

AFFINE SUBSPACE CONCENTRATION CONDITIONS FOR CENTERED POLYTOPES

ANSGAR FREYER, MARTIN HENK AND CHRISTIAN KIPP

ABSTRACT. Recently, K.-Y. Wu introduced affine subspace concentration conditions for the cone volumes of polytopes and proved that the cone volumes of centered, reflexive, smooth lattice polytopes satisfy these conditions. We extend the result to arbitrary centered polytopes.

1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

Let \mathbb{R}^n be the n -dimensional Euclidean space equipped with the standard inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the Euclidean norm $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let \mathcal{P}_o^n be the family of all n -dimensional polytopes $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ containing the origin in its interior, i.e., $0 \in \text{int } P$. Given such a polytope $P \in \mathcal{P}_o^n$, it admits a unique representation as

$$P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle a_i, x \rangle \leq 1, 1 \leq i \leq m\},$$

where the vectors $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ are pairwise different and $F_i = P \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle a_i, x \rangle = 1\}$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, are the facets of P . Then the volume of P (i.e., the n -dimensional Lebesgue measure of P) can be written as

$$\text{vol}(P) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^m \text{vol}_{n-1}(F_i) \frac{1}{\|a_i\|},$$

where, in general, for a k -dimensional set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $\text{vol}_k(S)$ denotes the k -dimensional Lebesgue measure with respect to the space $\text{aff } S$, the affine hull of S . This identity is also known as the pyramid formula, as it sums up the volumes of the pyramids (cones)

$$C_i = \text{conv}(\{0\} \cup F_i),$$

where $\text{conv } S$ denotes the convex hull of the set S . Observe that

$$\text{vol}(C_i) = \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{\|a_i\|} \text{vol}_{n-1}(F_i), 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

These cone volumes are the geometric base of the cone-volume measure of an arbitrary convex body, which is a finite positive Borel measure on the $(n-1)$ -dimensional unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. The cone-volume measure is the subject of the well-known and important log-Minkowski problem in modern Convex Geometry, see, e.g., [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 13, 16, 17].

In the discrete setting, i.e., the polytopal case, the cone-volume measure $V_P(\cdot)$ associated to P is the discrete measure

$$V_P(\eta) = \sum_{i=1}^m \text{vol}(C_i) \delta_{u_i}(\eta),$$

where $\eta \subseteq \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ is a Borel set, and $\delta_{u_i}(\cdot)$ denotes the delta measure concentrated on u_i . In analogy to the classical Minkowski problem, the discrete log-Minkowski problem asks for sufficient and necessary conditions such that a discrete Borel measure $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_i \delta_{u_i}(\cdot)$, $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, $u_i \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, is the cone-volume measure of a polytope.

Böröczky, Lutwak, Yang and Zhang settled the general (i.e., not necessarily discrete) log-Minkowski problem for arbitrary finite even Borel measures. Here *even* means that $\mu(A) = \mu(-A)$ holds for all Borel sets $A \subseteq \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. This assumption corresponds to the case of origin-symmetric convex bodies; reduced to the discrete setting their result may be stated as follows:

Theorem I (Böröczky-Lutwak-Yang-Zhang, [7]). *A discrete even Borel measure $\mu : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ given by $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_i \delta_{u_i}$, $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, $u_i \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, is the cone-volume measure of an origin-symmetric polytope $P \in \mathcal{P}_o^n$ if and only if the subspace concentration condition is fulfilled, i.e., i) for every linear subspace $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ it holds*

$$(1.1) \quad \mu(L \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) = \sum_{i: u_i \in L} \gamma_i \leq \frac{\dim L}{n} \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_i = \frac{\dim L}{n} \mu(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}).$$

and ii), equality holds in (1.1) for a subspace L if and only if there exists a complementary subspace L' such that μ is concentrated on $L \cup L'$.

In the non-even case, even in the discrete setting, a complete characterization is still missing, see [9] for the state of the art. The main problem here is to find the right position of the origin.

A polytope $P \in \mathcal{P}_o^n$ is called *centered* if its centroid $c(P)$ is at the origin, i.e.,

$$c(P) = \text{vol}(P)^{-1} \int_P x \, dx = 0.$$

It is known that centered polytopes satisfy the subspace concentration condition.

Theorem II (Henk-Linke, [13]). *Let $P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle a_i, x \rangle \leq 1, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ be a centered polytope and let $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a linear subspace. Then, (1.1) holds true, i.e.,*

$$\sum_{i: a_i \in L} \text{vol}(C_i) \leq \frac{\dim L}{n} \text{vol}(P).$$

Equality is obtained if and only if there exists a complementary linear subspace $L' \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ to L such that $\{a_i : 1 \leq i \leq m\} \subseteq L \cup L'$.

For a generalization to centered convex bodies we refer to [5].

Apart from the study of the log-Minkowski problem, the subspace concentration inequalities have been recently reinterpreted in the context of toric geometry in [15], exploiting the deep connection between lattice polytopes and toric varieties. This led K.-Y. Wu to prove an elegant variant to Theorem II in which the linear subspace concentration condition (1.1) is replaced by an affine subspace concentration condition.

