

Hear π from Quantum Galperin Billiards*

Yin Cai and Fu-Lin Zhang[†]

Department of Physics, School of Science, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China

(Dated: April 4, 2024)

Galperin introduced an interesting method to learn the digits of π by counting the collisions of two billiard balls and a hard wall. This paper studies two quantum versions of the Galperin billiards. It is shown that the digits of π can be observed in the phase shifts of the quantum models.

Key words: Galperin billiards, phase shift, quantum classical correspondence, adiabatic approximation, scattering

I. INTRODUCTION

The number π has attracted interest in human history. There are various elegant ways to determine π with a good precision; for instance, the inscribed and circumscribed polygons on a circle [1–3] and the Monte Carlo Method based on computers. Twenty years ago, Galperin [4, 5] invented an extraordinary method to learn the digits of π , known as *Galperin billiards*. In such a scenario, as shown in Fig. 1, a big ball is hurled towards a small ball that has its back to a wall. An observer counts the ensuing elastic collisions until the big ball's momentum is redirected enough to fully turn around. When the ratio of masses for the two billiard balls $\frac{M}{m} = 100^N$, the total number of hits in the system is $[\pi 10^N]$ where $[\]$ is the greatest integer function.




FIG. 1: Schematic picture of Galperin billiards, consisting of a big ball M , a small ball m and a wall.

The interesting results of Galperin billiards have been discussed in different aspects, such as their relationships with geometrical optics and Grover algorithm for quantum search [4, 6] In this paper, we study two quantum extensions of the Galperin billiards. In our first (*semiclassical*) model, the motion of the small ball is assumed to be described by quantum mechanics, and the big one is classical. In addition, the classical part is assumed to move slowly, and hence the quantum part is treated under the adiabatic approximation. In the second (*fully quantum*) version, both balls are quantum, which is equivalent to a scattering problem in a two-dimensional unbounded sector domain. The phase shifts in the two models are shown to correspond to the number of collisions in classical mechanics. As phase shifts in scattering problems

are closely related with state densities and energy spectrums [7, 8], this work connects the Galperin model with the classic problem *Can you hear the shape of a drum?* formulated by Mark Kac [9]. That is, the shape of two-dimensional unbounded sector domain, corresponding to the quantum Galperin model, determines the spectrum of a quantum particle in it and the digits of π in collisions.

II. SEMICLASSICAL MODEL

We begin by replacing the small ball in the original model with a quantum particle. As shown in Fig. 2, the classical big ball and the wall constitute a one-dimensional infinite potential well, as the quantum small ball is situated between them. The motions of the two balls are governed by their interaction. Let x and y denote the positions of the big and small balls, respectively. The small ball satisfies the Schrödinger equation




FIG. 2: Schematic picture of semiclassical Galperin billiards, consisting of the classical big ball M (blue vertical line on the right), the quantum small ball m , and a wall. The red curve between M and the wall shows the probability density for the wave function of m with $n=3$.

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi(y, t) = \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + V(y) \right] \Psi(y, t), \quad (1)$$

where m is its mass and

$$V(y) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq y \leq x, \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Here, we assume that the big ball moves very gradually, and therefore the wave function of the quantum particle changes adiabatically. Under the adiabatic approximation, we assume that the position coordinate x

*Canadian Journal of Physics 101, 491 (2023)

[†]Corresponding author: flzhang@tju.edu.cn

of the big ball is fixed, and the wave function of the small ball is given by

$$\Psi = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} C_n \Psi_n e^{-i\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^t E_n dt + i\gamma_n}, \quad (3)$$

where $E_n = \frac{n^2 \pi^2 \hbar^2}{2mx^2}$, $\Psi_n = \sqrt{\frac{2}{x}} \sin \frac{n\pi y}{x}$, and γ_n is the Berry phase gained by the eigenstate Ψ_n as the big ball coordinate has changed from the initial position to the position x . Under the adiabatic approximation, as the Hamiltonian gradually changes, the wave function will get an additional phase factor, and so called the Berry phase [10]. Here, both the initial and final values of x (big ball position) are $+\infty$, and the final time $t \rightarrow +\infty$. The kinetic energy of the quantum small ball is close to zero at the beginning and end. The Berry phase for the n th eigenstate can be

$$\gamma_n = i \int_0^t \langle \Psi_n | \frac{d}{dt} | \Psi_n \rangle dt = 0, \quad (4)$$

as $\langle \Psi_n | d | \Psi_n \rangle = 0$ (see Supplementary material for more details).

