

Steady-state two-phase flow of compressible and incompressible fluids in a capillary tube of varying radius

Hyejeong L. Cheon^{1,2}, Hursanay Fyhn², Alex Hansen², Øivind Wilhelmsen¹ and Santanu Sinha^{3*}

¹ PoreLab, Department of Chemistry, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), Trondheim, N-7491, Norway.

² PoreLab, Department of Physics, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), Trondheim, N-7491, Norway.

^{3*} PoreLab, Department of Physics, University of Oslo (UiO), Oslo, N-0371, Norway.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): santanu.sinha@ntnu.no;

Abstract

We study immiscible two-phase flow of a compressible and an incompressible fluid inside a capillary tube of varying radius under steady-state conditions. The incompressible fluid is Newtonian and the compressible fluid is an inviscid ideal gas. The surface tension associated with the interfaces between the two fluids introduces capillary forces that vary along the tube due to the variation in the tube radius. The interplay between effects due to the capillary forces and the compressibility results in a set of properties that are different from incompressible two-phase flow. As the fluids move towards the outlet, the bubbles of the compressible fluid grows in volume due to the decrease in pressure. The volumetric growth of the compressible bubbles makes the volumetric flow rate at the outlet higher than at the inlet. The growth is not only a function of the pressure drop across the tube, but also of the ambient pressure. Furthermore, the capillary forces create an effective threshold below which there is no flow. Above the threshold, the system shows a weak non-linearity between the flow rates and the effective pressure drop, where the non-linearity also depends on the absolute pressures across the tube.

Keywords: two-phase flow, compressibility, bubble-growth, rheology

1 Introduction

Hydrodynamic properties of the flow of multiple immiscible and incompressible fluids, otherwise known as two-phase flow [1–4], are controlled by a number of different factors: fluid properties such as the viscosity contrast and surface tension between the fluids, driving parameters such as the applied pressure drop or the flow rate, and geometrical properties of the medium such as the size and shape of the pore space. The combined effects of these factors make two-phase flow different and more complex than single phase flow. The dimensionless parameters that play a key role to define the flow properties are the ratio between the viscous and capillary forces, referred to as the capillary number, and the ratio between the viscosities of the two fluids. Depending on the values of these parameters, the flow generates different types of fingering patterns [5–9] or stable displacement fronts [10] during invasion processes where one fluid displaces another in the porous medium.

Displacement processes are transient. If one continues to inject after breakthrough, the flow enters a steady state characterized by a situation where the macroscopic flow properties fluctuate or remain constant around well-defined averages. A more general form of steady-state flow can be achieved by continuously injecting both fluids simultaneously. In this case, the dynamics at the pore scale might have fluid clusters breaking up and forming, while the macroscopic flow parameters still have well-defined averages.

Over the last decade, it has become clear that steady-state flow deviates from the linear Darcy relationship [11] between the total flow rate and pressure drop over a range of parameters. Rather, one finds a power law relationship between pressure drop and the volumetric flow rate [12, 13] in that range. In terms of the capillary number, this range is intermediary, with linearity appearing both for lower and higher values [14–16]. Theoretical work to understand the physics behind the non-linearity has appeared in e.g. [16–18], and computational studies have been performed using Lattice Boltzmann simulations [19] and dynamic pore network modeling [14, 20]. It is now believed that a fundamental mechanism behind this non-linearity is the capillary barriers at the pore throats, which create an effective yield threshold. When the viscous forces increase, they overcome the capillary barriers creating new flow paths. This increases the effective mobility and thus the non-linear behavior appears [21]. The disorder in the pore-space properties, such as the pore-size distribution [22] and the wetting angle distribution [23], therefore play key roles in determining the value of the exponent relating the volumetric flow rate and the pressure drop in the non-linear regime.

The majority of the analytical and numerical approaches mentioned above consider the two fluids to be incompressible, whereas many of the experiments and applications use air as one of the fluids. Air is strongly compressible, which introduces complex pore-scale mechanisms such as trapping and coalescence [24, 25]. Compressibility is relevant to a wide range of applications with liquid and gas transport in porous media, for example, CO₂ transport and storage [26–28] and the transport in fuel cells [29]. Another class of applications where

the compressibility plays a key role are those involving phase transitions of the fluids such as boiling and condensation. There are industrial applications where such processes are of high importance, for example aerospace vehicle thermal protection [30], high power electronics cooling systems [31–33] and chemical reactors [34]. These applications utilize the high specific surface area of a porous medium with fluid flowing inside, which enhances the heat and mass transfer rates [35, 36]. There are also natural processes such as drying of soil [37] where a liquid to gas transition takes place.

In this paper we present a study of two-phase flow of a mixture of compressible and incompressible fluids in a capillary tube with varying radius. We consider two fluids, one is an incompressible Newtonian fluid obeying Poiseuille flow whereas the other is a compressible ideal gas, where the viscosity is assumed to be negligible. The fluids flow as a series of bubbles and droplets under a constant pressure drop along the tube.

In case of two-phase flow of two incompressible fluids in a corresponding capillary tube, it has been found that the volumetric flow rate depends on the square root of the pressure drop along the tube minus a threshold pressure [38]. The primary goal of the present work is to determine how this constitutive equation changes when one of the two fluids is compressible.

A secondary goal of this work is to provide a basis for dynamic pore network modeling [20, 39–41] of compressible-incompressible fluid mixtures. This opens the possibility for incorporating thermodynamic effects in such models such as boiling. We note that the other dominating computational model in this context, the Lattice Boltzmann model [42, 43], can only incorporate fluids that are weakly compressible [44, 45].

We describe in Section 2.1 the equations that govern the flow through the capillary tube. In Section 2.2 we introduce the boundary conditions used, i.e., how we inject alternate compressible and incompressible fluid into the tube. Section 2.3 describes how the governing equations are integrated in time.

