Rhodobacteraceae	UBA10365 sp003536295	0.0235	0.1846
GCA_002405515.1	Planctomycetes	UBA1268	UBA4655 sp002405515	0.0226	0.1789
GCA_007280255.1	Planctomycetes	UBA1268	QWOQ01 sp003669585	0.0213	0.2010
GCA_001437765.1	Acidimicrobiia	Ilumatobacteraceae	UBA3006 sp002367695	0.0208	0.2445
GCA_002325485.1	Bacteroidia	Flavobacteriaceae	BACL21 sp002694465	0.0201	0.3039
GCA_002340585.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Porticoccaceae	HTCC2207 sp001438605	0.0191	0.2454
GCF_001485105.1	Actinomycetia	Streptomycetaceae	Streptomyces acidiscabies	0.0163	0.3385
GCA_002711735.1	Acidimicrobiia	Ilumatobacteraceae	Ilumatobacter_A sp002711735	0.0142	0.2166
GCF_000496475.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Burkholderiaceae	RS62 sp000496475	0.0139	0.1293
GCF_000257665.1	Actinomycetia	Microbacteriaceae	Aquiluna sp000257665	0.0129	0.2494
GCF_000312705.1	Cyanobacteriia	Nostocaceae	LE011-02 sp000312705	0.0122	0.5288
GCF_002287885.2	Actinomycetia	Nanopelagicaceae	Nanopelagicus limnes	0.0114	0.0733
GCF_000242915.1	Campylobacteria	Sulfurimonadaceae	Sulfurimonas gotlandica	0.0107	0.6154
GCA_002746305.1	Bacteroidia	UBA9320	UBA9320 sp002746305	0.0100	0.0928
GCA_002430225.1	Actinomycetia	Microbacteriaceae	Pontimonas sp001438965	0.0093	0.2095
GCF_002252705.1	Cyanobacteriia	Cyanobiaceae	Vulcanococcus limneticus	0.0092	0.1075
GCA_000750175.1	Alphaproteobacteria	Pelagibacteraceae	IMCC9063 sp000750175	0.0090	0.1093
GCA_002340845.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Methylophilaceae	BACL14 sp002384685	0.0079	0.0679
GCA_001438645.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Methylophilaceae	BACL14 sp002384685	0.0076	0.0700
GCA_001438145.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Pseudohongiellaceae	OM182 sp001438145	0.0074	0.0646
GCF_002284895.1	Actinomycetia	Nanopelagicaceae	Planktophila sp002284895	0.0074	0.0575
GCA_000485495.1	Actinomycetia	Nanopelagicaceae	AAA044-D11 sp000485495	0.0074	0.0642
GCA_002170165.1	Bacteroidia	BACL11	TMED123 sp002170165	0.0072	0.0501
GCF_900129545.1	Bacteroidia	Flavobacteriaceae	Flavobacterium fluvii	0.0060	0.2432
GCF_001983935.1	Planctomycetes	Planctomycetaceae	Fuerstia marisgermanicae	0.0058	0.1676
GCA_001438305.1	Bacteroidia	Schleiferiaceae	TMED14 sp001438205	0.0056	0.0693
GCF_002252635.1	Cyanobacteriia	Cyanobiaceae	WH-5701 sp002252635	0.0055	0.0868
GCA_004292795.1	Bacteroidia	Microscillaceae	RDXI01 sp004292795	0.0054	0.1049
GCF_006491595.1	Bacteroidia	Flavobacteriaceae	Flavobacterium jejuense	0.0052	0.0682
GCF_002943715.1	Bacteroidia	Flavobacteriaceae	Polaribacter filamentus	0.0052	0.1530
GCF_000299115.1	Alphaproteobacteria	HIMB59	HIMB59 sp000299115	0.0051	0.0616
GCF_900114485.1	Alphaproteobacteria	Rhodobacteraceae	Loktanella salsilacus	0.0049	0.0452
GCA_004379135.1	Acidimicrobiia	Ilumatobacteraceae	Casp-actino8 sp004379135	0.0048	0.0220
GCA_003249095.1	Cyanobacteriia	Microcystaceae	Snowella sp003249095	0.0047	0.1042
GCF_002631185.1	Alphaproteobacteria	Acetobacteraceae	Roseomonas rhizosphaerae	0.0047	0.3294
GCA_000738435.1	Alphaproteobacteria	Rhodobacteraceae	Planktomarina temperata	0.0046	0.1321
GCF_003096315.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Burkholderiaceae	Achromobacter insuavis	0.0046	0.4719
GCA_003284275.1	Alphaproteobacteria	Pelagibacteraceae	Pelagibacter_A sp003284275	0.0045	0.0547
GCF_002252675.1	Cyanobacteriia	Cyanobiaceae	Cyanobium sp002252675	0.0044	0.0434
GCF_002954645.1	Bacteroidia	Flavobacteriaceae	Polaribacter gangjinensis	0.0043	0.0744
GCF_002101315.1	Alphaproteobacteria	Pelagibacteraceae	Pelagibacter sp002101315	0.0040	0.0398
GCF_002115755.1	Alphaproteobacteria	Thalassospiraceae	Thalassospira mesophila	0.0039	0.2429

GCA_002733565.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Psychromonadaceae	Moritella sp000170855	0.0039	0.0718
GCF_002288225.1	Actinomycetia	Nanopelagicaceae	Planktophila dulcis	0.0039	0.0286
GCA_002428815.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Porticoccaceae	HTCC2207 sp001438605	0.0038	0.0499
GCF_000590925.1	Alphaproteobacteria	Rhodobacteraceae	Roseicyclus elongatum	0.0037	0.0555
GCA_002346275.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Halieaceae	IMCC3088 sp003520285	0.0037	0.0802
GCF_002940745.1	Bacteroidia	Flavobacteriaceae	Hanstruepera crassostreae	0.0036	0.0623
GCF_003335085.1	Bacteroidia	Flavobacteriaceae	Polaribacter sp003335085	0.0036	0.1667
GCF_000699505.1	Actinomycetia	Microbacteriaceae	Rhodoluna lacicola	0.0035	0.0265
GCA_003149555.1	Actinomycetia	Microbacteriaceae	Aquiluna sp003149555	0.0034	0.0827
GCA_004379115.1	Actinomycetia	S36-B12	Mxb001 sp004379115	0.0034	0.0716
GCA_001438005.1	Verrucomicrobiae	UBA3015	UBA3015 sp001438005	0.0032	0.0291
GCA_002346225.1	Bacteroidia	BACL12	UBA11426 sp002346225	0.0031	0.1118
GCF_003003055.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Burkholderiaceae	SCGC-AAA027-K21 sp003003055	0.0031	0.0317
GCF_002284855.1	Actinomycetia	Nanopelagicaceae	Planktophila sp002284855	0.0029	0.0379
GCA_002863125.1	Bacteroidia	UA16	UA16 sp002863125	0.0029	0.0250
GCF_002284915.1	Actinomycetia	Nanopelagicaceae	IMCC26077 sp002284915	0.0029	0.0279
GCA_001438165.1	Bacteroidia	Schleiferiaceae	TMED14 sp002381225	0.0029	0.0274
GCF_001457835.1	Clostridia	Ezakiellaceae	Fenollaria timonensis	0.0029	0.1789
GCF_000152785.1	Alphaproteobacteria	Rhodobacteraceae	Yoonia vestfoldensis_A	0.0028	0.0290
GCA_002292365.1	Bacteroidia	Cyclobacteriaceae	UBA4465 sp002292365	0.0028	0.0194
GCF_001439695.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Pseudomonadaceae	Pseudomonas_E veronii	0.0028	0.1510
GCA_000421325.1	Alphaproteobacteria	AAA536-G10	AAA536-G10 sp000421325	0.0027	0.0406
GCA_003208775.1	Cyanobacteriia	Cvanobiaceae	Synechococcus_C sp002500205	0.0026	0.1927
GCA_003671255.1	Planctomycetes	Gemmataceae	UBA969 sp003671255	0.0025	0.0379
GCA_007093895.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae	Salmonella enterica	0.0024	0.0168
GCF_003011125.1	Cyanobacteriia	Cvanobiaceae	Synechococcus_D lacustris	0.0024	0.0380
GCF_004337435.1	Actinomycetia	Streptomycetaceae	Streptomyces sp004337435	0.0024	0.0120
GCA_002167745.1	Gammaproteobacteria	SG8-40	UBA3031 sp002167745	0.0023	0.0320
GCA_900618205.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Burkholderiaceae	Bordetella trematum	0.0023	0.1770
GCF_000173095.1	Bacteroidia	Flavobacteriaceae	MS024-2A sp000173095	0.0023	0.0428
GCF_000797465.1	Bacteroidia	Flavobacteriaceae	Psychroserpens jangbogonensis	0.0021	0.0377
GCA_002690755.1	Phycisphaerae	SM1A02	UBA12014 sp002690755	0.0021	0.0255
GCA_002480055.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Porticoccaceae	HTCC2207 sp002335945	0.0020	0.0215
GCF_000143825.1	Actinomycetia	Mycobacteriaceae	Corvnebacterium genitalium_A	0.0020	0.0926
GCF_006385135.1	Alphaproteobacteria	Emcibacteraceae	Emcibacter_A congregatus	0.0020	0.0176
GCF_002284875.1	Actinomycetia	Nanopelagicaceae	Planktophila sp002284875	0.0019	0.0111
GCA_002697205.1	Gammaproteobacteria	HTCC2089	GCA-2697205 sp002697205	0.0019	0.0172
GCA_003045825.1	Bacteroidia	Schleiferiaceae	UBA10364 sp003045825	0.0019	0.0476
GCA_000762985.1	Actinomycetia	Mycobacteriaceae	Mycobacterium rufum	0.0018	0.0245
GCA_002282055.1	Bacteroidia	Sphingobacteriaceae	Daejeonella sp002257025	0.0018	0.0238
GCA 002499015.1	Poseidonija	Poseidoniaceae	MGIIa-L1 sp002499015	0.0018	0.0959
GCF_000176015.1	Alphaproteobacteria	Bhodobacteraceae	Pseudorhodobacter_B sp000176015	0.0018	0.0476
GCF_006937785.1	Cyanobacterija	Pseudanabaenaceae	Pseudanabaena sp006937785	0.0017	0.1092
GCF_001623485.1	Cyanobacteriia	Nostocaceae	Nodularia spumigena	0.0016	0.0348
GCF_000171835.1	Alphaproteobacteria	Thalassobaculaceae	BAL199 sp000171835	0.0015	0.0138
GCF_000156155.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Methylophilaceae	BACL14 sp000156155	0.0015	0.0159
GCA_002733945.1	Campylobacteria	Sulfurimonadaceae	Sulfurimonas sp002733945	0.0015	0.0763
GCF_003856375.1	Bacteroidia	Crocinitomicaceae	Fluviicola sp003856375	0.0015	0.0449
GCF_900110395.1	Alphaproteobacteria	Revranellaceae	Revranella sp900110395	0.0015	0.0222
GCF_002368115.1	Cvanobacterija	Nostocaceae	Dolichospermum_A compactum	0.0014	0.0579
GCF_900100865_1	Actinomycetia	Microbacteriaceae	Aquiluna sp900100865	0.0014	0.0188
					0.0100

