
OPENCFS: OPEN SOURCE FINITE ELEMENT SOFTWARE FOR COUPLED FIELD SIMULATION - PART ACOUSTICS

A PREPRINT

Stefan Schoder

Group of Aeroacoustics and Vibroacoustics, IGTE
TU Graz
Inffeldgasse 16c, 8010 Graz
stefan.schoder@tugraz.at

Klaus Roppert

Group of Multiphysics, IGTE
TU Graz
Inffeldgasse 18, 8010 Graz
klaus.roppert@tugraz.at

January 14, 2025

ABSTRACT

The finite element method offers attractive methods for the numerical solution of coupled field problems arising in sensors and actuator simulations of various physical domains, like electrodynamics, mechanics, and thermodynamics. With this application perspective and being open, accessible, and fast implementations are possible, openCFS was launched in 2020. It provides an open-source framework for implementing partial differential equations using the finite element method. In particular, the acoustic module is part of active development, including several key methods. These methods include the perfectly-matched layer technique, non-confirming interface formulations, Lagrangian basis function, Legendre basis functions, spectral element formulations, a nodal element type, edge-based element type (aeroacoustic post-processing), absorbing boundary conditions, frequency dependent-material for time-harmonic and time-dependent simulations. Time-dependent simulations, time-harmonic simulations, and eigenvalue simulations are supported. Several variants of acoustic equations are implemented, including the relevant source terms and wave operators for aeroacoustics. The package includes rotating domains and non-conforming interfaces for fan noise simulations. It also contains an API to the Python3 package pyCFS [73]. This paper presents openCFS with a focus on the acoustic module.

Keywords Open Source FEM Software · Multiphysics Simulation · C++ · Acoustics · Aero-Acoustics · openCFS

1 Introduction

The finite element method (FEM) progressed significantly in solving wave-based acoustics around 2000 [14, 74, 2, 18]. The origins of openCFS started at that time. In 2020, CFS++ went open source (openCFS) and provides an object-oriented finite element framework for research in coupled-field simulations using FEM. Besides these standard FEM, many different numerical techniques to solve partial differential equations were established and found to provide reliable solutions [5, 8, 65]. The overarching aim of the methods is to derive a numerical scheme suitable for yielding the solution of a partial differential equation. Regarding the different numerical methods, the standard Bubnov–Galerkin method was chosen to establish the weak formulation for the respective coupled field implementations.

Its flexible meshing and interfacing between different field equations using coupling terms is the core strength of openCFS. Over the last years, the module acoustic (*openCFS-Acoustics*) and the coupling to the mechanic field (vibroacoustic [6], with examples see¹) and fluid dynamics as a source term (aeroacoustics [45, 61, 37]) was one of the foci. For instance, vibroacoustic simulations are performed using full coupling inside openCFS, solving the acoustics and solid mechanical field in parallel [67], and the coupling is realized via coupling matrices (this idea is described in [20]). Another possibility is to prescribe the surface normal velocity as a boundary condition and perform a forward coupling simulation using the acoustic module only [72]; this is only recommended in special occasions where

¹<https://gitlab.com/openCFS/Testsuite/-/tree/master/TESTSUIT/Coupledfield/MechAcou>

feedback is negligible (e.g., sound radiation from a stiff, closed mechanical structure). In contrast, some cases exist where the acoustics have strong back-coupling properties onto the solid mechanical field [34, 29]. In aeroacoustics, usually, the segregated workflow is applied [42] where in a separate flow simulation using a state-of-the-art flow solver is calculating the flow solution [50] and then integrated by the module openCFS-Data [57] onto the acoustic grid as aeroacoustic source terms that are then propagated using an acoustic simulation. The particular focus of interest is numerical methods to solve these coupled fields and connected applications. The convergence of simulations using non-conforming interfaces for stationary and rotating domains [17, 23, 64, 36] (e.g. example with rotating interface²) have been performed in [63]. The particular strength is the strong connection to engineering research and the regular use of the software for source code and software solution development in industrial and basic research projects. It is hosted on GitLab (gitlab.com/openCFS), with the accompanying package API pyCFS, the automated build environment, the testing examples, and the documentation. The software includes several physical fields

- Acoustics (*openCFS-Acoustics*)
- Electrodynamics (*openCFS-Edyn*)
- Mechanics (*openCFS-Mechanics*)
- Piezoelectrics (*openCFS-Piezo*)
- Heat transfer (*openCFS-Heat*).

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. 3, we provide the generic finite element formulation of the standard wave equation as implemented in openCFS. In Sec. 2, 3, and 4. We outline how to implement a finite element formulation in the framework of openCFS. We start by stating the wave equation and deriving its weak formulation for finite element implementation. Then, we discuss the calling structure of openCFS and show the implementation. We show the basic building blocks of a finite element simulation using openCFS. The motivation of this publication is to encourage users to use and develop openCFS with us (the usage is free under the MIT license). Section 5 discusses selected publications and concludes the article.

2 Wave equation

2.1 Standard wave equation

A basic description of the FEM for acoustics in connection with openCFS can be found in [20].

