
S4: a High-sparsity, High-performance AI Accelerator

Ian En-Hsu Yen
Moffett AI
Los Altos, CA 94022
ian.yan@moffett.ai

Zhibin Xiao
Moffett AI
Los Altos, CA 94022
zb.xiao@moffett.ai

Dongkuan Xu
The Pennsylvania State University
North Carolina State University
State College, PA 16802
dongkuanx@gmail.com

Abstract

Exploiting sparsity underlying neural networks has become one of the most potential methodologies to reduce the memory footprint, I/O cost, and computation workloads during inference. And the degree of sparsity one can exploit has become higher as larger model sizes have been considered along with the trend of pre-training giant models. On the other hand, compared with quantization that has been a widely supported option, acceleration through high-degree sparsity is not supported in most computing platforms. In this work, we introduce the first commercial hardware platform supporting high-degree sparsity acceleration up to 32 times — S4. Combined with state-of-the-art sparse pruning techniques, we demonstrate several-times practical inference speedup on S4 over mainstream inference platforms such as Nvidia T4. We also show that in practice a sparse model of larger size can achieve both higher accuracy and higher throughput on S4 than a dense model of smaller size.

1 Introduction

Deep neural network models have significantly improved the performance of various natural language processing (NLP) [1, 2, 3] and computer vision (CV) [4, 5] tasks in the recent years. While effective and prevalent, these models are usually prohibitively large. An emerging subfield has studied the redundancy in deep neural network models [6, 7], exploiting the sparsity of deep neural network models and finding sparse equivalent sub-network [8]. Moreover, along with the trend of pre-training giant models, such as BERT [1], ViT [4], and GPT-3 [2], larger model sizes have been considered, which yields sparse sub-network of higher degree of sparsity.

However, in contrast to quantization [9, 10] that has been widely adopted as a standard option for acceleration, most computing platforms do not support acceleration through high degrees of sparsity. Only the newly released Nvidia A100 starts to support sparse tensor operations as an acceleration option (up to 2x). As a result, most existing sparsity research can hardly lead to practical speedup on high-performance computing platforms.

To fill this gap, we introduce S4, the first commercial hardware platform that supports high-degree sparsity acceleration up to 32 times. S4 is an inference platform for datacenter of similar hardware parameters to Nvidia T4, but with additional high-degree-sparsity support. Combined with state-of-the-art sparse pruning techniques, we demonstrate several-times practical inference speedup on S4




Figure 1: Architecture overview of Antoum processor: (i) The sparse processing units (SPU) can support up to 32x tensor sparsity with linear speedup. (ii) The customized activation engines directly support complex activation functions such as GELU, and basic mathematic operators such as exponential, log, reciprocal operators. (iii) The sparse processing units natively support convolution and matrix multiplication operations with fused operations such as bias addition, elementwise operations, quantization, and certain activation functions. (iv) Antoum moves the computation units directly adjacent to large capacity and large bandwidth memory banks.

over mainstream inference platform Nvidia T4. We also show that in practice a sparse model of larger size can achieve both higher accuracy and higher throughput on S4 than a dense model of smaller size.

2 S4 Platform

The architecture of S4 can be summarized as follows:

- High-rate sparse tensor kernel. S4 card is the first AI inference accelerator card that supports high-rate (up to 32x sparsity) sparse tensor operations.
- High-Performance Multimedia Processing Capability. The S4 card integrates dedicated video codec engines and JPEG decoder engines. The four video decoder engines and one video encoding engine can handle multi-channel video streams (up to 4K) and easily integrate scalable deep learning into video processing.
- Scalability. The S4 card forms a sparse processing subsystem through a custom sparse processing unit and other auxiliary acceleration units, including dedicated video codec and JPEG decoder engines, embedding lookup units, memory reshape engine, and vector processors. Four sparse processing subsystems form a complete chip through a high-bandwidth, on-chip ring interconnection network.
- The S4 hardware is supported through *SparseRT* development toolkit, which supports existing AI programming frameworks such as Tensorflow, PyTorch, ONNX and MXNet.