Table S2: Species that map to the 100 ASVs scoring most negative values in variable 1.

Species	Value	Genome	Class	Family
Salmonella enterica	-0.09769	GCA_007094035.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Salmonella enterica	-0.09769	GCA_007093895.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Salmonella enterica	-0.09763	GCA_007093765.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Salmonella enterica	-0.09756	GCF_003548795.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Cronobacter sakazakii	-0.09754	GCF_002094495.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Cronobacter sakazakii	-0.09754	GCF_002094665.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Cronobacter sakazakii	-0.09754	GCF_002977865.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Cronobacter turicensis	-0.09754	GCF_002976545.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Cronobacter sakazakii	-0.09753	GCA_002094675.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Cronobacter sakazakii	-0.09753	GCF_002094645.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Cronobacter sakazakii	-0.09752	GCF_002977315.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Cronobacter malonaticus	-0.09752	GCF_002978245.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Cronobacter malonaticus	-0.09752	GCF_002978235.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Cronobacter sakazakii	-0.09751	GCF_002094575.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Cronobacter sakazakii	-0.09751	GCF_002976775.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Cronobacter malonaticus	-0.09751	GCF_002978375.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Cronobacter sakazakii	-0.09751	GCF_002094585.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Cronobacter sakazakii	-0.09751	GCA_002976965.2	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Cronobacter dublinensis	-0.09751	GCF_002979155.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Cronobacter malonaticus	-0.09751	GCF_002978545.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Cronobacter malonaticus	-0.09751	GCF_002978185.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Cronobacter sakazakii	-0.09751	GCF_002977405.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Cronobacter sakazakii	-0.09751	GCF_002094475.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Cronobacter malonaticus	-0.0975	GCF_002978535.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Cronobacter sakazakii	-0.0975	GCF_002976735.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Cronobacter sakazakii	-0.0975	GCF_002976795.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Cronobacter sakazakii	-0.0975	GCA_002977005.2	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Escherichia coli	-0.09749	GCA_002078275.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Cronobacter sakazakii	-0.09749	GCF_002977155.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Escherichia coli	-0.09749	GCF_001268585.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Escherichia coli	-0.09749	GCF_001269185.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Escherichia coli	-0.09748	GCF_004523105.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Escherichia coli	-0.09748	GCF_005889645.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Escherichia coli	-0.09748	GCF_001268685.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae

Escherichia coli	-0.09748	GCF_002007165.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Escherichia coli	-0.09748	GCF_002959275.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Cronobacter sakazakii	-0.09748	GCF_002978035.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Cronobacter sakazakii	-0.09747	GCF_002978105.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Cronobacter dublinensis	-0.09747	GCA_002978875.2	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Cronobacter dublinensis	-0.09747	GCF_002978655.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Enterobacter sp.	-0.09746	GCF_000534395.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Scandinavium goeteborgense	-0.09744	GCF 004361715 1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Scandinavium goeteborgense	-0.09743	GCA 003935895 2	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Klahaialla an anna an iar	-0.09743	GCR_003933893.2	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Creation de la constante de la	-0.09742	GCF_003907393.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Cronobacter dubinnensis	-0.09742	GCF_002978855.1	GammapFoteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Klebsiella quasivariicola	-0.09742	GCF_002269255.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Klebsiella variicola	-0.09742	GCF_001033575.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Klebsiella quasipneumoniae	-0.09742	GCF_002853635.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Klebsiella pneumoniae	-0.09742	GCF_004127885.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Citrobacter freundii	-0.09741	GCA_001686345.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Enterobacter cloacae	-0.09741	GCF_001562175.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Enterobacter sp.	-0.0974	GCF_000493015.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Cronobacter sakazakii	-0.0974	GCF_002977115.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Citrobacter koseri	-0.09739	GCF_002393245.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Klebsiella pneumoniae	-0.09739	GCF_003227185.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Klebsiella pneumoniae	-0.09739	GCF_004127515.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Pantoea sp.	-0.09737	GCF_002920175.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Klebsiella grimontii	-0.09736	GCA_902159485.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Enterobacter sp.	-0.09736	GCA_007035975.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Pantoea sp.	-0.09736	GCF_002313185.2	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Pantoea sp	-0.09736	GCF 003813865 1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Buttiauxella izardii	-0.09735	GCF 003601925 1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Klebsiella grimontii	-0.09735	GCA 902158675 1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Lolliottio nimineggueolia	0.00724	CCE 004402045 1	Cammaprotochastoria	Enterobacteriaceae
Dentere strengtii	-0.09734	GCF_004402045.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Pantoea stewartii	-0.09732	GCF_001310295.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Pantoea sp.	-0.09729	GCF_000963985.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Rahnella aquatilis	-0.09729	GCF_000735505.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Buttiauxella sp.	-0.09728	GCF_003675305.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Cronobacter dublinensis	-0.09727	GCF_002978705.2	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Erwinia sp.	-0.09726	GCF_002752575.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Rouxiella chamberiensis	-0.09724	GCF_000951135.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Erwinia sp.	-0.09724	GCF_004551645.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Enterobacter sp.	-0.09721	GCF_000277545.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Gamma proteobacterium	-0.09715	GCA_000335795.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Rahnella aquatilis	-0.09711	GCA_003956145.2	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Rahnella woolbedingensis	-0.09709	GCF_003602095.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Ewingella americana	-0.09702	GCF_006438725.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Ewingella americana	-0.09696	GCF_900451015.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Serratia quinivorans	-0.09689	GCF_900457075.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Atlantibacter hermannii	-0.09685	GCF_900635495.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Serratia proteamaculans	-0.09685	GCF_004684015.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Serratia sp.	-0.09684	GCF_002607755.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Pseudescherichia vulneris	-0.09681	GCF_900450975.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Buttiauxella sp	-0.09678	GCF 006376615 1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Pantoea vagans	-0.09644	GCF 001506165 1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Ewingella americana	-0.09632	GCF 000735345 1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Bostobastonium assotouonum	0.006	CCF 002250215 1	Cammaprotochastoria	Enterobacteriaceae
Comparing for the second secon	-0.050	GCF 006714055 1	Gammapioteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Serratia fonticola	-0.09370	GCF 0000714955.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacterraceae
Serratia sp.	-0.0957	GCF_003668775.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Tersinia enterocolítica	-0.09544	GCF_002082245.2	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
rersinia enterocolítica	-0.09536	GCF_002083285.2	Gammaproteobacteria	Enteropacteriaceae
Yersinia kristensenii	-0.09526	GCF_002188895.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Morganella morganii	-0.05621	GCF_003287815.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Plesiomonas sp.	-0.01279	GCF_000800945.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Plesiomonas shigelloides	-0.01279	GCF_002093895.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae
Aeromonas jandaei	-0.01248	GCF_000708125.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Aeromonadaceae
Aeromonas veronii	-0.01245	GCF_000298015.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Aeromonadaceae
Photobacterium kishitanii	-0.01235	GCF_003025945.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Vibrionaceae
Photobacterium phosphoreum	-0.01235	GCF_003025815.1	Gammaproteobacteria	Vibrionaceae

