

# PUNCTURED LOGARITHMIC R-MAPS

QILE CHEN, FELIX JANDA, AND YONGBIN RUAN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we develop the theory of punctured R-maps as a crucial ingredient towards the foundations of logarithmic gauged linear sigma models (log GLSM). A punctured R-map is a punctured map in the sense of Abramovich–Chen–Gross–Siebert which is further twisted by the sheaf of differentials on the domain curve. They admit two different but closely related perfect obstruction theories — the *canonical theory* and the *reduced theory*. While the canonical theory leads to generalized double ramification (DR) cycles with targets and spin structures, *without employing expansions*, the reduced theory naturally describes boundary contributions in log GLSM.

The unexpanded nature and the flexibility of punctured maps allow us to establish a sequence of useful formulas in both canonical and reduced theories, including:

- (1) A product formula computing disconnected invariants in terms of a product of connected invariants,
- (2) Fundamental class axioms, string equations and divisor equations analogous to those in Gromov–Witten theory.

Even in the case of the canonical theory, the product formula in (1) was *unexpected* from the point of view using expansions and rubber maps, and is of independent interest.

As an important application of these formulas in log GLSM, we study a class of invariants in the reduced theory, which we coin *effective invariants*. They are at the heart of recent advances in Gromov–Witten theory, and will be shown to give rise to explicit correction terms to the quantum Lefschetz principle in higher genus Gromov–Witten theory for arbitrary smooth complete intersections in a forthcoming paper.

In the famous case of quintic 3-folds, we show that all effective invariants are determined by  $\lfloor \frac{2g-2}{5} \rfloor + 1$  many basic effective invariants, in an explicit way via the above mentioned formulas. This matches the number of free parameters of the famous BCOV B-model theory in physics. Similar results apply to other Calabi–Yau threefold complete intersections. This, together with the joint works of the last two authors and S. Guo on the genus two mirror theorem and the higher genus mirror conjectures for quintic threefolds, shows that log GLSM is an effective tool for proving BCOV-type conjectures in higher genus Gromov–Witten theory.

Further applications of punctured R-maps include an LG/CY correspondence for effective invariants, and a relation to the locus of holomorphic differentials. The results of this paper form the foundation for major progress toward the higher genus LG/CY correspondence and other important conjectures in the subject.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Punctured R-maps and their moduli	12
3. The reduced perfect obstruction theory of punctured R-maps	22
4. Reduction to the connected theory	32
5. Logarithmic root constructions	47
6. Targets for punctured R-maps	54
7. Axioms of punctured R-maps	61
8. Axioms with $\psi_{\min}$ -classes	77
9. Effective invariants and cycles	86
References	99

---

Date: April 25, 2023.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 14N35, 14D23.

*Key words and phrases*. punctured R-maps, gauged linear sigma model, effective cycles.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Overview.** *Gromov–Witten theory*, which virtually counts holomorphic curves in a given projective variety with prescribed incidence conditions, plays a central role in *mirror symmetry*. Despite several decades of fruitful developments, effective calculation of Gromov–Witten invariants generally remains a central but difficult problem in this area.

**1.1.1. Logarithmic gauged linear sigma models.** This is the third in a series of papers [31, 30] to build the theory of the *logarithmic Gauged Linear Sigma Model* (log GLSM) and its applications, including computing Gromov–Witten invariants of smooth complete intersections. The mathematical theory of Gauged Linear Sigma Models (GLSM) has been developed and studied intensively by many researchers in the past decade, see for instance [40, 35, 43, 81].

Among others, GLSM was proved to (up to signs) recover Gromov–Witten invariants of smooth complete intersections [20, 63, 23, 77, 32]. However, two important problems remained unsolved — (1) computing GLSM invariants and (2) a (higher genus) quantum Lefschetz principle relating the invariants with those of its ambient spaces. The current paper is a crucial step of our project toward a solution to both questions via a logarithmic compactification.<sup>1</sup> Indeed, a major obstacle is the non-properness of the relevant moduli stacks involved in defining GLSM invariants. In [30] we overcame the non-properness problem by first providing a logarithmic compactification of the moduli stack using stable log maps of [2, 27, 47], and then constructing a reduced perfect obstruction theory that recovers the usual GLSM virtual cycles, and in particular the Gromov–Witten theory of complete intersections in *arbitrary* smooth, projective varieties. Our approach is not restricted to Gromov–Witten theory, and also applies to other enumerative theories, such as FJRW theory.

**1.1.2. Punctured R-maps.** This paper develops a Gromov–Witten type theory of *punctured R-maps* using the punctured logarithmic maps of Abramovich–Chen–Gross–Siebert [3]. This new theory, as shown in the forthcoming paper [29], naturally describes the boundary contributions of log GLSM.

Punctured maps are analogs of the rubber maps that appear in relative Gromov–Witten theory but defined *without the expansions* of [46, 68]. The *R-map* structure is a further twist of punctured maps using (roots of) differentials on the domain curves. Thus, punctured R-maps as studied in this paper are a generalization of rubber maps by allowing both targets and twists by spin structures.

Fixing appropriate numerical data, stable punctured R-maps form a proper moduli stack admitting a *canonical perfect obstruction theory*, which leads to a version of double ramification cycles in our general setting.<sup>2</sup> However, the contribution of punctured R-maps to log GLSM is given by a different but closely related *reduced perfect obstruction theory*, which is constructed in this paper.

A *major* part of this paper is to establish a sequence of powerful axioms for punctured R-maps on the virtual cycle level which will serve as a foundation for effective high genus computations. They include a logarithmic root comparison formula, a product formula that computes invariants with disconnected domains via connected invariants, and analogs of the fundamental class axiom, string and divisor equations from Gromov–Witten theory in the setting of punctured R-maps. The unexpanded nature and the flexibility of punctured maps [3] provide the key to these explicit formulas. The following applications illustrate the versatility of the axioms established in this paper.

**1.1.3. Applications to quantum Lefschetz in arbitrary genus.** The genus zero quantum Lefschetz principle states that Gromov–Witten invariants of a convex complete intersection  $Z$  agree with the corresponding twisted Gromov–Witten invariant of the ambient space  $X$ . This is of essential for many computations in genus zero since twisted Gromov–Witten invariants of toric varieties are accessible to localization techniques, and in particular, this is the geometric input to the famous proof of the genus zero mirror conjecture for quintic threefolds [44, 69].

The naive generalization of the genus zero quantum Lefschetz principle to higher genus fails, in the sense that higher genus twisted Gromov–Witten invariants of  $X$  in general do not agree with the invariants of  $Z$ . This is one of the main motivations for this project. In the next paper [29], we will

<sup>1</sup>Some other recent approaches to the problem of extending quantum Lefschetz to higher genus are the theory of mixed spin  $p$ -fields [16, 17, 18, 21, 22], the work of Fan–Lee [41], which are both of recursive nature. Another potential approach using resolutions is outlined in [56, 67].

<sup>2</sup>We refer to [58, 59, 48, 71, 53, 12] for some of the developments around the double ramification cycle and its generalizations.

establish explicit structural formulas computing GLSM invariants by studying the equivariant and tropical geometry of log GLSM. In particular, these formulas describe the difference between the higher genus invariants of  $Z$  and the corresponding twisted Gromov–Witten invariants of  $X$  in terms of an explicit correction which is a graph sum of products of twisted Gromov–Witten invariants of  $X$  and a new ingredient, which we coin *effective invariants* (of the pair  $(X, Z)$ ). A major aspect of this work is to construct and study this new ingredient as an integral over the reduced virtual cycle of punctured R-maps.

In particular, in §9, we use axioms of punctured R-maps to prove powerful vanishing and boundedness results for effective invariants for ample complete intersections  $Z \subset X$ . In this case, all genus 0 effective invariants vanish, and this means that there is no correction to the genus zero quantum Lefschetz principle. In §9.4, we perform an explicit computation of all genus one effective invariants, and thus log GLSM leads to a new approach to computing genus one Gromov–Witten invariants of  $Z$ , different from the other approaches we are aware of [84, 62, 19]. For each fixed genus  $g \geq 2$ , we prove that there is a finite number of non-vanishing effective invariants. If one is able to compute this finite number of effective invariants for  $g \leq g_0$ , one can compute the *all* (ambient cohomology) genus  $g_0$  Gromov–Witten invariants of  $Z$  in an efficient fashion. All in all, log GLSM provides a unified approach to computation of genus zero and higher genus Gromov–Witten invariants.

The efficiency of our approach is further supported by a growing list of important applications — higher genus mirror symmetry of quintic threefolds [50, 51], as well as the forthcoming works on higher genus mirror symmetry for other complete intersections in projective space, the all-genus LG/CY correspondence for quintic threefolds [49], and to Gromov–Witten classes [29].

1.1.4. *Applications to strata of differentials.* In a different direction, the moduli space of punctured R-maps may be applied to the study of strata of  $k$ -differentials, which parameterize projective curves together with a  $k$ -differential (up to scaling) with prescribed zeros and poles. Strata of differentials play a central role in the study of the geometry and dynamics of flat surfaces [24]. There has been recent interest in compactifications [13] of the strata due to their applications to their intersection theory and to computing geometrical and dynamical quantities such as the Masur–Veech volumes, Siegel–Veech constants or Lyapunov exponents [26]. In the work [71], a new modular compactification equipped with a canonical virtual fundamental cycle has been constructed, and in [25], it was related to other compactifications. The moduli of punctured R-maps gives a new modular compactification of strata of  $k$ -differentials with both a canonical virtual fundamental class, and, in the case of abelian differentials (holomorphic 1-differentials), a reduced virtual fundamental class. It would be interesting to explore relations between the moduli of punctured R-maps and other modular compactifications such as the moduli of multi-scale differentials of [13], and the moduli of logarithmic differentials of [71].

The work [42] started a fruitful interplay between strata of strictly meromorphic differentials and generalized double ramification cycles. In particular, [42, Conjecture A] gives a Pixton-style (conjectural) formula for the closure of the strata of strictly meromorphic 1-differentials in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ , and this conjecture has been further generalized to  $k$ -differentials in [80]. The first proofs of these conjectures have been given in [12, 54]. As we will show in [28], the canonical virtual cycle of punctured R-maps recovers the weighted fundamental class of twisted  $k$ -canonical divisors of [42], and combined with the structural formulae of log GLSM this yields a new proof of the conjectures of [42, 80].

The case of abelian differentials is of major interest. These strata have higher dimension than the strata of strictly meromorphic differentials. They are the subject of a different conjecture, [76, Conjecture A], which connects the closure of strata of abelian differentials to Witten’s  $r$ -spin class. The development of log GLSM was initiated by a conjectural proof strategy for this conjecture, and the first foundational work [31] constructs Witten’s class as the reduced virtual class of a moduli of stable log R-maps. In [28], we will show that the reduced virtual cycle of punctured R-maps recovers the closure of strata of abelian differentials, and by employing the structural formulae of log GLSM, complete the proof of [76, Conjecture A].

## 1.2. The canonical theory.

1.2.1. *Punctured R-maps in general.* Just as for logarithmic R-maps, the targets of punctured R-maps are defined over the classifying stack  $\mathbf{BC}_\omega^* := \mathbf{BG}_m$ , which has a universal line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_\omega$ . It

is convenient to view  $\mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$  as a log stack with the trivial log structure. Let  $\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$  be a proper, DM-type morphism of log stacks. A *punctured R-map* over a log scheme  $S$  with target  $\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$  is a (2-)commutative diagram

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & \infty \\ & \nearrow f & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{C}^\circ & \xrightarrow{\omega_{\mathcal{C}/S}^{\log}} & \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \end{array}$$

where  $f: \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \infty$  is a punctured map over  $S$  in the sense of [3] (see §2.2), and the bottom arrow is defined by the pull-back  $\mathcal{L}_\omega|_{\mathcal{C}^\circ} = \omega_{\mathcal{C}/S}^{\log}$ , where  $\omega_{\mathcal{C}/S}^{\log}$  is the sheaf of relative log differentials of the log curves  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ , or more precisely the dualizing sheaf of the underlying domain curve  $\underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \underline{S}$  twisted by markings. *Pull-backs* of punctured R-maps are defined as the pull-back of the corresponding punctured maps [3, Definition 2.13].

For a punctured R-map in (1.1), the underlying family  $\underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \underline{S}$  obtained by removing log structures from the source  $\mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow S$  is a family of twisted pre-stable curves. Thus, the underlying  $\underline{f}: \underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \underline{\infty}$  is a family of usual pre-stable maps.

*Remark 1.1.* In GLSM, targets carry an additional  $\mathbb{C}_\omega^* := \mathbb{C}^*$  action related to the *R-charge* in the physics literature. This *R-charge* structure translates to the commutative triangle (1.1). The notion of punctured R-maps is a generalization of log R-maps in the sense of [30, Definition 1.1] with images contained in the divisor  $\infty$  of a log GLSM target  $\mathfrak{B}$ . This is explained further in Section 6.

*Remark 1.2.* Punctured maps are variants of log maps which allow negative contact orders at marked points. They are developed in [3] to study boundary contributions in the log Gromov–Witten theory. In our case, punctured R-maps will naturally describe boundary contributions in log GLSM.

1.2.2. *Targets from root constructions.* While the canonical and reduced theory of punctured R-maps are constructed in a more general setting in §2, §3 and §4, we will focus here on a more concrete set of targets covering a large class of interesting applications. Consider the following data:

- (1) A smooth, proper DM-stack  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}}$  with projective coarse moduli.
- (2) Two line bundles  $\mathbf{L}^\vee$  and  $\mathbf{N}$  on  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}}$ .
- (3) Positive integers  $r$ ,  $d$  and  $\ell$ .

We then form a Cartesian diagram of underlying stacks

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\infty} & \longrightarrow & \infty_{\mathcal{X}} \times \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \\ \mathcal{L}_R \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{L}^\vee \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_\omega \\ \mathbf{BG}_m & \xrightarrow{\text{r-th power}} & \mathbf{BG}_m \end{array}$$

where the two vertical arrows are induced by the line bundle  $\mathbf{L}^\vee \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_\omega$  and its universal  $r$ -th root  $\mathcal{L}_R$ , called the *spin structure*.

Consider the log stack  $\infty = (\underline{\infty}, \mathcal{M}_\infty)$  with the rank one Deligne–Faltings log structure  $\mathcal{M}_\infty$  given by the zero section of the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}(\infty) := \mathbf{N} \otimes \mathcal{L}_R^{-d}$ , see (2.10).

Alternatively, consider the log stack

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{A} = ([\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{C}^*], \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}})$$

with the divisorial log structure  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$  given by the origin of  $[\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{C}^*]$ . Let  $\infty_{\mathcal{A}} = (\mathbf{BG}_m, \mathcal{M}_{\infty_{\mathcal{A}}}) \subset \mathcal{A}$  be the strict closed substack given by the unique closed point of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then the log structure  $\mathcal{M}_\infty$  is defined via the strict morphism

$$(1.4) \quad \infty \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}(\infty) := \mathbf{N} \otimes \mathcal{L}_R^{-d}} \infty_{\mathcal{A}},$$

as in (2.10). We then form the Cartesian diagram

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \infty^{1/\ell} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \infty \\ \mathcal{O}(\infty^{1/\ell}) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{O}(\infty) \\ \infty_{\mathcal{A}}^{1/\ell} & \xrightarrow{\ell\text{-th power}} & \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \end{array}$$

where  $\infty_{\mathcal{A}}^{1/\ell} \cong \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$  with the superscript keeping track of the roots, see (5.1). In particular, we have the universal  $\ell$ -th root  $\mathcal{O}(\infty^{1/\ell})^\ell \cong \mathcal{O}(\infty)$ . Our target is

$$(1.6) \quad \infty^{1/\ell} \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*.$$

*Remark 1.3.* As in [30, Remark 1.7], different choices of input data can lead to the same target  $\infty$ . In fact, it will be shown in Proposition 6.1 that for any choice of input data (1), (2), (3), the input data may be modified in such a way that  $\ell = 1$  without changing the target  $\infty^{1/\ell}$ . On the other hand, the flexibility of different choices of  $\ell$  is crucial for relating different theories such as for the LG/CY correspondence, as explained below in §1.5.

Because of this remark, unless further specified, we will assume  $\ell = 1$ , so that  $\infty = \infty^{1/\ell}$ .

*Remark 1.4.* Consider the case  $r = 1$ ,  $d = 0$ ,  $\ell = 1$ ,  $\mathbf{L}^\vee = \mathcal{O}$ , and that  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}}$  is a smooth projective variety. Then, punctured R-maps to the corresponding target  $\infty$  are an *unexpanded* analog of the rubber stable maps of [46, Section 2.4] to the  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle  $\mathbb{P}_{\infty_{\mathcal{X}}}(\mathbf{N} \oplus \mathcal{O})$ .

*Remark 1.5.* Consider the case  $r = 1$ ,  $d = k$ ,  $\ell = 1$  and  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}}$  is a geometric point. Then  $\mathbf{L}^\vee$  and  $\mathbf{N}$  are automatically trivial. In this case, punctured R-maps are an analog of the  $k$ -canonical divisors and multi-scale differentials studied in [42, 80, 13], but without expansions/level structures. An explicit example in the  $g = 1$  case is studied in Section 9.4.

1.2.3. *The stability.* For a target  $\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$  as in §1.2.2, a punctured R-map  $f: \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \infty$  over  $S$  is *stable* if

- (1) The underlying morphism  $\underline{f}: \underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \underline{\infty}$  of  $f$  is representable,
- (2) The coarse moduli of the composition  $\underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \underline{\infty} \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{X}}$  is stable in the usual sense.

This stability condition is entirely determined by the underlying map  $\underline{f}$ .

1.2.4. *The discrete data.* We will observe in (2.19) that the restriction  $\underline{f}|_{p_i}$  to the  $i$ -th marking  $p_i \subset \underline{\mathcal{C}}$  factors through the projection

$$\underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}} := \underline{\infty} \times_{\mathbf{BC}_\omega^*} \mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{k} \rightarrow \underline{\infty}$$

where  $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$  is the universal torsor. This defines the  *$i$ th evaluation morphism*

$$(1.7) \quad \mathrm{ev}_i: \underline{S} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{I}}_\mu \underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}}$$

with  $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_\mu \underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}}$  the rigidified cyclotomic inertia stack of  $\underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}}$ , see [5, Section 3].

A punctured R-map  $f: \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \infty$  over  $S$  is said to have *discrete data*

$$(1.8) \quad (g, \beta, \varsigma = \{(\overline{\gamma}_i, c_i)\}_{i=1}^n)$$

if  $\underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \underline{S}$  is a genus  $g$  twisted curve with  $n$  marked points, the composition  $\underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \underline{\infty} \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{X}}$  has curve class  $\beta \in H_2(\infty_{\mathcal{X}})$ , the  $i$ th evaluation  $\mathrm{ev}_i$  factors through a connected component (or *sector*)  $\overline{\gamma}_i \subset \overline{\mathcal{I}}_\mu \underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}}$  and the  $i$ th marking has contact order  $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $i$ .

1.2.5. *The canonical virtual cycle.* Denote by  $\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}(\infty, \beta)$  the category of stable punctured R-maps to  $\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$  with the discrete data (1.8). We prove in §2:

**Theorem 1.6** (Theorem 2.13 and §2.8).  *$\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}(\infty, \beta)$  is a proper log Deligne–Mumford stack equipped with a canonical perfect obstruction theory, hence a canonical virtual cycle  $[\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}(\infty, \beta)]^{\mathrm{vir}}$ .*

*Remark 1.7.* Pushing forward the canonical virtual cycle in the setup of Remark 1.4 to the moduli space of stable maps to  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}}$  is expected to coincide with the double ramification cycles with the target  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}}$  as in [59].

Further specializing  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}}$  to a geometric point, we obtain the original double ramification cycle [58] on the moduli of curves.

*Remark 1.8.* In general, we expect that pushing the canonical virtual cycle to the moduli of ordinary R-maps to  $\infty$  yields the pullback of an orbifold generalization of the twisted double ramification cycle of [12]. In particular, we expect this to lead to a Pixton-type formula for the canonical virtual cycle, and such a formula might also have interesting applications to the Gromov–Witten theory of complete intersections.

**1.3. The reduced theory.** Our main interest lies in the reduced theory introduced next, since in applications, it determines the Gromov–Witten theory of complete intersections (with ambient insertions).

**1.3.1. Further input.** To construct the reduced theory, we further require the following:

- (1) All contact orders in (1.8) are negative.
- (2) A *transverse superpotential*  $W$ .
- (3) A proper morphism  $F: \mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\lambda(\infty, \beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}(\infty, \beta)$  where  $\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\lambda(\infty, \beta)$  is the stack of stable punctured R-maps with *uniform maximal degeneracy*.

While (1) is clear, we explain (2) and (3) below.

**1.3.2. Superpotential.** A superpotential is a section  $W$  of the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_\omega \otimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\infty)$  over  $\infty$  for some positive integer  $\tilde{r}$  called the *order* of  $W$ . It is said to be *transverse (to the zero section)* if its critical locus is empty, see §3.2.4.

As shown in §6.2.6, the notion of superpotential is compatible with the superpotential of log GLSM [30, §3.4.1] along logarithmic boundaries.

**1.3.3. Punctured R-maps with uniform extremal degeneracies.** Let  $f: \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \infty$  be a punctured R-map over a logarithmic point  $S$ . For each irreducible component  $Z \subset \mathcal{C}^\circ$ , there is an element  $e_Z \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_S$  in the characteristic sheaf of the log structure  $\mathcal{M}_S$ , which measures the “speed” of degeneration of  $Z$  into the logarithmic boundary of the target, which is called the *degeneracy* of  $Z$ , see §2.4.3 for the precise definition.

The punctured R-map is said to have *uniform maximal degeneracy* (resp. uniform minimal degeneracy) if the set of degeneracies has a unique maximum (resp. unique minimum) under the natural monoid ordering of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_S$ . Intuitively, this amounts to require all maximally (resp. minimally) degenerated components have the same “speed” of degeneration.

On the category level, the tautological morphism  $F: \mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\lambda(\infty, \beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}(\infty, \beta)$  exhibits  $\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\lambda(\infty, \beta)$  as the subcategory of  $\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}(\infty, \beta)$  parameterizing stable punctured R-maps with *uniform maximal degeneracy*. On the stack level, similarly to [30] this tautological morphism can be viewed as a *logarithmic principalization* such that the fiberwise maximal degeneracies glues to a global section of the characteristic sheaf  $e_{\max} \in \Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\lambda(\infty, \beta)})$ . As explained in §2.3.2, this global section induces a tautological line bundle  $\mathcal{O}(e_{\max})$  which, as shown below, measures precisely the difference between the canonical and reduced theory over  $\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\lambda(\infty, \beta)$ .<sup>3</sup>

**1.3.4. Reduced versus Canonical.** The stack  $\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\lambda(\infty, \beta)$  admits a canonical perfect obstruction theory by pulled back from  $\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}(\infty, \beta)$ , hence the canonical virtual cycle  $[\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\lambda(\infty, \beta)]^{\text{vir}}$  with the push-forward property

$$F_*[\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\lambda(\infty, \beta)]^{\text{vir}} = [\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}(\infty, \beta)]^{\text{vir}}.$$

In §3, we establish the reduced theory and its relations to the canonical theory as follows.

**Theorem 1.9** (Theorem 3.15, Corollary 3.16). *Further assuming the conditions in §1.3.1, the stack  $\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\lambda(\infty, \beta)$  admits a reduced perfect obstruction theory hence a reduced virtual cycle  $[\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\lambda(\infty, \beta)]^{\text{red}}$  satisfying*

$$[\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\lambda(\infty, \beta)]^{\text{vir}} = \tilde{r}c_1\left(\mathcal{O}(e_{\max})\right) \cdot [\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\lambda(\infty, \beta)]^{\text{red}}.$$

In particular, the reduced virtual dimension is one higher than the canonical virtual dimension. This is analogous to what happens for the Gromov–Witten theory of K3 surfaces, but is unlike the reduced virtual cycle considered in [30] where the virtual dimension remains the same after reduction.

<sup>3</sup>The line bundle in [30] analogous to  $\mathcal{O}(e_{\max})$  is  $\mathbf{L}_{\max}^\vee$ .

**1.4. Relating connected and disconnected theories.** Punctured R-maps with disconnected domains naturally appear in the localization formula for log R-maps [29]. This is similar to the relative localization of [46]. To obtain a more effective localization formula, it is necessary to reduce disconnected invariants to connected ones. In §4, we establish such a *product formula* on the virtual cycle level, where the flexibility of punctured maps of [3] plays a key role. In the analogous setting of rubber stable maps, such a product formula has been unexpected, as stated in [72, Section 1.5]: “There is no product rule relating connected and disconnected rubber invariants.”

1.4.1. *Further modifications.* Consider a collection of discrete data of punctured R-maps

$$(1.9) \quad \{(g_j, \beta_j, \varsigma_j)\}_{j \in [m]}$$

where  $[m] := \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . We introduce the following variations of the stacks.

- $\mathcal{R}_{g_j, \varsigma_j}^\diamond(\infty, \beta_j)$ : the stack of stable punctured R-maps with both uniform maximal and uniform minimal degeneracies.
- $\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\dagger$ : the stack of stable punctured R-maps such that
  - (1) Their domains have  $m$  connected components labeled by  $[m]$ , each with discrete data (1.9).
  - (2) The set of degeneracies are ordered, in which case the punctured R-maps are said to be *aligned*.

In §4, the stack  $\mathcal{R}_{g_j, \varsigma_j}^\diamond(\infty, \beta_j)$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\dagger$  are shown to be proper log Deligne–Mumford stacks with the tautological morphisms

$$F^\wedge: \mathcal{R}_{g_j, \varsigma_j}^\diamond(\infty, \beta_j) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{g_j, \varsigma_j}^\wedge(\infty, \beta_j) \quad \text{and} \quad F_{[m]}^\diamond: \mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\dagger \rightarrow \prod_{j \in [m]} \mathcal{R}_{g_j, \varsigma_j}^\diamond(\infty, \beta_j).$$

As in §1.3.3, we have global sections

$$e_{\max, j} \in \Gamma\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{R}_{g_j, \varsigma_j}^\diamond(\infty, \beta_j)}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad e_{\max} \in \Gamma\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\dagger}\right)$$

which are fiberwise the maximal degeneracies of the corresponding punctured R-maps.

Similarly, the condition of uniform minimal degeneracies is also a logarithmic principalization (see §4.1.1), hence leading to global sections

$$e_{\min, j} \in \Gamma\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{R}_{g_j, \varsigma_j}^\diamond(\infty, \beta_j)}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad e_{\min} \in \Gamma\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\dagger}\right)$$

which are fiberwise the minimal degeneracies of the corresponding punctured R-maps. Their corresponding line bundles define the tautological classes (see (4.31))

$$\psi_{\min, j} := -c_1(\mathcal{O}(e_{\min, j})) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{\min} := -c_1(\mathcal{O}(e_{\min})).$$

*Remark 1.10.* These divisor classes  $\psi_{\min, j}$  and  $\psi_{\min}$  appear naturally in the log GLSM localization formula of [29]. In other words, the subcategory of punctured maps with uniform minimal degeneracy is the suitable category in which the virtual localization formula holds.

*Remark 1.11.* One may consider the stack  $\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge$  of stable punctured R-maps with disconnected domains, which have uniform maximal degeneracies rather than aligned, see §3.1.2. As aligned punctured R-maps automatically have uniform maximal degeneracies, there is a tautological morphism (4.50):

$$F^\wedge: \mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge.$$

In §3, we will construct a natural reduced perfect obstruction theory of  $\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge$ , which pulls back to the natural reduced perfect obstruction theory of  $\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\dagger$ , hence defines the natural reduced virtual cycles  $[\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\dagger]^{\text{red}}$ . While  $\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge$  is the natural category for constructing the reduced perfect obstruction theory, it is important to work with the virtual cycle  $[\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\dagger]^{\text{red}}$  for two reasons.

First, there is no morphism from  $\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge$  to  $\mathcal{R}_{g_j, \varsigma_j}^\diamond(\infty, \beta_j)$  or to  $\mathcal{R}_{g_j, \varsigma_j}^\wedge(\infty, \beta_j)$ , as each connected component may not have uniform maximal degeneracy. This is crucially needed in the comparison of disconnected and connected invariants. Second, as shown in [29] the stack  $\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\dagger$  naturally appears in the localization calculation of log GLSM.

1.4.2. *Reduction to the connected theory.* Pulling back from  $\mathcal{R}_{g_j, \varsigma_j}^\lambda(\infty, \beta_j)$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_{g_j, \varsigma_j}^\diamond(\infty, \beta_j)$  admits the canonical perfect obstruction theory, as well as the reduced one if we further assume §1.3.1. We obtain the corresponding virtual cycles  $[\mathcal{R}_{g_j, \varsigma_j}^\diamond(\infty, \beta_j)]^{\text{vir}}$  and  $[\mathcal{R}_{g_j, \varsigma_j}^\diamond(\infty, \beta_j)]^{\text{red}}$  respectively. These variants do not change the virtual geometry in the sense that they satisfy the virtual push-forwards (Proposition 4.4 and Proposition 4.5)

$$F_*^\lambda[\mathcal{R}_{g_j, \varsigma_j}^\diamond(\infty, \beta_j)]^{\text{vir}} = [\mathcal{R}_{g_j, \varsigma_j}^\lambda(\infty, \beta_j)]^{\text{vir}} \quad \text{and} \quad F_*^\lambda[\mathcal{R}_{g_j, \varsigma_j}^\diamond(\infty, \beta_j)]^{\text{red}} = [\mathcal{R}_{g_j, \varsigma_j}^\lambda(\infty, \beta_j)]^{\text{red}}.$$

Let  $[\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\dagger]^{\text{vir}}$  be the canonical virtual cycle of  $\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\dagger$  defined by pulling back the canonical perfect obstruction theory along  $F_{[m]}^\diamond$ . Let  $[\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\dagger]^{\text{red}}$  be the reduced virtual cycle as in Remark 1.11. The disconnected and connected theory are related beautifully as follows, see Theorem 4.16 and (4.51).

**Theorem 1.12.** *Let  $t$  be a formal parameter. We have the canonical virtual push-forward*

$$(1.10) \quad F_{[m],*}^\diamond \left( \frac{[\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\dagger]^{\text{vir}}}{-t - \psi_{\min}} \right) = \prod_{j \in [m]} \frac{[\mathcal{R}_{g_j, \varsigma_j}^\diamond(\infty, \beta_j)]^{\text{vir}}}{-t - \psi_{\min, j}}.$$

Further assuming §1.3.1, we have the reduced virtual push-forward

$$F_{[m],*}^\diamond \left( \frac{\tilde{r}t \cdot [\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\dagger]^{\text{red}}}{-t - \psi_{\min}} \right) = \prod_{j \in [m]} \frac{\tilde{r}t \cdot [\mathcal{R}_{g_j, \varsigma_j}^\diamond(\infty, \beta_j)]^{\text{red}}}{-t - \psi_{\min, j}}.$$

The formal parameter  $t$  and the denominator  $-t - \psi_{\min}$  will naturally appear in the log GLSM localization formula of [29]. Their analogs are also part of the relative virtual localization formula [46] of Graber–Vakil. Thanks to the above reduction, we can and will now focus on the connected theory for the rest of this introduction.

*Remark 1.13.* We expect that the analog of (1.10) also holds for the rubber maps in relative Gromov–Witten theory (with the  $\psi$ -class at one end of the universal expansion playing the role of  $\psi_{\min}$ ). We are not aware that this property has been observed in the literature on rubber maps.

1.5. **Changing roots.** Punctured R-maps are relatively well-behaved when varying  $\ell$  in (1.5). Recall the notation  $\infty = \infty^{1/1}$ . On the stack level, there is a canonical morphism

$$\nu_\ell: \mathcal{R}_{g, \varsigma}^\bullet(\infty^{1/\ell}, \beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{g, \bar{\varsigma}}^\bullet(\infty, \beta)$$

where  $\mathcal{R}^\bullet$  represents any of the configurations  $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}^\lambda$  or  $\mathcal{R}^\diamond$  as in (5.3), (5.21) and (5.25). The discrete data  $(g, \beta, \varsigma)$  and  $(g, \beta, \bar{\varsigma})$  uniquely determine each other as shown in §5.1.1.

On the cycle level, they are related by the virtual push-forwards (see Proposition 5.6, Proposition 5.9 and Corollary 5.10):

**Theorem 1.14.** *We have the canonical virtual push-forward*

$$\nu_{\ell,*}[\mathcal{R}_{g, \varsigma}(\infty^{1/\ell}, \beta)]^{\text{vir}} = \ell^{-1} \cdot [\mathcal{R}_{g, \bar{\varsigma}}(\infty, \beta)]^{\text{vir}}.$$

Further assuming §1.3.1, we have the reduced virtual push-forward

$$(1.11) \quad \nu_{\ell,*}[\mathcal{R}_{g, \varsigma}^\lambda(\infty^{1/\ell}, \beta)]^{\text{red}} = \ell^{-1} \cdot [\mathcal{R}_{g, \bar{\varsigma}}^\lambda(\infty, \beta)]^{\text{red}}.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\nu_{\ell,*} \left( \frac{t \cdot [\mathcal{R}_{g, \varsigma}^\diamond(\infty^{1/\ell}, \beta)]^{\text{red}}}{-t - \psi_{\min, \varsigma}} \right) = \ell^{-1} \cdot \frac{(t/\ell) \cdot [\mathcal{R}_{g, \bar{\varsigma}}^\diamond(\infty, \beta)]^{\text{red}}}{-(t/\ell) - \psi_{\min, \bar{\varsigma}}}$$

where  $t$  is a formal parameter.

*Remark 1.15.* The LG/CY correspondence (see for example [34]) relates the Gromov–Witten and FJRW theory of quintic threefolds. In §9.7.1, we observe that the corresponding punctured R-map targets differ by changing roots. In this setting, we refer to (1.11) as the *geometric LG/CY correspondence*, and it plays an important role in the forthcoming work [49].



**1.6. Axioms of removing units.** The forgetful morphism removing a marking plays an important role in Gromov–Witten theory leading to a sequence of important properties such as the string, dilaton and divisor equations, and the unit axiom. We will show that punctured R-maps share similar properties. As explained in Remark 1.3, we may assume  $\ell = 1$  for the discussion below without loss of generality. These axioms have been used crucially in the genus two computation of [50].

**1.6.1. The forgetful morphism.** The *unit sector*, defined in §6.1.3, is a special type of discrete data  $\mathbf{1} = (\overline{\gamma}, c = -d)$  along markings, which is the analogue of untwisted markings in orbifold Gromov–Witten theory.

Denote by  $\varsigma + \mathbf{1}$  the collection of discrete data by adding a unit sector to  $\varsigma$ . As shown in Proposition 7.1, there is a natural forgetful morphism

$$\mathbf{F}_1: \mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma+1}^\bullet(\infty, \beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\bullet(\infty, \beta)$$

for the configurations  $\mathcal{R}^\bullet = \mathcal{R}$  or  $\mathcal{R}^\lambda$  fitting (when  $2g - 2 + n > 0$ ) in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}_{\varsigma+1}^\bullet & \xrightarrow{p_{\varsigma+1}} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1} \\ \mathbf{F}_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathcal{R}_\varsigma^\bullet & \xrightarrow{p_\varsigma} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \end{array}$$

where  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  is the Deligne–Mumford moduli of stable curves,  $\pi$  is the universal stable curve, and the horizontal arrows are the tautological morphisms. Indeed, Proposition 7.1 further exhibits  $\mathbf{F}_1$  as a composition

$$\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma+1}^\bullet(\infty, \beta) \xrightarrow{S} \mathcal{C}_{g,\varsigma}^{\bullet,\circ}(\infty, \beta) \xrightarrow{\pi_\varsigma} \mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\bullet(\infty, \beta)$$

where  $\pi_\varsigma$  is the universal punctured curve over  $\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\bullet(\infty, \beta)$ , and  $S$  is the *saturation morphism* [73, Proposition 2.1.5]. We have the following analog of the *fundamental class axiom* and the *unit axiom*, or alternatively, of the Invariance Property II for the universal DR cycle of [12, §0.6], in the setting of punctured R-maps:

**Theorem 1.16** (Theorem 7.3). *With the above assumptions, we have*

- (1)  $S_*[\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma+1}^\bullet(\infty, \beta)]^* = \pi_\varsigma^*[\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\bullet(\infty, \beta)]^*$ .
- (2)  $\mathbf{F}_{1,*}(\text{ev}_{n+1}^* D \cap [\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma+1}^\bullet(\infty, \beta)]^*) = \left(\int_\beta D\right) \cdot [\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\bullet(\infty, \beta)]^*$  for a divisor  $D \in CH^1(\infty_{\mathcal{X}})$ .
- (3) if  $2g - 2 + n > 0$ , then  $p_{\varsigma+1,*}[\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma+1}^\bullet(\infty, \beta)]^* = \pi^* p_{\varsigma,*}[\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\bullet(\infty, \beta)]^*$ .

where  $[-]^*$  can be either  $[-]^{\text{vir}}$ , or  $[-]^{\text{red}}$  when  $\bullet = \lambda$  and §1.3.1 is assumed.

**1.6.2. Axioms with  $\psi_{\min}$ -classes.** The morphism  $\mathbf{F}_1$  does not exist for  $\mathcal{R}^\bullet = \mathcal{R}^\diamond$ . Thus removing units with  $\psi_{\min}$ -classes needs to be treated differently by choosing appropriate categories of punctured maps. For applications we will focus on the reduced classes:

**Theorem 1.17** (Corollary 8.2, Theorem 8.4). *Let  $t$  be a formal parameter, and assume §1.3.1. We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_{1,*} \circ F_*^\lambda \left( \frac{[\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma+1}^\diamond(\infty, \beta)]^{\text{red}}}{t - \psi_{\min,\varsigma+1}} \right) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|c_j|}{r_j} F_*^\lambda \left( \frac{[\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\diamond(\infty, \beta)]^{\text{red}}}{(t - \text{ev}_j^* \psi_{\text{DF}})(t - \psi_{\min,\varsigma})} \right), \\ \mathbf{F}_{1,*} \circ F_*^\lambda \left( \text{ev}_{n+1}^*(D) \cap \frac{[\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma+1}^\diamond(\infty, \beta)]^{\text{red}}}{t - \psi_{\min,\varsigma+1}} \right) &= \\ \left( \int_\beta D \right) F_*^\lambda \left( \frac{[\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\diamond(\infty, \beta)]^{\text{red}}}{t - \psi_{\min,\varsigma}} \right) &+ \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|c_j|}{r_j} F_*^\lambda \left( \text{ev}_j^*(D_{\overline{\mathcal{I}}_\mu}) \cap \frac{[\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\diamond(\infty, \beta)]^{\text{red}}}{(t - \text{ev}_j^* \psi_{\text{DF}})(t - \psi_{\min,\varsigma})} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\varsigma+1,*} \left( \psi_{\min,\varsigma+1}^k \cap [\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma+1}^\diamond(\infty, \beta)]^{\text{red}} \right) &= \pi^* p_{\varsigma,*} \left( \psi_{\min,\varsigma}^k \cap [\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\diamond(\infty, \beta)]^{\text{red}} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|c_j|}{r_j} \delta_{j,n+1} \cap \pi^* p_{\varsigma,*} \left( \sum_{k'=0}^{k-1} \text{ev}_j^* (\psi_{\text{DF}}^{k'}) \cdot \psi_{\min,\varsigma}^{k-1-k'} \cap [\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\diamond(\infty, \beta)]^{\text{red}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

We refer to §8.1.1 for various notations involved in the above formulas. The first and second equations can be viewed as the analogue of string and divisor equations in the usual Gromov–Witten theory respectively.

*Remark 1.18.* One may also consider more complicated situations that involve cotangent line classes at the first  $n$  markings. Since these cases are not involved in the applications we have in mind, we will defer these cases to a future work.

*Remark 1.19.* In this paper, we restrict ourselves to axioms of removing unit sectors. In the future, it will be interesting to see whether the virtual cycles of punctured R-maps satisfy other axioms analogous to Gromov–Witten theory, such as a splitting formula.

These formulas above combined with other properties of punctured R-maps are very powerful in determining punctured R-invariants that are involved in calculations of Gromov–Witten invariants of complete intersections. We finish the introduction by discussing applications in the setting of complete intersections.

## 1.7. Applications to complete intersections.

1.7.1. *The setup.* Consider the triple  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{E}, s_{\mathcal{X}})$  of a smooth projective variety  $\mathcal{X}$ , a vector bundle  $\mathbf{E}$  over  $\mathcal{X}$ , and a section  $s_{\mathcal{X}} \in H^0(\mathbf{E})$ . Set

$$\infty_{\mathcal{X}} = \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{E}^{\vee}), \quad \mathbf{N} = \mathcal{O}_{\infty_{\mathcal{X}}}(1), \quad \mathbf{L}^{\vee} \cong \mathcal{O}, \quad r = d = \ell = 1,$$

as in §1.2.2. Assume that  $\mathcal{Z} := (s_{\mathcal{X}} = 0) \subset \mathcal{X}$  is smooth of codimension  $\text{rk } \mathbf{E}$ . Then  $s_{\mathcal{X}}$  induces a transverse superpotential. Further assume §1.3.1 for the reduced theory.

In this situation, the *effective cycles* in the homology (resp. Chow) group of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  are cycles of the form

$$(1.12) \quad p_{\varsigma,*} \left( \psi_{\min}^k \cdot \prod \text{ev}_i^* \alpha_i \cap [\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\diamond(\infty, \beta)]^{\text{red}} \right),$$

where we may assume that  $\alpha_i \in H^*(\infty_{\mathcal{X}})$  (resp.  $\alpha_i \in A^*(\infty_{\mathcal{X}})$ ) are homogeneous for all  $i$ . If they are of dimension zero, we may define the corresponding *effective invariants*:

$$(1.13) \quad \int_{[\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\diamond]^{\text{red}}} \psi_{\min}^k \cdot \prod \text{ev}_i^* \alpha_i := \text{deg} \left( \psi_{\min}^k \cdot \prod \text{ev}_i^* \alpha_i \cap [\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\diamond(\infty, \beta)]^{\text{red}} \right),$$

These effective cycles and invariants are of great importance as they determine the Gromov–Witten theory (with ambient insertions) of  $\mathcal{Z}$  via an explicit localization formula in [29]. The terminology “effective” is inspired by Polishchuk’s effective spin structures [78], see §9.7.2.

1.7.2. *A naive vanishing.* Let  $\beta_{\mathcal{X}}$  be the push-forward of  $\beta$  along  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ , and  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z}, \beta_{\mathcal{X}})$  be the moduli of stable maps to  $\mathcal{Z}$  with the corresponding discrete data. On the virtual dimension level punctured R-maps and Gromov–Witten theory of  $\mathcal{Z}$  are related by (9.9):

$$\text{red dim } \mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\diamond(\infty, \beta) = \text{vir dim } \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z}, \beta_{\mathcal{X}}) + \text{rk } \mathbf{E} \cdot \sum_i (c_i + 1).$$

In particular, the effective cycles and invariants are efficient in the sense that they contain no obviously redundant information to determine the Gromov–Witten invariants of  $\mathcal{Z}$ :

**Corollary 1.20** (Corollary 9.3). *If  $\text{vir dim } \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z}, \beta_{\mathcal{X}}) < 0$ , then  $[\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\diamond(\infty, \beta)]^{\text{red}} = 0$ .*

1.7.3. *Determination in genus zero and one.* In §9.3 and §9.4, we determine all  $g = 0, 1$  effective cycles and invariants.

**Proposition 1.21.** (1) *If  $\mathbf{E}$  is nef, then all  $g = 0$  effective cycles and invariants vanish.*  
 (2) *If  $\mathbf{E}$  is ample, then all  $g = 1$  effective cycles and invariants are explicitly determined.*

The first statement is a direct consequence of the fact that the moduli of stable punctured R-maps for  $g = 0$  are all empty for nef  $\mathbf{E}$ . This fact is closely related to the genus zero quantum Lefschetz property.

When  $\mathbf{E}$  is ample, as observed in Lemma 9.4 that the moduli of stable punctured R-maps in  $g = 1$  are all empty unless  $\beta = 0$  and all markings are unit. Repeatedly removing unit markings using Theorem 1.17, the  $g = 1$  effective theory is then calculated explicitly by reducing to the base case of  $n = 1$ , which is computed in Proposition 9.21 .

1.7.4. *Vanishing for  $g \geq 2$ .* A careful virtual dimension analysis combined with Theorem 1.17 leads to a powerful vanishing lemma:

**Lemma 1.22** (Lemma 9.10). *Suppose that  $g \geq 2$  and the following holds*

$$(1.14) \quad (3 - \dim \mathcal{X} + \operatorname{rk} \mathbf{E})(g - 1) - 2 \int_{\beta_{\mathcal{X}}} c_1(K_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \det \mathbf{E}) < 0.$$

*Then, the effective cycles (1.12) and invariants (1.13) vanish unless there is an  $i$  such that  $c_i = -1$  and  $\alpha_i \in H^1(\infty_{\mathcal{X}})$ .*

This vanishing lemma applies to a broad spectrum of interesting examples, see §9.5. In the introduction, we exhibit its efficiency by stating a vanishing result of effective cycles and invariants for most higher dimensional Fano complete intersections in projective spaces:

**Corollary 1.23** (Example 9.13, 9.18). *Let  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{P}^N$  be a Fano complete intersection of type  $(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_R)$  with  $\dim \mathcal{Z} = N - R \geq 4$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $2 \leq d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_R$ . Then any effective cycle (1.12) (in homology or Chow whichever applies) and invariant (1.13) vanish unless one of the following situations holds*

(1) *When  $R = 1$ ,  $(d = d_1, N)$  is from the following list*

$$(d = 3, N = 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8), (d = 4, N = 4, 5).$$

(2) *When  $R \geq 2$ ,  $(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_R)$  is from the following list:*

$$(2, 2), (2, 3), (2, 4), (2, 2, 2), (2, 2, 3), (2, 2, 2, 2).$$

Consequently, the above vanishing together with [30] will imply that the Gromov–Witten theory with ambient insertions of Fano complete intersections *outside* of the above lists are *entirely determined* by the Gromov–Witten theory of the ambient projective spaces for any  $g \geq 2$ .

1.7.5. *Calabi–Yau threefolds.* We next consider the interesting case where

$$3 - \dim \mathcal{X} + \operatorname{rk} \mathbf{E} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c_1(K_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \det \mathbf{E}) = 0.$$

In particular,  $\mathcal{Z}$  is a Calabi–Yau threefold. While effective invariants (1.13) may be non-vanishing in this case, they are determined via explicit equations in Theorem 1.17 by a small set of rational numbers, called *basic effective invariants* (see §9.6). In the prominent example of quintic threefolds, this specializes to:

**Corollary 1.24** (Example 9.27). *Let  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{P}^4$  be a quintic threefold. For each  $g \geq 2$ , the set of all effective invariants (1.13) are determined by the set of  $\lfloor \frac{2g-2}{5} \rfloor + 1$  numbers*

$$\left\{ \deg[\mathcal{R}_{g,s}^{\diamond}(\infty, \beta)]^{\text{red}} \mid \int_{\beta} c_1(\mathbf{N}) \leq 2g - 2 \text{ and } c_i = -2 \text{ for all } i \right\},$$

*via the equations in Theorem 1.17.*

It is interesting to observe that the number  $\lfloor \frac{2g-2}{5} \rfloor + 1$  is compatible with physicists' predictions [57, (3.80)]. Indeed, the same method applies to four other families of Calabi–Yau threefold complete intersections in projective spaces, and the numbers of basic effective invariants in these cases do match with physicists' prediction, see Example 9.28. We refer to §9.6 for more details regarding various examples and the general situation.

**1.8. Plan of the paper.** The moduli space and its virtual cycles are constructed in Section 2 and 3. The decomposition theorem of moduli space with disconnected domain is proved in Section 4. Section 5 is devoted to the study of the logarithmic root construction. In Section 6, we study in more detail the targets  $\infty$  of punctured  $R$ -maps, and the relation to the targets  $\mathfrak{P}$  from [30]. In Section 7 and 8, we give an explicit description of the universal curve of punctured  $R$ -maps, and use this to prove the axioms for punctured  $R$ -maps. The final Section 9 is devoted to the study of effective invariants in many examples.

**1.9. Acknowledgments.** The authors would like to thank Dan Abramovich, Jarod Alper, Mark Gross, Shuai Guo, Rahul Pandharipande, Adrien Sauvaget, Yefeng Shen, Bernd Siebert, Nawaz Sultani, Rachel Webb, Dimitri Zvonkine for many useful discussions during various stages of this work.

The first author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-2001089. The second author was partially supported by NSF grants DMS-1901748 and DMS-2054830. The last author acknowledges support by the University of Michigan and the Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics at Zhejiang University.

**1.10. Notations.** In this paper, we work over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, denoted by  $\mathbf{k}$ . All log structures are assumed to be *fine and saturated* or simply *fs* [60] unless they are along punctured markings or otherwise specified. We refer to [3] for the foundational work of punctured logarithmic maps.

A list of notations is provided below:

- $\mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$ : the universal stack of  $\mathbb{C}_\omega^*$ -torsors
- $\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$ : the target of punctured  $R$ -maps
- $\mathfrak{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$ : the target of log  $R$ -maps
- $\infty_{\mathbf{k}}$ : the base-change  $\infty \times_{\mathbf{BC}_\omega^*} \mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{k}$
- $\infty_{\mathcal{X}}$ : a proper Deligne–Mumford stack with a projective coarse moduli
- $r, d, \ell$ : positive integers
- $\mathbf{L}^\vee, \mathbf{N}$ : line bundles on  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}}$
- $\underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \underline{S}$ : a family of underlying pre-stable curves over  $\underline{S}$
- $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ : a family of log curves over  $S$
- $\mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ : a family of punctured curves over  $S$
- $f: \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \infty$ : a punctured  $R$ -map
- $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}$ : a log  $R$ -map
- $\beta$ : a curve class in  $H_2(\infty_{\mathcal{X}})$ .
- $n$ : the number of markings
- $m$ : the number of connected components of  $\mathcal{C}$
- $\varsigma$ : collection of discrete data at all markings
- $\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}(\infty, \beta)$ : the moduli stack of stable punctured  $R$ -maps
- $\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\lambda(\infty, \beta)$ : the moduli of stable punctured  $R$ -maps with uniform maximal degeneracy
- $\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\diamond(\infty, \beta)$ : the moduli of stable punctured  $R$ -maps with uniform extremal degeneracies
- $\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\uparrow(\infty, \beta)$ : the moduli of stable punctured  $R$ -maps with aligned degeneracies
- $\psi_{\min}$ : universal min-class
- $\psi_{\mathrm{DF}}$ : universal DF-class

## 2. PUNCTURED $R$ -MAPS AND THEIR MODULI

In this section, we first recall some basics of punctured maps from [3], which extends the theory of stable log maps to allow contact orders to be negative. For our purposes, we will need to extend the theory of [3] slightly, in order to be able to consider punctured curves with possible orbifold structures along markings and nodes. However, the proofs in [3] apply with little change in the situation needed in this paper. We will point out the precise references for the readers' convenience.

In the second part of this section, we introduce the notion of *punctured  $R$ -maps*, construct the moduli stack of stable punctured  $R$ -maps, and study its canonical obstruction theory.

### 2.1. Log curves.

2.1.1. *Prestable curves.* Recall from [6] that a *twisted  $n$ -pointed curve* over a scheme  $\underline{S}$  consists of the following data

$$(2.1) \quad (\underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \underline{S}, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$$

where

- (1)  $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$  is a proper Deligne–Mumford stack, and is étale locally a nodal curve over  $\underline{S}$ .
- (2)  $p_i \subset \underline{\mathcal{C}}$  are disjoint closed substacks in the smooth locus of  $\underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \underline{S}$ .
- (3)  $p_i \rightarrow \underline{S}$  are étale gerbes banded by the multiplicative group  $\mu_{r_i}$  for some positive integer  $r_i$ .
- (4) the morphism  $\underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}$  is the coarse moduli morphism.
- (5) along each stacky locus of  $\underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \underline{S}$ , the group action of  $\mu_{r_i}$  is balanced.
- (6)  $\underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}$  is an isomorphism over  $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{gen}$ , where  $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{gen}$  is the complement of the markings and the stacky locus of  $\underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \underline{S}$ .

Given a twisted curve as above, by [6, 4.11] the coarse space  $\underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \underline{S}$  is a family of  $n$ -pointed usual pre-stable curves over  $\underline{S}$  with the markings determined by the images of  $\{p_i\}$ . The *genus* of the twisted curve  $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$  is defined as the genus of the corresponding coarse pre-stable curve  $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ .

When there is no danger of confusion, we will simply write  $\underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \underline{S}$ , and the terminologies twisted curves and pre-stable curves are interchangeable in this paper.

2.1.2. *Log curves.* An  *$n$ -pointed log curve* over a fs log scheme  $S$  in the sense of [75] consists of a pair

$$(2.2) \quad (\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow S, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n),$$

such that

- (1) The underlying data  $(\underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \underline{S}, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$  is a pre-stable curve as in (2.1).
- (2)  $\pi$  is a proper, logarithmically smooth and integral morphism of fine and saturated logarithmic stacks.
- (3) If  $\underline{U} \subset \underline{\mathcal{C}}$  is the non-singular locus of  $\underline{\pi}$ , then  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{C}}|_{\underline{U}} \cong \pi^* \overline{\mathcal{M}}_S \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{N}_{p_i}$  where  $\mathbb{N}_{p_i}$  is the constant sheaf over  $p_i$  with fiber  $\mathbb{N}$ .

For simplicity, we may refer to  $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$  as a log curve when there is no danger of confusion. The *pull-back* of a log curve  $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$  along an arbitrary morphism of fs log schemes  $T \rightarrow S$  is the log curve  $\pi_T: \mathcal{C}_T := \mathcal{C} \times_S T \rightarrow T$  where the fiber product is taken in the fs category.

We associate to a log curve (2.2) the *log cotangent bundle*  $\omega_{\mathcal{C}/S}^{\log} := \omega_{\underline{\mathcal{C}}/\underline{S}}(\sum_i p_i)$  where  $\omega_{\underline{\mathcal{C}}/\underline{S}}$  is the relative dualizing line bundle of  $\underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \underline{S}$ .

2.1.3. *The stack of log curves.* Denote by  $\mathfrak{M}_{g, \{r_i\}}$  the stack of  $n$ -pointed, genus  $g$  twisted curves such that the  $i$ -th marking is a  $\mu_{r_i}$ -gerbe. The universal curve  $\mathcal{C}_{g, \vec{r}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{g, \{r_i\}}$  can be viewed as a morphism of log stacks with the *canonical log structures* induced by their underlying structure. Then the log stack  $\mathfrak{M}_{g, \{r_i\}}$  viewed as a category fibered over fs log schemes, is the category of log curves with discrete data  $(g, \{r_i\})$ , see [75].

## 2.2. Punctured curves.

2.2.1. *The definition.* Given a log curve (2.2), denote by  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}$  the sub-log structure given by the pre-image of  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathcal{C}} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{N}_{p_i}$  via  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{C}}$ .

Consider the sub-sheaf of monoids  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathcal{C}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{C}}$  such that  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{C}} = \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathcal{C}} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathcal{C}}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}$  the sub-log structure given by the pre-image of  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathcal{C}}$  via  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{C}}$ . Thus, we have a decomposition  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}} \oplus_{\mathcal{O} \times} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}$ .

A *punctured curve* over a fine and saturated log scheme  $S$  in the sense of [3, §2.1.4] consists of the following data

$$(2.3) \quad (\mathcal{C}^{\circ} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\pi} S, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$$

such that  $(\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\pi} S, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$  is a log curve as in (2.2), and  $p: \mathcal{C}^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is a morphism of fine log stacks ( $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}^{\circ}}$  is not necessarily saturated) satisfying

- (1) The underlying map  $p: \underline{\mathcal{C}}^{\circ} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}$  is an isomorphism.
- (2)  $p^{\flat}: \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}^{\circ}}$  is an isomorphism away from the collection of markings.

(3)  $p^b$  induces a sequence of inclusions of sheaves of fine monoids

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{p^b} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}^\circ} \subset \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}^*} \mathcal{P}^{gp}.$$

$p$  is called the *puncturing of  $\mathcal{C}$  along  $\mathcal{P}$  or along the markings*. Markings of a punctured curve are called *punctured points*, or simply *punctures*. If  $p|_{p_i}$  is an isomorphism, we say that the puncturing is *trivial* along  $p_i$ . For simplicity, we may refer to  $\mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow S$  as a punctured curve when there is no danger of confusion.

We will see in §2.4.2 that non-trivial punctures are precisely the markings with negative contact orders.

**2.2.2. Pull-backs of punctured curves.** The *pull-back* of a punctured curve (2.3) along a morphism of fine and saturated log schemes  $T \rightarrow S$  consists of the following data

$$(2.5) \quad (\mathcal{C}_T^\circ \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{C}_T \xrightarrow{\pi} T, \{p_{i,T}\}_{i=1}^n),$$

where  $(\mathcal{C}_T \xrightarrow{\pi} T, \{p_{i,T}\}_{i=1}^n)$  is the pull-back of the corresponding log curve, and  $\mathcal{C}_T^\circ = \mathcal{C}_T \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C}^\circ$  with the fiber product taken in the fine category.

It is a non-trivial fact that the pull-back of punctured curves defined as above is again a punctured curve, see [3, Proposition 2.7].

**2.2.3. Moduli of punctured curves.** Let  $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$  be a family of log curves. Denote by  $S^p$  the fibered category over fine and saturated  $S$ -log schemes such that for any  $T \rightarrow S$ ,  $S^p(T)$  is the category of punctured curves over  $T$  whose corresponding log curve is the pull-back  $\pi_T: \mathcal{C}_T \rightarrow T$ . There is the tautological morphism

$$(2.6) \quad S^p \rightarrow S$$

which takes the corresponding log curves.

It has been shown in [3, Proposition 3.4] that there is a natural log-ideal  $\mathcal{K}_{S^p} \subset \mathcal{M}_{S^p}$  such that the morphism (2.6) is an idealized log étale, strict, local immersion defined by  $\mathcal{K}_{S^p}$ . In particular, if  $S$  is a log algebraic stack, so is  $S^p$ . Roughly speaking, this log-ideal  $\mathcal{K}_{S^p}$  is precisely the obstruction for deforming punctures [3, Proposition 2.45].

**2.3. Deligne–Faltings log structures of rank one.** In this paper, the notion of Deligne–Faltings log structures will play a crucial role. We will recall them below.

**2.3.1. The stack of Deligne–Faltings log structures of rank one.** Recall the log stack  $\mathcal{A}$  defined in (1.3). There is a natural surjection  $\mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{A}}$  given by  $\mathbb{N} = \Gamma(\mathcal{A}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{A}})$ , where  $\mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is the constant sheaf on  $\mathcal{A}$ . A log algebraic stack  $X$  is said of *Deligne–Faltings type of rank 1*, if there is a surjection  $\mathbb{N}_X \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_X$ , which is equivalent to the existence of a unique strict morphism  $X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ .

Consider the generator  $1_{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{A}}$  with image  $1_X \in \Gamma(X, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_X)$ . The pre-image  $\mathcal{T} := 1_X \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_X} \mathcal{M}_X \subset \mathcal{M}_X$  is an  $\mathcal{O}^*$ -torsor. The restriction of the structural morphism  $\alpha_{\mathcal{M}_X}: \mathcal{M}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$  to  $\mathcal{T}$  completes to a morphism  $s^\vee$  of line bundles on  $X$ :

$$\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{O}(-1_X) \xrightarrow{s^\vee} \mathcal{O}_X,$$

where  $s^\vee$  vanishes precisely along the pre-image of  $\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathcal{A}$ . Conversely, by [60, Complement 1] the section  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(1_X) := \mathcal{O}(-1_X)^\vee)$  determines the log structure  $\mathcal{M}_X$ .

**2.3.2. Line bundles associated to global sections of characteristic sheaves.** Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a log stack and  $e \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{M}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{M}})$  a global section. Similar to the above construction, the section  $e$  induces

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{M}} \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{M}}} \{e\} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{M}}(-e) \xrightarrow{s_e^\vee} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{M}}.$$

with an  $\mathcal{O}^*$ -torsor on the left completing to a line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{M}}(-e)$  with a morphism  $s_e^\vee$ .

The section  $s_e \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{M}}(e) := \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{M}}(-e)^\vee)$  defines a rank one Deligne–Faltings log structure, denoted by  $\mathcal{M}$ . Consequently, we obtain a strict morphism

$$(2.8) \quad (\underline{\mathfrak{M}}, \mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{M}}(e), s_e)} \mathcal{A}.$$

with  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{M}}(e) \cong \mathcal{O}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})|_{\mathfrak{M}}$ . The vanishing locus of  $s_e$  is

$$(2.9) \quad \text{Div}(e) = \underline{\mathfrak{M}} \times_{\mathcal{A}} \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \underline{\mathfrak{M}}$$

which is also set-theoretically the non-vanishing locus of  $e$ . Locally on a strict smooth chart  $U \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$ , the locus  $\text{Div}(e)$  can be described as follows. Choose a lift  $\tilde{e}_U \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{M}_U)$  of  $e|_U$ . Then  $\text{Div}(e) \times_{\mathfrak{M}} U$  is the substack defined by the vanishing of  $\alpha(\tilde{e}_U)$ . Note that  $\text{Div}(e) \times_{\mathfrak{M}} U$  hence  $\text{Div}(e)$  does not depend on the choices of local liftings  $\tilde{e}_U$ . In case  $e|_{\mathfrak{M}^\circ} = 0$  over an open dense substack  $\mathfrak{M}^\circ \subset \mathfrak{M}$ , the locus  $\text{Div}(e)$  is a *Cartier divisor* in  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

When the section  $\mathbf{s}_e$  vanishes identically, we simply write

$$(2.10) \quad (\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{M}}(e)} \mathcal{A} \quad \text{or} \quad (\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{M}}(e)} \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$$

as the left morphism factors through the strict closed substack  $\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathcal{A}$ .

