

COMPRESSIBLE EULER-MAXWELL LIMIT FOR GLOBAL SMOOTH SOLUTIONS TO THE VLASOV-MAXWELL-BOLTZMANN SYSTEM

RENJUN DUAN, DONGCHENG YANG, AND HONGJUN YU

ABSTRACT. Two fundamental models in plasma physics are given by the Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system and the compressible Euler-Maxwell system which both capture the complex dynamics of plasmas under the self-consistent electromagnetic interactions at the kinetic and fluid levels, respectively. It has remained a long-standing open problem to rigorously justify the hydrodynamic limit from the former to the latter as the Knudsen number ε tends to zero. In this paper we give an affirmative answer to the problem for smooth solutions to both systems near constant equilibrium in the whole space in case when only the dynamics of electrons is taken into account. The explicit rate of convergence in ε over an almost global time interval is also obtained for well-prepared data. For the proof, one of main difficulties occurs to the cubic growth of large velocities due to the action of the classical transport operator on local Maxwellians and we develop new ε -dependent energy estimates basing on the macro-micro decomposition to characterize the asymptotic limit in the compressible setting.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Macro-Micro decomposition	4
3.	Main results	7
4.	Preliminaries	11
5.	A priori estimates	28
6.	Proofs of the main theorem	42
	References	43

1. INTRODUCTION

The Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann (called VMB in the sequel for simplicity) system is an important model in plasma physics for describing the time evolution of dilute charged particles, such as electrons and ions, under the influence of their self-consistent internally generated Lorentz forces, cf. [5, 3]. In the physical situation, the ion mass is usually much heavier than the electron mass so that the electrons move faster than the ions. Hence, the ions are often described by a fixed ion background $n_b(x)$, and only the motion of electrons need to be determined. In such one-species case, the scaled VMB system takes the form of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t F + v \cdot \nabla_x F - (E + v \times B) \cdot \nabla_v F = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} Q(F, F), \\ \partial_t E - \nabla_x \times B = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v F dv, \\ \partial_t B + \nabla_x \times E = 0, \\ \nabla_x \cdot E = n_b - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F dv, \quad \nabla_x \cdot B = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Here, the parameter $\varepsilon > 0$ is the Knudsen number which is proportional to the mean free path and is assumed to be small. The unknowns are $F = F(t, x, v) \geq 0$ standing for the density distribution function for the electron particles with position $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and velocity $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ at time $t \geq 0$ and $E = E(t, x) = (E_1, E_2, E_3)(t, x)$ and $B = B(t, x) = (B_1, B_2, B_3)(t, x)$ denoting the self-consistent electric field and magnetic field, respectively. We have omitted the explicit dependence of the solution (F, E, B) on ε for brevity whenever there is no confusion.

Throughout this paper, $n_b > 0$ is assumed to be a constant denoting the spatially uniform density of the ionic background. Take $n_b = 1$ without loss of generality. Note that the other physical constants such as the charge and mass of electrons and the speed of light in system (1.1) have been normalized to be one since they do not cause essential mathematical difficulties in our one-fluid problem; cf. [3, 25].

The Boltzmann collision operator $Q(\cdot, \cdot)$ in (1.1) is assumed to be for the hard-sphere model, taking the following non-symmetric bilinear form of

$$Q(F_1, F_2)(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |(v - v_*) \cdot \omega| \{F_1(v')F_2(v'_*) - F_1(v)F_2(v_*)\} d\omega dv_*, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^2$ is a unit vector in \mathbb{R}^3 , and the velocity pairs (v, v_*) before collisions and (v', v'_*) after collisions are given by

$$v' = v - [(v - v_*) \cdot \omega]\omega, \quad v'_* = v_* + [(v - v_*) \cdot \omega]\omega,$$

in terms of the conservation of momentum and kinetic energy: $v + v_* = v' + v'_*$ and $|v|^2 + |v_*|^2 = |v'|^2 + |v'_*|^2$. We remark that one can consider more complex long-range interaction potentials in the Boltzmann case or even the Landau operator with Coulomb potentials; see [14], for instance. The reason why we only focus on the hard sphere model in the current work is to present the essentials of our problem in the non-relativistic case in an easier way and interested readers could work on the other harder situations.

Corresponding to (1.1), the hydrodynamic description for the motion of electrons at the fluid level is also given by the following compressible Euler-Maxwell system which is an important fluid model in plasma physics:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \bar{\rho} + \nabla_x \cdot (\bar{\rho} \bar{u}) = 0, \\ \partial_t (\bar{\rho} \bar{u}) + \nabla_x \cdot (\bar{\rho} \bar{u} \otimes \bar{u}) + \nabla_x \bar{p} = -\bar{\rho}(\bar{E} + \bar{u} \times \bar{B}), \\ \partial_t \bar{E} - \nabla_x \times \bar{B} = \bar{\rho} \bar{u}, \quad \partial_t \bar{B} + \nabla_x \times \bar{E} = 0, \\ \nabla_x \cdot \bar{E} = n_b - \bar{\rho}, \quad \nabla_x \cdot \bar{B} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

Here the unknowns are the electron density $\bar{\rho} = \bar{\rho}(t, x) > 0$, the electron velocity $\bar{u} = (\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2, \bar{u}_3)(t, x)$, and the electromagnetic field $(\bar{E}, \bar{B}) = (\bar{E}, \bar{B})(t, x)$. Moreover, $\bar{p} = K\bar{\rho}^{5/3}$ is the pressure satisfying the power law with the adiabatic exponent $\gamma = \frac{5}{3}$. We take the physical constant $K = 1$ without loss of generality.

Both the VMB system (1.1) and the Euler-Maxwell system (1.3) can be viewed as two important models in plasma physics which capture the complex dynamics of charged particles due to the self-consistent electromagnetic interactions at the kinetic and fluid levels, respectively. It has remained a long-standing open problem to justify whether or not the compressible Euler-Maxwell system (1.3) can be derived rigorously from the VMB system (1.1), as the Knudsen number ε goes to zero. In this paper, we will give an affirmative answer to the issue for smooth solutions of the Cauchy problems near constant equilibrium in the whole space.

There have been extensive mathematical studies on the global well-posedness of the VMB system. Under the angular cutoff assumption, Guo [25] gave the first proof for constructing the global classical solutions near global Maxwellians in the torus, and the corresponding existence result in the whole space was proved by Strain [52]. The optimal large-time behavior of those global solutions in the whole space were studied by Duan-Strain [12]. Since then, the spatially inhomogeneous perturbation theory of the VMB system with or without angular cutoff around global Maxwellians was further developed in different settings, see [9, 10, 11, 42] and references therein.

Correspondingly, the global well-posedness of the Euler-Maxwell system has also been well-studied in [19, 35, 28, 29, 6]. Precisely, Germain-Masmoudi [19] made use of the method of space-time resonance to construct the global smooth solutions of the one-fluid Euler-Maxwell model for electrons in the whole space under additional generic conditions on the parameters. The generic conditions were removed and a stronger decay was obtained later by Ionescu-Pausader [35] via a robust approach, and see also [6] for two spatial dimensions. A great progress was made by Guo-Ionescu-Pausader [29] for constructing the small-amplitude global smooth solutions of the two-fluid Euler-Maxwell system for both ions and electrons with disparate masses in the whole space; see also [28] for the relativistic case. Interested readers may refer to the introduction of [29] for more discussions on the Euler-Maxwell system and its connections to many other well-known dispersive PDEs, such as Euler-Poisson, Zakharov, Zakharov-Kuznetsov, KdV and NLS, etc. Here we would like to mention [8, 18, 55] and references therein for the global well-posedness of the Euler-Maxwell system with relaxation.

In the absence of the electromagnetic field, the VMB system is reduced to the Boltzmann equation. There is an enormous literature on the fluid dynamic limits of the Boltzmann equation, so it is hard to give an exhausting list. We mention some of them. For the approach based on the Hilbert or Chapman-Enskog expansions, we refer to [4, 24, 31, 32, 33, 39, 40, 43]. For the method employing the abstract Cauchy-Kovalevskaya theorem and the spectral analysis of the semigroup generated by the linearized Boltzmann equation, we refer to [48, 53, 2]. For the DiPerna-Lions [7] renormalized weak solutions, we refer to [1, 22, 23, 47, 41].

There are also some literatures on the hydrodynamic limits of the more complex VMB system in the presence of the electromagnetic field. In the incompressible regime, a diffusive expansion to the VMB system in the framework of classical solutions was considered in Jang [36] where the magnetic effect appears only as a higher order. Moreover, a comprehensive and great study was made by Arsénio and Saint-Raymond [3] to justify the various limits towards the incompressible viscous electromagneto-hydrodynamics for the VMB system under the different scalings. In particular, they obtained the limit to the Navier-Stokes-Fourier-Maxwell system with the Ohm's law for weak solutions to the VMB system; see also a recent progress [38] for classical solutions. We also mention [37] for some formal derivations of the fluid equations in the compressible regime.

In what follows we review some specific results that are most related to the topic of this paper in connection with the compressible Euler-Maxwell limit from the VMB system. For the study on the compressible Euler limit of the Boltzmann equation, under the angular cutoff assumption, employing the truncated Hilbert expansion, Caglioli [4] first showed that, if the compressible Euler system has a smooth solution which exists up to a finite time, then there exist corresponding solutions to the Boltzmann equation with a zero initial condition for the remainder term in the same time interval such that the Boltzmann solution converges to a smooth local Maxwellian whose fluid dynamical parameters satisfy the compressible Euler system as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Due to such zero initial condition, the obtained Boltzmann solution may be negative.

Following the Caglioli's strategy together with a new $L^2 - L^\infty$ interplay technique initiated by Guo [27], Guo-Jang-Jiang [31, 32] removed the restriction to zero initial condition so that the positivity of the Boltzmann solution can be guaranteed. The $L^2 - L^\infty$ interplay technique combined with the Hilbert expansion was employed later to treat the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system by Guo-Jang [30] which seems a great progress since the Euler-Poisson system can be first rigorously derived from a kinetic plasma model with the self-consistent potential force. Unfortunately, such $L^2 - L^\infty$ interplay technique fails for the VMB system, essentially due to the complex structure of the system, for instance, the Glassey-Strauss representation of the electromagnetic field no longer holds true for the non-relativistic case; see the detailed explanations of this point at the beginning of Section 3.4.

In the relativistic situation, more results on the compressible fluid limit have been obtained, for instance, for the relativistic Boltzmann equation by Speck-Strain [51] and for the relativistic VMB system by Guo-Xiao [34]; see also two very recent preprints [49, 50] for the case when the Boltzmann operator is replaced by the Landau operator. For the proof, the main benefit in the relativistic situation is that the relativistic transport velocity is bounded in contrast with the fact that the classical velocity is unbounded. In particular, the application of Glassey-Strauss representation is a necessary tool and also [49, 50] finds that they can disregard the use of the $L^2 - L^\infty$ technique and rather develop an energy method in smooth Sobolev spaces weighted with a special time-velocity function that can create the extra large-velocity dissipation; similar mechanism was observed in some earlier works [15, 16] as well as in a recent work [13] in a more general way.

We notice that the $L^2 - L^\infty$ technique was further developed to deal with the fluid limit problems in the half-space for the Boltzmann equation with different types of boundary conditions including specular reflection, diffuse reflection and even the mixed Maxwell reflection by Guo-Huang-Wang [33] and Jiang-Luo-Tang [39, 40].

It is worth noting that the $L^2 - L^\infty$ framework in [31, 32] does not apply to both the Boltzmann equation without cutoff and the Landau equation, since those long-range collision operators expose the velocity diffusion property so that L^∞ estimates are hard to obtain without using Sobolev embeddings. Recently, the same authors of this article [14] succeeded in developing a new method in terms of the pure high order energy estimates instead of the $L^2 - L^\infty$ interplay technique to deal with the compressible Euler limit for smooth solutions to the Landau equation with Coulomb potentials in the whole space.

In this paper, we will rigorously justify the hydrodynamic limit from the VMB system (1.1) to the compressible Euler-Maxwell system (1.3) in the whole space. Specifically, we can prove that there exists the

smooth solutions of the VMB system near a smooth local Maxwellian converging global-in-time to the corresponding smooth solutions of the compressible Euler-Maxwell system, as the Knudsen number ε goes to zero. The result is proved by elaborate energy estimates. More details will be specified later on.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the macro-micro decomposition of the solution for the VMB system in order to study the compressible Euler-Maxwell limit for global smooth solutions to the VMB system. In Section 3, we present the main results Theorem 3.3 for the compressible Euler-Maxwell limit. For conveniences of readers we also list key points for the strategy of the proof through the paper. To proceed the proof of main result, we first prepare in Section 4 some basic estimates. Section 5 is the main part of the proof for establishing the a priori estimates on both the fluid part and the kinetic part. In the end, we prove our main Theorem 3.3 in Section 6.

