

Momentum gauge fields from curved momentum space through Kaluza-Klein reduction

Eduardo Guendelman*

Department of Physics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva, Israel

*Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies, Giersch Science Center,
Campus Riedberg, Frankfurt am Main, Germany*

*Bahamas Advanced Studies Institute and Conferences,
4A Ocean Heights, Hill View Circle,
Stella Maris, Long Island, The Bahamas*

Fabian Wagner[†]

*University of Szczecin, Department of Physics,
Wielkopolska 15, PL-71-061 Szczecin, Poland*

(Dated: August 2, 2022)

Abstract

In this work we uncover the intimate relation between curved momentum space and momentum gauge fields. While the former concept has found consideration for some time and been shown to be tied to minimal-length models, the latter constitutes a relatively recent development in quantum gravity phenomenology. In particular, the gauge principle in momentum space is based on a covariant derivative-like modification of the momentum space representation of the position operator ($\hat{X}_\mu = i\hbar\partial/\partial P_\mu$) as required by minimal coupling. Here, we derive both effects from a Kaluza-Klein reduction of a higher-dimensional geometry exhibiting curvature in momentum space. The interplay of the emerging gauge fields as well as the remaining curved momentum space at lowest order lead to modifications of the Heisenberg algebra. While the gauge fields imply Moyal-type noncommutativity dependent on the analogue field strength tensor, the dimensionally reduced curved momentum space geometry translates to a Snyder-type noncommutative geometry.

*Electronic address: guendel@bgu.ac.il; Electronic address: guendelman@fias.uni-frankfurt.de

[†]Electronic address: fabian.wagner@usz.edu.pl

I. INTRODUCTION

The idea of spacetime being endowed with a minimum length or area has captured a lot of attention over the last couple of decades. A popular model of this kind is given by noncommutative geometry of Moyal-type, by which we mean coordinates obeying the algebra

$$[x^\mu, x^\nu] = i\Theta^{\mu\nu}, \quad (1)$$

where $\Theta^{\mu\nu}$ is an anti-symmetric, *constant* rank-2 tensor and we work in units in which $\hbar = 1$. The remainder of the Heisenberg algebra stays unmodified. Following the Schrödinger-Robertson relation, this construction leads to a minimal quantum of area

$$\Delta x^\mu \Delta x^\nu \geq \frac{1}{2} |\Theta_{\mu\nu}|. \quad (2)$$

A review of noncommutative field theory can be found in [1], and interesting applications of noncommutative geometry to modifications on the hydrogen atom spectrum can be found in [2]. Moreover, noncommutative geometry may cure the singularities found in black holes and other solutions in general relativity[3].

Seen from a different angle, the algebra of single-particle observables may be deformed in a different way as it is often done in the context of minimum-length quantum mechanics [4–11] embodied by generalized uncertainty principles. These can be derived from algebras of the form

$$[\hat{x}^\mu, \hat{p}_\nu] = i f_\nu^\mu(\hat{p}) \quad [\hat{x}^\mu, \hat{x}^\nu] = i\theta(\hat{p})\hat{j}^{\mu\nu} \quad [\hat{p}_\mu, \hat{p}_\nu] = 0, \quad (3)$$

with the (possibly modified) generators of Lorentz-transformations $\hat{j}^{\mu\nu} = 2\hat{x}^{[\mu}\hat{p}^{\nu]}$ and the arbitrary functions of momenta f_ν^μ and θ .

We now ask whether there are geometric constructions which may naturally lead to either type of deformed Heisenberg algebra. The answer is yes, and we have two candidates:

1. Curved Momentum space [12–15] and
2. A construction which involves gauge fields in momentum space [16].

We show that these two approaches are intimately related because the gauge fields in momentum space can be obtained from curved momentum-space geometry via Kaluza-Klein reduction. This formalism was originally proposed in coordinate space by [17], [18] and then further developed in [19]. In this context the off-diagonal components of the metric, *i. e.* the

ones mixing an extra dimension with ordinary dimensions, are reinterpreted as gauge fields. Similarly, gauge invariance is reinterpreted as a subgroup of general coordinate invariance.