Further observe that  $\mathcal{M}$  is the sub-log structure of  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{M}}$  generated by  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{M}} \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{M}}} \{e\} \subset \mathcal{M}$ . Hence we obtain the composition

$$(2.11) \quad \mathfrak{M} \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{M}}(e), \mathbf{s}_e)} \mathcal{A}.$$

with the left arrow is given by the inclusion  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ .

**2.4. Punctured maps.** We next review punctured maps needed in the setup of this paper.

**2.4.1. The definition.** Recall from [3, Definition 2.13] that a *punctured map* to a log algebraic stack  $X$  consists of the following data

$$(2.12) \quad (\mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow S, \{p_i\}, f: \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow X)$$

such that  $(\mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow S, \{p_i\})$  is a (possibly disconnected) punctured curve, and  $f$  is a morphism of log stacks. It is called *pre-stable* if  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}^\circ}$  is generated by  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $f^b(f^* \mathcal{M}_X)$ . Note that the pre-stability condition is an open condition, and is stable under arbitrary base change, see [3, Proposition 2.15]. The *pull-backs* of punctured maps are defined via pull-backs of punctured curves as usual.

For simplicity, we write  $f: \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow X$  for a punctured map when there is no danger of confusion. Furthermore, all punctured maps are assumed to be pre-stable unless otherwise specified.

For the purpose of this paper, we will focus on the case that  $X$  is of Deligne–Faltings type of rank 1. Thus, given a punctured map  $f: \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow X$  we obtain a punctured map  $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  by composing  $f$  with  $X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ . By the strictness of  $X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ ,  $f$  is pre-stable iff  $\mathfrak{f}$  is pre-stable.

**2.4.2. Contact orders.** Consider a punctured map  $f: \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  over a geometric point  $S$ . Along each marking  $p_i \subset \mathcal{C}^\circ$ , we obtain a composition

$$(2.13) \quad \mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{A}|_{p_i}} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{A}}|_{p_i} \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{C}^\circ}|_{p_i} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_S|_{p_i} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

where the second-to-last inclusion is given by (2.4). The image of 1 via the above composition is an integer  $c_i$  called the *contact order* at the marking  $p_i$ . Contact orders remain constant along a connected family of punctured maps [3, Proposition 2.23].

For a target  $X$  of Deligne–Faltings type of rank 1, the contact order of a punctured map  $f: \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow X$  along  $p_i$  is defined to be the contact order of the corresponding punctured map  $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ .

If  $c_i \geq 0$ , then the pre-stability forces  $\mathfrak{p}$  being an isomorphism around  $p_i$ . In this case, the contact order is defined in the same way as for log maps.

If  $c_i < 0$ , then  $\mathfrak{p}$  is necessarily a non-trivial puncturing along  $p_i$ . This is a new feature of punctured maps compared to log maps.

**2.4.3. Degeneracies of components and their partial ordering.** Consider a punctured map  $f: \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  over a log point  $S$  with possibly disconnected domain curve. Let  $Z \subset \mathcal{C}^\circ$  be an irreducible component with the generic point  $\eta_Z$ . The image  $e_Z = f^b(1)$  of

$$f^b|_{\eta_Z}: (f^* \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{A}})|_{\eta_Z} \cong \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{C}^\circ}|_{\eta_Z} \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_S$$

is called the *degeneracy* of the component  $Z$ . Note that  $e_Z = 0$  iff  $f(\eta_Z)$  avoids  $\infty_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Denote by  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}(f) \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_S$  the *set of degeneracies* of  $f$ . The set of degeneracies admits a natural partial ordering inherited from the partial ordering of monoids.

**Definition 2.1.** For an fs sharp monoid  $P$ , there is a natural *partial ordering* on the underlying set  $P$  such that  $e_1 \preceq_P e_2$  for any  $e_1, e_2 \in P$  with  $e_2 - e_1 \in P$ .

Given a fs log scheme  $S$ , the characteristic sheaf  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_S$  is naturally a *sheaf of partially ordered sets* with the partial order  $\preceq_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_S}$  by gluing  $\preceq_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_S|_s}$  at each geometric point  $s \in S$ .

Thus  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}(f)$  is a partially ordered set with respect to  $\preceq_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_S}$ . A degeneracy  $e_Z \in \overline{\mathbf{D}}(f)$  is called *maximal* (resp. *minimal*) if  $e_Z$  is maximal (resp. *minimal*) in  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}(f)$ . The corresponding irreducible component  $Z \subset \mathcal{C}^\circ$  is called a *maximal* (resp. *minimal*) *component* of  $f$ . Denote by  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}^m(f) \subset \overline{\mathbf{D}}(f)$  the subset consisting of minimal degeneracies.

2.4.4. *Extremal degeneracies.* We introduce the following configurations of degeneracies that will play an important role in this paper.

**Definition 2.2.** A punctured map over a geometric point is said to have *uniform maximal degeneracy* or the  $\lambda$ -*configuration* (resp. *uniform minimal degeneracy* or the  $\gamma$ -*configuration*) if the set of degeneracies has a unique maximum (resp. minimum) with respect to  $\preceq_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_S}$ .

A family of punctured maps is said to have *uniform maximal degeneracy* or  $\gamma$ -*configuration* (resp. *uniform minimal degeneracy* or  $\lambda$ -*configuration*) if each geometric fiber has uniform maximal (resp. minimal) degeneracy. A punctured map is said to have *uniform extremal degeneracies* or the  $\diamond$ -*configuration* if it admits both the  $\lambda$ -configuration and the  $\gamma$ -configuration.

The  $\lambda$ -configuration is necessary for the construction of the reduced theory in §3. The  $\gamma$ -configuration is necessary to describe the virtual normal bundle in the localization formula for log GLSM [29]. These two configurations and their combinations will be studied in more detail in later sections.

**Corollary 2.3.** *The locus  $S_u \subset S$  parameterizing fibers with the  $\lambda$ -configuration (resp.  $\gamma$ -configuration), is a strict open subscheme.*

*Proof.* This is identically to the proof of [31, Proposition 3.3], since punctured points and disconnectedness of the domains play no role in the proof.  $\square$

2.4.5. *Degeneracies in families.* Consider a family of punctured maps  $f: \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  over a fs log scheme  $S$  with possibly disconnected domain curves. For each geometric point  $s \in S$ , let  $f_s$  be the fiber of  $f$  over  $s$ , hence partially ordered subsets  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}^m(f_s) \subset \overline{\mathbf{D}}(f_s) \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_S|_s$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** *The collection  $\{\overline{\mathbf{D}}^m(f_s) \subset \overline{\mathbf{D}}(f_s)\}_{s \in S}$  glues to a subsheaf  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}^m(f) \subset \overline{\mathbf{D}}(f)$  of partially ordered subsets of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_S$ . We call  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}(f)$  the sheaf of degeneracies of  $f$ , and  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}^m(f)$  the sheaf of minimal degeneracies.*

*Proof.* The fact that the collection  $\{\overline{\mathbf{D}}(f_s)\}_{s \in S}$  forms a subsheaf  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}(f) \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_S$  of sets is a restatement of [31, Lemma 3.4]. By [31, Lemma 3.6], the fiberwise partial order  $\preceq_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_S|_s}$  is stable under generization, which makes  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}(f) \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_S$  a subsheaf of partially ordered sets. Thus the collection of minimal elements  $\{\overline{\mathbf{D}}^m(f_s)\}_{s \in S}$  glues to a subsheaf of partially ordered sets  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}^m(f) \subset \overline{\mathbf{D}}(f)$ .  $\square$

**Notation 2.5.** Under the above assumptions, suppose  $f$  admits the  $\lambda$ -configuration. In this case, there is a global section  $e_{\max}(f) \in \Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_S, S)$  whose fiber  $e_{\max}(f|_s) \in \overline{\mathbf{D}}(f_s)$  is the maximum for each  $s$ . We call  $e_{\max}(f)$  the *maximal degeneracy* of  $f$ .

Similarly, for  $f$  with the  $\gamma$ -configuration, there is a global section  $e_{\min}(f) \in \Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_S, S)$  whose fiber  $e_{\min}(f|_s) \in \overline{\mathbf{D}}(f_s)$  is the minimum for each  $s$ . We call  $e_{\min}(f)$  the *minimal degeneracy* of  $f$ . In this case, the sheaf  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}^m(f)$  is a constant sheaf given by the section  $e_{\min}(f)$ .

For simplicity, we may write  $e_{\max}$  or  $e_{\min}$  when there is no confusion which  $f$  is meant.

2.4.6. *The moduli of punctured maps to  $\mathcal{A}$ .* Denote by  $\mathfrak{M}_{g, \varsigma'}(\mathcal{A})$  the category of  $n$ -pointed, genus  $g$  punctured maps to  $\mathcal{A}$  with connected domains, fibered over the category of fs log schemes such that the  $i$ -th markings are  $\mu_{r_i}$ -gerbes with contact order  $c_i$ . Here  $\varsigma' = \{(r_i, c_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  is the *reduced discrete data* at the markings.

By [3, Proposition 3.3], the fibered category  $\mathfrak{M}_{g, \varsigma'}(\mathcal{A})$  is represented by a fs log algebraic stack. Indeed, its underlying stack  $\mathfrak{M}_{g, \varsigma'}(\mathcal{A})$  is the stack of *basic punctured maps* with the following *universal property* ([3, Proposition 2.26]): For any punctured map  $f: \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  over  $S$ , there is a basic object



$f_{bas}: \mathcal{C}_{bas}^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  over  $S_{bas}$  and a morphism  $S \rightarrow S_{bas}$  such that  $f$  is the pull-back of  $f_{bas}$ . Furthermore, the pair  $(f_{bas}, S \rightarrow S_{bas})$  is unique up to a unique isomorphism. Furthermore, the basicness can be described combinatorially similar to the case of log maps, see [3, §2.3.1]. In particular, the universal punctured map over  $\mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}(\mathcal{A})$  is basic.

2.4.7. *The moduli of punctured maps to  $\infty_{\mathcal{A}}$ .* Consider the closed substack

$$(2.14) \quad \mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}}) \subset \mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}(\mathcal{A})$$

parameterizing punctured maps factorizing through the strict closed substack  $\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathcal{A}$ . This will be a key player in the construction of this paper.

*Remark 2.6.* In the general construction of [3], the stack  $\mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})$  is formulated as follows. Recall the real positive half axis  $\Sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$  as the cone complex of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\tau = (G, \mathbf{g}, \sigma, \bar{\mathbf{u}})$  be the *global type* of punctured maps ([3, Definition 3.4 (1)]) such that

- (1)  $G$  is a connected graph with a unique vertex  $v$ , a set  $L(G)$  of  $n$  legs corresponding to the  $n$ -markings, and no edges.
- (2)  $\mathbf{g}$  assigns genus  $g$  to the vertex  $v$ .
- (3)  $\sigma$  assigns the interior  $\mathbf{R}_{>0} \subset \Sigma(\mathcal{A})$  to the vertex and legs of  $G$ .
- (4)  $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$  assigns the contact order  $c_i$  to the leg corresponding to the  $i$ -th marking.

By [3, Definition 3.8],  $\mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}}) \cong \mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$  is the stack of punctured maps in  $\mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}(\mathcal{A})$  marked by  $\tau$ . It is equipped with a *canonical log-ideal*  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})}$  such that the forgetful morphism

$$(2.15) \quad \mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{g,\{r_i\}}$$

is idealized log étale [3, Theorem 3.24], where  $\mathfrak{M}_{g,\{r_i\}}$  is equipped with the canonical log structure and the trivial log ideal.

**Lemma 2.7.** (1)  $\mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})$  is non-empty, reduced and pure-dimensional with

$$(2.16) \quad \dim \mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}}) = 3g - 4 + n.$$

- (2) The locus  $\mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}^\circ(\infty_{\mathcal{A}}) \subset \mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})$  with smooth domains is open and dense in  $\mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})$  such that  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}^\circ(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})} \cong \mathbb{N}_{\mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}^\circ(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})}$  is generated by the degeneracy of the smooth component, and with the canonical log-ideal  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}^\circ(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}^\circ(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})} \setminus \mathcal{O}^*$ .

*Proof.* These statements follow directly from [3]. For the readers' convenience, we provide precise references below.

By [3, Proposition 3.27], it is straightforward to check that  $\tau$  is realizable, with the corresponding basic monoid  $Q_\tau \cong \mathbb{N}$  generated by the degeneracy of the smooth component. ([3, (2.16)]). Then (1) follows from [3, Proposition 3.28] with  $B = \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}$  with the trivial log structure.

The open locus  $\mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}^\circ(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})$  consists of punctured maps whose tropicalizations are of type  $\tau$  ([3, Definition 2.22]). Thus the characteristic sheaf is given by the basic monoid

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}^\circ(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})} \cong Q_{\tau, \mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}^\circ(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})} = \mathbb{N}_{\mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}^\circ(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})}.$$

Furthermore  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}^\circ(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}^\circ(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})} \setminus \mathcal{O}^*$  follows from [3, Proposition 3.23].

Finally, the statement that  $\mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}^\circ(\infty_{\mathcal{A}}) \subset \mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})$  is dense follows from the local description in [3, Remark 3.25]. This is indeed a consequence of the idealized log étaleness of (2.15).  $\square$

2.4.8. *The balancing condition.* Punctured maps admits a simple but important numerical constraint called the *balancing condition*, which plays an important role in the applications in §9.

**Lemma 2.8** (The balancing condition). *Let  $f: \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$  be a punctured map over a log point  $S$  with discrete data  $\varsigma' = \{(r_i, c_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  along markings. Then we have*

$$\deg f^* \mathcal{O}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c_i}{r_i}.$$

*Proof.* The statement can be viewed as a special case of [3, Proposition 2.27] with the only change that the degree of the  $i$ -th marking is  $\frac{1}{r_i}$  due to the orbifold structure.  $\square$

**2.5. Punctured R-maps.** Next, we introduce the central notion of *punctured R-maps*. They are a combination of punctured maps and R-maps, as an analogue of the log R-maps in [30] with possibly negative contact orders.

**2.5.1. The targets and the stability condition.** Recall from (1.1) that a *punctured R-map* over a log scheme  $S$  with target  $\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$  is a commutative triangle

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & \infty \\ & \nearrow f & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{C}^\circ & \xrightarrow{\omega_{\mathcal{C}/S}^{\log}} & \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \end{array}$$

where  $\mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow S$  is a punctured curve and the bottom arrow is defined such that the pull-back of  $\mathcal{L}_\omega$  is  $\omega_{\mathcal{C}/S}^{\log}$ . It is *pre-stable* if the punctured map  $f$  is pre-stable. *Pull-backs* of punctured R-maps are defined using pull-backs of punctured curves as usual.

In this paper, we further impose the following conditions on  $\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$ :

- (1) There exists a strict (hence unique) morphism  $\infty \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}(\infty)} \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$  defined as in (2.10).
- (2) The underlying DM-stack  $\underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}} := \underline{\infty} \times_{\mathbf{BC}_\omega^*} \mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{k}$  has a projective coarse moduli  $\underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}}'$ .
- (3) There is a morphism  $\underline{\infty} \rightarrow \underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}}'$  such that the composition  $\underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow \underline{\infty} \rightarrow \underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}}'$  is the coarsification.

We fix an ample line bundle  $\mathcal{H}$  on  $\underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}}'$ , and denote its pull-back to  $\infty$  again by  $\mathcal{H}$  when there is no confusion.

Note that the target (1.6) from the root construction in §1.2.2 fits in the above setup. Indeed, in this case  $\underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}}'$  is the coarse moduli of  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}}$ .

*Remark 2.9.* Condition (3) may be reformulated in terms of  $\mathbf{C}_\omega^*$ -equivariant geometry assuming that the underlying DM-stack  $\underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}} := \underline{\infty} \times_{\mathbf{BC}_\omega^*} \mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{k}$  has a reduced projective coarse moduli  $\underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}}'$ .

Note that by properties of coarse moduli, the morphism  $\underline{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$  induces compatible  $\mathbf{C}_\omega^*$ -actions on  $\underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}}$  and  $\underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}}'$ . We then set

- (3') The  $\mathbf{C}_\omega^*$ -action on  $\underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}}'$  is trivial.
- (3'') The  $\mathbf{C}_\omega^*$ -action on  $\underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}}$  is trivial after reparameterization of  $\mathbf{C}_\omega^*$ .

By [9, Proposition 5.32], these two conditions are equivalent.

On the other hand, assuming Condition (3) we know that  $\underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow \underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}}'$  is  $\mathbf{C}_\omega^*$ -equivariant for the trivial action on  $\underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}}'$ . Hence, [9, Proposition 5.32] implies Condition (3'). In the other direction, assuming Condition (3'), we know that  $\underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}}' \rightarrow [\underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}}'/\mathbf{C}_\omega^*]$  admits a section, and we may define a desired morphism  $\underline{\infty} \rightarrow \underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}}'$  as the composition

$$\underline{\infty} \cong [\underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}}/\mathbf{C}_\omega^*] \rightarrow [\underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}}'/\mathbf{C}_\omega^*] \rightarrow \underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}}'.$$

**Definition 2.10.** Given a punctured R-map  $f: \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \infty$ , we say that  $f$  has curve class  $\beta \in H_2(\underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}}')$  if the curve class of the following composition is  $\beta$ :

$$(2.18) \quad \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \infty \rightarrow \underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}}'.$$

**Definition 2.11.** A pre-stable punctured R-map (2.17) with the target described above is called *stable* if  $f$  is representable and  $\omega_{\mathcal{C}/S}^{\log} \otimes f^* \mathcal{H}^{\otimes k} > 0$  for  $k \gg 0$ .

Note that the positivity condition above means that the coarse moduli of the composition (2.18) is stable in the usual sense. Furthermore, the stability condition depends only on the underlying R-maps. Thus, we may call an underlying R-map *stable*, if it satisfies the above stability condition.

**2.5.2. The discrete data along markings.** Consider a punctured R-map  $f: \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \infty$  over  $S$  and its  $i$ -th marking  $p_i \in \mathcal{C}^\circ$ . Since  $\omega_{\mathcal{C}/S}^{\log}|_{p_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{p_i}$ , there is a factorization

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & f|_{p_i} & & \\ & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowleft & \\ p_i & \longrightarrow & \infty_{\mathbf{k}} & \longrightarrow & \infty. \end{array}$$

Denote by  $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_\mu \infty_{\mathbf{k}}$  the rigidified cyclotomic inertia [5, Section 3] with the trivial log structure. There is a decomposition  $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_\mu \infty_{\mathbf{k}} = \cup_j \overline{\gamma}_j$  into connected components. By [5, Section 3], the factorization (2.19) induces the  $i$ -th evaluation morphism

$$(2.20) \quad \text{ev}_i: S \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{I}}_\mu \infty_{\mathbf{k}}.$$

**Definition 2.12.** The *discrete data* at the  $i$ -th marking is a pair  $(\overline{\gamma}_i, c_i)$  consisting of a connected component  $\overline{\gamma}_i \subset \overline{\mathcal{I}}_\mu \infty_{\mathbf{k}}$  and a contact order  $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Throughout this paper, denote by  $r_i$  the order of the cyclotomic group of the gerbe parameterized by  $\overline{\gamma}_i$ .

2.5.3. *The moduli functor.* Consider the collection of discrete data

$$(2.21) \quad (g, \beta, \varsigma = \{(\overline{\gamma}_i, c_i)\}_{i=1}^n)$$

where  $g$  denotes the genus,  $\beta \in H_2(\infty_{\mathbf{k}}')$  is a curve class, and  $\varsigma$  is a collection of the discrete data at the marked points.

Denote by  $\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}(\infty, \beta)$  the category of  $n$ -pointed genus  $g$  stable punctured R-maps to  $\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$  with the discrete data (2.21). The following result will be established in the next two sections §2.6 and 2.7:

**Theorem 2.13.**  $\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}(\infty, \beta)$  is represented by a proper, log Deligne–Mumford stack.

2.6.  $\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}(\infty, \beta)$  is a log Deligne–Mumford stack.

2.6.1. *Tautological morphism to  $\mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})$ .* Let  $\varsigma' = \{(r_i, c_i)\}$  be the reduced discrete data at the markings. There is a canonical morphism

$$(2.22) \quad \mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}(\infty, \beta) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})$$

obtained by composing the punctured R-maps with the strict morphism  $\infty \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$ .

2.6.2. *The moduli of underlying stable R-maps.* Denote by  $\mathcal{R}_{g,\{\overline{\gamma}_i\}}(\underline{\infty}, \beta)$  the stack of stable R-maps to the target  $\underline{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$  with discrete data  $(g, \beta, \{\overline{\gamma}_i\})$ . Since  $\underline{\infty}$  has the trivial log structure, contact orders are irrelevant in this case. We equip  $\mathcal{R}_{g,\{\overline{\gamma}_i\}}(\underline{\infty}, \beta)$  with the canonical log structure from its source curves. We obtain the tautological morphism

$$(2.23) \quad \mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}(\infty, \beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{g,\{\overline{\gamma}_i\}}(\underline{\infty}, \beta).$$

On the other hand, composing stable R-maps in  $\mathcal{R}_{g,\{\overline{\gamma}_i\}}(\underline{\infty}, \beta)$  with the underlying morphism of  $\infty \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$ , we obtain a canonical strict morphism

$$(2.24) \quad \mathcal{R}_{g,\{\overline{\gamma}_i\}}(\underline{\infty}, \beta) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{g,\{r_i\}}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}}).$$

Here  $\mathfrak{M}_{g,\{r_i\}}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})$  is algebraic, and is equipped with the canonical log structure from its source curve.

The algebraicity of the moduli of (not necessarily stable) R-maps has been established in [30, §5.1]. Since the stability condition in Definition 2.11 is an open condition,  $\mathcal{R}_{g,\{\overline{\gamma}_i\}}(\underline{\infty}, \beta)$  is a log algebraic stack.

2.6.3. *The algebraicity.* Putting together (2.22), (2.23) and (2.24), we obtain a tautological morphism of fibered categories

$$\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}(\infty, \beta) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}}) \times_{\mathfrak{M}_{g,\{r_i\}}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})} \mathcal{R}_{g,\{\overline{\gamma}_i\}}(\underline{\infty}, \beta)$$

The same proof as in [3, Lemma 3.2] shows that the above morphism is an isomorphism. Thus, the algebraicity of  $\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}(\infty, \beta)$  follows from the algebraicity of the right hand side.

2.6.4. *Finiteness of automorphisms.* By [3, Proposition 2.27], the morphism (2.23) is of DM-type. It suffices to show that objects of  $\mathcal{R}_{g,\{\overline{\gamma}_i\}}(\infty, \beta)$  have at most finitely many automorphisms.

Consider a stable R-map  $f: \underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \underline{\infty}$  over a geometric point  $\underline{S}$ . Let  $\underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}$  be the coarse curve. Then  $f$  induces a pre-stable map  $f': \underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}'}$  which leads to a morphism

$$\mathrm{Aut}(f) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(f')$$

of the automorphism groups. The stability of  $f$  implies that  $f'$  is a stable map, thus  $\mathrm{Aut}(f')$  is a finite group. It remains to show that  $H = \ker(\mathrm{Aut}(f) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(f'))$  is finite.

Since  $\underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*$  factors through  $\underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*$ ,  $f$  is equivalent to a map  $\rho: \underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \underline{\infty} \times_{\mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*} \underline{\mathcal{C}}$  whose composition  $\underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \underline{\infty} \times_{\mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*} \underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}$  is the coarse moduli. Since  $H$  fixes  $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ , hence fixes  $\underline{\infty} \times_{\mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*} \underline{\mathcal{C}}$ , it is the automorphism group of  $\rho$ . However, the stability of  $f$  implies that  $\rho$  is also stable. Therefore,  $H$  is a finite subgroup.

## 2.7. Properness.

2.7.1. *Removing the punctured R-structure.* Consider the tautological morphism

$$(2.25) \quad \mathcal{R}_{g,s}(\infty, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}'}, \beta)$$

by composing punctured R-maps with  $\infty \rightarrow \underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}'}$ , removing log structures, and taking the corresponding coarse maps. Here  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}'}, \beta)$  is the moduli of stable maps to  $\underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}'}$ , hence is proper. No contraction of the source curve is needed thanks to Definition 2.11.

2.7.2. *Boundedness.* Consider the morphism

$$\mathcal{R}_{g,s}(\infty, \beta) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{g,n}$$

defined by taking the coarse pre-stable curve. It factors through (2.25), hence has image covered by a finite type scheme, say  $T \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{g,n}$ . It suffices to show that  $\mathcal{R}_T := \mathcal{R}_{g,s}(\infty, \beta) \times_{\mathfrak{M}_{g,n}} T$  is of finite type.

Denote by  $\underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow T$  the universal curve over  $T$ , equipped with the trivial log structures. Consider  $\infty_T := \infty \times_{\mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*} \underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow T$ . Let  $f_{\mathcal{R}_T}: \mathcal{C}^{\circ} \rightarrow \infty$  be the universal stable punctured map over  $\mathcal{R}_T$ . Then there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & f_{\mathcal{R}_T} & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & & \\ \mathcal{C}^{\circ} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \infty_T & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \infty \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{R}_T & & \underline{\mathcal{C}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^* \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & & \\ & & T & & \end{array}$$

Denote by  $\beta'$  the curve class of the family  $\infty_T \rightarrow T$  given by the image of  $\mathcal{C}^{\circ} \rightarrow \infty_T$  above. It is determined by  $\beta$ . Consider the moduli  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,s}(\infty_T/T, \beta')$  of stable punctured maps with target  $\infty_T \rightarrow T$ . The same proof of [3, Proposition 3.10 and 3.11] implies that  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,s}(\infty_T/T, \beta')$  is of finite type over  $T$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}_T$  is the open substack of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,s}(\infty_T/T, \beta')$  where the composition of the punctured map  $\mathcal{C}^{\circ} \rightarrow \infty_T$  with  $\infty_T \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}$  is the coarse moduli  $\mathcal{C}^{\circ} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}$ , hence contracts no components. In particular,  $\mathcal{R}_T$  is of finite type.

2.7.3. *The weak valuative criterion.* To show that  $\mathcal{R}_{g,s}(\infty, \beta)$  is proper, it remains to show that (2.25) is universally closed. Let  $R$  be a DVR and  $K$  be its fraction field. Consider the following

commutative diagram of solid arrows

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \underline{\eta} & \xrightarrow{[f_\eta]} & \mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}(\infty, \beta) \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow [f] & \downarrow \\
 \underline{S} & \xrightarrow{[f']} & \mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}'}, \beta)
 \end{array}$$

where  $\underline{S} = \text{Spec } R$ ,  $\underline{\eta} = \text{Spec } K$ ,  $f': \underline{C} \rightarrow \underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}'}$  is a stable map over  $\underline{S}$ , and  $f_\eta: \mathcal{C}_\eta^\circ \rightarrow \infty$  is a stable punctured map over  $\underline{\eta}$ . Possibly after a finite extension of DVRs, we would like to show that there is a unique dashed arrow  $[f]$  making the above diagram commutative.

By [3, Theorem 3.12], the morphism  $\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}(\infty, \beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{g,\{\bar{\gamma}_i\}}(\underline{\infty}, \beta)$  satisfies the weak valuative criterion. Thus, it suffices to show that, possibly after a finite extension of DVRs, there is a unique dashed arrow  $[f]$  making the above diagram commutative. Here  $\underline{f}: \underline{C} \rightarrow \underline{\infty}$  denotes an underlying R-map over  $\underline{S}$  with the restriction  $\underline{f}|_{\underline{\eta}} = \underline{f}_\eta$ .

Denote by  $\underline{\infty}_{\underline{S}} := \underline{C} \times_{\mathbf{BC}_\omega^*} \underline{\infty}$ . The underlying  $\underline{f}_\eta$  induces a stable map  $\underline{C}_\eta \rightarrow \underline{\infty}_{\underline{S}}$ . Possibly after a finite extension of DVRs, we may extend this to a stable map  $\underline{C} \rightarrow \underline{\infty}_{\underline{S}}$ . We arrive at a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \underline{C} & \longrightarrow & \underline{\infty}_{\underline{S}} & \longrightarrow & \underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}'} \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow & \nearrow f' & \\
 & & \underline{C} & & 
 \end{array}$$

We will show that the morphism  $\underline{C} \rightarrow \underline{C}$  is the coarse moduli, hence the composition  $\underline{C} \rightarrow \underline{\infty}_{\underline{S}} \rightarrow \underline{\infty}$  gives the unique  $\underline{f}$  as needed. It suffices to show that  $\underline{C} \rightarrow \underline{C}$  contracts no components over the central fiber.

Suppose  $\underline{C} \rightarrow \underline{C}$  contracts some components. Then there is a rational component  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \underline{C}$  with at most two special points contracted in  $\underline{C}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{Z}$  maps to a fiber of  $\underline{\infty}_{\underline{S}} \rightarrow \underline{C}$ . Since the composition  $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \underline{\infty}_{\underline{S}} \rightarrow \underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}'}$  coincides with  $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \underline{C} \rightarrow \underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}'}$ , the component  $\mathcal{Z}$  is contracted in  $\underline{\infty}_{\underline{S}}$ . This contradicts the construction of  $\underline{C} \rightarrow \underline{\infty}_{\underline{S}}$  as a stable map.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.13.  $\square$

**2.8. The canonical perfect obstruction theory.** Now assume that the strict morphism

$$(2.26) \quad \infty \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$$

is smooth. Note that it is also of DM-type. We will use  $\mathbb{L}$  to denote the log cotangent complex in the sense of [74]. By [74, 1.1 (ii), (iii)], we have

$$\Omega_{\infty/\mathbf{BC}_\omega^*} := \mathbb{L}_{\infty/\mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \infty_{\mathcal{A}}} \cong \mathbb{L}_{\underline{\infty}/\mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \underline{\infty}_{\mathcal{A}}} \cong \Omega_{\underline{\infty}/\mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \underline{\infty}_{\mathcal{A}}}$$

where  $\Omega_{\underline{\infty}/\mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \underline{\infty}_{\mathcal{A}}}$  is the usual cotangent bundle.

Using the short-hand notations  $\mathcal{R} := \mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}(\infty, \beta)$  and  $\mathfrak{M} := \mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})$ , consider the universal punctured maps

$$f_{\mathcal{R}}: \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}^\circ \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad f_{\mathfrak{M}}: \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{M}}^\circ \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$$

over  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathfrak{M}$  respectively. They fit in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \infty & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \\
 & \nearrow f_{\mathcal{R}} & & \nearrow f_{\mathfrak{M}} \\
 \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}^\circ & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{M}}^\circ & \\
 \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi & \\
 \mathcal{R} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{M} & 
 \end{array}$$

where the bottom square is Cartesian. For simplicity, let  $\pi$  be the projection for both families. The top square leads to two distinguished triangles

$$f_{\mathcal{R}}^* \Omega_{\infty/\mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}C_{\mathcal{R}}^{\circ}/\mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^* \times_{\infty_{\mathcal{A}}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}f_{\mathcal{R}} \xrightarrow{[1]} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{L}f_{\mathfrak{M}}|_{C_{\mathcal{R}}^{\circ}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}C_{\mathcal{R}}^{\circ}/\mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^* \times_{\infty_{\mathcal{A}}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}C_{\mathcal{R}}^{\circ}/C_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\circ} \xrightarrow{[1]}$$

hence a morphism

$$f_{\mathcal{R}}^* \Omega_{\infty/\mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}C_{\mathcal{R}}^{\circ}/C_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\circ} \cong \pi^* \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{R}/\mathfrak{M}}.$$

Let  $\omega_{\pi}^{\bullet} := \omega_{\pi}[1]$  be the dualizing complex of  $\pi$ . Then a standard argument gives the morphism

$$(2.27) \quad \varphi_{\mathcal{R}/\mathfrak{M}}^{\vee}: \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}/\mathfrak{M}}^{\vee} := R^{\bullet} \pi_* (f_{\mathcal{R}}^* \Omega_{\infty/\mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*} \otimes \omega_{\pi}^{\bullet}) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{R}/\mathfrak{M}},$$

and equivalently its dual

$$(2.28) \quad \varphi_{\mathcal{R}/\mathfrak{M}}: \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{R}/\mathfrak{M}} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}/\mathfrak{M}} \cong R^{\bullet} \pi_* (f_{\mathcal{R}}^* \Omega_{\infty/\mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*}^{\vee}).$$

Since  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  is strict,  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{R}/\mathfrak{M}}$  agrees with the usual cotangent complex of the underlying morphism. By [3, Proposition 4.2], (2.27) defines a perfect obstruction theory of  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  in the sense of [15]. Since  $\mathfrak{M}$  is equidimensional by Lemma 2.7, we may define the *canonical virtual cycle* of  $\mathcal{R}$ , denoted by  $[\mathcal{R}]^{\text{vir}}$ , via virtual pull-back with respect using (2.27).

### 3. THE REDUCED PERFECT OBSTRUCTION THEORY OF PUNCTURED R-MAPS

In this section, we will explain how a superpotential introduced below can be used to construct a reduced perfect obstruction theory. The compatibility of the reduced theory in [30] and the current paper will be made explicit in §6.2.6.

**Definition 3.1.** A *superpotential* of a target  $\infty$  is a section  $W$  of the line bundle

$$\mathcal{L}_{\omega} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\infty)$$

over  $\infty$ , for some positive integer  $\tilde{r}$  called the *order* of  $W$ .

**3.1. The category of punctured maps for the reduced theory.** It was shown in [31, 30] that log maps to  $\mathcal{A}$  with uniform maximal degeneracy form are the right subcategory of log maps for constructing the reduced theory of log R-maps. Such a configuration of degeneracies is also necessary for constructing reduced theory of punctured R-maps, which is the topic of this section.

**3.1.1. The moduli stacks with universal target.** In the following, we will consider maps from possibly disconnected curves, hence we will consider a sequence of discrete data

$$(3.1) \quad (g_i, \varsigma'_i), \quad \text{for } i \in [m] = \{1, 2, \dots, m\},$$

for punctured maps to  $\infty_{\mathcal{A}}$  as in §2.4.6, and write for simplicity  $\mathfrak{M}_i := \mathfrak{M}_{g_i, \varsigma'_i}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})$  with the universal punctured map  $f_i: C_i^{\circ} \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$ . The product  $\prod_{i \in [m]} \mathfrak{M}_i$  is the moduli of pre-stable punctured maps to  $\mathcal{A}$  with domains given by  $m$  connected components labeled by  $[m]$  with discrete data (3.1).

Denote by  $\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^{\lambda}$  the subcategory of punctured maps in  $\prod_{i \in [m]} \mathfrak{M}_i$  of uniform maximal degeneracy, or the  $\lambda$ -configuration. We obtain a tautological morphism

$$(3.2) \quad \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^{\lambda} \rightarrow \prod_{i \in [m]} \mathfrak{M}_i$$

The construction in [31, §3] applies to the above setting with little change:

**Proposition 3.2.** (1) *The tautological morphism (3.2) is proper, log étale, and represented by log algebraic spaces locally of finite type.*

(2) *If  $m = 1$  and  $(g, \varsigma') = (g_1, \varsigma'_1)$ , then  $\mathfrak{M}_{g, \varsigma'}^{\circ}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})$  is open and dense in  $\mathfrak{M}_{g, \varsigma'}^{\lambda}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}}) := \mathfrak{M}_{[1]}^{\lambda}$ , over which (3.2) is the identity. In particular, when  $m = 1$ , (3.2) is birational, and  $\mathfrak{M}_{g, \varsigma'}^{\lambda}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})$  is represented by an fs log algebraic stack locally of finite type of pure dimension (2.16).*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.7 (2), the universal punctured maps over  $\mathfrak{M}_{g, \varsigma'}^{\circ}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})$  have uniform maximal degeneracy. Thus when  $m = 1$ , (3.2) restricts to the identity over  $\mathfrak{M}_{g, \varsigma'}^{\circ}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})$ . The rest of the statement follows from an identical proof as in [31, Theorem 3.15]. Note that the  $\lambda$ -configuration of degeneracies only concerns the generic points of irreducible components. Thus, punctured points play no role in the proof.  $\square$

3.1.2. *The moduli of punctured R-maps with the  $\lambda$ -configuration.* Consider a collection of discrete data (2.21) for punctured R-maps to a target  $\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$

$$(3.3) \quad \left( g_i, \beta_i, \varsigma_i = \{(\overline{\gamma}_{ij}, c_{ij})\}_{j=1}^{n_i} \right), \quad \text{for } i \in [m].$$

compatible with (3.1). Write for simplicity  $\mathcal{R}_i = \mathcal{R}_{g_i, \varsigma_i}(\infty, \beta_i)$ . We obtain a Cartesian diagram with strict vertical arrows

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i \in [m]} \mathcal{R}_i \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i \in [m]} \mathfrak{M}_i \end{array}$$

with the left vertical arrow given by the tautological morphism (2.22).

The product  $\prod_{i \in [m]} \mathcal{R}_i$  is the moduli of stable punctured R-maps to  $\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$  with domains given by  $m$  connected components labeled by  $[m]$  with discrete data (3.3). By construction  $\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda$  is the moduli of stable punctured maps in  $\prod_{i \in [m]} \mathcal{R}_i$  with  $\lambda$ -configurations.

For later use, consider the universal  $\lambda$ -configured punctured maps:

$$(3.5) \quad f := \sqcup_{i \in [m]} f_i: \mathcal{C}^\circ = \sqcup_{i \in [m]} \mathcal{C}_i^\circ \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{f} := \sqcup_{i \in [m]} \mathfrak{f}_i: \mathfrak{C}^\circ := \sqcup_{i \in [m]} \mathfrak{C}_i^\circ \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$$

over  $\mathcal{R}^\lambda$  and  $\mathfrak{M}^\lambda$  respectively. Here  $f_i := f|_{\mathcal{C}_i^\circ}$  and  $\mathfrak{f}_i := \mathfrak{f}|_{\mathfrak{C}_i^\circ}$  denote the restrictions to the corresponding  $i$ -th components. Note that the maximal degeneracy of  $e_{\max}(\mathfrak{f}) \in \Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}, \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda)$  pulls back to the maximal degeneracy  $e_{\max}(f)$ , see Notation 2.5.

For the purpose of constructing perfect obstruction theories, we assume that (2.26) is smooth for the rest of this section. By (2.28), the right vertical arrow of (3.4) admits a canonical perfect obstruction theory. This pulls back to the *canonical perfect obstruction theory*

$$(3.6) \quad \varphi_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}: \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in [m]} \mathbb{E}_i =: \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}$$

of the left vertical arrow, where  $\mathbb{E}_i := \pi_{i,*} f_i^* \Omega_{\infty/\mathbf{BC}_\omega^*}^\vee$  with  $\pi_i: \mathcal{C}_i^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda$  the projection.

**3.2. The twisted superpotential.** To construct the reduced perfect obstruction theory by modifying the canonical theory (3.6), we introduce an appropriate *twisted superpotential* related to the superpotential defined above. This is similar to the case of log R-maps [30, Section 3.4].

3.2.1. *Expanded targets.* Let  $\mathcal{A}_{\max} := \mathcal{A}$  with the closed substack  $\Delta_{\max} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\max}$ . We obtain the following commutative diagram with Cartesian squares

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \infty_{\mathcal{A}^{e,\circ}} & \longrightarrow & \infty_{\mathcal{A}^e} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{b}} & \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \Delta_{\max} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A}^{e,\circ} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}^e & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}_{\max}. \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are strict closed embeddings, the bottom right arrow is the log blow-up along the center  $\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \Delta_{\max}$ , and the bottom left arrow is the open sub-stack obtained by removing the proper transform of  $\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A}_{\max}$ . In particular, all horizontal arrows are log étale. Let  $\mathcal{O}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})$  be the line bundle defining the log structure of  $\infty_{\mathcal{A}}$  as in (2.10). A straightforward local calculation shows that:

**Lemma 3.3.** *The underlying morphism of  $\infty_{\mathcal{A}^{e,\circ}} \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \Delta_{\max}$  is represented by the total space of the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})^\vee \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(\Delta_{\max})$  over  $\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \Delta_{\max}$ .*

Consider a target  $\infty$  with the log structure induced by a morphism  $\mathcal{O}(\infty): \infty \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$  as in §2.5.1. The construction in (3.7) can be pulled back to the targets of punctured R-maps via the following Cartesian square:

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \infty_{e,o} & \longrightarrow & \infty \times \Delta_{\max} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^* \times \Delta_{\max} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \infty_{\mathcal{A}^{e,o}} & \longrightarrow & \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \Delta_{\max} & & \end{array}$$

Lemma 3.3 implies:

**Corollary 3.4.** *The underlying morphism of  $\infty_{e,o} \rightarrow \infty \times \Delta_{\max}$  is represented by the total space of the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}(\infty)^{\vee} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(\Delta_{\max})$  over  $\infty \times \Delta_{\max}$ .*

### 3.2.2. The twisted superpotential.

**Definition 3.5.** A *twisted superpotential* of a target  $\infty$  is a morphism of underlying stacks

$$\widetilde{W}: \mathcal{O}(\infty)^{\vee} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(\Delta_{\max}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\omega} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r} \cdot \Delta_{\max})$$

over  $\mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^* \times \underline{\Delta}_{\max}$ , for some positive integer  $\tilde{r}$ , called the *order* of  $\widetilde{W}$ .

Thanks to Corollary 3.4, in what follows we may view a twisted superpotential  $\widetilde{W}$  as a morphism of log stacks

$$(3.9) \quad \widetilde{W}: \infty_{e,o} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\omega} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r} \cdot \Delta_{\max})$$

over  $\mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^* \times \Delta_{\max}$ , with the target equipped with the log structure pulled back from  $\mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^* \times \Delta_{\max}$ .

Alternatively, a twisted superpotential can be viewed as a  $\mathbb{C}_{\omega}^*$ -equivariant function as follows. Consider the pull-backs

$$\mathbb{C}_{\omega} \cong \mathcal{L}_{\omega} \times_{\mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*} \mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{k} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{C}_{\max} \cong \mathcal{O}(\Delta_{\max}) \times_{\Delta_{\max}} \mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{k}.$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{O}(\infty_{\mathbf{k}})^{\vee} := \mathcal{O}(\infty)^{\vee} \times_{\mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*} \mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{k}$  and consider the pull-back

$$\infty_{e,o,\mathbf{k}} := \infty_{e,o} \times_{\mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^* \times \Delta_{\max}} \mathrm{Spec} (\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{k})$$

along the strict smooth cover from a standard log point  $\mathrm{Spec} (\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^* \times \Delta_{\max}$ . Note that by Corollary 3.4, we have a line bundle structure with the  $\mathbb{C}_{\omega}^*$ -action

$$(3.10) \quad \infty_{e,o,\mathbf{k}} = \mathcal{O}(\infty_{\mathbf{k}})^{\vee} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\max} \rightarrow \infty_{\mathbf{k}} := \infty \times_{\mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*} \mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{k}.$$

The twisted superpotential  $\widetilde{W}$  then pulls back to a  $\mathbb{C}_{\omega}^*$ -equivariant function

$$(3.11) \quad \widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{k}}: \infty_{e,o,\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\omega} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\max}^{\otimes \tilde{r}}$$

where the right hand side has the log structure pulled back from  $\mathrm{Spec} (\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{k})$ .

**3.2.3. Twisted superpotentials versus superpotentials.** Given a superpotential  $W$ , there is an *associated twisted superpotential*  $\widetilde{W}$  constructed as follows. The diagonal  $p: \infty_{e,o} \rightarrow \infty_{e,o} \times_{\mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^* \times \Delta_{\max}} \infty_{e,o}$  is naturally viewed as a section of the line bundle  $(\mathcal{O}(\infty)^{\vee} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(\Delta_{\max}))|_{\infty_{e,o}}$ . It is also known as the *tautological section* of  $(\mathcal{O}(\infty)^{\vee} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(\Delta_{\max}))|_{\infty_{e,o}}$ , and vanishes precisely along the zero section of the total space of  $\mathcal{O}(\infty)^{\vee} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(\Delta_{\max}) \rightarrow \infty \times \Delta_{\max}$ . Then the product

$$W|_{\infty_{e,o}} \cdot p^{\tilde{r}},$$

is a section of the line bundle

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\omega} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\infty))|_{\infty_{e,o}} \otimes (\mathcal{O}(\infty)^{\vee} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(\Delta_{\max}))|_{\infty_{e,o}}^{\otimes \tilde{r}} \cong (\mathcal{L}_{\omega} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r} \cdot \Delta_{\max}))|_{\infty_{e,o}},$$

and hence corresponds to a morphism  $\widetilde{W}$  as in Definition 3.5. Indeed, any twisted superpotential can be obtained this way:

**Lemma 3.6.** *For every twisted superpotential  $\widetilde{W}$  as in Definition 3.5, there is a superpotential  $W$ , such that  $\widetilde{W}$  is obtained from  $W$  by the above construction.*



*Proof.* Base-changing along  $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{k} \rightarrow \underline{\Delta}_{\max}$ , we see that  $\widetilde{W}$  is equivalent to a  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant morphism

$$\mathcal{O}(\infty)^\vee \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\max} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\omega \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\max}^{\otimes \tilde{r}}$$

over  $\mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \cong \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{k}$ , with the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action given by the scaling of  $\mathbb{C}_{\max}$ . This data is clearly equivalent to a  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant morphism

$$\widetilde{W}': \mathcal{O}(\infty)^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\omega$$

over  $\mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$  with the analogous weights.

Pulling back first along  $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$ , then along an étale cover  $U = \mathrm{Spec}(A) \rightarrow \infty_{\mathbf{k}}$ , we obtain trivializations of both  $\mathcal{O}(\infty)^\vee$  and  $\mathcal{L}_\omega$  over  $U$ . Now  $\widetilde{W}'|_U$  may be viewed as a morphism  $U \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ , or equivalently a polynomial  $w' \in A[y]$ . The homogeneity implies that  $w'$  is of the form  $w' = y^{\tilde{r}} \cdot w''$ , where  $w'' \in A$ . The restriction  $p|_U$  of the tautological section  $p$  may similarly be identified with a section  $p' \in A[y]$  of the form  $p' = y \cdot p''$ , where  $p'' \in A^\times$  (noting that the tautological section only vanishes along the zero section). Thus, we can write  $w' = w''' \cdot (p')^{\tilde{r}}$  for  $w''' = w'' \cdot (p'')^{-\tilde{r}}$ . The descent datum for  $p$  then yields the descent datum for gluing  $w'''$  to a global section  $W$  of  $\mathcal{L}_\omega \otimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\infty)$ , and we have the desired factorization  $\widetilde{W} = W \cdot p^{\tilde{r}}$ .  $\square$

**3.2.4. Critical loci and transversality.** In this section, we introduce the critical locus of a (twisted) superpotential, as well as the notion of a transverse superpotential. For this purpose, it is important to view a twisted superpotential  $\widetilde{W}$  as a morphism of log stacks (3.9). This leads to the differential of log tangent bundles:

$$d\widetilde{W}: T_{\infty_{e,o}/\mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \Delta_{\max}} \rightarrow \widetilde{W}^* T_{\mathcal{L}_\omega \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r} \cdot \Delta_{\max})/\mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \Delta_{\max}} \cong (\mathcal{L}_\omega \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r} \cdot \Delta_{\max}))|_{\infty_{e,o}}$$

Note that the left horizontal arrow in (3.8) is log étale. Thus, the following

$$T_{\infty_{e,o}/\mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \Delta_{\max}} \cong \Omega_{\infty \times \Delta_{\max}/\mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \Delta_{\max}}^\vee|_{\infty_{e,o}} \cong \Omega_{\infty/\mathbf{BC}_\omega^*}^\vee|_{\infty_{e,o}}$$

is a vector bundle over  $\infty_{e,o}$ . Hence we have

$$(3.12) \quad d\widetilde{W}: \Omega_{\infty/\mathbf{BC}_\omega^*}^\vee|_{\infty_{e,o}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{L}_\omega \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r} \cdot \Delta_{\max}))|_{\infty_{e,o}}.$$

**Definition 3.7.** The *critical locus* of  $\widetilde{W}$ , denoted by  $\mathrm{Crit}(\widetilde{W})$  is the strict closed substack of  $\infty_{e,o}$  along which  $d\widetilde{W}$  vanishes. The superpotential  $\widetilde{W}$  is said to have *proper critical locus* if  $\mathrm{Crit}(\widetilde{W}) \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \Delta_{\max}$  is proper.

From the  $\mathbb{C}_\omega^*$ -equivariant point of view, the product

$$(3.13) \quad \mathrm{Crit}(\widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{k}}) = \mathrm{Crit}(\widetilde{W}) \times_{\mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \Delta_{\max}} \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}),$$

is the critical locus of the  $\mathbb{C}_\omega^*$ -equivariant function  $\widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{k}}$  as in (3.11). In particular  $\widetilde{W}$  has proper critical locus iff  $\mathrm{Crit}(\widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{k}})$  is proper. Next, we relate the properness of twisted superpotentials to the following transversality of the corresponding superpotential  $W$ .

**Definition 3.8.** The *critical locus* of a superpotential  $W$  is the closed substack  $\mathrm{Crit}(W)$  of  $\underline{\infty}$  such that for any smooth cover  $U \rightarrow \underline{\infty}$  and  $(\mathcal{L}_\omega \otimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\infty))|_U \cong \mathcal{O}_U$ , the critical locus of the regular function  $W|_U: U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  is  $\mathrm{Crit}(W)|_U$ . We say that a superpotential  $W$  is *transverse (to the zero section)* if the critical locus  $\mathrm{Crit}(W)$  is empty.

**Proposition 3.9.** *Let  $W$  be a superpotential, and let  $\widetilde{W}$  be the corresponding twisted superpotential. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The superpotential  $W$  is transverse.*
- (2)  *$\widetilde{W}$  has proper critical locus.*
- (3) *Set-theoretically,  $\mathrm{Crit}(\widetilde{W})$  is supported along the zero section of  $\mathcal{O}(\infty)^\vee \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(\Delta_{\max})$ .*

*Proof.* We start by making the differential  $d\widetilde{W}$  more explicit. For this, we introduce local coordinates. By (3.7) and (3.8), the characteristic sheaf  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\infty_{e,o},\mathbf{k}}$  has global generators

$$\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \Gamma(\infty_{e,o,\mathbf{k}}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\infty_{e,o},\mathbf{k}}) \cong \mathbb{N}^2$$

such that  $\delta_1$  is the pull-back of the unique generator of  $\Gamma(\infty, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_\infty) = \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\delta_2$  is trivial away from the zero section of (3.10). Denote by  $e$  the generator of  $\Gamma(\Delta_{\max}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Delta_{\max}}) \cong \mathbb{N}$ . Then we have  $e = \delta_1 + \delta_2$ , where  $e$  also denotes its pull-back over  $\infty_{e,\circ,\mathbf{k}}$ .

Selecting a local chart of  $\mathcal{M}_{\infty_{e,\circ,\mathbf{k}}}$ , we may lift  $e, \delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  to the corresponding local sections in  $\mathcal{M}_{\infty_{e,\circ,\mathbf{k}}}$ . Recall the structure morphism  $\alpha: \mathcal{M}_{\infty_{e,\circ,\mathbf{k}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ . Then  $\alpha(\delta_2)$  is identified with a local section of the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}(\infty_{\mathbf{k}})^\vee \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\max}$ , and is up to units the pullback of the tautological section  $p$ .

Let  $t$  be a coordinate of  $\mathbb{C}_\omega \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\max}^{\tilde{r}}$ . Recall that by Lemma 3.6

$$(3.14) \quad \widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{k}}^*(t) = w \cdot \alpha(\delta_2)^{\tilde{r}},$$

where  $w$  is a local section corresponding to  $W$ . Differentiating  $\widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{k}}$  as in (3.11), we compute

$$(d\widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{k}})^\vee(dt) = d(w \cdot \alpha(\delta_2)^{\tilde{r}}) = \alpha(\delta_2)^{\tilde{r}} dw + \tilde{r}w \cdot \alpha(\delta_2)^{\tilde{r}} \frac{d\alpha(\delta_2)}{\alpha(\delta_2)} = \alpha(\delta_2)^{\tilde{r}} dw + \tilde{r}w \cdot \alpha(\delta_2)^{\tilde{r}} d \log \delta_2.$$

Note that  $d \log \delta_2$  is a local generator of the sheaf of log differentials  $\Omega_{\infty/\mathbf{BC}_\omega^*}|_{\infty_{e,\circ,\mathbf{k}}}$ .

Hence by (3.13), the critical locus  $\text{Crit}(\widetilde{W})$  contains the zero section  $\text{Div}(\delta_2)$ . Furthermore, at each geometric point of the critical locus, at least one of  $\alpha(\delta_2)$  and  $(w, dw)$  must vanish. This proves that (1) and (3) are equivalent.

Note that (3) implies (2) since  $\text{Crit}(\widetilde{W})$  is a closed substack of the  $\tilde{r}$ th infinitesimal neighborhood of the zero section.

Finally, suppose  $\text{Crit}(\widetilde{W})$  contains a point away from  $\text{Div}(\delta_2)$ . By (3.13),  $\text{Crit}(\widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{k}})$  contains a point away from the zero section of (3.10). Hence it contains some fiber of the line bundle (3.10) by the  $\mathbb{C}_\omega^*$ -equivariance of  $\widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{k}}$ . This implies the non-properness of  $\text{Crit}(\widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{k}})$ , hence non-properness of  $\text{Crit}(W)$ . Therefore, (2) implies (3).  $\square$

**3.3. Cosection of the canonical theory.** We now pull back the (differential of the) twisted superpotential to the moduli of punctured R-maps to obtain a cosection of the canonical theory (3.6), leading to the definition of the reduced theory in the following section. The key is the  $\wedge$ -configuration defined in §3.1.

**3.3.1. Expansions along uniform maximal degeneracy.** The following condition can be viewed as the analog of the compact type loci of [30], and is necessary in the construction of the reduced theory below.

**Assumption 3.10.** The contact orders at all marked points are negative.

Consider a family of punctured maps  $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$  over  $S$  with uniform maximal degeneracy. Here  $\mathcal{C}^\circ$  is possibly disconnected. Let  $e_{\max} \in \Gamma(S, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_S)$  be the maximal degeneracy. It induces a canonical morphism  $S \rightarrow \Delta_{\max}$  as in (2.11), such that  $\mathfrak{f}^{\flat}(e) = e_{\max}$  for the generator  $e \in \Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Delta_{\max}})$ . Thus, we have

$$\mathcal{O}(\Delta_{\max})|_S \cong \mathcal{O}_S(e_{\max}).$$

Because of the above isomorphism, in what follows we are allowed to use either  $\mathcal{O}(\Delta_{\max})$  or  $\mathcal{O}(e_{\max})$ .

**Lemma 3.11.** *Suppose that  $\mathfrak{f}$  satisfies Assumption 3.10, and has uniform maximal degeneracy. Then there is a canonical morphism  $\mathfrak{f}_{e_{\max}}$  making the following diagram commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathcal{C}^\circ & \xrightarrow{\quad} & S \\ & \swarrow \mathfrak{f}_{e_{\max}} & \downarrow (\mathfrak{f}, e_{\max}) & & \downarrow e_{\max}=e|_S \\ \infty_{\mathcal{A}^{e_{\max},\circ}} & \xrightarrow{\quad \flat \quad} & \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \Delta_{\max} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Delta_{\max} \end{array}$$

where the bottom is given by (3.7), and  $\infty_{\mathcal{A}^{e_{\max},\circ}} := \infty_{\mathcal{A}^{e,\circ}}$  with  $e_{\max}$  in the superscript to emphasize  $\mathfrak{f}^{\flat}(e) = e_{\max}$ . Furthermore, identify the underlying of  $\infty_{\mathcal{A}^{e,\circ}}$  with the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})^\vee \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(\Delta_{\max})$  by Lemma 3.3. Over any geometric fiber, for each irreducible component  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{C}^\circ$  with associated degeneracy  $e_{\mathcal{Z}}$ , we have:

- (1)  $\mathfrak{f}_{e_{\max}}(\mathcal{Z})$  lies in the zero section of  $\mathcal{O}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})^\vee \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(\Delta_{\max})$  if  $e_{\mathcal{Z}} \leq e_{\max}$  and  $e_{\mathcal{Z}} \neq e_{\max}$ .
- (2)  $\mathfrak{f}_{e_{\max}}(\mathcal{Z})$  does not entirely lie in the zero section of  $\mathcal{O}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})^\vee \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(\Delta_{\max})$  if  $e_{\mathcal{Z}} = e_{\max}$ .
- (3) All markings are mapped to the zero section of  $\mathcal{O}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})^\vee \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(\Delta_{\max})$  via  $\mathfrak{f}_{e_{\max}}$ .

*Proof.* The proof is identical to [31, Lemma 3.19]. For the reader's convenience, we briefly recall some key points. Let  $\delta$  be the generator of  $\Gamma(\infty_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{M}_{\infty_{\mathcal{A}}}) \cong \mathbb{N}$ . Then, the arrow  $\mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \Delta_{\max}$  is defined by the pair of elements  $(\mathfrak{f}^\flat(\delta), e_{\max})$  in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{C}^\circ}$ . By the blow-up construction in (3.7), the lift  $f_{e_{\max}}$  if it exists, is defined by  $f_{e_{\max}}^\flat : (e_{\max} - \delta) \mapsto (e_{\max} - \mathfrak{f}^\flat(\delta)) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{C}^\circ}^{gp}$  provided that

$$(3.15) \quad (e_{\max} - \mathfrak{f}^\flat(\delta)) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{C}^\circ}.$$

Now the same proof as in [31, Lemma 3.19] shows that Assumption 3.10 together with the maximal degeneracy  $e_{\max}$  imply that  $\mathfrak{f}^\flat(\delta) \leq e_{\max}$  in  $\Gamma(\mathcal{C}^\circ, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}^\circ})$ , hence (3.15).

Finally, note that the zero section of  $\mathcal{O}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})^\vee \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(\Delta_{\max})$  is the locus where  $(e - \delta) \neq 0$ . We check (1) and (2) over a generic point of  $\mathcal{Z}$  using the observation that  $0 \neq e_{\max} - e_{\mathcal{Z}}$  unless  $\mathcal{Z}$  is maximally degenerated. A similar analysis using the local description at each marking, gives (3).  $\square$

The above lemma pulls back to punctured R-maps via (3.8) as follows:

**Corollary 3.12.** *Suppose  $f: \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \infty$  is a punctured R-map over  $S$  with uniform maximal degeneracy  $e_{\max}$  and satisfying Assumption 3.10. We then obtain a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & \mathcal{C}^\circ & \xrightarrow{\quad} & S \\ & & f_{e_{\max}} & \nearrow & \downarrow (\omega_{\log}, e_{\max}) & & \downarrow e_{\max} \\ \infty_{e_{\max}, \circ} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \infty \times \Delta_{\max} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \Delta_{\max} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Delta_{\max} \\ & & \nearrow (f, e) & & & & \end{array}$$

where  $\infty_{e_{\max}, \circ} := \infty_{e, \circ}$  with the subscript  $e_{\max}$  to emphasize the pull-back  $e|_S = e_{\max}$ . Furthermore, by identifying the underlying of  $\infty_{e_{\max}, \circ}$  with the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_S \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(\Delta_{\max})$  via Corollary 3.4, over any geometric fiber, for each irreducible component  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{C}^\circ$  with associated degeneracy  $e_{\mathcal{Z}}$ , we have:

- (1)  $f_{e_{\max}}(\mathcal{Z})$  lies in the zero section of  $\mathcal{O}(\infty)^\vee \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(\Delta_{\max})$  if  $e_{\mathcal{Z}} \leq e_{\max}$  and  $e_{\mathcal{Z}} \neq e_{\max}$ .
- (2)  $f_{e_{\max}}(\mathcal{Z})$  does not lie in the zero section of  $\mathcal{O}(\infty)^\vee \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(\Delta_{\max})$  if  $e_{\mathcal{Z}} = e_{\max}$ .
- (3) All markings are mapped to the zero section of  $\mathcal{O}(\infty)^\vee \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(\Delta_{\max})$  via  $f_{e_{\max}}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{f}$  be the composition  $\mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \infty \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$ . We have the following commutative diagram of solid arrows with the square Cartesian:

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{C}^\circ & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \infty \times \Delta_{\max} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \Delta_{\max} \\ \downarrow f_{e_{\max}} & \nearrow (f, e_{\max}) & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \infty_{e_{\max}, \circ} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \infty \times \Delta_{\max} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \Delta_{\max} \\ \downarrow f_{e_{\max}} & \nearrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \infty_{\mathcal{A}e_{\max}, \circ} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \Delta_{\max} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \Delta_{\max} \end{array}$$

This defines  $f_{e_{\max}}$ . The rest of the statement follows from Lemma 3.11.  $\square$

**3.3.2. The relative cosection.** We will employ the notations of §3.1.2, assume Assumption 3.10, and use  $e_{\max}$  for both  $e_{\max}(f)$  and  $e_{\max}(\mathfrak{f})$ . Consider a twisted superpotential  $\widetilde{W}$  as in (3.9), fitting in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \infty_{e_{\max}, \circ} & \xrightarrow{\quad \widetilde{W} \quad} & \mathcal{L}_\omega \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max}) \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \Delta_{\max} & \end{array}$$

Then (3.16) gives a commutative diagram

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & \widetilde{W} & \searrow & \mathcal{L}_\omega \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max}) \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{C}^\circ} & \xrightarrow{f_{e_{\max}}} & \infty_{e_{\max}, \circ} & \longrightarrow & \infty \times \Delta_{\max} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \Delta_{\max} \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda & \longrightarrow & \xrightarrow{f_{e_{\max}}} & \infty_{\mathcal{A}}^{e_{\max}, \circ} & \longrightarrow & \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \Delta_{\max} & \longrightarrow & \Delta_{\max} \end{array}$$

where  $f_{e_{\max}}$  and  $f_{e_{\max}}$  are obtained by applying Lemma 3.11 and Corollary 3.12 to the universal maps in (3.5) respectively.

Pulling back  $d\widetilde{W}$  as in (3.12) along  $f_{e_{\max}}$ , we obtain

$$(3.18) \quad f_{e_{\max}}^* d\widetilde{W} : f^* \Omega_{\infty/\mathbf{BC}_\omega^*}^\vee \cong f_{e_{\max}}^* \Omega_{\infty/\mathbf{BC}_\omega^*}^\vee|_{\infty_{e_{\max}, \circ}} \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{C}^\circ/\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda}^{\log} \otimes f_{e_{\max}}^* \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max}).$$

The following lemma, relying on Assumption 3.10, is crucial for constructing the reduced theory.

