

# Clustering of Excursion Sets in Financial Market

M. Shadmangohar

*Department of Physics, Shahid Beheshti University, 1983969411, Tehran, Iran*

S. M. S. Movahed\*

*Department of Physics, Shahid Beheshti University, 1983969411, Tehran, Iran*

---

## Abstract

Relying on the excursion set theory, we compute the number density of local extrema and crossing statistics versus the threshold for the stock market indices. Comparing the number density of excursion sets calculated numerically with the theoretical prediction for the Gaussian process confirmed that all data sets used in this paper have a surplus (almost lack) value of local extrema (up-crossing) density at low (high) thresholds almost around the mean value implying universal properties for stock indices. We estimate the clustering of geometrical measures based on the excess probability of finding the pairs of excursion sets, which clarify well statistical coherency between markets located in the same geographical region. The cross-correlation of excursion sets between various markets is also considered to construct the matrix of agglomerative hierarchical clustering. Our results demonstrate that the peak statistics is more capable of capturing blocks. Incorporating the partitioning approach, we implement the Singular Value Decomposition on the matrix containing the maximum value of unweighted Two-Point Correlation Function of peaks and up-crossing to compute the similarity measure. Our results support that excursion sets are more sensitive than standard measures to elucidate the existence of *a priori* crisis.

*Keywords:* Financial time series, Excursion sets, Clustering, Two-point

---

\*Corresponding author

*Email address:* [m.s.movahed@ipm.ir](mailto:m.s.movahed@ipm.ir) (S. M. S. Movahed)

Index	Country name	Index	Country name	Index	Country name
1	ARGENTINA	17	HONG-KONG	33	PERU
2	AUSTRALIA	18	HUNGARY	34	POLAND
3	AUSTRIA	19	INDIA	35	PORTUGAL
4	BELGIUM	20	INDONESIA	36	RUSSIA
5	BRAZIL	21	IRELAND	37	SINGAPORE
6	CANADA	22	ITALY	38	SOUTH-AFRICA
7	CHILE	23	JAPAN	39	SPAIN
8	CHINA	24	JORDAN	40	SRI-LANKA
9	COLOMBIA	25	KOREA	41	SWEDEN
10	CZECH-REPUBLIC	26	MALAYSIA	42	SWITZERLAND
11	DENMARK	27	MEXICO	43	TAIWAN
12	EGYPT	28	MOROCCO	44	THAILAND
13	FINLAND	29	NETHERLANDS	45	TURKEY
14	FRANCE	30	NEW-ZEALAND	46	UK
15	GREECE	31	NORWAY	47	USA
16	GERMANY	32	PAKISTAN	-	-

Table 1: The name of countries and their indices used in this paper.

## Correlation Function

---

### 1. Introduction

Implementation of methods developed in data modeling from the stochastic field point of view has become a topic of interest in econophysics [1, 2, 3, 2, 4, 5, 6]. To financial policy making, manage risk, optimal portfolio, trading strategies, in addition to quantifying volatility, it is necessary to use robust statistical measures [2, 4, 5, 7, 8]. Quantifying the financial institutions from various aspects, which is a vital topic in economic development, has been examined in [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14].

There has been a great amount of research in analyzing the financial markets using the correlation, networks, clustering or models of measuring financial institutions' tail risk, such as the conditional value-at-risk model. These methods are employed in analyzing the effect of geography on markets' return [15], the formation of clusters within a stock index [16], and between geographically distant

markets [17]. Complex Network Theory is extensively carried out to find the  
15 key players of financial networks [18, 14]. The construction of hierarchical struc-  
tures between market sectors and indexes has also been investigated in [19, 20].  
Analyzing the cross-correlation of return rates is performed in order to capture  
the markets' comovements and investigation of volatility spillover effects. Such  
analysis of cross-correlations over time is responsible for the evaluation of mar-  
20 ket dynamics and states [21, 22, 23], and it is broadly applied in understanding  
the market behavior during the crisis epochs [24]. The core of this analysis is  
based on Random Matrix Theory, in which the eigenvalues of a correlation ma-  
trix constructed based on empirical data confronts the results obtained from a  
completely random matrix [25, 26]. Based on the Efficient Market Hypothesis,  
25 the variation of prices is a random process governed by a normal distribution;  
however, recent research suggests this hypothesis obsolete and claims that the  
variation of prices is statistically correlated [27]. Subsequently, validating the  
deviation of markets from the Efficient Market Hypothesis is an important task.  
Choosing the proper statistics for analyzing the resulting time series, which can  
30 be assumed as Stochastic Fields, is a tricky task since each statistical framework  
has its advantages and disadvantages.

The notion of clustering has long-standing in analyzing complex systems  
[1, 8, 21, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, and references therein]. Specifically, the art of clus-  
tering of financial series mainly concentrates on constructing the adjacency ma-  
35 trix, including the distance (correlation coefficients) between any pairs of data  
sets, and then implementing the well-known minimum spanning tree [33]. The  
widely adopted method is based on minimum spanning tree whose algorithms  
have been developed from various points of view ranging from preprocessing,  
calculating correlations, converting to distance, linkage clustering approaches to  
40 chaining phenomena and evaluation criteria [8, 28]. Dynamical clustering has  
been carried out by different methods such as computing correlation coefficient  
on a rolling window and evaluating temporal properties of constructed networks  
from underlying time series [34]. Incorporating the clustering approaches pro-  
vides valuable quantitative tools which enable us to examine the connection

45 between financial markets and to address how the indices of markets evolve during the crisis epochs and volatility shocks.

In a large portion of previous methods, the linear correlation between financial markets has been used, while the nonlinear relationship between assets essentially needs to incorporate more complicated measures such as distances  
50 based on Granger causality [35], partial correlation [36], nonlinear relationship between financial institutions [37, 38], mutual information [6, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46], Copula-based [47, 48, 49], tail dependence [50], (see also [8, and references therein]), leading to reliable results.

There are many methods ranging from topological and geometrical measures  
55 to examining probability density function and correlation function to characterize various data sets in (1+1)-, (1+2)-, and (1+3)-dimensions<sup>1</sup>. Among various categories, excursion sets can be considered as significant features carried out not only for characterizing the morphology of underlying series but also for providing new approaches to extract characteristic scales from series. A speculative  
60 definition for excursion sets can be written as:  $\mathcal{A}_\vartheta(\mathcal{F}) \equiv \{X | \mathcal{F}(X) \geq \vartheta\}$  [51]. Where  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  is a typical function of the underlying stochastic process and  $\vartheta$  is considered as a threshold. Such measures is capable of the magnification of deviation and discriminating the exotic features embedded in data sets.

A simple example of an excursion set is the so-called level crossing statistics  
65 introduced by S. O. Rice [54, 55]. Other modifications under the banner of Minkowski functionals are denoted by Up-, down- and conditional crossing statistics [56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66]. Local extrema as a popular set of critical sets have been explored for Gaussian processes in (1 +  $D$ )-dimension [56, 67]. The one-point and two-point statistics of excursion sets  
70 provide complementary methods to quantify different series [56, 68, 67]. The Two-Point Correlation Function (TPCF) of excursion and critical sets deal

---

<sup>1</sup>Based on measure-theoretic approach, a  $(n + D)$ -dimensional random field (process) is a mapping from probability space to  $\sigma$ -algebra in which  $n$  and  $D$  are denoted to  $n$ -dependent variables and  $D$ -independent parameters, respectively [51, 52, 53].

with how different values of the underlying systems occur relative to other values. The mentioned approach set up novel characteristic scales and extension of correlation values, particularly in non-linear form. Pair correlation in  
75 terms of separation time (distance or angle) of a given feature is also known as clustering in some disciplines ranging from complex systems, condensed matter, granular materials, cosmology, biology, econophysics, to engineering [69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 56, 57, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 5].