To observe the motion of the quantum particle, we consider a superposition of two adjacent levels as

$$\Psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\Psi_n e^{-i\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^t E_n dt} + \Psi_{n+1} e^{-i\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^t E_{n+1} dt}). \quad (5)$$

Its average position is given by (see Supplementary material for more details)

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{y} &= \langle \Psi | y | \Psi \rangle \\ &= \frac{x}{2} - \frac{x}{\pi^2} \frac{8n(n+1)}{(2n+1)^2} \cos \int_0^t \frac{E_{n+1} - E_n}{\hbar} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The average position vibrates around the midpoint $\frac{x}{2}$ at the Bohr frequency $\frac{E_{n+1} - E_n}{\hbar}$. Hence, the phase difference, divided by π , between the two eigenstates can be regarded as the quantum correspondence of the number of collisions in classical mechanics.

The energy of the quantum ball is

$$E = \frac{1}{2} (E_n + E_{n+1}) = \frac{2n^2 + 2n + 1}{2} \frac{\pi^2 \hbar^2}{mx^2}. \quad (7)$$

We assume that the speed change of the classical ball is determined by its energy exchange with the quantum particle. One can obtain

$$\frac{2n^2 + 2n + 1}{2} \frac{\pi^2 \hbar^2}{m} \left(\frac{1}{x_{\min}^2} - \frac{1}{x^2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} M v^2, \quad (8)$$

where $v = \frac{dx}{dt}$, M is the mass of the classical ball, and x_{\min} is its retracing point. Then, the definite integral in (6) can be solved by variable transformation from t to x .

It is obtained as

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{E_{n+1} - E_n}{\hbar} dt = \sqrt{\frac{4n^2 + 4n + 1}{4n^2 + 4n + 2}} \pi^2 R, \quad (9)$$

where $R = \sqrt{\frac{M}{m}}$ is the square root of the two masses ratios. The number of the cosine function in (6) reaching its extreme values is $\sqrt{\frac{4n^2 + 4n + 1}{4n^2 + 4n + 2}} \pi R$, which does not depend on x_{\min} , or the initial speed of the classical ball.

In the classical model, the full change of phase of *action-angle* variables is $\pi^2 R$ [11] and the collision times is $[\frac{\pi}{\beta}]$ with $\beta = \arccot R$ [4]. When $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and $R \rightarrow +\infty$, the phase difference (9) in the semiclassical model is equal to the full change of phase in the classical model. At the same time, the number of extremums in the semiclassical model is equal to the collision times in the classical model. We define a *normalized* time as

$$\alpha = \text{sgn}(v) \arccos \frac{\rho_{\min}}{\rho}, \quad (10)$$

where sgn is the sign function, $\rho = \sqrt{M}x$ in the semiclassical model while $\rho = \sqrt{Mx^2 + my^2}$ in the classical one, and the values of ρ_{\min} are their minimums. Here, we mix y in ρ for the classical model to avoid the case that x may remain stationary in a finite time interval at its retracing point. When $M \gg m$, the two definitions of ρ consistent with each other. As t increases from 0 to $+\infty$, the value of α changes continuously from $-\frac{\pi}{2}$ to $\frac{\pi}{2}$, and ρ decreases from $+\infty$ to ρ_{\min} and then goes back to $+\infty$. Using α as the variable, in Fig. 3, we show x comparison between the average position \bar{y} and the result of a corresponding classical model. In general, the oscillation of \bar{y} correspond to the collisions in classical mechanics. The period of the quantum oscillation is greater than the one of the classical collisions, while the difference between them is reduced as the quantum number n increases.




FIG. 3: A comparison between the average position \bar{y} and the corresponding results of a classical model when $\beta = \pi/10$. The three curves are obtained for the classical model (solid blue line), the semiclassical model with quantum number $n = 1$ (dashed red line), and $n = 10$ (dotted black line).




FIG. 4: The fully quantum Galperin model is equivalent to a free particle in a two-dimensional unbounded sector domain in the first quadrant where $\frac{y}{x} \in [0, \sqrt{\frac{m}{M}}]$.

III. FULLY QUANTUM MODEL

We now consider a fully quantum model in which both balls are described by quantum mechanics. The stationary Schrödinger equation of the fully quantum model can be written as

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + V(x, y) \right] \Psi(x, y) = E\Psi(x, y). \quad (11)$$

where x and y denote respectively the positions of the big ball of mass M and the small ball of mass m , respectively and

$$V(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq y \leq x, \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Such a two-body problem in one-dimensional space can be converted into a free particle in a two-dimensional unbounded sector domain. Namely, introducing the polar coordinates

$$\sqrt{M}x = \rho \cos \theta, \quad \sqrt{m}y = \rho \sin \theta, \quad (13)$$

and the Schrödinger equation turns to (see Supplementary material for more details)

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \right) + V(\theta) \right] \Psi(\rho, \theta) = E\Psi(\rho, \theta), \quad (14)$$

where

$$V(\theta) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq \theta \leq \beta = \arctan \sqrt{\frac{m}{M}}, \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

When $M \gg m$, $\rho \approx \sqrt{M}x$ and $\theta/\beta \approx y/x$. The former approaches to the position of the big ball, and the latter becomes the (normalized) position of the small one corresponding to the curves in Fig. 3.

