

GEOMETRIC MODEL FOR MODULE CATEGORIES OF DYNKIN QUIVERS VIA HEARTS OF TOTAL STABILITY CONDITIONS

WEN CHANG, YU QIU, AND XIAOTING ZHANG

Dedicated to Alastair King on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday

ABSTRACT. We derive a geometric model for the module category $\text{mod } \mathbf{k}Q$ of a Dynkin quiver Q via the heart of a total stability condition on the bounded derived category of $\text{mod } \mathbf{k}Q$. As an application, we prove Reineke's conjecture that there is a stability function on $\text{mod } \mathbf{k}Q$ making any indecomposables stable.

Key words: total stability condition, Dynkin diagram, module category, geometric model, Reineke's conjecture

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	5
3. Hearts and triangles	7
4. Geometric model of hearts	10
5. Reineke's conjecture	19
Appendix A. List of examples of stable 30-gons in type E_8	24
References	24

1. INTRODUCTION

The stability structures, e.g. King's θ -stability on abelian categories and Bridgeland's stability conditions on triangulated categories, play an important role in many areas, such as, geometric invariant theory, Donaldson-Thomas theory, cluster theory, etc.

In the prequel [QZ22], Qiu-Zhang give a geometric model for the root category of the bounded derived category $\mathcal{D}_\infty(Q)$ associated to a Dynkin diagram and describe the space $\text{ToSt}(Q)$ of all total stability conditions on $\mathcal{D}_\infty(Q)$. In this paper, we describe the heart \mathcal{H}_σ for $\sigma \in \text{ToSt}(Q)$ on the geometric model and hence obtain a model for the module category of certain Dynkin quiver whose underlying diagram is the same as Q . In fact, we show that such a heart can realize the module category of a Dynkin

quiver with any given orientation. As an application, we prove Reineke's conjecture that, for any Dynkin quiver Q , there is a stability function on $\text{mod } \mathbf{k}Q$ such that all indecomposable objects are stable.

1.1. Stability structure on abelian and triangulated categories. An algebraic version of the notion of stability in geometric invariant theory is introduced by King [K94] in the representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras. The King's θ -stability is generalized by Rudakov [R97] to any abelian category. A stability function on the module category $\mathcal{H}(Q) := \text{mod } \mathbf{k}Q$ of a quiver Q is a group homomorphism $Z: K\mathcal{H}(Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. The associated slope function is

$$\mu_Z(\alpha) = \frac{\text{Im } Z(\alpha)}{\text{Re } Z(\alpha)}.$$

An object M is Z -stable (resp. Z -semistable) if and only if

$$\mu_Z(L) < \mu_Z(M), \quad (\text{resp. } \mu_Z(L) \leq \mu_Z(M))$$

for any subobject $0 \neq L \subsetneq M$.

Later, motivated by Π -stability from string theory, Bridgeland [B07] introduce the notion of stability conditions on a triangulated category. To be more precise, a stability condition $\sigma = (Z, \mathcal{P})$ on a triangulated category \mathcal{D} consists of a group homomorphism $Z: K\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, called the central charge, and an \mathbb{R} -collection of abelian subcategories $\mathcal{P}(\phi)$ of \mathcal{D} , known as the slicing, which satisfy certain conditions. The objects in each $\mathcal{P}(\phi)$ are called semistable and the simple objects there are called stable. Such a notion is a kind of triangulated generalization of the stability for an abelian category mentioned above. Indeed, a stability condition σ on \mathcal{D} induces a stability function Z on the abelian category, i.e. its heart \mathcal{H}_σ . Conversely, a stability function Z on a heart \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{D} , satisfying the so-called Harder-Narasimhan property, is equivalent to a stability condition with heart \mathcal{H} on \mathcal{D} .

1.2. Reineke's conjecture and total stability. Denote by $\text{Sim } \mathcal{H}(Q)$ the set of simples in $\mathcal{H}(Q)$ and \mathcal{A}_Q be the quantum affine space

$$\mathbb{Q}(q^{1/2})\langle y^S \mid S \in \text{Sim } \mathcal{H}(Q) \rangle / (y^{S_i} y^{S_j} - q^{\lambda_Q(i,j)} y^{S_j} y^{S_i}),$$

where $q^{1/2}$ is an indeterminate and $\lambda_Q(i, j) = \langle S_j, S_i \rangle - \langle S_i, S_j \rangle$ with $\langle -, - \rangle$ given by the Euler form associated to Q . One can obtain the completion $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_Q$ of \mathcal{A}_Q with respect to the ideal generated by $y^S, \forall S \in \text{Sim } \mathcal{H}(Q)$. Suppose that a stability function Z on $\mathcal{H}(Q)$ is discrete, i.e. $\mu_Z(M) \neq \mu_Z(L)$ for any indecomposable $M \not\cong L$ in $\mathcal{H}(Q)$. Then an invariant $\text{DT}(\mathcal{H}(Q))$ (cf. e.g. [Ke11]) can be calculated as

$$\text{DT}(\mathcal{H}) := \prod_{M \text{ stable}}^{\leftarrow} \mathbb{E}(y^{\dim M}) \in \mathcal{A}_Q \quad (1.1)$$

where the product is taking in phase-decreasing order and \mathbb{E} is the quantum dilogarithm defined as the formal series

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{j^2/2} X^j}{\prod_{k=0}^{j-1} (q^j - q^k)}.$$

The formula 1.1 for calculating quantum dilogarithm identities is proved by Reineke [R03], using Hall algebra and the integration map, for $\mathcal{H}(Q)$ of a Dynkin quiver Q . An alternative proof can be found in [Q15] which uses the fundamental group of exchange graph of hearts. In the setting of Calabi-Yau-3 categories, this invariant produces the Donladson-Thomas invariants, e.g. studied by Kontsevich-Sobliman [KS08]. In [R03], Reineke conjectures the following.

Conjecture 1.1. *For any Dynkin quiver Q , there is a stability function Z such that the number of factors in the product (1.1) reaches maximal, i.e. equals $n \cdot h_Q/2$, where h_Q is the Coxeter number associated to Q . In other words, any indecomposable object in $\mathcal{H}(Q)$ is Z -stable.*

Such a stability function Z is called a total stability function. This conjecture has been confirmed for any quiver Q of type A_n (with arbitrary orientation) in several papers via different approaches, such as [BGMS19, AI20, HH20, Ki20], and also for any quiver Q of type D_n in [A22].

In this paper, we prove Reineke’s conjecture from the point of view of triangulated categories via geometric models. In the triangulated setting, one also has the notion of total stability for a Bridgeland’s stability condition σ , (cf. [Q15, Q18]), i.e. requiring all indecomposable objects in the triangulated category σ -stable. The existence of such total stability conditions is rare, if and only if under the circumstance that $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_{\infty}(Q)$ for a Dynkin diagram Q (cf. [KOT19, Q20]). Clearly, a total stability condition σ on \mathcal{D} will induce a total stability function on its heart \mathcal{H}_{σ} . The subtle point is to describe the heart \mathcal{H}_{σ} so that one can obtain the module category of any Dynkin quiver (with arbitrary orientation). The geometric description (cf. [QZ22]) of the space $\text{ToSt}(Q)$ is the key here, that is we have a geometric model for any module category of Dynkin type.

In type A_n , our approach is essentially the same as the one in [BGMS19].

Remark 1.2. Note that in Reineke’s original paper [R03], he takes $\text{Re } Z(M) = \dim M$ to be the dimension function of M for any $M \in \mathcal{H}(Q)$, which is just for simplicity. Very recently, it is proved in [M22] that, when restricted to $\text{Re } Z(M) = \dim M$, Reineke’s conjecture fails for the linear quiver Q of type E_7 . However, such a constrain is unnecessary from the modern point of view. Our approach proves the correct version of Reineke’s conjecture.

Another remark is that Hille-Juteau also have a proof of Reineke’s conjecture (unpublished) according to [Ke11, § 1].