In this paper, we are going to look at clustering from a different perspective.  
80 More precisely, we will rely on the excursion features introduced to quantify the geometrical properties of typical stochastic processes. Based on the feature based approach, the cross-correlation coefficient will be computed and the hierarchical clustering method for the new measures will be applied. We will use our methods to 47 Stock market indices listed in Table 1 with the following  
85 advantages and novelties:

- 1) We will use the new features in the context of excursion and critical sets, namely local extrema and crossing measures. Comparison with the theoretical prediction for the Gaussian process to assess any deviation from Gaussianity or even exotic behavior will also be carried out.
- 90 2) Going beyond the one-point statistics of geometrical measures and relying on the un-weighted two-point correlation function based on the excess probability of finding pairs of local extrema and crossing, we will accomplish the global and partitioning approaches. According to the clustering of excursion sets, the adjacency matrix for identifying states of financial market and temporal behavior of indices will be constructed. The structure of the matrix containing  
95 the excess probability of finding pairs of peaks is more capable of capturing occurrences of financial crises compared to the common correlation coefficient.

The remaining of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 gives the mathematical framework to set up the analytical prediction of peaks, troughs,  
100 and crossing number densities by using the spectral indices. Clustering of local extrema and up-crossing features according to the proper numerical estimators will be given in this section. The hierarchical clustering, more precisely, the ag-




Figure 1: The schematic representation of local maxima (filled circles), local minima (filled triangles), and up-crossing (cross) at a given threshold,  $\vartheta$  for a smoothed time series.

glomerative hierarchical clustering, will be explained briefly in section 2. Data description is presented in section 3. Section 4 is devoted to the implementation of the excursion set clustering on the financial markets in two approaches,  
 105 namely globally and temporally. Section 5 gives discussions and conclusions.

## 2. Mathematical Framework

In this section, we introduce the most relevant techniques to analyze stock market data used as input series, based on excursion set theory.

110

### 2.1. Features clustering

The "clustering" notion is widely used in the context of stochastic fields [80, 81]. Any mathematical criterion designed for revealing classification structures in a stochastic field represents a notion of clustering [82].

There are many methods to figure out a feature in the underlying data set and then focus on examining corresponding properties [83]. A robust method

among them can be devoted to topological and geometrical measures to characterize statistical properties of a typical stochastic process,  $\mathcal{F}$ , in  $n + D$  dimensions [51, 52, 53]. Here the index  $n$  refers to  $n$ -dependent parameters, and  $D$  is assigned to the  $D$ -independent parameters describing a  $(n + D)$ -Dimensional stochastic process. Local extrema (peak or trough) and crossing points are famous excursion sets in examining the morphological behavior [54, 55, 72, 73, 56, 57, 65]. To clarify the mathematical definition of local extrema (peak and trough) as well as crossing points, we suppose that a continuous-time series<sup>2</sup> plays the role of our input data as  $R(t)$ . The number density of a desired feature is given by:  $n_\diamond \equiv \langle \text{Conditions for having features} \rangle$ , where  $\diamond$  can be replaced by "pk" for peak (local maxima), "tr" for trough (local minima) and "up" for up-crossing (crossing with positive slope). To go further, we define a vector,  $\mathcal{A}$ , containing all relevant quantities necessary for determining underlying features in our time series ( $R(t)$ ). Throughout this paper, we consider  $\alpha(t) \equiv (R(t) - \langle R(t) \rangle) / \sigma_0$ ,  $\eta \equiv (dR(t)/dt) / \sigma_1$  and  $\zeta \equiv (d^2R(t)/dt^2) / \sigma_2$  as normalized variables unless stated otherwise. The various order of spectral indices for a  $(1 + 1)$ -dimensional field read as:

$$\sigma_n^2 \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\omega \omega^{2n} S(\omega) \quad (1)$$

115 where  $S(\omega)$  is the power spectrum of  $R(t)$ . The mathematical description of local extrema number density in the threshold interval,  $[\vartheta, \vartheta + d\vartheta]$  ( $R = \vartheta\sigma_0$ ), becomes:

$$\langle n_{\text{extrema}}(\vartheta) \rangle = \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1 \sigma_0} \langle \delta_D(\alpha(t) - \vartheta) \delta_D(\eta) |\zeta| \rangle \quad (2)$$

here  $\delta_D$  is the Dirac delta function and  $\langle \rangle \equiv \int d^3\mathcal{A} \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ . The  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$  is the joint probability distribution of different components in  $\mathcal{A} : \{\alpha, \eta, \zeta\}$ . The domain of the second derivative for peak and trough are  $\zeta \in (-\infty, 0)$  and  $\zeta \in (0, +\infty)$ , respectively. Another interesting feature considered here is crossing statistics.

---

<sup>2</sup>A well-defined approach to deal with a typical discrete time series is applying smoothing function in context of convolution to construct smoothed series [68].

Precisely, an up-crossing is defined by crossing with a positive slope when we move through time series at a given threshold. The mathematical description of up-crossing is:

$$\langle n_{\text{up}}(\vartheta) \rangle \equiv \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_0} \langle \delta_D(\alpha(t) - \vartheta) \Theta(\eta) |\eta| \rangle \quad (3)$$

A schematic representation of local extrema and up-crossing of a continuous-time series is illustrated in Fig. 1. For a Gaussian series in a stationary regime, the analytic form of peak (trough) number density in the threshold interval, 120  $[\vartheta, \vartheta + d\vartheta]$ , becomes [56, 57]:

$$\langle n_{\text{pk}}(\vartheta) \rangle = \frac{\sigma_1 \vartheta e^{-\frac{\vartheta^2}{2}}}{4\pi\sigma_0^2} \left( 1 + \operatorname{erf} \left[ \frac{\Gamma\vartheta}{\sqrt{2(1-\Gamma^2)}} \right] \right) + \frac{\Gamma e^{-\frac{\vartheta^2}{2(1-\Gamma^2)}}}{4\pi^{3/2}\sigma_1\gamma^2} \sqrt{2(1-\Gamma^2)} \quad (4)$$

where  $\Gamma \equiv \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_0\sigma_2}$  and  $\gamma \equiv \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}$  [57]. Also, the number density of up-crossing for a Gaussian time series in a stationary regime reads as:

$$\langle n_{\text{up}}(\vartheta) \rangle = \frac{\sigma_1}{2\pi\sigma_0} e^{-\vartheta^2/2} \quad (5)$$

To achieve the number density above a threshold, the Dirac delta function is replaced by  $\Theta(R(t) - \vartheta\sigma_0)$  ( $\Theta$  is the Heaviside function). Fig. 2 illustrates the theoretical and numerical values of the number density of peak (panel a) and up-crossing (panel b) for a Gaussian white noise. The extension of number density of local extrema for weakly non-Gaussian processes can be found in [84]. Our purpose in this paper is to go beyond one-point statistics. Accordingly, we can investigate more complementary statistical properties encoded in n-joint probability distribution functions. Two-point statistics, which is a trivial extension, is capable of quantifying the clustering notion of arbitrary features such as local extrema and crossing statistics. In the framework of the Two-Point Correlation Function (TPCF), two relevant measures can be considered to assess clustering:

- i) the weighted TPCF dealing with the autocorrelation;
- ii) the unweighted TPCF, which estimates the excess probability of finding a pair of features by imposing proper conditions for a given time separation [70, 71, 72, 73, 56, 57, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79]. The clustering of local extrema






Figure 2: Panel (a) and (b) correspond to the number density of peak and the number density of up-crossing as a function of  $\vartheta$ , respectively for a Gaussian white noise. The solid line is associated with the theoretical prediction.

and crossing features separated by  $\tau$  in a stationary time series at a threshold is written as:

$$\xi_{\diamond-\diamond}(\tau; \vartheta) \equiv \frac{\langle n_{\diamond}(t + \tau; \vartheta) n_{\diamond}(t; \vartheta) \rangle}{\langle n_{\diamond}(t; \vartheta) \rangle \langle n_{\diamond}(t + \tau; \vartheta) \rangle} - 1 \quad (6)$$

in other words, the  $\xi$  represents the excess probability of finding feature pairs, and  $\xi + 1$  corresponds to the pair correlation function. We expect that for a completely random process,  $\xi_{\diamond-\diamond}(\tau; \vartheta) = 0$ . The mentioned expectation is also  
 125 retrieved for general series for  $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ , where the correlation to be diminished. On the other hand, for small  $\tau$ , particularly for  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ , one can not statistically find pairs of desired features separated by  $\tau$ , consequently  $\xi_{\diamond-\diamond}(\tau \rightarrow 0; \vartheta) \rightarrow -1$ . Depending on the statistical properties of underlying data sets, the  $\xi_{\diamond-\diamond}(\tau; \vartheta)$  illustrates different behavior for  $0 < \tau < \infty$ , causing discrimination between  
 130 different processes using such clustering measure. In other words,  $\xi_{\diamond-\diamond}(\tau; \vartheta)$  is the severity of accumulation and dissociation of "pk", "tr" and "up", compared to completely stochastic fields. The higher value of  $\xi_{\diamond-\diamond}$  is essentially the higher value of clustering encoded in the data when we look for the existence of desired features (e.g., peaks, troughs, and up-crossing). The time scale associated with  
 135 the maximum value of  $\xi$  is so-called pair correlation characteristic time scale ( $\tau_c$ ). Mentioned characteristics scale has a long history in examining an interacting system in the context of cluster expansion method [85, 86]. Mentioned time scale shows the statistical time interval for which the excess probability of finding feature pairs to be maximized, and it is useful to manage the crisis from the  
 140 perspective of statistical physics.

The generalization of mentioned unweighted TPCF to incorporate two different features in one series or even two different data sets yields the unweighted two-point cross-correlation function (TPXF). This TPXF quantifies cross-correlation and provides more complete statistical descriptions.

145 Throughout this paper, we rely on the numerical computation of unweighted TPCF and TPXF of our data sets. To avoid the finite size effect and reduce probable spurious numerical results, some robust numerical estimators for determining  $\xi_{\diamond-\diamond}(\tau; \vartheta)$  are introduced as follows:

$$\xi_{\diamond-\diamond}^N(\tau; \vartheta) = \left( \frac{D_{\diamond}(t; \vartheta)D_{\diamond}(t + \tau; \vartheta)}{R_{\diamond}(t; \vartheta)R_{\diamond}(t + \tau; \vartheta)} \right) \frac{N_R^{\diamond}(N_R^{\diamond} - 1)}{N_D^{\diamond}(N_D^{\diamond} - 1)} - 1 \quad (7)$$

$$\xi_{\diamond-\diamond}^H(\tau; \vartheta) = \frac{R_{\diamond}(t; \vartheta)R_{\diamond}(t + \tau; \vartheta)D_{\diamond}(t; \vartheta)D_{\diamond}(t + \tau; \vartheta)}{[D_{\diamond}(t; \vartheta)R_{\diamond}(t + \tau; \vartheta)]^2} - 1 \quad (8)$$

150

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{\diamond-\diamond}^{LS}(\tau; \vartheta) &= \left( \frac{D_{\diamond}(t; \vartheta)D_{\diamond}(t + \tau; \vartheta)}{R_{\diamond}(t; \vartheta)R_{\diamond}(t + \tau; \vartheta)} \right) \frac{N_R^{\diamond}(N_R^{\diamond} - 1)}{N_D^{\diamond}(N_D^{\diamond} - 1)} \\ &\quad - \left( \frac{D_{\diamond}(t; \vartheta)R_{\diamond}(t + \tau; \vartheta)}{R_{\diamond}(t; \vartheta)R_{\diamond}(t + \tau; \vartheta)} \right) \frac{N_R^{\diamond}(N_R^{\diamond} - 1)}{N_D^{\diamond}N_R^{\diamond}} + 1 \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The  $\xi_{\diamond-\diamond}^N$  is called the "natural estimator" [87], and the  $\xi_{\diamond-\diamond}^H$  has been introduced by [75], while the  $\xi_{\diamond-\diamond}^{LS}$  is defined by [87]. In the above equation,  $D_{\diamond}(t; \vartheta)D_{\diamond}(t + \tau; \vartheta)$  and  $R_{\diamond}(t; \vartheta)R_{\diamond}(t + \tau; \vartheta)$  show the number of desired feature pairs in the data and the corresponding random sets, respectively. In the above equations,  $N_D^{\diamond}$  and  $N_R^{\diamond}$  are respectively the total numbers of local extrema or up-crossing in the data and random sets. In this paper, the given results have been computed by  $\xi_{\diamond-\diamond}^N$ , however, we checked other estimators and found that corresponding results are consistent together. We will use the above estimator and compute the clustering of peaks above a given threshold, troughs below a threshold, and up-crossing at the threshold.

160

## 2.2. Hierarchical Clustering

Hierarchical clustering and dendrograms are powerful mathematical and pictorial tools for arranging elements (observations) and visualizing the underlying information in a set of various measurements. Here, we will give a brief explanation of the hierarchical clustering applied to our constructed matrices, whose elements are computed by the clustering notion based on the unweighted TPXF. To assess mentioned matrices, we use an agglomerative hierarchical clustering procedure (AHC). AHC initially assigns the  $n$  nodes to the  $n$  distinct clusters

and merges each of the two clusters that are closest to each other determined by  $(C_i, C_j) = \arg \min_{(C_k, C_l)} d(C_k, C_l)$ , and iterates this procedure of fusion until one cluster is left which contains all of the nodes. There are several ways to define  $d$  leading to different implementations of the AHC method [88]. Among various schemes, we consider Ward’s minimum variance method [89]. Taking into account other routines for initiating AHC can however influence the final dendrogram depending on the similarity measures and distance update formulas. The inter-cluster similarity between clusters  $A$  and  $B$  that Ward suggested is defined by [90]:

$$d(A, B) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2|A||B|}{|A| + |B|}} \cdot \|\bar{c}_A - \bar{c}_B\|_2 \quad (10)$$

where  $\bar{c}_\diamond$  indicates the centroid of a typical cluster denoted by  $\diamond$  [90]. The distance update formula which is denoted by the distance between cluster  $K$  and the newly formed  $I \cup J$  cluster is defined by:

$$d(I \cup J, K) = \sqrt{\frac{(n_I + n_K) d(I, K) + (n_J + n_K) d(J, K) - n_K d(I, J)}{n_I + n_J + n_K}} \quad (11)$$

which is a specification of the Lance-Williams formula proposed by Lance G.N and Williams W.T [91] for updating the cluster dissimilarities after each iteration and the formation of new clusters. In Eq. (11)  $I$  and  $J$  are two clusters merged into one new cluster, and  $K$  is any other cluster. Also,  $n_I$ ,  $n_J$ , and  $n_K$  are the number of the elements composing  $I$ ,  $J$ , and  $K$  respectively. The result of this method is a dendrogram visualizing a bottom-up hierarchy of nodes (i.e., markets) representing the similarity of markets’ behavior in terms of clustering of critical points.

