

Topological characterization and stability of Floquet Majorana modes in Rashba nanowire

Debashish Mondal ^{1,2,*}, Arnob Kumar Ghosh ^{1,2,†}, Tanay Nag ^{3,‡} and Arijit Saha ^{1,2,§}

¹*Institute of Physics, Sachivalaya Marg, Bhubaneswar-751005, India*

²*Homi Bhabha National Institute, Training School Complex, Anushakti Nagar, Mumbai 400094, India*

³*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, Box 516, 75120 Uppsala, Sweden*

We theoretically investigate a practically realizable Floquet topological superconductor model, based on a one-dimensional Rashba nanowire and proximity induced s -wave superconductivity in the presence of a Zeeman field. The driven system hosts regular 0- and anomalous π -Majorana end modes (MEMs). By tuning the chemical potential and the frequency of the drive, we illustrate the generation of multiple MEMs in our theoretical set up. We utilize the chiral symmetry operator to topologically characterize these MEMs via a dynamical winding number constructed out of the periodized evolution operator. Interestingly, the robustness of the 0- and π -MEMs is established in the presence of on-site time-independent random disorder potential. We employ the twisted boundary condition to define the dynamical topological invariant for this translational-symmetry broken system. We showcase another driving protocol namely, a periodic kick in the chemical potential to study the generation of Floquet MEMs in our setup. Our work paves a realistic way to engineer multiple MEMs in a driven system.

I. INTRODUCTION

Topological superconductors (TSCs) hosting Majorana zero-modes (MZMs) have been at the heart of modern condensed matter physics for the last two decades [1–4]. Majorana fermions are charge-neutral particles satisfying the Dirac equation, but unlike the Dirac fermions, they are self-antiparticles. However, in a condensed matter system, they do not constitute elementary particles rather they appear as emergent quasiparticles [3, 4]. Majorana fermions obey non-Abelian statistics and are proposed to be the building block of fault-tolerant quantum computations [5–9]. The first theoretical proposal for MZMs in a one-dimensional (1D) topological system is put forward by Kitaev [1], based on a spinless p -wave superconducting chain. However, p -wave superconductors are not found naturally, and this restricts the experimental realization of the Kitaev’s model. In this direction, Fu and Kane put forward the first experimentally feasible theoretical model proposal [10] based on topological insulators (TIs). In their proposal, an s -wave superconductor is placed in closed proximity to the surface states of a three-dimensional (3D) strong topological insulator and a magnetic insulator. An effective spinness $p_x + ip_y$ pairing is generated in the system due to the interplay between the s -wave superconductivity, the spin-orbit coupling (SOC), and the exchange field. This system supports Majorana bound states at the vortex core. The generation of this spinless $p_x + ip_y$ superconductivity has also been described in other models [11, 12].

However, an alternative elegant proposal for realizing the MZMs is proposed in systems consisting of 1D semi-




FIG. 1. (a) Schematic representation of our setup is shown here. One-dimensional nanowire (NW) [blue] with strong spin-orbit coupling is placed on top a s -wave superconducting slab [green]. A magnetic field B_x is applied along the x -direction and a gate voltage V_g is applied across the cross-section of the NW to control the chemical potential. The Majorana end-modes (MEMs) [red] appear at the two ends of the NW. (b) Schematic demonstration of our periodic three step-drive protocol [see Eq. (5)] is presented.

conducting nanowire (NW) (e.g., InAs) with strong SOC and proximity induced s -wave superconductivity (e.g., Nb) in it [3, 4, 13–19]. Such proposals, based on semiconductor-superconductor heterostructure, have attracted few recent experiments. The $2e^2/h$ quantized zero-bias peak, obtained via the tunneling spectroscopy measurements, has been reported as an indirect signature of the MZMs [20–29]. However, such quantization of the zero-bias peak may also arise due to the Andreev bound states induced by the quantum dots formed at the junction interface or the Kondo effect due to the magnetic impurities present in the system [30–35]. Thus, the compelling and distinctive signature of the MZMs are yet to be found.

On the other hand, the Floquet generation of topological systems has been capturing much attention due

* debashish.m@iopb.res.in

† arnob@iopb.res.in

‡ tanay.nag@physics.uu.se

§ arijit@iopb.res.in

to its ability to engineer topological phenomena out of a non-topological system [36–57]. The non-trivial winding of time-dependent wave-function allows one to generate the anomalous topological boundary modes at finite energy, namely π -modes, which do not have any static counterpart. The Floquet generation of TSCs hosting Majorana end-modes (MEMs), relying upon the spinless p -wave Kitaev chain, has been investigated [47–49] along with their transport signature [58]. To add more, 1D cold-atomic NW- s -wave superconductor heterostructure using the step-drive protocol [50], time-dependent ac electric field [53], periodically driven chemical potential [52, 54, 55] are found to be instrumental in the context of Floquet TSCs (FTSCs). The braiding of these Floquet modes further enrich the field of quantum computations [59–62]. Importantly, following the realistic Rashba NW model, the generation of multiple MEMs similar to Ref. [47] has not been explored so far to the best of our knowledge. Also, these FTSCs hosting 0- and π -MEMs have not been characterized using a proper dynamical topological invariant. To this end, we ask the following questions- (a) Is it possible to generate the FTSC with multiple 0- and π -MEMs starting from a static realistic model based on 1D Rashba NW? (b) How to characterize these dynamical modes using a proper dynamical invariant? (c) Are these Floquet MEMs stable against static random disorder? We additionally note that the above questions are practically pertinent given the experimental developments of Floquet systems based on solid-state materials [63, 64], acoustic setup [65, 66], photonic platforms [67, 68], etc.

In this article, we first revisit the static model based on 1D Rashba NW in the presence of a magnetic field and proximity induced s -wave superconductivity (see Fig. 1) [3, 4, 13–19] and study its topological phase boundaries (see Fig. 2). We exploit the chiral symmetry to define the topological invariant for this system. Afterward, we tend towards the Floquet generation of TSC using a three-step drive protocol (see Fig. 3). We employ the periodized evolution operator to topologically characterize the dynamical modes (see Fig. 4). The robustness of the dynamical modes is also investigated against on-site random disorder (see Fig. 5). In the presence of disorder, the translation symmetry no longer holds, hence we define a real-space topological invariant to characterize these dynamical modes (both 0- and π -MEMs). We extend our analysis for the mass kick drive protocol to verify our proposals on Floquet Majorana modes (see Figs. 6, and 7).