Table S3: Top 100 over-represented annotated genes in the genomes of the taxa that receive the most negative entries on variable 1. The *NES* and *FDR-Adj.* P columns show the normalized 'Enrichment score' and FDR-adjusted (1) P-value from the enrichment analysis (2).

Gene	FDR-Adj. P	NES
Major outer membrane lipoprotein Lpp 1	-11.844	0.00025
Phage shock protein G	-11.798	0.00025
Primosomal replication protein N"	-11.753	0.00025
DNA damage-inducible protein I	-11.694	0.00025
Outer membrane porin C	-11.614	0.00025
Chaperone protein YcdY	-11.562	0.00025
USG-1 protein	-11.506	0.00025
HTH-type transcriptional regulator cbl	-11.357	0.00025
Inner membrane protein YghB	-11.34	0.00025
Cytochrome c-type protein NrfB	-11.321	0.00025

Plasmid partition protein A	-11.288	0.00025
Protein rof	-11.241	0.00025
putative inner membrane protein Smp	-11.208	0.00025
putative protein YbjN	-11.199	0.00025
putative lipoprotein YbaY	-11.157	0.00025
putative HTH-type transcriptional regulator YbdO	-11.112	0.00025
Inner membrane protein YqiE	-11.063	0.00025
putative protein YfeY	-11.051	0.00025
Secretion monitor	-11.041	0.00025
putative ECA polymerase	-11.035	0.00025
Protein Sxv	-10.994	0.00025
Kdo(2)-lipid A phosphoethanolamine 7"-transferase	-10.986	0.00025
Intermembrane phospholinid transport system hinding protein MlaB	-10.98	0.00025
putativa qualia di CMP phosphodiostarasa PdeD	10.04	0.00025
Phoenhatidulglucorophoenhatase C	10.94	0.00025
Inner membrane protain VlaC	10.022	0.00025
Multiple strong registering protein Plac	-10.922	0.00025
Multiple stress resistance protein BisA	-10.922	0.00025
Protein YdgH	-10.922	0.00025
Protein PhoH	-10.898	0.00025
Outer membrane protein X	-10.882	0.00025
Sensor protein BasS	-10.881	0.00025
Inner membrane protein YgbE	-10.872	0.00025
putative protein YjjI	-10.872	0.00025
Biofilm regulator BssS	-10.87	0.00025
DNA polymerase III subunit theta	-10.87	0.00025
Multidrug efflux pump accessory protein AcrZ	-10.87	0.00025
putative protein YejG	-10.87	0.00025
putative protein YhcO	-10.87	0.00025
Protein YhjJ	-10.864	0.00025
Constitutive lysine decarboxylase	-10.863	0.00025
Pirin-like protein YhaK	-10.861	0.00025
Constitutive ornithine decarboxylase	-10.843	0.00025
Inner membrane protein YdgK	-10.817	0.00025
putative cyclic di-GMP phosphodiesterase PdeK	-10.815	0.00025
Flagellar regulator flk	-10.81	0.00025
Lipoprotein BsmA	-10.81	0.00025
Modulator protein MzrA	-10.81	0.00025
Inner membrane protein YgfX	-10.808	0.00025
Protein DsrB	-10.808	0.00025
Phosphoethanolamine transferase OpgE	-10.764	0.00025
Transcriptional regulatory protein RcsA	-10.748	0.00025
Osmotically-inducible lipoprotein B	-10.748	0.00025
Cyclic di-GMP phosphodiesterase PdeH	-10.735	0.00025
Hha toxicity modulator TomB	-10.732	0.00025
Type II secretion system protein H	-10.728	0.00025
putative lipoprotein YajI	-10.69	0.00025
putative protein YebV	-10.682	0.00025
Inner membrane protein YbjO	-10.682	0.00025
putative lipoprotein YbjP	-10.682	0.00025
putative protein YccJ	-10.663	0.00025
Inner membrane protein YfeZ	-10.655	0.00025
Flagella synthesis protein FlgN	-10.649	0.00025
Periplasmic chaperone Spy	-10.637	0.00025
putative ferredoxin-like protein YdhX	-10.629	0.00025
Regulatory protein SoxS	-10.485	0.00025
Protein TonB	-10.47	0.00025
Cyclic di-GMP binding protein BcsE	-10.384	0.00025
Quorum-sensing regulator protein G	-10.381	0.00025
	1	

Signal transduction histidine-protein kinase/phosphatase UhpB	-10.368	0.00025
Regulator of sigma S factor FliZ	-10.328	0.00025
Inner membrane protein YbjM	-10.323	0.00025
Trimethylamine-N-oxide reductase	-10.318	0.00025
Ferric iron reductase protein FhuF	-10.31	0.00025
putative protein YaiA	-10.29	0.00025
Negative regulator of flagellin synthesis	-10.282	0.00025
Anti-adapter protein IraP	-10.27	0.00025
Ferric enterobactin transport protein FepE	-10.235	0.00025
Intracellular growth attenuator protein igaA	-10.225	0.00025
Outer membrane porin N	-10.133	0.00025
Flagellar protein FlhE	-10.095	0.00025
Inner membrane protein YebE	-10.087	0.00025
HTH-type transcriptional repressor BluR	-10.05	0.00025
Enterobactin synthase component F	-10.035	0.00025
Sec-independent protein translocase protein TatE	-9.992	0.00025
Alternative ribosome-rescue factor A	-9.987	0.00025
Primosomal protein 1	-9.919	0.00025
putative csgAB operon transcriptional regulatory protein	-9.909	0.00025
putative protein YgaM	-9.887	0.00025
Multiple antibiotic resistance protein MarA	-9.846	0.00025
Inner membrane protein YbhQ	-9.832	0.00025
Inner membrane protein YjiG	-9.829	0.00025
Putative selenoprotein YdfZ	-9.827	0.00025
HTH-type transcriptional regulator MlrA	-9.802	0.00025
Tryptophanase	-9.8	0.00025
Universal stress protein C	-9.798	0.00025
Hemolysin expression-modulating protein Hha	-9.771	0.00025
6-phospho-beta-glucosidase BglB	-9.762	0.00025
Ribonucleoside-diphosphate reductase 2 subunit alpha	-9.711	0.00025
Transcriptional regulator SutA	-9.71	0.00025
Cyclic di-GMP binding protein	-9.705	0.00025

Table S4: Top 100 over-represented annotated genes in the genomes of the taxa that receive the most positive entries on variable 2. The column descriptions are provided with Supplementary Table 3.

