arXiv:2207.02266v1 [physics.acc-ph] 5 Jul 2022

Phase diffusion in high-power microwave sources

Anishchenko S.V.,* Baryshevsky V.G.,[†] and Gurinovich A.A.[‡]

Research Institute for Nuclear Problems of Belarusian State University Bobruiskaya Str. 11, 220030 Minsk, Belarus

Autocorrelation of electromagnetic fields emitted by high-power microwave sources makes it possible to determine the phase diffusion coefficient D. The value of Dimposes significant constraints on synchronization of several HPM sources. It is clearly demonstrated by the example of a relativistic reflex triode operating at $f \approx$ 3.3 GHz and having the phase diffusion coefficient equal to $D \approx 0.06 \text{ rad}^2 \cdot \text{ns}^{-1}$.

PACS numbers: 52.59.-f, 05.40.Ca, 79.90.+b, 84.40.-x Keywords: high power microwaves, noise, phase diffusion, autocorrelation, synchronization

I. INTRODUCTION

In low-current electronics, the nature of noise was established more than sixty years ago [1–6]. Investigation of noise and fluctuations made it possible to understand spectral lines broadening, amplitude fluctuations, and phase diffusion in physical oscillators [7–10], study oscillators synchronization in detail [11, 12], and create modern radars based on phased antenna arrays.

In relativistic high-power microwave (HPM) sources, noise is not studied so well. Despite the fact that the first high-current oscillators appeared in the 70s of the last century [13–15], only a few works on the topic have been published to date [16–18]. In accordance with the published material, the main source of noise in HPM sources is a complex structure of electron beams produced due to explosive electron emission [19, 20]. A high-current electron beam consists of many portions of electrons. The portions are called ectons. Each ecton contains up to 10^{11} of elementary charges [15, 20]. It is obvious that ecton structure of e-beams affects the statistical properties of the radiation emitted by high-current e-beams [16] and synchronization of several HPM sources.

Publications on the synchronization of HPM sources have been appearing in the scientific press since the second half of the 1980s [21–27]. Despite the detailed description of various connection topologies between generators, any constraints on noise properties for stable operation of coupled systems were not revealed in the mentioned studies.

In this regard, the paper presents an approach to the experimental study of noise in HPM sources. The study is based on the determination of the phase diffusion coefficient D by means of radiation field autocorrelation. The fruitfulness of the approach is demonstrated by the example of a relativistic reflex triode operating at 3.3 GHz [28]. We will also describe the limitations that the phase diffusion imposes on synchronization of microwave oscillators. The results can be used in the design of HPM phased arrays [29].

^{*}Electronic address: sanishchenko@mail.ru

[†]Electronic address: bar@inp.bsu.by

[‡]Electronic address: gur@inp.bsu.by

II. AUTOCORRELATION

HPM sources are characterized by short operation time. As a rule, the stationary operation time t_s is comparable with the rise time t_f . Therefore, the use of autocorrelation for the analysis of short pulses requires special consideration.



Figure 1: Microwave pulse.

In addition, it should be taken into account that HPM sources are complex distributed multimode systems. As a result, generation process is carried out on several modes. In this regard, special measures should be taken to enhance the main operation mode and suppress all the others. The operation mode is usually chosen based on the voltage «flat top» applied to an HPM source. Meanwhile, the generation conditions change significantly at the voltage rise and drop: the synchronism condition between the high-current electron beam and the operation mode is violated. As a result, mode hoping is observed. In the experiment, mode hoping manifests itself through new frequency lines in the emission spectrum. Their presence imposes additional limitations on noise study using autocorrelation that is well-suited for single-frequency signals [30].

Thus, we can conclude that noise analysis for short-pulse signals requires at least refinement. In this paper, when analyzing noise, we restrict ourselves to the analysis of signal time frames corresponding to stationary generation.

During stationary generation, the phase dynamics is described by the stochastic differential equation

$$\frac{d\Phi}{dt} = \omega + \xi(t),\tag{1}$$

where $\xi(t)$ is a white-noise function satisfying the condition

$$\langle \xi(t_1)\xi(t_2) \rangle = 2D\delta(t_2 - t_1).$$
 (2)



Figure 2: Wavelet transform of measured electric field.

Here, $\delta(t)$ is the Dirac function.

