## ON TWO-SIDED SKEW BRACES

#### S. TRAPPENIERS

ABSTRACT. In order to study two-sided skew braces, we introduce the notion of weakly trivial skew braces. We give a classification of such skew braces and show that they are essential in the study of two-sided skew braces. As an application, we obtain new and generalize known results relating the additive and multiplicative group of two-sided skew braces. Further, we show that two a priori different notions of prime and semi-prime skew braces, as introduced by Konovalov, Smoktunowicz and Vendramin, coincide for two-sided skew braces.

#### 1. Introduction

Left braces were introduced by Rump [Rum07] in 2007 as a generalization of Jacobson radical rings after he found that the latter give rise to a set-theoretic solution of the Yang–Baxter equation, a problem originally proposed by Drinfel'd [Dri92]. Jacobson radical rings are then in bijective correspondence with a subclass of left braces, the so-called two-sided braces. The notinon of left braces was subsequently generalized to skew left braces by Guarnieri and Vendramin in [GV17]. Since then, connections with exact factorizations of groups, triply factorized groups and Hopf–Galois extensions have been described [SV18, ST22]. As racks and quandles yield examples of set-theoretic solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation, skew braces also have applications in topology and knot theory [Bac18].

Two-sided braces have been extensively studied in the form of Jacobson radical rings; see [ADS98, AS02, Sys11, Wat68]. As for braces, one also can define twosidedness for skew braces. So far, the only results on two-sided skew braces in literature were obtained by Nasybullov [Nas19]. Some of the ideas present in [Nas19] are pursued further in the present paper, in order to obtain the important fact that every two-sided skew brace can be obtained as an extensions of a weakly trivial skew brace by a two-sided brace. This first class, which is the main topic of Section 3, is new and includes the trivial and almost trivial skew braces. In Section 4, we then obtain our main results. For example, we show that aside from trivial and almost trivial skew braces on simple groups, the only simple two-sided skew braces are infinite two-sided braces. Also, we generalize some well-known results of two-sided braces to two-sided skew braces and we are able to improve some of the results obtained in [Nas19] regarding connections between the additive and multiplicative groups of two-sided skew braces. In [KSV21], the authors introduced prime and semi-prime skew braces and in the appendix also strongly prime and semi-prime skew braces. Both variations are a generalization of the well-known notions for rings and thus coincide in the case of two-sided braces. It is then asked by the

1

 $<sup>2020\</sup> Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ Primary\ 16T25,\ 20N99.$ 

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$  Skew brace, Two-sided skew brace, Jacobson radical ring.

The author was supported by Fonds voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (Flanders), via an FWO Aspirant-fellowship, grant 1160522N.

authors whether this is still true for arbitrary skew braces. In Section 5 we give an affirmative answer to the above question for two-sided skew braces.

### 2. Preliminaries

A skew (left) brace is a triple  $(A, +, \circ)$  where A is a set and + and  $\circ$  are binary operations such that (A, +) and  $(A, \circ)$  are groups and the equality

$$(1) a \circ (b+c) = a \circ b - a + a \circ c,$$

holds for all  $a, b, c \in A$ . Here, -a denotes the inverse in the group (A, +) and  $\circ$  has precedence over +. The inverse of an element a with respect to the group  $(A, \circ)$  is denoted by  $\overline{a}$ . The group (A, +) is the additive group of A and  $(A, \circ)$  is the multiplicative group of A. It follows directly from (1) that the identity elements of (A, +) and  $(A, \circ)$  coincide, this element is denoted by 0. For skew braces A and B, a map  $f: A \to B$  is a skew brace homomorphism if f(a + b) = f(a) + f(b) and  $f(a \circ b) = f(a) \circ f(b)$  for all  $a, b \in A$ . By Aut $(A, +, \circ)$  we denote the group of skew brace automorphisms of A, i.e. the bijective skew braces homomorphisms from A to itself. For a group property  $\mathcal{P}$ , we say that a skew brace A is of  $\mathcal{P}$  type if (A, +) satisfies  $\mathcal{P}$ . For example, a skew brace of abelian type is called a brace. If for a skew left brace A also the equality

$$(a+b) \circ c = a \circ c - c + b \circ c,$$

holds for all  $a, b, c \in A$ , then we say that A is a two-sided skew brace. As an example, any group G yields a two-sided skew brace by setting  $g + h = g \circ h = gh$ . We call this the trivial skew brace on G and denote it by Triv(G).

Given skew braces A and B, their direct product  $A \times B$  is a skew brace with underlying set  $A \times B$  and for all  $a, a' \in A, b, b' \in B$ ,

$$(a,b) + (a',b') = (a+a',b+b'),$$
  
 $(a,b) \circ (a',b') = (a \circ a',b \circ b').$ 

For any  $a \in A$ , the map

$$\lambda_a: A \to A, \quad b \mapsto -a + a \circ b,$$

is an automorphism of (A, +) and moreover we obtain a group homomorphism

$$\lambda: (A, \circ) \to \operatorname{Aut}(A, +), \quad a \mapsto \lambda_a,$$

which is called the  $\lambda$ -function of A. A subset I of A is a left ideal if it is a subgroup of (A, +) and  $\lambda_a(I) \subseteq I$  for all  $a \in A$ . An ideal I of A is a left ideal such that moreover I is a normal subgroup of (A, +) and  $(A, \circ)$ . For an ideal I, the quotient skew brace A/I can be defined in the natural way.

For any  $a, b \in A$ , we define

$$a * b = \lambda_a(b) - b = -a + a \circ b - b.$$

Intuitively, \* can be thought of as a measure of the difference between the operations + and  $\circ$ . For subsets X and Y of A, X\*Y is defined as the additive subgroup generated by  $\{x*y \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}$ . In [CSV19] it is proved that A\*A is an ideal of A and moreover it is the unique minimal ideal among all those ideals I with the property that A/I is trivial.

Following [Rum07, Smo18, BCJO19], we introduce four descending series of subskew braces. We set  $A^{(1)} = A^1 = A^{[1]} = A_1 = A$ . The right series of a skew brace A is defined as  $A^{(n+1)} = A^{(n)} * A$ . A is said to be *right nilpotent* if there exists

some n such that  $A^{(n)} = \{0\}$ . Similarly, the left series of a skew brace A is given by  $A^{n+1} = A * A^n$ . A is said to be *left nilpotent* if there exists some n such that  $A^n = \{0\}$ . We can also inductively define the series where  $A^{[n+1]}$  is the additive subgroup generated by  $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} A^{[i]} * A^{[n+1-i]}$ . We say that A is *strongly nilpotent* if there exists some n such that  $A^{[n]} = \{0\}$ . At last, the derived series of a skew brace A is defined inductively by  $A_{n+1} = A_n * A_n$ . A skew brace is *soluble* if there is some n such that  $A_n = \{0\}$ . The relation between left, right and strongly nilpotency is given by the following result, which is [CSV19, Theorem 2.30].

