

Pointillisme à la Signac and Construction of a Pseudo Quantum Phase Space

Maurice de Gosson*and Charlyne de Gosson
University of Vienna
Faculty of Mathematics (NuHAG)
Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1
1090 Vienna AUSTRIA

August 2, 2022

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Pointillisme à la Signac and phase space pixels	2
1.2	Description of the method: heuristics	3
1.3	Toolbox, and some serious talk	3
2	Some Symplectic Geometry	5
2.1	The symplectic group $\text{Sp}(n)$	5
2.2	Lagrangian planes and frames	6
2.3	Lagrangian ellipsoids	9
3	Lagrangian Polar Duality and Quantum States	11
3.1	Polar duality: review	11
3.2	Lagrangian polar duality	13
3.3	Elliptic quantum states	13
4	Gaussian Quantum Phase Space	17
4.1	Wigner transform and quantum blobs	17
4.2	The John and Löwner ellipsoids	19
4.3	Identification with Gaussian wavepackets	20

*Corresponding author: maurice.de.gosson@univie.ac.at

Abstract

We use the notion of polar duality from convex geometry and the theory of Lagrangian planes from symplectic geometry to construct a quantum-mechanical substitute for phase space. The elements of this pseudo phase space are geometric quantum states, products of convex bodies carried by Lagrangian planes by their polar duals with respect to a second transversal Lagrangian plane. Using the theory of the John ellipsoid we relate these geometric quantum states to the notion of “quantum blobs” introduced in previous work; quantum blobs are the smallest symplectic invariant regions of the phase space compatible with the uncertainty principle. We show that the set of equivalence classes of unitarily related geometric quantum states is in a one-to-one correspondence with the set of all Gaussian wavepackets.

Keywords: Quantum phase space; Lagrangian frame; polar duality; John ellipsoid; Gaussian wavepackets; uncertainty principle.

1 Introduction**1.1 Pointillisme à la Signac and phase space pixels**

In two brilliant publications [8, 9] Jeremy Butterfield dismisses what he calls *pointillisme*, that is the view that mathematical *points* make sense in physics. We totally agree with Butterfield’s views and assume in this paper that the basic elements of configuration space (*i.e.* physical space, and its multi-dimensional extensions) are infinitesimal regions with non-zero volume. Indeed, in practice we can never experimentally determine a point in physical space with absolute precision; as Gazeau [13] humorously notes “*nothing is mathematically exact from the physical point of view*”. In fact the notion of point-like particle is a mathematical abstraction, which we can approximate (in principle) with arbitrary accuracy. However, these regions cannot be made arbitrarily small, because the uncertainty principle would then lead to violations of special relativity (at least for massive particles). Our view in a sense restores pointillisme as meant by the neo-impressionist painter Paul Signac, who used small, distinct dots of color which he applied in patterns to form an image. We will show that this “pixellization” of the usual geometric configuration space leads, using an extended version of the geometric notion of polar duality, to a surprising representation of what we could call a “pseudo quantum phase space”. Admittedly, the term “quantum phase space” is usually perceived as a heresy in the physics community:

there can't be any phase space in quantum mechanics since the notion of a well-defined point does not make sense because of the uncertainty principle. Dirac himself dismissed in 1945, in a letter to Moyal (in [29]), even the suggestion that quantum mechanics can be expressed in terms of classical-valued phase space variables. Of course, as we know, Dirac was wrong, since the Wigner–Moyal–Weyl formalism, which deals with functions and operators defined on classical phase space, is one of the most powerful tools for expressing the laws of quantum mechanics. Still, the concept of *quantum phase space* itself is ambiguous, to say the least. The aim of this paper is to propose a substitute to the classical phase space, consisting not of points, but rather of products of the configuration space “pixels” mentioned above by their polar duals, viewed as pixels of momentum space.

1.2 Description of the method: heuristics

The aim of the present paper is to study, for an arbitrary number n of degrees of freedom, the properties of such “quantum state” and to relate them to the theory of Gaussian wavepackets; our study will unveil unexpected and beautiful geometric properties of quantum mechanics.

1.3 Toolbox, and some serious talk

In our paper [21] we introduced the geometric notion of Lagrangian polar duality in connection with the uncertainty principle of quantum mechanics; we have given a rigorous mathematical study of this notion in a recent paper [23]. As pointed out in [21] the underlying idea is that a quantum system localized in the position representation in a set X cannot be localized in the momentum representation in a set smaller than its polar dual X^h , which is entirely consistent with the uncertainty principle. Let us explain this a little bit more in detail. We live in a three-dimensional world where the state of a classical particle is described by its position vector (x, y, z) and by the vector of conjugate momenta (p_x, p_y, p_z) , both at a given time t . This extends to many particle systems by introducing the generalized position and momentum vectors $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$, and the phase space of that system is by definition the space $\mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_p^n \equiv \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ of all (x, p) . This way of writing things explicitly singles out the two subspaces $\ell_X = \mathbb{R}_x^n \times 0$ and $\ell_P = 0 \times \mathbb{R}_p^n$; however, as is already clear in classical (Hamiltonian) mechanics this particular choice of frame (ℓ_X, ℓ_P) has no reason to be privileged, and one can choose any other coordinate spaces to work with as long as these are obtained by symplectic (= canonical)

transformations from the frame (ℓ_X, ℓ_P) . Such transformations will not take ℓ_X and ℓ_P to arbitrary n -dimensional linear subspaces of \mathbb{R}^{2n} , but rather to *Lagrangian planes* which have the property that the canonical symplectic form on \mathbb{R}^{2n} vanishes identically on them. These subspaces play a central role in classical mechanics (they are the tangent spaces of the invariant tori of the integrable Hamiltonian systems [1]). Consider now a convex compact set X_ℓ with non-empty interior (for instance an ellipsoid) carried by a Lagrangian plane ℓ . if, for instance, $\ell = \ell_X$ this will picture a cloud of points in configuration space. Assuming, for simplicity, that X_ℓ is centered at the origin, we next choose a second arbitrary Lagrangian plane ℓ' transversal to ℓ and define the polar dual $X_{\ell'}^{\hbar}$ of X_ℓ with respect to ℓ' as being the set of all phase space points $z' = (x, p')$ such that $\omega(z, z') \leq \hbar$ for every $z = (x, p)$ in X_ℓ . An elementary argument shows that $X_{\ell'}^{\hbar}$ is also a convex set (and in particular an ellipsoid if X_ℓ is). We will call the subset $X_\ell \times X_{\ell'}^{\hbar}$ of \mathbb{R}^{2n} a *pure quantum state*. Admittedly, this definition of a quantum state is rather abstract. The reason will become clear to the reader in the course of this article, but there is a rather immediate (although hidden) motivation. It turns out that the Cartesian product $X_\ell \times X_{\ell'}^{\hbar}$ is always a convex set (because X_ℓ and $X_{\ell'}^{\hbar}$ are convex). As such it contains a unique maximum volume ellipsoid Ω (the ‘‘John ellipsoid’’), and this ellipsoid is what we have called elsewhere [17, 24] a *quantum blob*, that is the image of a phase space ball with radius $\sqrt{\hbar}$ by a symplectic transformation. As we have shown in [16, 24] these quantum blobs represent the smallest phase space units compatible with the uncertainty (or indeterminacy) principle of quantum mechanics. In particular, a quantum blob can always (via the theory of the Wigner transform) be viewed as the covariance ellipsoid of a generalized Gaussian state.

