

Stabilization of singlet hole-doped state in infinite-layer nickelate superconductors

Mi Jiang,¹ Mona Berciu,^{2,3} and George A. Sawatzky^{2,3}

¹*Institute of Theoretical and Applied Physics, Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Thin Films, School of Physical Science and Technology, Soochow University, Suzhou 215006, China*

²*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of British Columbia, Vancouver B.C. V6T 1Z1, Canada*

³*Stewart Blusson Quantum Matter Institute, University of British Columbia, Vancouver B.C. V6T 1Z4, Canada*

Motivated by the recent X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) and resonant inelastic X-ray scattering (RIXS) experiments, we use a detailed impurity model to explore the nature of the parent compound and hole doped states of (La, Nd, Pr)NiO₂ by including the crystal field splitting, the Ni-3d multiplet structure, and the hybridization between Ni-3d, O-2p, and Nd-5d orbitals. For simplicity and stimulated by the recent electronic structure calculations, the latter are formally replaced with symmetric orbitals centered at the missing O sites in the Nd layer, forming a two-dimensional (2D) band strongly hybridizing with the Ni-3d_{z²} state. This hybridization pushes the main part of the 3d_{z²} spectral function up in energy by several eV and stabilizes the singlet with considerable d_{z²}⁹ and other configurational components. For the parent compound, we find that states of Ni-3d_{z²}⁹ character spread over a large energy range in the spectra, and cannot and should not be represented by a single orbital energy, as suggested in other approximations. This is qualitatively consistent with the RIXS measurements showing a broad distribution of the Ni-3d_{z²}⁹ hole state, although the shape of the Ni-3d_{z²}⁹ related structure is much more complicated requiring reinterpretations of the RIXS data. For the hole-doped systems, we show that adding these additional ingredients can still result in the lowest-energy hole doped state having a singlet character.

I. INTRODUCTION

The recent discovery of superconductivity (SC) below a critical temperature $T_c \sim 15\text{K}$ in Nd_{0.8}Sr_{0.2}NiO₂ thin films¹ initiated studies of the new family of Ni-based superconductors.²⁻⁶ One promising strategy is to use of “reasoning-by-analogy” to achieve better understanding of unconventional superconductivity in other SC families, especially the high- T_c cuprates. Because the unusual Ni¹⁺ oxidation state has the same 3d⁹ electronic configuration like Cu²⁺, the infinite NiO₂ planes were naively expected to host similar properties with the CuO₂ planes. The initial excitement about drawing this parallel between cuprate and nickelate superconductors has cooled off, however, owing to various theoretical and experimental findings which indicate that the newly found nickelate superconductors show important difference from the cuprates⁵⁻⁹.

From an experimental point of view, the bottleneck in this rapidly evolving research field lies in the difficulty of synthesis and characterization of these new nickelates with the unusual Ni⁺ ions^{1,9-12}. Even so, there are already many findings that are proving difficult to combine in a coherent picture. The upturn of the resistivity at low temperatures^{1,2,9} suggests a (still debated) possible involvement of Kondo physics,^{9,13} while the normal state can be treated either as a bad metal or a weak insulator^{6,9}. The parent compound appears to not host long-range magnetic order¹⁴⁻²² in spite of having magnetic correlations²³⁻²⁷. Hall coefficient measurements show that, at low temperatures, the charge carriers switch from electrons in the parent compounds to holes in the superconducting and over-doped systems.^{2,9-11} This is taken as evidence of the multi-orbital character of the infinite-layer nickelates¹⁵,

although there are also proposals supporting the single-band picture.^{7,28} The nature of the superconducting pairing is undoubtedly the feature of most interest in the literature. A recent single particle tunneling study revealed the spatial coexistence of d -wave and s -wave²⁹, while a recent London penetration measurement strongly challenged the d -wave pairing scenario by supporting a predominantly nodeless pairing³⁰. We note that several theoretical studies support the scenario of spin fluctuations as the glue for d -wave superconductivity, similar to cuprates,³¹⁻³⁶ although our earlier work³⁷ suggested that the superexchange interaction in nickelates is decreased by about one order of magnitude, compared to cuprates.

Many of the theoretical investigations attempting to understand the differences between cuprates and the infinite-layer nickelates use density functional theory (DFT),^{14,16-18,31,32,38-44} also in combination with dynamical mean-field theory, DFT+DMFT,^{7,8,19-21,33,34,45-55} to calculate the electronic structure so as to uncover the contributions from different orbitals to the important states near the Fermi energy. Most of these studies agree that one significant difference between the two classes of materials is the appearance in the nickelates of a rather broad band that crosses the Fermi energy and is composed of a combination of orbitals including Nd-5d_{xy}, Nd-6s, O-2p, Ni-3d_{z²}, Ni-4s, and interstitial states. This can be seen clearly in projections of the density of states on the atomic orbitals upon which there is a lot of density missing in the interstitial region instead. This broad band is believed to be essential to explain fascinating properties such as the self-doping effect in the nickelate parent compound¹³, suppression of the magnetic order¹⁴⁻²¹ etc. Its existence suggests

very different low-energy physics in the two classes of materials.

In terms of identifying a reliable model Hamiltonian, the debate continues on whether the interplay between correlations and hybridizations favors the Hubbard or the Hund mechanisms.^{46,52,55} In a previous study³⁷ we argued that the Ni^{1+}O_2 layers fall inside a “critical” region and should be classified as Mott-Hubbard insulators according to the ZSA classification⁵⁶, with a singlet hole-doped state of similar symmetry with that in CuO_2 ; this has been supported by a few recent experiments^{28,57}. In this view, the doped hole is primarily located in a linear combination with $x^2 - y^2$ symmetry of neighbor ligand O orbitals. This is very different from having the doped hole primarily occupying the $3d_{z^2}$ orbital, as in a Hund’s rule favored triplet state. Clearly, establishing which of these very different scenarios is relevant will have significant bearing on the debate about similarities (or lack thereof) between the two classes of superconductors.

Recent X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) and resonant inelastic X-ray scattering (RIXS) experiments²⁸ are interpreted to show that the doped holes dominantly reside in the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital, partially supporting the single band Hubbard model scenario^{7,33,38,44}. However, they also show a significant z -polarized character indicating the presence of $\text{Ni-}3d_{z^2}$ holes in the undoped ground state. This is not consistent with our previous findings. However, in that work we ignored the existence of the broad band crossing the Fermi energy, which is known to have strong hybridization with the $\text{Ni-}3d_{z^2}$ orbitals. Therefore, it is important to understand how its inclusion affects our results.

This is why here we build on our previous work by adding more ingredients to our model, to understand their relevance. We again start from a multi-orbital model of infinite-layer nickelates³⁷ studied with an impurity approximation, including the local $\text{Ni-}3d$ multiplet structure of all d orbitals, to investigate its corresponding undoped and hole doped ground states. In particular, we focus on how the “critical” character of the doped hole singlet state found previously, can be affected in more realistic settings. Specifically, we investigate (i) the effect of including crystal field splittings of the $3d$ levels, and (ii) the role played by hybridization between the NiO_2 plane and the electronic states in its two neighbour planes of Nd atoms giving rise to the broad band crossing E_F . As already mentioned, this band arises from a complicated mix of many orbitals. Here, we avoid this complexity by using instead single s symmetry orbitals Zs centered at the O vacancy positions in the Nd layer, consistent with the recently proposed electrone-like behaviour of the infinite-layer nickelates⁵⁸.

