

A self-dual boundary phase transition of the 2d \mathbb{Z}_N topological order

Yalei Lu^{a,b,*†} Holiverse Yang^{b,*‡},

^a Key Laboratory for Magnetism and Magnetic Materials of MOE, Lanzhou University, 730000
Lanzhou, China

^b Shenzhen Institute for Quantum Science and Engineering,
and Department of Physics,
Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen 518055, China

Abstract

Recently, a unified mathematical theory of the 1d gapped/gapless quantum phase has been developed. In particular, we have a powerful tool to study the topological phase transitions between 1d gapped boundaries of an anomaly-free topological order, whose critical point is a gappable non-chiral gapless edge. In this work, we will study a self-dual critical point of a pure boundary topological phase transition of the 2d \mathbb{Z}_N topological order by constructing an enriched fusion category that describes the gappable non-chiral gapless edge. And we construct a lattice model to recover the mathematical data of this enriched fusion category. The construction process further show that the symmetry of the boundary is determined by the topological sector in the bulk. It is a particular example of the boundary-bulk corresponding. The results in this paper can be generalized to the finite Abelian group.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Categorical Preliminaries	4
2.1	Unitary modular tensor categories	4
2.1.1	UMTC $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N))$	5
2.1.2	MTC PF_N	6
2.2	Anyon condensation theory	7
3	A Gappable Gapless Edge of 2d \mathbb{Z}_N Topological Order	7
3.1	A mathematical theory of gapless boundaries	8
3.2	A gapped wall between \mathbb{Z}_N topological order and double \mathbb{Z}_N parafermion	10
3.2.1	Odd case	11
3.2.2	Even case	13
3.3	A gappable non-chiral gapless boundary of \mathbb{Z}_N topological order	14
3.4	The partition functions of $M_{x,y}$	15
3.5	Some examples	16
3.5.1	Example \mathbb{Z}_2	16
3.5.2	Example \mathbb{Z}_3	16
3.5.3	Example \mathbb{Z}_4	17

*These two authors contributed equally to this work.

†luleisure@gamil.com

‡hpyang1996@163.com

4	A Lattice Model Realization	18
4.1	\mathbb{Z}_N Toric Code Model	18
4.2	Boundary Lattice Model	20
4.3	Low Energy Effective Field Theory of self-dual \mathbb{Z}_N critical point and Partition Functions	25
4.3.1	$N = 2$, Ising model	28
4.3.2	$N = 3$, Three potts model	28
4.3.3	$N = 4, c = 1$ \mathbb{Z}_2 orbifold CFT with radius $R_{\text{orbifold}} = \sqrt{3/2}$	29
5	Conclusion and Discussions	30
A	Pre-metric group and pointed braided fusion categories	31
B	Derivation of category PF_N	32
B.1	Parafermion vertex operator algebras	32
B.2	UMTC PF_N	33
C	Anyon Condensation	34
D	Proof of equivalence for odd case	35
E	Preliminaries of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_k/\hat{\mathfrak{p}}_{x,k}$ coset construction	37

1 Introduction

“How to describe the phase” is a central problem in the condensed matter theory, even in the complicated system. Instead of trying to define a phase, it is better to distinguish different phases by phase transitions. If there is no phase transition between two statuses of a system, we would not think of them as being in two different phases. That is to say, once we clarify the phase transition, we will have a better answer to this question.

For many years, Landau’s theory has been very successful in describing phase transitions in quantum systems. Recently, the study of quantum liquids has attracted the attention of more and more physicists. This is mainly due to the quantum liquids being new phases that go beyond Landau’s paradigm. A topological order is a gapped quantum liquid without symmetry. The phase transition that happens in topological order will be called the topological phase transition. In this work, we will show that the critical point of a pure boundary topological phase of an anomaly-free $2d^\dagger$ topological order can be mathematically described by an enriched fusion category.

By a pure boundary phase topological phase transition, we mean a topological phase transition restricted on the 1d boundary without changing the 2d bulk. At the critical point, the gap in a neighborhood of the boundary must be closed, therefore, the critical point of a pure boundary topological phase transition should be a gappable non-chiral gapless boundary of a 2d anomaly-free topological order. That is to say, the pursuit of a mathematical description of a critical point of a pure boundary topological phase transition is equivalent to asking for a mathematical theory for gappable non-chiral gapless boundary of a 2d anomaly-free topological order.

In [KZ18, KZ20, KZ21], two authors developed a unified mathematical theory of both gapped and gapless boundaries of 2d anomaly-free topological orders, especially for gappable non-chiral gapless boundaries. This theory says that all physical observables on a gapped/gapless boundary form an enriched fusion category in the long-wave-length limit. Therefore, the mathematical

[†]we use nd represents n spatial dimension and use $(n + 1)D$ represents $n + 1$ spacetime dimension.

description of the critical point of a pure boundary topological phase transition is precisely an enriched fusion category.

In [CJK⁺20], they demonstrate this idea in concrete lattice model of 2d \mathbb{Z}_2 topological order. An enriched fusion category is constructed to describe the critical point of pure boundary phase transition between two different gapped boundaries of \mathbb{Z}_2 topological order by mathematical theory. And all the ingredients $M_{x,y}$ of the enriched fusion category match with the partition functions from a concrete lattice model that realizes the critical point of the pure edge phase transition.

In this work, we will generalize their work to the \mathbb{Z}_N topological order. More explicitly, we will construct an enriched fusion category to mathematically describe the critical point of self-dual phase transition on the 1d boundary of 2d \mathbb{Z}_N topological order. And we will construct a lattice model to realize the gappable non-chiral gapless edge including all data of the corresponding enriched fusion category. The process of the construction magically shows that the local operators of the boundary are determined by the string operators of the bulk. That means the fluctuation of the boundary is constrained within the topological sector of bulk.

In Section 2, we will review some mathematical basics of gapped 2d anomaly topological orders, including the categorical description of a 2d anomaly topological order and the anyon condensation theory. We will also give two examples of unitary modular tensor categories (UMTC), the \mathbb{Z}_N parafermion UMTC PF_N and the \mathbb{Z}_N quantum double UMTC $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N))$. In Section 3, we will first review the mathematical description of gapless boundaries, including a refresher of ingredients of enriched fusion categories. Then we construct a gappable non-chiral gapless boundary:

$$(V_{\text{PF}_N} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{V_{\text{PF}_N}}, {}_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)}\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B) \quad (1.1)$$

of the \mathbb{Z}_N quantum double TO $(\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N)), 0)$ by so-called topological Wick rotation, where

- V_{PF} is the \mathbb{Z}_N parafermion VOA whose module category is PF_N . $V_{\text{PF}_N} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{V_{\text{PF}_N}}$ is the full field algebra that describes the local quantum symmetry on the 1+1D world sheet of this gapless edge.
- $B = \bigoplus_{u=0}^{[N/2]} \mathfrak{M}_{2u,0} \boxtimes \mathfrak{M}_{2u,0}$ is a condensable algebra such that the condensed phase of the \mathbb{Z}_N double parafermion TO $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)$ via B is the \mathbb{Z}_N quantum double TO.
- $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B$ is the category of right B -modules in $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)$ which mathematically describe the particle-like excitation on the 1d gapped domain wall between the \mathbb{Z}_N double parafermion TO and the \mathbb{Z}_N quantum TO.
- ${}_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)}\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B$ is the enriched fusion category that describes the topological skeleton of the gappable non-chiral gapless edge, where
 - $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B$ consists of the boundary topological excitations or boundary conditions.
 - $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)$ demonstrate the internal homs $M_{x,y}$ between boundary topological excitations or the domain walls between two boundary CFT's with boundary conditions x and y .

We also compute the partition functions of $M_{x,y}$ exactly. It is expected that the gapless boundary indeed describes a pure self-dual boundary phase transition of \mathbb{Z}_N TO. Therefore, in Section 4, we construct a lattice model to realize the critical point of the pure edge phase transition between two gapped boundaries $\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N)$ (**m-condensed**) and $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_N}$ (**e-condensed**) of the \mathbb{Z}_N topological order. And we further calculate the low energy effective theory of the critical point. This phase transition is described by a set of modular covariant partition functions that recover the results from $M_{x,y}$ between local B -modules. Finally, some examples for \mathbb{Z}_2 , \mathbb{Z}_3 and \mathbb{Z}_4 cases are listed. The results in [CJK⁺20] is the special case \mathbb{Z}_2 of our work. This work shows that the mathematical

theory developed in [KZ18] is powerful, and provides a potential tool to study more complicated topological phase transitions.

2 Categorical Preliminaries

Our goal in this paper is to find a gapless boundary that can describe the critical point of the symmetry completely broken phase transition on the 1d gapped boundary of the 2d anomaly-free \mathbb{Z}_N topological order (TO). We believe that a gapless (whether it is chiral or non-chiral) can be described by the so-called enriched fusion category [KZ20][KZ21]. It is reasonable to review some mathematical basics of 2d gapped topological orders, including a categorical description of 2d topological orders and anyon condensation theory. Especially, the anyon condensation theory, which provides a description of domain walls between two topological orders, plays an important role in the construction of the gapless boundary we need.

In this subsection, we first briefly introduce the notion of the unitary modular tensor category which describes the particle-like excitations of a 2d anomaly-free TO. Then we review the anyon condensation theory and introduce the domain walls between two topological orders.

2.1 Unitary modular tensor categories

A topological order is a gapped quantum liquid without symmetry [Wen90, ZW15, SM16]. It is known that a 2d anomaly-free topological order can be described by a **unitary modular tensor category** (UMTC) \mathcal{C} with a central charge c [Kit06, KZ22].

We will not give an explicitly mathematical definition of UMTC here, instead, we would introduce the ingredient and some properties of a UMTC \mathcal{C} .

- \mathcal{C} has finite **simple objects** (anyons) x, y, z, \dots , we will denote $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$ the set of isomorphic simple objects. Each object can be written as a direct sum of simple objects.
- For two anyons x, y , the hom space $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y)$ is a finite-dimensional Hilbert space. Elements in a hom space are called instantons. There is a map

$$\circ : \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(y, z) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y) \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, z)$$

for each triple x, y, z called the composition map, which describes the composition of instantons. The composition map shall be associative.

- There is a tensor product functor $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, that describes the fusion of two anyons x, y into the anyon $x \otimes y$. This fusion process should be associative, so that for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{C}$ there exists an isomorphism $\alpha_{x,y,z} : x \otimes (y \otimes z) \rightarrow (x \otimes y) \otimes z$ satisfying the necessary coherence conditions that measures the associativity of the tensor product.
- There is a distinguished object $\mathbf{1}$ called the **tensor unit** (or **vacuum**), which is simple, together with unit isomorphisms $l_x : \mathbf{1} \otimes x \rightarrow x$ and $r_x : x \otimes \mathbf{1} \rightarrow x$ for all \mathcal{C} satisfying necessary coherence conditions.
- Each object $x \in \mathcal{C}$ has a dual x^* , together with the creation and annihilation morphisms $v_x : x^* \otimes x \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ and $u_x : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow x \otimes x^*$ satisfying some coherence conditions.
- It has a braiding structure, i.e. for all $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$ there is an isomorphism $c_{x,y} : x \otimes y \rightarrow y \otimes x$ satisfying Yang-Baxter equations. The braiding is non-degenerate, that is, the S -matrix which is the trace of double braiding of simple objects is non-degenerate.
- Each object x has a twist (topological spin), which is an isomorphism $\theta_x : x \rightarrow x$.

- It has a unitary structure: for each morphism $f : x \rightarrow y$, there is an adjoint morphism $f^\dagger : y \rightarrow x$ such that $(g \otimes h)^\dagger = g^\dagger \otimes h^\dagger$ for any $g : v \rightarrow w$ and $h : x \rightarrow y$. And the coherence data α, l, r, c are both unitary.

The simplest example of UMTC is the category of finite-dimensional Hilbert space denoted by \mathbf{H} . It has a unique simple object given by the one-dimensional Hilbert space \mathbb{C} . In particular, the pair $(\mathbf{H}, 0)$ describe the trivial 2d topological order.

Remark 2.1. For readers who are interested in the bootstrap analysis that a topological order can be described by a UMTC, we recommend [KZ22]. For readers who are interested in the rigorous mathematical definition of UMTC, we recommend [EGNO16][Müg00].

2.1.1 UMTC $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N))$

The category $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N))$ is the so called Drinfeld center of the category $\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N)$ [EGNO16]. This category describes the particle-like topological excitations of \mathbb{Z}_N quantum double model [Kit03]. We have a description of $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N))$ given by a theorem in [BK01].

- We have all the simple objects $O_{\alpha,\beta}$ labeled by α, β where $0 \leq \alpha, \beta < N$.
- The fusion rule of the category $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}\mathbb{Z}_N)$ is

$$O_{\alpha_1,\beta_1} \otimes O_{\alpha_2,\beta_2} \simeq O_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\beta_1+\beta_2} \quad (2.1)$$

- The braiding is given by:

$$c_{\alpha_1,\beta_1;\alpha_2,\beta_2} := e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}\alpha_1\beta_2}$$

for O_{α_1,β_1} and O_{α_2,β_2} .

- The twist is given by: $\theta_{\alpha,\beta} = e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{N}\alpha\beta}$ for simple object $O_{\alpha,\beta}$.

For the sake of subsequent proofs, we will introduce the notion of **pointed braided fusion categories** and the **pre-metric groups** [DGNO10]. Recall that a fusion category is called **pointed** if each simple object has an inverse. For such categories, objects and the fusion rules can be completely captured by a group. It is clear $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N))$ is pointed, i.e. $O_{\alpha,\beta}$ has inverse $O_{N-\alpha,N-\beta}$, and all the simple objects and fusion rules of $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N))$ is described by the abelian group $\mathbb{Z}_N \times \mathbb{Z}_N$. For a pointed fusion category with more structure added, we can also add corresponding structures to the group. As the consequence, the pointed modular tensor category $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N))$ is described by a twisted metric group $(\mathbb{Z}_N \times \mathbb{Z}_N, q, \tau)$ where $q(\alpha, \beta) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}\alpha\beta}$ is a non-degenerate quadratic form on $\mathbb{Z}_N \times \mathbb{Z}_N$ and $\tau(\alpha, \beta) = e^{-\frac{4\pi i}{N}\alpha\beta}$ is a character. The detailed definition is written in Appendix. A. The correspondence is listed as follows:

- $O_{\alpha,\beta} \sim (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{Z}_N \times \mathbb{Z}_N$;
- fusion rule of $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N))$ is the group multiplication of $\mathbb{Z}_N \times \mathbb{Z}_N$;
- the braiding c is corresponding to the quadratic form q .
- the twist θ is corresponding to the character τ .

$\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N)$ could describe an 1d boundary of the 2d \mathbb{Z}_N topological order $(\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N)), 0)$, and another common 1d boundary is $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_N}$, the category of finite dimensional \mathbb{Z}_N -graded vector spaces. The gapped boundaries of $(\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N)), 0)$ are classified by the subgroups of \mathbb{Z}_N , in which $\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N)$ corresponds to \mathbb{Z}_N itself, and $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_N}$ corresponds to the trivial group $\{e\}$.

Example 2.2. When $N = 2$, the UMTC $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2))$ describes the toric code model [Kit03].

We will construct a gapless boundary that describe the critical point of the topological phase transition between $\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N)$ and $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_N}$.

Remark 2.3. As fusion categories, $\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N)$ and $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_N}$ are equivalent. But in general, $\text{Rep}(G)$ is not equivalent to Vec_G . For example, consider the group S_3 , $\text{Rep}(S_3)$ has 3 simple objects, and Vec_{S_3} has 6 simple objects. The equivalence holds when G is abelian.

Remark 2.4. This topological phase transition of gapped boundaries is a completely symmetric broken process on the boundary. However, there are also many partially symmetry broken processes on the boundary of \mathbb{Z}_N TO. The critical point of these phase transitions should also be described by a gapless boundary. We will study them and the phase diagram in future works.

2.1.2 MTC PF_N

In this subsection, we will introduce the parafermion MTC. It is the category of modules of a rational vertex operator algebra V_{PF_N} called the parafermion VOA [DW11, DLWY10, ADJR18]. For the details of parafermion VOA and the mathematical details of this UMTC, one can refer to Appendix B. Here we will list some important data of this UMTC. We will denote this category by PF_N , it consists of

- Simple objects $\mathfrak{M}_{\ell,m}$, $0 \leq \ell \leq N$, $0 \leq m < 2N$ and $\ell + m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Notice that there are $N(N+1)/2$ inequivalent simple objects in PF_N . The equivalence relation of simple objects is given by

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\ell,m} \sim \mathfrak{M}_{\ell',m'} \quad \text{if} \quad \ell = N - \ell', m = N + m'$$

- The fusion rule is given by:

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\ell,m} \otimes \mathfrak{M}_{\ell',m'} = \bigoplus_{r=\max(\ell+\ell'-N,0)}^{\min(\ell,\ell')} \mathfrak{M}_{\ell+\ell'-2r,m+m'} \quad (2.2)$$

- The double braiding of two simple objects $\mathfrak{M}_{\ell,m}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{\ell',m'}$ is given by:

$$C_{\ell'm',\ell m} \circ C_{\ell m,\ell'm'} = \bigoplus_{s=\max(\ell+\ell'-N,0)}^{\min(\ell,\ell')} e^{2\pi i(h_{\ell+\ell'-2s}-h_\ell-h_{\ell'})} e^{\frac{\pi i}{N}mm'} \text{id}_{\ell+\ell'-2s,m+m'} \quad (2.3)$$

where $h_t := \frac{t(t+2)}{4(N+2)}$.

- The twist of the simple object $\mathfrak{M}_{\ell,m}$ is:

$$\theta_{\ell m} = e^{2\pi i(h_\ell - \frac{m^2}{4N})}$$

The dimension of $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{PF}_N)$ is $\frac{N(N+2)}{4 \sin^2(\frac{\pi}{N+2})}$.

Example 2.5. When $N = 2$, then $\text{Ising} \simeq \text{PF}_2$, we have the following correspondence:

$$\mathbb{1} = \mathfrak{M}_{0,0} \quad \sigma = \mathfrak{M}_{1,1} \quad \psi = \mathfrak{M}_{2,0}$$

It is not hard to check the fusion rules and the braiding in PF_2 coincide with Ising by the above formulas. We can also find a condensable algebra $B = (\mathbb{1} \boxtimes \mathbb{1}) \oplus (\psi \boxtimes \psi) = (\mathfrak{M}_{0,0} \boxtimes \mathfrak{M}_{0,0}) \oplus (\mathfrak{M}_{2,0} \boxtimes \mathfrak{M}_{2,0})$ in the double Ising $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Ising})$ such that $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Ising})_B^{\text{loc}} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2))$ [CJK⁺20].




Figure 1: This figure depicts a geometric description of anyon condensation. \mathcal{C} is the original phase and \mathcal{C}_A^{loc} is the condensed phase. The domain wall between these two phase is \mathcal{C}_A . The anyon a in \mathcal{C} can move freely across to the domain wall, it becomes the anyon x in \mathcal{C}_A^{loc} after condensation. The anyon b in \mathcal{C} cannot move across the domain wall and is confined on the wall, it becomes the anyon y in \mathcal{C}_A .

