

# Exact Markovian evolution of multicomponent quantum systems: phase space representations

Aldo R. Fernandes Neto

*Centro Federal de Educação Tecnológica Celso Suckow da Fonseca,  
Campus Angra dos Reis, Rua do Areal 522,  
23953-030, Angra dos Reis, RJ, Brazil*

Alfredo M. Ozorio de Almeida<sup>a</sup>

*Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas,  
Rua Xavier Sigaud 150, 22290-180, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil*

Olivier Brodier

*Institut Denis Poisson, Campus de Grandmont,  
Université de Tours, 37200 Tours, France*

The exact solution of the Lindblad equation with a quadratic Hamiltonian and linear coupling operators was derived within the chord representation, that is, for the Fourier transform of the Wigner function. It is here generalized for multiple components, so as to provide an explicit expression for the reduced density operator of any component, as well as moments expressed as derivatives of this evolving chord function. The Wigner function is then the convolution of its straightforward classical evolution with a widening multidimensional gaussian window, eventually ensuring its positivity. Further on, positivity also holds for the Glauber-Sudarshan P-function, which guarantees separability of the components. In the multicomponent context, a full dissipation matrix is defined, whereas its trace, equal to twice the previously derived dissipation coefficient, governs the rate at which the phase space volume of the argument of the Wigner function contracts, while those of the chord function expands. Examples of markovian evolution of a triatomic molecule and of an array of harmonic oscillators are discussed.

---

<sup>a</sup> ozorio@cbpf.br

## I. INTRODUCTION

Exact solutions are rare in quantum mechanics and they usually indicate that the system is specially simple. This is the case of coupled harmonic oscillators, but the situation can become more interesting if these are coupled to an external environment. Indeed, there may be degrees of freedom which are easier to excite experimentally and are strongly coupled to the environment, whereas other internal variables are more protected from decoherence and dissipation. The coupling of these degrees of freedom may then lead to nontrivial behaviour.

More generally, we deal here with the class of Symplectic Quantum Markovian (SQM) systems that are internally driven by general quadratic Hamiltonians, whilst coupled implicitly to an external environment by Lindblad operators [1, 2], which are linear functions of the components of position and momentum operators. Exact solutions of Lindblad equations within the Wigner-Weyl representation [3–6], or its Fourier transform, the *chord representation* [6], were presented in an initial paper [7], henceforth referred to as **I**. Since the exact quantum solution relies on the classical trajectories of the corresponding hamiltonian systems with added dissipation, the solutions for a single degree of freedom can be classified according to the three generic classes of symplectic dynamical systems for a single degree of freedom, namely elliptic, hyperbolic and parabolic Hamiltonians. Our objective here is to fully extend this study to the richer realm of linear multicomponent systems. We take full advantage of the exceptional simplicity of the partial trace in the chord representation to obtain directly the evolution of the reduced density operator of any subset of components.

Notwithstanding the strong restriction to SQM systems, there is no constraint on the the initial state that is then propagated by classical trajectories. In contrast, semiclassical (SC) approximations that extend SQM evolution beyond the narrow class of quadratic Hamiltonians do rely on special features of the initial state. A standard option for unitary evolution, constraining the evolution to gaussian states (that is, coherent states or squeezed states) [8] is adapted to Lindblad evolution in [9]. An alternative treatment by Graefe et al [10] includes nonlinear Lindblad operators within the gaussian context. However, in general these basis states gradually depart from their gaussian form, so that one must further resolve their nongaussian evolution again into gaussian states after finite times. Initial Wigner functions already in a SC form (supported by a lagrangian manifold) [11, 12], which are then evolved by SQM systems, have been treated in [13, 14]. The evolution is straightforward until the

classical motion severely distorts the lagrangian manifolds, so as to create caustics. General initial states can also be evolved with the aid of SC propagators, which are again associated to lagrangian manifolds [15, 16]. The adaptation of the generalizations to multicomponent systems of our previous SC approximations, which become exact for SQM, is presented in Appendix A.

Isolated symplectic systems with  $N > 1$  degrees of freedom are usually decomposable into simple generalizations of the three generic cases of elliptic, hyperbolic or parabolic classical motion. For instance, a reaction threshold governed by a saddle point of the Hamiltonian describing a system with two or more components is resolvable into a combination of elliptic and a hyperbolic evolutions. Williamson's theorem [17] within classical mechanics classifies the possible decompositions of a conservative system. It describes the generic normal forms of quadratic classical Hamiltonians  $H(\mathbf{x})$ , for a physical system with  $N$  degrees of freedom, defined in the  $2N$ -dimensional *phase space* with coordinates  $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = (p_1, \dots, p_N, q_1, \dots, q_N)$  as

$$H(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{H} \mathbf{x} , \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{H}$  is an arbitrary symmetric matrix. Thus, not only is the flow generated by each of these Hamiltonians a continuous family of *symplectic* (i.e. linear canonical) transformations of the phase space, but there exists an appropriate symplectic transformation that brings the Hamiltonian into its Williamson normal form. The generic cases contemplated by the normal form for  $N > 1$  also include possible subspaces with *loxodromic Hamiltonians*, describing spiralling hyperbolic evolution for two degrees of freedom, but physically one expects a decomposition into the three main types occurring for  $N = 1$ . Williamson's theorem goes on to classify generic families of quadratic Hamiltonians with continuous parameters.

Conveniently, both *Wigner functions*  $W(\mathbf{x})$ , which represent quantum density operators  $\hat{\rho}$  by real functions in phase space [3, 5], and *chord functions*  $\chi(\boldsymbol{\xi})$  (the Fourier transform of Wigner functions, also known as quantum characteristic functions) [6] are invariant under unitary *metaplectic transformations* [18–21, 23]. The latter correspond to the classical symplectic transformations, that is, they are unitary operators generated by the appropriately symmetrized version of the hermitian operators  $\hat{H} = H(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) = H(\hat{\mathbf{p}}, \hat{\mathbf{q}})$ , given by (1). This unitary quantum evolution is purely classical for these privileged representations in phase space, which allow themselves to be transformed exactly to the normal coordinates and back again for all the cases classified by Williamson's theorem.

The evolution of the density operator  $\hat{\rho}$  in a Markovian open system is determined by the Lindblad equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}] - \frac{1}{\hbar} \sum_{j=1}^J 2\hat{L}_j \hat{\rho} \hat{L}_j^\dagger - \hat{L}_j^\dagger \hat{L}_j \hat{\rho} - \hat{\rho} \hat{L}_j^\dagger \hat{L}_j, \quad (2)$$

where, together with the Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}$ , the  $J$  Lindblad operators are expressed in the present symplectic case as  $\hat{L}_j = \mathbf{l}_j \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}}$ , in terms of complex  $2N$ -dimensional vectors  $\mathbf{l}_j = \mathbf{l}'_j + i\mathbf{l}''_j$ . Their Wigner-Weyl representation is then just the set of linear functions  $L_j(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{l}_j \cdot \mathbf{x}$ , which may also be transformed classically by metaplectic similarity transformations. We now perceive that the multicomponent generalization of the exact solution of the full Lindblad equation for a Hamiltonian in its normal form is more delicate than was assumed in **I**. Indeed, it depends critically on the Lindblad operators, so that it is only straightforward for self-adjoint Lindblad operators, for which all the vectors  $\mathbf{l}''_j = 0$ . This is the case of dephasing, that is, decoherence without dissipation.

Otherwise, there is always dissipation, which was encapsulated in **I** in terms of a *wedge product*, i.e.

$$\boldsymbol{\xi} \wedge \mathbf{x} \equiv \sum_n \xi_{pn} q_n - \xi_{qn} p_n = \boldsymbol{\xi}_p \cdot \mathbf{q} - \boldsymbol{\xi}_q \cdot \mathbf{p} \equiv (\mathbf{J}\boldsymbol{\xi}) \cdot \mathbf{x}, \quad (3)$$

(also defining the matrix  $\mathbf{J}$ ). It turns out that the fundamental scalar *dissipation coefficient*,

$$\gamma \equiv \sum_j \mathbf{l}''_j \wedge \mathbf{l}'_j = \sum_j (\mathbf{J}\mathbf{l}''_j) \cdot \mathbf{l}'_j, \quad (4)$$

describes the dissipative evolution in detail if dissipation only affects a single degree of freedom. For  $N > 1$  a full dissipation matrix  $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$  is required, with the property that its *trace* equals  $2\gamma$ . The evolution is thus richer than anticipated, though it turns out that  $\gamma$  still equals the rate of contraction of the  $2N$ -dimensional volume of the phase space, in which lies the Wigner function. Henceforth we will limit the exposition to the easily generalizable case of a single (multicomponent) Lindblad operator, thus dispensing the index  $j$ .

In the following section we extend the exact solution in **I** of the symplectic Lindblad equation to multicomponent systems in the chord representation. This leads in section III directly to formulae for moments, that is, the expectation of products of positions and momenta, and to the Wigner function. It is the convolution of a widening gaussian window with the unitary evolution of the original Wigner function, which leads to eventual positivity. A similar analysis shows in section IV that P-positivity, which guarantees the separability of

components, follows after this first threshold is reached. Then, in section V, special features of the chord representation lead to the explicit expressions for reduced density operators.

Since the extension to multicomponent systems of the results in **I** are fairly trivial in the case of mere dephasing, the examples chosen here deal with dissipative environments. In section VI this is a vibrating nonpolar triatomic molecule, which interacts with the environment only through its asymmetric vibrational mode. The latter is coupled to the internal symmetric mode by isotopic mass differences and its Hamiltonian is derived in Appendix B. In section VII we treat an array of oscillators coupled with the environment through its surface. Its eigenmodes, calculated in Appendix C, are shown to be unequally affected by dissipation.

The interpretation of the exact solution for symplectic Markovian systems in terms of an underlying classical evolution is greatly clarified by the doubling of phase space and the introduction of a doubled Hamiltonian. These were developed for semiclassical approximations in [13] and further refined in [14], so that these concepts, together with the multicomponent generalization of the semiclassical theory are presented in Appendix A.

## II. EXACT SOLUTION OF THE MULTICOMPONENT LINDBLAD EQUATION

Following **I** the exact solution of the Lindblad equation is first derived in the chord representation, such that the evolving chord function

$$\chi(\boldsymbol{\xi}, t) = \int \frac{d\tilde{\mathbf{q}}}{(2\pi\hbar)^N} \left\langle \tilde{\mathbf{q}} + \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_q}{2} | \hat{\rho}(t) | \tilde{\mathbf{q}} - \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_q}{2} \right\rangle \exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} \tilde{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}_p\right), \quad (5)$$

represents  $\hat{\rho}(t)$ . Indeed, this is just the symplectic Fourier transform of the evolving Wigner function

$$W(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int \frac{d\tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_q}{(2\pi\hbar)^N} \left\langle \mathbf{q} + \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_q}{2} | \hat{\rho}(t) | \mathbf{q} - \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_q}{2} \right\rangle \exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_q \cdot \mathbf{p}\right) \quad (6)$$

or, conversely

$$W(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int \frac{d\boldsymbol{\xi}}{(2\pi\hbar)^N} \chi(\boldsymbol{\xi}, t) \exp\left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{J}\boldsymbol{\xi}\right]. \quad (7)$$

The chord function is in general complex, whereas the Wigner function is necessarily real. Equivalent integrals to (5) and (6) determine the chord representation  $\tilde{O}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$  and the Weyl representation  $O(\mathbf{x})$  of an arbitrary operator  $\hat{O}$ . However, for typical observables, the Weyl representation equals, at least to first order in  $\hbar$ , the corresponding classical phase space

function, whereas their chord functions are singular. The expectation value of an operator is also given by phase space integrals in both representations:

$$\langle \hat{O} \rangle = \text{tr } \hat{\rho} \hat{O} = \int d\mathbf{x} W(\mathbf{x}) O(\mathbf{x}) = \int d\boldsymbol{\xi} \chi(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \tilde{O}(-\boldsymbol{\xi}). \quad (8)$$

The restrictions to hermitian operators  $\hat{O} = \hat{O}^\dagger$  are  $O(\mathbf{x}) = O(\mathbf{x})^*$  and  $\tilde{O}(-\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \tilde{O}(\boldsymbol{\xi})^*$ , where (\*) denotes complex conjugation.