### 170 3. Data description

In this paper, we use the adjusted market capitalization stock market indices of 47 markets, classified into developed, emerging, and frontier markets. Mentioned data sets were constructed by Morgan Stanley Capital International (MSCI) and downloaded from DataStream (Eikon dataset). Our series per index are daily index prices over the period January 1995 to December 2019,




Figure 3: Time evolution of some daily stock market indices.

corresponding to 6511 observations <sup>3</sup> (for more details on the different classification of our data sets see [6]). To illustrate the general behavior of data sets, we depict the time evolution of some markets in Fig. 3.

#### 4. Implementation of Clustering on the Stock Indices

180 In this section, we apply the unweighted TPCF of local extrema and up-crossing features on the Stock data sets. To remove the well-known trend, we construct the log-return of series. We consider two approaches as follows:

i) The so-called global approach, in which, the time series for the whole time interval is considered. Our return data set is denoted by  $R^\ell(k)$ , where  $\ell$  shows  
 185 the label of the stock market running from 1 to 47 and  $k$  represents the index of time.

ii) While in the second part, we divide each time series into non-overlapping segments containing 100 observed indices, known as the partitioning approach.

---

<sup>3</sup><http://www.msci.com>

For this part, our data sets is represented by  $R_i^\ell(k)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 65$  and  $k =$   
190  $1, \dots, 100$ .

#### 4.1. Global approach

For the global approach, we calculate the one-point statistics and unweighted  
TPCF of local maxima and up-crossings for the whole time interval of our time  
series starting from January 1995 to December 2019. There have been several  
195 major incidents during this period, such as the 1997 East Asian Financial Crisis,  
2008 Global Financial Crisis, etc., while the calculating  $\xi_{\diamond-\diamond}(\tau, \vartheta)$  averages out  
many of these incidents. Nonetheless, since this data set consists of a very large  
number of markets with different economic structures, there is still valuable  
information in calculating these quantities, as they shed light on how the global  
200 economy behaves in a long run and how is the backbone of global financial  
markets.

##### 4.1.1. Number density of peaks and crossings

Local maxima and crossing can be considered as the excursion sets. The  
former belongs to the critical point in a stochastic field and contains information  
205 regarding when and how a market's index rises and falls. Before going further,  
we display the  $\langle n_{\text{pk}} \rangle$  and  $\langle n_{\text{up}} \rangle$  for some typical stock data sets in Figs 4-7.  
Assessing the statistics of local extrema verifies that all markets studied in this  
paper for the given time interval have an excess value of local extrema density  
at a low threshold (almost around the mean value) with respect to the Gaussian  
210 theory. These results imply that the stock markets almost experience more ups  
and downs around mean value ( $\vartheta \sim 0$ ) when they are evaluated for a long period.  
To highlight the meaning of up-crossing in its one-point statistics, we refer to  
research done by Jafari et. al., [92]. The authors argued that the inverse of  
the number density of crossing statistics is a characteristic time interval, within  
215 this time, the up-crossing will be observed again, statistically. In addition, the  
amount of up-crossing can be a measure to quantify the degree of development  
of the market [92]. The up-crossing number density represented in Figs. 4-7

demonstrate lake value at low threshold. It is worth mentioning that due to additional constraints accounted in local extrema compared to the up-crossing, the sensitivity of peak number density to capture the deviation from Gaussian theory is higher than up-crossing measure. Such deviations are observed for all markets and represents a universal property.

#### 4.1.2. Unweighted TPC(X)F of Local extrema and crossing

Now we go beyond the one-point statistics and try to examine the clustering of excursion sets. To this end, we use the natural estimator (Eq. (7)) to compute the unweighted TPCF of local maxima in our daily data set. Although, we have verified that considering other estimators indicated by Eqs. (8) and (9) yields consistent results. We also remove the mean value and transform the data to unit variance. To obtain statistically significant results, the peaks above a threshold have been considered throughout this paper. Increasing the value of the threshold leads to having fewer points for computing unweighted TPCF, and the results have less statistical significance. The excess probability of finding a pair of local extrema happened with a given time separation for a completely random case essentially vanishes and irrespective of the statistical nature of the underlying series, we have  $\xi_{\text{pk-pk}}^{\ell\ell}(\tau \rightarrow 0) = -1$ , which means that for small enough  $\tau$ , the probability of finding a pair of features for auto-correlation goes asymptotically to zero. At the intermediate regime, different behaviors emerge for various processes. In our case, by definition, the  $\xi^{\ell\ell}(\tau = 1\text{Day})$  also equates to  $-1$ , because of data accusing made for daily recording. The unweighted TPXF of peaks, on the contrary, takes any values for a small enough time separation. Figs. 8 - 11 illustrate the  $\xi_{\text{pk-pk}}^{\ell\ell}(\vartheta; \tau)$  (left column) and  $\xi_{\text{up-up}}^{\ell\ell}(\vartheta; \tau)$  (right column) for all data sets. In each plot, the filled circle, triangle and star symbols corresponds to  $\vartheta = 1\sigma_0$ ,  $\vartheta = 0.5\sigma_0$ , and  $\vartheta = 0\sigma_0$ , respectively. By increasing the threshold value, the excess probability of finding the pair of local extrema and crossing features grows, demonstrating a universal behavior and almost the maximum value of clustering statistically achieves during one week. Fig. 12 depicts the  $\xi_{\text{pk-pk}}^{\ell\ell'}(\vartheta; \tau)$  (left column) and  $\xi_{\text{up-up}}^{\ell\ell'}(\vartheta; \tau)$  (right column).




Figure 4: The number density of peaks (left panel) and up-crossing (right panel) versus  $\vartheta$  for Stock indices. The solid lines correspond to the theoretical prediction if the underlying data is Gaussian.






Figure 5: Same as Fig. 4, just for other markets.




Figure 6: Same as Fig. 4, just for other markets.




Figure 7: Same as Fig. 4, just for other markets.

Obviously, for markets located in the same geographical region, the associated unweighted TPXF achieves its maximum value for  $\tau = 0$ , and for markets  
 250 located in different geographical regions, we obtain a retarded impact on the behavior of excursion set clustering quantified by unweighted TPXF.

Our results demonstrated that irrespective of the features considered for computing clustering in the stock markets, the unweighted TPC(X)F resembles almost the random behavior for  $\tau \gtrsim 7$  days, therefore, the local extrema and  
 255 crossing statistics contains relatively short term memory compared to the common weighted TPCF. For excursion sets, besides the value of the underlying series, some additional proper conditions should be satisfied. Consequently, the joint PDF of the value of series accompanying conditions vanishes faster than the marginalized joint PDF over mentioned conditions.