**Lemma 3.13.** *There is a canonical factorization*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^* \Omega_{\infty/\mathbf{BC}_\omega^*}^\vee & \xrightarrow{f_{e_{\max}}^* d\widetilde{W}} & \omega_{\mathcal{C}^\circ/\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda}^{\log} \otimes f_{e_{\max}}^* \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max}) \\ & \searrow & \uparrow \\ & \omega_{\mathcal{C}^\circ/\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda} \otimes f_{e_{\max}}^* \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max}) & \end{array}$$

We will also denote the left skewed arrow by  $f_{e_{\max}}^* d\widetilde{W}$  for simplicity.

*Proof.* By Corollary 3.12,  $f_{e_{\max}}^* d\widetilde{W}$  degenerates along all markings, which implies the factorization as in the statement.  $\square$

Further pushing forward along the projection  $\pi : \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda$  and applying the above lemma, we obtain

$$(3.19) \quad \pi_* (f_{e_{\max}}^* d\widetilde{W}) : \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda} \rightarrow \pi_* \omega_{\mathcal{C}^\circ/\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max})|_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda},$$

where  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}$  is as in (3.6). Taking  $H^1$ , we obtain the *relative cosection*:

$$(3.20) \quad \sigma_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda} : H^1(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in [m]} \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max})|_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max})|_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda},$$

where the first arrow is  $R^1\pi_*(f_{e_{\max}}^* d\widetilde{W})$  and the second arrow is taking the sum of each component. For later use, define the *boundary complex*

$$(3.21) \quad \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda} := \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max})|_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda}[-1]$$

Then the relative cosection (3.20) takes the form

$$(3.22) \quad \sigma_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda} : H^1(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}[1].$$

The following property is crucial in the construction of the reduced theory:

**Proposition 3.14.** *Suppose  $\widetilde{W}$  has proper critical locus. Then  $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}$  is surjective.*

*Proof.* It suffices to check the statement over each geometric point  $s \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda$ . The fiber of (3.20) over  $s$  is

$$(3.23) \quad \bigoplus_{i \in [m]} H^1(f_{i,s}^* \Omega_{\infty/\mathbf{BC}_\omega^*}^\vee) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in [m]} \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max})|_s \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max})|_s,$$

where  $f_{i,s}$  is the fiber of  $f_i$  as in (3.5). Note that at least one of the connected component of  $\mathcal{C}_s^\circ$ , say  $\mathcal{C}_{k,s}^\circ$ , has a maximally degenerate component. We will show that the  $k$ -th component of the left arrow in (3.23)

$$(3.24) \quad \sigma_k : H^1(f_{k,s}^* \Omega_{\infty/\mathbf{BC}_\omega^*}^\vee) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max})|_s$$

is surjective, hence the desired surjectivity as in the statement. Below, we follow the same line of argument as in [30, Proposition 3.18].

Applying Serre duality to (3.24) and taking duals, we have

$$\sigma_{k,s}^\vee: H^0(\mathcal{O}(-\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max})|_{\mathcal{C}_{k,s}^\circ}) \rightarrow H^0(\omega_{\mathcal{C}_{k,s}^\circ} \otimes f_{k,s}^* \Omega_\infty / \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*).$$

Thus  $\sigma_k$  is surjective iff  $\sigma_k^\vee$  is injective. Note that  $\mathcal{O}(-\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max})|_{\mathcal{C}_{k,s}^\circ} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{k,s}^\circ}$ . Thus it remains to show that  $\sigma_{k,s}^\vee \in H^0(\omega_{\mathcal{C}_{k,s}^\circ} \otimes f_{k,s}^* \Omega_\infty / \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*)$  is non-zero.

Taking duals, we may write  $\sigma_{k,s}^\vee$  as a morphism:

$$\omega_{\mathcal{C}_{k,s}^\circ}^\vee \otimes f_{k,s}^* \Omega_\infty^\vee / \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max})|_{\mathcal{C}_{k,s}^\circ},$$

which is equivalent to

$$(3.25) \quad (f_{e_{\max}}^* \mathrm{d}\tilde{W})|_{\mathcal{C}_{k,s}^\circ}: f_{k,s}^* \Omega_\infty^\vee / \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{C}_{k,s}^\circ} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max})|_{\mathcal{C}_{k,s}^\circ}$$

given by the left skewed arrow in Lemma 3.13.

By Proposition 3.9 and Corollary 3.12 (2), the image of the maximally degenerate component of  $\mathcal{C}_{k,s}^\circ$  via  $f_{e_{\max}}$  is not contained in the critical locus  $\mathrm{Crit}(\tilde{W})$ . Thus  $(f_{e_{\max}}^* \mathrm{d}\tilde{W}_{\max})|_{\mathcal{C}_{k,s}^\circ}$  is non-trivial along the maximally degenerate component. This implies that  $\sigma_{k,s}^\vee \neq 0$ , hence the surjectivity of  $\sigma_{k,s}$ .  $\square$

### 3.4. The reduced perfect obstruction theory.

3.4.1. *The statement.* Consider the composition of complexes

$$(3.26) \quad \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda} \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda})[-1] \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}} \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda},$$

induced by (3.22). This leads to a triangle

$$(3.27) \quad \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}^{\mathrm{red}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda} \xrightarrow{[1]}.$$

**Theorem 3.15.** *There is a canonical factorization of  $\varphi_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}$  through a perfect obstruction theory  $\varphi_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}^{\mathrm{red}}$  of  $\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda$  as follows:*

$$(3.28) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}} & \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda} \\ & \searrow \varphi_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}^{\mathrm{red}} & \nearrow \\ & \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}^{\mathrm{red}} & \end{array}$$

We call  $\varphi_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}^{\mathrm{red}}$  the reduced perfect obstruction theory of  $\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda$ .

When  $m = 1$ , by the pure dimensionality of Proposition 3.2 (2) we obtain the *reduced* and canonical virtual cycles associated to  $\varphi_{\mathcal{R}_{[1]}^\lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{[1]}^\lambda}^{\mathrm{red}}$  and  $\varphi_{\mathcal{R}_{[1]}^\lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{[1]}^\lambda}$ , denoted by  $[\mathcal{R}_{[1]}^\lambda]^{\mathrm{red}}$  and  $[\mathcal{R}_{[1]}^\lambda]^{\mathrm{vir}}$  respectively. By (3.27), these two cycles are related as follows:

**Corollary 3.16.**  $[\mathcal{R}_{[1]}^\lambda]^{\mathrm{vir}} = c_1(\mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max})|_{\mathcal{R}}) \cap [\mathcal{R}_{[1]}^\lambda]^{\mathrm{red}}$ .

*Proof.* This follows from [70, Corollary 4.9]. Indeed, (3.27) gives a compatible triple of perfect obstruction theories where the zero map  $0 = \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{R}_{[1]}^\lambda / \mathcal{R}_{[1]}^\lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{R}_{[1]}^\lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{[1]}^\lambda}$  is the perfect obstruction theory of the identity  $\mathcal{R}_{[1]}^\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{[1]}^\lambda$ .  $\square$

The reduced virtual cycles in the  $m > 1$  case will be studied in §4 in the context of further modifications of  $\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda$ , to aid the reduction of the disconnected to the connected theory (Theorem 1.12).

Next, we prove Theorem 3.15, following the method of [30, §6].

3.4.2. *The twisted Hodge bundle.* Consider the universal curve  $\pi_{\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda} : \mathfrak{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda$ , and the following line bundle over  $\mathfrak{C}^\circ$

$$\tilde{\omega} := \omega_{\mathfrak{C}^\circ/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max})|_{\mathfrak{C}^\circ}.$$

Pushing forward along  $\pi_{\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}$  and applying the projection formula, we obtain

$$(3.29) \quad \mathfrak{H} := R^0\pi_{\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda,*}(\tilde{\omega}) \cong R^0\pi_{\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda,*}(\omega_{\mathfrak{C}^\circ/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max})|_{\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda},$$

called the *twisted Hodge bundle* over  $\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda$ . We may view  $\mathfrak{H}$  as the log stack with the strict morphism to  $\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda$ . Recall the puncturing  $\mathfrak{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ . Consider the projection

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{H}} : \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{H}} := \mathfrak{C} \times_{\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda} \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}.$$

Note that  $\mathfrak{H}$  is the direct image cone over  $\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda$  as in [20, Definition 2.1], which parameterizes sections of  $\tilde{\omega} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ . Let  $\rho_{\mathfrak{H}}$  be the universal section over  $\mathfrak{H}$  fitting in the following commutative triangle

$$(3.30) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{L}_\omega \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max}) & \\ \rho_{\mathfrak{H}} \nearrow & \downarrow & \\ \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{H}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \Delta_{\max} \end{array}$$

Note that (3.17) defines a commutative triangle

$$(3.31) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \rho_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda} := \tilde{W} \circ f_{e_{\max}} \nearrow & \mathcal{L}_\omega \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max}) & \\ & \downarrow & \\ \mathfrak{C}^\circ & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \Delta_{\max} \end{array}$$

This leads to a canonical morphism over  $\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda$

$$(3.32) \quad \mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$$

along which  $\rho_{\mathfrak{H}}$  pulls back to  $\rho_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda}$ .

By [20, Proposition 2.5],  $\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda$  has a perfect obstruction theory by deforming  $\rho_{\mathfrak{H}}$ :

$$(3.33) \quad \varphi_{\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda} : \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda} := \pi_{\mathfrak{H},*}(\tilde{\omega}|_{\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{H}}}).$$

Note that  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda} = T_{\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda} \cong \mathfrak{H}|_{\mathfrak{H}}$ , since  $\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda$  is a vector bundle. Define a complex on  $\mathfrak{H}$ :

$$(3.34) \quad \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{H}} := \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max})|_{\mathfrak{H}}[-1].$$

Consider the following surjection

$$\sigma_{\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda} : H^1(\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}) = R^1\pi_{\mathfrak{H},*}(\tilde{\omega}|_{\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{H}}}) = \bigoplus_{i \in [m]} \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max})|_{\mathfrak{H}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{H}}[1]$$

where the last arrow is induced by taking summation. This defines a composition

$$(3.35) \quad \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda} \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda})[-1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{H}}.$$

**Lemma 3.17.** *The following composition is the zero morphism*

$$(3.36) \quad \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{H}}.$$

*Proof.* Indeed, the composition factors through

$$\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda} \cong H^0(\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda},$$

hence is the zero morphism.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.18.** *There is a canonical commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\wedge} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\wedge}|_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge} \\
 \varphi_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\wedge} \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_{\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\wedge}|_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge} \\
 \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\wedge} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\wedge}|_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\wedge} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{H}}|_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge}
 \end{array}$$

where the top horizontal arrow is given by (3.32), the lower left vertical arrow is the composition (3.26), and the lower right vertical arrow is given by (3.35).

*Proof.* We first construct the upper square. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge & \xleftarrow{\pi} & \mathcal{C}^\circ & \xrightarrow{f_{e_{\max}}} & \infty_{e_{\max},0} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \widetilde{W} \\
 \mathfrak{H} & \xleftarrow{\pi_{\mathfrak{H}}} & \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{H}} & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathfrak{H}}} & \mathcal{L}_\omega \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max}) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\wedge & \xleftarrow{\pi_{\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\wedge}} & \mathfrak{C} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \Delta_{\max}
 \end{array}$$

where the left column is Cartesian, and  $\mathcal{L}_\omega \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max})$  is equipped with the log structure pulled back from  $\mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \Delta_{\max}$ . This leads to a commutative diagram of complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\wedge}|_{\mathcal{C}^\circ} \cong \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{C}^\circ/\mathfrak{C}} & \longrightarrow & f_{e_{\max}}^* \Omega_{\infty/\mathbf{BC}_\omega^*}^\vee|_{\infty_{e,0}} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow f_{e_{\max}}^* d\widetilde{W} \\
 \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\wedge}|_{\mathcal{C}^\circ} \cong \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{H}}/\mathfrak{C}}|_{\mathcal{C}^\circ} & \longrightarrow & \omega_{\mathcal{C}^\circ/\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge} \otimes f_{e_{\max}}^* \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max})
 \end{array}$$

where the right vertical arrow is given by Lemma 3.13. Pushing forward along  $\pi$  and applying adjunction, we obtain the top square in the statement

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\wedge} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\wedge}|_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\wedge} & \xrightarrow{\pi_* f_{e_{\max}}^* d\widetilde{W}} & \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\wedge}|_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge}
 \end{array}$$

where the right vertical arrow is (3.19).

For the bottom square, note that the bottom isomorphism follows immediately from (3.21) and (3.34). The commutativity follows from the observation that the composition  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\wedge} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\wedge}|_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{H}}|_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge}$  is indeed (3.26).  $\square$

3.4.3. *Proof of Theorem 3.15.* Lemma 3.18 provides a commutative diagram of solid arrows

$$(3.37) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\wedge} & & & & & & \\
 \searrow \varphi_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\wedge}^{\text{red}} & & & & & & \\
 & \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\wedge}^{\text{red}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\wedge} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\wedge} & \xrightarrow{[1]} \\
 & & & \downarrow & & \parallel & \\
 & & & \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\wedge}|_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{H}}|_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge} & \\
 \swarrow & & & & & & \\
 & \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\wedge}|_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\wedge}|_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{H}}|_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\wedge} & 
 \end{array}$$

First, note that the composition  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}$  factors through the zero morphism (3.36) by Lemma 3.17, hence is also a zero morphism. This gives the desired dashed arrow  $\varphi_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}^{\text{red}}$  making (3.37) commutative.

Next, we verify that  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}^{\text{red}}$  is perfect in  $[0, 1]$ . Since  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}$  is a vector bundle in degree 1, and  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}^{\text{red}}$  is perfect in  $[0, 1]$ , we conclude that  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}^{\text{red}}$  is perfect in  $[0, 2]$ . It remains to show that  $H^2(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}^{\text{red}}) = 0$ . The long exact sequence of (3.27) gives

$$H^1(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}} H^1(\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}^{\text{red}}) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}) = 0.$$

Thus,  $H^2(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}^{\text{red}}) = 0$  follows from the surjectivity of  $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}$  in Proposition 3.14.

Now taking  $H^0$ , we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & H^0(\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}) & & \\ & H^0(\varphi_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}^{\text{red}}) \swarrow & \downarrow H^0(\varphi_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}) & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow H^0(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}^{\text{red}}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} H^0(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}) & \longrightarrow H^0(\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}) = 0 \end{array}$$

The isomorphism  $H^0(\varphi_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda})$  implies that  $H^0(\varphi_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}^{\text{red}})$  is also an isomorphism.

Finally taking  $H^1$ , we obtain a commutative diagram (3.38)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & H^1(\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}) & & & & \\ & H^1(\varphi_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}^{\text{red}}) \swarrow & \downarrow H^1(\varphi_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}) & & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}^{\text{red}}) & \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}) & \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}) = \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}[1] & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Thus the injectivity of  $H^1(\varphi_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda})$  implies that  $H^1(\varphi_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}^{\text{red}})$  is injective.

We conclude that  $\varphi_{\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda/\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda}^{\text{red}}$  is a perfect obstruction theory of  $\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\lambda \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda$  in the sense of [15].

#### 4. REDUCTION TO THE CONNECTED THEORY

We follow the notations in §3.1.1, and write for simplicity

$$(4.1) \quad \mathfrak{M}_i := \mathfrak{M}_{g_i, \zeta'_i}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{M}_i^\lambda := \mathfrak{M}_{g_i, \zeta'_i}^\lambda(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})$$

with the universal punctured maps  $\mathbf{f}_i: \mathcal{C}_i^\circ \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\mathbf{f}_i^\lambda: \mathcal{C}_i^{\lambda, \circ} \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$  respectively. It was shown in §3 that the stacks  $\mathfrak{M}_i^\lambda$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_i$  provide the right categories for defining the reduced theory. The goal of this section to compute disconnected invariants of both reduced and canonical theories in terms of connected ones. As explained in Remark 1.11, this requires further modifications of  $\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda$  for two reasons that we recall. First, there is no morphism from  $\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\lambda$  to  $\prod_{i \in [m]} \mathfrak{M}_i^\lambda$ , since each connected component of the domain might not have the uniform maximal degeneracy. Second, the localization formulas of log GLSM in [29] involve  $\psi_{\min}$  classes (see §4.4), which require the  $\Upsilon$ -configuration §2.4.4. These two motivations lead to further combinations of the  $\lambda$ - and  $\Upsilon$ -configurations as discussed below.

##### 4.1. Maps with extremal configurations.

4.1.1. *The  $\Upsilon$ -configuration with connected domains.* Given discrete data  $(g, \zeta')$  for punctured maps to  $\infty_{\mathcal{A}}$ , consider the sheaf  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}(f)$  of degeneracies over  $\mathfrak{M}_{g, \zeta'}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})$ , and the subsheaf  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}^m(f) \subset \overline{\mathbf{D}}(f)$  of minimal elements. As observed in §2.4.3, sections in  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}^m(f)$  are nowhere zero. Thus we obtain a sheaf of nowhere trivial toric ideals  $\overline{\mathcal{K}}^m \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{M}_{g, \zeta'}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})}$  generated by  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}^m(f)$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{K}^m \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{M}_{g, \zeta'}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})}$



the corresponding log-ideal given by the pre-image of  $\overline{\mathcal{K}}^m$ . Then  $\mathcal{K}^m$  is everywhere non-trivial. Consider the log blow-up along  $\mathcal{K}^m$  in the fs category [73, III 2.6]:

$$(4.2) \quad \mathrm{Bl}_{\mathcal{K}^m}: \mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}^\vee(\infty_{\mathcal{A}}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}}).$$

Note that  $\mathrm{Bl}_{\mathcal{K}^m}$  is projective and log étale [73, III 2.6.4, 2.6.5]. Furthermore, it is the universal principalization of  $\mathcal{K}^m$  [73, III 2.6.1 (1)]. Thus the everywhere non-trivial log-ideal  $\mathcal{K}^\vee := \mathcal{K}^m|_{\mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}^\vee(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})}$  is principal. By construction, there is a global section  $e_{\min} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{K}^\vee)$  locally generates  $\mathcal{K}^\vee$ , whose fiber over each geometric point is the unique minimal degeneracy. Thus the universal punctured map over  $\mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}^\vee(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})$  has uniform minimal degeneracy. Furthermore, it is universal:

**Proposition 4.1.** (1)  $\mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}^\circ(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})$  is an open dense substack of  $\mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}^\vee(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})$ . In particular,  $\mathrm{Bl}_{\mathcal{K}^m}$  is birational.

(2)  $\mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}^\vee(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})$  is the stack parameterizing punctured maps with uniform minimal degeneracy and the discrete data  $(g_i, \varsigma'_i)$ .

*Proof.* The first statement follows from Lemma 2.7 (2). For (2), consider a punctured map  $f: \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$  over  $S$  given by  $S \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})$ . If  $f$  has uniform minimal degeneracy, then the pull-back  $\mathcal{K}^m|_S$  is generated by the minimal degeneracy, hence is principal. The universal property of  $\mathrm{Bl}_{\mathcal{K}^m}$  implies a canonical map  $S \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}^\vee(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})$  with  $f$  the pull-back of the universal punctured map over  $\mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}^\vee(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})$ .  $\square$

4.1.2. *The  $\vee$ -configuration with disconnected domains.* Write for simplicity

$$\mathfrak{M}_i^\vee := \mathfrak{M}_{g_i,\varsigma'_i}^\vee(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})$$

with the universal punctured maps  $f_i^\vee: \mathcal{C}_i^{\vee,\circ} \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Let  $f = \sqcup f_i^\vee$  be the universal punctured map over  $\prod_{i \in [m]} \mathfrak{M}_i^\vee$ . Denote by  $e_{\min,i}$  the minimal degeneracy over  $\mathfrak{M}_i^\vee$ . Then the sheaf  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}^m(f)$  of minimal degeneracies is globally constant given by  $\{e_{\min,i}\}_{i \in [m]}$ . Let  $\overline{\mathcal{K}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\prod_{i \in [m]} \mathfrak{M}_i^\vee}$  be the ideal generated by  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}^m(f)$ , and  $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\prod_{i \in [m]} \mathfrak{M}_i^\vee}$  the log-ideal given by the pre-image of  $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ . Consider the log blow-up along  $\mathcal{K}$  in the fs category:

$$(4.3) \quad \mathrm{Bl}_{\mathcal{K}}: \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\vee \rightarrow \prod_{i \in [m]} \mathfrak{M}_i^\vee.$$

The log blow-up  $\mathrm{Bl}_{\mathcal{K}}$  is projective and log étale, with the following universal property:

**Proposition 4.2.**  $\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\vee$  parameterizes punctured maps with uniform minimal degeneracy such that the following hold fiberwise:

- (1) The domain curves have  $m$  connected components labeled by  $[m]$ .
- (2) For each  $i \in [m]$ , the  $i$ th connected component has uniform minimal degeneracy with discrete data  $(g_i, \varsigma'_i)$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the case of Proposition 4.1 (2).  $\square$

For later use, we consider the structure of  $\mathrm{Bl}_{\mathcal{K}}$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** The morphism  $\mathrm{Bl}_{\mathcal{K}}$  is given by a composition

$$(4.4) \quad \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\vee \xrightarrow{\mathrm{S}} \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^{\mathrm{fine}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Bl}_{\mathcal{K}}^f} \prod_{i \in [m]} \mathfrak{M}_i^\vee$$

such that

- (1)  $\mathrm{S}$  is the saturation which is an isomorphism over the open dense substack  $\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^{\vee,\circ} \subset \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\vee$  with smooth source curves.
- (2) There is an open dense substack  $\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^{\vee,\circ,\circ} \subset \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^{\vee,\circ}$  such that the degeneracies of connected components are all identical. In particular,  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^{\vee,\circ,\circ}} \cong \mathbb{N}_{\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^{\vee,\circ,\circ}}$  is the globally constant sheaf.

(3)  $\mathrm{Bl}_{\mathcal{K}}^f$  is the log blow-up along  $\mathcal{K}$  in the fine category. In particular, the underlying of  $\mathrm{Bl}_{\mathcal{K}}^f$  is the projection

$$\underline{\mathfrak{M}}_{[m]}^{\mathrm{fine}} \cong \mathbb{P}\left(\bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}(e_{\min,i})\right) \rightarrow \prod_{i \in [m]} \mathfrak{M}_i^\gamma,$$

where  $\mathcal{O}(e_{\min,i})$  is the line bundle associated to  $e_{\min,i}$  as in §2.3.2.

*Proof.* The factorization  $\mathrm{Bl}_{\mathcal{K}} = \mathrm{Bl}_{\mathcal{K}}^f \circ \mathcal{S}$  follows from the construction of log blow-ups in [73, III 2.6.3]. Since  $\overline{\mathcal{K}}_{[m]}$  is generated by the set of global sections  $\{e_{\min,i}\}_{i \in [m]}$ , (3) follows from the local description [73, III 2.6.4] of log blow-ups.

Note that the log structure  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\prod_{i \in [m]} \mathfrak{M}_i^\circ}$  is locally free with generators given by  $\{e_{\min,i}\}_{i \in [m]}$ . A straightforward calculation shows that the saturation is trivial over  $\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^{\gamma, \circ}$ . This is (1). Finally,  $\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^{\gamma, \circ, \circ} \subset \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^{\gamma, \circ}$  is the open locus along which the sections  $\{e_{\min,i}|_{\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^{\gamma, \circ, \circ}}\}$  are identical. This implies (2).  $\square$

4.1.3. *Universal punctured maps with  $\diamond$ -configurations.* Consider the fiber products

$$(4.5) \quad \mathfrak{M}_i^\diamond := \mathfrak{M}_i^\wedge \times_{\mathfrak{M}_i} \mathfrak{M}_i^\gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\diamond := \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\gamma \times_{\prod_{i \in [m]} \mathfrak{M}_i^\gamma} \left( \prod_{i \in [m]} \mathfrak{M}_i^\diamond \right),$$

both taken in the fs category, with projections

$$(4.6) \quad \mathfrak{M}_i^\diamond \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_i^\wedge \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_i,$$

$$(4.7) \quad \mathrm{Bl}_{\mathcal{K}}: \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\diamond \rightarrow \prod_{i \in [m]} \mathfrak{M}_i^\diamond.$$

The morphism (4.6) exhibits  $\mathfrak{M}_i^\diamond$  as the stack parameterizing punctured maps in  $\mathfrak{M}_i$  with  $\diamond$ -configurations. By Proposition 3.2 and 4.1, the morphisms in (4.6) are proper, representable, log étale and birational, and restrict to isomorphisms over the open dense substack  $\mathfrak{M}_i^\circ \subset \mathfrak{M}_i^\diamond$ . In particular,  $\mathfrak{M}_i^\diamond$  is equidimensional with  $\dim \mathfrak{M}_i^\diamond = \dim \mathfrak{M}_i$ .

By the base change property of log blow-ups [73, III 2.6.3 (1)], the morphism (4.7) is obtained by pulling back (4.3), and is the log blow-up along the pull-back  $\mathcal{K}^\diamond$  of  $\mathcal{K}$ . Furthermore, it exhibits  $\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\diamond$  as the stack parameterizing punctured maps in  $\prod_{i \in [m]} \mathfrak{M}_i^\diamond$  with the  $\gamma$ -configuration. More precisely, denote by

$$\mathfrak{f}^\diamond = \sqcup_{i \in [m]} \mathfrak{f}_i^\diamond: \mathfrak{C}_{[m]}^{\circ, \diamond} = \sqcup_{i \in [m]} \mathfrak{C}_i^{\circ, \diamond} \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$$

the universal punctured maps over  $\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\diamond$ , where  $\mathfrak{f}_i^\diamond: \mathfrak{C}_i^{\circ, \diamond} \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$  is the pull-back of the universal punctured map over  $\mathfrak{M}_i^\diamond$ . Then  $\mathfrak{f}^\diamond$  has the  $\gamma$ -configuration.

By (2.16) and Lemma 4.3, the stack  $\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\diamond$  is equidimensional with

$$(4.8) \quad \dim \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\diamond = \sum_{i \in [m]} \dim \mathfrak{M}_i + m - 1 = \sum_{i \in [m]} (3g_i + \ell(\zeta'_i)) - 3m - 1,$$

where  $\ell(\zeta'_i)$  denotes the number of markings labeled by  $\zeta'_i$ .

For later use, let  $e_{\max,i} \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{M}_i^\wedge, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{M}_i^\wedge})$  be the maximal degeneracy, see Notation 2.5. It pulls back to the maximal degeneracy of the  $i$ -th component of the universal punctured map over  $\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\diamond$ , denoted again by  $e_{\max,i}$ .

Let  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}^M$  be the sheaf of maximal degeneracies over  $\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\diamond$ . Indeed,  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}^M$  is globally constant given by the set of global sections

$$(4.9) \quad \left\{ e_{\max,i} \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\diamond, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\diamond}) \mid i \in [m] \right\},$$

which fiberwisely do not necessarily have a maximum with respect to the canonical ordering.

4.1.4. *Punctured R-maps with  $\diamond$ -configurations.* Consider the moduli of stable punctured R-maps  $\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}(\beta, \infty)$  with connected domain curves. We obtain a Cartesian diagram with strict vertical arrows

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\diamond(\beta, \infty) & \xrightarrow{F^\lambda} & \mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\lambda(\beta, \infty) & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}(\beta, \infty) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}^\diamond(\infty_{\mathcal{A}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}^\lambda(\infty_{\mathcal{A}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}}) \end{array}$$

Then  $\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\diamond(\beta, \infty)$  is the moduli of stable punctured R-maps with uniform extremal degeneracies or the  $\diamond$ -configuration (Definition 2.2), and with the discrete data  $(g, \beta, \varsigma)$ . The universal punctured R-map over  $\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\diamond(\beta, \infty)$  is simply the pullback of the universal punctured R-map of  $\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}(\beta, \infty)$ .

Assume (2.26) is smooth. We obtain canonical virtual cycles  $[\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\diamond(\beta, \infty)]^{\text{vir}}$ ,  $[\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\lambda(\beta, \infty)]^{\text{vir}}$  and  $[\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}(\beta, \infty)]^{\text{vir}}$  by pulling back the canonical perfect obstruction theory (2.28) of the right vertical arrow. Since the bottom arrows in (4.10) are proper and birational, the virtual cycles are related by push-forwards.

**Proposition 4.4.** *Notations and assumptions as above, we have*

$$F_*[\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\diamond(\beta, \infty)]^{\text{vir}} = [\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\lambda(\beta, \infty)]^{\text{vir}} \quad \text{and} \quad F_*[\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\lambda(\beta, \infty)]^{\text{vir}} = [\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}(\beta, \infty)]^{\text{vir}}.$$

Further, given a transverse superpotential (Definition 3.1 and Definition 3.8), and assuming negative contact orders at all markings, we obtain reduced virtual cycles  $[\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\diamond(\beta, \infty)]^{\text{red}}$  and  $[\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\lambda(\beta, \infty)]^{\text{red}}$  by pulling back the reduced perfect obstruction theory (3.28) of the middle vertical arrow. Similarly, we have:

**Proposition 4.5.** *Notations and assumptions as above, we have*

$$F_*[\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\diamond(\beta, \infty)]^{\text{red}} = [\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\lambda(\beta, \infty)]^{\text{red}}.$$

4.2. **Alignments.** Since the sheaf of monoids  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}^M$  as in (4.9) does not have uniform maximal degeneracies fiberwise,  $\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\diamond$  does not admit the  $\lambda$ -configuration, a property required for the reduced theory as in §3. This requires a further modification of  $\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\diamond$  by aligning  $\{e_{\max,i}\}$  as follows.

4.2.1. *The stack of aligned log structures.* Recall from [1, §8.1] that an *aligned log structure* on a scheme  $\underline{S}$  is a locally free log structure  $\mathcal{M}_S$ , together with a sheaf of (possibly empty) finite subsets  $\overline{\mathbf{A}}_S \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_S$  such that for each geometric point  $s \in S$  the fiber  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_S|_s \cong \mathbb{N}^m$  has a basis  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$  and

$$(4.11) \quad \overline{\mathbf{A}}_S|_s = \{0, e_1, e_1 + e_2, e_1 + e_2 + e_3, \dots, e_1 + \dots + e_m\}.$$

By [1, Proposition 8.1.2], the stack of aligned log structures  $\mathcal{T}_{\log}$  is algebraic. We view  $\mathcal{T}_{\log}$  as a log stack with the universal aligned log structure  $\mathcal{M}_{\log}$  and the universal subset  $\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{\log} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\log}$ . By [1, Proposition 8.2.2 and 8.3.1],  $\mathcal{T}_{\log}$  is smooth and log smooth.

4.2.2. *The universal alignment.* Let  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\log}^a \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\log}$  be the free submonoid generated by  $\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{\log}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{M}_{\log}^a = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\log}^a \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\log}} \mathcal{M}_{\log} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\log}$  the corresponding sub-log structure, and by  $\mathcal{T}_{\log}^a = (\mathcal{T}_{\log}, \mathcal{M}_{\log}^a)$  the log stack.

Let  $\text{Log}^{fr} \subset \text{Log}$  be the open substack parameterizing locally free log structures. Then we obtain a composition, called the *universal alignment*:

$$(4.12) \quad \text{align}: \mathcal{T}_{\log} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{M}_{\log}^a \subset \mathcal{M}_{\log}} \mathcal{T}_{\log}^a \xrightarrow{\text{strict}} \text{Log}^{fr}.$$

Let  $S \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\log}$  be a strict morphism given by an aligned log structure  $(\mathcal{M}_S, \overline{\mathbf{A}}_S)$  as in §4.2.1. Consider the pull-back log structure  $\mathcal{M}_S^a = \mathcal{M}_{\log}^a|_S$ . Then fiberwise the non-zero elements in  $\overline{\mathbf{A}}_S$  form a set of generators of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_S^a$ .

**Proposition 4.6.** *The morphism (4.12) is proper, representable, birational, and log étale.*

*Proof.* The statement that (4.12) is log étale can be checked using (4.11) and the local criterion [60, Theorem (3.5)]. Since  $\text{align}_{\leq 1}$  is an isomorphism, the birationality follows. The representability and properness will follow from Proposition 4.7 below.  $\square$

4.2.3. *Twisted aligned log structure.* A *twisted aligned log structure* on a scheme  $\underline{S}$  is a locally free log structure  $\mathcal{M}_S$  together with a sheaf of subsets  $\overline{\mathbf{A}}_S \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_S$ , such that over each geometric point  $s \in \underline{S}$  the fiber  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_S|_s \cong \mathbb{N}^m$  has a basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$  and

$$(4.13) \quad \overline{\mathbf{A}}_S|_s = \{0, r_1 e_1, r_1 e_1 + r_2 e_2, r_1 e_1 + r_2 e_2 + r_3 e_3, \dots, r_1 e_1 + \dots + r_m e_m\},$$

where the collection of  $r_i \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$  is called the *twisting choice*. Let  $\mathcal{T}_{\log}^{tw}$  be the stack of twisted aligned log structures. By [1, §7.2],  $\mathcal{T}_{\log}^{tw}$  is a smooth and log smooth algebraic stack with a tautological morphism

$$\mathcal{T}_{\log}^{tw} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\log}$$

which is isomorphic over the open dense substack with the trivial log structure. On the level of characteristic sheaves,  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{T}_{\log}^{tw}} \leftarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{T}_{\log}}|_{\mathcal{T}_{\log}^{tw}}$  is defined by  $r_i e_r \leftarrow e_i$  using the notations in (4.11) and (4.13). Thus smooth-locally, this morphism takes the  $r_i$ -th root stack along the irreducible boundary divisor  $\text{Div}(e_i) \subset \mathcal{T}_{\log}$  corresponding to the local section  $e_i$  of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{T}_{\log}}$ , see (2.9).

One can also fix a choice of twisting. To do this, take a discrete set  $\Lambda$ , and define the stack  $\mathcal{T}_{\log}^{\Lambda}$  with a strict morphism  $\mathcal{T}_{\log}^{\Lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\log}$  which parameterizes aligned log structures such that locally the generators of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{T}_{\log}^{\Lambda}}$  are labeled by  $\Lambda$ , see [1, §7.1, §8.1]. A *twisting choice* is a map  $\mathfrak{r}: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ . Thus, for each strict geometric point  $S \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\log}^{\Lambda}$ ,  $\mathfrak{r}$  assigns integer  $r_i = \mathfrak{r}(e_i)$  to each generator  $e_i$  as in (4.13). Denote by  $\mathcal{T}_{\log}^{\mathfrak{r}}$  with the twisting choice specified by  $(\Lambda, \mathfrak{r})$ . There is a strict tautological morphism

$$\mathcal{T}_{\log}^{\mathfrak{r}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\log}^{tw} \times_{\mathcal{T}_{\log}} \mathcal{T}_{\log}^{\Lambda}$$

isomorphic onto its image, see [1, Lemma 7.2.4 (2)].

4.2.4. *The truncated alignment.* Let  $\text{Log}_{\leq m}^{fr} \subset \text{Log}^{fr}$  be the open dense substack over which the fibers of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Log}_{\leq m}^{fr}}$  have rank  $\leq m$ . Let  $\text{Log}_{=m}^{fr} \subset \text{Log}_{\leq m}^{fr}$  be the strict closed substack over which the fibers of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Log}_{=m}^{fr}}$  have rank precisely  $m$ . The morphism (4.12) restricts to the *truncated alignment*:

$$(4.14) \quad \text{align}_{\bullet} := \text{align}|_{\mathcal{T}_{\bullet}}: \mathcal{T}_{\bullet} := \mathcal{T}_{\log} \times_{\text{Log}^{fr}} \text{Log}_{\bullet}^{fr} \longrightarrow \text{Log}_{\bullet}^{fr}$$

where  $\bullet$  represents  $\leq m$  or  $=m$ . For later use, denote by  $\mathcal{M}_{\bullet}^a := \text{align}_{\bullet}^* \mathcal{M}_{\text{Log}_{\bullet}^{fr}}$ .

We call  $\mathcal{T}_{\leq m}$  resp.  $\mathcal{T}_{=m}$  the *stack of aligned log structures of length  $\leq m$  (resp.  $=m$ )*. We also obtain the universal subsets  $\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{\leq m} = \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{\log}|_{\mathcal{T}_{\leq m}}$  and  $\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{=m} = \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{\log}|_{\mathcal{T}_{=m}}$ .

4.2.5. *The labeled alignment.* We are also interested in the case where all elements in (4.11) are labeled. Consider  $\mathcal{A}^m \cong \prod_{i \in [m]} \mathcal{A}_i$  with the  $m$  copies of  $\mathcal{A}_i \cong \mathcal{A}$  labeled by the set  $[m] = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Taking the base change along the strict morphism  $\mathcal{A}^m \rightarrow \text{Log}_{\leq m}^{fr}$ , we obtain the  $[m]$ -*labeled alignment*:

$$\text{align}_{[\leq m]} := \text{align}_{\leq m} \times_{\text{Log}_{\leq m}^{fr}} \mathcal{A}^m: \mathcal{T}_{[\leq m]} := \mathcal{T}_{\leq m} \times_{\text{Log}_{\leq m}^{fr}} \mathcal{A}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^m.$$

For any strict morphism  $S \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{[\leq m]}$  induced by an aligned log structure  $(\mathcal{M}_S, \overline{\mathbf{A}}_S)$ , non-zero sections in  $\overline{\mathbf{A}}_S$  are labeled by  $[m]$ .

For later use, we also introduce the  $[m]$ -*labeled alignment of length  $m$* :

$$(4.15) \quad \text{align}_{[m]}: \mathcal{T}_{[m]} := \mathcal{T}_{[\leq m]} \times_{\mathcal{A}^m} \infty_{\mathcal{A}}^m \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}^m$$

obtained by pulling back  $\text{align}_{[\leq m]}$  along  $\infty_{\mathcal{A}}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^m$ .

**Proposition 4.7.**  *$\text{align}_{[\leq m]}$  is birational and projective.*

*Proof.* Note that the restriction  $\text{align}_{[\leq m]}|_{\mathcal{T}_{[\leq 1]}} = \text{align}_{[\leq 1]}$  over the dense open  $\mathcal{T}_{[\leq 1]} \subset \mathcal{T}_{[\leq m]}$  is the identity. It remains to show the projectivity. In the following, we will show that  $\mathcal{T}_{[\leq m]}$  is the moduli  $X^{[m]}$  of degree  $m$  stable configurations of points in the pair  $X = (\mathcal{A}, \infty)$  with  $\text{align}_{[\leq m]}$  the evaluation given by the  $m$  sections labeled by  $[m]$ , see [4, Definition 1.5.2]. Then the projectivity follows from [4, Proposition 1.5.4].

We view  $X^{[m]}$  as a log stack with the canonical log structure given by its universal expansions. For any strict morphism  $S \rightarrow X^{[m]}$ , denote by  $S[m] \rightarrow S$  the family of expansions. Over each geometric point  $s \in S$ , we have the fiber of length  $k \leq m$  (see [4, Convention 1.4.1])

$$S[m]_s = X \cup_{\infty=\infty_-} P_1 \cup_{\infty_+=\infty_-} \cdots \cup_{\infty_+=\infty_-} P_k.$$

The fiber  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_S|_s \cong \mathbb{N}^k$  is a free monoid with generators  $e_1, \dots, e_k$  such that  $e_i$  corresponds to the smoothing parameter of the node given by  $\infty_- \subset P_i$ . Each  $P_i$  has a unique open dense point, which contains at least one section by stability. For the  $k$ th section  $\epsilon_k: S \rightarrow S[m]$ , we define an element  $\delta_{k,s} = e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_{k_i}$  if the fiber  $\epsilon_k|_s$  lands in  $P_{k_i}$ . This defines a set  $\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{S,s} := \{\delta_{k,s} \mid k \in [m]\} \cup \{0\}$  of length  $\leq m$  since sections can intersect. Observe that the fiberwise defined  $\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{S,s}$  glues to a sheaf of totally ordered subsets  $\overline{\mathbf{A}}_S \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_S$  labeled by  $[m]$ . This defines a morphism  $S \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{[\leq m]}$ , hence  $X^{[m]} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{[\leq m]}$ .

To obtain the inverse  $\mathcal{T}_{[\leq m]} \rightarrow X^{[m]}$ , note that  $\mathcal{T}_{[\leq m]}$  carries a natural family of expansions of length  $\leq m$  by [1, Proposition 8.1.2]. The log structure  $\mathcal{M}_{[\leq m]}$  is the canonical log structure of the expansions. For a strict morphism  $S \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{[\leq m]}$ , let  $S[m] \rightarrow S$  be the family of expansions pulled back from  $\mathcal{T}_{[\leq m]}$ . The section  $\epsilon_k: S \rightarrow S[m]$  is constructed using the section in  $\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{[\leq m]}$  labeled by  $k \in [m]$  by reversing the above construction.

This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 4.6.* To finish the proof of Proposition 4.6, note that  $\text{Log}^{fr}$  is covered by charts  $\{\mathcal{A}^m \rightarrow \text{Log}^{fr}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Thus the representability and properness of (4.12) can be checked over each chart, which is precisely Proposition 4.7.  $\square$

Putting Proposition 4.6 and 4.7 together, we have

**Corollary 4.8.** *align $_{[m]}$  is projective and log étale.*

Note that  $\text{align}_{[m]}$  is birational iff  $m = 1$ . Indeed,  $\text{align}_{[1]}$  is an isomorphism.

4.2.6. *The universality.* Let  $S$  be a log stack with a sheaf of finite subsets  $\overline{\mathbf{A}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_S$ . A morphism  $h: T \rightarrow S$  is called an *alignment* of  $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$  if the image of

$$h^{-1}\overline{\mathbf{A}} \rightarrow f^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{M}}_S \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_T$$

is totally ordered with respect to  $\preceq_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_T}$ .

Let  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_S^{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}$  be the sheaf of free monoids over  $\underline{S}$  whose fiberwise generators are labeled by elements of  $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ . Thus the inclusion  $\overline{\mathbf{A}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_S$  induces a morphism  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_S^{\overline{\mathbf{A}}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_S$  hence a log structure  $\mathcal{M}_S^{\overline{\mathbf{A}}} = \mathcal{M}_S \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_S} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_S^{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}$  over  $\underline{S}$  with the structure arrow defined by the composition  $\mathcal{M}_S^{\overline{\mathbf{A}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$ . We obtain a composition

$$S \xrightarrow{\mathcal{M}_S \leftarrow \mathcal{M}_S^{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}} (\underline{S}, \mathcal{M}_S^{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{M}_S^{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}} \text{Log}^{fr}$$

By Proposition 4.6, the projection is a proper, representable and log étale:

$$(4.16) \quad \text{align}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}: \mathcal{T}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}} := \mathcal{T}_{\log} \times_{\text{Log}^{fr}} S \rightarrow S$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{T}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}}^a$  the pull-back  $\mathcal{M}_{\log}^a|_{\mathcal{T}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}} \cong \mathcal{M}_S^{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}|_{\mathcal{T}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}}$ . The sheaf  $\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{\log}|_{\mathcal{T}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}}$  is fiberwise given by the set of generators of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{T}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}}^a$ , hence we have an identification  $\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{\log}|_{\mathcal{T}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}} = \overline{\mathbf{A}}|_{\mathcal{T}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}}$ . Therefore, the image of the composition

$$\overline{\mathbf{A}}|_{\mathcal{T}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}} = \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{\log}|_{\mathcal{T}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{T}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}}^a \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{T}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}}$$

is totally ordered with respect to  $\preceq_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{T}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}}}$ . This shows that the projection  $\mathcal{T}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}} \rightarrow S$  is an alignment of  $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ . Indeed, this is universal:

**Proposition 4.9.** *The category of alignments of  $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$  over fs log schemes is represented by the log algebraic stack  $\mathcal{T}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $h: T \rightarrow S$  be an alignment of  $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ . Consider the sequence of morphisms of log structures  $\mathcal{M}_{\overline{S}}^{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}|_T \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_S|_T \xrightarrow{h^b} \mathcal{M}_T$ . We construct a sub-sheaf of monoids  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}'_T \subset (\overline{\mathcal{M}}_S^{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}|_T)^{gp}$  as follows. For a geometric point  $t \rightarrow \underline{T}$ , let  $\{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m\}$  be the set of generators of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_S^{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}|_t$ . Denote by  $\delta'_i \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}'_T|_t$  the image of  $\delta_i$ . After reordering, we may assume that

$$\delta'_1 \preccurlyeq_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_T} \delta'_2 \preccurlyeq_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_T} \cdots \preccurlyeq_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_T} \delta'_m.$$

Fiberwisely define  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}'_T|_t$  to be the free monoid generated by  $\delta_1, \delta_2 - \delta_1, \dots, \delta_m - \delta_{m-1}$ .

The fiberwise construction glues to a subsheaf of monoids  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}'_T \subset (\overline{\mathcal{M}}_S^{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}|_T)^{gp}$ . The fact that  $h$  is an alignment of  $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$  implies that  $\delta'_{i+1} - \delta'_i \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_T$ . Thus the composition  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}'_T \subset (\overline{\mathcal{M}}_S^{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}|_T)^{gp} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_T^{gp}$  factors through  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}'_T \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_T$ . This defines a log structure  $\mathcal{M}'_T := \mathcal{M}_T \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_T} \overline{\mathcal{M}}'_T$ . By construction, the pair  $(\overline{\mathbf{A}}_T, \mathcal{M}'_T)$  defines an aligned log structure on  $\underline{T}$ , inducing a strict morphism  $(\underline{T}, \mathcal{M}'_T) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\log}$ . This leads to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & \longrightarrow & S \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{T}_{\log} & \longrightarrow & \text{Log}^{ft} \end{array}$$

with the left arrow given by the composition  $T \rightarrow (\underline{T}, \mathcal{M}'_T) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\log}$ . Thus we obtain an  $S$ -morphism  $T \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}$  such that the sheaf of ordered sets  $(\overline{\mathbf{A}}_T, \preccurlyeq_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_T})$  is the pull-back of  $(\overline{\mathbf{A}}|_{\mathcal{T}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}}, \preccurlyeq_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{T}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}}})$ .  $\square$

**4.2.7. align $_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}$  in the labeled case.** Consider a sheaf of finite subsets  $\overline{\mathbf{A}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_S$  over  $S$  as in §4.2.6. An  $[m]$ -labeling of  $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$  is a strict morphism  $(\underline{S}, \mathcal{M}_{\overline{S}}^{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^m \cong \prod_{i \in [m]} \mathcal{A}_i$  with the  $m$  copies of  $\mathcal{A}_i \cong \mathcal{A}$  labeled by  $[m]$ . Thus, each non-zero (local) section of  $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$  is uniquely labeled by an element of  $[m]$ .

In case  $(\underline{S}, \mathcal{M}_{\overline{S}}^{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^m$  factors through  $\infty_{\mathcal{A}}^m$ , note that  $\overline{\mathbf{A}} \setminus \{0\}$  as the sheaf of set of generators of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\infty_{\mathcal{A}}^m}|_S$  is a constant sheaf with  $m$  elements.

**Proposition 4.10.** *Suppose  $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$  is labeled. Then align $_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}$  in (4.16) is projective and log étale.*

*Proof.* This follows from Corollary 4.8 and the following Cartesian squares in the fs category

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{T}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T}_{[\leq m]} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T}_{\log} \\ \text{align}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}} \downarrow & & \text{align}_{[\leq m]} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{align} \\ S & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}^m & \longrightarrow & \text{Log}^{fr} \end{array}$$

$\square$

**4.2.8. Order removing.** Suppose the sheaf of subsets  $\overline{\mathbf{A}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_S$  over  $S$  is  $[m]$ -labeled. Consider the subset  $[m'] \subset [m]$  for some  $m' \leq m$ . This induces a subsheaf  $\overline{\mathbf{A}}' \subset \overline{\mathbf{A}}$  by taking elements labeled by  $[m']$ . Since any alignment of  $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$  is automatically an alignment of  $\overline{\mathbf{A}}'$ , this leads to a natural morphism over  $S$ :

$$(4.17) \quad \text{align}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}} \supset \overline{\mathbf{A}}'} : \mathcal{T}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}.$$

By Proposition 4.10, the morphism  $\text{align}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}' \subset \overline{\mathbf{A}}}$  is projective over  $S$ .

### 4.3. Maps with aligned maximal degeneracies and their virtual cycles.

**4.3.1. Universal punctured maps.** Consider the maximal degeneracies (4.9) over  $\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^{\diamond}$ . Define  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}_{\setminus [i]}^M = \{e_{\max, i+1}, e_{\max, i+2}, \dots, e_{\max, m}\}$  for  $i = 0, \dots, m-1$ , hence a decreasing filtration of constant sheaves

$$\overline{\mathbf{D}}^M =: \overline{\mathbf{D}}_{\setminus [0]}^M \supset \cdots \supset \overline{\mathbf{D}}_{\setminus [m-2]}^M \supset \overline{\mathbf{D}}_{\setminus [m-1]}^M.$$

Denote by  $\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus [i]}^{\diamond} = \mathcal{T}_{\overline{\mathbf{D}}_{\setminus [i]}^M}$  the stack of alignments of  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}_{\setminus [i]}^M$  as in §4.2.7. It parameterizes punctured maps in  $\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^{\diamond}$  together with an alignment of  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}_{\setminus [i]}^M$ . By (4.17), the decreasing filtration induces a

sequence of projective and log étale morphisms removing alignments:

$$(4.18) \quad \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^{\widehat{\diamond}} := \mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[0]}^{\widehat{\diamond}} \xrightarrow{\text{align}_1} \mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[1]}^{\widehat{\diamond}} \xrightarrow{\text{align}_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\text{align}_{m-2}} \mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[m-2]}^{\widehat{\diamond}} \xrightarrow{\text{align}_{m-1}} \mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[m-1]}^{\widehat{\diamond}} = \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^{\diamond}.$$

Note that this is a sequence of morphisms over  $\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^{\gamma}$ . By Lemma 4.3 (2), there is an open dense substack  $\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^{\gamma, \circ, \circ} \subset \mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i]}^{\widehat{\diamond}}$  contained in each one of the stacks in (4.18). Thus for each  $i$ ,  $\text{align}_i$  is birational and  $\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i]}^{\widehat{\diamond}}$  is equidimensional with the dimension computed in (4.8):

$$(4.19) \quad \dim \mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i]}^{\widehat{\diamond}} = \dim \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^{\diamond} = \sum_{i \in [m]} (3g_i + \ell(\varsigma'_i)) - 3m - 1.$$

Note that the universal punctured map over  $\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^{\widehat{\diamond}}$  has the  $\wedge$ -configuration as required for the reduced theory as in §3.4 with disconnected domains.

For later use, denote by

$$e_{\max, \geq i+1} \in \Gamma \left( \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i]}^{\widehat{\diamond}}}, \mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i]}^{\widehat{\diamond}} \right)$$

the maximal degeneracy of the components labeled by  $\{i+1, i+2, \dots, m\}$ . Consider

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i]}^{\wedge} := \left( \prod_{j=1}^i \mathfrak{M}_j^{\wedge} \right) \times \mathfrak{M}_{\{i+1, \dots, m\}}^{\wedge}$$

where  $\mathfrak{M}_{\{i+1, \dots, m\}}^{\wedge}$  parameterizes punctured maps in  $\prod_{j=i+1}^m \mathfrak{M}_j$  with  $\wedge$ -configurations, see §3.1.1. We obtain a commutative diagram

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i]}^{\widehat{\diamond}} & \xrightarrow{\text{align}_{i+1}} & \mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i+1]}^{\widehat{\diamond}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i]}^{\wedge} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i+1]}^{\wedge} \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are the tautological ones.

4.3.2. *Punctured R-maps.* Recall the finite collection of discrete data

$$(g_i, \beta_i, \varsigma_i = \{(\overline{\gamma}_{ij}, c_{ij})\}_{j=1}^{n_i}), \quad \text{for } i \in [m]$$

as in (3.3) compatible with (3.1). Write for simplicity  $\mathcal{R}_i = \mathcal{R}_{g_i, \varsigma_i}(\infty, \beta_i)$ . We obtain a Cartesian diagram with strict vertical arrows:

$$(4.21) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{R}_{\setminus[i]}^{\widehat{\diamond}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}_{\setminus[i]}^{\wedge} & \longrightarrow & \prod_{j \in [m]} \mathcal{R}_j \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i]}^{\widehat{\diamond}} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i]}^{\wedge} & \longrightarrow & \prod_{j \in [m]} \mathfrak{M}_j \end{array}$$

where the right vertical arrow is given by (2.22). The left vertical arrow in (4.21) admits a canonical perfect obstruction theory

$$(4.22) \quad \varphi_{\mathcal{R}_{\setminus[i]}^{\widehat{\diamond}}/\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i]}^{\widehat{\diamond}}} : \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{R}_{\setminus[i]}^{\widehat{\diamond}}/\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i]}^{\widehat{\diamond}}} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{\setminus[i]}^{\widehat{\diamond}}/\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i]}^{\widehat{\diamond}}}.$$

by pulling back the canonical ones (2.28) from the right vertical arrow. This defines the canonical virtual cycle  $[\mathcal{R}_{\setminus[i]}^{\widehat{\diamond}}]^{\text{vir}}$  of  $\mathcal{R}_{\setminus[i]}^{\widehat{\diamond}}$ .

The case of the reduced theories is more subtle. The middle vertical arrow of (4.21) is

$$\left( \prod_{j=1}^i \mathcal{R}_j^{\wedge} \right) \times \mathcal{R}_{\{i+1, \dots, m\}}^{\wedge} \longrightarrow \left( \prod_{j=1}^i \mathfrak{M}_j^{\wedge} \right) \times \mathfrak{M}_{\{i+1, \dots, m\}}^{\wedge}$$

hence admits a reduced perfect obstruction theory by taking the direct sum

$$\left( \bigoplus_{j=1}^i \varphi_{\mathcal{R}_i^\wedge / \mathfrak{M}_j^\wedge}^{\text{red}} \right) \oplus \varphi_{\mathcal{R}_{\{i+1, \dots, m\}}^\wedge / \mathfrak{M}_{\{i+1, \dots, m\}}^\wedge}^{\text{red}} : \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{R}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge / \mathfrak{M}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge / \mathfrak{M}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge}^{\text{red}},$$

whenever the assumptions in Theorem 3.15 are fulfilled. This pulls back to the *reduced perfect obstruction theory* of the left vertical arrow:

$$(4.23) \quad \varphi_{\mathcal{R}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge / \mathfrak{M}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge}^{\text{red}} : \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{R}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge / \mathfrak{M}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge / \mathfrak{M}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge}^{\text{red}} := \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge / \mathfrak{M}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge}^{\text{red}} \Big|_{\mathcal{R}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge}$$

defining the *reduced virtual cycle*  $[\mathcal{R}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge]^{\text{red}}$  of  $\mathcal{R}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge$ .

For  $i < m$ , the stack  $\mathcal{R}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge$  admits other reduced perfect obstruction theories. Indeed, we have a Cartesian diagram with strict vertical arrows

$$(4.24) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge & \xrightarrow{\text{align}_{i+1}} & \mathcal{R}_{\setminus [i+1]}^\wedge \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{M}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge & \xrightarrow{\text{align}_{i+1}} & \mathfrak{M}_{\setminus [i+1]}^\wedge \end{array}$$

where the top is again denoted by  $\text{align}_{i+1}$  when there is no confusion. Pulling back the reduced perfect obstruction theory  $\varphi_{\mathcal{R}_{\setminus [i+1]}^\wedge / \mathfrak{M}_{\setminus [i+1]}^\wedge}^{\text{red}}$  of the right vertical arrow, we obtain the *+reduced perfect obstruction theory* of the left vertical arrow

$$(4.25) \quad \varphi_{\mathcal{R}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge / \mathfrak{M}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge, +}^{\text{red}} : \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{R}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge / \mathfrak{M}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge / \mathfrak{M}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge, +}^{\text{red}} := \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{\setminus [i+1]}^\wedge / \mathfrak{M}_{\setminus [i+1]}^\wedge}^{\text{red}} \Big|_{\mathcal{R}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge},$$

defining the *+reduced virtual cycle*  $[\mathcal{R}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge]_+^{\text{red}}$  of  $\mathcal{R}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge$ . It follows from the virtual push-forward that

**Lemma 4.11.** (1)  $\text{align}_{i+1, *}[ \mathcal{R}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge ]^{\text{vir}} = [ \mathcal{R}_{\setminus [i+1]}^\wedge ]^{\text{vir}}$ .

(2)  $\text{align}_{i+1, *}[ \mathcal{R}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge ]_+^{\text{red}} = [ \mathcal{R}_{\setminus [i+1]}^\wedge ]_+^{\text{red}}$ , when the corresponding reduced theories are defined.

4.3.3.  $[\mathcal{R}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge]^{\text{red}}$  versus  $[\mathcal{R}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge]_+^{\text{red}}$ . To relate the two perfect obstruction theories (4.23) and (4.25), we consider the global sections

$$(e_{\max, \geq i+1} - e) \in \Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge}, \mathfrak{M}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge).$$

for  $e = e_{\max, i+1}$  or  $e_{\max, \geq i+2}$  over  $\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge$ . These global sections lead to line bundles as in (2.7), satisfying

$$(4.26) \quad \mathcal{O}(e - e_{\max, \geq i+1}) \cong \mathcal{O}(-e_{\max, \geq i+1}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(e) \Big|_{\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge}.$$

Replacing  $e$  by  $e_{\max, i+1}$  or  $e_{\max, \geq i+2}$ , we obtain canonical morphisms respectively

$$(4.27) \quad \mathcal{O}(-e_{\max, \geq i+1}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-e_{\max, i+1}) \Big|_{\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{O}(-e_{\max, \geq i+1}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-e_{\max, \geq i+2}) \Big|_{\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge}.$$

For a positive integer  $\tilde{r}$ , this induces a morphism

$$(4.28) \quad \mathcal{O}(-\tilde{r}e_{\max, \geq i+1}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}(-\tilde{r}e_{\max, i+1}) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-\tilde{r}e_{\max, \geq i+2})) \Big|_{\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge}$$

with cokernel  $\mathbf{K}_i^\vee$ .

**Lemma 4.12.** The morphism (4.28) is an injection of a sub-bundle. In particular,  $\mathbf{K}_i^\vee$  is a line bundle.

*Proof.* It suffices to show that fiberwise over  $\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge$  the two morphisms in (4.27) cannot vanish simultaneously. To see this, consider a geometric point  $s \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\setminus [i]}^\wedge$ . Then over  $s$  one has at least one of the following

$$e_{\max, \geq i+1} - e_{\max, i+1} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad e_{\max, \geq i+1} - e_{\max, \geq i+2} = 0,$$

which induces an isomorphism of the trivial line bundle as in (2.7). This proves the statement.  $\square$



Next we construct the following commutative diagram of distinguished triangles

$$(4.29) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond}/\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond,+}}^{\text{red}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond}/\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{R}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond}/\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond,+}} \xrightarrow{[1]} \\ \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond}/\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond}}^{\text{red}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond}/\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{R}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond}/\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond}} \xrightarrow{[1]} \\ \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{K}_i & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}_i[1] \xrightarrow{[1]} \\ \downarrow [1] & & \downarrow [1] & & \downarrow [1] \end{array}$$

as follows. The top and middle row are obtained by pulling back (3.27). By (3.21) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{R}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond}/\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond,+}}[1] &= \left( \bigoplus_{j=1}^{i+1} \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}e_{\max,j}) \right) \oplus \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}e_{\max,\geq i+2}), \\ \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{R}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond}/\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond}}[1] &= \left( \bigoplus_{j=1}^i \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}e_{\max,j}) \right) \oplus \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}e_{\max,\geq i+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Taking the dual of (4.28), we obtain

$$\mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}e_{\max,i+1}) \oplus \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}e_{\max,\geq i+2}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}e_{\max,\geq i+1}).$$

This defines the arrow  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{R}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond}/\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond,+}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{R}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond}/\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond}}$  in (4.29), which completes to a triangle

$$(4.30) \quad \mathbb{K}_i \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{R}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond}/\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond,+}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{R}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond}/\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond}} \xrightarrow{[1]}$$

with  $\mathbb{K}_i := \mathbf{K}_i[-1]$ . The diagram (4.29) is thus constructed.

Taking the long exact sequence of the left column in (4.29), we obtain a short exact sequence relating the obstructions of the two reduced theories:

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond}/\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond,+}}^{\text{red}}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond}/\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond}}^{\text{red}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_i \rightarrow 0.$$

This proves:

**Lemma 4.13.**  $[\mathcal{R}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond}]^{\text{red}} = c_1(\mathbf{K}_i) \cdot [\mathcal{R}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond}]_+^{\text{red}}$ .

4.4.  $\psi_{\min}$ -classes. To further relate the virtual cycles  $[\mathcal{R}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond}]^{\text{red}}$  and  $[\mathcal{R}_{\setminus[i+1]}^{\diamond}]^{\text{red}}$ , we introduce the  $\psi_{\min}$ -classes, which appear in the virtual normal bundle in the localization calculation of [29]. Consider the global sections

$$e_{\min} \in \Gamma\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^{\diamond}}, \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^{\diamond}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad e_{\min,i} \in \Gamma\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{M}_i^{\diamond}}, \mathfrak{M}_i^{\diamond}\right)$$

which are the corresponding minimal degeneracies. We introduce the tautological classes

$$(4.31) \quad \psi_{\min} := c_1(\mathcal{O}(-e_{\min})) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{\min,i} := c_1(\mathcal{O}(-e_{\min,i})).$$

The following will be used to compute the push forward of  $[\mathcal{R}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond}]^{\text{red}}$  in Theorem 4.16 below.