Here is a basic example. Suppose that the configuration space is the x axis, in which case the classical phase space is just the x, p plane. The pseudo quantum phase space consists of parallelograms $X_\ell \times X_{\ell'}^{\hbar}$ where ℓ and ℓ' are two lines in the x, p plane, X_ℓ is an interval in ℓ and $X_{\ell'}^{\hbar}$ is the polar dual of X_ℓ with respect to ℓ' . The latter is the set of points z' on ℓ' such that

$$\omega(z', z) = - \begin{vmatrix} x' & x \\ p' & p \end{vmatrix} \leq \hbar$$

for all $z = (x, p)$ on ℓ . If ℓ is the x -axis and ℓ' the p -axis this condition becomes $p'x \leq \hbar$ so $X_{\ell'}^{\hbar}$ is the usual polar dual from convex geometry [21]. Choosing $X_{\ell_X} = [-\sqrt{\hbar/a}, \sqrt{\hbar/a}]$ for some $a > 0$ we have $X_{\ell_P}^{\hbar} = [-\sqrt{a\hbar}, \sqrt{a\hbar}]$ so that $X_{\ell_X} \times X_{\ell_P}^{\hbar}$ is a parallelogram with area $4\hbar$ cen-

tered at the origin. Now, the largest ellipse contained in that parallelogram is the one with axes X_{ℓ_X} and $X_{\ell_P}^{\hbar}$ and thus has area $\pi\hbar$. To such an ellipse corresponds (via the theory of the Wigner transform) a unique (normalized) Gaussian wavepacket, namely

$$\psi(x) = \left(\frac{a}{\pi\hbar}\right)^{1/4} e^{-ax^2/\hbar}$$

which is a minimum uncertainty wavepacket. To our “quantum state” $X_{\ell_X} \times X_{\ell_P}^{\hbar}$ thus corresponds a basic object from quantum mechanics (a Gaussian wavepacket), but is a more general object than just this wavepacket.

Notation

The configuration space of a system with n degrees of freedom will in general be written $\ell_X = \mathbb{R}_x^n$, and its dual (the momentum space) $\ell_P = \mathbb{R}_p^n$. The position variables will be written $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and the momentum variables $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$. The classical phase space $\mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_p^n$ is identified with \mathbb{R}^{2n} equipped with the inner product $p \cdot x = p_1x_1 + \dots + p_nx_n$ and with the standard symplectic form ω defined by $\omega(z, z') = p \cdot x' - p' \cdot x$ if $z = (x, p)$, $z' = (x', p')$.

2 Some Symplectic Geometry

2.1 The symplectic group $\text{Sp}(n)$

The standard symplectic form ω on $\mathbb{R}_z^{2n} \equiv \mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_p^n$ can be written in matrix form as

$$\omega(z, z') = Jz \cdot z' = (z')^T Jz$$

where J is the standard symplectic matrix:

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{n \times n} & I_{n \times n} \\ -I_{n \times n} & 0_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The associated symplectic group $\text{Sp}(n)$ consists of all linear automorphisms S of \mathbb{R}_z^{2n} preserving the symplectic form: $\omega(Sz, Sz') = \omega(z, z')$ for all vectors z, z' . The symplectic automorphisms will be identified with their matrices in the canonical basis; with this convention $S \in \text{Sp}(n)$ if and only if it satisfies one of the equivalent identities $S^T J S = J$ or $S J S^T = J$. These relations imply [15] that a real $2n \times 2n$ matrix written in the block form

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \tag{1}$$

is symplectic if and only if the $n \times n$ blocks A, B, C, D satisfy the sets of equivalent conditions

$$A^T C, B^T D \text{ symmetric, and } A^T D - C^T B = I_{n \times n} \quad (2)$$

$$AB^T, CD^T \text{ symmetric, and } AD^T - BC^T = I_{n \times n}. \quad (3)$$

It follows that the inverse of $S \in \text{Sp}(n)$ has the simple form

$$S^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} D^T & -B^T \\ -C^T & A^T \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

The affine (or inhomogeneous) symplectic group is the semi-direct product

$$\text{ISp}(n) = \text{Sp}(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{2n}; \quad (5)$$

it consists of all products $ST(z_0) = T(Sz_0)S$ where $S \in \text{Sp}(n)$ and $T(z_0)$ is the translation operator $z \mapsto z + z_0$ in \mathbb{R}^{2n} .

Recall that the metaplectic group $\text{Mp}(n)$ is the unitary representation on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)$ of the double cover of the symplectic group $\text{Sp}(n)$. It is generated by the unitary operators \widehat{J} , \widehat{V}_P , and $\widehat{M}_{L,m}$ defined in the table below, where we denote π^{Mp} the projection $\text{Mp}(n) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(n)$.

$\widehat{J}\psi(x) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i\hbar}\right)^{n/2} \int e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar}x \cdot x'} \psi(x') d^n x'$	$\xrightarrow{\pi^{\text{Mp}}}$	$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$\widehat{V}_P\psi(x) = e^{-\frac{i}{2\hbar}Px \cdot x} \psi(x)$	$\xrightarrow{\pi^{\text{Mp}}}$	$V_P = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ -P & I \end{pmatrix}$
$\widehat{M}_{L,m}\psi(x) = i^m \sqrt{ \det L } \psi(Lx)$	$\xrightarrow{\pi^{\text{Mp}}}$	$M_L = \begin{pmatrix} L^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & L^T \end{pmatrix}$.

In the last line of this table the integer m is defined modulo 4 and corresponds to a choice of the argument of the determinant $\det L$, reflecting the fact that $\text{Mp}(n)$ is a double covering of $\text{Sp}(n)$. For a complete study of $\text{Mp}(n)$ and its properties we refer to [15].

2.2 Lagrangian planes and frames

When $n = 1$ the symplectic form is, up to the sign, the determinant function: $\omega(z, z') = -\det(z, z')$. It follows that $\omega(z, z') = 0$ when z and z' are colinear: the symplectic form vanishes along all lines through the origin. The notion of Lagrangian plane generalizes this property to arbitrary dimension n : a linear subspace ℓ of \mathbb{R}^{2n} equipped with its symplectic form ω is called a *Lagrangian plane* if $\dim \ell = n$ and $\omega(z, z') = 0$ for all $z, z' \in \ell$.

The most typical (but not most general) example of Lagrangian planes is given by the “coordinate Lagrangian planes”. They are obtained by picking out in the $2n$ -vector $z = (x_1, \dots, x_n; p_1, \dots, p_n)$ exactly n non-conjugate coordinates. For instance the set of all $(x_1, \dots, x_k, p_{k+1}, \dots, p_n)$ for $k < n$ are the coordinates of a Lagrangian plane in \mathbb{R}^{2n} .

The subspaces consisting of all $z = (x, p)$ such that $p = Ax$ for some symmetric matrix A is a Lagrangian plane: it has dimension n and

$$\omega(x, Ax; x', Ax') = Ax \cdot x' - Ax' \cdot x = 0$$

since A is symmetric. More generally, a subspace ℓ of \mathbb{R}^{2n} is a Lagrangian plane if and only we have

$$(x, p) \in \ell \text{ if and only } Ax + Bp = 0.$$

where A and B are real $n \times n$ matrices satisfying one of the following sets of equivalent conditions

$$\begin{aligned} A^T B &= B^T A \text{ and } A^T A + B^T B = I_{n \times n} \\ AB^T &= BA^T \text{ and } AA^T + BB^T = I_{n \times n}. \end{aligned}$$

The set of all Lagrangian planes in the symplectic space $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega)$ is called the *Lagrangian Grassmannian* and is denoted by $\text{Lag}(n)$.

Remark 1 *There is an alternative way of interpreting Lagrangian planes as “real” subspaces of \mathbb{R}^{2n} . In fact, the symplectic product $\omega(z, z')$ can be written as $\omega(z, z') = \text{Im}(z \cdot (z')^*)$ when $z = (x, p)$ and $z' = (x', p')$ are identified with the complex vectors $x + ip$ and $x' + ip'$ in \mathbb{C}^n . Lagrangian planes then correspond to the n -dimensional subspaces for which $z \cdot (z')^*$ is a real number.*

In the phase plane \mathbb{R}^2 every line through the origin can be taken to any other such line using a rotation. There is a similar property in arbitrary dimension n . A symplectic automorphism U is called a *symplectic rotation* if $U \in \text{Sp}(n) \cap O(2n, \mathbb{R})$ where $O(2n, \mathbb{R})$ is the usual orthogonal group. In the case $n = 1$ this is just the usual rotation group $SO(2n, \mathbb{R})$. We denote by $U(n)$ the group of all symplectic rotations; one shows [15] that $U(n)$ is the image in $\text{Sp}(n)$ of the complex unitary group $U(n, \mathbb{C})$ by the embedding

$$\iota : A + iB \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix}.$$

A matrix $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix}$ is thus a symplectic rotation if and only if the blocks A and B satisfy the conditions

$$A^T B = B^T A \quad \text{and} \quad A^T A + B^T B = I \quad (6)$$

$$A B^T = B A^T \quad \text{and} \quad A A^T + B B^T = I \quad (7)$$

in view of (2), (3).