We find that inclusion of *only* crystal field splittings can push the $3d_{z^2}$ level to the higher energies observed in experiments, but it remains a very narrow peak. Inclusion of the hybridization between this orbital and the broad band is necessary in order to see it spreading




FIG. 1: Schematic view of the atoms involved in our model impurity calculation. The NiO_2 layer is treated within an impurity approximation of one Ni (black sphere) embedded in the O square lattice (red circles; only four O are depicted but we include the full O lattice). The other Ni ions (gray circles) are ignored. The adjacent layers of Nd are modelled by hybridization between Ni orbitals and the “zeronium” states labeled Zs (dashed circles). See text for more details.

over a wide energy range. With both new ingredients added in the model, we continue to find an undoped ground-state with primarily $3d_{x^2-y^2}^9$ character, and a hole-doped state with 1A_1 singlet character similar to the cuprates. But now we also find there is a strong $3d_{z^2}$ involved in this lowest energy singlet state, which also strongly involves the s vacancy band state. The presence of $3d_{z^2}$ holes in the lowest energy hole-doped state makes Ni look more like Ni^{2+} but apparently low spin, which is consistent with RIXS and XAS results although a more careful analysis of the experimental results is needed first.

The paper is organized as follows: Section II discusses the multi-orbital Ni impurity model and the formalism used to find its spectrum. Section III illustrates various results of spectral functions, phase diagram, ground state composition etc. both in the absence and in the presence of the hybridization between $\text{Ni-}3d$ and the effective “zeronium” Zs band in the Nd layer. Finally, Section IV summarizes our findings and provides further perspective.

II. MODEL AND METHODOLOGY

Before introducing our Hamiltonian, it is useful to explain its underlying assumptions. We begin from a single $\text{Ni}^{1+}\text{-}3d^9$ impurity embedded in an infinite square lattice of $\text{O-}2p^6$ ions, *i.e.* the problem studied in Ref. 37. First, we supplement that work by including crystal field splittings of the $3d$ orbitals to understand their effect both on the resulting undoped ground-state, and also on the one-hole doped state (*i.e.* when we remove one more hole from the system described above, for a total of two

holes missing from otherwise filled orbitals). Note that this splitting is a result of the ionic charges on the Nd ions producing a substantial crystal field, as also reported in the quantum chemistry calculations⁵⁹. The ligand field splitting due to the orbital dependent hybridizations with the O-2p and the Zs states are already part of our model Hamiltonian.

More substantially, we then also include hybridization with the states in the Nd plane concentrating on those involved in the highly dispersive band crossing the Fermi energy, as seen in most DFT and DFT+U calculations. We model this by a single s symmetry orbital centered at each O vacancy position Zs (depicted in Fig. 1) and follows the recent suggestion that the infinite-layer nickelates have properties similar to those of electrides⁵⁸. This approach is reasonable because the actual orbital character of that band has comparable components of the various Nd, O and especially Ni- $3d_{z^2}$ character, which is consistent with the recent work indicating that the dominant hybridization between Ni- $3d$ orbitals and itinerant electrons in the rare-earth spacer layer is through this interstitial s -like orbital, due to a large inter-cell hopping²¹. Similarly, our ab-initio calculations confirm that there is this so-called ‘‘Zeronium’’ band (spatially centered at these O vacancies) crossing the Fermi energy⁵⁸. The band structure calculation shows that there are also orbitals with p symmetry involved in the creation of this vacancy, however those only have weak π -bonding with the $d_{xz/yz}$ orbitals, which is why we ignore them. To summarize, the O vacancy is treated as an s -like orbital located appropriately in the Nd layer, which has appreciable hybridization with the Ni- $3d_{z^2}$ orbital^{21,58}. As we show below, this extra complication involving Ni- $3d_{z^2}$ orbital has a dramatic influence on the spectral functions of the various states.

The corresponding Hamiltonian, then, is:

$$\mathcal{H} = E_s + K_{pd} + K_{pp} + K_{ds} + K_{ss} + V_{dd}. \quad (1)$$

Here,

$$E_s = \sum_{m\sigma} \epsilon_d(m) d_{m\sigma}^\dagger d_{m\sigma} + \sum_{i\sigma} \epsilon_s s_{i\sigma}^\dagger s_{i\sigma} + \sum_{jn\sigma} \epsilon_p p_{jn\sigma}^\dagger p_{jn\sigma} \quad (2)$$

describes the on-site energies of the various orbitals included in the calculation. Specifically, $d_{m\sigma}^\dagger$ creates a *hole* with spin σ in the Ni- $3d_m$ orbital, with an associated energy $\epsilon_d(m)$; $p_{jn\sigma}^\dagger$ creates a *hole* with spin σ in the orbital O- $2p_n$, $n \in \{x, y, z\}$, located at site j of the O sublattice, with a corresponding energy ϵ_p ; and $s_{i\sigma}^\dagger$ creates an *electron* with spin σ at the Zs site i in a neighbor Nd layer. In our previous work we set $\epsilon_d(m) = 0$,³⁷ but here we allow for finite crystal field splitting, motivated by the recent XAS/RIXS experiments²⁸. As further explained below, we set $\epsilon_d(x^2 - y^2) = 0$ and adjust the remaining crystal field splittings until the one-hole spectra (characterizing the undoped parent compound) are consistent with experimental findings.

Before continuing, it is important to emphasize that we use a dual language, with hole excitations to describe the configuration of the nearly filled Ni and O orbitals, and electron excitations to describe the almost empty band of Zs states. The former choice follows up on our previous work,⁶⁰ while the latter choice is because in agreement with many other DFT studies, our first principle calculations⁵⁸ revealed that the Zs band of the undoped parent NdNiO₂ is almost empty, with quite low electron occupancy of ~ 0.03 /unit cell. This is why it is sensible to count the electrons in this nearly empty band.

The hybridization between the various m orbitals of the Ni impurity and the various n orbitals of its 4 nearest neighbour (NN) O sites located at the sites $\langle j \rangle$ is described by:

$$K_{pd} = \sum_{\langle j \rangle mn\sigma} (T_{mn}^{pd} d_{m\sigma}^\dagger p_{jn\sigma} + h.c.) \quad (3)$$

while the hopping between various nn O orbitals is given by:

$$K_{pp} = \sum_{\langle jj' \rangle nn'\sigma} (T_{nn'}^{pp} p_{jn'\sigma}^\dagger p_{j'n'\sigma} + h.c.) \quad (4)$$

The hopping integrals T_{mn}^{pd} and $T_{nn'}^{pp}$ are determined following Slater and Koster^{37,61}. In the following, we specify the values for the *magnitudes* of the $t_{pd\sigma}$, $t_{pd\pi}$, $t_{pp\sigma}$, $t_{pp\pi}$ hopping parameters, and note that the signs coming from the corresponding orbitals’ overlaps are properly included in the Hamiltonians.

