

ENHANCEMENT IN THE MEAN SQUARE RANGE DELAY ACCURACY BY MEANS OF MULTIPLE ENTANGLED PHOTON STATES QUANTUM ILLUMINATION

Ricardo Gallego Torromé¹

Fraunhofer Institute for High Frequency Physics and Radar Techniques FHR,
Fraunhoferstraße 20 — 53343 Wachtberg — Germany.

Department of Mathematics, University of Primorska, Koper, Slovenia

ABSTRACT. Recently it has been discussed how quantum illumination can be used to increase the mean value range delay [1], that happens in the domain of SNR compatible with current radar systems. However, from side of practical applications, the advantage described in [1] requires of a large integration time. In this letter it is shown how multiple entangled photon quantum illumination helps to reduce the integration time when evaluating range delay. For easiness, the analysis is conveyed in the setting of three entangled photon states discrete quantum illumination models, but it is argued that our result can be extended to quantum illumination continuous protocols.

Keywords: Quantum Radar, Quantum Illumination, Quantum Enhancement, Range Delay Measurement Accuracy.

1. INTRODUCTION

In a recent article, Zhuang and Shapiro have illustrated how quantum illumination offers an enhancement of the range delay measurement accuracy, which is manifestly large in a domain of signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) compatible with radar applications [1]. Quantum illumination (QI) implies an enhancement in SNR with respect to thermal illumination [2] or, when using Gaussian quantum illumination, with respect to coherent light illumination (CI), the benchmark of non-entangled states illumination [4, 5, 6, 7].

The recent work of Zhuang and Shapiro, however, explores the benefits of QI for improving the range-delay measurement accuracy. In particular, in [1] is discussed how for a quantum pulse-compression radar of chord type with high time-bandwidth signal and high time-bandwidth idler, the advantage in the SNR offered by QI is translated in a reduction of the threshold where the *Cramers-Rao bound* (CRB) for the range delay is sub-optimal and instead, it is more convenient to consider the *Ziv-Zakai bound* (ZZB) [8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. It is when considering the more optimal bound of Ziv-Zakai that the advantage on the mean square range delay accuracy when using QI is made manifest. In particular, it was shown that QI reduces the threshold in SNR under which the CRB is efficient and instead, the ZZB is more accurate. Furthermore, the analysis showed a large advantage of up to

Date: October 31, 2022.

¹Email: rigato39@gmail.com

several dozens dB in the mean square range delay accuracy when using quantum illumination with respect to the use of coherent states illumination.

However, there is an intrinsic difficulty to exploit the aforementioned advantage, since the pulse duration required must be large compared with usual radar application standards. For practical situations, the benefit in range delay measurement accuracy is obtained with integration time of the order of 10^2 s. This is because the SNR required to exploit the non-linear effect discussed is not small, typically for microwave radar applications, of the order of 5 to 10 dB. For the type of pulse-compression signal discussed in [1], an estimate of the required SNR is given by the expression

$$(1.1) \quad SNR = \frac{\kappa M N_S}{N_B},$$

where κ stands for a single round trip radar-to-target-radar transmissivity and it is assumed constant, M is the time-bandwidth product, N_S is the average number of photons per mode and N_B is the the background average number of photons per mode. In the microwave regime, N_B is large (of the order 10^2) and N_S is small (of order 10^{-2}). Typically κ is small too. Moreover, quantum enhancement of SNR (and hence the benefits) happens for M very large. However, there are limitations in M due to the mechanism of the generation of the entangled states, which is usually spontaneous parametric down conversion (SPDC). Hence a natural mechanism to increase M (the time-bandwidth product) is to increase the time pulse T . In this way, it is obtained the integration time scale mentioned above.

There are other points that are worthwhile to mention here. The first is related to the methodology followed. In ref. [1] it was shown that for SNR below the SNR threshold, it is more efficient to use the Ziv-Zakai bound rather than the more universal but less stringent (CRB). Thus the bounds are obtained, from the perspective of quantum optics, for the CRB and the ZZB for both QI and CI. With respect to the CRB, the expression obtained is the classical expression times suppressed by a factor $\sqrt{2}$. Remarkably, it is the same expression for the accuracy than the one presented by Maccone and Ren [15] for $N = 2$ entangled photons state illumination. Furthermore, the factor $\sqrt{2}$ increase in the accuracy represents the maximum enhancement when using entangled states (in the category of Gaussian states and for two photon states).