Equation 2.1 (Wave equation) Given c , $p_0^a(\mathbf{x})$, $dp_0^a(\mathbf{x})$, $p_{\Gamma_D}^a(\mathbf{x}, t)|_{\Gamma_D}$, $u_{n\Gamma_N}^a(\mathbf{x}, t)|_{\Gamma_N}$, domain $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$, and time $t \in [0, T]$ find p_a such that

1. *Partial differential equation:*

$$\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 p_a}{\partial t^2} - \nabla \cdot \nabla p_a = f \quad \text{in } \Omega \times [0, T]$$

2. *Boundary conditions:*

$$\begin{aligned} p_a(\mathbf{x}, t) &= p_{\Gamma_D}^a(\mathbf{x}, t) \quad \text{at } \Gamma_D \times [0, T] \\ \nabla p_a(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{n} &= u_{n\Gamma_N}^a(\mathbf{x}, t) \quad \text{at } \Gamma_N \times [0, T] \end{aligned}$$

3. *Initial conditions:*

$$\begin{aligned} p_a(\mathbf{x}, 0) &= p_0^a(\mathbf{x}) \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} p_a(\mathbf{x}, 0) &= \dot{p}_a(\mathbf{x}, 0) = dp_0^a(\mathbf{x}) \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \end{aligned}$$

Regarding the definition of the wave equation on the domain Ω , the Dirichlet boundary Γ_D , and the Neumann boundary Γ_N (see Fig. 1), we derive the weak formulation of the wave equation. Examples can be found here³ and for a first example we recommend⁴.

²<https://gitlab.com/openCFS/Testsuite/-/tree/master/TESTSUIT/Singlefield/Acoustics/RotationNCAcouPotential>

³<https://gitlab.com/openCFS/Testsuite/-/tree/master/TESTSUIT/Singlefield/Acoustics>

⁴<https://gitlab.com/openCFS/Testsuite/-/tree/master/TESTSUIT/Singlefield/Acoustics/Abc2d>




Figure 1: Domain of the wave equation.

2.2 Alternative wave equations implemented

Besides the standard wave equation, several variants of wave equations are implemented in openCFS.

2.2.1 Frequency dependent speed of sound (time-harmonically)

The modified Helmholtz equation with frequency-dependent material reads as

$$\frac{\omega^2}{K_{\text{eq}}(\omega)} p_a + \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\rho_{\text{eq}}(\omega)} \nabla p_a \right) = 0, \quad (1)$$

with a frequency-dependent equivalent bulk modulus $K_{\text{eq}}(\omega)$ and density $\rho_{\text{eq}}(\omega)$. This type of wave equation is used to model various absorber materials for acoustic treatment [3, 9]. An example simulation for an acoustic meta-material placed in a duct can be found in the openCFS-Testsuite ⁵.

2.2.2 Frequency dependent speed of sound (time-domain)

Using the inverse Fourier transform eq. (1) yields in time domain

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{K_{\text{eq}}} * \ddot{p}_a \right\}(t) - \left\{ \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\rho_{\text{eq}}} * \nabla p_a \right) \right\}(t) = 0, \quad (2)$$

with p_a denoting the acoustic pressure, $\{a * b\}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a(t - \tau) \cdot b(\tau) d\tau$ the time-convolution operator, and the two dots above the variable indicating the second-order partial time derivative. This equation can be used only when time-dependent simulations, such as turbomachinery simulations, are necessary. An example simulation for an acoustic meta-material can be found in the openCFS-Testsuite ⁶ and a non-reflecting boundary condition here ⁷.

2.2.3 Spatially dependent speed of sound

A space-dependent speed of sounds, varying for every element in the domain, can be prescribed. A temperature field governs the dependency and could model the refraction effects of the speed of sound variability in atmospheres or a heated jet.

$$\ddot{p}_a - \nabla \cdot (c^2(T) \nabla p_a) = 0. \quad (3)$$

An example simulation with a temperature-dependent PML can be found in the openCFS-Testsuite ⁸.

⁵<https://gitlab.com/openCFS/Testsuite/-/tree/master/TESTSUIT/Singlefield/Acoustics/Muffler1MPPnmg>

⁶https://gitlab.com/openCFS/Testsuite/-/tree/acou_TimeDomainEquivalentFluid_New/TESTSUIT/Singlefield/Acoustics/TDEFMultiMaterial2D

⁷https://gitlab.com/openCFS/Testsuite/-/tree/acou_TimeDomainEquivalentFluid_New/TESTSUIT/Singlefield/Acoustics/TDEFwNRBC2D

⁸<https://gitlab.com/openCFS/Testsuite/-/blob/master/TESTSUIT/Singlefield/Acoustics/WaveFlowTempPml>

2.2.4 Convective wave equation

Presented by Pierce [35], a convective wave equation in the acoustic velocity potential $\mathbf{u}_a = -\nabla\psi$ with a spatially uniform speed of sound and a mean flow velocity $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{c_0^2} \frac{D^2\psi}{Dt^2} - \nabla \cdot \nabla\psi = 0$$

with the substantial time derivative

$$\frac{D}{Dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla.$$

An example simulation and usage can be found in the openCFS-Testsuite ⁹.

2.3 Pressure/Velocity form of the wave equation

The advection form of the wave equation in terms of the pressure and particle velocity, as unknown, is provided in the AcousticMixedPDE method. Today, no active development is carried out here. Example simulations and usage can be found in the openCFS-Testsuite ¹⁰.

2.3.1 Viscous acoustics (Linearized Navier-Stokes Equation)

In the method LinFlowPDE, the linearized Navier-Stokes equations are implemented. For example, one can look at [13, 33]. Example simulations and usage can be found in the openCFS-Testsuite ¹¹.

2.4 Postprocessing of acoustic fields (acousticSplitPDE)

A general compressible fluid field can be decomposed into a longitudinal and transversal process using the acoustic-SplitPDE [59, 58, 51, 61, 38]. Example simulations and usage can be found in the openCFS-Testsuite ¹².

3 Finite Element Formulation

3.1 Standard wave equation

We define the solution function space for the acoustic pressure $p_a \in \mathcal{V} = \{v \in H^1(\Omega) | v = p_0^a \text{ at } \Gamma_D\}$ and the test function space $w \in \mathcal{W} = \{u \in H^1(\Omega) | u = 0 \text{ at } \Gamma_D\}$. Using this function space, we can obtain the weak formulation of the wave equation. Additionally, we restrict the function spaces to a discrete (limited number of functions) solution function space $p_a^h \in \mathcal{V}^h \subset \mathcal{V}$; $\mathcal{V}^h = \{v^h \in H^1(\Omega) | v^h = p_0^a \text{ at } \Gamma_D\}$ and a discrete test function space $w^h \in \mathcal{W}^h \subset \mathcal{W}$; $\mathcal{W}^h = \{u^h \in H^1(\Omega) | u^h = 0 \text{ at } \Gamma_D\}$. In doing so, we obtain the semi-discrete finite element form.