The hybridization between the Ni $3d_m$ orbitals and its NN Zs orbitals located at the sites $\langle i \rangle$ is described by:

$$K_{ds} = \sum_{\langle i \rangle m\sigma} (T_m^{ds} d_{m\sigma}^\dagger s_{i\sigma}^\dagger + h.c.) \quad (5)$$

The first term describes the key new process where an electron hops from one of the Ni orbitals, thus creating a hole behind, into the (otherwise empty) Zs states. Strictly speaking, spin conservation imposes the combination $d_{m\sigma}^\dagger s_{i,-\sigma}^\dagger$, but we use the simpler notation because proper labelling of the electrons’ spins is irrelevant in our impurity model. Again, below we give the magnitude of this hopping as t_{ds} , and the proper signs are included in the Hamiltonian.

Electron hopping between Zs orbitals is given by:

$$K_{ss} = \sum_{\langle ii' \rangle \sigma} (T^{ss} s_{i\sigma}^\dagger s_{i'\sigma} + h.c.) \quad (6)$$

and is characterized by a magnitude t_{ss} for intra-layer hopping between NN Zs orbitals in each layer, and by $t_{ss\perp}$ for inter-layer hopping between NN Zs orbitals located in the top and bottom layers.

Finally,

$$V_{dd} = \sum_{\bar{m}_1 \bar{m}_2 \bar{m}_3 \bar{m}_4} U(\bar{m}_1 \bar{m}_2 \bar{m}_3 \bar{m}_4) d_{\bar{m}_1}^\dagger d_{\bar{m}_2}^\dagger d_{\bar{m}_3}^\dagger d_{\bar{m}_4} \quad (7)$$




FIG. 2: Single hole spectra for the undoped parent compound in the *absence* of hybridization to Zs orbitals, for (a) $\epsilon_d(m) = 0$ and (b) $\epsilon_d(m)$ tuned so as to obtain d^9 spectra consistent with XAS/RIXS experiments²⁸. The inset of (b) shows the corresponding $\epsilon_d(m)$ in electron language. With respect to $\epsilon_d(x^2 - y^2) = 0$, the crystal fields are $\epsilon_d(xy) = 0.6\text{eV}$, $\epsilon_d(xz/yz) = 1.1\text{eV}$, $\epsilon_d(z^2) = 2.1\text{eV}$.

describes correlations of the impurity Ni orbitals, with the shorthand notation $\bar{m}_x \equiv m_x \sigma_x$ where $x = 1, \dots, 5$ denotes spin-orbitals. To be more precise, two-hole $3d^8$ configurations are naturally involved in our calculation, and require the consideration of the Coulomb and exchange interactions for all singlet/triplet irreducible representations of the D_{4h} point group spanned by two d holes, in terms of the Racah parameters A , B and C ^{37,60}. In principle, inclusion of d^7 configurations with much more complicated interactions is also possible, but for simplicity we ignore them, because their energy would be at $\approx 3U$, where the Hubbard U is estimated to be at least 8eV or higher (see below).

As discussed in our previous work³⁷, the Ni-O and O-O hybridizations are estimated to be $t_{pd} \approx 1.3 - 1.5$ eV and $t_{pp} \approx 0.55$ eV,^{49,58,62} on the same scale as in cuprates. Meanwhile, the Racah parameters B, C are set by atomic physics so we keep the same values $B = 0.15, C = 0.58$ eV as in cuprates. One significant difference between NiO₂ and CuO₂ are the charge transfer energies $\Delta(m) = \epsilon_p - \epsilon_d(m)$. For the $m = x^2 - y^2$ orbital, Δ is estimated to be $\Delta \approx 7 - 9$ eV in nickelates as opposed to $\Delta \approx 3$ eV in cuprates⁶³.

We are interested in the spectra corresponding to different configurations with various symmetries relevant to both the undoped (hosting one hole) and hole-doped (hosting two holes) infinite-layer nickelate. Without the inclusion of the Zs band, the configurations reduce to the single- and two-hole states discussed in our previous study^{37,60}. As discussed in more detail below, we supplement these with configurations that describe “self-doped” states with an electron in the Zs band compensated by an additional hole in the Ni layer, due to the strong hybridization of the Ni- $3d_{z^2}$ orbital with the Zs states which results in spectral weight to z -polarized XAS spectra at the Ni- $2p$ edge.

The spectra are extracted from the generalized propagators for each specific configuration. For

example, d^8 spectra $A^\Gamma(\omega)$ for a particular irreducible representation Γ assuming that one hole has already occupied the Ni- $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital reads

$$A^\Gamma(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_m \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \Im G_d(m, b_1, \omega + i\delta; \Gamma)$$

$$G_d(m, b_1, z; \Gamma) = \langle 0 | d_{b_1} d_m \hat{G}(z) d_m^\dagger d_{b_1}^\dagger | 0 \rangle \quad (8)$$

All the calculations of the propagators are performed by employing the variational exact diagonalization with standard Lanczos solver. The variational space is constructed by imposing a cutoff distance R_c between the holes/electrons. Obviously, $R_c \rightarrow \infty$ recovers the full Hilbert space. We typically set $R_c > 15$ for the results shown below. We also use a relatively large broadening δ to avoid the situation where continua in the spectra look like a collection of peaks.

III. RESULTS

Recent XAS/RIXS experiments found that the peaks corresponding to the d_{xy} , $d_{xz/yz}$ and d_{z^2} orbitals in the parent compound are located at 1.4 eV, 2.0 eV and 2.7 eV from the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ peak, respectively.²⁸ These values disagree with what we obtained in the absence of crystal field splitting, *i.e.* when $\epsilon_d(m) = 0$, in Ref. 37. Those older results are reproduced in Fig. 2(a), which shows that in the absence of crystal fields, the $x^2 - y^2$ peak is about 1eV below the other (nearly degenerate) peaks, due to its enhanced in-plane pd hybridization.

A. Tuning of the crystal field splittings

Our first step is to find the values of the crystal field splittings $\epsilon_d(m)$ that allow us to produce peak locations




FIG. 3: (a-b) Two hole spectra (hole doped system) at $A = 6.0\text{eV}$, $\Delta = 8.5\text{eV}$ in the *absence* of hybridization to Zs orbitals for (a) $\epsilon_d(m) = 0$ and (b) tuned $\epsilon_d(m)$ (the same values as in Fig. 2). The two-hole state with 1A_1 symmetry (ZRS-like) is stabilized by the additional crystal field splitting; (c-d) Two-hole (one hole doped) ground state phase diagram for $\epsilon_d(m) = 0$ in (c), and for the tuned $\epsilon_d(m)$ in (d). The shaded gray region is expected to be relevant for the infinite-layer nickelates.

in agreement with the XAS/RIXS data, in the *absence* of hybridization with the Nd layers. In this case, Eq.(1) is reduced to the model $\mathcal{H} = E_s + K_{pd} + K_{pp} + V_{dd}$ used in Ref. 37 plus a tunable crystal field splitting.

A reasonable result is displayed in Fig. 2(b). The inset shows the ordering of $\epsilon_d(m)$ in electron language: relative to $\epsilon_d(x^2 - y^2) = 0.0$, we find $\epsilon_d(z^2) = 2.1$, $\epsilon_d(xy) = 0.6$, $\epsilon_d(xz/yz) = 1.1\text{eV}$. As expected, the peak with d_{z^2} symmetry can be moved to the observed higher energy by sufficiently increasing its crystal field.