Finally, it is illustrative to recall that quantum entanglement and other related quantum states as squeezed states, are used to enhance accuracy in measurements in quantum interferometry (see [3] for a review). Indeed, the idea of interferometric quantum radar is based on this enhancement of accuracy due to enhancement properties [16]. The property discovered by Zhuang and Shapiro can be framed in this class of enhancement of accuracy based on the properties of non-classical states of illumination.

In this article it is explored the possibility of using quantum illumination with states describing multiple entangled photons in order to reduce the integration time required to explode the aforementioned benefit. The intuition behind this idea is clear, since it was previously shown that multiple photon quantum illumination requires less time-bandwidth product to reach the same SNR than when using standard Lloyd's quantum illumination [13]. It is shown that this property also




FIGURE 1. **Quantum illumination with three entangled photon states.**

implies a reduction of the integration time for the protocol of range delay measurement. The analysis and estimates of this article are discussed for Lloyd's type quantum illumination with low environmental noise, but it is also argued that an analogous result should be expected for quantum illumination schemes based on continuous states quantum illumination, although the expected enhancement due to the use of multiple entangled photon states is less pronounced in this case.

2. INTEGRATION TIME IN QUANTUM ILLUMINATION WITH MULTIPLE ENTANGLED PHOTONS

A relevant challenge for the practical implementation of the protocol proposed by Zhuang and Shapiro is the large time pulse required to translate the advantage in SNR in the enhancement of the range resolution accuracy. One possibility to reduce the integration time is to use quantum illumination with multiple entangled states. The protocol of three entangled photon states quantum illumination is illustrated in figure 1 and can be described in a nutshell as follows. Three photons correlated in time and in frequency are generated as a result of a four photon interaction [14]. For each of the entangled three photon systems, two photons (signal photons) are sent to explore a region where the target could be located. One photon is either retained or directly detected just after generation (idler photon), depending on the specific protocol used. When two photons are detected simultaneously using direct detection methods, then the temporal correlation between the idler photon and the two signal photons is checked and if the correlation test results positive, it is claimed that there is a detection event.

It was shown in [13] that for Lloyd's quantum illumination model, multiple photon quantum illumination provides an advantage in SNR with respect to standard quantum illumination in the following sense. The SNR for $QI2R$ and for QI are given respectively by the relations [13]:

$$SNR_{QI2R}^e = \left(\frac{M}{N_B}\right)^2 \left((1 - \kappa) \left(\frac{N_B}{M}\right)^2 + \kappa \right),$$

$$SNR_{QI}^e = \frac{M'}{N_B} \left((1 - \kappa) \frac{N_B}{M'} + \kappa \right),$$

where M and M' are a priori different time-bandwidth products. In the regime when $\kappa \ll 1$, $M \gg 1$, $M' \gg 1$ and $N_B \ll 1$, the condition $SNR_{QI2R}^e \approx SNR_{QI}^e$ requires that

$$(2.1) \quad M \approx \sqrt{N_B M'}.$$

The relation (2.1) shows a reduction of the required time-bandwidth product for QIMP with respect to QI, here associated to the number of modes M and M' respectively. Thus if the bandwidths are the same, $\Delta\omega(1) = \Delta\omega(2)$ and assuming that $M = t(2) \Delta\omega(2)$ and $M' = t(1) \Delta\omega(1)$, then the corresponding integration times are related by the expression

$$(2.2) \quad t(2) = \sqrt{N_B \frac{t(1)}{\Delta\omega(1)}},$$

where $t(1)$ is the integration time for quantum illumination with states describing a pair of one photon signal and one photon idler and $t(1)$ is the integration time for quantum illumination for states describing 2 photons signal and one idler.

The relation (2.2) has been derived in the framework of Lloyd's quantum illumination models, where the condition of low noise $N_B \ll 1$ is assumed. The relative reduction of the integration time is of the form

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{t(2)}{t(1)} = \sqrt{\frac{N_B}{t(1)\Delta\omega}}$$

with respect to the integration time of using standard Lloyd's quantum illumination. In this setting, for $\Delta\omega = 10^6 \text{ Hz}$ with $t(1) \sim 10^2 \text{ s}$ and not taking into account the factor $\sqrt{N_B}$, the reduction time factor is of order 10^{-2} when using three photon signal quantum illumination with respect to the usual Lloyd's quantum illumination protocol. Thus $t(2)$ is in this example in the order of the second, in the usual range of values of integration time for radar applications. Also, it is remarkable that the relative increase in the range delay accuracy is independent of the central frequency, being valid in the microwave, optical or X-ray regimes.