Notations. For convenience of presentation in the paper, we shall use $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to denote the standard L^2 inner product in \mathbb{R}_v^3 with its corresponding L^2 norm $|\cdot|_2$, and (\cdot, \cdot) to denote L^2 inner product in either \mathbb{R}_x^3 or $\mathbb{R}_x^3 \times \mathbb{R}_v^3$ with its corresponding L^2 norm $\|\cdot\|$. We also use $\|\cdot\|_{W^{k,p}}$ to denote standard spatial Sobolev norm of order k . The norm of $\nabla_x^k f$ means the sum of the norms of functions $\partial^\alpha f$ with $|\alpha| = k$. Let α and β be multi indices $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)$, respectively. Denote $\partial_\beta^\alpha = \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \partial_{x_2}^{\alpha_2} \partial_{x_3}^{\alpha_3} \partial_{v_1}^{\beta_1} \partial_{v_2}^{\beta_2} \partial_{v_3}^{\beta_3}$. If each component of β is not greater than the corresponding one of $\bar{\beta}$, we use the standard notation $\beta \leq \bar{\beta}$. And $\beta < \bar{\beta}$ means that $\beta \leq \bar{\beta}$ and $|\beta| < |\bar{\beta}|$. $C_\beta^{\bar{\beta}}$ is the usual binomial coefficient. Throughout the paper, generic positive constants are denoted by either c or C which may change line by line. The notation $A \approx B$ means that there exists $C > 1$ such that $C^{-1}B \leq A \leq CB$. We also use $\langle v \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |v|^2}$.

2. MACRO-MICRO DECOMPOSITION

In order to study the hydrodynamic limit from the VMB system (1.1) to the compressible Euler-Maxwell system (1.3), we will present in this section the macro-micro decomposition of the solution for the VMB system with respect to the local Maxwellian, that was initiated by Liu-Yu [44] and developed by Liu-Yang-Yu [45] for the Boltzmann equation.

Indeed, associated with a solution $F(t, x, v)$ to the VMB system (1.1), there are five macroscopic (fluid) quantities: the mass density $\rho(t, x) > 0$, momentum $\rho(t, x)u(t, x)$, and energy density $e(t, x) + \frac{1}{2}|u(t, x)|^2$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} \rho(t, x) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \psi_0(v) F(t, x, v) dv, \\ \rho(t, x)u_i(t, x) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \psi_i(v) F(t, x, v) dv, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3, \\ \rho(t, x)[e(t, x) + \frac{1}{2}|u(t, x)|^2] \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \psi_4(v) F(t, x, v) dv, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where $\psi_i(v)$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$) are five collision invariants given by

$$\psi_0(v) = 1, \quad \psi_i(v) = v_i \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \quad \psi_4(v) = \frac{1}{2}|v|^2,$$

and satisfy

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \psi_i(v) Q(F, F) dv = 0, \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4. \quad (2.2)$$

We define the local Maxwellian M associated to the solution F to the VMB system (1.1) in terms of the fluid quantities by

$$M \equiv M_{[\rho, u, \theta]}(t, x, v) := \frac{\rho(t, x)}{\sqrt{(2\pi R\theta(t, x))^3}} \exp\left\{-\frac{|v - u(t, x)|^2}{2R\theta(t, x)}\right\}. \quad (2.3)$$

Here $\theta(t, x) > 0$ is the temperature which is related to the internal energy $e(t, x)$ by $e = \frac{3}{2}R\theta = \theta$ with the gas constant $R = 2/3$ taken for convenience, and $u(t, x) = (u_1(t, x), u_2(t, x), u_3(t, x))$ is the bulk velocity.

Since the L^2 inner product in $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is denoted by $\langle h, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} h(v)g(v) dv$, the macroscopic kernel space is spanned by the following five pairwise-orthogonal base

$$\begin{cases} \chi_0(v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}} M, \quad \chi_i(v) = \frac{v_i - u_i}{\sqrt{R\rho\theta}} M, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3, \\ \chi_4(v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6\rho}} \left(\frac{|v - u|^2}{R\theta} - 3\right) M, \\ \langle \chi_i, \frac{\chi_j}{M} \rangle = \delta_{ij}, \quad \text{for } i, j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

where δ_{ij} being the Kronecker delta. In view of (2.4), we define the macroscopic projection P_0 and the microscopic projection P_1 as

$$P_0 h \equiv \sum_{i=0}^4 \langle h, \frac{\chi_i}{M} \rangle \chi_i, \quad \text{and} \quad P_1 h \equiv h - P_0 h, \quad (2.5)$$

respectively, where the operators P_0 and P_1 are orthogonal projections, namely, it holds that

$$P_0 P_0 = P_0, \quad P_1 P_1 = P_1, \quad P_1 P_0 = P_0 P_1 = 0.$$

A function $h(v)$ is said to be microscopic or non-fluid if

$$\langle h(v), \psi_i(v) \rangle = 0, \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4. \quad (2.6)$$

Using the notations above, we decompose the solution F of (1.1) into the combination of the local Maxwellian M defined in (2.3) and the microscopic (non-fluid) component $G = G(t, x, v)$ as

$$F = M + G, \quad P_0 F = M, \quad P_1 F = G. \quad (2.7)$$

Thanks to the fact that $Q(M, M) = 0$, the first equation of (1.1) can be written as

$$\partial_t(M + G) + v \cdot \nabla_x(M + G) - (E + v \times B) \cdot \nabla_v(M + G) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} L_M G + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} Q(G, G), \quad (2.8)$$

where the linearized Boltzmann operator L_M around the local Maxwellian M is defined as

$$L_M h := Q(h, M) + Q(M, h). \quad (2.9)$$

Its null space \mathcal{N}_M is spanned by the macroscopic variables $\chi_i(v)$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$).

Let's now decompose the VMB system (1.1) into the microscopic system and the macroscopic system in term of (2.7). First note that $P_1 L_M G = L_M G$, $P_1 Q(G, G) = Q(G, G)$, $P_1 \partial_t M = 0$ and $P_1 \partial_t G = \partial_t G$ due to (2.6) and (2.5). On the other hand, by tedious and careful calculations, we obtain

$$P_1 [(E + v \times B) \cdot \nabla_v F] = (E + v \times B) \cdot \nabla_v G.$$

With these facts, the microscopic (non-fluid) system for G is obtained by applying the microscopic projection P_1 to (2.8), namely

$$\partial_t G + P_1(v \cdot \nabla_x G) + P_1(v \cdot \nabla_x M) - (E + v \times B) \cdot \nabla_v G = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} L_M G + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} Q(G, G). \quad (2.10)$$

Since L_M is invertible on \mathcal{N}_M^\perp , we have from (2.10) that

$$G = \varepsilon L_M^{-1} [P_1(v \cdot \nabla_x M)] + L_M^{-1} \Theta, \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$\Theta := \varepsilon \partial_t G + \varepsilon P_1(v \cdot \nabla_x G) - \varepsilon (E + v \times B) \cdot \nabla_v G - Q(G, G). \quad (2.12)$$

By integration by parts, (2.1) and the facts that $\nabla_v \cdot (E + v \times B) = 0$ and $v \cdot (v \times B) = 0$, we have the following two identities:

$$- \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v [(E + v \times B) \cdot \nabla_v F] dv = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (E + v \times B) F dv = \rho(E + u \times B),$$

and

$$- \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{2} |v|^2 (E + v \times B) \cdot \nabla_v F dv = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \cdot (E + v \times B) F dv = \rho u \cdot E.$$

Multiplying (2.8) by the collision invariants 1 , v , $\frac{1}{2}|v|^2$ respectively, then integrating the resulting equations with respect to v over \mathbb{R}^3 and using two identities above, we have the following macroscopic (fluid) system:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \nabla_x \cdot (\rho u) = 0, \\ \partial_t (\rho u) + \nabla_x \cdot (\rho u \otimes u) + \nabla_x p + \rho(E + u \times B) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \otimes v \cdot \nabla_x G dv, \\ \partial_t [\rho(\theta + \frac{1}{2}|u|^2)] + \nabla_x \cdot [\rho u(\theta + \frac{1}{2}|u|^2) + p u] + \rho u \cdot E = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{2} |v|^2 v \cdot \nabla_x G dv, \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

where the pressure $p = R\rho\theta = \frac{2}{3}\rho\theta$.

Substituting (2.11) into (2.13) and using the following two identities

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_i v \cdot \nabla_x L_M^{-1} [P_1(v \cdot \nabla_x M)] dv &\equiv \sum_{j=1}^3 \partial_{x_j} [\mu(\theta) D_{ij}], \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\ - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{2} |v|^2 v \cdot \nabla_x L_M^{-1} [P_1(v \cdot \nabla_x M)] dv &\equiv \sum_{j=1}^3 \partial_{x_j} (\kappa(\theta) \partial_{x_j} \theta) + \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \partial_{x_j} [\mu(\theta) u_i D_{ij}], \end{aligned}$$

with the viscous stress tensor $D = [D_{ij}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3}$ given by

$$D_{ij} = \partial_{x_j} u_i + \partial_{x_i} u_j - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \nabla_x \cdot u, \quad (2.14)$$

we further obtain the following fluid-type system

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \partial_t \rho + \nabla_x \cdot (\rho u) &= 0, \\ \partial_t (\rho u_i) + \nabla_x \cdot (\rho u_i u) + \partial_{x_i} p + \rho (E + u \times B)_i &= \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^3 \partial_{x_j} (\mu(\theta) D_{ij}) \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_i (v \cdot \nabla_x L_M^{-1} \Theta) dv, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\ \partial_t [\rho(\theta + \frac{1}{2}|u|^2)] + \nabla_x \cdot [\rho u(\theta + \frac{1}{2}|u|^2) + p u] + \rho u \cdot E &= \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^3 \partial_{x_j} (\kappa(\theta) \partial_{x_j} \theta) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \partial_{x_j} (\mu(\theta) u_i D_{ij}) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{2} |v|^2 v \cdot \nabla_x L_M^{-1} \Theta dv, \end{aligned} \right. \quad (2.15)$$

where $(E + u \times B)_i$ represents the i -th component of $(E + u \times B)$. Moreover, from (1.1) and (2.1), the electromagnetic field $(E, B)(t, x)$ is governed by

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \partial_t E - \nabla_x \times B = \rho u, \quad \partial_t B + \nabla_x \times E = 0, \\ \nabla_x \cdot E = 1 - \rho, \quad \nabla_x \cdot B = 0. \end{aligned} \right. \quad (2.16)$$

Here the viscosity coefficient $\mu(\theta) > 0$ and the heat conductivity coefficient $\kappa(\theta) > 0$ in (2.15) are smooth functions depending only on the temperature θ , represented by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\theta) &= -R\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \hat{B}_{ij} \left(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}} \right) B_{ij} \left(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}} \right) dv > 0, \quad i \neq j, \\ \kappa(\theta) &= -R^2\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \hat{A}_j \left(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}} \right) A_j \left(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}} \right) dv > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{A}_j(\cdot)$ and $\hat{B}_{ij}(\cdot)$ are Burnett functions, defined by

$$\hat{A}_j(v) = \frac{|v|^2 - 5}{2} v_j \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{B}_{ij}(v) = v_i v_j - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij} |v|^2, \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, 2, 3. \quad (2.17)$$

And $A_j(\cdot)$ and $B_{ij}(\cdot)$ satisfy $P_0 A_j(v) = 0$ and $P_0 B_{ij}(v) = 0$, given by

$$A_j(v) = L_M^{-1} [\hat{A}_j(v) M] \quad \text{and} \quad B_{ij}(v) = L_M^{-1} [\hat{B}_{ij}(v) M]. \quad (2.18)$$

For the convenience of readers, we list some elementary but important properties of the Burnett functions summarized in the following lemma, cf. [54].

Lemma 2.1. *The Burnett functions have the following properties:*

- $-\langle \hat{A}_i, A_i \rangle$ is positive and independent of i ;
- $\langle \hat{A}_i, A_j \rangle = 0$ for any $i \neq j$; $\langle \hat{A}_i, B_{jk} \rangle = 0$ for any i, j, k ;
- $\langle \hat{B}_{ij}, B_{kj} \rangle = \langle \hat{B}_{kl}, B_{ij} \rangle = \langle \hat{B}_{ji}, B_{kj} \rangle$, which is independent of i, j , for fixed k, l ;
- $-\langle \hat{B}_{ij}, B_{ij} \rangle$ is positive and independent of i, j when $i \neq j$;
- $\langle \hat{B}_{ii}, B_{jj} \rangle$ is positive and independent of i, j when $i \neq j$;
- $-\langle \hat{B}_{ii}, B_{ii} \rangle$ is positive and independent of i ;
- $\langle \hat{B}_{ij}, B_{kl} \rangle = 0$ unless either $(i, j) = (k, l)$ or (l, k) , or $i=j$ and $k=l$;
- $\langle \hat{B}_{ii}, B_{ii} \rangle - \langle \hat{B}_{ii}, B_{jj} \rangle = 2\langle \hat{B}_{ij}, B_{ij} \rangle$ holds for any $i \neq j$.