**Theorem 2.1.** A skew left brace is strongly nilpotent if and only if it is both left and right nilpotent.

Given any skew brace A, we can replace the additive group by its opposite group and obtain a new skew brace  $A_{\rm op} = (A, +_{\rm op}, \circ)$ , called the *opposite skew braces* of A [KT20]. The  $\lambda$ -map of  $A_{\rm op}$  associated with an element a is denoted  $\lambda_a^{\rm op}$ . Concretely,  $\lambda_a^{\rm op}(b) = a \circ b - a = a + \lambda_a(b) - a$ . As a consequence, ideals of A and  $A_{\rm op}$  coincide. The operation \* associated to  $A_{\rm op}$  is denoted by \*op, meaning that  $a *_{\rm op} b = -b + a \circ b - a$ . Note that  $A *_{\rm op} A$ , or shortly  $A_{\rm op}^2$ , is an ideal of  $A_{\rm op}$  and therefore also of A. In particular, given a group G one can consider the opposite skew brace of Triv(G), which we denote by opTriv(G) and is called the almost trivial skew brace on G. It is interesting to note that  $g * h = h^{-1}ghg^{-1}$ , so op $Triv(G)^2$  coincides with the derived subgroup of G. The ideals of opTriv(G) are the normal subgroups of G.

Recall that for any ring R the operation  $a \circ b = a + b + ab$  makes  $(R, \circ)$  into a monoid. R is a Jacobson radical ring if  $(R, \circ)$  is a group. Equivalently, these are the rings that coincide with their own Jacobson radical. If R is a Jacobson radical ring then  $(R, +, \circ)$  is a two-sided brace and moreover every two-sided brace  $(A, +, \circ)$  yields a Jacobson radical ring where the ring multiplication is given by \* [Rum07]. This follows partially from the following straightforward lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let A be a skew left brace. Then for all  $a, b, c \in A$ , the following equalities hold:

$$a*(b+c) = a*b+b+a*c-b,$$
  
 $(a \circ b)*c = a*(b*c)+b*c+a*c.$ 

For a skew right brace, the following equalities hold

$$(a + b) * c = -b + a * c + b + b * c,$$
  
 $a * (b \circ c) = a * c + b * c + (a * b) * c.$ 

Under the above correspondence, ideals of two-sided braces and Jacobson radical rings coincide. As ring multiplication is associative, the three different types of nilpotency coincide for two-sided braces and correspond with the usual notion for rings. The following result is proved for left braces in [CJO20, Remark 5.2] and one implication is proved for skew left braces in [Nas19, Lemma 4.1]. The proof of the other implication is the same as for left braces.

**Proposition 2.3.** A skew brace A is two-sided if and only if all inner automorphisms of  $(A, \circ)$  are skew brace automorphisms of A.

A useful consequence is the following result, found in [Nas19].

**Corollary 2.4.** Let A be a two-sided skew brace and I a characteristic subgroup of (A, +), then I is an ideal of A.

At last, for a given group G, it's abelianization is denoted by Ab(G) and it's center by Z(G). The usual notation  $[\cdot,\cdot]$  is used for the commutator.

### 3. Weakly trivial skew braces

In this section we introduce weakly trivial skew braces. Other than being a generalization of both trivial and almost trivial skew braces, the real motivation for this new class will become clear in the following section.

**Definition 3.1.** A skew left brace A is weakly trivial if  $A^2 \cap A_{\text{op}}^2 = \{0\}$ .

The proof of the following result is straightforward.

**Lemma 3.2.** Trivial and almost trivial skew braces are weakly trivial. Direct products and subskew braces of weakly trivial skew braces are again weakly trivial.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let A be a skew brace, then  $A/(A^2 \cap A_{op}^2)$  is a weakly trivial skew brace.

*Proof.* Note that we have a natural embedding  $\iota: A/(A^2 \cap A_{\mathrm{op}}^2) \to A/A^2 \times A/A_{\mathrm{op}}^2$ . The statement then follows from Lemma 3.2.

In fact, Lemma 3.2 is sufficient to construct all weakly trivial skew braces.

**Definition 3.4.** Let G and H be groups, then a subdirect product of G and H is a subgroup F of  $G \times H$  such that  $\pi_G(F) = G$  and  $\pi_H(F) = H$ , where  $\pi_G$ , respectively  $\pi_H$ , is the canonical projection of  $G \times H$  onto G, respectively H. A subdirect product of skew braces is defined analogously.

**Proposition 3.5.** A skew brace A is weakly trivial if and only if it embeds as a subdirect product of a trivial and almost trivial skew brace. In particular, it is a subdirect product of  $A/A^2$  and  $A/A^2_{\text{op}}$ 

*Proof.* One implication follows from Lemma 3.2. For the converse implication, consider the projections  $\pi_1:A\to A/A^2$  and  $\pi_2:A\to A/A^2_{\rm op}$ , the skew brace homomorphism

$$\iota:A\to A/A^2\times A/A_{\mathrm{op}}^2:a\mapsto (\pi_1(a),\pi_2(a)),$$

has kernel  $A^2 \cap A_{\rm op}^2 = \{0\}$ . As  $\iota$  clearly is a subdirect product,  $A/A^2$  is trivial and  $A/A_{\rm op}^2$  is almost trivial, this concludes the proof.

Corollary 3.6. Every weakly trivial skew brace is two-sided.

*Proof.* Let A be a weakly trivial skew brace. As  $A/A^2$  and  $A/A_{\rm op}^2$  are two-sided, so is  $A/A^2 \times A/A_{\rm op}^2$  and therefore also A by Proposition 3.5.

A classical result regarding subdirect products of groups is Goursat's lemma [Gou89], which can also be generalized to skew braces. For this we need the existence of pullbacks in the category of skew braces. The proof of this fact is left to the reader.

**Proposition 3.7.** Let A, B, C be skew braces with skew brace homomorphisms  $f: A \to C$ ,  $g: B \to C$ . Then the pullback of

$$B \xrightarrow{g} C$$

exists and is given by

$$A \times_C B := \{(a,b) \mid f(a) = g(b)\} \subseteq A \times B,$$

together with the projection maps  $\pi_A : A \times_C B \to A$  and  $\pi_B : A \times_C B \to B$ .

The following version of Goursat's lemma holds for skew braces.

**Lemma 3.8.** There is a bijective correspondence between subdirect products of skew braces A and B and triples  $(I, J, \rho)$  where I, respectively J, is an ideal of A, respectively B, and  $\rho: A/I \to B/J$  is an isomorphism of skew braces.