Section 3 presents the results of our investigation. Section 3.1 defines what we mean by steady-state flow in the context of expanding bubbles. In section 3.2 we investigate how the compressible bubbles grow as they advance along the tube, thus increasing the overall flow rate of the fluids. Section 3.3 presents the relation between volumetric flow rate and pressure drop at both the inlet and outlet.

We summarize our results in Section 4. Section 5 contains the description of the videos provided in the electronic supplementary material.

2 Methodology

The capillary tube considered in this work is filled with an incompressible and a compressible fluid, immiscible to each other, which flow through it. The radius of the capillary tube varies along the flow direction, x . The fluids are separated by menisci, generating a surface tension. The incompressible fluid is a viscous Newtonian liquid obeying Hagen-Poiseuille flow whereas the compressible fluid

is an inviscid ideal gas. The flow occurs as a plug flow with a series of alternate bubbles and droplets of the two fluids as illustrated in Figure 1. There is no fluid film along the tube walls and therefore no coalescence or snap off taking place inside the tube during the flow. We will refer the compressible and the incompressible fluid segments as *bubbles* and *droplets* respectively.




Fig. 1: Illustration of the tube geometry and the indexed variables. The shaded fluid represents the non-wetting compressible fluid and the white fluid represents the more wetting incompressible liquid. There are $N = 6$ bubbles here indicated by the numbers $i = 1, \dots, 6$. The indexed variables P_i , V_i and n_i respectively correspond to the pressure, volume and moles of the i th bubble whereas Q_i corresponds to the flow rate of the droplet between i th and $(i + 1)$ th bubbles.

2.1 Governing equations

We assume that at a given time the system contains N compressible bubbles denoted by $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ from left to right as shown in Figure 1. The volume V_i and the pressure P_i of the i th bubble are connected through the ideal gas law,

$$P_i V_i = n_i R T, \quad (1)$$

where n_i is the number of moles of gas present inside the bubble, R is the ideal gas constant and T is the temperature. The tube is assumed to have a constant average cross-sectional area (A) in terms of the fluid volume and therefore $V_i = A(x_i^r - x_i^l)$ where x_i^l and x_i^r are the positions of the left and right menisci of the i th bubble respectively.

The volume of an incompressible droplet on the other hand will remain constant throughout the flow and the flow rate will depend on the pressures of the two compressible bubbles bordering it. The volumetric flow rate of the incompressible droplet between i and $i + 1$ is denoted by Q_i , and follows the constitutive equation [46],

$$Q_i = \frac{A^2}{8\pi\mu(x_{i+1}^l - x_i^r)} \left[P_i - P_c(x_i^r) - P_{i+1} + P_c(x_{i+1}^l) \right], \quad (2)$$

where μ is the viscosity of the incompressible fluid and $P_c(x)$ is the capillary pressure at x . Here we consider the incompressible fluid to be more wetting

with respect to the pore walls than the compressible fluid, thus determining the sign of P_c in Equation 2. We model P_c by using the Young-Laplace equation [2],

$$P_c(x) = \frac{2\gamma}{r(x)}, \quad (3)$$

where $r(x)$ is the radius of the tube at x . Here $\gamma = \sigma \cos(\theta)$ where σ is the surface tension between the fluids and θ is the wetting angle of the fluid with respect to the tube wall. The variation in the radius of the tube shown in Figure 1 is modeled by

$$r(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left[w + 2a \cos \left(\frac{2h\pi x}{L} \right) \right] \quad (4)$$

where L is the tube length, w is the average radius, a is the amplitude of oscillation and h is the number of periods.

2.2 Boundary conditions

The system is driven by a constant pressure drop $\Delta P = P_0 - P_L$ where P_0 and P_L are the pressures at the inlet ($x = 0$) and outlet ($x = L$) respectively. The two fluids are injected alternatively at the inlet. Depending on which fluid that is being injected and which fluid that is leaving the tube, there will be different configurations as illustrated in Figure 2. When a bubble is entering at the inlet [Figure 2(a)] or leaving at the outlet [Figure 2(c)], the pressure in that bubble is given by P_0 or P_L respectively. This is because the pressure inside a bubble is uniform. The pressures inside all other bubbles are calculated using Equation 1. When a droplet is entering at the inlet [Figure 2(b) and (c)] or leaving at the outlet [Figure 2(a) and (b)], the respective flow rates Q_0 and Q_N are given by,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_0 &= \frac{A^2}{8\pi\mu x_1^l} \left[P_0 - P_1 + P_c(x_1^l) \right] \text{ and} \\ Q_N &= \frac{A^2}{8\pi\mu(L - x_N^r)} \left[P_N - P_c(x_N^r) - P_L \right], \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

whereas the flow rates of the remaining droplets are calculated using Equation 2.

The simulation is started with the tube completely filled with the incompressible fluid. The two fluids are then injected alternately through the inlet using small time steps. Whenever the injection is switched to a different fluid, a new menisci is created and the injection is continued for that fluid until the bubble or the droplet being injected has reached a given length, b_C or b_I respectively. For each new bubble or droplet, a new value for b_C or b_I is determined




Fig. 2: Illustration of different configurations where bubbles and droplets are colored as gray and white respectively. In (a), a bubble is entering at the inlet and therefore $P_1 = P_0$ there. In (c), a bubble is leaving at the outlet, therefore $P_N = P_L$ there. A droplet is entering at the inlet in (b) and (c), and leaving at the outlet in (a) and (b). The flow rates of such droplets are calculated using Equation 5.

using the following scheme:

$$b_C = b_{\min} + kF_C b_{\max} \quad \text{and} \quad b_I = b_{\min} + kF_I b_{\max} , \quad (6)$$

where k is chosen from a uniform distribution of random numbers between 0 and 1. F_C and F_I are the tentative values of the fractional flows for the bubbles and droplets respectively. The two parameters b_{\max} and b_{\min} set the smallest and largest allowed sizes of any bubble or droplet. We consider here $b_{\min} = L/10^4$ and $b_{\max} = L/50$. The parameters b_C and b_I decide the initial sizes of the bubbles and droplets just after they detach from the inlet. For the compressible fluid, this determines the number of moles n_i inside a bubble,

$$n_i = \frac{Ab_C P_0}{RT} , \quad (7)$$

which remains constant for that bubble throughout the flow after it gets detached from the inlet.