**Lemma 4.14.**  $\text{align}_{i+1,*} c_1(\mathbf{K}_i) = -\tilde{r}\psi_{\min}$ .

*Proof.* Lemma 4.12 implies a relation between Chern classes

$$1/\tilde{r} \cdot c_1(\mathbf{K}_i) = c_1(\mathcal{O}(e_{\max,i+1})) + c_1(\mathcal{O}(e_{\max,\geq i+2})|_{\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond}}) - c_1(\mathcal{O}(e_{\max,\geq i+1})).$$

Consider  $\mathbf{D} := \frac{1}{\tilde{r}}c_1(\mathbf{K}_i) - c_1(\mathcal{O}(e_{\min}))$  over  $\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i]}^{\diamond}$ . It remains to show  $\text{align}_{i+1,*} \mathbf{D} = 0$ .

On the other hand, write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D} &= c_1(\mathcal{O}(e_{\max,i+1} - e_{\min})) - c_1(\mathcal{O}(e_{\max,\geq i+1} - e_{\max,\geq i+2})) \\ &=: \mathbf{D}_1 - \mathbf{D}_2. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that  $\mathbf{D}_1$  and  $\mathbf{D}_2$  can be represented by effective Cartier divisors. Indeed, as global sections over  $\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i]}^\diamond$ , the two sections  $(e_{\max,i+1} - e_{\min})$  and  $(e_{\max,\geq i+1} - e_{\max,\geq i+2})$  vanish over the open dense substack of  $\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i]}^\diamond$  defined by

$$e_{\max,i+1} = e_{\max,\geq i+1} = e_{\max,\geq i+2} = e_{\min}.$$

Hence,  $\mathbf{D}_1$  and  $\mathbf{D}_2$  can be identified with effective Cartier divisors as in (2.9), supported along  $(e_{\max,i+1} - e_{\min}) > 0$  and  $(e_{\max,\geq i+1} - e_{\max,\geq i+2}) > 0$  respectively.

By the local description of the Cartier divisors in §2.3.2, along  $\mathbf{D}_2$  one has  $e_{\max,\geq i+1} = e_{\max,i+1}$ . This implies that the components in both  $\mathbf{D}_1$  and  $\mathbf{D}_2$  along which  $e_{\max,\geq i+2} = e_{\min}$ , are identical. Hence  $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}_1 - \mathbf{D}_2$  is represented by an effective divisor in  $\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i]}^\diamond$  supported along the locus with  $e_{\min} \preccurlyeq e_{\max,\geq i+2} \preccurlyeq e_{\max,i+1}$  but  $e_{\min} \neq e_{\max,\geq i+2} \neq e_{\max,i+1}$ . Finally observe that the image of this locus in  $\mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[i+1]}^\diamond$  is of codimension at least 2, hence  $\text{align}_{i+1,*} \mathbf{D} = 0$  as desired.  $\square$

We next compute the push forward of the following expression along  $\text{Bl}_{\mathcal{K}}$  in (4.7):

$$(4.32) \quad \frac{1}{-t - \psi_{\min}} = -\frac{1}{t} \left( 1 + \frac{\psi_{\min}}{-t} + \left( \frac{\psi_{\min}}{-t} \right)^2 + \dots \right).$$

Here  $t$  is a formal parameter, which will play the role of the equivariant parameter in [29].

**Proposition 4.15.**  $\text{Bl}_{\mathcal{K},*} \left( \frac{1}{-t - \psi_{\min}} \right) = \prod_{i \in [n]} \frac{1}{-t - \psi_{\min,i}}$ .

*Proof.* Pulling back (4.4) along the second product in (4.5),  $\text{Bl}_{\mathcal{K}}$  decomposes into

$$(4.33) \quad \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\diamond \xrightarrow{\text{S}} (\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\diamond)^{\text{fine}} \xrightarrow{\text{Bl}_{\mathcal{K}}^f} \prod_{i \in [m]} \mathfrak{M}_i^\diamond,$$

where  $\text{S}$  is the saturation and  $\text{Bl}_{\mathcal{K}}^f$  is the log blow-up in the fine category. On the underlying level, we have

$$\text{Bl}_{\mathcal{K}}^f : \frac{(\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\diamond)^{\text{fine}}}{\text{S}} = \mathbb{P} \left( \bigoplus_{i \in [m]} \mathcal{O}(e_{\min,i}) \right) \rightarrow \prod_{i \in [m]} \mathfrak{M}_i^\diamond.$$

Note that the minimal degeneracy  $e_{\min}$ , the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}(-e_{\min})$  and the class  $\psi_{\min}$  exist over  $(\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\diamond)^{\text{fine}}$ , which pull back to the corresponding  $e_{\min}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}(-e_{\min})$  and  $\psi_{\min}$  over  $\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\diamond$ . Thus, we have

$$\text{Bl}_{\mathcal{K},*} \frac{1}{-t - \psi_{\min}} = \text{Bl}_{\mathcal{K},*}^f \frac{1}{-t - \psi_{\min}}.$$

To prove the statement, set  $s_{1/t}(\bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}(e_{\min,i}))$  and  $c_{1/t}(\bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}(e_{\min,i}))$  be the Segre and Chern polynomial of  $\bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}(e_{\min,i})$  with variable  $1/t$ . Observe that  $\mathcal{O}(-e_{\min})$  is the twisting sheaf  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  of the projective bundle  $\text{Bl}_{\mathcal{K}}^f$ . Pushing forward (4.32), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Bl}_{\mathcal{K},*}^f \frac{1}{-t - \psi_{\min}} &= -\frac{1}{t} \text{Bl}_{\mathcal{K},*}^f \left( \left( \frac{\psi_{\min}}{-t} \right)^{m-1} + \left( \frac{\psi_{\min}}{-t} \right)^m + \dots \right) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^m}{t^m} \text{Bl}_{\mathcal{K},*}^f \left( \psi_{\min}^{m-1} + \frac{\psi_{\min}^m}{-t} + \frac{\psi_{\min}^{m+1}}{(-t)^2} + \dots \right) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^m}{t^m} s_{-1/t} \left( \bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}(e_{\min,i}) \right) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^m}{t^m} c_{-1/t}^{-1} \left( \bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}(e_{\min,i}) \right) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^m}{t^m} \prod_{i \in [m]} \frac{1}{1 + \psi_{\min,i}/t} \\ &= \prod_{i \in [m]} \frac{1}{-t - \psi_{\min,i}}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the statement.  $\square$

4.5. **Virtual push-forward along partial alignments.** Consider the Cartesian squares

$$(4.34) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{R}_{[m]}^{\diamond} & \xrightarrow{\text{align}_1} & \mathcal{R}_{\setminus[1]}^{\diamond} & \xrightarrow{\text{align}_2} & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}_{\setminus[m-2]}^{\diamond} & \xrightarrow{\text{align}_{m-1}} & \mathcal{R}_{\setminus[m-1]}^{\diamond} = \mathcal{R}_{[m]}^{\diamond} & \xrightarrow{\text{Bl}_{\mathcal{K}}} & \prod_{i \in [m]} \mathcal{R}_i^{\diamond} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^{\diamond} & \xrightarrow{\text{align}_1} & \mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[1]}^{\diamond} & \xrightarrow{\text{align}_2} & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[m-2]}^{\diamond} & \xrightarrow{\text{align}_{m-1}} & \mathfrak{M}_{\setminus[m-1]}^{\diamond} = \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^{\diamond} & \xrightarrow{\text{Bl}_{\mathcal{K}}} & \prod_{i \in [m]} \mathfrak{M}_i^{\diamond} \end{array}$$

where the bottom arrows are given by (4.18) and (4.7), and all vertical arrows are strict. By abuse of notations, we use  $\text{align}_i$  and  $\text{Bl}_{\mathcal{K}}$  to denote the corresponding top and bottom arrows. Consider the composition

$$\text{align}_{[m]} := \text{align}_{m-1} \circ \cdots \circ \text{align}_1 : \mathcal{R}_{[m]}^{\diamond} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{[m]}^{\diamond}.$$

Similarly, we write  $\text{align}_{[m]} : \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^{\diamond} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^{\diamond}$  for the corresponding composition. Our next goal is to establish the following virtual push-forward formulas.

**Theorem 4.16.** (1) *For canonical virtual cycles, we have*

$$(4.35) \quad \text{Bl}_{\mathcal{K},*} \text{align}_{[m],*} \left( \frac{[\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^{\diamond}]^{\text{vir}}}{-t - \psi_{\min}} \right) = \prod_{i \in [m]} \frac{[\mathcal{R}_i^{\diamond}]^{\text{vir}}}{-t - \psi_{\min,i}}.$$

(2) *Further assume Assumption 3.10, and fix a transverse superpotential  $W$ . Then, we have*

$$(4.36) \quad \text{Bl}_{\mathcal{K},*} \text{align}_{[m],*} \left( \frac{\tilde{r}t \cdot [\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^{\diamond}]^{\text{red}}}{-t - \psi_{\min}} \right) = \prod_{i \in [m]} \frac{\tilde{r}t \cdot [\mathcal{R}_i^{\diamond}]^{\text{red}}}{-t - \psi_{\min,i}}.$$

*Proof.* We first establish (4.35). Since  $\psi_{\min}$  is defined over  $\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^{\diamond, \text{fine}}$ , by Lemma 4.11 (1) and the projection formula, we have

$$(4.37) \quad \text{align}_{[m],*} \left( \frac{[\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^{\diamond}]^{\text{vir}}}{-t - \psi_{\min}} \right) = \left( \frac{[\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^{\diamond}]^{\text{vir}}}{-t - \psi_{\min}} \right)$$

Consider the Cartesian squares

$$(4.38) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \text{Bl}_{\mathcal{K}} & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & & \\ \mathcal{R}_{[m]}^{\diamond} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{R}_{[m]}^{\diamond, \text{fine}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \prod_{i \in [m]} \mathcal{R}_i^{\diamond} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^{\diamond} & \xrightarrow{\text{S}} & \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^{\diamond, \text{fine}} & \xrightarrow{\text{Bl}_{\mathcal{K}}^f} & \prod_{i \in [m]} \mathfrak{M}_i^{\diamond} \\ & & \text{Bl}_{\mathcal{K}} & & \end{array}$$

where the bottom decomposition is obtained by pulling back (4.4), and the vertical arrows are all strict. The canonical perfect obstruction theory of the right vertical arrow pulls back to the canonical perfect obstruction theory of the middle vertical arrow, hence defines the canonical virtual cycle  $[\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^{\diamond, \text{fine}}]^{\text{vir}}$ . Since the saturation  $\text{S}$  is projective and birational, the virtual push-forward of [38, 70] implies that  $\text{S}_*[\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^{\diamond}]^{\text{vir}} = [\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^{\diamond, \text{fine}}]^{\text{vir}}$ . Since  $\psi_{\min}$  is defined over  $\mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^{\diamond, \text{fine}}$ , the projection formula implies that

$$(4.39) \quad \text{S}_* \left( \frac{[\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^{\diamond}]^{\text{vir}}}{-t - \psi_{\min}} \right) = \frac{[\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^{\diamond, \text{fine}}]^{\text{vir}}}{-t - \psi_{\min}}.$$

Since  $\text{Bl}_{\mathcal{K}}^f$  is flat, we obtain that  $\text{Bl}_{\mathcal{K}}^{f,*} \prod_{i \in [m]} [\mathcal{R}_i^{\diamond}]^{\text{vir}} = [\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^{\diamond, \text{fine}}]^{\text{vir}}$ . Applying Proposition 4.15 and the projection formula, we obtain

$$(4.40) \quad \text{Bl}_{\mathcal{K},*}^f \left( \frac{[\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^{\diamond, \text{fine}}]^{\text{vir}}}{-t - \psi_{\min}} \right) = \prod_{i \in [m]} \frac{[\mathcal{R}_i^{\diamond}]^{\text{vir}}}{-t - \psi_{\min,i}}.$$

The canonical push-forward (4.35) then follows from (4.37), (4.39) and (4.40).

For the reduced push-forward (4.36), using Lemma 4.11 (2), 4.13 and 4.14 and the projection formula, we obtain

$$\text{align}_{i+1,*}[\mathcal{R}_{\setminus[i]}^{\widehat{\diamond}}]^{\text{red}} = -\tilde{r}\psi_{\min} \cdot [\mathcal{R}_{\setminus[i+1]}^{\widehat{\diamond}}]^{\text{red}},$$

hence

$$(4.41) \quad \text{align}_{[m],*}[\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^{\widehat{\diamond}}]^{\text{red}} = \tilde{r}^{m-1}(-\psi_{\min})^{m-1} \cdot [\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^{\diamond}]^{\text{red}}.$$

To compute the push-forward along  $\text{Bl}_{\mathcal{K}}$ , observe that

$$\frac{t(-\psi_{\min})^{m-1}}{-t - \psi_{\min}} = (t(-\psi_{\min})^{m-2} + t^2(-\psi_{\min})^{m-3} + \cdots + t^{m-1}) + \frac{t^m}{-t - \psi_{\min}}.$$

Furthermore, the factorization of  $\text{Bl}_{\mathcal{K}}$  in (4.38) implies that  $\text{Bl}_{\mathcal{K},*}\psi_{\min}^k = 0$  for  $k \leq m-1$ . Thus, the push-forward (4.36) follows from (4.41) and the following

$$\text{Bl}_{\mathcal{K},*} \left( \tilde{r}^m \frac{t^m \cdot [\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^{\diamond}]^{\text{red}}}{-t - \psi_{\min}} \right) = \prod_{i \in [m]} \frac{\tilde{r}t \cdot [\mathcal{R}_i^{\diamond}]^{\text{red}}}{-t - \psi_{\min,i}},$$

whose proof is identically to (4.39) and (4.40).

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.16.  $\square$

**4.6. A resolution using twisted alignments.** In order to apply the virtual localization of [45] to log GLSM, one needs to work with absolute perfect obstruction theories of log R-maps. However, the perfect obstruction theories of log R-maps are naturally relative to the stack  $\mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}(\mathcal{A})$  of log maps [30], which is log smooth but in general has a singular underlying stack. This technical assumption can be addressed via a resolution using the twisted alignments as in §4.2.3. While not used in the rest of this paper, we discuss this resolution below. It is an analogue of the expanded picture as in [1, 61] but with no expansions.

**4.6.1. Aligned maps.** A punctured/log map  $f: \mathcal{C}^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is *aligned* if the sheaf of degeneracies  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}(f)$  is totally ordered. We consider a stack  $\mathfrak{M}$  of aligned punctured/log maps which is a finite type open substack of either one of the following

- (1)  $\prod_{i \in [m]} \mathfrak{M}_i$  where  $\mathfrak{M}_i$  is the stack of punctured maps as in (4.1).
- (2)  $\mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}(\mathcal{A})$  the stack of log maps to  $\mathcal{A}$  with discrete data  $(g, \varsigma')$ .

Let  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}_{\mathfrak{M}}$  be the sheaf of degeneracies of the universal punctured/log map over  $\mathfrak{M}$ . By Proposition 4.9, we obtain the stack of aligned punctured/log maps  $\mathfrak{M}^{\dagger} := \mathcal{T}_{\overline{\mathbf{D}}_{\mathfrak{M}} \cup \{0\}}$  with the projection  $\mathfrak{M}^{\dagger} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  given by (4.16).

In the case that  $\mathfrak{M}$  parameterizes log maps as in (2), since  $\mathfrak{M}^{\dagger}$  admits maximal degeneracies, the morphism  $\mathfrak{M}^{\dagger} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  factors as

$$(4.42) \quad \mathfrak{M}^{\dagger} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^{\wedge} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}.$$

By Proposition 4.6 and [31, Theorem 3.17], the arrows in (4.42) are log étale, proper, representable and birational.

In the case that  $\mathfrak{M}$  parameterizes punctured maps as in (1), we obtain a tautological morphism

$$(4.43) \quad \text{align}^{\widehat{\diamond}}: \mathfrak{M}^{\dagger} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^{\widehat{\diamond}},$$

which is proper and representable over  $\mathfrak{M}$  by Proposition 4.9. Furthermore, (4.43) restricts to an isomorphism over the open dense stack parameterizing smooth source curves, hence it is also birational.

**4.6.2. Twisted aligned maps.** In general,  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{M}^{\dagger}}$  is not necessarily locally free, which causes the underlying of  $\mathfrak{M}^{\dagger}$  to be singular. To achieve smoothness, we introduce further twists as follows.

A *twisted aligned log/punctured map*  $f: \mathcal{C}^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  over  $S$  is an aligned log/punctured map such that fiberwise the set  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}(f) \cup \{0\}$  is of the form as in (4.13):

$$0, r_1 e_1, r_1 e_1 + r_2 e_2, r_1 e_1 + r_2 e_2 + r_3 e_3, \cdots, r_1 e_1 + \cdots + r_k e_k.$$

By §4.2.3, the moduli of twisted aligned maps in  $\mathfrak{M}$  is  $\mathcal{T}^{tw} \times_{\mathcal{T}_{\log}} \mathfrak{M}^{\dagger}$ .

To study the structure of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_S$ , suppose that  $f$  is given by a strict geometric log point  $S \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^{tw} \times_{\mathcal{T}_{\log}} \mathfrak{M}^\dagger$ . Let  $G$  be the (not necessarily connected) dual graph of the underlying curve of  $\mathcal{C}^\circ$  with the set of vertices  $V(G)$  corresponding to the set of irreducible components, and edges  $E(G)$  corresponding to the set of nodes with the additional data:

- (1) A partition  $V(G) = \cup_{i=0}^k V_i$  with  $V_i$  representing the set of components with the degeneracies  $\delta_0 = 0$  and  $\delta_i := r_1 e_1 + \cdots + r_i e_i$  for  $i \geq 1$ .
- (2) Each  $E \in E(G)$  is decorated by its contact order  $c_E \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For each  $i < j$ , denote by  $E_{ij}(G) \subset E(G)$  the subset consisting of  $E \in E(G)$  joining vertices in  $V_{i'}$  and  $V_{j'}$  such that  $i' \leq i < j \leq j'$ . Let  $E^0(G) \subset E(G)$  be the subset consisting of edges with the zero contact order. The key to the smoothness of  $\mathfrak{M}^\dagger$  in the case of log maps is:

**Proposition 4.17.** *Notations and assumptions as above,  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_S$  is free provided that  $c_E | r_{i+1}$  for any  $E \in E_{i(i+1)}(G)$  and any  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ .*

*Proof.* To describe the monoid  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_S$ , we introduce:

- $\rho_E$ : the nodal smoothing parameter corresponding to  $E$  as in [3, (2.3)];
- $\delta_v$ : the degeneracy of the vertex  $v \in V(G)$ .

These parameters viewed as elements of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_S$  satisfy:

- (A):  $\delta_v = \delta_i$  if  $v \in V_i$ .
- (N):  $\delta_v = \delta_{v'} + c_E \cdot \rho_E$  for  $E$  joining  $v \in V_i$  and  $v' \in V_j$  with  $i \leq j$ .

Denote by  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_S$  the fine sharp monoid given by

$$\langle e_i, \rho_E \mid 1 \leq i \leq k \text{ and } E \in E(G) \rangle / \sim$$

with the relations  $\sim$  given by (A) and (N). By the fiber product construction of  $\mathcal{T}^{tw} \times_{\mathcal{T}_{\log}} \mathfrak{M}^\dagger$  and the definition of basic monoids in [3, §2.3.1], the monoid  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_S$  is the saturation of  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_S$  in the category of sharp monoids. Now the proposition follows from the next lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.18.** *In the above situation,  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_S \cong \overline{\mathcal{N}}_S$  is a free monoid with the set of generators*

$$(4.44) \quad \{e_i, \rho_E \mid 1 \leq i \leq k \text{ and } E \in E(G) \text{ with } c_E = 0\}.$$

*Proof.* It suffices to show that for any  $E \in E(G)$  with  $c_E \neq 0$ , the element  $\rho_E$  can be expressed as an integral combination of  $e_i$ 's with positive coefficients.

Suppose that  $E$  connects two vertices  $v \in V_i$  and  $v' \in V_j$  with  $i < j$ . We have

$$c_E \cdot \rho_E = \delta_{v'} - \delta_v = \delta_{v_j} - \delta_{v_i} = \sum_{l=i+1}^j r_l e_l.$$

Since  $c_E | r_l$  by assumption, the statement follows from

$$\rho_E = \sum_{l=i+1}^j \frac{r_l}{c_E} e_l.$$

$\square$

**4.6.3. Twisted aligned log R-maps.** Suppose that  $\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathfrak{M}_{g,s'}(\mathcal{A})$  is a finite type open substack of the moduli of log maps, and  $\mathfrak{M}^\dagger \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  is the corresponding alignment. For any twisting choice  $\mathfrak{r}$ , we obtain a log smooth stack  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{r}}^\dagger := \mathcal{T}_{\log}^{\mathfrak{r}} \times_{\mathcal{T}} \mathfrak{M}^\dagger$  with the projection

$$(4.45) \quad \text{Tw}: \mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{r}}^\dagger \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^\dagger,$$

which is birational as it restricts to an isomorphism over the open dense substack with the trivial log structure.

Note that a log smooth stack is smooth if the log structure is locally free. Given the freeness criterion in Proposition 4.17, we observe that one can choose  $\mathfrak{r}$  such that  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{r}}^\dagger$  has free log structures, hence is both log smooth and smooth, and Tw is proper.

Indeed, since  $\mathfrak{M}$  is of finite type, the possible contact orders at the nodes are also finite. A *global twisting index* is a positive number  $\lambda$  divisible by the contact order of each node over each geometric point of  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Fix such a  $\lambda$ . Let  $\mathfrak{r}$  be the twisting choice that assigns  $r_i = \lambda$  to each  $r_i$

as in (4.13). Locally, the morphism  $\mathcal{T}^\mathfrak{r} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  takes the  $\lambda$ -th root stack construction along each irreducible component of the boundary of  $\mathcal{T}$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{T}^\mathfrak{r} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is proper which pulls back to the proper Tw. Furthermore by Proposition 4.17,  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{M}_\mathfrak{t}^\mathfrak{r}}$  is locally free, hence  $\mathfrak{M}_\mathfrak{t}^\mathfrak{r}$  is both log smooth and smooth.

*Remark 4.19.* The choice  $\mathfrak{r}$ , and hence the resolution  $\mathfrak{M}_\mathfrak{t}^\mathfrak{r}$  are not unique. One could also take the minimal choice similar to [4]. In fact, these choices are to ensure the technical assumptions of the localization formula, and play no role in the calculation of virtual cycles.

Consider the discrete data for the stable log R-maps of [30]:

$$(4.46) \quad (g, \beta, \varsigma = \{(\overline{\gamma}_j, c_j)\}_{j=1}^n)$$

compatible with the discrete data  $(g, \varsigma')$  of log maps to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Write  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{g,n}(\mathfrak{P}, \beta)$  for simplicity. We have a tautological morphism  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}(\mathcal{A})$  induced by the strict morphism  $\mathfrak{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ . Since  $\mathcal{R}$  is of finite type, let  $\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathfrak{M}_{g,\varsigma'}(\mathcal{A})$  be a finite type open substack containing the image of  $\mathcal{R}$ . We form the following commutative diagram of Cartesian squares in fs category with strict vertical arrows

$$(4.47) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{R}^\mathfrak{r} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}^\dagger & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}^\lambda & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{M}_\mathfrak{t}^\mathfrak{r} & \xrightarrow{\text{Tw}} & \mathfrak{M}^\dagger & \xrightarrow{\text{align}^\lambda} & \mathfrak{M}^\lambda & \xrightarrow{F^\lambda} & \mathfrak{M} \end{array}$$

where the middle and right arrows of the bottom are given by (4.42). Pulling back the canonical perfect obstruction theory of  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  as defined in [30], we obtain the canonical virtual cycles  $[\mathcal{R}^\mathfrak{r}]^{\text{vir}}, [\mathcal{R}^\dagger]^{\text{vir}}, [\mathcal{R}^\lambda]^{\text{vir}}$  and  $[\mathcal{R}]^{\text{vir}}$ . Pulling back the reduced perfect obstruction theory of  $\mathcal{R}^\lambda \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^\lambda$  as defined in [30], we obtain the reduced virtual cycles  $[\mathcal{R}^\mathfrak{r}]^{\text{red}}, [\mathcal{R}^\dagger]^{\text{red}}$ , and  $[\mathcal{R}^\lambda]^{\text{red}}$ .

Choosing a global twisting choice  $\lambda$  as above, we may assume that  $\mathfrak{M}_\mathfrak{t}^\mathfrak{r}$  is a smooth stack, and the bottom arrows are all proper birational. In this case, virtual push-forward of canonical and reduced virtual cycles holds, namely

$$(4.48) \quad \text{Tw}_* \text{align}_*^\lambda F_*^\lambda [\mathcal{R}^\mathfrak{r}]^{\text{vir}} = [\mathcal{R}]^{\text{vir}} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Tw}_* \text{align}_*^\lambda F_*^\lambda [\mathcal{R}^\mathfrak{r}]^{\text{red}} = [\mathcal{R}]^{\text{red}}.$$

**4.6.4. Twisted aligned punctured R-maps.** Suppose that  $\mathfrak{M} \subset \prod_{i \in [m]} \mathfrak{M}_i$  is a finite type open substack of punctured maps, and  $\mathfrak{M}^\dagger \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  is the corresponding stack of aligned maps. The morphism  $\mathfrak{M}^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\log}$  factors through the closed substack  $\mathcal{T}_\Delta \subset \mathcal{T}_{\log}$  of non-trivial log structures. For a twisting choice  $\mathfrak{r}$ , let  $\mathcal{T}_\Delta^\mathfrak{r} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\log}^\mathfrak{r}$  be the closed substack with non-trivial log structures, and write  $\mathfrak{M}_\mathfrak{t}^\mathfrak{r} := \mathcal{T}_\Delta^\mathfrak{r} \times_{\mathcal{T}_\Delta} \mathfrak{M}^\dagger$  for the twisted alignments with the projection

$$(4.49) \quad \text{Tw}: \mathfrak{M}_\mathfrak{t}^\mathfrak{r} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{M}^\dagger.$$

As in the case of log maps, a *global twisting index* is a positive number  $\lambda$  divisible by the contact order of each node over each geometric point of  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Again, fix such a  $\lambda$ . We choose  $\mathfrak{r}$  which assigns  $r_i = \lambda$  to each generator fiberwise as in (4.13). Thus, the morphism  $\mathcal{T}_\Delta^\mathfrak{r} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_\Delta$ , and hence Tw is proper and generically étale of degree  $1/\lambda$ .

For punctured R-maps, consider a finite collection of discrete data

$$(g_i, \beta_i, \varsigma_i = \{(\overline{\gamma}_{ij}, c_{ij})\}_{j=1}^{n_i}), \quad \text{for } i \in [m].$$

as in (3.3) compatible with (3.1). Write for simplicity  $\mathcal{R}_i = \mathcal{R}_{g_i, \varsigma_i}(\infty, \beta_i)$ . Then for each  $i \in [m]$ , we obtain a morphism  $\mathcal{R}_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_i$  from (2.22). As  $\mathcal{R}_i$  is of finite type, we may replace  $\mathfrak{M}_i$  by a finite type open substack containing the image of  $\mathcal{R}_i$ , which by abuse of notation, we again denote by  $\mathfrak{M}_i$ . We obtain a commutative diagram with all squares Cartesian in the fs category:

$$(4.50) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} \mathcal{R}_{[m],\mathfrak{r}}^\mathfrak{r} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\dagger & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\diamond & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i \in [m]} \mathcal{R}_i^\diamond & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i \in [m]} \mathcal{R}_i \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{M}_{[m],\mathfrak{r}}^\mathfrak{r} & \xrightarrow{\text{Tw}} & \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\dagger & \xrightarrow{\text{align}^\diamond} & \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\diamond & \xrightarrow{\text{Bl}_\mathcal{K}} & \prod_{i \in [m]} \mathfrak{M}_i^\diamond & \xrightarrow{(4.6)} & \prod_{i \in [m]} \mathfrak{M}_i \end{array}$$

Each stack of punctured R-maps on the top carries the configuration of degeneracies as the corresponding bottom stack of punctured maps. Since all the vertical arrows are strict, squares in both

diagrams are Cartesian on the level of underlying stacks as well. Thus, whenever the canonical or reduced theory of  $\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\diamond \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{[m]}^\diamond$  is defined in this paper, their pull-backs define the canonical and reduced virtual cycles of  $\mathcal{R}_{[m],\tau}^\dagger$ , denoted by  $[\mathcal{R}_{[m],\tau}^\dagger]^{\text{vir}}$  and  $[\mathcal{R}_{[m],\tau}^\dagger]^{\text{red}}$ , respectively. Choosing a global twisting index  $\lambda$  as above, we further have the virtual push-forwards

$$(4.51) \quad \text{Tw}_* \text{align}_*^\diamond [\mathcal{R}_{[m],\tau}^\dagger]^{\text{vir}} = (1/\lambda) \cdot [\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\diamond]^{\text{vir}} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Tw}_* \text{align}_*^\diamond [\mathcal{R}_{[m],\tau}^\dagger]^{\text{red}} = (1/\lambda) \cdot [\mathcal{R}_{[m]}^\diamond]^{\text{red}}.$$

Theorem 4.16 together with (4.48) and (4.51) will be used in [29] to compute the virtual cycles of log GLSM of [30] via torus localization and boundary decompositions.

## 5. LOGARITHMIC ROOT CONSTRUCTIONS

In this section, we fix a target  $\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$  as in §2.5.1, and a positive integer  $\ell$ . Consider the following Cartesian diagram with strict vertical arrows:

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \infty^{1/\ell} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \infty \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \infty_{\mathcal{A}}^{1/\ell} & \xrightarrow{\nu_\ell} & \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \end{array}$$

where  $\infty_{\mathcal{A}}^{1/\ell} := \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\nu_\ell$  is the  $\ell$ th root morphism defined by  $\nu_\ell^b(1) = \ell$  on the level of characteristic sheaves. Then the composition  $\infty^{1/\ell} \rightarrow \infty \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$  defines another target satisfying the conditions in §2.5.1.

We will compare punctured R-maps and their virtual cycles with targets  $\infty$  and  $\infty^{1/\ell}$ . This comparison is the geometric foundation for the LG/CY correspondence as explained in Section 9.7.1 below.

### 5.1. Comparison of canonical virtual cycles.

5.1.1. *Comparison of discrete data.* Let  $(g, \beta, \varsigma = \{(\overline{\gamma}_i, c_i)\}_{i=1}^n)$  be the discrete data of punctured R-maps to  $\infty^{1/\ell}$  with connected domains. By [4, Lemma 1.1.11], the sector  $\overline{\gamma}_i \subset \overline{\mathcal{I}}_\mu \infty_{\mathbf{k}}^{1/\ell}$  uniquely determines a sector  $\overline{\gamma}'_i \subset \overline{\mathcal{I}}_\mu \infty_{\mathbf{k}}$  with a natural  $\mu_{\ell/\rho_i}$ -gerbe  $\overline{\gamma}_i \rightarrow \overline{\gamma}'_i$  induced by  $\infty_{\mathbf{k}}^{1/\ell} \rightarrow \infty_{\mathbf{k}}$ , where  $\rho_i := r_i/r'_i$  with  $r_i$  and  $r'_i$  the indices of the gerbes parameterized by  $\overline{\gamma}_i$  and  $\overline{\gamma}'_i$  respectively. In particular, we have  $\rho_i | \ell$ . We then introduce the *compatible discrete data* of punctured R-maps to  $\infty$

$$(5.2) \quad (g, \beta, \overline{\varsigma} = \{(\overline{\gamma}'_i, c'_i = \frac{\ell}{\rho_i} \cdot c_i)\}_{i=1}^n).$$

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $f_\ell: \mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ \rightarrow \infty^{1/\ell}$  be a stable punctured R-map over a log scheme  $S$  with the discrete data  $(g, \beta, \varsigma = \{(\overline{\gamma}_i, c_i)\}_{i=1}^n)$ . Then the composition  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ \rightarrow \infty^{1/\ell} \rightarrow \infty$  factors through a unique stable punctured R-map  $f_1: \mathcal{C}_1^\circ \rightarrow \infty$  with discrete data (5.2) such that the underlying  $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_\ell \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}_1$  induces an isomorphism between the coarse curves.*

Thus, we obtain a canonical morphism of log stacks

$$(5.3) \quad \nu_\ell: \mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}(\infty^{1/\ell}, \beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{g,\overline{\varsigma}}(\infty, \beta).$$

*Proof.* The proof is similar to [30, Proposition 2.15] with minor modifications taking care of the punctured points. Let  $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_\ell$  be the underlying coarse curve of  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ$ . Consider the punctured map  $h_\ell: \mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ \rightarrow \infty^{1/\ell} \times_{\mathbf{BC}_\omega^*} \underline{\mathcal{C}}_\ell$  induced by  $f_\ell$ . The stability of  $f_\ell$  implies that the underlying  $\underline{h}_\ell$  is stable in the usual sense. Thus by [6, Proposition 9.1.1] the composition  $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_\ell \rightarrow \underline{\infty}^{1/\ell} \times_{\mathbf{BC}_\omega^*} \underline{\mathcal{C}}_\ell \rightarrow \underline{\infty} \times_{\mathbf{BC}_\omega^*} \underline{\mathcal{C}}_\ell$  factors through a usual stable map  $\underline{h}_1: \underline{\mathcal{C}}_1 \rightarrow \underline{\infty} \times_{\mathbf{BC}_\omega^*} \underline{\mathcal{C}}_\ell$  over  $\underline{S}$ . Since  $\underline{\infty}^{1/\ell} \rightarrow \underline{\infty}$  is étale, the composition  $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_1 \rightarrow \underline{\infty} \times_{\mathbf{BC}_\omega^*} \underline{\mathcal{C}}_\ell \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}_\ell$  is the coarse morphism. Denote by  $\underline{f}_1$  the R-map given by the composition  $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_1 \rightarrow \underline{\infty} \times_{\mathbf{BC}_\omega^*} \underline{\mathcal{C}}_\ell \rightarrow \underline{\infty}$ . The sector associated to the  $i$ th marking of the underlying R-map  $\underline{f}_1$  is  $\overline{\gamma}'_i$  as in (5.2).

It remains to lift  $\underline{f}_1$  to a punctured map. Denote by  $\mathfrak{f}_\ell: \mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}^{1/\ell}$  the punctured map induced by  $f_\ell$ , and by  $\mathfrak{f}_1: \underline{\mathcal{C}}_1 \rightarrow \underline{\infty}_{\mathcal{A}}$  the map induced by  $\underline{f}_1$ . It suffices to construct a punctured map

$f_1: \mathcal{C}_1^\circ \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$  over  $S$  with underlying  $f_1$  making the following diagram commutative:

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ & \xrightarrow{f_\ell} & \infty_{\mathcal{A}}^{1/\ell} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{C}_1^\circ & \xrightarrow{f_1} & \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \end{array}$$

First, by the same argument as in the second paragraph in the proof of [30, Proposition 2.15], we obtain a log curve  $\mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow S$  over  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and a morphism of log curves  $\mathcal{C}_\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1$  over  $S$ . Since both  $\infty_{\mathcal{A}}^{1/\ell}$  and  $\infty_{\mathcal{A}}$  are closed substacks of the Artin fan  $\mathcal{A}$ , to define the dashed arrows in above commutative diagram, it suffices to define the punctured curve and the dashed morphisms on the level of characteristic sheaves as in the following commutative diagram:

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{C}_\ell} & \xrightarrow{\subset} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ} & \xleftarrow{\overline{f}_\ell} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\infty_{\mathcal{A}}^{1/\ell}|\mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \overline{p}_\ell^\flat: 1 \rightarrow \ell \\ \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{C}_1|\mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ} & \xrightarrow{\subset} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{C}_1^\circ|\mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ} & \xleftarrow{\overline{f}_1} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\infty_{\mathcal{A}}|\mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ} \end{array}$$

Since both  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\infty_{\mathcal{A}}^{1/\ell}|\mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ} \cong \mathbb{N}|\mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\infty_{\mathcal{A}}|\mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ} \cong \mathbb{N}|\mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ$  are globally constant, the commutativity of (5.5) forces the following equality in the monoid  $\Gamma(\mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ})$ :

$$(5.6) \quad \overline{f}_1(1) := \overline{f}_\ell(1) = \ell \cdot \overline{f}_\ell(1).$$

Since the case of nodes and smooth points is completely identical to [30, Proposition 2.15], we will only construct the punctured maps around markings. Unwinding (5.6), consider a neighborhood  $U_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1$  of the  $i$ th marking  $p$ , and let  $U_\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ$  be an étale neighborhood of the marking  $p'$  above  $p$  such that the underlying  $\underline{U}_\ell \rightarrow \underline{U}_1$  is the  $\rho_i$ th root stack along the  $i$ th marking. Let  $\sigma \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{U_1}$  and  $\sigma' \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{U_\ell}$  be the local sections that lift to the local equation of the  $i$ th marking. This implies that  $\rho_i \sigma' = \sigma$ .

Using the above local sections, the equation (5.6) takes the shape

$$\overline{f}_1(1) = \ell \cdot \overline{f}_\ell(1) = \ell(e + c_i \sigma) = \ell e + \ell c_i \sigma$$

where  $e$  is the degeneracy of  $U_\ell$ . On the other hand, if  $\overline{f}_1$  lifts to a punctured map  $f_1$ , then locally we have

$$(5.7) \quad \overline{f}_1(1) = e' + c'_i \sigma'$$

where  $e'$  is the corresponding degeneracy and  $c'_i$  is the contact order of the to be constructed  $f_1$  along the  $i$ th marking. Thus (5.6) amounts to

$$(5.8) \quad e' = \ell e \quad \text{and} \quad \ell c_i = c'_i \rho_i.$$

Since  $\rho_i | \ell$ , we obtain  $c'_i = \frac{\ell c_i}{\rho_i} \in \mathbb{Z}$  as needed. This means that once we have constructed a punctured curve  $\mathcal{C}_1^\circ \rightarrow S$  with the local section  $e' + c'_i \sigma'$  around the  $i$ th marking, then  $f_1$  is uniquely defined using (5.7).

Finally we construct the punctured curve  $\mathcal{C}_1^\circ \rightarrow S$  as follows. We only need to consider the situation  $c_i < 0$ , i.e. there is a puncture at  $p$ . In this case since  $\ell > 0, \rho_i > 0$ , we have  $c'_i < 0$ . Then  $e + c_i \sigma$  is a local section in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ}$ . This implies that any lifting of  $e$ , hence  $e'$  in the log structure constantly vanishes in the structure sheaf. Thus we may define the punctured curve  $\mathcal{C}_1^\circ$  by adding  $e' + c'_i \sigma'$  to the characteristic sheaf as in [3, Definition 2.1, Remark 2.2].

This finishes the proof.  $\square$

For later use, we record the following result on degeneracies:

**Corollary 5.2.** *Suppose we are in the situation of Lemma 5.1 with  $S$  a log point. Let  $Z \subset \mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ$  be an irreducible component with degeneracy  $e$ . Let  $Z' \subset \mathcal{C}_1^\circ$  be the image of  $Z$  with degeneracy  $e'$ . Then  $e' = \ell \cdot e$ .*



*Proof.* This is the first equality in (5.8). Though (5.8) is for a neighborhood of a marking, the same argument applies to any smooth point locally on the curve  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ$ .  $\square$

Conversely we have:

**Lemma 5.3.** *Fix discrete data  $(g, \beta, \bar{\varsigma} = \{(\bar{\gamma}'_i, c'_i)\}_{i=1}^n)$  of stable punctured maps to  $\infty$ , and write  $\rho_i := \text{lcm}(\ell, c'_i)/c'_i$  for all  $i$ . Then there is discrete data*

$$(g, \beta, \varsigma = \{(\bar{\gamma}_i, c_i = \text{lcm}(\ell, c'_i)/\ell = \frac{\rho_i \cdot c'_i}{\ell})\}_{i=1}^n)$$

of stable punctured R-maps to  $\infty^{1/\ell}$  unique in the following sense:

Let  $f_\ell: \mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ \rightarrow \infty^{1/\ell}$  be any stable punctured R-map such that the composition  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ \rightarrow \infty^{1/\ell} \rightarrow \infty$  factors through a stable punctured R-map  $f_1: \mathcal{C}_1^\circ \rightarrow \infty$  as in Lemma 5.1 with the discrete data  $(g, \beta, \bar{\varsigma})$ . Suppose the underlying of  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1^\circ$  is the  $\rho_i$ th root stack along the  $i$ th marking for each  $i$ . Then  $f_\ell$  has the discrete data  $(g, \beta, \varsigma)$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $c_i = \frac{\rho_i \cdot c'_i}{\ell}$  follows from (5.8). Now suppose we are given a punctured R-map  $f_\ell: \mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ \rightarrow \infty^{1/\ell}$  as in the statement. Following the notations in the proof of Lemma 5.1, consider the corresponding  $i$ th markings  $p' \subset \mathcal{C}_1^\circ$  and  $p \subset \mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ$ . The factorization implies a commutative diagram

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} p & \longrightarrow & \infty_{\mathbf{k}}^{1/\ell} & \longrightarrow & \infty^{1/\ell} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ p' & \longrightarrow & \infty_{\mathbf{k}} & \longrightarrow & \infty \end{array}$$

where the square on the right is Cartesian with strict horizontal arrows, and the composition of the top and bottom arrows are given by  $f_\ell$  and  $f_1$  respectively. Let  $\bar{\gamma}_i \subset \bar{\mathcal{I}}_\mu \infty_{\mathbf{k}}^{1/\ell}$  be the sector corresponding to  $p \rightarrow \infty_{\mathbf{k}}^{1/\ell}$ . It remains to determine this sector  $\bar{\gamma}_i$ .

Since the sector  $\bar{\gamma}_i$  can be identified locally, let  $[X/G] \rightarrow \infty_{\mathbf{k}}$  be a local chart where  $X$  is a variety and  $G$  is a finite group. The action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{O}(\infty)|_X$  is given by a character  $\chi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ . A similar discussion as in [4, §1.1.10] implies a local model  $[X/\tilde{G}] \rightarrow \infty_{\mathbf{k}}^{1/\ell}$  over  $[X/G]$  with the group extension  $\tilde{G} = G \times_{\chi, \mathbb{G}_m, \nu_\ell} \mathbb{G}_m$ . Recall that  $p$  is an  $\mu_{r_i}$ -gerbe. To determine  $\bar{\gamma}_i$  it suffices to exhibit an injection  $\mu_{r_i} \rightarrow \tilde{G}$  of inertia groups associated to  $p \rightarrow \infty_{\mathbf{k}}^{1/\ell}$ .

Note that the middle and right vertical arrows of (5.9) are given by the  $\ell$ th root  $\mathcal{O}(\infty)|_{\infty^{1/\ell}} \cong \mathcal{O}(\ell \cdot \infty^{1/\ell})$ . Recall from the proof of Lemma 5.1 that

$$\mathcal{O}(\infty)|_p \cong \mathcal{O}(\bar{f}_1^{\flat}(1))|_p \cong \mathcal{O}(\ell \bar{f}_\ell^{\flat}(1))|_p.$$

Thus the underlying of  $p \rightarrow \infty_{\mathbf{k}}^{1/\ell}$  is defined by the pull-back  $\mathcal{O}(\infty^{1/\ell})|_p \cong \mathcal{O}(\bar{f}_\ell^{\flat}(1))|_p$ . Using  $\bar{f}_\ell^{\flat}(1) = e + c_i \sigma$  around  $p$ , we have  $\mathcal{O}(\infty^{1/\ell})|_p = \mathcal{O}(e) \otimes \mathcal{O}(c_i \sigma)$ . Since  $p$  is a  $\mathbf{B}\mu_{r_i}$ -gerbe, for any point  $\text{Spec } \mathbf{k} \rightarrow p$  the  $\mu_{r_i}$ -action on the vector space  $\mathcal{O}(\infty^{1/\ell})|_p \times_p \text{Spec } \mathbf{k} \cong \mathbf{k}$  is described by  $z \cdot v = z^{c_i} v$  for any  $z \in \mu_{r_i}$  and  $v \in \mathbf{k}$ .

Similarly, since  $p'$  is a  $\mathbf{B}\mu_{r'_i}$ -gerbe, for any point  $\text{Spec } \mathbf{k} \rightarrow p'$ , the  $\mu_{r'_i}$ -action on the vector space  $\mathcal{O}(\infty)|_{p'} \times_{p'} \text{Spec } \mathbf{k} \cong \mathbf{k}$  is described by  $\zeta \cdot w = \zeta^{c'_i} w$  for any  $\zeta \in \mu_{r'_i}$  and  $w \in \mathbf{k}$ . We have arrived at a commutative diagram of solid arrows of groups

$$(5.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mu_{r_i} & \xrightarrow{z \mapsto z^{\rho_i}} & \mu_{r'_i} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{G} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \chi \\ \mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{\nu_\ell} & \mathbb{G}_m \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Left: } z \mapsto z^{c_i} \\ \text{Right: } \zeta \mapsto \zeta^{c'_i} \end{array}$$

where  $\mu_{r'_i} \rightarrow G$  is the injection given by  $\bar{\gamma}'_i$  such that the composition  $\mu_{r'_i} \rightarrow G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  is  $\zeta \rightarrow \zeta^{c'_i}$ . The commutativity of the outer square follows from  $c_i \ell = c'_i \rho_i$ . Since the bottom square is Cartesian, we obtain a unique group morphism  $\mu_{r_i} \rightarrow \tilde{G}$  fitting in the above diagram. Note that  $\ker(\mu_{r_i} \rightarrow$

$\mu_{r'_i}) = \mu_{\rho_i}$ . To see that this determines  $\bar{\gamma}_i$  uniquely, it suffices to observe that  $\gcd(\rho_i, c_i) = 1$  hence the morphism  $\mu_{r'_i} \rightarrow \tilde{G}$  is injective.

Finally we observe that the diagram (5.10) is completely determined by  $\bar{\gamma}'_i, \ell, c'_i$ , independent of the existence of  $f_\ell$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 5.4.* The proof of Lemma 5.3 shows that  $\bar{\gamma}_i$  is uniquely determined by the data  $(\bar{\gamma}'_i, \ell, c'_i)$ . For any positive rational  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ , denote by  $\{x\}$  the fractional part of  $x$ . The proof also shows that

$$(5.11) \quad \text{age } \mathcal{O}(\infty^{1/\ell})|_{\gamma_i} = \left\{ \frac{c_i}{r_i} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{c'_i}{r'_i \ell} \right\}.$$

In fact, the discussion in [4, §1.1.10] implies that there are exactly  $r$  sectors  $\bar{\gamma}$  over  $\bar{\gamma}'_i$ , distinguished by  $\text{age } \mathcal{O}(\infty^{1/\ell})|_{\bar{\gamma}}$ , and hence (5.11) determines  $\bar{\gamma}_i$  in terms of  $\bar{\gamma}'_i$ .

*Remark 5.5.* Although not stated in [30], there is an analog of Lemma 5.3 in the setting of log R-maps by a similar argument.

### 5.1.2. Comparison of canonical virtual cycles.

**Proposition 5.6.** *Suppose (2.26) is smooth. Then we have the virtual push-forward*

$$\nu_{\ell,*}[\mathcal{R}_{g,s}(\infty^{1/\ell}, \beta)]^{\text{vir}} = \ell^{-1} \cdot [\mathcal{R}_{g,\bar{s}}(\infty, \beta)]^{\text{vir}}.$$

*Remark 5.7.* The analogous result in orbifold Gromov–Witten theory involves a factor of  $\ell^{2g} \cdot \ell^{-1}$  instead of  $\ell^{-1}$  (see for instance [10]). To give some intuition for the different prefactors, note that given a smooth, unmarked curve  $C$  of genus  $g$ , there are  $\ell^{2g}$  choices of  $\ell$ -torsion line bundles, or in other words,  $\ell^{2g}$  choices of maps  $C \rightarrow B\mu_\ell \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbf{k})$ . On the other hand, if we consider taking  $\ell$ th roots on the level of logarithmic maps, we only parameterize such line bundles that admit a trivialization, and so only one out of the  $\ell^{2g}$  choices is allowed. In both cases, the automorphisms of  $\ell$ th roots lead to the additional factor  $\ell^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to [30, Proposition 3.2] following the strategy of [7]. Write  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{g,s}(\infty^{1/\ell}, \beta)$  and  $\mathcal{R}' = \mathcal{R}_{g,\bar{s}}(\infty, \beta)$  for simplicity. Denote by

$$\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}'$$

the morphisms defined in (2.22), where  $\mathfrak{M}$  and  $\mathfrak{M}'$  parameterize the corresponding punctured maps to  $\infty_{\mathcal{A}}^{1/\ell}$  and  $\infty_{\mathcal{A}}$  respectively.

Consider the auxiliary stack  $\mathfrak{M}^a := \mathfrak{M}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}}^{1/\ell} \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}})$  which associates to a fs log scheme  $S$  the category of commutative squares

$$(5.12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ & \xrightarrow{f_\ell} & \infty_{\mathcal{A}}^{1/\ell} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu_\ell \\ \mathcal{C}_1^\circ & \xrightarrow{f_1} & \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \end{array}$$

such that

- (1) the left vertical arrow induces a log étale morphism of log curves  $\mathcal{C}_\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1$  over  $S$ , which induces the identity on the level of coarse curves.
- (2)  $[f_\ell] \in \mathfrak{M}(S)$  and  $[f_1] \in \mathfrak{M}'(S)$
- (3) The induced morphism  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1^\circ \times_{\infty_{\mathcal{A}}} \infty_{\mathcal{A}}^{1/\ell}$  is representable.

Thus we have two tautological morphisms

$$F_h: \mathfrak{M}^a \rightarrow \mathfrak{M} \quad \text{and} \quad F_t: \mathfrak{M}^a \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}'$$

by  $F_h: (5.12) \mapsto f_\ell$  and  $F_t: (5.12) \mapsto f_1$  respectively. By Lemma 5.1, the tautological morphism  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  factors through  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^a$  via  $[f_\ell] \mapsto (5.4)$ . These arrows fit in the following commutative diagram

$$(5.13) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{R} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathfrak{M}^a & \xrightarrow{F_h} & \mathfrak{M} \\ \downarrow \nu_\ell & & \downarrow F_t & & \\ \mathcal{R}' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathfrak{M}' & & \end{array}$$

where the top curly arrow and the bottom arrow are the tautological morphisms (2.22). The square is Cartesian by Lemma 5.3.

Since the horizontal arrows in (5.1) are strict and étale, pulling back (2.28) we obtain the perfect obstruction theory

$$(5.14) \quad \varphi_{\mathcal{R}/\mathfrak{M}} = \varphi_{\mathcal{R}'/\mathfrak{M}'}|_{\mathcal{R}}.$$

The same proof as in [7, Lemma 4.1, Section 5.1] implies that  $F_h$  is strict and étale. Thus,  $\varphi_{\mathcal{R}/\mathfrak{M}}$  defines a perfect obstruction theory of  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^a$ . We next check that  $F_t$  is of Deligne–Mumford type and proper of pure degree  $1/\ell$ . The statement then follows from Costello’s virtual push-forward [52, Theorem 1.1], see also [38, Theorem 5.0.1] and [70, Proposition 5.29].

For the properness, consider any  $S \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}'$  corresponding to a punctured map  $f_1: \mathcal{C}_1^\circ \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$  over  $S$ . Then fiber product  $\mathfrak{M}^a \times_{\mathfrak{M}'} S$  is the moduli of stable punctured maps to the family of targets  $\mathcal{C}_1^\circ \times_{\infty_{\mathcal{A}}} \infty_{\mathcal{A}}^{1/\ell} \rightarrow S$  with the induced discrete data, whose underlying morphisms are usual twisted stable maps [6]. Thus the same proof as in [3, Theorem 3.17] implies that the projection  $\mathfrak{M}^a \times_{\mathfrak{M}'} S \rightarrow S$ , and hence also  $F_t$  is proper of Deligne–Mumford type.

Since  $F_h$  is strict and étale, Lemma 2.7 (2) implies that  $\mathfrak{M}^a$  has an open dense substack with smooth source curves, denoted by  $\mathring{\mathfrak{M}}^a \subset \mathfrak{M}^a$  with image  $\mathring{\mathfrak{M}}' \subset \mathfrak{M}'$ . By Corollary 5.2, we have a commutative diagram

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathring{\mathfrak{M}}^a & \longrightarrow & \mathring{\mathfrak{M}}' \\ \mathcal{O}(e) \downarrow & & \mathcal{O}(e') \downarrow \\ \infty_{\mathcal{A}}^{1/\ell} & \xrightarrow{\nu_\ell} & \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \end{array}$$

where  $e$  and  $e'$  are the degeneracies of the corresponding source curves as in Lemma 2.7 (2), and the vertical arrows are strict and defined as in (2.10). We will show that (5.15) is indeed Cartesian, hence  $F_t$  is of pure degree  $1/\ell$ .

For any strict  $S \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}'$  corresponding to a punctured map  $f_1: \mathcal{C}_1^\circ \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$ , consider the commutative diagram of solid arrows

$$(5.16) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \infty_{\mathcal{A}}^{1/\ell} & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{O}(e)} & S^{1/\ell} & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{C}_\ell & \dashleftarrow & \mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ & \xrightarrow{f_\ell} & \infty_{\mathcal{A}}^{1/\ell} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \infty_{\mathcal{A}} & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{O}(e')} & S & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{C}_1 & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{C}_1^\circ & \xrightarrow{f_1} & \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \end{array}$$

where the left-most square is Cartesian with strict horizontal arrows defined as in (2.10),  $\mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow S$  is the log curve of  $\mathcal{C}_1^\circ \rightarrow S$ , and  $\mathcal{C}_\ell \rightarrow S^{1/\ell}$  is the log curve obtained by taking the  $\rho_i$ th root along the  $i$ th marking of  $\mathcal{C}_1 \times_S S^{1/\ell} \rightarrow S^{1/\ell}$ . It suffices to exhibit a unique puncturing  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\ell$  and a punctured map  $f_\ell$  making the above diagram commutative.

Away from markings,  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ , and  $f_\ell$  is just the composition  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\ell \rightarrow S^{1/\ell} \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}^{1/\ell}$  of the top arrows in (5.16). Along the  $i$ th marking  $p_i \subset \mathcal{C}_\ell$ , we have on the characteristic level a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{S^{1/\ell}} \oplus \mathbb{N})|_{p_i} & \xrightarrow{\subset} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{C}_\ell}|_{p_i} & \xleftarrow{\overline{f}_\ell|_{p_i}} & \mathbb{N} \\ \uparrow (e', 1) \mapsto (\ell e, \rho_i) & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \overline{v}_\ell^b: 1 \mapsto \ell \\ (\overline{\mathcal{M}}_S \oplus \mathbb{N})|_{p_i} & \xrightarrow{\subset} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{C}_1}|_{p_i} & \xleftarrow{\overline{f}_1|_{p_i}} & \mathbb{N} \end{array}$$

Since  $\overline{f}_1|_{p_i}(1) = e' + c_i(0, 1)$ , the commutativity of the above diagram forces

$$\overline{f}_\ell|_{p_i}(1) = e + \frac{c_i \rho_i}{\ell}(0, 1) = e + c'_i(0, 1),$$

which is compatible with the discrete data (5.2). Note that any lift of  $e$  in the log structure corresponding to the zero section in the structure sheaf. Thus we define the punctured structure by adding the local section  $e + c'_i(0, 1)$  to  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{C}_\ell^\circ}$ . This defines the unique puncturing and the punctured map  $f_\ell$  as needed.  $\square$

## 5.2. Comparison of reduced virtual cycles.

5.2.1. *Comparison of superpotentials.* Recall that a superpotential  $W$  of order  $\tilde{r}$  for  $\infty$  is a section of the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_\omega \otimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\infty)$ . Note the isomorphism of line bundles

$$\mathcal{L}_\omega \otimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\infty)|_{\infty^{1/\ell}} \cong \mathcal{L}_\omega \otimes \mathcal{O}(\ell\tilde{r}\infty^{1/\ell}).$$

We may thus define the *induced superpotential*  $W_\ell$  of  $\infty^{1/\ell}$  as  $W_\ell := W|_{\infty^{1/\ell}}$ . The order of  $W_\ell$  is  $\ell\tilde{r}$ , and since  $\infty^{1/\ell} \rightarrow \infty$  is étale, the superpotential  $W_\ell$  is transverse if and only if so is  $W$ .

5.2.2. *Comparison of twisted superpotentials.* Write  $\mathcal{A}_{\max}^{1/\ell} \cong \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{A}^{1/\ell} \cong \mathcal{A}$  with the corresponding closed substacks  $\Delta_{\max}^{1/\ell} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\max}^{1/\ell}$  and  $\infty_{\mathcal{A}}^{1/\ell} \subset \mathcal{A}^{1/\ell}$ . We may rewrite (3.7) as follows

$$(5.17) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \infty_{\mathcal{A}^{e,\circ}}^{1/\ell} & \longrightarrow & \infty_{\mathcal{A}^e}^{1/\ell} & \xrightarrow{b} & \infty_{\mathcal{A}}^{1/\ell} \times \Delta_{\max}^{1/\ell} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A}^{1/\ell,e,\circ} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}^{1/\ell,e} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}^{1/\ell} \times \mathcal{A}_{\max}^{1/\ell}. \end{array}$$

Replacing  $\infty$  by  $\infty^{1/\ell}$ , we may rewrite (3.8) using (5.17) as follows

$$(5.18) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \infty_{e,\circ}^{1/\ell} & \longrightarrow & \infty^{1/\ell} \times \Delta_{\max}^{1/\ell} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \Delta_{\max}^{1/\ell} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \infty_{\mathcal{A}^{e,\circ}}^{1/\ell} & \longrightarrow & \infty_{\mathcal{A}}^{1/\ell} \times \Delta_{\max}^{1/\ell} & & \end{array}$$

Consider the  $\ell$ th root morphisms  $\mathcal{A}^{1/\ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_{\max}^{1/\ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\max}$  and their restrictions to the closed substacks  $\infty_{\mathcal{A}}^{1/\ell} \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\Delta_{\max}^{1/\ell} \rightarrow \Delta_{\max}$ . These  $\ell$ th root morphisms fit in a commutative diagram of log stacks

$$(5.19) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \infty_{e,\circ}^{1/\ell} & \longrightarrow & \infty_{\mathcal{A}^{e,\circ}}^{1/\ell} & \longrightarrow & \infty_{\mathcal{A}}^{1/\ell} \times \Delta_{\max}^{1/\ell} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \Delta_{\max}^{1/\ell} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \infty_{e,\circ} & \longrightarrow & \infty_{\mathcal{A}^{e,\circ}} & \longrightarrow & \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \Delta_{\max} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \Delta_{\max} \end{array}$$

where the square on the left is Cartesian. Observe that all vertical arrows are log étale as they are defined by the root constructions.

Suppose we are given a twisted superpotential  $\widetilde{W}$  of  $\infty$  as in (3.9) of order  $\tilde{r}$ . We define the *induced twisted superpotential*  $\widetilde{W}_\ell$  of  $\infty^{1/\ell}$  to be the following composition over  $\mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \Delta_{\max}^{1/\ell}$ :

$$(5.20) \quad \infty_{e,\circ}^{1/\ell} \longrightarrow \infty_{e,\circ} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_\omega \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max}) \cong \mathcal{L}_\omega \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r} \cdot \ell\Delta_{\max}^{1/\ell})$$

where the left arrow is the left vertical arrow in (5.19), and the total space of the line bundles on the right are equipped with the log structures pulled back from  $\mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \Delta_{\max}^{1/\ell}$ . Readers can check directly that the constructions of the induced twisted superpotential is compatible with the induced superpotential with respect to the correspondence of Lemma 3.6.

**Corollary 5.8.** *Notations and assumptions as above, we have*

- (1) *The order of  $\widetilde{W}_\ell$  is  $\tilde{r} \cdot \ell$ .*
- (2)  *$\widetilde{W}_\ell$  has proper critical locus iff  $\widetilde{W}$  has proper critical locus.*

5.2.3. *Comparison of reduced virtual cycles.* By Corollary 5.2, the morphism (5.3) preserves the order of degeneracies, and hence induces a morphism

$$(5.21) \quad \nu_\ell: \mathcal{R}_{g,\tilde{s}}^\lambda(\infty^{1/\ell}, \beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{g,\tilde{s}}^\lambda(\infty, \beta).$$

Fix a transverse superpotential  $W$  of  $\infty$ , inducing a transverse superpotential  $W_\ell$  of  $\infty^{1/\ell}$ . We further assume  $c_i, c'_i < 0$  for all  $i$  so that the reduced theories with respect to  $W$  and  $W_\ell$  are defined.

**Proposition 5.9.** *With the above notations and assumptions, we have*

$$\nu_{\ell,*}[\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^{\lambda}(\infty^{1/\ell}, \beta)]^{\text{red}} = \ell^{-1} \cdot [\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^{\lambda}(\infty, \beta)]^{\text{red}}.$$

*Proof.* We follow the strategy of Proposition 5.6. Write for simplicity  $\mathcal{R}^{\lambda} = \mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^{\lambda}(\infty^{1/\ell}, \beta)$  and  $\mathcal{R}'^{\lambda} = \mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^{\lambda}(\infty, \beta)$ , and denote by

$$\mathcal{R}^{\lambda} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^{\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}'^{\lambda} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}'^{\lambda}$$

the strict morphisms defined in (2.22), where  $\mathfrak{M}^{\lambda}$  and  $\mathfrak{M}'^{\lambda}$  parameterize punctured maps to  $\infty_{\mathcal{A}}^{1/\ell}$  and  $\infty_{\mathcal{A}}$  respectively.