*Proof.* We only give a sketch of the construction. Further details are just as in the classical case and are left to the reader. Given a subdirect product C of A and B, let  $J' = \ker \pi_A$  and  $I' = \ker \pi_B$ . Clearly, J' is of the form  $\{0\} \times J$  with J an ideal of B. Likewise,  $I' = I \times \{0\}$  where I is an ideal of A. The map  $\rho: A/I \to B/J$ , given by  $\rho(a) = b$  if and only if  $(a,b) \in C$ , is a well-defined skew brace isomorphism. We therefore end up with a triple  $(I,J,\rho)$ . Conversely, if a triple  $(I,J,\rho)$  is given, the pullback of

$$\begin{array}{c} A \\ \downarrow \\ B \longrightarrow B/J \stackrel{\cong}{=} A/I \end{array}$$

yields a subdirect product of A and B.

**Lemma 3.9.** Let A be a weakly trivial skew brace, then A fits in the following pullback diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & A/A^2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A/A_{\mathrm{op}}^2 & \longrightarrow & A/(A^2+A_{\mathrm{op}}^2) \end{array}$$

Proof. We may identify A with its canonical embedding in  $A/A^2 \times A/A_{\rm op}^2$ . We now proceed as explained in the proof of Lemma 3.8. The kernel of  $A \to A/A^2$  is of course  $A^2$ , we claim that  $A^2 = \{0\} \times (A/A_{\rm op}^2)^2$ . The inclusion from left to right is obvious. For the other inclusion, let  $a, b \in A/A_{\rm op}^2$  and pick  $a', b' \in A/A^2$  such that  $(a, a'), (b, b') \in A$ . This is possible as the projection map onto  $A/A^2$  is surjective. Now (a', a) \* (b', b) = (a' \* b', a \* b) = (0, a \* b), hence  $\{0\} \times (A/A_{\rm op}^2)^2$  is contained in  $A^2$ . Likewise, one finds that  $A_{\rm op}^2 = (A/A^2)_{\rm op}^2 \times \{0\}$ . It now is easy to see that  $(A/A_{\rm op}^2)/(A/A_{\rm op}^2)^2 \cong A/(A^2 + A_{\rm op}^2)$  and  $(A/A^2)/(A/A^2)_{\rm op}^2 \cong A/(A^2 + A_{\rm op}^2)$ . The isomorphism

$$\rho: A/(A^2+A_{\rm op}^2)\cong (A/A_{\rm op}^2)/(A/A_{\rm op}^2)^2 \to (A/A^2)/(A/A^2)_{\rm op}^2\cong A/(A^2+A_{\rm op}^2),$$
 must clearly be the identity in order to make the above diagram commute.

**Definition 3.10.** Consider the class of triples  $(G, H, \theta)$  with G and H groups and  $\theta : \mathrm{Ab}(G) \to \mathrm{Ab}(H)$  an isomorphism. We say that two such triples  $(G_1, H_1, \theta_1)$  and  $(G_2, H_2, \theta_2)$  are equivalent if there exist group isomorphisms  $\phi_G : G_1 \to G_2$ ,  $\phi_H : H_1 \to H_2$  such that

$$G_1 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ab}(G_1) \xrightarrow{\theta_1} \operatorname{Ab}(H_1) \longleftarrow H_1$$

$$\downarrow^{\phi_G} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{\overline{\phi_H}} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{\phi_H}$$

$$G_2 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ab}(G_2) \xrightarrow{\theta_2} \operatorname{Ab}(H_2) \longleftarrow H_2$$

commutes, where  $\overline{\phi_G}$  and  $\overline{\phi_H}$  are the unique induced group isomorphisms making the square on the left and right hand side commute.

**Proposition 3.11.** There exists a bijection between isomorphism classes of weakly trivial skew braces and equivalence classes of triples as described in Definition 3.10.

*Proof.* Suppose we are given a weakly trivial skew brace A. One easily sees that  $\mathrm{Ab}(A/A^2, \circ) \cong (A/(A^2 + A_{\mathrm{op}}^2), \circ)$  and likewise  $\mathrm{Ab}(A/A_{\mathrm{op}}^2, \circ) \cong (A/(A^2 + A_{\mathrm{op}}^2), \circ)$ . Hence  $((A/A^2, \circ), (A/A_{\mathrm{op}}^2, \circ), \rho)$ , where  $\rho$  is the canonical group isomorphism, is a well-defined triple.

Conversely, given a triple  $(G, H, \rho)$  we can construct the pullback of the diagram

$$\operatorname{Triv}(G) \\ \downarrow \\ \operatorname{opTriv}(H) \longrightarrow \operatorname{opTriv}(\operatorname{Ab}(H)) \overset{\cong}{\underset{\rho}{\longrightarrow}} \operatorname{Triv}(\operatorname{Ab}(G))$$

which clearly is a weakly trivial skew brace.

It follows from Lemma 3.9 that if we start from a weakly trivial skew brace and consider its associated triple  $((A/A^2, \circ), (A/A_{op}^2, \circ), \rho)$  and then again take the pullback as described above we end up with a skew brace isomorphic to A.

Conversely, start from a triple  $(G,H,\rho)$  and let  $A\subseteq \operatorname{Triv}(G)\times\operatorname{opTriv}(H)$  be its associated pullback. In order to prove that the triple associated to A is isomorphic to  $(G,H,\rho)$ , it suffices to show that  $\ker\pi_{\operatorname{Triv}(G)}=A^2$  and  $\ker\pi_{\operatorname{opTriv}(H)}=A_{\operatorname{op}}^2$ . We prove the first equality, the second one follows in a similar way. From the proof of Lemma 3.9 we know that  $A^2=\{0\}\times\operatorname{opTriv}(H)/\operatorname{opTriv}(H)^2$ . As the \*-operation in opTriv(H) is basically the commutator operation in H, we find  $A^2=\{0\}\times[H,H]$ , but by construction this is also  $\ker\pi_{\operatorname{Triv}(G)}$ .

When one looks at small weakly trivial skew braces, using for example the GAP-package [VK19], they all seem to have an isomorphic additive and multiplicative group. The following example shows that this is not always the case.

Example 3.12. Consider the semidirect product

$$G := \langle a, b \mid a^5 = b^4 = 0, b^{-1}ab = a^2 \rangle \cong C_5 \rtimes C_4.$$

Its derived subgroup is the subgroup generated by a, hence  $Ab(G) \cong C_4$  is generated by the equivalence class of b. Let A be the weakly trivial skew brace associated to the triple  $(G, G, \mathrm{id})$ . It is easily seen that

$$A = \{(a^k b^l, a^m b^l) \mid k, l, m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subseteq \text{Triv}(G) \times \text{opTriv}(G).$$

One verifies that  $(A, \circ)$  is isomorphic to the semidirect product

$$C_5^2 \rtimes_1 C_4 := \langle x, y, z \mid x^5 = y^5 = z^4 = 0, xy = yx, z^{-1}xz = x^2, z^{-1}yz = y^2 \rangle,$$

where  $(a,0) \mapsto x$ ,  $(0,a) \mapsto y$  and  $(b,b) \mapsto z$ . Meanwhile, as  $-b+a+b=bab^{-1}=a^{-2}=a^3$  in opTriv(G), we find that (A,+) is isomorphic to

$$C_5^2 \rtimes_2 C_4 := \langle x, y, z \mid x^5 = y^5 = z^4 = 0, xy = yx, z^{-1}xz = x^2, z^{-1}yz = y^3 \rangle,$$

where  $(a,0) \mapsto x$ ,  $(0,a) \mapsto y$  and  $(b,b) \mapsto z$ . However,  $C_5^2 \rtimes_1 C_4$  is not isomorphic to  $C_5^2 \rtimes_2 C_4$ , as in the first group the subgroups of order 5 are normal, but in the latter the subgroup of order 5 generated by xy is not normal.