To make our analysis more complete in terms of cross-correlation, we also  
 260 compute  $\xi_{\text{pk-pk}}^{\ell\ell'}(\tau, \vartheta)$  for  $i$ th and  $j$ th Stock markets, where  $\ell, \ell' = 1, \dots, 47$ . Accordingly, we construct a matrix denoted by  $\Psi_{\ell\ell'}^{\text{pk}}(\tau; \vartheta) \equiv \xi_{\text{pk-pk}}^{\ell\ell'}(\tau; \vartheta) + 1$  with size  $47 \times 47$ . Now, we turn to the agglomerative hierarchical clustering procedure to identify the state of the stock market when the unweighted TPXF of the  
 265 underlying series is taken into account. We construct the  $\Psi_{\text{max}}^{\text{pk}}$  matrix whose elements are the maximum value of  $\xi_{\text{pk-pk}}(\vartheta \geq 0\sigma_0; \tau)$ . The upper panel of Fig. 13 shows the AHC results for  $\Psi_{\text{max}}^{\text{pk}}(\vartheta \geq 0\sigma_0)$ . The footprint of the geographical region in making more coherent the stock indices is obviously recognized in this approach. The middle panel of Fig. 13 illustrates the same analysis just  
 270 for  $\Psi_{\text{max}}^{\text{up}}(\vartheta = 0\sigma_0)$ . This geometric feature behaves the same as local maxima. The lower panel of Fig. 13 also displays the cross-analysis of features, namely  $\Psi_{\text{max}}^{\text{pk-tr}}(\vartheta_{\text{pk}} \geq 0\sigma_0; \vartheta_{\text{tr}} \leq 0\sigma_0)$ . This kind of measure also can classify the stocks in some categories in which the impact of the geographical region has considerable influence on the clustering of stock markets. However, looking at Fig. 13,  
 275 more precisely, we obtain that the peak statistics is more capable of capturing blocks distinctively in the matrix identifying different groups. However, various measures, namely excursion and critical sets, find market structures compatibly. In the cross-analysis, the computed matrix is not symmetric by definition, nev-

ertheless, the clustered stocks are almost in agreement with the results given by  
 280 the Peak-Peak method. The imprint of threshold value has been investigated,  
 and almost the same results have been obtained.

To examine the role of time separation in the clustering of excursion sets  
 considered in this paper, we construct the  $\Psi^{\text{pk}}(\tau; \vartheta)$  for  $\tau = 0$ ,  $\tau = 1$  and  $\tau = 2$ .  
 For each  $\tau$ , we also consider three thresholds namely,  $\vartheta \geq [-1, 0, +1]\sigma_0$ . Fig. 14  
 285 displays the AHC implementation on the  $\Psi(\tau; \vartheta)$ . The upper panel corresponds  
 to the  $\Psi^{\text{pk}}(\vartheta \geq 0\sigma_0)$  and from left to right, we set  $\tau = 0$ ,  $\tau = 1$ ,  $\tau = 2$  and  $\tau = 3$   
 days. As we expect, by increasing  $\tau$ , the magnitude of correlation decreases, and  
 consequently, the results of the AHC method become the same as the random  
 matrix. Also, for  $\tau = 1$ , the value of unweighted TPXF for markets located  
 290 in the same region decreases, while this value for markets in different regions  
 behaves almost in the opposite way (see Fig. 12). The middle panel of Fig.  
 14 illustrates  $\Psi^{\text{up}}(\vartheta = 0\sigma_0)$ . The behavior of the mentioned measure is almost  
 the same as peak statistics, however, the robustness of recognizing structures  
 by the AHC method in the context of up-crossing statistics is less than peak  
 295 statistics. The time dependency of  $\Psi^{\text{pk-tr}}(\vartheta_{\text{pk}} \geq 0\sigma_0; \vartheta_{\text{tr}} \leq 0\sigma_0)$  is shown in  
 the lower panel of Fig. 14. The cross-correlation goes to zero rapidly, leading  
 to a decrease in the capability of structure finding in the AHC approach. We  
 also checked the threshold dependency, and the same results were derived.

#### 4.2. Partitioning approach

In the previous section, we focused on the clustering notion for the entire time  
 interval, now we evaluate the clustering of excursion sets for the non-overlapping  
 segments. Accordingly, we expect to capture how markets evolve at different  
 time intervals. More precisely, we construct non-overlapping windows with a  
 size 100 days. Mentioned segmentation constructs 65 sets of time series for  
 each stock market indices. Now, we compute the maximum value of  $\xi_{\text{pk-pk}}^{\ell\ell'}(i)$   
 and  $\xi_{\text{up-up}}^{\ell\ell'}(i)$  at threshold  $\vartheta = 0\sigma_0$  and finally, we achieve 65 matrices for  
 each mentioned measures. By implementing the singular value decomposition  
 method, the corresponding eigenvalue spectrum is computed for each measure




Figure 8: The unweighted TPCF of the peak above a threshold (left panel) and up-crossing at the threshold (right panel) for stock indices. The filled circle corresponds to  $\vartheta = +1\sigma$ , the filled triangle shows the  $\vartheta = 0.5\sigma$  and the filled star is associated with  $\vartheta = 0\sigma$ .




Figure 9: Same as Fig. 8 just for other markets.




Figure 10: Same as Fig. 28, just for other markets.






Figure 11: Same as Fig. 25 just for other markets.




Figure 12: The unweighted TPCF of the peak above a threshold (left panel) and up-crossing at the threshold (right panel) for stock indices. This plot illustrates the behavior of cross-correlation between some typical indices.

and each segment. Inspired by the similarity measure defined in [21], we make the following matrix:

$$\Delta_{ij}^{\diamond} \equiv |\lambda_{\max}^{\diamond}(i) - \lambda_{\max}^{\diamond}(j)| \quad (12)$$

300 where  $\diamond$  can be replaced by “pk-pk” and “up-up”. The  $\lambda_{\max}$  is the maximum eigenvalue of the matrix constructed for the maximum value of unweighted TPCF of local maxima and up-crossing measures. Fig. 15 illustrates the similarity measures for peak (upper panel) and up-crossing (lower panel). There are several epochs in these heatmaps that we can observe a drastic difference  
305 in similarity with the beginning period used in this research when the global market experienced an almost relatively stable period. According to the prior information given in the literature, one can conclude that observed dissimilarities coincide with well-known financial crises. Precisely, the 1997 Asian financial crisis, 1998 Russian financial crisis, and 1998-1999 Brazilian financial crisis can  
310 be noticed. We can also observe the bursting of the dot-com bubble in late 2002. The 2008 Global financial crisis is where we see the highest level of dissimilarity between stable periods, which is evidence of the severity of this crisis compared to other crises that the global market has ever encountered. Going further, we




Figure 13: AHC implementation on the  $\Psi_{\max}^{\text{pk}} \equiv \xi_{\text{pk-pk}}^{\max}(\vartheta \geq 0\sigma_0) + 1$  (upper panel),  $\Psi_{\max}^{\text{up}} \equiv \xi_{\text{up-up}}^{\max}(\vartheta = 0\sigma_0) + 1$  (middle panel), and  $\Psi_{\max}^{\text{pk-tr}} \equiv \xi_{\text{pk-tr}}^{\max}(\vartheta_{\text{pk}} \geq 0\sigma_0; \vartheta_{\text{tr}} \leq 0\sigma_0) + 1$  (lower panel).




Figure 14: AHC implementation on the  $\Psi^{\text{pk}}(\vartheta \geq 0\sigma_0)$  (upper panels),  $\Psi^{\text{up}}(\vartheta = 0\sigma_0)$  (middle panels), and  $\Psi^{\text{pk-tr}}(\vartheta_{\text{pk}} \geq 0\sigma_0; \vartheta_{\text{tr}} \leq 0\sigma_0)$  (lower panels). Density plots in each column from left to right correspond to  $\tau = 0$  Days,  $\tau = 1$  Day,  $\tau = 2$  Days, and  $\tau = 3$  Days, respectively.

can notice the Euro debt crisis and the 2015-2016 stock market sell-off.