Note that these calculations are done in the context of relativistic single-particle quantum mechanics. While we are aware of the caveats that a consideration of algebra at the spacetime-level involves such as the nonexistence of a time-operator, we do not attempt to resolve them here (see [20–22] for some approaches towards time-operators).

The paper is structured as follows. In section II, we introduce the notion of curved momentum space and its connection to generalized uncertainty principles. Section III is devoted to the Kaluza-Klein reduction leading to the main result of the paper. Finally, we conclude in section IV.

II. CURVED MOMENTUM SPACE

It has been known for a some time that effects studied within the field of Quantum Gravity Phenomenology [23, 24] find their geometric counterpart in curved momentum space. In that vein, Lorentz invariance violating theories can be understood geometrically in terms of Finsler or Hamilton geometries [25–28] (for the mathematical groundwork see [29]). Similarly, Deformed Special Relativity [30, 31] is set on a de Sitter-shaped momentum space [32, 33].

Recently, it has been shown that modified Heisenberg algebras follow a similar pattern [12–15]: Nonrelativistic single-particle theories exhibiting a generalized uncertainty principle as well as a noncommutative geometry can be mapped onto theories yielding nonrelativistic single-particle dynamics on curved momentum space.

This ansatz may as well be applied in reversed order [14] such that a noncommutative space emerges from an underlying momentum space geometry. Assume, for example, the background to be described in terms of coordinates normal at the origin of momentum space. Then, the geodesic distances from the origin in position and momentum space read $\sigma_X^2 = \eta_{\mu\nu} e_\rho^\mu(\hat{P}) \hat{X}^\rho e_\sigma^\nu(\hat{P}) \hat{X}^\sigma$ and $\sigma_P^2 = \eta^{\mu\nu} \hat{P}_\mu \hat{P}_\nu$, with the *vielbein* e_ν^μ and the Minkowski metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$, and where Greek indices range from 0 until $d - 1$. We further understand the phase space variables \hat{P}_i and \hat{X}^i as canonical, thus satisfying the Heisenberg algebra

$$[\hat{X}^\mu, \hat{P}_\nu] = i\delta_\nu^\mu, \quad [\hat{X}^\mu, \hat{X}^\nu] = [\hat{P}_\mu, \hat{P}_\nu] = 0. \quad (4)$$

In this context, a covariant potential will depend on geodesic distances, say, from the origin $V(\sigma_x^2)$ as in the covariant isotropic harmonic oscillator $V \propto \sigma_x^2$. Moreover, if it is supposed to be covariant with respect to coordinate transformations in momentum space, the kinetic term in the Hamiltonian K has to be dependent on the geodesic distance from the origin in momentum space $K = K(\sigma_p^2)$. Given a background expanded around the origin in terms of normal coordinates, the said geodesic distance in momentum space simply becomes $\sigma_p^2 = \eta^{\mu\nu} P_\mu P_\nu$.

If we alternatively decide to describe the system at question in terms of different, non-Darboux coordinates by performing the noncanonical transformation

$$\hat{x}^\mu = e_\nu^\mu \hat{X}^\nu, \quad \hat{p}_\mu = \hat{P}_\mu, \quad (5)$$

those new variables will obey the algebra

$$[\hat{x}^\mu, \hat{p}_\nu] = i e_\nu^\mu, \quad [\hat{x}^\mu, \hat{x}^\nu] = 2i e_\rho^\mu \dot{\partial}^\rho (e_\sigma^\nu) (e^{-1})_\lambda^\sigma \hat{x}^\lambda, \quad [\hat{p}_\mu, \hat{p}_\nu] = 0, \quad (6)$$

with the derivative in momentum space $\dot{\partial}^\mu = \partial/\partial P_\mu = \partial/\partial p_\mu$. Furthermore, the Hamiltonian governing its evolution takes the shape

