

A Construction of Type-II ZCCS of Arbitrary Sequence Length with Low PMEPR

Rajen Kumar, Prashant Kumar Srivastava and Sudhan Majhi

Abstract—In this letter, we propose a novel construction of type-II Z -complementary code set (ZCCS) having arbitrary sequence length. The proposed construction features type-II $(K, K, NP - P + 1, NP)$ -ZCCS using Kronecker product between (K, K, N) -complete complementary code (CCC) set and unimodular sequence. In this construction, for the first time, Barker sequences are used to reduce row sequence peak-to-mean envelope power ratio (PMEPR) for some specific lengths sequence and column sequence PMEPR for some specific size of codes. The column sequence PMEPR of the proposed type-II ZCCS is upper bounded by a number smaller than 2, which is lower than the bounds reported in existing literature for such codes. The proposed construction also contributes new lengths of type-II Z -complementary pair (ZCP) and type-II Z -complementary set (ZCS), which are also not reported before in literature. Furthermore, the PMEPR of the obtained type-II ZCP is also lower than some of the existing work. The PMEPR of type-II ZCS can also be ensured to be low.

Index Terms—Type-II ZCP, Type-II ZCS, Type-II ZCCS, CCC, PMEPR.

I. INTRODUCTION

GOLAY, in his pioneering work in 1961, proposed a pair of sequences, popularly known as a Golay complementary pair (GCP) [1]. Aperiodic auto-correlation sum (AACS) of GCPs is zero except at the zero-shift position. Tseng and Liu [2] extended the idea of GCPs to a complementary set (CS) that includes two or more constituent sequences with the similar AACS property. Paterson [3] proposed a construction of CS as a generalization of the construction by Davis and Jedwab in [4]. Rathinakumar and Chaturvedi [5] further extended the idea of Paterson [3] to obtain the mutually orthogonal Golay complementary set (MOGCS).

The sequence construction plays a major role to reduce row sequence peak-to-mean envelope power ratio (PMEPR) and column sequence PMEPR. In 2020, a new class of CS was introduced by Sarkar *et al.* [6] for multi-carrier code-division multiple-access (MC-CDMA) where column sequence PMEPR is bounded by 2. Recently in 2021, Kumar *et al.* [7] proposed a novel construction for 2^h -ary complete complementary code (CCC) of length in the form of $10 \cdot 2^m$ and $26 \cdot 2^m$, where h and m are positive integers, where column sequence PMEPR upper bound by 2.

In 2007, Fan *et al.* introduced the concept of zero correlation zone (ZCZ) width, in their construction on Z -complementary pair (ZCP) where AACSs is zero within this ZCZ width [8]. Due to the flexibility in lengths, ZCPs have been widely used over GCPs. ZCPs are known as type-I ZCP, when ZCZ width exists around zero shift and type-II ZCP, when ZCZ width exists towards end shift [9]. The construction for type-I ZCPs

of even length has been proposed in [10]–[16], but optimal ZCZ width could not be achieved. Similarly, construction for type-II ZCPs has been proposed in [9], [16]–[19] where optimal ZCZ width has been achieved for some even lengths. Type-I and type-II ZCP for some odd length with optimal ZCZ width has been proposed in [9], [16], [17]. Instead of pair, if a set of sequences follow the property of zero AACS within ZCZ width, it is known as Z -complementary set (ZCS).

A collection of ZCS is called Z -complementary code set (ZCCS), if aperiodic cross-correlation sum (ACCS) of two different ZCS is zero in the ZCZ region including the zero time shift. The ZCCS is advantageous in the sense that it includes the CCC as a special case with a larger number of sequence lengths and set size. Type-I ZCCS refers to a set of codes where the ZCZ exist near zero time shift, whereas for type-II ZCCS, the ZCZ width exists near end time shift. When the delay spread in wideband communication exceeds the symbol time, the reflected components of a signal arrive with a temporal delay to the receiver. In such scenarios, type-II ZCCS may be more effective than type-I ZCCS in suppressing inter-symbolic interference (ISI) in wavelet-based MC CDMA [20]. To the best of the authors' knowledge, there are no constructions on type-II ZCS and ZCCS have been reported in the literature.