To make a conclusion, we have decomposed the VMB system (1.1) as the coupling of the viscous compressible fluid-type system (2.15)-(2.16) and the microscopic equation (2.10). When the Knudsen number ε and the microscopic part G are set to be zero, the system (2.15)-(2.16) becomes the full compressible Euler-Maxwell system. These fluid systems can also be derived from the VMB system through the Hilbert expansion. This means that the macro-micro decomposition (2.7) in some sense can be viewed as a unification of the classical Hilbert expansion up to the first order approximation. Therefore, this decomposition gives a good framework for deriving rigorously the compressible Euler-Maxwell system from the VMB system.

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we make use of the macro-micro decomposition introduced in the previous section to establish our main result that for well-prepared data the smooth solutions of the VMB system (1.1) exist and converge to smooth solutions of the Euler-Maxwell system (1.3) globally in time, as the Knudsen number ε goes to zero.

3.1. Smooth solutions for Euler-Maxwell system. Now we construct the global smooth solutions for the compressible Euler-Maxwell system (1.3). For this, we supplement it with initial data

$$(\bar{\rho}, \bar{u}, \bar{E}, \bar{B})(0, x) = (\bar{\rho}_0, \bar{u}_0, \bar{E}_0, \bar{B}_0)(x). \quad (3.1)$$

Throughout this paper, we assume that $(\bar{\rho}_0, \bar{u}_0, \bar{E}_0, \bar{B}_0)(x)$ satisfies the same assumptions as in [35, Theorem 1.3]. Then the Cauchy problem on the compressible Euler-Maxwell system (1.3) and (3.1) admits a unique global-in-time smooth solution $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{u}, \bar{E}, \bar{B})(t, x)$. We note that the system (2.15)-(2.16) becomes the full compressible Euler-Maxwell system when ε and G are set to be zero. In order to recover the isentropic compressible Euler-Maxwell system (1.3) to the full compressible Euler-Maxwell system, we take $\bar{\theta}(t, x) = \frac{3}{2}\bar{\rho}^{2/3}(t, x)$, then $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{u}, \bar{\theta}, \bar{E}, \bar{B})(t, x)$ satisfies the following full compressible Euler-Maxwell system

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t \bar{\rho} + \nabla_x \cdot (\bar{\rho} \bar{u}) = 0, \\ \bar{\rho} \bar{u}_t + \bar{\rho} (\bar{u} \cdot \nabla_x \bar{u}) + \nabla_x \bar{p} = -\bar{\rho} (\bar{E} + \bar{u} \times \bar{B}), \\ \bar{\theta}_t + (\bar{u} \cdot \nabla_x \bar{\theta}) + \frac{2}{3} \bar{\theta} \nabla_x \cdot \bar{u} = 0, \\ \partial_t \bar{E} - \nabla_x \times \bar{B} = \bar{\rho} \bar{u}, \quad \partial_t \bar{B} + \nabla_x \times \bar{E} = 0, \\ \nabla_x \cdot \bar{E} = 1 - \bar{\rho}, \quad \nabla_x \cdot \bar{B} = 0, \end{array} \right. \quad (3.2)$$

where the pressure $\bar{p} = \bar{\rho}^{5/3} = \frac{2}{3} \bar{\rho} \bar{\theta}$. Moreover, we have the following properties of $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{u}, \bar{\theta}, \bar{E}, \bar{B})(t, x)$, which can be found in [35, Theorem 1.3].

Proposition 3.1. *Let $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{u}, \bar{E}, \bar{B})(t, x)$ be a global-in-time smooth solution to the compressible Euler-Maxwell system (1.3) and (3.1), and let $\bar{\theta}(t, x) = \frac{3}{2}\bar{\rho}^{2/3}(t, x)$, then the following estimate holds for all $t \geq 0$:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\bar{\rho} - 1, \bar{u}, \bar{\theta} - \frac{3}{2}, \bar{E}, \bar{B})\|_{W^{N_0, 2}} \\ & + (1+t)^\vartheta \{ \|(\bar{\rho} - 1, \bar{\theta} - \frac{3}{2}, \bar{B})\|_{W^{N, \infty}} + \|(\bar{u}, \bar{E})\|_{W^{N+1, \infty}} \} \leq C\eta_0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Here $\vartheta = 101/100$, $\eta_0 > 0$ is a sufficiently small constant and $N_0 > 0$ is a large integer, where integer N satisfies $3 \leq N < N_0$.

We recall that the main goal of this paper is to show that the smooth solution $F^\varepsilon(t, x, v)$ in (1.1) converges to a local Maxwellian

$$\bar{M} \equiv M_{[\bar{\rho}, \bar{u}, \bar{\theta}]}(t, x, v) := \frac{\bar{\rho}(t, x)}{\sqrt{(2\pi R \bar{\theta}(t, x))^3}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{|v - \bar{u}(t, x)|^2}{2R \bar{\theta}(t, x)} \right\}, \quad (3.4)$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, where $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{u}, \bar{\theta})(t, x)$ is given in Proposition 3.1. For this, we shall supplement (1.1) with a specific initial value

$$F^\varepsilon(0, x, v) \equiv M_{[\bar{\rho}, \bar{u}, \bar{\theta}]}(0, x, v) \geq 0, \quad (E^\varepsilon, B^\varepsilon)(0, x) \equiv (\bar{E}, \bar{B})(0, x), \quad (3.5)$$

where $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{u}, \bar{E}, \bar{B})(0, x)$ is given in (3.1) and $\bar{\theta}(0, x) = \frac{3}{2}\bar{\rho}^{2/3}(0, x)$.

3.2. Perturbation equations. As in [14], associated with the constant state $(1, 0, 3/2)$, we introduce a normalized global Maxwellian

$$\mu \equiv M_{[1,0,\frac{3}{2}]}(v) := (2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|v|^2}{2}\right). \quad (3.6)$$

Then we define the microscopic perturbation

$$\sqrt{\mu}f(t, x, v) = G(t, x, v) - \overline{G}(t, x, v), \quad (3.7)$$

and the macroscopic perturbation

$$(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{E}, \tilde{B})(t, x) = (\rho - \bar{\rho}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta}, E - \bar{E}, B - \bar{B})(t, x), \quad (3.8)$$

where $\overline{G}(t, x, v)$ is given by

$$\overline{G}(t, x, v) \equiv \varepsilon L_M^{-1} P_1 \left\{ v \cdot \left(\frac{|v-u|^2 \nabla_x \bar{\theta}}{2R\theta^2} + \frac{(v-u) \cdot \nabla_x \bar{u}}{R\theta} \right) M \right\}. \quad (3.9)$$

Note that the similar correction function $\overline{G}(t, x, v)$ was first introduced by Liu-Yang-Yu-Zhao [46] for the stability of the rarefaction wave to the one-dimensional Boltzmann equation.

Let's now derive the equations of the microscopic perturbation and the macroscopic perturbation. Note from (3.7) that $L_M G = L_M \overline{G} + L_M(\sqrt{\mu}f)$, we can rewrite (2.10) as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t G + P_1(v \cdot \nabla_x G) - (E + v \times B) \cdot \nabla_v G &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} L_M(\sqrt{\mu}f) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} Q(G, G) \\ &\quad - P_1 \left\{ v \cdot \left(\frac{|v-u|^2 \nabla_x \tilde{\theta}}{2R\theta^2} + \frac{(v-u) \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{u}}{R\theta} \right) M \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where we have used (3.9), (2.5) and

$$P_1(v \cdot \nabla_x M) = P_1 \left\{ v \cdot \left(\frac{|v-u|^2 \nabla_x \tilde{\theta}}{2R\theta^2} + \frac{(v-u) \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{u}}{R\theta} \right) M \right\} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} L_M \overline{G}. \quad (3.11)$$

Inspired by [26], denoting

$$\Gamma(h, g) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} Q(\sqrt{\mu}h, \sqrt{\mu}g), \quad \mathcal{L}h := \Gamma(h, \sqrt{\mu}) + \Gamma(\sqrt{\mu}, h), \quad (3.12)$$

then we can derive from this and (2.9) that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} L_M(\sqrt{\mu}f) = \mathcal{L}f + \Gamma\left(\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}, f\right) + \Gamma\left(f, \frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}\right). \quad (3.13)$$

Hence, using (3.10), (3.12), (3.13), (3.7) and the fact $P_1 = I - P_0$ in (2.5), we obtain the system for $f(t, x, v)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f - \frac{(E + v \times B) \cdot \nabla_v(\sqrt{\mu}f + \overline{G})}{\sqrt{\mu}} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathcal{L}f \\ = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Gamma\left(\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}, f\right) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Gamma\left(f, \frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}\right) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Gamma\left(\frac{G}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{G}{\sqrt{\mu}}\right) + \frac{P_0[v \cdot \nabla_x(\sqrt{\mu}f)]}{\sqrt{\mu}} \\ - \frac{P_1(v \cdot \nabla_x \overline{G})}{\sqrt{\mu}} - \frac{\partial_t \overline{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} P_1 \left\{ v \cdot \left(\frac{|v-u|^2 \nabla_x \tilde{\theta}}{2R\theta^2} + \frac{(v-u) \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{u}}{R\theta} \right) M \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Similarly, we can rewrite the first equation of (1.1) as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial_t F}{\sqrt{\mu}} + \frac{v \cdot \nabla_x F}{\sqrt{\mu}} - \frac{(E + v \times B) \cdot \nabla_v F}{\sqrt{\mu}} &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathcal{L}f + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Gamma\left(\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}, f\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Gamma\left(f, \frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}\right) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Gamma\left(\frac{G}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{G}{\sqrt{\mu}}\right) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{L_M \overline{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

We recall the properties of the linearized operator \mathcal{L} defined as (3.12) in [26]. Note that \mathcal{L} is self-adjoint and non-positive definite, and its null space $\ker \mathcal{L}$ is spanned by the basis $\{\sqrt{\mu}, v\sqrt{\mu}, |v|^2\sqrt{\mu}\}$. Moreover, for any $g \in (\ker \mathcal{L})^\perp$, there exists a generic constant $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$-\langle \mathcal{L}g, g \rangle \geq c_1 |g|_\nu^2 = c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nu(v) |g|^2 dv, \quad (3.16)$$

where $\nu(v) \approx (1 + |v|)$ is the collision frequency for the hard sphere model. We also define the weighted dissipation norms as

$$\|g\|_\nu^2 \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nu(v) |g|^2 dv dx. \quad (3.17)$$

Remark 3.2. *Since G and \bar{G} are purely microscopic, then the unknown $f(t, x, v)$ in (3.7) is purely microscopic, namely $f(t, x, v) \in (\ker \mathcal{L})^\perp$. This is essentially different from the one in [26] since $f(t, x, v)$ used in [26] involves the macroscopic part.*

By (3.8), (2.15), (2.16) and (3.2), we obtain the system for $(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{E}, \tilde{B})$ as

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t \tilde{\rho} + u \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{\rho} + \tilde{\rho} \nabla_x \cdot \tilde{u} + \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{\rho} + \tilde{\rho} \nabla_x \cdot u = 0, \\ \partial_t \tilde{u}_i + u \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{u}_i + \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{u}_i + \frac{2\tilde{\theta}}{3\tilde{\rho}} \partial_{x_i} \tilde{\rho} + \frac{2}{3} \partial_{x_i} \tilde{\theta} + \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{\tilde{\theta}}{\tilde{\rho}} - \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{\tilde{\rho}} \right) \partial_{x_i} \rho \\ \quad = -(\tilde{E} + u \times \tilde{B} + \tilde{u} \times \tilde{B})_i + \varepsilon \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{j=1}^3 \partial_{x_j} (\mu(\theta) D_{ij}) \\ \quad \quad - \frac{1}{\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_i (v \cdot \nabla_x L_M^{-1} \Theta) dv, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\ \partial_t \tilde{\theta} + u \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{\theta} + \frac{2}{3} \tilde{\theta} \nabla_x \cdot \tilde{u} + \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{\theta} + \frac{2}{3} \tilde{\theta} \nabla_x \cdot u \\ \quad = \varepsilon \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{j=1}^3 \partial_{x_j} (\kappa(\theta) \partial_{x_j} \theta) + \varepsilon \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \mu(\theta) \partial_{x_j} u_i D_{ij} \\ \quad \quad - \frac{1}{\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{2} |v|^2 v \cdot \nabla_x L_M^{-1} \Theta dv + \frac{1}{\rho} u \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \otimes v \cdot \nabla_x L_M^{-1} \Theta dv, \end{array} \right. \quad (3.18)$$

where the electromagnetic field (\tilde{E}, \tilde{B}) satisfies

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t \tilde{E} - \nabla_x \times \tilde{B} = \rho u - \tilde{\rho} \tilde{u}, \\ \partial_t \tilde{B} + \nabla_x \times \tilde{E} = 0, \\ \nabla_x \cdot \tilde{E} = -\tilde{\rho}, \quad \nabla_x \cdot \tilde{B} = 0. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.19)$$

In the late energy analysis, we will focus on these perturbation systems.