The solution of the equation (1) has the form

$$\Phi(t) = \phi_0 + \omega t + \phi(t) \tag{3}$$

where $\phi(t) = \int_0^t \xi(\tau) d\tau$ is a random phase shift with the mean value 0 and variance

$$\langle \phi^2(t) \rangle = 2Dt. \tag{4}$$

The distribution function for the random phase shift ϕ satisfies the diffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \phi^2},\tag{5}$$

whose solution is the well-known gaussian distribution

$$G(\phi, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi Dt}} \exp\left(-\frac{\phi^2}{4Dt}\right).$$
(6)

Let $E(t) = E_0 \cos(\Phi(t))$ be an oscillating electric field with a random phase $\Phi(t)$ (see (3)). Then, averaging the product of electric fields $E(t)E(t+\tau)$ using $G(\phi, \tau)$, we calculate the autocorrelation¹

$$K(\tau) = \frac{1}{t_s} \int_0^{t_s} \langle E(t)E(t+\tau) \rangle dt = \frac{E_0^2 e^{-D\tau} \cos(\omega\tau)}{2}.$$
 (7)

¹ We use the generally used definition for the diffusion coefficient [31], which is different from [30]. As a consequence, the variance of the random phase shift is equal to 2Dt.



Figure 3: Normalized autocorrelation function.

Expression (7) shows that $K(\tau)$ is a damped function oscillating with frequency ω . The damping constant $K(\tau)$ is equal to the diffusion coefficient D. This means that D can be determined from the autocorrelation of measured signals.

Now, by means of a relativistic reflex triode, we will demonstrate how the autocorrelation (7) can be used to determine the phase diffusion coefficient D. The figure 1 shows the experimental curve for measured electric field E(t). The wavelet transform of E(t) shows (figure 2) that the stationary single-frequency operation lasts $t_s \approx 80$ ns (from 370ns to 450 ns). Lower frequencies are observed at the pulse rise and drop.

For the stationary operation, we plot autocorrelation and its fit of the form (7) (Fig. 3). The diffusion coefficient, which determines the damping constant for K(t), turns out to be equal to $D \approx 0.06 \text{ ns}^{-1}$. Known D allows one to investigate synchronization of several HPM sources.

III. SYNCHRONIZATION

Synchronization between two reflex triodes can be investigated with the help of the Langevin equations describing phase dynamics

$$\Phi_1 = \omega + \varepsilon \sin(\Phi_2(t-\tau) - \Phi_1(t)) + \xi_1(t),$$

$$\dot{\Phi}_2 = \omega + \varepsilon \sin(\Phi_1(t-\tau) - \Phi_2(t)) + \xi_2(t).$$
(8)

Here, $\langle \xi_1(t_1)\xi_1(t_2) \rangle = 2D\delta(t_1 - t_2)$ and $\langle \xi_2(t_1)\xi_2(t_2) \rangle = 2D\delta(t_2 - t_1)$ are standard autocorrelations for white noise. Positive quantities ε and τ represent coupling coefficient and time delay between HPM sources, respectively.

To make radiation sources to oscillate in-phase, $\omega\tau$ should be equal to an integer number of 2π [21]. If we assume that $\varepsilon\tau \ll 1$ then the standard procedure for solving stochastic delay differential equations can be applied [32]. The procedure is based on the following expansion of time delayed functions: $\Phi_{1,2}(t-\tau) \approx \Phi_{1,2}(t) - \tau \dot{\Phi}_{1,2}(t)$. As a result, the Langevin equations are reduced to a single equation for the phase difference $\psi = \Phi_2 - \Phi_1$:

$$\frac{d\psi}{dt} = -\frac{2\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon\tau}\sin(\psi) + \xi_{12}(t),\tag{9}$$

where $\xi_{12}(t) = \frac{\xi_2(t) - \xi_1(t)}{1 - \epsilon \tau}$ is a random white-noise function with autocorrelation

$$<\xi_{12}(t_1)\xi_{12}(t_2)>=\frac{4D}{(1-\varepsilon\tau)^2}\cdot\delta(t_2-t_1).$$
 (10)

The distribution function P for the phase difference ψ obeys the Fokker-Planck kinetic equation

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = \frac{2\varepsilon}{1 - \epsilon\tau} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \left(\sin(\psi) P \right) + \frac{2D}{(1 - \varepsilon\tau)^2} \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial \psi^2}.$$
 (11)

Note that the diffusion coefficient 2D for the phase difference ψ is more than two times greater than the diffusion coefficient D in a single oscillator. The equation (11) differs from standard Fokker-Plank equation without time delay [11, 12, 30] by coefficients $\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon\tau}$ and $(\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon\tau})^2$.