2.2 Anyon condensation theory

For quantum many-body systems, a phase transition phenomenon called condensation may occur [BS09]. When the parameters of the system, such as temperature, are changed so that condensation happens, the degrees of freedom of the system decrease rapidly. Let us consider gapped quantum liquids. After condensation, because the degrees of freedom of the system become smaller, the topological defects also become a little less and still form a gapped quantum liquid. Also, there should be a domain wall between the original phase and the condensed phase. The condensation of quantum liquids needs a systematic description. For topological orders, we have a mathematical description of anyon condensation developed in [Kon14]. This procedure tells us how to obtain a new TO from a known TO and, in addition, we have an explicit description of the domain wall between these two TOs. Domain walls between topological orders play an important role in the description of gapless boundaries, which we will witness in the following sections.

As shown in the Fig. 1, given a 2d anomaly-free TO described by a UMTC \mathcal{C} , we need to find a **condensable algebra** A in \mathcal{C} that controls which anyons in \mathcal{C} can move across the domain wall freely and which anyons will be confined on the domain wall. Anyons that can move freely across the wall are corresponding to the so-called **local** A -modules in \mathcal{C} , they will be the topological defects in the condensed phase. We will denote the category of local right A -modules in \mathcal{C} as \mathcal{C}_A^{loc} .

Mathematically, when \mathcal{C} is a UMTC, the category \mathcal{C}_A^{loc} of is still a UMTC [BEK00][KO02] and hence \mathcal{C}_A^{loc} also describes a 2d anomaly-free TO. The 1d gapped domain wall between \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}_A^{loc} can be described by the so-called unitary fusion category (UFC) \mathcal{C}_A , which is the category of right A -modules. For mathematical details of anyon condensation, one can refer to the Appendix C.

We will construct a gapped domain wall between the so-called \mathbb{Z}_N TO and the \mathbb{Z}_N parafermion TO by anyon condensation theory. This gapped domain wall will become the so-called underlying category of a specific gapless boundary of \mathbb{Z}_N TO.

3 A Gappable Gapless Edge of 2d \mathbb{Z}_N Topological Order

In this section we will construct a gappable gapless boundary of 2d \mathbb{Z}_N topological order. We begin with a review of a mathematical description of gapless boundaries in Section 3.1. Then we first construct a gapped domain wall between double parafermion topological order and the

\mathbb{Z}_N TO in Section 3.2. Then in Section 3.3, we construct a gappable non-chiral gapless boundary by topological Wick rotation. Next in Section 3.4, we compute some partition functions of 0D defects $M_{x,y}$. At the end of this section, we list some examples.

3.1 A mathematical theory of gapless boundaries

We can imagine a 2d topological order living on a disk that can be realized by some lattice model, propagating vertically along the temporal dimension. This 2d topological order has a 1d boundary localized on the boundary of the disk whose trajectory in spacetime is a 1+1D worldsheet. This boundary can be either gapped or gapless. For 1d gapped boundaries, there is a rigorous mathematical description [KK12]. Also, a mathematical theory of 1+1D gapless boundaries has been developed recently [KZ20, KZ21].

At the fixed point, the system of a gapless boundary has scale invariance. It is widely accepted that in 1+1D, scale invariance with unitarity implies conformal symmetry [Pol88, Nak15]. Hence the gapless boundary modes should be described by a 1+1D conformal field theory (CFT) [BPZ84, MS89]. One approach of mathematical description of a 1+1D CFT is a **vertex operator algebra** V and its module category Mod_V [Hua05, Sch03, FRS02, FFRS07]. Besides these observables on the worldsheet, there should be topological excitations on the 1d boundaries, and observables on the 1+1D worldsheet can act on these excitations. As a consequence, a 1+1D gapless boundary of a 2+1D gapped TO can be described by a pair $(V, {}^{\text{Mod}_V}\mathcal{M})$ [KZ20], where

- V is the **local quantum symmetry** living on the 1+1D worldsheet,
- ${}^{\text{Mod}_V}\mathcal{M}$ is a (unitary) Mod_V -enriched fusion category \mathcal{M} where $\text{Mod}_V := \mathcal{B}$ is the **background category** that captures the gapless boundaries modes and, \mathcal{M} is the **underlying category** formed by the topological excitations on the 1d boundary.

In this paper, the local quantum symmetry V is assumed to be a unitary rational VOA [DL14] which corresponds to a **chiral** gapless boundary, or a unitary rational full field algebra [HK07, Kon07], which corresponds to a **non-chiral** gapless boundary [KZ21].

Remark 3.1. When we only focus on the rational VOAs, it was proved that the corresponding module categories are modular tensor categories [Hua08b, Hua08a]. In general, it is not clear if Mod_V is a UMTC when V is unitary. See [Gui19b, Gui19c, Gui19a] for a discussion of the relation between the unitarity of a VOA V and that of Mod_V .

Remark 3.2. If we regard \mathbb{C} as a trivial VOA, then we can write a VOA V as a full field algebra $W := V \otimes \mathbb{C}$, hence each chiral gapless boundary can be regarded as a special case of non-chiral gapless boundaries.

Here we would not give a detailed mathematical definition of a (unitary) enriched fusion category. But we will introduce a geometrical intuition of enriched fusion categories instead. As shown in Fig. 2, there are some topological defect lines on the 1+1D worldsheet.

- The bullets on the 1d boundary denote the boundary topological excitations such as x and x' .
- – The segments of these topological defect lines are 1D topological defects on the worldsheet and labeled by $M_{x,x}$.
- The little white squares on these topological defects lines are 0D topological defects on the worldsheet. They are 0D domain walls between two 1D defects, so they will be labeled by the label of two segments, for instance, the 0D domain wall between $M_{x,x}$ and $M_{y,y}$ will be labeled by $M_{x,y}$. Physically, $M_{x,y}$ consists of **non-local?** operators that transfers x to y .




Figure 2: Topological Defect Lines on 1+1D Worldsheet

- The local quantum symmetry V living on the worldsheet can transparently move across topological defect lines except for those 0D defects. This induces a V -action on each 1D defect, i.e. $\iota_x : V \hookrightarrow M_{x,x}$. Thus each defect $M_{x,y}$ is a V -module and hence is an object in Mod_V .
- As shown in the vertical process in Fig. 2, 0D defects can fuse vertically, which corresponds to the composition of instantons. For example, consider two 0D defects $M_{x,y}$ and $M_{y,z}$, their composition is given by the following data:

$$\circ : M_{y,z} \otimes_V M_{x,y} \rightarrow M_{x,z}$$

where $M_{y,z} \otimes_V M_{x,y}$ is the tensor product (monoidal structure) in MTC Mod_V .

- Also as shown in the horizontal process in Fig. 2, the topological defect line can fuse horizontally, and the 0D defects on the line will also fuse at the same time. This corresponds to the monoidal structure of enriched fusion categories. For instance, the horizontal fusion of $M_{x,y}$ and $M_{x',y'}$ will be given by

$$M_{x,y} \otimes_V M_{x',y'} \rightarrow M_{x \otimes x', y \otimes y'}$$

Therefore we can conclude that the enriched fusion category consists of the topological defect lines. For more mathematical details of enriched fusion categories, one can read [MP19][KZ20]. For readers who are interested in the bootstrap analysis that a gapless boundary can be mathematically described by an enriched fusion category with a local quantum symmetry, we recommend [KZ20].

Remark 3.3. Actually we can regard $M_{x,x}$ as a 0D domain wall between $M_{x,x}$ and $M_{x,x}$ itself. Hence the 1D defect $M_{x,x}$ can be regarded as a combination of infinitely many 0D defects $M_{x,x}$. Based on this view, on a 1D defect $M_{x,x}$, the composition $\circ : M_{x,x} \otimes_V M_{x,x} \rightarrow M_{x,x}$ will induce an

algebra structure on $M_{x,x}$. This algebra structure is highly non-trivial, indeed, it is a so-called open string vertex operator algebra that describes an open (boundary) CFT [HK04].

Remark 3.4. A gapped domain wall \mathcal{M} can also be described by a pair $(\mathbb{C}, {}^{\mathbf{H}}\mathcal{M})$ where \mathbb{C} can be regarded as a trivial VOA with its representation category \mathbf{H} . Therefore gapped and gapless boundaries are unified in the language of enriched categories. This finish the unification story of language of enriched categories.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{N} & \text{---} \text{ } \mathbb{3}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N)) \text{ ---} & \mathcal{B}\mathcal{M} \\ & \text{---} \text{ } & \end{array} \quad (3.1)$$

Recall that our goal is to find a gapless boundary to describe the critical point of the specific topological phase transition on the 1d boundary of \mathbb{Z}_N TO. We need to consider a non-chiral gapless boundary since \mathbb{Z}_N quantum double is non-chiral, i.e. central charge equals 0, which does not admit a chiral gapless boundary. Moreover, this non-chiral gapless boundary should be **gappable**, because, under a small perturbation, this gapless boundary shall become one of the gapped boundaries. During this process, the bulk is unchanged, hence this gapless boundary and two gapped boundaries share the same bulk, which means the center of the gapless boundary and the center of the two gapped boundaries are the same. Mathematically, as shown on the Picture 3.1, we have $\mathbb{3}_1({}^{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{M}) = \mathbb{3}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N)) = \mathbb{3}_1(\mathcal{N})$ by the boundary-bulk relation [KWZ17, KYZZ21].

Remark 3.5. We know that the critical point of topological phase transition of 1d gapped boundaries should be described by a gappable non-chiral gapless boundary. It is natural to ask in reverse: Given a gappable non-chiral gapless boundary, is there a topological phase transition of 1d gapped boundaries described by this gapless boundary? If such a gappable non-chiral gapless boundary exists, then it must be Morita equivalent to all of the gapped boundaries and all of the gappable non-chiral gapless boundaries that describe a phase transition of gapped boundaries. (Recall that two (gapped or gapless) boundaries are Morita equivalent if they have the same bulk.) We see that the language of Morita equivalence fails in the description and classification of the topological phase transitions. It is still an open question to find a suitable language to describe the phase transitions.

3.2 A gapped wall between \mathbb{Z}_N topological order and double \mathbb{Z}_N parafermion

In this subsection we will find a gapped domain wall between double parafermion TO $(\mathbb{3}_1(\text{PF}_N), 0)$ and the \mathbb{Z}_N TO $(\mathbb{3}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N)), 0)$.

In Section 2.2 and Appendix C we concisely review the anyon condensation theory and its mathematical description. To find a gapped domain wall between $\mathbb{3}_1(\text{PF}_N)$ and $\mathbb{3}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N))$, we need to find a condensable algebra B in $\mathbb{3}_1(\text{PF}_N)$ such that the category $\mathbb{3}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B^{\text{loc}}$ of local B -modules in $\mathbb{3}_1(\text{PF}_N)$ is equivalent to $\mathbb{3}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N))$ as unitary modular tensor category, then the category $\mathbb{3}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B$ of right B -modules in $\mathbb{3}_1(\text{PF}_N)$ is the gapped domain wall we need.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N) & \begin{array}{c} \mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N)) \\ \text{R} \\ \mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B^{\text{loc}} \end{array} & (3.2) \\
\hline
\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B & &
\end{array}$$

Consider $B := \bigoplus_{u=0}^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor} \mathfrak{M}_{2u,0} \boxtimes \overline{\mathfrak{M}_{2u,0}}$, we claim that B is a condensable algebra in $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)$. To see this, consider the fusion subcategory $\mathcal{P} \subset \text{PF}_N$ generated by simple objects $\mathfrak{M}_{2u,0}$, $u = 0, \dots, \lfloor N/2 \rfloor$. Let $\otimes : \mathcal{P} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be the tensor functor, then there is a lagrangian algebra in $\mathcal{P} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{P}}$ given by $\otimes^R(\mathfrak{M}_{0,0}) = \bigoplus_{u=0}^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor} \mathfrak{M}_{2u,0} \boxtimes \overline{\mathfrak{M}_{2u,0}}$ [KR09], where \otimes^R is the right adjoint of the tensor functor. Since $\mathcal{P} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{P}}$ is a fusion subcategory of $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)$, thus a condensable algebra of $\mathcal{P} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{P}}$ also is a condensable algebra of $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)$, therefore B is a condensable algebra in $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)$.

It is clear that the dimension of B is $\frac{(N+2)}{4 \sin^2(\frac{\pi}{N+2})}$. Recall that the dimension of $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)$ is $\frac{N(N+2)}{4 \sin^2(\frac{\pi}{N+2})}$. Thus the dimension of $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B^{\text{loc}}$ is N^2 which is equivalent to the dimension of $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N))$. It suggests that these two categories $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N))$ and $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B^{\text{loc}}$ might be equivalent. What remains is to prove that the equivalence holds, however, we have to discuss it separately because the properties of $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B$ are very different for N is odd and even cases.

Remark 3.6. Since the unitarity of parafermion VOA is still not determined, thus it is not rigorous to say that the category PF_N is unitary. Therefore we will omit the proof of equivalence of unitary structures in this paper.

3.2.1 Odd case

To determine the category $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B^{\text{loc}}$, recall that the objects are local B -modules in $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)$, thus we only need to find all simple local B -modules. Recall that a right B -module M is a pair (x, ρ_x) where x is an object in $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)$ and $\rho_x : x \otimes B \rightarrow x$ is the B -action on M satisfying some coherence conditions. Hence we need not only to determine an object x in $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)$ but also to find a B -action on x . In other words, there might exist more than one inequivalent B actions such as $\rho_x^1 : x \otimes B \rightarrow x$ and $\rho_x^2 : x \otimes B \rightarrow x$ such that (x, ρ_x^1) and (x, ρ_x^2) are two inequivalent B -modules. Therefore it is reasonable to start with specific B -modules called the free B -modules. By a free B -module, we mean a right B -module like $x \otimes B$ for some $x \in \mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_{2k+1})$, the condensable algebra B acts on $x \otimes B$ from right, and the B -module action is induced by the multiplication $m_B : B \otimes B \rightarrow B$ of B , that is, $\rho_{x \otimes B} : x \otimes B \otimes B \xrightarrow{\text{id}_x \otimes m_B} x \otimes B$. In other words, the B -action on a free B module $x \otimes B$ has no relation with x . Also, we can prove that all simple B -modules are direct summand of free B -modules [DMNO13]. This drives us even more to consider free B -modules.

Fortunately, when N is odd, that is, $N = 2k + 1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we claim all simple local B -modules in $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_{2k+1})$ are free B -modules.

To see this, we need to introduce the following adjunction between the functor $- \otimes B : \mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B$ which sends each object x in $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)$ to the free module $x \otimes B$ and the forgetful functor $U : \mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)$ which forgets the B -action ρ_y for each B -module (y, ρ_y) .

Proposition 3.7. *For any x, y in PF_N , there is a (natural) isomorphism:*

$$\text{hom}_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B}(x \otimes B, y \otimes B) \simeq \text{hom}_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)}(x, y \otimes B) \quad (3.3)$$

We will use this adjunction to check if a free B -module is simple and local, and if two simple free B -modules are equivalent to each other.

1. For a free B -module $x \otimes B$, we can check whether it is a simple B -module by the above adjunction. By Schur's lemma, $x \otimes B$ is simple if and only if $\dim \text{hom}_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B}(x \otimes B, x \otimes B) = 1$ [EGNO16]. However, it is hard to calculate the B -module homomorphism directly, so we would rather calculate the dimension of $\text{hom}_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)}(x, x \otimes B)$ so that we only need to count the number of x in $x \otimes B$.
2. Moreover, for two simple free B -modules $x \otimes B$ and $y \otimes B$, we can also use this adjunction to determine if they are equivalent to each other. If $\dim \text{hom}_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B}(x \otimes B, y \otimes B) = 0$, then due to Schur's lemma [EGNO16], $x \otimes B$ and $y \otimes B$ must be inequivalent. As above, $\dim \text{hom}_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)}(x, y \otimes B)$ is equivalent to the number of x in $y \otimes B$, hence we only need to calculate $y \otimes B$ and count x .
3. For locality, recall that a B -module (x, ρ_x) is local if $\rho_x \circ c_{B,x} \circ c_{x,B} = \rho_x$ holds. When we only need to consider the free B -module, we can only check that if the double braiding $c_{B,x} \circ c_{x,B}$ is trivial. If so, then $x \otimes B$ is a local B -module.

Now we claim that $\mathcal{X}_{a,b} := (\mathfrak{M}_{0,2a} \boxtimes \mathfrak{M}_{0,2b}) \otimes B, a, b = -k, \dots, k$ exhaust all simple local B -modules in $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_{2k+1})$. Indeed, by procedure 1, it is easy to see each $(\mathfrak{M}_{0,2a} \boxtimes \mathfrak{M}_{0,2b}) \otimes B = \bigoplus_{u=0}^k \mathfrak{M}_{2u,2a} \boxtimes \mathfrak{M}_{2u,2b}$ has only one $\mathfrak{M}_{0,2a} \boxtimes \mathfrak{M}_{0,2b}$ term, hence must be simple. By procedure 2, two free B -modules $(\mathfrak{M}_{0,2a} \boxtimes \mathfrak{M}_{0,2b}) \otimes B$ and $(\mathfrak{M}_{0,2c} \boxtimes \mathfrak{M}_{0,2d}) \otimes B$ with $a \neq c, b \neq d$ are not equivalent to each other because we cannot find $\mathfrak{M}_{0,2a} \boxtimes \mathfrak{M}_{0,2b}$ in $(\mathfrak{M}_{0,2c} \boxtimes \mathfrak{M}_{0,2d}) \otimes B$ and vice versa. By procedure 3, and the formula 2.3 of double braiding of PF_N , it is clear that the double braiding of $\mathfrak{M}_{0,2a} \boxtimes \mathfrak{M}_{0,2b}$ with the condensable algebra B is 1, hence each free B -module $(\mathfrak{M}_{0,2a} \boxtimes \mathfrak{M}_{0,2b}) \otimes B$ is local.

In summary, we have found $(2k+1)^2$ inequivalent simple local B -modules, recall that there are $(2k+1)^2$ inequivalent simple objects in $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}))$, it suggests us we exhaust all the simple local B -modules in $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)$.

Now we need to prove the category $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_{2k+1})$ of local B -modules in PF_{2k+1} is equivalent to the category $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}))$ as unitary modular tensor categories. First, we need to find a 1-to-1 correspondence between the simple objects of these two categories. This correspondence should respect the fusion rules. So let us explain the fusion rules in $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_{2k+1})_B^{loc}$. Indeed, since $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_{2k+1})_B^{loc}$ is a fusion subcategory of $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_{2k+1})_B$, the monoidal structure of $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_{2k+1})_B^{loc}$ is inherited from the monoidal structure of $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_{2k+1})_B$. Unlike the monoidal structure of $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_{2k+1})$ (denoted by \otimes), for two B -modules x and y , their tensor product in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{PF}_N)_B$ is the relative tensor product $x \otimes_B y$ [KO02]. For free B -modules, the tensor product \otimes_B in $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B^{loc}$ will be much simple: for two free B -modules $x \otimes B$ and $y \otimes B$, we have $(x \otimes B) \otimes_B (y \otimes B) \simeq (x \otimes y) \otimes B$. Therefore for the odd case, the fusion rule of two free modules $x \otimes B$ and $y \otimes B$ in category $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_{2k+1})_B^{loc}$ is actually determined by the tensor product of x and y in $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)$. In more details, since the free B -modules $\mathcal{X}_{a,b}$ only depends on the second index of simple object $\mathfrak{M}_{0,2a}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{0,2b}$ in PF_N , and recall that the fusion rule of second index of $\mathfrak{M}_{0,2i}$ is equivalent to the group multiplication of \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1} , it is clear that the fusion rule of $\mathcal{X}_{a,b}$ can be equivalently described by the multiplication of $\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}$, i.e. $\mathcal{X}_{a,b} \otimes_B \mathcal{X}_{c,d} \simeq \mathcal{X}_{a+c, b+d}$. Thus $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_{2k+1})_B^{loc}$ is pointed, i.e. the inverse of $\mathcal{X}_{a,b}$ is $\mathcal{X}_{2k+1-a, 2k+1-b}$.