315 The main achievement of our approach is in the fact that during the periods  
of stability, the global market has a distinct so-called collective behavior in terms  
of the clustering of local maxima as well as up-crossing in associated return  
series. However, this so-called collective behavior changes drastically during  
the epoch of crisis. We also repeated the above computation for the Pearson  
320 correlation coefficient measure, and the same results have been obtained but  
the distinguishability of existent crises based on excursion sets is almost higher  
than that of obtained by the Pearson correlation coefficient measure, which is  
one of advantages of considering excursion sets.

## 5. Summary and Conclusion

325 In this study, we employ daily price data from 47 international stock markets  
and analyze them using the excursion set theory. As the advantage of the num-  
ber density of famous geometrical measures estimation, theoretically, we first  
gave a brief explanation of theoretical prediction for a Gaussian stochastic series  
by using the definition of spectral indices. Going beyond the one-point statistics,  
330 the excess probability of finding the pair of critical sets, the so-called clustering,  
has been defined. To mitigate the boundary effect in computing mentioned clus-  
tering measure, three robust estimators have been considered. We also turned  
to the agglomerative hierarchical clustering procedure and implemented it on  
the matrices whose elements have been computed based on un-weighted TPCF  
335 of excursion sets. To make more sense of the behavior of underlying markets, we  
took the so-called global approach focusing on the entire time interval of given  
returns, while on the other hand, the partitioning method utilizes dividing the  
series into non-overlapping segments. Accordingly, we examined the temporal  
behavior of markets.

340 The number density of local extrema for all data sets used in this study  
indicated a deviation at the low threshold (almost around the mean value)  
with respect to the Gaussian theory. This behavior is almost universal for all

data sets considered in this paper. This property implies that markets almost experience more ups and downs when the level of returns becomes less than  
345 average fluctuation computed for a long period. On the contrary, for the  $\vartheta \gtrsim 0$ , a deficit number density of local extrema has been recognized (the left panels of Figs. 4-7). Applying the up-crossing condition demonstrated an almost deficiency for the amount of up-crossing at  $\vartheta \lesssim 0$  compared to the expected value for the Gaussian series. Based on the dimensional analysis, the inverse  
350 of  $\langle n_{\text{up}}(\vartheta) \rangle$  is proportional to a characteristic time scale for crossing the given threshold, statistically. These behaviors demonstrated the universal property of stock indices studied in this paper.

The notion of clustering has been utilized in its various meaning in analyzing stochastic fields. Based on the excess probability of finding pairs of the  
355 desired feature, we carried out the natural estimator (Eq. (7)) for computing the un-weighted TPC(X)F of local extrema and up-crossing in stock indices. By increasing the threshold value, the  $\xi_{\text{pk-pk}}(\vartheta; \tau)$  and  $\xi_{\text{up-up}}(\vartheta; \tau)$  grow and statistically achieve their maximum value during one week (Figs. 8 - 11). The unweighted TPC(X)F is almost similar to the random case for  $\tau \gtrsim 7$  days. Computing the  $\xi_{\text{pk-pk}}^{\ell\ell'}$  for  $\ell \neq \ell'$  (unweighted TPXF) enables us to assess the  
360 statistical synchronization between different markets (Fig. 12). The unweighted TPXF for those markets located in the same geographical region takes its maximum value, while for other pairs depending on the amount of mutual impact, a retarded behavior can be found.

We also constructed matrices containing  $\Psi_{\text{max}}^{\text{pk}}$ ,  $\Psi_{\text{max}}^{\text{up}}$ , and  $\Psi_{\text{max}}^{\text{pk-tr}}$  for dif-  
365 ferent thresholds and time separations with sizes  $47 \times 47$ . By applying the AHC method on mentioned constructed matrices, we derived the clustering of stock markets when the whole time interval is taken into account (Figs. 13 and 14). Our results indicated in Fig. 13 recognized some clusters considerably  
370 influenced by geographical region. Interestingly, the peak statistics was more powerful in identifying the different groups. The time separation impact on clustering based on AHC method fed by excursion sets has been examined in Fig. 14 for  $\tau = 0, 1, 2$  days. The best case to recognize clusters happened for

$\tau = 0$  and the crossing measure is weaker than peak statistics for such purpose  
375 when  $\tau$  to be increased.

For the partitioning analysis, we divided our data set into the non-overlapping  
windows and repeated the calculation of excess probability for each resulting  
window. Using a similarity measure, we investigated the changes in the corre-  
lation structure of the international market and looked for differences between  
380 times of stability and crisis. Our results revealed the intense effect of geograph-  
ical region on how markets behave (i.e., the co-occurrence of critical points).  
In other words, the partitioning approach enables us to achieve a better un-  
derstanding of the market dynamics. The overall structure of the unweighted  
TPC(X)F of markets experiencing a crisis varies radically compared to the pe-  
385 riods of stability. This means, in addition to the drastic and observable changes  
in market value, a fundamental change occurs in the market dynamics, which  
is nearly impossible to detect without such sophisticated statistics (Fig. 15).

Final remarks are that utilizing the topological data analysis under the ban-  
390 ner of the homology group [93, 94] in addition to excursion sets [62] enables us  
to make our statistical evaluation of Stock markets more complete and we will  
leave them for future studies.

## References

## References

- 395 [1] R. N. Mantegna, Hierarchical structure in financial markets, *The European  
Physical Journal B-Condensed Matter and Complex Systems* 11 (1) (1999)  
193–197.
- [2] R. N. Mantegna, H. E. Stanley, *Introduction to econophysics: correlations  
and complexity in finance*, Cambridge university press, 1999.
- 400 [3] J. Voit, *The statistical mechanics of financial markets*, Springer Science &  
Business Media, 2005.




Figure 15: The matrix representation of  $|\lambda_{\max}^{\diamond}(i) - \lambda_{\max}^{\diamond}(j)|$ . The  $\lambda^{\diamond}$  is the eigenvalue of the maximum value of unweighted TPCF of peak and up-crossing at threshold  $\vartheta = 0\sigma_0$  computed for all available data sets. The upper panel corresponds to peak and the lower panel is associated with up-crossing.



- [4] P. Christoffersen, Elements of financial risk management, Academic press, 2012.
- [5] A. Chakraborti, I. M. Toke, M. Patriarca, F. Abergel, Econophysics review: I. empirical facts, Quantitative Finance 11 (7) (2011) 991–1012.
- 405 [6] P. Ferreira, A. Dionísio, S. Movahed, Assessment of 48 stock markets using adaptive multifractal approach, Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications 486 (2017) 730–750.
- [7] A. Pagan, The econometrics of financial markets, Journal of empirical finance 3 (1) (1996) 15–102.
- 410 [8] G. Marti, F. Nielsen, M. Bińkowski, P. Donnat, A review of two decades of correlations, hierarchies, networks and clustering in financial markets, Progress in Information Geometry (2021) 245–274.
- [9] F. Wen, X. Gong, S. Cai, Forecasting the volatility of crude oil futures using har-type models with structural breaks, Energy Economics 59 (2016) 400–413.
- 415 [10] X. Gong, B. Lin, Forecasting the good and bad uncertainties of crude oil prices using a har framework, Energy Economics 67 (2017) 315–327.
- [11] X. Gong, B. Lin, The incremental information content of investor fear gauge for volatility forecasting in the crude oil futures market, Energy Economics 74 (2018) 370–386.
- 420 [12] X. Gong, B. Lin, Structural breaks and volatility forecasting in the copper futures market, Journal of Futures Markets 38 (3) (2018) 290–339.
- [13] Z. He, L. He, F. Wen, Risk compensation and market returns: The role of investor sentiment in the stock market, Emerging Markets Finance and Trade 55 (3) (2019) 704–718.
- 425