2.3 Updating the menisci

At any time, the two menisci bordering a droplet inside the tube move with the same velocities. The velocities of the menisci are calculated from the velocities v_i of the droplets using Equations 2 and 5,

$$\frac{dx_i^r}{dt} = \frac{dx_{i+1}^l}{dt} = v_i = \frac{Q_i}{A} . \quad (8)$$

We solve these ordinary differential equations using an explicit Euler scheme, thus updating positions of all menisci by choosing a small time step Δt .

Depending on the position of the menisci and the corresponding capillary pressures, the bubbles may compress or expand. If a bubble compresses at any

time step, it means the left and right interfaces of that bubble approach each other. This necessitates the choice of time step Δt to be sufficiently small, as otherwise, the two menisci around that bubble will collapse after the time step. We deal with this situation in the following way. First we calculate a time Δt_1 that is needed to pass one pore-volume of incompressible fluid through the tube,

$$\Delta t_1 = \frac{8\pi\mu L^2}{A(P_0 - P_L)}. \quad (9)$$

Next, we check for every bubble i if $(v_{i-1} - v_i) > 0$, that is, whether the two menisci bordering the bubble are approaching each other in that time step. If this criterion is found to be true for any of the bubbles j , we measure the time it will take for the two menisci to collapse,

$$\Delta t_2^j = \frac{x_j^r - x_j^l}{v_{j-1} - v_j}. \quad (10)$$

After calculating Δt_1 and Δt_2^j , we determine a time Δt for that step from,

$$\Delta t = \min(a^* \Delta t_1, b^* \Delta t_2^j), \quad (11)$$

which means that if there is a possibility for a bubble to collapse during the time step, we chose Δt from the minimum of $a^* \Delta t_1$ and all of $b^* \Delta t_2^j$. If there is no possibility of collapse, we use Δt equal to $a^* \Delta t_1$. For the simulations presented in this paper, we set $a^* = 10^{-8}$ and $b^* = 10^{-6}$.

3 Results and discussions

We perform steady-state simulations considering a tube of length $L = 100$ cm with $w = 1$ cm, $a = 0.25$ cm and $h = 30$ (Equation 4). The viscosity of the incompressible fluid is $\mu = 0.001$ Pa.s, the ideal gas constant is $R = 8.31$ J/(mol.K) and the temperature is kept fixed throughout the simulation at $T = 293$ K. We fix $F_c = 0.4$ (Equation 6) which sets the volumetric fractional flow of the compressible fluid at the inlet around that value. We perform simulations varying the pressure drops ($\Delta P = P_0 - P_L$) as well as the absolute outlet pressure with different values of the surface tension, γ .

3.1 Steady-state flow

The steady state is defined by the volumetric flow rates of the fluids fluctuating around a stable average. Due to the expansion of the compressible fluid, which we will discuss in a moment, the volumetric flow rate of the fluids changes as the fluids flow towards the outlet. We define the quantities Q_T^i , Q_C^i , Q_I^i as the average steady-state flow rates for the total, compressible and incompressible fluids at the inlet and Q_T^o , Q_C^o , Q_I^o as those at the outlet. The inlet and outlet flow rates are measured by tracking the displacement of the first meniscus




Fig. 3: Total volumetric flow rate q_T^i at the inlet as a function of the injected pore volume V_p for the outlet pressure $P_L = 1$ kPa and the surface tension $\gamma = 0.09$ N/m and for the pressure drops $\Delta P = 1, 2, 4$ and 8 kPa respectively. The steady-state values of the flow rates are measured by taking averages in the range of 20 to 40 pore volumes as indicated by the dashed lines.

nearest to the inlet and the last meniscus near the outlet, which are either the left or the right meniscus of the first ($i = 1$) and the last ($i = N$) bubbles. The instantaneous flow rates of the bubbles and droplets are measured as $q_C^i = A \sum \Delta x_1^r / \sum \Delta t$ for $x_1^l = 0$, $q_I^i = A \sum \Delta x_1^l / \sum \Delta t$ for $x_1^l > 0$ and $q_C^o = A \sum \Delta x_N^l / \sum \Delta t$ for $x_N^l = L$, $q_I^o = A \sum \Delta x_N^r / \sum \Delta t$ for $x_N^r < L$. This measurement is performed after every 0.05 pore-volumes of fluid are injected and the sum is therefore over the time steps in between. The total flow rates are therefore given by, $q_T^i = q_C^{i,o} + q_I^{i,o}$. This provides the measurement of the injected and outlet flow rates as a function of the injected pore volumes or of the time. In Figure 3, we plot q_T^i as a function of the pore-volumes (V_p) injected for $P_L = 1$ kPa. The pore-volume V_p is defined as the ratio between the volume of the inject fluids and the volume of the total pore space of the tube, which provides an estimate of how many times the pore space was flushed with the fluids. The plots show that q_T^i increases with time at the beginning of the flow. This increase in q_T^i is due to the decrease in the effective viscosity of the system caused by the injection of inviscid compressible gas into the tube filled with viscous incompressible fluid. After the injection of a few pore volumes, q_T^i fluctuates around a constant average (Q_T^i) shown by the horizontal dashed lines which defines the steady state. We run our simulations for 40 pore volumes of fluid where the steady-state averages are taken after 20 pore volumes injected to ensure that a steady state has been reached.