Theorem III (K.-Y. Wu, [19]). *Let $P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle a_i, x \rangle \leq 1, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ be a centered reflexive smooth polytope and let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a proper affine*




FIGURE 1. The linear situation in Theorem II.

subspace. Then,

$$\sum_{i: a_i \in A} \text{vol}(C_i) \leq \frac{\dim A + 1}{n + 1} \text{vol}(P).$$

Equality is obtained if and only if there exists a complementary affine subspace A' , i.e., $A \cap A' = \emptyset$ and $\text{aff}(A \cup A') = \mathbb{R}^n$, such that $\{a_i : 1 \leq i \leq m\} \subseteq A \cup A'$.

Here a polytope P is reflexive if the vectors a_i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, as well as the vertices of P are points of \mathbb{Z}^n . In other words, P and P^* , the polar of P , are both lattice polytopes. A lattice polytope P is said to be smooth if it is simple, i.e., each vertex of P is contained in exactly n facets F_{j_1}, \dots, F_{j_n} , say, and the corresponding normals a_{j_1}, \dots, a_{j_n} form a lattice basis of \mathbb{Z}^n , i.e., $(a_{j_1}, \dots, a_{j_n})\mathbb{Z}^n = \mathbb{Z}^n$.

The purpose of this paper is to generalize K.-Y. Wu's affine subspace concentration inequalities to arbitrary centered polytopes.

Theorem 1.1. Let $P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, a_i \rangle \leq 1, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ be a centered polytope and let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be an affine subspace. Then,

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{i: a_i \in A} \text{vol}(C_i) \leq \frac{\dim A + 1}{n + 1} \text{vol}(P).$$

Unlike Theorem III, which covers the case of reflexive smooth polytopes, our proof does not give us insight into the characterization of the equality case. We are, however, able to treat the equality case in two special cases:

Theorem 1.2. Let $P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, a_i \rangle \leq 1, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ be a centered polytope.

- i) If $A = \{a_i\}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq m$, then equality holds in (1.2) if and only if P is a pyramid with base F_i .
- ii) If A is the hyperplane spanned by the a_i 's corresponding to all the facets containing a vertex v of P , then equality holds in (1.2) if and only if P is a pyramid with apex v .




FIGURE 2. The affine situation in Theorem 1.1. Note that in general a subset of the a_i 's affinely spans a k -subspace, if and only if the affine hulls of the corresponding facets intersect in an $(n - 1 - k)$ -subspace. Here, this is the single point v .

As a byproduct of the proof of Theorem 1.2, we will see alternative proofs of (1.2) in these special cases. The first case of Theorem 1.2 slightly generalizes a former result by Zhou and He [20, Thm. 1.2]. There an additional technical assumption on P is made. We also point out that for simple polytopes Theorem 1.2 implies the following corollary:

Corollary 1.3. *Let $P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, a_i \rangle \leq 1, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ be a centered simple polytope. Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an affine subspace spanned by a_i 's corresponding to all the facets containing a k -face of P with $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$. Then we have equality in (1.2) if and only if P is a centered simplex.*

In contrast to the description of the equality case in Theorem III, the descriptions of the equality cases in Theorem 1.2 do not explicitly refer to the normal vectors a_i . The following proposition gives an equivalent formulation of the equality case in Theorem III; it shows that the two conditions in Theorem 1.2 are indeed special cases of the general description in terms of the a_i 's:

Proposition 1.4. *Let $P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, a_i \rangle \leq 1, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$. Then there exist a proper affine subspace A and a complementary affine subspace A' such that $\{a_i : 1 \leq i \leq m\} \subseteq A \cup A'$ if and only if P can be written as*

$$P = \text{conv}(Q_1 \cup Q_2),$$

where $Q_1, Q_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ are polytopes with $\dim Q_1 + \dim Q_2 = n - 1$ and $\text{aff} Q_1 \cap \text{aff} Q_2 = \emptyset$.

Proposition 1.4 appears to be well-known, but since we are not aware of a proof in the literature, we provide one in Section 4. We note that the corresponding equality statement in the case of linear subspaces (see Theorem II) gives $P = Q_1 + Q_2$ where $Q_1, Q_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ are polytopes with

$\dim Q_1 + \dim Q_2 = n$ and $\text{lin } Q_1 \cap \text{lin } Q_2 = \{0\}$ (see, e.g., [13, Sect. 3]). Here $\text{lin } S$ denotes the linear hull of a subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 contains some preliminaries. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. We give two proofs for Theorem 1.2 ii), one geometric and one analytic. Finally, in Section 4, we discuss the geometric meaning of the equality case in Theorem III and prove Proposition 1.4.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we give a brief overview of the concepts that are necessary for the understanding of the paper. We refer to [21] for a detailed introduction into the theory of polytopes and their face structure, and to [1, 10, 11, 18] for exhaustive background information on Convex Geometry.