Gene	FDR-Adj. P	NES
Outer-membrane lipoprotein LolB	15.094	0.0004
DNA-binding protein Fis	15.02	0.0004
LPS-assembly lipoprotein LptE	15.004	0.0004
Ammonia monooxygenase gamma subunit	14.873	0.0004
Thiol:disulfide interchange protein DsbA	14.848	0.0004
DNA polymerase III subunit delta	14.719	0.0004
Phosphate regulon sensor protein PhoR	14.697	0.0004
Pyruvate kinase II	14.344	0.0004
1,6-anhydro-N-acetylmuramyl-L-alanine amidase AmpD	14.232	0.0004
Cell division protein FtsN	14.223	0.0004
Stringent starvation protein A	14.185	0.0004
Lipopolysaccharide export system permease protein LptF	14.002	0.0004
Succinate dehydrogenase hydrophobic membrane anchor subunit	13.978	0.0004
Recombination-associated protein RdgC	13.944	0.0004
Modulator of FtsH protease YccA	13.94	0.0004
Cell division protein ZipA	13.785	0.0004
Cbb3-type cytochrome c oxidase subunit CcoN1	13.784	0.0004
Cytochrome c4	13.777	0.0004
2-octaprenylphenol hydroxylase	13.656	0.0004
2-octaprenyl-6-methoxyphenol hydroxylase	13.643	0.0004
Phosphoenolpyruvate synthase regulatory protein	13.401	0.0004

HTH-type transcriptional regulator CysB	13.346	0.0004
2-methyl-aconitate isomerase	13.193	0.0004
Ferredoxin 1	13.12	0.0004
Intermembrane phospholipid transport system ATP-binding protein MlaF	13.091	0.0004
Large ribosomal RNA subunit accumulation protein YceD	13.051	0.0004
Cytoskeleton protein RodZ	13.043	0.0004
Chorismate pyruvate-lyase	12.981	0.0004
Soluble lytic murein transglycosylase	12.981	0.0004
Intermembrane phospholipid transport system binding protein MlaD	12.951	0.0004
2Fe-2S ferredoxin	12.898	0.0004
Cell division protein DedD	12.872	0.0004
Protein YcgL	12.871	0.0004
Uroporphyrinogen-III synthase	12.748	0.0004
High frequency lysogenization protein HflD	12.712	0.0004
putative protein YaeQ	12.679	0.0004
Exodeoxyribonuclease I	12.653	0.0004
Co-chaperone protein HscB	12.641	0.0004
Phosphatase NudJ	12.606	0.0004
Glutamate-pyruvate aminotransferase AlaA	12.599	0.0004
Ribonuclease T	12.541	0.0004
Intermembrane phospholipid transport system binding protein MlaC	12.528	0.0004
Colicin V production protein	12.514	0.0004
5-amino-6-(5-phospho-D-ribitylamino)uracil phosphatase YigB	12.51	0.0004
Fimbrial protein	12.493	0.0004
Inner membrane transport protein YajR	12.469	0.0004
50S ribosomal protein L16 3-hydroxylase	12.462	0.0004
Protein phosphatase CheZ	12.411	0.0004
FKBP-type 16 kDa peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase	12.376	0.0004
putative protein YibN	12.342	0.0004
Molybdopterin-synthase adenvlyltransferase	12.331	0.0004
Inner membrane protein YpjD	12.312	0.0004
Iron-sulfur cluster assembly protein CvaY	12.218	0.0004
Sigma factor AlgU regulatory protein MucB	12.217	0.0004
Protein-glutamine gamma-glutamyltransferase	12.205	0.0004
Pvrimidine/purine nucleotide 5'-monophosphate nucleosidase	12.141	0.0004
Bifunctional (p)ppGpp synthase/hydrolase SpoT	12.134	0.0004
Putative glutamine amidotransferase YafJ	12.126	0.0004
Penicillin-binding protein 1B	12.077	0.0004
CDP-6-deoxy-L-three-D-glycero-4-hexulose-3-dehydrase reductase	12.042	0.0004
Peptidoglycan hydrolase Flg.J	12.011	0.0004
Methylmalonate-semialdehyde dehydrogenase [acylating]	11.998	0.0004
Acid stress protein IbaG	11.995	0.0004
Bibosome modulation factor	11.907	0.0004
Cbb3-type cytochrome c oxidase subunit CcoP2	11.904	0.0004
ATP synthase subunit beta 1	11.001	0.0004
3 deavy D manna octulosonic acid kinasa	11.302	0.0004
ADP compounds hydrolase NudE	11.75	0.0004
HTH-type transcriptional regulator Vha I	11.776	0.0004
Nucleoid-associated protein VeiK	11.714	0.0004
Sensor protein OseC	11.714	0.0004
UTP pyrophosphatase	11.706	0.0004
ATP-dependent DNA belicase DinG	11.685	0.0004
Riboflavin transporter	11.672	0.0004
Membrane-bound lytic murein transglycosylase B	11 611	0.0004
nutative acultransferase YihC	11.508	0.0004
Protein ImuR	11.561	0.0004
HTH-type transcriptional regulator HmrR	11.528	0.0004
DNA polymerase III subunit chi	11 502	0.0004
Dia polymerase in subunt cm	11.002	0.0004

Flagellar basal-body rod protein FlgF	11.498	0.0004
Outer membrane protein assembly factor BamC	11.498	0.0004
Aerotaxis receptor	11.478	0.0004
Protein-glutamate methylesterase/protein-glutamine glutaminase 1	11.468	0.0004
Dihydroorotase-like protein	11.451	0.0004
Protein YciI	11.451	0.0004
Cell division protein ZapD	11.45	0.0004
Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase cyp18	11.442	0.0004
FAD assembly factor SdhE	11.418	0.0004
D-erythrose-4-phosphate dehydrogenase	11.393	0.0004
putative DNA endonuclease SmrA	11.37	0.0004
Protein Smg	11.35	0.0004
Type II secretion system protein K	11.347	0.0004
Cysteine synthase A	11.339	0.0004
Exoribonuclease 2	11.332	0.0004
Chaperone protein HscA	11.319	0.0004
tRNA/tmRNA (uracil-C(5))-methyltransferase	11.319	0.0004
Murein hydrolase activator NlpD	11.28	0.0004
Methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein McpP	11.26	0.0004
Glutathione S-transferase GST-6.0	11.243	0.0004
Methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein McpH	11.228	0.0004

Table S5: Top 100 over-represented annotated genes in the genomes of the taxa that receive the most positive entries on variable 3. The column descriptions are provided with Supplementary Table 3.

Gene	FDR-Adj. P	NES
GTP pyrophosphokinase rsh	12.285	0.00054
putative peptidoglycan D,D-transpeptidase FtsI	12.172	0.00054
Chromosome-partitioning protein ParB	12.152	0.00054
5-aminolevulinate synthase	12.02	0.00054
FtsZ-localized protein C	11.923	0.00054
6,7-dimethyl-8-ribityllumazine synthase 1	11.812	0.00054
FtsZ-localized protein A	11.775	0.00054
Aerobic cobaltochelatase subunit CobT	11.742	0.00054
Phyllosphere-induced regulator PhyR	11.705	0.00054
NADH-quinone oxidoreductase chain 1	11.649	0.00054
Ubiquinone hydroxylase UbiL	11.525	0.00054
Cell cycle response regulator CtrA	11.398	0.00054
Protein phosphotransferase ChpT	11.394	0.00054
Heat shock protein HspQ	11.378	0.00054
Aerobic cobaltochelatase subunit CobS	11.303	0.00054
Ferredoxin-2	11.301	0.00054
Dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase 3	11.181	0.00054
flagellum biosynthesis repressor protein FlbT	11.152	0.00054
Cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 , bacteroid	11.079	0.00054
Thiol:disulfide interchange protein CycY	11.068	0.00054
putative protein RP812	10.703	0.00054
Polyphosphate:NDP phosphotransferase 3	10.652	0.00054
Serine hydroxymethyltransferase 2	10.633	0.00054
Transcriptional regulatory protein ros	10.535	0.00054
Ferredoxin-6	10.444	0.00054
Glutamate–cysteine ligase EgtA	10.444	0.00054
Propionyl-CoA carboxylase regulator	10.367	0.00054
RNA polymerase sigma-54 factor 2	10.354	0.00054
Bifunctional enzyme IspD/IspF	10.297	0.00054
Cytochrome c1	10.28	0.00054
Cold shock protein CspA	10.25	0.00054
Thiol:disulfide interchange protein TlpA	10.212	0.00054