3.2 Bubble growth

As a compressible bubble moves along the tube, the volume of the bubble increases due to the decrease in the pressure towards the outlet [47]. The bubble can also grow due to other mechanisms, such as the increase in temperature or a phase transition between liquid and gas phases [48, 49], but these phenomena are not studied here. A simulation with a single bubble inside a short tube is

shown in the supplementary material which illustrates that the bubble increases in size as it flows towards the outlet. To understand how this growth depends on different flow parameters in the steady state, we define the growth function $G_C(x)$ by,

$$G_C(x) = \frac{V(x) - V_0}{V_0}, \quad (12)$$

where V_0 and $V(x)$ are the volume of a given bubble initially after detaching from the inlet and when its center is at x . We measure G_C by including all the bubbles that are not attached to the inlet or outlet and calculate the time average value of $(V(x) - V_0)/V_0$ in the investigated time interval, where x is the center of the bubble.




Fig. 4: Plot of the bubble growth $G_C(x)$ in the steady state as a function of the scaled position x/L inside the tube for zero surface tension, $\gamma = 0$. The two plots show the results for the same set of pressure drops ΔP with different outlet pressures P_L .




Fig. 5: Variation of the pressure $P(x)$ [kPa] inside a compressible bubble along the tube during steady state flow. $P(x)$ shows a linear behavior for different values of ΔP and P_L .

Figure 4 shows the variation of $G_C(x)$ along the tube for two different outlet pressures, $P_L = 1$ kPa and 100 kPa where we plot the results for the same set of pressure drops ΔP . These results are with zero surface tension, $\gamma = 0$. There are a few details to note here. First, the plots show that $G_C(x)$ increases with an increase in ΔP . In addition, $G_C(x)$ also depends on the absolute pressures at the inlet and outlet, since we can see that the curves are non-linear functions of x for $P_L = 1$ kPa, whereas for $P_L = 100$ kPa, they show linear behavior. Furthermore, $G_C(x)$ approaches $\Delta P/P_L$ at $x = L$ for all the data sets.

To explain the dependency of $G_C(x)$ on ΔP and P_L , we recall Equation 1 and rewrite Equation 12 as,

$$G_C(x) = \frac{P_0 - P(x)}{P(x)}, \quad (13)$$

where $P(x)$ is the pressure inside a bubble at x . For $x = L$, $P(x) = P_L$ and therefore $G_C(L) = \Delta P/P_L$ as observed. In Figure 5, we plot $P(x)$, averaged over different time steps in the steady state, for the two outlet pressures, $P_L = 1$ kPa and 100 kPa. Both of the plots show linear variation along x with the slope $-\Delta P$. We therefore have $P(x) = -x\Delta P/L + P_L + \Delta P$ and thus,

$$\frac{G_C(x)}{n_P} = \frac{x/L}{1 + n_P(1 - x/L)}, \quad (14)$$

where $n_P = \Delta P/P_L$. This leads to

$$\frac{G_C(x)}{n_P} \sim \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n_P} \left(\frac{1}{1 - x/L} - 1 \right) & \text{for } n_P \gg 1, \\ x/L & \text{for } n_P \ll 1, \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

which explains the concave and linear variation of G_C as function of x/L observed in Figure 4 (a) and (b) respectively. The growth of the bubbles along the tube is therefore a function of $n_P = \Delta P/P_L$.

In Figure 6 we plot G_C/n_P for the two outlet pressures P_L with the same sets of values of n_P for (a) $\gamma = 0$ and (b) $\gamma = 0.3$ N/m. The plots show that the results for the same values of n_P follow the same curves, irrespective of the outlet pressures P_L . Furthermore, for the non-zero surface tension case in Figure 6 (b), G_C also shows a periodic oscillation along x when both the n_P and P_L are small, that is, for $P_L = 1$ kPa and $n_P \leq 1$. In addition, there is no data point for $n_P \leq 0.3$ with $P_L = 1$ kPa, as the movement of the bubbles stopped due to high capillary barriers. This suggests the existence of an effective threshold pressure, below which there will be no flow through the tube. This threshold depends on both γ and P_L , which we will explore more in the following section. We show the different characteristics of flow in the videos provided in electronic supplementary material.




Fig. 6: Variation of the bubble growth G_C , scaled with $n_P = \Delta P/P_L$, with x/L . Results are plotted for the same sets of n_P for two different values of P_L . The left and right figures correspond to $\gamma = 0$ and 0.03 N/m respectively. In each plot, the line corresponds to $P_L = 1 \text{ kPa}$ and the symbols correspond to $P_L = 100 \text{ kPa}$.

3.3 Effective rheology

Equations 1 and 2 resist analytical solutions even in the case when there is only a single compressible bubble in the tube. This is due to the pressure in the compressible bubble being inversely proportional to the difference in position of the two menisci surrounding it, whereas the motion of the two surrounding incompressible fluids is determined by the cosine of the positions of the same menisci. These equations, even in this simplest case, are therefore highly non-linear with an essential singularity lurking in the very neighborhood where we seek solutions. We therefore stick to numerical analysis in the following.