2.1. Polytopes. A *polytope* $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is, by definition, the convex hull of a finite set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. By the Minkowski-Weyl theorem, P may be represented as

$$P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle a_i, x \rangle \leq b_i, 1 \leq i \leq m\},$$

for certain $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $b_1, \dots, b_m \in \mathbb{R}$. Conversely, the right-hand side in the above equation defines a polytope, whenever the set is bounded. We say that this description is *irredundant* if none of the constraints $\langle a_i, x \rangle \leq b_i$ may be omitted without changing the polytope. In this case, the set $F_i = P \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, a_i \rangle = b_i\}$ is an $(n-1)$ -dimensional polytope in the boundary of P and it is called a *facet* of P . More generally, a convex subset $F \subseteq P$ with the property that $\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y \in F$, for some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $x, y \in P$, implies $x, y \in F$ is called a *face* of P . This is equivalent to the existence of a hyperplane H such that $F = P \cap H$ and P is contained in one of the closed half spaces defined by H .

For $P \in \mathcal{P}_o^n$ with an irredundant description

$$P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, a_i \rangle \leq 1, 1 \leq i \leq m\},$$

one defines the *polar* polytope of P as

$$P^\star = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, y \rangle \leq 1, \forall x \in P\} = \text{conv}\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}.$$

While the inequality description is certainly redundant, the convex hull description is not; the a_i 's are precisely the vertices of P .

There is an even stronger duality between the faces of P and P^\star . For a face $F \subset P$ of dimension $d \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ one defines its polar face as

$$F^\diamond = \{y \in P^\star : \langle x, y \rangle = 1, \forall x \in F\}.$$

It turns out that F^\diamond is indeed an $(n-d-1)$ -face of P^\star and that any $(n-d-1)$ -faces of P^\star arises this way. Moreover, we have $(F^\diamond)^\diamond = F$ and $F^\diamond \supseteq G^\diamond$ for $F \subseteq G$.

2.2. Volume and Centroids. For a k -dimensional polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we denote by $\text{vol}_k(P)$ its k -dimensional volume within its affine hull. Likewise, we define $c(P) = \text{vol}_k(P)^{-1} \int_P x \, d^k x$ as its centroid. We will need the following formula in order to compute the centroid of a pyramid.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an $(n-1)$ -dimensional polytope and $v \notin \text{aff} F$. Then,*

$$(2.1) \quad c(\text{conv}(F \cup \{v\})) = \frac{n}{n+1}c(F) + \frac{1}{n+1}v.$$

Proof. As $c(\cdot)$ is affinely equivariant, it is enough to consider the case where $F \subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n = 0\}$, $c(F) = 0$ and $v = e_n$, where e_n denotes the n -th standard unit vector. Let $H_t = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n = t\}$. Using Fubini's theorem, we have

$$c(P) = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(P)} \int_0^1 \text{vol}_{n-1}(P \cap H_t) c(P \cap H_t) dt.$$

In our setting, we have $P \cap H_t = (1-t)F + te_n$. Thus, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} c(P) &= \frac{\text{vol}_{n-1}(F)}{\text{vol}(P)} \left(\int_0^1 (1-t)^{n-1} t dt \right) e_n \\ &= \frac{\text{vol}_{n-1}(F)}{\text{vol}(P)} \frac{1}{n(n+1)} e_n = \frac{1}{n+1} e_n, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that P is a pyramid with height one over F and therefore $\text{vol}(P) = \text{vol}_{n-1}(F)/n$. Given our assumptions, the proof of the Lemma is finished. \square

Moreover, we are going to make use of the following additivity property of the centroid. Consider a finite family of convex bodies $K_1, \dots, K_m \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ whose union $K = K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_m$ is again a convex body and suppose that $K_i \cap K_j$ is a set of Lebesgue measure zero for all $i \neq j$. Then we have

$$(2.2) \quad c(K) = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(K)} (\text{vol}(K_1)c(K_1) + \dots + \text{vol}(K_m)c(K_m)).$$

Finally, the following lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.2. Here and in the following, for $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, u^\perp denotes the orthogonal complement of $\text{lin}\{u\}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an n -dimensional polytope, $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, given by*

$$f(t) = \text{vol}_{n-1}((tu + u^\perp) \cap P)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}.$$

Let $[\alpha, \beta] = \text{supp}(f)$. If f is affine on $[\alpha, \beta]$ and $f(\beta) = 0$, then P is a pyramid with base $(\alpha u + u^\perp) \cap P$ and apex $(\beta u + u^\perp) \cap P$.

Proof. Let $S = (\alpha u + u^\perp) \cap P$ and $T = (\beta u + u^\perp) \cap P$. Since f is affine, $f(\beta) = 0$ and $\text{vol}(P) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)^{n-1} dx > 0$, we know that $\text{vol}_{n-1}(S) = f(\alpha) > 0$. Let $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. By the convexity of P , we have

$$\lambda T + (1-\lambda)S \subseteq ([\lambda\beta + (1-\lambda)\alpha]u + u^\perp) \cap P =: P_\lambda.$$

Combining this with the Brunn-Minkowski inequality [18, Thm. 7.1.1], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (2.3) \quad f(\lambda\beta + (1-\lambda)\alpha) &\geq \text{vol}_{n-1}(\lambda T + (1-\lambda)S)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \\ &\geq \lambda \text{vol}_{n-1}(T)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} + (1-\lambda) \text{vol}_{n-1}(S)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \\ &= \lambda f(\beta) + (1-\lambda)f(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Since f is affine, both inequalities hold with equality. The equality in the Brunn-Minkowski inequality implies that S and T are homothetic (the other equality case being ruled out by the fact that $\text{vol}_{n-1}(S) > 0$). Because $\text{vol}_{n-1}(T) = 0$, this shows that T is a singleton. Finally, since the polytope P_λ contains the polytope $\lambda T + (1 - \lambda)S$, the first equality in (2.3) implies that $P_\lambda = \lambda T + (1 - \lambda)S$. Since $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ was arbitrary, it follows that P is a pyramid with S as its base. \square