Recall that in Appendix A, we have shown that a pointed modular tensor category is 1-to-1 corresponds to a twisted metric group [DGNO10]. Hence the pointed (unitary) modular tensor category $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_{2k+1})_B^{loc}$ should be described by a twisted metric group $(\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}, p \times \bar{p}, \xi \times \bar{\xi})$, where $p(a) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{2k+1} a^2}$ and $\xi(a) = e^{-\frac{4\pi i}{2k+1} a^2}$. Also recall that in Section 2.1.1 we have showed $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}))$ is also a pointed modular tensor category $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}, q, \tau)$ where $(\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}, q, \tau)$ is the corresponding twisted metric group. It was proved that if twisted metric groups are isomorphic, then the corresponding modular tensor categories are equivalent [JS93][DGNO10]. It inspire us to find that an isomorphism between two twisted metric groups

$(\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}, p \times \bar{p}, \xi \times \bar{\xi})$ and $(\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}, q, \tau)$, and then two modular tensor categories $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_{2k+1}_B)^{\text{loc}}$ and $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}))$ are equivalent. We claim the following group automorphism is an isomorphism of twisted metric group from $(\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}, p \times \bar{p}, \xi \times \bar{\xi})$ to $(\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}, q, \tau)$.

$$\begin{aligned} f : \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1} &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1} \\ (a, b) &\mapsto (a + b, a - b) \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Indeed, it is easy to check $p \times \bar{p}(a, b) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{2k+1}(a^2 - b^2)} = q(a + b, a - b) = q \circ f(a, b)$ and $\chi \times \bar{\chi}(a, b) = e^{-\frac{4\pi i}{2k+1}(a^2 - b^2)} = \tau(a + b, a - b) = \tau \circ f(a, b)$. Therefore,

Theorem 3.8. *The category $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_{2k+1}_B)^{\text{loc}}$ is equivalent to the category $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}))$ as modular tensor categories.*

The mathematical detail of the proof of equivalence is shown in Appendix D.

Notice that the Assignment 3.4 tells us what are the simple object $\mathcal{X}_{a,b}$ in $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N))$. Recall that the physical meaning of simple objects $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha,\beta}$ in $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N))$ are charges and flux. From now on, we will use $\mathbf{e}^\alpha \mathbf{m}^\beta$ denote the simple object $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha,\beta}$ where \mathbf{e}^α is the \mathbb{Z}_N charge and \mathbf{m}^β is the flux. Let $\alpha = a + b \pmod{2k+1}$ and $\beta = a - b \pmod{2k+1}$, we have

$$\mathbf{e}^\alpha \mathbf{m}^\beta = \begin{cases} \mathcal{X}_{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}, \frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}} & \text{if } \alpha + \beta \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \mathcal{X}_{2k+1-(\alpha+\beta), 2k+1-(\alpha-\beta)} & \text{if } \alpha + \beta \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

The above formula is a little complicated, we can rewrite it in a compact form. First, we consider the case with only charges \mathbf{e}^α . We can rewrite the expansion of $\mathcal{X}_{a,b}$ such that the second index is α :

$$\mathbf{e}^\alpha := \bigoplus_{\ell + \alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{2}} \mathfrak{M}_{\ell, \alpha} \boxtimes \mathfrak{M}_{\ell, \alpha} \quad (3.7)$$

Similarly, for the case with only flux \mathbf{m}^β , we can rewrite the expansion of $\mathcal{X}_{a,b}$ as follows:

$$\mathbf{m}^\beta = \bigoplus_{\ell + \beta \equiv 0 \pmod{2}} \mathfrak{M}_{\ell, \beta} \boxtimes \mathfrak{M}_{\ell, (\beta \pmod{2k+1}) - 2\beta} \quad (3.8)$$

Recall that $\mathbf{e}^\alpha \mathbf{m}^\beta = \mathbf{e}^\alpha \otimes \mathbf{m}^\beta$, therefore by Eq. (3.7) and Eq. (3.8) we have a general formula:

$$\mathbf{e}^\alpha \mathbf{m}^\beta = \bigoplus_{\substack{m = \alpha + \beta \pmod{2k+1} \\ \ell + m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}}} \mathfrak{M}_{\ell, m} \boxtimes \mathfrak{M}_{\ell, m - 2\beta} \quad (3.9)$$

Here we choose m to be the remainder of $\alpha + \beta$ modulo $(2k+1)$ to avoid double counting.

In summary, we proved that the condensed phase of the double parafermion $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_{2k+1})$ is the quantum double $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}))$. According to the mathematical description of anyon condensation theory, there is a gapped domain wall $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_{2k+1})_B$ between \mathbb{Z}_N parafermion and \mathbb{Z}_N quantum double.

3.2.2 Even case

When $N = 2k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the task to determine all simple local B -modules is quite challenging. The argument based on the Eq. (3.3) only works for free B -modules. With the notation in above subsection, $\{\mathcal{X}_{a,b} \mid a, b = 0, \dots, 2k-1\}$ is still a set of simple local B -modules, however, the elements in this set satisfy an equivalence relation $\mathcal{X}_{a,b} \simeq \mathcal{X}_{a+k, b+k}$ (by procedure 2 in above subsection), hence what we know is at least half of the simple local B -modules are free B -modules

as listed in $\{\mathcal{X}_{a,b} \mid a = 0, \dots, 2k-1, b = 0, \dots, k-1\}$. For the rest of the simple local B -modules, it is very possible that they are not free B -modules.

In fact, if we consider $N = 2$ [CJK⁺20], there are 4 inequivalent simple local B -modules:

$$\mathbb{1} := (\mathfrak{M}_{0,0} \boxtimes \mathfrak{M}_{0,0}) \otimes B, \quad \mathbf{f} := (\mathfrak{M}_{2,0} \boxtimes \mathfrak{M}_{0,0}) \otimes B, \quad \mathbf{e} := \mathfrak{M}_{1,1} \boxtimes \mathfrak{M}_{1,1}, \quad \mathbf{m} := (\mathfrak{M}_{1,1} \boxtimes \mathfrak{M}_{1,1})^{tw}$$

which corresponds to 4 simple objects in $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2))$ respectively. Notice that $\mathfrak{M}_{1,1} \boxtimes \mathfrak{M}_{1,1}$ and $(\mathfrak{M}_{1,1} \boxtimes \mathfrak{M}_{1,1})^{tw}$ are not free B -module here. Here $(\mathfrak{M}_{1,1} \boxtimes \mathfrak{M}_{1,1})^{tw}$ is a B -module whose object is $\mathfrak{M}_{1,1} \boxtimes \mathfrak{M}_{1,1}$ with a twisted B -action.


It is difficult to determine the simple local B -modules that are not free because we need to consider the B -actions on modules now. One possible way is to guess a B -module with its B -action and check whether it is a local B -module and then check whether it is simple. However, for two B -modules, they may be isomorphic to each other as B -modules. We do not give all the simple local B -modules in even case, also no proof for the equivalence of UMTCs $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_{2k})_B^{loc}$ and $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_{2k}))$. But we believe that this equivalence still holds, since the correspondence $\mathbf{e}^\alpha \mathbf{m}^\beta = \mathcal{X}_{a,b}$ with $\alpha = a + b \pmod{2k}$ and $\beta = a - b \pmod{2k}$ still holds for $\{\mathcal{X}_{a,b} \mid a = 0, \dots, 2k-1, b = 0, \dots, k-1\}$.

As the consequence, the fusion category $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B$ is a gapped domain wall between \mathbb{Z}_N TO and \mathbb{Z}_N double parafermion TO.

3.3 A gappable non-chiral gapless boundary of \mathbb{Z}_N topological order

In this subsection, we will construct a gappable non-chiral gapless boundary of \mathbb{Z}_N TO by topological Wick rotation.

In above subsection we have found a gapped domain wall between $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B^{loc}$ and $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N))$. So how could we construct a gapless boundary from these data? One way is the **topological Wick rotation**[KZ20].



One can imagine that we flip $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)$ from the spatial dimension to the temporal dimension. The vertical part becomes a background of the \mathbb{Z}_N quantum double and hence we obtain an enriched fusion category ${}_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B}{}^{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)}$. This enriched fusion category together with V_{PF_N} form a non-chiral gapless boundary of 2+1D TO $(\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N)), 0)$. Recall that $\text{PF}_N = \text{Mod}_{V_{\text{PF}_N}}$, thus $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N) \simeq \text{Mod}_{V_{\text{PF}_N} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{V_{\text{PF}_N}}}$ which is the module category of the full field algebra $V_{\text{PF}_N} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{V_{\text{PF}_N}}$. Thus we can write the non-chiral gapless boundary of $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N))$ as $(V_{\text{PF}_N} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{V_{\text{PF}_N}}, {}_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B}{}^{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)})$.

Remark 3.9. Such a folding process is physically impossible. Imagine that we cut out the left half of a 2d topological order by brutal force. It takes the system in the neighborhood of the boundary away from a RG fixed point. As time goes by, the boundary will undergo a self-healing process by flowing to a new RG fixed point. One of the possible RG fixed points is the canonical chiral gapless boundary $(V, {}^{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{B})$. We believe that this folding process is not just an ad hoc bookkeeping trick. It should have some deep physical meanings which need to be further studied.

Remark 3.10. When we regard the gapped domain wall $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B$ as an enriched fusion category ${}^{\mathbb{H}}\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B$, there is another interpretation of the gapless boundary $(V_{\text{PF}_N} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{V_{\text{PF}_N}}, {}_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B}{}^{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)})$. It can be regarded as a fusion of the canonical gapless boundary $(W, {}_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)}{}^{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)})$ with the gapped domain wall $(\mathbb{C}, {}^{\mathbb{H}}\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B)$, as showing in the following picture. Here we use W denote the full field algebra $V_{\text{PF}_N} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{V_{\text{PF}_N}}$.

The enriched fusion category ${}_{3_1(\text{PF}_N)}{}^{3_1(\text{PF}_N)}\mathcal{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B$ can be described in more details when $N = 2k+1$.

- objects (topological boundary excitations) are right B -modules in ${}_{3_1(\text{PF}_N)}$, including the simple local B -modules $\mathcal{X}_{a,b}$. They can fuse horizontally by the fusion rule of ${}_{3_1(\text{PF}_N)}$.
- For two objects x, y in ${}_{3_1(\text{PF}_N)}\mathcal{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B$, the 0D defects $M_{x,y}$ between them are given by $(x \otimes_B y^*)^*$ [Ost03], which should be viewed as an object in ${}_{3_1(\text{PF}_N)}$.

Here y^* is the dual of y , since ${}_{3_1(\text{PF}_{2k+1})}$ is a pointed fusion category, the dual of $\mathcal{X}_{a,b}$ is its inverse $\mathcal{X}_{2k+1-a, 2k+1-b}$. In more detail, we can calculate the 0D defects for any simple local B -modules, hence for any local B -modules. For two local B -modules $\mathcal{X}_{a,b}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{c,d}$, we have

$$(\mathcal{X}_{a,b} \otimes_B \mathcal{X}_{c,d}^*)^* = (\mathcal{X}_{a,b} \otimes_B \mathcal{X}_{2k+1-c, 2k+1-d}^*)^* = (\mathcal{X}_{2k+1-(c-a), 2k+1-(d-b)}^*)^* = \mathcal{X}_{c-a, d-b}$$

This non-chiral gapless boundary is gappable. To see this, we need to prove that the E_1 -center of ${}_{3_1(\text{PF}_N)}{}^{3_1(\text{PF}_N)}\mathcal{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B$ is ${}_{3_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N))}$. The E_1 -center of ${}_{3_1(\text{PF}_N)}{}^{3_1(\text{PF}_N)}\mathcal{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B$ is given by the centralizer of ${}_{3_1(\text{PF}_N)}$ in ${}_{3_1(\text{PF}_N)}\mathcal{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B$ [KYZZ21]

$$\mathcal{Z}_2({}_{3_1(\text{PF}_N)}{}^{3_1(\text{PF}_N)}\mathcal{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B, {}_{3_1(\text{PF}_N)}\mathcal{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B) \quad (3.12)$$

Recall by anyon condensation theory [KO02, Kon14], there are equivalences

$${}_{3_1(\text{PF}_N)}\mathcal{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B \simeq {}_{3_1(\text{PF}_N)}\mathcal{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N) \boxtimes {}_{3_1(\text{PF}_N)_B}^{\text{loc}} \simeq {}_{3_1(\text{PF}_N)}\mathcal{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N) \boxtimes {}_{3_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N))} \quad (3.13)$$

Finally, by the centralizer Theorem D.5 proved in [Müg03], we can prove that

$${}_{3_1(\text{PF}_N)}\mathcal{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B = \mathcal{Z}_2({}_{3_1(\text{PF}_N)}{}^{3_1(\text{PF}_N)}\mathcal{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B, {}_{3_1(\text{PF}_N)}\mathcal{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B) = {}_{3_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N))} \quad (3.14)$$

Remark 3.11. What we do in this paper is to find “one” gappable non-chiral gapless boundary to describe the critical point of a topological phase transition. It is natural to ask, is that gapless boundary the unique one to describe this critical point of the phase transition? Are there other gappable non-chiral gapless boundaries describing the same process? These are also open questions.

3.4 The partition functions of $M_{x,y}$

Now we have constructed a gappable gapless boundary $(V_{\text{PF}_N} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{V_{\text{PF}_N}}) \otimes {}_{3_1(\text{PF}_N)}{}^{3_1(\text{PF}_N)}\mathcal{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B$. It is natural to ask if it describes the critical point of the topological phase transition on the 1d gapped boundary of \mathbb{Z}_N TO. To achieve this, it is enough to check the partition functions of $M_{x,y}$. In physics, the partition function of $M_{x,y}$ can be computed by the path integral over an annulus obtained by compactifying the time axis, and fixing the two boundary conditions to be x and y . The partition function of $M_{x,y}$ is the same as that of $M_{1, (x \otimes_B y^*)^*}$. This is also obvious by the fact that $M_{x,y} = M_{1, (x \otimes_B y^*)^*}$ as objects in ${}_{3_1(\text{PF}_N)}$. We denote the partition function of $M_{1,x} = x$ by $Z_x(\tau)$, where τ is the moduli of torus. Thus if we know all $Z_x(\tau)$ for simple x , we have known all partition functions of $M_{x,y}$.

Denote $\chi_{\{\ell;m\}}(\tau)$ the characters of simple objects $\mathfrak{M}_{\ell,m}$ in PF_N . Recall that we have obtained a general formula of expansion of simple local B -modules in Section 3.2.1. By Eq. (3.9), we have

$$Z_{\alpha,\beta}(\tau) = \sum_{\substack{m: \alpha+\beta \pmod{2k+1} \\ \ell+m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}}} \chi_{\{\ell;m\}} \boxtimes \overline{\chi}_{\{\ell,m-2\beta\}} \quad (3.15)$$

When $N = 2k + 1$ for some natural number k , we can write the simple objects $\mathcal{X}_{a,b}$ as direct sum of simple objects of $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)$ directly, hence we can compute the partition functions of $\mathcal{X}_{a,b}$ for each case.

$$Z_{\alpha,\beta}(\tau) = \begin{cases} \sum_{u=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor} \chi_{\{2u;\alpha+\beta\}}(\tau) \chi_{\{2u;\alpha-\beta\}}(\tau)^* & \text{if } \alpha + \beta \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \sum_{u=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor} \chi_{\{2k+1-2u;-2(\alpha+\beta)\}}(\tau) \chi_{\{2u;-2(\alpha-\beta)\}}(\tau)^* & \text{if } \alpha + \beta \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases} \quad (3.16)$$

Since we do not figure out all the simple objects in $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_{2k})_B^{\text{loc}}$, it is hard to write the all partition functions directly. we can also write Eq. (3.16), however, this will give only half of the partition functions of simple local B -modules. Actually, in Section 4, we have a formula of partition functions whether N is even or odd. We will show in Section 4 explicitly how to recover these partition functions $Z_x(\tau)$ from a lattice model construction. The partition functions of $M_{x,y}$ are automatically recovered.

3.5 Some examples

In this subsection, we will list some examples of \mathbb{Z}_N . Recall that the condensable algebra in $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)$ is $B := \bigoplus_{u=0}^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor} \mathfrak{M}_{2u,0} \boxtimes \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{2u,0}$.

Notation 3.12. For the convention of the following discussion, we use $\{\ell; m\}$ denote the simple objects $\mathfrak{M}_{\ell,m}$ in PF_N . And use $\{\ell; m \mid \ell'; m'\}$ denote the simple objects $\mathfrak{M}_{\ell,m} \boxtimes \mathfrak{M}_{\ell',m'}$ in $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)$.

3.5.1 Example \mathbb{Z}_2

This case has been well studied in [CJK⁺20], so we will only list the results here.

The condensable algebra is $B = \{0; 0 \mid 0; 0\} \oplus \{2; 0 \mid 2; 0\}$. There are four local B -modules:

$$\mathbf{1} := (\mathfrak{M}_{0,0} \boxtimes \mathfrak{M}_{0,0}) \otimes B, \quad \mathbf{f} := (\mathfrak{M}_{2,0} \boxtimes \mathfrak{M}_{0,0}) \otimes B, \quad \mathbf{e} := \mathfrak{M}_{1,1} \boxtimes \mathfrak{M}_{1,1}, \quad \mathbf{m} := (\mathfrak{M}_{1,1} \boxtimes \mathfrak{M}_{1,1})^{tw}$$

The corresponding partition function is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\mathbf{1}) &= \chi_{\{0;0\}} \overline{\chi}_{\{0;0\}} + \chi_{\{2;0\}} \overline{\chi}_{\{2;0\}} \\ Z(\mathbf{e}) &= \chi_{\{1;1\}} \overline{\chi}_{\{1;1\}} \\ Z(\mathbf{m}) &= \chi_{\{1;1\}} \overline{\chi}_{\{1;1\}} \\ Z(\mathbf{f}) &= \chi_{\{2;0\}} \overline{\chi}_{\{0;0\}} + \chi_{\{0;0\}} \overline{\chi}_{\{2;0\}} \end{aligned}$$

3.5.2 Example \mathbb{Z}_3

Let $N = 3$, consider simple objects $\{\ell; m \mid \ell'; m'\}$, ℓ, ℓ' has the range $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, m, m' has the range $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. There are 6 inequivalent simple objects $\text{Irr}(\text{PF}_3) := \{\{0; 0\}, \{0; 2\}, \{0; 4\}, \{2; 0\}, \{2; 2\}, \{2; 4\}\}$ in PF_3 . Thus there are 36 inequivalent simple objects in double parafermion $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_3)$ given by $\text{Irr}(\text{PF}_3) \times \text{Irr}(\text{PF}_3)$.

The index t of direct summand of the condensable algebra B has range $\{0, 1\}$, then the condensable algebra in $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_3)$ is $B = \{0; 0 \mid 0; 0\} \oplus \{2; 0 \mid 2; 0\}$.