The remainder of the article is organized as follows. We introduce the static Hamiltonian and its topological characterization in Sec. II. In Sec. III, we discuss the generation of Floquet MEMs and their topological characterization. In the presence of static random disorder, the stability of the dynamical MEMs via the appropriate topological invariant is studied in Sec. IV. We also introduce periodic kick protocol to generate the MEMs in Sec. V. We finally summarize and conclude our findings




FIG. 2. (a) Energy eigenvalue spectra for the static Hamiltonian [Eq. (1)], employing open boundary condition, is shown as a function of the magnetic field B_x . The corresponding winding number W is depicted as a function of B_x in panel (b) for a fixed value of $c_0 = 1.0$. (c) We demonstrate W in the c_0 - B_x plane to illustrate the topological phase diagram. Other model parameters are chosen as $(t, u, \Delta) = (1.0, 0.5, 1.0)$. MZMs are obtained for $|B_x^1| \leq B_x \leq |B_x^2|$ (see text for details).

in Sec. VI.

II. MODEL

A. Model Hamiltonian

We consider the Rashba NW model, placed on the top of a s -wave superconductor [see Fig. 1 (a)], while a magnetic field is applied along the x -direction [15]. The superconducting gap is induced in the NW via the proximity effect. We consider the following BdG basis: $\Psi_k = \{\psi_{k\uparrow}, \psi_{k\downarrow}, \psi_{-k\downarrow}^\dagger, -\psi_{-k\uparrow}^\dagger\}^t$; here, $\psi_{k\uparrow}$ ($\psi_{k\downarrow}^\dagger$) and $\psi_{k\downarrow}$ ($\psi_{k\uparrow}^\dagger$) represents electron annihilation (creation) operator for spin-up and spin-down sector, respectively; and \mathbf{t} stands for the transpose operation. The BdG Hamiltonian for the NW is given as [3, 4, 14, 15, 17, 18]

$$H_0(k) = [(2t - c_0) - 2t \cos k]\Gamma_1 + 2u \sin k \Gamma_2 + B_x \Gamma_3 + \Delta \Gamma_4, \quad (1)$$

where, the 4×4 Γ matrices are given as $\Gamma_1 = \tau_z \sigma_0$, $\Gamma_2 = \tau_z \sigma_z$, $\Gamma_3 = \tau_0 \sigma_z$, and $\Gamma_4 = \tau_x \sigma_0$; while the Pauli matrices $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ act on particle-hole and spin (\uparrow, \downarrow) subspace, respectively. Here, c_0 , t , u , B_x , and Δ represent the chemical potential, nearest-neighbor hopping amplitude, Rashba SOC strength, strength of the magnetic field along x -direction, and induced s -wave superconducting gap, respectively. The Hamiltonian [Eq. (1)] respects the chiral symmetry $S = \tau_y \sigma_z$: $S^{-1} H_0(k) S = -H_0(k)$ and the particle-hole symmetry (PHS) $\mathcal{C} = \tau_y s_y \mathcal{K}$: $\mathcal{C}^{-1} H_0(k) \mathcal{C} = -H_0(-k)$. However, it breaks the time-reversal symmetry (TRS) $\mathcal{T} = i\tau_0 s_y \mathcal{K}$: $\mathcal{T}^{-1} H_0(k) \mathcal{T} \neq H_0(-k)$; where, \mathcal{K} represents the complex-conjugation operator.

At $k = 0$, the Hamiltonian [Eq. (1)] undergoes a gap-closing transition, when $B_x = |B_x^1| = \sqrt{c_0^2 + \Delta^2}$; while another gap-closing transition occurs at $k = \pi$, when $B_x = |B_x^2| = \sqrt{(4t - c_0)^2 + \Delta^2}$. These bulk gap closing conditions can identify the topological phase boundaries. Having emphasized the problem analytically, we

tie up with numerical results to corroborate our findings. We employ open boundary condition (OBC) and depict the eigenvalue spectra of the Hamiltonian [Eq. (1)] in Fig. 2 (a) as a function of the magnetic field B_x . From Fig. 2 (a), one can identify that the system is trivially gapped when $B_x < |B_x^1|$ and $B_x > |B_x^2|$ with no MZMs present. However, a pair of MZMs appear at the two ends of NW (one MZM per end), when $|B_x^1| \leq B_x \leq |B_x^2|$ identifying the TSC phase in the static model.

B. Topological characterization

The 1D system under consideration preserves chiral symmetry S . Thus, it can be topologically characterized by a \mathbb{Z} winding number, classifying Hamiltonian's first homotopy class within the first homotopy group $\Pi_1[U(N)]$ and providing us with the number of zero-energy modes [69, 70]. In the canonical basis representation (chiral-basis), where S is diagonal, the Hamiltonian [Eq. (1)] takes an anti-diagonal form. This reads as

$$\tilde{H}_0(k) = U_S^\dagger H_0(k) U_S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & H_0^+(k) \\ H_0^-(k) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where, the unitary matrix U_S is constructed using the chiral-basis, given as

$$U_S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 0 & -i \\ -i & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

and $H_0^\pm(k)$ are 2×2 square matrices, defined on the \pm chiral block, respectively. Using $\mathcal{H}_0^\pm(k)$, we can define the winding number W ($\in \mathbb{Z}$) as [69, 70]

$$W = \left| \pm \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dk \operatorname{Tr} \left[\{H_0^\pm(k)\}^{-1} \partial_k H_0^\pm(k) \right] \right|. \quad (4)$$

We illustrate W as a function of B_x for a fixed value of c_0 in Fig. 2 (b). The winding number W correctly identifies the phase boundary between the trivial $W = 0$ and the topological $W = 1$ phases. In order to obtain the topological phase diagram, we further depict the winding number in the c_0 - B_x plane in Fig. 2 (c). The system exhibits TSC phase with $W = 1$ for $B_x > |B_x^1|$. Our findings are consistent with the previously reported results [3, 4, 15] and constitute the background for the investigation of the driven system as discussed in the next section.