As the potential $V(\theta)$ does not depend on the radial coordinate ρ , the stationary Schrödinger eq.(14) can be solved by using the separation of variables method. We assume that $\Psi(\rho, \theta) = R(\rho)\Phi(\theta)$ and $\Phi(\theta) = 0$ when $\theta \notin [0, \beta]$. The Schrödinger equation turns to

$$-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \Phi(\theta) = l^2 \Phi(\theta), \quad (16)$$

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{l^2}{\rho^2} \right) R(\rho) = ER(\rho). \quad (17)$$

The eigenfunctions and eigenvalues for the angular part can be directly found to be $\Phi(\theta) = \sin l\theta$ when $\theta \in [0, \beta]$ and $l = n\pi/\beta$ with $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. The physical solution to the radial eq.(17) is a linear combination of the first kind of Bessel function, as the second kind of Bessel function (Neumann function) tends to $-\infty$ when $\rho \rightarrow 0$ [12]. Here, we write the common eigenfunctions in terms of the Hankel functions as

$$\Psi_{k,l}(\rho, \theta) = [H_l^{(1)}(k\rho) + H_l^{(2)}(k\rho)] \sin l\theta, \quad (18)$$

with $k = \sqrt{2E}/\hbar$ (see Supplementary material for more details).

The probability current density of the stationary wave function (18) can be divided into two equal and opposite parts without cross terms (see Supplementary material for more details), which are along the radial direction and correspond to the two terms $H_l^{(1)}(k\rho) \sin l\theta$ and $H_l^{(2)}(k\rho) \sin l\theta$ respectively. In addition, when $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ [12],

$$H_l^{(1)}(k\rho) \approx \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi k\rho}} e^{i[(k\rho - (l + \frac{1}{2})\frac{\pi}{2})]}, \quad (19)$$

$$H_l^{(2)}(k\rho) \approx \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi k\rho}} e^{-i[(k\rho - (l + \frac{1}{2})\frac{\pi}{2})]}, \quad (20)$$

which become spherical waves in the two-dimensional sector domain. Therefore, the two terms, $H_l^{(1)}(k\rho) \sin l\theta$ and $H_l^{(2)}(k\rho) \sin l\theta$ are incident and outgoing waves, respectively. They can be regarded as the quantum correspondences of the two movement processes in the classical model divided by the retracing point of the big ball. Here, an observation is that, although a more reasonable incidence wave should promulgate along x -axis, the incident direction makes a difference of at most 1 on the number of classical reflections in the unbounded sector domain in Fig. 4 [4]. The phase shift between the incident and outgoing waves can be directly derived from the asymptotic formulations (19) and (20) as

$$\delta(n) = (l + \frac{1}{2})\pi = (\frac{n\pi}{\beta} + \frac{1}{2})\pi. \quad (21)$$

It does not depend on the wavenumber k , or energy E equivalently, and can be regarded as the phase gained by the standing wave $\sin l\theta$. The difference between two adjacent phase shifts is

$$\Delta\delta = \delta(n+1) - \delta(n) = \frac{\pi^2}{\beta}. \quad (22)$$




FIG. 5: A comparison between the average position $\bar{\theta}$ and the corresponding results of a classical model when $\beta = \pi/10$. The three curves are obtained for the classical model (solid blue line) and the fully quantum model with quantum number $l = \frac{\pi}{\beta}$ (dashed red line) and $l = \frac{10\pi}{\beta}$ (dotted black line).

When $M \gg m$, it becomes $\Delta\delta = \pi^2 R$, which corresponds to the phase difference (9) in the semiclassical model and the change of phase in the classical model.

To observe the reciprocal motion of y between 0 and x , i.e., θ between 0 and β , we consider a superposition of two eigenfunctions with the same energy and adjacent angular quantum number l as

$$\Psi = \Psi_{k,l} + e^{ic\pi} \Psi_{k,l'}, \quad (23)$$

where $l = n\pi/\beta$, $l' = (n+1)\pi/\beta$ and $c = \frac{\pi}{2\beta}$. Here, the relative phase $e^{ic\pi}$ ensures the phase consistency between the average position in quantum model and classical position. We also divide it into incident and outgoing parts according to the superscripts of Hankel functions. The incident wave reads

$$\Psi^{\text{in}} = H_l^{(1)}(k\rho) \sin l\theta + e^{ic\pi} H_{l'}^{(1)}(k\rho) \sin l'\theta, \quad (24)$$

and the outgoing term has the same form with the Hankel functions of the first kind replaced with the second kind. For a fixed ρ , the average values of θ in the incident wave is