Consider the stack  $\mathfrak{M}^a$  in the proof of Proposition 5.6. Let  $\mathfrak{M}^{a,\lambda} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^a$  be the subcategory parameterizing commutative squares (5.12) in  $\mathfrak{M}^a$  with the  $\lambda$ -configurations. Note that for an object (5.12) in  $\mathfrak{M}^{a,\lambda}$ , both  $f_{\ell}$  and  $f_1$  have the  $\lambda$ -configuration, thanks to Corollary 5.2. Thus diagram (5.13) restricts to a commutative diagram with the square Cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}^{\lambda} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathfrak{M}^{a,\lambda} & \xrightarrow{F_h} & \mathfrak{M}^{\lambda} \\ \downarrow \nu_{\ell} & & \downarrow F_t & & \\ \mathcal{R}'^{\lambda} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathfrak{M}'^{\lambda} & & \end{array}$$

Similar to Proposition 5.6, we may check that  $F_h$  is strict, étale, and  $F_t$  is proper, Deligne–Mumford type of pure degree  $1/\ell$ . Thus, the reduced perfect obstruction theory  $\varphi_{\mathcal{R}^{\lambda}/\mathfrak{M}^{\lambda}}^{\text{red}}$  as in (3.28) defines a perfect obstruction theory for  $\mathcal{R}^{\lambda} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^{a,\lambda}$ . By Costello’s virtual push-forward [52, Theorem 1.1] and [38, Theorem 5.0.1], it remains to verify

$$(5.22) \quad \varphi_{\mathcal{R}^{\lambda}/\mathfrak{M}^{\lambda}}^{\text{red}} \cong \varphi_{\mathcal{R}'^{\lambda}/\mathfrak{M}'^{\lambda}}^{\text{red}} \Big|_{\mathcal{R}^{\lambda}}.$$

By (3.26) and (3.27), it suffices to find a dashed isomorphism making the following square commutative

$$(5.23) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^1(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}^{\lambda}/\mathfrak{M}^{\lambda}})[-1] & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mathcal{R}^{\lambda}/\mathfrak{M}^{\lambda}}} & \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{R}^{\lambda}/\mathfrak{M}^{\lambda}} \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ H^1\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}'^{\lambda}/\mathfrak{M}'^{\lambda}} \Big|_{\mathcal{R}^{\lambda}}\right)[-1] & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mathcal{R}'^{\lambda}/\mathfrak{M}'^{\lambda}} \Big|_{\mathcal{R}^{\lambda}}} & \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{R}'^{\lambda}/\mathfrak{M}'^{\lambda}} \Big|_{\mathcal{R}^{\lambda}}, \end{array}$$

where the left vertical arrow is an isomorphism due to the identification of the canonical perfect obstruction theory (5.14). By Corollary 5.2, we observe that

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{R}^{\lambda}}(\Delta_{\max}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{R}'^{\lambda}}(\ell \cdot \Delta_{\max}^{1/\ell}) \Big|_{\mathcal{R}^{\lambda}}.$$

By (3.21) and Corollary 5.8 (1), we compute

$$\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{R}^{\lambda}/\mathfrak{M}^{\lambda}}[1] \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{R}^{\lambda}}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{R}'^{\lambda}}(\tilde{r}\ell \cdot \Delta_{\max}^{1/\ell}) \Big|_{\mathcal{R}^{\lambda}} \cong \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{R}'^{\lambda}/\mathfrak{M}'^{\lambda}} \Big|_{\mathcal{R}^{\lambda}}.$$

This defines the dashed isomorphism. To see the commutativity of (5.23), it remains to check that

$$(5.24) \quad \sigma_{\mathcal{R}^{\lambda}/\mathfrak{M}^{\lambda}} = \sigma_{\mathcal{R}'^{\lambda}/\mathfrak{M}'^{\lambda}} \Big|_{\mathcal{R}^{\lambda}}.$$

Let  $f_{e,\mathcal{R}^{\lambda}}: \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}^{\lambda}}^{\circ} \rightarrow \infty_{e,\circ}$  be the lift as in (3.17), and  $\pi_{\mathcal{R}^{\lambda}}: \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}^{\lambda}}^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^{\lambda}$  be the projection. Similarly, we have  $f_{e,\mathcal{R}'^{\lambda}}: \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}'^{\lambda}}^{\circ} \rightarrow \infty_{e,\circ}^{1/\ell}$  and  $\pi_{\mathcal{R}'^{\lambda}}: \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}'^{\lambda}}^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}'^{\lambda}$ . By (3.20), we have

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{R}^{\lambda}/\mathfrak{M}^{\lambda}} = R^1\pi_{\mathcal{R}^{\lambda},*}(f_{e,\mathcal{R}^{\lambda}}^* d\widetilde{W}) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{\mathcal{R}'^{\lambda}/\mathfrak{M}'^{\lambda}} = R^1\pi_{\mathcal{R}'^{\lambda},*}(f_{e,\mathcal{R}'^{\lambda}}^* d\widetilde{W}_{\ell}).$$

Noting that the left arrow in (5.20) is log étale, we observe

$$d\widetilde{W}_{\ell} = d\widetilde{W} \Big|_{\infty_{e,\circ}^{1/\ell}}$$

using the identification  $\Omega_{\infty_{e,\circ}^{1/\ell}/\mathbf{BC}_w^*}^{\vee} \Big|_{\infty_{e,\circ}^{1/\ell}} \cong \Omega_{\infty_{e,\circ}/\mathbf{BC}_w^*}^{\vee} \Big|_{\infty_{e,\circ}^{1/\ell}}$ . This implies the desired identity (5.24).  $\square$

5.2.4. *Comparison of reduced virtual cycles with  $\psi_{\min}$ -classes.* Since (5.3) preserves orders of degeneracies by Corollary 5.2, it induces a tautological morphism

$$(5.25) \quad \nu_\ell: \mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\diamond(\infty^{1/\ell}, \beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{g,\bar{\varsigma}}^\diamond(\infty, \beta).$$

Let  $\psi_{\min,\varsigma}$  and  $\psi_{\min,\bar{\varsigma}}$  be the  $\psi_{\min}$ -classes over  $\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\diamond(\infty^{1/\ell}, \beta)$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{g,\bar{\varsigma}}^\diamond(\infty, \beta)$  respectively.

**Corollary 5.10.** *Notations and assumptions as above, we have*

$$\nu_{\ell,*} \left( \frac{t \cdot [\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\diamond(\infty^{1/\ell}, \beta)]^{\text{red}}}{-t - \psi_{\min,\varsigma}} \right) = \ell^{-1} \cdot \frac{(t/\ell) \cdot [\mathcal{R}_{g,\bar{\varsigma}}^\diamond(\infty, \beta)]^{\text{red}}}{-(t/\ell) - \psi_{\min,\bar{\varsigma}}}$$

*Proof.* By Corollary 5.2, we have  $\nu_\ell^* \psi_{\min,\bar{\varsigma}} = \ell \cdot \psi_{\min,\varsigma}$ , hence

$$\nu_\ell^* \left( \frac{(t/\ell)}{-(t/\ell) - \psi_{\min,\bar{\varsigma}}} \right) = \frac{t}{-t - \psi_{\min,\varsigma}}.$$

By Proposition 5.9, we have the virtual push-forward

$$\nu_{\ell,*} [\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\diamond(\infty^{1/\ell}, \beta)]^{\text{red}} = \ell^{-1} \cdot [\mathcal{R}_{g,\bar{\varsigma}}^\diamond(\infty, \beta)]^{\text{red}}.$$

Thus the statement follows from the projection formula.  $\square$

## 6. TARGETS FOR PUNCTURED R-MAPS

In Section 2.5.1, we introduced a general class of targets for punctured R-maps. In this Section, we study a more concrete class of targets, already discussed in the introduction, and discuss their relation to the the hybrid model log GLSM targets of [30, § 1.3]. We also consider superpotentials in this setting.

### 6.1. Targets via root constructions.

6.1.1. *The input.* Recall the following data from §1.2.2:

- (1) A proper DM-stack  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}}$  with the projective coarse moduli;
- (2) Two line bundles  $\mathbf{L}^\vee$  and  $\mathbf{N}$  on  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}}$ ;
- (3) Positive integers  $r, d$  and  $\ell$ .

This leads to a target  $\infty^{1/\ell} \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$  as in (1.6).

6.1.2. *Independence of  $\ell$ .* We next show that the target  $\infty^{1/\ell} \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$  with  $\ell > 1$  can be reconstructed using appropriate input data with  $\ell = 1$ . Furthermore, as shown below, different input data can lead to the same target, which is a common phenomenon for (log) gauged linear sigma models, see [30, Remark 1.6] and also Section 9.7.1 below.

Suppose we are given the input  $(\infty_{\mathcal{X}}, \mathbf{L}^\vee, \mathbf{N}, r, d, \ell)$  leading to  $\infty^{1/\ell}$  as above. Let  $\frac{d'}{r'} = \frac{d}{r\ell}$  be the reduced representation such that  $\gcd(r', d') = 1$  and  $d', r' > 0$ . Write

$$\widehat{r} = \text{lcm}(r, r') \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{d} = \frac{d'\widehat{r}}{r'} = \frac{\widehat{r}d}{r\ell}.$$

Since  $\gcd(\widehat{r}/r, d'\widehat{r}/r') = 1$ , we obtain a (non-unique) pair of integers  $a, b$  such that

$$(6.1) \quad \frac{1}{\widehat{r}} = \frac{a}{r} + \frac{bd'}{r'} = \frac{al + bd}{r\ell}.$$

We then define  $\widehat{\infty}_{\mathcal{X}}$  via the Cartesian diagram

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{\infty}_{\mathcal{X}} & \longrightarrow & \infty_{\mathcal{X}} \\ (L_1, L_2) \downarrow & & \downarrow (\mathbf{N}^\vee, \mathcal{O}) \\ (\mathbf{BG}_m)^2 & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & (\mathbf{BG}_m)^2 \end{array}$$

where  $\alpha(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2) = (\mathcal{L}_1^{-d} \otimes \mathcal{L}_2^\ell, \mathcal{L}_1^a \otimes \mathcal{L}_2^b)$ . Observe that  $\det \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ -d & \ell \end{bmatrix} \neq 0$ , hence  $\alpha$  is étale of DM-type.

Further setting

$$\widehat{\mathbf{L}}^\vee = \mathbf{L}^\vee \otimes L_1^{-r} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\mathbf{N}} = (L_2)^\vee$$

we arrive at new input data  $(\widehat{\infty}_{\mathcal{X}}, \widehat{\mathbf{L}}^{\vee}, \widehat{\mathbf{N}}, \widehat{r}, \widehat{d}, \widehat{\ell} = 1)$ , hence a new target  $\widehat{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*$ . Note that when  $\ell = 1$ , the new input data is identical to the original input.

**Proposition 6.1.** *There is a canonical isomorphism of log stacks  $\widehat{\infty} \rightarrow \infty^{1/\ell}$  over  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}} \times \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*$ .*

*Proof.* Note that both  $\underline{\infty}^{1/\ell}$  and  $\widehat{\infty}$  can be represented as (2-)equalizers. The morphism  $\underline{\infty}^{1/\ell} \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{X}} \times \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*$  parameterizes pairs  $(\mathcal{L}_R, \mathcal{L}_{\omega})$  with isomorphisms

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_R^r \cong \mathbf{L}^{\vee} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_{\omega} \\ \mathcal{O}(\infty^{1/\ell})^{-\ell} \cong \mathbf{N}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{L}_R^d. \end{cases}$$

This is equivalent to the following equalizer

$$\underline{\infty}^{1/\ell} \longrightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{X}} \times \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^* \times (\mathbf{BG}_m)^2 \xrightarrow[\mathbf{L}^{\vee} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_{\omega}, \mathbf{N}^{\vee} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_R^d]{(\mathcal{L}_R^r, \mathcal{O}(\infty^{1/\ell})^{-\ell})} (\mathbf{BG}_m)^2,$$

Here, the first map is defined by the projection  $\underline{\infty}^{1/\ell} \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{X}} \times \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*$  and the line bundles  $\mathcal{L}_R$  and  $\mathcal{O}(\infty^{1/\ell})^{\vee}$ . By abuse of notations,  $(\mathcal{L}_R, \mathcal{O}(\infty^{1/\ell})^{\vee})$  denote the universal line bundles of the middle  $(\mathbf{BG}_m)^2$ .

By (1.2) and (6.2),  $\widehat{\infty} \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{X}} \times \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*$  parameterizes triples  $(L_1, L_2, \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_R)$  satisfying

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{cases} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_R^{\widehat{r}} \cong \widehat{\mathbf{L}}^{\vee} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_{\omega} \\ (L_1)^{-d} \otimes (L_2)^{\ell} \cong \mathbf{N}^{\vee} \\ (L_1)^a \otimes (L_2)^b \cong \mathcal{O}. \end{cases}$$

This amounts to the equalizer

$$\widehat{\infty} \longrightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{X}} \times \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^* \times (\mathbf{BG}_m)^3 \xrightarrow[\widehat{\mathbf{L}}^{\vee} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_{\omega}, \mathbf{N}^{\vee}, \mathcal{O}]{(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_R^{\widehat{r}}, L_1^{-d} \boxtimes L_2^{\ell}, L_1^a \boxtimes L_2^b)} (\mathbf{BG}_m)^3.$$

Here the first map is defined by the composition  $\widehat{\infty} \rightarrow \widehat{\infty}_{\mathcal{X}} \times \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^* \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{X}} \times \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*$  and the line bundles  $L_1, L_2$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_R$ . By abuse of notations,  $(L_1, L_2, \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_R)$  also denote the universal line bundles of the middle  $(\mathbf{BG}_m)^3$ .

In view of the equalizer of  $\widehat{\infty}$ , we rewrite the first equalizer as

$$\underline{\infty}^{1/\ell} \longrightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{X}} \times \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^* \times (\mathbf{BG}_m)^3 \xrightarrow[\mathbf{L}^{\vee} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_{\omega}, \mathbf{N}^{\vee} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_R^d, \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_R]{(\mathcal{L}_R^r, \mathcal{O}(\infty^{1/\ell})^{-\ell}, \mathcal{L}_R^a \otimes \mathcal{O}(\infty^{1/\ell})^{-b})} (\mathbf{BG}_m)^3,$$

where the map to the extra  $\mathbf{BG}_m$  on the left is given by  $\mathcal{L}_R^a \otimes \mathcal{O}(\infty^{1/\ell})^b$ , and by abuse of notations  $(\mathcal{L}_R, \mathcal{O}(\infty^{1/\ell})^{\vee}, \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_R)$  denote the universal line bundles of the middle  $(\mathbf{BG}_m)^3$ . Equivalently, the morphism  $\underline{\infty}^{1/\ell} \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{X}} \times \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*$  parameterizes the triple  $(\mathcal{L}_R, \mathcal{O}(\infty^{1/\ell})^{\vee}, \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_R)$  satisfying

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_R^r \cong \mathbf{L}^{\vee} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_{\omega} \\ \mathcal{O}(\infty^{1/\ell})^{-\ell} \cong \mathcal{L}_R^d \otimes \mathbf{N}^{\vee} \\ \mathcal{L}_R^a \otimes \mathcal{O}(\infty^{1/\ell})^{-b} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_R. \end{cases}$$

Note that the last equation is just a definition of  $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_R$ .

We now construct a morphism  $\widehat{\infty} \rightarrow \underline{\infty}^{1/\ell}$  over  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}} \times \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*$  via the assignment

$$(6.5) \quad (L_1 \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_R^{\frac{\widehat{r}}{r}}, L_2 \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_R^{\frac{\widehat{d}}{r}}, \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_R) \mapsto (\mathcal{L}_R, \mathcal{O}(\infty^{1/\ell})^{\vee}, \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_R).$$

To see this is well-defined, we rewrite (6.4) using the left hand side of (6.5):

$$\begin{cases} L_1^r \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_R^{\frac{\widehat{r}}{r}} \cong (\widehat{\mathbf{L}}^{\vee} \otimes L_1^r) \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_{\omega} \\ L_2^{\ell} \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_R^{\frac{\widehat{d}}{r}} \cong \mathbf{N}^{\vee} \otimes L_1^d \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_R^{\frac{\widehat{d}}{r}} \\ L_1^a \otimes L_2^b \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_R^{\frac{a\widehat{r}}{r} + \frac{b\widehat{d}}{r\ell}} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_R, \end{cases}$$

which simplifies to (6.3) using (6.1). The morphism (6.5) is an isomorphism with the inverse

$$(\mathcal{L}_R, \mathcal{O}(\infty^{1/\ell})^\vee, \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_R) \mapsto (\mathcal{L}_R \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_R^{-\frac{\widehat{r}}{r}}, \mathcal{O}(\infty^{1/\ell})^\vee \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_R^{-\widehat{d}}, \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_R) \cong (L_1, L_2, \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_R).$$

Finally, we check that (6.5) induces

$$\mathcal{O}(\widehat{\infty})^\vee = (\widehat{\mathbf{N}}^\vee \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_R^{\widehat{d}}) \cong (L_2 \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_R^{\frac{\widehat{r}}{r\ell}}) \mapsto \mathcal{O}(\infty^{1/\ell})^\vee,$$

hence induces an isomorphism of log stacks  $\widehat{\infty} \rightarrow \infty^{1/\ell}$  as needed.  $\square$

**6.1.3. The unit sector.** Given a target  $\infty^{1/\ell} \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$  in (1.6), we introduce a special type of discrete data  $(\overline{\gamma}, c)$  along markings, called the *unit sector*. It plays an important role in the next two sections.

We first assume  $\ell = 1$  and set  $c = -d$ . To describe  $\overline{\gamma}$ , consider the Cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}} & \longrightarrow & \infty_{\mathcal{X}} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{k} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \underline{\infty} & \longrightarrow & \infty_{\mathcal{X}} \times \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \end{array}$$

Then  $\overline{\gamma}$  is defined to be the component with the universal gerbe

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \gamma := \underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}} & \xrightarrow{=} & \underline{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}} \\ \downarrow & & \\ \overline{\gamma} := \infty_{\mathcal{X}} & & \end{array}$$

This defines the unit sector  $(\overline{\gamma}, c = -d)$  in the  $\ell = 1$  case. Further note that  $\mathrm{age} \mathcal{L}_R|_\gamma = \frac{1}{r}$  in this case.

When  $\ell > 1$ , the unit sectors are defined by reducing to the  $\ell = 1$  case via Proposition 6.1. We have the compatibility of unit sectors with respect to changing  $\ell$ :

**Lemma 6.2.** *Let  $(\overline{\gamma}, c)$  be the unit sector of  $\infty^{1/\ell}$ , and  $(\overline{\gamma}', c')$  be the unit sector of  $\infty$ . Then  $(\overline{\gamma}, c)$  and  $(\overline{\gamma}', c')$  are compatible with respect to changing  $\ell$  in the sense of Lemma 5.3 and Remark 5.4.*

*Proof.* In this proof, we will freely use the notations of §6.1.2.

The compatibility of contact orders follows from  $c' = -d$  and

$$\frac{\ell}{\widehat{r}/r}(-d) = -\widehat{d} = c,$$

see (5.2), where  $\rho = \widehat{r}/r$  in this case.

Let  $\overline{\gamma}''$  be the sector of  $\infty^{1/\ell}$  corresponding to  $\overline{\gamma}'$ . It remains to prove  $\overline{\gamma}'' = \overline{\gamma}$ . Note we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \infty_{\mathbf{k}}^{1/\ell} = \widehat{\infty}_{\mathbf{k}} & \longrightarrow & \infty_{\mathbf{k}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widehat{\infty}_{\mathcal{X}} & \longrightarrow & \infty_{\mathcal{X}}, \end{array}$$

where the right vertical arrow is a  $\mu_r$ -gerbe, the top horizontal arrow is a  $\mu_\ell$ -gerbe, and the left vertical arrow is a  $\mu_{\widehat{r}}$ -gerbe. Then, according to Remark 5.4,  $\overline{\gamma}''$  is the unique sector mapping to the untwisted sector of  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}}$  such that  $\mathrm{age}(\mathcal{L}_R)|_{\overline{\gamma}''} = \frac{1}{r}$  and  $\mathrm{age}(\mathcal{O}(\infty^{1/\ell}))|_{\overline{\gamma}''} = \{-\frac{\widehat{d}}{r\ell}\}$ . Thus it suffices to prove that  $\overline{\gamma}$  satisfies the same conditions. Clearly,  $\overline{\gamma}$  maps to the untwisted sector of  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}}$ . Then, we compute

$$\mathrm{age}(\mathcal{O}(\infty^{1/\ell})|_\gamma) = \{\mathrm{age}(\widehat{\mathbf{N}}|_\gamma) - \widehat{d} \mathrm{age}(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_R|_\gamma)\} = \{-\frac{\widehat{d}}{r}\} = \{-\frac{d}{r\ell}\},$$

and

$$\mathrm{age}(\mathcal{L}_R|_\gamma) = \{\mathrm{age}(L_1|_\gamma) + \widehat{r}/r \cdot \mathrm{age}(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_R|_\gamma)\} = \frac{1}{r},$$

using that  $\widehat{\mathbf{N}}$  and  $L_1$  are pulled back from  $\widehat{\infty}_{\mathcal{X}}$ .  $\square$



6.1.4. *Log (co)tangent bundle of the target.* We now assume that  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}}$  is smooth. By construction, the morphism

$$\infty^{1/\ell} \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^* \times_{\infty_{\mathcal{A}}} \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*$$

is strict and smooth. In particular,  $\underline{\infty}$  is smooth.

**Lemma 6.3.** *Suppose  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}}$  is smooth. There is a canonical exact sequence of vector bundles over  $\infty^{1/\ell}$*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\infty^{1/\ell}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\infty^{1/\ell}/\mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*}^{\vee} \rightarrow \Omega_{\infty_{\mathcal{X}}|\infty^{1/\ell}}^{\vee} \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* Consider the distinguished triangle of cotangent complexes of underlying structures

$$\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^* \times_{\infty_{\mathcal{A}}} / \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\underline{\infty}^{1/\ell} / \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\underline{\infty}^{1/\ell} / \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^* \times_{\infty_{\mathcal{A}}} \underline{\infty_{\mathcal{A}}}} \xrightarrow{[1]}$$

Recall that  $\Omega_{\infty^{1/\ell}/\mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*} = \mathbb{L}_{\underline{\infty}^{1/\ell} / \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^* \times_{\infty_{\mathcal{A}}} \underline{\infty_{\mathcal{A}}}}$ . Rotating the triangle and taking duals, we have

$$\mathbb{L}_{\underline{\infty_{\mathcal{A}}}|\infty^{1/\ell}}^{\vee}[-1] \rightarrow \Omega_{\infty^{1/\ell}/\mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*}^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\underline{\infty}^{1/\ell} / \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*}^{\vee} \xrightarrow{[1]}$$

Note that  $\mathbb{L}_{\underline{\infty_{\mathcal{A}}}} = \mathcal{O}_{\infty_{\mathcal{A}}}[-1]$ , hence  $\mathbb{L}_{\underline{\infty_{\mathcal{A}}}|\infty^{1/\ell}}^{\vee}[-1] = \mathcal{O}_{\infty}$ . By (1.2) and (1.5), we have a sequence of étale morphisms

$$\underline{\infty}^{1/\ell} \rightarrow \underline{\infty} \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{X}} \times \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*$$

hence  $\mathbb{L}_{\underline{\infty}^{1/\ell} / \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*} \cong \Omega_{\infty_{\mathcal{X}}|\underline{\infty}^{1/\ell}}$  by the smoothness of  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}}$ . Putting these together, we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\infty^{1/\ell}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\infty^{1/\ell}/\mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*}^{\vee} \rightarrow \Omega_{\infty_{\mathcal{X}}|\underline{\infty}^{1/\ell}}^{\vee} \rightarrow 0.$$

□

6.1.5. *Twisted superpotentials in the setup of §6.1.* We now assume that  $W$  is a nonzero superpotential for a target  $\infty^{1/\ell}$  as in §6.1, and that  $\widetilde{W}$  is the associated twisted superpotential. The specific setup of this section puts extra constraints on  $W$  and  $\widetilde{W}$  that will be useful in calculations.

**Lemma 6.4.**  *$W$  (and thus  $\widetilde{W}$ ) has order  $\tilde{r} = \frac{r \cdot \ell}{d}$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that  $\mathbb{C}_{\omega}$  is the  $\mathbb{C}_{\omega}^*$ -representation of weight 1. The existence of non-zero  $W$  implies that the line bundle

$$\mathbb{C}_{\omega} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\infty_{\mathbf{k}})$$

on  $\infty_{\mathbf{k}}$  must have  $\mathbb{C}_{\omega}^*$ -weight zero. We conclude the lemma by noticing that the  $\mathbb{C}_{\omega}^*$ -weight of  $\mathcal{O}(\infty_{\mathbf{k}}^{1/\ell})$  is  $-\frac{d}{r\ell}$  by (1.2) and (1.5). □

*Remark 6.5.* By Proposition 6.1, the target  $\infty^{1/\ell}$  may be constructed using different choices of  $r, d, \ell$ . However, the above lemma shows that in the presence of a non-zero  $\widetilde{W}$ , the positive integer  $\tilde{r} = \frac{r \cdot \ell}{d}$  is independent of such choices, and is intrinsic to  $\infty^{1/\ell} \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*$ .

*Remark 6.6.* Note that given Lemma 6.4 and assuming  $\ell = 1$ , we may simplify the line bundle appearing in Definition 3.1:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\omega} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\infty) \cong \mathcal{L}_{\omega} \otimes \mathbf{N}^{\tilde{r}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_R^{-d\tilde{r}} \cong \mathbf{N}^{\tilde{r}} \otimes \mathbf{L}$$

From this, it is not hard to see that any superpotential  $W$  is the pullback of a section of  $\mathbf{N}^{\tilde{r}} \otimes \mathbf{L}$  on  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}}$ .

**6.2. Targets from hybrid model log GLSM.** We next show that the hybrid model log GLSM targets of [30, § 1.3] fit into the construction of §1.2.2.

6.2.1. *The input.* We first recall the targets of log R-maps in [30]. A *hybrid target* is determined by the following data:

- (1) A proper Deligne–Mumford stack  $\mathcal{X}$  with a projective coarse moduli scheme  $X$ .
- (2) A vector bundle  $\mathbf{E}$  over  $\mathcal{X}$  of the form

$$\mathbf{E} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \mathbf{E}_i$$

where  $\mathbf{E}_i$  is a vector bundle with the positive grading  $i$ . Write  $d := \gcd(i \mid \mathbf{E}_i \neq 0)$ .

- (3) A line bundle  $\mathbf{L}^\vee$  over  $\mathcal{X}$ .
- (4) A positive integer  $r$ .

6.2.2. *The  $r$ -spin structure.* Consider the Cartesian diagram

$$(6.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{X} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}} & \mathbf{BG}_m \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu_r \\ \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_\omega \boxtimes \mathbf{L}^\vee} & \mathbf{BG}_m \end{array}$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_\omega$  is the universal line bundle over  $\mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$ ,  $\nu_r$  is the  $r$ th power map, the bottom arrow is defined by  $\mathcal{L}_\omega \boxtimes \mathbf{N}$ , and the top arrow is defined by the universal  $r$ -th root of  $\mathcal{L}_\omega \boxtimes \mathbf{N}$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ .

6.2.3. *The log GLSM targets.* Fix a *twisting choice*  $a = \frac{\ell}{d} \in \frac{1}{d} \cdot \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . We form the weighted projective stack bundle over  $\mathfrak{X}$ :

$$(6.7) \quad \underline{\mathfrak{P}}_\ell := \mathbb{P}^{\mathbf{w}} \left( \bigoplus_{i>0} (\mathbf{E}_{i,\mathfrak{X}}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}^{\otimes i}) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} \right),$$

where  $\mathbf{w}$  is the collection of the weights of the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action such that the weight on the  $i$ -th factor is the positive integer  $a \cdot i = \ell \cdot i/d$ , while the weight of the last factor  $\mathcal{O}$  is 1. Here for any vector bundle  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r V_i$  with a  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action of weight  $\mathbf{w}$ , we use the notation

$$(6.8) \quad \mathbb{P}^{\mathbf{w}}(V) = \left[ \left( \text{Vb}(V) \setminus \mathbf{0}_V \right) / \mathbb{G}_m \right],$$

where  $\text{Vb}(V)$  is the total space of  $V$ , and  $\mathbf{0}_V$  is the zero section of  $V$ . Intuitively,  $\underline{\mathfrak{P}}_\ell$  compactifies the GLSM which is independent of choices of  $\ell$ :

$$(6.9) \quad \mathfrak{P}^\circ := \bigoplus_{i>0} (\mathbf{E}_{i,\mathfrak{X}}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}^{\otimes i}).$$

The boundary  $\infty_\ell = \underline{\mathfrak{P}}_\ell \setminus \mathfrak{P}^\circ$  is the Cartier divisor defined by the vanishing of the coordinate corresponding to  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ . We make  $\underline{\mathfrak{P}}_\ell$  into a log stack  $\mathfrak{P}_\ell$  by equipping it with the log structure corresponding to the Cartier divisor  $\infty_{\mathfrak{P}}$ . The target  $\mathfrak{P}_\ell \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$  of log R-maps is given by the composition. We arrive at the following commutative diagram

$$(6.10) \quad \mathfrak{P}_\ell \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{X} \xrightarrow{\zeta} \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*.$$

For simplicity, we write  $\mathfrak{P} := \mathfrak{P}_1$  and  $\infty := \infty^{1/1}$ . By the above construction, we obtain a Cartesian diagram with strict vertical arrows

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{P}_\ell & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{P} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A}^{1/\ell} & \xrightarrow{\ell\text{-th root}} & \mathcal{A} \end{array}$$

which restricts to a Cartesian diagram with strict arrows

$$(6.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \infty^{1/\ell} & \longrightarrow & \infty \\ \mathcal{O}(\infty^{1/\ell}) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{O}(\infty) \\ \infty_{\mathcal{A}}^{1/\ell} & \xrightarrow{\ell\text{-th root}} & \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \end{array}$$

where we denote  $\mathcal{O}(\infty) := \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}(\infty)|_{\infty}$  and  $\mathcal{O}(\infty^{1/\ell}) := \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}_\ell}(\infty^{1/\ell})|_{\infty^{1/\ell}}$ . This defines

$$(6.12) \quad \infty^{1/\ell} \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$$

given by the composition  $\infty^{1/\ell} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_\ell \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$ .

**6.2.4. The boundary  $\infty^{1/\ell} \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$  as a target of punctured R-maps.** We next check that (6.12) can be constructed as in §6.1. In view of Proposition 6.1 and (6.11), it suffices to consider the  $\ell = 1$  case. Note that we have a morphism over  $\mathcal{X}$

$$(6.13) \quad \underline{\infty} = \mathbb{P}^{\mathbf{w}'} \left( \bigoplus_i (\mathbf{E}_{i,\mathcal{X}}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{X}}^i) \right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\mathbf{w}'} \left( \bigoplus_i \mathbf{E}_i^\vee \right) =: \infty_{\mathcal{X}}$$

where  $\mathbf{w}'$  assigns the weight  $i/d$  for the  $i$ -th component. By (6.6), the morphism (6.13) fits into a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\infty} & \longrightarrow & \infty_{\mathcal{X}} \times \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \\ \mathcal{L}_R := \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{X}}|_{\underline{\infty}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{L}^\vee \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_\omega \\ \mathbf{BG}_m & \xrightarrow{\nu_r} & \mathbf{BG}_m \end{array}$$

This gives the desired diagram (1.2) in the setup for punctured R-maps.

Denote by  $\mathcal{O}_{\infty_{\mathcal{X}}}(-1)$  the tautological sub-line bundle of the weighted projective bundle  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ . Then in the setup of punctured R-maps, (1.4) is given by (6.11) and

$$\mathcal{O}(\infty) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\infty_{\mathcal{X}}}(1) \otimes \mathcal{L}_R^{-d}.$$

To summarize:

**Proposition 6.7.** *The target  $\infty^{1/\ell} \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$  as in (6.12) can be constructed as in (1.6) using the input data  $(r, d, \ell, \infty_{\mathcal{X}}, \mathbf{L}^\vee, \mathbf{N} = \mathcal{O}_{\infty_{\mathcal{X}}}(1))$ .*

*Remark 6.8.* Given a target from log GLSM (6.12), it follows directly from [30, Proposition 2.7] that the stability of punctured R-maps in Definition 2.11 is compatible with the stability of log R-maps.

**6.2.5. Superpotentials along the boundary.** For the log GLSM data as in §6.2.1, a *superpotential* is a morphism of stacks  $W: \mathfrak{P}^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\omega$  over  $\mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$ , [30, §3.4.1]. The *critical locus*  $\text{Crit}(W) \subset \mathfrak{P}^\circ$  is the closed substack along which the differential  $dW$  vanishes. The superpotential  $W$  is said to have *proper critical locus* if  $\text{Crit}(W) \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$  is proper. Next we observe that  $W$  induces a superpotential of the boundary  $\infty$  as in Definition 3.1 as follows.

First, we may view  $W$  as a section of the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_\omega|_{\mathfrak{P}^\circ}$ . By [30, Lemma 3.8],  $W$  extends to a rational section of  $\mathcal{L}_\omega|_{\mathfrak{P}}$  with poles along  $\infty$  of order precisely  $\tilde{r}$ . Thus the restriction  $W|_{\infty}$  is a section of  $\mathcal{L}_\omega \otimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\infty)$ , hence defines a superpotential over  $\infty$  of order  $\tilde{r}$ .

**6.2.6. Twisted superpotentials from log GLSM.** The superpotential  $W: \mathfrak{P}^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\omega$  as above leads to a twisted superpotential  $\widetilde{W}_\ell$  as in [30, §3.4.3] for constructing the reduced theory in log GLSM. We next show that  $\widetilde{W}_\ell$  restricts directly to a twisted superpotential  $\widetilde{W}_{\ell,\infty}$  of the target  $\infty^{1/\ell} \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$  of order  $\tilde{r} = \ell r/d$ . This compatibility is needed for computing reduced virtual cycles in [29].

First, we recall the twisted superpotential  $\widetilde{W}_\ell$  as in [30, §3.4.3]. By (3.7) and (3.8), we have the Cartesian diagram of log stacks with strict vertical arrows

$$(6.14) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \infty_{e,\circ}^{1/\ell} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{P}_\ell^{e,\circ} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{P}_\ell \times \mathcal{A}_{\max}^{1/\ell} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \infty_{\mathcal{A}^{e,\circ}}^{1/\ell} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}^{1/\ell,e,\circ} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}^{1/\ell} \times \mathcal{A}_{\max}^{1/\ell} \end{array}$$

On the other hand, set  $\mathbb{P}_\omega$  to be the log stack associated to the pair  $(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L}_\omega \oplus \mathcal{O}), \infty_\omega)$ , whose the log structure is given by the smooth divisor  $\infty_\omega$  defined by the vanishing of the coordinate of the factor  $\mathcal{O}$ . Consider the Cartesian diagram

$$(6.15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}_{\omega,\ell}^{e,\circ} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_\omega \times \mathcal{A}_{\max}^{1/\ell} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A}^{e,0} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \end{array}$$

where the right vertical arrow is the product of the strict morphism  $\mathbb{P}_\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  with the  $\tilde{r}$ -th root morphism  $\mathcal{A}_{\max}^{1/\ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ . The superpotential  $W$  induces a commutative diagram with horizontal rational maps

$$(6.16) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{P}_\ell^{e,\circ} & \dashrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_\omega^{e,\circ} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{P}_\ell \times \mathcal{A}_{\max}^{1/\ell} & \dashrightarrow^{W \times id} & \mathbb{P}_\omega \times \mathcal{A}_{\max}^{1/\ell} \end{array}$$

By [30, Lemma 3.9], there is a morphism

$$(6.17) \quad \mathbf{c}: \mathbb{P}_\omega^{e,\circ} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_\omega \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}_{\max}^{1/\ell}}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max}^{1/\ell})$$

that contracts the proper transform of  $\mathbb{P}_\omega \times \Delta_{\max}^{1/\ell}$ . The composition

$$(6.18) \quad \widetilde{W}_\ell: \mathfrak{P}_\ell^{e,\circ} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_\omega^{e,\circ} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{c}} \mathcal{L}_\omega \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}_{\max}^{1/\ell}}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max}^{1/\ell})$$

is a morphism over  $\mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \mathcal{A}_{\max}^{1/\ell}$  by [30, Proposition 3.10], and is called the *twisted superpotential*. The twisted superpotential  $\widetilde{W}_{\ell,\infty}$  of  $\infty^{1/\ell}$  is defined to be the restriction

$$(6.19) \quad \widetilde{W}_{\ell,\infty} := \widetilde{W}_\ell|_{\infty_{e,\circ}^{1/\ell}}: \infty_{e,\circ}^{1/\ell} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_\omega \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\max}^{1/\ell}}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max}^{1/\ell}).$$

**Proposition 6.9.** *Notations as above, we have*

- (1) *If  $W$  has proper critical locus, then  $\widetilde{W}_{\ell,\infty}$  has proper critical locus.*
- (2)  *$\widetilde{W}_{\ell,\infty}$  is the twisted superpotential (5.20) induced by  $\widetilde{W}_{1,\infty}$ .*

*Proof.* By [30, Proposition 3.12],  $W$  has proper critical locus implies the critical locus of  $\widetilde{W}$  is proper over  $\mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \mathcal{A}_{\max}^{1/\ell}$ . Thus (1) follows from the restriction construction (6.19).

To verify (2), note that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \infty_{\mathcal{A}^{e,\circ}}^{1/\ell} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}^{1/\ell,e,\circ} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}^{1/\ell} \times \mathcal{A}_{\max}^{1/\ell} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \infty_{\mathcal{A}^{e,\circ}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}^{e,\circ} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}_{\max} \end{array}$$

where all vertical arrows are log étale. Pulling back along (6.14), we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \infty_{e,\circ}^{1/\ell} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{P}_\ell^{e,\circ} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{P}_\ell \times \mathcal{A}_{\max}^{1/\ell} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \infty_{e,\circ} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{P}^{e,\circ} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{P} \times \mathcal{A}_{\max} \end{array}$$

again with log étale vertical arrows, where the left vertical arrow is given by the corresponding arrow in (5.19).

On the other hand, consider the commutative triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}_\omega \times \mathcal{A}_{\max}^{1/\ell} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_\omega \times \mathcal{A}_{\max} \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} & \end{array}$$

with the two sides as in (6.15). Pulling back along the bottom arrow of (6.15), we obtain the top arrow fitting in the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}_{\omega,\ell}^{e,\circ} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_{\omega,1}^{e,\circ} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{L}_\omega \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}_{\max}^{1/\ell}}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max}^{1/\ell}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L}_\omega \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}_{\max}}(\frac{\tilde{r}}{d} \cdot \Delta_{\max}) \end{array}$$

where the two vertical arrows are given by (6.17), and the bottom arrow is given by the isomorphism of line bundles

$$\mathcal{L}_\omega \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}_{\max}^{1/\ell}}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max}^{1/\ell}) \cong \mathcal{L}_\omega \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}_{\max}}\left(\frac{r}{d} \cdot \Delta_{\max}\right)\Big|_{\mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \mathcal{A}_{\max}^{1/\ell}}.$$

We have arrived at the following commutative diagram

$$(6.20) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{W}_\ell} & & & & \\ \mathfrak{P}_\ell^{e,\circ} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathfrak{P}_\ell \times \mathcal{A}_{\max}^{1/\ell} & \dashrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_{\omega,\ell}^{e,\circ} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L}_\omega \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}_{\max}^{1/\ell}}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max}^{1/\ell}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \mathcal{A}_{\max}^{1/\ell} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{P}^{e,\circ} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathfrak{P} \times \mathcal{A}_{\max}^{1/\ell} & \dashrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_\omega^{e,\circ} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L}_\omega \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}_{\max}}\left(\frac{r}{d}\Delta_{\max}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \mathcal{A}_{\max}. \\ & & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{W}} & & & & \end{array}$$

where the two dashed arrows are the rational maps induced by  $W$  as in (6.16). Taking further restriction of (6.20), we obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \infty_{e,\circ}^{1/\ell} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{W}_{\ell,\infty}} & \mathcal{L}_\omega \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\max}^{1/\ell}}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max}^{1/\ell}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \Delta_{\max}^{1/\ell} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \infty_{e,\circ} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{W}_\infty} & \mathcal{L}_\omega \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\max}^{1/\ell}}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max}^{1/\ell}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \times \Delta_{\max} \end{array}$$

where the left square recovers (5.20). This proves (2).  $\square$

*Remark 6.10.* Note that the twisted superpotential  $\widetilde{W}_{\ell,\infty}$  is induced by the superpotential  $W|_\infty$  in §6.2.5 via Lemma 3.6. Since we will directly work with the twisted superpotential  $\widetilde{W}_{\ell,\infty}$ , we leave the correspondence between  $\widetilde{W}_{\ell,\infty}$  and  $W|_\infty$  to the reader. This can be checked directly following the above discussions.

## 7. AXIOMS OF PUNCTURED R-MAPS

Starting from this section, we will establish several axioms analogous to the ones in usual Gromov–Witten theory related to removing markings.

**7.1. The statements.** Fix the discrete data  $(g, \beta, \varsigma)$  for a punctured R-map to the target  $\infty$  as in §1.2.2. Consider the new discrete data  $(g, \beta, \varsigma + \mathbf{1})$  obtained by adding a unit sector  $\mathbf{1}$  as in §6.1.3. Let  $n$  be the number of markings in  $\varsigma$ . We will use  $p_{n+1}$  to denote the  $(n+1)$ -st unit marking. For simplicity, we introduce notations

$$(7.1) \quad \mathcal{R}_{\varsigma+\mathbf{1}} := \mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma+\mathbf{1}}(\infty, \beta), \quad \mathcal{R}_\varsigma := \mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}(\infty, \beta).$$

Denote by  $f_\varsigma: \mathcal{C}_\varsigma^\circ \rightarrow \infty$  the universal punctured map over  $\mathcal{R}_\varsigma$ , and by  $S: \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_\varsigma \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\varsigma^\circ$  the saturation morphism. Similarly, we introduce

$$\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma+\mathbf{1}}^\lambda := \mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma+\mathbf{1}}^\lambda(\infty, \beta), \quad \mathcal{R}_\varsigma^\lambda := \mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^\lambda(\infty, \beta), \quad f_\varsigma^\lambda: \mathcal{C}_{\varsigma}^{\lambda,\circ} \rightarrow \infty,$$

and the saturation  $S: \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_\varsigma^\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\varsigma}^{\lambda,\circ}$ .

Note that the saturation morphism  $S$  is finite, and is an isomorphism away from the punctured markings. Furthermore, along the punctured markings, the source and target of  $S$  differ only by nilpotents, see [3, Proposition 5.5].

We will use  $\mathcal{R}^\bullet$  to denote either  $\mathcal{R}$  or  $\mathcal{R}^\lambda$ . Similarly, in

$$\mathcal{C}^{\bullet,\circ}, \quad f^\bullet, \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_\varsigma^\bullet,$$

the symbol  $\bullet$  is either empty or  $\lambda$ . We can now describe the universal curve:

**Proposition 7.1.** *There is a natural isomorphism  $\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma+\mathbf{1}} \cong \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_\varsigma$  inducing a natural isomorphism  $\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma+\mathbf{1}}^\lambda \cong \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_\varsigma^\lambda$ , such that the composition*

$$\mathbf{F}_1: \mathcal{R}_{\varsigma+\mathbf{1}}^\bullet \cong \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_\varsigma^\bullet \xrightarrow{S} \mathcal{C}_{\varsigma}^{\bullet,\circ} \xrightarrow{\pi_\varsigma} \mathcal{R}_\varsigma^\bullet.$$

on the level of coarse stable maps of (2.18), is obtained by removing the marking  $p_{n+1}$  and then taking the stabilization of the usual stable map.

*Remark 7.2.* As categories over log schemes,  $\mathcal{R}^\lambda$  can be viewed as a full subcategory of  $\mathcal{R}$  consisting of objects with uniform maximal degeneracies. The induced isomorphism  $\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda \cong \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta^\lambda$  is in fact the restriction of the canonical one  $\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1} \cong \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta$  from the category point of view.

This will be proved in Proposition 7.15 and Proposition 7.16. Since the unit marking has negative contact order, adding or removing it results in changes of both R- and log structures, compared to the case of usual stable maps. Consequently, the proof splits into two major steps. First, in §7.2, §7.3 and §7.4, we focus on the logarithmic side and consider adding markings in case of punctured maps to the universal target  $\infty_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Readers are advised to skip these three technical subsections first, and refer to them as needed. Second, in §7.5 and §7.6 we discuss adding unit markings in the case of punctured R-maps.

Assuming that  $2g - 2 + n > 0$ , denote by  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  the Deligne–Mumford moduli stack of stable curves, and  $\pi: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  its universal curve. We may view  $\pi$  as a morphism of log stacks with the canonical log structures given by the underlying stable curves. Proposition (7.1) implies the following commutative diagram

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\bullet & \xrightarrow{p_{\zeta+1}} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1} \\ \mathbf{F}_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathcal{R}_\zeta^\bullet & \xrightarrow{p_\zeta} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows take the stabilization of the coarse source curves. We also note that the target of  $ev_{n+1}$  is  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}}$  by the definition of unit sectors in §6.1.3.

On the cycle level, we show that both the canonical and reduced theory of punctured R-maps satisfy similar axioms to the ones of usual Gromov–Witten theory ([64, (2.6)]):

**Theorem 7.3.** *With the above assumptions, we have*

- (1)  $S_*[\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\bullet]^* = \pi_*^*[\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\bullet]^*$ .
- (2)  $\mathbf{F}_{1,*}(ev_{n+1}^* D \cap [\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\bullet]^*) = \int_\beta D \cdot [\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\bullet]^*$  for a divisor  $D \in CH^1(\infty_{\mathcal{X}})$ .
- (3) If further assuming  $2g - 2 + n > 0$ , then  $p_{\zeta+1,*}[\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\bullet]^* = \pi^* p_{\zeta,*}[\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\bullet]^*$ .

where  $[-]^*$  can be either  $[-]^{\text{vir}}$ , or  $[-]^{\text{red}}$  when  $\bullet = \lambda$  and the reduced theory as in Theorem 3.15 is defined.

Statement (1) will be established in §7.7 and §7.8, and (3) will be proved in §7.9. Statement (2) follows from (1) and the projection formula as in the case of usual Gromov–Witten theory.

For later use, denote by  $\zeta'$  and  $\mathbf{1}'$  the reduced discrete data corresponding to  $\zeta$  and  $\mathbf{1}$ , and we write

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+\mathbf{1}'} := \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+\mathbf{1}'}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}}), \quad \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'} := \mathfrak{M}_{g,\zeta'}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}}).$$

By Proposition 6.1, we may assume  $\ell = 1$  for the rest of this section.

**7.2. Twists of targets.** The construction of the forgetful morphism  $\mathbf{F}_1$  requires modifying both the log and R-structures. Introducing an extra factor of  $\mathcal{A}$  to keep track of the Cartier divisor of a marking, allows us to first perform a universal construction, called *twist*, on the level of targets.

Consider the sequence of morphisms

$$(7.3) \quad (\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A})^\circ \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{p}} \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{id_{\infty_{\mathcal{A}}} \times \nu_r} \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$$

where  $\nu_r: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is the  $r$ th root morphism,  $\mathfrak{p}$  is the puncturing ([3, Definition 2.1]) such that  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{(\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A})^\circ} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{(\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A})}^{gp}$  is generated by  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{(\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A})}$  and the global section  $(1, -d) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cong \Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{(\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A})^\circ}^{gp})$ , and the last arrow is the projection to  $\infty_{\mathcal{A}}$ . The newly constructed log stack  $(\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A})^\circ$  can be understood as follows.

**Lemma 7.4.** *There is an isomorphism of log stacks*

$$\mathfrak{t}': (\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A})^\circ \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A}$$

induced by  $\bar{\nu}^b(1, 0) = (1, -d)$  and  $\bar{\nu}^b(0, 1) = (0, 1)$  on the level of characteristics.

*Proof.* We check directly that there is an inverse  $(t')^{-1}$  satisfying

$$\overline{(t')^{-1}}^b(1, 0) = (1, d) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{(t')^{-1}}^b(0, 1) = (0, 1).$$

□

Composing  $t'$  with the projection to the first factor, we obtain a morphism

$$(7.4) \quad \mathfrak{t}: (\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A})^\circ \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$$

induced by  $\bar{p}^b(1) = (1, -d)$  on the level of characteristics. This is the *twist* on the level of universal target. Now consider the Cartesian diagram with strict vertical arrows

$$(7.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} (\infty \times \mathcal{A})^\circ & \xrightarrow{p} & \infty \times \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{id_\infty \times \nu_r} & \infty \times \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \infty \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A})^\circ & \xrightarrow{p} & \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{id_{\infty_{\mathcal{A}}} \times \nu_r} & \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \end{array}$$

We then construct the twist of the R-map target by lifting  $\mathfrak{t}$ :

**Proposition 7.5.** *There is a dashed arrow  $\mathbb{T}$  making the following square Cartesian*

$$(7.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \infty & \xleftarrow{\quad \mathbb{T} \quad} & (\infty \times \mathcal{A})^\circ \\ \mathcal{O}(\infty) \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \infty_{\mathcal{A}} & \xleftarrow{\quad \mathfrak{t} \quad} & (\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A})^\circ \end{array}$$

such that furthermore

$$(7.7) \quad \mathbb{T}^* \mathcal{L}_\omega = \mathcal{L}_\omega \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}^r \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{T}^* \mathcal{L}_R = \mathcal{L}_R \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

*Proof.* We split the proof into several steps.

**Step 1. Construction of  $\underline{\mathbb{T}}$ .** For clarity, we will use  $(\mathcal{L}'_R, \mathcal{L}'_\omega)$  and  $(\mathcal{L}_R, \mathcal{L}_\omega)$  to denote the universal line bundles of  $\infty$  on the left and the right columns of (7.6), respectively. Since we assume  $\ell = 1$ , we have the following diagram of solid arrows:

$$(7.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\infty} \times \underline{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \underline{\infty} \times \underline{\mathcal{A}} \\ \downarrow \mathcal{L}_R \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}} & \searrow \underline{\mathbb{T}} & \downarrow \mathcal{L}'_R \\ \underline{\infty} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \infty_{\mathcal{X}} \times \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \\ \downarrow \mathcal{L}'_R & \searrow \text{rth root} & \downarrow \mathbf{L}^\vee \boxtimes \mathcal{L}'_\omega \\ \mathbf{BG}_m & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbf{BG}_m \end{array}$$

where the square is (1.2), the top arrow is defined by the projection  $\underline{\infty} \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{X}}$  and  $\underline{\infty} \times \underline{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$  with  $\mathcal{L}_\omega \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}^r \mapsto \mathcal{L}'_\omega$ . To define  $\underline{\mathbb{T}}$ , it suffices to verify the commutativity of the solid arrows, which follows from

$$(7.9) \quad \mathbf{L}^\vee \boxtimes \mathcal{L}'_\omega \cong \mathbf{L}^\vee \otimes (\mathcal{L}_\omega \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}^r) \cong (\mathbf{L}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{L}_\omega) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}^r \cong (\mathcal{L}_R)^r \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}^r \cong (\mathcal{L}_R \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}})^r$$

where all the line bundles are considered pulled back to  $\underline{\infty} \times \underline{\mathcal{A}}$ . This implies that

$$(7.10) \quad \underline{\mathbb{T}}^* \mathcal{L}'_R = \mathcal{L}_R \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\mathbb{T}}^* \mathcal{L}'_\omega = \mathcal{L}_\omega \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}^r$$

which is precisely (7.7).

**Step 2. Construction of  $\mathbb{T}$ .** Since the two vertical arrows in (7.6) are strict, to show that  $\underline{\mathbb{T}}$  lifts to  $\mathbb{T}$ , it suffices to check that the underlying structure  $\underline{\mathbb{T}}$  is compatible with the morphism of log structures induced by  $\mathfrak{t}$ , leading to the commutativity of (7.6).

Note that  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is defined by the universal section  $s$  of  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ , and  $\mathcal{M}_\infty$  is defined by (1.4) since  $\ell = 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}'$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  be the universal line bundles defining the log structures of  $\infty_{\mathcal{A}}$  on the left and the right columns of (7.6), respectively. Then the lift  $\mathbb{T}$  over  $\underline{\mathbb{T}}$  follows from the compatibility of line bundles over  $(\infty \times \mathcal{A})^\circ$ :

$$\underline{\mathbb{T}}^* \mathcal{L}' = \mathbb{T}^*(\mathbf{N} \otimes (\mathcal{L}'_R)^{-d}) \cong \mathbf{N} \otimes (\mathcal{L}_R \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}})^{-d} \cong (\mathbf{N} \otimes (\mathcal{L}_R)^{-d}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}^{-d} = \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}^{-d}.$$

**Step 3. Verifying the Cartesian property.** Denote by  $\widetilde{\infty} = \infty \times_{\infty_{\mathcal{A}}} (\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A})^\circ$ . The commutativity of (7.6) induces a morphism  $(\infty \times \mathcal{A})^\circ \rightarrow \widetilde{\infty}$ . We show this is an isomorphism by constructing its inverse explicitly. Since the two vertical arrows in (7.6) are strict, it suffices to prove the statement on the underlying level.

Unwinding the fiber product construction, the data of a morphism  $Y \rightarrow \widetilde{\infty}$  is equivalent to the following data

$$\left( Y \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{X}} \times \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*, \mathcal{L}'_{R,Y}, \mathcal{L}_Y, (\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A},Y}, s_Y), (\mathcal{L}'_{R,Y})^r \cong \mathbf{L}^\vee|_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}'_\omega|_Y, \mathbf{N}|_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}^d_{R,Y} \cong \mathcal{L}'_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-d}_{\mathcal{A},Y} \right).$$

Here  $\mathcal{L}'_{R,Y}$  is the line bundle on  $Y$  corresponding to  $Y \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $\mathcal{L}_Y, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A},Y}$  are line bundles corresponding to  $Y \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A}$ .

Set  $\mathcal{L}_{R,Y} = \mathcal{L}'_{R,Y} \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee_{\mathcal{A},Y}$ . Then we calculate

$$\mathcal{L}^r_{R,Y} \cong (\mathcal{L}'_{R,Y} \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee_{\mathcal{A},Y})^r \cong \mathbf{L}^\vee_Y \otimes (\mathcal{L}'_\omega|_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-r}_{\mathcal{A},Y}).$$

In view of (7.10), the data  $(Y \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{X}} \times \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*, \mathcal{L}_{R,Y})$  is equivalent to a commutative triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}'_{R,Y}} & \infty \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \\ & \swarrow_{\mathcal{L}'_\omega|_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-r}_{\mathcal{A},Y}} & \end{array}$$

Together with  $Y \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  induced by  $(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A},Y}, s_Y)$ , we obtain  $Y \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A} = (\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A})^\circ$ , hence  $\widetilde{\infty} \rightarrow (\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A})^\circ$ . Tracing through the above construction, we see that this is the desired inverse.  $\square$

While (7.7) implies that  $\mathbb{T}$  is not a morphism over  $\mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$ , the proof of this proposition shows the following compatibility of R-structures:

**Corollary 7.6.** *There is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \infty & \xleftarrow{\mathbb{T}} & (\infty \times \mathcal{A})^\circ & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \infty \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* & \xleftarrow{t \times \text{id}_{\mathbf{BC}_\omega^*}} & (\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A})^\circ \times \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{L}_\omega \leftarrow \mathcal{L}^r_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\omega} & \mathcal{A} \times \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* & \xrightarrow{(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{L}_\omega) \mapsto \mathcal{L}_\omega} & \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \end{array}$$

where the two squares on the top are Cartesian with strict vertical arrows, and the top and middle horizontal arrows on the right are given by the composition of the corresponding horizontal arrows in (7.5).

**7.3. Adding markings on log curves.** Denote by  $\mathfrak{M}_n^{\text{tw}}$  the stack of twisted pre-stable curves with  $n$  markings and let  $\mathfrak{C}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_n^{\text{tw}}$  be its universal curve equipped with the canonical log structures. Similarly, we have the universal family  $\mathfrak{C}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{n+1}^{\text{tw}}$  of pre-stable twisted curves with  $(n+1)$  markings, again equipped with the canonical log structures. Let  $\mathfrak{C}_{n,\mathfrak{e}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_n$  be the pull-back of  $\mathfrak{C}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_n^{\text{tw}}$ .

The underlying universal curve  $\mathfrak{C}_n$  carries a family of pre-stable twisted curve  $\mathfrak{C}_{n+1}^{\text{rig}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_n$  with an extra untwisted  $(n+1)$ -st marking, denoted  $p_{n+1}^{\text{rig}}$ , and a morphism  $\underline{\text{St}}: \mathfrak{C}_{n+1}^{\text{rig}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{n,\mathfrak{e}}$  of marked curves over  $\mathfrak{C}_n$  which removes  $p_{n+1}^{\text{rig}}$  from the set of markings, and contracts rational components containing  $p^{\text{rig}}$  such that the image of  $p_{n+1}^{\text{rig}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{n+1}^{\text{rig}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{n,\mathfrak{e}}$  hits a special point of  $\mathfrak{C}_{n,\mathfrak{e}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_n$ . Similarly as in [14, Lemma 7] and [5, Section 8.1], the tautological morphism  $\mathfrak{C}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{n+1}^{\text{tw}}$  induced by the family  $\mathfrak{C}_{n+1}^{\text{rig}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_n$  with  $(n+1)$  markings is étale.

**Lemma 7.7.** *There is a canonical strict and étale morphism  $\mathfrak{C}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{n+1}^{\text{tw}}$  lifting the tautological morphism  $\mathfrak{C}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{n+1}^{\text{tw}}$ .*



*Proof.* By [75, §3.1], the log structure of  $\mathfrak{M}_{n+1}^{\text{tw}}$  is the divisorial log structure given by the normal crossings boundary  $\partial\mathfrak{M}_{n+1}^{\text{tw}}$ , which consists of the singular fibers. Similarly, the log structure of  $\mathfrak{C}_n$  is the divisorial log structure given by the normal crossings boundary  $\partial\mathfrak{C}_n$  consisting of the  $n$  markings and the pullback of  $\partial\mathfrak{M}_n^{\text{tw}}$ . The tautological morphism  $\underline{\mathfrak{C}}_n \rightarrow \underline{\mathfrak{M}}_{n+1}^{\text{tw}}$  is a morphism of the toroidal pairs  $(\mathfrak{C}_n, \partial\mathfrak{C}_n) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{M}_{n+1}^{\text{tw}}, \partial\mathfrak{M}_{n+1}^{\text{tw}})$  preserving boundary divisors, hence induces a log morphism  $\mathfrak{C}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{n+1}^{\text{tw}}$ . Furthermore, the étaleness of the tautological morphism restricts to an étale morphism of the boundaries  $\partial\mathfrak{C}_n \rightarrow \partial\mathfrak{M}_{n+1}^{\text{tw}}$ . This implies the strictness in the statement.  $\square$

Consider the canonical log curve

$$(7.11) \quad \mathfrak{C}_{n+1}^{\text{rig}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_n$$

with the underlying  $\underline{\mathfrak{C}}_{n+1}^{\text{rig}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathfrak{C}}_n$ . Let  $\mathfrak{C}_{n+1}^{\text{rig}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{n+(1\text{rig})}$  be the log morphism removing  $p_{n+1}^{\text{rig}}$  from the set of markings. Since we do not change log structures away from  $p_{n+1}^{\text{rig}}$ ,  $\mathfrak{C}_{n+(1\text{rig})} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_n$  is a family of log curves with the canonical log structure.

**Lemma 7.8.** *There is a canonical log morphism  $\text{St}: \mathfrak{C}_{n+(1\text{rig})} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{n,\mathfrak{C}}$  over  $\mathfrak{C}_n$  lifting the underlying morphism  $\underline{\text{St}}$ . Furthermore,  $\text{St}$  is log étale with  $\text{St}_*\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n+(1\text{rig})}} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n,\mathfrak{C}}}$  where  $\text{St}_*\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n+(1\text{rig})}}$  is the push-forward log structure on  $\underline{\mathfrak{C}}_{n,\mathfrak{C}}$  as in [60, (1.4)].*

*Proof.* We use the description of log structures as in the proof of Lemma 7.7. By Lemma 7.7, the log structure  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n+(1\text{rig})}}$  is the divisorial one given by the normal crossings boundary  $\partial\mathfrak{C}_{n+(1\text{rig})}$  consisting of the pull-back of  $\partial\mathfrak{M}_{n+1}^{\text{tw}}$  and the  $n$  markings. The log structure  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n,\mathfrak{C}}}$  is the divisorial one given by the toroidal boundary  $\partial\mathfrak{C}_{n,\mathfrak{C}} = \text{pr}_1^{-1}\partial\mathfrak{C}_n \cup \text{pr}_2^{-1}\partial\mathfrak{C}_n$  where  $\text{pr}_i: \mathfrak{C}_{n,\mathfrak{C}} = \mathfrak{C}_n \times_{\mathfrak{M}_n} \mathfrak{C}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_n$  are the projections to the first and second factors.

Observe that  $\underline{\text{St}}(\partial\mathfrak{C}_{n+(1\text{rig})}) = \partial\mathfrak{C}_{n,\mathfrak{C}}$ , and hence  $\underline{\text{St}}$  induces a morphism of toroidal pairs

$$(\underline{\mathfrak{C}}_{n+(1\text{rig})}, \partial\mathfrak{C}_{n+(1\text{rig})}) \rightarrow (\underline{\mathfrak{C}}_{n,\mathfrak{C}}, \partial\mathfrak{C}_{n,\mathfrak{C}})$$

surjective along the boundary. Since the log structures involved are all divisorial, this leads to the log étale morphism  $\text{St}$ .

Finally, the divisorial log structure  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n+(1\text{rig})}}$  is the subsheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n+(1\text{rig})}}$  consisting of regular functions vanishing only along  $\partial\mathfrak{C}_{n+(1\text{rig})}$  of order  $\geq 0$ . Since  $\text{St}$  induces an isomorphism outside the boundary, and only contracts rational bridges to some strata of the boundary  $\partial\mathfrak{C}_{n,\mathfrak{C}}$ , the push-forward  $\text{St}_*\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n+(1\text{rig})}}$  consists of regular functions vanishing only along  $\partial\mathfrak{C}_{n,\mathfrak{C}}$  of order  $\geq 0$ , which is the divisorial log structure  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{C}_{n,\mathfrak{C}}}$ .  $\square$

**7.4. Adding markings on universal punctured maps.** Consider the universal punctured map and the universal puncturing over  $\mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'} := \mathfrak{M}_{g,\zeta'}(\infty_A)$ , respectively:

$$f_{\zeta'}: \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'}^\circ \rightarrow \infty_A \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'}^\circ \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'}.$$

Consider the saturation  $\text{S}: \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'}^\circ$ . Our next goal is to construct a natural family of punctured maps over  $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'}$  with the discrete data  $\zeta' + \mathbf{1}'$ .