Figure 2: Speedup (throughput) achieved on Moffett S4 at different levels of sparsity, and a reference throughputs of Nvidia T4 from its official website [11].




Figure 3: Accuracy and throughput of models of different sizes on Nvidia T4, and their sparse equivalents on Moffett S4 under sparsity=1, 2, 4, 8, 16 respectively.

Built to enhance the efficiency of AI inference in datacenters, S4 provides a (sparse) equivalent computation power of 944 TOPS in INT8 and 472 TFLOPS in BF16, and has 20GB LPDDR4 memory with up to 72 GB memory bandwidth in a low 70 Watt power envelope. The combined effect of Moffett’s original sparsity algorithm and Antoum chip architecture has greatly increased the computation speed of S4, thus reducing the total cost of ownership (TCO). The Moffett Antoum architecture is shown in Figure 1. The hardware and software are tightly engineered to create a highly efficient AI system-on-chip (SoC) processor platform. The combination of the sparse processor units (SPU) (for native sparse convolution and matrix multiplication) and the heterogenous unique function accelerators, provides maximum efficiency for various AI inference workloads and maximal value for all users. For example, the integrated Vector Processor Unit (VPU) can provide flexible programmability to keep up with the fast evolution of AI models. The on-chip video codec supports 64-way 1080p video decoding at 30 FPS. The JPEG decoder supports up to 2320 FPS 1080p image decoding, which provides a complete end-to-end solution for video and image inference workload.

3 Sparse Acceleration on S4

The most important feature of Moffett S4 is that its native support of sparse tensor representation in Tensor Cores, which only keeps the non-zero part of tensors, and therefore the degree of sparsity in a neural network directly affect the size of memory footprint, I/O cost and computation time when it is deployed on S4. Figure 2 shows the practical speedup achieved on S4 when running two benchmark models widely used in CV and NLP, respectively — ResNet50 and BERT. Note the speedup is almost

Method	Size Reduction	MNLI-m (Acc)	QNLI (Acc)	MRPC (F1)	RTE (Acc)	CoLA (Mcc)	Avg. (Acc)
<i>Without Pruning</i>							
BERT-base	-	84.5	91.8	88.6	69.3	56.3	78.1
<i>Structural Pruning</i>							
BERT ₆ -PKD	2x	81.5	89.0	85.0	65.5	45.5	73.3
Theseus	2x	82.3	89.5	89.0	68.2	51.1	76.0
MiniLM ₆	2x	84.0	91.0	88.4	71.5	49.2	76.8
TinyBERT ₆	2x	84.5	90.4	87.3	66.0	54.0	76.4
TinyBERT ₄	5.6x	83.8	88.7	86.8	66.5	49.7	75.1
<i>Sparse Pruning</i>							
SparseBERT	16x	83.5	90.8	88.5	69.1	54.0	77.2

Table 1: Comparison on the dev sets of GLUE.

linear w.r.t. sparsity for ResNet50 and is sublinear for BERT since it has significant workloads on non-matrix-multiplication operations.

In practice, sparse model pruning achieves better accuracy-speed tradeoff than that of structured model pruning. The most common approach of reducing model size is to reduce number of layers (i.e. depth) or number of channels (i.e. width) of a neural network. For example, Figure 3 shows accuracy and speed of Resnet50, Resnet152, BERT-base, and BERT-large, which compares the accuracy and speed achieved by *dense models on T4* and *sparse models on S4*. One insight from Figure 3 is that the larger *sparse* models achieve both higher accuracy and higher throughput than the smaller *dense* models, which implies: *a sparse model should be always considered no matter the goal is to improve accuracy or to improve speed.*

4 Sparsification Methods

In this section, we introduce common sparse pruning techniques that are complementary for sparse acceleration on S4. There are two scenarios that focus on different challenges of sparse pruning respectively — (i) pruning a model trained from scratch, and (ii) pruning a model finetuned from a pretrained model. The risk of former scenario is underfitting, while the risk of latter is overfitting during pruning process.