It is notable that the solution of the Lindblad equation is simplest in the chord representation, but in terms of the Weyl observables, instead of the chord observables, e.g. we use  $H(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ , instead of  $\tilde{H}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ . This is already manifest in the unitary part of the Lindblad equation (the Liouville-von Neumann equation),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial t}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, t) &= -\frac{i}{\hbar} [\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}](\boldsymbol{\xi}, t) \\ &= -\frac{i}{\hbar} \int \frac{d\boldsymbol{\xi}' d\mathbf{x}'}{(2\pi\hbar)^N} \chi(\boldsymbol{\xi}', t) \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbf{x}' \wedge (\boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\xi}')\right) \left[ H\left(\mathbf{x}' + \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}}{2}\right) - H\left(\mathbf{x}' - \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}}{2}\right) \right] \\ &= -\mathbf{J}\mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \{H(\boldsymbol{\xi}), \chi(\boldsymbol{\xi})\}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where the expressions in the last line, bringing in the classical evolution in terms of the *Poisson bracket*, are restricted to the quadratic Hamiltonians (1). The general integral expression is derived by the mixed product formulae developed in [13], where one should note that the Hamiltonian is expressed by its (smooth) Weyl representation  $H(\mathbf{x})$ , instead of its (singular) chord symbol  $\tilde{H}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ , so that only its argument has been switched. In the same way, one obtains the Lindblad term which breaks unitarity as

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ L\rho L^\dagger - \widetilde{\frac{1}{2}L^\dagger L\rho - \frac{1}{2}\rho L^\dagger L} \right](\boldsymbol{\xi}, t) &= \int \frac{d\boldsymbol{\xi}' d\mathbf{x}'}{(2\pi\hbar)^N} \chi(\boldsymbol{\xi}', t) \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbf{x}' \wedge (\boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\xi}')\right) \\ &\quad \left[ L\left(\mathbf{x}' - \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}}{2}\right) L^*\left(\mathbf{x}' + \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}'}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}L\left(\mathbf{x}' - \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}}{2}\right) L^*\left(\mathbf{x}' - \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}}{2}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2}L\left(\mathbf{x}' + \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}}{2}\right) L^*\left(\mathbf{x}' + \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}'}{2}\right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

In the case of the linear Lindblad operator,

$$L(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{l}' \cdot \mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{l}'' \cdot \mathbf{x}, \quad (11)$$

so that  $\mathbf{l}$  is generally a complex  $2N$ -dimensional vector, integration leads to

$$\left[ L\rho L^\dagger - \widetilde{\frac{1}{2}L^\dagger L\rho - \frac{1}{2}\rho L^\dagger L} \right](\boldsymbol{\xi}, t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\mathbf{l}' \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi})^2 + (\mathbf{l}'' \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi})^2 \right] \chi(\boldsymbol{\xi}, t) \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -i \int \frac{d\xi' d\mathbf{x}'}{(2\pi\hbar)^N} \chi(\xi', t) \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}(\mathbf{J}\mathbf{x}') \cdot (\boldsymbol{\xi} - \xi')\right) [(\mathbf{I}'' \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}) \mathbf{I}' - (\mathbf{I}' \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}) \mathbf{I}''] \cdot \mathbf{x}' \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\mathbf{I}' \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi})^2 + (\mathbf{I}'' \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi})^2 \right] \chi(\boldsymbol{\xi}, t) + \hbar [(\mathbf{I}'' \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}) \mathbf{I}' - (\mathbf{I}' \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}) \mathbf{I}''] \cdot \mathbf{J} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}} \chi(\boldsymbol{\xi}, t) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\mathbf{I}' \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi})^2 + (\mathbf{I}'' \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi})^2 \right] \chi(\boldsymbol{\xi}, t) + \hbar (\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{\xi}) \cdot \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, t),
\end{aligned}$$

defining the *dissipation matrix*

$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \equiv \mathbf{J} (\mathbf{I}'' \mathbf{I}'^T - \mathbf{I}' \mathbf{I}''^T), \quad (13)$$

which reduces to  $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} = \gamma \mathbf{I}$  for a single component system.

Finally, the multicomponent Lindblad equation for a symplectic open system is obtained from (9) and (10) as

$$\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial t}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, t) = -\frac{1}{2\hbar} \left[ (\mathbf{I}' \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi})^2 + (\mathbf{I}'' \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi})^2 \right] \chi(\boldsymbol{\xi}, t) - (\mathbf{J}\mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\xi} + \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\boldsymbol{\xi}) \cdot \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, t), \quad (14)$$

which admits the solution

$$\chi(\boldsymbol{\xi}, t) = \chi(\mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}(-t)\boldsymbol{\xi}, 0) \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2\hbar} \int_0^t dt' \left( (\mathbf{I}' \cdot \mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}(t' - t)\boldsymbol{\xi})^2 + (\mathbf{I}'' \cdot \mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}(t' - t)\boldsymbol{\xi})^2 \right) \right]. \quad (15)$$

Here the *chord evolution matrix* is defined as

$$\mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}(t) = \exp[(\mathbf{J}\mathbf{H} + \boldsymbol{\Gamma})t]. \quad (16)$$

Thus, the most compact expression for the exact solution is

$$\chi(\boldsymbol{\xi}, t) = \chi(\mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}(-t)\boldsymbol{\xi}, 0) \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2\hbar} \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \mathbf{M}(t) \boldsymbol{\xi} \right), \quad (17)$$

where the positive *decoherence matrix* is defined as

$$\mathbf{M}(t) \equiv \int_0^t dt' \mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}(t' - t)^T \sum_j \left[ \mathbf{I}'_j \mathbf{I}'_j{}^T + \mathbf{I}''_j \mathbf{I}''_j{}^T \right] \mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}(t' - t). \quad (18)$$

The nonisotropic dissipation portrayed by  $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$  is the essential new feature of Markovian evolution derived in the present work. Somewhat paradoxically, one verifies that

$$\det \mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}(t) = \exp \operatorname{tr} [(\mathbf{J}\mathbf{H} + \boldsymbol{\Gamma})t] = \exp [(\mathbf{J}\mathbf{I}'' \cdot \mathbf{I}' - \mathbf{J}\mathbf{I}' \cdot \mathbf{I}'')t] = e^{(2\mathbf{I}'' \wedge \mathbf{I}')t} = e^{2\gamma t}, \quad (19)$$

since  $\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{J}\mathbf{H} = 0$ . Therefore, a positive *dissipation coefficient*  $\gamma$  (4) induces an *expansion* of the chord space, but it is shown in Appendix A that this is matched by a *contraction* of the

classical motion underlying the Wigner function. The fact that  $\mathbf{J}$  can be factored out of the dissipation matrix leads to the identity for the transpose of the evolution matrix,

$$-\mathbf{J}\mathbf{R}_{\Gamma}^T(t)\mathbf{J} = e^{-(\mathbf{J}\mathbf{H}-\mathbf{\Gamma})t} = \mathbf{R}_{-\Gamma}(-t), \quad (20)$$

which reduces to the condition that the evolution is a continuous symplectic transformation if  $\mathbf{\Gamma} = 0$ .

Asymptotically backward evolution drives all chords to the origin, that is

$$\chi(\mathbf{R}_{\Gamma}(-\infty)\boldsymbol{\xi}, 0) = (2\pi\hbar)^{-N}, \quad (21)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \infty) &= (2\pi\hbar)^{-N} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\hbar}\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \mathbf{M}(\infty) \boldsymbol{\xi}\right) \\ &= (2\pi\hbar)^{-N} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\hbar} \int_0^{\infty} dt' \left( (\mathbf{I}' \cdot \mathbf{R}_{\Gamma}(-t')\boldsymbol{\xi})^2 + (\mathbf{I}'' \cdot \mathbf{R}_{\Gamma}(-t')\boldsymbol{\xi})^2 \right)\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Thus the final equilibrium chord function is a multidimensional Gaussian, since the integrand in the above formula converges exponentially to zero.

### III. MOMENTS AND THE WIGNER FUNCTION

Before presenting the evolution of the more familiar Wigner function, it should be recalled that the chord function is already a complete representation of the density operator with its own advantages. The fact that the identity operator  $\hat{\mathbf{I}}$  has the singular chord representation

$$\mathbf{I}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \delta(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \quad (23)$$

leads to the evolving expectation of (appropriately symmetrized) polynomials of position and momentum operators being represented exactly as corresponding polynomials of derivatives of the chord function. Indeed, one easily obtains from (8) the statistical moments for a single component as

$$\langle q^n \rangle_t = \text{tr} \hat{q}^n \hat{\rho} = (i\hbar)^n \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \xi_p^n} (2\pi\hbar)^L \chi(\boldsymbol{\xi}, t) \Big|_{\boldsymbol{\xi}=0} \quad (24)$$

and

$$\langle p^n \rangle_t = \text{tr} \hat{p}^n \hat{\rho} = (-i\hbar)^n \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \xi_q^n} (2\pi\hbar)^L \chi(\boldsymbol{\xi}, t) \Big|_{\boldsymbol{\xi}=0}, \quad (25)$$



just as a classical characteristic function supplies the moments of its parent probability distribution. Shifting the phase space origin to  $\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$ , we can define  $\mathbf{K}$  the *Schrödinger covariance matrix* [22] just as its classical counterpart, with  $\delta p^2 = \langle \widehat{p}^2 \rangle$ ,  $\delta q^2 = \langle \widehat{q}^2 \rangle$  and  $(\delta pq)^2 = \langle (\widehat{pq} + \widehat{qp})/2 \rangle$  in the case of a single degree of freedom. It is then obvious that the expansion of the real part of the chord function at the origin is just

$$\text{Re } \chi(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = -\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\xi} + \dots \quad (26)$$

and we can interpret the *uncertainty*,

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{K}} = \sqrt{\det \mathbf{K}}, \quad (27)$$

as proportional to the volume of the ellipsoid:  $\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\xi} = 1$ . Evidently, this volume is invariant with respect to symplectic transformations, so that  $\Delta_{\mathbf{K}}$  is a symplectically invariant measure of the uncertainty of the state. From the preceding paragraph, it is evident that in general the moments and the covariance matrix for any component are immediately available from the derivatives along the corresponding chord plane of the evolving chord function, while higher cross-moments are likewise made available by its derivatives. It should be stressed that this information obtained directly from the neighbourhood of the origin is only reliable for the present exact solutions.