3. PROOFS OF THE THEOREMS

We start with Theorem 1.1. The basic idea of the proof is to reduce the problem to the linear case by replacing P by a certain pyramid $\text{pyr}(P)$ one dimension higher. Rather than performing this replacement step once, we do it recursively, leading to an infinite sequence of pyramids $\text{pyr}(P)$, $\text{pyr}(\text{pyr}(P))$, etc. The crucial observation is that the reduction to the linear case becomes stronger in higher dimensions, with the desired estimate as the limiting case.

To this end we define for k -dimensional polytope $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ the pyramid $\text{pyr}(Q)$ by

$$\text{pyr}(Q) = \text{conv}((Q \times \{1\}) \cup \{-(k+1)e_{k+1}\}) \subset \mathbb{R}^{k+1}.$$

We will need the following properties of this embedding.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $P \in \mathcal{P}_o^n$ be given as in Theorem 1.1, let $P^{(1)} = \text{pyr}(P)$. Then the following holds:*

i)

$$P^{(1)} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \frac{n+2}{n+1}a_i \\ -\frac{1}{n+1} \end{pmatrix}, x \right\rangle \leq 1, 1 \leq i \leq m, x_{n+1} \leq 1 \right\},$$

ii)

$$\text{vol}_{n+1}(P^{(1)}) = \frac{n+2}{n+1} \text{vol}_n(P),$$

iii) $P^{(1)}$ is centered, i.e., $c(P^{(1)}) = 0$,

iv) Let $C_i^{(1)}$ be the cone given by the facet of $P^{(1)}$ corresponding to the outer normal vector $\left(\frac{n+2}{n+1}a_i, -\frac{1}{n+1}\right)^T$ and the origin. Then for $1 \leq i \leq m$

$$\text{vol}_{n+1}(C_i^{(1)}) = \text{vol}_n(C_i).$$

Proof. i) and ii) follow directly from the fact that $P^{(1)}$ is indeed a pyramid; iii) is a consequence of (2.1). For iv), let $\bar{C}_i = C_i \times \{1\}$, $G_1 = \text{conv}(\bar{C}_i \cup \{-(n+1)e_{n+1}\})$ and $G_2 = \text{conv}(\bar{C}_i \cup \{0\}) \subseteq G_1$. Then we have $C_i^{(1)} = G_1 \setminus G_2$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}_{n+1}(C_i^{(1)}) &= \text{vol}_{n+1}(G_1) - \text{vol}_{n+1}(G_2) \\ &= \frac{n+2}{n+1} \text{vol}_n(C_i) - \frac{1}{n+1} \text{vol}_n(C_i) \\ &= \text{vol}_n(C_i). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a proper affine space, $d = \dim A$, $I = \{i \in [m] : a_i \in A\}$ and we may assume $\dim\{a_i : i \in I\} = d$. For any k , let

$$\varphi_k : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k+1}, \quad x \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \frac{k+2}{k+1}x \\ -\frac{1}{k+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $j \geq 1$ and $i \in [m]$ we set

$$a_i^{(j)} = (\varphi_{n+j-1} \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_n)(a_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+j},$$

and let $L^{(j)} = \text{lin}\{a_i^{(j)} : i \in I\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+j}$. Observe that the vectors $a_i^{(j)}$ have the form

$$a_i^{(j)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{n+j+1}{n+1}a_i \\ c_{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ c_{n+j} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$c_{n+k} = -\frac{n+j+1}{(n+k)(n+k+1)}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq j.$$

The c_{n+k} 's only depend on n and j , but not on a_i . Therefore, $L^{(1)}$ is a $(d+1)$ -dimensional linear space and since the matrix $(a_i^{(j+1)} : i \in I)$ differs from $(a_i^{(j)} : i \in I)$ only by an additional constant row and a multiplication of the first $n+j-1$ rows, we have $\dim L^{(j)} = d+1$ for all $j \geq 1$.

Consider the pyramids $P^{(j)} = \text{pyr}(P^{(j-1)})$ with $P^{(0)} = P$. A repeated application of Lemma 3.1 i) and iii) shows that each $P^{(j)}$ is a centered pyramid that has the vectors $\{a_i^{(j)} : i \in [m]\}$ among its normal vectors, and from Lemma 3.1 ii) we get

$$\text{vol}_{n+j}(P^{(j)}) = \left(\prod_{k=1}^j \frac{n+k+1}{n+k} \right) \text{vol}_n(P) = \frac{n+j+1}{n+1} \text{vol}_n(P).$$