1.3. Geometric model for module category of a Dynkin diagram. There are many works on geometric models for various categories in representation theory of algebras. Such models are in some sense, certain type of Fukaya categories, cf. [HKK17,

[OPS18, HZZ20, BS21]. The geometric model allows one to use surface combinatorics/topology to produce many applications, i.e. realizing arcs as indecomposables, intersections as morphisms, (total) rotations of arcs as Auslander-Reiten translations, etc. In particular, Qiu-Zhang [QZ22] construct a geometric model for the root category $\mathcal{D}_\infty(Q)/[2]$ of each Dynkin diagram Q . In details, for a Dynkin diagram Q , they construct stable h_Q -gons \mathbf{V} , which provide geometric models for $\mathcal{D}_\infty(Q)/[2]$, in the sense that each indecomposable object in $\mathcal{D}_\infty(Q)/[2]$ is interpreted as an oriented admissible diagonal in \mathbf{V} , and naturally induce a central charge of $\mathcal{D}_\infty(Q)/[2]$. Note that by upgrading orientation (\mathbb{Z}_2 -grading) to \mathbb{Z} -grading for admissible diagonals, the model also works for the bounded derived category $\mathcal{D}_\infty(Q)$. Furthermore, by the construction, contractible triangles in the geometric model imply triangles in the associated triangulated categories.

We will derive a geometric model for any heart of a total stability condition in $\text{ToSt}(Q)$ from the geometric model of the root category. In Proposition 3.2, we realize each admissible upward diagonal of a stable h_Q -gon associated to a total stability condition σ as an indecomposable object in the heart \mathcal{H}_σ . Moreover, we locate all the simples in the stable h_Q -gon and obtain the following.

Theorem 1.3 (Theorems 4.4, 4.9 and 4.15). *Let $\sigma \in \text{ToSt}(Q)$ for a quiver Q of Dynkin type and \mathbf{V}_σ be the stable h_Q -gon of type Q associated to σ . Then there is a bijection*

$$\mathfrak{M}: \text{UD}(\mathbf{V}_\sigma) \longrightarrow \text{Ind } \mathcal{H}_\sigma$$

sending an upward diagonal ℓ in \mathbf{V}_σ to an indecomposable object $\mathfrak{M}(\ell)$ in \mathcal{H}_σ .

Moreover, it restricts to a bijection

$$\text{Sim } \mathbf{V}_\sigma \rightarrow \text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_\sigma,$$

between the set of Im Z -Ind diagonals of \mathbf{V}_σ and the set of simples of \mathcal{H}_σ . As a consequence, \mathcal{H}_σ is equivalent to the module category of the (ungraded) intersection quiver of the Im Z -Ind diagonals of \mathbf{V}_σ .

Furthermore, we shall use such a model to prove Reineke's conjecture.

Theorem 1.4 (Theorem 5.6). *Conjecture 1.1 holds.*

In type A_n and D_n , the proof bases on building stable h_Q -gon directly. In type $E_{n \in \{6,7,8\}}$, we show that essentially we only need to give 2^{n-5} concrete examples (of stable h_Q -gons) to verify the conjecture.

Acknowledgments. Qy would like to thank Alastair King for many inspiring discussions, Ryan Kinser for the invitation of giving a talk in FD Seminar that pushed for this paper and Jan Schröer for pointing out the reference [A22]. This work is supported by National Key R&D Program of China (No.2020YFA0713000), Beijing Natural Science Foundation (Grant No.Z180003), National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.12031007) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.12101422).

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we will recall some conventions and constructions from [QZ22].

Conventions. Consider the complex plane $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2$. For any two points $W_1 = (x_1, y_1)$ and $W_2 = (x_2, y_2)$ in \mathbb{C} , we define

$$W_1 < W_2 \iff \begin{cases} y_1 < y_2 & \text{or} \\ y_1 = y_2, x_1 < x_2. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

We write a vector \overrightarrow{VW} by VW for simplicity and denote by $\arg(VW)$ the angle of VW which takes value in $[0, 2\pi)$.

Let \mathbf{V} be a (labelled) h -gon in \mathbb{C} with vertices $V_0, V_1, \dots, V_h = V_0$ and (oriented) edges $0 \neq z_j = V_{j-1}V_j$, where $j \in \mathbb{Z}_h$. Then \mathbf{V} is *positively convex* if all other V_i 's are on the left hand side of the edge $z_j = V_{j-1}V_j$. Note that the positive convexity implies that the vertices V_j 's are in anti-clockwise order. For any integer $0 \leq s \leq h/2$, the *length- s diagonals* of \mathbf{V} are those V_jV_{j+s} . For example, the length-1 diagonals are just the edges z_j . For a positively convex h -gon \mathbf{V} , the *level- s diagonal-gon* is the convex polygon bounded by its length- s diagonals (i.e. on the left hand side of).

Definition 2.1. An h -gon \mathbf{V} of type Q (a Dynkin quiver) is defined respectively as:

A_n ($n \geq 1$): an $(n+1)$ -gon.

D_n ($n \geq 4$): a (centrally) symmetric doubly punctured $2(n-1)$ -gon with punctures B_{\pm} .

E_6 : a 12-gon satisfying:

$$\begin{cases} z_j + z_{j+4} + z_{j+8} = 0, \\ z_j - z_{j-3} + z_{j-6} - z_{j-9} = 0, \end{cases} \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_{12}. \quad (2.2)$$

E_7 : a symmetric 18-gon satisfying:

$$z_j + z_{j+1} + z_{j+6} + z_{j+7} + z_{j+12} + z_{j+13} = 0, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_{18}. \quad (2.3)$$

E_8 : a symmetric 30-gon satisfying:

$$\begin{cases} z_j + z_{j+10} + z_{j+20} = 0, \\ z_j + z_{j+6} + z_{j+12} + z_{j+18} + z_{j+24} = 0, \end{cases} \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_{30}. \quad (2.4)$$

To summarize, an h -gon of type Q is an h_Q -gon (satisfying above extra conditions), where h_Q is the Coxeter number associated to the underlying Dynkin diagram of Q . In this case, we have $h = h_Q$. For simplicity, we prefer to write h instead of h_Q if there is no confusion.

Let \mathbf{V} be an h -gon of the exceptional type E_n ($n = 6, 7, 8$). We follow the notion in [QZ22] respectively:

E_6 : for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{12}$ we can draw an triangle $\mathbf{T}_j := V_{j-1}V_jW_j$ with edges

$$V_{j-1}V_j = z_j, \quad V_jW_j = z_{j+4}, \quad W_jV_{j-1} = z_{j+8},$$

cf. the triangle relations in (2.2).

E_7 : for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{18}$ we can draw a hexagon $\mathbf{L}_j := V_{j-1}V_jV_{j+1}W_{j+1}U_jW_{j-1}$ with edges

$$\begin{aligned} V_{j-1}V_j &= z_j, & V_jV_{j+1} &= z_{j+1}, & V_{j+1}W_{j+1} &= z_{j+6}, \\ W_{j+1}U_j &= z_{j+7}, & U_jW_{j-1} &= z_{j+12}, & W_{j-1}V_{j-1} &= z_{j+13}, \end{aligned}$$

cf. the hexagon relations in (2.3).

E_8 : for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{30}$ we can draw a triangle $\mathbf{T}_j := V_{j-1}V_jW_{j-1}$ with edges

$$V_{j-1}V_j = z_j, \quad V_jW_{j-1} = z_{j+10}, \quad W_{j-1}V_{j-1} = z_{j+20},$$

cf. the triangle relations in (2.4).

The key feature in the exceptional cases is that there are two $h/2$ -gons inside \mathbf{V} , i.e. the ice/fire core with vertices W_j of even/odd indices.

Definition 2.2. Let \mathbf{V} be an h -gon of type Q . The *admissible diagonals* of \mathbf{V} are defined respectively as:

A_n : any usual (oriented) diagonals of \mathbf{V} .

D_n : either the length- s diagonals $\pm V_jV_{j+s} = \pm V_{j+s+h/2}V_{j+h/2}$ for $1 \leq s < h/2$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_h$ or vectors $\pm V_jB_{\pm} = \pm B_{\mp}V_{j+h/2}$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_h$.

E_n : either the length- s diagonals $\pm V_jV_{j+s}$ for $1 \leq s \leq n-3$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_h$ or vectors

$$\begin{cases} \pm W_jW_{j+2}, \\ \pm V_{j-1}W_{j+2} = \pm W_{j+1}V_{j+n-3}, \\ \pm V_{j-1}W_{j+1} = \pm W_{j+2}V_{j+n-3}, \end{cases} \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_h.$$

Here, the equalities above, together with the ones in the construction of the exceptional case, i.e.

$$\pm V_{j-1}V_j = \pm W_{j+4}V_{j+n-3} = \pm V_{j-2+n}W_{j-2+n}, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_h,$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \pm W_jW_{j+2} = \pm W_{j+8}V_{j+7} = \pm V_{j-5}W_{j-6}, & \text{for } E_7 \\ \pm W_jW_{j+2} = \pm W_{j+14}V_{j+13} = \pm V_{j-10}W_{j-12}, & \text{for } E_8 \end{cases} \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_h.$$

as well as (central) symmetry in type E_7/E_8 , are considered as equivalent relations. We denote by $\text{Ad}(\mathbf{V})$ the set of (equivalent classes of) admissible diagonals in \mathbf{V} .