Recall that in odd case, the set of free B -modules $\{\mathcal{X}_{a,b} = \{0; 2a \mid 0; 2b\} \otimes B \mid 0 \leq a, b \leq 2\}$ gives all simple local B -modules. We use $\mathbf{e}^\alpha \mathbf{m}^\beta$ denote the corresponding simple objects in $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_3))$, in particularly, we use $\mathbb{1}$ denote $\mathbf{e}^0 \mathbf{m}^0$. Under the equivalence (see Appendix D) of two modular tensor categories $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_{2k+1})_B^{\text{loc}}$ and $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}))$, we have $\alpha = a+b \pmod 3$ and $\beta = a-b \pmod 3$. Then we can write all the simple local B -modules as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{1} &:= \{0; 0 \mid 0; 0\} \otimes B = \{0; 0 \mid 0; 0\} + \{2; 0 \mid 2; 0\} \\
\mathbf{em}^2 &:= \{0; 0 \mid 0; 2\} \otimes B = \{0; 0 \mid 0; 2\} + \{2; 0 \mid 2; 2\} \\
\mathbf{e}^2 \mathbf{m} &:= \{0; 0 \mid 0; 4\} \otimes B = \{0; 0 \mid 0; 4\} + \{2; 0 \mid 2; 4\} \\
\mathbf{em} &:= \{0; 2 \mid 0; 0\} \otimes B = \{0; 2 \mid 0; 0\} + \{2; 2 \mid 2; 0\} \\
\mathbf{e}^2 &:= \{0; 2 \mid 0; 2\} \otimes B = \{0; 2 \mid 0; 2\} + \{2; 2 \mid 2; 2\} \\
\mathbf{m}^2 &:= \{0; 2 \mid 0; 4\} \otimes B = \{0; 2 \mid 0; 4\} + \{2; 2 \mid 2; 4\} \\
\mathbf{e}^2 \mathbf{m}^2 &:= \{0; 4 \mid 0; 0\} \otimes B = \{0; 4 \mid 0; 0\} + \{2; 4 \mid 2; 0\} \\
\mathbf{m} &:= \{0; 4 \mid 0; 2\} \otimes B = \{0; 4 \mid 0; 2\} + \{2; 4 \mid 2; 2\} \\
\mathbf{e} &:= \{0; 4 \mid 0; 4\} \otimes B = \{0; 4 \mid 0; 4\} + \{2; 4 \mid 2; 4\}
\end{aligned}$$

This will give the partition function of simple objects in $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_3))$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{1}) &= \chi_{\{0;0\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0;0\}} + \chi_{\{2;0\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{2;0\}} \\
\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{e}) &= \chi_{\{0;4\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0;4\}} + \chi_{\{2;4\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{2;4\}} \\
\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{e}^2) &= \chi_{\{0;2\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0;2\}} + \chi_{\{2;2\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{2;2\}} \\
\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{m}) &= \chi_{\{0;4\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0;2\}} + \chi_{\{2;4\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{2;2\}} \\
\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{em}) &= \chi_{\{0;2\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0;0\}} + \chi_{\{2;2\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{2;0\}} \\
\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{e}^2 \mathbf{m}) &= \chi_{\{0;0\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0;4\}} + \chi_{\{2;0\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{2;4\}} \\
\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{m}^2) &= \chi_{\{0;2\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0;4\}} + \chi_{\{2;2\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{2;4\}} \\
\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{em}^2) &= \chi_{\{0;0\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0;2\}} + \chi_{\{2;0\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{2;2\}} \\
\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{e}^2 \mathbf{m}^2) &= \chi_{\{0;4\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0;0\}} + \chi_{\{2;4\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{2;0\}}
\end{aligned}$$

3.5.3 Example \mathbb{Z}_4

When $N = 4$, \mathbb{Z}_4 is the second primary even case. In this case the index ℓ, ℓ' has the range $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, m, m' has the range $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$. There are 10 inequivalent simple objects $\text{Irr}(\text{PF}_4) := \{\{0;0\}, \{2;0\}, \{4;0\}, \{0;2\}, \{2;2\}, \{4;2\}, \{1;1\}, \{3;1\}, \{1;3\}, \{3;3\}\}$ in PF_4 . Thus there are 100 inequivalent simple objects in double parafermion $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_4)$ given by $\text{Irr}(\text{PF}_4) \times \text{Irr}(\text{PF}_4)$.

The index t of direct summand of the condensable algebra B has range $\{0, 1, 2\}$, then the condensable algebra in $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_4)$ is $B = \{0; 0 \mid 0; 0\} \oplus \{2; 0 \mid 2; 0\} \oplus \{4; 0 \mid 4; 0\}$.

In principle, there should be 16 simple objects, or simple local B -modules. The set of free B -modules $\{\mathcal{X}_{a,b}\}$ gives 8 inequivalent simple local B -modules. As above, here $\mathbf{e}^\alpha \mathbf{m}^\beta$ denote the corresponding simple objects in $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_3))$, in particularly, we use $\mathbb{1}$ denote $\mathbf{e}^0 \mathbf{m}^0$. We also

have the relation $\alpha = a + b \pmod 4$ and $\beta = a - b \pmod 4$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{1} &:= \{0; 0 \mid 0; 0\} \otimes B = \{2; 0 \mid 0; 0\} \oplus \{2; 0 \mid 0; 0\} \oplus \{4; 0 \mid 4; 0\} \\
\mathbf{em} &:= \{0; 2 \mid 0; 0\} \otimes B = \{0; 2 \mid 0; 0\} \oplus \{2; 2 \mid 2; 0\} \oplus \{4; 2 \mid 4; 0\} \\
\mathbf{em}^3 &:= \{0; 0 \mid 0; 2\} \otimes B = \{0; 0 \mid 0; 2\} \oplus \{2; 0 \mid 2; 2\} \oplus \{4; 0 \mid 4; 2\} \\
\mathbf{e}^2 &:= \{0; 2 \mid 0; 2\} \otimes B = \{0; 2 \mid 0; 2\} \oplus \{2; 2 \mid 2; 2\} \oplus \{4; 2 \mid 4; 2\} \\
\mathbf{e}^3\mathbf{m} &:= \{4; 0 \mid 0; 2\} \otimes B = \{0; 0 \mid 4; 2\} \oplus \{2; 0 \mid 2; 2\} \oplus \{4; 0 \mid 0; 2\} \\
\mathbf{e}^3\mathbf{m}^3 &:= \{0; 2 \mid 4; 0\} \otimes B = \{0; 2 \mid 4; 0\} \oplus \{2; 2 \mid 2; 0\} \oplus \{4; 2 \mid 0; 0\} \\
\mathbf{m}^2 &:= \{0; 2 \mid 4; 2\} \otimes B = \{0; 2 \mid 4; 2\} \oplus \{2; 2 \mid 2; 2\} \oplus \{4; 2 \mid 0; 2\} \\
\mathbf{e}^2\mathbf{m}^2 &:= \{0; 0 \mid 4; 0\} \otimes B = \{0; 0 \mid 4; 0\} \oplus \{2; 0 \mid 2; 0\} \oplus \{4; 0 \mid 0; 0\}
\end{aligned}$$

The left 8 simple local modules cannot be determined by the above algorithm, in fact, they are both not free B -modules but they should be the direct summand of some free B -modules.

We can now write the partition functions as we did in the \mathbb{Z}_3 case, which we will omit here.

4 A Lattice Model Realization

In this section, we give a lattice model realization of the self-dual critical point of the pure edge phase transition between \mathbf{e} -condensed and \mathbf{m} -condensed gapped edges of the 2d \mathbb{Z}_N topological order, and recover all the ingredients of the gappable gapless boundary constructed in Section 3.3. We choose the string-net model to be the lattice realization of the 2d \mathbb{Z}_N topological order. That's because we hope that the construction can be extended to a more general case in the further. For the convenience of readers in the mathematical background, we review the string-net model in detail.

4.1 \mathbb{Z}_N Toric Code Model

It is well known that an arbitrary 2d non-chiral topological order can be realized by Levin-Wen model[LW05], which is a machine that imports a fusion category \mathcal{C} and outputs the corresponding UMTC/Drinfeld center $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{C})$. As the input category $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N)$, we can obtain the \mathbb{Z}_N Topological order as the \mathbb{Z}_N version of the toric code.

The Levin-Wen model is usually defined on a two-dimensional honeycomb lattice. The edge of the lattice is labeled by the oriented simple-type string, which is the simple object in the input fusion category \mathcal{C} . And the vertex is associated with the morphism space $V_{ij}^k = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(i \otimes j, k)$ of \mathcal{C} . Therefore, the Hilbert space of Levin-Wen model can be organized as $\mathcal{H} = \bigotimes_v \mathcal{H}_v$, where $\mathcal{H}_v = \bigoplus_{i,j,k} V_{ij}^k$. The Hamiltonian is supposed to be exactly soluble and is written as,

$$H_{\text{Levin-Wen}} = - \sum_v A_v - \sum_p B_p \quad (4.1)$$

where $A_v \left| \begin{array}{c} i \\ j \\ k \end{array} \right\rangle = \delta_{ijk} \left| \begin{array}{c} i \\ j \\ k \end{array} \right\rangle$ is the vertex operator for imposing the particular string-net branching rule, such as the \mathbb{Z}_N branching rules: $i + j + k = 0 \pmod N$. And $B_p = \sum_{s=0}^N a_s B_p^s$ is the "magnetic-flux" operator defined for each hexagonal plaquette of the string-net lattice, with $a_s = d_s/D$ and $D = \sum_{i=0}^N d_i^2$. In general, the plaquette operator is constituted by the product of 6j symbols F,

$$B_p^s \left| \begin{array}{c} i_2 \\ i_1 \\ i_3 \\ i_4 \\ i_5 \\ i_6 \end{array} \right\rangle = \sum_{e'_A} F_{s' e'_1 e'_2}^{j_1 e_1 e_6} F_{s' e'_1 e'_2}^{j_2 e_2 e_1} F_{s' e'_2 e'_3}^{j_3 e_3 e_2} F_{s' e'_3 e'_4}^{j_4 e_4 e_3} F_{s' e'_4 e'_5}^{j_5 e_5 e_4} F_{s' e'_5 e'_6}^{j_6 e_6 e_5} \left| \begin{array}{c} i_2 \\ i_1 \\ i_3 \\ i_4 \\ i_5 \\ i_6 \end{array} \right\rangle, \quad (4.2)$$




Figure 3: \mathbb{Z}_N toric code model on honeycomb lattice, the arrow diagram at the bottom-left corner defines the orientation for all edges. For a specific plaquette, the edges with clockwise arrow belongs to p_+ , the opposite ones belongs to p_- .

which is obviously very complicated.

However, for the \mathbb{Z}_N topological order, there are N string types, labeled by $0, \dots, N-1$. In addition, the string is oriented. A natural way to fix orientation is to use the sub-lattice of honeycomb, L_B and L_W , whose sites are colored black and white respectively in Fig. 3. we require that the arrow always points from a black vertex toward a white vertex to uniquely fix the orientation of each edge. After fully fixing the direction of each edge, the degrees of freedom the original string can be viewed as the \mathbb{Z}_N spin degrees of freedom lying at the edge [HW12, SDO⁺12]. In the following, we use the N orthonormal basis $|n\rangle_i$ to label the associated spin degrees of freedom, where $n \in \mathbb{Z}_N$. Obviously $a_s = 1/N$ since quantum dimension d_i of abelian topological order is 1. And F symbols satisfying the \mathbb{Z}_N branching rule: $s + e_i = e'_i \pmod{N}$ are 1, the others are 0. Thus the action of B_p^s on the plaquette is simply shifting the string/spin type e_i of every edge of the plaquette by $s \pmod{N}$. This suggests that the B_p^s can be more naturally expressed as a product of spin shifting operators acting on the \mathbb{Z}_N spin of plaquette's edge

$$B_p^s = \prod_{e_i \in p_+} (X_i^\dagger)^s \prod_{e_j \in p_-} (X_j)^s, \quad (4.3)$$

where we introduce the spin shifting operator X_i , that generalizes the Pauli operator σ^x to n -dimensional space and for the opposite oriented edge, the shifting action needs to be conjugated. For convenience, we also introduce the operator Z_i to measure the value of spin at the edge e_i , that generalizes the Pauli operator σ^z to n -dimensional space. They are defined by,

$$Z_i |n\rangle_i = \omega^n |n\rangle_i \quad \text{and} \quad X_i |n\rangle_i = |n-1\rangle_i, \quad \text{for } \omega = e^{2\pi i/N}.$$

Instead of anticommutation relations of Pauli operators, the operators X_i and Z_i on the same site satisfy the Weyl algebra's relations,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_i X_i &= \omega X_i Z_i, \\ Z_i^N &= X_i^N = 1, \quad Z_i^\dagger = Z_i^{N-1}, \quad X_i^\dagger = X_i^{N-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

while operators at different sites commute. Also the operator A can be expressed as a product of Z_i : $A_v = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} \prod_{e_i \in v} Z_i^s = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} A_v^s$, to make the groundstates satisfying branching rules. Finally, we obtain the \mathbb{Z}_N Levin-wen Model written by spin operators,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{bulk}}^{\mathbb{Z}_N} &= -\frac{1}{N} \sum_v \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} \prod_{e_i \in v} Z_i^s - \frac{1}{N} \sum_p \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} \prod_{e_i \in p_+} (X_i^\dagger)^s \prod_{e_j \in p_-} (X_j)^s \\ &= -\frac{1}{N} \sum_v \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} A_v^s - \frac{1}{N} \sum_p \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} B_p^s. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

It is easy to check that the model is indeed exactly solvable by using the relations between Z_i and X_i . Since all the terms in the Hamiltonian are mutually commute, the groundstates subspace can be stabilized by simultaneously satisfying: $A_v^s |GS\rangle = 1$ & $B_p^s |GS\rangle = 1$, $\forall v, p$ and s . When some A_v^s or B_p^s 's eigenvalues violate the condition above, these eigenstates with higher energy at local vertice or plaquette can be regarded as some particle-like topological excitation. According to the different eigenvalues $\{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}\alpha}, e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}\beta}\}$ of A_v^1 and B_p^1 carried by these particles, they are usually known as \mathbb{Z}_N charge \mathbf{e}^α and \mathbb{Z}_N flux \mathbf{m}^β . Obviously, these charges and fluxes naturally correspond to the objects in UMTC $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N))$. They are not created by local perturbation but can be generated in pairs by string operators. String operator S^e and S^m can be defined by collecting the corresponding spin operators along the string, except be careful that the positive and negative orientation of the string S^e corresponds to X^\dagger and X , respectively.

4.2 Boundary Lattice Model

In this subsection, we consider the case that the model is equipped with a boundary. It is well known that the \mathbb{Z}_N topological order can admit many gapped boundaries. The lattice realizations of many different kinds of gapped boundaries have been given in some references [BSW11, CCW17]. Here, we will try to construct a general boundary Hamiltonian to recover many of the gapped boundaries of $H_{\text{Bulk}}^{\mathbb{Z}_N}$ by tuning the parameters. In addition, it is predictable that the phase transitions between these different gapped boundaries thus naturally correspond to gappable gapless boundaries. That's our final goal.




Figure 4: \mathbb{Z}_N Toric Code with boundary on a cylinder, degrees of freedom at boundary are in black and labeled by number. the $(2n + 1)$ th edge is identified with 1st edge.

Now let's begin by considering the model with boundary $H_{\text{bulk}}^{\mathbb{Z}_N} + H_{\text{bdy}}$ on a cylinder, as shown in Fig. 4. We only work with the boundary at the bottom, the upper part is the bulk of infinite












Figure 5: Local boundary operators can be represented by string operators $S_{\text{bdy}}(a, b)$ labeled by blue string, where $S_{\text{bdy}}(a, b)$ can be obtained by pushing $S(a, b)$ labeled by dashed string in blue to the boundary.

length. Hereby, the edges in black are artificially and rigidly defined as the boundary degree of freedom. Hence our boundary Hamiltonian H_{bdy} is assumed to only contain the local operators acting on these black edges. And to avoid breaking the exact solvability of $H_{\text{bulk}}^{\mathbb{Z}_N}$, these operators are required to commute with A_v^s and B_p^s . If the boundary hamiltonian $H_{\text{bdy}} = 0$, the whole system will have a very large degeneracy that depends on the number of boundary's edges n . In principle, we also want to remove most of the degeneracy from the boundary by including the fewest local operators into H_{bdy} . The remaining question is how to find all of the local operators that satisfy the above conditions and represent them.

Usually, any operators that commute with $\{A_v^s, B_p^s\}$, all consist of closed string operators. But for the case with boundary, open strings $S(a, b)$ with two endpoints a, b outside the bulk are also available. Therefore, all we need to do is push all allowed strings onto the boundary so that we can get all local operators on the boundary that commute with $\{A_v^s, B_p^s\}$, as shown in Fig. 5. It is obvious that in the current model, only open strings $S(a, b)$ can get non-trivial $S_{\text{bdy}}(a, b)$. As the boundary is rigidly 1d, closed string operators on the boundary must be identity, except for two non-contractible loop operators L^e and L^m , as shown in Fig. However, the boundary operators generated by L^e and L^m are not local. In particular, there are two classes of string operators S_e and S_m in \mathbb{Z}_N TO. When we push them onto the boundary, there are only two types of allowed configurations to ensure that the endpoints are outside the bulk and only act on the boundary spin, as shown in Fig. 6. All valid configurations can be achieved by joining a number of the two shortest boundary strings S_e^{bdy} () and S_m^{bdy} (). So far, we have obtained all the local operators on the boundary that satisfy the conditions. And they can be represented by S_e^{bdy} () and S_m^{bdy} (). In other words, any legal H_{bdy} can be viewed as the combination and joining of S_e^{bdy} () and S_m^{bdy} (). A typical example is $H_{\text{bdy}}^m = -\sum_{j \in \text{odd link}} (Z_j Z_{j+1} + Z_j^\dagger Z_{j+1}^\dagger)$ that realizes the \mathbf{m} -condensed boundary [BSW11, KK12], as shown in Fig. 7. H_{bdy}^m can be viewed as the sum of S_m^{bdy} () that describes the fluctuation of \mathbf{m} along the boundary. Indeed, these terms give rise to \mathbf{m} condensation. As we wished, N^n -fold degeneracy from the boundary is lifted by local operators S_m^{bdy} () so that only the topological N -fold degeneracy from the semi-infinite open string S_{INF}^m remains. We will discuss this later.

It is more interesting for us to consider the model only with nearest neighbor interactions. Therefore, the general boundary Hamiltonian $H_{\text{bdy}}^{\mathbb{Z}_N}$ with periodic conditions along the circle can




Figure 6: Configurations of boundary strings S_{bdy}^e and S_{bdy}^m that are in purple and cyan, respectively. Upper part: two types of allowed configurations, marked with green check mark. Bottom part: invalid configurations are marked by red cross, because the end points are inside the bulk or they have action on the internal degrees of freedom.