**Example 3.13.** The previous example can be generalized by replacing G by  $Hol(C_p) = C_p \rtimes Aut(C_p)$  with p > 3 a prime. This yields an infinite number of weakly trivial skew braces with non-isomorphic additive and multiplicative group.

Although the class of weakly trivial skew braces is closed under taking direct products and subskew braces, it is only closed under quotients by an ideal if the ideal satisfies some extra property.

**Lemma 3.14.** Let A be weakly trivial skew brace and consider its canonical embedding  $\iota: A \to A/A^2 \times A/A_{\text{op}}^2$ . Then  $I \subseteq A$  is an ideal of A if and only if  $\iota(I)$  is a normal subgroup of  $(A/A^2 \times A/A_{\text{op}}^2, +)$ .

*Proof.* The proof from right to left is clear. For the other implication, identify A with its image in  $A/A^2 \times A/A_{\mathrm{op}}^2$ . Then  $\lambda_{(a,a')}(b,b') = (b,-a'+b+a')$  and  $\lambda_{(a,a')}^{\mathrm{op}}(b,b') = (-a+b+a,b')$ , for all  $(a,a'),(b,b') \in A$ . As the projections  $A \to A/A^2$  and  $A \to A/A_{\mathrm{op}}^2$  are surjective, the implication follows.

**Lemma 3.15.** Let A be a weakly trivial skew brace and I an ideal of A, then A/I is weakly trivial if and only if  $(I \cap A^2) + (I \cap A_{op}^2) = I \cap (A^2 + A_{op}^2)$ .

Proof. Let I be an ideal of A and consider the skew brace A/I. Assume that  $a+I\in (A/I)^2\cap (A/I)_{\operatorname{op}}^2$ . This means that there exist elements  $b\in A^2$  and  $c\in A_{\operatorname{op}}^2$  such that a+I=b+I=c+I. If  $a\notin I$  then also,  $b,c\notin I$ . Hence,  $b-c\in I\cap (A^2+A_{\operatorname{op}}^2)$  is an element contained in  $I\cap (A^2+A_{\operatorname{op}}^2)$  but not in  $(I\cap A^2)+(I\cap A_{\operatorname{op}}^2)$ . Also, every element b+c in  $I\cap (A^2+A_{\operatorname{op}}^2)\setminus ((I\cap A^2)+(I\cap A_{\operatorname{op}}^2))$ , where  $b\in A^2$  and  $c\in A_{\operatorname{op}}^2$ , yields a non-trivial element  $b+I=-c+I\in (A/I)^2\cap (A/I)_{\operatorname{op}}^2$ . □

We now give an explicit example of a weakly trivial skew brace which has a quotient which is not weakly trivial.

**Example 3.16.** Let A be the weakly trivial skew brace associated to the triple  $(D_8, D_8, \mathrm{id})$ , where  $D_8$  denotes the dihedral group with 8 elements. Then,

$$A = \{(g, h) \mid g + [G, G] = h + [G, G]\},\$$

where we set  $G = D_8$ . In particular,  $I = \{(g,g) \mid g \in Z(G)\} \subseteq A$  as Z(G) = [G,G]. By Lemma 3.14, one sees that I is an ideal of A. On the other hand,  $I \cap A^2 = I \cap A_{\mathrm{op}}^2 = \{0\}$ , so from Lemma 3.15 it follows that A/I is not weakly trivial. The same argument can be repeated for any group G such that  $Z(G) \cap [G,G]$  is non-trivial.

To conclude this section, we study some structural properties of weakly trivial skew braces. The following lemma is left as an exercise.

**Lemma 3.17.** Let  $\iota: G \to G_1 \times G_2$  be a subdirect product of groups. Then G is soluble if and only if  $G_1 \times G_2$  is soluble and in that case the derived length of G and  $G_1 \times G_2$  coincide. Similarly, G is nilpotent if and only if  $G_1 \times G_2$  is nilpotent and the nilpotency class of G and  $G_1 \times G_2$  coincide.

**Corollary 3.18.** Let A be a weakly trivial skew brace, then (A, +) is soluble if and only if  $(A, \circ)$  is soluble. In that case, their derived lengths coincide and A is soluble as a skew brace.

*Proof.* Consider the subdirect product  $\iota:A\to A/A^2\times A/A_{\mathrm{op}}^2$ , which implies that  $\iota:(A,+)\to (A/A^2,+)\times (A/A_{\mathrm{op}}^2,+)$  and  $\iota:(A,\circ)\to (A/A^2,\circ)\times (A/A_{\mathrm{op}}^2,\circ)$  are subdirect products of groups. As  $(A/A^2,+)\times (A/A_{\mathrm{op}}^2,+)\cong (A/A^2,\circ)\times (A/A_{\mathrm{op}}^2,\circ)$ , the first part of the statement follows from Lemma 3.17. Now if A has a soluble additive subgroup, so does  $A/A_{\mathrm{op}}^2$ . In particular,  $A/A_{\mathrm{op}}^2$  is soluble. As  $A/A^2$  is trivial, hence soluble, we conclude that  $A/A^2\times A/A_{\mathrm{op}}^2$ , and therefore also A, is soluble.

The proof of Corollary 3.18 can easily be adapted to prove the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.19.** Let A be a weakly trivial skew brace, then (A, +) is nilpotent if and only if  $(A, \circ)$  is nilpotent. In that case, their nilpotency classes coincide and the skew brace A is left and right nilpotent.

**Proposition 3.20.** Let A be a weakly trivial skew brace, then the following are equivalent:

- (1) A is left nilpotent,
- (2) A is right nilpotent,
- (3) A is strongly nilpotent.

*Proof.* For any skew brace, 3 is equivalent to 1+2 by Theorem 2.1. Therefore, only the equivalence of 1 and 2 has to be proved.

Assume that A is left nilpotent. Then also  $A/A_{\rm op}^2$  is left nilpotent. For almost trivial skew braces, both left and right nilpotency coincide with the nilpotency of the underlying group. In particular,  $A/A_{\rm op}^2$  is right nilpotent. As  $A/A^2$  is trivial hence also right nilpotent, it follows that  $A/A^2 \times A/A_{\rm op}^2$ , and therefore also any of its subskew braces, is right nilpotent. The same argument can be used to show that right nilpotency implies left nilpotency.