The exact evolution of the Wigner function follows by inserting (17) into the symplectic Fourier transform (7). Then, defining the original chord  $\boldsymbol{\zeta} = \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{r}}(-t)\boldsymbol{\xi}$  and using (19), the evolved Wigner function becomes

$$W(\mathbf{x}, t) = e^{2\gamma t} \int \frac{d\boldsymbol{\zeta}}{(2\pi\hbar)^N} \chi(\boldsymbol{\zeta}, 0) \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\hbar}\boldsymbol{\zeta} \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(t)\boldsymbol{\zeta}\right] \exp\left[-\frac{i}{\hbar}\mathbf{J}\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{r}}(t)\boldsymbol{\zeta}\right], \quad (28)$$

where

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(t) = \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{r}}^T(t)\mathbf{M}(t)\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{r}}(t). \quad (29)$$

With the relation (20) and noting that  $\det \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(t) = e^{4\gamma t} \det \mathbf{M}(t)$ , the convolution for the evolved Wigner function takes the form

$$W(\mathbf{x}, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det \mathbf{M}(t)}} \int \frac{d\mathbf{x}'}{(2\pi\hbar)^N} W(\mathbf{x}', 0) \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\hbar}(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{R}_{-\mathbf{r}}(-t)\mathbf{x}) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{J}}(t)^{-1}(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{R}_{-\mathbf{r}}(-t)\mathbf{x})\right], \quad (30)$$

where  $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{J}}(t) = -\mathbf{J}\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(t)\mathbf{J}$ .

The contextualization of the evolution  $\mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{R}_{-\Gamma}(t)\mathbf{x}$  within a doubled phase space achieved in [13, 14] provides a ready interpretation of the exact solution, which combines dissipation in the centre space, where lies the Wigner function, with expansion for the chords. The presentation of this formulation in Appendix A allows for the straightforward generalization of our previous semiclassical approximations to multicomponent systems with nonquadratic Hamiltonians.

The asymptotic equilibrium Wigner function is directly obtained as the Fourier transform of (22):

$$W(\mathbf{x}, \infty) = \frac{(2\pi\hbar)^{-N}}{\sqrt{\det \mathbf{M}(\infty)}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\hbar}\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{J}}(\infty)^{-1} \mathbf{x}\right), \quad (31)$$

with  $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{J}}(t) = -\mathbf{J}\mathbf{M}(t)\mathbf{J}$ . This is a positive gaussian function and so the question is when does it lose its negative regions, which are generally present in the initial pure state. According to Hudson's theorem [24], the only pure states with positive Wigner functions are the coherent states and their symplectic deformations  $|\boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{S}\rangle$ , that is,

$$W_{\boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(\pi\hbar)^N} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{\hbar}(\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\eta}))^2\right] = \frac{1}{(\pi\hbar)^N} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\hbar}(\boldsymbol{\eta} - \mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\eta} - \mathbf{x})\right), \quad (32)$$

(where  $\boldsymbol{\eta}$  is the expectation of  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ ). Here  $\mathbf{S}$  is a symplectic matrix, which defines the transformation  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{x}$ . The convolution of a Gaussian with a Gaussian window is a wider Gaussian, so no zeros are introduced in the evolved Wigner function (30) for such an initial state.

The negative regions of general pure state Wigner functions are part of oscillations within the predominant positivity demanded by the unitary normalization integral, i.e. the trace of the corresponding density operator. The convolution (30) with a Gaussian that broadens in time acts to smoothen these oscillations, while gradually ironing out the negative regions. The positivity of the evolved Wigner function throughout phase space is guaranteed by the positivity of

$$W(\mathbf{R}_{-\Gamma}(t)\mathbf{x}, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det \mathbf{M}(t)}} \int \frac{d\mathbf{x}'}{(2\pi\hbar)^N} W(\mathbf{x}', 0) \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\hbar}(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{J}}(t)^{-1}(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x})\right] \quad (33)$$

and vice versa. Furthermore, the RHS of this equality can be compared to the Husimi function, also known as the  $Q$ -function [25] [26],

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\eta}, 0) = |\langle \boldsymbol{\eta} | \psi(0) \rangle|^2 = \int d\mathbf{x}' W(\mathbf{x}', 0) W_{\boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{I}}(\mathbf{x}') \quad (34)$$

$$= \int \frac{d\mathbf{x}'}{(\pi\hbar)^N} W(\mathbf{x}', 0) \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{\hbar} (\mathbf{x}' - \boldsymbol{\eta})^2 \right],$$

where  $|\psi(0)\rangle$  is the Hilbert space vector corresponding to  $W(\mathbf{x}, 0)$ .

The full Markovian evolution of the Wigner function can now be brought close to the Husimi function with the aid of Williamson's theorem [17]. This specifies the normal form into which an appropriate symplectic transformation reduces a quadratic phase space function (1). In the present case where the defining matrix  $\mathbf{H} = \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(t)$  is positive, the normal form is a direct sum of  $N$  independent harmonic oscillators, that is, for each time there exists a symplectic transformation  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{x}'(t) = \mathbf{S}(t)\mathbf{x}$  such that

$$\mathbf{x}' \cdot \mathbf{S}(t) \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(t) \mathbf{S}(t) \mathbf{x}' = \sum_{n=1}^N \omega_n(t) (p_j'^2 + q_j'^2). \quad (35)$$

In general the pairs of eigenvalues  $\omega_n(t)$  are not equal, so that, even in this privileged evolving symplectic frame, each different mode will generally be identified with a Husimi function at a different instant. Nonetheless, one can establish bounds for overall positivity.

It is reviewed in **I** that the convolution with a Gaussian window of a previous convolution with another Gaussian window is itself a convolution with a wider Gaussian window. Thus, in the case where  $N=1$ , one may define the positivity time  $t_p$ , such that  $\omega(t_p) = 2$  or  $\det \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(t_p) = 4$ , since for any previous time a Gaussian convolution renders  $W(\mathbf{x}, t)$  into a Husimi function, whereas for later times one can interpret  $W(\mathbf{x}, t)$  as a Gaussian convolution of a Husimi function. For  $N > 1$  comparison with a multidimensional Husimi function does not offer us such a sharp threshold. However if  $\omega_-(t)$  is the smallest eigenvalue of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(t)$  and  $\omega_+(t)$  its largest eigenvalue, then one can be sure of the existence of negative regions of  $W(\mathbf{x}, t)$  for  $t < t_-$ , where  $\omega_+(t_-) = 2$ . On the other hand, one can also be sure that the evolved Wigner function is positive for  $t > t_+$ , defined by  $\omega_-(t_+) = 2$ .

One should note that the positivity bounds  $t_{\pm}$  depend only on the eigenvalues of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(t)$ , so that they are independent of the initial state. Between the two bounds  $t_{\pm}$  one can make a rough estimate of a positivity threshold as  $\det \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(t_p) = e^{4\gamma t_p} \det \mathbf{M}(t_p) = 4^N$ , which dispenses with the diagonalization of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(t)$ . A further note is that the assertion of positivity by comparison with the Husimi function holds even in the absence of dissipation, without any final equilibrium state.

The zeroes of the Husimi function are determined by those of the bracket  $\langle \boldsymbol{\eta} | \psi(0) \rangle$  in the alternative expression for the Husimi function (34). For  $N = 1$  this bracket has the same

isolated zeroes as the analytic Bargmann function [27], reviewed in **I**. For  $N > 1$  we still deal with a complex function, but one may take its argument to be the real phase space variable  $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ . Then the zeroes of  $\langle \boldsymbol{\eta} | \psi(0) \rangle$  will be generically the codimension-2 intersection of the codimension-1 nodal manifolds of the real part of  $\langle \boldsymbol{\eta} | \psi(0) \rangle$  with the codimension-1 nodal manifolds of the imaginary part of  $\langle \boldsymbol{\eta} | \psi(0) \rangle$ . If there were no negative regions in the Wigner function, its Gaussian smoothing could not have zeroes. Even so, it is remarkable that all the negative regions of the Wigner function are exactly smoothed into zero-manifolds by the appropriate Gaussian window, which produces the multidimensional Husimi function.

#### IV. P-POSITIVITY

The positivity of the evolved Wigner function is a sure indication of the loss of quantum coherence, but it does not guarantee that an initially entangled state has eventually achieved classical separability. For this purpose let us consider a decomposition in terms of generalized coherent states  $|\boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{S}\rangle$ , with their respective Wigner functions expressed as (32). Then recalling the Glauber-Sudarshan P-representation of the density operator (see e. g. [28]), its symplectic generalization is defined as

$$\hat{\rho} = \int d\boldsymbol{\eta} P_{\mathbf{S}}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) |\boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{S}\rangle \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{S}|. \quad (36)$$

If  $P_{\mathbf{S}}(\boldsymbol{\eta})$  is a positive function of  $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ , the density operator (36) is a probability distribution over the generalized coherent states. But these are product states of simple coherent states, each defined on a conjugate plane of the eigenbasis of the matrix  $\mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{S}$ , so that  $\hat{\rho}$  is separable in this special basis.

Taking the Wigner transform of both sides of (36), one obtains

$$(\pi\hbar)^N W(\mathbf{x}) = \int d\boldsymbol{\eta} P_{\mathbf{S}}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\hbar}(\boldsymbol{\eta} - \mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\eta} - \mathbf{x})\right), \quad (37)$$

so that the Wigner function is a Gaussian smoothing of the Glauber-Sudarshan P-function. Just as with the Wigner function, one can now define the P-characteristic function as

$$\chi_{\mathbf{P}}(\boldsymbol{\xi}; \mathbf{S}) \equiv \int d\boldsymbol{\eta} P_{\mathbf{S}}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \mathbf{J} \boldsymbol{\eta}\right), \quad (38)$$

so that the convolution theorem supplies the chord function representing the density operator  $\hat{\rho}$  as

$$\chi(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \chi_{\mathbf{P}}(\boldsymbol{\xi}; \mathbf{S}) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4\hbar} \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot (-\mathbf{J} \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{J})^{-1} \boldsymbol{\xi}\right). \quad (39)$$

But  $(-\mathbf{JS}^T\mathbf{SJ})^{-1}$  is also a positive matrix, so that the inverse Fourier transform to (38), involving the P-characteristic function  $\chi_P(\boldsymbol{\xi}; \mathbf{S})$  is only defined if the Weyl characteristic function satisfies

$$\chi(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \exp\left(\frac{1}{4\hbar}\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot (-\mathbf{JS}^T\mathbf{SJ})^{-1}\boldsymbol{\xi}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad (40)$$

for  $\boldsymbol{\xi} \rightarrow \infty$  in all directions. On the other hand, if this condition is satisfied, an explicit form for  $\chi(\boldsymbol{\xi})$  then supplies  $\chi_P(\boldsymbol{\xi}; \mathbf{S})$  and its FT leads to  $P_{\mathbf{S}}(\boldsymbol{\eta})$ .