Due to the volumetric growth of the compressible bubbles during their flow towards the outlet, the volumetric flow rate varies along the tube. In addition, this volumetric growth is a function of the pressures, making the average saturation and the effective viscosity of the two fluids inside the tube pressure dependent. These two mechanisms together control the effective rheological behavior of the steady-state flow. In Figure 7 we show the variation of the volumetric flow rates ($Q_T^{i,o}$, $Q_C^{i,o}$, $Q_I^{i,o}$) as functions of the pressure drop ΔP for the outlet pressure $P_L = 1 \text{ kPa}$ and for different values of the surface tension (γ). Note the differences between the inlet and outlet flow rates for the total and for the each component of flow. For the incompressible fluid, there is no significant increase in the outlet flow rate compared to its inlet flow rate (third row in Figure 7) whereas there is a noticeable increase in the outlet flow rate of the compressible fluid (second row in Figure 7). This increase in Q_C^o effectively increases the total flow rate at the outlet (first row in Figure 7). The dashed line in Figure 7 has a slope equal to 1. The total flow rates show deviations from this dashed line. For the inlet, Q_T^i shows small deviations from the dashed line for $\gamma > 0$ at small ΔP . Whereas at the outlet, the deviations are significantly higher due to the increase in the volumetric growth of the compressible fluid.




Fig. 7: Plot of the flow rates for the total ($Q_T^{i,o}$), compressible ($Q_C^{i,o}$) and incompressible ($Q_I^{i,o}$) fluids at the inlet (left column) and at the outlet (right column) for $P_L = 1$ kPa as a function of ΔP . The different sets in each plot correspond to different values of the surface tension indicated in the legends. The dashed line in each plot has a slope of 1.

Another point to note in Figure 7 is that there is a minimum value of ΔP , below which there is no data point available. This is due to the existence of a threshold pressure below which the flow stops. In the supplementary material we show a simulation video in this regime where one can observe that the flow of the bubbles stops at a certain time step. The threshold is due to the capillary forces at the menisci between the two fluids that create capillary barriers at the narrowest points along the tube. Such threshold was also observed in the case of two-phase flow of two incompressible fluids in a tube with variable radius [38]. There, it was shown analytically that the average flow rate Q in the steady state varies with the applied pressure drop ΔP as, $Q \sim \sqrt{\Delta P^2 - P_t^2}$ where P_t is the

effective threshold pressure. When $|\Delta P| - P_t \ll P_t$, this relationship translates to $Q \sim \sqrt{|\Delta P| - P_t}$, that is, the flow rate varies with the excess pressure drop to the power of 0.5. The threshold pressure depends on the surface tension and on the configuration of the menisci positions inside the tube. If the total capillary barrier is higher than the applied pressure drop, the flow stops. This is similar here for the two-phase flow with one of the fluids being compressible.




Fig. 8: Plot of the volumetric inlet flow rate Q_T^i as a function of the excess pressure drop $(\Delta P - P_t)$ for $P_L = 1$ kPa and 100 kPa, where the values of P_t are obtained from a minimization of the least square fit error ϵ . The minimization is illustrated in the insets of (a) and (b) for $\gamma = 0.05$ N/m (green) and 0.09 N/m (purple). The dashed lines in (a) and (b) have a slope 1 whereas in (c), the lower and upper dashed lines have slopes 1 and 1.3 respectively.

We assume a general relation between the average volumetric flow rates $Q_T^{i,o}$ and the pressure drop ΔP as,

$$Q_T^{i,o} \sim (\Delta P - P_t)^{\beta_{i,o}} \quad (16)$$

where $\beta_{i,o}$ is the corresponding exponent. In order to find both the effective threshold pressure P_T and the exponent $\beta_{i,o}$ from the measurements of $Q_T^{i,o}$, we adopt an error minimization technique that was used in earlier studies [18, 23]. There we choose a series of trial values for P_t and calculate the mean square error ϵ for the linear least square fit by fitting the data points with $\log(Q) \sim \log(\Delta P - P_t)$. Then we select the value of P_t that corresponds to the minimum value of ϵ , implying the best fit of the data points with Equation 16. This is illustrated in the insets of Figure 8 (a) and (b). The slope for the selected threshold P_t provides the exponent $\beta_{i,o}$. The variation of the total inlet and outlet flow rates $Q_T^{i,o}$ with the excess pressure drop $(\Delta P - P_t)$ are plotted in Figure 8 for the two outlet pressures $P_L = 1$ and 100 kPa. The data sets show agreement with Equation 16 with the selected values of P_t and β . There is a noticeable difference between the slopes for the inlet and outlet flow rates for $P_L = 1$ kPa whereas for $P_L = 100$ kPa they are similar. For $P_L = 100$ kPa the data points for both Q_T^i and Q_T^o follow a slope of ≈ 1.0 whereas for $P_L = 1$ kPa, the data points for Q_T^i and Q_T^o follow the slopes of ≈ 1.0 and 1.3 respectively. These are indicated by the dashed lines in the figures.




Fig. 9: Variation of the threshold pressure P_t and the exponents $\beta_{i,o}$ as functions of the effective surface tension γ for $P_L = 1$ and 100 kPa. P_t increases with the increase of γ and the values are much higher for $P_L = 1$ kPa compared to $P_L = 100$ kPa. The exponent β_i for the inlet flow rate are close to 1 for both the values of P_L whereas for the outlet flow rate $\beta_o \approx 1.3$ for $P_L = 1$ kPa. For $P_L = 100$ kPa, β_o remains close to β_i . The dashed horizontal lines indicate the value 1.0 of the y axis.