In this letter, we use the Kronecker product between the CCC and the unimodular sequence to produce a type-II ZCCS of any arbitrary length. For a given (K, K, N) -CCC, the proposed construction provides a new type-II $(K, K, NP - P + 1, NP)$ -ZCCS, for $P > 1$. Furthermore, for the fixed value of constituent sequence, the proposed type-II ZCCS provides larger set size compared to that of type-I ZCCS. Also, for the first time, we introduce an idea of the Barker sequences to reduce the PMEPR of a code. The column sequence PMEPR of type-II ZCCS is upper bounded by a number smaller than 2, which is lower than the bounds reported in existing literature for a code. The proposed construction also deduces type-II ZCPs with more lengths compared to [18] and [19], and provides lower PMEPR for type-II ZCP compared to [19]. The proposed construction also contributes type-II ZCS which is also not been provided and reported in the existing literature.

The rest of the letter is stated as follows. Section II contains the introduction and notations. Section III describes the proposed construction, proofs, and example. In section IV, a special case of our construction has been compared with some of the existing work. Finally, section V includes the final observations.

II. PRELIMINARIES

This section introduces the fundamental notations, definitions, and lemmas utilised in this letter.

A. Basic Notations

Definition 1 ([21]): Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-1})$ and $\mathbf{a}' = (a'_0, a'_1, \dots, a'_{N-1})$ be two complex-valued sequences of length N . The ACCS between \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{a}' is defined as

$$\Lambda(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}')(\tau) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1-\tau} a_{i+\tau} a_i^*, & 0 \leq \tau < N, \\ \sum_{i=0}^{N+\tau-1} a_i a_{i-\tau}^*, & -N < \tau < 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where a_i^* is complex conjugate of a_i . When $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}'$, $\Lambda(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}')(\tau)$ is called AACS of \mathbf{a} and is denoted as $\Lambda(\mathbf{a})(\tau)$.

Lemma 1: Let \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{a}'' be two complex-valued sequences of length M , where $\mathbf{a}'' = e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} \mathbf{a}$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Then $\Lambda(\mathbf{a}'')(\tau) = \Lambda(\mathbf{a})(\tau)$.

Definition 2: Let $\mathbf{C} = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_K\}$ be a set of K matrices (codes), each having order $M \times N$. Here C_k is defined as

$$C_k = [\mathbf{c}_1^k \ \mathbf{c}_2^k \ \dots \ \mathbf{c}_M^k]_{M \times N}^T,$$

where \mathbf{c}_ν^k ($1 \leq \nu \leq M, 1 \leq k \leq K$) is the ν -th row sequence of C_k and $[\cdot]^T$ represents transpose of matrix $[\cdot]$.

Let C_{k_1} and C_{k_2} be any two matrices in \mathbf{C} , then ACCS of C_{k_1} and C_{k_2} is defined by

$$\Lambda(C_{k_1}, C_{k_2})(\tau) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{M-1} \Lambda(\mathbf{c}_\nu^{k_1}, \mathbf{c}_\nu^{k_2})(\tau). \quad (1)$$

When $C_{k_1} = C_{k_2} = C_k$, $\Lambda(C_{k_1}, C_{k_2})(\tau)$ is called AACS of C_k and is denoted as $\Lambda(C_k)(\tau)$.

Definition 3: Let $\mathbf{Z} = \{Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_K\}$ be a set of K matrices (codes), each having order $M \times N$. \mathbf{Z} is called a type-II (K, M, Z, N) -ZCCS if it satisfy following properties

$$\Lambda(Z_{k_1}, Z_{k_2})(\tau) = \begin{cases} NM, & \tau = 0, k_1 = k_2, \\ 0, & \tau = 0, k_1 \neq k_2, \\ 0, & N - Z < |\tau| < N. \end{cases}$$

Each code $Z_k \in \mathbf{Z}$ is called a type-II ZCS. It is known as type-II ZCP, when number of rows in a type-II ZCS is 2. When $Z = N$, a type-II ZCCS is called MOGCS and when $K = M$, a MOGCS is called (K, K, N) -CCC. If ACCS values of two codes are zero for all time shifts these are called uncorrelated codes.