Nitrogen fixation regulation protein FixK	10.079	0.00054
Cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1-beta	9.815	0.00054
NADH-quinone oxidoreductase chain 5	9.719	0.00054
(3S)-malyl-CoA thioesterase	9.564	0.00054
UDP-2,3-diacylglucosamine pyrophosphatase LpxI	9.371	0.00054
ATP synthase protein I	9.331	0.00054
Hypotaurine/taurine-pyruvate aminotransferase	9.33	0.00054
Blue-light-activated histidine kinase	9.329	0.00054
HTH-type transcriptional regulator RamB	9.327	0.00054
Porin	9.325	0.00054
Penicillin-insensitive murein endopeptidase	9.315	0.00054
Glycine betaine methyltransferase	9.294	0.00054
L-arabinose 1-dehydrogenase (NAD(P)(+))	9.267	0.00054
Putative metal-sulfur cluster biosynthesis proteins YuaD	9.264	0.00054
ATP synthase subunit b'	9.25	0.00054
N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidase AmiD	9.212	0.00054
Periplasmic alpha-galactoside-binding protein	9.195	0.00054
10 kDa chaperonin 1	9.186	0.00054
Urease subunit gamma 1	9.152	0.00054
(2S)-methylsuccinyl-CoA dehydrogenase	9.097	0.00054
Urease subunit alpha 1	9.066	0.00054
Hemolysin C	9.047	0.00054
Urease accessory protein UreE 1	8.894	0.00054
Lysine/ornithine decarboxylase	8.874	0.00054
Dicamba O-demethylase 1, ferredoxin reductase component	8.873	0.00054
Serine–glyoxylate aminotransferase	8.855	0.00054
Precorrin-3B C(17)-methyltransferase	8.849	0.00054
Nicotinate phosphoribosyltransferase	8.819	0.00054
Glycogen synthase 1	8.812	0.00054
3-hydroxybenzoate 6-hydroxylase 1	8.768	0.00054
nicotinate-nucleotide adenylyltransferase	8.741	0.00054
Molybdenum cofactor insertion chaperone PaoD	8.735	0.00054
Arginine–pyruvate transaminase AruH	8.718	0.00054
D-hydantoinase/dihydropyrimidinase	8.695	0.00054
putative 3-hydroxyisobutyrate dehydrogenase	8.592	0.00054
Response regulator receiver protein CpdR	8.56	0.00054
60 kDa chaperonin 5	8.547	0.00054
Lysophospholipase L2	8.545	0.00054
Type I secretion system ATP-binding protein PrsD	8.544	0.00054
Phosphatidylcholine synthase	8.531	0.00054
Carbonic anhydrase 1	8.507	0.00054
Bifunctional coenzyme PQQ synthesis protein C/D	8.503	0.00054
NAD-dependent dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase subunit PreT	8.474	0.00054
Anti-sigma-F factor NrsF	8.456	0.00054
FAD-dependent catabolic D-arginine dehydrogenase DauA	8.437	0.00054
Nopaline-binding periplasmic protein	8.432	0.00054
Mesaconyl-CoA hydratase	8.431	0.00054
putative riboflavin import permease protein RfuD	8.419	0.00054
Sulfite dehvdrogenase subunit C	8.391	0.00054
Crotonyl-CoA carboxylase/reductase	8.381	0.00054
Precorrin-2 C(20)-methyltransferase	8.38	0.00054
Acyl carrier protein AcpXL	8.376	0.00054
Polysialic acid transport ATP-binding protein KpsT	8.372	0.00054
Alkane 1-monooxygenase 2	8.352	0.00054
HTH-type transcriptional regulator RafR	8.331	0.00054
S-formylglutathione hydrolase	8.326	0.00054
Ethylmalonyl-CoA mutase	8.282	0.00054
Outer membrane protein	8.273	0.00054
F		0.00001

Cytochrome c oxidase subunit 4	8.252	0.00054
Cytochrome c-556	8.237	0.00054
Alpha-D-ribose 1-methylphosphonate 5-triphosphate synthase subunit PhnG	8.236	0.00054
Alpha-D-ribose 1-methylphosphonate 5-triphosphate diphosphatase	8.223	0.00054
Sulfite dehydrogenase subunit A	8.218	0.00054
Glutathione-specific gamma-glutamylcyclotransferase	8.17	0.00054
(S)-ureidoglycine aminohydrolase	8.165	0.00054
Hydrogenobyrinate a,c-diamide synthase	8.101	0.00054
Alanine racemase, biosynthetic	8.068	0.00054
Alpha-D-ribose 1-methylphosphonate 5-triphosphate synthase subunit PhnH	8.045	0.00054

Table S6: Top 100 over-represented annotated genes in the genomes of the taxa that receive the most negative entries on variable 4. The column descriptions are provided with Supplementary Table 3.

Gene	FDR-Adj. P	NES
Cytochrome f	-6.889	0.00031
Photosystem II manganese-stabilizing polypeptide	-6.876	0.00031
Protein Thf1	-6.874	0.00031
Photosystem II CP47 reaction center protein	-6.859	0.00031
NAD(P)H-quinone oxidoreductase subunit N	-6.857	0.00031
Photosystem I assembly protein Ycf4	-6.857	0.00031
Photosystem I reaction center subunit III	-6.857	0.00031
Phycocyanobilin:ferredoxin oxidoreductase	-6.85	0.00031
Photosystem II reaction center Psb28 protein	-6.836	0.00031
Photosystem II lipoprotein Psb27	-6.835	0.00031
NAD(P)H-quinone oxidoreductase subunit O	-6.833	0.00031
Photosystem I P700 chlorophyll a apoprotein A1	-6.833	0.00031
Photosystem II reaction center protein K	-6.829	0.00031
Cytochrome b559 subunit alpha	-6.826	0.00031
Photosystem II CP43 reaction center protein	-6.826	0.00031
Photosystem I reaction center subunit IV	-6.824	0.00031
30S ribosomal protein S21 A	-6.812	0.00031
Pentapeptide repeat protein Rfr32	-6.807	0.00031
Photosystem II reaction center protein H	-6.804	0.00031
Ycf54-like protein	-6.803	0.00031
Ferredoxin-thioredoxin reductase, catalytic chain	-6.802	0.00031
NAD(P)H-quinone oxidoreductase subunit M	-6.795	0.00031
Long-chain acyl-[acyl-carrier-protein] reductase	-6.795	0.00031
Bifunctional pantoate ligase/cytidylate kinase	-6.792	0.00031
RNA polymerase sigma factor SigA2	-6.78	0.00031
Photosystem I reaction center subunit II	-6.779	0.00031
Photosystem I reaction center subunit XI	-6.779	0.00031
Phycobiliprotein beta chain	-6.773	0.00031
Phycobilisome 7.8 kDa linker polypeptide, allophycocyanin-associated, core	-6.773	0.00031
Photosystem I reaction center subunit XII	-6.769	0.00031
Aldehyde decarbonylase	-6.752	0.00031
Photosystem II reaction center protein Z	-6.75	0.00031
Ferredoxin-thioredoxin reductase, variable chain	-6.739	0.00031
Photosystem II 12 kDa extrinsic protein	-6.73	0.00031
Photosystem II protein Y	-6.723	0.00031
Protein PsbN	-6.723	0.00031
Proton extrusion protein PcxA	-6.719	0.00031
Cytochrome b6-f complex subunit 7	-6.698	0.00031
Phycocyanobilin lyase subunit alpha	-6.692	0.00031
Orange carotenoid-binding protein	-6.684	0.00031
Cytochrome b559 subunit beta	-6.665	0.00031
Photosystem I iron-sulfur center	-6.638	0.00031
Vitamin K epoxide reductase	-6.628	0.00031