Equation 3.1 (Weak formulation (semi-discrete)) *Given c , $p_0^a(\mathbf{x})$, $dp_0^a(\mathbf{x})$, $p_{\Gamma_D}^a(\mathbf{x}, t)|_{\Gamma_D}$, $u_{n\Gamma_N}^a(\mathbf{x}, t)|_{\Gamma_N}$, domain $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$, and time $t \in [0, T]$. Find $p_a^h \in \mathcal{V}^h$ such that $\forall w^h \in \mathcal{W}^h$ the equation holds:*

1. *Weak form:*

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{c^2} w^h \dot{p}_a^h d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \nabla w^h \cdot \nabla p_a^h d\Omega - \int_{\Gamma_N} w^h u_{n\Gamma_N}^a ds = \int_{\Omega} w^h f d\Omega$$

2. *Boundary conditions:*

$$p_a(\mathbf{x}, t) = p_{\Gamma_D}^a(\mathbf{x}, t) \quad \text{at } \Gamma_D \times [0, T]$$

3. *Initial conditions:*

$$p_a(\mathbf{x}, 0) = p_0^a(\mathbf{x}) \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} p_a(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \dot{p}_a(\mathbf{x}, 0) = dp_0^a(\mathbf{x}) \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega$$

⁹<https://gitlab.com/openCFS/Testsuite/-/tree/master/TESTSUITE/Singlefield/Acoustics/PCWE>

¹⁰<https://gitlab.com/openCFS/Testsuite/-/tree/master/TESTSUITE/Singlefield/AcousticMixed>

¹¹<https://gitlab.com/openCFS/Testsuite/-/tree/master/TESTSUITE/Singlefield/LinFlow>

¹²<https://gitlab.com/openCFS/Testsuite/-/tree/master/TESTSUITE/Singlefield/Split>

Inserting the finite element ansatz (n_n number of global basis functions)

$$p_a \approx p_a^h = \sum_{b=1}^{n_n} N_b(\mathbf{x}) p_{a,b}(t);$$

$$w \approx w^h = \sum_{c=1}^{n_n} N_c(\mathbf{x}) w_c(t);$$

The basis functions are known. The coefficients are the unknowns and model the physical solution. For the FE ansatz, higher order finite element basis functions [16] can be used. The semi-discrete form (space discrete, time continuous) can be expressed by an algebraic system of equations

$$\mathbf{M} \ddot{\underline{p}}_a^h + \mathbf{K} \underline{p}_a^h = \underline{f}^h + \underline{f}_{Neumann}^h + \underline{f}_{Dirichlet}^h.$$

The underline acoustic pressure \underline{p}_a^h denotes an algebraic vector collecting the unknowns of the scalar acoustic pressure. \underline{f}^h , $\underline{f}_{Neumann}^h$, $\underline{f}_{Dirichlet}^h$ denote the right-hand sides according to a given source term, the inhomogeneous Neumann boundary conditions, and the inhomogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition, respectively. The mass and stiffness matrices are given by \mathbf{M} , $\mathbf{K} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{eq}} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_{eq}}$ with n_{eq} the number of unknowns. $n_{eq} < n_n$ and the discrepancy is given by the elimination routine when integrating the boundary conditions. An illustrative explanation of this can be given by the null space of the system [44]. The finite difference time discretization can be performed by the implicit Hilber-Hughes-Taylor (HHT) method [15]. It recovers a classical Newmark method under certain parameters. It is second-order temporal accurate and allows for energy dissipation, and under certain parameters, it is unconditionally stable.

In openCFS, the implementation follows a basic work principle. Firstly, the constructor needs to specify some basic variables and flags, like the material class type, nonlinearity, couplings, geometry updates during the simulation, and, in addition, some specifics of the acoustic FEM implementation. openCFS uses a physical field-driven approach and terminology, and the respective formulations are collected by their physical domain (like acoustics). This means that different weak formulations that belong to the scientific field of acoustics are collected, with all benefits and consequences. In the acousticPDE (this is the name of the main file of the acoustic FEM implementation in openCFS), the following flavors of acoustic variables (acoustic pressure, acoustic potential) can be found. The possible analysis types (e.g., time-harmonic) are also specified here. Subsequently, the operators (integrators) are defined as bilinear forms. These bilinear forms form the system matrices \mathbf{K} , \mathbf{M} and are connected to the discretization of the continuous bilinear form, the basis functions, the element definitions, the FE mesh, the continuous and discrete operator, and the assembly routine. Dirichlet boundaries are handled by elimination. The speed of sound is defined by a constant or frequency-dependent density and bulk modulus [28]. Modeling a frequency-dependent material in the time domain can be handled by an auxiliary variable technique [32, 54]; a similar approach was conducted for the perfectly matched layer technique in the time domain [19]. A linear form implements the right-hand side, and a continuous source density function can be applied as forcing. Alternatively, such sources can be read from an external file (e.g., Synthetic noise generation and radiation [43]). Rayleigh damping can be used in the acoustic domain as well. In addition to the Neumann and Dirichlet boundary conditions, an impedance and boundary layer condition can be described at the boundaries. Furthermore, a solve step is defined and executed by the time integration routine (finite difference method). At the end of each timestep, variables defined in the post-processing results are executed. The acousticPDE is a subclass of the singlePDE, where the singlePDE describes the basic class of a single field FEM routine and is a subclass of the stdPDE, which is a subclass of the basePDE. Each PDE method must at least implement the methods CreateFeSpaces (finite element space of the unknown physical quantity), DefineIntegrators (the bilinear forms of the weak form), DefineNcIntegrators (includes the handling of the non-conforming mesh interfaces), DefineSurfaceIntegrators (defines bilinear forms at the surface, for instance, the absorbing boundary condition), DefineRhsLoadIntegrators (excitation of the PDE), InitTimeStepping (definition of the time stepping scheme), DefineSolveStep (defines the step in which the equation is solved), DefinePrimaryResults (defines the primary quantity as a result of the simulation), DefinePostProcResults (defines additional results of a simulation, for instance, the acoustic intensity).