From the evolving chord function (17) we obtain the evolving P-characteristic function as

$$\chi_P(\boldsymbol{\xi}; \mathbf{S}, t) = \chi(\mathbf{R}_{\Gamma}(-t)\boldsymbol{\xi}, 0) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\hbar}\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot [\mathbf{M}(t) - (-\mathbf{JS}^T\mathbf{SJ})^{-1}]\boldsymbol{\xi}\right), \quad (41)$$

beyond the time when  $[\mathbf{M}(t) - (-\mathbf{JS}^T\mathbf{SJ})^{-1}]$  becomes a positive matrix. From then on, the analysis of the P-positivity falls back on our previous treatment of the positivity of the Wigner function, with the sole proviso that the matrix  $(-\mathbf{JS}^T\mathbf{SJ})^{-1}$  is subtracted from  $\mathbf{M}(t)$  everywhere. The various thresholds for positivity depend on the choice of the symplectic frame determined by  $\mathbf{S}$ . If separability is investigated for an experimentally determined *computational frame* then the full coherent state must be a product in this frame, i.e.  $\mathbf{S}^T\mathbf{S}$  is chosen diagonal in it and the only freedom is in the choice of each pair of eigenvalues,  $\omega_n$  and  $1/\omega_n$ .

An essential feature of the characteristic function of a (non-negative) probability density is that its modulus is bounded by its value at the origin, proportional to the normalization integral. In his proof of the central limit theorem [29], Levy conjectures whether this is also a sufficient property for positivity. Clearly we can now see that this is not so, since it is also a constraint on pure state Wigner functions, which are not generally positive, yet they also have their own central limit theorem [30, 31]. On the other hand, this does provide a necessary condition for the positivity of the P-function: For all  $\boldsymbol{\xi} \neq 0$ ,  $|\chi_P(\boldsymbol{\xi}; \mathbf{S}, t)| < \chi_P(0; \mathbf{S}, t)$ . For the initial state, the explicit bound in terms of the chord function is

$$(2\pi\hbar)^N |\chi(\boldsymbol{\xi}, 0)| \exp\left(\frac{1}{4\hbar}\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot (-\mathbf{JS}^T\mathbf{SJ})^{-1}\boldsymbol{\xi}\right) < 1. \quad (42)$$

It follows that an initial P-positive state, that is stretched by a metaplectic transformation parametrized by  $\mathbf{S}$ , will only continue to be positive in the corresponding basis of likewise stretched coherent states.

## V. EVOLUTION OF REDUCED DENSITY OPERATORS

The *partial trace* of two components  $B$  and  $C$  (with  $N = N_B + N_C$ ) of the evolving pure state density operator  $\hat{\rho}$

$$\hat{\rho}_B = \text{tr}_C \hat{\rho} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\rho}_C = \text{tr}_B \hat{\rho} \quad (43)$$

define the respective *reduced density operators*. If  $\hat{\rho}$  is a pure state, it is known that the *purity* of either component is

$$\text{tr}_B \hat{\rho}_B^2 = \text{tr}_C \hat{\rho}_C^2 \leq \left[ \text{tr} \hat{\rho}^2 = 1 \right], \quad (44)$$

so that the *linear entropy*

$$E_l \equiv 1 - \text{tr}_B \hat{\rho}_B^2 = 1 - \text{tr}_C \hat{\rho}_C^2 \quad (45)$$

can be adopted as a quantifier of entanglement.

Recalling that the general relation for the partial trace of an arbitrary operator  $\hat{O}$  in the Weyl representation is the projection

$$O_B(\mathbf{x}_B) = \int d\mathbf{x}_C O(\mathbf{x}_B, \mathbf{x}_C), \quad (46)$$

whereas in the chord representation one merely needs the section

$$O_B(\boldsymbol{\xi}_B) = (2\pi\hbar)^{N_C} O(\boldsymbol{\xi}_B, \boldsymbol{\xi}_C = 0), \quad (47)$$

then the special normalization of the Wigner function and the chord function leads to the representations of the respective reduced density operators as

$$W_B(\mathbf{x}_B) = \int dx_C W(\mathbf{x}_B, \mathbf{x}_C) \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_B(\boldsymbol{\xi}_B) = (2\pi\hbar)^{N_C} \chi(\boldsymbol{\xi}_B, \boldsymbol{\xi}_C = 0). \quad (48)$$

Recalling the general expressions for the trace of the square of an operator [6], the linear entropy in these representations becomes

$$E_l = (2\pi\hbar)^{N_B} \int d\mathbf{x}_B [W_B(\mathbf{x}_B)]^2 = (2\pi\hbar)^{N_B} \int d\boldsymbol{\xi}_B |\chi_B(\boldsymbol{\xi}_B)|^2. \quad (49)$$

The reduced density operator contains all the information that can be extracted from any measurement effected on either component. For instance, for an observable  $\hat{O} = \hat{O}_B \otimes \hat{\mathbf{I}}_C$

$$\langle \hat{O} \rangle = \text{tr} \hat{\rho} \hat{O} = \text{tr}_B \hat{\rho}_B \hat{O}_B = \langle \hat{O}_B \rangle. \quad (50)$$

But given the singular chord representation of the identity operator (23), this equality follows immediately by inserting this reduced observable into the integral for the chord expectation (8). In the case of polynomial functions of the position and momentum components, their evolving expectation is a superposition of the moments discussed in section III. If these are each defined for a single component, they may be given by derivatives of either the evolving chord function or of the reduced chord function (48).

The reduced chord function for the markovian evolution of the density operator can be factored in a similar way to the full chord function as

$$\chi_B(\boldsymbol{\xi}_B, t) = \chi_0(\boldsymbol{\xi}_B, t) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\hbar}\boldsymbol{\xi}_B \cdot \mathbf{M}_B(t) \boldsymbol{\xi}_B\right), \quad (51)$$

with the definitions of the reduced quadratic form

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}_B \cdot \mathbf{M}_B(t) \boldsymbol{\xi}_B \equiv (\boldsymbol{\xi}_B, \boldsymbol{\xi}_C = 0) \cdot \mathbf{M}(t) (\boldsymbol{\xi}_B, \boldsymbol{\xi}_C = 0) \quad (52)$$

and

$$\chi_0(\boldsymbol{\xi}_B, t) \equiv (2\pi\hbar)^{N_C} \chi(\mathbf{R}_\Gamma(-t)(\boldsymbol{\xi}_B, \boldsymbol{\xi}_C = 0), 0). \quad (53)$$

Even though the linear classical evolution of the chords will rotate the  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_C = 0$  plane in the full  $2N$ -D phase space and there generally will be dissipation,  $\chi_0(\boldsymbol{\xi}_B, t)$  is correctly normalized at the origin as a *reduced decoherentless chord function*.

Inserting the reduced chord function (51) into the Fourier expression for the reduced Wigner function

$$W_B(\mathbf{x}_B, t) = \int \frac{d\boldsymbol{\xi}_B}{(2\pi\hbar)^{N_B}} \chi_B(\boldsymbol{\xi}_B, t) \exp\left[\frac{i}{\hbar}\mathbf{x}_B \cdot \mathbf{J}\boldsymbol{\xi}_B\right], \quad (54)$$

with the same expression for the definition of a decoherentless reduced Wigner function  $W_0(\mathbf{x}_B, t)$  as the Fourier transform of  $\chi_0(\boldsymbol{\xi}_B, t)$ , leads to

$$W_B(\mathbf{x}_B, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det \mathbf{M}_B(t)}} \int \frac{d\mathbf{x}'_B}{(2\pi\hbar)^{N_B}} W_0(\mathbf{x}'_B, t) \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\hbar}(\mathbf{x}'_B - \mathbf{x}_B) \cdot \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{J}B}(t)^{-1}(\mathbf{x}'_B - \mathbf{x}_B)\right], \quad (55)$$

with  $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{J}B}(t) = -\mathbf{J}_B \mathbf{M}_B(t) \mathbf{J}_B$ . Notwithstanding the less transparent classical evolution supporting  $W_0(\mathbf{x}'_B, t)$  than for the full evolving Wigner function, we still retrieve the effect on the reduced Wigner function of the Markovian coupling to the environment as a convolution to a widening gaussian window. Of course, the reduced decoherentless Wigner function is




FIG. 1. Evolution of the reduced Wigner functions of the state of two coupled harmonic oscillators without interaction with the environment, that is,  $\gamma = 0$ , at three different instants of time. The first column shows the reduced Wigner function of the oscillator which is initially in state  $n = 0$ . The second column shows the Wigner function of the oscillator which is initially in state  $n = 1$ . Then the third column shows the evolution of the projection of the corresponding Bohr orbit in each phase space. Hence, the Bohr orbit initially coincides with the orange projection, in the phase space of the second oscillator, and then drifts towards the phase space of the first oscillator, in green.






FIG. 2. The represented features and the context are the same as in FIG. 1, except that we set  $\gamma = \omega_0/4$ , so the first oscillator, with initial state  $n = 0$ , is now "unprotected". We still can see the excited state and the Bohr orbit switching alternatively from one oscillator to the other, but, simultaneously, the Wigner functions get damped and the Bohr orbit get dissipated because of environment.

not itself a pure state in general. So one may expect that positivity of reduced Wigner functions may well precede that of the Wigner function in the full  $2N$ -D phase space.

To illustrate the evolution of a system of interest, FIG. 1 and FIG. 2 show the plots of

the reduced Wigner functions for two harmonic oscillators with the same natural frequency  $\omega_0$ , and which are coupled through their moment variables by a term  $cp_1p_2$ , where we use  $c = \omega_0/2$ . One of the oscillators, called "unprotected", is coupled to the environment with a strength  $\gamma$ , whereas the other one is "protected", that is, without interaction with the environment. The details of the calculation are presented in the following section and in Appendix B. The initial state is a product of the fundamental state  $n = 0$  for the unprotected oscillator and the first excited state  $n = 1$  for the protected oscillator. Being protected, the latter would never become positive, were it not coupled to the unprotected oscillator. Because of this coupling, the whole state reaches positivity. The mechanism of this indirect decoherence is a cyclic beating between both oscillators, where each state of the initial product alternatively spends some time under the unprotected regime.

Three instants of time are considered,  $t = 0$ ,  $t = 3/\omega_0$  and  $t = 6/\omega_0$ . FIG. 1 illustrates the "pure beating" case  $\gamma = 0$ , that is, when both oscillators are protected from the environment, and we can see the  $n = 1$  state being transferred from one oscillator to the other. On the other hand, FIG. 2 illustrates the decoherence induced by choosing for instance  $\gamma = \omega_0/4$ , thus unprotecting one of the oscillators. Although the beating is still visible, both reduced Wigner functions will eventually become fully positive, illustrating the positivity effect contained in (55).

The chosen initial state semiclassically corresponds to Bohr's first trajectory in the phase space of the protected oscillator. Then its time evolution is also shown, in order to emphasize its relevance to the form of reduced Wigner functions. Its projection on its, initial, protected plane, is shown in orange, whereas its projection on the unprotected plane is shown in green. As the beating goes on, the trajectory "migrates" to the unprotected plane. As dissipation goes on, the trajectory, as both its projections, get smaller.