Let ℓ be a Lagrangian plane in $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega)$: $\ell \in \text{Lag}(n)$. For every symplectic transformation $S \in \text{Sp}(n)$ the image $S\ell$ is also a Lagrangian plane: we clearly have $\dim S\ell = n$ and $\omega(Sz, Sz') = \omega(z, z') = 0$ for all $z, z' \in \ell$. We thus have a natural group action

$$\text{Sp}(n) \times \text{Lag}(n) \longrightarrow \text{Lag}(n) \quad (8)$$

which induces, by restriction, an action

$$U(n) \times \text{Lag}(n) \longrightarrow \text{Lag}(n). \quad (9)$$

An essential property is the transitivity of these actions.

Proposition 2 *The subgroup $U(n)$ of $\text{Sp}(n)$ (and hence $\text{Sp}(n)$ itself) acts transitively on the Lagrangian Grassmannian $\text{Lag}(n)$: for any pair (ℓ, ℓ') of Lagrangian planes in $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega)$ there exists $U \in U(n)$ such that $\ell' = U\ell$. In particular every $\ell \in \text{Lag}(n)$ can be obtained from ℓ_X (or from ℓ_P) using a symplectic rotation.*

Proof. This is proven as follows [15]: let $\mathcal{B} = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and $\mathcal{B}' = \{e'_1, \dots, e'_n\}$ be orthonormal bases of ℓ and ℓ' , respectively. Then $\mathcal{B} \cup J\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}' \cup J\mathcal{B}'$ are bases of \mathbb{R}^{2n} which are both orthogonal and symplectic. Let U be a linear mapping taking $\mathcal{B} \cup J\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{B}' \cup J\mathcal{B}'$; we then have $\ell' = U\ell$ and $U \in \text{Sp}(n) \cap O(2n, \mathbb{R})$. ■

The action (9) allows to endow $\text{Lag}(n)$ with a topology, using the theory of homogeneous spaces. In fact, the subgroup $O(n)$ of $U(n)$ consisting of all symplectic matrices

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}, \quad A \in O(n, \mathbb{R})$$

stabilizes ℓ_P (that is $R\ell_P = \ell_P$) hence there is a natural bijection

$$U(n)/O(n) \equiv U(n, \mathbb{C})/O(n, \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \text{Lag}(n)$$

which allows to identify topologically the coset space $U(n)/O(n)$ with the Lagrangian Grassmannian (see [15] for technical details).

Let (ℓ, ℓ') be a pair of Lagrangian planes in $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega)$ such that $\ell \cap \ell' = 0$. Since the dimensions of ℓ and ℓ' are n this is equivalent to $\ell \oplus \ell' = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. We will call (ℓ, ℓ') a *Lagrangian frame*. We will use the notation

$$\ell_X = \mathbb{R}_x^n \times 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_P = 0 \times \mathbb{R}_p^n \quad (10)$$

and call the spaces ℓ_X and ℓ_P the *position* and *momentum planes*; Clearly (ℓ_X, ℓ_P) is a Lagrangian frame (we will call it the “canonical frame”).

A crucial property is that the symplectic group $\text{Sp}(n)$ acts transitively on the set of all Lagrangian frames [15]. Because of the importance of this result we prove it here:

Proposition 3 *The group $\text{Sp}(n)$ acts transitively on the set of all Lagrangian frames: if (ℓ_1, ℓ'_1) and (ℓ_2, ℓ'_2) are such that $\ell_1 \cap \ell'_1 = \ell_2 \cap \ell'_2 = 0$ then there exists $S \in \text{Sp}(n)$ such that $(\ell_2, \ell'_2) = (S\ell_1, S\ell'_1)$.*

Proof. Choose a basis $\mathcal{B} = \{e_{11}, \dots, e_{1n}\}$ of ℓ_1 and a basis $\mathcal{B}' = \{f_{11}, \dots, f_{1n}\}$ of ℓ'_1 such that $\{e_{1i}, f_{1j}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is a symplectic basis of $(\mathbb{R}_z^{2n}, \sigma)$ (i.e. $\omega(e_{i1}, e_{j1}) = \omega(f_{i1}, f_{j1}) = 0$ and $\omega(f_{i1}, e_{j1}) = \delta_{ij}$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$). Similarly choose bases of ℓ_2 and ℓ'_2 whose union $\{e_{2i}, f_{2j}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is also a symplectic basis. Define a linear mapping $S : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ by $S(e_{1i}) = e_{2i}$ and $S(f_{1i}) = f_{2i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We have $S \in \text{Sp}(n)$ and $(\ell_2, \ell'_2) = (S\ell_1, S\ell'_1)$. ■

Notice that we cannot replace $\text{Sp}(n)$ with $U(n)$ in the result above. For instance, in the case $n = 1$ no oration will take an arbitrary pair of transverse of lines to another arbitrary pair of transverse lines if they do not form equal angles ($U(1) = SO(2, \mathbb{R})$ preserves angles, while $\text{Sp}(1)$ does not).

Remark 4 *It follows from Proposition 3 that every Lagrangian frame in $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega)$ can be obtained from the canonical frame (ℓ_X, ℓ_P) using a symplectic transformation.*

2.3 Lagrangian ellipsoids

Let us identify the position space ellipsoid

$$X = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_x^n : Ax \cdot x \leq \hbar\}$$

with the phase space subset

$$X = \{z : (A \oplus 0)z \cdot z \leq \hbar\}$$

where, by definition,

$$A \oplus 0 = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0_{n \times n} \\ 0_{n \times n} & 0_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The image of X by $S \in \text{Sp}(n)$ (or by any phase space automorphism) is then

$$S(X) = \{z : ((S^T)^{-1}(A \oplus 0)S^{-1})z \cdot z \leq \hbar\}. \quad (11)$$

Let us call “quantum blob” the image of the phase space ball $B^{2n}(z_0, \sqrt{\hbar})$ by a symplectic transformation. The following property shows that every ellipsoid carried by a Lagrangian plane ℓ is the intersection $\ell \cap Q$ of that subspace with a quantum blob:

Proposition 5 *Let X_ℓ be a n -dimensional ellipsoid centered at $z_0 \in \ell$ and carried by the Lagrangian plane $\ell \in \text{Lag}(n)$. There exists $S \in \text{Sp}(n)$ such that $X_\ell = S(B^{2n}(z_0, \sqrt{\hbar})) \cap \ell$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to assume $z_0 = 0$. We first consider the case $\ell = \ell_X$, then $X_{\ell_X} = \{x : Ax \cdot x \leq \hbar\}$ where A is a symmetric positive definite matrix. Clearly, X_{ℓ_X} is the intersection of the phase space ellipsoid

$$\Omega = \{(x, p) : Ax \cdot x + A^{-1}p \cdot p \leq \hbar\}$$

with ℓ_X , and Ω is indeed a quantum blob since $\Omega = S(B^{2n}(\sqrt{\hbar}))$ with

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sp}(n). \quad (12)$$

Suppose now ℓ is an arbitrary Lagrangian plane. In view of Proposition 2 there exists a symplectic rotation $R \in U(n)$ such that $\ell = R\ell_X$. The set $X_{\ell_X} = R^{-1}(X_\ell)$ is an ellipsoid in ℓ_X centered at $z_0 = 0$ and hence $X_{\ell_X} = Q \cap \ell_X$ for some quantum blob Q , and $X_\ell = R(X_{\ell_X}) = (RQ) \cap \ell$ which concludes the proof since $R(Q)$ is also a quantum blob. ■

Remark 6 *The quantum blob described in the result above is not unique. For instance there exist infinitely many quantum blobs $Q = S(B^{2n}(\sqrt{\hbar}))$ such that $X_{\ell_X} = Q \cap \ell_X$.*

The following characteristic property of quantum blobs is also useful:

Proposition 7 *Let $Q = S(B^{2n}(\sqrt{\hbar}))$ be a centered quantum blob. The intersection $Q \cap \ell_X$ and the orthogonal projection $\Pi_{\ell_P}Q$ are n -dimensional ellipsoids $\{x : Ax \cdot x \leq \hbar\}$ and $\{p : Bp \cdot p \leq \hbar\}$ such that $AB = I_{n \times n}$. We have a similar statement interchanging ℓ_X and ℓ_P .*