3. DISCUSSION

In this letter we have argued that quantum illumination with three entangled photon states could drastically reduce the integration time required to exploit the range delay measurement accuracy enhancement discussed by Zhuang and Shapiro [1]. In particular, we have shown this enhancement when using three photon states for Lloyd's type quantum illumination models, where N_B is very small. Although in the optical domain and for usual daylight atmospheric conditions such a low noise condition is fulfilled, the microwave domain is a rather noisy regime. Instead than using Lloyd's quantum illumination type models, one expects that in the microwave domain, a generalization of the theory developed by in Tan et al., where the condition of high brightness condition $N_B \gg 1$ holds good, describes the type of models to be used to explore the quantum illumination advantages. Moreover, a bright environment condition is also assumed in the analysis performed in [1]. However, one should expect that, as it happens for the enhancement in SNR, an analogous result to our relation 2.3 applies in the continuous quantum illumination case and that there is also a reduction of the integration time when using multiple entangled states for quantum illumination in a bright environment. Indeed, if we

assume the same expression (2.3) to be valid as first order approximation for noisy environments, then for the values of noise $N_B \sim 10^2$ and band-width $\Delta\omega \sim 10^6$, then there is an improvement in the integration time given by a factor of order 10^{-1} with respect to the typical integration time $10^2 s$ reported in [1].

Besides the extension of the theory presented in [13] to the case of continuous states, there are permanent challenges that quantum illumination protocols should address. Among these challenges we should mention the problem of keeping the idler alive during the measurement, the detector for microwave photons and the cryogenic conditions required for generation and the generation of intense enough entangled sources for applications, specially, in the microwave regime.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank the discussions with A. Froelich and M. Ummenhofer for relevant discussion on this topic.

REFERENCES

- [1] Q. Zhuang and J. H. Shapiro, *Ultimate Accurary Limit of Quantum Pulse-Compression Ranging*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 010501 (2022).
- [2] S. Lloyd, *Enhanced Sensitivity of Photodetection via Quantum Illumination*, Science **321**, 1463 (2008).
- [3] V. Giovannetti, S. Lloyd and L. Maccone, *Quantum-Enhanced Measurements: Beating the Standard Quantum Limit*, Science **306**, 1330 (2004).
- [4] Si-Hui Tan, B. I. Erkmen, V. Giovannetti, S. Guha, S. Lloyd, L. Maccone, S. Pirandola and J. H. Shapiro *Quantum Illumination with Gaussian States*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 253601 (2008).
- [5] S. Pirandola, B. R. Bardham, T. Gehring, C. Weedbrook and S. Lloyd, *Advances in photonic quantum sensing*, Nature Photonics **12**, 724(2018).
- [6] J. H. Shapiro, *The Quantum Illumination Story*, IEEE Aerospace and Electronic Systems Magazine **35**, Issue: 4, April 1 (2020).
- [7] R. Gallego Torromé, N. Ben Bekhti-Winkel and P. Knott, *Introduction to quantum radar* arXiv:2006.14238 [quant-ph].
- [8] M. Zakai and J. Ziv, *On the threshold effect in radar range estimation*, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **15**, 157 (1969).
- [9] S. K. Chow and P. M. Schultheiss, *Delay estimation using narrow-band processes*, IEEE Trans. Acoust. Speech Signal Process. **29**, 478 (1981).
- [10] A. Weiss and E. Weinstein, *Fundamental limitations in passive time delay estimation- Part I: Narrow-band systems*, IEEE Trans. Acoust. Speech Signal Process. **31**, 472 (1983).
- [11] A. Weiss and E. Weinstein, *Fundamental limitations in passive time delay estimation- Part II: Wide-band systems*, IEEE Trans. Acoust. Speech Signal Process. **32**, 1064 (1984).
- [12] J. Ianniello, E. Weinstein, A. J. Weiss, *Comparison of the Ziv-Zakai lower bound on time delay estimation with correlation performance*, Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, Vol. **8**, 875 (1983).
- [13] R. Gallego Torromé, “Quantum illumination with multiple entangled photons”, Advanced Quantum Technologies, Volume **4**, Issue 11, DOI: 10.1002/qute.202100101.
- [14] J. C. Garrison and R. Y. Chiao, *Quantum Optics*, Oxford University Press (2007).
- [15] L. Maccone and C. Ren, *Quantum Radar*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 200503 (2020).
- [16] M. Lanzagorta, *Quantum Radar*, Synthesis Lectures on Quantum Computing n. 5, Morgan and Claypool publishers (2011).