Figure 4: Mean value A (left) and average synchronization time T (right).

For stationary operation, the solution of (11) is the time-independent Stratonovich distribution

$$P(\psi) = \frac{\exp\left(\alpha\cos(\psi)\right)}{2\pi I_0(\alpha)},\tag{12}$$

where I_0 and

$$\alpha = \varepsilon (1 - \varepsilon \tau) / D \tag{13}$$

are the modified Bessel function and the dimensionless parameter, which is responsible for synchronization, respectively.

The average value $\langle \cos(\Phi_2 - \Phi_1) \rangle = \langle \cos(\psi) \rangle$, which we denote by A, determines the average radiation intensity of two HPM sources $J_2 = 2J_1(1 + A)$ (J_1 is radiation intensity of a single source). The quantity A can be calculated using the following formula

$$A = <\cos(\psi) > = \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} P(\psi)\cos(\psi)d\psi = \frac{I_1(\alpha)}{I_0(\alpha)}.$$
 (14)

For $\alpha \gg 1$, the behavior of A is approximately described by the relation

$$A \approx 1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha}.\tag{15}$$

Figure 4 shows the decrease in A with growing $\varepsilon \tau$ for different ε/D . (When constructing theoretical curves, we resorted to one trick. Namely, we replace (13), which has unphysical behavior at $\epsilon \tau \sim 1$, by

$$\alpha = \frac{\varepsilon}{D(1+\varepsilon\tau)}.\tag{16}$$

Both approximations have the same behavior at $\varepsilon \tau \ll 1$. But the latter is positive and finite for all time delays.) To enhance synchronization, it is necessary to increase the coupling coefficient ε and decrease the time delay τ and the diffusion coefficient D. For $\varepsilon = 0.6 \text{ ns}^{-1}$, $D = 0.06 \text{ rad}^2 \cdot \text{ns}^{-1}$, and $\varepsilon \tau = 1$, we get $A \approx 0.95$ which is 5% less than the maximum possible value $(A \to 1 \text{ for } \alpha \to +\infty)$.

Let us pay attention to the average value of the synchronization time T. (We assume that synchronization time is the time t, when the random variable $\cos(\psi(t))$ becomes equal to A.) The quantity T can be obtained from numerical solution of the stochastic Langevin equations (8) (see Fig. (4)). Let $\varepsilon = 0.6 \text{ ns}^{-1}$, $D = 0.06 \text{ rad}^2 \cdot \text{ns}^{-1}$, and $\varepsilon \tau = 1$, then $T = 0.98/\varepsilon \approx 2$ ns is much less than stationary operation time ($t_s \approx 80 \text{ ns}$). As a result, synchronization of two reflex triodes seems to be plausible.

IV. CONCLUSION

Autocorrelation of electromagnetic fields emitted by HPM sources makes it possible to determine the phase diffusion coefficient D. The numerical value of D imposes significant limitations on synchronization of several HPM sources. This is demonstrated by means of a relativistic reflex triode operating at $f \approx 3.3$ GHz and having phase diffusion coefficient $D \approx 0.06$ rad ${}^{2}\cdot ns^{-1}$. With a coupling coefficient equal to 0.6 ns⁻¹, synchronization of two relativistic reflex triodes should occur in 2 ns.

- W. Schottky. Uber spontane Stromschwankungen in verschiedenen Electrizitatsleitern. Ann. Phys. 362 (1918) 541–567.
- [2] J.B. Johnson. The Schottky effect in low frequency circuits. Phys. Rev. 26 (1925) 71.
- [3] J.B. Johnson. Thermal agitation of electricity in conductors. Phys. Rev. 32 (1928) 97–109.
- [4] H. Nyquist. Thermal agitation of electric charge in conductors. Phys. Rev. 32 (1928) 110– 113.
- [5] J. Bernamont. Fluctuations de potentiel aux bornes d'un conducteur m?tallique de faible volume parcouru par un courant. Ann. Phys. 7 (1937) 71–140.
- [6] R.E. Burgess. Fluctuations in the number of charge carriers in a semiconductor. Physica. 20 (1954) 1007.
- [7] L.S. Pontryagin, A.A. Andronov, A.A. Witt. About statistical consideration of dynamical systems. ZhETF 3 (1933) 165 [in Russian].
- [8] I.L. Bernshtein, About fluctuations in the vicinity of periodic motion of self-oscillation system. DAN SSSR **20** (1938) 11 [in Russian].
- [9] I.L. Bernshtein, Fluctuations in self-oscillation system and determination of natural blur of lamp generator. ZhTF 11 (1941) 305 [in Russian].