Let $\mathcal{D}_{\infty}(Q)$ be the bounded derived category of the module category of $\mathbf{k}Q$ and $\mathcal{R}(Q)$ the corresponding root category $\mathcal{D}_{\infty}(Q)/[2]$. Denote by $\text{Stab}(Q)$ the space of stability conditions on $\mathcal{D}_{\infty}(Q)$, cf [B07] for the detailed definition, and $\text{ToSt}(Q)$ the space of total stability conditions on $\mathcal{D}_{\infty}(Q)$. Now we recall the main result from [QZ22] that the admissible diagonals give a central charge, which is a combination of Proposition 3.9, Theorems 4.5 and 6.7 there. In fact, we slightly generalize it to obtain a bijection between the set of (equivalent classes of) admissible diagonals and the set of indecomposable objects in $\mathcal{R}(Q)$.

Theorem 2.3. [QZ22] *A stable h -gon \mathbf{V} of type Q gives a geometric model for $\mathcal{R}(Q)$ in the sense that we have a bijection*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M} : \text{Ad}(\mathbf{V}) &\longrightarrow \text{Ind } \mathcal{R}(Q), \\ \ell &\mapsto \mathfrak{M}(\ell) \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

which naturally induces a central charge $Z_{\mathbf{V}} : K \mathcal{D}_{\infty}(Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $Z_{\mathbf{V}}(\mathfrak{M}(\ell)) = \ell$.

There are two natural actions on $\text{Stab}(Q)$, i.e. the \mathbb{C} -action and the $\text{Aut } \mathcal{D}_{\infty}(Q)$ -action, which also can be induced on $\text{ToSt}(Q)$. In particular, the action of [1] on $\text{ToSt}(Q)$ coincide with the action of $1 \in \mathbb{C}$ on $\text{ToSt}(Q)$.

Definition 2.4. An h -gon \mathbf{V} of type Q is *stable* if it is positively convex and moreover:

- D_n : the two punctures B_{\pm} are inside the level- $(n-2)$ diagonal-gon.
- E_n : the vertices W_j 's are inside the level- $(n-3)$ diagonal-gon, for $n \in \{6, 7, 8\}$.

Note that a stable h -gon, without stating the types explicitly, just means a positively convex h -gon (with above extra conditions).

Denote by $\text{Stgon}(Q)$ the moduli space of stable h -gons of type Q , where two stable h -gons are equivalent if and only if they are parallel, i.e. related by a translation of \mathbb{C} .

Theorem 2.5. [QZ22, Thm. 1] *There is an isomorphism between complex manifolds*

$$\text{ToSt}(Q)/[2] \cong \text{Stgon}(Q),$$

which sends a total stability condition σ to a stable h -gon \mathbf{V}_{σ} of type Q with central charge $Z_{\mathbf{V}_{\sigma}} = Z_{\sigma}$.

3. HEARTS AND TRIANGLES

In this section, we will describe the heart \mathcal{H}_{σ} of $\sigma \in \text{ToSt}(Q)$ for a Dynkin quiver Q and special short exact sequence in \mathcal{H}_{σ} .

3.1. Hearts of total stability conditions. Recall that a stability condition $\sigma = (Z, \mathcal{P})$ consists of the central charge Z and the slicing \mathcal{P} , which is a \mathbb{R} -collection of abelian subcategory $\mathcal{P}(\phi)$ for $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$. Its heart $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma} := \mathcal{P}[0, 1)$ is defined to be the abelian category generated by $\mathcal{P}(\phi)$, where $\phi \in [0, 1)$. In particular, if σ is totally stable, then the indecomposables in \mathcal{H}_{σ} are precisely those objects whose phases are in $[0, 1)$.

Define

$$\uparrow VW \uparrow := \begin{cases} VW & \text{if } V < W, \\ WV & \text{if } W < V. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Then we obtain $\arg(\uparrow VW \uparrow) \in [0, \pi)$.

Definition 3.1. An admissible diagonal ℓ of an h -gon \mathbf{V} of type Q is called *upward* if $\arg(\ell) \in [0, \pi)$. Denote by $\text{UD}(\mathbf{V})$ the set of upward (admissible) diagonals in \mathbf{V} .

For any admissible diagonal VW , the upward version is exactly $\uparrow VW \uparrow$, where $\uparrow \cdot \uparrow$ is defined in (3.1).

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\sigma \in \text{ToSt}(Q)$ and \mathbf{V}_σ be the corresponding stable h -gon of type Q . Then \mathfrak{M} in (2.5) induces a bijection*

$$\mathfrak{M}: \text{UD}(\mathbf{V}_\sigma) \longrightarrow \text{Ind } \mathcal{H}_\sigma. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. We choose $\mathcal{H}_\sigma[1] \cup \mathcal{H}_\sigma$ as a fundamental domain for $\mathcal{R}(Q)$. For an upward diagonal ℓ , the phase of $\mathfrak{M}(\ell)$ is $\arg(\ell)/\pi$, which belongs to $[0, 1)$. Hence the map \mathfrak{M} in (2.5) restricts to the required bijection. \square

Recall that the heart \mathcal{H} in $\mathcal{D}_\infty(Q)$ is *standard* if it is equivalent to the module category of some quiver with the same underlying graph of Q . When σ is totally stable, we have $\text{Ind } \mathcal{D}_\infty(Q) = \text{Ind } \mathcal{P}_\sigma \cup \text{Ind } \mathcal{P}_\sigma^\perp$ for $\mathcal{P}_\sigma = \mathcal{P}[0, +\infty)$. Thus, by [Q15, Proposition 2.7] we have the following statement.

Lemma 3.3. *The heart \mathcal{H}_σ is standard if $\sigma \in \text{ToSt}(Q)$.*

3.2. Intersections as homomorphisms. In this section, we let \mathbf{V} be an h -gon of type Q and will establish a correspondence between certain intersections of admissible diagonals in $\text{Ad}(\mathbf{V})$ and the homomorphisms between the associated objects in the root category $\mathcal{R}(Q)$.

Definition 3.4. An *oriented intersection* from an upward diagonal ℓ_1 to another upward diagonal ℓ_2 is an anticlockwise angle α from ℓ_1 to ℓ_2 locally at an intersection $P \in \ell_1 \cap \ell_2$ such that α is in the interior of \mathbf{V} .

The opposite angles are considered equivalent if P is an interior intersection, cf. Figure 1.

For an oriented intersection α from ℓ_1 to ℓ_2 , we set

$$\rho(\alpha) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \arg(\ell_1) < \arg(\ell_2), \\ 1 & \text{if } \arg(\ell_2) < \arg(\ell_1), \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

called the *intersection index* of α . Note that $\arg(\ell_1) \neq \arg(\ell_2)$ if ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 intersect. For instance, in Figure 1, α is an oriented intersection from ℓ_1 to ℓ_2 with index $\rho(\alpha) = 0$, while β is an oriented intersection from ℓ_2 to ℓ_1 with index $\rho(\beta) = 1$.

Lemma 3.5. *Assume that there is a contractible triangle formed by upward diagonals ℓ_1 , ℓ_2 and ℓ_3 in \mathbf{V} such that $\ell_3 = \ell_1 + \ell_2$ and $\arg(\ell_1) < \arg(\ell_2)$. Then we have the following triangle in $\mathcal{R}(Q)$:*

$$\mathfrak{M}(\ell_1) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathfrak{M}(\ell_3) \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathfrak{M}(\ell_2) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathfrak{M}(\ell_1)[1], \quad (3.4)$$

where morphisms correspond to oriented intersections.

Moreover, such a triangle is a short exact sequence when restricted to \mathcal{H}_σ :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(\ell_1) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathfrak{M}(\ell_3) \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathfrak{M}(\ell_2) \rightarrow 0.$$




 FIGURE 1. Two oriented intersections α and β


FIGURE 2. Contractible triangle of upward diagonals

Proof. There are two cases of the contractible triangle depending on the positions of l_1 and l_2 , see Figure 2, but the triangle (3.4) will always be as above (where the order of objects depends on \arg of l_i 's).