$$H = K(\hat{p}^2) + V(\hat{x}^2), \quad (7)$$

modulo additional fields, where we defined $\hat{x}^2 \equiv \eta_{\mu\nu} \hat{x}^\mu \hat{x}^\nu$ and $\hat{p}^2 \equiv \delta^{\mu\nu} \hat{p}_\mu \hat{p}_\nu$. Thus, we apparently describe dynamics in flat spacetime. This is, of course, not really the case. In fact, we just shifted all geometrical information to the algebra. Then, given a suitably chosen *vielbein*, this construction indeed results in a theory exhibiting a generalized uncertainty principle as well as a noncommutative geometry.

In particular, we may choose to expand the geometry in terms of Riemann Normal Coordinates around the origin in momentum space (*i. e.* for small momenta) such that the *vielbein* reads approximately

$$e_\nu^\mu = \delta_\nu^\mu + \frac{1}{6} S_\nu^{\rho\nu\sigma} \Big|_{\hat{P}=0} \hat{P}_\rho \hat{P}_\sigma. \quad (8)$$

The resulting modification to the Heisenberg algebra becomes

$$[\hat{x}^\mu, \hat{p}_\nu] \simeq i \left(\delta_\nu^\mu + S_\nu^{\rho\mu\sigma} \Big|_{p=0} \hat{p}_\rho \hat{p}_\sigma / 6 \right), \quad (9)$$

$$[\hat{x}^\mu, \hat{x}^\nu] \simeq i \left(S^{\nu\mu\rho\sigma} + S^{\sigma[\mu\nu]\rho} \right) \Big|_{p=0} \hat{j}_{\rho\sigma} / 6, \quad (10)$$

$$[\hat{p}_\mu, \hat{p}_\nu] = 0, \quad (11)$$

with the curvature tensor in momentum space S^{ijkl} . For instance a maximally symmetric space satisfying $S^{ijkl} = S(g^{ij}g^{kl} - g^{il}g^{jk})/d(d-1)$ (where S denotes the scalar curvature) implies the deformed algebra

$$[\hat{x}^\mu, \hat{p}_\nu] \simeq i\delta_\nu^\mu \left[1 + \frac{1}{6d(d-1)} S|_{p=0} \hat{p}^2 \right] - \frac{i}{6d(d-1)} S|_{p=0} \hat{p}^\mu \hat{p}_\nu, \quad (12)$$

$$[\hat{x}^\mu, \hat{x}^\nu] \simeq \frac{i}{2d(d-1)} S|_{p=0} \hat{j}^{\nu\mu}, \quad (13)$$

$$[\hat{p}_\mu, \hat{p}_\nu] = 0. \quad (14)$$

This surely is of the form contemplated in the literature on generalized uncertainty principles [10, 34–36]. Indeed, it is similar but not equivalent to a Snyder space [37–40].

III. KALUZA-KLEIN REDUCTION AND EMERGENCE OF MOMENTUM GAUGE FIELDS

The basic construction behind Kaluza-Klein theories in position space requires postulating an extra dimension, say d , in addition to the observed d dimensions (indicated by Greek letters, ranging from 0 until $d-1$ as above). While the metric has g_{dd} and $g_{d\mu}$ components, it is assumed to be independent of the coordinate X^d . The gauge fields are identified from the $g_{d\mu}$ components.

In the present work, we apply this idea to a momentum-dependent background. By complete analogy, all components of the metric are assumed to be P_d -independent, *i. e.* it satisfies the cylinder condition $\partial g_{IJ}/\partial P_d = 0$. The momentum gauge fields are identified from the $g_{d\mu}$ components.