Lemma 2 ([22]): Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-1})$ and $\mathbf{a}' = (a'_0, a'_1, \dots, a'_{N-1})$ of length N and $\mathbf{b} = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{P-1})$ and $\mathbf{b}' = (b'_0, b'_1, \dots, b'_{P-1})$ be unimodular sequences (each element of the sequence is of modulus 1) of length P . Let \otimes represents Kronecker product. Then $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{c}' = \mathbf{a}' \otimes \mathbf{b}'$ are sequences of length NP and ACCS of \mathbf{c} and \mathbf{c}' depends on ACCS of $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}')$ and $(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}')$, as

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}')(Pj + k) &= \Lambda(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}')(j) \Lambda(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}')(k) \\ &\quad + \Lambda(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}')(j+1) \Lambda(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}')(-P + k), \\ &\text{for } 0 \leq k < P \text{ and } -N < j < N. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Lemma 3: Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-1})$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{P-1})$ be unimodular sequences of length N and P respectively. Then $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b}$ is a sequence of length NP and AACS of \mathbf{c} depends on AACS of \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} .

Proof: In Lemma 2, if we consider $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}'$ then $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c}'$ and ACCS of \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}' and \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}' become AACS of \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{c} . From Lemma 2, we have

$$\Lambda(\mathbf{c})(Pj + k) = \Lambda(\mathbf{a})(j) \Lambda(\mathbf{b})(k) + \Lambda(\mathbf{a})(j+1) \Lambda(\mathbf{b})(-P + k),$$

for $0 \leq k < P$ and $-N < j < N$. (3) ■

B. Barker sequence [23]

Definition 4: A binary sequence $\gamma = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{N-1})$ of length N is said to be a Barker sequence if the AACS of the sequence is either ± 1 or 0, i.e., $|\Lambda(\gamma)(\tau)|$ is either 1 or 0 for $\tau \neq 0$.

The only lengths $N > 1$, for which a binary Barker sequence, γ is known to exist, are 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 11 and 13. Under one or more of the following transformations, these Barker sequences are unique for their length

$$\gamma_i \mapsto \{-\gamma_i, \gamma_{N-1-i}, (-1)^i \gamma_i\} \quad (4)$$

C. Peak-to-mean envelope power ratio (PMEPR)

Lemma 4 ([4]): Let \mathbf{a} be a sequence of length N . Then PMEPR of \mathbf{a} is bounded by

$$\text{PMEPR}(\mathbf{a}) \leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\tau=-(N-1)}^{N-1} |\Lambda(\mathbf{a})(\tau)|. \quad (5)$$

PMEPR of orthogonal frequency-division multiplexing (OFDM) system is calculated by considering the row of a CS or ZCS, and PMEPR of MC-CDMA system is calculated by the column of a CS or ZCS. The maximum over the obtained PMEPR of row or column sequence is known as row or column sequence PMEPR upper bound of a code, respectively.

III. PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION OF TYPE-II ZCCS

In this section, we present our proposed type-II ZCCS construction utilising Kronecker products. We also explore methods to reduce a code's PMEPR.

Theorem 1: Let $\mathbf{C} = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_K\}$ be a (K, K, N) -CCC, where C_i is a code of order $K \times N$ and \mathbf{b} is a unimodular sequence of length P . Then $\mathbf{Z} = \{Z_0, Z_1, \dots, Z_{K-1}\}$ is a type-II $(K, K, NP - P + 1, NP)$ -ZCCS, where $Z_i = C_i \otimes \mathbf{b}$.