III. FLOQUET MAJORANA MODES AND THEIR TOPOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION

A. Driving protocol and Emergence of Floquet Majorana modes

We choose a non-topological parameter space for the Hamiltonian $H_0(k)$ [Eq. (1)] to start with and consider a




FIG. 3. Bulk quasienergy spectra for the three-step drive protocol [Eq. (5)] are shown for $\Omega = 1.5$ and $\Omega = 2.5$ in panels (a) and (b), respectively. In the insets I1 and I2 of panel (a) [inset of panel (b)], we depict the bulk-gap around quasi-energy $E(k) = \pi$ and $E(k) = 0$, respectively [quasi-energy $E(k) = \pi$]. In panels (c) and (d), we illustrate the quasi-energy spectra E_m as a function of the state index m for our system obeying OBC, corresponding to (a) and (b), respectively; while in the insets, we portray the zoomed-in quasi-energies for better clarity. The appearance of 0- and π -Majorana end modes (MEMs) are evident from these figures. We show the normalized site-resolved probability ($|\Psi|^2$) corresponding to the 0- and π -MEMs in panels (e) and (f), respectively. Here, the other model parameters are chosen as $(c_0, c_1, \Delta, B_x, t, u) = (1.0, 0.2, 1.0, 1.0, 1.0, 0.5)$.

three-step periodic driving scheme to generate the FTSC phase as

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{step}}(k, t) &= H_1 & t \in [0, T/4], \\ &= H_0(k) & t \in [T/4, 3T/4], \\ &= H_1 & t \in [3T/4, T], \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where, $H_1 = -c_1 \Gamma_1$ represents an on-site term, modulating the chemical potential. Here, T (Ω) stands for the time-period (frequency) of the drive. We depict the driving protocol schematically in Fig. 1(b). The Floquet

operator can be constructed as follows

$$U_{\text{step}}(k, T) = \text{TO exp} \left[-i \int_0^T dt H(k, t) \right] \\ = e^{-iH_1 T/4} e^{-iH_0(k)T/2} e^{-iH_1 T/4}. \quad (6)$$

We can diagonalize the Floquet operator $U_{\text{step}}(k, T)$ to obtain the quasi-energy spectrum as $U_{\text{step}}(k, T) |\Psi_n(k)\rangle = e^{-iE'_n(k)T} |\Psi_n(k)\rangle$. Here, n represents the band index in the quasi-energy spectrum. We define $E_n(k) = E'_n(k)T$ such that the quasi-energy always lies within the range i.e., $E_n(k) \in [-\pi, \pi]$.

We depict the bulk quasi-energy bands $E(k)$ as a function of momenta k for $\Omega = 1.5$ and 2.5 in Fig. 3 (a) and (b), respectively. Although, the bulk quasi-energy bands do not exhibit any direct signature of the end-modes due to the periodic boundary condition. However, by analyzing the bulk bands, one can obtain a qualitative idea about the number of end-modes present within a certain quasi-energy gap. From the insets (I1 and I2) of Fig. 3 (a), we can observe two openings of the bulk gap corresponding to two MEMs at these gaps. In these insets, 0- and π -lines are indicated by the red and green dotted lines, respectively. Similarly, from the inset of Fig. 3 (b), one can identify four gap openings near $E(k) = \pi$ (denoted by the green dotted line), corresponding to four end-modes at that gap. Nonetheless, we also verify our claims from the finite geometry calculations employing OBC.

Having studied the bulk quasi-energy bands, we impose OBC and diagonalize the Floquet operator [Eq. (6)]. We demonstrate the quasi-energy eigenvalue spectra for $\Omega = 1.5$ and $\Omega = 2.5$ in Fig. 3 (c) and (d), respectively. We can identify two 0-MEMs (one 0-Majorana mode per end) and two π -MEMs (one π -Majorana per end) from the insets I1 and I2 of Fig. 3 (c), respectively. From Fig. 3 (d), however, we can observe only four π -MEMs (two π -Majoranas per end), while 0-MEMs are absent in this case. This is also emphasized in the inset of Fig. 3 (d). Thus, the finite geometry calculations verify the findings as anticipated from the bulk quasi-energy bands. The information about the nature of the bulk gap whether it is topological or trivial is acquired by investigating the existence of MEMs within the bulk gap under OBC. The winding number captures the topological property of the bulk gap that we demonstrate below.

To study the localization properties of the in-gap states, we illustrate the normalized site-resolved probability ($|\Psi|^2$) in Fig. 3 (e) and (f) corresponding to the 0- and π -MEMs as shown in Fig. 3 (c), respectively. One can observe that both the 0- and π -MEMs are sharply localized near the two ends of the NW. To corroborate that the end-modes represent a Majorana, we consider the wavefunction of the 0- and π -modes, corresponding to Fig. 3 (e) and (f), respectively. For the i^{th} lattice site, the wavefunction takes the form $\Psi_i = \{\psi_{i\uparrow}, \psi_{i\downarrow}, \psi_{i\downarrow}^\dagger, -\psi_{i\uparrow}^\dagger\}^t$. We further verify that $|\psi_{i\uparrow}\rangle = |\psi_{i\uparrow}^\dagger\rangle$ and $|\psi_{i\downarrow}\rangle = |\psi_{i\downarrow}^\dagger\rangle$ for

both the 0- and π -modes. Hence, both the 0- and π -modes represent Majorana modes being their own anti-particle. Note that, the amplitude of the electron and hole part is found to be identical for a given species of spin. This refers to the emergent spinless p -wave nature of the superconducting gap within which MEMs exist.

B. Topological characterization

We exploit the chiral symmetry to characterize the topological properties of dynamical MEMs. The 0- and π -MEMs cannot be characterized distinctly using the Floquet operator only. To resolve this, we introduce the notion of gap ϵ via the periodized evolution operator as [39, 71]

$$U_\epsilon(k, t) = U_{\text{step}}(k, t) [U_{\text{step}}(k, T)]_\epsilon^{-t/T}, \quad (7)$$

where, $U_{\text{step}}(k, t)$ represents the time-evolution operator and $(-t/T)^{\text{th}}$ power of the Floquet operator for the ϵ -gap $[U_{\text{step}}(k, T)]_\epsilon^{-t/T}$ is given as

$$[U_{\text{step}}(k, T)]_\epsilon^{-t/T} = \sum_{n=1}^{N/2} e^{-i(2\epsilon - |E_n(k)|)t/T} |\psi_n(k)\rangle \langle \psi_n(k)| \\ + \sum_{n=N/2+1}^N e^{-|E_n(k)|t/T} |\psi_n(k)\rangle \langle \psi_n(k)|, \quad (8)$$

where, $n = 1 \cdots N/2$ ($N/2 + 1 \cdots N$) represent van-lence (conduction) bands. Note that, $U_\epsilon(k, 0) = U_\epsilon(k, T) = \mathbb{I}$ and $U_{-\epsilon}(k, t)$ is periodic in time i.e., $U_\epsilon(k, t) = U_\epsilon(k, t + T)$. Since, $H_{\text{step}}(k, t)$ respects chiral symmetry, one can show that the chiral symmetry S imposes the following constraints on the periodized evolution operator [72] as

$$SU_\epsilon(k, t)S^{-1} = U_{-\epsilon}(k, -t)e^{2\pi it/T}. \quad (9)$$

Using the periodicity condition of $U_\epsilon(k, t)$, we obtain at the half period: $SU_\epsilon(k, \frac{T}{2})S^{-1} = -U_{-\epsilon}(k, \frac{T}{2})$. At the half-period $t = \frac{T}{2}$, we obtain the constraints on the $U_\epsilon(k, \frac{T}{2})$ for 0- and π -gap as