Let $C_i^{(j)}$ be the cone of $P^{(j)}$ corresponding to $a_i^{(j)}$. Lemma 3.1 iv) shows that $\text{vol}_{n+j}(C_i^{(j)}) = \text{vol}_n(C_i)$, and so by Theorem II applied to $P^{(j)}$ and $L^{(j)}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in I} \text{vol}_n(C_i) &= \sum_{i \in I} \text{vol}_{n+j}(C_i^{(j)}) \\ &\leq \frac{d+1}{n+j} \text{vol}_{n+j}(P^{(j)}) \\ &= \frac{\dim A + 1}{n+1} \frac{n+j+1}{n+j} \text{vol}_n(P). \end{aligned}$$

The claim follows from letting $j \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Before we come to the proofs of Theorem 1.2 i) and ii), we observe that equality holds in (1.2), whenever $\{a_i : 1 \leq i \leq m\} \subseteq A \cup A'$, where A' is complementary to A ; To see this, it suffices to apply (1.2) to both A and A' and obtain

$$\text{vol}(P) = \sum_{i:a_i \in A} \text{vol}(C_i) + \sum_{i:a_i \in A'} \text{vol}(C_i) \leq \text{vol}(P).$$

Thus, we have equality in (1.2) for A (and also for A'). In view of Proposition 1.4, we thus only need to show the “only if” parts for the equality cases in Theorem 1.2.

We start with case of A being a singleton. Our proof is inspired by the proof of Grünbaum’s theorem on central sections of centered convex bodies [12].

Proof of Theorem 1.2 i). Without loss of generality, we assume that $F_i = P \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle e_1, x \rangle = -\alpha\}$ for an appropriately chosen $\alpha > 0$. Let $Q = \text{conv}(F_i \cup \{\beta e_1\})$, where $\beta > -\alpha$ is chosen such that $\text{vol}(Q) = \text{vol}(P)$. We define two functions $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ via

$$f(t) = \text{vol}_{n-1}((te_1 + e_1^\perp) \cap P)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \quad g(t) = \text{vol}_{n-1}((te_1 + e_1^\perp) \cap Q)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}.$$

If $\langle e_1, c(Q) \rangle \geq \langle e_1, c(P) \rangle$, then by Lemma 2.1 it would follow that

$$\text{vol}(C_i) \leq \text{vol}(\text{conv}(F_i \cup \{c(Q)\})) = \frac{1}{n+1} \text{vol}(Q),$$

as desired. Recalling that P is centered, we have to show for $\gamma = \langle e_1, c(Q) \rangle$ that

$$\gamma = \langle e_1, c(Q) - c(P) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t[g(t)^{n-1} - f(t)^{n-1}]dt \geq 0,$$

with equality if and only if P is a pyramid.

Since Q is a pyramid with base orthogonal to e_1 , g is affine on $\text{supp}(g) = [-\alpha, \beta]$. By Brunn’s concavity principle [1, Thm. 1.2.1], f is concave on $\text{supp}(f)$. Hence, $g - f$ is convex on $\text{supp}(f) \cap \text{supp}(g)$. In fact, we have $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq \text{supp}(g)$: If there was a $t > \beta$ with $f(t) > 0$, then the concavity of f would imply $f > g$ on $\text{supp}(g)$, in contradiction to $\text{vol}(Q) = \text{vol}(P)$. Hence, $g - f$ is convex on $\text{supp}(f)$ and the sublevel set

$$\text{supp}(f) \cap \{g - f \leq 0\} = \text{supp}(f) \cap \{g^{n-1} - f^{n-1} \leq 0\}$$

is convex. Since $f(-\alpha) = g(-\alpha)$, it follows that $\text{supp}(f) \cap \{g - f \leq 0\} = [-\alpha, \tau]$ for a $\tau \leq \beta$. On $[\tau, \beta]$ we have $g \geq f$, leading to the desired estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= \int_{-\alpha}^{\tau} t[g(t)^{n-1} - f(t)^{n-1}]dt + \int_{\tau}^{\beta} t[g(t)^{n-1} - f(t)^{n-1}]dt \\ &\geq \int_{-\alpha}^{\tau} \tau[g(t)^{n-1} - f(t)^{n-1}]dt + \int_{\tau}^{\beta} \tau[g(t)^{n-1} - f(t)^{n-1}]dt \\ &= \tau \left(\int_{-\alpha}^{\beta} [g(t)^{n-1} - f(t)^{n-1}]dt \right) = \tau (\text{vol}(Q) - \text{vol}(P)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Equality holds if and only if $g = f$ on $[-\alpha, \beta]$. It is clear that this is the case if P is a pyramid with base F_i ; the other direction follows from Lemma 2.2. \square

Next, we give two proofs of Theorem 1.2 ii), corresponding to two different perspectives on the problem. The first proof has a more geometric flavor, whereas the second proof is of a probabilistic nature.