## VI. PROTECTION FROM DISSIPATION

A single component system is either isolated, or it interacts more or less strongly with the environment. In contrast, some of the variables of a multicomponent system may be relatively protected from direct external forces, while their influence is predominantly transmitted by the internal coupling among the degrees of freedom. It is this richer scenario that we first choose to exemplify the complexity of multicomponent Lindbladian evolution. For

simplicity, we limit the analysis to a pair of degrees of freedom and to the extreme case of Lindblad operators defined only for a single mode of the internal motion. In Appendix B we sketch the derivation of the Hamiltonian for a symmetric triatomic molecule, with its symmetry broken only by an isotopic mass difference between the external atoms. Within a symplectic transformation, the approximate Hamiltonian (B13) becomes

$$H(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\omega_1}{2} (p_1^2 + q_1^2) + \frac{\omega_2}{2} (p_2^2 + q_2^2) + c p_1 p_2 . \quad (56)$$

As a practical example, one can think about a CO<sub>2</sub> molecule with isotopes <sup>16</sup>O and <sup>18</sup>O. As it is shown in Appendix B, one then has  $\omega_1 \simeq 6.43 \times 10^{14} \text{ rad.s}^{-1}$ ,  $\omega_2 \simeq 5.35 \times 10^{14} \text{ rad.s}^{-1}$  and  $c \simeq 0.57 \times 10^{14} \text{ rad.s}^{-1}$ . The resulting condition  $c/\omega_2 \simeq c/\omega_1 \simeq 1/10$  motivates the following treatment as a perturbative expansion in powers of  $c$ .

The main interaction with the radiation in the environment is mediated by the oscillating dipole moment of the fully asymmetric mode, whereas the symmetric one is comparatively protected. Thus we here postulate the single Lindblad operator  $\hat{L} = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \hat{a}_1$  with the Weyl representation

$$a_1(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{q_1 + i p_1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad (57)$$

so that the dissipation coefficient (4) is just  $\gamma$  and the logarithm of the chord evolution matrix (16), divided by time, becomes

$$\mathbf{JH} + \mathbf{\Gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma & 0 & -\omega_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\omega_2 \\ \omega_1 & c & \gamma & 0 \\ c & \omega_2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} . \quad (58)$$

The dependence of the eigenvalues on the isotopic parameter is of second order with respect to the fully symmetrical system, which decouples as

$$\lambda_{1\pm}^{(0)} = \gamma \pm i\omega_1 \quad \lambda_{2\pm}^{(0)} = \pm i\omega_2 . \quad (59)$$

On the other hand, the first order expansion of the complex eigenvectors is

$$V_{i\pm} = V_{i\pm}^{(0)} + cV_{i\pm}^{(1)} , \quad (60)$$

where the uncoupled eigenvectors are simply

$$V_{1\pm}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \pm i \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad V_{2\pm}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \pm i \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (61)$$

The first order perturbation of the eigenmodes can then be expressed as

$$V_{1\pm}^{(1)} = \frac{e^{\pm i\phi_1}}{\sqrt{2}\rho_1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\omega_2 \\ 0 \\ \varrho_1 e^{\pm i\varphi_1} \end{pmatrix} \quad V_{2\pm}^{(1)} = \frac{e^{\pm i\phi_2}}{\sqrt{2}\rho_2} \begin{pmatrix} -\omega_1 \\ 0 \\ \varrho_2 e^{\pm i\varphi_2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (62)$$

with the supplementary definitions

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 &= \sqrt{(\omega_2^2 - \omega_1^2 + \gamma^2)^2 + (2\omega_1\gamma)^2} \\ \phi_1 &= \tan^{-1}(2\omega_1\gamma / (\omega_2^2 - \omega_1^2 + \gamma^2)) \\ \rho_2 &= \sqrt{(\omega_2^2 - \omega_1^2 - \gamma^2)^2 + (2\omega_2\gamma)^2} \\ \phi_2 &= \tan^{-1}(2\omega_2\gamma / (\omega_2^2 - \omega_1^2 - \gamma^2)), \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

along with

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_1 &= [\omega_1^2 + \gamma^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \varphi_1 &= \tan^{-1}(\omega_1/\gamma) \\ \varrho_2 &= [\omega_2^2 + \gamma^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \varphi_2 &= -\tan^{-1}(\omega_2/\gamma). \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

(Note that only the last relation has a negative sign.)

Superposing complex eigenvectors

$$V_{ie} = \frac{V_{i+} + V_{i-}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad V_{io} = \frac{V_{i+} - V_{i-}}{2i} \quad (65)$$

defines a pair of real phase planes, the real eigenmodes, not coincident with the symmetrical and antisymmetrical planes coordinetized by  $\mathbf{x}_i$ . Let us consider a trajectory initially in the symmetrical  $\mathbf{x}_1 = 0$  plane which is protected from dissipation. Its main projection is in the  $\mathbf{x}'_1 = 0$  eigenplane (spanned by  $V_{2e}$  and  $V_{2o}$ ), but also a small projection on the other eigenplane, see FIG. 1 and FIG. 2. According to (59), to first order in  $c$ , there will be pure rotation in the  $\mathbf{x}'_1 = 0$  plane. The interesting point is that the small component in the other real eigenplane spires outwards exponentially. Thus, if we associate this choice of initial value to the chord  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  in the argument of the evolving chord function in (15), the backward propagation of  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  will reach  $\boldsymbol{\xi} = 0$ , which means that there will be a fast convergence of the integrand to a finite value in the exponential.

## VII. NETWORK OF HARMONIC OSCILLATORS WITH DISSIPATION ON ITS SURFACE

We study a linear chain of  $N$  harmonic oscillators coupled by  $\hbar\alpha\frac{\widehat{a}_n^\dagger\widehat{a}_{n+1}+\widehat{a}_{n+1}^\dagger\widehat{a}_n}{2}$ , with

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{a}_n &= \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}}\widehat{q}_n + i\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar m\omega}}\widehat{p}_n \\ \widehat{a}_n^\dagger &= \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}}\widehat{q}_n - i\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar m\omega}}\widehat{p}_n.\end{aligned}\tag{66}$$

The Hamiltonian is

$$\widehat{H} = \sum_{n=1}^N \left( \frac{\widehat{p}_n^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2\widehat{q}_n^2 \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \left( \frac{m\omega\alpha}{2}\widehat{q}_n\widehat{q}_{n+1} + \frac{\alpha}{2m\omega}\widehat{p}_n\widehat{p}_{n+1} \right).\tag{67}$$

A rigorous experimental realisation of this system is a network of photon cavities coupled with each other, while the one on the edge of the network is coupled to the environment. In the following, we will represent the various matrices with  $N = 4$ , for the sake of clarity, but the solution holds for any  $N$ . The classical Hamiltonian matrix, as defined in (1), has the

form

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{m} & 0 & \frac{\alpha}{2m\omega} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m\omega^2 & 0 & \frac{m\omega\alpha}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{\alpha}{2m\omega} & 0 & \frac{1}{m} & 0 & \frac{\alpha}{2m\omega} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{m\omega\alpha}{2} & 0 & m\omega^2 & 0 & \frac{m\omega\alpha}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\alpha}{2m\omega} & 0 & \frac{1}{m} & 0 & \frac{\alpha}{2m\omega} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{m\omega\alpha}{2} & 0 & m\omega^2 & 0 & \frac{m\omega\alpha}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\alpha}{2m\omega} & 0 & \frac{1}{m} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{m\omega\alpha}{2} & 0 & m\omega^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (68)$$

hence

$$\mathbf{JH} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -m\omega^2 & 0 & -\frac{m\omega\alpha}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{m} & 0 & \frac{\alpha}{2m\omega} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{m\omega\alpha}{2} & 0 & -m\omega^2 & 0 & -\frac{m\omega\alpha}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{\alpha}{2m\omega} & 0 & \frac{1}{m} & 0 & \frac{\alpha}{2m\omega} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{m\omega\alpha}{2} & 0 & -m\omega^2 & 0 & -\frac{m\omega\alpha}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\alpha}{2m\omega} & 0 & \frac{1}{m} & 0 & \frac{\alpha}{2m\omega} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{m\omega\alpha}{2} & 0 & -m\omega^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\alpha}{2m\omega} & 0 & \frac{1}{m} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (69)$$

On top of this, we assume that the oscillator N°1 is coupled to a Markovian environment, whereas the coupling of other oscillators to environment is supposed to be negligible. This can be modeled through a Lindblad equation

$$\frac{d\hat{\rho}}{dt} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} [\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}] - \frac{\gamma\bar{n}}{2} [2\hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{\rho} \hat{a}_1 - \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{\rho} - \hat{\rho} \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_1^\dagger] - \frac{\gamma(\bar{n}+1)}{2} [2\hat{a}_1 \hat{\rho} \hat{a}_1^\dagger - \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 \hat{\rho} - \hat{\rho} \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1]. \quad (70)$$

The equation fulfills detailed balanced for oscillator N°1. One should note that, departing from the simplification in the initial sections, we need two linear Lindblad operators,  $\hat{L}_e = \mathbf{l}_e \cdot \mathbf{x}$  and  $\hat{L}_d = \mathbf{l}_d \cdot \mathbf{x}$ , defining two complex vectors  $\mathbf{l}_e = \mathbf{l}'_e + i\mathbf{l}''_e$  and  $\mathbf{l}_d = \mathbf{l}'_d + i\mathbf{l}''_d$  with

$$\mathbf{l}'_e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \sqrt{\frac{m\omega\gamma\bar{n}}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{l}''_e = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{\frac{\gamma\bar{n}}{2m\omega}} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{l}'_d = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \sqrt{\frac{m\omega\gamma(\bar{n}+1)}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{l}''_d = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma(\bar{n}+1)}{2m\omega}} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (71)$$

so

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}_e = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\gamma\bar{n}}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{\gamma\bar{n}}{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{\Gamma}_d = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\gamma(\bar{n}+1)}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\gamma(\bar{n}+1)}{2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (72)$$

We define  $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{JH} + \mathbf{\Gamma}_e + \mathbf{\Gamma}_d$  the classical propagation matrix, such that  $\mathbf{R}_\Gamma(t) = \exp[\mathbf{G}t]$  in (16). The aim of this section is to find the spectrum  $\{\mu_{k\pm}\}_{k=1,\dots,N}$  of  $\mathbf{G}$  at first order in  $\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}$ , that is, for small coupling to the environment as compared to the internal coupling. We have, for  $N = 4$ ,

$$\mathbf{G} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\gamma}{2} & -m\omega^2 & 0 & -\frac{m\omega\alpha}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{m} & \frac{\gamma}{2} & \frac{\alpha}{2m\omega} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{m\omega\alpha}{2} & 0 & -m\omega^2 & 0 & -\frac{m\omega\alpha}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{\alpha}{2m\omega} & 0 & \frac{1}{m} & 0 & \frac{\alpha}{2m\omega} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{m\omega\alpha}{2} & 0 & -m\omega^2 & 0 & -\frac{m\omega\alpha}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\alpha}{2m\omega} & 0 & \frac{1}{m} & 0 & \frac{\alpha}{2m\omega} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{m\omega\alpha}{2} & 0 & -m\omega^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\alpha}{2m\omega} & 0 & \frac{1}{m} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (73)$$