$$SU_0\left(k, \frac{T}{2}\right)S^{-1} = -U_0\left(k, \frac{T}{2}\right), \\ SU_\pi\left(k, \frac{T}{2}\right)S^{-1} = U_\pi\left(k, \frac{T}{2}\right), \quad (10)$$

where, we have used the relation $U_{-\pi}(k, \frac{T}{2}) = -U_\pi(k, \frac{T}{2})$. Thus, in the chiral basis, $U_0(k, \frac{T}{2}) [U_\pi(k, \frac{T}{2})]$ takes block anti-diagonal [diagonal] form. This reads as

$$\tilde{U}_0\left(k, \frac{T}{2}\right) = U_S^\dagger U_0\left(k, \frac{T}{2}\right) U_S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & U_0^+(k) \\ U_0^-(k) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

$$\tilde{U}_\pi\left(k, \frac{T}{2}\right) = U_S^\dagger U_\pi\left(k, \frac{T}{2}\right) U_S = \begin{pmatrix} U_\pi^+(k) & 0 \\ 0 & U_\pi^-(k) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (12)$$




FIG. 4. We demonstrate the dynamical winding number W_0 and W_π in c_0 - Ω plane in panels (a) and (b), respectively. All the other parameters are chosen to be the same as mentioned in Fig. 3.

Using $U_\epsilon^\pm(k)$, the dynamical winding number W_ϵ for $\epsilon(=0, \pi)$ -gap can be defined as [72]

$$W_\epsilon = \left| \pm \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dk \operatorname{Tr} \left[\{U_\epsilon^\pm(k)\}^{-1} \partial_k U_\epsilon^\pm(k) \right] \right|. \quad (13)$$

Here, W_ϵ counts the number of modes present per end of the NW at the ϵ -gap. Thus, W_ϵ serves as the dynamical analog of Eq. (4).

We demonstrate the topological phase diagram in terms of W_0 and W_π in the c_0 - Ω plane in Fig. 4 (a) and (b), respectively. The color bar represents the number of MEMs presents in the system. One can observe that the numbers of both 0- and π -MEMs are found to be more than one in certain parameter regime at low frequency. The modulation in the MEM number is possible only in a driven system via the procreation of longer-range hoppings that is more probable at low frequency regimes. By contrast, high frequency drive is not able to generate higher-order hoppings resulting in one MEM similar to the static Hamiltonian. In a driven system, one can engineer more gap-closing transitions at quasi-energy $E(k) = 0/\pi$ [see Fig. 3 (b)], which in turn can give rise to the emergence of more Floquet MEMs at $E(k) = 0/\pi$. The number of MEMs, residing at 0 gap, can be different from that of at π gap causing a rich

Floquet topological phase diagram unlike the static case. The multiple Floquet MEMs are protected by the chiral symmetry. Generation of multiple 0- and π -MEMs and their topological characterization employing appropriate dynamical invariant serves as the prime result of the current manuscript.

IV. STABILITY OF FLOQUET MEMS IN PRESENCE OF DISORDER AND THEIR TOPOLOGICAL CHARECTERIZATION

After investigating the generation and characterization of the Floquet 0- and π -MEMs, we further explore the stability of these modes in the presence of random disorder. We consider the following on-site time-independent disorder in $H_{\text{step}}(k, t)$ [Eq. (5)] as

$$V_{\text{dis}} = V(r)\Gamma_1, \quad (14)$$

where, $V(r)$ is distributed randomly in the range $[-\frac{w}{2}, \frac{w}{2}]$ and w accounts for the disorder strength. Note that the system continues to preserve the chiral symmetry in the presence of the above disorder i.e., $S^{-1}H(r)S = -H(r)$ where $H(r)$ denotes the real space Hamiltonian as k is no longer a good quantum number. The quasi-energy spectra remain qualitatively similar to Fig. 3 (c)-(d) and continue to manifest both 0- and π -modes as long as the disorder scale does close these corresponding gaps (see text for further discussion).

Due to the absence of quasi-momenta k , we cannot compute the dynamical winding number W_ϵ using Eq. (13) for the disordered system. However, we can impose twisted boundary condition (TBC) to define the topological invariant [73–75] for this case. In the TBC, the two ends of the system are glued together to form a ring, and a periodic flux θ is threaded through the ring such that whenever a particle hops from one lattice site to another, it acquires a phase [76, 77]. This accounts for the following transformation: $\psi_{j,\uparrow(\downarrow)} \rightarrow e^{i\theta j} \psi_{j,\uparrow(\downarrow)}$ and $\psi_{j,\uparrow(\downarrow)}^\dagger \rightarrow e^{-i\theta j} \psi_{j,\uparrow(\downarrow)}^\dagger$ such that $L\theta = 2\pi$, where L being the number of lattice sites. The lattice Hamiltonian in the presence of on-site disorder and the periodic flux θ can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{step}} &= \sum_{j,\theta} \Psi_{j,\theta}^\dagger \left[-c_1 + V(r) \right] \Gamma_1 \Psi_{j,\theta}, & t \in [0, T/4] \\ &= \sum_{j,\theta} \Psi_{j,\theta}^\dagger \left[\{(2t - c_0) + V(r)\} \Gamma_1 + B_x \Gamma_3 + \Delta \Gamma_4 \right] \Psi_{j,\theta} + \Psi_{j,\theta}^\dagger (-t\Gamma_1 - iu\Gamma_2) e^{i\theta} \Psi_{j+1,\theta} + \text{h.c.}, & t \in [T/4, 3T/4] \\ &= \sum_{j,\theta} \Psi_{j,\theta}^\dagger \left[-c_1 + V(r) \right] \Gamma_1 \Psi_{j,\theta}, & t \in [3T/4, T]. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

here, $\Psi_{j,\theta} = \{\psi_{j,\theta\uparrow}, \psi_{j,\theta\downarrow}, \psi_{j,\theta\downarrow}^\dagger, -\psi_{j,\theta\uparrow}^\dagger\}^t$ and θ serves

the purpose of the momenta, whereas the unit cell in

this superlattice is composed of $4L$ disordered-lattice. Note that, our analysis is independent of the choice of the gauge to represent the twist variable θ . The chiral symmetry operator in the real space can be written as $S^L = S \otimes \mathbb{I}_L$. The $4L \times 4L$ chiral basis can be obtained by diagonalizing S^L and using the chiral basis along with the relations Eqs. (7)-(12), we can evaluate $U_\epsilon^\pm(\theta)$. However, $U_\epsilon^\pm(\theta)$ are now $2L \times 2L$ matrices. Incorporating these, we can define the dynamical winding number W_ϵ^d for the disordered system as [73–75]

$$W_\epsilon^d = \left| \pm \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta \operatorname{Tr} \left[\{U_\epsilon^\pm(\theta)\}^{-1} \partial_\theta U_\epsilon^\pm(\theta) \right] \right|. \quad (16)$$