**7.4.1. Punctured maps over  $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'}$ .** Pulling back the universal families along  $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'}^\circ \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'}$ , we obtain the punctured map  $f_{\zeta',\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'}}: \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta',\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'}}^\circ \rightarrow \infty$  and the puncturing  $\mathfrak{C}_{\zeta',\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'}}^\circ \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta',\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'}}$  over  $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'}$ . Consider the tautological morphism  $\mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_n^{\text{tw}}$  induced by the log curve  $\mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'}$ . Pulling back  $\text{St}$  as in Lemma 7.8 along the composition  $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_n^{\text{tw}}$ , we obtain a log étale morphism of log curves over  $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'}$ :

$$(7.12) \quad \tilde{\text{St}}: \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'+(1\text{rig})} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta',\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'}}$$

**Lemma 7.9.** *There is a canonical punctured curve  $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'+(1^{\text{rig}})}^\circ \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'}$  fitting into the following commutative diagram over  $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'}$ :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'+(1^{\text{rig}})}^\circ & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\text{St}}^\circ} & \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta', \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'}}^\circ \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'+(1^{\text{rig}})} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\text{St}}} & \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta', \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'}} \end{array}$$

such that the vertical arrows are puncturings along punctured markings labeled by  $\zeta'$ , and  $\tilde{\text{St}}^\circ$  is pre-stable in the sense of [3, Definition 2.5].

*Proof.* Denote by  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'+(1^{\text{rig}})}$  the collection of rational bridges contracted by  $\tilde{\text{St}}$ . Away from  $\mathcal{Z}$ , we define  $\tilde{\text{St}}^\circ$  to be the identity. Let  $Z \subset \mathcal{Z}$  be an irreducible component over a geometric point of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'}$ . Then  $Z$  is rational with precisely two special points. It suffices to construct  $\tilde{\text{St}}^\circ$  around  $Z$ .

Suppose  $Z$  contracts to a node or a marking with a positive contact order. In this case, since punctured structures are not involved, we define the left vertical morphism to be an isomorphism along  $Z$ , and hence set  $\tilde{\text{St}}^\circ|_Z = \tilde{\text{St}}|_Z$ .

Next assume that  $Z$  contracts to a marking  $p_2 \in \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta', \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'}}^\circ$  with contact order  $c < 0$ . Denote by  $p_1 \in Z$  the marking on  $Z$ , and  $p_0 \in \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'}$  the image of  $p_1$ . Let  $q \in Z$  be the node joining  $Z$  with another component  $Z' \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'+(1^{\text{rig}})}$ . To proceed, we fix the following notations.

Noting that  $p_0$  is a marking with contact order  $c$ , let  $\sigma_{p_0} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'}}$  be a local section given by the generator of the divisorial log structure associated to the marking  $p_0$ . Similarly, let  $\sigma_{p_1} \in \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'+(1^{\text{rig}})}}$  and  $\sigma_{p_2} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{C}_{\zeta', \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'}}}$  be local sections corresponding to the markings  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  respectively. We will reserve  $\delta \in \mathcal{M}_\infty$  for a local generator of the log structure. We will use the same notations  $\sigma_{p_0}, \sigma_{p_1}, \sigma_{p_2}$  and  $\delta$  for local sections of the corresponding log structures pulled back to various charts appearing in the following discussions when there is no danger of confusion. For simplicity, write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_0 &:= \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'}}|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'+(1^{\text{rig}})}}, \quad \mathcal{M}_1 := \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'+(1^{\text{rig}})}}, \quad \mathcal{M}_1^\circ = \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'+(1^{\text{rig}})}^\circ}, \\ \mathcal{M}_2 &:= \tilde{\text{St}}^* \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{C}_{\zeta', \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'}}}, \quad \mathcal{M}_2^\circ := \tilde{\text{St}}^* \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{C}_{\zeta', \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'}}^\circ}, \quad \mathcal{N} := \tilde{\text{St}}^* \circ \mathfrak{f}_{\zeta', \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'}}^* \mathcal{M}_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

and  $\mathfrak{f}^\flat := \tilde{\text{St}}^*(\mathfrak{f}_{\zeta', \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'}}^\flat): \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2^\circ$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}_i$  is a sublog structure of  $\mathcal{M}_i^\circ$ , we naturally identify elements of  $\mathcal{M}_i$  with the corresponding elements in  $\mathcal{M}_i^\circ$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

Since  $\mathcal{M}_1^\circ$  and  $\mathcal{M}_1$  are identical away from punctures, our next goal is to construct  $\mathcal{M}_1^\circ$  at the marking  $p_1$  and to construct the morphism  $\tilde{\text{St}}^\circ$  along  $Z$ . Since the underlying of  $\tilde{\text{St}}^\circ$  is given by  $\tilde{\text{St}}$ , it suffices to construct the dashed arrow of log structures making the following square commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_1^\circ & \xleftarrow{(\tilde{\text{St}}^\circ)^\flat} & \mathcal{M}_2^\circ \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{M}_1 & \xleftarrow{\tilde{\text{St}}^\flat} & \mathcal{M}_2 \end{array}$$

### Case 1: Away from $p_1$ .

We first construct  $(\tilde{\text{St}}^\circ)^\flat$  around the node  $q$ . Let  $U \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'+(1^{\text{rig}})}^\circ$  be a smooth neighborhood of  $q$  containing no other special points, hence  $\mathcal{M}_1|_U = \mathcal{M}_1^\circ|_U$ . Let  $\sigma_{q,1}, \sigma_{q,2} \in \mathcal{M}_1|_U$  be the local sections corresponding to the local coordinates of  $Z'$  and  $Z$  around the node  $q$  respectively. Choosing coordinates appropriately, we may assume that in  $\mathcal{M}_1$

$$(7.13) \quad \sigma_{p_0} = \sigma_{q,1} + \sigma_{q,2}, \quad \tilde{\text{St}}^\flat(\sigma_{p_2}) = \sigma_{q,1}$$

where  $\sigma_{p_0}$  is identified with its image in  $\mathcal{M}_1|_U$  via  $\mathcal{M}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_1$ .

On the other hand, we observe that

$$(7.14) \quad \mathfrak{f}^\flat(\delta) = e - c\sigma_{p_2} + u \in \mathcal{M}_2^\circ|_U$$

for some unit  $u \in \mathcal{O}_U^* \subset \mathcal{M}_2$ , and a local section  $e \in \mathcal{M}_0|_U$  identified with its image via  $\mathcal{M}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2 \subset \mathcal{M}_2^\circ$ . Note that  $\mathcal{M}_2^\circ|_U$  is the fine log structure generated by  $\mathcal{M}_2|_U$  and  $\mathfrak{f}^\flat(\delta)$ . Since  $(\tilde{\text{St}}^\circ)^\flat|_{\mathcal{M}_2} = \tilde{\text{St}}^\flat$ , to construct  $\tilde{\text{St}}^\circ|_U$  it remains to identify  $(\tilde{\text{St}}^\circ)^\flat(\mathfrak{f}^\flat(\delta)) \in \mathcal{M}_1^\circ$ .

Combining (7.13) and (7.14) with the above discussion, we calculate in  $\mathcal{M}_1^{gp}|_U$  that

$$(7.15) \quad \begin{aligned} (\tilde{\text{St}}^\circ)^\flat(\mathfrak{f}^\flat(\delta)) &= e - c \cdot \tilde{\text{St}}^\flat(\sigma_{p_2}) + u = e - c\sigma_{q,1} + u \\ &= e - c \cdot (\sigma_{p_0} - \sigma_{q,2}) + u = (e - c\sigma_{p_0}) + c\sigma_{q,2} + u \end{aligned}$$

To show that  $(\tilde{\text{St}}^\circ)^\flat(\mathfrak{f}^\flat(\delta)) \in \mathcal{M}_2|_U$ , it suffices to show that  $(e - c\sigma_{p_0}) \in \mathcal{M}_0|_U$ , which is equivalent to  $(\bar{e} - c\bar{\sigma}_{p_0}) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_0|_U$ . Here  $\bar{e}$  and  $\bar{\sigma}_{p_0}$  are the local sections in the sheaf of characteristic monoids.

To continue, let  $Z_0 \subset \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'}^\circ$  be the component containing  $p_0$ . Then locally at  $p_0$  we have  $\bar{f}_{\zeta'}(\bar{\delta}) = \bar{e}_0 - c\bar{\sigma}_{p_0}$  where  $\bar{\delta}$  is the local section in the characteristic sheaf corresponding to  $\delta$ . Hence  $\bar{e}_0$  as a local section in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'}}$  is the degeneracy of  $Z_0$ . On the other hand, the local section  $\bar{e}$  is the degeneracy of  $Z'$  by (7.14). Note that away from  $q$ , the morphism  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\infty_{\mathcal{A}}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_1|_U$  induced by  $\mathfrak{f}_U^\flat$  and the following composition

$$U \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta', \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'}}^\circ \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'} \xrightarrow{\text{Sat}} \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'}^\circ \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{f}_{\zeta'}} \infty_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

agree. This implies that  $\bar{e} = \bar{e}_0$  with  $\bar{e}_0$  identified with its image in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0$ . Hence we obtain  $\bar{e} - c\bar{\sigma}_{p_0} = \bar{e}_0 - c\bar{\sigma}_{p_0} \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_0|_U$  as needed. Indeed, we may choose  $\sigma_{q,2}$  be the coordinate on  $Z \setminus p_1$ . Hence (7.15) defines the morphism  $(\tilde{\text{St}}^\circ)^\flat$  away from the marking  $p_1$ .

**Case 2: Around  $p_1$ .** It remains to construct  $\mathcal{M}_1^\circ$  and  $(\tilde{\text{St}}^\circ)^\flat$  around  $p_1$ . Let  $V \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'+(1^{\text{rig}})}^\circ$  be a smooth neighborhood of  $p_1$  containing no other special points. Choose a local section  $\sigma_{p_1} \in \mathcal{M}_1|_V$  corresponding to the local coordinate of  $Z$  around  $p_1$ . By a careful choice, we may assume that  $\sigma_{p_1}|_{Z \setminus \{p_1, q\}} = (\sigma_{q,2})^{-1}|_{Z \setminus \{p_1, q\}}$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{Z \setminus \{p_1, q\}}^*$ . Thus by (7.15), we have

$$(7.16) \quad (\tilde{\text{St}}^\circ)^\flat(\mathfrak{f}^\flat(\delta)) = (e - c\sigma_{p_0}) + c\sigma_{q,2} + u = (e - c\sigma_{p_0}) - c\sigma_{p_1} + u, \quad \text{in } \mathcal{M}_1^{gp}|_V.$$

Note that  $\bar{e} = \bar{e}_0$  is the degeneracy of  $Z_0$ , hence its image in the structure sheaf vanishing constantly along  $Z$ . By the pre-stability in [3, Definition 2.5], we define  $\mathcal{M}_1^\circ$  around  $p_1$  to be the fine log structure generated by  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and  $(\tilde{\text{St}}^\circ)^\flat(\mathfrak{f}^\flat(\delta))$  in (7.16). Thus, (7.16) then defines the unique  $(\tilde{\text{St}}^\circ)^\flat|_V$  compatible with (7.15).

Finally, one checks that the construction does not depend on the local choices of charts. This finishes the proof.  $\square$

Composing with  $\mathfrak{f}_{\zeta', \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'}}$ , the above lemma defines a punctured map over  $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'}$

$$(7.17) \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{f}}_{\zeta'+(1^{\text{rig}})} : \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'+(1^{\text{rig}})}^\circ \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$$

with the discrete data  $\zeta'$  and an extra unmarked section  $p_{n+1}^{\text{rig}}$  avoiding all special points. For later use, we consider the following situation:

**Lemma 7.10.** *Consider a morphism  $T \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'}$  induced by a punctured map  $f: \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$  over  $T$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\circ$  be the saturation, and  $\tilde{f}: \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{(1^{\text{rig}})}^\circ \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$  be the punctured map over  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  obtained by pulling back  $\tilde{\mathfrak{f}}_{\zeta'+(1^{\text{rig}})}$ . Suppose all contact orders in  $\zeta'$  are negative. Then  $f$  has uniform maximal degeneracy iff  $\tilde{f}$  has uniform maximal degeneracy. In particular, the maximal degeneracies of  $\tilde{f}$  and  $f$  coincide.*

*Proof.* Note that  $\tilde{f}$  is given by the following composition

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{(1^{\text{rig}})}^\circ \xrightarrow{\tilde{\text{St}}_T^\circ} \mathcal{C}_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}^\circ \xrightarrow{f_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}} \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$$

$\tilde{f}$

where  $\tilde{\text{St}}_T^\circ$  is the pull-back of  $\tilde{\text{St}}^\circ$  from Lemma 7.9 and  $f_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}$  is the pull-back of  $f$  via  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow T$ . Thus  $\tilde{f}$  and  $f_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}$  are isomorphic away from the contracted loci of  $\tilde{\text{St}}_T^\circ$ . Let  $Z \subset \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{(1^{\text{rig}})}^\circ$  be the rational

bridge over a geometric point  $s \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  contracted by  $\tilde{\text{St}}_T^\circ$ . Thus, by degree considerations, the two special points on  $Z$ , denoted  $p$  and  $q$ , have contact orders  $c$  and  $-c$  respectively.

Suppose  $p, q$  are both nodes joining  $Z$  with two irreducible components  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  respectively. Denote by  $e, e_1, e_2 \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_s$  the degeneracies of  $Z, Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  under  $\tilde{f}$  respectively. For  $i = 1, 2$ , since  $Z_i$  is not contracted in  $\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}^\circ$ , the degeneracies of  $Z_i$  with respect to  $\tilde{f}$  and  $f_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}$  coincide. If  $c = -c = 0$ , then  $e = e_1 = e_2$ . If  $c > 0$ , then  $e_1 > e > e_2$ .

It remains to consider the case that  $p$  is a marking and  $q$  is a node joining  $Z$  with  $Z_2$ . In this case, the assumption  $c < 0$  implies that  $q$  has contact order  $-c > 0$ , hence  $e_2 > e$ .

Thus the maximal degeneracy of  $\tilde{f}$  is the same as the maximal degeneracy of  $f$ .  $\square$

Consider the morphisms of punctured curves over  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'}$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'+1'}^\circ \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'+1^{\text{rig}}}^\circ \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'+(1^{\text{rig}})}^\circ$$

where the right arrow is obtained by adding an extra marking given by  $p_{n+1}^{\text{rig}}$ , and the left arrow is obtained by taking the  $r$ th root stack along  $p_{n+1}^{\text{rig}}$  with the resulting marking denoted by  $p_{n+1}$ . We put the trivial puncturing along both markings  $p_{n+1}^{\text{rig}}$  and  $p_{n+1}$ .

Composing with  $\tilde{f}_{\zeta'+(1^{\text{rig}})}$ , we obtain two punctured maps  $\tilde{f}_{\zeta'+1^{\text{rig}}}: \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'+1^{\text{rig}}}^\circ \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\tilde{f}_{\zeta'+1'}: \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'+1'}^\circ \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$  over  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'}$  with zero contact order along both  $p_{n+1}^{\text{rig}}$  and  $p_{n+1}$ . Denote by  $\zeta' + 1^{\text{rig}}$  and  $\zeta' + 1'$  the corresponding discrete data. We have an isomorphism induced by taking the  $r$ th root along the marking labeled by  $1^{\text{rig}}$ :

$$(7.18) \quad \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+1'} := \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+1'}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}}) \cong \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+1^{\text{rig}}} := \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+1^{\text{rig}}}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})$$

The following proposition plays a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 7.3 (2) and (3):

**Proposition 7.11.** *The tautological morphism  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+1^{\text{rig}}}$  induced by  $\tilde{f}_{\zeta'+1^{\text{rig}}}$  is strict and étale.*

*Proof.* We divide the proof into several steps.

### Step 1: Strictness.

First, we show that the morphism in question is strict. Since the characteristic sheaf of monoids of  $\mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+1^{\text{rig}}}$  is basic [3, Definition 2.38], by [3, Proposition 2.40] it suffices to show that the punctured map (7.17) over  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'}$  is basic. In particular, we need to show that the degeneracies of irreducible components of the source curve over each geometric fiber satisfy precisely the edge relations of [3, (2.16)]. By the basicness of  $\mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'}$ , it suffices to consider the components contracted by (7.12).

Let  $Z$  be a such contracted rational bridge. Suppose  $Z$  contracts to a punctured point, and consider the situation as in **Case 1** of the proof of Lemma 7.9. By (7.14) and (7.15), the two components  $Z$  and  $Z'$  have degeneracies  $\bar{e} - c\bar{\sigma}_{p_0} = \bar{e}_0 - c\bar{\sigma}_{p_0}$  and  $\bar{e} = \bar{e}_0$  respectively. The first equation in (7.13) then implies that the degeneracies of  $Z$  and  $Z'$  satisfy precisely the edge relation [3, (2.16)] for basicness. The other cases when  $Z$  contracts to a marking or a node are similar and simpler, hence left to the reader. This implies the strictness as in the statement.

### Step 2: Unwinding the étaleness.

Since the morphism in question is locally of finite type between locally noetherian stacks, it suffices to check that it is formally étale. Suppose we have the commutative diagram of solid arrows

$$(7.19) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} T_0 & \xrightarrow{[f_{T_0}]} & \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{C}_n \\ \downarrow & \dashrightarrow & \downarrow & \dashrightarrow & \downarrow \\ T & \xrightarrow{[f_T]} & \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+1^{\text{rig}}} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathfrak{M}_{n+1}^{\text{tw}}, \end{array}$$

where the left vertical arrow is a square-zero extension, the two right horizontal arrows are the tautological morphisms, and the two left horizontal arrows are strict. It remains to show that there exists a unique lift  $T \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'}$  making the above diagram commutative. Since the question is local on  $T$ , we may assume that  $T$  is of finite type.

Denote by  $f_T: \mathcal{C}_T^\circ \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $f_{T_0}: \mathcal{C}_{T_0}^\circ \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$  the punctured maps over  $T$  and  $T_0$  obtained by pulling back the universal punctured maps over  $\mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+1^{\text{rig}}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'}$  respectively. By abuse of notations, we use  $f_T: \mathcal{C}_T^\circ \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$  for the same punctured map over  $T$  except that the  $(n+1)$ -st marking is

removed from the set of markings. The commutativity of the left square is equivalent to the equality of objects  $[f_{T_0}] = [f_T]|_{T_0}$ . By Lemma 7.9,  $f_{T_0}$  factors through a contraction  $\tilde{\text{St}}_{T_0}^\circ: \mathcal{C}_{T_0}^\circ \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{T_0}^\circ$  with the resulting punctured map over  $T_0$  denoted by  $\bar{f}_{T_0}: \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{T_0}^\circ \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Equivalently, we need to exhibit the existence and uniqueness of a punctured map  $\bar{f}_T: \overline{\mathcal{C}}_T^\circ \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$  over  $T$  making the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & f_T & & \\
 & & \curvearrowright & & \\
 \mathcal{C}_T^\circ & \dashrightarrow & \overline{\mathcal{C}}_T^\circ & \dashrightarrow & \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \\
 & \tilde{\text{St}}_T^\circ & \uparrow & \bar{f}_T & \\
 \mathcal{C}_{T_0}^\circ & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\text{St}}_{T_0}^\circ} & \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{T_0}^\circ & \nearrow \bar{f}_{T_0} & \\
 & & \downarrow & & 
 \end{array}$$

**Step 3: Construction of the punctured curve  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_T^\circ \rightarrow T$ .** By the étaleness of Lemma 7.7, we obtain a unique lift  $T \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_n$  making (7.19) commutative. Pulling back (7.11), we obtain the log curve  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_T \rightarrow T$ . Further pulling back  $\text{St}$  as in Lemma 7.8, we obtain  $\tilde{\text{St}}_T: \mathcal{C}_T \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}}_T$  where  $\mathcal{C}_T \rightarrow T$  is the log curve associated to  $\mathcal{C}_T^\circ \rightarrow T$ . We then define

$$\overline{\mathcal{C}}_T^\circ = (\overline{\mathcal{C}}_T, \mathcal{M}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_T^\circ} := \tilde{\text{St}}_{T,*} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}_T^\circ}).$$

Next, we will show that  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_T^\circ \rightarrow T$  is a punctured curve making the following commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{C}_T^\circ & \xrightarrow{\text{P}} & \mathcal{C}_T \\
 \tilde{\text{St}}_T^\circ \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\text{St}}_T \\
 \overline{\mathcal{C}}_T^\circ & \dashrightarrow \bar{\text{P}} \dashrightarrow & \overline{\mathcal{C}}_T
 \end{array}$$

First, the adjunction [73, Definition 1.1.5, 3] implies a natural morphism  $\tilde{\text{St}}_T^* \mathcal{M}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_T^\circ} = \tilde{\text{St}}_T^*(\tilde{\text{St}}_{T,*} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}_T^\circ}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}_T^\circ}$  defining the log map  $\tilde{\text{St}}_T^\circ$ . Observe that  $\tilde{\text{St}}_{T,*} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}_T} = \mathcal{M}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_T}$  by Lemma 7.8. Thus the puncturing  $\text{P}^\flat: \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}_T} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}_T^\circ}$  pushes forward to a morphism of log structures  $\bar{\text{P}}^\flat: \mathcal{M}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_T} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_T^\circ}$  defining  $\bar{\text{P}}: \overline{\mathcal{C}}_T^\circ \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}}_T$ . We will show that  $\bar{\text{P}}$  is a puncturing, hence making  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_T^\circ \rightarrow T$  a punctured curve. By [3, Definition 2.1], this amounts to show that  $\bar{\text{P}}^\flat$  defines an inclusion  $\mathcal{M}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_T^\circ}^{gp} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_T}^{gp}$ , which can be checked on the level of characteristics  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_T^\circ}^{gp} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_T}^{gp}$ .

Second, note that away from markings with negative contact orders,  $\bar{\text{P}}^\flat$  is an isomorphism of log structures. Now let  $\bar{p} \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}_T$  be a marking with contact order  $c < 0$ . Let  $V \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}}_T$  be a neighborhood of  $\bar{p}$ , and denote  $U := V \times_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_T} \mathcal{C}_T$ . If  $\tilde{\text{St}}_T|_U$  is an isomorphism, then  $\bar{\text{P}}^\flat|_V = \text{P}^\flat|_U$  is a puncturing along  $\bar{p}$ . We thus assume there is a rational bridge  $Z \subset \mathcal{C}_T$  contracted by  $\tilde{\text{St}}_T$  to  $\bar{p}$ . Denote by  $p \in Z$  the marking corresponding to  $\bar{p}$ . Since the question is on the level of characteristics, it suffices to consider the fibers  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_T^\circ}^{gp}|_{\bar{p}} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_T}^{gp}|_{\bar{p}}$  at  $\bar{p}$ . This can be checked by further pulling back to  $p$ :

$$\tilde{\text{St}}_T^*(\tilde{\text{St}}_{T,*} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{C}_T^\circ})|_p \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{C}_T^\circ}^{gp}|_p = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{C}_T}^{gp}|_p$$

This verifies that  $\bar{\text{P}}$  is a puncturing, as needed.

**Step 4: Construction of the punctured map  $\bar{f}_T$ .** We first construct the underlying map  $\underline{f}_T: \overline{\mathcal{C}}_T \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Let  $k$  be a positive integer divisible by the orders of inertia groups of all markings and nodes of  $\mathcal{C}_T \rightarrow T$ , the underlying of the log curve  $\mathcal{C}_T \rightarrow T$ . Take the coarse curve  $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_T \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}_T$ . Since  $\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathbf{BG}_m$ , let  $L$  be the corresponding line bundle over  $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_T$  defining  $\underline{f}_T$ . Then  $L^k$  descends to

a line bundle over  $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_T$ , hence a morphism  $\underline{f}_T^c: \underline{\mathcal{C}}_T \rightarrow \underline{\infty}_{\mathcal{A}}$  making the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\mathcal{C}}_T & \longrightarrow & \underline{\mathcal{C}}_T \\ \underline{f}_T \downarrow & & \downarrow \underline{f}_T^c \\ \underline{\infty}_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{\text{kth power}} & \underline{\infty}_{\mathcal{A}} \end{array}$$

Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be a rational bridge contracted by  $\tilde{\text{St}}_T^\circ$ , and  $p, q \in \mathcal{Z}$  be the two special points. Observe that  $p$  and  $q$  have the same inertia groups with the contact orders  $c$  and  $-c$  respectively. This implies that  $L|_{\mathcal{Z}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}(cp - cq)$  is torsion, hence  $L^k|_{\mathcal{Z}}$  descends to the trivial line bundle over  $Z$ , where  $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow Z \subset \underline{\mathcal{C}}_T$  is the coarse morphism. Consider the coarse morphism  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_T \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}}_T$  over  $T$ . Thus,  $\underline{f}_T^c$  factors through  $\underline{\bar{f}}_T^c: \overline{\mathcal{C}}_T \rightarrow \underline{\infty}_{\mathcal{A}}$ . We have arrived at the following commutative diagram of solid arrows

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \underline{\mathcal{C}}_T & \longrightarrow & \sqrt{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_T} & \longrightarrow & \underline{\mathcal{C}}_T \\ \downarrow \tilde{\text{St}}_T & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \underline{\bar{\mathcal{C}}}_T & \dashrightarrow & \sqrt{\underline{\bar{\mathcal{C}}}_T} & \longrightarrow & \underline{\bar{\mathcal{C}}}_T \\ \downarrow \underline{\bar{f}}_T & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \underline{\bar{f}}_T^c \\ \underline{\infty}_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{\text{kth power}} & \underline{\infty}_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{\text{kth power}} & \underline{\infty}_{\mathcal{A}} \end{array}$$

where the two squares on the right are both Cartesian. Thus to construct  $\underline{\bar{f}}_T$ , it suffices to construct the horizontal dashed arrow in the above diagram.

By [6, Proposition 9.1.1], the composition  $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_T \rightarrow \sqrt{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_T} \rightarrow \sqrt{\underline{\bar{\mathcal{C}}}_T}$  factors through a twisted stable map  $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_T^{st} \rightarrow \sqrt{\underline{\bar{\mathcal{C}}}_T}$  over  $T$ . By construction, the morphism  $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_T \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}_T^{st}$  contracts precisely those rational bridges that are contracted by  $\tilde{\text{St}}_T$ , possibly followed by further rigidifications along some special points. More explicitly, the underlying morphism  $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_T \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}_T^{st}$  factors through  $\tilde{\text{St}}_T: \underline{\mathcal{C}}_T \rightarrow \underline{\bar{\mathcal{C}}}_T$ . The composition  $\underline{\bar{\mathcal{C}}}_T \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}_T^{st} \rightarrow \sqrt{\underline{\bar{\mathcal{C}}}_T} \rightarrow \underline{\infty}_{\mathcal{A}}$  defines the unique  $\underline{\bar{f}}_T$  as needed.

We have arrived at the following commutative diagram of solid arrows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & f_T & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & & \\ \mathcal{C}_T^\circ & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\text{St}}_T^\circ} & \overline{\mathcal{C}}_T^\circ & \dashrightarrow & \underline{\infty}_{\mathcal{A}} \\ \downarrow \text{P} & & \downarrow \overline{\text{P}} & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{C}_T & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\text{St}}_T} & \overline{\mathcal{C}}_T & \xrightarrow{\underline{\bar{f}}_T} & \underline{\infty}_{\mathcal{A}} \end{array}$$

By adjunction [73, Definition 1.1.5, 3] again, the morphism  $f_T^\flat: f_T^* \mathcal{M}_{\infty_{\mathcal{A}}} \cong \tilde{\text{St}}_T^* \underline{\bar{f}}_T^* \mathcal{M}_{\infty_{\mathcal{A}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}_T^\circ}$  defines a morphism  $\bar{f}_T^\flat: \underline{\bar{f}}_T^* \mathcal{M}_{\infty_{\mathcal{A}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_T^\circ}$ , hence defines the punctured map  $\bar{f}_T$ . The uniqueness of  $\bar{f}_T$  follows directly by construction.

**Step 5: Compatibility over  $T_0$ .** Finally, we observe that the above constructed punctured map  $\bar{f}_T$  restricts to  $\bar{f}_{T_0}$  over  $T_0$ . Indeed, by prestability, the sheaf of monoids  $\mathcal{M}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_T^\circ} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}_T^\circ}^{gp}$  is locally generated by  $\mathcal{M}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_T^\circ}$  and  $\bar{f}_{T_0}^\flat(\delta)$  for a local generator of  $f_{T_0}^* \mathcal{M}_{\infty_{\mathcal{A}}}$ . Pushing forward along  $\tilde{\text{St}}_T^\circ|_{T_0}$  we observe that  $\mathcal{M}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_T^\circ}|_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_T^\circ} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}_T^\circ}^{gp}$  is locally generated by  $\mathcal{M}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_T^\circ}$  and  $\bar{f}_T^\flat|_{T_0}(\delta)$ . Note that the pull-backs agree in  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}_T^\circ}^{gp}$ :

$$\tilde{\text{St}}_T^*(\bar{f}_T^\flat|_{T_0}(\delta)) = f_T^\flat(\delta)|_{T_0} = \tilde{\text{St}}_T^*(\bar{f}_{T_0}^\flat(\delta)).$$

Since  $\bar{f}_T^\flat|_{T_0}(\delta) = \bar{f}_{T_0}^\flat(\delta)$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}_T^\circ}^{gp}$ , we obtain the desired compatibility  $\bar{f}_T|_{T_0} = \bar{f}_{T_0}$ .  $\square$

7.4.2. *Twisting R-maps along a marking.* Consider  $\mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+1'} := \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+1'}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})$  with the universal punctured map  $f_{\zeta'+1'}: \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'+1'}^{\circ} \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Note that the  $(n+1)$ -st marking  $p_{n+1} \subset \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'+1'}^{\circ}$ , labeled by  $\mathbf{1}'$  has contact order  $-d < 0$ .

**Lemma 7.12.** *There is a canonical dashed arrow lifting  $f_{\zeta'+1'}$*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & (\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A})^{\circ} \\ & \nearrow f & \downarrow t \\ \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'+1'}^{\circ} & \xrightarrow{f_{\zeta'+1'}} & \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \end{array}$$

*Proof.* The section  $p_{n+1} \subset \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'+1'}^{\circ}$  defines a log map  $\mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'+1'}^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ . Combining this with  $f_{\zeta'+1'}$  yields a morphism  $\mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'+1'}^{\circ} \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A}$ . Composing this morphism with the inverse  $(t')^{-1}$  from Lemma 7.4 yields the desired dashed arrow  $t$ .  $\square$

Since the contact order of  $f_{\zeta'+1'}$  along the  $(n+1)$ -st marking is  $-d$ , by the definition of  $t$  in (7.4), the composition

$$(7.20) \quad \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'+1'}^{\circ} \longrightarrow (\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A})^{\circ} \xrightarrow{p} \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$$

with the third arrow the projection, has zero contact order along  $p_{n+1}$ . Thus we have a commutative diagram

$$(7.21) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'+1'}^{\circ} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'+1'}^{\circ} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'+1'}^{\circ} \\ & \nearrow f_{\zeta'+1'} & \downarrow f & & \downarrow & \nearrow f_{\zeta'+1'} & \downarrow f_{\zeta'+1'} \\ \infty_{\mathcal{A}} & \longleftarrow & (\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A})^{\circ} & \xrightarrow{p} & \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A} & \longrightarrow & \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \end{array}$$

where the top left horizontal arrow is the puncturing along the  $(n+1)$ -marking labeled by  $\mathbf{1}'$ , and the top right horizontal arrow rigidifies and removes the  $(n+1)$ -st marking from the set of markings. The middle vertical arrow is the product of  $f_{\zeta'+1'}$  and the morphism  $\mathfrak{C}_{\zeta',1'}^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  defined by the divisorial log structure associated to the  $(n+1)$ -st marking. The morphism  $f_{\zeta'+1'}$  is a punctured map over  $\mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+1'}$  by removing puncturing structure along the  $(n+1)$ -st marking. This defines a canonical morphism

$$F_{\mathbf{1}' \mapsto \mathbf{1}'}: \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+1'} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+1'}.$$

**Proposition 7.13.** *The morphism  $F_{\mathbf{1}' \mapsto \mathbf{1}'}$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* We construct the inverse  $F := F_{\mathbf{1}' \mapsto \mathbf{1}'}$  of  $F_{\mathbf{1}' \mapsto \mathbf{1}'}$  as follows. Let  $f_{\zeta'+1'}: \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'+1'}^{\circ} \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$  be the universal punctured map over  $\mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+1'}$ . Consider the Cartesian diagram in the fine category

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'+1'}^{\circ} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'+1'}^{\circ} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow (f_{\zeta'+1'}, p_{n+1}) \\ (\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A})^{\circ} & \xrightarrow{p} & \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A} \end{array}$$

where the arrow  $p_{n+1}$  is defined as in the proof of Lemma 7.12. Observe that  $t|_{\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times (\mathcal{A} \setminus \infty_{\mathcal{A}})}$  is an isomorphism onto its image. Hence the top arrow is isomorphic away from the  $(n+1)$ -st marking  $p_{n+1}$ . By the definition of Cartesian product in the category of fine log structures, the top arrow is a puncturing along  $p_{n+1}$ . The composition  $\mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'+1'}^{\circ} \rightarrow (\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A})^{\circ} \xrightarrow{t} \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$  defines a punctured map over  $\mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+1'}$  with contact order  $-d$  along  $p_{n+1}$ . This defines the morphism  $F: \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+1'} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+1'}$ . Tracing through the construction of  $F_{\mathbf{1}' \mapsto \mathbf{1}'}$  in the proof of Lemma 7.12 and  $F_{\mathbf{1}' \mapsto \mathbf{1}'}$  above, it is straight forward to check that the two morphisms are inverse to each other.  $\square$

Note that

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'+1'}^{\circ} \setminus p_{n+1} \cong \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'+1'}^{\circ} \setminus p_{n+1} \cong \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'+1'}^{\circ} \setminus p_{n+1}^{\text{rig}}.$$

By (7.4), one checks that the restriction  $t|_{(\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \text{Spec } \mathbf{k})^{\circ}}$  is an isomorphism of  $\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \cong (\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \text{Spec } \mathbf{k})^{\circ}$ . Following the proof of Lemma 7.12 and Proposition 7.13, we observe that the following punctured

maps in (7.21) are only differ along the  $(n+1)$ -st marking:

$$(7.22) \quad \mathfrak{f}_{\zeta'+1'}|_{\mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'+1'}^\circ \setminus p_{n+1}} = \mathfrak{f}_{\zeta'+1'}|_{\mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'+1'}^\circ \setminus p_{n+1}} = \mathfrak{f}_{\zeta'+(1^{\text{rig}})}|_{\mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'+(1^{\text{rig}})}^\circ \setminus p_{n+1}^{\text{rig}}}.$$

Combining (7.18), Proposition 7.11 and Proposition 7.13, we obtain an analog of [14, Lemma 7]:

**Corollary 7.14.** *The composition*

$$(7.23) \quad F_+ : \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+1}^{\text{rig}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+1'} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+1'}$$

is a strict étale morphism.

**7.5. Adding a unit sector to a stable punctured R-map.** Denote by  $f_\zeta : \mathcal{C}_\zeta^\circ \rightarrow \infty$  the universal punctured R-map over  $\mathcal{R}_\zeta := \mathcal{R}_{g,\zeta}(\infty, \beta)$ , and  $S : \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\zeta^\circ$  the saturation morphism. Observe that  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta \cong \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'} \times_{\mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'}} \mathcal{R}_\zeta$ . We write  $\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1} := \mathcal{R}_{g,\zeta+1}(\infty, \beta)$  for simplicity.

**Proposition 7.15.** *There is a canonical isomorphism  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}(\infty, \beta)$ .*

*Proof.* The proof of the proposition is divided into several steps.

**Step 1: Construction of  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}$ .** We will construct a stable punctured R-map

$$(7.24) \quad \tilde{f}_{\zeta+1} : \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta+1}^\circ \rightarrow \infty$$

over  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta$  inducing  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}$  as follows.

Consider the punctured map  $\tilde{f}_{\zeta'} : \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'+1'}^\circ \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$  over  $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'}$  obtained by pulling back the universal punctured map over  $\mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+1'}$  along (7.23). Denote by  $\tilde{f} : \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'+1'}^\circ \rightarrow (\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A})^\circ$  the lifting of  $\tilde{f}_{\zeta'}$  given by Lemma 7.12, and let  $\tilde{f} : \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta+1}^\circ \rightarrow (\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A})^\circ$  be the pull-back of  $\tilde{f}$  via the projection  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta \cong \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'} \times_{\mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'}} \mathcal{R}_\zeta \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'}$ . Denote by  $p_{n+1} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta+1}^\circ$  the  $(n+1)$ -st marking. There is a commutative diagram

$$(7.25) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta+1}^\circ & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta+1}^\circ & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta+1}^{\circ(1^{\text{rig}})} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{\zeta, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta}^\circ \xrightarrow{f_\zeta|_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta}} \infty \\ \omega^{\log} \otimes \mathcal{O}(r \cdot p_{n+1}) \downarrow & & & & & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{BG}_m & \xrightarrow{\cong} & & & & & \mathbf{BC}_\omega^* \end{array}$$

where on the top, the left arrow is the puncturing along the  $(n+1)$ -st marking, the second arrow rigidifies and removes  $p_{n+1}$  from the set of markings, and the third arrow is the pull-back of  $\tilde{\text{St}}^\circ$  in Lemma 7.9. The arrows on the top of (7.25) define a morphism  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta+1}^\circ \rightarrow \infty \times \mathcal{A}$  with the map to  $\mathcal{A}$  defined by the divisorial log structure associated to the  $(n+1)$ -st marking. This arrow fits in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta+1}^\circ & \longrightarrow & \infty \times \mathcal{A} \\ \tilde{f} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A})^\circ & \xrightarrow{p} & \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A}. \end{array}$$

By the Cartesian diagram (7.5), we obtain  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta+1}^\circ \rightarrow (\infty \times \mathcal{A})^\circ$ . Further composing with T in Proposition 7.5, we obtain a punctured map  $\tilde{f}_{\zeta+1} : \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta+1}^\circ \rightarrow \infty$  over  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta$ . The fact that  $\tilde{f}_{\zeta+1}$  is an R-map follows from (7.25) and Corollary 7.6. The  $(n+1)$ -st marking of  $\tilde{f}_{\zeta+1}$  is a unit sector by §6.1.3. This defines the morphism  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{g,\zeta+1}$ .

**Step 2: Removing the  $(n+1)$ -st marking.** We begin to construct the inverse of  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{g,\zeta+1}$ . Denote by  $f_{\zeta+1} : \mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}^\circ \rightarrow \infty$  the universal map over  $\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}$ . We next remove  $\mathbf{1}$  from the set of markings as follows.



Note that we have a commutative diagram of solid arrows

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}^{\circ} \\
 & \xrightarrow{f_{\zeta+1}} & \\
 \infty & \xleftarrow{T} & (\infty \times \mathcal{A})^{\circ} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \infty_{\mathcal{A}} & \xleftarrow{t} & (\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A})^{\circ}
 \end{array}$$

where the square is Cartesian by Proposition 7.5, and the curved arrow on the right is produced by Lemma 7.12. Hence we obtain the dashed arrow  $f$ . Since the composition

$$\mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}^{\circ} \xrightarrow{f} (\infty \times \mathcal{A})^{\circ} \xrightarrow{P} \infty \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \infty$$

has zero contact order along the  $(n+1)$ -st marking, there is a commutative diagram similar to (7.21)

$$(7.26) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}^{\circ} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}^{\circ} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{C}_{\zeta+(1\text{rig})}^{\circ} \\
 & \swarrow f_{\zeta+1} & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow f_{\zeta+(1\text{rig})} \\
 \infty & \xleftarrow{T} & (\infty \times \mathcal{A})^{\circ} & \xrightarrow{P} & \infty \times \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \infty
 \end{array}$$

where on the top, the left horizontal arrow is the puncturing along the  $(n+1)$ -st marking, and the right horizontal arrow is rigidifying and removing this section from the set of markings. Denote by  $p_{n+1} \subset \mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}^{\circ}$  the  $(n+1)$ -st marking, and  $p_{n+1}^{\text{rig}} \subset \mathcal{C}_{\zeta+(1)}^{\circ}$  the resulting non-marked section. Note that the log map  $\mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  given by the middle vertical arrow is induced by the log structure associated to  $p_{n+1}$ .

We check that  $f_{\zeta+(1\text{rig})}$  is an R-map. Indeed, by Corollary 7.6, we have

$$(f_{\zeta+(1\text{rig})}^* \mathcal{L}_{\omega})|_{\mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}}(r \cdot p_{n+1}) \cong f_{\zeta+1}^* \mathcal{L}_{\omega} \cong \omega_{\mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}/\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}}^{\log}.$$

Thus the R-structure  $f_{\zeta+(1\text{rig})}^* \mathcal{L}_{\omega} \cong \omega_{\mathcal{C}_{\zeta+(1\text{rig})}/\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}}^{\log}$  follows from

$$(f_{\zeta+(1\text{rig})}^* \mathcal{L}_{\omega})|_{\mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}} = \omega_{\mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}/\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}}^{\log} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}}(r \cdot p_{n+1}) \cong \omega_{\mathcal{C}_{\zeta+(1\text{rig})}/\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}}^{\log}|_{\mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}}.$$

**Step 3: Stabilization of  $f_{\zeta+(1\text{rig})}$ .** By (7.26), observe that

$$(7.27) \quad \mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}^{\circ} \setminus p_{n+1} \cong \mathcal{C}_{\zeta+(1\text{rig})}^{\circ} \setminus p_{n+1}^{\text{rig}} \quad \text{and} \quad f_{\zeta+1}|_{\mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}^{\circ} \setminus p_{n+1}} = f_{\zeta+(1\text{rig})}|_{\mathcal{C}_{\zeta+(1\text{rig})}^{\circ} \setminus p_{n+1}^{\text{rig}}}.$$

Since  $f_{\zeta+1}$  is stable, the unstable components of  $\mathcal{C}_{\zeta+(1\text{rig})}^{\circ}$  with respect to  $f_{\zeta+(1\text{rig})}$  are rational bridges containing the section  $p_{n+1}^{\text{rig}}$ . Denote by  $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta+(1\text{rig})}$  the underlying coarse curve of  $\mathcal{C}_{\zeta+(1\text{rig})}^{\circ}$ . Let  $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta+(1\text{rig})} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta}$  be the contraction of unstable components of  $f_{\zeta+(1\text{rig})}$ . We then have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta+(1\text{rig})} & & \xrightarrow{\underline{f}_{\zeta+(1\text{rig})}} & & \infty \\
 \downarrow & & \searrow & & \downarrow \\
 \infty_{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta+(1\text{rig})}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \infty_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \infty \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta+(1\text{rig})} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta} & \xrightarrow{\omega^{\log}} & \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^* \\
 & & \searrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & \infty
 \end{array}$$

with both squares Cartesian. The composition  $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta+(1\text{rig})} \rightarrow \infty_{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta+(1\text{rig})}} \rightarrow \infty_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta}}$  contracts precisely the unstable rational bridges. Hence there is a contraction of twisted curves  $\text{St}: \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta+(1\text{rig})} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta}$  such that the above composition factors through a stable map  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta} \rightarrow \infty_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta}}$ . The composition  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta} \rightarrow \infty_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta}} \rightarrow \infty$  is a stable underlying R-map, denoted by  $\overline{f}_{\zeta}$ .

Consider  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta^\circ = (\overline{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta, \text{St}_* \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}_{\zeta+(1^{\text{rig}})}^\circ})$ . By **Step 3** in the proof of Proposition 7.11, the projection  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}$  defines a family of punctured curves together with a log map  $\overline{f}_\zeta: \overline{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta^\circ \rightarrow \infty$  with the underlying  $\overline{f}_\zeta$ . Thus, we obtain  $\overline{f}_\zeta$  as the stabilization of  $f_{\zeta+(1^{\text{rig}})}$ . This defines the morphism  $\mathcal{R}_{g,\zeta+1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta$ . Tracing through the construction, we observe that this provides the inverse to the morphism constructed in **Step 1**, as needed.  $\square$

**7.6. Adding a unit sector with uniform maximal degeneracy.** Recall the notations  $\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\lambda, \mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda, \mathcal{M}_{\zeta'}^\lambda$ , and  $\mathcal{M}_{\zeta'+1'}^\lambda$  for the stacks of punctured maps with uniform maximal degeneracy in  $\mathcal{R}_\zeta, \mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}, \mathcal{M}_{\zeta'}$ , and  $\mathcal{M}_{\zeta'+1'}$  respectively. The universal punctured maps over  $\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\lambda$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\zeta'}^\lambda$  are denoted by  $f_\zeta^\lambda: \mathcal{C}_\zeta^{\circ,\lambda} \rightarrow \infty$  and  $f_{\zeta'}^\lambda: \mathcal{C}_{\zeta'}^{\circ,\lambda} \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$  respectively. They are pull-backs of the corresponding universal maps over  $\mathcal{R}_\zeta$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\zeta'}$ .

The saturation morphisms  $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta^\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\zeta^{\circ,\lambda}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'}^\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\zeta'}^{\circ,\lambda}$  are again denoted by  $S$ . By the functoriality of saturation, the compositions

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta^\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\zeta^{\circ,\lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\zeta^\circ \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'}^\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\zeta'}^{\circ,\lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\zeta'}^\circ$$

factor through the corresponding saturations

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\zeta^\circ \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\zeta'}^\circ.$$

Thus, we may pull back the universal maps over  $\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\zeta'+1'}$  along

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta^\lambda \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta \cong \mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'}^\lambda \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\zeta'+1'},$$

and obtain punctured maps

$$\tilde{f}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda: \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta+1}^{\circ,\lambda} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{f}_{\zeta'+1}^\lambda: \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'+1}^{\circ,\lambda} \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

over  $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta^\lambda$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'}^\lambda$  respectively. By Lemma 7.10, the punctured maps  $\tilde{f}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda$  and  $\tilde{f}_{\zeta'+1}^\lambda$  have uniform maximal degeneracy, hence inducing tautological morphisms

$$(7.28) \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta^\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad F_+^\lambda: \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'}^\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\zeta'+1'}^\lambda$$

fitting in the Cartesian diagram with strict vertical arrows

$$(7.29) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta^\lambda & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'}^\lambda \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow F_+^\lambda \\ \mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{\zeta'+1'}^\lambda. \end{array}$$

**Proposition 7.16.** *Suppose all contact orders in  $\zeta$  are negative. Then*

(1) *the following square is Cartesian with strict and étale vertical arrows*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'}^\lambda & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'} \\ F_+^\lambda \downarrow & & \downarrow F_+ \\ \mathcal{M}_{\zeta'+1'}^\lambda & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{\zeta'+1'} \end{array}$$

(2) *The left vertical arrow in (7.29) is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* By construction we have an isomorphism

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'}^\lambda \cong \mathcal{M}_{\zeta'}^\lambda \times_{\mathcal{M}_{\zeta'}} \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'}$$

where the fiber product is taken in the fs category. Then (1) translates to the fact that the natural morphism of fiber products in the fs category

$$\mathcal{M}_{\zeta'}^\lambda \times_{\mathcal{M}_{\zeta'}} \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\zeta'+1'}^\lambda \times_{\mathcal{M}_{\zeta'+1'}} \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'}$$

is an isomorphism. This follows from the if and only if statement of Lemma 7.10. Note that the above two isomorphisms induce isomorphisms on the level of stacks of R-maps

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta^\lambda \cong \mathcal{R}_\zeta^\lambda \times_{\mathcal{R}_\zeta} \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta \cong \mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda \times_{\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}} \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta.$$

By Proposition 7.15, the right hand side is  $\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda$ . This proves (2).  $\square$

**7.7. The fundamental class axiom of the canonical theory.** By Proposition 7.15, we have arrived at the following commutative diagram with both squares Cartesian

$$(7.30) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1} & \xrightarrow{S} & \mathcal{C}_{\zeta}^{\circ} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\zeta}} & \mathcal{R}_{\zeta} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'} & \xrightarrow{S} & \mathcal{C}_{\zeta'}^{\circ} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\zeta'}} & \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'} \\ \downarrow F_+ & & & & \\ \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+1'} & & & & \end{array}$$

**Proposition 7.17.**  $S_*[\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}]^{\text{vir}} = \pi_{\zeta}^*[\mathcal{R}_{\zeta}]^{\text{vir}}$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{\zeta}/\mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'}}$  be the canonical perfect obstruction theory of  $\mathcal{R}_{\zeta} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'}$  as in (2.28). It pulls back to a perfect obstruction theory of  $\mathcal{C}_{\zeta}^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\zeta'}^{\circ}$ , inducing a virtual cycle  $[\mathcal{C}_{\zeta}^{\circ}]^{\text{vir}}$ . Then we have  $\pi_{\zeta}^*[\mathcal{R}_{\zeta}]^{\text{vir}} = [\mathcal{C}_{\zeta}^{\circ}]^{\text{vir}}$ . It remains to verify that  $S_*[\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}]^{\text{vir}} = [\mathcal{C}_{\zeta}^{\circ}]^{\text{vir}}$ .

On the other hand, since  $F_+$  is strict and étale, see (7.23), the canonical perfect obstruction theory  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}/\mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+1}'}$  of  $\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+1}'$  defines a perfect obstruction theory of  $\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'}$ , inducing the same virtual cycle  $[\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}]^{\text{vir}}$ . In what follows, we will show

$$(7.31) \quad \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}/\mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+1}'} \cong \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{\zeta}/\mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'}}|_{\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}}.$$

Hence  $S_*[\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}]^{\text{vir}} = [\mathcal{C}_{\zeta}^{\circ}]^{\text{vir}}$  follows from the virtual push-forward of [38, 70].

Note that the isomorphism  $\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1} \cong \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta}$  in Proposition 7.15 identifies the universal stable punctured R-map  $f_{\zeta+1}: \mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}^{\circ} \rightarrow \infty$  over  $\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}$  with the stable punctured R-map  $\tilde{f}_{\zeta+1}: \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta+1}^{\circ} \rightarrow \infty$  over  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta}$ . We thus obtain the following commutative diagram

$$(7.32) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}^{\circ} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{C}_{\zeta, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta}}^{\circ} \\ & \swarrow f_{\zeta+1} & \downarrow f & & \downarrow f_{\zeta, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta}} \\ \infty & \xleftarrow{\quad T \quad} & (\infty \times \mathcal{A})^{\circ} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \infty \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^* & \xleftarrow{\quad} & (\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A})^{\circ} \times \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^* & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^* \end{array}$$

where the two bottom squares are Cartesian with strict vertical arrows by Corollary 7.6, and the middle and the top of the diagram is given by (7.26). Thus, we have

$$(7.33) \quad f_{\zeta+1}^* \Omega_{\infty/\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*}^{\vee} \cong f^* \Omega_{(\infty \times \mathcal{A})^{\circ}/(\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A})^{\circ} \times \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*}^{\vee} \cong \left( f_{\zeta, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta}}^* \Omega_{\infty/\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*}^{\vee} \right) |_{\mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}^{\circ}}.$$

Pushing forward (7.33) and applying the base change, we obtain

$$\pi_{\zeta+1,*} f_{\zeta+1}^* \Omega_{\infty/\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*}^{\vee} \cong \left( \pi_{\zeta,*} f_{\zeta, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta}}^* \Omega_{\infty/\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*}^{\vee} \right) |_{\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}}$$

which is (7.31) by (2.28). This completes the proof.  $\square$

**7.8. The fundamental class axiom of the reduced theory.** We now assume the assumptions needed for the reduced theory in Theorem 3.15. In particular, all contact orders in  $\zeta$  are negative. By (7.29) and Proposition 7.16, Diagram (7.30) induces the following commutative diagram with both squares Cartesian

$$(7.34) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^{\lambda} & \xrightarrow{S} & \mathcal{C}_{\zeta}^{\circ, \lambda} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\zeta}} & \mathcal{R}_{\zeta}^{\lambda} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'}^{\lambda} & \xrightarrow{S} & \mathcal{C}_{\zeta'}^{\circ, \lambda} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\zeta'}} & \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'}^{\lambda} \\ \downarrow F_+^{\lambda} & & & & \\ \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+1'}^{\lambda}, & & & & \end{array}$$

where we follow the notations in §7.6.

**Proposition 7.18.**  $S_*[\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda]^{\text{red}} = \pi_\zeta^*[\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\lambda]^{\text{red}}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\lambda/\mathfrak{m}_{\zeta'}^\lambda}^{\text{red}}$  (resp.  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda/\mathfrak{m}_{\zeta'+1}^\lambda}^{\text{red}}$ ) be the reduced perfect obstruction theory of  $\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\lambda \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{\zeta'}^\lambda$  (resp.  $\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{\zeta'+1}^\lambda$ ) as in Theorem 3.15. It pulls back to a perfect obstruction theory of  $\mathcal{C}_\zeta^{\circ,\lambda} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'}^{\circ,\lambda}$ , inducing a virtual cycle  $[\mathcal{C}_\zeta^{\circ,\lambda}]^{\text{red}}$  such that  $\pi_\zeta^*[\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\lambda]^{\text{red}} = [\mathcal{C}_\zeta^{\circ,\lambda}]^{\text{red}}$ . It remains to verify that  $S_*[\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda]^{\text{red}} = [\mathcal{C}_\zeta^{\circ,\lambda}]^{\text{red}}$ .

Since  $F_+^\lambda$  is strict and étale, similar to Proposition 7.17, it suffices to show

$$(7.35) \quad \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda/\mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'}^{\circ,\lambda}}^{\text{red}} := \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda/\mathfrak{m}_{\zeta'+1}^\lambda}^{\text{red}} \cong \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\lambda/\mathfrak{m}_{\zeta'}^\lambda}^{\text{red}}|_{\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda}.$$

By (3.27), to prove (7.35) it suffices to verify

$$(7.36) \quad \left( \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\lambda/\mathfrak{m}_{\zeta'}^\lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\lambda/\mathfrak{m}_{\zeta'}^\lambda} \right)|_{\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda} \cong \left( \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda/\mathfrak{m}_{\zeta'+1}^\lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda/\mathfrak{m}_{\zeta'+1}^\lambda} \right).$$

By (7.31), we have an isomorphism of the canonical perfect obstruction theories

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\lambda/\mathfrak{m}_{\zeta'}^\lambda}|_{\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda} \cong \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda/\mathfrak{m}_{\zeta'+1}^\lambda}.$$

By (3.21), the boundary complexes  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\lambda/\mathfrak{m}_{\zeta'}^\lambda}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda/\mathfrak{m}_{\zeta'+1}^\lambda}$  are defined by the corresponding maximal degeneracies, which are coincide by Lemma 7.10. Hence we have

$$\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\lambda/\mathfrak{m}_{\zeta'}^\lambda}|_{\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda} \cong \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda/\mathfrak{m}_{\zeta'+1}^\lambda}.$$

It remains to identify morphisms on both sides of (7.36). Consider the two R-maps as in (3.17), over  $\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda$  and  $\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\lambda$  respectively:

$$f_{\zeta+1,e}^\lambda: \mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}^{\circ,\lambda} \rightarrow \infty_{e,o} \quad \text{and} \quad f_{\zeta,e}^\lambda: \mathcal{C}_\zeta^{\circ,\lambda} \rightarrow \infty_{e,o}.$$

where  $e$  denotes the maximal degeneracy of both punctured maps by Lemma 7.10. Denote by  $f_{\zeta,e,\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta}^\lambda: \mathcal{C}_{\zeta,\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta}^{\circ,\lambda} \rightarrow \infty_{e,o}$  the pull-back of  $f_{\zeta,e}^\lambda$  over  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta^\lambda \cong \mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda$ . Consider the following diagram with horizontal arrows given by (3.18):

$$(7.37) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} f_{\zeta+1,e}^{\lambda,*} \Omega_{\infty/\mathbf{BC}_\omega}^\vee & \xrightarrow{f_{\zeta+1,e}^{\lambda,*} d\tilde{W}\bullet} & \omega_{\mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}^{\circ,\lambda}/\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda}^{\log} \otimes f_{\zeta+1,e}^{\lambda,*} \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max,\bullet}) \\ \parallel & & \uparrow \\ (f_{\zeta,e}^{\lambda,*} \Omega_{\infty/\mathbf{BC}_\omega}^\vee)|_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta+1}^{\circ,\lambda}} & \xrightarrow{(f_{\zeta,e}^{\lambda,*} d\tilde{W}\bullet)|_{\mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}^{\circ,\lambda}}} & \left( \omega_{\mathcal{C}_{\zeta,\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta}^{\circ,\lambda}/\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta^\lambda}^{\log} \otimes f_{\zeta,e}^{\lambda,*} \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max,\bullet}) \right)|_{\mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}^{\circ,\lambda}} \end{array}$$

where the left vertical “=” is (7.33), and the right vertical arrow is given by tensoring

$$f_{\zeta+1,e}^{\lambda,*} \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max,\bullet}) \cong (f_{\zeta,e}^{\lambda,*} \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max,\bullet}))|_{\mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}^{\circ,\lambda}}$$

from Lemma 7.10 with the inclusion

$$(7.38) \quad \omega_{\mathcal{C}_{\zeta,\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta}^{\circ,\lambda}/\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta^\lambda}|_{\mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}^{\circ,\lambda}} \cong \omega_{\mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}^{\circ,\lambda}/\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda}^{\log}(-rp_{n+1}) \hookrightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}^{\circ,\lambda}/\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda}^{\log}$$

Since both sides of (7.36) is given by (3.20), it suffices to show the commutativity of (7.37).

Indeed, the top arrow and the composition of the other three sides in (7.37) yield two morphisms in

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}^{\circ,\lambda}} \left( f_{\zeta+1,e}^{\lambda,*} \Omega_{\infty/\mathbf{BC}_\omega}^\vee, \omega_{\mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}^{\circ,\lambda}/\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda}^{\log} \otimes f_{\zeta+1,e}^{\lambda,*} \mathcal{O}(\tilde{r}\Delta_{\max,\bullet}) \right).$$

It amounts to show that these two morphisms are identical. By (7.27) and (7.32), away from the  $(n+1)$ -st marking, we have a factorization on the left that induces a factorization on the right by

the construction of (3.17):

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}^{\circ,\lambda} \setminus p_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{f_{\zeta+1}^\lambda} & \infty \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow f_\zeta^\lambda & \\
 \mathcal{C}_{\zeta, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta}^{\circ,\lambda} & & 
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}^{\circ,\lambda} \setminus p_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{f_{\zeta+1,e}^\lambda} & \infty_{e,o} \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow f_{\zeta,e}^\lambda & \\
 \mathcal{C}_{\zeta, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta}^{\circ,\lambda} & & 
 \end{array}$$

Thus these two morphisms are identical over the open dense  $\mathcal{C}_{\zeta, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\zeta}^{\circ,\lambda} \setminus p_{n+1}$ , hence are identical. Indeed, note that along  $p_{n+1}$  the morphism  $f_{\zeta+1,e}^{\lambda,*} \text{d}\tilde{W}_\bullet$  vanishes along all markings including  $p_{n+1}$ , by Lemma 3.13. Furthermore, the inclusion (7.38), hence the composition of the left, lower and right arrows of (7.37) also vanishes along  $p_{n+1}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**7.9. Proof of the unit axiom.** We next prove Theorem 7.3 (3) for reduced virtual cycles. The case of canonical virtual cycles is similar and is left to the reader. We will assume  $2g - 2 + n > 0$ .

First, consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'}^\lambda & & \\
 \downarrow \text{S} & & \downarrow \text{S} & & \\
 \mathcal{C}_\zeta^{\circ,\lambda} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{\zeta'}^{\circ,\lambda} & & \\
 \downarrow \text{stab} & & \downarrow \text{stab} & & \\
 \mathcal{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{Z} & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1} \\
 \downarrow \pi_{\mathcal{Z}} & \dashrightarrow & \downarrow \text{p}_{\mathcal{Z}} & \dashrightarrow & \downarrow \pi \\
 \mathcal{R}_\zeta^\lambda & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{\zeta'}^\lambda & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \\
 & \dashrightarrow & \downarrow \text{p}_\zeta & \dashrightarrow & 
 \end{array}$$

where the two bottom squares are Cartesian squares both on the logarithmic and underlying level, and the two dashed arrows are the obvious compositions. Thus the two arrows labeled by stab are obtained by stabilization to the usual stable curves. Furthermore, the four horizontal solid arrows on the left are all strict.

Denote by  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{C}_\zeta^{\circ,\lambda}/\mathcal{C}_{\zeta'}^{\circ,\lambda}}^{\text{red}}$  and  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Z}/\mathfrak{Z}}^{\text{red}}$  the perfect obstruction theories obtained by pulling back  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\lambda/\mathcal{M}_{\zeta'}^\lambda}^{\text{red}}$ , leading to the corresponding virtual cycles  $[\mathcal{C}_\zeta^{\circ,\lambda}]^{\text{red}}$  and  $[\mathcal{Z}]^{\text{red}}$ . By (7.35), they further pull back to the perfect obstruction theory  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda/\mathcal{C}_{\zeta'}^{\circ,\lambda}}^{\text{red}}$  defining  $[\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda]^{\text{red}}$ . Since the two arrows

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'}^\lambda \xrightarrow{\text{S}} \mathcal{C}_{\zeta'}^{\circ,\lambda} \xrightarrow{\text{stab}} \mathfrak{Z}$$

in the above diagram are proper and birational, the virtual push-forward of [52, Theorem 1.1] and [38, Theorem 5.0.1] yields

$$\text{stab}_* \text{S}_* [\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda]^{\text{red}} = [\mathcal{Z}]^{\text{red}} = \pi_{\mathcal{Z}}^* [\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\lambda]^{\text{red}}.$$

Finally, we compute

$$\text{p}_{\zeta+1,*} [\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda]^{\text{red}} = \text{p}_{\mathcal{Z},*} \text{stab}_* \text{S}_* [\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda]^{\text{red}} = \text{p}_{\mathcal{Z},*} \pi_{\mathcal{Z}}^* [\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\lambda]^{\text{red}} = \pi^* \text{p}_{\zeta,*} [\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\lambda]^{\text{red}},$$

as stated in Theorem 7.3 (3).