In that vein, we assume the higher-dimensional background to be described by the metric

$$g^{IJ} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{\mu\nu}(\hat{P}_\rho) + \phi A^\mu A^\nu(\hat{P}_\rho) & \phi A^\mu(\hat{P}_\rho) \\ \phi A^\nu(\hat{P}_\rho) & \phi(\hat{P}_\rho) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (15)$$

with the dilaton field ϕ and the gauge one-form A_μ , and where the capitalized indices IJ range from 0 to d . Note that this definition is inverse to the usual one in coordinate space – in momentum space it is the rôles of the metric and its inverse are generally interchanged (see *e. g.* [41]).

In the relativistic setting, the kinetic term has to be a function of the geodesic distance from the origin in momentum space while the potential depends on distances in position

space, say from the origin. We further assume the particle not to be able to move in the additional dimension. Thus, the resulting Hamiltonian has to be evaluated at vanishing P_d . As a result, it has to be of the form

$$H = K[\sigma_p^2|_{\hat{P}_d=0}] + V[\sigma_x^2], \quad (16)$$

where K denotes the kinetic term and V the potential. Furthermore, $H \neq H(P_d)$, implies that the constant X^5 can be interpreted as a charge parameter.

Plugging in the metric (15), the geodesic distance from the origin in position space becomes

$$\sigma_x^2 = g_{IJ}X^iX^j = g_{\mu\nu}(X^\mu - X^d A^\mu)(X^\nu - X^d A^\nu) + (X^d)^2/\phi. \quad (17)$$

This is to be described in terms of d coordinates x^μ such that $\sigma_x^2 = x^2$. This procedure can be simplified by choosing the dilaton to be a large constant such that the last term is negligible (a large interval for the extra momentum dimension will imply a small interval in terms of coordinate space). As a result, the inverse metric reads

$$g_{IJ} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{\mu\nu} & A_\mu \\ A_\nu & A_\rho A^\rho \end{pmatrix}. \quad (18)$$

Applying this identification, we immediately obtain

$$\sigma_x^2 = g_{\mu\nu}(X^\mu - X^d A^\mu)(X^\nu - X^d A^\nu) = x^2, \quad (19)$$

with the new coordinate

$$\hat{x}^\mu = e^\mu_\nu(\hat{X}^\nu - X^d A^\nu). \quad (20)$$

Concerning the kinetic term, the projection on $P_d = 0$, which is valid for the whole geodesic, implies that the geodesic distance from the origin in momentum space is calculated within the submanifold with reduced dimensionality obtained after the reduction. Then, it satisfies the differential equation [42]

$$4\sigma_p^2|_{P_d=0} = g_{IJ}\hat{\partial}^I\sigma_p^2|_{P_d=0}\hat{\partial}^J\sigma_p^2|_{P_d=0} = g_{\mu\nu}\hat{\partial}^\mu\sigma_p^2|_{P_d=0}\hat{\partial}^\nu\sigma_p^2|_{P_d=0}. \quad (21)$$

As a result, the kinetic term is independent of the momentum gauge fields and can be derived solely from the lower-dimensional metric.

Additionally assuming, as in the preceding section, that the original system was described in terms of normal coordinates expanded around the origin in momentum space, the geodesic

distance can be expressed as $\sigma_p^2 = \eta^{\mu\nu} P_\mu P_\nu$. In this case, we may thus complement the transformation of the coordinates with an identity transformation of the momenta such that we generalize Eq. (5) to

$$\hat{x}^\mu = e_\nu^\mu (\hat{X}^\nu - X^d A^\mu), \quad \hat{p}_\mu = \hat{P}_\mu. \quad (22)$$

Using the momentum space representation of the position operator ($\hat{X}^\mu = i\hbar\partial/\partial P_\mu$), Eq. (22) exactly amounts to the minimal coupling prescription of the momentum derivative (the position operator) discussed in [16], *i. e.* a covariant derivative in momentum space \dot{D}_μ , where X^d plays the rôle of a charge. Notice that since the Hamiltonian is P_d -independent, Noether's theorem implies that its canonically conjugate variable X^d is a conserved quantity, consistent with its interpretation as charge. As a matter of fact, this is the exact analogue of the coordinate space Kaluza-Klein theories within which the independence of the metric with respect to the d^{th} dimension leads to the interpretation of P_d as electric charge.