We then performed the two-hole calculations to obtain the d^8 spectra corresponding to the hole doped NiO_2 to find whether the hole-doped ground-state has triplet 3B_1 or singlet 1A_1 character.³⁷ Fig. 3(a-b) illustrate the change in the symmetry of the hole-doped ground state when tuning $\epsilon_d(m)$, for fixed $A = 6.0\text{eV}$, $\Delta = 8.5\text{eV}$. In Fig. 3(c-d), we draw the corresponding phase diagrams

without and with the crystal fields. The presence of the crystal fields moves the transition line to higher Δ values, as shown by the comparison of the $t_{pd\sigma} = 1.5\text{eV}$ results. As a result, the gray area marking parameters relevant for nickelates, moves further inside the 1A_1 region, making the infinite-layer nickelates more similar to the cuprates in terms of the nature of doped hole states. This is fully expected as well, because the d_{z^2} hole is now much higher in energy. As a result, the Hund triplet consisting of two holes in d_{z^2} and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals is even more energetically costly compared to the singlet state.

B. Inclusion of Ni-Zs hybridization

Although the single hole spectral peak positions can be tuned to match experiments, they are still sharp peaks.




FIG. 4: The configuration energy level diagram (vertical scale is energy in eV estimated from DFT calculations) in the *absence of the Ni-O and Ni-Zs hybridizations*, for $U = 8\text{eV}$, $\epsilon_d(m) = 0$, $\epsilon_p = 3\text{eV}$, $\epsilon_s = 2\text{eV}$, $t_{pp} = 0.5\text{eV}$, $t_{ss} = 0.25\text{eV}$ in Eq. 1. The vacuum state is chosen to be Ni-3d⁹ O-2p⁶ Nd-s⁰ in CS_N (denoted as d^9 because of the absence of O's hole excitation and Zs's electron excitation). This choice is motivated by the convention of electronic structure calculation to split up the influence of the Hubbard U on d^8 and d^{10} by putting $U/2$ on the electron removal (CS_{N-1}) as well as $U/2$ on the electron addition (CS_{N+1}) states separately. Note that d^8 and d^9 represent d states of various symmetries and the zero energy is exact for the $d_{x^2-y^2}^9$ state.

This is inconsistent with the experimental observation that the d_{z^2} state spreads out over a large energy range. To obtain such a broad feature, it is necessary to couple the d orbitals, especially d_{z^2} , to some other dispersive bands. The obvious choice are the bands associated with the Nd layers. Therefore, as described by the full Eq. 1, from now on we replace the hybridization with Nd-5d orbitals with that with an effective s orbital centered at the O vacancy position Zs, which is also the position of the empty muffin-tin in the DFT calculations^{21,58}.

Before showing the results, it is useful to review the complexity of this problem by identifying the various kinds of states spread over various energy ranges, that are mixed by hybridization to give rise to the relevant spectra. To avoid confusion, from now on we will use the configuration language to label these various states.

First, by using the procedure described in the original ZSA work⁵⁶, we define CS_N to be the manifold including Ni-3d⁹ O-2p⁶ Zs-s⁰ and all other configurations (detailed below) connected to it via various hybridizations, at a fixed total number N of electrons. The lowest energy eigenstate obtained after diagonalizing the Hamiltonian of Eq. (1) within CS_N is the ground-state of the undoped infinite layer NdNiO₂ (within the single Ni-impurity approximation). Similarly, CS_{N-1} is the manifold for

the hole-doped system, including all doublets Ni-3d⁸ O-2p⁶ Zs-s⁰ and all other configurations connected to them through hybridization. The lowest eigenstate obtained after diagonalizing \mathcal{H} within CS_{N-1} will reveal the nature of the lowest energy state associated with a doped hole, in particular whether it is a singlet or triplet. For completeness, we also analyze the CS_{N+1} manifold for the electron-doped system. It contains Ni-3d¹⁰ O-2p⁶ Zs-s⁰ and all other states connected to it through hybridizations. These states are important when comparing to the LDA+ U calculations and are also needed for the interpretation of the XAS and RIXS data, which involve the d^{10} state accompanied by a core hole.

In Figure 4 we sketch the various states in the CS_N and CS_{N±1} manifolds *in the absence of all Ni-O and Ni-Zs hybridizations*. For simplicity, here we ignore the crystal field effects and show all $d^9 \equiv \text{Ni-3d}^9 \text{ O-2p}^6 \text{ Zs-s}^0$ states as having the same energy. Similarly, all multiplet splittings are ignored which is why all $d^8 \equiv \text{Ni-3d}^8 \text{ O-2p}^6 \text{ Zs-s}^0$ configurations are shown as degenerate. The d^9 states of CS_N are chosen as the vacuum state of zero energy, while the d^8 and d^{10} states are both placed at $U/2$. Recall that the Hubbard U for the 3d levels is formally defined as $U = E(d^{10}) + E(d^8) - 2E(d^9)$. In terms of Racah parameters, $U = A + 4B + 3C \approx 8\text{ eV}$ for

our typical values. We note that the results shown below do include both crystal fields and correlations of the Ni-3d levels, so the degeneracies of the d^9 and d^8 states are lifted accordingly from their corresponding baselines sketched in Fig. 4.

Details of the states included in each manifold are as follows:

(i) **The CS_N manifold:** Starting from d^9 , Ni-O hybridization allows an electron to hop from a neighbour O to the empty Ni-3d orbital, resulting in $d^{10}L$ states (L indicates a ligand hole in the O band). These were the only states included in our previous work.³⁷ They spread over the bandwidth $8t_{pp} \approx 4\text{eV}$ of the O band. Δ is measured from L band's center at $\Delta = E(d^{10}L) - E(d^9) = \epsilon_p + U/2$. Given the estimated $\Delta \sim 7\text{eV}$ and typical value $U = 8\text{eV}$, we must therefore set $\epsilon_p = 3\text{eV}$.

The Ni-Zs hybridization adds two other continua, by allowing hopping of an electron primarily from the Ni- d_{z^2} orbital into the empty Zs band. The hopping integrals between other Ni-3d orbitals and Zs are all zero by symmetry, although the hopping between Ni-3d and the actual Nd-5d states are finite. This process generates the d^8s states (s denoting an electron in the zeronium s band) starting from the d^9 configuration. They are centered at $E(d^8s) - E(d^9) = U/2 + \epsilon_s$ and have a bandwidth $8t_{ss}$ of the zeronium band. We remark that $8t_{ss}$ would be the bandwidth for only hopping within a single Zs plane. In fact, we have also included the hopping between the two Zs planes sandwiching the NiO₂ layer so that the Zs bandwidth is further broadened in our realistic calculations. The sketch in Fig. 4 sets $\epsilon_s = 2\text{eV}$, but we will treat it as a free parameter in the following. This hybridization also generates the continuum d^9Ls starting from the $d^{10}L$ states. This is centered at $\epsilon_s + \epsilon_p$ and its bandwidth is the convolution of the O and Zs bands.