Figure 7: m – condensed boundary of \mathbb{Z}_N topological order.

be written down,

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{\text{bdy}}^{\mathbb{Z}_N} &= - \sum_{s=1}^{N-1} \sum_{i \in \text{even link}} a_s (S_e^{\text{bdy}}(\text{diagram}))^s - \sum_{s=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j \in \text{odd link}} b_s (S_m^{\text{bdy}}(\text{diagram}))^s \\
&= - \sum_{i \in \text{even link}} \sum_{s=1}^{N-1} a_s (X_i^\dagger X_{i+1})^s - \sum_{j \in \text{odd link}} \sum_{s=1}^{N-1} b_s (Z_j Z_{j+1})^s, \quad \text{with } X_{2n+1} = X_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

where the lattice translation symmetry has been applied. And a_s and b_s are complicated, thus the conditions on the couplings $a_s = a_{N-s}^*$ and $b_s = b_{N-s}^*$ are necessary to make the Hamiltonian hermitian. It is clear that the model captures many of the boundaries of the $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N))$ constructed before. In addition to **m-condensed** boundary ($b_1 = b_{n-1} = 1$, others = 0) and **e-condensed** boundary ($a_1 = a_{n-1} = 1$, others = 0), the gapped boundaries induced by subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_N are also included [BSW11]. In the Landau's paradigm, they are seen as partially symmetry broken phases. Thus, it can be expected that it will have a rich phase diagram.

Before proceeding further, we make an important observation about the boundary model. Obviously, the model has two different \mathbb{Z}_N symmetry generated by $S_e^{\text{bdy}}(\text{diagram})$ and $S_m^{\text{bdy}}(\text{diagram})$ operator respectively. The corresponding symmetry operators are written as $\prod_{i=1}^n X_{2i}^\dagger X_{2i+1}$ and $\prod_{i=1}^n Z_{2i} Z_{2i+1}$. We call them \mathbb{Z}_N and \mathbb{Z}_N^\vee . Indeed, they are the loop operators L_{bdy}^e and L_{bdy}^m (shown in Fig. 8) respectively from the viewpoint of bulk. It implies that the total \mathbb{Z}_N symmetries of the 1+1D boundary theory originate from the *large gauge transformations* of the \mathbb{Z}_N gauge theory in the 2+1D bulk. Different symmetry sectors of the boundary Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_{bdy} should one-to-one correspond to the different topological sectors (anyons) of the bulk Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bulk}}$. Therefore, the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_{bdy} has the decomposition as $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bdy}} = \bigoplus_{\alpha, \beta} \mathcal{H}_{\text{bdy}}^{\alpha, \beta}$. Let's recall the example **m-condensed** boundary $H_{\text{bdy}}^m = - \sum_{j \in \text{odd link}} (Z_j Z_{j+1} + Z_j^\dagger Z_{j+1}^\dagger)$ with \mathbb{Z}_N symmetry, another \mathbb{Z}_N^\vee symmetry is identity for the periodic case. There are N -fold degeneracy states $|\Psi_\alpha\rangle$ labeled by the eigenvalue α of \mathbb{Z}_N symmetry operator L^e . These different symmetry sector α of \mathbb{Z}_N can be constructed by inserting α semi-infinite open strings S_{INF}^m , as shown in Fig. 8. Because S_{INF}^m commute with $H_{\text{bulk}}^{\mathbb{Z}_N}$ and H_{bdy}^m , it won't change the energy of the system. Viewed from the boundary, the N -fold degeneracy can be kept until the phase transition as long as the \mathbb{Z}_N is not broken. In Landau's paradigm, it is stated as the *spontaneous symmetry breaking*. On the other hand, the viewpoint from bulk provides a topological interpretation. Since the S_{INF}^m only can be detected by large loop L^e rather than any local operators in the bulk, the N -fold degeneracy is topological robust against any local perturbation in bulk. The two statements can be related when we push L^e onto the boundary. L^e will become the symmetry constraint on the local perturbations of the boundary. That shows a holographic point of view: symmetry originates from the topology in one higher dimension [JW20, K LW⁺20, CW22].

Continuing to consider the case of H_{bdy}^m , if we insert another semi-infinite open string $(S_{\text{INF}}^e)^\beta$ to the system. It will increase the energy of the system because $S_{\text{bdy}}^m (S_{\text{INF}}^e)^\beta |\Psi_{\text{bdy}}\rangle = \omega^\beta S_{\text{INF}}^e |\Psi_{\text{bdy}}\rangle$ at the cross point. But if we replace the S_{bdy}^m of H_{bdy}^m at the cross point with $\omega^{-\beta} S_{\text{bdy}}^m$, $S_{\text{INF}}^e |\Psi_{\text{bdy}}\rangle$ will be the one with lower energy. It actually assumes a twisted boundary condition at the cross point. We'll clarify this later.

Let's return to the general case. In the $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bdy}}^{\alpha, 1}$, the model Eq. (4.6) can be exactly mapped to the \mathbb{Z}_N chiral clock model through Kramers Waniner transformation.

$$\begin{aligned}
X_i^\dagger X_{i+1} &\longrightarrow \tilde{X}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad Z_j Z_{j+1} \longrightarrow \tilde{Z}_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^\dagger \tilde{Z}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, \\
\mathbb{Z}_N &\longrightarrow \prod \tilde{X}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \mathbb{Z}_N^\vee \longrightarrow \text{identity}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$




Figure 8: Left part: two kinds of non-contractible loop operators L^e and L^m wrapped around the cylinder. Right part: the semi-infinite open string S^m_{INF} can be detected by loop L^e

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{H}_{\text{bdy}}^{\mathbb{Z}_N} &= - \sum_{i \in \text{even link}} \sum_{s=1}^{N-1} a_s (\tilde{X}_{i+\frac{1}{2}})^s - \sum_{j \in \text{odd link}} \sum_{s=1}^{N-1} b_s (\tilde{Z}_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^\dagger \tilde{Z}_{j+\frac{1}{2}})^s \\
&= - \sum_{i \in \bullet} \sum_{s=1}^{N-1} a_s (\tilde{X}_i)^s - \sum_{i \in \bullet} \sum_{s=1}^{N-1} b_s (\tilde{Z}_i^\dagger \tilde{Z}_{i+1})^s.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_N^\vee = \mathbb{1}$ after transformation. That's why we have to work inside the $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bdy}}^{\alpha,1}$. But if we first remove the identification of $\frac{1}{2}$ -site and $(2n + \frac{1}{2})$ -site, an extra degree of freedom can help us realize the mapping in all $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bdy}}^{\alpha,\beta}$. At this point,

$$\mathbb{Z}_N^\vee \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{2n+\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{4.9}$$

For the subspace $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bdy}}^{\alpha,\beta}$, in addition to the condition of symmetry sector: $\mathbb{Z}_N |\Psi_{\text{bdy}}\rangle = \prod \tilde{X}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} |\tilde{\Psi}_{\text{bdy}}\rangle = \alpha |\tilde{\Psi}_{\text{bdy}}\rangle$, we also have a twisted boundary condition: $\mathbb{Z}_N^\vee |\Psi_{\text{bdy}}\rangle = \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{\frac{1}{2}}^\dagger \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{2n+\frac{1}{2}} |\tilde{\Psi}_{\text{bdy}}\rangle = \beta |\tilde{\Psi}_{\text{bdy}}\rangle$.

Thus, (α, β) of $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bdy}}^{\alpha,\beta}$ corresponds to the symmetry sector α and twisted boundary condition β in the mapped model $\tilde{H}_{\text{bdy}}^{\mathbb{Z}_N}$.

There are sufficient reasons to consider the subspace $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bdy}}^{\alpha,\beta}$. Assuming that the cylinder has a finite length, we imagine a process in which a pair of \mathbf{e} is created in bulk, and move one of them to the boundary, the boundary state must be in the corresponding sector $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bdy}}^\beta$. We can also directly change the sign of A_v^s and B_p^s in $H_{\text{bulk}}^{\mathbb{Z}_N}$ to make the system favor any topological sector that we want. Therefore, the fluctuation of boundary is not independent but is determined by the topological sector in the bulk. The model is essentially a (α, β) -constrained \mathbb{Z}_N chiral clock model as depicted in Fig. 9, which is distinct from the pure 1+1D model. It is a sign that such a theory describing the boundary of 2+1D \mathbb{Z}_N topological order has a non-invertible anomaly[JW20].

These conclusions are general and also work for the critical points described by conformal field theory. However, the $H_{\text{bdy}}^{\mathbb{Z}_N}$ actually has many critical points for large N . They have many different additional symmetries which require us to further specify the system's VOA V i.e. **local quantum symmetry**. It is very difficult to find all of them. In this paper, we consider a special case, a \mathbb{Z}_N symmetric integrable parameter point: $a_s = b_s = \frac{1}{\sin s\pi/N}$, which is self-dual Fateev and Zamolodchikov spin chain described by \mathbb{Z}_N parafermion CFT[FZ82, ZF85, JMO86]. For any N , it always can be a critical point between \mathbb{Z}_N spontaneous symmetry breaking phase(**e-condensed**) and \mathbb{Z}_N symmetric phase(**m-condensed**) of the boundary. In order to handle this model analytically, we will only work with the Fateev and Zamolodchikov critical point in




Figure 9: The (α, β) -constrained edge lattice model

the following. Such a known result helps us to determine the local quantum symmetry of the boundary as $(V_{\text{PF}_N} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{V_{\text{PF}_N}})$. We expect that the observables of this critical point can be captured by the gapless boundary theory of TO.

4.3 Low Energy Effective Field Theory of self-dual \mathbb{Z}_N critical point and Partition Functions

In this section, we will try to obtain the low energy effective field theory of the self-dual \mathbb{Z}_N critical point. As mentioned before, the Fateev-Zamolodchikov spin chain is an integrable model which can be naturally extended to \mathbb{Z}_N parafermion CFT by taking the thermodynamic limit. It will be more convenient to calculate the partition function in the framework of CFT. And the parafermion CFT can be constructed through $\widehat{\text{SU}}(2)_N/\widehat{\text{U}}(1)_{2N}$ coset procedure[GKO86, FMS12], hence it is also known as $\widehat{\text{SU}}(2)_N/\widehat{\text{U}}(1)_{2N}$ coset CFT with central charge

$$c(\widehat{\text{SU}}(2)_N/\widehat{\text{U}}(1)_{2N}) = c(\widehat{\text{SU}}(2)_N) - c(\widehat{\text{U}}(1)) = \frac{3N}{N+2} - 1 \quad (4.10)$$

The coset formalism will be useful for us to construct partition functions of $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bdy}}^{\alpha, \beta}$. For the simple introduction to the coset construction, please see the Appendix. E. Here we directly list the results of the coset construction. The representation ℓ of $\widehat{\text{SU}}(2)_N$ can decompose into a direct sum of representations m of $\widehat{\text{U}}(1)_N$. In physical, the $\widehat{\text{SU}}(2)_N$ WZW model can be viewed as composed of two building blocks: $\widehat{\text{SU}}(2)_N/\widehat{\text{U}}(1)$ piece associated with parafermions, and a $\widehat{\text{U}}(1)$ factor associated with a free boson.

$$\begin{aligned}
(\ell) &\mapsto \bigoplus_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} (m)_{\ell} \quad (\text{branching condition: } \ell - m = 0 \pmod{2}) \\
\mathcal{H}_{\text{SU}(2)_N \text{WZW}}^{\ell} &= \mathcal{H}_{\text{para}}^{\ell, m} \times \mathcal{H}_{\text{boson}}^m \\
0 \leq \ell \leq N, \quad &-N + 1 \leq m \leq N
\end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{\text{SU}}(2)_N \text{wzw}}^\ell$ denotes the subspace of the Hilbert space of the $\widehat{\text{SU}}(2)_N$ current algebra which contains the states in the highest weight representation with isospin ℓ . Also, $\mathcal{H}_{\text{para}}^{\ell, m}$ denotes the parafermionic states obtained from the highest weight parafermionic state which have \mathbb{Z}_N charge $m \bmod N$. $\mathcal{H}_{\text{boson}}^m$ is a subspace of the bosonic theory containing the states of momenta m .

In the following, we use $\ell, m, \{\ell; m\}$ to label the $\widehat{\text{SU}}(2)_N$ representations, the $\widehat{\text{U}}(1)_{2N}$ representations and coset field (parafermion primary field), respectively. To avoid notational confusion with the $\widehat{\text{SU}}(2)_N$ characters $\chi_\ell^{(N)}(q)$, the $\widehat{\text{U}}(1)_{2N}$ characters will be indicated by $K_m^{(N)}(q)$, where $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$ and τ is modular parameter.

Following the procedure of coset construction $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_k / \hat{\mathfrak{p}}_{x_k}$ in the Appendix. E, the character decomposition is

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_\ell^{(N)}(q) &= \sum_{m=-N+1}^N \chi_{\{\ell; m\}}(q) K_m^{(N)}(q) \\ K_m^{(N)}(q) &= \frac{\Theta_m^{(N)}(q)}{\eta(q)} \\ \chi_{\{\ell; m\}}(q) &= \eta(q) c_m^\ell(q)\end{aligned}\tag{4.12}$$

with character identity,

$$\chi_{\{\ell; m\}}(q) = \chi_{\{N-\ell; m+N\}}(q) = \chi_{\{N-\ell; m-N\}}(q)\tag{4.13}$$

Thus, just half of all allowed coset pairs are distinct coset field.

The fractional part of conformal weight of $\{\ell; m\}$ is,

$$h_{\{\ell; m\}} \bmod 1 = h_\ell - h_m = \frac{\ell(\ell+2)}{4(N+2)} - \frac{m^2}{4N}.\tag{4.14}$$

Modular transformation matrices of coset characters $\chi_{\{\ell; m\}}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_{\{\ell; m\}, \{\ell'; m'\}}^{\widehat{\text{SU}}(2)_N / \widehat{\text{U}}(1)_{2N}} &= \mathcal{S}_{\ell, \ell'}^{\widehat{\text{SU}}(2)_N} \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{m, m'}^{\widehat{\text{U}}(1)_{2N}} \\ \mathcal{T}_{\{\ell; m\}, \{\ell'; m'\}}^{\widehat{\text{SU}}(2)_N / \widehat{\text{U}}(1)_{2N}} &= \mathcal{T}_{\ell, \ell'}^{\widehat{\text{SU}}(2)_N} \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{m, m'}^{\widehat{\text{U}}(1)_{2N}} \\ \mathcal{S}_{\ell, \ell'}^{\widehat{\text{SU}}(2)_N} &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{2+N}} \sin \frac{\pi(\ell+1)(\ell'+1)}{N+2} \\ \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{m, m'}^{\widehat{\text{U}}(1)_{2N}} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N}} e^{\frac{2\pi i m m'}{N}}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.15}$$

To obtain the partition functions in the subspace $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bdy}}^{\alpha, \beta}$, we need to consider the so-called twisted partition function $Z_{r,s}$ (r and t are defined modulo N), that describes the CFT on the torus with r -twisted spatial boundary condition and t -twisted temporal boundary condition [GQ87]. Let's begin with a modular invariant untwisted coset partition function. For simplicity, we take the fully diagonal modular invariant solution as an example,

$$Z_{0,0}^{\text{para}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{\ell; m\}} \chi_{\{\ell; m\}} \overline{\chi}_{\{\ell; m\}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{\ell; m\}} \chi_{\{\ell; m\}} \overline{\chi}_{\{\ell; m\}}.\tag{4.16}$$

The twisted partition functions $Z_{0,t}$ is easier to calculate than the general case. The t twist in temporal direction can be interpreted as a \mathbb{Z}_N symmetry line defect wrapped around the spatial circle of torus. Therefore, we just need to insert the \mathbb{Z}_N symmetry operator Q^t into the trace over

the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\text{para}}^{\ell,m}$ to implement this symmetry defect line,

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline Q^t \\ \hline \end{array} \mathbb{Z}_{0,t}^{\text{para}} = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\text{para}}^{\ell,m}} Q^t q^{L_0 - \frac{c}{24}} \bar{q}^{\bar{L}_0 - \frac{c}{24}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{\ell; m\}} e^{2\pi i t m / N} \chi_{\{\ell; m\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{\ell; m\}} \quad (4.17)$$

As the \mathbb{Z}_N symmetry operator, Q^t measures the \mathbb{Z}_N charge of the field $\{\ell, m\}$ with $e^{2\pi i t m / N}$ as the result. Since the S transformation can exchange the temporal and spatial directions of the torus, the twisted partition functions $\mathbb{Z}_{0,t}^{\text{para}}$ will transform to $\mathbb{Z}_{-t,0}^{\text{para}}$,

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \longrightarrow \\ \hline \end{array} \mathbb{Z}_{0,t}^{\text{para}} \xrightarrow{S} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \uparrow \\ \hline \end{array} \mathbb{Z}_{-t,0}^{\text{para}}.$$

By the modular transformation, we can get all the twisted partition functions $\mathbb{Z}_{r,t}^{\text{para}}$ [GQ87].

$$\mathbb{Z}_{r,t}^{\text{para}} = \frac{1}{2} e^{-2\pi i r t / N} \sum_{\{\ell; m\}} e^{2\pi i t m / N} \chi_{\{\ell; m\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{\ell; m-2r\}} \quad (4.18)$$

The gapless boundary of \mathbb{Z}_N topological order is described by a set of \mathbb{Z}_N parafermion partition functions in $H_{\text{bdy}}^{\alpha,\beta}$. In physical, it is from the fact that the boundary partition function will respond to the different bulk excitations. Such as pushing the \mathbf{e}^α particle of \mathbb{Z}_N topological order to the boundary can change the \mathbb{Z}_N symmetric restricted boundary Hilbert space from 0 symmetry sector to α sector (here, symmetry sector α corresponds to charge m of $\widehat{U}(1)_{2N}$ CFT in the $\{\ell; m\}$ notation), which is reflected in the change of the partition functions of the boundary theory. Therefore in contrast to the pure 1+1D parafermion CFT with trivial bulk that is described by only one modular invariant partition function, the boundary theory with a topological order as bulk requires a set of partition functions. Obviously, because $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bdy}} = \bigoplus_{\alpha,\beta} \mathcal{H}_{\text{bdy}}^{\alpha,\beta}$, these boundary partition functions can be labeled by topological excitations $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbf{e}^\alpha, \mathbf{m}^\beta}$, where \mathbf{e} and \mathbf{m} correspond to elementary \mathbb{Z}_N electric charge \mathbf{e} , and the elementary magnetic flux \mathbf{m} , respectively. Then we have N^2 types of anyons that can be labelled as $(\alpha, \beta) = \mathbf{e}^\alpha \times \mathbf{m}^\beta$, where α and β can be chosen from $0, \dots, (N-1)$.