### 4. Two-sided skew braces

We start this section by proving our main results, Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 4.4. For this we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let A be a two-sided skew brace then  $A^2$  and  $A_{op}^2$  centralize one another in (A, +).

*Proof.* Let  $a, b, c, d \in A$ . Using the left and then the right brace equation we find

$$(a+b)\circ(c+d) = (a+b)\circ c - (a+b) + (a+b)\circ d$$
$$= a\circ c - c + b\circ c - b - a + a\circ d - d + b\circ d$$
$$= a\circ c + b*_{\mathrm{op}} c + a*d + b\circ d.$$

If we start by using the right brace equation followed by the left one then we find

$$(a+b) \circ (c+d) = a \circ (c+d) - (c+d) + b \circ (c+d)$$

$$= a \circ c - a + a \circ d - d - c + b \circ c - b + b \circ d$$

$$= a \circ c + a * d + b *_{op} c + b \circ d.$$

Comparing both calculations we find

$$b *_{op} c + a * d = a * d + b *_{op} c.$$

Remark 4.2. Although this result is new, a similar calculation appeared in [Nas19, Lemma 4.5] to prove that  $A * Z(A, \circ) + Z(A, \circ) * A$  has an abelian additive group.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let A be two-sided skew brace, then  $A^2 \cap A^2_{\text{op}}$  is contained in  $Z(A^2 + A^2_{\text{op}}, +)$ . In particular,  $A^2 \cap A^2_{\text{op}}$  is a two-sided brace.

*Proof.* Using Lemma 4.1 we find that  $A^2 \cap A_{\text{op}}^2$  is in the center of both  $(A^2, +)$  and  $(A_{\text{op}}^2, +)$ , so it is contained in the center of  $(A^2 + A_{\text{op}}^2, +)$ . In particular, the commutativity of  $(A^2 + A_{\text{op}}^2, +)$  follows.

**Corollary 4.4.** Every two-sided skew brace is the extension of a weakly trivial skew brace by a two-sided left brace.

*Proof.* It suffices to note that  $A^2 \cap A_{\rm op}^2$  is a two-sided brace by Theorem 4.3 and  $A/(A^2 \cap A_{\rm op}^2)$  is a weakly trivial skew brace by Proposition 3.3.

On the other hand, it is not generally true that any extensions of a weakly trivial skew brace by a two-sided brace is a two-sided skew brace, as we now demonstrate.

**Example 4.5.** Let A be the semidirect product  $\mathrm{Triv}(C_2) \ltimes \mathrm{Triv}(C_3)$ , where  $\mathrm{Triv}(C_2)$  acts non-trivially; see [SV18, Corollary 2.36]. Then A is clearly an extension of the weakly trivial skew brace  $\mathrm{Triv}(C_2)$  by the two-sided brace  $\mathrm{Triv}(C_3)$ . However,  $C_2 \times \{0\}$  is a characteristic subgroup of  $(A, +) \cong C_2 \times C_3$  but not a normal subgroup of  $(A, \circ) \cong C_2 \ltimes C_3$ . If A were a two-sided skew brace this would contradict Corollary 2.4.

**Definition 4.6.** A skew brace is *simple* if the only ideals are  $\{0\}$  and itself.

**Theorem 4.7.** Let A be a simple two-sided skew brace, then one of the following holds

- (1)  $A \cong \text{Triv}(G)$  for a simple group G,
- (2)  $A \cong \operatorname{opTriv}(G)$  for a simple group G,
- (3) A is a simple two-sided brace.

*Proof.* Let A be a simple two-sided skew brace. If  $A^2 = \{0\}$ , this means that  $A = \operatorname{Triv}(G)$  for some group G. As the ideals of A are precisely normal subgroups of G, we conclude that G is simple. If  $A_{\mathrm{op}}^2 = \{0\}$  then the same process can be repeated for  $A_{\mathrm{op}}$  to conclude that  $A_{\mathrm{op}} \cong \operatorname{Triv}(G)$  for some simple group G. The only case which remains is the one where  $A^2 = A_{\mathrm{op}}^2 = A$ . By Lemma 4.1 this implies that (A, +) is abelian. Hence, A is a simple two-sided brace.

**Corollary 4.8.** Let A be a finite simple two-sided skew brace, then either  $A \cong Triv(G)$  or  $A \cong opTriv(G)$  for some finite simple group G.

*Proof.* It is well-known that every finite radical ring is nilpotent, so every simple finite radical ring is infinite. The statement now follows from Theorem 4.7.  $\Box$ 

Remark 4.9. In [Nas19, Corollary 4.2] it was already proved that every simple finite two-sided skew brace of soluble type is trivial.

Remark 4.10. Note that there exist non-trivial Jacobson radical rings, and therefore also simple two-sided braces. The first such example was constructed in [SC67].

**Theorem 4.11.** Let A be a two-sided skew brace. If  $(A, \circ)$  is soluble of class n, then (A, +) is soluble of class at most n + 1.

*Proof.*  $A/(A^2 \cap A_{\rm op}^2)$  is weakly trivial and  $(A/(A^2 \cap A_{\rm op}^2), \circ)$  has derived length at most n, hence by Corollary 3.18  $(A/(A^2 \cap A_{\rm op}^2), +)$  has derived length at most n. As  $(A^2 \cap A_{\rm op}^2, +)$  is abelian by Theorem 4.3, we conclude that (A, +) has derived length at most n + 1.

Remark 4.12. Theorem 4.11 is a generalization of [Nas19, Theorem 4.6], where nilpotency instead of solubility was assumed and the upper bound was 2n.

**Lemma 4.13.** Let A be a two-sided skew brace. If  $(A, \circ)$  is nilpotent of class n, then  $(A^2 + A_{od}^2, +)$  is nilpotent of class at most n + 1.

*Proof.* As  $(A^2 + A_{\rm op}^2)/(A^2 \cap A_{\rm op}^2)$  is weakly trivial and  $((A^2 + A_{\rm op}^2)/(A^2 \cap A_{\rm op}^2), \circ)$  is nilpotent of class at most n,  $((A^2 + A_{\rm op}^2)/(A^2 \cap A_{\rm op}^2), +)$  is nilpotent of class at most n by Corollary 3.18. By Theorem 4.3,  $A^2 \cap A_{\rm op}^2 \subseteq Z(A^2 + A_{\rm op}^2, +)$ , so we conclude that  $(A^2 + A_{\rm op}^2, +)$  is nilpotent of class at most n + 1.

**Theorem 4.14.** Let A be a two-sided skew brace with nilpotent multiplicative group. Then its additive group is abelian-by-nilpotent and nilpotent-by-abelian.