Photosystem I reaction center subunit IX	-6.626	0.00031
ATP-dependent zinc metalloprotease FtsH 2	-6.612	0.00031
Putative diflavin flavoprotein A 3	-6.577	0.00031
putative glutaredoxin	-6.556	0.00031
Monoglucosyldiacylglycerol epimerase	-6.546	0.00031
Photosystem II protein D1 2	-6.546	0.00031
NAD(P)H-quinone oxidoreductase subunit L	-6.543	0.00031
Lipoyl synthase 2	-6.517	0.00031
Phycocyanobilin lyase CpcT	-6.495	0.00031
2-methyl-6-phytyl-1,4-hydroquinone methyltransferase	-6.492	0.00031
Photosystem II reaction center X protein	-6.491	0.00031
Photosystem II D2 protein	-6.47	0.00031
Transcription regulator LexA	-6.463	0.00031
Ycf53-like protein	-6.463	0.00031
D-fructose 1,6-bisphosphatase class 2/sedoheptulose 1,7-bisphosphatase	-6.447	0.00031
putative arabinosyltransferase C	-6.445	0.00031
Phycobiliprotein ApcE	-6.435	0.00031
Photosystem II reaction center protein T	-6.434	0.00031
NAD(P)H-quinone oxidoreductase subunit K 1	-6.424	0.00031
Sensor protein SphS	-6.419	0.00031
Allophycocyanin beta chain	-6.412	0.00031
Photosystem II reaction center protein M	-6.396	0.00031
Photosystem II reaction center protein Ycf12	-6.39	0.00031
Circadian clock protein KaiA	-6.388	0.00031
Photosystem I reaction center subunit VIII	-6.371	0.00031
High-affinity $Na(+)/H(+)$ antiporter NhaS3	-6.34	0.00031
putative 30S ribosomal protein PSRP-3	-6 308	0.00031
Isoaspartyl pentidase/L-asparaginase	-6.297	0.00031
Phytol kinase	-6.261	0.00031
Regulatory protein CysB	-6.248	0.00031
Photosystem II reaction center protein I	-6.243	0.00031
Serine /threenine_protein kinase B	-6.237	0.00031
Forredovin dependent glutamate synthese 2	6 220	0.00031
Phycobilisome rod-core linker polypeptide CpcG	-6.225	0.00031
Galactan 5-Q-arabinofuranosultransferase	-6.217	0.00031
NAD(P)H quinone ovidoroductase subunit I	6.213	0.00031
Putative serine protease HboA	-6.174	0.00031
Phycocyanobilin lyase subunit CneS	6 151	0.00031
Photosystem II reaction center protein I	-0.131	0.00031
Photosystem in reaction center protein J	-0.149	0.00031
Physics and the set of	6 125	0.00031
NAD(D)H guinone oridorodugtese subunit I	6 122	0.00031
Dhoghoribulolinese	-0.125	0.00031
Phosphorioulokinase	-0.118	0.00031
Allenbergerenin schwitzelebe D	-0.103	0.00031
Allophycocyanin subunit alpha-B	-6.097	0.00031
Putative cytochrome P450 120	-0.075	0.00031
Putative diffavin flavoprotein A 5	-0.071	0.00031
Bicarbonate-binding protein CmpA	-0.071	0.00031
C-phycocyanin beta chain	-6.068	0.00031
Chromophore lyase CpcS/CpeS	-6.065	0.00031
4-hydroxybenzoate solanesyltransferase	-6.034	0.00031
Hydrolase	-6.024	0.00031
L,D-transpeptidase 2	-6.004	0.00031
putative ferredoxin/NADP reductase	-5.991	0.00031
Photosystem II reaction center protein L	-5.972	0.00031
Alpha-(1-¿3)-arabinoturanosyltransferase	-5.963	0.00031
Serine/threonine-protein kinase F	-5.943	0.00031

Table S7: Top 100 over-represented annotated genes in the genomes of the taxa that receive the most negative entries on variable 14. The column descriptions are provided with Supplementary Table 3.

Gene	FDR-Adj. P	NES
Thymidylate synthase 1	-5.522	0.00055
DNA-binding protein Bv3F	-5.446	0.00055
mupirocin-resistant isoleucine–tRNA ligase MupA	-5.294	0.00055
Cobalt-precorrin-7 C(5)-methyltransferase	-5.114	0.00055
Cobalt-zinc-cadmium resistance protein CzcI	-5.103	0.00055
IS200/IS605 family transposase ISCth10	-4.897	0.00055
Na(+)-translocating ferredoxin:NAD(+) oxidoreductase complex subunit C	-4.843	0.00055
Anaerobic sulfite reductase subunit C	-4.814	0.00055
(R)-2-hydroxyglutaryl-CoA dehydratase activating ATPase	-4.812	0.00055
Salicylate 5-hydroxylase, large oxygenase component	-4.778	0.00055
Tyrosine aminotransferase	-4.765	0.00055
putative protein YgcP	-4.703	0.00055
Alkaline phosphatase PhoK	-4.685	0.00055
Salicylate 5-hydroxylase, small oxygenase component	-4.684	0.00055
Propanediol utilization protein PduU	-4.64	0.00055
Putative superoxide reductase	-4.596	0.00055
Sortase B	-4.552	0.00055
Propanediol utilization protein PduV	-4.424	0.00055
Elongation factor G, mitochondrial	-4.343	0.00055
Germination protease	-4.315	0.00055
Stage IV sporulation protein A	-4.315	0.00055
Stage V sporulation protein AD	-4.315	0.00055
putative N-glycosylase/DNA lyase	-4.309	0.00055
(B)-phenyllactyl-CoA dehydratase alpha subunit	-4.297	0.00055
Nickel-cobalt-cadmium resistance protein NccX	-4.295	0.00055
(B)-2-hydroxyglutaryl-CoA dehydratase, subunit beta	-4.293	0.00055
Putative transport protein YbiL	-4.292	0.00055
RNA polymerase sigma-G factor	-4.286	0.00055
Translocation-enhancing protein TepA	-4.271	0.00055
Stage V sporulation protein T	-4.261	0.00055
Light-activated DNA-binding protein EL222	-4.254	0.00055
BNA polymerase sigma-28 factor	-4.245	0.00055
putative anti-sigma-F factor NrsF	-4.242	0.00055
Oxalate-binding protein	-4.225	0.00055
IS256 family transposase ISCth4	-4.222	0.00055
Propanediol utilization protein PduB	-4.202	0.00055
Stage III sporulation protein D	-4.195	0.00055
Share protein YabP	-4 194	0.00055
3 4-dehydroadinyl-CoA semialdehyde dehydrogenase	-4.18	0.00055
Nickel and cohalt resistance protein CnrB	-4 118	0.00055
Neopullulanase 1	-4.117	0.00055
Small acid-soluble spore protein C2	-4.111	0.00055
Mini_ribonuclease 3_like protein	-4.103	0.00055
I threening kingso	4.00	0.00055
IS3 family transposese ISStmo17	-4.03	0.00055
Outer membrane protein 40	-4.037	0.00055
Mathianing rich poptide X	3 003	0.00055
IS5 family transposes ISBmy20	-3.333	0.00055
Reverse rubrewthrin 1	-3.31	0.00055
High dia a company	-3.907	0.00055
Change generation protein P1	-3.931	0.00055
spore germination protein B1	-3.947	0.00055
2-pyrone-4,0-dicarboxylate hydrolase	-3.930	0.00055
Gycnie/sarcosine/betaine reductase complex component C subunit alpha	-3.931	0.00055
Niekel and askelt verifiance mutain CurC	-3.919	0.00055
Nickel and cobalt resistance protein UnrC	-3.918	0.00055

putative sporulation protein YlmC	-3.91	0.00055
mupirocin-resistant isoleucine–tRNA ligase MupB	-3.907	0.00055
putative deoxyuridine 5'-triphosphate nucleotidohydrolase YncF	-3.89	0.00055
IS110 family transposase ISCaa14	-3.886	0.00055
SpoIVB peptidase	-3.885	0.00055
Glycine reductase complex component B subunit gamma	-3.874	0.00055
Propionate catabolism operon regulatory protein	-3.87	0.00055
RNA polymerase sigma-35 factor	-3.865	0.00055
Propanediol dehydratase medium subunit	-3.852	0.00055
Chloroacetanilide N-alkylformylase, ferredoxin reductase component	-3.835	0.00055
Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase large chain, chromosomal	-3.835	0.00055
IS66 family transposase ISBcen14	-3.824	0.00055
putative tryptophan transport protein	-3.82	0.00055
IS66 family transposase ISBcen19	-3.809	0.00055
Peptidoglycan-N-acetylmuramic acid deacetylase PdaA	-3.808	0.00055
Light-harvesting protein B-870 beta chain	-3.798	0.00055
PEP-dependent dihydroxyacetone kinase 2, phosphoryl donor subunit DhaM	-3.796	0.00055
Glycine reductase complex component B subunits alpha and beta	-3.793	0.00055
IS1182 family transposase ISCpe5	-3.79	0.00055
Accessory gene regulator protein B	-3.788	0.00055
CRISPR-associated endoribonuclease Cas6	-3.787	0.00055
Phosphoglycolate phosphatase, plasmid	-3.786	0.00055
Glycerol dehydratase large subunit	-3.781	0.00055
Glycine/sarcosine/betaine reductase complex component C subunit beta	-3.773	0.00055
Diol dehydratase-reactivating factor alpha subunit	-3.759	0.00055
IS3 family transposase ISElsp1	-3.754	0.00055
Stage II sporulation protein E	-3.754	0.00055
IS110 family transposase ISCaa7	-3.754	0.00055
Propanediol dehydratase small subunit	-3.748	0.00055
Reverse rubrerythrin-2	-3.747	0.00055
Cytochrome c-type protein SHP	-3.744	0.00055
Antigen TpF1	-3.731	0.00055
Serine/threonine-protein kinase CtkA	-3.731	0.00055
Diadenosine hexaphosphate hydrolase	-3.73	0.00055
Glycine/sarcosine/betaine reductase complex component A	-3.724	0.00055
Outer membrane protein 41	-3.721	0.00055
Stage III sporulation protein AE	-3.703	0.00055
N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidase	-3.7	0.00055
Catechol 1,2-dioxygenase 2	-3.696	0.00055
Glycine/sarcosine/betaine reductase complex component A1	-3.678	0.00055
D-proline reductase proprotein PrdA	-3.654	0.00055
Catechol 1,2-dioxygenase 1	-3.633	0.00055
Phthalate 4,5-dioxygenase oxygenase reductase subunit	-3.63	0.00055
Iron hydrogenase 1	-3.623	0.00055
Metal-staphylopine import system ATP-binding protein CntD	-3.618	0.00055

Table S8: Top 100 over-represented annotated genes in the genomes of the taxa that receive the most negative entries on variable 38. The column descriptions are provided with Supplementary Table 3.