We know that \mathbf{m}^β determines the spatial direction with β twisted condition and \mathbf{e}^α determines the symmetry sector (\mathbb{Z}_N charge) $\alpha \bmod N$. Thus, the partition function with topological defect \mathbf{e}^α simply needs to collect all characters $\chi_{\{\ell; m\}}$ with the charge α ,

$$\mathbb{Z}(\mathbf{e}^\alpha) = \sum_{\substack{\{\ell; m\} \\ \& m = \alpha \bmod N}} \chi_{\{\ell; m\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{\ell; m\}} \quad (4.19)$$

For the spatial β twisted case, the \mathbb{Z}_N symmetry acts on the coset field $\{\ell; m, \bar{\ell}; m-2\beta\}_{\delta_{\ell, \bar{\ell}}}$ will get the charge $(m-\beta)$. Thus,

$$\mathbb{Z}(\mathbf{e}^\alpha \mathbf{m}^\beta) = \sum_{\substack{\{\ell; m\} \\ \& m = (\alpha + \beta) \bmod N}} \chi_{\{\ell; m\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{\ell; m-2\beta\}} \quad (4.20)$$

Obviously, the partition functions in anyon basis can also be obtained through a basis transformation from the twisted partition functions $\mathbb{Z}_{r,t}^{\text{para}}$. To make this clearer, we reorganized the partition functions based on \mathbb{Z}_N charge basis α ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z}_{0,t}^{\text{para}} &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_N} e^{2\pi i t \alpha / N} \mathbb{Z}(\mathbf{e}^\alpha) \\ \mathbb{Z}_{r,t}^{\text{para}} &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_N} e^{2\pi i t \alpha / N} \mathbb{Z}(\mathbf{e}^\alpha \mathbf{m}^\beta) \delta_{\beta, r} \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

Since Eq.(4.21) above is the discrete Fourier series expansion, the r, t twisted basis and anyon α, β basis are related by a discrete Fourier transformation,

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\mathbf{e}^\alpha) &= 1/N \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}_N} e^{-2\pi i t \alpha / N} Z_{0,t}^{\text{para}} \\ Z(\mathbf{e}^\alpha \mathbf{m}^\beta) &= 1/N \sum_{r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_N} e^{-2\pi i t \alpha / N} Z_{r,t}^{\text{para}} \delta_{\beta,r}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

So far, we have recovered the partition functions of $Z(\mathbf{e}^\alpha, \mathbf{m}^\beta)$ directly from the lattice model of critical point. These partition functions all match with the result from the mathematical theory as we expected. Therefore, the boundary model Eq. (4.6) with Fateev and Zamolodchikov parameters indeed describes a gappable gapless boundary of \mathbb{Z}_N TO. We say it is gappable because it is a critical point between gapped m -condensed boundary and e -condensed boundary.

To confirm the validity of our result, here we give a few examples of specific N .

4.3.1 $N = 2$, Ising model

For $N = 2$ case, it is the well-known Ising model. Thus $0 \leq \ell \leq 2$, $-1 \leq m \leq 2$ and $0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 1$. There are six distinct parafermion coset fields,

$$\begin{aligned} \{0; 0\} &= \{2; 2\} & h &= 0 \pmod{1} \\ \{0; 2\} &= \{2; 0\} & h &= \frac{1}{2} \pmod{1} \\ \{1; -1\} &= \{1; -1\} & h &= \frac{1}{16} \pmod{1} \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

We identify the \mathbb{Z}_2 parafermion coset characters $\chi_{\{\ell; m\}}$ with Virasoro characters of Ising CFT: $\{\chi_{\mathbb{1}}^{\text{Is}}, \chi_{\psi}^{\text{Is}}, \chi_{\sigma}^{\text{Is}}\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\{0; 0\}} &= \chi_{\mathbb{1}}^{\text{Is}} \\ \chi_{\{0; 2\}} &= \chi_{\psi}^{\text{Is}} \\ \chi_{\{1; -1\}} &= \chi_{\sigma}^{\text{Is}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

And using Eq. (4.20), we can obtain the partition functions in anyon basis (α, β) .

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\alpha = 0, \beta = 0) &= \chi_{\{0; 0\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0; 0\}} + \chi_{\{0; 2\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0; 2\}} = |\chi_{\mathbb{1}}^{\text{Is}}|^2 + |\chi_{\psi}^{\text{Is}}|^2 \\ Z(\alpha = 1, \beta = 0) &= \chi_{\{1; -1\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{1; -1\}} = |\chi_{\sigma}^{\text{Is}}|^2 \\ Z(\alpha = 0, \beta = 1) &= \chi_{\{1; -1\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{1; -1\}} = |\chi_{\sigma}^{\text{Is}}|^2 \\ Z(\alpha = 1, \beta = 1) &= \chi_{\{0; 0\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{2; 0\}} + \chi_{\{0; 2\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0; 0\}} = \chi_{\mathbb{1}}^{\text{Is}} \bar{\chi}_{\psi}^{\text{Is}} + \chi_{\psi}^{\text{Is}} \bar{\chi}_{\mathbb{1}}^{\text{Is}} \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

This recovers the result in [CJK⁺20].

4.3.2 $N = 3$, Three potts model

For $N = 3$ case, \mathbb{Z}_3 parafermion CFT can be realized by the so-called three Potts model. Thus $0 \leq \ell \leq 3$, $-2 \leq m \leq 3$ and $0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 1$. There are six distinct parafermion coset fields,

$$\begin{aligned} \{0; 0\} &= \{3; 3\} & h &= 0 \pmod{1} \\ \{0; -2\} &= \{3; 1\} & h &= \frac{2}{3} \pmod{1} \\ \{0; 2\} &= \{3; -1\} & h &= \frac{2}{3} \pmod{1} \\ \{1; 3\} &= \{2; 0\} & h &= \frac{2}{3} \pmod{1} \\ \{1; 1\} &= \{2; -2\} & h &= \frac{1}{15} \pmod{1} \\ \{1; -1\} &= \{2; 2\} & h &= \frac{1}{15} \pmod{1} \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

We identify the \mathbb{Z}_3 parafermion coset characters $\chi_{\ell; m}$ with Virasoro characters of the minimal model: $M(6, 5) \{\chi_{\mathbb{1}}^{(6,5)}, \chi_{\psi}^{(6,5)}, \chi_{\varepsilon}^{(6,5)}, \chi_X^{(6,5)}, \chi_Y^{(6,5)}, \chi_Z^{(6,5)}\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{\{0; 0\}} &= \chi_{\mathbb{1}}^{(6,5)} + \chi_Y^{(6,5)} \\ \chi_{\{1; 3\}} &= \chi_{\varepsilon}^{(6,5)} + \chi_X^{(6,5)} \\ \chi_{\{0; -2\}} &= \chi_{\{0; 2\}} = \chi_Z^{(6,5)} \\ \chi_{\{1; -1\}} &= \chi_{\{1; 1\}} = \chi_{\sigma}^{(6,5)}\end{aligned}\tag{4.27}$$

And using Eq. (4.20), we can obtain the partition functions in anyon basis (α, β)

$$\begin{aligned}Z(\alpha = 0, \beta = 0) &= \chi_{\{0; 0\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0; 0\}} + \chi_{\{1; 3\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{1; 3\}} = |\chi_{\mathbb{1}}^{(6,5)} + \chi_Y^{(6,5)}|^2 + |\chi_{\varepsilon}^{(6,5)} + \chi_X^{(6,5)}|^2 \\ Z(\alpha = 1, \beta = 0) &= \chi_{\{0; -2\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0; -2\}} + \chi_{\{1; 1\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{1; 1\}} = |\chi_Z^{(6,5)}|^2 + |\chi_{\sigma}^{(6,5)}|^2 \\ Z(\alpha = 2, \beta = 0) &= \chi_{\{0; 2\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0; 2\}} + \chi_{\{1; -1\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{1; -1\}} = |\chi_Z^{(6,5)}|^2 + |\chi_{\sigma}^{(6,5)}|^2 \\ Z(\alpha = 0, \beta = 1) &= \chi_{\{0; -2\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0; 2\}} + \chi_{\{1; 1\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{1; -1\}} = |\chi_Z^{(6,5)}|^2 + |\chi_{\sigma}^{(6,5)}|^2 \\ Z(\alpha = 1, \beta = 1) &= \chi_{\{0; 2\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0; 0\}} + \chi_{\{1; -1\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{1; 3\}} = \chi_Z^{(6,5)} \bar{\chi}_{\mathbb{1}}^{(6,5)} + \chi_Z^{(6,5)} \bar{\chi}_Y^{(6,5)} + \chi_{\sigma}^{(6,5)} \bar{\chi}_{\varepsilon}^{(6,5)} + \chi_{\sigma}^{(6,5)} \bar{\chi}_X^{(6,5)} \\ Z(\alpha = 2, \beta = 1) &= \chi_{\{0; 0\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0; -2\}} + \chi_{\{1; 3\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{1; 1\}} = \chi_{\mathbb{1}}^{(6,5)} \bar{\chi}_Z^{(6,5)} + \chi_Y^{(6,5)} \bar{\chi}_Z^{(6,5)} + \chi_{\varepsilon}^{(6,5)} \bar{\chi}_{\sigma}^{(6,5)} + \chi_X^{(6,5)} \bar{\chi}_{\sigma}^{(6,5)} \\ Z(\alpha = 0, \beta = 2) &= \chi_{\{0; 2\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0; -2\}} + \chi_{\{1; -1\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{1; 1\}} = |\chi_Z^{(6,5)}|^2 + |\chi_{\sigma}^{(6,5)}|^2 \\ Z(\alpha = 1, \beta = 2) &= \chi_{\{0; 0\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0; 2\}} + \chi_{\{1; 3\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{1; -1\}} = \chi_{\mathbb{1}}^{(6,5)} \bar{\chi}_Z^{(6,5)} + \chi_Y^{(6,5)} \bar{\chi}_Z^{(6,5)} + \chi_{\varepsilon}^{(6,5)} \bar{\chi}_{\sigma}^{(6,5)} + \chi_X^{(6,5)} \bar{\chi}_{\sigma}^{(6,5)} \\ Z(\alpha = 2, \beta = 2) &= \chi_{\{0; -2\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0; 0\}} + \chi_{\{1; 1\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{1; 3\}} = \chi_Z^{(6,5)} \bar{\chi}_{\mathbb{1}}^{(6,5)} + \chi_Z^{(6,5)} \bar{\chi}_Y^{(6,5)} + \chi_{\sigma}^{(6,5)} \bar{\chi}_{\varepsilon}^{(6,5)} + \chi_{\sigma}^{(6,5)} \bar{\chi}_X^{(6,5)}\end{aligned}\tag{4.28}$$

4.3.3 $N = 4, c = 1$ \mathbb{Z}_2 orbifold CFT with radius $R_{\text{orbifold}} = \sqrt{3/2}$

Survey of \mathbb{Z}_2 orbifold CFT's correspond to a free boson field compactified on an orbifold with different radius R . \mathbb{Z}_4 parafermion CFT is the \mathbb{Z}_2 orbifold CFT with radius $R_{\text{orbifold}} = \sqrt{3/2}$.

For $N = 4$ case, $0 \leq \ell \leq 4$, $-3 \leq m \leq 4$ and $0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 3$. There are ten distinct parafermion coset fields,

$$\begin{aligned}\{0; 0\} &= \{4; 4\} & h &= 0 \pmod{1} \\ \{0; -2\} &= \{4; 2\} & h &= \frac{3}{4} \pmod{1} \\ \{0; 2\} &= \{4; -2\} & h &= \frac{3}{4} \pmod{1} \\ \{0; 4\} &= \{4; 0\} & h &= 1 \pmod{1} \\ \{1; -3\} &= \{3; 1\} & h &= \frac{9}{16} \pmod{1} \\ \{1; -1\} &= \{3; 3\} & h &= \frac{1}{16} \pmod{1} \\ \{1; 1\} &= \{3; -3\} & h &= \frac{1}{16} \pmod{1} \\ \{1; 3\} &= \{3; -1\} & h &= \frac{9}{16} \pmod{1} \\ \{2; -2\} &= \{2; 2\} & h &= \frac{1}{12} \pmod{1} \\ \{2; 0\} &= \{2; 4\} & h &= \frac{1}{3} \pmod{1}\end{aligned}\tag{4.29}$$

And using Eq. (4.20), we can obtain the partition functions in anyon basis (α, β)

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(\alpha = 0, \beta = 0) &= \chi_{\{0; 0\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0; 0\}} + \chi_{\{0; 4\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0; 4\}} + \chi_{\{2; 0\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{2; 0\}} \\
Z(\alpha = 1, \beta = 0) &= \chi_{\{1; -3\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{1; -3\}} + \chi_{\{1; 1\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{1; 1\}} \\
Z(\alpha = 2, \beta = 0) &= \chi_{\{0; -2\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0; -2\}} + \chi_{\{0; 2\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0; 2\}} + \chi_{\{2; -2\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{2; -2\}} \\
Z(\alpha = 3, \beta = 0) &= \chi_{\{1; -1\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{1; -1\}} + \chi_{\{1; 3\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{1; 3\}} \\
Z(\alpha = 0, \beta = 1) &= \chi_{\{1; -3\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{1; 3\}} + \chi_{\{1; 1\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{1; -1\}} \\
Z(\alpha = 1, \beta = 1) &= \chi_{\{0; -2\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0; 4\}} + \chi_{\{0; 2\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0; 0\}} + \chi_{\{2; -2\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{2; 0\}} \\
Z(\alpha = 2, \beta = 1) &= \chi_{\{1; -1\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{1; -3\}} + \chi_{\{1; 3\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{1; 1\}} \\
Z(\alpha = 3, \beta = 1) &= \chi_{\{0; 0\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0; -2\}} + \chi_{\{0; 4\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0; 2\}} + \chi_{\{2; 0\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{2; -2\}} \\
Z(\alpha = 0, \beta = 2) &= \chi_{\{0; -2\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0; 2\}} + \chi_{\{0; 2\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0; -2\}} + \chi_{\{2; -2\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{2; -2\}} \\
Z(\alpha = 1, \beta = 2) &= \chi_{\{1; -1\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{1; 3\}} + \chi_{\{1; 3\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{1; -1\}} \\
Z(\alpha = 2, \beta = 2) &= \chi_{\{0; 0\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0; 4\}} + \chi_{\{0; 4\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0; 0\}} + \chi_{\{2; 0\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{2; 0\}} \\
Z(\alpha = 3, \beta = 2) &= \chi_{\{1; -3\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{1; 1\}} + \chi_{\{1; 1\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{1; -3\}} \\
Z(\alpha = 0, \beta = 3) &= \chi_{\{1; -1\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{1; 1\}} + \chi_{\{1; 3\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{1; -3\}} \\
Z(\alpha = 1, \beta = 3) &= \chi_{\{0; 0\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0; 2\}} + \chi_{\{0; 4\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0; -2\}} + \chi_{\{2; 0\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{2; -2\}} \\
Z(\alpha = 2, \beta = 3) &= \chi_{\{1; -3\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{1; -1\}} + \chi_{\{1; 1\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{1; 3\}} \\
Z(\alpha = 3, \beta = 3) &= \chi_{\{0; -2\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0; 0\}} + \chi_{\{0; 2\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{0; 4\}} + \chi_{\{2; -2\}} \bar{\chi}_{\{2; 0\}}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

5 Conclusion and Discussions

In summary, we attempt to study the pure boundary phase transitions of \mathbb{Z}_N TO in this paper. Based on the previously developed mathematical theory [KZ18], the critical point of boundary phase transition should correspond to the gappable non-chiral gapless boundary $(V_{\text{PF}_N} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{V_{\text{PF}_N}}, {}_{3_1(\text{PF}_N)}\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B)$. It is constructed by the topological Wick rotation of the \mathbb{Z}_N double parafermion $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)$ along the gapped domain wall $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B$ between $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)$ and \mathbb{Z}_N TO $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N))$. Such a mathematical framework admits a number of different boundaries for a general N , unlike the \mathbb{Z}_2 case. Indeed, the concrete lattice model Eq. (4.6) can have a rich phase diagram and include many different critical points. The different critical points can have different local quantum symmetry V , which we need to determine further. It is a huge challenge for a general N to find all local quantum symmetries. It is practicable for us to instead specify a concrete V . Some well-known results give us hints. By anyon condensation theory, the \mathbb{Z}_N TO can be condensed from the \mathbb{Z}_N double parafermion TO, whose topological skeleton is the module category of the full field algebra $V_{\text{PF}_N} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{V_{\text{PF}_N}}$. This implies we can use $V_{\text{PF}_N} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{V_{\text{PF}_N}}$ as the local quantum symmetry of the critical point. In addition, the boundary lattice model can be mapped to the \mathbb{Z}_N chiral clock model. It is well known that it has a \mathbb{Z}_N parafermion critical point for any N [FZ82, ZF85, JMO86]. That further confirms our assumptions. Based on the above facts and assumptions, we consider the module category of the local quantum symmetry $V_{\text{PF}_N} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{V_{\text{PF}_N}}$ which also describe the \mathbb{Z}_N double parafermion topological order. This topological order has a gapped domain wall $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B$ with the \mathbb{Z}_N quantum double TO. Thus, by the classification theorem of non-chiral gapless edges in [KZ21], we can “fold” the double parafermion to temporal dimension to get an enriched fusion category ${}_{3_1(\text{PF}_N)_B}\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)$, such an operation (or correspondence) is called the topological Wick rotation. Then we compute some partition functions of the internal homs $M_{x,y}$ of this enriched fusion category. After that, we construct the concrete model to recover these mathematical data. The low energy effective theory of the self-dual critical point is indeed described by a set of modular covariant partition

functions which match the results of the mathematical theory. It is a direct proof that the pure boundary phase transition of 2d TO can be described by the gapless boundary theory. It is also worth mentioning that the construction of lattice models is quite interesting. That shows the symmetry of the boundary in fact comes from the topology of the bulk [JW20, KLV⁺20, CW22]. The symmetry sector of the boundary one-to-one corresponds to the topological sector of the bulk.

Our boundary lattice model can also describe the phase transitions between the other gapped boundaries labeled by the subgroup. The methods and results in this paper are not limited to the specific self dual boundary. They can be further generalized to the other boundary phase transitions of \mathbb{Z}_N TO. And it is also natural to generalize \mathbb{Z}_N to finite Abelian groups, because each finite Abelian group can be decomposed to a direct sum of cyclic groups.

Acknowledgement: We would like to thank Zhi-Hao Zhang, Liang Kong and Wei-Qiang Chen for helpful discussions. This work is supported by National Key Research and Development Program of China (No.12174164, No.91963201 and No. 2016YFA0300300), and NSFC (No. 11674151 and No. 11861161001).

A Pre-metric group and pointed braided fusion categories

We first recall the notion of pre-metric groups [DGNO10].

Definition A.1. A **pre-metric group** is a pair (G, q) where G is a finite abelian group and $q : G \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^\times$ is a quadratic form. A **metric group** is a pre-metric group such that q is non-degenerate.

Definition A.2. An **orthogonal homomorphism** between pre-metric groups (G, q) and (G', q') is a homomorphism $f : G \rightarrow G'$ such that $q' \circ f = q$.

Proposition A.3. *Pre-metric groups and orthogonal homomorphisms form a category.*

Pre-metric groups can be used to describe **pointed braided fusion categories**.

Definition A.4. A tensor category \mathcal{C} is **pointed** if every simple object of \mathcal{C} is invertible.

Let \mathcal{C} be a pointed braided fusion category. The isomorphism class of simple objects of \mathcal{C} forms a finite abelian group G . For $x \in G$, we define $q_{\mathcal{C}}(x) = c_{x,x}$ where $c_{x,x}$ is the braiding of x with itself.

Lemma A.5. *The function $q_{\mathcal{C}} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^\times$ is a quadratic form.*

Proof. See [EGNO16]. □

This lemma shows that each pointed braided fusion category gives a pre-metric group. Conversely, we have

Lemma A.6. *For any pre-metric group (G, q) there exists a unique up to a braided equivalence pointed braided fusion category $\mathcal{C}(G, q)$ such that the group of isomorphism classes of simple objects is G and the associated quadratic form is q .*

And we can eventually prove that

Theorem A.7 ([JS93]). *The category of pointed braided monoidal categories is equivalent to the category of pre-metric groups.*

Moreover if the pointed braided fusion category \mathcal{C} has a twist such that it becomes a pre-modular category, the corresponding pre-metric group (G, q) should also be equipped with a character $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^\times$ to capture the information of twist [DGNO10]. The twist θ and character is related by the following formula

$$\theta(x) = q(x)\chi(x) \quad (\text{A.1})$$

We will call the triple (G, q, χ) the **twisted pre-metric group**. There is also a bijection between isomorphism classed of twisted pre-metric group and pointed pre-modular categories up to pre-modular equivalence.