In order to prove the almost global-in-time solutions for the VMB system (1.1) and (3.5), a key point is to establish uniform energy estimates on the macroscopic part $(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{E}, \tilde{B})$ and the microscopic part f . For this, we define the instant energy as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_N(t) &\equiv \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \{ \|\partial^\alpha (\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{E}, \tilde{B})(t)\|^2 + \|\partial^\alpha f(t)\|^2 \} + \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq N, |\beta| \geq 1} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f(t)\|^2 \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^2 \sum_{|\alpha|=N} \{ \|\partial^\alpha (\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{E}, \tilde{B})(t)\|^2 + \|\partial^\alpha f(t)\|^2 \}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

and the dissipation rate as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_N(t) &\equiv \varepsilon \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} \|\partial^\alpha (\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})(t)\|^2 + \varepsilon \sum_{|\alpha|=N} \|\partial^\alpha f(t)\|_\nu^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \|\partial^\alpha f(t)\|_\nu^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq N, |\beta| \geq 1} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f(t)\|_\nu^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Throughout this article, we assume $N \geq 3$ corresponding to the one in (3.3).

3.3. Main theorem. Our main result is given as follows.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{u}, \bar{\theta}, \bar{E}, \bar{B})(t, x)$ be a global smooth solution to the compressible Euler-Maxwell system given in Proposition 3.1. Construct a local Maxwellian $M_{[\bar{\rho}, \bar{u}, \bar{\theta}]}(t, x, v)$ as in (3.4). Then there exists a small constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for each $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, the Cauchy problem on the Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system (1.1) and (3.5) admits a unique smooth solution $(F^\varepsilon(t, x, v), E^\varepsilon(t, x), B^\varepsilon(t, x))$ for all $t \in [0, T_{max}]$ with*

$$T_{max} = \frac{1}{4C_1} \frac{1}{\eta_0 \varepsilon^a + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}}, \quad \text{for } a \in [0, \frac{1}{2}), \quad (3.22)$$

where generic constant $C_1 > 1$ and small constant $\eta_0 > 0$ are independent of ε . Moreover, it holds that $F^\varepsilon(t, x, v) \geq 0$ and

$$\mathcal{E}_N(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \mathcal{D}_N(s) ds \leq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{2-2a}, \quad (3.23)$$

for any $t \in [0, T_{max}]$. In particular, there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of ε and T_{max} such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0, T_{max}]} \left\{ \left\| \frac{F^\varepsilon(t, x, v) - M_{[\bar{\rho}, \bar{u}, \bar{\theta}]}(t, x, v)}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right\|_{L_x^2 L_v^2} + \left\| \frac{F^\varepsilon(t, x, v) - M_{[\bar{\rho}, \bar{u}, \bar{\theta}]}(t, x, v)}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right\|_{L_x^\infty L_v^2} \right\} \\ & + \sup_{t \in [0, T_{max}]} \left\{ \|(E^\varepsilon - \bar{E}, B^\varepsilon - \bar{B})(t, x)\|_{L_x^2} + \|(E^\varepsilon - \bar{E}, B^\varepsilon - \bar{B})(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \right\} \leq C \varepsilon^{1-a}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Remark 3.4. *Theorem 3.3 shows that both the validity time T_{max} and the uniform convergence rate in small Knudsen number ε can be variable with respect to the parameter $a \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$. If we take $a \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, $T_{max} \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. This implies the global-in-time convergence from the VMB system to the Euler-Maxwell system.*

Remark 3.5. *Although only the hard sphere model is considered in this paper, we believe that our method can be applied to the Boltzmann operator for general soft potentials with or without angular cutoff or even to the Landau operator with Coulomb potentials, cf. [14].*

3.4. Key points of the proof. We are now in a position to make some comments on the analysis of this paper. We shall point out main difficulties to be overcome as well as main differences of the proof compared to the previous results [34]. Recall that by using the $L^2 - L^\infty$ framework developed in [31, 32, 30], together with the Glassey-Strauss representation of the electromagnetic field introduced in [21, 20], Guo-Xiao [34] proved the hydrodynamic limit from the relativistic VMB system to the relativistic compressible Euler-Maxwell system. However, the approach in [34] is again not available for the non-relativistic VMB system because their analysis depends crucially on the Glassey-Strauss representation of the electromagnetic field, which fails for the non-relativistic case. Indeed, in view of the Glassey-Strauss representation, the electric field E in the relativistic case can be expressed as follows:

$$4\pi E(t, x) = - \int_{|y-x| \leq t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{(\omega + \hat{v})(1 - |\hat{v}|^2)}{(1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega)^2} F(t - |y - x|, y, v) dv \frac{dy}{|y - x|^2} + \text{other terms}, \quad (3.25)$$

with $\hat{v} = \frac{v}{\sqrt{1+|v|^2}}$ and $\omega = \frac{y-x}{|y-x|}$. A similar representation also holds for the magnetic field B . Interested readers may refer to [21] and [20, Chapter 5] for more detailed representation. The relativistic velocity \hat{v} is bounded, so the expression $1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega$ is bounded away from 0. This fact is crucial in the analysis in [34]. However, the corresponding expression in the non-relativistic case is $1 + v \cdot \omega$. The expression $1 + v \cdot \omega$ could be 0 due to unbounded velocity v . This creates singularities in (3.25), such as $(1 + v \cdot \omega)^{-2}$. Hence, the strategy in [34] cannot be applied directly to the non-relativistic case, and ones have to develop new ideas.

Our strategy is based on nonlinear energy method in the high order Sobolev space instead of the $L^2 - L^\infty$ method. This method is based on the macro-micro decomposition in [44, 45] with respect to the local Maxwellian M determined by the solution of kinetic equations, namely

$$F = M + G. \quad (3.26)$$

Under this decomposition, we can rewrite the VMB system as a compressible Navier-Stokes-Maxwell-type system with the non-fluid component appearing in the conservative source terms, cf. (2.15), coupled with an equation for the non-fluid component, cf. (2.10). In this way, one advantage is that the viscosity and heat conductivity coefficients can be expressed explicitly so that the energy analysis in the context of the viscous compressible fluid can be applied to capture the dissipation of the fluid part. The other advantage is that the nonlinear term $Q(G, G)$ in (2.10) depends only on the microscopic part G .

Similar for showing the compressible fluid limit for smooth solutions to the Landau equation in [14], we need to consider the subtraction of G by \overline{G} as (3.9) to remove the linear terms, because those terms induce the energy term $\varepsilon \|(\nabla_x \tilde{\theta}, \nabla_x \tilde{u})\|^2$ in the L^2 estimate that is out of control by $O(\varepsilon^{2-2a})$ corresponding to (4.1). Hence we set $\sqrt{\mu}f = G - \overline{G}$ and then improve the decomposition (3.26) to the decomposition

$$F = M + \overline{G} + \sqrt{\mu}f. \quad (3.27)$$

One key observation is that the term \overline{G} can be represented precisely by using the Burnett functions so that its estimates can be made by using the properties of Burnett functions, cf. Lemma 4.6. Another key observation is that $f \in (\ker \mathcal{L})^\perp$ in (3.7) is purely microscopic such that the estimate

$$-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{L}\partial^\alpha f, \partial^\alpha f) \geq c_1 \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_\nu^2$$

holds true, which is again not true for the one in [26]. This is convenient for obtaining the ε -singularity trilinear estimate because the macroscopic part would not longer appear.

For the integral terms involving the inverse of the linearized operator L_M around the local Maxwellian, we make use of the Burnett functions and velocity-decay properties to deal with them, so that the estimates can be obtained in a clear way, see (5.22)-(5.26) for details. For the N -order space derivative estimate on the term $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}(\Gamma(\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \partial^\alpha f), \frac{I_2}{\sqrt{\mu}})$ in (4.31), we must move one derivative from the N -order derivative $\partial^\alpha f$ to the other component of the inner product by integration by part, see (4.34). This technique is also used for the electromagnetic field terms, see (4.62). To overcome the difficulties caused by the electromagnetic field, we employ some useful techniques developed by Guo [25] for a global Maxwellian. Because of the singular factor ε^{-1} in front of the fluid part $\|\partial^\alpha(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})\|^2$ in (4.33), one has to multiply the estimate (4.33) by ε^2 so that the fluid term can be controlled by $\mathcal{D}_N(t)$ in (3.21). Hence, this motives how we include the Knudsen number ε to the highest-order derivative $|\alpha| = N$ for the instant energy $\mathcal{E}_N(t)$ in (3.20).

Another important ingredient of the proof is that the an ε -dependent priori assumption (4.1) involving a parameter $a \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$. Under this a priori assumption, we have to handle energy estimates carefully. The desired goal is to obtain the uniform a priori estimate (3.23) and then derive the convergence rate (3.24).

4. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we give some basic estimates which will be used frequently in the later energy analysis. We should emphasize that in all estimates below, all constants $C > 0$ are independent of t and sufficiently small parameters both ε and η_0 .

4.1. A priori assumption. To prove the global existence of the solution for the VMB system in Theorem 3.3, a key point is to establish the a priori energy estimates on the macroscopic part $(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{E}, \tilde{B})$ and the microscopic part f based on the following a priori assumption:

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathcal{E}_N(t) \leq \varepsilon^{2-2a}, \quad a \in [0, \frac{1}{2}), \quad (4.1)$$

for arbitrary time $T \in (0, T_{max}]$ with T_{max} given by (3.22). Here $\mathcal{E}_N(t)$ is given by (3.20).

Remark 4.1. *The range of the parameter a in (4.1) will be determined by the estimate such as (6.3) in the later proof. This parameter is crucial for obtaining the almost global-in-time solution.*

Remark 4.2. *It should be pointed out that the energy inequality (4.1) holds true at $t = 0$ under the choice of the initial data (3.5). Indeed, we can claim that the initial data (3.5) automatically satisfies*

$$\mathcal{E}_N(t) |_{t=0} \leq C\eta_0^2 \varepsilon^2. \quad (4.2)$$

In fact, by the decomposition $F(t, x, v) = M_{[\rho, u, \theta]}(t, x, v) + G(t, x, v)$ as well as (3.5), we have $M_{[\rho, u, \theta]}(0, x, v) = M_{[\bar{\rho}, \bar{u}, \bar{\theta}]}(0, x, v)$ and $G(0, x, v) = 0$. This implies that

$$(\rho, u, \theta)(0, x) = (\bar{\rho}, \bar{u}, \bar{\theta})(0, x), \quad \overline{G}(0, x, v) + \sqrt{\mu}f(0, x, v) = 0.$$

Then it holds that

$$[\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta}](0, x) = [\rho - \bar{\rho}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta}](0, x) = 0, \quad f(0, x, v) = -\frac{\overline{G}(0, x, v)}{\sqrt{\mu}}.$$

Moreover, it follows from (3.5) that

$$[\tilde{E}, \tilde{B}](0, x) = [E - \bar{E}, B - \bar{B}](0, x) = 0.$$

By the above observations together with (3.20) and Lemma 4.6, one can see that (4.2) holds true. Therefore, letting $\eta_0 > 0$ be small enough, (4.1) is satisfied for $t = 0$.

Remark 4.3. In view of (4.1) and (3.3), it is straightforward to verify that

$$|\rho(t, x) - 1| + |u(t, x)| + |\theta(t, x) - \frac{3}{2}| \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}), \quad \frac{1}{2} < \rho(t, x) < \frac{3}{2}, \quad 1 < \theta(t, x) < 2, \quad (4.3)$$

holds for all $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3$.

In all lemmas below, all derived estimates are satisfied for any $0 \leq t \leq T$.

4.2. Estimates on correction term \bar{G} . We shall derive some estimates on \bar{G} in (3.9) frequently used throughout this paper. We first list several basic inequalities.

Lemma 4.4. For any function $h = h(x) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, it holds that

$$\|h\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C\|\nabla_x h\|, \quad \|h\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C\|h\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_x h\|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

For any function $h = h(x) \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, it holds that

$$\|h\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C\|\nabla_x h\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_x^2 h\|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The following lemma is similar to the one in [17, Lemma 6.1].