As a result, we obtain the general modified algebra

$$[\hat{x}^\mu, \hat{p}_\nu] = i e_\nu^\mu, \quad [\hat{x}^\mu, \hat{x}^\nu] = 2i e_\sigma^\mu \dot{\partial}^\sigma (e_\rho^\nu) (e^{-1})_\lambda^\rho \hat{x}^\lambda + i (X^d)^2 F^{\mu\nu}, \quad [\hat{p}_\mu, \hat{p}_\nu] = 0, \quad (23)$$

with the field strength of the momentum gauge field $F^{\mu\nu} = 2\dot{\partial}^{[\mu} A^{\nu]}$ (which was to be expected because $F^{\mu\nu} \equiv [\dot{D}^\mu, \dot{D}^\nu]/(X^d)^2$). For constant field strength $(X^d)^2 F^{\mu\nu} = \Theta^{\mu\nu}$, *i. e.* to lowest admissible order, the noncommutativity induced by the momentum gauge fields is of Moyal-type as provided in Eq. (1).

To subleading order of the Riemann normal coordinate expansion on maximally symmetric slices, this combines Moyal- with Snyder-type noncommutativity, reading

$$[\hat{x}^\mu, \hat{p}_\nu] \simeq i \delta_\nu^\mu \left[1 + \frac{1}{6d(d-1)} S|_{p=0} \hat{p}^2 \right] - \frac{i}{6d(d-1)} S|_{p=0} \hat{p}^\mu \hat{p}_\nu, \quad (24)$$

$$[\hat{x}^\mu, \hat{x}^\nu] \simeq i \Theta^{\mu\nu} + \frac{i}{2d(d-1)} S|_{p=0} \hat{j}^{\nu\mu}, \quad (25)$$

$$[\hat{p}_\mu, \hat{p}_\nu] = 0. \quad (26)$$

Thus, both kinds of modification can be derived within the same framework from a higher-dimensional curved momentum-geometry.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have studied a remarkable connection between two apparently different approaches to the origin of spacetime noncommutativity. The first of these is based on

curved momentum space [12–15], while the second one involves momentum space analogues of the well-known $U(1)$ gauge fields [16]. Following the former formalism by means of a noncanonical transformation of the momentum coordinates, we restore the Hamiltonian to its usual form, while simultaneously obtaining a noncommutative geometry for the new spacetime coordinates. In contrast, in the second case the coordinate noncommutativity arises from the commutator of momentum space covariant derivatives induced by underlying momentum-dependent gauge fields [16].

We have investigated the idea of nontrivial momentum geometry in the context of a Kaluza-Klein scenario. In that vein, we have added an additional dimension to the background and equipped the underlying metric with non-vanishing off-diagonal elements. We have found that these off-diagonal terms exactly provide the momentum gauge fields defined in [16], while the remaining part of the geometry translates to generalized uncertainty principles as pointed out in [12–15], both within one and the same formalism. Together they imply Moyal- as well as Snyder-type noncommutativity.

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank COST ACTION CA18108 - Quantum gravity phenomenology in the multi-messenger approach (QG-MM) for financial support of our research, in particular for the opportunity the action gave us to meet Third Annual in Naples Italy, and start a collaboration that lead to this paper. EG also wants to thank FQXi for financial support. FW acknowledges financial support by the Polish National Research and Development Center (NCBR) project "UNIwersytet 2.0. – STREFA KARIERY", POWR.03.05.00-00-Z064/17-00 (2018-2022).

-
- [1] M. R. Douglas and N. A. Nekrasov, "Noncommutative field theory," *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **73** (2001) 977–1029, arXiv:hep-th/0106048.
 - [2] M. Chaichian, M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, and A. Tureanu, "Hydrogen atom spectrum and the Lamb shift in noncommutative QED," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86** (2001) 2716, arXiv:hep-th/0010175.