Gene	FDR-Adj. P	NES
Bifunctional protein MdtA	-5.039	0.00064
Flagellar assembly protein FliX	-4.91	0.00064
Presqualene diphosphate synthase	-4.54	0.00064
mupirocin-resistant isoleucine–tRNA ligase MupA	-4.537	0.00064
Formyltransferase/hydrolase complex subunit D	-4.476	0.00064
Formyltransferase/hydrolase complex Fhc subunit A	-4.455	0.00064
Methenyltetrahydromethanopterin cyclohydrolase	-4.406	0.00064
3',5'-cyclic-nucleotide phosphodiesterase	-4.329	0.00064

Formyltransferase/hydrolase complex Fhc subunit C	-4.301	0.00064
Methylmalonyl-CoA mutase small subunit	-4.277	0.00064
Bifunctional dihydropteroate synthase/dihydropteroate reductase	-4.27	0.00064
Plasminogen-binding protein PgbB	-4.237	0.00064
Oxygen-independent coproporphyrinogen-III oxidase-like protein HemZ	-4.205	0.00064
GTP cyclohydrolase 1 type 2	-4.195	0.00064
5,6,7,8-tetrahydromethanopterin hydro-lyase	-4.19	0.00064
Methanol dehydrogenase [cytochrome c] subunit 2	-4.181	0.00064
Sensor protein DivL	-4.173	0.00064
Hydroxycarboxylate dehydrogenase B	-4.148	0.00064
Sortase B	-4.142	0.00064
2-amino-5-chloromuconate deaminase	-4.127	0.00064
L-hydantoinase	-4.024	0.00064
Cytochrome c-L	-4.022	0.00064
Flagellar FliL protein	-4.012	0.00064
Putative ATP-dependent DNA helicase YjcD	-3.986	0.00064
Beta-methylmalyl-CoA dehydratase	-3.968	0.00064
Cytochrome c-553	-3.959	0.00064
(2R)-sulfolactate sulfo-lyase subunit alpha	-3.955	0.00064
Malyl-CoA /beta-methylmalyl-CoA /citramalyl-CoA lyase	-3.953	0.00064
Oxalate:formate antiporter	-3 934	0.00064
Inducible ornithine decarboyylase	-3.004	0.00064
Dihydromethanopterin reductase	-3.891	0.00064
10 kDa chaperonin 2	3 884	0.00064
$N_{2}(\pm)$ translocating for redoxin: $NAD(\pm)$ ovidereductes a complex subunit G	3 882	0.00004
Lipoprotein NIpL	-3.882	0.00004
Rifunctional DNA directed DNA polymoroga subunit hata hata'	-3.073	0.00004
Inner membrane protein Vabl	-3.03	0.00064
Chh2 tune sutechnome a suidese subunit FiuD	-3.823	0.00004
Cbbs-type cytochrome c oxidase subunit FixF	-3.191	0.00004
Birunctional coenzyme PQQ synthesis protein C/D	-3.770	0.00064
Opacity-associated protein OapA	-3.709	0.00064
	-3.709	0.00004
Accessory gene regulator protein B	-3.752	0.00064
Blue-light absorbing proteornodopsin	-3.709	0.00064
Beta-(1-22)glucan export ATP-binding/permease protein NdvA	-3.708	0.00064
Chaperone protein Y cd Y	-3.702	0.00064
Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase large chain 2	-3.684	0.00064
Outer membrane protein P5	-3.68	0.00064
Chloramphenicol resistance protein CraA	-3.654	0.00064
Na(+)-translocating ferredoxin:NAD(+) oxidoreductase complex subunit C	-3.644	0.00064
Neopullulanase 1	-3.64	0.00064
DNA transformation protein TłoX	-3.632	0.00064
Beta-carotene 15,15'-dioxygenase	-3.631	0.00064
Redox-sensing transcriptional repressor Rex 1	-3.631	0.00064
Metallopeptidase AprA	-3.629	0.00064
Rubrerythrin-1	-3.628	0.00064
Hydrogenase/urease maturation factor HypB	-3.615	0.00064
Small, acid-soluble spore protein C2	-3.598	0.00064
Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase small chain 2	-3.595	0.00064
Translocation-enhancing protein TepA	-3.591	0.00064
Gamma-glutamyl-L-1-hydroxyisopropylamide hydrolase	-3.587	0.00064
putative protein YgcP	-3.566	0.00064
RNA polymerase sigma-28 factor	-3.526	0.00064
PTS system N-acetylglucosamine-specific EIIB component	-3.52	0.00064
Na(+)-translocating ferredoxin: $NAD(+)$ oxidoreductase complex subunit D	-3.513	0.00064
Germination protease	-3.511	0.00064
Stage IV sporulation protein A	-3.511	0.00064
Squalene–hopene cyclase	-3.508	0.00064

putative cobalt-factor III $C(17)$ -methyltransferase	-3.491	0.00064
USG-1 protein	-3.485	0.00064
Valine dehydrogenase	-3.482	0.00064
Molybdenum storage protein subunit alpha	-3.479	0.00064
Stage V sporulation protein AD	-3.476	0.00064
RNA polymerase sigma-G factor	-3.474	0.00064
5-(methylthio)ribulose-1-phosphate aldolase	-3.473	0.00064
Translational regulator CsrA2	-3.469	0.00064
Spore protein YabP	-3.459	0.00064
Stage V sporulation protein T	-3.446	0.00064
Oxalyl-CoA decarboxylase	-3.444	0.00064
Quinone-reactive Ni/Fe-hydrogenase large chain	-3.442	0.00064
Translational regulator CsrA1	-3.44	0.00064
L-proline trans-4-hydroxylase	-3.437	0.00064
Undecaprenyl-diphosphooligosaccharide-protein glycotransferase	-3.428	0.00064
D(-)-tartrate dehydratase	-3.423	0.00064
Resuscitation-promoting factor Rpf	-3.42	0.00064
Nucleoid-associated protein Lsr2	-3.405	0.00064
Elongation factor G, mitochondrial	-3.399	0.00064
Potassium/sodium uptake protein NtpJ	-3.398	0.00064
Malate synthase	-3.396	0.00064
Formyltransferase/hydrolase complex Fhc subunit B	-3.394	0.00064
Stage III sporulation protein D	-3.381	0.00064
Putative septation protein SpoVG	-3.369	0.00064
Hemolysin C	-3.365	0.00064
Tyrosine recombinase XerH	-3.355	0.00064
NAD(P)-dependent methylenetetrahydromethanopterin dehydrogenase	-3.355	0.00064
Oxalate decarboxylase OxdD	-3.353	0.00064
Cobalt-dependent inorganic pyrophosphatase	-3.352	0.00064
60 kDa chaperonin 3	-3.351	0.00064
Protein PhoH	-3.35	0.00064
Glutathione amide-dependent peroxidase	-3.342	0.00064
putative quinol monooxygenase YgiN	-3.326	0.00064
DNA-binding protein HB1	-3.326	0.00064

Table S9: Top 100 over-represented annotated genes in the genomes of the taxa that receive the most positive entries on variable 43. The column descriptions are provided with Supplementary Table 3.