Lemma 4.5. Let $L_{\widehat{M}}$ defined in (2.9) for any Maxwellian $\widehat{M} = M_{[\widehat{\rho}, \widehat{u}, \widehat{\theta}]}(v)$. Suppose that $U(v)$ is any polynomial of $\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}}$ such that $U(v)\widehat{M} \in (\ker L_{\widehat{M}})^\perp$. For any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and any multi-index β , there exists constant $C_\beta > 0$ such that

$$|\partial_\beta L_{\widehat{M}}^{-1}(U(v)\widehat{M})| \leq C_\beta(\widehat{\rho}, \widehat{u}, \widehat{\theta})\widehat{M}^{1-\epsilon}.$$

Moreover, if (4.3) holds, there exists constant $C_\beta > 0$ such that

$$|\partial_\beta A_j(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}})| + |\partial_\beta B_{ij}(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}})| \leq C_\beta M^{1-\epsilon}, \quad (4.4)$$

where $A_j(\cdot)$ and $B_{ij}(\cdot)$ are defined in (2.18).

Based on Lemma 4.5 above, we can deduce that

Lemma 4.6. Let \bar{G} given in (3.9) and $\langle v \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |v|^2}$. Assume that the a priori assumption (4.1) and (3.3) hold. For any $b \geq 0$ and $|\beta| \geq 0$, if $|\alpha| \leq N - 1$, we have

$$\|\langle v \rangle^b \partial_\beta^\alpha (\frac{\bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}})\| \leq C\eta_0 \varepsilon. \quad (4.5)$$

If $|\alpha| = N$, we have

$$\|\langle v \rangle^b \partial_\beta^\alpha (\frac{\bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}})\| \leq C\eta_0 \varepsilon^{1-a}. \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. Thanks to (2.17) and (2.18), we can rewrite \bar{G} in (3.9) as

$$\bar{G} = \varepsilon \frac{\sqrt{R}}{\sqrt{\theta}} \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial \bar{\theta}}{\partial x_j} A_j(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}}) + \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial \bar{u}_j}{\partial x_i} B_{ij}(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}}). \quad (4.7)$$

Then, for $k = 1, 2, 3$, a direct calculation shows that

$$\frac{\partial \bar{G}}{\partial v_k} = \varepsilon \frac{\sqrt{R}}{\sqrt{\theta}} \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial \bar{\theta}}{\partial x_j} \partial_{v_k} A_j(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{R\theta}} + \varepsilon \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \frac{\partial \bar{u}_j}{\partial x_i} \partial_{v_k} B_{ij}(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{R\theta}}, \quad (4.8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \bar{G}}{\partial x_k} = & \varepsilon \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{R}}{\sqrt{\theta}} \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial^2 \bar{\theta}}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} A_j \left(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}} \right) - \frac{\sqrt{R}}{2\sqrt{\theta^3}} \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial \bar{\theta}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_k} A_j \left(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}} \right) \right. \\
& - \frac{\sqrt{R}}{\sqrt{\theta}} \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial \bar{\theta}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \cdot \nabla_v A_j \left(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{R\theta}} - \frac{\sqrt{R}}{\sqrt{\theta}} \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial \bar{\theta}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_k} \nabla_v A_j \left(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}} \right) \cdot \frac{v-u}{2\sqrt{R\theta^3}} \\
& + \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}_j}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} B_{ij} \left(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}} \right) - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \frac{\partial \bar{u}_j}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \cdot \nabla_v B_{ij} \left(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{R\theta}} \\
& \left. - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \frac{\partial \bar{u}_j}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_k} \nabla_v B_{ij} \left(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}} \right) \cdot \frac{v-u}{2\sqrt{R\theta^3}} \right\}. \tag{4.9}
\end{aligned}$$

For any $|\beta| \geq 0$ and $b \geq 0$, using the similar expression as (4.8), (4.4) and (3.3), one gets

$$\| \langle v \rangle^b \partial_\beta \left(\frac{\bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) \|^2 \leq C \varepsilon^2 \| (\nabla_x \bar{u}, \nabla_x \bar{\theta}) \|^2 \leq C \eta_0^2 \varepsilon^2, \tag{4.10}$$

where we used (4.3) and sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ to claim that

$$| \langle v \rangle^b \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} M^{1-\varepsilon} |_2 \leq C. \tag{4.11}$$

Similarly, if $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N$, it is straightforward to get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\| \langle v \rangle^b \partial_\beta^\alpha \left(\frac{\bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) \|^2 \leq & C \varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\{ | \partial^\alpha (\nabla_x \bar{u}, \nabla_x \bar{\theta}) | + | (\nabla_x \bar{u}, \nabla_x \bar{\theta}) | | \nabla_x (u, \theta) |^{|\alpha|} \right. \\
& \left. + \dots + | (\nabla_x \bar{u}, \nabla_x \bar{\theta}) | | \partial^\alpha (u, \theta) |^2 \right\} dx, \tag{4.12}
\end{aligned}$$

where \dots denoting the low order nonlinear terms. To bound (4.12), we only estimate the second term and last term since the other terms can be treated similarly. By virtue of (4.1) and (3.20), one gets

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=N} \left\{ \| \partial^\alpha (\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{E}, \tilde{B}) \|^2 + \| \partial^\alpha f \|^2 \right\} \leq \varepsilon^{-2a}. \tag{4.13}$$

Using the embedding inequality, (3.3) and (4.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\| \nabla_x (u, \theta) \|_{L^\infty} & \leq \| \nabla_x (\bar{u}, \bar{\theta}) \|_{L^\infty} + \| \nabla_x (\tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta}) \|_{L^\infty} \\
& \leq C \eta_0 (1+t)^{-\vartheta} + C \| \nabla_x^2 (\tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta}) \|_{\frac{1}{2}} \| \nabla_x^3 (\tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta}) \|_{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq C \eta_0 + C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}a} \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}a} \leq C (\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}), \tag{4.14}
\end{aligned}$$

where in the third inequality we have used (4.13) when $N = 3$. Hence, the second term in (4.12) can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} | (\nabla_x \bar{u}, \nabla_x \bar{\theta}) |^2 | \nabla_x (u, \theta) |^{2|\alpha|} dx \\
& \leq C \varepsilon^2 \| \nabla_x (u, \theta) \|_{L^\infty}^{2|\alpha|} \| (\nabla_x \bar{u}, \nabla_x \bar{\theta}) \|^2 \leq C \eta_0^2 \varepsilon^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used the smallness of both η_0 and ε and chosen $a \in [0, 1/2)$ such that

$$\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a} < 1. \tag{4.15}$$

The requirement (4.15) will be frequently used later on. For the last term in (4.12), one has

$$\varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} | (\nabla_x \bar{u}, \nabla_x \bar{\theta}) |^2 | \partial^\alpha (u, \theta) |^2 dx \leq C \eta_0^2 (\eta_0^2 + \| \partial^\alpha (\tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta}) \|^2) \varepsilon^2.$$

Therefore, for $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N$, we obtain

$$\| \langle v \rangle^b \partial_\beta^\alpha \left(\frac{\bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) \|^2 \leq C \eta_0^2 (1 + \| \partial^\alpha (\tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta}) \|^2) \varepsilon^2. \tag{4.16}$$

If $|\alpha| = N$ in (4.16), we immediately get (4.6) by using (4.13). Likewise, the desired estimate (4.5) follows from (4.10), (4.16) and (4.1). We thus finish the proof of Lemma 4.6. \square

4.3. Estimates on collision terms. To perform the energy estimates for the equations (3.14) and (3.15), we need to treat those collision terms.

4.3.1. Properties of \mathcal{L} and Γ . We first list the following results on the linearized Boltzmann operator \mathcal{L} and the nonlinear collision terms $\Gamma(g_1, g_2)$ defined in (3.12). Interested readers may refer to [26, 25] for more details.

Lemma 4.7. *For $|\beta| > 0$, there exist the constants $c_2 > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that*

$$-\langle \partial_\beta \mathcal{L}g, \partial_\beta g \rangle \geq c_2 |\partial_\beta g|_\nu^2 - C|g|_\nu^2. \quad (4.17)$$

Lemma 4.8. *For $|\beta| \geq 0$, there exists the constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$|\langle \partial_\beta \Gamma(g_1, g_2), g_3 \rangle| \leq C \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta} \{ |\partial_{\beta_1} g_1|_2 |\partial_{\beta_2} g_2|_\nu + |\partial_{\beta_1} g_1|_\nu |\partial_{\beta_2} g_2|_2 \} |g_3|_\nu. \quad (4.18)$$

4.3.2. Estimates on linear collision terms. For later use, we now consider the linear terms $\Gamma(f, \frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}})$ and $\Gamma(\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}, f)$. We first deduce the mixed derivative estimates with respect to both the space variable x and the velocity variable v .

Lemma 4.9. *Assume that (3.3) and (4.1) hold. For $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq N$ and $|\beta| \geq 1$, one has*

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} |(\partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma(f, \frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}), \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |(\partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}, f), \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \mathcal{D}_N(t). \quad (4.19)$$

Proof. Let $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq N$ and $|\beta| \geq 1$, we have from (4.18) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |(\partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma(f, \frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}), \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| \\ & \leq C \sum_{\alpha_1 \leq \alpha} \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 \leq \beta} \underbrace{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \{ |\partial_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1} f|_2 |\partial_{\beta_2}^{\alpha-\alpha_1}(\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}})|_\nu + |\partial_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1} f|_\nu |\partial_{\beta_2}^{\alpha-\alpha_1}(\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}})|_2 \} |\partial_\beta^\alpha f|_\nu dx}_{J_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

For any $|\beta'| \geq 0$ and any $b \geq 0$, by (4.3), there exist $R_1 > 0$ large enough such that

$$\int_{|v| \geq R_1} \langle v \rangle^{2b} |\partial_{\beta'}(\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}})|^2 dv \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a})^2,$$

and

$$\int_{|v| \leq R_1} \langle v \rangle^{2b} |\partial_{\beta'}(\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}})|^2 dv \leq C(|\rho - 1| + |u| + |\theta - \frac{3}{2}|)^2 \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a})^2,$$

which yields immediately that

$$|\langle v \rangle^b \partial_{\beta'}(\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}})|_2 \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}). \quad (4.21)$$

It is clear that $|\alpha| \leq N - 1$ in (4.20) since $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq N$ and $|\beta| \geq 1$. Note that the norm $|\cdot|_\nu$ is stronger than $|\cdot|_2$. If $|\alpha - \alpha_1| = 0$, then we get from this, (4.21) and (3.21) that

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 & \leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1} f|_\nu |\partial_{\beta_2}^{\alpha-\alpha_1}(\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}})|_\nu |\partial_\beta^\alpha f|_\nu dx \\ & \leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \| |\partial_{\beta_2}^{\alpha-\alpha_1}(\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}})|_\nu \|_{L^\infty} \| \partial_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1} f \|_\nu \| \partial_\beta^\alpha f \|_\nu \\ & \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \| \partial_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1} f \|_\nu \| \partial_\beta^\alpha f \|_\nu \\ & \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \mathcal{D}_N(t). \end{aligned}$$

By a simple computation, one has the following identity

$$\partial_{x_i} M = M \left\{ \frac{\partial_{x_i} \rho}{\rho} + \frac{(v-u) \cdot \partial_{x_i} u}{R\theta} + \left(\frac{|v-u|^2}{2R\theta} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \frac{\partial_{x_i} \theta}{\theta} \right\}. \quad (4.22)$$

Then for $|\alpha| \geq 2$ and $\partial^\alpha = \partial^{\alpha'} \partial_{x_i}$, we easily obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^\alpha M = & M \left\{ \frac{\partial^{\alpha'} \partial_{x_i} \rho}{\rho} + \frac{(v-u) \cdot \partial^{\alpha'} \partial_{x_i} u}{R\theta} + \left(\frac{|v-u|^2}{2R\theta} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \frac{\partial^{\alpha'} \partial_{x_i} \theta}{\theta} \right\} \\ & + \sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha'} C_{\alpha'}^{\alpha_1} \left\{ \partial^{\alpha_1} \left(M \frac{1}{\rho} \right) \partial^{\alpha' - \alpha_1} \partial_{x_i} \rho + \partial^{\alpha_1} \left(M \frac{v-u}{R\theta} \right) \cdot \partial^{\alpha' - \alpha_1} \partial_{x_i} u \right. \\ & \left. + \partial^{\alpha_1} \left(M \frac{|v-u|^2}{2R\theta^2} - M \frac{3}{2\theta} \right) \partial^{\alpha' - \alpha_1} \partial_{x_i} \theta \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