*Proof.* As  $(A/(A^2 + A_{\rm op}^2), +)$  is abelian, the first claim follows by Lemma 4.13. For the second claim, recall from Theorem 4.3 that  $(A^2 \cap A_{\rm op}^2, +)$  is abelian. Also  $A/(A^2 \cap A_{\rm op}^2)$  is weakly trivial, so from the assumption on  $(A, \circ)$  and Corollary 3.18 it follows that  $(A/(A^2 \cap A_{\rm op}^2), +)$  is nilpotent.

The following is a reformulation of the main result in [Wat68]. Recall that a group satisfies the *maximum condition on subgroups* if there exists no infinite strictly ascending chain of subgroups.

**Proposition 4.15.** Let A be a two-sided brace, then the following are equivalent:

- (1) (A, +) satisfies the maximum condition on subgroups,
- (2)  $(A, \circ)$  satisfies the maximum condition on subgroups.

In this case, A is strongly nilpotent and  $(A, \circ)$  is nilpotent.

We now generalize the first part of the previous proposition to two-sided skew braces.

**Theorem 4.16.** Let A be a two-sided skew brace, then the following are equivalent:

- (1) (A, +) satisfies the maximum condition on subgroups,
- (2)  $(A, \circ)$  satisfies the maximum condition on subgroups.

*Proof.* We will repeatedly use the fact that the class of groups with the maximum condition on subgroups is closed under extensions and taking subgroups. We first prove the statement for weakly trivial skew braces. Let A be a weakly trivial skew brace such that (A, +) satisfies the maximum condition on subgroups. Then so do  $(A/A^2, +)$  and  $(A/A^2_{op}, +)$ , hence also  $(A/A^2, \circ)$  and  $(A/A^2_{op}, \circ)$ . As A embeds into

 $A/A^2 \times A/A_{\rm op}^2$ , we find that  $(A, \circ)$  satisfies the maximum condition on subgroups. The other implication is proved similarly.

Now let A be any two-sided skew brace such that (A, +) satisfies the maximum condition on subgroups. Then both  $(A/(A^2 \cap A_{\mathrm{op}}^2), +)$  and  $(A^2 \cap A_{\mathrm{op}}^2, +)$  satisfy the maximum condition on subgroups. As  $A/(A^2 \cap A_{\mathrm{op}}^2)$  is weakly trivial and  $A^2 \cap A_{\mathrm{op}}^2$  is a two-sided brace, we find that  $(A/(A^2 \cap A_{\mathrm{op}}^2), \circ)$  and  $(A^2 \cap A_{\mathrm{op}}^2, \circ)$  satisfy the maximum condition on subgroups. It follows that  $(A, \circ)$  satisfies the maximum condition on subgroups. A similar argument proves the other implication.

It is natural to ask if Theorem 4.16 can be generalized in the following way.

Question 4.17. Let A be a two-sided skew brace that satisfies the maximum condition on subskew braces. Are the conditions from Theorem 4.16 always satisfied?

For weakly trivial skew braces, this question can easily be answered affirmatively. The question therefore remains whether the same is true for all two-sided braces.

Similarly, the question arises whether we can replace the maximum condition on subgroups by being finitely generated. It is generally not true that if (A, +) is finitely generated then  $(A, \circ)$  is finitely generated, as the following example shows.

**Example 4.18.** Consider the wreath product  $G = C_2 \wr \mathbb{Z}$ , also known as the lamplighter group, which is finitely generated but does not satisfy the maximum condition on subgroups as it contains the group  $H = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} C_2$ . The exact factorization by  $\mathbb{Z}$  and H yields a skew brace with multiplicative group  $H \times \mathbb{Z}$  and additive group G, see [SV18, Theorem 2.3]. As  $H \times \mathbb{Z}$  is abelian, the obtained skew brace is clearly two-sided. However, G is finitely generated while  $H \times \mathbb{Z}$  is not.

On the other hand, it is not known whether there exist two-sided braces with a finitely generated multiplicative group and non-finitely generated additive group. See [Sys11, Question 4.1] for a short discussion of an equivalent question.

**Theorem 4.19.** Let A be a two-sided skew brace that satisfies the equivalent conditions of Theorem 4.16, then (A, +) is soluble if and only if  $(A, \circ)$  is soluble. In this case, A is a soluble skew brace.

*Proof.* Similar to the proof of Lemma 4.13 it is sufficient to prove the statement for weakly trivial skew braces and two-sided braces. For weakly trivial skew braces this was proved in Corollary 3.18. For two-sided braces, the additive group is of course soluble and it follows from Proposition 4.15 that both the brace and the multiplicative group are soluble.  $\Box$ 

Remark 4.20. Theorem 4.19 positively answers Byott's conjecture for all two-sided skew braces satisfying the properties of Theorem 4.16. Recall that one possible formulation of Byott's conjecture is that all finite skew braces of soluble type have a soluble multiplicative group [Byo15]. We can not drop the condition of Theorem 4.19, as there exist two-sided braces with infinitely generated abelian group but non-soluble multiplicative group; see [Nas19, Example 3.2].

Our next aim is to prove Theorem 4.25 which states that, similar to two-sided braces, there is no distinction between left and right nilpotency of two-sided skew braces of nilpotent type.

**Lemma 4.21.** Let A be a two-sided skew brace, then for all  $a, b \in A$  and  $c \in Z(A, +)$ ,

$$c * (a + b) = c * a + c * b,$$
  
 $(a + b) * c = a * c + b * c.$ 

*Proof.* As Z(A, +) is characteristic in (A, +), it is an ideal of A by Corollary 2.4. Hence,  $c*b = -c + \lambda_b^{\text{op}}(\overline{b} \circ c \circ b) \in Z(A, +)$  and  $a*c = \lambda_a(c) - c \in Z(A, +)$ . So from Lemma 2.2 both equalities follow.

**Lemma 4.22.** Let A be a two-sided skew brace, then for all  $a, b \in A$  and  $c \in Z(A, +)$ ,

(2) 
$$(a*b)*c = a*(b*c).$$

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in A$ ,  $c \in Z(A, +)$ . Using Lemma 4.21 and Lemma 2.2 we find

$$(a*b)*c = (-a + a \circ b - b)*c$$

$$= -a*c + (a \circ b)*c - b*c$$

$$= -a*c + a*(b*c) + b*c + a*c - b*c$$

$$= a*(b*c).$$

**Lemma 4.23.** Let A be a two-sided skew brace and X, Y, Z subsets of A with  $Z \subseteq Z(A, +)$ . Then (X \* Y) \* Z = X \* (Y \* Z).