Training from Scratch A model is trained from scratch means it is the direct solution to an optimization problem defined by the training data. Pruning such model essentially solves almost the same optimization problem but with additional *sparsity constraint*, where the original dense model only plays the role of a good initialization [12]. Therefore, the key challenge is how to design a good optimization algorithm that fits the training data as good as the dense model under sparsity constraint. Various optimization methods have been proposed [6, 7, 13], where sparse pruning can reduce number of parameters by an order of magnitude without significant loss of accuracy, resulting an better accuracy-efficiency tradeoff than a dense-smaller model.

Pretrain-Finetune Paradigm Pre-trained models such as BERT [1] and ViT [4], have become standard and effective methods for improving performance on a variety of NLP and CV tasks. These models are pre-trained in a self-supervised manner and then fine-tuned for downstream tasks. There are two approaches of pruning under the paradigm [14]: (i) pruning during pre-training and (ii) pruning during fine-tuning on the downstream task. However, both approaches are challenged from different perspectives: pruning during pre-training suffers from underfitting since the model needs to learn not only task-related knowledge but also unrelated part during pretrain phase [15]; on the other hand, pruning on downstream data suffers from overfitting as the downstream training data might not contain knowledge learned at pretraining phase [16].

State-of-the-art method typically designs pruning objectives to keep not only knowledge in downstream data but also *transferred knowledge* from pretraining data. One simple method to do this is via

knowledge distillation of intermediate layers [17], which requires pruning to keep not only the prediction of data but also the intermediate feature maps generated by the pretrained model. We adopted the method of [17] to give pruning results on a couple of GLUE data sets in Table 1¹ to compare with structured distillation methods: Bert-of-Theseus [19], MiniLM [20], and TinyBERT [21], where sparse pruning achieves not only more reduction of model sizes but also higher prediction accuracy.

5 Conclusion

We introduce S4, the first commercial hardware platform to support a high degree of sparsity acceleration for deep neural network models. The S4 card is equipped with Moffett’s first Antoum processor. To support high-rate sparse tensor operations while achieving high model accuracy, the S4 has a high-rate sparse tensor kernel. To have high-performance multimedia processing capabilities, the S4 card integrates dedicated hardware video codec engines and JPEG decoder engines. S4 has a scalable multi-channel subsystem that flexibly supports model parallelism and data parallelism. Combined with state-of-the-art sparse pruning technology, we demonstrate that the S4 is several times faster than mainstream inference platforms in real-world inference in CV and NLP applications.

¹SparseBERT adopts the training pipeline and reference results from an older version of TinyBERT [18].