We exhibit disorder-averaged winding number W_ϵ^d , its variance σ^2 from the mean value, and winding number of the clean system W_ϵ^c (for comparison) as a function of the driving frequency Ω in Fig. 5. We have considered a system consisting of $L = 30$ sites and performed an average over 100 disorder configurations. We consider three sets of the disorder strength- small ($w = 0.1$), moderate ($w = 0.5$), and strong ($w = 0.8$) and discuss their outcome below:

Case I - Small disorder ($w = 0.1$): We show W_0 and W_π in Fig. 5 (a) and (b), respectively. The disorder-averaged winding number W_ϵ^d matches well with that of the clean case W_ϵ^c except near smaller Ω values. The variance σ^2 remains almost near zero, and also manifests the stability of W_ϵ^d . However, the finite variance indicates the fluctuations in the quantization in low frequency regime. This discrepancy can be attributed to the smaller bulk gaps near 0 and π quasi-energy.

Case II - Moderate disorder ($w = 0.5$): We show W_0 and W_π in Fig. 5 (c) and (d), respectively. However, W_ϵ^d matches with that of the clean case W_ϵ^c only near higher values of Ω (high-frequency limit). The variance σ^2 also exhibits large fluctuations near smaller frequencies and tends to zero near higher frequencies. Thus MEMs survive for relatively higher frequency regime even in presence of moderate disorder strength.

Case III - Strong disorder ($w = 0.8$): We show W_0 and W_π in Fig. 5 (e) and (f), respectively. There is almost no matching between W_ϵ^d and W_ϵ^c . The variance σ^2 is non-zero throughout the frequency range. Both the 0- and π -MEMs disappear for strong disorder strength.

V. GENERATION OF FLOQUET MEMS USING PERIODIC KICK DRIVE PROTOCOL

After extensive discussions on the generation and characterization of Floquet Majorana modes using periodic step drive protocol, here we introduce periodic kick protocol to generate the Floquet 0- and π -MEMs in our setup. We consider $H_0(k)$ in between successive kicks, and the on-site kick in the chemical potential is given as

$$c(t) = -c_1 \Gamma_1 \sum_{r=0}^{r=\infty} \delta(t - rT). \quad (17)$$




FIG. 5. In panel (a)-(b), we depict the dynamical winding number W_0 and W_π respectively in presence of small ($w = 0.1$) onsite-disorder as a function of the driving frequency Ω . We repeat (a)-(b) in panel (c)-(d) and (e)-(f) for moderate ($w = 0.5$) and strong ($w = 0.8$) disorder strength, respectively. Here, green (dashed), red (solid), and blue (dotted-dashed) lines represent the winding number (W_ϵ^c) for the corresponding clean system, disorder averaged winding number (W_ϵ^d) for the disordered system, and the variance (σ^2) of winding number of the disordered system from the mean value W_ϵ^d , respectively for quasi-energy ϵ . We choose $c_0 = 0.2$, while all the other model parameter values remain the same as mentioned in Fig. 3.

The Floquet operator in terms of the time-ordered notation can be written as

$$U_{\text{kick}}(k, T) = \text{TO} \exp \left[-i \int_0^T dt \{H_0(k) + c(t)\} \right], \quad (18)$$

$$= e^{-iH_0(k)T} e^{i c_1 \Gamma_1}.$$

Having constructed the Floquet operator, we study the bulk quasi-energy spectra of the system. We depict $E(k)$ as a function of k in Fig. 6 (a) and (b) for driving frequency $\Omega = 3.0$ and $\Omega = 5.0$, respectively. From the insets I1 and I2 of Fig. 6 (a), one can notice two bulk-gap openings at the 0- and π -gap, indicating two end modes at these gaps. On the other hand, from the inset of Fig. 6 (b), four gap-openings appear near quasi-energy π , indicating the presence of four MEMs. Nevertheless, we contemplate OBC to substantiate our predictions, obtained from the bulk spectra. We show the quasi-energy spectra with respect to state index m in Fig. 6 (c) and (d) for $\Omega = 3.0$ and $\Omega = 5.0$, respectively. We depict




FIG. 6. (a) and (b) panels represent the bulk quasi-energy spectra for the periodic-kick drive protocol [Eq. (17)] for $\Omega = 3.0$ and $\Omega = 5.0$, respectively. The insets I1 and I2 of panel (a) [inset of panel (b)], exhibit the bulk-gap around quasi-energy $E(k) = \pi$ and $E(k) = 0$, respectively [quasi-energy $E(k) = \pi$]. In panels (c) and (d), we illustrate the quasi-energy spectra E_m as a function of the state index m while system obeys OBC, corresponding to panels (a) and (b), respectively; while in the insets of these panels, we depict the zoomed-in quasi-energies for better clarity. Here, all the other model parameters are chosen as $(c_0, c_1, \Delta, B_x, t, u) = (1.0, 0.2, 1.0, 1.0, 1.0, 0.5)$.




FIG. 7. We demonstrate the dynamical winding number W_0 and W_π in c_0 - Ω plane in panels (a) and (b), respectively for periodic-kick drive protocol. All the model parameter values remain the same as mentioned in Fig. 6.

the 0- and π -mode eigenvalues in the inset I1 and I2 of Fig. 6 (c), respectively. Whereas, inset of Fig. 6 (d) indicates only four π -MEMs with no 0-MEM being present in this case.

To topologically characterize the Floquet 0- and π -MEMs, we calculate the dynamical winding number W_ϵ defined in Eq. (13). We illustrate W_0 and W_π in the c_0 - Ω plane in Fig. 7 (a) and (b), respectively. Similar

to the step-drive, here also, one can generate multiple MEMs. However, this is only possible for the π -modes (on this parameter range), and the number of multiple modes generated is less than that in the case of step-drive. This can be attributed to the fact that the periodic kick is less efficient to generate longer-range hopping in the dynamical system for this parameter regime, compared to the periodic step-drive protocol. Another point of concern with the dynamical winding number for the periodic kick is that it matches correctly with the OBC quasi-energy spectra only when $\Omega \geq 3.0$. Using the similar line of arguments invoked for the step-drive protocol, we can argue on the Majorana nature of the 0- and π -end-modes for this case too.

VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

To summarize, in this article, we consider a practically realizable model to generate multiple Floquet MEMs based on 1D Rashba NW with proximity induced s -wave superconductivity, while an external magnetic field is applied along the x -direction. To begin with, we study the topological phase diagram of this static TSC using a winding number exploiting the chiral symmetry. This matches with earlier predictions [3, 4, 15]. In this model, a periodic three-step drive protocol is employed to generate the FTSC phase hosting both regular 0- and anomalous π -MEMs. Within our driving scheme, multiple MEMs (both regular 0- and anomalous π -modes) can be generated. We utilize the periodized evolution operator to contrive the dynamical topological winding number, which can distinctly characterize both 0- and π -MEMs. The robustness of the MEMs is investigated in the presence of onsite disorder potential. Nonetheless, the MEMs are almost insensitive to small disorder and remain localized at the ends of the chain only for specific frequency regime in case of moderate disorder. However, these modes in FTSC phase is destroyed for strong disorder regime. In the presence of disorder, the dynamical winding number is computed employing TBC. We further generalize our scheme of Floquet generation of MEMs for periodic-kick drive protocol.

We have investigated both the 0- and π -MEM wavefunctions corresponding to Fig. 3(c) (step-drive) and Fig. 6(c) (periodic-kick). We establish the equality condition of the particle and hole part of the wavefunction at a single site. Note that, we can examine the wavefunction only when one MEM wavefunction, localized at one end of the chain, is separated from the other MEM state that resides at the other end of the chain. Nevertheless, extracting such wavefunctions by linear superpositions or unitary transformations is not quite straightforward for the case of multiple MEMs i.e., more than two. The generation of multiple MEMs for a driven system is not unique to first-order TSC [47, 58, 78, 79] only, but also visible for higher-order TSC [80–82]. On the other hand, static p -wave 1D chain with long-range hopping involv-

ing n -th nearest neighbor can host $2n$ number of degenerate MEMs [83]. Moreover, multiple MEMs are predicted in non-Hermitian systems [84]. We can comment that multiple Floquet MEMs can become instrumental for braiding in the time which is hard to design for static systems [59–62].

The Floquet generation of MEMs following the experimentally realizable NW model has also been investigated in some earlier studies [50, 55]. In Ref. [50], the authors considered a cold atomic system consisting of 1D NW- s -wave superconductor heterostructure in presence of an external laser irradiation to generate Floquet MEMs (both 0- and π -modes). Whereas, Ref. [55] reported the emergence of Floquet MEMs in the Rashba NW model with proximity induced s -wave superconductivity. The system is made time-dependent via an external ac gate voltage which produces sinusoidally periodic

chemical potential. The dissipation and corresponding lifetime of the Floquet MEMs in the presence of strong energy and density fluctuations, created by the superconducting proximity effect, have also been investigated. However, in these above studies, the generation of multiple Floquet MEMs and their topological protection in presence of random disorder has not been explored. The transport and shot-noise signatures of the π -modes in Rashba NW setup can also be interesting future directions as well.

Acknowledgments

D.M., A.K.G., and A.S. acknowledge SAMKHYA: High-Performance Computing Facility provided by Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, for numerical computations.

-
- [1] A. Y. Kitaev, “Unpaired majorana fermions in quantum wires,” *Physics-Uspekhi* **44**, 131–136 (2001).
 - [2] X.-L. Qi and S.-C. Zhang, “Topological insulators and superconductors,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83**, 1057 (2011).
 - [3] J. Alicea, “New directions in the pursuit of majorana fermions in solid state systems,” *Reports on Progress in Physics* **75**, 076501 (2012).
 - [4] M. Leijnse and K. Flensberg, “Introduction to topological superconductivity and majorana fermions,” *Semiconductor Science and Technology* **27**, 124003 (2012).
 - [5] D. A. Ivanov, “Non-abelian statistics of half-quantum vortices in p -wave superconductors,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 268–271 (2001).
 - [6] M. Freedman, A. Kitaev, M. Larsen, and Z. Wang, “Topological quantum computation,” *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* **40**, 31–38 (2003).
 - [7] A. Kitaev, “Fault-tolerant quantum computation by anyons,” *Annals of Physics* **303**, 2–30 (2003).
 - [8] A. Stern, “Non-abelian states of matter,” *Nature* **464**, 187–193 (2010).
 - [9] C. Nayak, S. H. Simon, A. Stern, M. Freedman, and S. Das Sarma, “Non-abelian anyons and topological quantum computation,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80**, 1083–1159 (2008).
 - [10] L. Fu and C. L. Kane, “Superconducting proximity effect and majorana fermions at the surface of a topological insulator,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 096407 (2008).
 - [11] T. D. Stanescu, J. D. Sau, R. M. Lutchyn, and S. Das Sarma, “Proximity effect at the superconductor–topological insulator interface,” *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 241310 (2010).
 - [12] A. C. Potter and P. A. Lee, “Engineering a $p + ip$ superconductor: Comparison of topological insulator and rashba spin-orbit-coupled materials,” *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 184520 (2011).
 - [13] J. D. Sau, R. M. Lutchyn, S. Tewari, and S. Das Sarma, “Generic new platform for topological quantum computation using semiconductor heterostructures,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 040502 (2010).
 - [14] R. M. Lutchyn, J. D. Sau, and S. Das Sarma, “Majorana fermions and a topological phase transition in semiconductor-superconductor heterostructures,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 077001 (2010).
 - [15] Y. Oreg, G. Refael, and F. von Oppen, “Helical liquids and majorana bound states in quantum wires,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 177002 (2010).
 - [16] J. D. Sau, S. Tewari, R. M. Lutchyn, T. D. Stanescu, and S. Das Sarma, “Non-abelian quantum order in spin-orbit-coupled semiconductors: Search for topological majorana particles in solid-state systems,” *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 214509 (2010).
 - [17] S. Tewari and J. D. Sau, “Topological invariants for spin-orbit coupled superconductor nanowires,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 150408 (2012).
 - [18] A. Haim, E. Berg, F. von Oppen, and Y. Oreg, “Signatures of majorana zero modes in spin-resolved current correlations,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 166406 (2015).
 - [19] A. Barman Ray, J. D. Sau, and I. Mandal, “Symmetry-breaking signatures of multiple majorana zero modes in one-dimensional spin-triplet superconductors,” *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, 104513 (2021).
 - [20] A. Das, Y. Ronen, Y. Most, Y. Oreg, M. Heiblum, and H. Shtrikman, “Zero-bias peaks and splitting in an al–ins nanowire topological superconductor as a signature of majorana fermions,” *Nature Physics* **8**, 887–895 (2012).
 - [21] V. Mourik, K. Zuo, S. M. Frolov, S. R. Plissard, E. P. A. M. Bakkers, and L. P. Kouwenhoven, “Signatures of majorana fermions in hybrid superconductor-semiconductor nanowire devices,” *Science* **336**, 1003–1007 (2012).
 - [22] M. T. Deng, C. L. Yu, G. Y. Huang, M. Larsson, P. Caroff, and H. Q. Xu, “Anomalous zero-bias conductance peak in a nb–insb nanowire–nb hybrid device,” *Nano Letters* **12**, 6414–6419 (2012), pMID: 23181691.
 - [23] M. T. Deng, S. Vaitiekenas, E. B. Hansen, J. Danon, M. Leijnse, K. Flensberg, J. Nygård, P. Krogstrup, and C. M. Marcus, “Majorana bound state in a coupled