Proof: Each code of \mathbf{C} follows the property that $\Lambda(C_i)(\tau) = 0$ for non-zero value of τ and $\Lambda(C_i, C_j)(\tau') = 0$ for any value of τ' for $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, K-1\}$. Let

$$C_i = [\mathbf{c}_0^i \ \mathbf{c}_1^i \ \dots \ \mathbf{c}_{K-1}^i]^T,$$

then we define

$$Z_i = C_i \otimes \mathbf{b} = [\mathbf{c}_1^i \otimes \mathbf{b} \ \mathbf{c}_2^i \otimes \mathbf{b} \ \dots \ \mathbf{c}_{K-1}^i \otimes \mathbf{b}]^T.$$

Since $\sum_{k=1}^K \Lambda(\mathbf{c}_k^i)(\tau) = 0$ for any non-zero value of τ , for $u \geq P-1$, from Lemma 3, it is straight forward $\sum_{k=1}^K \Lambda(\mathbf{c}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{b})(\tau) = 0$.

$\mathbf{b})(u) = 0$, i.e., $\Lambda(Z_i)(u) = 0$ for $|u| \geq P - 1$. Since $\sum_{k=1}^K \Lambda(\mathbf{c}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{b})(\tau') = 0$ for any integer τ' , from Lemma 2, it is straight forward that $\sum_{k=1}^K \Lambda(\mathbf{c}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}_k^j \otimes \mathbf{b})(u) = 0$, i.e., $\Lambda(Z_i, Z_j)(u) = 0$ for any integral value of u . Therefore,

$$\Lambda(Z_i, Z_j)(u) = \begin{cases} 0, & P - 1 < |u| < NP, i = j, \\ 0, & |u| < NP, i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

■

Remark 1: Let \mathbf{C} be a CS of size $M \times N$ and \mathbf{b} be a sequence of length P . From Theorem 1, $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{b}$ be a type-II ZCS of size $M \times NP$ with ZCZ width $NP - P + 1$.

Remark 2: Let (\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}) be a GCP of length N and \mathbf{b} be a sequence of length P . From Theorem 1, $(\mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{t} \otimes \mathbf{b})$ be a type-II ZCP of length NP with ZCZ width $NP - P + 1$.

Corollary 1: Suppose Z_μ is a code from type-II $(K, K, NP - P + 1, NP)$ -ZCCS obtained by Theorem 1, then we can say that $|\Lambda(Z_\mu)(\tau)|$ is a multiple of KN , where $\mu \in \{0, 1, \dots, K - 1\}$. When $\tau = 0$, we get $|\Lambda(Z_\mu)(\tau)| = KNP$. For $\tau > P - 1$, we get $|\Lambda(Z_\mu)(\tau)| = 0$, but for $0 < \tau \leq P - 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(Z_\mu)(\tau) &= \Lambda(\mathbf{b})(\tau)KN, \\ \text{i.e., } |\Lambda(Z_\mu)(\tau)| &\leq (P - \tau)KN. \end{aligned}$$

From Corollary 1 and Lemma 3, the AACS value of the proposed code outside the ZCZ width is directly proportional to the AACS of the unimodular sequences \mathbf{b} .

From Corollary 1, we can also conclude the following for AACS values out of ZCZ width :

- $|\Lambda(Z_\mu)(P - 1)| = KN$.
- For $0 < \tau < P - 1$, $0 \leq |\Lambda(Z_\mu)(\tau)| \leq \tau KN$. For example, let $\mathbf{b} = (e^{j\pi\theta_0}, e^{j\pi\theta_1}, \dots, e^{j\pi\theta_{P-1}})$, where $\theta_i = \sqrt{-1}$, such that $\theta_{P-2} - \theta_1 = \theta_{P-1} - \theta_2 \pm 1$, then $\Lambda(Z_\mu)(P - 2) = 0$. This case is possible if the phase of \mathbf{b} is even. In the case of binary sequence $\mathbf{b} = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{P-1})$, it must follows