- [10] I.L. Bernshtein. Fluctuations of amplitude and phase of lamp generator, Izv. AN SSSR (ser. fiz.) 14 (1950) 145 [in Russian].
- [11] R.L. Stratonovich. Selected questions of the theory of fluctuations in radio engineering. –M.: «Soviet radio», 1961 [in Russian].
- [12] Malakhov A.N. Fluctuations in self-oscillating systems. M: «Nauka», 1967 [in Russian].
- [13] N.F. Kovalev et. al. Generation of powerful electromagnetic radiation pulses by a beam of relativistic electrons. JETP Lett. 18 (1973) 138–140.
- [14] Y. Carmel, J. Ivers, R.E. Kribel, J. Nation. Intense coherent cherenkov radiation due to the interaction of a relativistic electron beam with a slow-wave structure. Phys. Rev. Lett. 33 (1974) 1278–1282.
- [15] S.P. Bugaev et. al. in: Relativistic high-frequency electronics. Gor'ky: 1979. P. 5–75 [in Russian].
- [16] E.B. Abubakirov, A.P. Konyushkov, A.A. Sergeev. Influence of noise in electron beams generated by explosive-emission cathodes on the operation of a relativistic backward wave oscillator. Radiotehnika i electronika. 54 (2009) 1009–1014 [in Russian].
- [17] E.B. Abubakirov et al. Observation of large-scale shot noise in a high-current electron beam. Pis'ma v ZhTF. 44 (2018) 19, 3–10 [in Russian].
- [18] S.A. Shunailov et. al. Electromagnetic noise of a nanosecond magnetized high-current electron beam. J. Appl. Phys. 126 (2019) 164504.
- [19] G.A. Mesyats, D.I. Proskurovsky. Explosive Emission Electrons from Metallic Needles. JETP Lett. 13 (1971) 7–10.
- [20] S.P. Bugaev, E.A. Litvinov, G.A. Mesyats, D.I. Proskurovskii. Explosive emission of electrons, Sov. Phys. Usp. 18 (1975) 51–61.
- [21] W. Woo, et al. Phase locking of high-power microwave oscillators. J. Appl. Phys. 65 (1989)
 2, P. 861–866.
- [22] H. Sze, D. Price, B. Harteneck, and N. Cooksey. A master-oscillator-driven phase-locked vircator array. J. Appl. Phys. 68 (1990) 3073–3079.
- [23] J. Benford, et. al. Phase locking of relativistic magnetrons. Phys. Rev. Lett. 62 (1989) 969–971.
- [24] J.S. Levine, N. Aiello, J. Benford, and B. Harteneck. Design and operation of a module of phase-locked relativistic magnetrons. J. Appl. Phys. 70 (1991) 2838–2848.
- [25] G.G. Kanaev et. al. Coherent processes in a system of two coupled relativistic magnetrons. Pis'ma B ZhTF. 21 (1991) 20, 51–54 [in Russian].
- [26] I.I. Vintizenko. Relativistic magnetrons. M.: FIZMATLIT, 2013 [in Russian].
- [27] Jinchuan Ju, et al. Towards coherent combining of X-band high power microwaves: phaselocked long pulse radiations by a relativistic triaxial klystron amplifier. Scientific Reports. 6:30657.
- [28] V. Baryshevsky, A. Gurinovich, E. Gurnevich, P. Molchanov. Exerimental study of a triode reflex geometry vircator. IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci. 45 (2017) 631.
- [29] V.G. Baryshevsky, A.A. Gurinovich, Photonic crystal-based compact high-power vacuum electronic devices. Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 22 (2019) 044702.
- [30] A. Pikovsky, M. Rosenblum, J.K. Kurths. Synchronization: A Universal Concept in Nonlinear Sciences. –N.Y.: Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [31] N.G. Van Kampen. Stochastic Processes in physics and chemistry. North-Holland Personal Library, 2007.
- [32] S. Guillouzic, I. L'Heureux, A. Longtin. Small delay approximation of stochastic delay differential equations. Phys. Rev. E. 59 (1999) 3970–3982.