- quantum-dot hybrid-nanowire system,” *Science* **354**, 1557–1562 (2016).
- [24] F. Nichele, A. C. C. Drachmann, A. M. Whiticar, E. C. T. O’Farrell, H. J. Suominen, A. Fornieri, T. Wang, G. C. Gardner, C. Thomas, A. T. Hatke, P. Krogstrup, M. J. Manfra, K. Flensberg, and C. M. Marcus, “Scaling of majorana zero-bias conductance peaks,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 136803 (2017).
- [25] J. Chen, P. Yu, J. Stenger, M. Hocevar, D. Car, S. R. Plissard, E. P. A. M. Bakkers, T. D. Stanescu, and S. M. Frolov, “Experimental phase diagram of zero-bias conductance peaks in superconductor/semiconductor nanowire devices,” *Science Advances* **3**, e1701476 (2017).
- [26] H. Zhang, Ö. Gül, S. Conesa-Boj, M. P. Nowak, M. Wimmer, K. Zuo, V. Mourik, F. K. de Vries, J. van Veen, M. W. A. de Moor, J. D. S. Bommer, D. J. van Woerkom, D. Car, S. R. Plissard, E. P. Bakkers, M. Quintero-Pérez, M. C. Cassidy, S. Koelling, S. Goswami, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and L. P. Kouwenhoven, “Ballistic superconductivity in semiconductor nanowires,” *Nature Communications* **8**, 16025 (2017).
- [27] Ö. Gül, H. Zhang, J. D. S. Bommer, M. W. A. de Moor, D. Car, S. R. Plissard, E. P. A. M. Bakkers, A. Geresdi, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and L. P. Kouwenhoven, “Ballistic majorana nanowire devices,” *Nature Nanotechnology* **13**, 192–197 (2018).
- [28] A. Grivnin, E. Bor, M. Heiblum, Y. Oreg, and H. Shtrikman, “Concomitant opening of a bulk-gap with an emerging possible majorana zero mode,” *Nature Communications* **10**, 1940 (2019).
- [29] J. Chen, B. D. Woods, P. Yu, M. Hocevar, D. Car, S. R. Plissard, E. P. A. M. Bakkers, T. D. Stanescu, and S. M. Frolov, “Ubiquitous non-majorana zero-bias conductance peaks in nanowire devices,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 107703 (2019).
- [30] G. Kells, D. Meidan, and P. W. Brouwer, “Near-zero-energy end states in topologically trivial spin-orbit coupled superconducting nanowires with a smooth confinement,” *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 100503 (2012).
- [31] J. Liu, A. C. Potter, K. T. Law, and P. A. Lee, “Zero-bias peaks in the tunneling conductance of spin-orbit-coupled superconducting wires with and without majorana end-states,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 267002 (2012).
- [32] C.-X. Liu, J. D. Sau, T. D. Stanescu, and S. Das Sarma, “Andreev bound states versus majorana bound states in quantum dot-nanowire-superconductor hybrid structures: Trivial versus topological zero-bias conductance peaks,” *Phys. Rev. B* **96**, 075161 (2017).
- [33] C. Moore, C. Zeng, T. D. Stanescu, and S. Tewari, “Quantized zero-bias conductance plateau in semiconductor-superconductor heterostructures without topological majorana zero modes,” *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 155314 (2018).
- [34] A. Vuik, B. Nijholt, A. R. Akhmerov, and M. Wimmer, “Reproducing topological properties with quasi-Majorana states,” *SciPost Phys.* **7**, 61 (2019).
- [35] Y.-H. Lai, S. D. Sarma, and J. D. Sau, “Quality factor for zero-bias conductance peaks in majorana nanowire,” (2021), [arXiv:2111.01178](https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.01178).
- [36] T. Oka and H. Aoki, “Photovoltaic hall effect in graphene,” *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 081406 (2009).
- [37] T. Kitagawa, T. Oka, A. Brataas, L. Fu, and E. Demler, “Transport properties of nonequilibrium systems under the application of light: Photoinduced quantum hall insulators without landau levels,” *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 235108 (2011).
- [38] N. H. Lindner, G. Refael, and V. Galitski, “Floquet topological insulator in semiconductor quantum wells,” *Nature Phys* **7**, 490–495 (2011).
- [39] M. S. Rudner, N. H. Lindner, E. Berg, and M. Levin, “Anomalous edge states and the bulk-edge correspondence for periodically driven two-dimensional systems,” *Phys. Rev. X* **3**, 031005 (2013).
- [40] G. Usaj, P. M. Perez-Piskunow, L. E. F. Foa Torres, and C. A. Balseiro, “Irradiated graphene as a tunable floquet topological insulator,” *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 115423 (2014).
- [41] P. M. Perez-Piskunow, G. Usaj, C. A. Balseiro, and L. E. F. F. Torres, “Floquet chiral edge states in graphene,” *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 121401 (2014).
- [42] A. Eckardt, “Colloquium: Atomic quantum gases in periodically driven optical lattices,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **89**, 011004 (2017).
- [43] S. Yao, Z. Yan, and Z. Wang, “Topological invariants of floquet systems: General formulation, special properties, and floquet topological defects,” *Phys. Rev. B* **96**, 195303 (2017).
- [44] T. Oka and S. Kitamura, “Floquet engineering of quantum materials,” *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics* **10**, 387–408 (2019).
- [45] M. Rudner and N. Lindner, “Band structure engineering and non-equilibrium dynamics in floquet topological insulators,” *Nat. Rev. Phys.* **2**, 229–244 (2020).
- [46] T. Nag and B. Roy, “Anomalous and normal dislocation modes in floquet topological insulators,” *Commun Phys* **4**, 157 (2021).
- [47] M. Thakurathi, A. A. Patel, D. Sen, and A. Dutta, “Floquet generation of majorana end modes and topological invariants,” *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 155133 (2013).
- [48] M. Benito, A. Gómez-León, V. M. Bastidas, T. Brandes, and G. Platero, “Floquet engineering of long-range p -wave superconductivity,” *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 205127 (2014).
- [49] A. C. Potter, T. Morimoto, and A. Vishwanath, “Classification of interacting topological floquet phases in one dimension,” *Phys. Rev. X* **6**, 041001 (2016).
- [50] L. Jiang, T. Kitagawa, J. Alicea, A. R. Akhmerov, D. Pekker, G. Refael, J. I. Cirac, E. Demler, M. D. Lukin, and P. Zoller, “Majorana fermions in equilibrium and in driven cold-atom quantum wires,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 220402 (2011).
- [51] A. A. Reynoso and D. Frustaglia, “Unpaired floquet majorana fermions without magnetic fields,” *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 115420 (2013).
- [52] D. E. Liu, A. Levchenko, and H. U. Baranger, “Floquet majorana fermions for topological qubits in superconducting devices and cold-atom systems,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 047002 (2013).
- [53] M. Thakurathi, D. Loss, and J. Klinovaja, “Floquet majorana fermions and parafermions in driven rashba nanowires,” *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 155407 (2017).
- [54] D. T. Liu, J. Shabani, and A. Mitra, “Floquet majorana zero and π modes in planar josephson junctions,” *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 094303 (2019).
- [55] Z. Yang, Q. Yang, J. Hu, and D. E. Liu, “Dissipative floquet majorana modes in proximity-induced topological superconductors,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 086801 (2021).