Geometric proof of Theorem 1.2 ii). Let $I \subseteq [m]$ be the set of indices such that $\langle v, a_i \rangle = 1$, i.e., $A = \text{aff}\{a_i : i \in I\}$. Since P is centered, we have $-\frac{1}{n}v \in P$ (see [2, Sect. 34]). For $i \in I$, we consider the cones $\overline{C}_i = \text{conv}(F_i \cup$

$\{-(1/n)v\} \subseteq P$, where F_i is the facet of P with normal a_i . By the volume formula for pyramids, we have $\text{vol}(\overline{C}_i) = \frac{n+1}{n} \text{vol}(C_i)$. As the \overline{C}_i 's intersect in a set of measure zero, we obtain

$$(3.1) \quad \text{vol}(P) \geq \sum_{i \in I} \text{vol}(\overline{C}_i) = \frac{n+1}{n} \sum_{i \in I} \text{vol}(C_i).$$

So we have reproven Theorem 1.1 in this case. In order to have equality in the above, we must have $P = \bigcup_{i \in I} \overline{C}_i$. Let $J = [m] \setminus I$. Then we have

$$(3.2) \quad \langle -(1/n)v, a_j \rangle = 1, \quad \forall j \in J,$$

since otherwise, the cone C_j would have a positive volume and we could not achieve equality in (3.1).

For $j \in J$, let $Q_j = \text{conv}(F_j \cup \{v\}) \subseteq P$. Just like the \overline{C}_i 's, the Q_j 's subdivide P , i.e., $P = \bigcup_{j \in J} Q_j$ and the pyramids intersect in sets of measure zero. By (2.1), we have $c(Q_j) = \frac{n}{n+1}c(F_j) + \frac{1}{n}v$ and in view of (2.2) we may write

$$0 = c(P) = \sum_{j \in J} \frac{\text{vol}(Q_j)}{\text{vol}(P)} \left(\frac{n}{n+1}c(F_j) + \frac{1}{n+1}v \right).$$

Multiplying with $(n+1)/n$ and rearranging yields

$$-\frac{1}{n}v = \sum_{j \in J} \frac{\text{vol}(Q_j)}{\text{vol}(P)} \left(-\frac{1}{n}v \right) = \sum_{j \in J} \frac{\text{vol}(Q_j)}{\text{vol}(P)} c(F_j).$$

Hence, (3.2) gives for any $j \in J$

$$1 = \left\langle -\frac{1}{n}v, a_j \right\rangle = \sum_{k \in J} \frac{\text{vol}(Q_k)}{\text{vol}(P)} \langle c(F_k), a_j \rangle.$$

Towards a contradiction, assume that J contains more than one element. Then there is a $k \in J \setminus \{j\}$. Since $c(F_k) \in \text{relint} F_k$ we have $\langle c(F_k), a_j \rangle < 1$. It follows that $1 < \sum_{k \in J} \text{vol}(Q_k) / \text{vol}(P) = 1$. Therefore, J can contain only one element, which corresponds to the case that P is a pyramid with apex v . \square

We now come to the second proof of Theorem 1.2 ii) via a probabilistic approach.

Analytic proof of Theorem 1.2 ii). Again, we only show the ‘‘only if’’ part of the equality case. To this end, we assume that $\text{vol}(P) = 1$, which is not a restriction as both sides of (1.2) are n -homogeneous. By definition, we have $c(P) = \mathbb{E}[X]$, where X is a uniformly distributed random vector in P . We consider the functional

$$f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i: a_i \in A} \text{dist}(x, \text{aff} F_i) \text{vol}_{n-1}(F_i),$$

where $\text{dist}(x, \text{aff} F_i)$ is the signed Euclidean distance to $\text{aff} F_i$, oriented such that it is non-negative inside P . Note that for $x \in P$ one has

$$f(x) = \sum_{i: a_i \in A} \text{vol}(\text{conv}(F_i \cup \{x\})).$$

As f is an affine map, we have

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{i:a_i \in A} \text{vol}(C_i) = \mathbb{E}[f(X)] = \int_0^1 \mathbb{P}_X(f \geq t) dt = 1 - \int_0^1 \mathbb{P}_X(f < t) dt.$$

We consider the function $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $t \mapsto \mathbb{P}_X(f < t)^{\frac{1}{n}}$. We have $p(0) = 0$ and $p(t) = 1$, for $t \geq m = \max f(P) \leq 1$. Let $H(t) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) \leq t\}$ be the half-space where $f \leq t$. Since the vertex v is the unique point that is contained in all facets F_i , where $a_i \in A$, we have $0 \in f(P)$ and $f(x) = 0$ for $x \in P$, if and only if $x = v$. Thus, $P \cap H(0) = \{v\}$. Using the inclusion

$$P \cap H(t) \supseteq \frac{t}{m} (P \cap H(m)) + \frac{m-t}{m} v,$$

we deduce that, for any $t \in [0, m]$,

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} p(t) &= \text{vol}(P \cap H(t))^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq \text{vol}\left(\frac{t}{m} (P \cap H(m)) + \frac{m-t}{m} v\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &= \frac{t}{m} \text{vol}(P \cap H(m))^{\frac{1}{n}} = \frac{t}{m} p(m) = \frac{t}{m}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying this to (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i:a_i \in A} \text{vol}(C_i) &= 1 - \int_0^m p(t)^n dt - (1-m) \\ &\leq m - \int_0^m \left(\frac{t}{m}\right)^n dt = \frac{mn}{n+1} \leq \frac{n}{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

By our assumption that $\text{vol}(P) = 1$, this is (1.2). In order to have equality, we must have $m = 1$ and equality in (3.4), i.e., $\text{vol}(P \cap H(t)) = t^n$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. This is equivalent to $\text{vol}_{n-1}(P \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) = t\}) = nt^{n-1}$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. By Lemma 2.2, this implies that P is a pyramid with apex v . \square