We emphasize that the d^9Ls states can only be reached from the $d^{10}L$ states through the hybridization between d_{z^2} and Zs. This is important because it allows mixing with the d^9 states of both $x^2 - y^2$ as well as of $3z^2 - r^2$ character. The d^8 states that can be further reached must have at least one z^2 hole, which influences the energy of d^8 triplet states. We revisit these points below, where we analyze the results.

We ignore all higher energy states in this manifold such as $d^{10}L^2s$, d^8Ls^2 , d^7Ls etc., because their contribution to the ground-state is expected to be really small.

(ii) **The CS_{N+1} manifold:** In the absence of Ni-Zs hybridization, this would include only the d^{10} states. Hopping of an electron into the Zs band links it to the $d_{z^2}^9s$ continuum, centered at ϵ_s and with bandwidth $8t_{ss}$. This continuum is then linked through Ni-O hybridization to the $d^{10}L_{z^2}s$ continuum, centered at $U/2 + \Delta + \epsilon_s$ and with a bandwidth given by the convolution of the O and Nd bands. Note that since L state can only be of $3z^2 - r^2$ symmetry here, this linear combination of O-2p orbitals has a different energy than the one of $x^2 - y^2$ symmetry because of the influence of t_{pp} . Again, we ignore higher energy states with two or

more electrons in the Zs band.

(iii) **The CS_{N-1} manifold:** This is the most complex manifold. In addition to the d^8 multiplet, our previous calculation³⁷ included only the d^9L and the $d^{10}L^2$ states. The former continuum is centered at ϵ_p and has the bandwidth of the O band, while the latter is centered at $\Delta + \epsilon_p$ and its bandwidth is doubled because there are two holes in the O band.

By emptying a d_{z^2} orbital, Ni-Zs hopping links d^9L states to d^8Ls states. For example, the important configuration $d_{x^2-y^2}^9L_{x^2-y^2}$ hybridizes with $d_{x^2-y^2,z^2}^8L_{x^2-y^2}s$ states forming a continuum centered at $U/2 + \epsilon_p + \epsilon_s$ and whose bandwidth is given by the convolution of the O and Nd bands. Similarly, $d^{10}L^2$ states are linked to $d_{z^2}^9L^2s$ states, centered at $2\epsilon_p + \epsilon_s$ and with a bandwidth double that of the O band. Higher energy configurations are ignored.

C. Undoped NdNiO₂: CS_N spectra and GS

To investigate the impact of the hybridization between Ni-3d _{z^2} and Zs on the undoped ground state, we perform the Ni impurity calculation choosing the hopping integral between Ni- d_{z^2} and Zs to be $\sim 1.13\text{eV}$ as estimated by DFT^{21,58}. To account for the significant dispersion of s band crossing the Fermi level, we follow DFT and set the s - s hoppings to have the intra-plane value $t_{ss} = 0.25\text{eV}$ and inter-plane value $t_{ss\perp} = 0.44\text{eV}$.

This still leaves as free parameters the energy ϵ_s of an electron in the Zs band, as well as the crystal field splittings of the other four Ni-3d orbitals (we set $\epsilon_d(d_{x^2-y^2}^9) = 0$ as the reference). These parameters should be adjusted so that the undoped CS_N spectra agree with the XAS/RIXS experiment²⁸. The latter sets the values of the 3 splittings between peaks of different symmetries (see Fig. 2) but this is not enough to uniquely identify the values of all the free parameters. In the following we analyze a few possible values $\epsilon_s = 2, 1, 0, -1\text{eV}$ while tuning (for each ϵ_s) the crystal fields until we obtain the correct splittings. Their corresponding values are indicated in the caption of Fig. 5.

The panels in the left column of Fig. 5 show the corresponding CS_N spectral weight projected onto various d^9 states, while those in the middle column are projected onto various d^8s states, with various total spins S, S_z as indicated in the legend. The right column shows projections onto $d_{z^2}^9Ls$ states.

The left panels clearly show that for all these ϵ_s values, the ground-state has $x^2 - y^2$ symmetry with considerable $d_{x^2-y^2}^9$ weight. However, as shown in the middle column panels, the ground-state also has considerable weight in the $d_{x^2-y^2,z^2}^8s$ configuration that $d_{x^2-y^2}^9$ hybridizes with via the $d_{z^2}^9$ -Zs hopping. This is a key result which we will return to after we analyze more carefully these spectra.

For the larger value $\epsilon_s = 2\text{eV}$ in the top-left panel, the low-energy peaks are quite similar to those in Fig. 2(b),




FIG. 5: CS_N spectral weights projected onto d^9 orbitals (left column), a variety of d^8s states as indicated in the legend (middle column), and d^9_2Ls states (right column). All energies are in eV. (top row) $\epsilon_s = 2, \epsilon_{z^2} = 1.2, \epsilon_{xy} = 0.3, \epsilon_{xz/yz} = 0.7$; (2nd row) $\epsilon_s = 1, \epsilon_{z^2} = 0.85, \epsilon_{xy} = 0.15, \epsilon_{xz/yz} = 0.5$; (3rd row) $\epsilon_s = 0, \epsilon_{z^2} = 0.55, \epsilon_{xy} = 0.0, \epsilon_{xz/yz} = 0.2$; and (4th row) $\epsilon_s = -1, \epsilon_{z^2} = \epsilon_{xy} = \epsilon_{xz/yz} = 0.0$. The other parameters are $t_{pd\sigma} = 1.5, t_{pd\pi} = 0.65, t_{ds} = 1.13, t_{pp\sigma} = 0.9, t_{pp\pi} = 0.2, t_{ss} = 0.23, t_{ss\perp} = 0.44, \epsilon_p = 3.0, \epsilon_{x^2-y^2} = 0, A = 6, B = 0.15, C = 0.58$. A broadening energy $\eta = 0.4$ has been used throughout. (bottom row) Zoomed in spectra near the ground-state energy and with a smaller broadening, for $\epsilon_s = 1$. $r = 0$ denotes that the excited electron in the Zs band is located right above or below Ni impurity.

which corresponds to $\epsilon_s \rightarrow \infty$. However, the spectral weights also have some higher energy features, especially visible in the $x^2 - y^2$ channel which has a second peak around 2eV and a broader feature around 8-10eV. As ϵ_s is decreased (rows 2-4), most features move towards lower energies and the spectra exhibit more structure at intermediate and higher energies. This is to be expected. Fig. 4 shows that even for $\epsilon_s = 2$ eV, the d^8s and d^9Ls continua are closer to the d^9 states than

the $d^{10}L$ continuum, so the former must contribute substantially to the ground-state and push it to lower energies as ϵ_s decreases. In turn, hybridization makes these continua visible at intermediate energies, with a weight that increases with decreasing ϵ_s , in agreement with the results.