Gene	FDR-Adj. P	NES
Sirohydrochlorin cobaltochelatase CbiKP	5.05	0.00064
Bifunctional protein MdtA	4.982	0.00064
Cytochrome c-L	4.843	0.00064
Methanol dehydrogenase [cytochrome c] subunit 2	4.798	0.00064
Carbon monoxide dehydrogenase 1	4.71	0.00064
NAD(+)-dinitrogen-reductase ADP-D-ribosyltransferase	4.631	0.00064
Carbon monoxide dehydrogenase/acetyl-CoA synthase subunit alpha	4.487	0.00064
Corrinoid/iron-sulfur protein large subunit	4.47	0.00064
Hydrogenase-2 large chain	4.455	0.00064
Molybdenum storage protein subunit beta	4.406	0.00064
Sulfite reductase, dissimilatory-type subunit gamma	4.308	0.00064
Acetolactate synthase isozyme 1 small subunit	4.265	0.00064
Protein DsvD	4.254	0.00064
mupirocin-resistant isoleucine–tRNA ligase MupA	4.214	0.00064
Hopanoid C-3 methylase	4.162	0.00064
Menaquinone reductase, iron-sulfur cluster-binding subunit	4.161	0.00064
Metal-binding protein SmbP	4.145	0.00064
Menaquinone reductase, molybdopterin-binding-like subunit	4.065	0.00064
Reverse rubrerythrin-1	4.054	0.00064

Rubredoxin 3	4.026	0.00064
Hydrogenase-2 small chain	3.991	0.00064
Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase small chain 2	3.969	0.00064
Periplasmic [NiFe] hydrogenase large subunit	3.965	0.00064
Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase large chain 2	3.918	0.00064
Menaquinone reductase, multiheme cytochrome c subunit	3.913	0.00064
(R)-2-hydroxyisocaproyl-CoA dehydratase beta subunit	3.895	0.00064
Formyltransferase/hydrolase complex Fhc subunit B	3.822	0.00064
Toluene-4-monooxygenase system, ferredoxin component	3.812	0.00064
Hydroxylamine oxidoreductase	3.79	0.00064
Menaquinone reductase, integral membrane subunit	3.777	0.00064
Accessory gene regulator protein B	3.775	0.00064
Resuscitation-promoting factor Rpf	3.76	0.00064
Split-Soret cytochrome c	3.752	0.00064
Carbon monoxide dehydrogenase 2	3.747	0.00064
Dihydromethanopterin reductase	3.726	0.00064
Protein FeSII	3.712	0.00064
PTS system N-acetylglucosamine-specific EIIB component	3.705	0.00064
Nitrogen fixation regulatory protein	3.626	0.00064
Na(+)-translocating ferredoxin:NAD(+) oxidoreductase complex subunit C	3.608	0.00064
Alpha-amylase 1	3.584	0.00064
Elongation factor G, mitochondrial	3.576	0.00064
Neopullulanase 1	3.574	0.00064
Hydrogenase-4 component G	3.525	0.00064
Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase	3.525	0.00064
D-xylonate dehydratase YagF	3.51	0.00064
Sporulation-specific cell division protein SsgB	3.506	0.00064
EtfAB:quinone oxidoreductase	3.505	0.00064
Lipoprotein NlpI	3.494	0.00064
CRISPR-associated endonuclease Cas6	3.461	0.00064
Cytochrome c-type protein ImcH	3.421	0.00064
Opacity-associated protein OapA	3.417	0.00064
Surface-adhesin protein E	3.417	0.00064
Fused nickel transport protein NikMN	3.416	0.00064
Molybdenum storage protein subunit alpha	3.414	0.00064
Corrinoid/iron-sulfur protein small subunit	3.399	0.00064
Small, acid-soluble spore protein C2	3.399	0.00064
Cytochrome c"	3.384	0.00064
IS1182 family transposase ISRssp12	3.375	0.00064
Mannosylglucosyl-3-phosphoglycerate synthase	3.347	0.00064
Flagellar FliL protein	3.344	0.00064
Valine dehydrogenase	3.333	0.00064
Sensor protein CseC	3.319	0.00064
Tyrosine-protein kinase CpsD	3.312	0.00064
Rubredoxin-oxygen oxidoreductase	3.306	0.00064
putative nitrate/nitrite transporter NarK2	3.298	0.00064
5-hydroxybenzimidazole synthase BzaA	3.297	0.00064
Enovl-[acvl-carrier-protein] reductase [NADPH] FabI	3.295	0.00064
Putative sulfur carrier protein YeeD	3.292	0.00064
Translocation-enhancing protein TepA	3.28	0.00064
IS66 family transposase ISSwo2	3.258	0.00064
Citrate (Re)-synthase	3.257	0.00064
putative protein YgcP	3.257	0.00064
PTS system N-acetylglucosamine-specific EIIC component	3.256	0.00064
Putative superoxide reductase	3.256	0.00064
Cyanuric acid amidohydrolase	3.247	0.00064
IS91 family transposase ISCARN110	3.24	0.00064
IS5 family transposase ISPso2	3.234	0.00064
		5.50501

IS1595 family transposase ISMpo2	3.232	0.00064
60 kDa chaperonin 3	3.229	0.00064
Particulate methane monooxygenase beta subunit	3.206	0.00064
Oxalate oxidoreductase subunit beta	3.205	0.00064
10 kDa chaperonin 2	3.2	0.00064
Sucrose synthase	3.199	0.00064
putative sporulation protein YlmC	3.187	0.00064
IS66 family transposase ISDpr4	3.186	0.00064
DNA transformation protein TfoX	3.185	0.00064
(R)-2-hydroxyisocaproyl-CoA dehydratase alpha subunit	3.17	0.00064
Outer membrane protein P5	3.159	0.00064
putative secretion system apparatus ATP synthase SsaN	3.15	0.00064
IS1182 family transposase ISClbu1	3.15	0.00064
2-amino-5-chloromuconate deaminase	3.147	0.00064
Type A flavoprotein fprA	3.145	0.00064
Benzylsuccinate synthase activating enzyme	3.144	0.00064
(R)-phenyllactate dehydratase activator	3.142	0.00064
Particulate methane monooxygenase alpha subunit	3.133	0.00064
(R)-phenyllactyl-CoA dehydratase alpha subunit	3.126	0.00064
Barbiturase 1	3.122	0.00064
CRISPR system Cascade subunit CasE	3.112	0.00064
NADH-dependent phenylglyoxylate dehydrogenase subunit gamma	3.106	0.00064
ECF RNA polymerase sigma factor ShbA	3.103	0.00064

Supplementary Figures



Figure S1: Relative mean abundances of classes that map to the genomes obtained from amplicon sequencing data over the whole sampling period. Taxonomic classes are color-coded.



Figure S2: The ordering of taxa defined by variable 3 entries, from negative to positive (left to right). The taxonomic compositions corresponding to variable entries are shown for each of 80 equally spaced bins.



Figure S3: The ordering of taxa defined by variable 4 entries, from negative to positive (left to right). The taxonomic compositions corresponding to variable entries are shown for each of 80 equally spaced bins.



Figure S4: The ordering of taxa defined by variable 14 entries, from negative to positive (left to right). The taxonomic compositions corresponding to variable entries are shown for each of 80 equally spaced bins. Families belonging to the phylum Bacteroidota are color-coded.



Figure S5: The ordering of taxa defined by variable 27 entries, from negative to positive (left to right). The taxonomic compositions corresponding to variable entries are shown for each of 80 equally spaced bins. Families belonging to the Enterobacterales are color-coded.



Figure S6: The ordering of taxa defined by variable 33 entries, from negative to positive (left to right). The taxonomic compositions corresponding to variable entries are shown for each of 80 equally spaced bins. Families belonging to the class of Cyanobacteriia are color-coded.



Figure S7: The ordering of taxa defined by variable 38 entries, from negative to positive (left to right). The taxonomic compositions corresponding to variable entries are shown for each of 80 equally spaced bins. Families belonging to the Order Rhizobiales are color-coded.



Figure S8: The ordering of taxa defined by variable 43 entries, from negative to positive (left to right). The taxonomic compositions corresponding to variable entries are shown for each of 80 equally spaced bins. Families belonging to the phylum Desulfobacterota are color-coded.



Figure S9: Abundance-weighted mean values of inferred ability of utilizing a variety of carbon sources over the yearly cycle. Summer months are indicated by a gray background. Taxonomic class (A) and taxonomic orders (B) are color-coded.



Figure S10: Abundance-weighted mean values of inferred ability of degrading complex polysaccharides over the yearly cycle. Summer months are indicated by a gray background. Taxonomic class (A) and taxonomic families (B) are color-coded.



Figure S11: Abundance-weighted mean values of inferred ability of oxidizing methyl groups and C1 compounds over the yearly cycle. Summer months are indicated by a gray background. Taxonomic class is color-coded.



Figure S12: Abundance-weighted mean values of trait dominated by non-spore forming sulfate reducers over the yearly cycle. Summer months are indicated by a gray background. Taxonomic class is color-coded.

References

- [1] Yoav Benjamini and Yosef Hochberg. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. Journal of the Royal statistical society: series B (Methodological), 57(1):289–300, 1995.
- [2] Aravind Subramanian, Pablo Tamayo, Vamsi K Mootha, Sayan Mukherjee, Benjamin L Ebert, Michael A Gillette, Amanda Paulovich, Scott L Pomeroy, Todd R Golub, Eric S Lander, et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 102(43):15545–15550, 2005.