Remark 3.2. It is natural to ask whether the assumption in Theorem 1.2 ii) that v is a vertex of P can be removed. In other words, is it possible to adapt our proofs to the situation where the hyperplanes $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle a_i, x \rangle = 1\}$, $a_i \in A$, intersect in a single point v that is not necessarily contained in P (cf. Figure 2)? Both proofs of Theorem 1.2 ii) make use of the assumption that $v \in P$: In the first proof, we use it to derive $-\frac{1}{n}v \in P$; in the second proof, it ensures that p is concave on $[0, \max f(P)]$. It is not clear how the first proof could be modified to dispense with the assumption. In the second proof, a suitable upper bound on $\max f(P)$ in terms of $\min f(P)$ would be sufficient: The concavity of p on $[\min f(P), \max f(P)]$ leads to the desired estimate if we additionally assume that $\max f(P) \leq 1 - \frac{\min f(P)}{n}$.

4. COMPLEMENTARY AFFINE SUBSPACES

To conclude, let us have a closer look at the characterization of the equality case as it has been formulated by K.-Y. Wu: A smooth and reflexive polytope $P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, a_i \rangle \leq 1, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ satisfies the affine subspace concentration condition (1.2) for an affine d -subspace A with equality if and only if the normal vectors $\{a_i : 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ of P are contained in $A \cup A'$, where A' is an affine $(n-d-1)$ -subspace complementary to A .

At first glance, this condition may appear rather technical, but in fact, it has a strong geometric interpretation for the polytope P : Since the a_i 's are the vertices of P^\star , the condition that $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ is contained in $A \cup A'$ is equivalent to $P^\star = \text{conv}(P_1 \cup P_2)$, where P_1 is a d -polytope and P_2 is an $(n-d-1)$ -polytope and $\text{aff}P_1 = A$ and $\text{aff}P_2 = A'$ are complementary affine spaces. In general, a polytope that can be expressed as the convex hull of two polytopes Q_1 and Q_2 in complementary affine subspaces is also called the *join* of Q_1 and Q_2 [14, p. 390]. Therefore, the statement of Proposition 1.4 can be reformulated as that an n -dimensional polytope P is the join of a d -polytope Q_1 and a $(n-d-1)$ -polytope Q_2 if and only if P^\star is. Since we could not find a reference for this certainly well-known fact we add a proof.

Proof of Proposition 1.4. By polarity, it is enough to prove that P being the join of Q_1 and Q_2 implies that P^\star is the join of two polytopes P_1 and P_2 of appropriate dimension.

So let $P = \text{conv}(Q_1 \cup Q_2)$ with Q_1 and Q_2 as in the statement of the proposition. First, we show that Q_1 and Q_2 are faces of P . Let $x_1 \in Q_1$, $x_2 \in Q_2$ and $L = \text{lin}((Q_1 - x_1) \cup (Q_2 - x_2))$. Since $\dim Q_1 + \dim Q_2 = n-1$, we have $\dim L \leq n-1$. Choosing a vector $u \in L^\perp \setminus \{0\}$, the linear functional $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $x \mapsto \langle u, x \rangle$ satisfies $f(Q_1) = \{\alpha\}$ and $f(Q_2) = \{\beta\}$ for certain $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Since P is n -dimensional and of the form $P = \text{conv}(Q_1 \cup Q_2)$, we have $\alpha \neq \beta$, $f(P) = \text{conv}\{\alpha, \beta\}$ and

$$f^{-1}(\{\alpha\}) \cap P = Q_1, \quad f^{-1}(\{\beta\}) \cap P = Q_2.$$

This shows that Q_1 and Q_2 are faces of P .

The notion of a *polar face* was introduced in Section 2.1. We consider the polar faces $P_i = Q_i^\circ \subseteq P^\star$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, of the two faces $Q_1, Q_2 \subset P$. Note that $\dim P_1 = n-d-1$ and $\dim P_2 = d$. Clearly, we have $\text{conv}(P_1 \cup P_2) \subseteq P^\star$. If the inclusion was strict, we find a vertex v of P^\star which is neither a vertex of P_1 , nor of P_2 . Consider the corresponding facet $F = v^\circ$ of P . Since v is not contained in $P_1 \cup P_2$, it follows by polarity that neither Q_1 , nor Q_2 is contained in F . But $F_i = Q_i \cap F$ is a face of Q_i . Thus, we have $\dim F_1 \leq d-1$ and $\dim F_2 \leq n-d-2$. Due to the assumption $P = \text{conv}(Q_1 \cup Q_2)$, the vertices of F are contained in $Q_1 \cup Q_2$, i.e., $F = \text{conv}(F_1 \cup F_2)$. It follows that

$$\dim F \leq 1 + \dim F_1 + \dim F_2 = 1 + (d-1) + (n-d-2) = n-2,$$

a contradiction. So we have proven $P^\star = \text{conv}(P_1 \cup P_2)$. Since $\dim(P^\star) = n$ and $P^\star \subseteq \text{aff}(P_1 \cup P_2)$, we have $\text{aff}(P_1 \cup P_2) = \mathbb{R}^n$, so the affine hulls of P_1 and P_2 are indeed complementary. \square