- [14] X. Yang, S. Wen, X. Zhao, C. Huang, Systemic importance of financial institutions: A complex network perspective, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* 545 (2020) 123448.
- 430 [15] S. Drożdż, F. Grümmer, F. Ruf, J. Speth, Towards identifying the world stock market cross-correlations: Dax versus dow jones, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* 294 (1-2) (2001) 226–234.
- [16] R. N. Mantegna, Hierarchical structure in financial markets, *The European Physical Journal B-Condensed Matter and Complex Systems* 11 (1) (1999) 193–197.
- 435 [17] M. Eryiğit, R. Eryiğit, Network structure of cross-correlations among the world market indices, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* 388 (17) (2009) 3551–3562.
- [18] F. X. Diebold, K. Yılmaz, On the network topology of variance decompositions: Measuring the connectedness of financial firms, *Journal of econometrics* 182 (1) (2014) 119–134.
- 440 [19] A. Chakraborty, S. Easwaran, S. Sinha, Uncovering the hierarchical structure of the international forex market by using similarity metric between the fluctuation distributions of currencies, arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.02482.
- 445 [20] J.-B. Zhang, Y.-C. Gao, S.-M. Cai, The hierarchical structure of stock market in times of global financial crisis, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* 542 (2020) 123452.
- [21] M. C. Münnix, T. Shimada, R. Schäfer, F. Leyvraz, T. H. Seligman, T. Guhr, H. E. Stanley, Identifying states of a financial market, *Scientific reports* 2 (2012) 644.
- 450 [22] H. Jang, W. Sul, The asian financial crisis and the co-movement of asian stock markets, *Journal of Asian Economics* 13 (1) (2002) 94–104.

- [23] T. F. Cooley, V. Quadrini, Financial markets and firm dynamics, *American economic review* 91 (5) (2001) 1286–1310.
- 455 [24] L. S. Junior, I. D. P. Franca, Correlation of financial markets in times of crisis, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* 391 (1-2) (2012) 187–208.
- [25] E. P. Wigner, Characteristic vectors of bordered matrices with infinite dimensions, *Annals of Mathematics* 62 (3) (1955) 548–564.
- 460 URL <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1970079>
- [26] E. P. Wigner, On the distribution of the roots of certain symmetric matrices, *Annals of Mathematics* 67 (2) (1958) 325–327.
- URL <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1970008>
- [27] Y. Yuan, X.-t. Zhuang, X. Jin, Measuring multifractality of stock price fluctuation using multifractal detrended fluctuation analysis, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* 388 (11) (2009) 2189–2197.
- 465
- [28] T. W. Liao, Clustering of time series data-a survey, *Pattern recognition* 38 (11) (2005) 1857–1874.
- [29] X.-Y. Wu, Z.-G. Zheng, Hierarchical cluster-tendency analysis of the group structure in the foreign exchange market, *Frontiers of Physics* 8 (4) (2013) 451–460.
- 470
- [30] S. Lahmiri, Clustering of casablanca stock market based on hurst exponent estimates, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* 456 (2016) 310–318.
- [31] J. Jiang, W. Li, X. Cai, Cluster behavior of a simple model in financial markets, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* 387 (2-3) (2008) 528–536.
- 475
- [32] S. Lahmiri, G. S. Uddin, S. Bekiros, Clustering of short and long-term co-movements in international financial and commodity markets in wavelet

- 480 domain, *Physica A: statistical mechanics and its applications* 486 (2017)  
947–955.
- [33] F. Huang, P. Gao, Y. Wang, Comparison of prim and kruskal on shanghai  
and shenzhen 300 index hierarchical structure tree, in: *2009 International  
Conference on Web Information Systems and Mining*, IEEE, 2009, pp. 237–  
485 241.
- [34] L. Zhao, G.-J. Wang, M. Wang, W. Bao, W. Li, H. E. Stanley, Stock market  
as temporal network, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*  
506 (2018) 1104–1112.
- [35] M. Billio, M. Getmansky, A. W. Lo, L. Pelizzon, Econometric measures  
490 of connectedness and systemic risk in the finance and insurance sectors,  
*Journal of financial economics* 104 (3) (2012) 535–559.
- [36] D. Y. Kenett, M. Tumminello, A. Madi, G. Gur-Gershgoren, R. N. Man-  
tegna, E. Ben-Jacob, Dominating clasp of the financial sector revealed by  
partial correlation analysis of the stock market, *PloS one* 5 (12) (2010)  
495 e15032.
- [37] C.-W. Su, Non-linear causality between the stock and real estate markets of  
western european countries: Evidence from rank tests, *Economic Modelling*  
28 (3) (2011) 845–851.
- [38] G.-J. Wang, C. Xie, P. Zhang, F. Han, S. Chen, Dynamics of foreign ex-  
500 change networks: a time-varying copula approach, *Discrete Dynamics in  
Nature and Society* 2014.
- [39] P. Fiedor, Information-theoretic approach to lead-lag effect on financial  
markets, *The European Physical Journal B* 87 (8) (2014) 1–9.
- [40] J. Rocchi, E. Y. L. Tsui, D. Saad, Emerging interdependence between stock  
505 values during financial crashes, *Plos one* 12 (5) (2017) e0176764.

- [41] D. Y. Kenett, Y. Shapira, A. Madi, S. Bransburg-Zabary, G. Gurgershoren, E. Ben-Jacob, Dynamics of stock market correlations., *AUCO Czech Economic Review* 4 (3).
- [42] P. Fiedor, Networks in financial markets based on the mutual information rate, *Physical Review E* 89 (5) (2014) 052801.
- [43] E. Baitinger, J. Papenbrock, Interconnectedness risk and active portfolio management: the information-theoretic perspective, Available at SSRN 2909839.
- [44] A. Barbi, G. Prativiera, Nonlinear dependencies on brazilian equity network from mutual information minimum spanning trees, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* 523 (2019) 876–885.
- [45] Y. K. Goh, H. M. Hasim, C. G. Antonopoulos, Inference of financial networks using the normalised mutual information rate, *PloS one* 13 (2) (2018) e0192160.
- [46] X. Guo, H. Zhang, T. Tian, Development of stock correlation networks using mutual information and financial big data, *PloS one* 13 (4) (2018) e0195941.
- [47] G. Marti, F. Nielsen, P. Donnat, Optimal copula transport for clustering multivariate time series, in: *2016 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, IEEE, 2016, pp. 2379–2383.
- [48] F. Durante, R. Pappada, Cluster analysis of time series via kendall distribution, in: *Strengthening Links Between Data Analysis and Soft Computing*, Springer, 2015, pp. 209–216.
- [49] E. C. Brechmann, Hierarchical kendall copulas and the modeling of systemic and operational risk, Ph.D. thesis, Technische Universität München (2013).