If $1 \leq |\alpha - \alpha_1| \leq N - 1$, using the Young's inequality, the embedding inequality, (3.3), (4.1), (4.14) and (4.13), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1}(\rho, u, \theta)\|_{L^3} & \leq C \|\partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1}(\bar{\rho}, \bar{u}, \bar{\theta})\|_{L^3} + C \|\partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1}(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})\|_{L^3} \\ & \leq C\eta_0 + C \|\partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1}(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_x \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1}(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C\eta_0 + C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}(1-a)} \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}a} \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

and

$$\|\nabla_x(\rho, u, \theta)^{|\alpha - \alpha_1|}\|_{L^3} \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}). \quad (4.25)$$

Then, for $1 \leq |\alpha - \alpha_1| \leq N - 1$, we get by (4.11), (4.22), (4.23), (4.24) and (4.25) that

$$\|\partial_{\beta_2}^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \left(\frac{M - \mu}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) |_\nu\|_{L^3} \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}). \quad (4.26)$$

Hence, for the cases $1 \leq |\alpha - \alpha_1| \leq N - 1$ in (4.20), then $|\alpha_1| \leq |\alpha| - 1$ and $|\alpha_1| + |\beta_1| \leq |\alpha| + |\beta| - 1 \leq N - 1$, we use $L^6 - L^3 - L^2$ Hölder inequality, (4.26) and (3.21) to get

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 & \leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1} f|_\nu |\partial_{\beta_2}^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \left(\frac{M - \mu}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) |_\nu |\partial_\beta^\alpha f|_\nu dx \\ & \leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\partial_{\beta_2}^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \left(\frac{M - \mu}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) |_\nu\|_{L^3} \|\partial_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1} f|_\nu\|_{L^6} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f|_\nu\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \mathcal{D}_N(t). \end{aligned}$$

Putting the estimates on J_1 into (4.20), one gets

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} |(\partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma(f, \frac{M - \mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}), \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \mathcal{D}_N(t). \quad (4.27)$$

The second term on the LHS of (4.19) has the same bound as (4.27). This ends the proof of Lemma 4.9. \square

Similar arguments as in (4.19), we have the following estimates.

Lemma 4.10. *For $|\alpha| \leq N - 1$, it holds that*

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} |(\partial^\alpha \Gamma(\frac{M - \mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}, f), \partial^\alpha f)| + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |(\partial^\alpha \Gamma(f, \frac{M - \mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}), \partial^\alpha f)| \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \mathcal{D}_N(t). \quad (4.28)$$

Note that Lemma 4.9 and Lemma 4.10 do not include the N th-order space derivatives. Since the N th-order space derivatives in $\mathcal{E}_N(t)$ are much more singular, we need to derive the N -order space derivative estimates separately.

Lemma 4.11. *For any α with $|\alpha| = N$, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |(\partial^\alpha \Gamma(\frac{M - \mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}, f), \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}})| + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |(\partial^\alpha \Gamma(f, \frac{M - \mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}), \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}})| \\ & \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

Proof. Let $|\alpha| = N$, we easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(\partial^\alpha \Gamma(\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}, f), \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}}) &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(\Gamma(\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \partial^\alpha f), \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}}) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha} C_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(\Gamma(\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1}(M-\mu)}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} f), \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

In view of the decomposition $F = M + \bar{G} + \sqrt{\mu}f$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(\Gamma(\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \partial^\alpha f), \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}}) &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(\Gamma(\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \partial^\alpha f), \frac{\partial^\alpha M}{\sqrt{\mu}}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(\Gamma(\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \partial^\alpha f), \frac{\partial^\alpha \bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}} + \partial^\alpha f). \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

Let us carefully deal with the first term on the RHS of (4.31). For $|\alpha| = N$, denoting $\partial^\alpha = \partial^{\alpha'} \partial_{x_i}$ with $|\alpha'| = N-1$, we have from (4.23) that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^\alpha M &= M \left\{ \frac{\partial^\alpha \bar{\rho}}{\rho} + \frac{(v-u) \cdot \partial^\alpha \bar{u}}{R\theta} + \left(\frac{|v-u|^2}{2R\theta} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \frac{\partial^\alpha \bar{\theta}}{\theta} \right\} \\ &+ M \left\{ \frac{\partial^\alpha \bar{\rho}}{\rho} + \frac{(v-u) \cdot \partial^\alpha \bar{u}}{R\theta} + \left(\frac{|v-u|^2}{2R\theta} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \frac{\partial^\alpha \bar{\theta}}{\theta} \right\} \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha'} C_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1} \left\{ \partial^{\alpha_1} \left(M \frac{1}{\rho} \right) \partial^{\alpha'-\alpha_1} \partial_{x_i} \rho + \partial^{\alpha_1} \left(M \frac{v-u}{R\theta} \right) \cdot \partial^{\alpha'-\alpha_1} \partial_{x_i} u \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \partial^{\alpha_1} \left(M \frac{|v-u|^2}{2R\theta^2} - M \frac{3}{2\theta} \right) \partial^{\alpha'-\alpha_1} \partial_{x_i} \theta \right\} \\ &:= I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

By the definition of I_1 in (4.32), (4.18), (4.11), (4.21) and (3.21), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left| \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \partial^\alpha f\right), \frac{I_1}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) \right| &\leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\{ \left| \left(\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}\right)_2 \right| |\partial^\alpha f|_\nu + \left| \left(\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}\right)_\nu \right| |\partial^\alpha f|_2 \right\} \left| \frac{I_1}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right|_\nu dx \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \left(\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}\right)_\nu \right| |\partial^\alpha f|_\nu |\partial^\alpha(\bar{\rho}, \bar{u}, \bar{\theta})| dx \\ &\leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\|\partial^\alpha f\|_\nu^2 + \|\partial^\alpha(\bar{\rho}, \bar{u}, \bar{\theta})\|^2) \\ &\leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t). \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

Recalling that $\partial^\alpha = \partial^{\alpha'} \partial_{x_i}$ with $|\alpha'| = N-1$, we have from the integration by parts that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \partial^\alpha f\right), \frac{I_2}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \partial^{\alpha'} f\right), \partial_{x_i} \left[\frac{M}{\sqrt{\mu}} \left\{ \frac{\partial^\alpha \bar{\rho}}{\rho} + \frac{(v-u) \cdot \partial^\alpha \bar{u}}{R\theta} + \left(\frac{|v-u|^2}{2R\theta} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \frac{\partial^\alpha \bar{\theta}}{\theta} \right\} \right] \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\Gamma\left(\partial_{x_i} \left[\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right], \partial^{\alpha'} f\right), \frac{M}{\sqrt{\mu}} \left\{ \frac{\partial^\alpha \bar{\rho}}{\rho} + \frac{(v-u) \cdot \partial^\alpha \bar{u}}{R\theta} + \left(\frac{|v-u|^2}{2R\theta} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \frac{\partial^\alpha \bar{\theta}}{\theta} \right\} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

which can be further bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} &C \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial^{\alpha'} f|_\nu \left\{ |\partial_{x_i} \partial^\alpha(\bar{\rho}, \bar{u}, \bar{\theta})| + |\partial^\alpha(\bar{\rho}, \bar{u}, \bar{\theta})| |\partial_{x_i}(\rho, u, \theta)| \right\} dx \\ &\leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \|\partial^{\alpha'} f\|_\nu^2 + \varepsilon^2 \right) \\ &\leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \varepsilon, \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

according to (4.18), (4.11), (4.21), (3.3), (4.1) and (3.21). As for the term I_3 in (4.32), we write $I_3 = I_3^1 + I_3^2$ with

$$\begin{aligned} I_3^1 &= \sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha'} C_{\alpha'}^{\alpha_1} \left(\partial^{\alpha_1} \left(M \frac{1}{\rho} \right) \partial^{\alpha' - \alpha_1} \partial_{x_i} \tilde{\rho} + \partial^{\alpha_1} \left(M \frac{v-u}{R\theta} \right) \cdot \partial^{\alpha' - \alpha_1} \partial_{x_i} \tilde{u} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \partial^{\alpha_1} \left(M \frac{|v-u|^2}{2R\theta^2} - M \frac{3}{2\theta} \right) \partial^{\alpha' - \alpha_1} \partial_{x_i} \tilde{\theta} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_3^2 &= \sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha'} C_{\alpha'}^{\alpha_1} \left(\partial^{\alpha_1} \left(M \frac{1}{\rho} \right) \partial^{\alpha' - \alpha_1} \partial_{x_i} \bar{\rho} + \partial^{\alpha_1} \left(M \frac{v-u}{R\theta} \right) \cdot \partial^{\alpha' - \alpha_1} \partial_{x_i} \bar{u} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \partial^{\alpha_1} \left(M \frac{|v-u|^2}{2R\theta^2} - M \frac{3}{2\theta} \right) \partial^{\alpha' - \alpha_1} \partial_{x_i} \bar{\theta} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For $1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha'$ with $|\alpha'| = N - 1$, we get by (4.18) and (4.21) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left| \left(\Gamma \left(\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \partial^\alpha f \right), \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} \partial^{\alpha_1} \left(M \frac{1}{\rho} \right) \partial^{\alpha' - \alpha_1} \partial_{x_i} \tilde{\rho} \right) \right| \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right|_{\nu} |\partial^\alpha f|_{\nu} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} \partial^{\alpha_1} \left(M \frac{1}{\rho} \right) \partial^{\alpha' - \alpha_1} \partial_{x_i} \tilde{\rho} \right|_{\nu} dx \\ &\leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{\nu} \|L^2\| \|\partial^{\alpha' - \alpha_1} \partial_{x_i} \tilde{\rho}\|_{L^6} \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} \partial^{\alpha_1} \left(M \frac{1}{\rho} \right) \right\|_{\nu} \|L^3\| \\ &\leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t), \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used the similar argument as (4.26), (3.21) and (4.15). The other terms in I_3^1 can be handled similarly, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left| \left(\Gamma \left(\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \partial^\alpha f \right), \frac{I_3^1}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) \right| \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t).$$

Similar arguments as (4.35), one gets

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left| \left(\Gamma \left(\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \partial^\alpha f \right), \frac{I_3^2}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) \right| \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \varepsilon.$$

Thanks to $I_3 = I_3^1 + I_3^2$, we deduce from the aforementioned two estimates that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left| \left(\Gamma \left(\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \partial^\alpha f \right), \frac{I_3}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) \right| \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \varepsilon. \quad (4.36)$$

Combining (4.36), (4.33) and (4.35) yields that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left| \left(\Gamma \left(\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \partial^\alpha f \right), \frac{\partial^\alpha M}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) \right| \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \varepsilon. \quad (4.37)$$

The second term on the RHS of (4.31) has the same bound as (4.37). Hence, one gets

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left| \left(\Gamma \left(\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \partial^\alpha f \right), \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) \right| \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \varepsilon^{1-2a}. \quad (4.38)$$

It remains to compute the last term in (4.30). Note that the norm $|\cdot|_{\nu}$ is stronger than $|\cdot|_2$, we have from (4.18) that

$$\sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left| \left(\Gamma \left(\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1} (M-\mu)}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} f \right), \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) \right| \leq C \underbrace{\sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1} M}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right|_{\nu} |\partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} f|_{\nu} \left| \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right|_{\nu} dx}_{J_2}.$$

To bound J_2 , we first give the following two estimates for $|\alpha| = N$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right\|_{\nu} &\leq \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{\nu} + \left\| \frac{\partial^\alpha \bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right\|_{\nu} + \left\| \frac{\partial^\alpha M}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right\|_{\nu} \\ &\leq C(\|\partial^\alpha f\|_{\nu} + \|\partial^\alpha(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})\| + \eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.39)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\partial^\alpha M}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right\|_\nu &\leq C(\|\partial^\alpha(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})\| + \eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \\ &\leq C(\varepsilon^{-a} + \eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \leq C\varepsilon^{-a}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.40)$$

Here we have used (4.23), (4.6), (4.11), (3.3), (4.1) and (4.15). If $|\alpha_1| = N$, we have from (4.40), (4.39) and (3.21) that

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &\leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left\| \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1} M}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right\|_\nu \|\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} f\|_\nu \|L^\infty\| \left\| \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right\|_\nu \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{-a} (\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla_x f\|_\nu \|\nabla_x^2 f\|_\nu + \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} \left\| \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right\|_\nu^2) \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}. \end{aligned}$$

If $N/2 < |\alpha_1| \leq N-1$, then by $L^6 - L^3 - L^2$ Hölder inequality, (4.26), (4.39), (4.15) and (3.21), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &\leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left\| \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1} M}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right\|_\nu \|L^3\| \|\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} f\|_\nu \|L^6\| \left\| \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right\|_\nu \\ &\leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \|\nabla_x \partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} f\|_\nu^2 + \varepsilon^2 \left\| \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right\|_\nu^2 \right) \\ &\leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}. \end{aligned}$$

If $1 \leq |\alpha_1| \leq N/2$, then by the similar calculations as (4.26), one has

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1} M}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right\|_\nu \|L^\infty\| \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}), \quad (4.41)$$

which together with (4.39), (4.15) and (3.21), yields

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &\leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left\| \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1} M}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right\|_\nu \|L^\infty\| \|\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} f\|_\nu \left\| \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right\|_\nu \\ &\leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}. \end{aligned}$$

Collecting the above estimates on J_2 , we conclude that

$$\sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left| \left(\Gamma \left(\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1} (M - \mu)}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} f \right), \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) \right| \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}.$$

Hence, putting this and (4.38) into (4.30) and taking $a \in [0, 1/2)$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left| \left(\partial^\alpha \Gamma \left(\frac{M - \mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}, f \right), \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) \right| \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}. \quad (4.42)$$

The second term on the LHS of (4.29) has same bound as (4.42). So the desired estimate (4.29) follows. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.11. \square

4.3.3. Estimates on non-linear collision terms. Next we consider the nonlinear collision terms $\Gamma(\frac{G}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{G}{\sqrt{\mu}})$. As before, we first derive the mixed derivative estimates with respect to both the space variable x and the velocity variable v .