## 8. AXIOMS WITH $\psi_{\min}$ -CLASSES

In this section, we prove analogs of the axioms of punctured R-maps from Section 7 that also incorporate the  $\psi_{\min}$ -classes from Section 4.4. Due to its relevance to the applications and to simplify notations, we will restrict ourselves to the more subtle reduced theory, and will leave the case of canonical theory to a future work.

### 8.1. The statement.

8.1.1. *Setup of notations.* Using the notation and assumptions from §7.1, recall that  $\mathcal{R}_\bullet^\diamond$  and  $\mathcal{R}_\bullet^\lambda$  are the stacks of stable log R-maps in  $\mathcal{R}_\bullet$  of uniform extremal degeneracy and uniform maximal degeneracy, respectively, where  $\bullet$  denotes either  $\varsigma$  or  $\varsigma + 1$ . We recall and introduce some classes involved in the equations in this section below.

Consider the minimal degeneracy  $e_{\min, \bullet} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{R}_\bullet^\diamond, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{R}_\bullet^\diamond})$  and its associated line bundle  $\mathcal{O}(e_{\min, \bullet})$ , leading to the tautological  $\psi_{\min}$ -classes as in (4.31):

$$\psi_{\min, \bullet} := c_1(\mathcal{O}(-e_{\min, \bullet})).$$

On the other hand, consider the universal  $\mu_\rho$ -gerbe

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{I}_{\mu_\rho} \infty_{\mathbf{k}} & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{\mathcal{I}_\mu}} & \infty_{\mathbf{k}} \\ \pi_{\mathcal{I}_\mu} \downarrow & & \\ \overline{\mathcal{I}}_{\mu_\rho} \infty_{\mathbf{k}} & & \end{array}$$

We define the *tautological DF-class*

$$(8.1) \quad \psi_{\text{DF}, \rho} := \rho \cdot \pi_{\mathcal{I}_\mu, *} \text{ev}_{\mathcal{I}_\mu}^* c_1(\mathcal{O}(-\infty)),$$

where  $\mathcal{O}(\infty)$  is the line bundle of the target log structure as in (1.4). By [5, §2.1],  $\psi_{\text{DF}, \rho}$  is a bivariant Chow class. For convenience, we write

$$\psi_{\text{DF}} := \sum_{\rho} \psi_{\text{DF}, \rho}$$

as a bivariant Chow class over  $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_\mu \infty := \sqcup_{\rho} \overline{\mathcal{I}}_{\mu_\rho} \infty_{\mathbf{k}}$ .

Note that the Chow (resp. cohomology) ring  $CH^*(\infty_{\mathbf{k}}) \cong CH^*(\infty_{\mathcal{X}})$  (resp.  $H^*(\infty_{\mathbf{k}}) \cong H^*(\infty_{\mathcal{X}})$ ) can be identified via pull-back along the étale morphism  $\infty_{\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{X}}$ . Thus, for a class  $\alpha \in CH^*(\infty_{\mathcal{X}})$  (resp.  $\alpha \in H^*(\infty_{\mathcal{X}})$ ) we define the bivariant Chow (resp. cohomology) classes

$$(8.2) \quad \alpha_{\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{\mu_\rho}} := \rho \cdot \pi_{\mathcal{I}_\mu, *} \text{ev}_{\mathcal{I}_\mu}^* \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{\overline{\mathcal{I}}_\mu} := \sum_{\rho} \alpha_{\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{\mu_\rho}}.$$

For the  $j$ th marking, consider the underlying evaluation morphism

$$\text{ev}_j: \mathcal{R}_\bullet \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{I}}_\mu \infty_{\mathbf{k}}.$$

Abusing notation, we will use the same notation from the evaluation maps from  $\mathcal{R}_\bullet^\lambda$  and  $\mathcal{R}_\bullet^\diamond$ . By §6.1.3, as a unit sector, the  $(n+1)$ -st evaluation  $\text{ev}_{n+1}$  factors through the component  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{I}}_\mu \infty_{\mathbf{k}}$  parameterizing  $\mu_r$ -gerbes. Furthermore, recall the tautological morphisms

$$F^\lambda: \mathcal{R}_\bullet^\diamond \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_\bullet^\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad F_1: \mathcal{R}_{\varsigma+1}^\lambda \cong \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_\varsigma^\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_\varsigma^\lambda.$$

from (4.10) and Proposition 7.1, and the the virtual push-forward from Proposition 4.5

$$F_*^\lambda [\mathcal{R}_\bullet^\diamond]^{\text{red}} = [\mathcal{R}_\bullet^\lambda]^{\text{red}}.$$

8.1.2. *The string and divisor type equations with  $\psi_{\min}$ .*

**Theorem 8.1.** *For any integer  $k \geq 0$  and  $D \in CH^1(\infty_{\mathcal{X}})$  we have*

$$(8.3) \quad F_{1,*} \circ F_*^\lambda \left( \psi_{\min, \varsigma+1}^k \cap [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma+1}^\diamond]^{\text{red}} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|c_j|}{r_j} \sum_{k'=0}^{k-1} (\text{ev}_j^* \psi_{\text{DF}}^{k'}) \cap F_*^\lambda \left( \psi_{\min, \varsigma}^{k-1-k'} \cap [\mathcal{R}_\varsigma^\diamond]^{\text{red}} \right),$$

$$(8.4) \quad F_{1,*} \circ F_*^\lambda \left( \psi_{\min, \varsigma+1}^k \cdot \text{ev}_{n+1}^*(D) \cap [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma+1}^\diamond]^{\text{red}} \right) = \left( \int_{\beta} D \right) \cdot F_*^\lambda \left( \psi_{\min, \varsigma}^k \cap [\mathcal{R}_\varsigma^\diamond]^{\text{red}} \right) \\ + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|c_j|}{r_j} \sum_{k'=0}^{k-1} \text{ev}_j^* (D_{\overline{\mathcal{I}}_\mu} \cdot \psi_{\text{DF}}^{k'}) \cap F_*^\lambda \left( \psi_{\min, \varsigma}^{k-1-k'} \cap [\mathcal{R}_\varsigma^\diamond]^{\text{red}} \right).$$

Note that when  $k = 0$ , the  $k'$ -sum in each of the above equations is empty, hence zero.

Comparing to Gromov–Witten theory, Equation (8.3) may be viewed as an analog of the string equation and (8.4) may be viewed as an analog of the divisor equation. These two equations may be conveniently organized as formal power series in  $t^{-1}$  for a formal parameter  $t$  as follows, which will be useful in the localization calculation of [29]:

**Corollary 8.2.** *Notations as in Theorem 8.1, we have*

$$(8.5) \quad F_{1,*} \circ F_*^\lambda \left( \frac{[\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma+1}^\diamond]^\text{red}}{t - \psi_{\min,\varsigma+1}} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|c_j|}{r_j} F_*^\lambda \left( \frac{[\mathcal{R}_\varsigma^\diamond]^\text{red}}{(t - \text{ev}_j^* \psi_{\text{DF}})(t - \psi_{\min,\varsigma})} \right),$$

$$(8.6) \quad F_{1,*} \circ F_*^\lambda \left( \text{ev}_{n+1}^*(D) \cap \frac{[\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma+1}^\diamond]^\text{red}}{t - \psi_{\min,\varsigma+1}} \right) = \left( \int_\beta D \right) F_*^\lambda \left( \frac{[\mathcal{R}_\varsigma^\diamond]^\text{red}}{t - \psi_{\min,\varsigma}} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|c_j|}{r_j} F_*^\lambda \left( \text{ev}_j^*(D_{\bar{\mathcal{I}}_\mu}) \cap \frac{[\mathcal{R}_\varsigma^\diamond]^\text{red}}{(t - \text{ev}_j^* \psi_{\text{DF}})(t - \psi_{\min,\varsigma})} \right),$$

*Proof.* Observe that

$$(8.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(t - \psi_{\min,\varsigma})(t - \text{ev}_j^* \psi_{\text{DF}})} = \frac{1}{t^2} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 - \text{ev}_j^* \psi_{\text{DF}}/t)(1 - \psi_{\min,\varsigma}/t)} \\ & = \frac{1}{t^2} \cdot \left( \sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} (\text{ev}_j^* \psi_{\text{DF}}/t)^{k_1} \right) \left( \sum_{k_2=0}^{\infty} (\psi_{\min,\varsigma}/t)^{k_2} \right) \\ & = \frac{1}{t} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^k} \sum_{k'=0}^{k-1} \text{ev}_j^* \psi_{\text{DF}}^{k'} \cdot \psi_{\min,\varsigma}^{k-1-k'}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we compute

$$\frac{[\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma+1}^\diamond]^\text{red}}{t - \psi_{\min,\varsigma+1}} = \frac{1}{t} \cdot \frac{[\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma+1}^\diamond]^\text{red}}{1 - \psi_{\min,\varsigma+1}/t} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^{k+1}} \psi_{\min,\varsigma+1}^k \cap [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma+1}^\diamond]^\text{red}.$$

Thus (8.5) follows from (8.3) and (8.7) by comparing the coefficients of  $\frac{1}{t^{k+1}}$ .

Equation (8.6) is proved similarly using (8.4), (8.7) and the following

$$\text{ev}_{n+1}^*(D) \cap \frac{[\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma+1}^\diamond]^\text{red}}{t - \psi_{\min,\varsigma+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^{k+1}} \psi_{\min,\varsigma+1}^k \cdot \text{ev}_{n+1}^*(D) \cap [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma+1}^\diamond]^\text{red}.$$

□

We finally observe a particularly simple combination of the string and divisor type equations, which looks surprisingly similar to the dilaton equation in Gromov–Witten theory:

**Corollary 8.3.** *We have the identity*

$$F_{1,*} \circ F_*^\lambda \left( \frac{(t - \text{ev}_{n+1}^* \psi_{\text{DF}}) \cap [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma+1}^\diamond]^\text{red}}{t - \psi_{\min,\varsigma+1}} \right) = \frac{d}{r} (2g - 2 + n) F_*^\lambda \left( \frac{[\mathcal{R}_\varsigma^\diamond]^\text{red}}{t - \psi_{\min,\varsigma}} \right)$$

*Proof.* We compute using (8.6):

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{1,*} \circ F_*^\lambda \left( \frac{\text{ev}_{n+1}^* \psi_{\text{DF}} \cap [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma+1}^\diamond]^\text{red}}{t - \psi_{\min,\varsigma+1}} \right) \\ & = F_{1,*} \circ F_*^\lambda \left( \frac{\text{ev}_{n+1}^* \psi_{\text{DF}}|_{\infty\mathcal{X}} \cap [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma+1}^\diamond]^\text{red}}{t - \psi_{\min,\varsigma+1}} \right) \\ & = \left( \int_\beta \psi_{\text{DF}}|_{\infty\mathcal{X}} \right) F_*^\lambda \left( \frac{[\mathcal{R}_\varsigma^\diamond]^\text{red}}{t - \psi_{\min,\varsigma}} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|c_j|}{r_j} F_*^\lambda \left( \frac{\text{ev}_j^*(\psi_{\text{DF}}|_{\infty\mathcal{X}})_{\bar{\mathcal{I}}_\mu} \cap [\mathcal{R}_\varsigma^\diamond]^\text{red}}{(t - \text{ev}_j^* \psi_{\text{DF}})(t - \psi_{\min,\varsigma})} \right) \\ & = \left( \int_\beta \psi_{\text{DF}}|_{\infty\mathcal{X}} \right) F_*^\lambda \left( \frac{[\mathcal{R}_\varsigma^\diamond]^\text{red}}{t - \psi_{\min,\varsigma}} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|c_j|}{r_j} F_*^\lambda \left( \frac{\text{ev}_j^* \psi_{\text{DF}} \cap [\mathcal{R}_\varsigma^\diamond]^\text{red}}{(t - \text{ev}_j^* \psi_{\text{DF}})(t - \psi_{\min,\varsigma})} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& F_{1,*} \circ F_*^\lambda \left( \frac{(t - \text{ev}_{n+1}^* \psi_{\text{DF}}) \cap [\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\diamond]^\text{red}}{t - \psi_{\min, \zeta+1}} \right) \\
&= \left( - \int_\beta \psi_{\text{DF}}|_{\infty \mathcal{X}} \right) F_*^\lambda \left( \frac{[\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\diamond]^\text{red}}{t - \psi_{\min, \zeta}} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|c_j|}{r_j} F_*^\lambda \left( \frac{(t - \text{ev}_j^* \psi_{\text{DF}}) \cap [\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\diamond]^\text{red}}{(t - \text{ev}_j^* \psi_{\text{DF}})(t - \psi_{\min, \zeta})} \right) \\
&= \left( - \int_\beta \psi_{\text{DF}}|_{\infty \mathcal{X}} + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|c_j|}{r_j} \right) F_*^\lambda \left( \frac{[\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\diamond]^\text{red}}{t - \psi_{\min, \zeta}} \right) \\
&= \frac{d}{r} (2g - 2 + n) F_*^\lambda \left( \frac{[\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\diamond]^\text{red}}{t - \psi_{\min, \zeta}} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where we in addition apply (8.5) in the first step, and Lemma 2.8 and our target set-up in the last step.  $\square$

8.1.3. *The unit axiom with  $\psi_{\min}$ .* When  $2g - 2 + n > 0$ , the two horizontal arrows in (7.2) induce

$$p_{\zeta+1}: \mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\diamond \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad p_\zeta: \mathcal{R}_\zeta^\diamond \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}.$$

Let  $\delta_{j, n+1} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n+1}$  be the boundary divisor where the  $j$ th and  $(n+1)$ -st marking collide.

**Theorem 8.4.** *For any integer  $k \geq 0$  and  $D \in CH^1(\infty \mathcal{X})$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
(8.8) \quad p_{\zeta+1,*} \left( \psi_{\min, \zeta+1}^k \cap [\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\diamond]^\text{red} \right) &= \pi^* p_{\zeta,*} \left( \psi_{\min, \zeta}^k \cap [\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\diamond]^\text{red} \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|c_j|}{r_j} \delta_{j, n+1} \cap \pi^* p_{\zeta,*} \left( \sum_{k'=0}^{k-1} \text{ev}_j^* (\psi_{\text{DF}}^{k'}) \cdot \psi_{\min, \zeta}^{k-1-k'} \cap [\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\diamond]^\text{red} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

When  $k = 0$ , the  $k'$ -sum in each of the above equations is empty, hence zero.

Theorem 7.3 can be viewed as the special case of Theorem 8.1 and 8.4 for  $k = 0$ . The rest of this section is devoted to proving Theorem 8.1 and 8.4.

8.2. **The auxiliary stack  $\mathcal{R}_{\zeta, \zeta+1}^\diamond$ .** Compared to §7, a main difficulty in the proof of Theorem 8.1 is that there is no morphism from  $\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\diamond$  to  $\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\diamond$  as  $F_1$  does not preserve the uniform minimal degeneracy. To overcome this issue, we introduce an auxiliary stack  $\mathcal{R}_{\zeta, \zeta+1}^\diamond$  given by the following diagram of Cartesian squares in the fs category

$$(8.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathcal{R}_{\zeta, \zeta+1}^\diamond & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta', \zeta'+1'}^\diamond & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta', \zeta'+1'}^{\lambda, \diamond} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{\zeta'+1'}^\diamond \\
\downarrow F_1^\diamond & & \tilde{\Psi} \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'}^\diamond & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'}^\lambda & \xrightarrow{F_+^\lambda} & \mathcal{M}_{\zeta'+1'}^\lambda \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\diamond & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{\zeta'}^\diamond & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{\zeta'}^\lambda & & 
\end{array}$$

where  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'}^\diamond$  is the saturation of the universal punctured curve  $\mathcal{C}_{\zeta'}^{\circ, \diamond} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\zeta'}^\diamond$ . Thus, viewed as a subcategory,  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta', \zeta'+1'}^\diamond$  parameterizes punctured maps in  $\mathcal{M}_{\zeta'+1'}^\diamond$  whose images under  $F_1$  are in  $\mathcal{M}_{\zeta'}^\diamond$ .

On the other hand, we have a factorization

$$(8.10) \quad \mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\diamond \xrightarrow{\quad} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta', \zeta'+1'}^{\lambda, \diamond} \xrightarrow{\quad} \mathcal{M}_{\zeta'+1'}^\diamond$$

induced by the top right Cartesian square of (8.9) and

$$\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\diamond \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda \cong \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'}^\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'}^\lambda.$$

By Proposition 7.16 and (8.9), the right horizontal arrow in (8.10) is strict and étale, hence all three arrows in (8.10) are strict. Furthermore, the reduced perfect obstruction theory of  $\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\diamond$  defines a



reduced perfect obstruction theory of the left arrow in (8.10), inducing the same reduced virtual cycle  $[\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\diamond]^\text{red}$ .

Combining (8.9) and (8.10), we obtain a commutative diagram

$$(8.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{R}_{\zeta,\zeta+1}^\diamond & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\diamond & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda & \xrightarrow{F_1} & \mathcal{R}_\zeta^\lambda \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta',\zeta'+1}'^\diamond & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta',\zeta'+1}'^{\lambda,\diamond} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta'}^\lambda & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'}^\lambda \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+1}'^\diamond & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+1}'^\lambda & & \end{array}$$

where all squares are Cartesian and all vertical arrows are strict. To summarize, we obtain

$$(8.12) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{R}_\zeta^\diamond & \xleftarrow{F_1^\diamond} & \mathcal{R}_{\zeta,\zeta+1}^\diamond & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\diamond \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'}^\diamond & \xleftarrow{F_1'^\diamond} & \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta',\zeta'+1}'^\diamond & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Phi}} & \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta',\zeta'+1}'^{\lambda,\diamond} \end{array}$$

with both squares Cartesian and all vertical arrows strict. Thus viewed as a subcategory,  $\mathcal{R}_{\zeta,\zeta+1}^\diamond$  parameterizes punctured R-maps in  $\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\diamond$ , whose images under  $F_1^\diamond$  are in  $\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\diamond$ . We obtain a commutative diagram with tautological arrows

$$(8.13) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{R}_{\zeta,\zeta+1}^\diamond & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\diamond & \xrightarrow{F^\lambda} & \mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\lambda \\ F_1^\diamond \downarrow & & & & \downarrow F_1 \\ \mathcal{R}_\zeta^\diamond & \xrightarrow{F^\lambda} & & & \mathcal{R}_\zeta^\lambda \end{array}$$

By (7.35) and (8.11), both the left and right vertical arrows of (8.12) have the reduced perfect obstruction theories pulled back from that of  $\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\lambda \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'}^\lambda$ . Hence they further pull back to the same reduced perfect obstruction theory of the middle vertical arrow, and define the reduced virtual cycle  $[\mathcal{R}_{\zeta,\zeta+1}^\diamond]^\text{red}$ . By (8.9), the arrow  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta',\zeta'+1}'^\diamond \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta',\zeta'+1}'^{\lambda,\diamond}$  is proper and birational, hence the virtual push-forward

$$(8.14) \quad \Phi_*[\mathcal{R}_{\zeta,\zeta+1}^\diamond]^\text{red} = [\mathcal{R}_{\zeta+1}^\diamond]^\text{red}.$$

Consider the two universal punctured maps over  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\zeta',\zeta'+1}'^\diamond$

$$f_{\zeta'}^a: \mathcal{C}_{\zeta'}^{\circ,a} \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}} \quad \text{and} \quad f_{\zeta'+1}'^a: \mathcal{C}_{\zeta'+1}'^{\circ,a} \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{A}}$$

obtained by pulling back from  $\mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'}^\diamond$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+1}'^\diamond$  via the bottom arrows in (8.12). Similarly, pulling back via  $\Phi$  and  $F_1^\diamond$ , we obtain two punctured R-maps over  $\mathcal{R}_{\zeta,\zeta+1}^\diamond$  respectively

$$f_{\zeta+1}^a: \mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}^{\circ,a} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad f_\zeta^a: \mathcal{C}_\zeta^{\circ,a} \rightarrow \infty.$$

Denote by  $f_{\zeta+1}^a: \mathcal{C}_{\zeta+1}^{\circ,a} \rightarrow \infty$  and  $f_\zeta^a: \mathcal{C}_\zeta^{\circ,a} \rightarrow \infty$  the universal punctured R-maps over  $\mathcal{R}_{\zeta,\zeta+1}^\diamond$  obtained by pulling back via  $\Phi$  and  $F_1^\diamond$  respectively. The minimal degeneracies

$$e_{\min,\zeta+1} \in \Gamma\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+1}', \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'+1}'}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad e_{\min,\zeta} \in \Gamma\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'}, \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'}}\right)$$

pull back to the minimal degeneracies of  $f_{\zeta'+1}'^a$  and  $f_{\zeta'}^a$

$$e_{\min,\zeta+1}^a := \overleftarrow{\Phi}^\flat(e_{\min,\zeta+1}) \quad \text{and} \quad e_{\min,\zeta}^a := \overleftarrow{F_1}^{\diamond,\flat}(e_{\min,\zeta})$$

respectively, with the corresponding line bundles

$$\mathcal{O}(e_{\min,\zeta+1}^a) = \tilde{\Phi}^*\mathcal{O}(e_{\min,\zeta+1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{O}(e_{\min,\zeta}^a) = \tilde{F_1}^{\diamond,*}\mathcal{O}(e_{\min,\zeta})$$

As usual, by abuse of notations, we will use

$$e_{\min, \varsigma+1}^a, \quad e_{\min, \varsigma}^a, \quad \mathcal{O}(e_{\min, \varsigma+1}^a), \quad \mathcal{O}(e_{\min, \varsigma}^a)$$

to denote the corresponding pull-backs over  $\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma, \varsigma+1}^\diamond$ . By abuse of notations, denote by

$$\psi_{\min, \varsigma} = c_1(\mathcal{O}(-e_{\min, \varsigma}^a)) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{\min, \varsigma+1} = c_1(\mathcal{O}(-e_{\min, \varsigma+1}^a))$$

Applying (8.14) and the projection formula, the left hand sides of (8.3) and (8.4) are push-forwards from  $\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma, \varsigma+1}^\diamond$ :

$$(8.15) \quad \Phi_* \left( \psi_{\min, \varsigma+1}^k \cap [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma, \varsigma+1}^\diamond]^{\text{red}} \right) = \psi_{\min, \varsigma+1}^k \cap [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma+1}^\diamond]^{\text{red}}$$

$$(8.16) \quad \Phi_* \left( \psi_{\min, \varsigma+1}^k \cdot \text{ev}_{n+1}^*(D) \cap [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma, \varsigma+1}^\diamond]^{\text{red}} \right) = \psi_{\min, \varsigma+1}^k \cdot \text{ev}_{n+1}^*(D) \cap [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma+1}^\diamond]^{\text{red}}.$$

Thus, we can approach the computation of (8.3) and (8.4) by studying the push-forward along  $F^\lambda \circ F_1^\diamond$  as in (8.13).

**8.3. Comparing minimal degeneracies over  $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\varsigma', \varsigma'+1}^\diamond$ .** Recall the morphism

$$\tilde{\text{St}}^a : \mathfrak{C}_{\varsigma'+1'}^{\circ, a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\varsigma'}^{\circ, a}$$

given by Lemma 7.9 that removes the  $(n+1)$ -st marking, and contracts the corresponding rational components. Away from the  $(n+1)$ -st marking the punctured map  $f_{\varsigma'+1}^a$  is given by the composition  $f_{\varsigma'}^a \circ \tilde{\text{St}}^a$ . Thus,  $e_{\min, \varsigma}^a$  is a (not necessarily minimal) degeneracy of  $f_{\varsigma'+1}^a$ . We then have  $e_{\min, \varsigma+1}^a \preccurlyeq e_{\min, \varsigma}^a$ , hence the global section

$$(8.17) \quad \delta_{\min} := e_{\min, \varsigma}^a - e_{\min, \varsigma+1}^a \in \Gamma \left( \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\varsigma', \varsigma'+1'}^\diamond, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\varsigma', \varsigma'+1'}^\diamond} \right).$$

**Lemma 8.5.** *The fiber  $\delta_{\min}|_s$  over a closed point  $s \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\varsigma', \varsigma'+1'}^\diamond$  is nonzero if and only if the following conditions hold*

- (1)  $\tilde{\text{St}}^a$  contracts a component  $Z$  over  $s$  with  $p_{j, s}, p_{n+1, s} \in Z$  for some  $j$ th marking  $p_j$  with  $j \neq n+1$ .
- (2)  $Z$  is the unique minimal component of  $f_{\varsigma'+1'}^a$  over  $s$ .

*Proof.* If  $\tilde{\text{St}}^a$  contracts no components over  $s$ , then the above discussion implies that  $\delta_{\min} = 0$ . Suppose  $Z$  is the contracted component over  $s$ . Assume that the minimal degeneracy of  $f_{\varsigma'+1}^a$  over  $s$  is achieved at another component  $Z'$ . Since  $\tilde{\text{St}}^a$  is isomorphic on the generic point of  $Z'$ , the degeneracy of  $Z'$  with respect to  $f_{\varsigma'+1}^a$  is equal to the degeneracy of the image  $\tilde{\text{St}}^a(Z')$  with respect to  $f_{\varsigma'}^a$ . This implies  $e_{\min, \varsigma}^a|_s = e_{\min, \varsigma+1}^a|_s$ . Thus, only the  $Z$  described in the statement are possible.  $\square$

Let  $\text{Div}(\delta_{\min}) \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\varsigma', \varsigma'+1'}^\diamond$  be the Cartier divisor associated to  $\delta_{\min}$  as in (2.9). By (8.17), its class satisfies

$$(8.18) \quad \text{Div}(\delta_{\min}) = -\psi_{\min, \varsigma} + \psi_{\min, \varsigma+1}.$$

Let  $\Delta_j \subset \text{Div}(\delta_{\min})$  be the component whose closed points satisfy the two conditions in Lemma 8.5 for a fixed  $j$ . Observe that  $\Delta_j \cap \Delta_{j'} = \emptyset$  for  $j \neq j'$ . Extending  $\delta_{\min}|_{\Delta_j}$  by zero, we obtain a global section  $\delta_j \in \Gamma \left( \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\varsigma', \varsigma'+1'}^\diamond, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\varsigma', \varsigma'+1'}^\diamond} \right)$ . We can similarly define  $\text{Div}(\delta_j)$ , and noting that  $\Delta_j = \text{Div}(\delta_j)$ . This gives decompositions

$$\delta_{\min} = \sum_j \delta_j \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Div}(\delta_{\min}) = \sum_j \Delta_j.$$

Combining this with (8.18), we obtain

$$(8.19) \quad \psi_{\min, \varsigma+1} = \psi_{\min, \varsigma} + \sum_j \Delta_j.$$

Let  $p_{j,\Delta_j} \subset \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'+1'}^{\circ,a} \times_{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta',\zeta'+1'}}^{\diamond} \Delta_j$  be the  $j$ th marking of the source curve over  $\Delta_j$ . On the characteristic level, along  $p_{j,\Delta_j}$  we have

$$\bar{f}_{\zeta'+1'}^a(\delta) = e_{\min,\zeta+1}^a + c_j \sigma_j.$$

By (2.7), we have

$$(\bar{f}_{\zeta'+1'}^a)|_{p_{j,\Delta_j}}^* (\mathcal{O}(-\infty_{\mathcal{A}})) = \mathcal{O}_{p_{j,\Delta_j}}(-e_{\min,\zeta+1}^a|_{p_{j,\Delta_j}} - c_j p_{j,\Delta_j}).$$

Observe that  $p_{j,\Delta_j}$  is contained in a rational component with 3 special points so that  $\mathcal{O}_{p_{j,\Delta_j}}(p_{j,\Delta_j})$  becomes trivial. Pushing forward along  $\pi_j: p_j \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta',\zeta'+1'}^{\diamond}$ , we obtain

$$(8.20) \quad \psi_{\text{DF},r_j} \cdot \Delta_j = \psi_{\min,\zeta+1} \cdot \Delta_j$$

where we introduce a universal analogue of (8.1):

$$\psi_{\text{DF},r_j} := r_j \cdot (\pi_{j,*}(\bar{f}_{\zeta'+1'}^a)|_{p_j}^* c_1(\mathcal{O}(-\infty_{\mathcal{A}}))).$$

Repeatedly applying (8.19) and (8.20), we compute over  $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta',\zeta'+1'}^{\diamond}$  that

$$(8.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_{\min,\zeta+1}^k &= \psi_{\min,\zeta+1}^{k-1} \cdot \left( \psi_{\min,\zeta} + \sum_j \Delta_j \right) \\ &= \psi_{\min,\zeta} \cdot \psi_{\min,\zeta+1}^{k-1} + \sum_j \psi_{\min,\zeta+1}^{k-1} \cdot \Delta_j \\ &= \psi_{\min,\zeta} \cdot \psi_{\min,\zeta+1}^{k-1} + \sum_j \psi_{\text{DF},r_j}^{k-1} \cdot \Delta_j \\ &\dots \\ &= \psi_{\min,\zeta}^k + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k'=0}^{k-1} \psi_{\text{DF},r_j}^{k'} \cdot \psi_{\min,\zeta}^{k-1-k'} \cdot \Delta_j. \end{aligned}$$

To compute the push-forward of  $\Delta_j$  along  $F_{\mathbf{1}'}^{\diamond}$ , recall from (8.9) and (8.12) that  $F_{\mathbf{1}'}^{\diamond}$  is given by the composition

$$(8.22) \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta',\zeta'+1'}^{\diamond} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Psi}} \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\zeta'}^{\diamond} \xrightarrow{\text{S}} \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'}^{\circ,\diamond} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'}^{\diamond}.$$

Denote by  $p_{\zeta',j}^{\diamond} \subset \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'}^{\circ,\diamond}$  the  $j$ th marking. We compute the cycle-theoretic push-forward:

**Lemma 8.6.**  $\text{S}_* \tilde{\Psi}_* \Delta_j = |c_j| \cdot p_{\zeta',j}^{\diamond}$ .

*Proof.* Note that both  $\tilde{\Psi}$  and S are projective and birational, hence the push-forwards are well-defined.

We first show that the set-theoretical image  $\text{S} \circ \tilde{\Psi}(\Delta_j)$  is precisely  $p_{\zeta',j}^{\diamond}$ . By Lemma 8.5, the set-theoretical image  $\text{S} \circ \tilde{\Psi}(\Delta_j)$  is contained in  $p_{\zeta',j}^{\diamond}$ . On the other hand, let  $e_j \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'}^{\diamond}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'}^{\diamond}})$  be the degeneracy of the component containing  $p_{\zeta',j}^{\diamond}$ . Let  $\sigma_j \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'}^{\circ,\diamond}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'}^{\circ,\diamond}})$  be the generator of the characteristic sheaf of the log structure given by the marking  $p_{\zeta',j}^{\diamond}$ . Note that for a general point  $s \in p_{\zeta',j}^{\diamond}$ , we have  $e_j|_s = e_{\min,\zeta'}|_s$ . Let  $Z_s \subset \mathfrak{C}_{\zeta'+1'}^{\circ,a}$  be the contracted component over  $s$ , then it has degeneracy  $e_{Z_s} = e_j|_s + c_j \cdot \sigma_j|_s \preccurlyeq e_{\min,\zeta}|_s$  since  $c_j < 0$ . Thus  $Z_s$  is the unique minimal component. Therefore,  $\Delta_j$  dominates, hence surjects onto  $p_{\zeta',j}^{\diamond}$ . Furthermore, denote by  $\tilde{s} \in \Delta_j$  the generic point over  $s$ . The above discussion also implies that

$$\Delta_j|_{\tilde{s}} = \delta_{\min}|_{\tilde{s}} = e_{\min,\zeta}|_{\tilde{s}} - e_{\min,\zeta+1}|_{\tilde{s}} = -c_j \cdot \sigma_j|_{\tilde{s}}.$$

The statement then follows from  $p_{\zeta',j}^{\diamond} = \text{Div}(\sigma_j)$ .  $\square$

8.4. **Proof of Theorem 8.1.** Consider the Cartesian diagram with strict vertical arrows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{R}_{\varsigma, \varsigma+1}^{\diamond} & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\varsigma}^{\diamond} & \xrightarrow{S} & \mathcal{C}_{\varsigma}^{\circ, \diamond} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathcal{R}_{\varsigma}^{\diamond} \\ \downarrow \tilde{\varphi} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\varsigma', \varsigma'+1}^{\diamond} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Psi}} & \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\varsigma'}^{\diamond} & \xrightarrow{S} & \mathcal{C}_{\varsigma'}^{\circ, \diamond} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}_{\varsigma'}^{\diamond} \end{array}$$

where the bottom is (8.22), hence  $F_1^{\diamond} = \pi \circ S \circ \Psi$ . We equip all the vertical arrows with the reduced perfect obstruction theories pulled back from  $\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma}^{\diamond} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\varsigma'}^{\diamond}$ , hence the reduced virtual cycles  $[\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma, \varsigma+1}^{\diamond}]^{\text{red}}$ ,  $[\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\varsigma}^{\diamond}]^{\text{red}}$  and  $[\mathcal{C}_{\varsigma}^{\circ, \diamond}]^{\text{red}}$ , satisfying the virtual push-forward

$$(8.23) \quad S_* \Psi_* [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma, \varsigma+1}^{\diamond}]^{\text{red}} = [\mathcal{C}_{\varsigma}^{\circ, \diamond}]^{\text{red}} = \pi^* [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma}^{\diamond}]^{\text{red}}.$$

In particular, we have

$$(8.24) \quad F_{1,*}^{\diamond} [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma, \varsigma+1}^{\diamond}]^{\text{red}} = 0.$$

Further consider the inclusion of Cartier divisors

$$\iota_{\Delta_j} : \Delta_j \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\varsigma', \varsigma'+1}^{\diamond} \quad \text{and} \quad \iota_{p_{\varsigma', j}^{\diamond}} : p_{\varsigma', j}^{\diamond} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\varsigma'}^{\circ, \diamond}.$$

By the functoriality of virtual circles [15, Proposition 7.5], we have

$$\iota_{\Delta_j}^! [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma, \varsigma+1}^{\diamond}]^{\text{red}} = \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathbf{E}^{\text{red}}}^! [\Delta_j] \quad \text{and} \quad \iota_{p_{\varsigma', j}^{\diamond}}^! [\mathcal{C}_{\varsigma}^{\circ, \diamond}]^{\text{red}} = \varphi_{\mathbf{E}^{\text{red}}}^! [p_{\varsigma', j}^{\diamond}]$$

where  $\tilde{\varphi}_{\mathbf{E}^{\text{red}}}^!$  and  $\varphi_{\mathbf{E}^{\text{red}}}^!$  are the virtual pull-backs as in [70]. By virtual push-forward [70, Proposition 5.29], Lemma 8.6 implies that

$$(8.25) \quad S_* \Psi_* \left( \iota_{\Delta_j}^! [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma, \varsigma+1}^{\diamond}]^{\text{red}} \right) = |c_j| \cdot \iota_{p_{\varsigma', j}^{\diamond}}^! [\mathcal{C}_{\varsigma}^{\circ, \diamond}]^{\text{red}}.$$

We now compute

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{1,*}^{\diamond} \left( \psi_{\min, \varsigma+1}^k \cap [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma, \varsigma+1}^{\diamond}]^{\text{red}} \right) \\ &= F_{1,*}^{\diamond} \left( \psi_{\min, \varsigma}^k \cap [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma, \varsigma+1}^{\diamond}]^{\text{red}} \right) + F_{1,*}^{\diamond} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k'=0}^{k-1} \text{ev}_j^* \psi_{\text{DF}}^{k'} \cdot \psi_{\min, \varsigma}^{k-1-k'} \cap \iota_{\Delta_j}^! [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma, \varsigma+1}^{\diamond}]^{\text{red}} \right) \\ &= F_{1,*}^{\diamond} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k'=0}^{k-1} \text{ev}_j^* \psi_{\text{DF}}^{k'} \cdot \psi_{\min, \varsigma}^{k-1-k'} \cap \iota_{\Delta_j}^! [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma, \varsigma+1}^{\diamond}]^{\text{red}} \right) \\ (8.26) \quad &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k'=0}^{k-1} \text{ev}_j^* \psi_{\text{DF}}^{k'} \cdot \psi_{\min, \varsigma}^{k-1-k'} \cdot F_{1,*}^{\diamond} \left( \iota_{\Delta_j}^! [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma, \varsigma+1}^{\diamond}]^{\text{red}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k'=0}^{k-1} \text{ev}_j^* \psi_{\text{DF}}^{k'} \cdot \psi_{\min, \varsigma}^{k-1-k'} \cdot \pi_* \left( |c_j| \cdot \iota_{p_{\varsigma', j}^{\diamond}}^! [\mathcal{C}_{\varsigma}^{\circ, \diamond}]^{\text{red}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|c_j|}{r_j} \sum_{k'=0}^{k-1} \text{ev}_j^* \psi_{\text{DF}}^{k'} \cdot \psi_{\min, \varsigma}^{k-1-k'} \cap [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma}^{\diamond}]^{\text{red}} \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from (8.21), the second equality follows from (8.24), and the last two follow from (8.25), and the fact that  $p_{\varsigma', j}^{\diamond}$  is a  $\mu_{r_j}$ -gerbe.

By (8.15) and the commutativity of (8.13), Equation (8.3) follows from further pushing forward (8.26) along  $F_*^{\wedge}$  and applying the projection formula.

Now we turn to prove Equation (8.4). By the definition of unit sectors in §6.1.3, the  $(n+1)$ -st evaluation  $\text{ev}_{n+1}$  factors through the component  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{I}}_{\mu} \infty_{\mathbf{k}}$  parameterizing  $\mu_r$ -gerbes. Indeed,

we have the factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{R}_{\varsigma+1} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\varsigma} & \xrightarrow{S} & \mathcal{C}_{\varsigma}^{\circ} & \xrightarrow{f_{\varsigma}} & \infty_{\mathcal{X}} \\ & & & & \searrow^{\text{ev}_{n+1}} & & \end{array}$$

By the projection formula and (8.23), we have

$$(8.27) \quad F_{1,*}^{\diamond} \left( \text{ev}_{n+1}^* D \cap [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma,\varsigma+1}^{\diamond}]^{\text{red}} \right) = \left( \int_{\beta} D \right) \cdot [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma}^{\diamond}]^{\text{red}}.$$

By [5, §2.1], we observe

$$(8.28) \quad \text{ev}_{n+1} |_{\Delta_j \cap \mathcal{R}_{\varsigma,\varsigma+1}^{\diamond}}^* D = \text{ev}_j |_{\Delta_j \cap \mathcal{R}_{\varsigma,\varsigma+1}^{\diamond}}^* D_{\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{\mu}}.$$

Similarly to (8.26), using (8.27) and (8.28) we compute

$$(8.29) \quad \begin{aligned} F_{1,*}^{\diamond} \left( \psi_{\min,\varsigma+1}^k \cdot \text{ev}_{n+1}^* (D) \cap [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma,\varsigma+1}^{\diamond}]^{\text{red}} \right) &= \left( \int_{\beta} D \right) \cdot \psi_{\min,\varsigma}^k \cap [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma}^{\diamond}]^{\text{red}} \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|c_j|}{r_j} \sum_{k'=0}^{k-1} \text{ev}_j^* (D_{\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{\mu}} \cdot \psi_{\text{DF}}^{k'}) \cdot \psi_{\min,\varsigma}^{k-1-k'} \cap [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma}^{\diamond}]^{\text{red}} \end{aligned}$$

By (8.16) and the commutativity of (8.13), the divisor equation (8.4) follows from further pushing forward along  $F_*^{\lambda}$  and applying the projection formula.

**8.5. Proof of Theorem 8.4.** Consider the following commutative diagram

$$(8.30) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & \Phi_{\varsigma} & & & \\ & & & \text{---} & & & \\ \mathcal{R}_{\varsigma,\varsigma+1}^{\diamond} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Psi}} & \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\varsigma}^{\diamond} & \xrightarrow{S} & \mathcal{C}_{\varsigma}^{\circ,\diamond} & \xrightarrow{\text{stab}} & \mathcal{Z} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{R}_{\varsigma}^{\diamond} \\ \downarrow \tilde{\varphi} & & \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \varphi_{\mathcal{Z}} & & \downarrow \text{---} \\ \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\varsigma',\varsigma'+1}'^{\diamond} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Psi}} & \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\varsigma'}^{\diamond} & \xrightarrow{S} & \mathcal{C}_{\varsigma'}^{\circ,\diamond} & \xrightarrow{\text{stab}} & \mathfrak{Z} & \xrightarrow{+p_{\mathfrak{Z}}} & \mathfrak{M}_{\varsigma'}^{\diamond} |_{p_{\mathfrak{Z}}} \\ & & & & \downarrow p_{\mathfrak{Z}} & & \downarrow \text{---} & & \downarrow \text{---} \\ & & & & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} & & \end{array}$$

where all squares are Cartesian, the vertical arrows on the top are all strict, the dashed arrows denote the corresponding compositions, and  $\text{stab}$  is the stabilization of the source curves as usual.

Denote by  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{C}_{\varsigma}^{\circ,\diamond}/\mathcal{C}_{\varsigma'}^{\circ,\diamond}}^{\text{red}}$  and  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{Z}/\mathfrak{Z}}^{\text{red}}$  the perfect obstruction theories obtained by pulling back  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma}^{\diamond}/\mathfrak{M}_{\varsigma'}^{\diamond}}^{\text{red}}$ , leading to the corresponding virtual cycles  $[\mathcal{C}_{\varsigma}^{\circ,\diamond}]^{\text{red}}$  and  $[\mathfrak{Z}]^{\text{red}}$ . Since the arrows  $\tilde{\Psi}$ ,  $S$  and  $\text{stab}$  in the middle row of the above diagram are proper and birational, the virtual push-forward of [52, Theorem 1.1] and [38, Theorem 5.0.1] yields

$$(8.31) \quad \text{stab}_* S_* \tilde{\Psi}_* [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma,\varsigma+1}^{\diamond}]^{\text{red}} = [\mathfrak{Z}]^{\text{red}} = \pi^* [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma}^{\diamond}]^{\text{red}}.$$

Let  $\delta_{j,n+1} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1}$  be the boundary divisor where the  $j$ th and  $(n+1)$ -st marking collide, which pulls back to a divisor  $\iota_{\delta_{j,n+1,3}} : \delta_{j,n+1,3} := p_3^{-1} \delta_{j,n+1} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{Z}$ . Note that

$$\text{stab}_* [p_{\varsigma',j}^{\diamond}] = \frac{1}{r_j} \cdot [\delta_{j,n+1,3}].$$

Further applying virtual push-forward to (8.25) along  $\text{stab}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{stab}_* \Psi_* \mathbb{S}_* \left( \iota_{\Delta_j}^! [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma, \varsigma+1}^\diamond]^\text{red} \right) &= \text{stab}_* \left( |c_j| \cdot \iota_{p_{\varsigma', j}^\diamond}^! [\mathcal{C}_\varsigma^{\circ, \diamond}]^\text{red} \right) \\
&= |c_j| \cdot \text{stab}_* \left( \varphi_{\mathbf{E}^\text{red}}^! [p_{\varsigma', j}^\diamond] \right) \\
(8.32) \quad &= \frac{|c_j|}{r_j} \cdot \varphi_{\mathcal{Z}, \mathbf{E}^\text{red}}^! [\delta_{j, n+1, 3}] \\
&= \frac{|c_j|}{r_j} \cdot \iota_{\delta_{j, n+1, 3}}^! [\mathcal{Z}]^\text{red}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma, \varsigma+1}^\diamond & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathcal{R}_{\varsigma+1}^\diamond & \xrightarrow{p_{\varsigma+1}} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n+1} \\
F_1^\diamond \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \pi \\
\mathcal{R}_\varsigma^\diamond & \xrightarrow{p_\varsigma} & & & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}.
\end{array}$$

such that  $p_{\varsigma+1} \circ \Phi = p_\mathcal{Z} \circ \text{stab} \circ \mathbb{S} \circ \Psi$ . Thus (8.8) follows from (8.15) and the following calculation similar to (8.26):

$$\begin{aligned}
& p_{\mathcal{Z}, *}\text{stab}_* \mathbb{S}_* \Psi_* \left( \psi_{\min, \varsigma+1}^k \cap [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma, \varsigma+1}^\diamond]^\text{red} \right) \\
&= p_{\mathcal{Z}, *}\text{stab}_* \left( \psi_{\min, \varsigma}^k \cap [\mathcal{C}_\varsigma^{\circ, \diamond}]^\text{red} + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k'=0}^{k-1} \text{ev}_j^* (\psi_{\text{DF}}^{k'}) \cdot \psi_{\min, \varsigma}^{k-1-k'} \cap |c_j| \cdot \varphi_{\mathbf{E}^\text{red}}^! [p_{\varsigma', j}^\diamond] \right) \\
&= p_{\mathcal{Z}, *} \left( \psi_{\min, \varsigma}^k \cap [\mathcal{Z}]^\text{red} \right) + p_{\mathcal{Z}, *} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|c_j|}{r_j} \sum_{k'=0}^{k-1} \text{ev}_j^* (\psi_{\text{DF}}^{k'}) \cdot \psi_{\min, \varsigma}^{k-1-k'} \cap \iota_{\delta_{j, n+1, 3}}^! [\mathcal{Z}]^\text{red} \right) \\
&= \pi^* p_{\varsigma, *} \left( \psi_{\min, \varsigma}^k \cap [\mathcal{R}_\varsigma^\diamond]^\text{red} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|c_j|}{r_j} \delta_{j, n+1} \cap \pi^* p_{\varsigma, *} \left( \sum_{k'=0}^{k-1} \text{ev}_j^* (\psi_{\text{DF}}^{k'}) \cdot \psi_{\min, \varsigma}^{k-1-k'} \cap [\mathcal{R}_\varsigma^\diamond]^\text{red} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where (8.31) and (8.32) is used to compute the first and second equalities respectively.

This finishes the proof of Theorem 8.4.

## 9. EFFECTIVE INVARIANTS AND CYCLES

In this section, we will study the invariants of the reduced theory, and introduce *effective invariants*, which are an important part of the various applications of log GLSM.

Let  $\infty$  be a target as in §6.1, where we assume in addition that  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}}$  is smooth. We fix the discrete data  $(g, \beta, \varsigma = \{c_i\}_{i=1}^n)$  as in (2.21) for punctured R-maps to  $\infty$ , and write as usual that  $\mathcal{R}_\varsigma := \mathcal{R}_{g, \varsigma}(\infty, \beta)$ . Since we only consider the reduced theory, in this section, we will assume  $c_i < 0$  for all  $i$ . Recall the moduli  $\mathcal{R}_\varsigma^\diamond$  and its reduced virtual cycle  $[\mathcal{R}_\varsigma^\diamond]^\text{red}$  from §4.1.4 together with the class  $\psi_{\min}$  from §4.4.

Cycles of the form

$$(9.1) \quad \psi_{\min}^k \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n \text{ev}_i^* \alpha_i \cap [\mathcal{R}_\varsigma^\diamond]^\text{red},$$

where  $\alpha_i \in H^*(\infty_{\mathcal{X}})$  are cohomology or Chow cohomology classes, play an important role in the localization formula for log GLSM [29]. In case (9.1) is of degree zero, we obtain the corresponding *reduced punctured log GLSM invariant* or *effective invariant*

$$(9.2) \quad \int_{[\mathcal{R}_\varsigma^\diamond]^\text{red}} \psi_{\min}^k \cdot \prod \text{ev}_i^* \alpha_i := \deg \left( \psi_{\min}^k \cdot \prod \text{ev}_i^* \alpha_i \cap [\mathcal{R}_\varsigma^\diamond]^\text{red} \right).$$

More generally, we may consider the *effective cycles (in cohomology)*

$$(9.3) \quad p_{\varsigma,*} \left( \psi_{\min}^k \cdot \prod \text{ev}_i^* \alpha_i \cap [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma}^{\diamond}]^{\text{red}} \right) \in H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}),$$

where  $p_{\varsigma,*} : \mathcal{R}_{\varsigma}^{\diamond} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  denotes the forgetful morphism. Alternatively, if instead  $\alpha_i \in A^*(\infty_{\mathcal{X}})$  are Chow classes, we may also study the analogous *effective cycles in Chow*:

$$(9.4) \quad p_{\varsigma,*} \left( \psi_{\min}^k \cdot \prod \text{ev}_i^* \alpha_i \cap [\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma}^{\diamond}]^{\text{red}} \right) \in A^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$$

By multilinearity, we will assume that the  $\alpha_i$  are homogeneous for the rest of this section.

We will first further specialize our setup, and focus our attention on the effective invariants and cycles for the Gromov–Witten theory of a complete intersection in a smooth projective variety. In particular, we will show that in the case of a quintic threefold, the effective invariants are determined by  $1 + \lfloor (2g - 2)/5 \rfloor$  “basic effective invariants”. Later, in Section 9.7, we return to the general setup, discuss applications to the LG/CY-correspondence and to the study of Witten’s  $r$ -spin class. In Section 9.8, we conclude with a relation to the tautological ring.

*Remark 9.1.* Effective invariants and cycles concern integrals over the reduced virtual cycle. We defer the discussion of integrals over the canonical virtual cycle to a future research. They are expected to be related to (twisted) double ramification cycles (see [12]), and to be easier to compute.

**9.1. The setup for complete intersections.** We now consider the set-up in §6.2.1 for a hybrid log GLSM target  $\mathfrak{P}$  where we further assume that

- (1)  $\mathcal{X}$  is a smooth projective variety.
- (2)  $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_1$
- (3)  $r = d = \ell = 1$
- (4)  $\mathbf{L}^{\vee} \cong \mathcal{O}$  is the trivial line bundle.
- (5) A section  $s_{\mathcal{X}} \in H^0(\mathbf{E})$  defines a smooth complete intersection  $\mathcal{Z} = (s_{\mathcal{X}} = 0) \subset \mathcal{X}$  of codimension  $\text{rk } \mathbf{E}$ .

By Proposition 6.7, the above set-up leads to

$$\infty_{\mathcal{X}} \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{E}^{\vee}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{N} = \mathcal{O}_{\infty_{\mathcal{X}}}(1).$$

Furthermore, the line bundle  $\mathbf{N}$  on  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}}$  is ample (resp. nef) if and only if  $\mathbf{E}$  is ample (resp. nef). By §6.2.5, the superpotential  $W$  is just a section of  $\mathbf{N}$ . Explicitly, it is given by pairing the section  $s_{\mathcal{X}}|_{\infty_{\mathcal{X}}} \in H^0(\mathbf{E})$  with the tautological section  $p \in H^0(\mathbf{E}^{\vee} \otimes \mathbf{N})$ . Finally, let  $\beta_{\mathcal{X}}$  be the push-forward of the curve class  $\beta \in H_2(\infty_{\mathcal{X}})$  along  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ .

Note that the assumptions imply that the source curves in the relevant moduli spaces of stable log R-maps to  $\mathfrak{P}$  and punctured R-maps to  $\infty$  have no orbifold structure.

*Remark 9.2.* As explained in [30, Section 4.1], log GLSM for this set-up (with a superpotential determined from  $s_{\mathcal{X}}$ ) recovers the Gromov–Witten invariants of  $\mathcal{Z}$  with insertions from  $\mathcal{X}$ . It will be shown in the subsequent paper [29] that these Gromov–Witten invariants of the complete intersection  $\mathcal{Z}$  are determined by the (twisted) Gromov–Witten invariants of  $\mathcal{X}$  as in [37], as well as the effective invariants (9.2) in the above setting with the superpotential induced by  $s_{\mathcal{X}}$  as in §6.2.6.<sup>4</sup>

In applications of log GLSM, (twisted) Gromov–Witten invariants of the ambient space  $\mathcal{X}$  are usually well-understood. Thus, in such a situation, the computation of the effective invariants is a main obstacle to computing the Gromov–Witten invariants of the complete intersection, and any method of computing them will have important applications.

In the following sections, we content ourselves by using the string (8.3) and divisor (8.4) equations, as well as dimensional constraints (see §9.2.2) and a balancing condition (see §9.2.1) to reduce the computation of the effective invariants to a smaller set of invariants. For instance, for the application to the Gromov–Witten theory of quintic threefolds, in each genus  $g$ , there are  $1 + \lfloor (2g - 2)/5 \rfloor$  such *basic effective invariants*, see Example 9.27. This reduction of the number of invariants is sufficient for computations in low genus [51] and to prove structural results, such as in [51].

In another direction, for applications to Gromov–Witten classes, cohomological field theories and the tautological ring, we will also study effective cycles (9.3), (9.4). We will also reduce the number of cycles that need to be considered in this cycle-valued situation.

<sup>4</sup>This determination can be further lifted to the level of Gromov–Witten classes of  $\mathcal{Z}$ .

## 9.2. Numerical constraints.

9.2.1. *The balancing condition.* Suppose  $\mathcal{R}_\zeta \neq \emptyset$ . Then we have a punctured R-map  $f: \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \infty$  in  $\mathcal{R}_\zeta$ . By Lemma 2.8 and (1.4), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i = \deg f^* \mathcal{O}(\infty) = \int_{\beta} c_1(\mathbf{N}) - (2g - 2 + n).$$

Thus  $\mathcal{R}_\zeta$  is empty unless the *balancing condition* holds:

$$(9.5) \quad 0 \geq \sum_{i=1}^n (c_i + 1) = \int_{\beta} c_1(\mathbf{N}) - (2g - 2).$$

where equality holds iff  $c_i = -1$  for all  $i$ .

9.2.2. *The reduced virtual dimension.* Note that  $\infty = \infty_{\mathcal{X}} \times \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$  by the setup in §9.1. By (2.16) and Lemma 6.3, we compute the reduced virtual dimension as follows

$$(9.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{red dim } \mathcal{R}_\zeta &= \chi(f^* \Omega_{\infty/\mathbf{BC}_\omega^*}^\vee) + 1 + \dim \mathfrak{M}_{g, \zeta'}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}}) \\ &= \int_{\beta} c_1(T_{\infty_{\mathcal{X}}}) + (\dim \infty_{\mathcal{X}} + 1)(1 - g) + 1 + 3g - 4 + n \\ &= (2 - \dim \infty_{\mathcal{X}})(g - 1) - \int_{\beta} c_1(K_{\infty_{\mathcal{X}}}) + n, \end{aligned}$$

where  $K_\bullet$  stands for the canonical bundle. The Euler sequence of the projective bundle  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  implies that

$$K_{\infty_{\mathcal{X}}} = \mathcal{O}_{\infty_{\mathcal{X}}}(-\text{rk } \mathbf{E}) \otimes K_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \det \mathbf{E}.$$

So, we compute

$$(9.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\beta} c_1(K_{\infty_{\mathcal{X}}}) &= \int_{\beta} c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\infty_{\mathcal{X}}}(-\text{rk } \mathbf{E}) \otimes K_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \det \mathbf{E}) \\ &= -\text{rk } \mathbf{E} \cdot \int_{\beta} c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\infty_{\mathcal{X}}}(1)) + \int_{\beta_{\mathcal{X}}} c_1(K_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \det \mathbf{E}) \\ &= -\text{rk } \mathbf{E} \cdot (2g - 2 + \sum_i (c_i + 1)) + \int_{\beta_{\mathcal{X}}} c_1(K_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \det \mathbf{E}) \end{aligned}$$

Combining (9.6) and (9.7), we have

$$(9.8) \quad \text{red dim } \mathcal{R}_\zeta = (3 - \dim \mathcal{X} + \text{rk } \mathbf{E})(g - 1) - \int_{\beta_{\mathcal{X}}} c_1(K_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \det \mathbf{E}) + n + \text{rk } \mathbf{E} \cdot \sum_i (c_i + 1).$$

Note that if we assume that there is a curve class  $\beta_{\mathcal{Z}}$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$  pushing forward to  $\beta_{\mathcal{X}}$ , then the moduli of stable maps to  $\mathcal{Z}$  has virtual dimension

$$\text{vir dim } \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z}, \beta_{\mathcal{Z}}) = (3 - \dim \mathcal{X} + \text{rk } \mathbf{E})(g - 1) - \int_{\beta_{\mathcal{X}}} c_1(K_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \det \mathbf{E}) + n.$$

The above calculation thus yields the more memorable formula

$$(9.9) \quad \text{red dim } \mathcal{R}_\zeta = \text{vir dim } \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z}, \beta_{\mathcal{X}}) + \text{rk } \mathbf{E} \cdot \sum_i (c_i + 1).$$

In particular, since  $c_i \leq -1$  for all  $i$ , we observe that

**Corollary 9.3.** *If  $\text{vir dim } \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z}, \beta_{\mathcal{X}}) < 0$ , then  $[\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\diamond]^\text{red} = 0$ .*



**9.3. Determining  $g = 0, 1$  cases.** The following is an immediate consequence of the balancing condition (9.5):

**Lemma 9.4.** *In the above situation, we have:*

- (1) *If  $g = 0$  and  $\mathbf{N}$  is nef, then  $\mathcal{R}_\zeta = \emptyset$ .*
- (2) *If  $g = 1$  and  $\mathbf{N}$  is ample, then  $\mathcal{R}_\zeta = \emptyset$  unless  $\beta = 0$  and  $c_i = -1$  for all  $i$ .*

*Remark 9.5.* If  $\mathbf{N}$  is nef but not ample, while  $\mathcal{R}_\zeta = \emptyset$  when  $g = 0$ , even for  $g = 1$ , there may be infinitely many  $\beta$  such that  $\mathcal{R}_\zeta \neq \emptyset$ . If  $\mathbf{N}$  is not nef, even for  $g = 0$ , there may be infinitely many  $\beta$  such that  $\mathcal{R}_\zeta \neq \emptyset$ .

In the case of the above lemma, there are thus no nonzero effective invariants/cycles in genus zero.

Next, we consider the genus one case assuming that  $\mathbf{N}$  is ample and  $\mathcal{R}_\zeta \neq \emptyset$ . In this case, the reduced virtual dimension (9.8) drastically simplifies to

$$\text{red dim } \mathcal{R}_\zeta = n.$$

Since  $\beta = 0$  and  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}}$  is a variety, the evaluation maps are equal to each other, and hence we have an equality of effective cycles in either homology or in Chow:

$$(9.10) \quad \psi_{\min}^k \cdot \prod \text{ev}_i^* \alpha_i \cap [\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\diamond]_{\text{red}} = \psi_{\min}^k \cdot \text{ev}_1^* \left( \prod \alpha_i \right) \cap [\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\diamond]_{\text{red}}$$

Repeatedly applying the string equation (8.3), the genus-one effective invariants are determined explicitly by the  $g = n = 1$  case. Furthermore, for effective Chow cycles (9.4) in the genus one case, we use the string equation (8.3) and the unit axiom Theorem 7.3 (3) to reduce to the case  $g = n = 1$ . Next, we find in this special case an explicit computation of the classes  $[\mathcal{R}_\zeta^\diamond]_{\text{red}}$  in Proposition 9.9 and  $\psi_{\min}$  in (9.17) below, hence completely determine the genus-one theory.

**9.4. Calculations in the  $g = n = 1, \beta = 0$  case.** In this subsection, we consider the important special case  $g = n = 1$  and  $\beta = 0$ . Note that in the set-up of Section 9.1, we have  $\underline{\infty} = \infty_{\mathcal{X}} \times \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$ , and the source curves under consideration have no orbifold structures. Thus, the unique marking is a trivial gerbe with contact order  $-1$ . The stack of genus one stable punctured R-maps under consideration is then denoted by  $\mathcal{R}_{1,1}(\infty, 0)$ .

**9.4.1. The construction of  $\mathcal{R}_{1,1}(\infty, 0)$ .** Let  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$  be the stack of genus one, one-pointed stable curves, and  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}(\infty_{\mathcal{X}}, 0)$  be the corresponding stack of stable maps to  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}}$ . There is a tautological morphism that takes the corresponding stable maps to  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}}$ :

$$(9.11) \quad \mathcal{R}_{1,1}(\infty, 0) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}(\infty_{\mathcal{X}}, 0) \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1} \times \infty_{\mathcal{X}}.$$

By the stability condition, this morphism is defined by composing stable punctured R-maps with the projection  $\infty \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{X}}$ , and removing all log structures. In particular, source curves of stable punctured maps in  $\mathcal{R}_{1,c}(\infty, 0)$  are automatically stable, hence irreducible.

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the Hodge bundle over  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$ . The line bundle  $\mathcal{H}^\vee \boxtimes \mathbf{N}$  and its zero section defines a Deligne–Faltings log structure of rank one on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}(\infty_{\mathcal{X}}, 0)$  as in (2.10), denoted by  $\mathcal{M}^d$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{M}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}}$  the canonical log structure of stable curves over  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$ .

**Proposition 9.6.** *The tautological morphism (9.11) induces an isomorphism of the underlying stacks. Furthermore, on the level of log structures we have*

$$(9.12) \quad \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}_{1,1}(\infty, 0)} = \mathcal{M}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}}|_{\mathcal{R}_{1,1}(\infty, 0)} \oplus_{\mathcal{O}^*} \mathcal{M}^d,$$

where  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^d = \mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{R}_{1,1}(\infty, 0)}$  is identified with the constant sheaf generated by the degeneracy of the unique irreducible component of the source curve. In particular, the following configurations coincide

$$(9.13) \quad \mathcal{R}_{1,1}(\infty, 0) = \mathcal{R}_{1,1}^\lambda(\infty, 0) = \mathcal{R}_{1,1}^\diamond(\infty, 0).$$

*Proof.* First, since all source curves in question are irreducible, by the definition of basic monoids [3, §2.3.1], we obtain a splitting on the level of characteristics

$$(9.14) \quad \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{R}_{1,1}(\infty, 0)} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}}|_{\mathcal{R}_{1,1}(\infty, 0)} \oplus \mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{R}_{1,1}(\infty, 0)}.$$

In particular, nodes of the source curves have zero contact orders. This proves (9.13).