When the pointed pre-modular category is non-degenerate, that is, a pointed modular tensor category, the corresponding twisted pre-metric group is a twisted metric group. We can prove that if there is an isomorphism between two twisted metric groups, then the corresponding pointed modular tensor categories are equivalent.

B Derivation of category PF_N

We want to give a detailed description of the MTC PF_N . First we will introduce the parafermion VOA which will become the local quantum symmetry of the gapless boundary we want. Then we will consider the category of modules of the parafermion VOA

B.1 Parafermion vertex operator algebras

A rational CFT can be described by a rational vertex operator algebra (VOA) and its modules [MS89]. Let V be a rational VOA, the category of V -modules is a MTC [Hua08a]. The parafermion CFT [ZF85] is described by the so called parafermion VOA [DLY09, DL12, DW11].

It was proved that V_{PF_N} is a rational VOA [DR17], thus the category $\text{Mod}_{V_{\text{PF}_N}}$ is a MTC. The parafermion CFT can be given by the following coset construction [FFRS04]

$$\frac{\hat{\mathfrak{su}}(2)_N}{\hat{\mathfrak{u}}(1)}$$

where $\hat{\mathfrak{su}}(2)_N$ is the category of representations of the affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{su}}(2)$ at level N , we will use another notation $\text{Rep}_N(\hat{\mathfrak{su}}(2))$ to denote it. Let $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be an affine Lie algebra, then its Weyl module $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}$ has a VOA structure [LL04]. Then for the affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{su}}(2)$, we can consider the VOA $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{su}}(2)_N}$ with central charge $c_{\hat{\mathfrak{su}}(2)_N} = \frac{3N}{N+2}$. There is a sub-VOA V_H of $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{su}}(2)_N}$ with different central charge, which is associated to $\hat{\mathfrak{u}}(1)$, called the Heisenberg VOA. The central charge of V_H is 1. Next we can consider the commutant $C_{V_{\hat{\mathfrak{su}}(2)_N}}(V_H)$ of V_H in $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{su}}(2)_N}$. There is a theorem [FZ92] tells us that the commutant $C_{V_{\hat{\mathfrak{su}}(2)_N}}(V_H)$ also has a VOA structure with the central charge

$$c_{\text{PF}} = \frac{3N}{N+2} - 1 = \frac{2(N-1)}{N+2}$$

We are close enough to get the parafermion VOA. Technically, after we quotient the commutant $C_{V_{\hat{\mathfrak{su}}(2)_N}}(V_H)$ by the maximal ideal, we will obtain a new VOA, and call this VOA the **parafermion VOA** denoted by V_{PF_N} .

The Heisenberg VOA is not a good VOA because the category of modules of the Heisenberg VOA is not finite [LL04]. However, we can prove that there is a lattice VOA V_L whose category of modules is finite such that $C_{V_{\hat{\mathfrak{su}}(2)_N}}(V_L) = V_{\text{PF}_N}$ [ALY19]. Therefore, we would consider the coset construction of $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{su}}(2)}$ with V_L instead of V_H .

We know that the coset construction can be given by the category of local right A -modules $(\text{Rep}_N(\hat{\mathfrak{su}}(2)) \boxtimes \text{Mod}_{V_L})_A^{\text{loc}}$ of the category $\text{Rep}_k(\hat{\mathfrak{su}}(2)) \boxtimes \text{Mod}_{V_L}$ for some condensable algebra A , where Mod_{V_L} is the category of modules of a lattice VOA V_L [FFRS04], \boxtimes is the Deligne tensor product whose physical meaning is stacking two topological orders together.

B.2 UMTC PF_N

Now we want to write the category PF_N more explicitly. We begin with the category $\text{Rep}_N(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$.

From [DNO13], the category $\text{Rep}_N(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$ consists of

- simple objects \mathfrak{U}_ℓ , $0 \leq \ell \leq N$,
- the fusion rule:

$$\mathfrak{U}_\ell \otimes \mathfrak{U}_{\ell'} = \bigoplus_{r=\max(\ell+\ell'-N,0)}^{\min(\ell,\ell')} \mathfrak{U}_{\ell+\ell'-2r}$$

- the double braiding of \mathfrak{U}_ℓ and $\mathfrak{U}_{\ell'}$ is:

$$c_{\ell,\ell'} \circ c_{\ell',\ell} = \bigoplus_{s=\max(\ell'+\ell-N,0)}^{\min(\ell',\ell)} e^{2\pi i(h_{\ell'+\ell-2s}-h_\ell-h_{\ell'})}$$

where $h_t = \frac{t(t+2)}{4(N+2)}$.

- the twist:

$$\theta_\ell = e^{2\pi i \frac{\ell(\ell+2)}{4(N+2)}} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

The FP dimensions of simple objects are

$$\text{FPdim}(\mathfrak{U}_\ell) = \frac{q^{\ell+1} - q^{-\ell-1}}{q - q^{-1}}$$

where $q = e^{\frac{\pi i}{N+2}}$.

The category Mod_{V_L} consists of

- simple objects W_m , $0 \leq m < 2N$
- the fusion rule is given by:

$$W_m \otimes W_{m'} \simeq W_{m+m'}$$

- the double braiding of simple objects W_m and $W_{m'}$ is :

$$c_{m',m} \circ c_{m,m'} = e^{\frac{\pi i}{N} m m'} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

- the twist:

$$\theta_m = e^{2\pi i(-m^2/2N)}$$

The condensable algebra A in $\text{Rep}_N(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)) \boxtimes \text{Mod}_{V_L}$ is classified by the quintuple $(A_1, A_2, \mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{D}_1, \phi)$ by [DNO13], where A_1 is a condensable algebra in $\text{Rep}_N(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$, A_2 is a condensable algebra in Mod_{V_L} , \mathcal{C}_1 is the fusion subcategory of $(\text{Rep}_N(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)))_{A_1}^{\text{loc}}$, \mathcal{D}_1 is the fusion subcategory of $(\text{Mod}_{V_L})_{A_2}^{\text{loc}}$ and ϕ is a braided equivalence between \mathcal{D}_1 and $\overline{\mathcal{C}_1}$. If we find such a quintuple, we can write the condensable algebra explicitly:

$$A = \otimes^R(1) = \bigoplus_{x \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}_1)} x^* \boxtimes x \quad (\text{B.3})$$

where \otimes^R is the right adjoint of the tensor functor $\otimes : \mathcal{C}_1 \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1$.

Let \mathcal{C}_1 be the fusion subcategory generated by the simple objects \mathfrak{U}_0 and \mathfrak{U}_N and let \mathcal{D}_1 be the fusion subcategory generated by the simple objects W_0 and W_N . Notice that the braiding of W_N is $e^{\frac{N\pi i}{2}}$ and the braiding of \mathfrak{U}_N is $e^{-\frac{N\pi i}{2}}$, then there is a natural braided equivalence

$$\phi : \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{D}_1} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

This gives a condensable algebra

$$A = (\mathfrak{U}_0 \boxtimes W_0) \oplus (\mathfrak{U}_N \boxtimes W_N) \quad (\text{B.5})$$

Now we need to find all local right A -modules of $\text{Rep}_N(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)) \boxtimes \text{Mod}_{V_L}$. First we will examine all so called free A -modules.

Consider the adjunction

$$\text{hom}_{(\text{Rep}_N(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)) \boxtimes \text{Mod}_{V_L})_A}(F(x), y) \simeq \text{hom}_{\text{Rep}_N(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)) \boxtimes \text{Mod}_{V_L}}(x, G(y))$$

where $F(x) = x \otimes A$ and G is the forgetful functor.

We have

$$\text{hom}_{(\text{Rep}_N(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)) \boxtimes \text{Mod}_{V_L})_A}((\mathfrak{U}_\ell \boxtimes W_m) \otimes A, (\mathfrak{U}_{\ell'} \boxtimes W_{m'}) \otimes A) \simeq \text{hom}_{\text{Rep}_N(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)) \boxtimes \text{Mod}_{V_L}}(\mathfrak{U}_\ell \boxtimes W_m, (\mathfrak{U}_{\ell'} \boxtimes W_{m'}) \otimes A)$$

It is clear that all free modules like $x \otimes A$ are simple, and two free modules $(\mathfrak{U}_\ell \boxtimes W_m) \otimes A$ and $(\mathfrak{U}_{\ell'} \boxtimes W_{m'}) \otimes A$ are equivalent iff $\ell = \ell'$, $m = m'$ or $\ell = N - \ell'$, $m = N + m'$.

So there are $N(N+1)$ inequivalent simple objects in $(\text{Rep}_N(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)) \boxtimes \text{Mod}_{V_L})_A$.

Let $\mathfrak{M}_{\ell,i} = (\mathfrak{U}_\ell \boxtimes W_i) \otimes A$ denote the simple objects in $(\text{Rep}_N(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)) \boxtimes \text{Mod}_{V_L})_A$. We know that for two free modules $x \otimes A$ and $y \otimes A$, their relative tensor product $(x \otimes A) \otimes_A (y \otimes A)$ is isomorphic to $(x \otimes y) \otimes A$. Then the fusion rule of $(\text{Rep}_N(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)) \boxtimes \text{Mod}_{V_L})_A$ is actually the fusion rule of $\text{Rep}_N(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)) \boxtimes \text{Mod}_{V_L}$.

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\ell,m} \otimes \mathfrak{M}_{\ell',m'} = \bigoplus_{r=\max(\ell+\ell'-N,0)}^{\min(\ell,\ell')} \mathfrak{M}_{\ell+\ell'-2r,m+m'} \quad (\text{B.6})$$

We can say we have known the fusion rule of PF_N , we just need to figure out all simple objects of PF_N .

The double braiding of $\text{Rep}_N(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)) \boxtimes \text{Mod}_{V_L}$ is given by [DNO13].

$$c_{\ell'm',\ell m} \circ c_{\ell m;\ell'm'} = \bigoplus_{s=\max(\ell+\ell'-N,0)}^{\min(\ell,\ell')} e^{2\pi i(h_{\ell+\ell'-2s}-h_\ell-h_{\ell'})} e^{\frac{\pi i}{N}mm'} \text{id}_{\ell+\ell'-2s,m+m'} \quad (\text{B.7})$$

where $h_t := \frac{t(t+2)}{4(N+2)}$.

We know that for free module $x \otimes A$, it is local iff the double braiding $c_{A,x} \circ c_{x,A}$ is trivial. Notice that the double braiding of $\mathfrak{U}_\ell \boxtimes W_m$ with A is trivial iff $\ell + m$ is even. Then we find all simple objects $\mathfrak{M}_{\ell,m}$ of PF_N labeled by $0 \leq \ell \leq N$, $0 \leq m \leq 2N - 1$ and $\ell + m \pmod{2} = 0$. There are $N(N+1)/2$ inequivalent simple objects in PF_N .

C Anyon Condensation

We will give mathematical description of anyon condensation theory[Kon14, KO02].

Definition C.1. Let \mathcal{C} be a UMTC, an **algebra** A in \mathcal{C} is an object equipped with two morphisms $m : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ and $\iota : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow A$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} m \circ (m \otimes \text{id}_A) &= m \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes m) \\ m \circ (\iota \otimes \text{id}_A) &= \text{id}_A = m \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes \iota) \end{aligned}$$

The algebra is called **commutative** if $m = m \circ c_{A,A}$.

Definition C.2. An algebra A is called

- **separable** if $m : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ splits as a A - A -bimodule homomorphism.
- **connected** if $\dim \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(1_{\mathcal{C}}, A) = 1$;
- **condensable** if A is commutative connected separable;
- **lagrangian** if A is condensable and $\dim(A)^2 = \dim(\mathcal{C})$.

Remark C.3. The connectedness means the vacuum is unique.

Definition C.4. Let A be an algebra in \mathcal{C} . A right A -**module** in \mathcal{C} is a pair (M, μ_M) , where M is a object in \mathcal{C} and $\mu_M : M \otimes A \rightarrow M$ is a morphism in \mathcal{C} such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_M \circ (\mu_M \otimes \text{id}_A) &= \mu_M \circ (\text{id}_M \otimes m) \\ \text{id}_A &= \mu_M \circ (\text{id}_M \otimes \iota) \end{aligned}$$

Definition C.5. A right A -module M in \mathcal{C} is called a **local** A -module if $\mu_M \circ c_{A,M} \circ c_{M,A} = \mu_M$.

Let A be a condensable algebra in a UMTC \mathcal{C} , we denote the category of right A -modules in \mathcal{C} by \mathcal{C}_A and denote the category of local right A -modules in \mathcal{C} by $\mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}}$. Then we have the following theorem [KO02][BEK00]:

Theorem C.6. Let \mathcal{C} be a UMTC, A be a condensable algebra in \mathcal{C} . Then \mathcal{C}_A is a UFC and $\mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}}$ is a UMTC.

Theorem C.7. Let \mathcal{C} be a UMTC, A be a condensable algebra in \mathcal{C} .

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\mathcal{C}_A) &= \frac{\dim(\mathcal{C})}{\dim(A)} \\ \dim(\mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}}) &= \frac{\dim(\mathcal{C})}{\dim(A)^2} \end{aligned}$$

Corollary C.8. Let \mathcal{C} be a UMTC, A be a lagrangian algebra in \mathcal{C} . Then $\mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}} \simeq \text{Hilb}$ where $\dim(\text{Hilb}) = 1$.

D Proof of equivalence for odd case

In this section, we will prove that there is an equivalence of UMTCs

$$\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B^{\text{loc}} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N)) \tag{D.1}$$

for $N = 2k + 1$.

Let \mathcal{X} denote the braided fusion category generated by $\mathfrak{U}_0, \mathfrak{U}_N \in \text{Rep}_N(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$.

Definition D.1. A braided fusion category \mathcal{C} is called **non-degenerate** if the Müger center is trivial, i.e. $\mathfrak{Z}_2(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Vec}$.

Proposition D.2. For $N = 2k + 1$, \mathcal{X} is non-degenerate.

Proof. The only possibly non-trivial double braiding in \mathcal{X} is

$$c_{N,N} \circ c_{N,N} = e^{2\pi i \frac{N}{2}} \text{id}_0$$

For $N = 2k + 1$, this double braiding equals to -1 . Then the Müger center of \mathcal{X} is isomorphic to Vec . \square

Proposition D.3. The centralizer of \mathcal{X} in $\text{Rep}_N(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$ is the full subcategory denoted by $\mathcal{R}^{\text{even}}$ with the simple objects \mathfrak{U}_{2s} , $s = 0, \dots, k$.

Proof. The double braiding of \mathfrak{U}_ℓ and \mathfrak{U}_N in $\text{Rep}_N(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$ is

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\ell,N} \circ c_{N,\ell} &= e^{2\pi i(h_{N-\ell} - h_N - h_\ell)} \text{id}_{N-\ell} \\ &= (-1)^\ell \text{id}_{N-\ell} \end{aligned}$$

When $\ell = 2s$, the double braiding is trivial. \square

Definition D.4. Let \mathcal{C} be a braided monoidal category, \mathcal{D} be its subcategory, the **centralizer** of \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{C} consists of all objects of \mathcal{C} that commutes with each object in \mathcal{D} .

Theorem D.5 ([Müg03]). Let \mathcal{C} be a modular category and \mathcal{D} be a modular subcategory of \mathcal{C} . Then there is an equivalence of ribbon categories:

$$\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{D} \boxtimes \mathfrak{Z}_2(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C})$$

Corollary D.6. There is an equivalence of ribbon categories:

$$\text{Rep}_{2k+1}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)) \simeq \mathcal{X} \boxtimes \mathcal{R}^{\text{even}}$$

Now we have $\text{PF}_{2k+1} \simeq (\mathcal{R}^{\text{even}} \boxtimes \mathcal{X} \boxtimes \text{Mod}_{V_L})_{A_0}^{\text{loc}}$. Under the equivalence $\text{Rep}_{2k+1}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)) \simeq \mathcal{R}^{\text{even}} \boxtimes \mathcal{X}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{U}_0 &\mapsto \mathfrak{U}_0 \boxtimes \mathfrak{U}_0 \\ \mathfrak{U}_{2k+1} &\mapsto \mathfrak{U}_0 \boxtimes \mathfrak{U}_{2k+1} \end{aligned}$$

Respectively, the condensable algebra $A = \mathfrak{U}_0 \boxtimes \mathfrak{U}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{U}_{2k+1} \boxtimes \mathfrak{U}_{2k+1}$ in PF_{2k+1} becomes

$$\begin{aligned} A' &= (\mathfrak{U}_0 \boxtimes \mathfrak{U}_0 \boxtimes W_0) \oplus (\mathfrak{U}_0 \boxtimes \mathfrak{U}_{2k+1} \boxtimes W_{2k+1}) \\ &= \mathfrak{U}_0 \boxtimes ((\mathfrak{U}_0 \boxtimes W_0) \oplus (\mathfrak{U}_{2k+1} \boxtimes W_{2k+1})) \\ &= \mathfrak{U}_0 \boxtimes A_0 \end{aligned}$$

where $A_0 := (\mathfrak{U}_0 \boxtimes \mathfrak{U}_0) \oplus (\mathfrak{U}_{2k+1} \boxtimes \mathfrak{U}_{2k+1})$ is a condensable algebra in $\mathcal{X} \boxtimes \text{Mod}_{V_L}$. So we can rewrite PF_{2k+1} as

$$\text{PF}_{2k+1} \simeq (\mathcal{R}^{\text{even}} \boxtimes (\mathcal{X} \boxtimes \text{Mod}_{V_L}))_{\mathfrak{U}_0 \boxtimes A_0}^{\text{loc}} \simeq (\mathcal{R}^{\text{even}})_{\mathfrak{U}_0}^{\text{loc}} \boxtimes (\mathcal{X} \boxtimes \text{Mod}_{V_L})_{A_0}^{\text{loc}}$$

Thus if there exists an isomorphism between two pre-metric groups, the corresponding pointed braided fusion categories would be equivalent to each other.

Proposition D.7. There is an equivalence of modular tensor categories

$$(\mathcal{X} \boxtimes \text{Mod}_{V_L})_{A_0}^{\text{loc}} \simeq \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}, p, \xi)$$

Proof. The simple objects in $\mathcal{X} \boxtimes \text{Mod}_{V_L}$ are $\mathfrak{U}_0 \boxtimes W_m$ or $\mathfrak{U}_{2k+1} \boxtimes W_m$. After a short calculation we can check $\{(\mathfrak{U}_0 \boxtimes W_{2a}) \otimes A \mid a = 0, \dots, 2k+1\}$ exhausts all simple objects of $(\mathcal{X} \boxtimes \text{Mod}_{V_L})_A^{\text{loc}}$. Then the simple objects in PF_{2k+1} can also be labeled by $\mathfrak{M}_{\ell,m}$ where $m = 0, \dots, 2k+1$ and $\ell = 0, \dots, k$.