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\theta}(\rho) &= \frac{\int \Psi^{\text{in}*} \theta \Psi^{\text{in}} d\theta}{\int \Psi^{\text{in}*} \Psi^{\text{in}} d\theta} \\ &= \frac{\beta}{2} - \frac{\beta}{\pi^2} \frac{8n(n+1)}{(2n+1)^2} \frac{e^{ic\pi} H_l^{(2)} H_{l'}^{(1)} + e^{-ic\pi} H_l^{(1)} H_{l'}^{(2)}}{H_l^{(1)} H_l^{(2)} + H_{l'}^{(1)} H_{l'}^{(2)}}, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where we omit the argument $k\rho$ to the Hankel functions and the result in outgoing wave for simplicity. The expression (25) is similar to the average position (6) of the quantum particle in the semiclassical model. In addition, the vibration of the average value $\bar{\theta}(\rho)$ with ρ de-

pends on the relative phase between $H_l^{(1)}$ and $H_{l'}^{(2)}$, since $H_\gamma^{(1)*} = H_\gamma^{(2)}$ and $|H_\gamma^{(1)}(k\rho)|$ is a monotonous decrease function of $k\rho$.

In Fig. 5, we show a comparison between the average value $\bar{\theta}(\rho)$ and the classical motion of θ , as functions of

$$\eta = \arccos \frac{l}{k\rho}. \quad (26)$$

As η increases from 0 to $\frac{\pi}{2}$, the value of ρ changes continuously from $\frac{l}{k}$ to $+\infty$. Here, $\frac{l}{k}$ is the quantum correspondence of the minimum of ρ in the classical model, i.e., the retracing point of the classical model $\rho = \rho_{\text{min}}$. Thus, the parameter η in the classical model is defined by replacing $\frac{l}{k}$ with ρ_{min} in eq.(26). Although the value of ρ is allowed to be less than $\frac{l}{k}$ in the fully quantum model, there is no vibration of $\bar{\theta}(\rho)$ in the region of $\rho < \frac{l}{k}$. There is an obvious stationary region of the fully quantum model where η is near zero. The region becomes smaller as the quantum number l increases. When $l \rightarrow +\infty$, this region almost disappears, and the period of the quantum oscillation is consistent with the one of the classical collisions.

IV. SUMMARY

In summary, we study two quantum extensions of the Galperin billiards, one of which is semiclassical and the other is fully quantum. In addition, we assume the small quantum ball in the semiclassical model, can be treated under the adiabatic approximation as the big classical ball moves adiabatically. The phase shifts in the two models are shown to correspond to the number of collisions in classical mechanics.

As the quantum model connects the digits of π in the classical Galperin model with the spectrum of a quantum particle in a two-dimensional unbounded sector domain, it would be intriguing to study the relationship among the digits of π and other physical problems in the same domain, such as statistical mechanics and phonon eigenfunctions. Actually, these physical problems in similar domains are attracting attention [13, 14].

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11675119, 11575125, and 11105097).

-
- [1] P. Beckmann. *A History of π* . St. Martin's Press, New York, 1971.
- [2] L. Berggren, J. Borwein, and P. Borwein. *Pi: A Source Book*, 3rd edition. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004.

- [3] J. P. Delahaye. *Le fascinant nombre π* . Pour La Science, Berlin, 1977.
- [4] G. A. Galperin. Playing pool with π (the number π from a billiard point of view). *Regular & Chaotic Dynamics*,

- 8(4):375–394, 2003.
- [5] G. A. Galperin. Dynamical billiard system for the number π . *Matematicheskoye Prosveschenye*, 3:137–138, 2001.
- [6] A. R. Brown. Playing pool with $|\psi\rangle$: from bouncing billiards to quantum search. *Quantum*, 4:357, 2020.
- [7] Wu-Sheng Dai and Mi Xie. The number of eigenstates: counting function and heat kernel. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2009(02):033, 2009.
- [8] Wen-Du Li and Wu-Sheng Dai. Heat-kernel approach for scattering. *The European Physical Journal C*, 75(6):1–14, 2015.
- [9] Mark Kac. Can one hear the shape of a drum? *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 73(4P2):1–23, 1966.
- [10] D. J. Griffiths and D. F. Schroeter. *Introduction to Quantum Mechanics*, pages 546–547. Cambridge university press, Cambridge, 2018.
- [11] I. V. Gorelyshev. On the full number of collisions in certain one-dimensional billiard problems. *Regular & Chaotic Dynamics*, 11(1):61–66, 2006.
- [12] Milton Abramowitz and Irene A Stegun. *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables*, volume 55, page 360. US Government printing office, 1964.
- [13] Grace H Zhang and David R Nelson. Statistical mechanics of low angle grain boundaries in two dimensions. *Physical Review Letters*, 125(21):215503, 2020.
- [14] Grace H Zhang and David R Nelson. Phonon eigenfunctions of inhomogeneous lattices: Can you hear the shape of a cone? *Physical Review E*, 104(6):065005, 2021.