References

- [1] Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In *NAACL*, pages 4171–4186, Minneapolis, Minnesota, June 2019. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [2] Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Sandhini Agarwal, Ariel Herbert-Voss, Gretchen Krueger, Tom Henighan, Rewon Child, Aditya Ramesh, Daniel Ziegler, Jeffrey Wu, Clemens Winter, Chris Hesse, Mark Chen, Eric Sigler, Mateusz Litwin, Scott Gray, Benjamin Chess, Jack Clark, Christopher Berner, Sam McCandlish, Alec Radford, Ilya Sutskever, and Dario Amodei. Language models are few-shot learners. In H. Larochelle, M. Ranzato, R. Hadsell, M. F. Balcan, and H. Lin, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 33, pages 1877–1901. Curran Associates, Inc., 2020.
- [3] Dongkuan Xu, Subhabrata Mukherjee, Xiaodong Liu, Debadeepta Dey, Wenhui Wang, Xiang Zhang, Ahmed Hassan Awadallah, and Jianfeng Gao. Autodistil: Few-shot task-agnostic neural architecture search for distilling large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.12507*, 2022.
- [4] Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, et al. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11929*, 2020.
- [5] Ze Liu, Yutong Lin, Yue Cao, Han Hu, Yixuan Wei, Zheng Zhang, Stephen Lin, and Baining Guo. Swin transformer: Hierarchical vision transformer using shifted windows. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 10012–10022, 2021.
- [6] Michael Zhu and Suyog Gupta. To prune, or not to prune: exploring the efficacy of pruning for model compression. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.01878*, 2017.
- [7] Trevor Gale, Erich Elsen, and Sara Hooker. The state of sparsity in deep neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.09574*, 2019.
- [8] Jonathan Frankle and Michael Carbin. The lottery ticket hypothesis: Finding sparse, trainable neural networks. In *ICLR*, 2019.
- [9] Song Han, Huizi Mao, and William J Dally. Deep compression: Compressing deep neural networks with pruning, trained quantization and huffman coding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1510.00149*, 2015.
- [10] Sehoon Kim, Amir Gholami, Zhewei Yao, Michael W Mahoney, and Kurt Keutzer. I-bert: Integer-only bert quantization. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 5506–5518. PMLR, 2021.
- [11] Nvidia Inc. <https://developer.nvidia.com/deep-learning-performance-training-inference>. Accessed: 2022, June 12.
- [12] Jonathan Frankle and Michael Carbin. The lottery ticket hypothesis: Finding sparse, trainable neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.03635*, 2018.
- [13] Xiaolong Ma, Minghai Qin, Fei Sun, Zejiang Hou, Kun Yuan, Yi Xu, Yanzhi Wang, Yen-Kuang Chen, Rong Jin, and Yuan Xie. Effective model sparsification by scheduled grow-and-prune methods. *arXiv e-prints*, pages arXiv–2106, 2021.
- [14] Prakhar Ganesh, Yao Chen, Xin Lou, Mohammad Ali Khan, Yin Yang, Hassan Sajjad, Preslav Nakov, Deming Chen, and Marianne Winslett. Compressing large-scale transformer-based models: A case study on bert. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 9:1061–1080, 2021.
- [15] Tianlong Chen, Jonathan Frankle, Shiyu Chang, Sijia Liu, Yang Zhang, Zhangyang Wang, and Michael Carbin. The lottery ticket hypothesis for pre-trained bert networks. In H. Larochelle, M. Ranzato, R. Hadsell, M. F. Balcan, and H. Lin, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 33, pages 15834–15846. Curran Associates, Inc., 2020.
- [16] Shaoyi Huang, Dongkuan Xu, Ian EH Yen, Sung-en Chang, Bingbing Li, Shiyang Chen, Mimi Xie, Hang Liu, and Caiwen Ding. Sparse progressive distillation: Resolving overfitting under pretrain-and-finetune paradigm. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.08190*, 2021.
- [17] Dongkuan Xu, Ian En-Hsu Yen, Jinxi Zhao, and Zhibin Xiao. Rethinking network pruning – under the pre-train and fine-tune paradigm. In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 2376–2382, Online, June 2021. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [18] Xiaoqi Jiao, Yichun Yin, Lifeng Shang, Xin Jiang, Xiao Chen, Linlin Li, Fang Wang, and Qun Liu. Tinybert: Distilling bert for natural language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.10351v4*, 2019.
- [19] Canwen Xu, Wangchunshu Zhou, Tao Ge, Furu Wei, and Ming Zhou. Bert-of-theseus: Compressing bert by progressive module replacing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.02925*, 2020.

- [20] Wenhui Wang, Furu Wei, Li Dong, Hangbo Bao, Nan Yang, and Ming Zhou. Minilm: Deep self-attention distillation for task-agnostic compression of pre-trained transformers. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems(NIPS)*, 2020.
- [21] Xiaoqi Jiao, Yichun Yin, Lifeng Shang, Xin Jiang, Xiao Chen, Linlin Li, Fang Wang, and Qun Liu. Tinybert: Distilling bert for natural language understanding. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: Findings*, pages 4163–4174, 2020.