We recall that an n -polytope P is called *simple* if every vertex v of P is contained in exactly n edges, or, equivalently, in exactly n facets of P . For a simple polytope $P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, a_i \rangle \leq 1, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ the property $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\} \subseteq A \cup A'$, for some pair of complementary proper affine subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n , is equivalent to the fact that P is a simplex. Indeed, we obtain from this that $P^\star = \text{conv}(P_1 \cup P_2)$, where the affine hull of P_1 is A and the affine hull of P_2 is A' . Since P is simple, P^\star is simplicial, i.e., all faces of P^\star are simplices. As we saw in the proof of Proposition 1.4, the polytopes P_1 and P_2 are faces of P^\star , so they are simplices of dimension $\dim A$ and

$n - 1 - \dim A$, respectively. Hence, P^* is a simplex, which implies that P is a simplex as well.

As smooth polytopes are simple by definition, we see that simplices are the only equality cases in Theorem III.

We conclude by providing a proof of Corollary 1.3.

Proof of Corollary 1.3. Let F be a k -face of P . Since P is simple, there are exactly $n - k - 1$ vectors among the a_i 's that satisfy $F \subseteq F_i$. Without loss of generality, we assume that a_1, \dots, a_{n-k-1} are these vectors. In view of Theorem 1.2 i), we obtain

$$(4.1) \quad \text{vol}(C_i) \leq \frac{1}{n+1} \text{vol}(P), \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n - k - 1.$$

Summing up these inequalities gives (1.2) for P and A where equality holds, if and only if equality holds in each of the inequalities in (4.1). In particular, equality holds, only if P is a pyramid with base F_1 . Since P is simple, this implies that P is a simplex. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Artstein-Avidan, A. Giannopoulos, and V.-D. Milman. *Asymptotic Geometric Analysis. Part I*. AMS, Providence, RI, 2015.
- [2] T. Bonnesen and W. Fenchel. *Theorie der konvexen Körper*. Springer, Berlin, 1934.
- [3] K. J. Böröczky and P. Hegedüs. The cone volume measure of antipodal points. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, 146(2):449–465, 2015.
- [4] K. J. Böröczky, P. Hegedüs, and G. Zhu. On the discrete logarithmic Minkowski problem. *IMRN. International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2016(6):1807–1838, 2016.
- [5] K. J. Böröczky and M. Henk. Cone-volume measures of general centered convex bodies. *Advances in Mathematics*, 286:703–721, 2016.
- [6] K. J. Böröczky, M. Henk, and H. Pollehn. Subspace concentration of dual curvature measures of symmetric convex bodies. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 109(3):411–429, 2018.
- [7] K. J. Böröczky, E. Lutwak, D. Yang, and G. Zhang. The logarithmic Minkowski problem. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 26:831–852, 2013.
- [8] K. J. Böröczky, E. Lutwak, D. Yang, G. Zhang, and Y. Zhao. The dual Minkowski problem for symmetric convex bodies. *Advances in Mathematics*, 356:106805, 30, 2019.
- [9] S. Chen, Q. Li, and G. Zhu. The logarithmic Minkowski problem for non-symmetric measures. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 371:2623–2641, 2019.
- [10] R. J. Gardner. *Geometric tomography*. Cambridge University Press, New York, second edition, 2006.
- [11] P. M. Gruber. *Convex and discrete geometry*. Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [12] B. Grünbaum. Partitions of mass-distribution and of convex bodies by hyperplanes. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 10(4):1257–1261, 1960.
- [13] M. Henk and E. Linke. Cone-volume measures of polytopes. *Advances in Mathematics*, 253:50–62, 2014.
- [14] M. Henk, J. Richter-Gebert, and G. M. Ziegler. Basic properties of convex polytopes. In J. E. Goodman, J. O'Rourke, and C. D. Tóth, editors, *Handbook of Discrete and Computational Geometry*, pages 383–413. CRC Press, 3rd edition, 2017.
- [15] M. Hering, B. Nill, and H. Süß. Stability of tangent bundles on smooth toric Picard-rank-2 varieties and surfaces. In *Facets of algebraic geometry. Vol. II*, volume 473 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 1–25. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2022.
- [16] Y. Huang, E. Lutwak, D. Yang, and G. Zhang. Geometric measures in the dual Brunn-Minkowski theory and their associated Minkowski problems. *Acta Mathematica*, 216(2):325–388, 2016.

- [17] D. Hug, E. Lutwak, D. Yang, and G. Zhang. On the L_p Minkowski problem for polytopes. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 33(4):699–715, 2005.
- [18] R. Schneider. *Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2013.
- [19] K.-Y. Wu. Affine subspace concentration conditions. Preprint arXiv:2201.06062, 2022.
- [20] Y. Zhou and B. He. On LYZ's conjecture for the U -functional. *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, 87:43–57, 2017.
- [21] G. M. Ziegler. *Lectures on Polytopes*. Springer, New York, 2007.

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT BERLIN, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, SEKR. MA4-1,
STRASSE DES 17 JUNI 136, D-10623 BERLIN

Email address: {freyer, henk, kipp}@math.tu-berlin.de