The variations of P_t and $\beta_{i,o}$ with the surface tension γ are plotted in Figure 9. The data points were calculated by considering different ranges of ΔP and taking averages over the ranges, and the corresponding standard deviations are plotted as error bars. The threshold pressure P_t is zero at $\gamma = 0$ and then increases gradually with γ which shows that the threshold appears due to capillary forces. The increase in P_t with γ appears to be linear here which is similar to the case of two incompressible fluids, where the linear dependence of P_t on the surface tension was shown analytically [38]. Additionally for the compressible flow here, the thresholds also depend on the outlet pressure P_L . For the lower outlet pressure $P_L = 1$ kPa, the thresholds are systematically higher compared to those for $P_L = 100$ kPa for the whole range of γ . Furthermore, the exponents $\beta_{i,o}$ also depend on the outlet pressure as seen from the Figures 9 (b) and (c). The difference is more visible for the exponents related to the outlet flow rates than the inlet. For the inlet flow rate, β_i has values around ≈ 0.95 and 1.02 for $P_L = 1$ kPa and 100 kPa respectively, showing almost linear dependence for both the cases. For the outlet flow rates, β_o remains close to β_i for $P_L = 100$ kPa whereas for $P_L = 1$ kPa, β_o increases to ≈ 1.3 . This increase in β_o compared to β_i reflects the dependence of the volumetric growth $G_C(x)$ of the bubbles on P_L , indicating an underlying dependence of the rheological behavior on the absolute inlet or outlet pressures. However, at this point we are unable to describe how the two parameters P_t and β scale with P_L , which needs further study.

Existing studies of the power-law volumetric flow rate-pressure drop relation for porous media have shown the existence of different regimes characterized by different exponents. These studies involve experiments [12–17], Lattice Boltzmann simulations [19], pore-network modeling [14, 18] and analytical calculations [16–18, 50]. There are three regimes, an intermediate non-linear regime where the flow rate Q increases at a rate much faster than the applied

pressure drop ΔP with a power law exponent larger than one and up to a value around 2.5. There are in addition two linear regimes for either smaller [15, 16, 19] or larger [14, 18, 19] volumetric flow rates than the non-linear regime. This allows the definition of a lower and upper crossover pressure drop. A simple explanation for these three regimes may be drawn from the study of the conductivity of a disordered network of threshold resistors [21] which is based on the following idea. Each resistor has a threshold voltage to start conducting the current and then the current increases linearly. In a network with links of such flow properties, there will be a regime when new conducting paths will appear when increasing the global pressure drop. The increase in the flow rate through each path together with the increase in the number of paths leads to an effective increase of Q faster than ΔP . This results in the non-linear exponent being higher than 1, the value of which depends on the distribution of the thresholds in each link [50]. The linear regime above this non-linear regime appears from all the available paths being conducting whereas the linear regime below appears from the flow being flow in single percolating channels without interfaces. With this idea, the experimental [15, 16] and numerical [19] observations of two-phase flow in porous media showing linear variation of flow rate in this low pressure regime therefore indicate that the flow in single channels consisting of many pores are linear, which is similar to what we have found for the lower outlet pressure in the present compressible/incompressible flow case.

4 Conclusions

We have studied the flow of alternating compressible bubbles and incompressible droplets through a capillary tube with variable radius. The motion of the bubbles was given by the model Equations 1 and 2, thus assuming the compressible fluid to be an ideal gas with zero viscosity, whereas the incompressible fluid is Newtonian. The incompressible fluid is more wetting than the compressible gas, but not to a degree that films form.

We switch between injecting the compressible and incompressible fluid at intervals so that the fractional flow rate is essentially constant at the inlet. We fix a pressure drop along the tube in addition to an ambient pressure. This creates steady-state flow conditions in the tube.

The compressible bubbles expand as they move from the higher pressure region at the inlet towards the lower pressure at the outlet. This expansion accelerates the incompressible fluid, thus making the volumetric flow rate larger at the outlet than at the inlet. The lower the ambient pressure is, the stronger this effect is.

We measure volumetric flow rate at the inlet, finding essentially a linear relationship between the volumetric flow rate and the pressure drop. However, there is a threshold pressure that needs to be overcome in order to have flow through the tube.

At the outlet, we find that the volumetric flow rate is still linear in the excess pressure drop when the ambient pressure is low. However, when the ambient pressure is high, the volumetric flow rate at the outlet becomes proportional to the excess pressure to a power of around 1.3.

This behavior is very different from that of two incompressible fluids moving through a corresponding tube: Here the volumetric flow rate, being the same at the inlet and the outlet, is proportional to the square root of the excess pressure.

We expected the flow rate-pressure drop constitutive relations to be different in this compressible/incompressible case than that of two incompressible fluids. However, that we should find *linearity* was a big surprise. A precise explanation as to why this is so, is still lacking.

Besides these surprising results, this work makes a first step in implementing the modeling of compressible/incompressible fluid mixtures in dynamic network models. We may then envision using more sophisticated equations of state for the compressible fluid beyond the ideal gas law. This allows the consideration of e.g. phase transitions such as boiling and condensation in porous media.

5 Supplementary material

The electronic supplementary material contains videos showing different flow characteristics. The videos can be found in the list of *ancillary files* in the arXiv abstract page of this article. We considered a tube with $L = 10$ cm, $w = 1$ cm, $a = 0.25$ cm and $h = 5$ (Equation 4). The simulations were performed for $P_L = 1$ kPa and $\gamma = 0.2$ N/m. The compressible bubbles are colored with magenta whereas the incompressible droplets are colored with black. The videos are not in real time. We show four different simulations with different values of ΔP :

- (a) Flow of a single bubble of compressible gas in incompressible fluid. Here $\Delta P = 5$ kPa. The video shows the increase in the volume of the bubble as it approaches towards the outlet.
- (b) Injection of multiple compressible bubbles and incompressible droplets at a *very low* pressure drop, $\Delta P = 0.3$ kPa. The flow stops after a certain time when several interfaces appeared in the tube. This shows the existence of a total capillary barrier, which is higher than the applied pressure drop here.
- (c) Two-phase flow of multiple compressible bubbles and incompressible droplets at a *low* pressure drop, $\Delta P = 0.4$ kPa. Here the bubbles speed up and slow down as they flow, showing the combined effect of the surface tension and the shape of the tube. The bubbles also grow in volume towards the outlet.
- (d) Two-phase flow of multiple compressible bubbles and incompressible droplets at a *higher* pressure drop, $\Delta P = 3$ kPa. The bubbles do not show any significant slowing down in this case, indicating the capillary forces being negligible compared to the viscous pressure drop. The volumetric expansion of the compressible bubbles can also be observed here.