The statement follows from [HKK17, OPS18] and [QZZ] for type A and type D , respectively. As for the exceptional cases, it can be checked straightforwardly, cf. [QZZ2, § 5] for couple forms of the triangles. \square

Remark 3.6. In general, oriented intersections between admissible diagonals (arcs) induce (a basis of) morphisms between the corresponding objects and the index of an intersection corresponds to the degree of the morphism. More precisely, one has (cf. [HKK17, OPS18, QZZ] or [IQZ20, (6.7)])

$$\text{Int}^d(l_1, l_2) = \dim \text{Hom}^d(\mathfrak{M}(l_1), \mathfrak{M}(l_2)) \quad (3.5)$$

in the setting of (graded skew) gentle algebras.

Moreover, the mapping cone of such a morphism usually (e.g. when the intersection is at the boundary) corresponds to the diagonals obtained from the union of the original two diagonals by smoothing out the oriented intersection.

Definition 3.7. For two upward diagonals l_1 and l_2 , there is an *essential oriented intersection* from l_2 to l_1 if there is a contractible triangle with edges (equivalent to) l_1 and l_2 , as shown in one of the pictures in Figure 2.

Such a notion will be used to define the intersection quiver of an h -gon of type Q in Section 3.1. Note that there is at most one essential oriented intersection between two upward diagonals. In type A_n , any oriented intersection at the boundary between two upward diagonals is essential. However, this is not true in other types. For instance, in type D_n , the oriented intersection of upward diagonals $V_j B_-$ and $V_j B_+$ is not essential since $B_- B_+$ is not an admissible diagonal.

4. GEOMETRIC MODEL OF HEARTS

In this section, we give algorithms to find admissible diagonals corresponding to simples in the hearts of total stability conditions and hence obtain all the information (that we need) of the hearts in the geometric model.

4.1. **Type A_n .** Let \mathbf{V} be a stable $(n+1)$ -gon in \mathbb{C} . We reorder the vertices V_j of \mathbf{V} as

$$Y_1 < Y_2 < \cdots < Y_{n+1}$$

with respect to the order (2.1), where $Y_i = (x_i, y_i)$. Note that there are at most two y_i 's coincide. Then an upward diagonal in \mathbf{V} is of the form $Y_i Y_j$ with $1 \leq i < j \leq n+1$.

Definition 4.1. The diagonals $s_i := Y_i Y_{i+1}$ are called *ImZ-ind diagonals*, for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We denote by

$$\text{Sim } \mathbf{V} := \{s_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Note that any upward diagonal $Y_i Y_j$ ($1 \leq i < j \leq n+1$) uniquely decomposes into the sum:

$$Y_i Y_j = Y_i Y_{i+1} + \cdots + Y_{j-1} Y_j = s_i + s_{i+1} \cdots + s_{j-1}, \quad (4.1)$$

with respect to y -coordinate (i.e. the imaginary part of the central charge).

Lemma 4.2. Let $\sigma \in \text{ToSt}(A_n)$ and $Y_i Y_j \in \text{UD}(\mathbf{V}_\sigma)$ with \mathbf{V}_σ being the associated stable $(n+1)$ -gon. The decomposition (4.1) induces a filtration of short exact sequences for $\mathfrak{M}(Y_i Y_j)$ in the heart \mathcal{H}_σ with factors $\mathfrak{M}(s_k)$ for $i \leq k \leq j-1$.

Proof. Note that $Y_i Y_j$, $Y_i Y_{j-1}$ and $s_{j-1} = Y_{j-1} Y_j$ form a contractible triangle. By Lemma 3.5, there exists a short exact sequence (in \mathcal{H}_σ) either of the form

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(Y_i Y_{j-1}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(Y_i Y_j) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(s_{j-1}) \rightarrow 0,$$


if $\arg(Y_i Y_{j-1}) < \arg(s_{j-1})$, or

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(s_{j-1}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(Y_i Y_j) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(Y_i Y_{j-1}) \rightarrow 0,$$

if $\arg(s_{j-1}) < \arg(Y_i Y_{j-1})$. Thus the required filtration can be constructed inductively. \square

Definition 4.3. The *intersection quiver* $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{V})$ of \mathbf{V} is the graded quiver whose

- vertices are ImZ-ind diagonals and
- arrows are essential oriented intersections with gradings given by intersection indices.


 FIGURE 3. The intersection quiver of a 6-gon of type A_5

For example, one can draw $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{V})$ for a stable 6-gon \mathbf{V} of type A_5 as in Figure 3.

Note that any oriented intersection at the boundary is essential in a stable $(n+1)$ -gon. Denote by $\mathcal{Q}^{1-?}(\mathbf{V})$ the quiver obtained from $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{V})$ by grading change $? \mapsto (1-?)$. We will show that the quiver $\mathcal{Q}^{1-?}(\mathbf{V})$ will have trivial grading for all Dynkin case.

Recall from [KQ15] that the *Ext-quiver* $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H})$ of a (finite length) heart \mathcal{H} is the graded quiver whose vertices are the simples of \mathcal{H} and whose graded arrows from S to T are given by a basis of $\text{Ext}^\bullet(S, T)$.

Let $\text{Sim } \mathcal{A}$ denote the set of simples of an abelian category \mathcal{A} . As a consequence, we have the following.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\sigma \in \text{ToSt}(Q)$ with heart \mathcal{H}_σ and the corresponding stable h -gon \mathbf{V}_σ of type Q . If Q is of type A_n , then the bijection (3.2) restricts to a bijection*

$$\mathfrak{M}: \text{Sim } \mathbf{V}_\sigma \rightarrow \text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_\sigma.$$

Moreover, the *Ext-quiver* $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H}_\sigma)$ coincides with the intersection quiver $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{V}_\sigma)$. Thus, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_\sigma \cong \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Q}^{1-?}(\mathbf{V}_\sigma)). \quad (4.2)$$

Recall that $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Q}^{1-?}(\mathbf{V}_\sigma)) = \text{mod } \mathbf{k}\mathcal{Q}^{1-?}(\mathbf{V}_\sigma)$.

Proof. Any object in \mathcal{H}_σ admits a filtration with factors in $\{\mathfrak{M}(s) \mid s \in \text{Sim } \mathbf{V}_\sigma\}$. Hence we have

$$\text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_\sigma \subset \{\mathfrak{M}(s) \mid s \in \text{Sim } \mathbf{V}_\sigma\}.$$

Noticing that both sets have n elements, they must coincide. The intersection quiver matches the *Ext-quiver* follows from the general formula (3.5).

The final statement, i.e. (4.2), follows from the standard simple-projective duality: $\text{Ext}^1(S_i, S_j) \cong \text{Irr}(P_j, P_i)^*$. In particular, since the heart \mathcal{H}_σ is hereditary by Lemma 3.3, the grading of each arrow in $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H}_\sigma)$ is one. Thus $\mathcal{Q}^{1-?}(\mathbf{V}_\sigma)$ has trivial grading. \square

Remark 4.5. By Theorem 4.4, the filtration in Lemma 4.2 is exactly the Jordan-Hölder filtration of $\mathfrak{M}(Y_i Y_j)$ in \mathcal{H}_σ .

If we forget the central charges in the theorem above and treat \mathbf{V} as a topological polygon, then it becomes the result of [BGMS19].

4.2. Type D_n . Let \mathbf{V} be a stable $2(n-1)$ -gon of type D_n with center $O = (0, 0)$ in \mathbb{C} and $B_\pm = (\pm x_B, \pm y_B)$ the two punctures. Without loss of generality, we assume that $B_- \leq B_+$, i.e. either $B_- < B_+$ or $B_- = O = B_+$.

Reorder the points in $\{V_j, B_\pm \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2(n-1)}\}$ as

$$Y_{-n} < \cdots Y_{-1} \leq O \leq Y_1 < \cdots Y_n \quad (4.3)$$

with respect to (2.1), where $Y_i = (x_i, y_i) \in \mathbb{C}$. The symmetry of \mathbf{V} implies that $Y_{-i} = -Y_i$. Also note that the two equalities in (4.3) can only hold simultaneously and, in which case, we have $Y_{\pm 1} = O = B_\pm$. The stability of \mathbf{V} implies that there are at least $(n-2)$ V_j 's, which are less than B_- . Hence B_\pm must be either $Y_{\pm 1}$ or $Y_{\pm 2}$. In such notation, an upward diagonal in \mathbf{V} is of the form $Y_i Y_j$ such that $-n \leq i < j \leq n$ and $i + j \neq 0$.

Denote by

- \mathbf{V}_n^- the convex hull of vertices in $\{Y_{-n}, \dots, Y_{-2}, Y_{-1}\}$ and
- \mathbf{V}_n^+ the convex hull of vertices in $(\{Y_{-n}, \dots, Y_{-2}, Y_{-1}\} \setminus \{B_-\}) \cup \{B_+\}$.