The intermediate-energy features in the $x^2 - y^2$ channel are therefore due to the Ni-Zs hybridization involving the d^8s and d^9Ls continua. This is confirmed




FIG. 6: CS_N ground state weights of dominant components. $r = 0$ denotes that the excited electron in the Zs band is located right above or below Ni impurity, while $r(s) > 0$ shows weights of configurations with the s electron moved away from the Ni impurity. The crystal fields for each ϵ_s are adjusted for reasonable d^9 splitting similar to Fig. 5 to be consistent with XAS/RIXS experiments.

by the results shown in the central column. The $d_{x^2-y^2}^9$ state hybridizes with $d_{z^2, x^2-y^2}^8 s$, and indeed, we see peaks or shoulders in these spectral weights at the GS energy of the $x^2 - y^2$ channel). By contrast, there is no feature at this energy for the $d_{z^2, z^2}^8 s$ spectral weight, consistent with the fact that it cannot hybridize with $d_{x^2-y^2}^9$ (it does hybridize with $d_{z^2}^9$, as evidenced by appearance of a low-energy peak tracking the lowest $d_{z^2}^9$ peak). The right column of Fig. 5 shows that the projection onto the $d_{z^2}^9 L_{x^2-y^2} s$ configuration also has a peak at the GS energy, confirming its mixing with the $d_{x^2-y^2}^9$ state (other $d^9 Ls$ configurations, not shown, do not have this peak).

In contrast, the location of the $d^{10}L$ states is not affected by the change of ϵ_s . These states are most visible in the $x^2 - y^2$ channel, with which they have the strongest hybridization. For our parameters, this continuum is located roughly between 5-9eV, and indeed we can see a broad peak in the $x^2 - y^2$ spectral weight at these energies in all the panels.

These observations are very important because they point to a crucial difference brought about by the finite Ni-Zs hybridization. In its absence, the only way to find a partially empty d_{z^2} orbital in the parent compound is through the $d_{z^2}^9 \leftrightarrow d^{10}L$ hybridization in the z^2 channel. Experimental detection of empty d_{z^2} states would therefore be interpreted as evidence of the relevance of the z^2 channel. In the presence of Nd-Ni hybridization, however, our analysis reveals that experimental detection of a partially empty d_{z^2} orbital is also entirely possible and expected in the $x^2 - y^2$ symmetry channel. This complicates the interpretation of experimental measurements.

The bottom row in Fig. 5 is a zoom near the GS energy, of the corresponding plots from the second row, for $\epsilon_s =$

1. These have a smaller broadening η and confirm that the GS is well separated from the next higher energy states, *i.e.* it is indeed a discrete peak as opposed to a resonance at the bottom of a broad continuum (which the results with the larger η might incorrectly suggest).

Figure 6 further illustrates the evolution of the undoped ground state's composition as a function of ϵ_s . Only configurations with substantial weights are shown here, and their weights add up to well over 90%. The remaining weight is distributed amongst the roughly 37 million configurations included in the calculation and not shown explicitly in this plot.

Clearly, $d_{x^2-y^2}^9$ has the dominant character in the undoped GS. Nonetheless, decreasing ϵ_s promotes the electron-hole pair excitation from the d_{z^2} into the s band, explaining the increasing contributions from the $d^8 s$ and $d^9 Ls$ states with decreasing ϵ_s . Note that ($r = 0$) means that those weights are projected on configurations where the electron in the Zs band is restricted to be right above or below the Ni impurity, whereas $r(s) > 0$ is for configurations where the electron has moved away from the Ni impurity. Similarly, the shown configurations with an L ligand hole assume that it is on the O neighboring the Ni impurity; contributions with the ligand hole at $r(L) > 1$ are very small, as shown by the black pentagons. Furthermore, we see that the two Ni- d^8 holes of $x^2 - y^2$ and z^2 symmetries predominantly form a triplet state ($S = 1$) instead of a singlet ($S = 0$), in agreement with Hund's rule. Note that as ϵ_s turns to somewhat unphysical negative values such as $\epsilon_s = -1\text{eV}$ (not shown here), the GS weights of all these states become much more evenly distributed, for example the L hole can be located far away from the Ni impurity.

Fig. 6 clearly shows that the total admixture of configurations involving a d_{z^2} hole contribution to the N particle ground state is quite large. The d^8 configurations involve mostly d_{z^2} and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals so that both in-plane and out-of-plane polarization will be active in XAS. However, these contribution will be shifted in energy relative to the rather sharp $x^2 - y^2$ dominated peak because of the electron-hole excitation left behind once one of the d holes has been filled by a core electron. In principle, we could calculate spectroscopies like XAS and RIXS but this requires the inclusion of the important interaction with the core hole, and is a study in progress.

D. Hole-doped NdNiO₂: CS_{N-1} spectra and GS

Next we diagonalize the Hamiltonian in the CS_{N-1} manifold to see whether inclusion of the Ni-Zs hybridization affects its GS symmetry. The results for three typical ϵ_s values and their corresponding crystal fields are shown in Fig. 7. For simplicity, here we plot only the spectral weight projected onto various d^8 symmetry channels. It is clear that in all cases, the doped GS retains the 1A_1 symmetry.

A detailed analysis of the projections onto other




FIG. 7: (Top row) CS_{N-1} spectral weights projected on various d^8 symmetry channels. The three panels correspond to $\epsilon_s = 2, 1, 0$ eV (left, middle, right, respectively). All other parameters are as used in Figure 5. In all cases, the GS is in the 1A_1 symmetry channel. (Bottom row) Zoomed in spectra near the GS, with smaller broadening, for the same parameters as in the top row.

configurations (not shown) confirms the charge transfer like $d_{1A_1}^8 \leftrightarrow d_{x^2-y^2}^9 L \leftrightarrow d^{10} L^2$ hybridizations similar to those dominant in a cuprate layer, confirming the conclusion of Ref. 37. This is also consistent with expectations based on Fig. 4, according to which the lowest states in this manifold are of $d^9 L$ origin. On the other hand, the $d^9 Ls$ and $d^8 Ls$ states with partial Zs occupation are at higher energies, and also only indirectly linked to $d_{1A_1}^8$ through $d_{x^2-y^2}^9 L \leftrightarrow d_{z^2, x^2-y^2}^8 Ls$ and $d^{10} L^2 \leftrightarrow d_{z^2}^9 L^2 s$. This explains why the Ni-Zs hybridization has less effect on the GS of this doped manifold, as opposed to that of the undoped GS.

Similar to what was shown for the CS_N GS in Fig. 6, Fig. 8 demonstrates the doped ground state composition as a function of ϵ_s . The dominant state is the $d_{x^2-y^2}^9 L$ singlet state, without an electronic excitation into the Zs band, regardless of ϵ_s . Decreasing ϵ_s promotes the electron-hole pair excitation due to Ni-Zs hybridization, and the weights of these states become comparable or




FIG. 8: CS_{N-1} ground state weights of dominant components in the 1A_1 channel. Notations and parameters are similar to Fig. 6.

larger than that of the $d^{10}L^2$ and d^8 configurations, showing their importance for a quantitative description of the system. Nevertheless, we find that this doped state still looks qualitatively similar to the ZRS of the cuprate layer.

IV. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

In summary, we have adopted a Ni impurity model to explore the nature of the parent compound and hole doped states of (La, Nd, Pr)NiO₂ by including the crystal field splitting, the Ni-3*d* multiplet structure, and the hybridization between Ni-3*d* orbitals and the Nd-5*d* orbitals mimicked by symmetric orbitals centered at the missing O in the Nd layer, forming a two-dimensional (2D) band. The extension to our previous work is the focus on the impact of these additional, more realistic ingredients on describing the infinite-layer nickelates, in particular the “critical” character of the doped hole singlet state found previously.