3.2 Convective wave equation

Similarly, the weak formulation of the convective wave equation can be obtained [26]

$$\frac{1}{c_0^2} \int_{\Omega} w \ddot{\psi} \, d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{c_0^2} \int_{\Omega} w (\bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla) \dot{\psi} \, d\mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{c_0^2} \int_{\Omega} \dot{\psi} (\bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla) w \, d\mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{c_0^2} \int_{\Omega} (\bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla) w (\bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla) \psi \, d\mathbf{x} - \int_{\Omega} \nabla w \cdot \nabla \psi \, d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\Gamma} w \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \psi \, ds = 0.$$

The standard FE ansatz can be applied with appropriate FE basis functions $N_i(\mathbf{x})$

$$w \approx w^h = \sum_i^{n_n} N_i(\mathbf{x}) w_i(t)$$

$$\psi \approx \psi^h = \sum_k^{n_n} N_k(\mathbf{x}) \psi_k(t).$$

This will result in the semi-discrete Galerkin formulation

$$\mathbf{M} \ddot{\underline{\psi}}^h + \mathbf{C} \dot{\underline{\psi}}^h + \mathbf{K} \underline{\psi}^h = \underline{f}^h.$$

The underline acoustic potential $\underline{\psi}^h$ denotes an algebraic vector collecting the unknowns of the scalar acoustic potential. \underline{f}^h denotes the right-hand side according to a given source term or boundary conditions and $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{eq}} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_{eq}}$ is the damping matrix. The mean velocity interpolation is element-wise constant inside the convective integrators and, therefore, L^2 . A flow list inside the simulation defines the mean velocity, which builds up the additional bilinear forms according to the stable weak form (3.2). The major application of this equation conducted in aeroacoustics, forming the operator of the perturbed convective wave equation (PCWE) [21, 63, 68, 40, 39] and the aeroacoustic wave equation based on Pierce operator (AWE-PO) [52]. The PCWE model was used to investigate various aspects of the human phonation process [62, 69, 75, 55, 7, 30, 31, 56]. In connection with flexible discretization based on mortar non-conforming interfaces [17, 23, 64, 36]. These interfaces also allow for relative movement and, therefore, a handy implementation for handling rotating domains as encountered in turbomachinery simulations. Applications included axial fan noise simulations [47, 66, 21, 22, 68] and sound emission predictions of the turbocharger compressor [24, 11], HVAC systems [25, 12], and wind turbines [70]. The aeroacoustic sources are generally computed out of the flow with the auxiliary package openCFS-Data [57, 43, 41] and, for instance, presented in [60, 48, 49]. Connected to a variety of aeroacoustic source formulations, simulations for car frame noise are noteworthy [6, 10, 53, 71].

4 Software runtime cycle of a simulation

The package's compilation, including external packages (solvers, modules, geometric libraries, etc.), is carried out using cmake. The compilation consists of openCFS itself, cfstool, and openCFS-Data. The openCFS C++ runtime of the finite element software executable model follows the steps of the driver class execution during a simulation.

4.1 Simulation setup details in XML input

The simulation file of openCFS is an XML-based input format [1]. The underlying structure of our XML files is defined via a so-called XML scheme. The path to this scheme is defined in the header of every XML file, and per default, it points to our default public scheme, provided on our GitLab server:

```
<?xml version="1.0"?>
<cfsSimulation ...
xsi:schemaLocation="../../../CFS.xsd">
```

In general, an openCFS XML file consists of three main parts:

- fileFormats: definition of input-/output- and material-files
- domain: region definitions (assign material to different regions)
- sequenceStep: contains the definition of the analysis type and the PDE.

Several sequenceSteps can be concatenated, and results from previous sequenceSteps can be processed to produce the desired result.

```
<!-- define which files are needed for simulation input & output-->
<fileFormats>
  <input>
    <!-- read mesh -->
  </input>
  <output>
    <!-- define output -->
```

```

    </output>
    <materialData file="../material/mat.xml" format="xml"/>
</fileFormats>

<domain geometryType="3d">
  <regionList>
    <!-- region definition -->
  </regionList>
</domain>

<sequenceStep index="1">
  <analysis>
    <!-- analysis type: static, transient, harmonic, multiharmonic, eigenfrequency -->
  </analysis>
  <pdeList>
    <!--for example, consider the acoustic PDE-->
    <acoustic>
      <regionList>
        <!--define on which regions the PDE is solved-->
      </regionList>
      <bcsAndLoads>
        <!--define appropriate BC's and Loads-->
      </bcsAndLoads>
      <storeResults>
        <!--define the results to be stored-->
      </storeResults>
    </acoustic>
  </pdeList>
</sequenceStep>
</cfsSimulation>

```

Detailed information about the XML-input files can be found online [1].