Proof. The quantum blob Q is represented by the inequality $Gz \cdot z \leq \hbar$ where $G = (SS^T)^{-1}$ is in $\text{Sp}(n)$ - Writing G in block matrix form $\begin{pmatrix} G_{XX} & G_{XP} \\ G_{PX} & G_{PP} \end{pmatrix}$ the following relations hold in view of the symplectic conditions (2), taking into account the symmetry of G :

$$G_{XX}G_{PX}, G_{PX}G_{PP} \text{ symmetric and } G_{XX}G_{PP} - G_{XP}^2 = I_{n \times n}. \quad (13)$$

With this notation we clearly have

$$Q \cap \ell_X = \{x : G_{XX}x \cdot x \leq \hbar\}$$

while the orthogonal projection $\Pi_{\ell_P}Q$ is given by (see [21])

$$\Pi_{\ell_P}Q = \{p : (G/G_{XX})p \cdot p \leq \hbar\}$$

where G/G_{XX} is the Schur complement defined by

$$G/G_{XX} = G_{PP} - G_{PX}G_{XX}^{-1}G_{XP}.$$

To prove the proposition it therefore suffices to show that

$$G_{XX}(G_{PP} - G_{PX}G_{XX}^{-1}G_{XP}) = I_{n \times n}$$

but this follows from the relations (13) which in particular imply that $G_{PX}G_{XX}^{-1} = G_{XX}^{-1}G_{PX}$:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{XX}(G_{PP} - G_{PX}G_{XX}^{-1}G_{XP}) &= G_{XX}G_{PP} - G_{XX}(G_{PX}G_{XX}^{-1})G_{XP} \\ &= G_{XX}G_{PP} - G_{XP}^2 = I_{n \times n}. \end{aligned}$$

■

3 Lagrangian Polar Duality and Quantum States

3.1 Polar duality: review

We begin by briefly recalling the usual notion of polar duality from convex geometry (we are following our presentation in [21]); for the notions of convex geometry we use see for instance [2, 35]). Let X be a convex body in configuration space \mathbb{R}_x^n (a convex body is a compact convex set with non-empty interior). We assume in addition that X contains 0 in its interior.

This is the case if, for instance, X is symmetric: $X = -X$. The *polar dual* of X is the subset

$$X^h = \{p \in \mathbb{R}_x^n : \sup_{x \in X} (p \cdot x) \leq h\} \quad (14)$$

of the dual space $\mathbb{R}_p^n \equiv (\mathbb{R}_x^n)^*$. Notice that it trivially follows from the definition that X^h is convex and contains 0 in its interior. In the mathematical literature one usually chooses $h = 1$, in which case one writes X^o for the polar dual; we have $X^h = hX^o$. The following properties are straightforward:

<i>Reflexivity (bipolarity):</i>	$(X^h)^h = X$	P1
<i>Antimonotonicity:</i>	$X \subset Y \implies Y^h \subset X^h$	P2
<i>Scaling property</i>	$A \in GL(n, \mathbb{R}) \implies (AX)^h = (A^T)^{-1}X^h$.	P3

In [21] we proved the following elementary properties of polar duality:

(i) Let $B_X^n(R)$ (*resp.* $B_P^n(R)$) be the ball $\{x : |x| \leq R\}$ in \mathbb{R}_x^n (*resp.* $\{p : |p| \leq R\}$ in \mathbb{R}_p^n). Then

$$B_X^n(R)^h = B_P^n(h/R) . \quad (15)$$

In particular

$$B_X^n(\sqrt{h})^h = B_P^n(\sqrt{h}). \quad (16)$$

(ii) Let A be a real invertible and symmetric $n \times n$ matrix and $R > 0$. The polar dual of the ellipsoid defined by $Ax \cdot x \leq R^2$ is given by

$$\{x : Ax \cdot x \leq R^2\}^h = \{p : A^{-1}p \cdot p \leq (h/R)^2\} \quad (17)$$

and hence

$$\{x : Ax \cdot x \leq h\}^h = \{p : A^{-1}p \cdot p \leq h\} . \quad (18)$$

We can easily picture that the polar set X^h is “large” when X is “small” since X and X^h are “inversely” related [35]; these sets can also be viewed as Fourier transforms of each other. These qualitative statements, reminiscent of the uncertainty principle, are clarified by the following remarkable property of polar duality, called the *Blaschke–Santaló inequality*: assume that X is a symmetric body; then there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$c \leq \text{Vol}_n(X) \text{Vol}_n(X^h) \leq (\text{Vol}_n(B^n(\sqrt{h})))^2 \quad (19)$$

[10]where Vol_n is the standard Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n , and equality is attained if and only if $X \subset \mathbb{R}_x^n$ is an ellipsoid centered at the origin (also see . The Mahler conjecture (which is still unproven) is that the constant c is $c \geq (4h)^n/n!$ (see [21]) for a discussion of partial results).

3.2 Lagrangian polar duality

Let now (ℓ, ℓ') be a Lagrangian frame in the symplectic phase space $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega)$ and X_ℓ a centrally symmetric convex body in ℓ . The Lagrangian polar dual $X_{\ell'}^{\hbar}$ of X_ℓ in ℓ' is the subset of ℓ' consisting of all $z' \in \ell'$ such that

$$\omega(z', z) \leq \hbar \text{ for all } z \in X_\ell; \quad (20)$$

equivalently, since X_ℓ is centrally symmetric and ω antisymmetric,

$$\omega(z, z') \leq \hbar \text{ for all } z \in X_\ell. \quad (21)$$

The Lagrangian polar dual $X_{\ell'}^{\hbar}$ is also a centrally symmetric body. Suppose in particular that the Lagrangian frame (ℓ, ℓ') is the canonical frame (ℓ_X, ℓ_P) . Then $z = (x, 0)$ and $z' = (0, p')$ so that condition (20) becomes $p' \cdot x \leq \hbar$; the notion of Lagrangian polar duality for (ℓ_X, ℓ_P) thus reduces the usual notion of polar duality as described above. It is always possible to reduce Lagrangian polar duality to ordinary polar duality. Recall that the symplectic group acts transitively on the manifold of Lagrangian frames.

Proposition 8 *Let $(X_\ell, X_{\ell'}^{\hbar})$ be a dual pair and choose $S \in \text{Sp}(n)$ such that $(\ell, \ell') = S(\ell_X, \ell_P)$. Let $X = S^{-1}(X_\ell) \subset \ell_X$. We have $S^{-1}X_{\ell'}^{\hbar} = X^{\hbar} \subset \ell_P$. Thus*

$$(X_\ell, X_{\ell'}^{\hbar}) = S(X, X^{\hbar}) \text{ if } (\ell, \ell') = S(\ell_X, \ell_P). \quad (22)$$

Proof. Let $z \in X_\ell$ and $z' \in X_{\ell'}^{\hbar}$ and define $(x, 0) = S^{-1}z$, $(0, p') = S^{-1}z'$. We have

$$p' \cdot x = \omega((x, 0); (0, p')) = \omega((S^{-1}z; S^{-1}z')) = \omega((z; z'))$$

hence the conditions $\omega(z, z') \leq \hbar$ and $p' \cdot x \leq \hbar$ are equivalent. ■

The following table summarizes the main properties of Lagrangian polar duality:

<i>Reflexivity (bipolarity):</i>	$(X_{\ell'}^{\hbar})_{\ell}^{\hbar} = X_\ell$	LP1
<i>Antimonotonicity:</i>	$X_\ell \subset Y_\ell \implies Y_{\ell'}^{\hbar} \subset X_{\ell'}^{\hbar}$	LP2
<i>Symplectic covariance:</i>	$S \in \text{Sp}(n) \implies S(X_{\ell'}^{\hbar}) = (SX_\ell)_{S\ell'}^{\hbar}$	LP3

3.3 Elliptic quantum states

The definition of quantum states we are giving here generalizes the Definition 3 in [21].