*Proof.* By definition, X \* Y consists of all  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_i(a_i * b_i)$  with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\epsilon_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ ,  $a_i \in X$ ,  $b_i \in Y$ . Therefore, (X \* Y) \* Z is the additive subgroup generated by

$$\{(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_i(a_i * b_i)) * c \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, \epsilon_i \in \{-1, 1\}, a_i \in X, b_i \in Y, c \in Z\}.$$

Using Lemma 4.21 we find that  $(\sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i(a_i * b_i)) * c = \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i((a_i * b_i) * c)$ , hence (X \* Y) \* Z is the additive subgroup generated by

$$\{(a*b)*c \mid a \in X, b \in Y, c \in Z\}.$$

A similar argument shows that X \* (Y \* Z) is the additive subgroup generated by

$$\{a * (b * c) \mid a \in X, b \in Y, c \in Z\}.$$

It follows from Lemma 4.22 that (3) and (4) are the same set.

**Lemma 4.24.** Let A be a two-sided skew brace and  $m \geq 1$  such that  $A^{(m)} \subseteq Z(A,+)$ , then for all  $k \geq 1$ ,

(5) 
$$A^{(m+k)} = A^{(m)} * A^{(k)},$$

(6) 
$$A^{(mk)} = (A^{(m)})^{(k)}.$$

*Proof.* We first prove (5) by induction on k. For k = 1 this is trivial. For k > 1 we use the induction hypothesis and Lemma 4.23 to find

$$A^{(m+k)} = A^{(m+k-1)} * A = (A^{(m)} * A^{(k-1)}) * A = A^{(m)} * (A^{(k-1)} * A) = A^{(m)} * A^{(k)}.$$

Next we prove (6) by induction on k. For k = 1 this is trivial. We can use the induction hypothesis and (5) to find that also for k > 1,

$$A^{(mk)} = A^{(m(k-1)+m)} = A^{(m(k-1))} * A^{(m)} = (A^{(m)})^{(k-1)} * A^{(m)} = (A^{(m)})^{(k)}. \quad \Box$$

**Theorem 4.25.** Let A be a two-sided skew brace of nilpotent type, then the following properties are equivalent

- (1) A is left nilpotent,
- (2) A is right nilpotent,
- (3) A is strongly nilpotent.

Proof. Because of Theorem 2.1, only the equivalence of 1 and 2 has to be proved. We prove the implication from 1 to 2 through induction on the nilpotency class n of (A, +). If n = 1 then A is a two-sided brace, so in particular left and right nilpotency coincide. Now assume that the claim is true for n - 1 and let A be a two-sided skew brace such that (A, +) has nilpotency class n. Then A/Z(A, +) is still left nilpotent and its additive group has nilpotency class n - 1, so it is right nilpotent. Let m be such that  $A^{(m)} \subseteq Z(A, +)$ . From the assumption that A is left nilpotent, we find that in particular the subskew brace Z(A, +) is left (or equivalently right) nilpotent. Let k be such that  $Z(A, +)^{(k)} = \{0\}$ . By Lemma 4.24 it follows that  $Z(A, +)^{(k)} = \{0\}$ , so Z(A, +) is right nilpotent.

A similar argument proves the implication from 2 to 1.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 4.26.** Let A be a two-sided skew brace that satisfies the equivalent conditions of Theorem 4.16, if (A, +) is nilpotent then  $(A, \circ)$  is nilpotent and A is a strongly nilpotent skew brace.

Proof. We prove by induction on the nilpotency class n of (A, +) that A is right nilpotent. Then by Theorem 4.25 A is strongly nilpotent and by [JVAV22, Proposition 2.12]  $(A, \circ)$  is nilpotent. For n = 1, the statement follows directly from Proposition 4.15. For n > 1, we know that (A/Z(A, +), +) has nilpotency class n - 1, so by the induction hypothesis there exists some m such that  $A^{(m)} \subseteq Z(A, +)$ . From the case n = 1, we know that Z(A, +) is right nilpotent hence  $Z(A, +)^{(k)} = \{0\}$  for an appropriate choice of k. It follows by Lemma 4.24 that  $A^{(mk)} = (A^{(m)})^{(k)} \subseteq Z(A, +)^{(k)} = \{0\}$ , so A is right nilpotent.

# 5. Strongly prime and prime two-sided skew braces

In [KSV21], the following definitions of (strongly) prime and semiprime skew braces are introduced.

**Definition 5.1.** Let A be a skew brace. Then A is *semiprime* if  $I * I \neq \{0\}$  for any non-zero ideal I. A is *strongly semiprime* if every \*-product of any number of copies of a non-zero ideal I is non-zero.

**Definition 5.2.** Let A be a skew brace. Then A is *prime* if  $I * J \neq \{0\}$  for any non-zero ideals I and J. A is *strongly prime* if every \*-product of any number of non-zero ideals is non-zero.

For two-sided braces, both variations of being (semi)prime correspond with the usual notions for rings. As the authors note in [KSV21], it is an open question whether every prime, respectively semi-prime, skew brace is a strongly prime, respectively strongly semi-prime, skew brace. In this section we affirmatively answer this question for two-sided skew braces.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let A be a two-sided skew brace and X,Y subsets of A which are normal in  $(A, \circ)$ , then X \* Y is normal in  $(A, \circ)$ .

*Proof.* Using Proposition 2.3 we find for arbitrary  $a \in A$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $y \in Y$ ,

$$a \circ (x * y) \circ \overline{a} = (a \circ x \circ \overline{a}) * (a \circ y \circ \overline{a}),$$

from which we conclude that  $\{x * y \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}$  is a normal subset of  $(A, \circ)$ . Once again using Proposition 2.3 we find that the additive subgroup generated by the above set, which is precisely X \* Y, is normal in  $(A, \circ)$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** Let A be a skew left brace, I a left ideal of A and X a normal subset of  $(A, \circ)$ . Then X \* I is a left ideal of A.

*Proof.* This follows from the fact that for all  $a, b, c \in A$  we have the equality

$$\lambda_a(b*c) = \lambda_a(\lambda_b(c) - c) = \lambda_{a \circ b \circ \overline{a}} \lambda_a(c) - \lambda_a(c) = (a \circ b \circ \overline{a}) * \lambda_a(c).$$

**Lemma 5.5.** Let A be a two-sided skew brace, and I and J ideals with  $J \subseteq A_{\text{op}}^2$ , then I \* J is a normal subgroup of (A, +).