- [3] P. Nicolini, “Noncommutative Black Holes, The Final Appeal To Quantum Gravity: A Review,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **24** (2009) 1229–1308, arXiv:0807.1939 [hep-th].
- [4] M. Maggiore, “The Algebraic structure of the generalized uncertainty principle,” *Phys. Lett. B* **319** (1993) 83–86, arXiv:hep-th/9309034.
- [5] A. Kempf, G. Mangano, and R. B. Mann, “Hilbert space representation of the minimal length uncertainty relation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **52** (1995) 1108–1118, arXiv:hep-th/9412167.
- [6] A. Kempf, “Nonpointlike particles in harmonic oscillators,” *J. Phys. A* **30** (1997) 2093–2102, arXiv:hep-th/9604045.
- [7] S. Benczik, L. N. Chang, D. Minic, N. Okamura, S. Rayyan, and T. Takeuchi, “Short distance versus long distance physics: The Classical limit of the minimal length uncertainty relation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **66** (2002) 026003, arXiv:hep-th/0204049.
- [8] P. Bosso, “On the quasi-position representation in theories with a minimal length,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **38** no. 7, (2021) 075021, arXiv:2005.12258 [gr-qc].
- [9] P. Bosso, L. Petrucciello, and F. Wagner, “The minimal length is physical,” arXiv:2206.05064 [gr-qc].
- [10] P. Bosso, “Space and time transformations with a minimal length,” arXiv:2206.15422 [gr-qc].
- [11] P. Bosso, L. Petrucciello, F. Wagner, and F. Illuminati, “Bell nonlocality in quantum-gravity induced minimal-length quantum mechanics,” arXiv:2207.10418 [quant-ph].
- [12] F. Wagner, “Generalized uncertainty principle or curved momentum space?,” *Phys. Rev. D* **104** no. 12, (2021) 126010, arXiv:2110.11067 [gr-qc].
- [13] F. Wagner, “Curved momentum space equivalent to the linear and quadratic Generalized Uncertainty Principle,” in *9th Meeting on CPT and Lorentz Symmetry*. 7, 2022. arXiv:2207.02915 [gr-qc].
- [14] F. Wagner, “Reinterpreting deformations of the Heisenberg algebra,” arXiv:2206.04601 [gr-qc].
- [15] R. Singh and D. Kothawala, “Covariant formulation of the generalized uncertainty principle,” *Phys. Rev. D* **105** no. 10, (2022) L101501, arXiv:2110.15951 [gr-qc].
- [16] E. Guendelman and D. Singleton, “Momentum Gauge Fields and Non-Commutative Space-Time,” arXiv:2206.02638 [quant-ph].
- [17] T. Kaluza, “Zum Unitätsproblem der Physik,” *Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin*