First we considered the effect of only adding crystal field splittings of the 3*d* orbitals on both the undoped and one-hole doped ground-state, in the absence of involvement of the Zs orbitals. This is similar to the approximation made in the recent extended quantum chemistry calculation⁵⁹ where all the hybridization involving Nd plane orbitals was neglected. We found that the presence of the crystal fields further stabilizes the hole-doped singlet state, so that the infinite-layer nickelates are more similar to the cuprates in terms of the nature of doped hole states.

The experimental observation that the d_{z^2} state spreads out over a large energy range motivated us to further couple the d_{z^2} orbitals to a Zs dispersive band, associated with the Nd layers. For the parent compound, we found that the Ni-Zs hybridization indeed results in the states of Ni-3*d*_{*z*²} character spreading out over a large energy range in the spectra, in qualitative agreement with recent XAS and RIXS data. We emphasize again that these results are expected, given that the Ni-Zs hybridization comes from an electron hopping between Ni- d_{z^2} and Zs orbitals. As a result, $d_{x^2-y^2}^9$ indeed hybridizes with $d_{z^2, x^2-y^2}^8 s$ states of all possible spins. It also hybridizes with $d_{z^2}^9 Ls$ but indirectly, proceeding

through an intermediate state $d_{x^2-y^2}^9 \rightarrow d^{10}L \rightarrow d_{z^2}^9 Ls$, where the ligand hole L has “inherited” the $x^2 - y^2$ symmetry.

Our calculations pointed out that the shape of the Ni-3*d*_{*z*²} related structure is rather complicated, requiring reinterpretations of the experimental measurements. Specifically, there exists a crucial difference brought about by the finite Ni-Zs hybridization. In its absence, the only way to find a partially empty d_{z^2} orbital in the parent compound is through the $d_{z^2}^9 \leftrightarrow d^{10}L$ hybridization in the z^2 channel. Experimental detection of empty d_{z^2} states would therefore be interpreted as evidence of the relevance of the z^2 channel. In the presence of Ni-Zs hybridization, however, our analysis revealed that experimental detection of a partially empty d_{z^2} orbital is also entirely possible and expected in the $x^2 - y^2$ symmetry channel.

Furthermore, for the hole-doped system we showed that the inclusion of crystal fields and of the Ni-Zs hybridization still favors a lowest hole doped states of singlet character, regardless of the site energy level of Zs orbital. We conclude that this doped GS is qualitatively like that in cuprates, although there are considerable quantitative differences due to the different charge transfer energy and the hybridization with the Zs band. The presence of 3*d*_{*z*²} holes in the lowest energy hole state makes Ni look more like Ni²⁺ but apparently low spin. The role and importance of these differences, and their effects on the superconducting state, need to be further studied.

Acknowledgments

M. Jiang is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) Grant No. 12174278, the startup fund from Soochow University, and Priority Academic Program Development (PAPD) of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions. M. Berciu and G. A. Sawatzky are funded by the Stewart Blusson Quantum Matter Institute at University of British Columbia, and by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

¹ D. Li, K. Lee, B. Y. Wang, M. Osada, S. Crossley, H. R. Lee, Y. Cui, Y. Hikita, and H. Y. Hwang, *Nature* (London) 572, 624 (2019).

² M. Osada, B. Y. Wang, K. Lee, D. Li, and H. Y. Hwang, *Phys. Rev. Materials* 4, 121801(R) (2020).

³ S. W. Zeng, C. J. Li, L. E. Chow, Y. Cao, Z. T. Zhang, C. S. Tang, X. M. Yin, Z. S. Lim, J. X. Hu, P. Yang, A. Ariando, *Science Advances* 8, eabl9927 (2022).

⁴ G. A. Pan, D. F. Segedin, H. LaBollita, Q. Song, E. M.

Nica et al, *Nat. Mater.* (2021).

⁵ Y. Nomura and R. Arita, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* (2022).

⁶ A. S. Botana, F. Bernardini, and A. Cano, *JETP* 159, 711 (2021).

⁷ K. Held, L. Si, P. Worm, O. Janson, R. Arita, Z. Zhong, J. M. Tomczak, M. Kitatani, *Front. Phys.* 9, 810394 (2022).

⁸ H. Chen, A. Hampel, J. Karp, F. Lechermann, and A. Millis, *Front. Phys.* 10, 835942 (2022).