Definition 9 (Centered case) *Let (ℓ, ℓ') be a Lagrangian frame in \mathbb{R}^{2n} and X_ℓ a centered ellipsoid carried by ℓ . We call the product $X_\ell \times X_{\ell'}^{\hbar}$ the elliptic quantum state associated with the frame (ℓ, ℓ') and the ellipsoid X_ℓ . We denote $\text{Quant}_0(n)$ the set of all centered elliptic quantum states on \mathbb{R}^{2n} .*

The elements of $\text{Quant}_0(1)$ are parallelograms with area $4\hbar$ in the phase plane, while $\text{Quant}_0(2)$ consist of deformed tori, products of two dual plane ellipses. The simplest example of a state in $2n$ -dimensional phase space is what we call the “fiducial state”:

$$X_{\ell_X} \times X_{\ell_P}^{\hbar} = B_X^n(\sqrt{\hbar}) \times B_P^n(\sqrt{\hbar}). \quad (23)$$

To define a quantum state when X_ℓ has center $z_0 \neq 0$ some care is needed. Consider for example for $\hbar = 1$ the polar dual X^0 of the disk $X = B^2((a, 0), 1)$ in the x, y plane where $0 \leq a < 1$. It is the ellipse defined by [2]

$$(1 - a^2)^2 \left(p_x + \frac{a}{1 - a^2} \right)^2 + (1 - a^2) p_y^2 \leq 1. \quad (24)$$

The area $\pi/(1 - a^2)$ of this ellipse becomes arbitrarily large when a gets close to one. To avoid this unwanted phenomenon we proceed as follows: suppose the ellipsoid $X_\ell(z_0)$ is centered at some $z_0 \in \ell$ and consider the ellipsoid $X_\ell = X_\ell(z_0) - z_0$ (it is the set of all $z - z_0$ for $z \in X_\ell(z_0)$). Since X_ℓ has center 0 we can define as usual its Lagrangian polar $X_{\ell'}^{\hbar}$. This procedure, which goes back to Santaló [31] in the context of arbitrary convex bodies, is consistent with Galilean invariance when the Lagrangian frame is the canonical one (ℓ_X, ℓ_P) : a spatial translation does not affect the momenta. This leads to the following extension of Definition 9:

Definition 10 (General case) *Let (ℓ, ℓ') be a Lagrangian frame in \mathbb{R}^{2n} and two points $z_0 \in \ell$ and $z'_0 \in \ell'$. Let $X_\ell(z_0)$ be an ellipsoid carried by ℓ and centered at $z_0 \in \ell$. The elliptic quantum state associated with the (ℓ, ℓ', z_0, z'_0) and $X_\ell(z_0)$ is the product*

$$X_\ell(z_0) \times [(X_\ell(z_0) - z_0)_{\ell'}^{\hbar} + z'_0].$$

We denote $\text{Quant}(n)$ the set of all elliptic quantum states with arbitrary center on \mathbb{R}^{2n} .

The simplest example is to take $z_0 = (x_0, 0) \in \ell_X$, $z'_0 = (0, p_0) \in \ell_P$ and $X_\ell(z_0) = B_X^n(x_0, \sqrt{\hbar})$. In this case $(X_\ell(z_0) - z_0)_{\ell_P}^{\hbar} = B_P^n(\sqrt{\hbar})$ so that the quantum state is just the product $B_X^n(x_0, \sqrt{\hbar}) \times B_P^n(p_0, \sqrt{\hbar})$.

Remark 11 *Every point $z_0 \neq 0$ in \mathbb{R}^{2n} belongs to at least one Lagrangian plane: let e_1 be a normalized vector such that $z_0 = \lambda e_1$ and choose vectors e_2, \dots, e_n and f_2, \dots, f_n such that $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\} \cup \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ is a symplectic basis of \mathbb{R}^{2n} (symplectic Gram–Schmidt construction [15]). The subspace spanned by $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is Lagrangian and contains z_0 .*

The underlying idea of these rather abstract definitions is simple. Consider for example the canonical frame (ℓ_X, ℓ_P) and assume that a quantum system has been localized around a point $z_0 = (x_0, 0)$ in configuration space \mathbb{R}_x^n . We can approximate the measurement data (that is, the knowledge we have of the system) by an ellipsoid $X(x_0)$ with center x_0 :

$$X(x_0) = \{x : A(x - x_0) \cdot (x - x_0) \leq \hbar\}.$$

The polar dual of this ellipsoid is by definition the momentum plane ellipsoid

$$X(x_0)^\hbar = \{p : A^{-1}p \cdot p \leq \hbar\}.$$

If the system is very localized in configuration space — that is, if the matrix A is “very large” — then the momenta are very outspread since the inverse A^{-1} becomes “very small”; the spread of the momenta does not depend on the point x_0 around which the system is localized: only the size matters. This is of course consistent with the uncertainty principle. The quantum state determined by this process is thus the product $X(x_0) \times X(x_0)^\hbar$ of two ellipsoids. Here is an example in the case $n = 2$. Consider the transversal coordinate spaces ℓ and ℓ' defined by $x_1 = 0, p_2 = 0$ and $x_2 = 0, p_1 = 0$, respectively. They are Lagrangian planes in the phase space \mathbb{R}^4 , so (ℓ, ℓ') is a Lagrangian frame. Let X_ℓ be a centered ellipsoid in ℓ ; it is described by an equation in the x_2, p_1 coordinates:

$$X_\ell : ax_2^2 + 2bx_2p_1 + dp_1^2 \leq \hbar$$

which corresponds to the choice $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & d \end{pmatrix}$, $D = ad - b^2 > 0$. Since $A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} d/D & -b/D \\ -b/D & a/D \end{pmatrix}$ the Lagrangian polar dual $X_{\ell'}^\hbar$ is the ellipsoid in the x_1, p_2 coordinates

$$X_{\ell'}^\hbar : \frac{d}{D}x_1^2 - 2\frac{b}{D}x_1p_2 + \frac{a}{D}p_2^2 \leq \hbar.$$

Observe that $\text{Area}(X_\ell) = \pi\hbar/\sqrt{D}$ and $\text{Area}(X_{\ell'}^\hbar) = \pi\hbar\sqrt{D}$ so that

$$\text{Area}(X_\ell) \text{Area}(X_{\ell'}^\hbar) = (\pi\hbar)^2$$

in accordance with Blaschke and Santaló's inequality (19). The latter is a relation representing one aspect of the uncertainty principle, but it is of a purely geometrical nature: it does not make use of any statistical tools such as variances and covariances.

As expected, elliptic quantum states behave well under linear or affine symplectic transformations. Recall from Proposition 8 that for every dual pair $(X_\ell, X_{\ell'}^h)$ there exists $S \in \text{Sp}(n)$ such that $(\ell, \ell') = S(\ell_X, \ell_P)$ and $(X_\ell, X_{\ell'}^h) = S(X, X^h)$. Every elliptic quantum state $X_\ell \times X_{\ell'}^h$ is thus the image by some $S \in \text{Sp}(n)$ of a quantum state $X \times X^h \subset \ell_X \times \ell_P$. We define the action of $\text{Sp}(n)$ on $X_\ell \times X_{\ell'}^h$ by the formula

$$S'(X_\ell \times X_{\ell'}^h) = S'S(X \times X^h) \subset S'S\ell_X \times S'S\ell_P. \quad (25)$$

A similar result equality for the action of the inhomogeneous symplectic group $\text{ISp}(n)$. We have in fact the following transitivity result:

Proposition 12 (i) *The symplectic action*

$$\text{Sp}(n) \times \text{Quant}_0(n) \longrightarrow \text{Quant}_0(n) \quad (26)$$

defined by (25) is transitive. In particular, for every state $X_\ell \times X_{\ell'}^h$ there exists $S \in \text{Sp}(n)$ such that

$$X_\ell \times X_{\ell'}^h = S(B_X^n(\sqrt{\hbar}) \times B_P^n(\sqrt{\hbar})) \quad (27)$$

(ii) *This action induces a transitive action*

$$\text{ISp}(n) \times \text{Quant}(n) \longrightarrow \text{Quant}(n). \quad (28)$$

Proof. It suffices to prove (i) because (ii) follows using phase space translations. To prove (i) it is sufficient to prove that there exists $S \in \text{Sp}(n)$ such that (27) holds: suppose

$$\begin{aligned} X_\ell \times X_{\ell'}^h &= S((B_X^n(\sqrt{\hbar}) \times B_P^n(\sqrt{\hbar}))) \\ X_{\ell_1} \times X_{\ell_1'}^h &= S_1((B_X^n(\sqrt{\hbar}) \times B_P^n(\sqrt{\hbar}))). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$X_\ell \times X_{\ell'}^h = SS_1^{-1}(X_{\ell_1} \times X_{\ell_1'}^h).$$