As it is shown in Appendix C, for  $\gamma = 0$ ,  $\mathbf{G}$  is the product of a Toeplitz matrix with a 1D harmonic oscillator, and it has the exact eigenvalues

$$\mu_{k\pm}^{(0)} = \pm i \left( \omega + \alpha \cos \left( \frac{\pi k}{N+1} \right) \right). \quad (74)$$

Then, for small  $\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}$ , the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{G}$  can be computed perturbatively, giving, at first order

$$\mu_{k\pm}^{(1)} = \pm i \left( \omega + \alpha \cos \left( \frac{\pi k}{N+1} \right) \right) + \frac{\gamma}{2(N+1)} \sin^2 \left( \frac{k\pi}{N+1} \right). \quad (75)$$

It is interesting to see that, at the large  $N$  limit, and for fixed  $k$ ,

$$\mu_{k\pm}^{(1)} \simeq \pm i \left( \omega + \alpha - \frac{\alpha\pi^2 k^2}{2(N+1)^2} \right) + \frac{\gamma k^2 \pi^2}{2(N+1)^3}. \quad (76)$$

The same holds for fixed  $N+1-k$  and large  $N$ , that is, dissipation goes as  $\frac{1}{N^3}$  for the frequencies that are close to  $\omega \pm \alpha$ , that we call "band-edge modes", as they are on the edge of the band in the spectrum. On the other hand, dissipation goes as  $\frac{1}{N}$  for frequencies close to  $\omega$ , that we call "middle-band modes", and which correspond to fixed  $k - \frac{N}{2}$  and large  $N$  – see FIG. 3. To understand the consequence of these expressions on decoherence, one can decompose the chord  $\xi$  into the normalized eigenbasis of  $\mathbf{G}$ ,  $\{\mathbf{W}_{k\pm} = \mathbf{V}_{k\pm} \otimes \epsilon_{\pm}\}_{k=1\dots N}$ . Notice that, in terms of real chords, the complex diagonal  $\mathbf{G}$  shall be reorganized into a block diagonal matrix with  $N$  blocks of  $2 \times 2$  real rotations. The

$k^{\text{th}}$  block is defined by  $\{\boldsymbol{\xi} = z\mathbf{W}_{k+} + z^*\mathbf{W}_{k-}, z \in \mathbb{C}\}$ , with  $\mathbf{W}_{k-} = \mathbf{W}_{k+}^*$ . Now, taking  $z = \sqrt{m\omega + \frac{1}{m\omega}} \left( \frac{\xi_p}{2\sqrt{m\omega}} + i\frac{\sqrt{m\omega}}{2}\xi_q \right)$  gives

$$\boldsymbol{\xi} = \mathbf{V}_k^{(0)} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \xi_p \\ \xi_q \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}\right)^2\right) \quad (77)$$

with  $(\xi_p, \xi_q) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $V_k^{(0)}$  defined by (C12). In other words, the  $N$  sites undergo the same  $\xi$  rotation, which is physically an oscillation, but with different amplitudes – see FIG. 3.

Then, according to (15), one has, at first order in  $\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi\left(\mathbf{V}_k^{(0)} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \xi_p \\ \xi_q \end{pmatrix}, t\right) &\simeq \chi\left(\mathbf{V}_k^{(0)} \otimes (ze^{-\mu_{k+}t}\epsilon_+ + z^*e^{-\mu_{k-}t}\epsilon_-), 0\right) \\ &\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\hbar}(2\bar{n}+1)\left(1 - e^{-\frac{\gamma}{N+1}\sin^2\left(\frac{k\pi}{N+1}\right)t}\right)\left(\frac{\xi_p^2}{m\omega} + m\omega\xi_q^2\right)\right], \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

with the final equilibrium state,

$$\chi\left(\mathbf{V}_k^{(0)} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \xi_p \\ \xi_q \end{pmatrix}, +\infty\right) \simeq (2\pi\hbar)^N \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\hbar}(2\bar{n}+1)\left(\frac{\xi_p^2}{m\omega} + m\omega\xi_q^2\right)\right], \quad (79)$$

with  $2\bar{n}+1 = \frac{1}{\tanh\left(\frac{\beta\hbar\omega}{2}\right)}$ , so we recognize the chord representation of a thermal state. From these expressions we can deduce two things. First, that band-edge modes, whose frequency is close to  $\omega \pm \alpha$ , converge to the final equilibrium (79) in a time of order  $N^3/\gamma$ , whereas middle-band modes, whose frequency is close to  $\omega$ , converge in a time  $N/\gamma$ . Secondly, that each oscillating mode converges to the same thermal mode. This uniformness may seem surprising, as the integration in (15) predicts a term in  $1/(2\mu_{k\pm})$  in the argument of the exponential, but it is actually compensated by the fact that the Lindblad operators act only on the site  $N^\circ 1$ , where the band-edge modes have small amplitude,  $(\mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{W}_{1\pm})^2 \simeq \frac{\gamma}{N^3}$  while the middle-band modes have larger amplitude,  $(\mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{W}_{\frac{N}{2}\pm})^2 \simeq \frac{\gamma}{N}$  – see (C12) in Appendix C. Notice that the final equilibrium being a thermal state was not obvious, as the whole equation does not fulfill the detailed balance condition. The reader might be surprised that this generalization of the example of section VI does not show a plane protected from dissipation, but this is not contradictory, as, we are looking at a different perturbation regime, that is,  $\gamma \ll \alpha$ , while it was the opposite in section VI.

One can also look at the reduced chord function

$$\chi_n(\xi_p, \xi_q, t = +\infty) = \chi(\boldsymbol{\xi}_1 = \mathbf{0}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{n-1} = \mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_n, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{n+1} = \mathbf{0}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\xi}_N = \mathbf{0}, t = +\infty) \quad (80)$$






FIG. 3. Modes  $k = 1$  (left) and  $k = 40$  (right) are the band-edge modes for  $N = 40$ . They are the modes which are the least affected by dissipation, that is, their dissipation rate is of order  $\gamma/N^3$ . Mode  $k = 20$  (middle) is the middle-band mode. It is the mode which is the most affected by dissipation, that is, its dissipation rate is of order  $\gamma/N$ .

of the  $n^{\text{th}}$  harmonic oscillator, by decomposing the vector  $(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \otimes (\xi_p, \xi_q)$  into the basis  $\mathbf{W}_{k\pm}$ , and this gives the final state

$$\chi_n(\xi_p, \xi_q, +\infty) = (2\pi\hbar)^N \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\hbar}(2\bar{n} + 1) \left(\frac{\xi_p^2}{m\omega} + m\omega\xi_q^2\right) \Sigma_N\right] \quad (81)$$

with

$$\Sigma_N = \frac{4}{(N+1)^3} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\sin \frac{j\pi}{N+1} \sin \frac{nj\pi}{N+1} \sin \frac{k\pi}{N+1} \sin \frac{nk\pi}{N+1} (\sin^2(\frac{j\pi}{N+1}) + \sin^2(\frac{k\pi}{N+1}))}{\left(\frac{\sin^2(\frac{j\pi}{N+1}) + \sin^2(\frac{k\pi}{N+1})}{N+1}\right)^2 + \frac{4\alpha^2}{\gamma^2} (\cos \frac{j\pi}{N+1} - \cos \frac{k\pi}{N+1})^2}, \quad (82)$$

which looks more complicated, although a careful examination shows that, since  $\gamma \ll \alpha$ , the double sum  $\Sigma_N$  will be dominated by  $j = k$ , where the cosines cancel out, giving a much smaller denominator, and then  $\Sigma_N \simeq 1$  and

$$\chi_n(\xi_p, \xi_q, +\infty) \simeq (2\pi\hbar)^N \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\hbar}(2\bar{n} + 1) \left(\frac{\xi_p^2}{m\omega} + m\omega\xi_q^2\right)\right]. \quad (83)$$

Hence, not surprisingly, the  $n^{\text{th}}$  harmonic oscillator also converges towards the regular thermal state, on account of its coupling to the environment through its neighbours.

The result generalizes to a cubic network of harmonic oscillators, with annihilation and creation operators  $a_{j,k,l}$  and  $a_{j,k,l}^\dagger$ , see FIG. 4, and whose surface, corresponding to  $j, k, l \in \{1, N\}$ , undergoes dissipation through a Lindblad equation of type (70). Then the eigenvalues of the evolution matrix  $\mathbf{G}$  are, at first order in  $\gamma/\alpha$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{n_x, n_y, n_z \pm}^{(1)} = \pm i & \left[ \omega + \alpha \left( \cos\left(\frac{\pi n_x}{N+1}\right) + \cos\left(\frac{\pi n_y}{N+1}\right) + \cos\left(\frac{\pi n_z}{N+1}\right) \right) \right] \\ & + \frac{\gamma}{N+1} \left( \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi n_x}{N+1}\right) + \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi n_y}{N+1}\right) + \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi n_z}{N+1}\right) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

while the eigenvectors at order 0 are just the tensor products of 3 vectors of (C12) type.




FIG. 4. A cubic network of harmonic oscillators undergoing dissipation on its surface, but not inside.

### Appendix A: The double Hamiltonian and semiclassical approximations

The full correspondence of the quantum Markovian evolution to a classical Hamiltonian evolution in phase space is only tenable in the absence of dissipation, i.e. for  $\mathbf{I}''_j = 0$ . Nonetheless, it was shown in [13, 14] that a doubled phase space can accommodate a corresponding classical Hamiltonian motion even in the presence of dissipation: It turns out that the contraction of the argument of the Wigner function (the centre  $\mathbf{x}$ ) is counterbalanced by the expansion of the chord function (the chord  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ ), so that Liouville's theorem is satisfied on the whole. A quirk is that the proper canonical conjugate to  $\mathbf{x}$  is  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{J}\boldsymbol{\xi} = (-\boldsymbol{\xi}_q, \boldsymbol{\xi}_p)$ , instead of  $\boldsymbol{\xi} = (\boldsymbol{\xi}_p, \boldsymbol{\xi}_q)$  as indicated by the appearance of the symplectic matrix  $\mathbf{J}$  in the Fourier transform (7). In order to simplify the notation, we transfer  $\chi(\boldsymbol{\xi}, t) \mapsto \chi(\mathbf{y}, t)$ , even though strictly  $\chi(\boldsymbol{\xi}, t) = \chi(-\mathbf{J}\mathbf{y}, t)$ . Again, we make the restriction to the single Lindblad operator (11) and so the full Lindblad equation is rewritten in the chord representation as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial t}(\mathbf{y}, t) = & -\frac{1}{2\hbar} \left[ (\mathbf{I}' \wedge \mathbf{y})^2 + (\mathbf{I}'' \wedge \mathbf{y})^2 \right] \chi(\mathbf{y}, t) \\ & - \frac{i}{\hbar} \int \frac{d\mathbf{y}' d\mathbf{x}'}{(2\pi\hbar)^N} \chi(\mathbf{y}', t) \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}(\mathbf{y}' - \mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{x}'\right) \mathbb{H}(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A1})$$

introducing the real *double Hamiltonian*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) &\equiv H(\mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{J}\mathbf{y}) - H(\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{J}\mathbf{y}) + [(\mathbf{l}' \wedge \mathbf{y}) \mathbf{l}'' - (\mathbf{l}'' \wedge \mathbf{y}) \mathbf{l}'] \cdot \mathbf{x} \\ &= H(\mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{J}\mathbf{y}) - H(\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{J}\mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{y} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\mathbf{x} . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A2})$$