4.2 Execution cycle

The runtime takes the XML input file and handles the following execution steps (see Fig. 2). It creates the partial differential equation that needs to be solved with all the setups necessary to provide a solvable algebraic system. The core simulation driver/step-iterator takes over and executes the steps of the simulation (sequence steps, time steps, frequency bins, or non-linear iterations). All in all, these can be combined in several repeated executions per simulation. As a typical finite element software, the following time discretization is possible:

- Time is discretized by a time-stepping scheme.
- Time is transformed into the Fourier space (time-harmonic simulation).
- The system of equations is transformed into an eigenvalue system.

A sequence step is a step of a whole sub-simulation defined in the XML input scheme, it is intended to provide an initial field or pre-processing of an input field for a second sequence step for segregated coupled field simulations. A (solveStep) time step or frequency bin is a physical solving step encountered by the numerical procedure of time discretization. A linear static simulation has only one solve step. A non-linear iteration is part of the numerical solving procedure of the non-linear differential equation. In the solve step, the solveStep-dependent assembly routines are carried out next. Only the dependent parts are assembled herein; assemblies that are not dependent are (pre-)executed during the first solveStep and re-used during the additional steps. Finally, the algebraic solver takes over and solves the system of equations. Before the end of the simulation, the results are written to the files requested. Usually, when implementing a partial differential equation, no adaptations to the driver have to be made. Regarding the implementation, the following aspects have to be considered:

- **That is the core part you must implement. There is no way around it unless the partial differential equation is already implemented:** Bilinear forms, also called integrators, are the realizations of the left-hand side of a weak formulation and are constructed by the operators (e.g., a gradient operator). In the case of the presented wave equation, we use symmetric bilinear forms to construct the algebraic system.
- **Are you planning to implement something that touches the finite element space?** The finite element space manages the equation numbers for the associated unknowns. It holds and manages associated reference




Figure 2: openCFS life-cycle during a run.

elements. It also knows how to incorporate boundary conditions. Furthermore, it distinguishes between geometrical elements (mesh representation) and computational elements (how the physical quantities are approximated).

- **Are you planning to implement a new finite element basis?** The finite element function represents the function space and knows its particular selection from different possibilities.
- **Do you consider an inhomogeneous partial differential equation?** Linear forms are integrators for the right-hand side of a partial differential equation.

5 Conclusion with recent application

Although many commercial simulation tools have been developed in the last years and are on the market, there is still a strong need for appropriate tools capable of simulating multi-field problems. Implementing a new partial differential equation (PDE) or physical coupling between PDEs into commercial software (via user routines) results, in most cases, in an environment that is too inflexible for forefront developments. For this reason, we have decided to continue developing openCFS (before 2020, known as CFS++ Coupled Field Simulations written in C++).

Finally, we select two acoustic simulations to show the acoustic capabilities of openCFS. The aeroacoustic simulation model simVoice for human phonation [4] was a 3D finite volume, finite element coupled model validated by a benchmarking dataset [46], and a nice summary is presented in [7]. The unique feature of human phonation simulations

is that the flow-induced sources must be captured precisely on a time-dependent geometry to get a good prediction quality.

Figure 3 shows the influence of edge absorbers on the nonlinear mode shapes of the acoustic field. For this simulation,




Figure 3: Results of the eigenvector for different configurations of the edge absorbers (highlighted in green) compared to the empty room configuration [27].

openCFS was used as the physical solver, and pyCFS triggered the iteration step for the fixed-point method.

5.1 Outlook for 2025

As an outlook for 2025, the frequency dependent material will be available in the master branch for time domain simulations using auxiliary variables. Furthermore, an automated generation of a PML domain and curvilinear PML is available. The capabilities of the mortar methods will be enhanced in acoustics and the acousticPDE class will be cleaned up.

6 Acknowledge

We would like to acknowledge the authors of openCFS: Angermeier, Katharina; Bahr, Ludwig; Dev, Chaitanya; Eiser, Sebastian; Escobar, Max; Freidhager, Clemens; Grabinger, Jens; Greifenstein, Jannis; Guess, Thomas; Hassanpour Guilvaiee, Hamideh; Hauck, Andreas; Hofer, Fred; Hübner, Daniel; Hüppe, Andreas; Jaganathan, Srikrishna; Junger, Clemens; Kaltenbacher, Manfred; Landes, Herman; Link, Gerhard; Mayrhofer, Dominik; Michalke, Simon; Mohr, Markus; Nierla, Michael; Perchtold, Dominik; Roppert, Klaus; Schmidt, Bastian; Schoder, Stefan; Schury, Fabian; Seebacher, Philipp; Shaposhnikov, Kirill; Tautz, Matthias; Toth, Florian; Triebenbacher, Simon; Volk, Adian; Vu, Bich Ngoc; Wein, Fabian; Zhelezina, Elena; Zörner, Stefan.

Sketches in this work have been partly created using the Adobe Illustrator plug-in LaTeX2AI (<https://github.com/stoani89/LaTeX2AI>).

References

- [1] Angermeier K., Bahr L., Dev C., Eiser S., Escobar M., Freidhager C., Grabinger J., Greifenstein J., Guess T., Hassanpour Guilvaiee H., Hauck A., Hofer F., Hübner D., Hüppe A., Jaganathan S., Junger C., Kaltenbacher M., Landes H., Link G., Mayrhofer D., Michalke S., Mohr M., Nierla M., Perchtold D., Roppert K., Schmidt B., Schoder S., Schury F., Seebacher P., Shaposhnikov K., Tautz M., Toth F., Triebenbacher S., Volk A., Vu B. N., Wein F., Zhelezina E., Zörner S. openCFS - open source finite element software for multi-physical simulation. <https://opencfs.gitlab.io/userdocu>, 2020. Accessed: 2020-10-30.
- [2] David Colton, Joe Coyle, and Peter Monk. Recent developments in inverse acoustic scattering theory. *Siam Review*, 42(3):369–414, 2000.