Lemma 4.12. *For $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq N$ and $|\beta| \geq 1$, it holds that*

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left| \left(\partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma \left(\frac{G}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{G}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right), \partial_\beta^\alpha f \right) \right| \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C\varepsilon \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \quad (4.43)$$

Proof. Let $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq N$ and $|\beta| \geq 1$, we use $G = \bar{G} + \sqrt{\mu}f$ to write

$$\partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma \left(\frac{G}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{G}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) = \partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma \left(\frac{\bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{\bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) + \partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma \left(\frac{\bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}}, f \right) + \partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma \left(f, \frac{\bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) + \partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma(f, f).$$

We take the inner product of the above equality with $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\partial_\beta^\alpha f$ and then compute each term. By (4.18), Lemma 4.6, the embedding inequality, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\varepsilon}|(\partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\frac{\overline{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{\overline{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}}), \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| \\ & \leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{\alpha_1 \leq \alpha} \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 \leq \beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1}(\frac{\overline{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}})|_\nu |\partial_{\beta_2}^{\alpha - \alpha_1}(\frac{\overline{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}})|_\nu |\partial_\beta^\alpha f|_\nu dx \\ & \leq C \eta_0 \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C \varepsilon \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.44)$$

Using (4.18) again, it is clear that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon}|(\partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\frac{\overline{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}}, f), \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| \leq C \sum_{\alpha_1 \leq \alpha} \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 \leq \beta} \underbrace{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1}(\frac{\overline{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}})|_\nu |\partial_{\beta_2}^{\alpha - \alpha_1} f|_\nu |\partial_\beta^\alpha f|_\nu dx}_{J_3}.$$

To compute J_3 , first note that $|\alpha_1| \leq |\alpha| \leq N - 1$ in J_3 since we only consider the case $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq N$ and $|\beta| \geq 1$. If $|\alpha_1| + |\beta_1| \leq N/2$, one gets from Lemma 4.6 and (3.21) that

$$J_3 \leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\partial_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1}(\frac{\overline{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}})\|_\nu \|L^\infty\| \|\partial_{\beta_2}^{\alpha - \alpha_1} f\|_\nu \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_\nu \leq C \eta_0 \varepsilon^{1-a} \mathcal{D}_N(t).$$

If $N/2 < |\alpha_1| + |\beta_1| \leq N$, we get by (4.5) and (3.21) that

$$\begin{aligned} J_3 & \leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\partial_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1}(\frac{\overline{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}})\|_\nu \|\partial_{\beta_2}^{\alpha - \alpha_1} f\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_\nu \\ & \leq C \eta_0 (\varepsilon \|\partial_{\beta_2}^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \nabla_x f\|_\nu \|\partial_{\beta_2}^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \nabla_x^2 f\|_\nu + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_\nu^2) \\ & \leq C \eta_0 \mathcal{D}_N(t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we conclude from the above estimates on J_3 that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon}|(\partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\frac{\overline{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}}, f), \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \mathcal{D}_N(t). \quad (4.45)$$

Similar to (4.45), one has

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon}|(\partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma(f, \frac{\overline{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}}), \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \mathcal{D}_N(t). \quad (4.46)$$

From (4.18), we can easily see that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon}|(\partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma(f, f), \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| \leq C \sum_{\alpha_1 \leq \alpha} \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 \leq \beta} \underbrace{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \{|\partial_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1} f|_2 |\partial_{\beta_2}^{\alpha - \alpha_1} f|_\nu + |\partial_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1} f|_\nu |\partial_{\beta_2}^{\alpha - \alpha_1} f|_2\} |\partial_\beta^\alpha f|_\nu dx}_{J_4}.$$

The term J_4 can be treated in the similar way as J_3 . We consider two cases $|\alpha_1| + |\beta_1| \leq N/2$ and $N/2 < |\alpha_1| + |\beta_1| \leq N$. For the first case, one has from (4.1) and (3.21) that

$$\begin{aligned} J_4 & \leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \{ \|\partial_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1} f\|_2 \|L^\infty\| \|\partial_{\beta_2}^{\alpha - \alpha_1} f\|_\nu + \|\partial_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1} f\|_\nu \|L^\infty\| \|\partial_{\beta_2}^{\alpha - \alpha_1} f\| \} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_\nu \\ & \leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \{ \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a} \|\partial_{\beta_2}^{\alpha - \alpha_1} f\|_\nu + \varepsilon^{1-a} \|\partial_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1} f\|_\nu \|L^\infty\| \} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_\nu \\ & \leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a} \{ \|\partial_{\beta_2}^{\alpha - \alpha_1} f\|_\nu^2 + \varepsilon \|\nabla_x \partial_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1} f\|_\nu \|\nabla_x^2 \partial_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1} f\|_\nu + \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_\nu^2 \} \\ & \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a} \mathcal{D}_N(t). \end{aligned}$$

The second case has the same bound as above. It follows that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon}|(\partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma(f, f), \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a} \mathcal{D}_N(t).$$

This, (4.44), (4.45) and (4.46) together, gives the desired estimate (4.43). We thus complete the proof of Lemma 4.12. \square

The rest parts, we only consider the derivative estimates with respect to the space variable x .

Lemma 4.13. For $|\alpha| \leq N - 1$, it holds that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} |(\partial^\alpha \Gamma(\frac{G}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{G}{\sqrt{\mu}}), \partial^\alpha f)| \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C\varepsilon \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \quad (4.47)$$

Proof. The proof of (4.47) follows along the same lines as the proof of (4.43), and we omit its proof for brevity. \square

Lemma 4.14. For $|\alpha| = N$, it holds that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} |(\partial^\alpha \Gamma(\frac{G}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{G}{\sqrt{\mu}}), \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}})| \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}. \quad (4.48)$$

Proof. For $|\alpha| = N$, since $G = \bar{G} + \sqrt{\mu}f$, the term on the LHS of (4.48) is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} |(\partial^\alpha \Gamma(\frac{\bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{\bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}}) + \partial^\alpha \Gamma(\frac{\bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}}, f) + \partial^\alpha \Gamma(f, \frac{\bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}}) + \partial^\alpha \Gamma(f, f), \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}})|. \quad (4.49)$$

Let's carefully deal with the terms in (4.49). Note that the norm $|\cdot|_\nu$ is stronger than $|\cdot|_2$. By this, (4.18), Lemma 4.6, (4.39) and (4.15), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |(\partial^\alpha \Gamma(\frac{\bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{\bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}}), \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}})| &\leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{\alpha_1 \leq \alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial^{\alpha_1}(\frac{\bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}})|_\nu |\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1}(\frac{\bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}})|_\nu |\frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}}|_\nu dx \\ &\leq C \eta_0 \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \varepsilon^{1-a}. \end{aligned}$$

For the second in (4.49), from (4.18), we easily see that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} |(\partial^\alpha \Gamma(\frac{\bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}}, f), \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}})| \leq C \sum_{\alpha_1 \leq \alpha} \underbrace{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial^{\alpha_1}(\frac{\bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}})|_\nu |\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} f|_\nu |\frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}}|_\nu dx}_{J_5}.$$

To bound J_5 , we consider two cases $|\alpha_1| \leq N/2$ and $N/2 < |\alpha_1| \leq N$. For the first case, we deduce from Lemma 4.6, (4.39), (4.15) and (3.21) that

$$\begin{aligned} J_5 &\leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \| |\partial^{\alpha_1}(\frac{\bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}})|_\nu \|_{L^\infty} \| \partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} f \|_\nu \| \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \|_\nu \\ &\leq \eta_0 \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \| \partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} f \|_\nu^2 + C \frac{1}{\eta_0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \| |\partial^{\alpha_1}(\frac{\bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}})|_\nu \|_{L^\infty}^2 \| \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \|_\nu^2 \\ &\leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}. \end{aligned}$$

For the second case, J_5 has the same bound as above. We thereby obtain

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} |(\partial^\alpha \Gamma(\frac{\bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}}, f), \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}})| \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}. \quad (4.50)$$

For the third term in (4.49), we use the similar arguments as (4.50) to get the same estimate. For the last term in (4.49), the (4.18) yields

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} |(\partial^\alpha \Gamma(f, f), \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}})| \leq C \sum_{\alpha_1 \leq \alpha} \underbrace{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \{ |\partial^{\alpha_1} f|_2 |\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} f|_\nu + |\partial^{\alpha_1} f|_\nu |\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} f|_2 \} |\frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}}|_\nu dx}_{J_6}.$$

If $|\alpha_1| = 0$, then by (4.39), (4.1) and (3.21), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
J_6 &\leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \{ \|\partial^{\alpha_1} f\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} f\|_\nu + \|\partial^{\alpha_1} f\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} f\| \} \left\| \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right\|_\nu \\
&\leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \{ \varepsilon^{1-a} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_\nu + \varepsilon^{-a} \|\nabla_x f\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_x^2 f\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \} \left\| \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right\|_\nu \\
&\leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a} \{ \|\partial^\alpha f\|_\nu^2 + \varepsilon^{-2} \|\nabla_x f\|_\nu \|\nabla_x^2 f\|_\nu + \varepsilon \left\| \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right\|_\nu^2 \} \\
&\leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}.
\end{aligned}$$

The case $|\alpha_1| = |\alpha| = N$ can be treated in the similar way as above. If $1 \leq |\alpha_1| \leq N-1$, then by the $L^6 - L^3 - L^2$ Hölder inequality, (4.39), (4.1) and (3.21), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
J_6 &\leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \{ \|\partial^{\alpha_1} f\|_{L^6} \|\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} f\|_{L^3} + \|\partial^{\alpha_1} f\|_{L^6} \|\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} f\|_{L^3} \} \left\| \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right\|_\nu \\
&\leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}.
\end{aligned}$$

Collecting the above estimates on J_6 , we conclude that

$$\left| \langle \partial^\alpha \Gamma(f, f), \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \rangle \right| \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}.$$

Therefore, we can get the desired estimate (4.48) by substituting the above estimates into (4.49). This completes the proof of Lemma 4.14. \square

4.4. Estimates on electromagnetic field terms. To make the energy estimates for the equations (3.14) and (3.15), one has to deal with the complicated nonlinear terms involving with the electromagnetic fields.