Let now $S \in \text{Sp}(n)$ be such that $(\ell, \ell') = S(\ell_X, \ell_P)$ and $(X_\ell, X_{\ell'}^h) = S(X, X^h)$. There exists a symmetric positive definite matrix A such that ellipsoid X is $A^{-1/2}(B_X^n(\sqrt{\hbar}))$ hence $X^h = A^{1/2}(B_X^n(\sqrt{\hbar}))$ and

$$X \times X^h = M_{A^{1/2}}(B_X^n(\sqrt{\hbar}) \times B_P^n(\sqrt{\hbar}))$$

where $M_{A^{1/2}} = \begin{pmatrix} A^{1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & A^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sp}(n)$ so that we have

$$(X_\ell, X_\ell^h) = SM_{A^{1/2}}(B_X^n(\sqrt{\hbar}) \times B_P^n(\sqrt{\hbar}))$$

which was to be proven. ■

The result above allows a topological identification of $\text{Quant}_0(n)$. We begin by noting that the fiducial state $B_X^n(\sqrt{\hbar}) \times B_P^n(\sqrt{\hbar})$ is “stabilized” by the subgroup $O(n)$ of $U(n)$ consisting of all $R = \begin{pmatrix} H & 0 \\ 0 & H \end{pmatrix}$ with $H \in O(n, \mathbb{R})$. It follows from the theory of homogeneous spaces that we have

$$\text{Quant}_0(n) \equiv \text{Sp}(n)/O(n). \quad (29)$$

We will discuss this relation in a while; let us just say that the quotient $\text{Sp}(n)/U(n)$ (which is “smaller” than $\text{Sp}(n)/O(n)$) is usually identified with the set of Wigner transforms of Gaussian wavepackets ([28], formula (8.12) we set out to study below.

4 Gaussian Quantum Phase Space

4.1 Wigner transform and quantum blobs

Recall [18] that the Wigner transform of a square integrable function ψ on \mathbb{R}_x^n is defined by the absolutely convergent integral

$$W\psi(x, p) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\hbar}\right)^n \int e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}py} \psi\left(x + \frac{1}{2}y\right) \psi^*\left(x - \frac{1}{2}y\right) d^n y. \quad (30)$$

The Wigner transform is a real function which can take negative values (except when ψ is a Gaussian). We recall the “marginal properties” of the Wigner transform: if ψ and its Fourier transform

$$F\psi(p) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\hbar}\right)^{n/2} \int e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar}p \cdot x} \psi(x) d^n x$$

are in $L^1(\mathbb{R}_x^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)$ then

$$\int W\psi(x, p) d^n p = |\psi(x)|^2 \quad (31)$$

$$\int W\psi(x, p) d^n x = |F\psi(p)|^2. \quad (32)$$

The Wigner transform enjoys the property of symplectic covariance [15, 18], that is, we have for every $S \in \text{Sp}(n)$,

$$W\psi(S^{-1}z) = W(\widehat{S}\psi)(z) \quad (33)$$

where \widehat{S} is anyone of the two metaplectic operators covering S .

Following our work in [16] we introduced in [17] the notion of “quantum blob”. Their properties were detailed in our *Phys. Reps.* paper [24] with F. Luef. A quantum blob is the image of a phase space ball $B^{2n}(z_0, \sqrt{\hbar}) : |z - z_0| \leq \sqrt{\hbar}$ by some $S \in \text{Sp}(n)$. it can be viewed as the smallest phase space unit compatible with the uncertainty principle expressed in terms of variances and covariances (for a discussion of the relevance of the use of standard deviations to formulate the uncertainty relations see the discussion in [27]). It turns out that there is a canonical correspondence between quantum blobs and generalized Gaussian functions

$$\psi_{AB}(x) = e^{i\gamma} \left(\frac{1}{\pi\hbar}\right)^{n/4} (\det A)^{1/4} e^{-\frac{1}{2\hbar}(A+iB)x \cdot x} \quad (34)$$

and their displacements $\psi_{AB,z_0} = \widehat{T}(z_0)\psi_{AB}$ by the Heisenberg–Weyl operator $\widehat{T}(z_0)$ [15, 28]. In (34) A and B are real symmetric $n \times n$ matrices with A positive definite and $\gamma \in R$ an arbitrary constant phase. When $A = I$ (the identity), $B = 0$, and $\gamma = 0$ this function reduces to the fiducial coherent state

$$\phi_0(x) = (\pi\hbar)^{-n/4} e^{-|x|^2/2\hbar}. \quad (35)$$

We will denote by $\text{Gauss}(n)$ the set of all Gaussian functions $\widehat{T}(z_0)\psi_{AB}$. It is easy to show, using the symplectic covariance formula (33) that the Wigner transform of $\widehat{T}(z_0)\psi_{AB}$ is the phase space Gaussian

$$W\psi_{AB}(z) = (\pi\hbar)^{-n} e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar}G(z-z_0) \cdot (z-z_0)} \quad (36)$$

where G is the positive definite symmetric and symplectic $2n \times 2n$ matrix

$$G = (S_{AB}S_{AB}^T)^{-1} \quad , \quad S_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} A^{-1/2} & 0 \\ -BA^{-1/2} & A^{1/2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (37)$$

It follows that the phase space ellipsoid

$$Q = \{z : G(z - z_0) \cdot (z - z_0) \leq \hbar\}$$

is the quantum blob $S_{AB}(B^{2n}(z_0, \sqrt{\hbar}))$, hence there is a bijective correspondence $\text{Gauss}(n) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{Q}(n)$ between the set of generalized Gaussians $\widehat{T}(z_0)\psi_{AB}$ and quantum blobs $S_{AB}(B^{2n}(z_0, \sqrt{\hbar}))$. For a detailed study of this correspondence see [17, 24].

4.2 The John and Löwner ellipsoids

There is a vast literature on the Löwner and John ellipsoids of a convex body; a classical reference is [6]. Let X be a convex body in any Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . The Löwner ellipsoid $X_{\text{Löwner}}$ of X is the unique ellipsoid in \mathbb{R}^n with minimum volume containing X and the John ellipsoid X_{John} is the unique ellipsoid in \mathbb{R}^n with maximum volume contained in X . If A is an invertible linear mapping then

$$(A(X))_{\text{Löwner}} = A(X_{\text{Löwner}}) , (A(X))_{\text{John}} = A(X_{\text{John}}) \quad (38)$$

Not so surprisingly, if X is a centrally symmetric convex body, then X_{John} and $X_{\text{Löwner}}$ are polar duals of each other [2]:

$$(X_{\text{John}})^h = X_{\text{Löwner}} , (X_{\text{Löwner}})^h = X_{\text{John}}. \quad (39)$$

This property extends to Lagrangian polar duality. Let (ℓ, ℓ') be a Lagrangian frame and $(X_\ell, X_{\ell'}^h)$ a dual pair of centered convex bodies. Then

$$((X_\ell)_{\text{John}})^h_{\ell'} = (X_{\ell'}^h)_{\text{Löwner}} , ((X_\ell)_{\text{Löwner}})^h_{\ell'} = (X_{\ell'}^h)_{\text{John}}. \quad (40)$$

The following particular case will be very important for what follows. We denote $B_X^n(R)$ (resp. $B_P^n(R)$) the ball $|x| \leq R$ (resp. $|p| \leq R$) in position (resp. momentum) space.