$$b_0 + b_1 + b_{P-1} + b_{P-2} = \pm 2. \quad (6)$$

Since we can make $|\Lambda(Z_\mu)(\tau)| = 0$ for $\tau = P - 2$, so the obvious question is, can we get $|\Lambda(Z_\mu)(\tau)| = 0$ for all $0 < \tau < P - 2$? The answer is no. To verify the answer, let us investigate it for $\tau = P - 3$. Let us also consider $\Lambda(Z_\mu)(P - 3) = 0$ and $\Lambda(Z_\mu)(P - 2) = 0$, from Lemma 3, this gives sequence \mathbf{b} needs to be satisfied $\Lambda(\mathbf{b})(P - 3) = 0$ and $\Lambda(\mathbf{b})(P - 2) = 0$. Suppose, the sequence \mathbf{b} follows (6) and implies $\Lambda(\mathbf{b})(P - 2) = 0$ then $\Lambda(\mathbf{b})(P - 3)$ can be written as $e^{j\pi(\theta_{P-3}-\theta_1)} + e^{j\pi(\theta_{P-2}-\theta_2)} + e^{j\pi(\theta_{P-1}-\theta_3)}$, where $e^{j\pi\theta_i}$ is of the even phase, value of $e^{j\pi(\theta_{P-3}-\theta_1)} + e^{j\pi(\theta_{P-2}-\theta_2)} + e^{j\pi(\theta_{P-1}-\theta_3)}$ only be ± 1 , for some choice of \mathbf{b} . For an example, let a binary sequence $\mathbf{b} = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{P-1})$, be such that

$$\begin{aligned} b_0 + b_1 + b_{P-2} + b_{P-1} &= \pm 2, \\ b_0 + b_1 + b_{P-3} + b_{P-2} &= \pm 2, \\ b_1 + b_2 + b_{P-2} + b_{P-1} &= \pm 2, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\Lambda(\mathbf{b})(P - 2) = 0, \Lambda(\mathbf{b})(P - 3) = \pm 1.$$

Therefore, we can have $|\Lambda(Z_\mu)(P - 3)| = KN$. For the odd phase, we cannot make $\Lambda(Z_\mu)(P - 2) = 0$ but if the phase of \mathbf{b} is multiple of 3, we can find a sequence \mathbf{b} , such that $\Lambda(\mathbf{b})(P - 3) = 0$.

From Lemma 4, PMEPR of OFDM system by using Barker sequences of lengths 3, 5, 7, 11 and 13 has upper bound $5/3, 9/5, 13/7, 21/11$ and $25/13$ respectively. PMEPR of a Golay sequence has upper bound 2.

Remark 3: If \mathbf{b} is a Barker sequence then AACS for any code generated by Theorem 1, is either $\pm KN$ or 0, outside the ZCZ width.

Now, if we replace \mathbf{b} by Barker sequence in Theorem 1, then the AACS of Z_i can be reduced outside of the ZCZ width. However, as the Barker sequences are limited in length, the Kronecker product of Barker sequences is being used. According to Lemma 3, the Kronecker product of Barker sequences likewise has a low AACS value. With the help of the Kronecker product of Barker sequences, sequences with low ACCS of lengths in the form of $2^{n_1}3^{n_2}5^{n_3}7^{n_4}11^{n_5}13^{n_6}$, where $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_6 \geq 0$. A type-II $(K, K, NP - P + 1, NP)$ -ZCCS that are being constructed, row PMEPR can be decreased if $P = 2^{n_1}3^{n_2}5^{n_3}7^{n_4}11^{n_5}13^{n_6}$, and column sequence PMEPR can be reduced if a code has $2^{n_1}3^{n_2}5^{n_3}7^{n_4}11^{n_5}13^{n_6}$ constituent sequences.