- [3] Felix Czwielong, Sebastian Floss, Paul Maurerlehner, Florian Toth, Manfred Kaltenbacher, Stefan Becker, and Stefan Schoder. Mikroperforierte schallabsorber für die anwendung in strömungsakustischen problemstellungen. *Akustik Journal*, 2023(1):22–33, 2023.
- [4] Michael Döllinger, Zhaoyan Zhang, Stefan Schoder, Petr Šidlof, Bogac Tur, and Stefan Kniesburges. Overview on state-of-the-art numerical modeling of the phonation process. *Acta Acustica*, 7:25, 2023.
- [5] Michael Dumbser and Martin Käser. Arbitrary high order non-oscillatory finite volume schemes on unstructured meshes for linear hyperbolic systems. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 221(2):693–723, 2007.
- [6] Rafael Engelmann, Christoph Gabriel, Stefan Schoder, and Manfred Kaltenbacher. A generic testbody for low-frequency aeroacoustic buffeting. Technical report, SAE Technical Paper, 2020.
- [7] Sebastian Falk, Stefan Kniesburges, Stefan Schoder, Bernhard Jakubaß, Paul Maurerlehner, Matthias Echternach, Manfred Kaltenbacher, and Michael Döllinger. 3d-fv-fe aeroacoustic larynx model for investigation of functional based voice disorders. *Frontiers in physiology*, 12:616985, 2021.
- [8] Péter Fiala and Peter Rucz. Nihu: An open source c++ bem library. *Advances in Engineering Software*, 75:101–112, 2014.
- [9] Sebastian Floss and Manfred Kaltenbacher. Finite element scheme based on an equivalent fluid model for the design of micro-perforated panel absorbers. In *INTER-NOISE and NOISE-CON Congress and Conference Proceedings*, volume 259, pages 4020–4030. Institute of Noise Control Engineering, 2019.
- [10] Clemens Freidhager, Paul Maurerlehner, Klaus Roppert, Andreas Wurzinger, Alexander Hauser, Martin Heinisch, Stefan Schoder, and Manfred Kaltenbacher. Simulationen von strömungsakustik in rotierenden bauteilen zur entwicklung von antriebskonzepten der autos der zukunft. *e & i Elektrotechnik und Informationstechnik*, 138(3):212–218, 2021.
- [11] Clemens Freidhager, Stefan Schoder, and Manfred Kaltenbacher. The influences of spatial and temporal discretization in flow simulation on lighthill’s aeroacoustic source terms applied to a turbocharger. In *AIAA AVIATION 2020 FORUM*, page 2546, 2020.
- [12] Jens Grabinger, Manfred Kaltenbacher, Stefan Becker, and Florian Zenger. Efficient prediction of flow-induced sound sources and emission from a hvac blower. Technical report, SAE Technical Paper, 2018.
- [13] Hamideh Hassanpour Guilvaiee, Paul Heyes, Christian Novotny, Manfred Kaltenbacher, and Florian Toth. A validated modeling strategy for piezoelectric mems loudspeakers including viscous effects. *Acta Acustica*, 7:24, 2023.
- [14] Isaac Harari. A survey of finite element methods for time-harmonic acoustics. *Computer methods in applied mechanics and engineering*, 195(13-16):1594–1607, 2006.
- [15] Hans M Hilber, Thomas JR Hughes, and Robert L Taylor. Improved numerical dissipation for time integration algorithms in structural dynamics. *Earthquake Engineering & Structural Dynamics*, 5(3):283–292, 1977.
- [16] Andreas Hueppe and Manfred Kaltenbacher. Spectral finite elements for computational aeroacoustics using acoustic perturbation equations. *Journal of Computational Acoustics*, 20(02):1240005, 2012.
- [17] A. Hüppe, J. Grabinger, M. Kaltenbacher, A. Reppenhagen, G. Dutzler, and W. Kühnel. A Non-Conforming Finite Element Method for Computational Aeroacoustics in Rotating Systems. In *20th AIAA/CEAS Aeroacoustics Conference*, page 2739, 2014.
- [18] Frank Ihlenburg. *Finite element analysis of acoustic scattering*. Springer, 1998.
- [19] Barbara Kaltenbacher, Manfred Kaltenbacher, and Imbo Sim. A modified and stable version of a perfectly matched layer technique for the 3-d second order wave equation in time domain with an application to aeroacoustics. *Journal of computational physics*, 235:407–422, 2013.
- [20] M. Kaltenbacher. *Numerical Simulation of Mechatronic Sensors and Actuators: Finite Elements for Computational Multiphysics*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2015.
- [21] M. Kaltenbacher. *Computational Acoustics*. CISM International Centre for Mechanical Sciences. Springer International Publishing, 2017.
- [22] M. Kaltenbacher, A. Hüppe, J. Grabinger, and B. Wohlmuth. Modeling and Finite Element Formulation for Acoustic Problems Including Rotating Domains. *AIAA Journal*, 2016.
- [23] Manfred Kaltenbacher. Non-conforming finite elements for flexible discretization with applications to aeroacoustics. In *Computational Acoustics*, pages 35–67. Springer, 2018.
- [24] Manfred Kaltenbacher, Clemens Freidhager, and Stefan Schoder. Modelling and numerical simulation of the noise generated by automotive turbocharger compressor. Technical report, SAE Technical Paper, 2020.