Supplementary material

Proof of the relation $\langle \Psi_n | d | \Psi_n \rangle = 0$

The eigenstate Ψ_n is

$$\Psi_n(y) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{x}} \sin \frac{n\pi y}{x}. \quad (\text{S1})$$

The derivative with respect to t , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d\Psi_n &= \sin \frac{n\pi y}{x} \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2x^3}}\right) dx + \sqrt{\frac{2}{x}} \left(-\frac{n\pi y}{x^2}\right) \cos \frac{n\pi y}{x} \cdot dx \\ &= \left[-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2x^3}} \sin \frac{n\pi y}{x} - \frac{\sqrt{2}n\pi y}{\sqrt{x^5}} \cos \frac{n\pi y}{x}\right] dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2x^3}} \left[\sin \frac{n\pi y}{x} + \frac{2n\pi y}{x} \cos \frac{n\pi y}{x}\right] dx. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S2})$$

Therefore

$$\langle \Psi_n | d | \Psi_n \rangle = -\frac{1}{x^2} dx \int_0^x \left[\sin^2 \frac{n\pi y}{x} + \frac{n\pi y}{x} \sin \frac{2n\pi y}{x}\right] dy. \quad (\text{S3})$$

The first integral of the above formula is

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^x \sin^2 \frac{n\pi y}{x} dy &= \int_0^x \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \cos \frac{2n\pi y}{x}\right) dy \\ &= \frac{x}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^x \cos \frac{2n\pi y}{x} dy \\ &= \frac{x}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S4})$$

the second is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^x \frac{n\pi y}{x} \sin \frac{2n\pi y}{x} dy \\
&= -\frac{x}{2n\pi} \int_0^x \frac{n\pi y}{x} d \cos \frac{2n\pi y}{x} \\
&= -\frac{x}{2n\pi} \left[\left(\cos \frac{2n\pi y}{x} \frac{n\pi y}{x} \right) \Big|_0^x - \frac{n\pi}{x} \int_0^x \cos \frac{2n\pi y}{x} dy \right] \\
&= -\frac{x}{2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{S5}$$

Substitution Eq.(S4) and Eq.(S5) into Eq.(S3) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Psi_n | d | \Psi_n \rangle &= -\frac{1}{x^2} dx \int_0^x \left[\sin^2 \frac{n\pi y}{x} + \frac{n\pi y}{x} \sin \frac{2n\pi y}{x} \right] dy \\
&= -\frac{1}{x^2} dx \left(\frac{x}{2} - \frac{x}{2} \right) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{S6}$$

The average position of the small-ball

We consider a superposition of two adjacent levels as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\Psi_n + \Psi_{n+1}) \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{1}{x}} \left(\sin \frac{n\pi y}{x} \cdot e^{-i \int_0^t \frac{E_n}{\hbar} dt} + \sin \frac{(n+1)\pi y}{x} \cdot e^{-i \int_0^t \frac{E_{n+1}}{\hbar} dt} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{S7}$$

Then let us calculate the average position of the small ball.

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{|y|} &= \langle \Psi | y | \Psi \rangle \\
&= \int_0^x \left[\sqrt{\frac{1}{x}} \left(\sin \frac{n\pi y}{x} \cdot e^{i \int_0^t \frac{E_n}{\hbar} dt} + \sin \frac{(n+1)\pi y}{x} \cdot e^{i \int_0^t \frac{E_{n+1}}{\hbar} dt} \right) \right] y \\
&\quad \cdot \left[\sqrt{\frac{1}{x}} \left(\sin \frac{n\pi y}{x} \cdot e^{-i \int_0^t \frac{E_n}{\hbar} dt} + \sin \frac{(n+1)\pi y}{x} \cdot e^{-i \int_0^t \frac{E_{n+1}}{\hbar} dt} \right) \right] dy \\
&= \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \sin^2 \frac{n\pi y}{x} y dy + \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \sin^2 \frac{(n+1)\pi y}{x} y dy \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \left[\sin \frac{n\pi y}{x} \sin \frac{(n+1)\pi y}{x} y \right] dy \cos \int_0^t \frac{E_n - E_{n+1}}{\hbar} dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{S8}$$

The first integral of the above formula is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \sin^2 \frac{n\pi y}{x} y dy \\
&= \frac{1}{2x} \int_0^x \left(1 - \cos \frac{2n\pi y}{x} \right) y dy \\
&= \frac{1}{2x} \left(\int_0^x y dy - \int_0^x \cos \frac{2n\pi y}{x} y dy \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2x} \left(\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{x}{2n\pi} \int_0^x y d \sin \frac{2n\pi y}{x} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2x} \left(\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{x}{2n\pi} \left[\left(y \sin \frac{2n\pi y}{x} \right) \Big|_0^x - \int_0^x \sin \frac{2n\pi y}{x} dy \right] \right) \\
&= \frac{x}{4}.
\end{aligned} \tag{S9}$$