Since  $\underline{\infty} = \infty_{\mathcal{X}} \times \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*$ , by the stability condition  $\mathcal{M} := \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}(\infty_{\mathcal{X}}, 0)$  is the stack parameterizing underlying stable R-maps. Indeed, let  $\underline{f}_{\mathcal{M}}: \underline{C}_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{X}}$  the universal stable map over  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then the universal underlying R-map over  $\mathcal{M}$  is

$$\underline{f}_{\mathcal{R}}: \underline{C}_{\mathcal{M}} \xrightarrow{(\underline{f}_{\mathcal{M}}, \omega_{\underline{C}_{\mathcal{M}}/\mathcal{M}}^{\log})} \underline{\infty} = \infty_{\mathcal{X}} \times \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*$$

We next show that any underlying stable R-map  $\underline{f}: C \rightarrow \underline{\infty}$  given by  $\underline{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  admits a unique lift  $f: C^{\circ} \rightarrow \infty$  to a stable punctured R-map over  $T$ .

Suppose  $f$  is a lift of  $\underline{f}$ . Then (9.14) implies a splitting  $\mathcal{M}_T = \mathcal{M}_T^{\sharp} \oplus_{\mathcal{O}^*} \mathcal{M}_T^d$  with  $\mathcal{M}_T^{\sharp}$  given by the pull-back of  $\mathcal{M}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_T^d$  corresponds to  $\mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{R}_{1,1}(\infty, 0)}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{T}$  the line bundle over  $T$  corresponding to  $\mathcal{M}_T^d$  as in (2.10). Let  $p \in \underline{C}$  be the unique marking. By (1.4), the morphism  $f^b: f^* \mathcal{M}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{C^{\circ}}$  of log structures is equivalent to an isomorphism of line bundles

$$(9.15) \quad f^* (\mathcal{L}_{\omega}^{\vee} \boxtimes \mathbf{N}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{T}|_{C^{\circ}(-p)},$$

which is equivalent to

$$(9.16) \quad \mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\cong} \omega_C^{\vee} \otimes f^* \mathbf{N} \xrightarrow{\cong} (\pi_T^* \pi_{T,*} \omega_C)^{\vee} \otimes f^* \mathbf{N} = (\mathcal{H}^{\vee} \boxtimes \mathbf{N})|_T,$$

where the second isomorphism follows from the canonical isomorphism  $\omega_C \cong \pi_T^* \pi_{T,*} \omega_C$  since  $C$  is of genus 1. Note that this isomorphism is uniquely determined by the underlying structure, leading to the uniqueness of lifting. This implies that  $\mathcal{M}_T^d$  is indeed the pull-back of  $\mathcal{M}^d$ , hence proves the splitting (9.12) on the log structure level.

Finally, to show the existence of a lifting of a given  $\underline{f}$ , we first construct the log structure  $\mathcal{M}_T^d$  corresponding to  $\mathcal{T}$  using (9.16). Since the source curves are irreducible with at most one node of contact order zero, and a marking of contact order  $-1$ , the punctured curve  $C^{\circ} \rightarrow T$  is uniquely determined by its underlying and  $\mathcal{M}_T^d$  by [3, Remark 2.2]. The morphism of log structures  $f^b$  is then uniquely determined by (9.15) hence (9.16). This finishes the proof.  $\square$

The proposition also determines the divisor classes  $\psi_{\min}$  and  $\Delta_{\max}$  over  $\mathcal{R}_{1,1}(\infty, 0)$ :

$$(9.17) \quad \psi_{\min} = -\Delta_{\max} = -c_1(\mathcal{H}^{\vee} \boxtimes \mathbf{N}).$$

9.4.2. *The canonical virtual cycle.* We first consider the canonical obstruction theory and virtual cycle, before turning to the reduced theory in the following section. By Proposition 9.6, the underlying stack of  $\mathcal{R} := \mathcal{R}_{1,1}(\infty, 0)$  is smooth. Next we compute the obstruction sheaf for the canonical obstruction theory.

**Lemma 9.7.** *There is a canonical exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\vee}|_{\mathcal{R}} \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}/\mathfrak{M}}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}^{\vee} \boxtimes \Omega_{\infty_{\mathcal{X}}}^{\vee})|_{\mathcal{R}} \rightarrow 0$$

where  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}/\mathfrak{M}}$  is the canonical perfect obstruction theory defined in (2.28).

*Proof.* Consider the universal punctured R-map  $f: C^{\circ} \rightarrow \infty$  over  $\mathcal{R}$  with the projection  $\pi: C^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ . By Lemma 6.3 and (2.28), we obtain a distinguished triangle

$$(9.18) \quad R^{\bullet} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{C^{\circ}} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}/\mathfrak{M}} \rightarrow R^{\bullet} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{C^{\circ}} \boxtimes \Omega_{\infty_{\mathcal{X}}}^{\vee} \xrightarrow{[1]}$$

Taking part of the long exact sequence, we obtain

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{R}} \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}/\mathfrak{M}}) \xrightarrow{\phi} \Omega_{\infty_{\mathcal{X}}}^{\vee}|_{\mathcal{R}} \rightarrow \dots$$

We will show that  $\phi$  is surjective so that (9.18) leads to an exact sequence

$$(9.19) \quad 0 \rightarrow R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{C^{\circ}} \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}/\mathfrak{M}}) \rightarrow R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{C^{\circ}} \boxtimes \Omega_{\infty_{\mathcal{X}}}^{\vee} \rightarrow 0.$$

Indeed, the isomorphism  $\underline{\mathcal{R}} \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1} \times \infty_{\mathcal{X}}$  implies that  $\Omega_{\underline{\mathcal{R}}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}}^{\vee} \cong \Omega_{\infty_{\mathcal{X}}}^{\vee}$ . On the other hand, the sequence  $\underline{\mathcal{R}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathfrak{M}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$  yields a triangle

$$\mathbb{L}_{\underline{\mathcal{R}}/\underline{\mathfrak{M}}}^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\underline{\mathcal{R}}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}}^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\underline{\mathfrak{M}}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}}^{\vee} \xrightarrow{[1]}$$

We may take  $\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathfrak{M}_{1,(-1)}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})$  to be the open substack with stable source curves, hence containing the image of  $\underline{\mathcal{R}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{1,(-1)}(\infty_{\mathcal{A}})$ . The morphism  $\underline{\mathfrak{M}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$  is smooth of dimension  $-1$ , which

implies  $H^0(\mathbb{L}_{\underline{\mathcal{M}}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}}^\vee) = 0$ , and hence the surjection  $H^0(\mathbb{L}_{\underline{\mathcal{R}}/\underline{\mathcal{M}}}^\vee) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{L}_{\underline{\mathcal{R}}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}}^\vee)$ . Since both  $\underline{\mathcal{R}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $\underline{\mathcal{R}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$  are smooth, the morphism  $\phi$  is given by the following composition

$$H^0(\mathbb{E}_{\underline{\mathcal{R}}/\underline{\mathcal{M}}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^0(\mathbb{L}_{\underline{\mathcal{R}}/\underline{\mathcal{M}}}^\vee) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{L}_{\underline{\mathcal{R}}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}}^\vee) \cong \Omega_{\underline{\mathcal{R}}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}}^\vee \cong \Omega_{\infty_{\mathcal{X}}}^\vee$$

hence is surjective.

Finally, the statement follows from (9.19) and  $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^\circ} = \mathcal{H}^\vee|_{\underline{\mathcal{R}}}$  by Serre duality.  $\square$

**Proposition 9.8.** *The canonical virtual cycle  $[\underline{\mathcal{R}}]^{\text{vir}}$  is given by*

$$(9.20) \quad [\underline{\mathcal{R}}]^{\text{vir}} = c_1(\mathcal{H}^\vee|_{\underline{\mathcal{R}}}) \cdot e(\mathcal{H}^\vee \boxtimes \Omega_{\infty_{\mathcal{X}}}^\vee) \in A_0(\underline{\mathcal{R}}).$$

*Proof.* The formula (9.20) follows from Lemma 9.7 using the fact that the underlying stacks of  $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $\underline{\mathcal{R}}$  are smooth, and that  $\mathcal{H}^\vee$  is rank-one locally free.

By Proposition 9.6, we have  $\dim \underline{\mathcal{R}} = \dim(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1} \times \infty_{\mathcal{X}}) = \dim \infty_{\mathcal{X}} + 1$ . Thus (9.20) implies that  $[\underline{\mathcal{R}}]^{\text{vir}}$  is of dimension zero.  $\square$

9.4.3. *The reduced virtual cycle.* Recall the notation  $\underline{\mathcal{R}} := \underline{\mathcal{R}}_{1,1}(\infty, 0)$ , and consider the reduced virtual cycle  $[\underline{\mathcal{R}}]^{\text{red}}$  defined due to (9.13).

**Proposition 9.9.** *The reduced virtual cycle  $[\underline{\mathcal{R}}]^{\text{red}}$  is of dimension one, and is given by*

$$(9.21) \quad [\underline{\mathcal{R}}]^{\text{red}} = c_{\dim \infty_{\mathcal{X}}}(\mathcal{H}^\vee \boxtimes (\Omega_{\infty_{\mathcal{X}}}^\vee + \mathcal{O}_{\infty_{\mathcal{X}}} - \mathbf{N})) \in A_1(\underline{\mathcal{R}}),$$

where the right hand side is the Chern class of a class in  $K$ -theory, and can be computed by taking the dimension-one part of the mixed degree class

$$\frac{c(\mathcal{H}^\vee \boxtimes \Omega_{\infty_{\mathcal{X}}}^\vee) \cdot c(\mathcal{H}^\vee|_{\underline{\mathcal{R}}})}{c(\mathcal{H}^\vee \boxtimes \mathbf{N})} \in A_*(\underline{\mathcal{R}}).$$

*Proof.* This follows from the bottom exact sequence of (3.38), noting that

$$\mathbb{F}_{\underline{\mathcal{R}}/\underline{\mathcal{M}}}[1] = \mathcal{O}(\Delta_{\max}) \cong \mathcal{H}^\vee \boxtimes \mathbf{N}$$

by Proposition 9.6.  $\square$

9.5. **Vanishing in higher genus.** In this subsection, we study several situations in which all  $g \geq 2$  effective invariants and cycles vanish. We will restrict ourselves mostly to the situation  $\dim \mathcal{Z} = \dim \mathcal{X} - \text{rk } \mathbf{E} > 3$ , since otherwise the balancing condition and virtual dimension constraint are automatically satisfied when  $\beta = 0$ . We begin by stating a general vanishing criterion.

**Lemma 9.10.** *Suppose that the following holds*

$$(9.22) \quad (3 - \dim \mathcal{X} + \text{rk } \mathbf{E})(g - 1) - \int_{\beta_{\mathcal{X}}} c_1(K_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \det \mathbf{E}) < 0.$$

*Then the cycle (9.1) vanishes if for each  $i$ , one of the following holds:*

- (1)  $c_i \leq -2$ ,
- (2)  $c_i = -1$  and  $\alpha_i \in H^{\geq 2}(\infty_{\mathcal{X}})$ .

*The effective invariant and cycles (9.2), (9.3) and (9.4) vanish unless there is an  $i$  such that  $c_i = -1$  and  $\alpha_i \in H^1(\infty_{\mathcal{X}})$ .*

*Proof.* Applying (9.22) to (9.8), we have

$$\text{red dim } \mathcal{R}_c < \sum_{i=1}^n (1 + \text{rk } \mathbf{E} \cdot (c_i + 1)) \leq n.$$

Thus, by a dimension count, in order for (9.1) to be non-vanishing, there must exist some  $i$  such that  $\alpha_i \in H^0(\infty_{\mathcal{X}})$  and  $c_i = -1$ . Applying the string equation (8.3) or the unit axiom (8.8) repeatedly, we may assume that either  $\alpha_i \in H^{\geq 2}(\infty_{\mathcal{X}})$  for any  $c_i = -1$ , or  $c_i \leq -2$  for all  $i$ . In both cases, the vanishing of (9.2), (9.3) and (9.4) follow from the vanishing of (9.1).  $\square$

**Proposition 9.11.** *Assume that  $g \geq 2$  and  $\dim \mathcal{Z} = \dim \mathcal{X} - \text{rk } \mathbf{E} > 3$ .*

- (1) *The inequality (9.22) holds if*

$$(9.23) \quad (3 - \dim \mathcal{X} + \text{rk } \mathbf{E}) \int_{\beta} c_1(\mathbf{N}) - 2 \int_{\beta_{\mathcal{X}}} c_1(K_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \det \mathbf{E}) < 0.$$

- (2) The inequality (9.22) holds for all effective  $\beta$  (including  $\beta = 0$ ) if (9.23) holds for a set of generators of  $\overline{NE}(\mathcal{X})$ , the closure of the cone of effective curves (also known as the pseudo-effective cone).

*Remark 9.12.* Note that (2) surprisingly claims that the strict bound (9.22) holds for  $\beta = 0$  despite the fact that (9.23) never holds for  $\beta = 0$ .

*Proof.* Applying the balancing condition (9.5), we obtain

$$(3 - \dim \mathcal{X} + \operatorname{rk} \mathbf{E})(g-1) - 2 \int_{\beta_{\mathcal{X}}} c_1(K_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \det \mathbf{E}) \leq 1/2 \cdot (3 - \dim \mathcal{X} + \operatorname{rk} \mathbf{E}) \int_{\beta} c_1(\mathbf{N}) - \int_{\beta_{\mathcal{X}}} c_1(K_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \det \mathbf{E}).$$

This implies (1).

Statement (2) for  $\beta \neq 0$  follows from the convexity of  $\overline{NE}(\mathcal{X})$ . While the inequality (9.23) does not hold for  $\beta = 0$ , the inequality (9.22) is automatically satisfied when  $\dim \mathcal{Z} > 3$  and  $\beta = 0$ .  $\square$

9.5.1. *Hypersurfaces.* We now consider the case of a hypersurface  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{X}$  in more detail – this is the case of  $\operatorname{rk} \mathbf{E} = 1$  in the setup of §9.1. In particular, we have

$$\infty_{\mathcal{X}} \cong \mathcal{X}, \quad \mathbf{N} = \mathbf{E}, \quad \beta = \beta_{\mathcal{X}}.$$

**Example 9.13.** Take  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{P}^N$  and  $\mathbf{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(d)$  for  $d > 0$ . We will assume in the following that  $N \geq 5$ , so that  $\mathcal{Z}$  is of dimension at least 4.

Then, all effective invariants and cycles vanish for  $g \geq 2$  if the following is satisfied

$$(9.24) \quad d > \frac{2N+2}{N-2},$$

or equivalently

$$(9.25) \quad N > \frac{2d+2}{d-2} \quad \text{and} \quad d > 2.$$

This is a consequence of Proposition 9.11, since  $\overline{NE}(\mathbb{P}^N)$  is spanned by the class of a line, (9.23) holds if

$$(9.26) \quad (4-N)d - 2(d-N-1) < 0,$$

which is equivalent to (9.24) since  $N \geq 5$ . This implies the vanishing in Lemma 9.10 since  $H^1(\mathbb{P}^N) = 0$ .

The inequality (9.24) is automatically satisfied in the Calabi–Yau and general type cases  $d \geq N+1$ , which is compatible with Corollary 9.3. In the Fano case  $d \leq N$ , the following is a complete list of  $(d, N)$  satisfying (9.25):

$$(9.27) \quad (d=3, N \geq 9), (d=4, N \geq 6), (d \geq 5, N \geq d)$$

**Example 9.14.** Example 9.13 immediately generalizes to the case

$$\mathcal{X} = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{P}^{N_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k)$$

with  $\sum_i N_i \geq 5$ . In this case all effective invariants and cycles vanish for  $g \geq 2$  assuming

$$(9.28) \quad d_j > \frac{2N_j+2}{\sum_i N_i - 2}, \quad \text{for all } j.$$

For example, assuming  $k \geq 2$ ,  $N_1 = \dots = N_k = N \geq 1$  and  $kN \geq 5$ , then (9.28) holds iff one of the following is satisfied

- (1)  $d_i \geq 1$  for all  $i$  if  $(k, N)$  belongs to the list

$$(k=3, N \geq 5), (k=4, N \geq 3), (k=5, 6, N \geq 2), (k \geq 7, N \geq 1).$$

- (2)  $d_i \geq 2$  for all  $i$  if  $(k, N)$  belongs to the list

$$(k=2, N \geq 3), (k=3, 4, N \geq 2), (k \geq 5, N \geq 1).$$

**Example 9.15.** We may also consider a degree  $d$  hypersurface  $\mathcal{Z}$  in a Plücker-embedded Grassmannian  $\mathcal{X} = \text{Gr}(K, N) \subset \mathbb{P}^{\binom{N}{K}-1}$ . In this case, we have  $K_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \mathbf{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(d - N)$ . We will assume that  $K(N - K) \geq 5$  so that  $\mathcal{Z}$  is of dimension at least 4. By Proposition 9.11 and a similar discussion as in Example 9.13, all cycles (9.1) vanish for  $g \geq 2$  if the following is satisfied:

$$(9.29) \quad d > \frac{2N}{K(N - K) - 2}$$

In this case, the Fano condition is  $d < N$ .

For example, when  $K = 2$ , the pair  $(d, N)$  satisfies the Fano condition and the inequality (9.29) iff

$$(d = 2, N \geq 7), (d = 3, N \geq 5), (d \geq 4, N \geq d + 1).$$

9.5.2. *Fano complete intersections.* We now discuss a criterion for the vanishing of effective invariants and cycles which is especially useful in the case of  $\text{rk } \mathbf{E} \geq 2$ .

Assume  $\mathbf{E}$  is ample. We fix an ample line bundle  $A$  over  $\mathcal{X}$ , and consider the following

$$m_A := \sup\{m \in \mathbb{Q} \mid \mathbf{E} \otimes A^{-m} \text{ is nef}\} \quad \text{and} \quad m'_A := \inf\{m \in \mathbb{Q} \mid K_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \det \mathbf{E} \otimes A^m \text{ is nef}\}.$$

Note that  $m_A > 0$  is called the *Barton invariant* of  $\mathbf{E}$  [66, Example 6.2.14]. Furthermore  $m'_A > 0$  iff  $-K_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \det \mathbf{E}$  is ample, or equivalently  $\mathcal{Z}$  is Fano.

**Proposition 9.16.** *Assume that  $\mathbf{E}$  is ample, that  $\dim \mathcal{X} - \text{rk } \mathbf{E} \geq 4$ , and that  $g \geq 2$ . Furthermore, suppose that there is an ample line bundle  $A$  such that  $m'_A \geq 0$  and*

$$3 - \dim \mathcal{X} + \text{rk } \mathbf{E} + \frac{2m'_A}{m_A} < 0.$$

*Then, the effective invariant (9.2) and cycles (9.3) and (9.4) vanish assuming  $\alpha_i \notin H^1(\infty_{\mathcal{X}})$  for any  $c_i = -1$ .*

*Proof.* We establish this proposition by establishing the conditions in Lemma 9.10.

By the following lemma, (9.23) holds if

$$(3 - \dim \mathcal{X} + \text{rk } \mathbf{E}) \cdot m_A \int_{\beta_{\mathcal{X}}} c_1(A) + 2m'_A \int_{\beta_{\mathcal{X}}} c_1(A) < 0.$$

Assuming that  $\beta \neq 0$ , we have  $\int_{\beta_{\mathcal{X}}} c_1(A) \neq 0$ . Then the above inequality is equivalent to

$$3 - \dim \mathcal{X} + \text{rk } \mathbf{E} + \frac{2m'_A}{m_A} < 0.$$

The conditions in Lemma 9.10 (including the case  $\beta = 0$ ) then follow from Proposition 9.11.  $\square$

**Lemma 9.17.** *Suppose  $\mathbf{E}$  is ample. Let  $A$  be an ample line bundle over  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $\mathbf{E} \otimes A^{\vee}$  is nef. Then we have*

$$\int_{\beta} c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\infty_{\mathcal{X}}}(1)) \geq \int_{\beta_{\mathcal{X}}} c_1(A).$$

*Proof.* Note the isomorphisms  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}} \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{E}^{\vee} \otimes A)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\infty_{\mathcal{X}}}(1) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{E}^{\vee} \otimes A)}(1) \otimes A$ , and that  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{E}^{\vee} \otimes A)}(1)$  is nef. We compute

$$\int_{\beta} c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\infty_{\mathcal{X}}}(1)) = \int_{\beta} c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{E}^{\vee} \otimes A)}(1) \otimes A) \geq \int_{\beta_{\mathcal{X}}} c_1(A).$$

$\square$

**Example 9.18.** Consider the case where  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{P}^N$  and  $\mathbf{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(d_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(d_R)$  with  $2 \leq d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \cdots \leq d_R$ . In this case, we have  $K_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \det \mathbf{E} = \mathcal{O}(-N - 1 + \sum d_j)$ . Choose  $A = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1)$ . Then we have  $m'_A = N + 1 - \sum d_j$  and  $m_A = d_1$ . In order to apply Proposition 9.16, we assume that  $N - R \geq 4$ , and the Fano case  $\sum d_j \leq N$ , which is equivalent to the condition  $m'_A > 0$ .

Since  $H^1(\infty_{\mathcal{X}}) = 0$ , the condition in Proposition 9.16 that  $\alpha_i \notin H^1(\infty_{\mathcal{X}})$  is vacuous. Applying Proposition 9.16, we observe that all cycles (9.1), (9.3) and (9.4) and the effective invariants (9.2) vanish for any  $g \geq 2$  and any  $\beta \in H_2(\infty_{\mathcal{X}})$  assuming

$$(9.30) \quad 3 - N + R + \frac{2}{d_1} \cdot (N + 1 - \sum d_j) < 0.$$

This threshold (9.30) in the Fano range  $\sum d_j \leq N$  turns out to be very efficient:

**The  $R = 1$  case.** In this case (9.30) is equivalent to (9.26), see (9.27) for an explicit list.

**The  $R \geq 2, d_1 \geq 3$  case.** We observe that in the Fano range, (9.30) is automatically satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} 3 - N + R + \frac{2}{d_1} \cdot (N + 1 - \sum d_j) &= \left(3 + \frac{2}{d_1}\right) - \left(1 - \frac{2}{d_1}\right)N - \sum \left(\frac{2d_j}{d_1} - 1\right) \\ &\leq \left(3 + \frac{2}{3}\right) - \left(1 - \frac{2}{d_1}\right)Rd_1 - \sum (2 - 1) \\ &= 3 + \frac{2}{3} - R(d_1 - 1) \\ &< 3 + \frac{2}{3} - 2(3 - 1) = -\frac{1}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality uses  $d_1 \leq d_j$  and  $Rd_1 \leq \sum d_j \leq N$ , and the last inequality uses  $2 \leq R$  and  $3 \leq d_1$ . Thus the genus  $g \geq 2$  reduced theory vanishes completely for all Fano complete intersections in this case!

**The  $R \geq 2, d_1 = 2$  case.** In this situation, the threshold (9.30) simplifies to

$$\sum_i (d_i - 1) > 4.$$

Therefore, when  $R \geq 2$ , the threshold (9.30) holds for Fano complete intersections  $\mathcal{Z}$ , unless  $(d_1, \dots, d_R)$  is one of the following

$$(2, 2), (2, 3), (2, 4), (2, 2, 2), (2, 2, 3), (2, 2, 2, 2).$$

**9.6. Basic effective invariants.** Next, we investigate situations in which the effective invariants, while in general non-vanishing, are determined by a small set of *basic effective invariants*. The most interesting examples are Calabi–Yau threefold complete intersections, including quintic threefolds.

**Definition 9.19.** Assume that  $g \geq 2$  and  $\beta$  satisfy

$$(9.31) \quad (3 - \dim \mathcal{X} + \text{rk } \mathbf{E})(g - 1) - \int_{\beta_{\mathcal{X}}} c_1(K_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \det \mathbf{E}) = 0,$$

as well as the balancing condition  $\int_{\beta} c_1(\mathbf{N}) \leq 2g - 2$  of (9.5) with *equality* when  $\text{rk } \mathbf{E} \geq 2$ . Then, the *basic effective invariant* of genus  $g$  and degree  $\beta$  is the effective invariant

$$\text{deg}[\mathcal{R}_{\varsigma}^{\diamond}]^{\text{red}},$$

for the special discrete data  $\varsigma = (g, \beta, \{-2\}_{i=1}^n)$  where  $n = 2g - 2 - \int_{\beta} c_1(\mathbf{N})$ .

*Remark 9.20.* The assumptions in the definition ensure that the balancing condition holds and  $\text{red dim } \mathcal{R}_{\varsigma} = 0$  by (9.8).

**Proposition 9.21.** *Under the assumptions of Definition 9.19, and further assuming that  $H^1(\infty_{\mathcal{X}}) = 0$ , any genus  $g$ , degree  $\beta$  effective invariant (9.2) is determined by the corresponding basic effective invariants.*

*Proof.* By (8.3), (8.4) and  $H^1(\infty_{\mathcal{X}}) = 0$ , we may assume that in (9.2), if  $c_i = -1$ , then  $\alpha_i \in H^{\geq 3}(\infty_{\mathcal{X}})$ . By (9.8) and (9.31), we have the reduced virtual dimension

$$\text{red dim } \mathcal{R}_{\varsigma} = \sum_{i=1}^n (1 + \text{rk } \mathbf{E}(c_i + 1)).$$

Hence, when  $\text{rk } \mathbf{E} \geq 2$ , the integral vanishes for dimension reasons unless  $k = 0$  and  $n = 0$  in (9.2). When  $\text{rk } \mathbf{E} = 1$ , we instead conclude that  $k = 0$ ,  $c_i = -2$  and  $\alpha_1 \in H^0(\infty_{\mathcal{X}})$  for all  $i$ .  $\square$

**Assumption 9.22.** Let  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}}$  be such that  $H^1(\infty_{\mathcal{X}}) = 0$ . Assume that for any  $(g, \beta)$  with  $g \geq 2$  satisfying the balancing condition (9.5), we have

$$(9.32) \quad (3 - \dim \mathcal{X} + \text{rk } \mathbf{E})(g - 1) - \int_{\beta_{\mathcal{X}}} c_1(K_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \det \mathbf{E}) \leq 0.$$

**Example 9.23.** Assumption 9.22 is satisfied when  $H^1(\infty_{\mathcal{X}}) = 0$ , and  $\mathcal{Z}$  is a Calabi–Yau threefold, since then

$$3 - \dim \mathcal{X} + \operatorname{rk} \mathbf{E} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c_1(K_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \det \mathbf{E}) = 0.$$

Note that we do allow  $H^1(\mathcal{Z}) \neq 0$ . This is the most interesting situation for applications.

**Corollary 9.24.** *Under Assumption 9.22, any  $g \geq 2$  effective invariant (9.2) of either vanishes or is determined by the corresponding basic effective invariant.*

*The set of genus  $g$  basic effective invariants is indexed by the set of curve classes*

$$(9.33) \quad \left\{ \beta \mid \int_{\beta} c_1(\mathbf{N}) \leq 2g - 2 \text{ and (9.31) holds} \right\}$$

*in the case  $\operatorname{rk} \mathbf{E} = 1$ , and*

$$(9.34) \quad \left\{ \beta \mid \int_{\beta} c_1(\mathbf{N}) = 2g - 2 \text{ and (9.31) holds} \right\}$$

*when  $\operatorname{rk} \mathbf{E} \geq 2$ . Furthermore, when  $\mathbf{E}$  is ample, these sets are finite for any  $g$ .*

*Proof.* This follows directly from Lemma 9.10 and Proposition 9.21.  $\square$

*Remark 9.25.* Thus, combining with the explicit formulas for effective invariants in genus zero and one, in the situation of Assumption 9.22 and if  $\mathbf{E}$  is ample, the localization formula of [30] leads to a computation of the Gromov–Witten invariants of the complete intersection  $\mathcal{Z}$  up to a finite number of basic effective invariants.

*Remark 9.26.* If  $H^1(\mathcal{X}) = 0$ , the basic effective invariants also determine all effective cycles in cohomology. Indeed, since  $\deg: H_0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  is an isomorphism, all cycles in the situation  $\operatorname{red} \dim \mathcal{R}_{\zeta} = 0$  are determined from the basic effective invariants. From this, we can use (8.8) inductively to determine the remaining effective cycles in cohomology.

It would be very interesting to study what can be said about effective cycles in Chow in this situation. If Question 9.36 below has an affirmative answer in this case, it would imply that the effective cycles in Chow are also determined from the basic effective invariants.

9.6.1. *Calabi–Yau threefold examples.* The most interesting examples for basic effective invariants are in the Calabi–Yau case (see Example 9.23). We discuss a few examples, and point out connections to physics.

**Example 9.27.** Suppose  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{P}^4$  and  $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{N} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(5)$ . Then  $X_5 := \mathcal{Z} = (s_{\mathcal{X}} = 0)$  is a smooth quintic threefold. For each  $g \geq 1$ ,  $\beta$  in (9.33) satisfies  $\beta \leq \lfloor \frac{2g-2}{5} \rfloor$ . Thus there are  $\lfloor \frac{2g-2}{5} \rfloor + 1$  many basic effective invariants.

The number of basic effective invariants agrees with the number [57, (3.80)] of unknown coefficients at genus  $g$  that physicists find after using the holomorphic anomaly equations, the conifold gap and the orbifold regularity.<sup>5</sup> This suggests that these constraints on the Gromov–Witten theory of  $\mathcal{X}$  can be approached via the localization formula of [29] even without knowing the values of the basic effective invariants. This is investigated in [51].

**Example 9.28.** Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be a complete intersection Calabi–Yau threefold in projective space. Beside quintic threefolds in Example 9.27, there are four other examples of such  $\mathcal{Z}$ :

$$X_{3,3} \subset \mathbb{P}^5, \quad X_{2,2,2,2} \subset \mathbb{P}^7, \quad X_{2,4} \subset \mathbb{P}^5, \quad X_{2,2,3} \subset \mathbb{P}^6,$$

where  $X_{d_1, \dots, d_k}$  means a complete intersection of  $k$  hypersurfaces of degree  $d_1, \dots, d_k$  respectively. We consider the number of basic effective invariants in these examples, and compare them with the unknown coefficients appearing in the physics work [57].

**The  $X_{3,3}$  case.** In this case, we have

$$\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{P}^5, \quad \mathbf{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(3)^{\oplus 2}, \quad \infty_{\mathcal{X}} \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(-3)^{\oplus 2}) \cong \mathbb{P}^5 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \quad \mathbf{N} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(3) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1).$$

<sup>5</sup>Using the formula for the degree zero Gromov–Witten invariants of  $X_5$ , they further reduce the number of coefficients to  $\lfloor \frac{2g-2}{5} \rfloor$ . In the same way, the localization formula of [30] can be used to determine the degree zero effective invariant in terms of the degree zero Gromov–Witten invariant.

Letting  $\beta_1 = \int_{\beta} c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(1))$  and  $\beta_2 = \int_{\beta} c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1))$ , and using  $\int_{\beta} c_1(\mathbf{N}) = 2g - 2$ , we observe

$$\beta_1 \leq \frac{2g-2}{3}, \quad \beta_2 = 2g - 2 - 3\beta_1.$$

Thus there are  $\lfloor \frac{2g-2}{3} \rfloor + 1$  many basic effective invariants. As in Example 9.27, this agrees with the count of unknown coefficients in physics (up to a “+1”) [57, (4.92)].

**The  $X_{2,2,2,2}$  case.** In this case, we have

$$\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{P}^7, \quad \mathbf{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^7}(2)^{\oplus 4}, \quad \infty_{\mathcal{X}} \cong \mathbb{P}^7 \times \mathbb{P}^3, \quad \mathbf{N} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^7}(2) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1).$$

Letting  $\beta_1 = \int_{\beta} c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^7}(1))$  and  $\beta_2 = \int_{\beta} c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1))$ , and using  $\int_{\beta} c_1(\mathbf{N}) = 2g - 2$ , we observe that

$$\beta_1 \leq g - 1, \quad \beta_2 = 2g - 2 - 2\beta_1.$$

Thus there are  $g$  many basic effective invariants, again agreeing with [57, (4.92)].

**The  $X_{2,4}$  case.** In this case, we have

$$\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{P}^5, \quad \mathbf{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(4), \quad \infty_{\mathcal{X}} \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(-4)),$$

and  $\mathbf{N}$  is the dual of the tautological sub-bundle. Letting  $\beta_1 = \int_{\beta} c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(1))$ , we have

$$0 \leq \beta_1 \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \int_{\beta} c_1(\mathbf{N}) = g - 1.$$

Thus there are  $g$  basic effective invariants in this case. This *only* agrees with the number of unknown coefficients in [57] if only the holomorphic anomaly equations and the conifold gap condition are used, but *not* the orbifold gap condition. This suggests that there may be further constraints on the basic effective invariants for  $\frac{g-1}{2} < \beta_1 < g - 1$ . Geometrically, a stable map  $C \rightarrow \infty_{\mathcal{X}}$  with curve class in this range necessarily has component(s) dominating fiber(s) of the  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ .

**The  $X_{2,2,3}$  case.** In this case, we have

$$\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{P}^6, \quad \mathbf{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^6}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^6}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^6}(3), \quad \infty_{\mathcal{X}} \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^6}(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^6}(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^6}(-3)),$$

and  $\mathbf{N}$  is the dual of the tautological sub-bundle. Repeating the same analysis as above, there are  $g$  basic effective invariants in this case, agreeing with the number in [57].

**Example 9.29.** As an example of a Calabi–Yau threefold complete intersection in a Grassmannian, consider a complete intersection of seven planes with a Plücker-embedded  $\mathrm{Gr}(2, 7) \subset \mathbb{P}^{20}$ . This appears on one side of the *Pfaffian–Grassmannian* correspondence (see for example [79, 65, 8] and [40, Section 7.4.1]).

In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X} &= \mathrm{Gr}(2, 7), & \mathbf{E} &= \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{20}}(1)^{\oplus 7}|_{\mathcal{X}}, \\ \infty_{\mathcal{X}} &= \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{20}}(-1)^{\oplus 7}|_{\mathcal{X}}) \cong \mathrm{Gr}(2, 7) \times \mathbb{P}^6, & \mathbf{N} &\cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{20}}(1)|_{\mathcal{X}} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^6}(1) \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $\beta_1 = \int_{\beta} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{20}}(1)|_{\mathcal{X}}$  and  $\beta_2 = \int_{\beta} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^6}(1)$ , we get the condition

$$\beta_1 + \beta_2 = 2g - 2.$$

Hence, there are  $(2g - 2) + 1$  basic effective invariants.

We do not see any direct relation to the work [55] in physics, but it would be very interesting to further investigate the computation of higher genus Gromov–Witten invariants in this setting.

9.6.2. *Other examples.* We point out some other situations when Assumption 9.22 holds.

**Example 9.30.** Assume that

$$3 - \dim \mathcal{X} + \mathrm{rk} \mathbf{E} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c_1(K_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \det \mathbf{E}) < 0.$$

Thus  $\mathcal{Z}$  is a threefold of general type. In this example,  $\beta = 0$  is the only possible curve class with non-negative reduced virtual dimension, and thus there is exactly one basic effective invariant in each genus. On the other hand, threefolds of general type have exactly one vanishing Gromov–Witten invariant in genus  $\geq 2$ , and so the localization formula of [30] will not lead to new information in this example.



**Example 9.31.** In the context of Example 9.13, the cases  $(d = 3, N = 8)$  and  $(d = 4, N = 5)$  just outside of the list (9.27) fall under Assumption 9.22.

For instance, for  $d = 3, N = 8$ , (9.32) becomes  $4(1 - g) + 6d \leq 0$ , which is a consequence of the balancing condition  $3d \leq 2g - 2$ . In that case, there is exactly one basic effective invariant for  $\beta = \frac{2g-2}{3}$  only defined when  $g \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

In the case  $d = 4, N = 5$ , there is one basic effective invariant for  $\beta = \frac{g-1}{2}$  only defined when  $g \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ .

**Example 9.32.** For a hypersurface of bidegree  $(3, 1)$  in  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{P}^5 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , note that  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}}$  agrees with the  $X_{3,3}$  case in the Calabi–Yau threefold Example 9.28. In particular, both of these examples satisfy Assumption 9.22, and they have the same  $\lfloor \frac{2g-2}{3} \rfloor$  basic effective invariants in genus  $g$ .

There are also other examples of hypersurfaces in products of projective spaces satisfying Assumption 9.22. For instance, for a hypersurface of bidegree  $(2, 2)$  in  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3$ , there are  $g$  basic effective invariants.

**9.7. Changing  $\ell$  for effective invariants and cycles.** We consider here effective invariants under the change of  $\ell$  of Section 5. Let  $\infty$  be the target from §9.1, and let  $\infty^{1/\ell}$  be the  $\ell$ -th root as in (5.1). We will observe that there is a direct correspondence between effective invariants/cycles of  $\infty$  and of  $\infty^{1/\ell}$ .

First, (5.2) and Lemma 5.3 establish a bijection between discrete data  $(g, \beta, \bar{\varsigma})$  for punctured R-maps to  $\infty$  and discrete data  $(g, \beta, \varsigma)$  for punctured R-maps to  $\infty^{1/\ell}$ . It then follows from Corollary 5.10:

**Corollary 9.33.** *We have the identity of effective invariants*

$$\ell^{1+k} \int_{[\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^{\diamond}(\infty^{1/\ell}, \beta)]^{\text{red}}} \psi_{\min}^k \cdot \prod \text{ev}_i^* \alpha_i = \int_{[\mathcal{R}_{g,\bar{\varsigma}}^{\diamond}(\infty, \beta)]^{\text{red}}} \psi_{\min}^k \cdot \prod \text{ev}_i^* \alpha_i,$$

and the identity of effective cycles

$$\ell^{1+k} p_{\varsigma,*} \left( \psi_{\min}^k \cdot \prod \text{ev}_i^* \alpha_i \cap [\mathcal{R}_{g,\varsigma}^{\diamond}(\infty^{1/\ell}, \beta)]^{\text{red}} \right) = p_{\bar{\varsigma},*} \left( \psi_{\min}^k \cdot \prod \text{ev}_i^* \alpha_i \cap [\mathcal{R}_{g,\bar{\varsigma}}^{\diamond}(\infty, \beta)]^{\text{red}} \right).$$

**9.7.1. LG/CY correspondence for effective invariants.** Corollary 9.33 has a major application to the forthcoming work [49] on the all genus LG/CY correspondence for quintic threefolds, which is an explicit relationship between the Gromov–Witten (CY) theory of quintic threefolds and the FJRW (LG) theory of quintic threefold singularities. In the log GLSM approach to these theories, the effective invariants of each theory form the most difficult part. What enables the forthcoming work [49], is a close relationship between the  $\infty$ -divisors of the log GLSM targets of both theories.

More explicitly, the log GLSM target for Gromov–Witten theory is isomorphic to

$$\underline{\mathfrak{F}}^{\text{GW}} = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^* \times \mathbb{P}^4}((\mathcal{L}_{\omega} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(-5)) \oplus \mathcal{O})$$

over  $\mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*$ . The log GLSM target for FJRW theory is isomorphic to

$$\underline{\mathfrak{F}}^{\text{FJRW}} = \mathbb{P}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}^{\oplus 5} \oplus \mathcal{O}),$$

where  $\mathfrak{X} \cong \mathbf{BG}_m \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*$  is induced by taking the 5th power, and where  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  is the universal 5th root line bundle. The corresponding  $\infty$ -divisors are  $\underline{\infty}^{\text{GW}} \cong \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^* \times \mathbb{P}^4$  with logarithmic structure defined from the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}(\underline{\infty}^{\text{GW}}) = \mathcal{L}_{\omega}^{\vee} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(5)$ , and  $\underline{\infty}^{\text{FJRW}} = \mathbb{P}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}^{\oplus 5}) \cong \mathfrak{X} \times \mathbb{P}^4$  with logarithmic structure defined from the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}(\underline{\infty}^{\text{FJRW}}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}^{\vee} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(1)$ .

**Lemma 9.34.** *There is an isomorphism  $\underline{\infty}^{\text{GW},1/5} \cong \underline{\infty}^{\text{FJRW}}$  over  $\mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*$ , where  $\underline{\infty}^{\text{GW},1/5}$  is the root construction of Section 5 for  $\ell = 5$  applied to  $\underline{\infty}^{\text{GW}}$ .*

*Proof.* The isomorphism  $\underline{\infty}^{\text{FJRW}} \rightarrow \underline{\infty}^{\text{GW},1/5}$  is induced by the natural morphism  $\mathfrak{X} \times \mathbb{P}^4 \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^* \times \mathbb{P}^4$ , as well as the 5th root  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}^{\vee} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(1)$  of  $\mathcal{O}(\underline{\infty}^{\text{GW}})$ . Under this correspondence, clearly,  $\mathcal{O}(\underline{\infty}^{\text{FJRW}})$  is identified with  $\mathcal{O}(\underline{\infty}^{\text{GW},1/5})$ , and so the isomorphism extends to the level of logarithmic stacks over  $\mathbf{BC}_{\omega}^*$ .  $\square$

Hence, (up to powers of 5) the Gromov–Witten and FJRW theory of quintics have the same effective invariants. We call this the *LG/CY-correspondence for effective invariants*. This together with the localization formula of [29] applied to the log R-map moduli space for both the Gromov–Witten and FJRW theory of quintics are the geometric input for the work [49].

*Remark 9.35.* There is an LG/CY-correspondence for effective invariants not just for the Gromov–Witten and FJRW theory of quintic threefolds, but more generally for degree  $d$  hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{P}^N$ , which are not necessarily Calabi–Yau. In this case, the relevant targets of punctured R-maps are related via a logarithmic root construction for  $\ell = d$ .

9.7.2. *Witten’s  $r$ -spin class, effective spin structures and holomorphic differentials.* One of the initial motivations toward the development [31] of the technique of log GLSM was to solve the conjecture [76, Conjecture A.1], which provides a formula for the class of a stratum of holomorphic differentials in terms of Witten’s  $r$ -spin class. In future work [28], we will address the conjecture (and generalizations) using the techniques developed in [29], [31] and this paper. Understanding the dependence of Witten’s  $r$ -spin class under changing  $r$  plays a crucial role for this. We briefly outline this connection here.

The log GLSM target corresponding to Witten’s  $r$ -spin class is  $\mathfrak{P}_r = \mathbb{P}_{\mathfrak{X}_r}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}_r} \oplus \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$ , where  $\mathfrak{X}_r \cong \mathbf{BG}_m \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$  is defined via the  $r$ th power map, and where  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}_r}$  is the universal  $r$ th root. Clearly, the corresponding  $\infty$ -divisor is given by  $\underline{\infty}_r = \mathfrak{X}_r$  with  $\mathcal{O}(\infty_r) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}_r}^\vee$ , and the target  $\infty_r$  can be obtained from  $\infty_1$  via an  $r$ th logarithmic root construction.

Combining [31, Theorem 1.6] and the localization formula of [29], we can express Witten’s  $r$ -spin class using a combination of effective cycles, and Chiodo’s class [33], which is an analog of twisted Gromov–Witten theory. The dependence on  $r$  of Chiodo’s class on the moduli of curves is well-understood (see e.g. [58, 36]), and Corollary 9.33 tells us the dependence of the effective cycles on  $r$ .

It will be proven in [28] that any effective cycle (9.3) for  $k = 0$  agrees with the class of the closure of the corresponding locus of curves with effective  $r$ -spin structures of [78]. For  $r = 1$ , we obtain the classes of strata of holomorphic differentials.

9.8. **Tautological effective cycles.** For every log GLSM target  $\infty$  as in Section 9, the effective cycles of (9.3) and (9.4) are cycles in the cohomology or Chow ring of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ . It is natural to ask whether these cycles lie in the tautological ring of [39]:

**Question 9.36.** *Under what conditions (on the target  $\infty$ , the discrete invariants, and the insertions) does an effective cycle (9.3) lie in the tautological ring  $RH^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}) \subset H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ ? What can be said about the analogous question for the effective cycles lie in the tautological Chow ring  $R^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}) \subset A^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ ?*

This question is an analog of the corresponding question for Gromov–Witten classes discussed in [11, Section 0.7] and [83], and which we state below in Question 9.37. In [29], we will discuss some connections to these questions.

Before continuing, let us state a couple of immediate results towards Question 9.36. The vanishing results in Section 9.5 imply that many  $g \geq 2$  effective cycles are tautological since they vanish. The Chow version of Question 9.36 implies its cohomology version, in the case that all insertions lie in the image of the cycle class map. The future work [28] discussed in Section 9.7.2 will imply that Question 9.36 has an affirmative answer in cohomology for  $k = 0$  for the  $r$ -spin target  $\mathfrak{X}_r \rightarrow \mathbf{BC}_\omega^*$ , and we plan to also study the case of general  $k$ . The discussion in Section 9.7 implies that studying Question 9.36 for a target  $\infty$  is equivalent to studying the same question for its  $r$ th logarithmic root  $\infty^{1/r}$ .

We now recall the definition of Gromov–Witten classes. Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a smooth Deligne–Mumford stack  $\mathcal{X}$  with projective coarse moduli, a genus  $g$ , a curve class  $\beta \in H_2(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Z})$ , and let  $\underline{\alpha}_1, \dots, \underline{\alpha}_n \in H^*(\overline{\mathcal{I}}_\mu \mathcal{X})$  be (Chen–Ruan) cohomology classes. Then, we may define the Gromov–Witten class in cohomology

$$p_* \left( \prod \text{ev}_i^* \alpha_i \cap [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)]^{\text{vir}} \right) \in H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}),$$

where  $p: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{X}, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  denotes the forgetful map from the moduli of stable maps. If  $\underline{\alpha}_1, \dots, \underline{\alpha}_n \in A^*(\overline{\mathcal{I}}_\mu \mathcal{X})$  are Chow classes, we may also define the corresponding Gromov–Witten class in Chow:

$$p_* \left( \prod \text{ev}_i^* \alpha_i \cap [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)]^{\text{vir}} \right) \in A^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}),$$

**Question 9.37.** *Under what conditions do Gromov–Witten classes in cohomology lie in the tautological ring? What about the analogous question for Gromov–Witten classes in Chow?*

While all currently known examples of Gromov–Witten classes in cohomology are tautological, the same is not true in Chow. Hence, we should expect that Question 9.36 does not always have an affirmative answer in Chow. We may still expect the following strong connection between Question 9.37 and Question 9.36.

**Conjecture 9.38.** *Suppose  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}}$  has tautological Gromov–Witten classes in cohomology (resp. Chow). Then effective classes of  $\infty$  are tautological in cohomology (resp. Chow).*

The explicit formulas in the genus one case (9.21) together with the Grothendieck–Riemann–Roch calculation of [82], yields the following small evidence for the conjecture:

**Proposition 9.39.** *Conjecture 9.38 is true in the genus one situation of § 9.4.1. In this special case, it is enough to assume that Gromov–Witten classes of  $\infty_{\mathcal{X}}$  are tautological in genus zero and one.*

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. Abramovich, C. Cadman, B. Fantechi, and J. Wise. Expanded degenerations and pairs. *Comm. Algebra*, 41(6):2346–2386, 2013. [arXiv:1110.2976](#).
- [2] D. Abramovich and Q. Chen. Stable logarithmic maps to Deligne–Faltings pairs II. *Asian J. Math.*, 18(3):465–488, 2014. [arXiv:1102.4531](#).
- [3] D. Abramovich, Q. Chen, M. Gross, and B. Siebert. Punctured logarithmic maps. [arXiv:2009.07720](#), 2020.
- [4] D. Abramovich and B. Fantechi. Configurations of points on degenerate varieties and properness of moduli spaces. *Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova*, 137:1–17, 2017. [arXiv:1406.2166](#).
- [5] D. Abramovich, T. Graber, and A. Vistoli. Gromov–Witten theory of Deligne–Mumford stacks. *Amer. J. Math.*, 130(5):1337–1398, 2008. [arXiv:math/0603151](#).
- [6] D. Abramovich and A. Vistoli. Compactifying the space of stable maps. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 15(1):27–75, 2002. [arXiv:math/9908167](#).
- [7] D. Abramovich and J. Wise. Birational invariance in logarithmic Gromov–Witten theory. *Compos. Math.*, 154(3):595–620, 2018. [arXiv:1306.1222](#).
- [8] N. Addington, W. Donovan, and E. Segal. The Pfaffian–Grassmannian equivalence revisited. *Algebr. Geom.*, 2(3):332–364, 2015. [arXiv:1401.3661](#).
- [9] J. Alper, J. Hall, and D. Rydh. A Luna étale slice theorem for algebraic stacks. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 191(3):675–738, 2020. [arXiv:1504.06467](#).
- [10] E. Andreini, Y. Jiang, and H.-H. Tseng. Gromov–witten theory of banded gerbes over schemes. [arXiv:1101.5996](#).
- [11] H. Argüz, R. Bousseau, Pierrick Pandharipande, and D. Zvonkine. Gromov–Witten theory of complete intersections. [arXiv:2109.13323](#).
- [12] Y. Bae, D. Holmes, R. Pandharipande, J. Schmitt, and R. Schwarz. Pixton’s formula and Abel–Jacobi theory on the Picard stack, 2020. [arXiv:2004.08676](#).
- [13] M. Bainbridge, D. Chen, Q. Gendron, S. Grushevsky, and M. Möller. The moduli space of multi-scale differentials. [arXiv:1910.13492](#), 2019.
- [14] K. Behrend. Gromov–Witten invariants in algebraic geometry. *Invent. Math.*, 127(3):601–617, 1997. [arXiv:alg-geom/9601011](#).
- [15] K. Behrend and B. Fantechi. The intrinsic normal cone. *Invent. Math.*, 128(1):45–88, 1997. [arXiv:alg-geom/9601010](#).
- [16] H.-L. Chang, S. Guo, and J. Li. BCOV’s Feynman rule of quintic 3-folds, 2018. [arXiv:1810.00394](#).
- [17] H.-L. Chang, S. Guo, and J. Li. Polynomial structure of Gromov–Witten potential of quintic 3-folds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 194(3):585–645, 2021. [arXiv:1809.11058](#).
- [18] H.-L. Chang, S. Guo, J. Li, and W.-P. Li. The theory of  $N$ -mixed-spin- $P$  fields. *Geom. Topol.*, 25(2):775–811, 2021.
- [19] H.-L. Chang, S. Guo, W.-P. Li, and J. Zhou. Genus-one Gromov–Witten invariants of quintic three-folds via MSP localization. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (19):6347–6390, 2020. [arXiv:1711.10118](#).
- [20] H.-L. Chang and J. Li. Gromov–Witten invariants of stable maps with fields. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 2012(18):4163–4217, 2012. [arXiv:1101.0914](#).
- [21] H.-L. Chang, J. Li, W.-P. Li, and C.-C. M. Liu. Mixed-spin- $P$  fields of Fermat polynomials. *Camb. J. Math.*, 7(3):319–364, 2019. [arXiv:1505.07532](#).
- [22] H.-L. Chang, J. Li, W.-P. Li, and C.-C. M. Liu. An effective theory of GW and FJRW invariants of quintic Calabi–Yau manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.*, 120(2):251–306, 2022. [arXiv:1603.06184](#).
- [23] H.-L. Chang and M.-L. Li. Invariants of stable quasimaps with fields. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 373(5):3669–3691, 2020. [arXiv:1804.05310](#).
- [24] D. Chen. Teichmüller dynamics in the eyes of an algebraic geometer. In *Surveys on recent developments in algebraic geometry*, volume 95 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 171–197. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2017. [arXiv:1602.02260](#).

- [25] D. Chen, S. Grushevsky, D. Holmes, M. Moller, and J. Schmitt. A tale of two moduli spaces: logarithmic and multi-scale differentials. [arXiv:2212.04704](#), 2022.
- [26] D. Chen, M. Möller, A. Sauvaget, and D. Zagier. Masur-Veech volumes and intersection theory on moduli spaces of Abelian differentials. *Invent. Math.*, 222(1):283–373, 2020. [arXiv:1901.01785](#).
- [27] Q. Chen. Stable logarithmic maps to Deligne-Faltings pairs I. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 180(2):455–521, 2014. [arXiv:1008.3090](#).
- [28] Q. Chen, F. Janda, R. Pandharipande, A. Pixton, Y. Ruan, A. Sauvaget, J. Schmitt, and D. Zvonkine. (in preparation).
- [29] Q. Chen, F. Janda, and Y. Ruan. The structural formulae of virtual cycles in logarithmic Gauged Linear Sigma Models. (in preparation).
- [30] Q. Chen, F. Janda, and Y. Ruan. The logarithmic gauged linear sigma model. *Invent. Math.*, 225(3):1077–1154, 2021. [arXiv:1906.04345](#).
- [31] Q. Chen, F. Janda, Y. Ruan, and A. Sauvaget. Towards logarithmic GLSM: the r-spin case. [arXiv:1805.02304](#).
- [32] Q. Chen, F. Janda, and R. Webb. Virtual cycles of stable (quasi-)maps with fields. *Adv. Math.*, 385:Paper No. 107781, 49, 2021. [arXiv:1911.09825](#).
- [33] A. Chiodo. Towards an enumerative geometry of the moduli space of twisted curves and  $r$ th roots. *Compos. Math.*, 144(6):1461–1496, 2008. [arXiv:math/0607324](#).
- [34] A. Chiodo and Y. Ruan. Landau-Ginzburg/Calabi-Yau correspondence for quintic three-folds via symplectic transformations. *Invent. Math.*, 182(1):117–165, 2010. [arXiv:0812.4660](#).
- [35] I. Ciocan-Fontanine, D. Favero, J. Guéré, B. Kim, and M. Shoemaker. Fundamental factorization of a GLSM, Part I: Construction, 2018. [arXiv:1802.05247](#).
- [36] E. Clader and F. Janda. Pixton’s double ramification cycle relations. *Geom. Topol.*, 22(2):1069–1108, 2018. [arXiv:1601.02871](#).
- [37] T. Coates and A. Givental. Quantum Riemann-Roch, Lefschetz and Serre. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 165(1):15–53, 2007. [arXiv:math/0110142](#).
- [38] K. Costello. Higher genus Gromov-Witten invariants as genus zero invariants of symmetric products. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 164(2):561–601, 2006. [arXiv:math/0303387](#).
- [39] C. Faber and R. Pandharipande. Relative maps and tautological classes. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 7(1):13–49, 2005. [arXiv:math/0304485](#).
- [40] H. Fan, T. J. Jarvis, and Y. Ruan. A mathematical theory of the gauged linear sigma model. *Geom. Topol.*, 22(1):235–303, 2018. [arXiv:1506.02109](#).
- [41] H. Fan and Y.-P. Lee. Towards a quantum Lefschetz hyperplane theorem in all genera. *Geom. Topol.*, 23(1):493–512, 2019. [arXiv:1712.03573](#).
- [42] G. Farkas and R. Pandharipande. The moduli space of twisted canonical divisors. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 17(3):615–672, 2018. [arXiv:1508.07940](#).
- [43] D. Favero and B. Kim. General GLSM invariants and their cohomological field theories, 2020. [arXiv:2006.12182](#).
- [44] A. B. Givental. Equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (13):613–663, 1996. [arXiv:alg-geom/9603021](#).
- [45] T. Graber and R. Pandharipande. Localization of virtual classes. *Invent. Math.*, 135(2):487–518, 1999. [arXiv:alg-geom/9708001](#).
- [46] T. Graber and R. Vakil. Relative virtual localization and vanishing of tautological classes on moduli spaces of curves. *Duke Math. J.*, 130(1):1–37, 2005. [arXiv:math/0309227](#).
- [47] M. Gross and B. Siebert. Logarithmic Gromov-Witten invariants. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 26(2):451–510, 2013. [arXiv:1102.4322](#).
- [48] J. Guéré. A generalization of the double ramification cycle via log-geometry, 2016. [arXiv:1603.09213](#).
- [49] S. Guo, F. Janda, and Y. Ruan. All genus LG/CY-correspondence for quintic threefolds. (in preparation).
- [50] S. Guo, F. Janda, and Y. Ruan. A mirror theorem for genus two Gromov-Witten invariants of quintic threefolds. [arXiv:1709.07392](#).
- [51] S. Guo, F. Janda, and Y. Ruan. Structure of higher genus Gromov-Witten invariants of quintic 3-folds. [arXiv:1812.11908](#).
- [52] L. Herr and J. Wise. Costello’s pushforward formula: errata and generalization, 2021. [arXiv:2103.10348](#).
- [53] D. Holmes. Extending the double ramification cycle by resolving the Abel-Jacobi map. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 20(1):331–359, 2021. [arXiv:1707.02261](#).
- [54] D. Holmes and J. Schmitt. Infinitesimal structure of the pluricanonical double ramification locus. *Compos. Math.*, 157(10):2280–2337, 2021. [arXiv:1909.11981](#).
- [55] S. Hosono and Y. Konishi. Higher genus Gromov-Witten invariants of the Grassmannian, and the Pfaffian Calabi-Yau 3-folds. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 13(2):463–495, 2009. [arXiv:0704.2928](#).
- [56] Y. Hu and J. Niu. A theory of stacks with twisted fields and resolution of moduli of genus two stable maps, 2020. [arXiv:2005.03384](#).
- [57] M.-x. Huang, A. Klemm, and S. Quackenbush. Topological string theory on compact Calabi-Yau: modularity and boundary conditions. In *Homological mirror symmetry*, volume 757 of *Lecture Notes in Phys.*, pages 45–102. Springer, Berlin, 2009. [arXiv:hep-th/0612125](#).
- [58] F. Janda, R. Pandharipande, A. Pixton, and D. Zvonkine. Double ramification cycles on the moduli spaces of curves. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 125:221–266, 2017. [arXiv:1602.04705](#).

- [59] F. Janda, R. Pandharipande, A. Pixton, and D. Zvonkine. Double ramification cycles with target varieties. *J. Topol.*, 13(4):1725–1766, 2020. [arXiv:1812.10136](#).
- [60] K. Kato. Logarithmic structures of Fontaine-Illusie. In *Algebraic analysis, geometry, and number theory (Baltimore, MD, 1988)*, pages 191–224. Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 1989.
- [61] B. Kim. Logarithmic stable maps. In *New developments in algebraic geometry, integrable systems and mirror symmetry (RIMS, Kyoto, 2008)*, volume 59 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 167–200. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2010.
- [62] B. Kim and H. Lho. Mirror theorem for elliptic quasimap invariants. *Geom. Topol.*, 22:1459–1481, 2018. [arXiv:1506.03196](#).
- [63] B. Kim and J. Oh. Localized Chern characters for 2-periodic complexes. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 28(2):Paper No. 23, 26, 2022. [arXiv:1804.03774](#).
- [64] M. Kontsevich and Y. Manin. Gromov-Witten classes, quantum cohomology, and enumerative geometry. In *Mirror symmetry, II*, volume 1 of *AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math.*, pages 607–653. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997. [arXiv:hep-th/9402147](#).
- [65] A. Kuznetsov. Homological projective duality for Grassmannians of lines, 2006. [arXiv:math/0610957](#).
- [66] R. Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in algebraic geometry. II*, volume 49 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Positivity for vector bundles, and multiplier ideals.
- [67] S. Lee, M.-L. Li, and J. Oh. Quantum lefschetz property for genus two stable quasimap invariants, 2022. [arXiv:2204.08757](#).
- [68] J. Li. A degeneration formula of GW-invariants. *J. Differential Geom.*, 60(2):199–293, 2002. [arXiv:math/0110113](#).
- [69] B. H. Lian, K. Liu, and S.-T. Yau. Mirror principle. I. *Asian J. Math.*, 1(4):729–763, 1997.
- [70] C. Manolache. Virtual pull-backs. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 21(2):201–245, 2012. [arXiv:0805.2065](#).
- [71] S. Marcus and J. Wise. Logarithmic compactification of the Abel-Jacobi section. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 121(5):1207–1250, 2020. [arXiv:1708.04471](#).
- [72] D. Maulik and R. Pandharipande. A topological view of Gromov-Witten theory. *Topology*, 45(5):887–918, 2006. [arXiv:math/0412503](#).
- [73] A. Ogus. *Lectures on logarithmic algebraic geometry*, volume 178 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2018.
- [74] M. C. Olsson. The logarithmic cotangent complex. *Math. Ann.*, 333(4):859–931, 2005.
- [75] M. C. Olsson. (Log) twisted curves. *Compos. Math.*, 143(2):476–494, 2007.
- [76] R. Pandharipande, A. Pixton, and D. Zvonkine. Tautological relations via  $r$ -spin structures. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 28(3):439–496, 2019. With an appendix by F. Janda and the authors.
- [77] R. Picciotto. Stable maps with fields to a projective variety, 2020. [arXiv:2009.04385](#).
- [78] A. Polishchuk. Moduli spaces of curves with effective  $r$ -spin structures. In *Gromov-Witten theory of spin curves and orbifolds*, volume 403 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 1–20. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006. [arXiv:math/0309217](#).
- [79] E. A. Rødland. The Pfaffian Calabi-Yau, its mirror, and their link to the Grassmannian  $G(2, 7)$ . *Compositio Math.*, 122(2):135–149, 2000. [arXiv:math/9801092](#).
- [80] J. Schmitt. Dimension theory of the moduli space of twisted  $k$ -differentials. *Doc. Math.*, 23:871–894, 2018. [arXiv:1607.08429](#).
- [81] G. Tian and G. Xu. Gauged Linear Sigma Model in Geometric Phases. 2018. [arXiv:1809.00424](#).
- [82] H.-H. Tseng. Orbifold quantum Riemann-Roch, Lefschetz and Serre. *Geom. Topol.*, 14(1):1–81, 2010. [arXiv:math/0506111](#).
- [83] H.-H. Tseng. On Orbifold Gromov–Witten Classes, 2021. [arXiv:2112.15313](#).
- [84] A. Zinger. The reduced genus 1 Gromov-Witten invariants of Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 22(3):691–737, 2009. [arXiv:0705.2397](#).

(Q. Chen) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BOSTON COLLEGE, CHESTNUT HILL, MA 02467, U.S.A.  
*Email address:* [qile.chen@bc.edu](mailto:qile.chen@bc.edu)

(F. Janda) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME, NOTRE DAME, IN 46556, U.S.A.  
*Email address:* [fjanda@nd.edu](mailto:fjanda@nd.edu)

(Y. Ruan) INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY IN MATHEMATICS, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU, CHINA  
*Email address:* [ruanyb@zju.edu.cn](mailto:ruanyb@zju.edu.cn)