Corollary 2: Consider \mathbf{C} be a CCC of set size 3, 5, 7, 11 or 13 constructed by the method in [24]. Using Theorem 1, we have $\mathbf{Z} = \{Z_0, Z_1, \dots, Z_{K-1}\}$ a type-II ZCCS of set size 3, 5, 7, 11 or 13, where $Z_i = [\mathbf{z}_0^i \ \mathbf{z}_1^i \ \dots \ \mathbf{z}_{K-1}^i]^T$, for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, K - 1\}$. Let $\gamma = \{\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{K-1}\}$ be a Barker sequence of the same length as number of rows in \mathbf{Z}_i . We generate new code set as $\mathbf{Z}' = \{Z'_0, Z'_1, \dots, Z'_{K-1}\}$, where $Z'_i = [\gamma_0 \mathbf{z}_0^i \ \gamma_1 \mathbf{z}_1^i \ \dots \ \gamma_{K-1} \mathbf{z}_{K-1}^i]^T$. Then the column sequence PMEPR of \mathbf{Z}' is the same as PMEPR of γ .

Proof: Let \mathbf{C} be a CCC constructed using [24], where each column sequence of \mathbf{C} can be represented by some linear function. Let us construct $\mathbf{Z}' = \{Z'_0, Z'_1, \dots, Z'_{K-1}\}$ by using Theorem 1 and this \mathbf{C} , where $Z'_i = [\gamma_0 \mathbf{z}_0^i \ \gamma_1 \mathbf{z}_1^i \ \dots \ \gamma_{K-1} \mathbf{z}_{K-1}^i]^T$. Note that, each column of each code in \mathbf{Z}' is either multiplication between γ and unimodular constant or multiplication between transformed γ and unimodular constant, using the transformation (4). Now AACS value of each column sequence is same as the AACS value of γ . Therefore, column sequence PMEPR is the same as PMEPR of γ . This complete the proof of Corollary 2. ■ An example of proposed type-II ZCCS is presented below.

Example 1: Let $\mathbf{C} = \{C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4\}$ is a binary $(4, 4, 8)$ -CCC given in Table I, where + and - represent 1 and -1, respectively.

Let $\mathbf{b} = (1, 1, -1)$ be a Barker sequence of length 3.

TABLE I: Binary CCC

++++++	---+---+	+-+-+---	++-+---+
---+---+	++++++	++++++	++++++
++++++	---+---+	+-+-+---	++-+---+
++-+---+	++++++	++++++	++++++

Using Theorem 1, binary type-II $(4, 4, 24)$ -ZCCS can be obtained as given in the Table II. AACS of each code from \mathbf{Z} is $(0_{21}, -32, 0, 96, 0, -32, 0_{21})$ and ACCS of any two code from \mathbf{Z} is zero for all time shifts. AACS value

- [17] Z. Gu, Z. Zhou, Q. Wang, and P. Fan, "New construction of optimal type-II binary Z-complementary pairs," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 67, no. 6, pp. 3497–3508, 2021.
- [18] F. Zeng, X. He, Z. Zhang, and L. Yan, "Constructions of Z-optimal type-II quadriphase Z-complementary pairs," *IEEE Wirel. Commun. Lett.*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 568–572, 2022.
- [19] R. Kumar, P. Sarkar, P. K. Srivastava, and S. Majhi, "A direct construction of asymptotically optimal type-II ZCP for every possible even length," *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, vol. 28, pp. 1799–1802, 2021.
- [20] W. C. Y. Lee, *Mobile communications Design Fundamentals*. Wiley Series in Telecommunication and Signal Processing, 1993.
- [21] P. Sarkar, S. Majhi, and Z. Liu, "Optimal Z-complementary code set from generalized Reed-Muller codes," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 67, no. 3, pp. 1783–1796, Mar. 2019.
- [22] Y. Jin and H. Koga, "Basic properties of the complete complementary codes using the DFT matrices and the Kronecker products," in *2008 International Symposium on Information Theory and Its Applications*, 2008, pp. 1–6.
- [23] J. Jedwab and M. G. Parker, "A construction of binary Golay sequence pairs from odd-length Barker sequences," *J. Comb. Des.*, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 478–491, 2009.
- [24] P. Sarkar, Z. Liu, and S. Majhi, "Multivariable function for new complete complementary codes with arbitrary lengths," 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.10517>
- [25] L. Feng, P. Fan, and X. Zhou, "Lower bounds on correlation of Z-complementary code sets," *Wirel. Pers. Commun.*, vol. 72, pp. 1475–1488, 2013.