The exact formula (A1) for the Lindblad equation of multicomponent systems in the chord representation, under the sole restriction to linear Lindblad operators, generalizes the expressions in [13, 14]. Before discussing semiclassical approximations for general Hamiltonians, we review the exact solutions for  $H(\mathbf{x})$  in the quadratic form (1). They are constructed on the basis of the trajectories of the double Hamiltonian, that is,

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\partial \mathbb{H}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\mathbf{y}} = -\frac{\partial \mathbb{H}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} . \quad (\text{A3})$$

Thus, switching off the (single) Hamiltonian, the dissipation matrix generates a generalized hyperbolic evolution in the double phase space:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = -\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \mathbf{x} \quad \dot{\mathbf{y}} = \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^T \mathbf{y} . \quad (\text{A4})$$

Hence, the contraction of the centre variables associated to the Wigner function,

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = e^{-\boldsymbol{\Gamma}t} \mathbf{x} , \quad (\text{A5})$$

is counterbalanced by expansion of the chord variables:

$$\mathbf{y}(t) = e^{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^T t} \mathbf{y} . \quad (\text{A6})$$

This is a richer structure than the simple scalar hyperbolicity, that was previously assumed to be the generalization of the scenario for a single degree of freedom. Nonetheless, it is verified that the trace of the dissipation matrix is (19), i.e. twice the dissipation coefficient  $\gamma$  which still determines the overall contraction rate of the centres, as

$$\det \frac{d\mathbf{x}(t)}{d\mathbf{x}} = \det e^{-\boldsymbol{\Gamma}t} = \exp(-\text{tr } \boldsymbol{\Gamma}t) = e^{-2\gamma t} . \quad (\text{A7})$$

The internal part of the double Hamiltonian in the case of the general homogeneous quadratic Hamiltonian (1) reduces to

$$H(\mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{J}\mathbf{y}) - H(\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{J}\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{J}\mathbf{H} \mathbf{x} , \quad (\text{A8})$$

so that the double Hamilton equations (A3) uncouple as

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{JH} \mathbf{x} \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{HJ} \mathbf{y} . \quad (\text{A9})$$

Hence, one recognizes that the evolution of the centre variable  $\mathbf{x}$  is generated by the original Hamilton equations. Indeed, recalling that  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{J}\boldsymbol{\xi}$ , one also obtains

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\xi}} = \mathbf{JH} \boldsymbol{\xi} \quad (\text{A10})$$

and both evolutions conserve their respective phase spaces.

The evolution of the chord variables generated by the full double Hamiltonian (A2) is then

$$\mathbf{y}(t) = \exp \left[ (\mathbf{HJ} + \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^T)t \right] \mathbf{y} \quad (\text{A11})$$

independently of the centre motion, whereas

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \exp \left[ (\mathbf{JH} - \boldsymbol{\Gamma})t \right] \mathbf{x} , \quad (\text{A12})$$

so that the latter again contract, while the chords expand.

The decoupling of the evolution within the chord space from the centre space in the present symplectic context allows us to return to the original chord variables. Then the full expansive evolution (A11) of the double momentum  $\mathbf{y}$  is replaced by

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}(t) = \exp \left[ (\mathbf{JH} + \boldsymbol{\Gamma})t \right] \boldsymbol{\xi} = \mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}(t) \boldsymbol{\xi} , \quad (\text{A13})$$

eliciting the evolution matrix that was postulated in section 2 for the exact solution of the Lindblad equation. The exact solution of the multicomponent Lindblad equation, within the further restriction that the (single) Hamiltonian is a homogeneous quadratic function of positions and momenta, is then (17), which can be rewritten in terms of the canonical variable  $\mathbf{y}$  instead of the chord  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ . Even so, it is important to note that the double phase space construction does not allow for a similar solution directly in terms of the decoupled centre motion (A12). The exact solution for the Wigner function (30) is the more complex convolution of the contraction of the original Wigner function with a Gaussian that widens in time.

There is no major change in the semiclassical theory for the Lindblad equation with a general Hamiltonian, but still with linear Lindblad operators developed in [13, 14] and reviewed in [16], except that it was assumed that the dissipation matrix  $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} = \gamma\mathbf{I}$ , irrespective

of the number of components. Then the semiclassical approximation in the doubled real phase space follows [13] in terms of the double Hamiltonian (A2). As reviewed in [16], an evolving chord function of the form

$$\chi^0(\mathbf{y}, t) = A(\mathbf{y}, t) \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} S(\mathbf{y}, t)\right) \quad (\text{A14})$$

follows the solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation,

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = -\mathbb{H}\left(-\frac{\partial S}{\partial \mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{y}, t), \mathbf{y}, t\right) \quad (\text{A15})$$

for the evolving action  $S(\mathbf{y}, t)$ , to first order in  $\hbar$ . In general, the action specifies a *Lagrangian surface*,

$$\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{y}, t) = -\frac{\partial S}{\partial \mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{y}, t), \quad (\text{A16})$$

of half the dimension of the doubled phase space. The solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation propagates its Lagrangian surface by trajectories that satisfy Hamilton's equations (A3).

For the complex semiclassical theory in [14], one needs the *complex double Hamiltonian*

$$\mathbb{H}_c(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \equiv \mathbb{H}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) - \frac{i}{2} \left[ (\mathbf{I}' \wedge \mathbf{y})^2 + (\mathbf{I}'' \wedge \mathbf{y})^2 \right], \quad (\text{A17})$$

which, indeed, allows for the exact Lindblad equation to be expressed as a generalized Schrödinger equation in the chord variables,

$$\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial t}(\mathbf{y}, t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbb{H}_c \left( -\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{y}}^{(2)}, \mathbf{y}^{(1)} \right) \chi(\mathbf{y}, t), \quad (\text{A18})$$

where the superscripts (1) and (2) indicate that the derivatives are taken after multiplication by powers of the components of  $\mathbf{y}$ . This order was erroneously inverted in equations (14) and (15) of [14].

## Appendix B: Broken symmetry of a linear triatomic molecule

Consider a linear triatomic molecule that is symmetric as far as charges are concerned, but with different isotopes for the end atoms. Choosing the coordinates as in the FIG. 5, the equilibrium lengths take no part in the Lagrangian:

$$L_0 = \frac{m}{2} \dot{q}^2 + \frac{m_+}{2} (\dot{q} + \dot{q}_+)^2 + \frac{m_-}{2} (\dot{q} + \dot{q}_-)^2 - \frac{k}{2} (q_-^2 + q_+^2). \quad (\text{B1})$$




FIG. 5. Triatomic molecule with convenient choice of coordinates.

Conservation of total momentum

$$P = m \dot{q} + m_+ (\dot{q} + \dot{q}_+) + m_- (\dot{q} + \dot{q}_-) \quad (\text{B2})$$

together with the definitions

$$M = m + m_+ + m_- \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{\pm} = \frac{m_{\pm}}{M} \quad (\text{B3})$$

lead to

$$\dot{q} = -\mu_+ \dot{q}_+ - \mu_- \dot{q}_- \quad (\text{B4})$$

and to the reduced Lagrangian

$$L_1 = \frac{M}{2} [(\mu_+ - \mu_+^2) \dot{q}_+^2 + (\mu_- - \mu_-^2) \dot{q}_-^2 - 2\mu_+\mu_- \dot{q}_+\dot{q}_- - \omega_0^2 (q_-^2 + q_+^2)] , \quad (\text{B5})$$

with  $\omega_0^2 = k/M$ .

The new variables

$$q_1 = \frac{q_+ + q_-}{\sqrt{2}} \quad q_2 = \frac{q_+ - q_-}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (\text{B6})$$

represent pure symmetric stretching for  $q_1 = 0$ , whereas  $q_2 = 0$  denotes equal displacement of both end atoms with respect to the central atom, i.e. antisymmetrical. Discarding the factor  $M/2$  and further defining

$$a = (\mu_+ - \mu_+^2) + (\mu_- - \mu_-^2) - 2\mu_+\mu_- \quad (\text{B7})$$

$$b = (\mu_+ - \mu_+^2) - (\mu_- - \mu_-^2) + 2\mu_+\mu_-$$

$$\epsilon = (\mu_+ - \mu_+^2 - \mu_- + \mu_-^2) , \quad (\text{B8})$$

the Lagrangian in the new variables takes the form

$$L_2 = \frac{a}{2} \dot{q}_1^2 + \frac{b}{2} \dot{q}_2^2 + \epsilon \dot{q}_1 \dot{q}_2 - \omega_0^2 (q_1^2 + q_2^2) , \quad (\text{B9})$$

which in the case of equal end-masses, such that  $\mu_+ = \mu_- = \mu$ , is separable:

$$L_s = \mu \dot{q}_2^2 + \omega_0^2 q_2^2 + (\mu - 2\mu^2) \dot{q}_1^2 + \omega_0^2 q_1^2 . \quad (\text{B10})$$

For small coupling due to isotopic variation the normal modes of  $L_2$  will not coincide exactly with the symmetric and anti symmetric variables. Rather than deriving here their explicit, though not very transparent form, it is more relevant in the ulterior quantum context to obtain the corresponding classical Hamiltonian. Then the canonical momenta are

$$p_1 = \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial \dot{q}_1} = a \dot{q}_1 + \epsilon \dot{q}_2 \quad p_2 = \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial \dot{q}_2} = b \dot{q}_2 + \epsilon \dot{q}_1 , \quad (\text{B11})$$

so that to first order in the small parameter  $\epsilon$ ,

$$\dot{q}_1 \approx \frac{p_1}{a} - \epsilon \frac{p_2}{ab} \quad \dot{q}_2 \approx \frac{p_2}{b} - \epsilon \frac{p_1}{ab} , \quad (\text{B12})$$

which, inserted in the Legendre transform of  $L_2$ , delivers the coupled Hamiltonian

$$H_2(p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2) = \frac{p_1^2}{2a} + \frac{p_2^2}{2b} - \epsilon \frac{p_1 p_2}{ab} + \omega_0^2 (q_1^2 + q_2^2) . \quad (\text{B13})$$

It is curious that the isotopic variation couples the symmetric and the antisymmetric variables by their momenta, instead of the more familiar position coupling.