Proposition 13 *The John ellipsoid of $B_X^n(R) \times B_P^n(R)$ is $B^{2n}(R)$. In particular*

$$\left(B_X^n(\sqrt{\hbar}) \times B_P^n(\sqrt{\hbar}) \right)_{\text{John}} = B^{2n}(\sqrt{\hbar}). \quad (41)$$

Proof. The inclusion

$$B^{2n}(R) \subset B_X^n(R) \times B_P^n(R) \quad (42)$$

is obvious, and we cannot have

$$B^{2n}(R') \subset B_X^n(R) \times B_P^n(R)$$

if $R' > R$. Assume now that the John ellipsoid Ω_{John} of $\Omega = B_X^n(R) \times B_P^n(R)$ is defined by $Ax^2 + Bxp + Cp^2 \leq R^2$ where $A, C > 0$ and B are real $n \times n$ matrices. Since Ω is invariant by the transformation $(x, p) \mapsto (p, x)$ so is Ω_{John} and we must thus have $A = C$ and $B = B^T$. Similarly, Ω being invariant by the partial reflection $(x, p) \mapsto (-x, p)$ we get $B = 0$ so Ω_{John} is defined by $Ax^2 + Ap^2 \leq R^2$. We next observe that Ω and hence Ω_{John} are

invariant under the symplectic transformations $(x, p) \mapsto (Hx, HP)$ where $H \in O(n, \mathbb{R})$ so we must have $AH = HA$ for all $H \in O(n, \mathbb{R})$, but this is only possible if $A = \lambda I_{n \times n}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. The John ellipsoid is thus of the type $B^{2n}(R/\sqrt{\lambda})$ for some $\lambda \geq 1$ and this concludes the proof in view of (42) since the case $\lambda > R^2$ is excluded. ■

4.3 Identification with Gaussian wavepackets

Consider first the very simple case where X is the ball $B_X^n(\sqrt{\hbar})$ whose polar dual is $X^h = B_P^n(\sqrt{\hbar})$. The corresponding elliptic quantum state is the product $B_X^n(\sqrt{\hbar}) \times B_P^n(\sqrt{\hbar})$. In view of Proposition 13 the John ellipsoid of this state is $B^{2n}(\sqrt{\hbar})$, and to the latter corresponds the fiducial coherent state $\phi_0(x) = (\pi\hbar)^{-n/4} e^{-|x|^2/2\hbar}$. Slightly more generally, let U be a symplectic rotation and define a Lagrangian frame (ℓ, ℓ') by $\ell = U\ell_X$ and $\ell' = U\ell_P$. Identifying $B_X^n(\sqrt{\hbar})$ with $B_X^n(\sqrt{\hbar}) \times 0$ the rotation U takes this set to a ball $U(B_X^n(\sqrt{\hbar}) \times 0) \subset \ell$ and in the same way $U(B_P^n(\sqrt{\hbar}) \times 0) \subset \ell'$ so the state $B_X^n(\sqrt{\hbar}) \times B_P^n(\sqrt{\hbar})$ is replaced with $U(B_X^n(\sqrt{\hbar}) \times B_P^n(\sqrt{\hbar}))$. It follows from the linear property (38) of the John ellipsoid that

$$\left(U(B_X^n(\sqrt{\hbar}) \times B_P^n(\sqrt{\hbar})) \right)_{\text{John}} = U(B^{2n}(\sqrt{\hbar})) = B^{2n}(\sqrt{\hbar}).$$

Thus the states $B_X^n(\sqrt{\hbar}) \times B_P^n(\sqrt{\hbar})$ and $U(B_X^n(\sqrt{\hbar}) \times B_P^n(\sqrt{\hbar}))$ have the same John ellipsoid, and to both states thus corresponds the same Gaussian ϕ_0 . This property only reflects the rotational invariance of the fiducial coherent state: we have

$$W\phi_0(Uz) = (\pi\hbar)^{-n} e^{-Uz \cdot Uz/\hbar} = (\pi\hbar)^{-n} e^{-z \cdot z/\hbar} = W\phi_0(z).$$

Consider next the slightly more general case where

$$X = \{x : Ax \cdot x \leq \hbar\} = A^{-1/2}(B_X^n(\sqrt{\hbar}))$$

and $A = A^T > 0$; here

$$X^h = \{p : Ap \cdot p \leq \hbar\} = A^{1/2}(B_P^n(\sqrt{\hbar}))$$

hence the corresponding quantum state is $A^{-1/2}(B_X^n(\sqrt{\hbar})) \times A^{1/2}(B_P^n(\sqrt{\hbar}))$, which is obtained from $B_X^n(\sqrt{\hbar}) \times B_P^n(\sqrt{\hbar})$ by the symplectic dilation $M_{A^{1/2}} = \begin{pmatrix} A^{1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & A^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix}$. The John ellipsoid of this state is now

$$(X \times X^h)_{\text{John}} = M_{A^{1/2}}(B^{2n}(\sqrt{\hbar}))$$

and to the latter corresponds the function with Wigner transform

$$W\psi(z) = (\pi\hbar)^{-n} \exp - \left[\frac{1}{\hbar}(Ax \cdot x + A^{-1}p \cdot p) \right]$$

that is $\psi = \psi_{A,0}$, that is,

$$\psi_{A,0}(x) = \left(\frac{1}{\pi\hbar}\right)^{n/4} (\det A)^{1/4} e^{-\frac{1}{2\hbar}Ax \cdot x}.$$

Let us make these observations precise. We begin by defining an equivalence relation on $\text{Quant}_0(n)$ (the centered elliptic states). We will say that two states $X_{\ell_1} \times X_{\ell'_1}^{\hbar}$ and $X_{\ell_2} \times X_{\ell'_2}^{\hbar}$ are unitarily equivalent and write $X_{\ell_1} \times X_{\ell'_1}^{\hbar} \sim X_{\ell_2} \times X_{\ell'_2}^{\hbar}$ if there exists a symplectic rotation $U \in U(n)$ such that $(\ell_1, \ell'_1) = U(\ell_2, \ell'_2)$. Since $U(n)$ is a group the relation \sim is an equivalence relation (it enjoys the properties of reflexivity, symmetry, and transitivity). We denote by $\widetilde{X_{\ell} \times X_{\ell'}^{\hbar}}$ the equivalence class of the state $X_{\ell} \times X_{\ell'}^{\hbar}$ for this relation and $\text{Quant}_0(n)/U(n)$ the set of all such equivalence classes. Recall (formula (29)) that we have identified $\text{Quant}_0(n)$ with $\text{Sp}(n)/O(n)$. Following result identifies $\text{Gauss}_0(n)$ with $\text{Quant}_0(n)/U(n)$:

Theorem 14 *There is a canonical identification*

$$\text{Gauss}_0(n) \equiv \text{Quant}_0(n)/U(n) \tag{43}$$

between the set of centered Gaussian wavepackets ψ_{AB} and the equivalence classes $\widetilde{X_{\ell} \times X_{\ell'}^{\hbar}}$ of centered elliptic states.

Proof. Let $\psi_{A,B} \in \text{Gauss}_0(n)$ be a Gaussian wavepacket and

$$W\psi_{AB}(z) = (\pi\hbar)^{-n} e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar}Gz \cdot z}, \quad G = (SS^T)^{-1}$$

its Wigner transform. The ellipsoid $\{z : Gz \cdot z \leq \hbar\}$ is the quantum blob $Q = S(B^{2n}(\sqrt{\hbar}))$, and in view of Proposition 13 the latter is the John ellipsoid of the state

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\ell} \times X_{\ell'}^{\hbar} &= S(B_X^n(\sqrt{\hbar}) \times B_P^n(\sqrt{\hbar})), \\ \ell &= S\ell_X, \ell' = S\ell_P \end{aligned}$$

If $S' \in \text{Sp}(n)$ is another symplectic matrix such that $G = (S'(S')^T)^{-1}$ then $S' = SU$ for some symplectic rotation $U \in U(n)$ and hence $S'(B^{2n}(\sqrt{\hbar})) = S(B^{2n}(\sqrt{\hbar}))$ so that Q is also the John ellipsoid of the state

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\ell_1} \times X_{\ell'_1}^{\hbar} &= S'(B_X^n(\sqrt{\hbar}) \times B_P^n(\sqrt{\hbar})), \\ \ell_1 &= SU\ell_X, \ell'_1 = SU\ell_P. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let $X_\ell \times X_{\ell'}^{\hbar}$ be a centered elliptic quantum state and choose $S \in \text{Sp}(n)$ such that $(\ell, \ell') = S(\ell_X, \ell_P)$ and

$$X_\ell \times X_{\ell'}^{\hbar} = S(B_X^n(\sqrt{\hbar}) \times B_P^n(\sqrt{\hbar})) \quad (44)$$

(Proposition 12). In view of Proposition 13 the John ellipsoid of $X_\ell \times X_{\ell'}^{\hbar}$ is the quantum blob $Q = S(B^{2n}(\sqrt{\hbar}))$, hence to $X_\ell \times X_{\ell'}^{\hbar}$ corresponds the generalized Gaussian ψ_{AB} with Wigner transform

$$W\psi_{AB}(z) = (\pi\hbar)^{-n} e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar}Gz \cdot z}, \quad G = (SS^T)^{-1}.$$

We may replace $X_\ell \times X_{\ell'}^{\hbar}$ with

$$X_{\ell_1} \times X_{\ell'_1}^{\hbar} = S'U(B_X^n(\sqrt{\hbar}) \times B_P^n(\sqrt{\hbar})), \quad \ell_1 = SU\ell_X, \ell'_1 = SU\ell_P.$$

with $U \in U(n)$ without altering G , hence $W\psi_{AB}$ (and thus ψ_{AB}) only depends on the equivalence class $X_\ell \times X_{\ell'}^{\hbar}$. ■

5 Perspectives

In the Gaussian case studied in the last section the convex bodies we were dealing with are of a particularly simple type (ellipsoids). We now want to extend our definitions to the case of arbitrary convex bodies. As we will see this leads to several technical difficulties.