- [25] Manfred Kaltenbacher, Andreas Hüppe, Aaron Reppenhagen, Matthias Tautz, Stefan Becker, and Wolfram Kuehnel. Computational aeroacoustics for hvac systems utilizing a hybrid approach. *SAE International Journal of Passenger Cars-Mechanical Systems*, 9(2016-01-1808):1047–1052, 2016.
- [26] Manfred Kaltenbacher, Klaus Roppert, Stefan Schoder, and Johannes Heinz. Stable finite element formulation for the perturbed convective wave equation. *Iib*, 1(c2):c2, 2021.
- [27] Florian Kraxberger, Eric Kurz, Florian Toth, Manfred Kaltenbacher, and Stefan Schoder. The nonlinear eigenfrequency problem of room acoustics with porous edge absorbers. 2023.
- [28] Florian Kraxberger, Eric Kurz, Werner Weselak, Gernot Kubin, Manfred Kaltenbacher, and Stefan Schoder. A validated finite element model for room acoustic treatments with edge absorbers. *Acta Acustica*, 7:48, 2023.
- [29] Florian Kraxberger, Christoph Näger, Marco Laudato, Elias Sundström, Stefan Becker, Mihai Mihaescu, Stefan Kniesburges, and Stefan Schoder. On the alignment of acoustic and coupled mechanic-acoustic eigenmodes in phonation by supraglottal duct variations. *Bioengineering*, 10(12):1369, 2023.
- [30] Martin Lasota, Petr Šidlof, Manfred Kaltenbacher, and Stefan Schoder. Impact of the sub-grid scale turbulence model in aeroacoustic simulation of human voice. *Applied Sciences*, 11(4):1970, 2021.
- [31] Paul Maurerlehner, Stefan Schoder, Clemens Freidhager, Andreas Wurzinger, Alexander Hauser, Florian Kraxberger, Sebastian Falk, Stefan Kniesburges, Matthias Echternach, Michael Döllinger, et al. Efficient numerical simulation of the human voice. *e & i Elektrotechnik und Informationstechnik*, 138(3):219–228, 2021.
- [32] Paul Maurerlehner, Stefan Schoder, and Manfred Kaltenbacher. Time domain fe-formulation for the equivalent fluid model. In *DAGA 2023-49. Jahrestagung für Akustik*, pages 1023–1026, 2023.
- [33] Dominik Mayrhofer, Klaus Roppert, David Tumpold, and Manfred Kaltenbacher. A moving mesh method for fluid-solid-acoustic interactions in mems devices. *Journal of Theoretical and Computational Acoustics*, 2024.
- [34] Christoph Näger, Stefan Kniesburges, Bogac Tur, Stefan Schoder, and Stefan Becker. An investigation of acoustic back-coupling in human phonation on a synthetic larynx model. *Bioengineering*, 10(12):1343, 2023.
- [35] Allan D Pierce. Wave equation for sound in fluids with unsteady inhomogeneous flow. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 87(6):2292–2299, 1990.
- [36] Klaus Roppert, Stefan Schoder, Manfred Kaltenbacher, and Florian Toth. Non-conforming finite elements. *International Compumag Society Newsletter*, 2018(3), 2018.
- [37] Péter Rucz, Mihály Ádám Ulveczki, Johannes Heinz, and Stefan Schoder. Analysis of the co-rotating vortex pair as a test case for computational aeroacoustics. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 587:118496, 2024.
- [38] S Schoder, F Toth, C Freidhager, and M Kaltenbacher. Revisiting infinite mapping layer for open domain problems. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 392:354–367, 2019.
- [39] Stefan Schoder. cpcwe–perturbed convective wave equation based on compressible flows. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.11410*, 2022.
- [40] Stefan Schoder. Pcwe for fsai–derivation of scalar wave equations for fluid-structure-acoustics interaction of low mach number flows. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.07490*, 2022.
- [41] Stefan Schoder. Implementation of an aeroacoustic simulation pipeline using opencfs-acoustics and opencfs-data applied to human phonation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13290*, 2023.
- [42] Stefan Schoder. Offline coupling of segregated multi-physical simulations with consistent boundary conditions and source terms based on scattered data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.04608*, 2023.
- [43] Stefan Schoder. opencfs-data: Implementation of the stochastic noise generation and radiation model (sngr). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.05622*, 2023.
- [44] Stefan Schoder. An approach using the null space to implement dirichlet and constraint boundary conditions into fem. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.06160*, 2024.
- [45] Stefan Schoder, Eman Bagheri, and Étienne Spieser. Aeroacoustic source potential based on poisson’s equation. *AIAA Journal*, pages 1–11, 2024.
- [46] Stefan Schoder, Sebastian Falk, Andreas Wurzinger, Alexander Lodermeier, Stefan Becker, and Stefan Kniesburges. A benchmark case for aeroacoustic simulations involving fluid-structure-acoustic interaction transferred from the process of human phonation. *Acta Acustica*, 8:13, 2024.
- [47] Stefan Schoder, Clemens Junger, and Manfred Kaltenbacher. Computational aeroacoustics of the eaa benchmark case of an axial fan. *Acta Acustica*, 4(5):22, 2020.