- [50] H. Lohre, C. Rother, K. A. Schäfer, Hierarchical risk parity: Accounting for tail dependencies in multi-asset multi-factor allocations, *Machine Learning for Asset Management: New Developments and Financial Applications* (2020) 329–368.
- [51] R. Adler, The geometry of random fields, *The Geometry of Random Fields*, Chichester: Wiley, 1981.
- [52] R. Adler, J. E. Taylor, Topological Complexity of Smooth Random Functions: École D’Été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XXXIX-2009, Springer Science & Business Media, 2011.
- [53] R. J. Adler, O. Bobrowski, M. S. Borman, E. Subag, S. Weinberger, et al., Persistent homology for random fields and complexes, in: *Borrowing strength: theory powering applications—a Festschrift for Lawrence D. Brown*, Institute of Mathematical Statistics, 2010, pp. 124–143.
- [54] S. O. Rice, Mathematical analysis of random noise, *Bell Labs Technical Journal* 23 (3) (1944) 282–332.
- [55] S. O. Rice, Mathematical analysis of random noise, *The Bell System Technical Journal* 24 (1) (1945) 46–156.
- [56] J. M. Bardeen, J. R. Bond, N. Kaiser, A. S. Szalay, The Statistics of Peaks of Gaussian Random Fields, *Astrophys. J.* 304 (1986) 15–61. doi : 10.1086/164143.
- [57] J. Bond, G. Efstathiou, The statistics of cosmic background radiation fluctuations, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 226 (3) (1987) 655–687.
- [58] B. S. Ryden, The area of isodensity contours as a measure of large-scale structure, *The Astrophysical Journal* 333 (1988) L41–L44.
- [59] B. S. Ryden, A. L. Melott, D. A. Craig, J. R. Gott III, D. H. Weinberg, R. J. Scherrer, S. P. Bhavsar, J. M. Miller, The area of isodensity contours

- in cosmological models and galaxy surveys, *The Astrophysical Journal* 340  
560 (1989) 647–660.
- [60] T. Matsubara, Statistics of isodensity contours in redshift space, *The Astrophysical Journal* 457 (1996) 13.
- [61] P. H. Brill, A brief outline of the level crossing method in stochastic models, *CORS Bulletin* 34 (4) (2000) 9–21.
- 565 [62] T. Matsubara, Statistics of smoothed cosmic fields in perturbation theory. i. formulation and useful formulae in second-order perturbation theory, *The Astrophysical Journal* 584 (1) (2003) 1.
- [63] F. Shahbazi, S. Sobhanian, M. R. R. Tabar, S. Khorram, G. Frootan, H. Zahed, Level crossing analysis of growing surfaces, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* 36 (10) (2003) 2517.  
570
- [64] M. S. Movahed, S. Khosravi, Level crossing analysis of cosmic microwave background radiation: a method for detecting cosmic strings, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* 2011 (03) (2011) 012.
- [65] M. Ghasemi Nezhadhighi, S. Movahed, T. Yasseri, S. M. Vaez Allaei,  
575 Characterization of the anisotropy of rough surfaces: Crossing statistics, *Journal of Applied Physics* 122 (8) (2017) 085302.
- [66] H. Hadwiger, *Vorlesungen über inhalt, Oberfläche und isoperimetrie*, Vol. 93, Springer-Verlag, 2013.
- [67] A. Vafaei Sadr, S. Movahed, Clustering of local extrema in planck cmb  
580 maps, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 503 (1) (2021) 815–829.
- [68] T. Matsubara, Statistics of smoothed cosmic fields in perturbation theory. i. formulation and useful formulae in second-order perturbation theory, *The Astrophysical Journal* 584 (1) (2003) 1.

- 585 [69] W. R. Young, A. J. Roberts, G. Stuhne, Reproductive pair correlations and the clustering of organisms, *Nature* 412 (6844) (2001) 328–331.
- [70] P. J. E. Peebles, *The large-scale structure of the universe*, Princeton university press, 1980.
- [71] N. Kaiser, On the spatial correlations of abell clusters, *The Astrophysical Journal* 284 (1984) L9–L12.
- 590 [72] J. Peacock, A. F. Heavens, The statistics of maxima in primordial density perturbations, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 217 (4) (1985) 805–820.
- [73] S. Lumsden, A. Heavens, J. Peacock, The clustering of peaks in a random gaussian field, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 238 (2) 595 (1989) 293–318.
- [74] M. Davis, P. Peebles, A survey of galaxy redshifts. v-the two-point position and velocity correlations, *The Astrophysical Journal* 267 (1983) 465–482.
- [75] A. Hamilton, Toward better ways to measure the galaxy correlation function, *The Astrophysical Journal* 417 (1993) 19.
- 600 [76] I. Szapudi, A. S. Szalay, A new class of estimators for the n-point correlations, *The Astrophysical Journal Letters* 494 (1) (1998) L41.
- [77] P. C. Hewett, The estimation of galaxy angular correlation functions, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 201 (4) (1982) 867–883.
- 605 [78] S. D. Landy, A. S. Szalay, Bias and variance of angular correlation functions, *The Astrophysical Journal* 412 (1993) 64–71.
- [79] A. Marcos-Caballero, R. Fernández-Cobos, E. Martínez-González, P. Vielva, The shape of CMB temperature and polarization peaks on the sphere, *JCAP* 04 (2016) 058. [arXiv:1512.07412](https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.07412), [doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2016/04/058](https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2016/04/058).
- 610



- [80] S. Lumsden, A. Heavens, J. Peacock, The clustering of peaks in a random gaussian field, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 238 (2) (1989) 293–318.
- 615 [81] A. Rodriguez, A. Laio, Clustering by fast search and find of density peaks, *Science* 344 (6191) (2014) 1492–1496.
- [82] P. Arabie, G. De Soete, *Clustering and classification*, World Scientific, 1996.
- [83] R. Tabar, *Analysis and data-based reconstruction of complex nonlinear dynamical systems*, Springer, 2019.
- 620 [84] T. Matsubara, Statistics of peaks of weakly non-gaussian random fields: Effects of bispectrum in two-and three-dimensions, *Physical Review D* 101 (4) (2020) 043532.
- [85] J. Mayer, M. Goepfert, Mayer, *statistical mechanics*, John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- 625 [86] J. Rogel-Salazar, *Statistical mechanics*, 3rd edn., by r.k. pathria and p.d. beale, *Contemporary Physics* 52 (6) (2011) 619–620. [arXiv:https://doi.org/10.1080/00107514.2011.603434](https://doi.org/10.1080/00107514.2011.603434), [doi:10.1080/00107514.2011.603434](https://doi.org/10.1080/00107514.2011.603434).  
URL <https://doi.org/10.1080/00107514.2011.603434>
- 630 [87] S. D. Landy, A. S. Szalay, Bias and variance of angular correlation functions, *Astrophys. J.* 412 (1993) 64. [doi:10.1086/172900](https://doi.org/10.1086/172900).
- [88] R. Xu, D. Wunsch, Survey of clustering algorithms, *IEEE Transactions on neural networks* 16 (3) (2005) 645–678.
- [89] J. H. Ward Jr, Hierarchical grouping to optimize an objective function,  
635 *Journal of the American statistical association* 58 (301) (1963) 236–244.
- [90] D. Müllner, *Modern hierarchical, agglomerative clustering algorithms*, arXiv preprint [arXiv:1109.2378](https://arxiv.org/abs/1109.2378).

- [91] G. N. Lance, W. T. Williams, A general theory of classificatory sorting strategies: 1. hierarchical systems, *The computer journal* 9 (4) (1967) 373–380.
- 640
- [92] G. Jafari, S. Movahed, S. Fazeli, M. R. R. Tabar, S. Masoudi, Level crossing analysis of the stock markets, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* 2006 (06) (2006) P06008.
- [93] A. J. Zomorodian, *Topology for computing*, Cambridge university press, 2005.
- 645
- [94] G. Carlsson, Topology and data, *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* 46 (2) (2009) 255–308.