Also notice that the fusion rule of $(\mathcal{X} \boxtimes \text{Mod}_{V_L})_A^{\text{loc}}$ is actually the fusion rule of $W_{2a} \in \text{Mod}_{V_L}$. Then there is an equivalence

$$(\mathcal{X} \boxtimes \text{Mod}_{V_L})_A^{\text{loc}} \simeq \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}, p, \xi)$$

where $p(a) = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{2k+1}a^2)$ and $\xi(a) = \exp(-\frac{4\pi i}{2k+1}a^2)$ \square

It is clear that $(\mathcal{R}^{\text{even}})_{\mathfrak{U}_0}^{\text{loc}} \simeq \mathcal{R}^{\text{even}}$ because \mathfrak{U}_0 is the trivial condensable algebra (tensor unit) in $\mathcal{R}^{\text{even}}$.

Corollary D.8. *There is an equivalence of modular tensor categories*

$$\text{PF}_{2k+1} \simeq \mathcal{R}^{\text{even}} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}, p, \xi)$$

Proposition D.9. *Denote $\mathcal{X}_{a,b} := (\mathfrak{M}_{0,2a} \boxtimes \mathfrak{M}_{0,2b}) \otimes B$, $a, b = 0, \dots, N-1$. We can check that each $\mathcal{X}_{a,b}$ is a simple object with quantum dimension 1 in $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_N)_B^{\text{loc}}$.*

For $N = 2k+1$, it is clear that $\mathcal{X}_{a,b}$ is inequivalent to each other. The quantum dimension of $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_{2k+1})_B^{\text{loc}}$ is $(2k+1)^2$, then we have found all simple objects of $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_{2k+1})_B^{\text{loc}}$.

Let \mathcal{P} denote the subcategory of PF_{2k+1} generated by $\mathfrak{M}_{2s,0}$. It is clear that \mathcal{P} is the image of the following embedding

$$\mathcal{R}^{\text{even}} \boxtimes \text{Vec} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^{\text{even}} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}, p, \xi)$$

Proposition D.10. *Then we have*

$$(\text{PF}_{2k+1} \boxtimes \overline{\text{PF}_{2k+1}})_B^{\text{loc}} \simeq \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}, p, \xi) \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}, p, \xi)} \simeq \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}, p \times \bar{p}, \xi \times \bar{\xi})$$

Theorem D.11. *There is an equivalence of modular tensor categories*

$$\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_{2k+1})_B^{\text{loc}} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1})) \quad (\text{D.2})$$

Proof. For $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}$, we have $(p \times \bar{p})(a, b) = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{2k+1}(a^2 - b^2))$. We know that $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1})) \simeq \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}, q)$, where $q(a, b) = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{2k+1}ab)$.

It is not hard to find there is a group automorphism $f : (a, b) \mapsto (a+b, a-b)$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}$ such that f is an isomorphism of pre-metric groups $(\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}, p \times \bar{p})$ and $(\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}, q)$. It is also clear that $\xi \times \bar{\xi}(a, b) = \tau(a+b, a-b) = \tau \circ f(a, b)$.

Then by the equivalence theorem of category of pointed modular tensor categories and category of twisted metric groups, this isomorphism induce an equivalence between $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{PF}_{2k+1})_B^{\text{loc}}$ with $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}))$. \square

E Preliminaries of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_k / \hat{\mathfrak{p}}_{x_e k}$ coset construction

Coset construction of Goddard–Kent–Olive[GKO86] is a well-known method to construct rational conformal field theories with known chiral data, such as conformal weights, fusion rules, braiding and fusing matrices. Given an affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ together with a choice k of levels, i.e. a positive integer for each simple ideal of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, and subalgebra $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ with level $x_e k$, that determined by the embedding index x_e , we can show that $L_m^{(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p})} = L_m^{\mathfrak{g}} - L_m^{\mathfrak{p}}$ also satisfies the Virasoro algebra and further construct the other chiral data, where $L_m^{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $L_m^{\mathfrak{p}}$ are the Virasoro modes of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$, respectively. In the following, we directly list the basic ingredients of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_k / \hat{\mathfrak{p}}_{x_e k}$ coset construction. For more details, please refer to. Coset Virasoro algebra:

$$\begin{aligned}
L_m^{(g/p)} &= L_m^g - L_m^p \\
[L_m^{(g/p)}, L_n^{(g/p)}] &= [L_m^g, L_n^g] - [L_m^p, L_n^p] \\
&= (m-n)L_{m+n}^{g/p} + (c(\hat{g}_k) - c(\hat{p}_{x_e k})) \frac{(m^3 - m)}{12} \delta_{m+n,0}
\end{aligned} \tag{E.1}$$

coset central charge:

$$\begin{aligned}
c(\hat{g}_k/\hat{p}_{x_e k}) &= c(\hat{g}_k) - c(\hat{p}_{x_e k}) \\
&= \frac{k \dim g}{k+g} - \frac{x_e k \dim p}{x_e k + p}
\end{aligned} \tag{E.2}$$

where g/p is the dual Coxeter number of group g/p .

Because the various representation $\hat{\lambda}$ of \hat{g} can decompose into a direct sum of representations $\hat{\mu}$ of \hat{p}

$$\hat{\lambda} \mapsto \bigoplus_{\hat{\mu}} b_{\hat{\lambda}\hat{\mu}} \hat{\mu}, \tag{E.3}$$

the corresponding coset characters can be obtained from this decomposition,

$$\chi_{\mathcal{P}\lambda} = \sum_{\hat{\mu}} \chi_{\{\hat{\lambda}; \hat{\mu}\}} \chi_{\hat{\mu}}. \tag{E.4}$$

Conformal weight of coset primary field,

$$h_{\{\hat{\lambda}; \hat{\mu}\}} = h_{\hat{\lambda}} - h_{\hat{\mu}} + n, \tag{E.5}$$

where n is the grade in the representation of g which the tip of $\hat{\mu}$ representation lies at.

Modular transformation matrices of coset characters $\chi_{\{\hat{\lambda}; \hat{\mu}\}}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{\{\hat{\lambda}; \hat{\mu}\}, \{\hat{\lambda}'; \hat{\mu}'\}} &= \mathcal{S}_{\hat{\lambda}\hat{\lambda}'}^{(k)} \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\mu}'}^{(kx_e)} \\
\mathcal{T}_{\{\hat{\lambda}; \hat{\mu}\}, \{\hat{\lambda}'; \hat{\mu}'\}} &= \mathcal{T}_{\hat{\lambda}\hat{\lambda}'}^{(k)} \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\mu}'}^{(kx_e)}
\end{aligned} \tag{E.6}$$

Modular invariant partition function in the coset theory is simply to take, for the coset mass matrix \mathcal{M} , the product

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}^{(k)} \mathcal{M}^{(x_e k)} \tag{E.7}$$

However, the branching conditions will impose constraints on the summations of partition function. Furthermore, field identifications must be considered to divide the partition function by N (If all orbits of field identifications have length N). The partition function is then

$$Z = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\lambda}' \in P_+^{(k)} \\ \hat{\mu}, \hat{\mu}' \in P_+^{(kx_e)} \\ \mathcal{P}\lambda - \mu = \mathcal{P}\lambda' - \mu' = 0 \pmod{Q}}} \chi_{\{\hat{\lambda}; \hat{\mu}\}}(\tau) \mathcal{M}_{\hat{\lambda}\hat{\lambda}'}^{(k)} \mathcal{M}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\mu}'}^{(kx_e)} \overline{\chi}_{\{\hat{\lambda}'; \hat{\mu}'\}}(\bar{\tau}) \tag{E.8}$$

where $\mathcal{P}\lambda - \mu = \mathcal{P}\lambda' - \mu' = 0 \pmod{Q}$ is the branching condition, Q is the root lattice of g .

References

[ADJR18] Chunrui Ai, Chongying Dong, Xiangyu Jiao, and Li Ren. The irreducible modules and fusion rules for the parafermion vertex operator algebras. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 370(8):5963–5981, 2018.

- [ALY19] Tomoyuki Arakawa, Ching Hung Lam, and Hiromichi Yamada. Parafermion vertex operator algebras and w -algebras. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 371(6):4277–4301, 2019.
- [BEK00] Jens Böckenhauer, David E Evans, and Yasuyuki Kawahigashi. Chiral structure of modular invariants for subfactors. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 210(3):733–784, 2000.
- [BK01] Bojko Bakalov and Alexander A Kirillov. *Lectures on tensor categories and modular functors*, volume 21. American Mathematical Soc., 2001.
- [BPZ84] Alexander A Belavin, Alexander M Polyakov, and Alexander B Zamolodchikov. Infinite conformal symmetry in two-dimensional quantum field theory. *Nuclear Physics B*, 241(2):333–380, 1984.
- [BS09] FA Bais and JK Slingerland. Condensate-induced transitions between topologically ordered phases. *Physical Review B*, 79(4):045316, 2009.
- [BSW11] Salman Beigi, Peter W Shor, and Daniel Whalen. The quantum double model with boundary: condensations and symmetries. *Communications in mathematical physics*, 306(3):663–694, 2011.
- [CCW17] Iris Cong, Meng Cheng, and Zhenghan Wang. Hamiltonian and algebraic theories of gapped boundaries in topological phases of matter. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 355(2):645–689, 2017.
- [CJK⁺20] Wei-Qiang Chen, Chao-Ming Jian, Liang Kong, Yi-Zhuang You, and Hao Zheng. Topological phase transition on the edge of two-dimensional $z=2$ topological order. *Physical Review B*, 102(4):045139, 2020.
- [CW22] Arkya Chatterjee and Xiao-Gang Wen. Holographic theory for the emergence and the symmetry protection of gaplessness and for continuous phase transitions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.06244*, 2022.
- [DGNO10] Vladimir Drinfeld, Shlomo Gelaki, Dmitri Nikshych, and Victor Ostrik. On braided fusion categories i. *Selecta Mathematica*, 16(1):1–119, 2010.
- [DL12] Chongying Dong and James Lepowsky. *Generalized vertex algebras and relative vertex operators*, volume 112. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [DL14] Chongying Dong and Xingjun Lin. Unitary vertex operator algebras. *Journal of algebra*, 397:252–277, 2014.
- [DLWY10] Chongying Dong, Ching Hung Lam, Qing Wang, and Hiromichi Yamada. The structure of parafermion vertex operator algebras. *Journal of Algebra*, 323(2):371–381, 2010.
- [DLY09] Chongying Dong, Ching Hung Lam, and Hiromichi Yamada. W -algebras related to parafermion algebras. *Journal of Algebra*, 322(7):2366–2403, 2009.
- [DMNO13] Alexei Davydov, Michael Müger, Dmitri Nikshych, and Victor Ostrik. The witt group of non-degenerate braided fusion categories. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 2013(677):135–177, 2013.
- [DNO13] Alexei Davydov, Dmitri Nikshych, and Victor Ostrik. On the structure of the witt group of braided fusion categories. *Selecta Mathematica*, 19(1):237–269, 2013.

- [DR17] Chongying Dong and Li Ren. Representations of the parafermion vertex operator algebras. *Advances in Mathematics*, 315:88–101, 2017.
- [DW11] Chongying Dong and Qing Wang. Parafermion vertex operator algebras. *Frontiers of Mathematics in China*, 6(4):567–579, 2011.
- [EGNO16] Pavel Etingof, Shlomo Gelaki, Dmitri Nikshych, and Victor Ostrik. *Tensor categories*, volume 205. American Mathematical Soc., 2016.
- [FFRS04] Jürg Fröhlich, Jürgen Fuchs, Ingo Runkel, and Christoph Schweigert. Algebras in tensor categories and coset conformal field theories. *Fortschritte der Physik: Progress of Physics*, 52(6-7):672–677, 2004.
- [FFRS07] Jürg Fröhlich, Jürgen Fuchs, Ingo Runkel, and Christoph Schweigert. Duality and defects in rational conformal field theory. *Nuclear Physics B*, 763(3):354–430, 2007.
- [FMS12] Philippe Francesco, Pierre Mathieu, and David Sénéchal. *Conformal field theory*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [FRS02] Jürgen Fuchs, Ingo Runkel, and Christoph Schweigert. Tft construction of rcft correlators i: Partition functions. *Nuclear Physics B*, 646(3):353–497, 2002.
- [FZ82] VA Fateev and AB Zamolodchikov. Self-dual solutions of the star-triangle relations in zn-models. *Physics Letters A*, 92(1):37–39, 1982.
- [FZ92] Igor B Frenkel and Yongchang Zhu. Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and virasoro algebras. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 66(1):123–168, 1992.
- [GKO86] Peter Goddard, Adrian Kent, and David Olive. Unitary representations of the virasoro and super-virasoro algebras. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 103(1):105–119, 1986.
- [GQ87] Doron Gepner and Zongan Qiu. Modular invariant partition functions for parafermionic field theories. *Nuclear Physics B*, 285:423–453, 1987.
- [Gui19a] Bin Gui. Energy bounds condition for intertwining operators of types b, c, and g2 unitary affine vertex operator algebras. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 372(10):7371–7424, 2019.
- [Gui19b] Bin Gui. Unitarity of the modular tensor categories associated to unitary vertex operator algebras, i. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 366(1):333–396, 2019.
- [Gui19c] Bin Gui. Unitarity of the modular tensor categories associated to unitary vertex operator algebras, ii. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 372(3):893–950, 2019.
- [HK04] Yi-Zhi Huang and Liang Kong. Open-string vertex algebras, tensor categories and operads. *Communications in mathematical physics*, 250(3):433–471, 2004.
- [HK07] Yi-Zhi Huang and Liang Kong. Full field algebras. *Communications in mathematical physics*, 272(2):345–396, 2007.
- [Hua05] Yi-Zhi Huang. Differential equations and intertwining operators. *Communications in Contemporary Mathematics*, 7(03):375–400, 2005.
- [Hua08a] Yi-Zhi Huang. Rigidity and modularity of vertex tensor categories. *Communications in contemporary mathematics*, 10(supp01):871–911, 2008.

- [Hua08b] Yi-Zhi Huang. Vertex operator algebras and the verlinde conjecture. *Communications in Contemporary Mathematics*, 10(01):103–154, 2008.
- [HW12] Ling-Yan Hung and Yidun Wan. String-net models with z n fusion algebra. *Physical Review B*, 86(23):235132, 2012.
- [JMO86] Michio Jimbo, Tetsuji Miwa, and Masato Okado. Solvable lattice models with broken zn symmetry and hecke’s indefinite modular forms. *Nuclear Physics B*, 275(3):517–545, 1986.
- [JS93] André Joyal and Ross Street. Braided tensor categories. *Advances in Mathematics*, 102(1):20–78, 1993.
- [JW20] Wenjie Ji and Xiao-Gang Wen. Categorical symmetry and noninvertible anomaly in symmetry-breaking and topological phase transitions. *Physical Review Research*, 2(3):033417, 2020.
- [Kit03] A Yu Kitaev. Fault-tolerant quantum computation by anyons. *Annals of Physics*, 303(1):2–30, 2003.
- [Kit06] Alexei Kitaev. Anyons in an exactly solved model and beyond. *Annals of Physics*, 321(1):2–111, 2006.
- [KK12] Alexei Kitaev and Liang Kong. Models for gapped boundaries and domain walls. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 313(2):351–373, 2012.
- [KLW⁺20] Liang Kong, Tian Lan, Xiao-Gang Wen, Zhi-Hao Zhang, and Hao Zheng. Algebraic higher symmetry and categorical symmetry: A holographic and entanglement view of symmetry. *Physical Review Research*, 2(4):043086, 2020.
- [KO02] Alexander Kirillov and Viktor Ostrik. On a q -analogue of the mckay correspondence and the ade classification of sl_2 conformal field theories. *Advances in Mathematics*, 171(2):183–227, 2002.
- [Kon07] Liang Kong. Full field algebras, operads and tensor categories. *Advances in Mathematics*, 213(1):271–340, 2007.
- [Kon14] Liang Kong. Anyon condensation and tensor categories. *Nuclear Physics B*, 886:436–482, 2014.
- [KR09] Liang Kong and Ingo Runkel. Cardy algebras and sewing constraints, i. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 292(3):871–912, 2009.
- [KWZ17] Liang Kong, Xiao-Gang Wen, and Hao Zheng. Boundary-bulk relation in topological orders. *Nuclear Physics B*, 922:62–76, 2017.
- [KYZZ21] Liang Kong, Wei Yuan, Zhi-Hao Zhang, and Hao Zheng. Enriched monoidal categories i: centers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.03121*, 2021.
- [KZ18] Liang Kong and Hao Zheng. Gapless edges of 2d topological orders and enriched monoidal categories. *Nuclear Physics B*, 927:140–165, 2018.
- [KZ20] Liang Kong and Hao Zheng. A mathematical theory of gapless edges of 2d topological orders. part i. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2020(2):1–62, 2020.
- [KZ21] Liang Kong and Hao Zheng. A mathematical theory of gapless edges of 2d topological orders. part ii. *Nuclear Physics B*, 966:115384, 2021.

- [KZ22] Liang Kong and Zhi-hao Zhang. An invitation to topological orders and category theory. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.05565*, 2022.
- [LL04] James Lepowsky and Haisheng Li. *Introduction to vertex operator algebras and their representations*, volume 227. Springer Science & Business Media, 2004.
- [LW05] Michael A Levin and Xiao-Gang Wen. String-net condensation: A physical mechanism for topological phases. *Physical Review B*, 71(4):045110, 2005.
- [MP19] Scott Morrison and David Penneys. Monoidal categories enriched in braided monoidal categories. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2019(11):3527–3579, 2019.
- [MS89] Gregory Moore and Nathan Seiberg. Classical and quantum conformal field theory. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 123(2):177–254, 1989.
- [Müg00] Michael Müger. Galois theory for braided tensor categories and the modular closure. *Advances in Mathematics*, 150(2):151–201, 2000.
- [Müg03] Michael Müger. On the structure of modular categories. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 87(2):291–308, 2003.
- [Nak15] Yu Nakayama. Scale invariance vs conformal invariance. *Physics Reports*, 569:1–93, 2015.
- [Ost03] Victor Ostrik. Module categories, weak hopf algebras and modular invariants. *Transformation groups*, 8(2):177–206, 2003.
- [Pol88] Joseph Polchinski. Scale and conformal invariance in quantum field theory. *Nuclear Physics B*, 303(2):226–236, 1988.
- [Sch03] Christoph Schweigert. Category theory for conformal boundary conditions. *Vertex operator algebras in mathematics and physics*, 39:25, 2003.
- [SDO⁺12] Marc Daniel Schulz, Sébastien Dusuel, Roman Orus, Julien Vidal, and Kai Phillip Schmidt. Breakdown of a perturbed topological phase. *New Journal of Physics*, 14(2):025005, 2012.
- [SM16] Brian Swingle and John McGreevy. Renormalization group constructions of topological quantum liquids and beyond. *Physical Review B*, 93(4):045127, 2016.
- [Wen90] Xiao-Gang Wen. Topological orders in rigid states. *International Journal of Modern Physics B*, 4(02):239–271, 1990.
- [ZF85] AB Zamolodchikov and VA Fateev. Nonlocal (parafermion) currents in two-dimensional conformal quantum field theory and self-dual critical points in zn-symmetric statistical systems. *Sov. Phys. JETP*, 62(2):215–225, 1985.
- [ZW15] Bei Zeng and Xiao-Gang Wen. Gapped quantum liquids and topological order, stochastic local transformations and emergence of unitarity. *Physical Review B*, 91(12):125121, 2015.