In the example of a CO<sub>2</sub> molecule with isotopes <sup>16</sup>O and <sup>18</sup>O [32], this gives

$$\omega_0 \simeq 2.02 \times 10^{14} \text{rad.s}^{-1} \quad \mu_+ = \frac{9}{23} \quad \mu_- = \frac{8}{23} \quad a \simeq 0.1928 \quad b \simeq 0.2836 \quad \epsilon \simeq 0.0113.$$

Then, taking the symplectic change of variable

$$p_1 \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{a} \omega_0}} p_1 \quad p_2 \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{b} \omega_0}} p_2 \quad q_1 \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{a} \omega_0}{\sqrt{2}}} q_1 \quad q_2 \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{b} \omega_0}{\sqrt{2}}} q_2,$$

one obtains (56) with

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1 &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{a}} \omega_0 \simeq 6.49 \times 10^{14} \text{rad.s}^{-1} \\ \omega_2 &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{b}} \omega_0 \simeq 5.35 \times 10^{14} \text{rad.s}^{-1} \\ c &= \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{(ab)^{3/4}} \omega_0 \epsilon \simeq 0.57 \times 10^{14} \text{rad.s}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Noticing that  $c/\omega_1 \simeq c/\omega_2 \simeq 1/10$ , it is legitimate to treat the coupling term in  $c$  as a perturbation.

### Appendix C: Spectrum of the classical evolution matrix

It is convenient to decompose matrix (73) into a sum of two tensor products, that is, writing

$$\mathbf{G} = \left( \mathbf{1}_N + \frac{\alpha}{2\omega} \mathbf{B} \right) \otimes \mathbf{J}_1 \mathbf{H}_1 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{1}_2 \quad (\text{C1})$$

with

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{J}_1 \mathbf{H}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -m\omega^2 \\ \frac{1}{m} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{C2})$$

Although  $\mathbf{J}_1 \mathbf{H}_1$  obviously commutes with  $\mathbf{1}_2$ ,  $\mathbf{1}_N + \frac{\alpha}{2\omega} \mathbf{B}$  does not commute with  $\mathbf{P}$ . Still, the eigenvectors  $\mathbf{W}$  of  $\mathbf{G}$  can be factorized by the two eigenvectors  $\epsilon_{\pm}$  of a single harmonic oscillator,

$$\mathbf{W}_{\pm} = \mathbf{V}_{\pm} \otimes \epsilon_{\pm}, \quad (\text{C3})$$

with

$$\mathbf{J}_1 \mathbf{H}_1 \epsilon_{\pm} = \pm i\omega \epsilon_{\pm}. \quad (\text{C4})$$

Then, the eigenvalue problem of  $\mathbf{G}$  can be reduced to two subproblems,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{W}_+ &= \left( \mathbf{1} + \frac{\alpha}{2\omega} \mathbf{B} \right) \mathbf{V}_+ \otimes i\omega \epsilon_+ + \frac{\gamma}{2} \mathbf{P} \otimes \epsilon_+ \\ &= \left[ i\omega \left( \mathbf{1} + \frac{\alpha}{2\omega} \mathbf{B} \right) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \mathbf{P} \right] \mathbf{V}_+ \otimes \epsilon_+ \\ &= \mu_{k+} \mathbf{V}_+ \otimes \epsilon_+ \\ \mathbf{G} \mathbf{W}_- &= \left( \mathbf{1} + \frac{\alpha}{2\omega} \mathbf{B} \right) \mathbf{V}_- \otimes (-i\omega) \epsilon_- + \frac{\gamma}{2} \mathbf{P} \otimes \epsilon_- \\ &= \left[ -i\omega \left( \mathbf{1} + \frac{\alpha}{2\omega} \mathbf{B} \right) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \mathbf{P} \right] \mathbf{V}_- \otimes \epsilon_- \\ &= \mu_{k-} \mathbf{V}_- \otimes \epsilon_-. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C5})$$

Noticing that  $\mathbf{1}$  commutes with anything, we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V}_+ &\text{ is eigenvector of } \mathbf{B} - i\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} \mathbf{P} \\ \mathbf{V}_- &\text{ is eigenvector of } \mathbf{B} + i\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} \mathbf{P}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C6})$$



Defining  $\sigma = \pm i\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}$ , we just need to look for the eigenvectors  $\mathbf{V}$  of the matrix  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B} + \sigma\mathbf{P}$ , which is of the form

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{C7})$$

Notice that  $\mathbf{A}$  is "almost" a Toeplitz matrix, that is, it is a Toeplitz matrix for  $\sigma = 0$ . Its eigenvectors are finite suites  $\{a_n\}_{1,\dots,N}$  having a linear recurrence sequence of order 2, whose solution is  $a_n = K_1 r^n + K_2 r^{-n}$ , with  $r^2 - \lambda r + 1 = 0$ . Hence, its eigenvalues are of the form  $\lambda = r + \frac{1}{r}$ , with  $r$  solution of  $a_{N+1} = K_1 r^{N+1} + K_2 r^{-(N+1)} = 0$ , which, after setting  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  according to initial conditions  $a_1 = 1$  and  $\sigma + a_2 = \lambda$ , boils down to

$$r^{2N+2} - \sigma r^{2N+1} + \sigma r - 1 = 0. \quad (\text{C8})$$

For  $\sigma = 0$ , the solution is  $r = e^{i\frac{k\pi}{N+1}}$ , with  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , thus recovering the Toeplitz spectrum  $\lambda = 2 \cos \frac{k\pi}{N+1}$ . Notice that  $k = N + 2, \dots, 2N - 1$  gives the same  $\lambda$ , and that  $r = \pm 1$  ( $k = 0$  or  $k = N + 1$ ) are discarded as it would imply  $\sigma = \pm 1$ , whereas actual  $\sigma$  is imaginary. In the small  $|\sigma|$  limit, corresponding to an internal coupling which is much stronger than the coupling to the environment, the spectrum should be perturbatively close to the Toeplitz one, that is, we may look for an expansion of  $r$  in powers of  $\sigma$ , that is,

$$r = e^{i\frac{k\pi}{N+1}} + \sigma r^{(1)} + \sigma^2 r^{(2)} + \dots, \quad (\text{C9})$$

which gives, at first order in  $\sigma$ , after introducing (C9) in (C8) and from the definition of  $\lambda = r + 1/r$ ,

$$\lambda_k = 2 \cos \left( \frac{\pi k}{N+1} \right) + 2\sigma \frac{\sin^2 \left( \frac{k\pi}{N+1} \right)}{N+1} + \mathcal{O}(\sigma^2). \quad (\text{C10})$$

Remembering that  $\lambda$  is only the eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{B} + \sigma\mathbf{P}$ , whereas the proper eigenvalue problem is actually (C6), which is twofold,

- for the  $\mathbf{V}_+$  eigenequation we have  $\sigma = -i\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}$  and  $\mu_{k+}$  is an eigenvalue of  $i\omega\mathbf{1} + i\frac{\alpha}{2}(\mathbf{B} + \sigma\mathbf{P})$ ;
- for the  $\mathbf{V}_-$  eigenequation we have  $\sigma = i\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}$  and  $\mu_{k-}$  is an eigenvalue of  $-i\omega\mathbf{1} - i\frac{\alpha}{2}(\mathbf{B} + \sigma\mathbf{P})$ .

Hence, the eigenvalues  $\mu_{k\pm}$  of  $\mathbf{G}$  are finally, at first order in  $\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}$ ,

$$\mu_{k\pm} = \pm i \left( \omega + \alpha \cos \left( \frac{\pi k}{N+1} \right) \right) + \frac{\gamma}{2(N+1)} \sin^2 \left( \frac{k\pi}{N+1} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{\gamma^2}{\alpha} \right). \quad (\text{C11})$$

On the other hand, the normalized unperturbed eigenvectors are

$$\mathbf{V}_k^{(0)} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{N+1}} \left( \sin \left( \frac{k\pi}{N+1} \right), \sin \left( \frac{2k\pi}{N+1} \right), \dots, \sin \left( \frac{nk\pi}{N+1} \right), \dots, \sin \left( \frac{Nk\pi}{N+1} \right) \right). \quad (\text{C12})$$

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Raul Vallejos for stimulating discussions. Partial financial support from the National Institute for Science and Technology–Quantum Information and CNPq (Brazilian agencies) is gratefully acknowledged.

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 
- [1] G. Lindblad 1976 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **48** 119
  - [2] D. Giulini, E. Joos, C. Kiefer, J. Kupsch, I.-O. Stamatescu and H. D. Zeh 1996 *Decoherence and the Appearance of a Classical World in Quantum Theory* (Springer, Berlin)
  - [3] E. P. Wigner 1932 *Phys. Rev.* **40** 749
  - [4] H. J. Grönwold 1946 *Physica* **12** 405
  - [5] J. E. Moyal 1949 *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. Math Phys. Sci.* **45** 99
  - [6] A. M. Ozorio de Almeida 1998 *Phys. Rep.* **295** 265.
  - [7] O. Brodier and A. M. Ozorio de Almeida 2004 *Phys. Rev. E* **69** 016204
  - [8] E. J. Heller 1981 *J. Chem. Phys.* **75** 2923
  - [9] O. Brodier and A. M. Ozorio de Almeida 2010 *Phys. Lett. A* **374** 2315
  - [10] E. M. Graefe, B. Longstaff, T. Plastow and R. Schubert 2018 *J. Phys. A* **51** 365203
  - [11] M. V. Berry 1977 *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc A* **287** 237-71
  - [12] A. M. Ozorio de Almeida and J. H. Hanay 1982 *Ann. Phys. NY* **138** 115.
  - [13] A. M. Ozorio de Almeida, P. M. Rios and O. Brodier 2009 *J. Phys. A* **35** 2609.

- [14] O. Brodier and A. M. Ozorio de Almeida 2010 *J. Phys. A* **43** 505308
- [15] A. M. Ozorio de Almeida and O. Brodier 2006 *Ann. Phys.(NY)* **321** 1790
- [16] A. M. Ozorio de Almeida and O. Brodier 2011 *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **369** 260
- [17] V. I. Arnold 1978 *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics* (Springer, Berlin)
- [18] A. Voros 1976 *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré* **24A** 31
- [19] A. Voros 1977 *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré* **26A** 343
- [20] R. G. Littlejohn 1986 *Phys. Rep.* **138** 193.
- [21] M. de Gosson 2006 “*Symplectic Geometry and Quantum Mechanics*” (Basel: Birkhäuser Verlag)
- [22] E. Schrödinger 1930 *Proc. Pruss. Acad. Sci.* **19** 296
- [23] A. M. Ozorio de Almeida and G-L. Ingold 2014 *J. Phys. A* **47** 105303
- [24] R. L. Hudson 1974 *Rep. Math. Phys.* **6** 249
- [25] K. Husimi 1940 *Proc. Phys. Math. Soc. Japan.* **22** 264
- [26] H. J. Carmichael 2002 “*Statistical Methods in Quantum Optics I: Master Equations and Fokker-Planck Equations*” (Springer-Verlag)
- [27] V. Bargmann 1967 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **20** 1
- [28] L. Mandel, and E. Wolf 1995 *Optical Coherence and Quantum Optics* (Cambridge UK: Cambridge University Press)
- [29] P. Levy 1954 *Theorie de l’Addition des Variables Aleatoires* (Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1954)
- [30] M. Tegmark and H. S. Shapiro 1994 *Phys. Rev. E* **50** 2538
- [31] A. M. Ozorio de Almeida 2009 *Lect. Notes Phys.* **768** 157
- [32] <http://hyperphysics.phy-astr.gsu.edu/hbase/molecule/vibspe.html>