Lemma 4.6. *Both \mathbf{V}_n^- and \mathbf{V}_n^+ are stable n -gons of type A_{n-1} .*

Proof. Consider the polygon with $(n-1)$ consecutive vertices $V_{j+1}, \dots, V_{j+(n-1)}$, which inherit the positive convexity of \mathbf{V} . By the stability of \mathbf{V} , B_\pm is bounded in the parallelogram with vertices $V_j, V_{j+1}, V_{j+(n-1)}, V_{j+n}$. Thus, the polygon with vertices $V_{j+1}, \dots, V_{j+(n-1)}$ and B_ϵ is positively convex, for $\epsilon \in \{+, -\}$.

In particular, \mathbf{V}_n^\pm are both positively convex since the vertices of \mathbf{V}_n^\pm except B_\pm are $(n-1)$ consecutive vertices of \mathbf{V} . \square

Set $s_i := Y_{i-n-1} Y_{i-n}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Then, by Definition 4.1, we have

$$\text{Sim } \mathbf{V}_n^- = \{s_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}, \quad \text{and} \quad (4.4)$$

$$\text{Sim } \mathbf{V}_n^+ = \begin{cases} \{s_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-2\} \cup \{Y_{-2} B_+\} & \text{if } B_- = Y_{-1}, \\ \{s_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-3\} \cup \{Y_{-3} Y_{-1}, Y_{-1} B_+\} & \text{if } B_- = Y_{-2}. \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

Definition 4.7. For type D_n , the set $\text{Sim } \mathbf{V}$ of *ImZ-ind diagonals* is defined to be $\{s_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$ together with a diagonal

$$s_n = \begin{cases} Y_{-2} B_+ = B_- Y_2 & \text{if } B_\pm = Y_{\pm 1}, \\ Y_{-1} B_+ = B_- Y_1 & \text{if } B_\pm = Y_{\pm 2}. \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

Lemma 4.8. *Let $\sigma \in \text{ToSt}(D_n)$ and $Y_i Y_j \in \text{UD}(\mathbf{V}_\sigma)$ with $\mathbf{V} := \mathbf{V}_\sigma$ being the associated stable $2(n-1)$ -gon. Then $Y_i Y_j$ decomposes into a sum of $\text{Im}Z$ -ind diagonals in $\text{Sim } \mathbf{V}$, which induces a filtration of short exact sequences for $\mathfrak{M}(Y_i Y_j)$ in the heart \mathcal{H}_σ with factors $\mathfrak{M}(s), s \in \text{Sim } \mathbf{V}$.*

Proof. We only prove the case that $B_\pm = Y_{\pm 1}$ as the argument for $B_\pm = Y_{\pm 2}$ is similar. Note that there are the following four possibilities of the indices i, j :

- (a) $i < j \leq -1$;
- (b) $i < -1 < 1 \leq j$;
- (a') $j > i \geq 1$;
- (b') $j > 1 > -1 \geq i$,

where the latter two cases are the dual of the former two since $Y_i Y_j = Y_{-j} Y_{-i}$. It suffices to discuss the former two cases. To be more precise,

- (a) if $i < j \leq -1$, then $Y_i Y_j$ is an upward diagonal in \mathbf{V}_n^- and thus a sum of elements in $\text{Sim } \mathbf{V}_n^- (\subset \text{Sim } \mathbf{V})$ by Lemma 4.2;
- (b1) if $i < -1$ and $j = 1$, then $Y_i Y_j = Y_i B_+$ is an upward diagonal in \mathbf{V}_n^+ and thus a sum of elements in $\text{Sim } \mathbf{V}_n^+ (\subset \text{Sim } \mathbf{V})$ by Lemma 4.2;
- (b2) if $i < -1 < 1 < j$, then we have

$$Y_i Y_j = Y_i B_- + B_- Y_j = Y_i B_- + Y_{-j} B_+.$$

Note that $Y_i Y_{-1} \in \text{UD}(\mathbf{V}_n^-)$ and $Y_{-j} B_+ \in \text{UD}(\mathbf{V}_n^+)$. Hence, combining the decompositions in the two situations above, we obtain a required decomposition.

Furthermore, similar to the proof of Lemma 4.2, we can iteratively use Lemma 3.5 to show that the sum gives rise to a filtration of short exact sequences whose factors are $\text{Im}Z$ -ind diagonals. \square


Following the Definition 4.3, we can define the *intersection quiver* $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{V})$ for a stable $2(n-1)$ -gon \mathbf{V} of type D_n . Note that the arrows in $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{V})$ arise from essential oriented intersections. See Figure 4 for an example, that there is no arrow from $Y_{-2} Y_1$ to $Y_{-2} Y_{-1}$ as the oriented intersection at Y_{-2} is not essential.

As a consequence, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.9. *Theorem 4.4 holds for any quiver Q of type D_n . In particular, the underlying diagram of the Ext-quiver/intersection quiver is of the form:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & & & s_{n-1} \\
 & & & & & & / \\
 s_1 & - & s_2 & - & s_3 & - & \cdots & - & s_{n-2} \\
 & & & & & & \backslash \\
 & & & & & & s_n
 \end{array}$$

Proof. Mutatis mutandis the proof of Theorem 4.4. \square

FIGURE 4. The intersection quiver of an 8-gon of type D_5 .

4.3. Exceptional type E_6 . Let \mathbf{V} be a stable 12-gon of type E_6 in \mathbb{C} . Reorder the points in $\{V_j, W_j \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_{12}\}$ with respect to (2.1) as $\{Y_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 24\}$ such that $Y_i < Y_{i+1}$ for all i . The stability of \mathbf{V} implies that there are at least three V_j 's that are less than all W_j 's. In other words, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 must all be V_j 's and thus are consecutive vertices of \mathbf{V} . Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3\} = \{V_1, V_2, V_3\}$. Denote by Δ_- the triangle with vertices V_1, V_2 and V_3 .

Remark 4.10. Similarly, one can deduce that Y_{22}, Y_{23}, Y_{24} are consecutive vertices of \mathbf{V} and they are vertices in $\{V_7, V_8, V_9\}$. We leave it as an exercise to the reader. Denote by Δ_0 the triangle with vertices V_7, V_8 and V_9 .

Consider the two triangles (cf. Figure 5) respectively:

- Δ_L with vertices W_3, W_1 and V_0 ,
- Δ_R with vertices V_4, W_4 and W_2 .

Lemma 4.11. *The triangles Δ_L and Δ_R (as well as Δ_0) are parallel (i.e. related by translations).*

Proof. The statement follows from the equalities of diagonals/vectors:

$$V_7V_8 = V_4W_4 = W_3W_1 \quad \text{and} \quad V_8V_9 = W_4W_2 = W_1V_0,$$

cf. [QZ22, Construction 6.3 or § 6.3]. □




FIGURE 5. The triangles $\Delta_?$ in type E_6 with $? = \{0, -, L, R\}$.




FIGURE 6. The intersection quiver of a 12-gon of type E_6

Comparing the vertices in Δ_L and Δ_R with respect to (2.1), we set Δ_+ to be the smaller one among them in the sense that

$$\Delta_+ := \begin{cases} \Delta_L & \text{if } W_1 < W_4, \\ \Delta_R & \text{if } W_4 < W_1. \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

Lemma 4.12. *Let \mathbf{J}_6 be the convex hull of $\Delta_- \cup \Delta_+$. Then it is a positively convex/stable hexagon (of type A_5).*

Proof. By [QZ22, § 6.3], we know that \mathbf{J}_6 is in fact a narrow hexagon either \mathbf{H}_1 or \mathbf{H}_2 , where

$$\mathbf{H}_j = V_{j-1}V_jV_{j+1}V_{j+2}W_{j+2}W_j.$$

Note that those \mathbf{H}_j 's inherit the positive convexity from \mathbf{V} . \square

Denote the ImZ-ind diagonals of \mathbf{J}_6 from top to bottom by s_1, s_2, s_4, s_5 , and s_6 respectively. Set $s_3 := |V_0W_2| = |W_3V_4|$, i.e.

$$s_3 = \begin{cases} V_0W_2 = W_3V_4 & \text{if } V_0 < W_2 (\iff W_3 < V_4), \\ W_2V_0 = V_4W_3 & \text{if } W_2 < V_0 (\iff V_4 < W_3) \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

and define $\text{Sim } \mathbf{V} := \{s_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 6\}$. Then, following Definition 4.3, we also have the intersection quiver $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{V})$. See Figure 6 for an example, which corresponds to Figure 5.