*Proof.* As I\*J is the additive group generated by the elements x\*y, where  $x \in I$ ,  $y \in J$ , it is sufficient to prove that  $-a + x*y + a \in I*J$  for all  $a \in A$ . However, by Lemma 2.2 we find

$$0 = x * (a - a) = x * a + a + x * (-a) - a,$$

hence x\*(-a) = -a - x\*a + a. Using this equality, combined with the fact that  $y, x*y \in A_{\text{op}}^2$  commute with  $x*a \in A^2$ , we find

$$x*(-a+y+a) = x*(-a) - a + x*(y+a) + a$$

$$= -a - x*a + x*y + y + x*a - y + a$$

$$= -a + x*y + a.$$

**Theorem 5.6.** Let A be a two-sided skew brace, then A is semiprime if and only if it is strongly semiprime.

*Proof.* Assume that A is semi-prime and that I is a non-zero ideal such that there exists a \*-product of n copies of I which is zero. By induction, one easily proves that a \*-product of n copies of I always contains  $I_n$ , where inductively  $I_1 = I$  and  $I_{n+1} = I_n * I_n$ . Therefore, there exists some k < n such that  $I_k \neq \{0\}$  but  $I_{k+1} = \{0\}$ . If we can prove that  $I_k$  is an ideal, then A is not semiprime and we are done with the proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $I_2$  is not an ideal, else we can replace I by  $I_2$  and repeat the argument. From Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 5.4 we know that  $I_2$  is normal in  $(A, \circ)$  and a left ideal of A. We are left with proving that  $I_2$  is normal in (A, +). We now distinguish two cases.

If  $I \cap A_{\text{op}}^2 = \{0\}$ , then clearly  $I_{\text{op}}^2 = \{0\}$ . Hence,  $x \circ y = y + x$  for all  $x, y \in I$ . In particular, x \* y = -x + y + x - y. This means that  $I_2$  is just the commutator of I with itself in (A, +). As I was normal in (A, +), also  $I_2$  is normal in (A, +) and we conclude that  $I_2$  is an ideal of A.

Now assume that  $I \cap A_{\text{op}}^2 \neq \{0\}$ . Then we can replace I by  $I \cap A_{\text{op}}^2$ , and by repeating the steps at the start of the proof, we again reduce this to having to prove that  $I_2$  is normal in (A, +). However, this now follows by Lemma 5.5.

The other implication is trivial.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 5.7.** Let A be a two-sided skew brace, then A is prime if and only if it is strongly prime.

*Proof.* Assume that A is prime. If there exists an ideal I such that  $I \cap A_{\text{op}}^2 = \{0\}$ , then  $I * A_{\text{op}}^2 \subseteq I \cap A_{\text{op}}^2 = \{0\}$ . So either  $A_{\text{op}}^2 = \{0\}$  or all ideals intersect  $A_{\text{op}}^2$  trivially.

In the first case, A is almost trivial. The \*-products are then just commutators in the underlying group, so a \*-product of ideals, which are the normal subgroups of the underlying group, is once again an ideal and therefore A is strongly prime.

In the latter case, if there exists a product of non-zero ideals which equals zero, we may without loss of generality assume that all these ideals are contained in  $A_{\rm op}^2$ . However, from Lemma 5.3, Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.5 it follows that the \*-product of two ideals contained in  $A_{\rm op}^2$  is once again an ideal. Therefore the above mentioned product contains somewhere a product of two non-zero ideals which is zero, but this contradicts the assumption.

The other implication is trivial.

### References

- [ADS98] B. Amberg, O. Dickenschied, and Y. P. Sysak, Subgroups of the adjoint group of a radical ring, Canadian Journal of Mathematics **50** (1998), no. 1, 3–15.
  - [AS02] Bernhard Amberg and Yaroslav P. Sysak, *Radical rings with soluble adjoint groups*, Journal of Algebra **247** (2002), no. 2, 692–702.
- [Bac18] David Bachiller, Solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation associated to skew left braces, with applications to racks, Journal of Knot Theory and its Ramifications 27 (2018), no. 8.
- [BCJO19] D. Bachiller, F. Cedó, E. Jespers, and J. Okniński, Asymmetric product of left braces and simplicity; New solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation, Communications in Contemporary Mathematics 21 (2019), no. 8, 1–29.
  - [Byo15] N. P. Byott, Solubility criteria for Hopf–Galois structures, New York Journal of Mathematics 21 (2015), 883–903.
  - [CJO20] F. Cedó, E. Jespers, and J. Okniński, An abundance of simple left braces with abelian multiplicative Sylow subgroups, Revista Matematica Iberoamericana 36 (2020), no. 5, 1309–1332.
- [CSV19] F. Cedó, A. Smoktunowicz, and L. Vendramin, Skew left braces of nilpotent type, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society 118 (2019), no. 6, 1367–1392.
- [Dri92] V. G. Drinfel'd, On some unsolved problems in quantum group theory, Quantum Groups (Petr. P Kulish, ed.), Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1992, pp. 1–8.
- [Gou89] Edouard Goursat, Sur les substitutions orthogonales et les divisions régulières de l'espace, Annales scientifiques de l'École normale supérieure 6 (1889), 9–102.
- [GV17] L. Guarnieri and L. Vendramin, Skew braces and the Yang–Baxter equation, Mathematics of Computation 86 (2017), no. 307, 2519–2534.
- [JVAV22] E. Jespers, A. Van Antwerpen, and L. Vendramin, Nilpotency of skew braces and multipermutation solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation, arXiv:2205.01572 (2022).
- [KSV21] Alexander Konovalov, Agata Smoktunowicz, and Leandro Vendramin, On skew braces and their ideals, Experimental Mathematics **30** (2021), no. 1, 95–104.

- [KT20] A. Koch and P. J. Truman, Opposite skew left braces and applications, Journal of Algebra **546** (2020), 218–235.
- [Nas19] T. Nasybullov, Connections between properties of the additive and the multiplicative groups of a two-sided skew brace, Journal of Algebra **540** (2019), no. 8, 156–167.
- [Rum07] W. Rump, Braces, radical rings, and the quantum Yang–Baxter equation, Journal of Algebra **307** (2007), no. 1, 153–170.
  - [SC67] E. Sąsiada and P. M. Cohn, An example of a simple radical ring, Journal of Algebra 5 (1967), no. 3, 373–377.
- [Smo18] A. Smoktunowicz, On Engel groups, nilpotent groups, rings, braces and the Yang–Baxter equation, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **370** (2018), no. 9, 6535–6564.
- [ST22] L. Stefanello and S. Trappeniers, On the connection between Hopf–Galois structures and skew braces, arXiv:2206.07610 (2022).
- [SV18] A. Smoktunowicz and L. Vendramin, On skew braces (with an appendix by N. Byott and L. Vendramin), Journal of Combinatorial Algebra 2 (2018), no. 1, 47–86.
- [Sys11] Ya. P. Sysak, The adjoint group of radical rings and related questions, Ischia Group Theory 2010, World Sci. Publ., 9 2011, pp. 344–365.
- [VK19] L. Vendramin and A Konovalov, YangBaxter, Combinatorial Solutions for the Yang-Baxter equation, Version 0.7.0, 2019.
- [Wat68] J. F. Watters, On the adjoint group of a radical ring, Journal of the London Mathematical Society 1-43 (1968), no. 1, 725–729.

Department of Mathematics and Data Science, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Brussels, Belgium

Email address: senne.trappeniers@vub.be