The second one is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \sin^2 \frac{(n+1)\pi y}{x} y dy & (S10) \\
&= \frac{1}{2x} \int_0^x \left(1 - \cos \frac{2(n+1)\pi y}{x} \right) y dy \\
&= \frac{1}{2x} \left(\int_0^x y dy - \int_0^x \cos \frac{2(n+1)\pi y}{x} y dy \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2x} \left(\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{x}{2n\pi} \int_0^x y d \sin \frac{2(n+1)\pi y}{x} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2x} \left(\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{x}{2n\pi} \left[\left(y \sin \frac{2(n+1)\pi y}{x} \right) \Big|_0^x - \int_0^x \sin \frac{2(n+1)\pi y}{x} dy \right] \right) \\
&= \frac{x}{4}.
\end{aligned}$$

The integral in the third term of Eq.(S7) is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^x y \sin \frac{n\pi y}{x} \sin \frac{(n+1)\pi y}{x} dy & (S11) \\
&= \int_0^x y \sin \frac{n\pi y}{x} \sin \frac{(n+1)\pi y}{x} dy \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^x \left[y \cos \frac{(2n+1)\pi y}{x} - y \cos \frac{\pi y}{x} \right] dy \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{x}{(2n+1)\pi} \int_0^x y d \sin \frac{(2n+1)\pi y}{x} - \frac{x}{\pi} \int_0^x y d \sin \frac{\pi y}{x} \right] \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{x}{(2n+1)\pi} \left[\frac{-2x}{(2n+1)\pi} \right] - \frac{x}{\pi} \left(\frac{-2x}{\pi} \right) \right] \\
&= x^2 \left[\frac{1}{(2n+1)^2 \pi^2} - \frac{1}{\pi^2} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

thus the third term is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2}{x} \int_0^x \left[\sin \frac{n\pi y}{x} \sin \frac{(n+1)\pi y}{x} y \right] dy \cos \int_0^t \frac{E_n - E_{n+1}}{\hbar} dt \\
&= -\frac{x}{\pi^2} \frac{8n(n+1)}{(2n+1)^2} \cos \int_0^t \frac{E_n - E_{n+1}}{\hbar} dt. & (S12)
\end{aligned}$$

Then the average position of the small-ball Eq.(S8) can be written as

$$\overline{|y|} = \frac{x}{2} - \frac{x}{\pi^2} \frac{8n(n+1)}{(2n+1)^2} \cos \int_0^t \frac{E_{n+1} - E_n}{\hbar} dt, \quad (S13)$$

The Schrödinger equation of the fully quantum model in polar coordinates

The stationary Schrödinger equation of the fully quantum model can be written as

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + V(x, y) \right] \Psi(x, y) = E \Psi(x, y), \quad (S14)$$

where

$$V(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq y \leq x, \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (S15)$$

Introducing the polar coordinates

$$\sqrt{M}x = \rho \cos \theta, \quad \sqrt{m}y = \rho \sin \theta, \quad (S16)$$

and substitution Eq.(S16) into Eq.(S15) gives

$$V = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq \theta \leq \beta = \arctan \sqrt{\frac{m}{M}}, \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (\text{S17})$$

Then, the stationary Schrödinger equation can be written as

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \left(\frac{\rho \cos \theta}{\sqrt{M}} \right)^2} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \left(\frac{\rho \sin \theta}{\sqrt{m}} \right)^2} + V(\theta) \right] \Psi(\rho, \theta) = E\Psi(\rho, \theta), \quad (\text{S18})$$

and

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial (\rho \sin \theta)^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial (\rho \cos \theta)^2} \right) + V(\theta) \right] \Psi(\rho, \theta) = E\Psi(\rho, \theta). \quad (\text{S19})$$

It is easy to find that the above formula is of the form of a two-dimensional Schrodinger equation. The Laplace operator in polar coordinates is given by

$$\nabla^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial (\rho \sin \theta)^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial (\rho \cos \theta)^2} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2}, \quad (\text{S20})$$

The Schrödinger equation turns to

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \right) + V(\theta) \right] \Psi(\rho, \theta) = E\Psi(\rho, \theta). \quad (\text{S21})$$

where

$$V(\theta) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq \theta \leq \beta, \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (\text{S22})$$

The scattering wave function of the fully quantum model

The stationary Schrödinger equation of the fully quantum model can be written as

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \right) \Psi(\rho, \theta) + V(\theta) \Psi(\rho, \theta) = E\Psi(\rho, \theta). \quad (\text{S23})$$

Substitution the trial solution $\Psi(\rho, \theta) = R(\rho)\Phi(\theta)$ into the above formula gives

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \Phi(\theta) + V(\theta) \Phi(\theta) = E_\theta \Phi(\theta), \quad (\text{S24})$$

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{2E_\theta}{\hbar^2} \right) R(\rho) = ER(\rho). \quad (\text{S24})$$

Let

$$l^2 = \frac{2\rho^2 E_\theta}{\hbar^2}, \quad (\text{S25})$$

Eq.(S24) becomes

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \Phi(\theta) + l^2 \Phi(\theta) = 0, \quad (0 \leq \theta \leq \beta). \quad (\text{S26})$$