FIGURE 7. Part of the AR quiver of $\mathcal{D}_\infty(E_6)$

Proposition 4.13. *Theorem 4.4 holds for any quiver Q of type E_6 . In particular, the underlying diagram of the Ext-quiver/intersection quiver is of the form (of homogenous degree one):*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & s_3 & & & & \\ & & | & & & & \\ s_1 & - & s_2 & - & s_4 & - & s_5 & - & s_6 \end{array} \quad (4.9)$$

Proof. Recall the assumption that $\sigma \in \text{ToSt}(Q)$ and $\mathbf{V} := \mathbf{V}_\sigma$ be the corresponding stable 12-gon of type E_6 . Following the construction above, we have the set of upward diagonals

$$\text{Sim } \mathbf{V} = \text{Sim } \mathbf{J}_6 \cup \{s_3\} = \{s_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 6\},$$

where \mathbf{J}_6 is the narrow hexagon (either \mathbf{H}_1 or \mathbf{H}_2) in Lemma 4.12 and $\text{Sim } \mathbf{J}_6 = \{s_1, s_2, s_4, s_5, s_6\}$.

Denote by $\text{thick } \mathbb{L}$ the thick subcategory of $\mathcal{D}_\infty(Q)$ generated by objects in $\{\mathfrak{M}(\ell) \mid \ell \in \mathbb{L}\}$ for some set \mathbb{L} consisting of upward diagonals.

We claim that $\text{Sim}_0 := \{\mathfrak{M}(s_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq 6\} \subset \mathcal{H}_\sigma$ is a simple minded collection of $\mathcal{D}_\infty(Q)$, in the sense that

- $\text{Hom}^{\leq 0}(S, T) = 0$ for any $S, T \in \text{Sim}_0$ and
- $\text{thick Sim } \mathbf{V} = \mathcal{D}_\infty(Q)$.

If so, Sim_0 generates a heart that is contained in \mathcal{H}_σ , which must coincide with \mathcal{H}_σ . In other words, $\text{Sim}_0 = \text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_\sigma$ and then the proposition follows easily.

For the first condition, we actually have

$$\text{Hom}^\bullet(S, T) = \text{Hom}^1(S, T). \quad (4.10)$$

To verify this, we first list several full subcategories of $\mathcal{D}_\infty(Q)$:

- (a) $\text{thick Sim } \mathbf{J}_6 \cong \mathcal{D}_\infty(A_5)$ since \mathbf{J}_6 is a stable hexagon (of type A_5).
- (b) $\text{thick}\{s_3, s_4, s_5, s_6\} \cong \mathcal{D}_\infty(A_4)$ with the corresponding stable pentagon \mathbf{J}_5 (of type A_4) formed by the vertices of $s_{3,?}$ and those of Δ_- , where

$$s_{3,?} := \begin{cases} s_{3,L} = V_0W_2 & \text{if } \Delta_+ = \Delta_L, \\ s_{3,R} = V_4W_3 & \text{if } \Delta_+ = \Delta_R. \end{cases}$$

Indeed, we have $\text{Sim } \mathbf{J}_5 = \{s_3, s_4, s_5, s_6\}$.

- (c) $\text{thick Sim } \Delta_\pm \cong \mathcal{D}_\infty(A_2)$ with the corresponding stable triangle Δ_\pm of type A_2 . Its indecomposable objects (up to shift) are the ones in green (for Δ_+) and in blue (for Δ_-) in Figure 7.

By Theorem 4.4 and (a), any objects S, T in $\{\mathfrak{M}(s) \mid s \in \text{Sim } \mathbf{J}_6\}$ satisfies (4.10). Note that the object with labeling $XY \in \text{Ad}(\mathbf{V})$ in Figure 7 is precisely $\mathfrak{M}(XY) \in \mathcal{R}(Q)$ and the one in yellow corresponds to some shift of $\mathfrak{M}(s_3)$. Using (c), it is straightforward to see that Hom^\bullet vanishes between (i.e. from and to) $\mathfrak{M}(s_3)$ and $\mathfrak{M}(s_i) \in \text{thick Sim } \Delta_\pm$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 5, 6\}$. By (b), there exists exactly one Hom^1 between $\mathfrak{M}(s_3)$ and $\mathfrak{M}(s_4)$ as they are consecutive $\text{Im } Z$ -Ind diagonals of \mathbf{J}_5 . In all, the equality (4.10) holds for any $S, T \in \text{Sim}_0$, which implies the first condition. In fact, from the discussion above, we have actually shown that the Ext-quiver of Sim_0 is of the form (4.9).

For any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{12}$, define

$$\text{UD}_j := \text{UD}(\mathbf{H}_j) \cup \{\uparrow V_{j-1}W_{j+1} \uparrow, \uparrow W_{j+1}V_{j+2} \uparrow\}.$$

The second condition can be proved as follows:

- (i) By Lemma 3.5, there is a triangle with objects $\uparrow \ell \uparrow$, where ℓ runs through the edges of a contractible triangle.
- (ii) By Lemma 4.2, we know that $\text{thick Sim } \mathbf{J}_6 = \text{thick UD}(\mathbf{J}_6)$. Note that

$$\text{Sim } \mathbf{V} = \text{Sim } \mathbf{J}_6 \cup \{\uparrow V_0W_2 \uparrow = \uparrow W_3V_4 \uparrow\},$$

where $\mathbf{J}_6 = \mathbf{H}_j$ and $\lceil V_0W_2 \rceil = \lceil W_3V_4 \rceil$ is one element in $\text{UD}_j \setminus \text{UD}(\mathbf{H}_j)$ for some $j \in \{1, 2\}$. It immediately follows from (i) that $\text{thick Sim } \mathbf{V} = \text{thick UD}_1$ or thick UD_2 .

- (iii) For any $\ell \in \text{UD}_{j+1}$, one checks that ℓ is an edge of a contractible triangle whose other two edges are in UD_j . By (i), we have $\text{thick UD}_{j+1} \subset \text{thick UD}_j$. Hence all UD_j 's coincide for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{12}$.
- (iv) By definition, we have

$$\text{UD}(\mathbf{V}) = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{12}} \text{UD}_j.$$

Then (iii) implies that $\text{thick UD}_j = \text{thick UD}(\mathbf{V}) = \mathcal{D}_\infty(Q)$. Together with (ii), we obtain the required claim. \square

4.4. Exceptional types E_n . Let $\sigma \in \text{ToSt}(E_n)$, where $n \in \{6, 7, 8\}$, and $\mathbf{V} := \mathbf{V}_\sigma$ the associated stable h -gon of type E_n . Reorder the points in $\{V_j, W_j \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_{h_Q}\}$ increasingly with respect to (2.1) as $\{Y_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 2h_Q\}$. As in § 4.3 for the case $n = 6$, we have the following in all exceptional cases:

- the points in $\{Y_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-3\}$ are consecutive vertices of \mathbf{V} . Thus we can assume that they are $\{V_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq n-3\}$. Those vertices V_j 's form a positively convex $(n-3)$ -gon \mathbf{P}_{n-3} . More precisely, \mathbf{P}_3 is a triangle \triangle_- , \mathbf{P}_4 is a square \square_- and \mathbf{P}_5 is a pentagon \diamond_- .
- there are two distinct parallel triangles \triangle_L and \triangle_R (since $W_1V_0 = W_4W_2$ and $W_3W_1 = V_{n-2}W_4$), where
 - \triangle_L is the triangle with vertices V_0, W_1 and W_3 , and
 - \triangle_R is the triangle with vertices W_2, W_4 and V_{n-2} .
- define \triangle_+ to be the smaller one among the above two triangles as in (4.7) and \mathbf{J}_n the convex hull of $\mathbf{P}_{n-3} \cup \triangle_+$, which is a positively convex n -gon due to the stability of \mathbf{V} .
- denote the ImZ -ind diagonals of \mathbf{J}_n from top to bottom by $s_1, s_2, s_4, \dots, s_n$ respectively and set $s_3 := \lceil V_0W_2 \rceil = \lceil W_3V_{n-2} \rceil$.

Definition 4.14. For type E_n , the set $\text{Sim } \mathbf{V}$ of ImZ -ind diagonals of \mathbf{V} is defined to be $\{s_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Thus we have the *intersection quiver* $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{V})$ as in Definition 4.3. See Figures 8-9 and Figures 13-14 for examples of the associated intersection quivers in types E_7 and E_8 respectively.