⁹ K. Lee, B. Y. Wang, M. Osada, B. H. Goodge et. al.,

- arXiv:2203.02580 (2022).
- 10 D. Li, B. Y. Wang, K. Lee, S. P. Harvey, M. Osada, B. H. Goodge, L. F. Kourkoutis, and H. Y. Hwang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 125, 027001 (2020).
 - 11 S. Zeng, C. S. Tang, X. Yin, C. Li, M. Li, Z. Huang, J. Hu, W. Liu, G. J. Omar, H. Jani, Z. S. Lim, K. Han, D. Wan, P. Yang, S. J. Pennycook, A. T. S. Wee, and A. Ariando, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 125, 147003 (2020).
 - 12 M. Osada, B. Y. Wang, B. H. Goodge, K. Lee, H. Yoon, K. Sakuma, D. Li, M. Miura, L. F. Kourkoutis, and H. Y. Hwang, *Nano Letters* 20, 5735 (2020).
 - 13 G.-M. Zhang, Y.-F. Yang, and F.-C. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. B* 101, 020501(R) (2020).
 - 14 K.-W. Lee and W. E. Pickett, *Phys. Rev. B* 70, 165109 (2004).
 - 15 M. Hepting, D. Li, C. J. Jia, H. Lu, E. Paris, Y. Tseng, X. Feng, M. Osada, E. Been, Y. Hikita, Y. D. Chuang, Z. Hussain, K. J. Zhou, A. Nag, M. Garcia-Fernandez, M. Rossi, H. Y. Huang, D. J. Huang, Z. X. Shen, T. Schmitt, H. Y. Hwang, B. Moritz, J. Zaanen, T. P. Devereaux, and W. S. Lee, *Nature Mater.* 19, 381 (2020).
 - 16 A. S. Botana and M. R. Norman, *Phys. Rev. X* 10, 011024 (2020).
 - 17 J. Kapteghian and A. S. Botana, *Phys. Rev. B* 102, 205130 (2020).
 - 18 Z. Liu, Z. Ren, W. Zhu, Z. Wang, and J. Yang, *npj Quantum Materials* 5, 31 (2020).
 - 19 I. Leonov, S. L. Skornyakov, and S. Y. Savrasov, *Phys. Rev. B* 101, 241108 (2020).
 - 20 I. Leonov and S. Y. Savrasov, arXiv:2006.05295.
 - 21 Y. Gu, S. Zhu, X. Wang, J. Hu, and H. Chen, *Communications Physics* 3, 84 (2020).
 - 22 A. Ikeda, Y. Krockenberger, H. Irie, M. Naito, and H. Yamamoto, *Applied Physics Express* 9, 061101 (2016); M. Hayward, M. Green, M. Rosseinsky, and J. Sloan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 121, 8843 (1999).
 - 23 H. Lu, M. Rossi, A. Nag, M. Osada, D. F. Li, K. Lee, B. Y. Wang, M. Garcia-Fernandez, S. Agrestini, Z. X. Shen, E. M. Been, B. Moritz, T. P. Devereaux, J. Zaanen, H. Y. Hwang, Ke-Jin Zhou, W. S. Lee, *Science* 373, 6551 (2021).
 - 24 J. Q. Lin, P. Villar Arribi, G. Fabbris, A. S. Botana, D. Meyers, et al, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 126, 087001 (2021).
 - 25 Y. Cui, C. Li, Q. Li, X. Zhu, Z. Hu, Y.-f. Yang, J. S. Zhang, R. Yu, H.-H. Wen, and W. Yu, *Chin. Phys. Lett.* 38, 067401 (2021).
 - 26 R. A. Ortiz, P. Puphal, M. Klett, F. Hotz, R. K. Kremer, H. Trepka, M. Hemmida, H.-A. Krug von Nidda, M. Isobe, R. Khasanov, H. Luetkens, P. Hansmann, B. Keimer, T. Schafer, and M. Hepting, arXiv:2111.13668 (2021).
 - 27 J. Fowlie, M. Hadjimichael, M. M. Martins, D. Li, M. Osada, B. Y. Wang, K. Lee, Y. Lee, Z. Salman, T. Prokscha, J.-M. Triscone, H. Y. Hwang, A. Suter, arXiv:2201.11943 (2022).
 - 28 M. Rossi, H. Lu, A. Nag, D. Li, M. Osada, K. Lee, B. Y. Wang, S. Agrestini, M. Garcia-Fernandez, Y. D. Chuang, Z. X. Shen, H. Y. Hwang, B. Moritz, K.-J. Zhou, T. P. Devereaux, and W. S. Lee, *Phys. Rev. B* 104, L220505 (2021).
 - 29 Q. Gu, Y. Li, S. Wan, H. Li, W. Guo, H. Yang, Q. Li, X. Zhu, X. Pan, Y. Nie, and H.-H. Wen, *Nat. Comm.* 11, 6027 (2020).
 - 30 L. E. Chow, S. K. Sudheesh, P. Nandi, S. W. Zeng, Z. T. Zhang, X. M. Du, Z. S. Lim, Elbert E. M. Chia, A. Ariando, arXiv:2201.10038 (2022).
 - 31 H. Sakakibara, H. Usui, K. Suzuki, T. Kotani, H. Aoki, and K. Kuroki, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 125, 077003 (2020).
 - 32 X. Wu, D. Di Sante, T. Schwemmer, W. Hanke, H. Y. Hwang, S. Raghu, and R. Thomale, *Phys. Rev. B* 101, 060504 (2020).
 - 33 M. Kitatani, L. Si, O. Janson, R. Arita, Z. Zhong, and K. Held, *npj Quantum Materials* 5, 59 (2020).
 - 34 P. Werner and S. Hoshino, *Phys. Rev. B* 101, 041104 (2020).
 - 35 T. Zhou, Y. Gao, and Z. Wang, *Science China Physics, Mechanics, and Astronomy* 63, 287412 (2020).
 - 36 P. Adhikary, S. Bandyopadhyay, T. Das, I. Dasgupta, and T. Saha-Dasgupta, *Phys. Rev. B* 102, 100501 (2020).
 - 37 M. Jiang, M. Berciu, and G. A. Sawatzky, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 124, 207004 (2020).
 - 38 Y. Nomura, M. Hirayama, T. Tadano, Y. Yoshimoto, K. Nakamura, and R. Arita, *Phys. Rev. B* 100, 205138 (2019).
 - 39 J. Gao, S. Peng, Z. Wang, C. Fang, and H. Weng, *National Science Review* (2020).
 - 40 P. Jiang, L. Si, Z. Liao, and Z. Zhong, *Phys. Rev. B* 100, 201106 (2019).
 - 41 E. Been, W.-S. Lee, H. Y. Hwang, Y. Cui, J. Zaanen, T. Devereaux, B. Moritz, and C. Jia, *Phys. Rev. X* 11, 011050 (2021).
 - 42 F. Bernardini, V. Olevano, and A. Cano, *Phys. Rev. Research* 2, 013219 (2020).
 - 43 J. Krishna, H. LaBollita, A. O. Fumega, V. Pardo, and A. S. Botana, *Phys. Rev. B* 102, 224506 (2020).
 - 44 H. Zhang, L. Jin, S. Wang, B. Xi, X. Shi, F. Ye, and J.-W. Mei, *Phys. Rev. Research* 2, 013214 (2020).
 - 45 V. Olevano, F. Bernardini, X. Blase, and A. Cano, *Phys. Rev. B* 101, 161102 (2020).
 - 46 F. Petocchi, V. Christiansson, F. Nilsson, F. Aryasetiawan, and P. Werner, *Phys. Rev. X* 10, 041047 (2020).
 - 47 S. Ryee, H. Yoon, T. J. Kim, M. Y. Jeong, and M. J. Han, *Phys. Rev. B* 101, 064513 (2020).
 - 48 F. Lechermann, *Phys. Rev. B* 101, 081110 (2020).
 - 49 J. Karp, A. S. Botana, M. R. Norman, H. Park, M. Zingl, and A. Millis, *Phys. Rev. X* 10, 021061 (2020).
 - 50 F. Lechermann, *Phys. Rev. X* 10, 041002 (2020).
 - 51 X. Wan, V. Ivanov, G. Resta, I. Leonov, and S. Y. Savrasov, *Phys. Rev. B* 103, 075123 (2021).
 - 52 Y. Wang, C.-J. Kang, H. Miao, and G. Kotliar, *Phys. Rev. B* 102, 161118 (2020).
 - 53 C.-J. Kang and G. Kotliar, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 126, 127401 (2021).
 - 54 Z.-J. Lang, R. Jiang, and W. Ku, *Phys. Rev. B* 103, 180502 (2021).
 - 55 J. Karp, A. Hampel, M. Zingl, A. S. Botana, H. Park, M. R. Norman, and A. J. Millis, *Phys. Rev. B* 102, 245130 (2020).
 - 56 J. Zaanen, G. A. Sawatzky and J. W. Allen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 55, 418 (1985).
 - 57 B. H. Goodge, D. Li, M. Osada, B. Y. Wang, K. Lee, G. A. Sawatzky, H. Y. Hwang, and L. F. Kourkoutis, *PNAS*, 118 (2) e2007683118 (2021).
 - 58 K. Foyevtsova, I. Elfimov and G. A. Sawatzky, to be published.
 - 59 V. M. Katukuri, N. A. Bogdanov, O. Weser, J. Brink, and Ali Alavi, *Phys. Rev. B* 102, 241112(R) (2020).
 - 60 M. Jiang, M. Moeller, M. Berciu and G. A. Sawatzky, *Phys. Rev. B* 101, 035151 (2020).
 - 61 J.C. Slater and G.F. Koster, *Phys. Rev.* 94, 1498 (1954).
 - 62 L. Si, W. Xiao, J. Kaufmann, J. M. Tomczak, Y. Lu, Z.

Zhong, and K. Held, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 166402 (2020).
⁶³ M. S. Hybertsen, M. Schlter and N. E. Christensen, Phys.

Rev. B **39**, 9028 (1989).