The solution of the above formula is

$$\Phi(\theta) = \sin l\theta, \quad (\text{S27})$$

where

$$l = \frac{n\pi}{\beta}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (\text{S28})$$

It follows from Eq.(S25) that

$$E_\theta = \frac{n^2 \pi^2 \hbar^2}{2\rho^2 \beta^2}. \quad (\text{S29})$$

Substitution Eq.(S29) into Eq.(S24) gives

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} R(\rho) + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} R(\rho) + \left(\frac{2E}{\hbar^2} - \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{\beta^2} \right) R(\rho) = 0. \quad (\text{S30})$$

Let

$$k^2 = \frac{2E}{\hbar^2}, \quad \xi = k\rho, \quad (\text{S31})$$

Eq.(S30) becomes

$$\frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial R}{\partial \xi} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\xi^2} \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{\beta^2} \right) R = 0. \quad (\text{S32})$$

As the second kind of Bessel function (Neumann function) tends to $-\infty$ when $\rho \rightarrow 0$, the physical solution to the above formula is a linear combination of the first kind of the Bessel function, [12]

$$R_l = C_l J_l(k\rho). \quad (\text{S33})$$

For the following calculation, we replace the Bessel function with the Hankel function

$$R_l = C_l \left[H_l^{(1)}(k\rho) + H_l^{(2)}(k\rho) \right], \quad (\text{S34})$$

where [12]

$$H_\nu^{(1)}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty + \pi i} e^{z \sinh t - \nu t} dt, \quad (\text{S35})$$

$$H_\nu^{(2)}(x) = -\frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty - \pi i} e^{z \sinh t - \nu t} dt.$$

The no-normalized wave function of this system can be written as

$$\Psi_{k,l}(\rho, \theta) = R(\rho)_l \Phi(\theta) = [H_l^{(1)}(k\rho) + H_l^{(2)}(k\rho)] \sin l\theta. \quad (\text{S36})$$

The probability current density of the scattering wave function Eq.(18) can be divided into two equal and opposite parts without cross term

The incident and outgoing waves can be written as

$$\Psi^{in} = H_l^{(1)}(k\rho) \sin l\theta, \quad (\text{S37})$$

$$\Psi^{sc} = H_l^{(2)}(k\rho) \sin l\theta.$$

The wave function is

$$\Psi = \Psi^{in} + \Psi^{sc} = \left[H_l^{(1)}(k\rho) + H_l^{(2)}(k\rho) \right] \sin l\theta. \quad (\text{S38})$$

The probability current density is

$$J = \frac{1}{2}(\Psi^* \rho \Psi - \Psi \rho \Psi^*) = -\frac{i\hbar}{2}(\Psi^* \nabla \Psi - \Psi \nabla \Psi^*), \quad (\text{S39})$$

where

$$\nabla = \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \vec{e}_\rho + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \vec{e}_\theta. \quad (\text{S40})$$

Utilizing the properties [12]

$$\begin{aligned} H_\nu^{(1)*}(x) &= H_\nu^{(2)}(x), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} H_\nu(x) &= \frac{1}{2} [H_{\nu-1}(x) - H_{\nu+1}(x)], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S41})$$

one can calculate the probability current density of the incident wave as

$$J^{in} = -\frac{i\hbar}{2} (\Psi^{in*} \nabla \Psi^{in} - \Psi^{in} \nabla \Psi^{in*}). \quad (\text{S42})$$

The first term of the above formula is

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^{in*} \nabla \Psi^{in} &= \rho \sin^2(l\theta) H_l^{(2)}(k\rho) \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} H_l^{(1)}(k\rho) \vec{e}_\rho \\ &+ H_l^{(1)}(k\rho) H_l^{(2)}(k\rho) \frac{l \sin l\theta \cos l\theta}{\rho} \vec{e}_\theta \\ &= \frac{k\rho}{2} \sin^2(l\theta) H_l^{(2)}(k\rho) \left(H_{l-1}^{(1)}(k\rho) - H_{l+1}^{(1)}(k\rho) \right) \vec{e}_\rho \\ &+ H_l^{(1)}(k\rho) H_l^{(2)}(k\rho) \frac{l \sin l\theta \cos l\theta}{\rho} \vec{e}_\theta, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S43})$$

and the second one is

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^{in} \nabla \Psi^{in*} &= \rho \sin^2(l\theta) H_l^{(1)}(k\rho) \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} H_l^{(2)}(k\rho) \vec{e}_\rho \\ &+ H_l^{(1)}(k\rho) H_l^{(2)}(k\rho) \frac{l \sin l\theta \cos l\theta}{\rho} \vec{e}_\theta \\ &= \frac{k\rho}{2} \sin^2(l\theta) H_l^{(1)}(k\rho) \left(H_{l-1}^{(2)}(k\rho) - H_{l+1}^{(2)}(k\rho) \right) \vec{e}_\rho \\ &+ H_l^{(1)}(k\rho) H_l^{(2)}(k\rho) \frac{l \sin l\theta \cos l\theta}{\rho} \vec{e}_\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S44})$$