Now, we can upgrade Proposition 4.13 to all exceptional cases.

Theorem 4.15. *Theorem 4.4 holds for any quiver Q of type E_n , where $n \in \{6, 7, 8\}$. In particular, the underlying diagram of the *Ext-quiver/intersection quiver* is of the form*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & s_3 & & & & \\ & & | & & & & \\ s_1 & - & s_2 & - & s_4 & - & s_5 & - & \cdots & - & s_n. \end{array} \quad (4.11)$$




FIGURE 8. The triangles Δ_L, Δ_R and the square \square_4 in type E_7




FIGURE 9. The intersection quiver of an 18-gon of type E_7

5. REINEKE'S CONJECTURE

In this section, we will use the geometric model constructed in § 4 to prove Reineke's conjecture about the existence of total stability functions on the module category of

any Dynkin quiver. The conjecture for type A case is proved in [BGMS19], where the construction is more or less the same. However, we include the details as well for self-containedness.

5.1. Type A and D .

Proposition 5.1. *For any quiver Q of type A_n , there is a stable $(n+1)$ -gon \mathbf{V} such that $Q^{1-?}(\mathbf{V}) \cong Q$.*




FIGURE 10. Stable $(n+1)$ -gon of type A_n

Proof. Assume that the underlying diagram of Q is as follows:

$$1 \text{ --- } 2 \text{ --- } 3 \text{ --- } \cdots \text{ --- } n.$$

We define a sign function ϵ on $Q_1 = \{a_i \mid 2 \leq i \leq n\}$, where a_i is the arrow between $i-1$ and i , as:

$$\epsilon_i := \begin{cases} + & \text{if } i-1 \xrightarrow{a_i} i, \\ - & \text{if } i-1 \xleftarrow{a_i} i. \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

Set $Y_1 = (0, -1)$ and $Y_{n+1} = (1, 0)$ in \mathbb{C} . For any $2 \leq i \leq n$, let $Y_i = (x_i, y_i)$ be the point on $S^1 = \{x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$ with

$$y_i = -1 + \frac{2(i-1)}{n},$$


and $\text{sign}(x_i) = -\epsilon_i$. Clearly, we have

$$Y_1 < Y_2 < \cdots < Y_{n+1}.$$

Denote by \mathbf{V} the convex $(n+1)$ -gon with vertices $\{Y_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n+1\}$. By Definition 4.3, the intersection quiver of \mathbf{V} is isomorphic to Q , cf. Figure 10. \square

Proposition 5.2. *For any quiver Q of type D_n , there is a stable $2(n-1)$ -gon \mathbf{V} of type D_n such that $\mathcal{Q}^{1-?}(\mathbf{V}) \cong Q$.*

Proof. Assume that the underlying diagram of Q is as follows:



Denote by $Q_1 = \{a_i \mid 2 \leq i \leq n\}$, where a_i is the arrow between $i-1$ and i for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ and a_n is the arrow between $n-2$ and n . We define a sign function ϵ on Q_1 with ϵ_i given by (5.1) for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ and

$$\epsilon_n := \begin{cases} + & \text{if } n-2 \xrightarrow{a_n} n, \\ - & \text{if } n-2 \xleftarrow{a_n} n. \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

Set $Y_{\pm n} = (0, \pm 1)$ in \mathbb{C} . For any $3 \leq i \leq n-1$, let $Y_i = (x_i, y_i)$ be the point on $S^1 = \{x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$ with

$$y_{\pm i} = \frac{\pm i}{n},$$

and $\text{sign}(x_{\pm i}) = \pm \epsilon_{n+1-|i|}$. To choose vertices $Y_{\pm 1}$ and $Y_{\pm 2}$, there are three cases.

- (a) If $\epsilon_{n-1} = \epsilon_n$, then we set $Y_{\pm 2} = (x_{\pm 2}, \pm 2/n)$ be the points on S^1 with $\text{sign}(x_{\pm 2}) = \pm \epsilon_{n-1}$ and $Y_{\pm 1} = (0, 0)$. Let \mathbf{V} be the convex $2(n-1)$ -gon with vertices $\{Y_{\pm i} \mid 2 \leq i \leq n\}$ and two punctures $B_{\pm} = Y_{\pm 1}$, which is a stable $2(n-1)$ -gon of type D_n by construction. Moreover, the ordering (4.3) holds. By Definitions 4.3 and 4.7, the intersection quiver of \mathbf{V} is isomorphic to Q . The pictures in Figure 11 give two examples for the case $n = 6$.
- (b) If $\epsilon_{n-1} = -\epsilon_n$ and $(\epsilon_{n-1}, \epsilon_n) = (-, +)$, then we set $Y_{\pm 1} = (\pm 1, 0)$. Let \mathbf{V} be the convex $2(n-1)$ -gon with vertices $\{Y_{\pm i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, i \neq 2\}$. As \mathbf{V} is inscribed in S^1 , the level- $(n-2)$ diagonal-gon is the intersection of $(n-1)$ symmetric rectangles containing the origin O . Hence, such level- $(n-2)$ diagonal-gon contains a disk with center at O whose diameter d is the shortest edge of those rectangles. Take $B_{\pm} = Y_{\pm 2} = (0, \pm d/3)$ inside such a disk. Then the convex $2(n-1)$ -gon \mathbf{V} with punctures B_{\pm} is a stable $2(n-1)$ -gon of type D_n . Moreover, the ordering (4.3) holds. By Definitions 4.3 and 4.7, the intersection quiver of \mathbf{V} is isomorphic to Q . The left picture in Figure 12 gives an example for the case $n = 6$.
- (b') If $\epsilon_{n-1} = -\epsilon_n$ and $(\epsilon_{n-1}, \epsilon_n) = (+, -)$, then we take the stable $2(n-1)$ -gon \mathbf{V}' of type D_n obtained from \mathbf{V} in Case (b) by clockwise rotating $R_{-\theta} = e^{-i\pi\theta}$ of a small angle $0 < \theta \ll 1$. Such a rotation does not change the order between almost all Y_i 's, except the one between $Y_{\pm 1}$. Set $Y'_i = R_{-\theta}(Y_i)$ for $i \neq \pm 1$ and $Y'_{\pm 1} = R_{-\theta}(Y_{\mp 1})$. Then the ordering (4.3) holds for all Y'_i 's. By Definitions 4.3




FIGURE 11. Two mirror stable 10-gons of type D_6 in Case (a)

and 4.7, the intersection quiver of \mathbf{V}' is isomorphic to Q . The right picture in Figure 12 gives an example for the case $n = 6$. \square




FIGURE 12. Two stable 10-gons of type D_6 in Cases (b) and (b')

Note that any quiver in Case (b') is isomorphic to some quiver in Case (b) by swapping the vertices $n - 1$ and n . Thus, one can omit the proof in Case (b'). However we keep it to demonstrate the \mathbb{C} -action effect.

5.2. Exceptional type. Firstly, note that if we prove the conjecture for E_8 , then E_6 and E_7 follows directly by restricted to full subcategories. Secondly, we have the following easy observation, cf. Lemma 5.3 and an illustration in Figure 11.

Consider the mirror operation $\mathbf{V} \mapsto \mathbf{V}^\vee$ with respect to y -axis, which also mirror on the order (2.1) to be

$$W_1 < W_2 \iff \begin{cases} y_1 < y_2 & \text{or} \\ y_1 = y_2, x_1 > x_2, \end{cases} \quad (5.3)$$

for any two points $W_1 = (x_1, y_1)$ and $W_2 = (x_2, y_2)$ in \mathbb{C} . Let σ^\vee be the total stability condition to \mathbf{V}^\vee . The order (5.3) effects the definition of upward diagonals, in the sense that we need to take \arg to be in $(0, \pi]$. Consequently, we need choose $\mathcal{H}^\vee := \mathcal{P}^\vee(0, 1]$ as the heart of σ^\vee so that everything in the paper still hold, e.g. Proposition 3.2.

Lemma 5.3. *The intersection quiver $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{V}^\vee)$ is the opposite quiver of $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{V})$ and it is the Ext-quiver of the heart \mathcal{H}^\vee .*

Note that by this lemma, one can also easily reduce Case (b') to Case (b) using the mirror operation.

Remark 5.4. As there are only finitely many indecomposable objects in $\mathcal{D}_\infty(Q)/[2]$, then $\mathcal{P}(\theta) = \emptyset$ for $0 < \theta \ll 1$. This implies that

$$\mathcal{P}(0, 1] = \mathcal{P}[\theta, 1 + \theta),$$

which equals the canonical heart of $\theta \cdot \sigma$ (the \mathbb{C} -action). Thus, in the setting of Lemma 5.3, if one combines the mirror operation with a rotation smaller enough, the effect on the Ext-quiver of the canonical heart would be precisely taking opposite quiver.