Acknowledgments

We thank Federico Lanza, Marcel Moura and Håkon Pedersen for helpful discussions. This work is supported by the Research Council of Norway through its Centers of Excellence funding scheme, project number 262644.

References

- [1] Bear, J.: Dynamics of Fluids in Porous Media. Dover, Mineola, New York (1988)
- [2] Dullien, F.A.L.: Porous Media: Fluid, Transport and Pore Structure. Academic Press, San Diego (1992)
- [3] Blunt, M.J.: Multiphase Flow in Permeable Media. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2017)
- [4] Feder, J., Flekkøy, E.G., Hansen, A.: Physics of Flow in Porous Media. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2022)
- [5] Chen, J.D., Wilkinson, D.: Pore-scale viscous fingering in porous media. Phys. Rev. Lett. **55**, 1892 (1985). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.55.1892>
- [6] Lenormand, R., Zarccone, C.: Invasion percolation in an etched network: Measurement of a fractal dimension. Phys. Rev. Lett. **54**, 2226 (1985). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.54.2226>
- [7] Måløy, K.J., Feder, J., Jøssang, T.: Viscous fingering fractals in porous media. Phys. Rev. Lett. **55**, 2688 (1985). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.55.2688>
- [8] Løvoll, G., Méheust, Y., Toussaint, R., Schmittbuhl, J., Måløy, K.J.: Growth activity during fingering in a porous hele-shaw cell. Phys. Rev. E **70**, 026301 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.70.026301>
- [9] Zhao, B., MacMinn, C.W., Primkulov, B.K., Chen, Y., Valocchi, A.J., Zhao, J., et al: Comprehensive comparison of pore-scale models for multiphase flow in porous media. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. **116**, 13799 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1901619116>
- [10] Lenormand, R., Touboul, E., Zarccone: Numerical models and experiments on immiscible displacements in porous media. J. Fluid Mech. **189**, 165 (1988). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112088000953>
- [11] Darcy, H. Les Fontaines publiques de la ville de Dijon **647**, (1856)
- [12] Tallakstad, K.T., Knudsen, H.A., Ramstad, T., Løvoll, G., Måløy, K.J.,

- Toussaint, R., Flekkøy, E.G.: Steady-state two-phase flow in porous media: Statistics and transport properties. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 074502 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.074502>
- [13] Rassi, E.M., Codd, S.L., Seymour, J.D.: Nuclear magnetic resonance characterization of the stationary dynamics of partially saturated media during steady-state infiltration flow. *New J. Phys.* **13**, 015007 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/13/1/015007>
- [14] Sinha, S., Bender, A.T., Danczyk, M., Keepseagle, K., Prather, C.A., Bray, J.M., Thrane, L.W., Seymour, J.D., Codd, S.L., Hansen, A.: Effective rheology of two-phase flow in three-dimensional porous media: experiment and simulation. *Transp. Porous Med.* **119**, 77 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11242-017-0874-4>
- [15] Gao, Y., Lin, Q., Bijeljic, B., Blunt, M.J.: Pore-scale dynamics and the multiphase darcy law. *Phys. Rev. Fluids.* **5**, 013801 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevFluids.5.013801>
- [16] Zhang, Y., Bijeljic, B., Gao, Y., Lin, Q., Blunt, M.J.: Quantification of non-linear multiphase flow in porous media. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **48**, 2020–090477 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL090477>
- [17] Tallakstad, K.T., Løvoll, G., Knudsen, H.A., Ramstad, T., Flekkøy, E.G., Måløy, K.J.: Steady-state, simultaneous two-phase flow in porous media: An experimental study. *Phys. Rev. E.* **80**, 036308 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.80.036308>
- [18] Sinha, S., Hansen, A.: Effective rheology of immiscible two-phase flow in porous media. *Europhys. Lett.* **99**, 44004 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/99/44004>
- [19] Yiotis, A.G., Talon, L., Salin, D.: Blob population dynamics during immiscible two-phase flows in reconstructed porous media. *Phys. Rev. E.* **87**, 033001 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.87.033001>
- [20] Sinha, S., Gjennestad, M.A., Vassvik, M., Hansen, A.: Fluid meniscus algorithms for dynamic pore-network modeling of immiscible two-phase flow in porous media. *Front. Phys.* **9**, 548497 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphy.2020.548497>
- [21] Roux, S., Herrmann, H.J.: Disorder-induced nonlinear conductivity. *Europhys. Lett.* **1227**, 4 (1987). <https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/4/11/003>
- [22] Roy, S., Sinha, S., Hansen, A.: Role of pore-size distribution on effective rheology of two-phase flow in porous media. *Front. Water* **3**, 709833 (2021).