- [56] P. D. Sacramento, “Charge and spin edge currents in two-dimensional floquet topological superconductors,” *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 214518 (2015).
- [57] R.-X. Zhang and S. Das Sarma, “Anomalous floquet chiral topological superconductivity in a topological insulator sandwich structure,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 067001 (2021).
- [58] A. Kundu and B. Seradjeh, “Transport signatures of floquet majorana fermions in driven topological superconductors,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 136402 (2013).
- [59] R. W. Bomantara and J. Gong, “Simulation of non-abelian braiding in majorana time crystals,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 230405 (2018).
- [60] R. W. Bomantara and J. Gong, “Quantum computation via floquet topological edge modes,” *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 165421 (2018).
- [61] B. Bauer, T. Pereg-Barnea, T. Karzig, M.-T. Rieder, G. Refael, E. Berg, and Y. Oreg, “Topologically protected braiding in a single wire using floquet majorana modes,” *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 041102 (2019).
- [62] A. Matthies, J. Park, E. Berg, and A. Rosch, “Stability of floquet majorana box qubits,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 127702 (2022).
- [63] Y. H. Wang, H. Steinberg, P. Jarillo-Herrero, and N. Gedik, “Observation of floquet-bloch states on the surface of a topological insulator,” *Science* **342**, 453–457 (2013).
- [64] J. W. McIver, B. Schulte, F.-U. Stein, T. Matsuyama, G. Jotzu, G. Meier, and A. Cavalleri, “Light-induced anomalous hall effect in graphene,” *Nature Physics* **16**, 38–41 (2020).
- [65] Y.-G. Peng, C.-Z. Qin, D.-G. Zhao, Y.-X. Shen, X.-Y. Xu, M. Bao, H. Jia, and X.-F. Zhu, “Experimental demonstration of anomalous floquet topological insulator for sound,” *Nature Communications* **7**, 13368 (2016).
- [66] R. Fleury, A. B. Khanikaev, and A. Alu, “Floquet topological insulators for sound,” *Nat Commun* **7**, 11744 (2016).
- [67] M. C. Rechtsman, J. M. Zeuner, Y. Plotnik, Y. Lumer, D. Podolsky, F. Dreisow, S. Nolte, M. Segev, and A. Szameit, “Photonic floquet topological insulators,” *Nature* **496**, 196–200 (2013).
- [68] L. J. Maczewsky, J. M. Zeuner, S. Nolte, and A. Szameit, “Observation of photonic anomalous floquet topological insulators,” *Nature Communications* **8**, 13756 (2017).
- [69] S. Ryu, A. P. Schnyder, A. Furusaki, and A. W. W. Ludwig, “Topological insulators and superconductors: tenfold way and dimensional hierarchy,” *New Journal of Physics* **12**, 065010 (2010).
- [70] C.-K. Chiu, J. C. Y. Teo, A. P. Schnyder, and S. Ryu, “Classification of topological quantum matter with symmetries,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **88**, 035005 (2016).
- [71] A. K. Ghosh, T. Nag, and A. Saha, “Dynamical construction of quadrupolar and octupolar topological superconductors,” *Phys. Rev. B* **105**, 155406 (2022).
- [72] M. Fruchart, “Complex classes of periodically driven topological lattice systems,” *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 115429 (2016).
- [73] T. Kitagawa, E. Berg, M. Rudner, and E. Demler, “Topological characterization of periodically driven quantum systems,” *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 235114 (2010).
- [74] P. Titum, E. Berg, M. S. Rudner, G. Refael, and N. H. Lindner, “Anomalous floquet-anderson insulator as a nonadiabatic quantized charge pump,” *Phys. Rev. X* **6**, 021013 (2016).
- [75] S. Roy and G. J. Sreejith, “Disordered chern insulator with a two-step floquet drive,” *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 214203 (2016).
- [76] Q. Niu and D. J. Thouless, “Quantised adiabatic charge transport in the presence of substrate disorder and many-body interaction,” *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **17**, 2453–2462 (1984).
- [77] Q. Niu, D. J. Thouless, and Y.-S. Wu, “Quantized hall conductance as a topological invariant,” *Phys. Rev. B* **31**, 3372–3377 (1985).
- [78] P. Mognini, W. Chen, and R. Chitra, “Universal quantum criticality in static and floquet-majorana chains,” *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 125129 (2018).
- [79] H. H. Yap, L. Zhou, C. H. Lee, and J. Gong, “Photoinduced half-integer quantized conductance plateaus in topological-insulator/superconductor heterostructures,” *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 165142 (2018).
- [80] A. K. Ghosh, T. Nag, and A. Saha, “Floquet generation of a second-order topological superconductor,” *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 045424 (2021).
- [81] A. K. Ghosh, T. Nag, and A. Saha, “Hierarchy of higher-order topological superconductors in three dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, 134508 (2021).
- [82] A. K. Ghosh, T. Nag, and A. Saha, “Floquet second order topological superconductor based on unconventional pairing,” *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 085413 (2021).
- [83] W. DeGottardi, M. Thakurathi, S. Vishveshwara, and D. Sen, “Majorana fermions in superconducting wires: Effects of long-range hopping, broken time-reversal symmetry, and potential landscapes,” *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 165111 (2013).
- [84] L. Zhou, “Non-hermitian floquet topological superconductors with multiple majorana edge modes,” *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 014306 (2020).