We want to extend the properties of polar duality to the general case, where X is an arbitrary convex body no longer necessarily centered at the origin. The difficulty comes from the fact that we need to choose the correct center with respect to which the polarity is defined since there is no privileged “center” [3]; different choices may lead to polar duals with very different volumes (see the example (24)). We will proceed as follows. For an arbitrary point x_0 in the interior of X we define the *polar body of X with respect to x_0* as being the set

$$X^{\hbar}(x_0) = (X - x_0)^{\hbar}. \quad (45)$$

Santaló proved in [31] the following remarkable result: there exists a *unique* interior point x_S of X (the “Santaló point of X ”) such that the polar dual $X^{\hbar}(x_S) = (X - x_S)^{\hbar}$ has centroid $\bar{p} = 0$ and its volume $\text{Vol}_n(X^{\hbar}(x_S))$ is minimal for all possible interior points x_0 . Furthermore, we have $x_S = 0$ if and only if the centroid is zero:

$$\bar{p} = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}_n(X^{\hbar})} \int_{X^{\hbar}} p_1 dp_1 + \cdots + p_n dp_n = 0. \quad (46)$$

The Santaló point of X is thus the unique point x_S for which $\text{Vol}_n(X) \text{Vol}_n(X^h(x_S))$ attains its minimum, and the Blaschke–Santaló inequality holds for $X^h(x_S)$:

$$\text{Vol}_n(X) \text{Vol}_n(X^h(x_S)) \leq (\text{Vol}_n B^n(\sqrt{h}))^2 \quad (47)$$

with equality if and only if X is an ellipsoid.

These considerations motivate the following definition: let (ℓ, ℓ') be a Lagrangian frame in \mathbb{R}^{2n} and X_ℓ an arbitrary convex body carried by ℓ . The pure state associated with the frame (ℓ, ℓ') and X_ℓ is the product $X_\ell \times X^h(x_S)_{\ell'}$ where x_S is the Santaló point of X_ℓ . We can always approximate this state by an elliptic state using John and Löwner ellipsoids. This study will be pursued in a forthcoming publication.

Acknowledgement 15 *Maurice de Gosson has been financed by the Grant P 33447 N of the Austrian Science Fund FWF.*

References

- [1] V. I. Arnol'd. *Mathematical methods of classical mechanics*. Springer Science & Business Media (Vol. 60), 2013
- [2] G. Aubrun and S. J. Szarek. *Alice and Bob meet Banach*. Vol. 223. American Mathematical Soc., 2017
- [3] S. Artstein, B. Klartag, and V. Milman. The Santaló point of a function, and a functional form of the Santaló inequality. *Mathematika* 51(1-2), 33–48 (2004)
- [4] S. Artstein-Avidan, V. D. Milman, and Y. Ostrover. The M-ellipsoid, Symplectic Capacities and Volume. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **83**(2), 359–369 (2008)
- [5] S. Artstein-Avidan, R. Karasev, and Y. Ostrover. From Symplectic Measurements to the Mahler Conjecture. *Duke Math. J.* **163**(11), 2003–2022 (2014)
- [6] K. M. Ball. Ellipsoids of maximal volume in convex bodies. *Geom. Dedicata*. **41**(2), 241–250 (1992)
- [7] M. J. Bastiaans. Wigner distribution function and its application to first-order optics, *J. Opt. Soc. Am.* **69**, 1710 (1979)

- [8] J. Butterfield. Against pointillisme about mechanics. *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* **57**(4) 709–753 (2006)
- [9] J. Butterfield. Against pointillisme about geometry (pp. 181–222). De Gruyter (2013)
- [10] S. Campi, and P. Gronchi. On volume product inequalities for convex sets. *Proc. of the Amer. Math. Soc.* **134**(8), 2393–2402 (2006)
- [11] E. Cordero, M. de Gosson, and F. Nicola. On the Positivity of Trace Class Operators, *Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics* **23**(8), 2061–2091 (2019)
- [12] B. Dutta, N. Mukunda, and R. Simon. The real symplectic groups in quantum mechanics and optics. *Pramana J. of Phys.* **45**(6), 471–497 (1995)
- [13] J.-P. Gazeau, From classical to quantum models: the regularizing role of integrals, symmetry and probabilities [preprint 2018]
- [14] M. de Gosson. The symplectic camel and phase space quantization. *J. Phys.A:Math. Gen.* **34**(47) (2001)
- [15] M. de Gosson. *Symplectic geometry and quantum mechanics*. Vol. 166. Springer Science & Business Media, 2006
- [16] M. de Gosson. The Symplectic Camel and the Uncertainty Principle: The Tip of an Iceberg? *Found. Phys.* **99**, 194 (2009)
- [17] M. de Gosson. Quantum blobs. *Found. Phys.* **43** (4), 440–457 (2013)
- [18] M. de Gosson. *The Wigner Transform*, Advanced Textbooks in Mathematics, World Scientific, 2017
- [19] M. de Gosson. Symplectic Coarse-Grained Dynamics: Chalkboard Motion in Classical and Quantum Mechanics; *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **24**(4), 925–977 (2020)
- [20] M. de Gosson. *Quantum Harmonic Analysis, an Introduction*, De Gruyter, 2021
- [21] M. de Gosson. Quantum Polar Duality and the Symplectic Camel: a New Geometric Approach to Quantization. *Found. Phys.* **51**, Article number: 60 (2021)

- [22] M. de Gosson. The Pauli Problem for Gaussian Quantum States: Geometric Interpretation. *Mathematics* **9**(20), 2578 (2021)
- [23] M. de Gosson. Polar Duality Between Pairs of Transversal Lagrangian Planes; Applications to Uncertainty Principles. *Bull. Sci. Math.* (2022)
- [24] M. de Gosson and F. Luef. Symplectic Capacities and the Geometry of Uncertainty: the Irruption of Symplectic Topology in Classical and Quantum Mechanics. *Phys. Repts.* **484**, 131–179 (2009)
- [25] M. Gromov. Pseudoholomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds. *Inv. Math.* **82**(2), 307–347 (1985)
- [26] J. Hilgevoord. The standard deviation is not an adequate measure of quantum uncertainty. *Am. J. Phys.* **70**(10), 983 (2002)
- [27] J. Hilgevoord and J. B. M. Uffink. Uncertainty Principle and Uncertainty Relations. *Found. Phys.* **15**(9) 925 (1985)
- [28] R. G. Littlejohn. The semiclassical evolution of wave packets, *Phys. Repts.* 138(4–5) 193–291 (1986)
- [29] Moyal, Ann. *Maverick Mathematician*, ANU E Press (2006)
- [30] W. Pauli. General Principles of Quantum Mechanics; Springer Science & Business Media: Berlin, Germany, 2012; [Original Title: Prinzipien der Quantentheorie, Handbuch der Physik, v.5.1, 1958
- [31] L. A. Santaló. Un invariante a n para los cuerpos convexos del espacio de n dimensiones. *Portugaliae. Math.* 8, 155–161 (1949)
- [32] R. Simon, E.C.G. Sudarshan, and N. Mukunda, Gaussian-Wigner distributions in quantum mechanics and optics, *Phys. Rev. A* **36**(8), 3868 (1987)
- [33] Tzon-Tzer Lu and Sheng-Hua Shiou. Inverses of 2×2 Block Matrices, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **43**, 119–129 (2002)
- [34] N. Uzun. Hydrodynamic interpretation of generic squeezed coherent states: A kinetic theory. *Ann. Phys.* **442**, 168900 (2022)
- [35] R. Vershynin. Lectures in Geometric Functional Analysis. Unpublished manuscript. Available at <https://www.math.uci.edu/~rvershyn/papers/GFA-book.pdf>

- [36] F. Zhang. *The Schur Complement and its Applications*, Springer, Berlin, 2005