- [48] Stefan Schoder, Clemens Junger, Klaus Roppert, and Manfred Kaltenbacher. Radial basis function interpolation for computational aeroacoustics. In *AIAA AVIATION 2020 FORUM*, page 2511, 2020.
- [49] Stefan Schoder, Clemens Junger, Michael Weitz, and Manfred Kaltenbacher. Conservative source term interpolation for hybrid aeroacoustic computations. In *25th AIAA/CEAS aeroacoustics conference*, page 2538, 2019.
- [50] Stefan Schoder and Manfred Kaltenbacher. Hybrid aeroacoustic computations: State of art and new achievements. *Journal of Theoretical and Computational Acoustics*, 27(04):1950020, 2019.
- [51] Stefan Schoder, Manfred Kaltenbacher, and Klaus Roppert. Helmholtz’s decomposition applied to aeroacoustics. In *25th AIAA/CEAS Aeroacoustics Conference*, 2019-2561.
- [52] Stefan Schoder, Manfred Kaltenbacher, Étienne Spieser, Hugo Vincent, Christophe Bogey, and Christophe Bailly. Aeroacoustic wave equation based on pierce’s operator applied to the sound generated by a mixing layer. In *28th AIAA/CEAS Aeroacoustics 2022 Conference*, page 2896, 2022.
- [53] Stefan Schoder, Ivan Lazarov, and Manfred Kaltenbacher. Numerical investigation of a deep cavity with an overhanging lip considering aeroacoustic feedback mechanism. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.03279*, 2020.
- [54] Stefan Schoder and Paul Maurerlehner. Metamat 01: A semi-analytic solution for benchmarking wave propagation simulations of homogeneous absorbers in 1d/3d and 2d. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.03510*, 2024.
- [55] Stefan Schoder, Paul Maurerlehner, Andreas Wurzinger, Alexander Hauser, Sebastian Falk, Stefan Kniesburges, Michael Döllinger, and Manfred Kaltenbacher. Aeroacoustic sound source characterization of the human voice production-perturbed convective wave equation. *Applied Sciences*, 11(6):2614, 2021.
- [56] Stefan Schoder and Klaus Roppert. Learning expertise actively to model domain knowledge (lead) with application to human phonation. *arXiv*, 2022.
- [57] Stefan Schoder and Klaus Roppert. Opencfs-data: Data pre-post-processing tool for opencfs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.03637*, 2023.
- [58] Stefan Schoder, Klaus Roppert, and Manfred Kaltenbacher. Helmholtz’s decomposition for compressible flows and its application to computational aeroacoustics. *SN Partial Differ. Equ. Appl.*, pages 1–20, 2020.
- [59] Stefan Schoder, Klaus Roppert, and Manfred Kaltenbacher. Postprocessing of direct aeroacoustic simulations using helmholtz decomposition. *AIAA Journal*, pages 1–9, 2020.
- [60] Stefan Schoder, Klaus Roppert, Michael Weitz, Clemens Junger, and Manfred Kaltenbacher. Aeroacoustic source term computation based on radial basis functions. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 121(9):2051–2067, 2020.
- [61] Stefan Schoder, Étienne Spieser, Hugo Vincent, Christophe Bogey, and Christophe Bailly. Acoustic modeling using the aeroacoustic wave equation based on pierce’s operator. *AIAA Journal*, 61(9):4008–4017, 2023.
- [62] Stefan Schoder, Michael Weitz, Paul Maurerlehner, Alexander Hauser, Sebastian Falk, Stefan Kniesburges, Michael Döllinger, and Manfred Kaltenbacher. Hybrid aeroacoustic approach for the efficient numerical simulation of human phonation. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 147(2):1179–1194, 2020.
- [63] Stefan Schoder, Andreas Wurzinger, Clemens Junger, Michael Weitz, Clemens Freidhager, Klaus Roppert, and Manfred Kaltenbacher. Application limits of conservative source interpolation methods using a low mach number hybrid aeroacoustic workflow. *Journal of Theoretical and Computational Acoustics*, 29(01):2050032, 2021.
- [64] Stefan Josef Schoder. *Aeroacoustic analogies based on compressible flow data*. PhD thesis, Wien, 2018.
- [65] Christopher KW Tam and Jay C Webb. Dispersion-relation-preserving finite difference schemes for computational acoustics. *Journal of computational physics*, 107(2):262–281, 1993.
- [66] Matthias Tautz, Kerstin Besserer, Stefan Becker, and Manfred Kaltenbacher. Source formulations and boundary treatments for lighthill’s analogy applied to incompressible flows. *AIAA Journal*, 56(7):2769–2781, 2018.
- [67] J Tieber, H Steiner, P Maurerlehner, S Schoder, K Schäfer, A Ennemoser, M Kaltenbacher, and G Brenn. Hybrid aeroacoustic investigation of turbulent 90° pipe bend flow with source terms from large-eddy simulation. *Computers & Fluids*, page 106323, 2024.
- [68] Lorenzo Tieghi, Stefan Becker, Alessandro Corsini, Giovanni Delibra, Stefan Schoder, and Felix Czwielong. Machine-learning clustering methods applied to detection of noise sources in low-speed axial fan. In *2022 Turbomachinery Technical Conference & Exposition: ASME Turbo Expo 2022*, 2022.
- [69] J Valášek, M Kaltenbacher, and P Sváček. On the application of acoustic analogies in the numerical simulation of human phonation process. *Flow, Turbulence and Combustion*, 102(1):129–143, 2019.

-
- [70] Johannes Weber, Matthias Tautz, Stefan Becker, Andreas Hüppe, and Manfred Kaltenbacher. Computational aeroacoustic simulations of small vertical axis wind turbine. In *INTER-NOISE and NOISE-CON Congress and Conference Proceedings*, volume 253, pages 4254–4262. Institute of Noise Control Engineering, 2016.
- [71] Michael Weitz, Stefan Schoder, and Manfred Kaltenbacher. Numerical investigation of the resonance behavior of flow-excited helmholtz resonators. *PAMM*, 19(1):e201900033, 2019.
- [72] Andreas Wurzinger, Florian Kraxberger, Paul Maurerlehner, Bernhard Mayr-Mittermüller, Peter Rucz, Harald Sima, Manfred Kaltenbacher, and Stefan Schoder. Experimental prediction method of free-field sound emissions using the boundary element method and laser scanning vibrometry. In *Acoustics*, volume 6, pages 65–82. MDPI, 2024.
- [73] Andreas Wurzinger and Stefan Schoder. pycfs-data: Data processing framework in python for opencfs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.03437*, 2024.
- [74] Olgierd C Zienkiewicz. Achievements and some unsolved problems of the finite element method. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 47(1-3):9–28, 2000.
- [75] S Zörner, P Šidlof, A Hüppe, and M Kaltenbacher. Flow and acoustic effects in the larynx for varying geometries. *Acta Acustica united with Acustica*, 102(2):257–267, 2016.