Then, Eq.(S44) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} J^{in} &= -\frac{i\hbar}{2} (\Psi^{in*} \nabla \Psi^{in} - \Psi^{in} \nabla \Psi^{in*}) \\ &= -\frac{i\hbar k\rho}{4} \sin^2(l\theta) \left[H_l^{(2)}(k\rho) \left(H_{l-1}^{(1)}(k\rho) - H_{l+1}^{(1)}(k\rho) \right) - H_l^{(1)}(k\rho) \left(H_{l-1}^{(2)}(k\rho) - H_{l+1}^{(2)}(k\rho) \right) \right] \vec{e}_\rho. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S45})$$

Similarly, the probability current density of the radio wave can be obtained

$$\begin{aligned} J^{sc} &= -\frac{i\hbar}{2} (\Psi^{sc*} \nabla \Psi^{sc} - \Psi^{sc} \nabla \Psi^{sc*}) \\ &= -\frac{i\hbar k\rho}{4} \sin^2(l\theta) \left[H_l^{(1)}(k\rho) \left(H_{l-1}^{(2)}(k\rho) - H_{l+1}^{(2)}(k\rho) \right) - H_l^{(2)}(k\rho) \left(H_{l-1}^{(1)}(k\rho) - H_{l+1}^{(1)}(k\rho) \right) \right] \vec{e}_\rho. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S46})$$

Then we calculate the probability current density of the wave function. Its first item is

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi^* \nabla \Psi &= \rho \sin^2(l\theta) \left[H_l^{(1)}(k\rho) + H_l^{(2)}(k\rho) \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left[H_l^{(1)}(k\rho) + H_l^{(2)}(k\rho) \right] \vec{e}_\rho \\
&\quad + \left[H_l^{(1)}(k\rho) + H_l^{(2)}(k\rho) \right] \left[H_l^{(1)}(k\rho) + H_l^{(2)}(k\rho) \right] \frac{1}{\rho} \sin l\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sin l\theta \vec{e}_\theta \\
&= \frac{k\rho}{2} \sin^2(l\theta) \left[H_l^{(1)}(k\rho) + H_l^{(2)}(k\rho) \right] \left[H_{l-1}^{(1)}(k\rho) - H_{l+1}^{(1)}(k\rho) + H_{l-1}^{(2)}(k\rho) - H_{l+1}^{(2)}(k\rho) \right] \vec{e}_\rho \\
&\quad + \left[H_l^{(1)}(k\rho) + H_l^{(2)}(k\rho) \right] \left[H_l^{(1)}(k\rho) + H_l^{(2)}(k\rho) \right] \frac{l \sin l\theta \cos l\theta}{\rho} \vec{e}_\theta,
\end{aligned} \tag{S47}$$

the second is

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi \nabla \Psi^* &= \frac{k\rho}{2} \sin^2(l\theta) \left[H_l^{(2)}(k\rho) + H_l^{(1)}(k\rho) \right] \left[H_{l-1}^{(2)}(k\rho) - H_{l+1}^{(2)}(k\rho) + H_{l-1}^{(1)}(k\rho) - H_{l+1}^{(1)}(k\rho) \right] \vec{e}_\rho \\
&\quad + \left[H_l^{(2)}(k\rho) + H_l^{(1)}(k\rho) \right] \left[H_l^{(2)}(k\rho) + H_l^{(1)}(k\rho) \right] \frac{l \sin l\theta \cos l\theta}{\rho} \vec{e}_\theta.
\end{aligned} \tag{S48}$$

So, the probability current density of the wave function is

$$\begin{aligned}
J &= -\frac{i\hbar}{2} (\Psi^* \nabla \Psi - \Psi \nabla \Psi^*) \\
&= -\frac{i\hbar k\rho}{4} \sin^2(l\theta) \left(H_l^{(2)}(k\rho) \left[H_{l-1}^{(1)}(k\rho) - H_{l+1}^{(1)}(k\rho) \right] - H_l^{(1)}(k\rho) \left[H_{l-1}^{(2)}(k\rho) - H_{l+1}^{(2)}(k\rho) \right] \right) \vec{e}_\rho \\
&\quad - \frac{i\hbar k\rho}{4} \sin^2(l\theta) \left(H_l^{(1)}(k\rho) \left[H_{l-1}^{(2)}(k\rho) - H_{l+1}^{(2)}(k\rho) \right] - H_l^{(2)}(k\rho) \left[H_{l-1}^{(1)}(k\rho) - H_{l+1}^{(1)}(k\rho) \right] \right) \vec{e}_\rho \\
&= J^{in} + J^{sc} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{S49}$$

It is clear that, the ecurrent density of the stationary wave function Eq.(18) in the main text can be divided into the incident and outgoing parts, which are opposite, without cross term.