Next, we have another important observation.

Observation: Suppose that we have constructed a stable h -gon \mathbf{V} of type E_n , where $n \in \{6, 7, 8\}$, with the intersection quiver

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & s_3 & & & & \\ & & \uparrow & & & & \\ s_1 & \leftarrow & s_2 & \leftarrow & s_4 & \leftarrow & s_5 \leftarrow \cdots \rightarrow s_n \end{array} \quad (5.4)$$

such that

$$\arg Z_{\mathbf{V}}(S_1) = \arg Z_{\mathbf{V}}(S_3) = \arg Z_{\mathbf{V}}(S_n) = 0, \quad (5.5)$$

where $S_j = \mathfrak{M}(s_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq 8$. Then, by a small deformation, $\arg Z_{\mathbf{V}}(S_i)$ can become near π for any leaf vertex $i \in \{1, 3, n\}$ and thus reverse the arrow at S_i . Note that since the space $\text{Stgon}(Q)$ of stable h -gons of type Q is open, such small deformation is permitted.

Proposition 5.5. *For any quiver Q of type E_n , where $n \in \{6, 7, 8\}$, there is a stable h -gon \mathbf{V} of type E_n such that $\mathcal{Q}^{1-?}(\mathbf{V}) \cong Q$.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.3, we can fix the orientation of a chosen arrow (say between vertex 2 and 4). Further, by the observation above, we only need to construct $2^3 = 8$ stable 30-gons \mathbf{V} with the following intersection quivers:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} & & & s_3 & & & & \\ & & & \uparrow & & & & \\ s_1 & \leftarrow & s_2 & \leftarrow & s_4 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & s_5 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & s_6 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & s_7 & \rightarrow & s_8 \end{array} \quad (5.6)$$

satisfying (5.5). All possibilities of those 8 stable 30-gons are shown in Appendix A. \square

5.3. Summary. Combining Propositions 5.1 5.2 and 5.5, we verify Reineke's conjecture:

Theorem 5.6. *For any Dynkin quiver Q , there is a stability function on $\mathcal{H}(Q)$ such that any indecomposable is stable.*

Final remark is that all the examples in the exceptional cases are produce by [GeoGebra](#) where the precise coordinate information is in the tex/tikz code.

APPENDIX A. LIST OF EXAMPLES OF STABLE 30-GONS IN TYPE E_8

Example A.1. Figures 15 and 16 give the eight examples of stable 30-gon \mathbf{V} , in which we only draw the partial part containing $\text{Sim } \mathbf{V}$ such that the associated intersection quiver $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{V})$ can be read. More precisely, the intersection quiver is of the form

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} & & & s_3 & & & & \\ & & & \uparrow & & & & \\ s_1 & \leftarrow & s_2 & \leftarrow & s_4 & \xrightarrow{a_5} & s_5 & \xrightarrow{a_6} & s_6 & \xrightarrow{a_7} & s_7 & \rightarrow & s_8 \end{array} \quad (A.1)$$

with ϵ_i denoting the sign of the orientation of a_i for $5 \leq i \leq 7$ as in (5.1). And we have the sign labeling $\epsilon_5\epsilon_6\epsilon_7$ with the following eight possibilities:

- $---, +--,-+-,--+$ for the pictures in Figure 15, respectively, and
- $++-, +-+, -++,+ + +$ for the pictures in Figure 16, respectively.

REFERENCES

- [AI20] P. J. Apruzzese, and K. Igusa, Stability conditions for affine type A, *Algebr. Represent. Theory* **23** (2020) no. 5, 2079–2111.
- [A22] Hannes Kristinn Árnason, Stability conditions for finite-dimensional algebras, Master's Thesis Mathematics. Rheinischen Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, (April 2022) 98 pp.
- [BGMS19] E. Barnard, E. Gunawan, E. Meehan and R. Schiffler, Cambrian combinatorics on quiver representations (type A_n), (2019) [arXiv:1912.02840](#)
- [BS21] K. Baur, R. Coelho Simoes, A geometric model for the module category of a gentle algebra. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2021, no. 15, 11357–11392.
- [B07] T. Bridgeland, Stability conditions on triangulated categories, *Ann. Math.* **166** (2007) 317–345. [arXiv:0212237](#)

- [HH20] P.F. Huang, Z. Hu, Stability and indecomposability of the representations of quivers of An-type, *Comm. Algebra* **48** (2020) no. 7, 2905–2919.
- [HKK17] F. Haiden, L. Katzarkov and M. Kontsevich, Stability in Fukaya categories of surfaces, *Publ. Math. de l'IHÉS* **126** (2017) 247–318. [arXiv:1409.8611](#)
- [HZZ20] P. He, Y. Zhou, B. Zhu, A geometric model for the module category of a skew-gentle algebra. (2020) [arXiv:2004.11136](#)
- [IQZ20] A. Ikeda, Y. Qiu and Y. Zhou, Graded decorated marked surfaces: Calabi-Yau-X categories of gentle algebras, [arXiv:2006.00009](#).
- [Ke11] B. Keller, On cluster theory and quantum dilogarithm identities, *Representations of algebras and related topics, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich* **285** (2011) 85–116. [arXiv:1102.4148v4](#)
- [KOT19] K. Kikuta, G. Ouchi and A. Takahashi, Serre dimension and stability conditions, (2019) [arXiv:1907.10981](#).
- [KQ15] A. King and Y. Qiu, Exchange graphs and Ext quivers, *Adv. Math.* **285** (2015) 1106–1154. [arXiv:1109.2924v2](#)
- [K94] A. King, Moduli of representations of finite-dimensional algebras., *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **45** (1994) no. 180, 515–530.
- [Ki20] R. Kinser, Total stability functions for type A quivers, *Algebr. Represent. Theory* (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10468-021-10049-7>. [arXiv:2002.12396v2](#)
- [KS08] M. Kontsevich and Y. Soibelman, Stability structures, motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants and cluster transformations, [arXiv:0811.2435](#)
- [Q15] Y. Qiu, Stability conditions and quantum dilogarithm identities for Dynkin quivers, *Adv. Math.* **269** (2015) 220–264. [arXiv:1111.1010](#)
- [Q18] Y. Qiu, Global dimension function, Gepner equations and q -stability conditions, (2018) [arXiv:1806.00010](#).
- [Q20] Y. Qiu, Contractible flow of stability conditions via global dimension function, (2020) [arXiv:2008.00282](#).
- [QZ22] Y. Qiu and X. Zhang, Geometric classification of total stability conditions, (2022) [arXiv:2202.00092](#).
- [QZZ] Y. Qiu, C. Zhang and Y. Zhou, Two geometric models for graded skew-gentle algebras, in preparation.
- [R03] M. Reineke, The Harder-Narasimhan system in quantum groups and cohomology of quiver moduli, *Invent. Math.* 152 (2003), no. 2, 349–368. [arXiv:0204059](#)
- [R97] A. Rudakov, Stability for an abelian category, *J. Algebra* **197** (1997), no. 1, 231–245.
- [M22] R. Marczinzik, On total stability conditions for Dynkin quivers, (2022) [arXiv:2205.00947](#).
- [OPS18] S. Oppermann and G-P Plamondon and S. Schroll, A geometric model for the derived category of gentle algebras, (2018) [arXiv:1801.09659](#).

WEN CHANG: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, SHAANXI NORMAL UNIVERSITY, XI'AN 710062, CHINA.

Email address: changwen161@163.com

YU QIU: YAU MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES CENTER AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, 100084 BEIJING, CHINA. & BEIJING INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND APPLICATIONS, YANQI LAKE, BEIJING, CHINA

Email address: yu.qiu@bath.edu

XIAOTING ZHANG: BEIJING ADVANCED INNOVATION CENTER FOR IMAGING THEORY AND TECHNOLOGY, ACADEMY FOR MULTIDISCIPLINARY STUDIES, CAPITAL NORMAL UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100048, CHINA

Email address: xiaoting.zhang09@hotmail.com




FIGURE 13. The triangles Δ_L, Δ_R and the pentagon \diamond_- in type E_8




FIGURE 14. The intersection quiver of a 30-gon of type E_8





FIGURE 15. Four stable 30-gons of type E_8

FIGURE 16. Another four stable 30-gons of type E_8