- (*Math. Phys.*) **1921** (1921) 966–972, arXiv:1803.08616 [physics.hist-ph].
- [18] O. Klein, “Quantum Theory and Five-Dimensional Theory of Relativity. (In German and English),” *Z. Phys.* **37** (1926) 895–906.
- [19] T. Appelquist, A. Chodos, and P. G. O. Freund, *Modern Kaluza-Klein Theories*. Addison Wesley Longman Publishing Co, Menlo Park, California, 1987.
- [20] J. G. Muga and R. Sala Mayato, *Time in quantum mechanics Vol 1 2 ed*. Springer, Germany, 2008.
- [21] L. Susskind and J. Glogower, “Quantum mechanical phase and time operator,” *Physics Physique Fizika* **1** (Jul, 1964) 49–61.
- [22] J. Kijowski, “On the Time Operator in Quantum Mechanics and the Heisenberg Uncertainty Relation for Energy and Time,” *Rept. Math. Phys.* **6** (1974) 361–386.
- [23] G. Amelino-Camelia, “Quantum-Spacetime Phenomenology,” *Living Rev. Rel.* **16** (2013) 5, arXiv:0806.0339 [gr-qc].
- [24] A. Addazi *et al.*, “Quantum gravity phenomenology at the dawn of the multi-messenger era—A review,” *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **125** (2022) 103948, arXiv:2111.05659 [hep-ph].
- [25] L. Barcaroli, L. K. Brunkhorst, G. Gubitosi, N. Lorent, and C. Pfeifer, “Hamilton geometry: Phase space geometry from modified dispersion relations,” *Phys. Rev. D* **92** no. 8, (2015) 084053, arXiv:1507.00922 [gr-qc].
- [26] J. M. Carmona, J. L. Cortés, and J. J. Relancio, “Relativistic deformed kinematics from momentum space geometry,” *Phys. Rev. D* **100** no. 10, (2019) 104031, arXiv:1907.12298 [hep-th].
- [27] J. M. Carmona, J. L. Cortés, and J. J. Relancio, “Curved Momentum Space, Locality, and Generalized Space-Time,” *Universe* **7** no. 4, (2021) 99, arXiv:2104.07336 [gr-qc].
- [28] G. Gubitosi, F. Lizzi, J. J. Relancio, and P. Vitale, “Double quantization,” *Phys. Rev. D* **105** no. 12, (2022) 126013, arXiv:2112.11401 [hep-th].
- [29] R. Miron, “Lagrangian and Hamiltonian Geometries. Applications to Analytical Mechanics,” *arXiv e-prints* (Mar., 2012) arXiv:1203.4101, arXiv:1203.4101 [math.DG].
- [30] G. Amelino-Camelia, “Relativity in space-times with short distance structure governed by an observer independent (Planckian) length scale,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **11** (2002) 35–60, arXiv:gr-qc/0012051.
- [31] J. Magueijo and L. Smolin, “Lorentz invariance with an invariant energy scale,” *Phys. Rev.*

- Lett.* **88** (2002) 190403, arXiv:hep-th/0112090.
- [32] J. Kowalski-Glikman and S. Nowak, “Doubly special relativity and de Sitter space,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **20** (2003) 4799–4816, arXiv:hep-th/0304101.
- [33] S. A. Franchino-Viñas and J. J. Relancio, “Geometrizing the Klein-Gordon and Dirac equations in Doubly Special Relativity,” arXiv:2203.12286 [hep-th].
- [34] M. Maggiore, “The Algebraic structure of the generalized uncertainty principle,” *Phys. Lett. B* **319** (1993) 83–86, arXiv:hep-th/9309034.
- [35] A. Kempf, G. Mangano, and R. B. Mann, “Hilbert space representation of the minimal length uncertainty relation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **52** (1995) 1108–1118, arXiv:hep-th/9412167.
- [36] S. Hossenfelder, “Minimal Length Scale Scenarios for Quantum Gravity,” *Living Rev. Rel.* **16** (2013) 2, arXiv:1203.6191 [gr-qc].
- [37] H. S. Snyder, “Quantized space-time,” *Phys. Rev.* **71** (1947) 38–41.
- [38] S. A. Franchino-Viñas and S. Mignemi, “Asymptotic freedom for $\lambda\phi^4$ QFT in Snyder–de Sitter space,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** no. 5, (2020) 382, arXiv:1911.08921 [hep-th].
- [39] S. A. Franchino-Viñas and S. Mignemi, “Casimir effect in Snyder Space,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **959** (2020) 115152, arXiv:2005.12610 [hep-th].
- [40] S. A. Franchino-Viñas and S. Mignemi, “The Snyder-de Sitter scalar φ^4 quantum field theory in $D = 2$,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **981** (2022) 115871, arXiv:2104.00043 [hep-th].
- [41] F. Wagner, “Towards quantum Mechanics on the curved cotangent bundle,” arXiv:2112.06758 [gr-qc].
- [42] J. L. Synge, *Relativity: The General Theory*. North-Holland Publication Co., Amsterdam, 1960.