<https://doi.org/10.3389/frwa.2021.709833>

- [23] Fyhn, H., Sinha, S., Roy, S., Hansen, A.: Rheology of immiscible two-phase flow in mixed wet porous media: Dynamic pore network model and capillary fiber bundle model results. *Transp. Porous Med.* **139**, 491 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11242-021-01674-3>
- [24] Leverett, M.C.: Capillary behavior in porous solids. *Trans. AIME* **142**, 152 (1941). <https://doi.org/10.2118/941152-G>
- [25] Li, X., Yortsos, Y.C.: Bubble growth and stability in an effective porous medium. *Phys. Fluids* **6**, 1663 (1994). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.868229>
- [26] Reynolds, C.A., Krevor, S.: Characterizing flow behavior for gas injection: Relative permeability of co₂-brine and n₂-water in heterogeneous rocks. *Water Resources Res.* **51**, 9464 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015WR018046>
- [27] Abidoye, L.K., Khudaida, K.J., Das, D.B.: Geological carbon sequestration in the context of two-phase flow in porous media: A review. *Crit. Rev. Env. Sc. Tech.* **45**, 1105 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2014.924184>
- [28] Iglauer, S., Paluszny, A., Rahman, T., Zhang, Y., Wüiling, W., Lebedev, M.: Residual trapping of co₂ in an oil-filled, oil-wet sandstone core: Results of three-phase pore-scale imaging. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **46**, 11146 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL083401>
- [29] Niblett, D., Mularczyk, A., Niasar, V., Eller, J., Holmes, S.: Two-phase flow dynamics in a gas diffusion layer - gas channel - microporous layer system. *J. Power Sources* **471**, 228427 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2020.228427>
- [30] Huang, G., Zhu, Y., Liao, Z., Ouyang, X.L., Jiang, P.X.: Experimental investigation of transpiration cooling with phase change for sintered porous plates. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer* **114**, 1201 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2017.05.114>
- [31] Gedupudi, S., Zu, Y.Q., Karayiannis, T.G., Kenning, D.B.R., Yan, Y.Y.: Confined bubble growth during flow boiling in a mini/micro-channel of rectangular cross-section part i: Experiments and 1-d modelling. *Inr. J. Therm. Sci.* **50**, 250 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijthermalsci.2010.09.001>
- [32] Li, D., Wu, G.S., Wang, W., Wang, Y.D., Liu, D., Zhang, D.C., Chen, Y.F., Peterson, G.P., Yang, R.: Enhancing flow boiling heat transfer in microchannels for thermal management with monolithically-integrated silicon nanowires. *Nano Lett.* **12**, 3385 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1021/>

[nl300049f](#)

- [33] Li, W., Wang, Z., Yang, F., Alam, T., Jiang, M., Qu, X., Kong, F., Khan, A.S., Liu, M., Alwazzan, M., Tong, Y., Li, C.: Supercapillary architecture-activated two-phase boundary layer structures for highly stable and efficient flow boiling heat transfer. *Adv. Matter* **32**, 1905117 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201905117>
- [34] Bremer, J., Sundmacher, K.: Operation range extension via hot-spot control for catalytic co₂ methanation reactors. *React. Chem. Eng.* **4**, 1019 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1039/C9RE00147F>
- [35] Sapin, P., Gourbil, A., Duru, P., Fichot, F., Prat, M., Quintard, M.: Reflooding with internal boiling of a heating model porous medium with mm-scale pores. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer* **99**, 512 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2016.04.013>
- [36] Sun, Y., Zhang, L., Xu, H., Zhong, X.: Subcooled flow boiling heat transfer from microporous surfaces in a small channel. *Int. J. Therm. Sci.* **50**, 881 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijthermalsci.2011.01.019>
- [37] C., R., Nimmo, J.R.: Modeling of soil water retention from saturation to oven dryness. *Water Resour. Res.* **30**, 701 (1994). <https://doi.org/10.1029/93WR03238>
- [38] Sinha, S., Hansen, A., Bedeaux, D., Kjelstrup, S.: Effective rheology of bubbles moving in a capillary tube. *Phys. Rev. E* **87**, 025001 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.87.025001>
- [39] Blunt, M.J.: Flow in porous media pore-network models and multiphase flow. *Current Opinion Colloid & Interface Science* **6**, 197 (2001). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-0294\(01\)00084-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-0294(01)00084-X)
- [40] Meakin, P., Tartakovsky, A.M.: Modeling and simulation of pore-scale multiphase fluid flow and reactive transport in fractured and porous media. *Reviews of Geophysics* **47**, 3002 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008RG000263>
- [41] Joekar-Niasar, V., M., H.S.: Analysis of fundamentals of two-phase flow in porous media using dynamic pore-network models: A review. *Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol.* **42**, 1895 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2011.574101>
- [42] Gunstensen, A.K., H., R.D., S., Z., G., Z.: Lattice boltzmann model of immiscible fluids. *Phys. Rev. A* **43**, 4320 (1991). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.43.4320>

- [43] Ramstad, N. T. Idowu, Nardi, C., Øren, P.E.: Relative permeability calculations from two-phase flow simulations directly on digital images of porous rocks. *Transp. Porous Media* **94**, 487 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11242-011-9877-8>
- [44] Qiu, R.F., You, Y.C., Zhu, C.X., Chen, R.Q.: Lattice boltzmann simulation for high-speed compressible viscous flows with a boundary layer. *Appl. Math. Model.* **48**, 567 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2017.03.016>
- [45] Guo, S., Feng, Y., Jacob, J., Renard, F., Sagaut, P.: An efficient lattice boltzmann method for compressible aerodynamics on d3q19 lattice. *J. Comput. Phys.* **418**, 109570 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2020.109570>
- [46] Washburn, E.W.: The dynamics of capillary flow. *Phys. Rev.* **17**, 273 (1921). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.17.273>
- [47] Vazquez, A., Leifer, I., Sánchez, R.M.: Consideration of the dynamic forces during bubble growth in a capillary tube. *Chem. Eng. Sc.* **65**, 4046 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2010.03.041>
- [48] Welch, S.W.J.: Direct simulation of vapor bubble growth. *Int. J. Heat Mass Trans.* **41**, 1655 (1998). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0017-9310\(97\)00285-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0017-9310(97)00285-8)
- [49] Kenning, D.B.R., Wen, D.S., Das, K.S., Wilson, S.K.: Confined growth of a vapour bubble in a capillary tube at initially uniform superheat: experiments and modelling. *Int. J. Heat Mass Trans.* **49**, 4653 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2006.04.010>
- [50] Roy, S., Hansen, A., Sinha, S.: Effective rheology of two-phase flow in a capillary fiber bundle model. *Front. Phys.* **7**, 92 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphy.2019.00092>