Lemma 4.15. *Assume (3.3) and (4.1) hold. For $|\alpha| = N$, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{\partial^\alpha [(E + v \times B) \cdot \nabla_v F]}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) \\
&\leq -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{R\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{E} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{R\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{B} \right) \\
&\quad + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C[\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \eta_0 \varepsilon^{2a} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{-2a}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.51}$$

Proof. For $|\alpha| = N$, we denote

$$\left(\frac{\partial^\alpha [(E + v \times B) \cdot \nabla_v F]}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) := I_4 + I_5 + I_6, \tag{4.52}$$

where I_4 , I_5 and I_6 are given by

$$\begin{cases} I_4 = \left(\frac{(E+v \times B) \cdot \nabla_v \partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right), \\ I_5 = \sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha} C_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1} \left(\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1} E \cdot \nabla_v \partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} F}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right), \\ I_6 = \sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha} C_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1} \left(\frac{(v \times \partial^{\alpha_1} B) \cdot \nabla_v \partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} F}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right). \end{cases} \tag{4.53}$$

We compute each I_i ($i = 4, 5, 6$) in the following way. Since $\nabla_v \cdot (E + v \times B) = 0$ and $v \cdot (v \times B) = 0$, we get by integration by parts that

$$|I_4| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (E + v \times B) \cdot v \frac{(\partial^\alpha F)^2}{2\mu} dv dx \right| \leq C \|E\|_{L^\infty} \|\langle v \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}}\|^2,$$

which together with (4.39), (4.15) and the following simple fact

$$\|E\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|\bar{E}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\tilde{E}\|_{L^\infty} \leq C[\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{1-a}], \tag{4.54}$$

yields that

$$|I_4| \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C[\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{1-a}].$$

In order to estimate I_5 , we write $I_5 = \sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha} C_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1} (I_5^1 + I_5^2)$ with

$$I_5^1 = \left(\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1} \tilde{E} \cdot \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \nabla_v F}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right), \quad I_5^2 = \left(\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1} \bar{E} \cdot \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \nabla_v F}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right). \quad (4.55)$$

For $1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha$, we easily see that the term I_5^1 is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1} \tilde{E} \cdot \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \nabla_v M}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{\partial^\alpha M}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1} \tilde{E} \cdot \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \nabla_v M}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{\partial^\alpha (\bar{G} + \sqrt{\mu} f)}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) \\ & + \left(\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1} \tilde{E} \cdot \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \nabla_v \bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) + \left(\partial^{\alpha_1} \tilde{E} \cdot \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \nabla_v f, \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) - \left(\frac{v}{2} \partial^{\alpha_1} \tilde{E} \cdot \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} f, \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.56)$$

where we used $F = M + \bar{G} + \sqrt{\mu} f$ and

$$\partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \nabla_v F = \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \nabla_v M + \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \nabla_v \bar{G} + \sqrt{\mu} \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \nabla_v f - \frac{v}{2} \sqrt{\mu} \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} f. \quad (4.57)$$

To present the calculations for the first term of (4.56), we first consider the case $\alpha_1 = \alpha$ and split it into two parts as follows

$$\left(\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1} \tilde{E} \cdot \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \nabla_v M}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{\partial^\alpha M}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) = (\partial^\alpha \tilde{E} \cdot \nabla_v M, [\frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{M}] \partial^\alpha M) + (\partial^\alpha \tilde{E} \cdot \nabla_v M, \frac{1}{M} \partial^\alpha M). \quad (4.58)$$

We begin to compute the second term on the RHS of (4.58), since the first term is more easier and is thus left to the end. In view of (2.3) and (2.1), a direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial^\alpha \tilde{E} \cdot \nabla_v M, \frac{1}{M} \partial^\alpha M) &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial^\alpha \tilde{E} \cdot \frac{v - u}{R\theta} \partial^\alpha M \, dv \, dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{R\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{E} \cdot [\partial^\alpha (\rho u) - u \partial^\alpha \rho] \, dx \\ &= - \left(\frac{1}{R\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \partial^\alpha (\rho u - \bar{\rho} \bar{u}) \right) - \left(\frac{1}{R\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \partial^\alpha (\bar{\rho} \bar{u}) - u \partial^\alpha \rho \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.59)$$

Since $\partial_t \tilde{E} - \nabla_x \times \tilde{B} = \rho u - \bar{\rho} \bar{u}$ in (3.19), the following identities hold true

$$\begin{aligned} & - \left(\frac{1}{R\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \partial^\alpha (\rho u - \bar{\rho} \bar{u}) \right) \\ &= - \left(\frac{1}{R\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \partial_t \partial^\alpha \tilde{E} - \nabla_x \times \partial^\alpha \tilde{B} \right) \\ &= - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{R\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{E} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{R\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{B} \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_t [\frac{1}{R\theta}] \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}) + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_t [\frac{1}{R\theta}] \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}) + (\nabla_x [\frac{1}{R\theta}] \times \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.60)$$

where in the last identity we have used

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{R\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \nabla_x \times \partial^\alpha \tilde{B} \right) = (\nabla_x \times [\frac{1}{R\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}], \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{R\theta} \nabla_x \times \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{B} \right) + (\nabla_x [\frac{1}{R\theta}] \times \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}) \\ &= - \left(\frac{1}{R\theta} \partial_t \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{B} \right) + (\nabla_x [\frac{1}{R\theta}] \times \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}) \\ &= - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{R\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{B} \right) + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_t [\frac{1}{R\theta}] \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}) + (\nabla_x [\frac{1}{R\theta}] \times \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}), \end{aligned}$$

due to the fact $\partial_t \tilde{B} + \nabla_x \times \tilde{E} = 0$ in (3.19). By (3.3), (3.2), (4.13) and Lemma 4.19, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |(\partial_t [\frac{1}{R\theta}] \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{E})| &\leq C (\|\partial_t \bar{\theta}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\partial_t \tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty}) \|\partial^\alpha \tilde{E}\|^2 \\ &\leq C [\eta_0 (1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{-2a}. \end{aligned}$$

The last two terms of (4.60) can be treated similarly. It follows from (4.60) that

$$\begin{aligned} & -\left(\frac{1}{R\theta}\partial^\alpha\tilde{E}, \partial^\alpha(\rho u - \bar{\rho}\bar{u})\right) \\ & \leq -\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{1}{R\theta}\partial^\alpha\tilde{E}, \partial^\alpha\tilde{E}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{1}{R\theta}\partial^\alpha\tilde{B}, \partial^\alpha\tilde{B}\right) + C[\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}]\varepsilon^{-2a}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.61)$$

As before, we denote $\partial^\alpha = \partial^{\alpha'}\partial_{x_i}$ with $|\alpha'| = N-1$ due to $|\alpha| = N$. By integration by parts, (3.3) and (4.1), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\left(\frac{1}{R\theta}\partial^\alpha\tilde{E}, \partial^\alpha(\bar{\rho}\bar{u})\right)\right| & = |(\partial^{\alpha'}\tilde{E}, \partial_{x_i}[\frac{1}{R\theta}\partial^\alpha(\bar{\rho}\bar{u})])| \\ & \leq C\|\partial^{\alpha'}\tilde{E}\|\|\partial_{x_i}[\frac{1}{R\theta}\partial^\alpha(\bar{\rho}\bar{u})]\| \leq C\eta_0\varepsilon^{1-a}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.62)$$

The rest term in (4.59) can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\left(\frac{1}{R\theta}\partial^\alpha\tilde{E}, u\partial^\alpha\rho\right)\right| & \leq C\|u\|_{L^\infty}\|\partial^\alpha\tilde{E}\|\|\partial^\alpha\rho\| \\ & \leq C[\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{1-a}](\varepsilon^{-2a} + \eta_0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, substituting this, (4.62) and (4.61) into (4.59), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\partial^\alpha\tilde{E} \cdot \nabla_v M, \frac{1}{M}\partial^\alpha M\right) & \leq -\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{1}{R\theta}\partial^\alpha\tilde{E}, \partial^\alpha\tilde{E}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{1}{R\theta}\partial^\alpha\tilde{B}, \partial^\alpha\tilde{B}\right) \\ & \quad + C[\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}]\varepsilon^{-2a}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.63)$$

Recall $\partial^\alpha M = I_1 + I_2 + I_3$ given in (4.32), we easily see that the first term on the RHS of (4.58) is equivalent to

$$\left(\partial^\alpha\tilde{E} \cdot \nabla_v M, \left[\frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{M}\right]I_1\right) + \left(\partial^\alpha\tilde{E} \cdot \nabla_v M, \left[\frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{M}\right]I_2\right) + \left(\partial^\alpha\tilde{E} \cdot \nabla_v M, \left[\frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{M}\right]I_3\right). \quad (4.64)$$

Recall I_1 given in (4.32), we use (4.21), (4.1) and (3.21) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\left(\partial^\alpha\tilde{E} \cdot \nabla_v M, \left[\frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{M}\right]I_1\right)\right| & \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a})\|\partial^\alpha\tilde{E}\|\|\partial^\alpha(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})\| \\ & \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a})\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\|\partial^\alpha(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})\|^2 + \varepsilon\|\partial^\alpha\tilde{E}\|^2\right) \\ & \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a})\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\mathcal{D}_N(t) + \varepsilon^{1-2a}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Recall I_2 given in (4.32), we use the similar arguments as (4.62) to get

$$\left|\left(\partial^\alpha\tilde{E} \cdot \nabla_v M, \left[\frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{M}\right]I_2\right)\right| \leq |(\partial^{\alpha'}\tilde{E}, \partial_{x_i}\{\nabla_v M[\frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{M}]I_2\})| \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a})\varepsilon^{1-a}.$$

The last term of (4.64) can be handed similarly. We thereby obtain

$$\left|\left(\partial^\alpha\tilde{E} \cdot \nabla_v M, \left[\frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{M}\right]\partial^\alpha M\right)\right| \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a})\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\mathcal{D}_N(t) + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a})\varepsilon^{1-2a}.$$

For the case $\alpha_1 = \alpha$, we substitute this and (4.63) into (4.58) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1}\tilde{E} \cdot \partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1}\nabla_v M}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{\partial^\alpha M}{\sqrt{\mu}}\right) & \leq -\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{1}{R\theta}\partial^\alpha\tilde{E}, \partial^\alpha\tilde{E}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{1}{R\theta}\partial^\alpha\tilde{B}, \partial^\alpha\tilde{B}\right) \\ & \quad + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a})\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\mathcal{D}_N(t) + C[\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}]\varepsilon^{-2a}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.65)$$

where we have used (4.15) such that

$$(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a})\varepsilon^{1-2a} \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}\varepsilon^{-2a}.$$

For the case $1 \leq \alpha_1 < \alpha$, we get by (4.1), (4.15) and (3.21) that

$$\begin{aligned} |(\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1} \tilde{E} \cdot \partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} \nabla_v M}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{\partial^\alpha M}{\sqrt{\mu}})| &\leq C \|\partial^{\alpha_1} \tilde{E}\|_{L^3} \|\frac{\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} \nabla_v M}{\sqrt{\mu}}\|_2 \|L^6\| \|\frac{\partial^\alpha M}{\sqrt{\mu}}\|_2 \|L^2\| \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a} (\|\frac{\nabla_x \partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} \nabla_v M}{\sqrt{\mu}}\|^2 + \|\frac{\partial^\alpha M}{\sqrt{\mu}}\|^2) \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.66)$$

where in the last inequality we have applied the same argument as in the first line of (4.40). Then the above estimate combined with (4.65) immediately gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha} C_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1} (\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1} \tilde{E} \cdot \partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} \nabla_v M}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{\partial^\alpha M}{\sqrt{\mu}}) \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\frac{1}{R\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\frac{1}{R\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}) \\ &\quad + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C[\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{-2a}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.67)$$

For the second term in (4.56). If $\alpha/2 < \alpha_1 \leq \alpha$, then by (4.41), (4.15), (4.6) and (3.21), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &|(\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1} \tilde{E} \cdot \partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} \nabla_v M}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{\partial^\alpha (\bar{G} + \sqrt{\mu} f)}{\sqrt{\mu}})| \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+a} \|\partial^{\alpha_1} \tilde{E}\|^2 + C \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}-a} \|\frac{\partial^\alpha (\bar{G} + \sqrt{\mu} f)}{\sqrt{\mu}}\|^2 \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}. \end{aligned}$$

The case $1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha/2$ can be treated similarly. It follows that

$$\sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha} |(\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1} \tilde{E} \cdot \partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} \nabla_v M}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{\partial^\alpha (\bar{G} + \sqrt{\mu} f)}{\sqrt{\mu}})| \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}. \quad (4.68)$$

The third term of (4.56) has the same bound as (4.68). For the fourth term in (4.56). If $1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha/2$, then by (4.1), (4.15), (4.39) and (3.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(\partial^{\alpha_1} \tilde{E} \cdot \partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} \nabla_v f, \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}})| &\leq C \|\partial^{\alpha_1} \tilde{E}\|_{L^\infty} (\|\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} \nabla_v f\|^2 + \|\frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}}\|^2) \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}. \end{aligned}$$

If $|\alpha|/2 < \alpha_1 \leq \alpha - 1$, then we take $L^2 - L^3 - L^6$ Hölder inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned} |(\partial^{\alpha_1} \tilde{E} \cdot \partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} \nabla_v f, \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}})| &\leq C \|\partial^{\alpha_1} \tilde{E}\|_{L^3} \|\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} \nabla_v f\|_2 \|L^6\| \|\frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}}\| \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}. \end{aligned}$$

The rest case $\alpha_1 = \alpha$ has the same bound as above. So one gets

$$\sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha} |(\partial^{\alpha_1} \tilde{E} \cdot \partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} \nabla_v f, \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}})| \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}. \quad (4.69)$$

The last term of (4.56) can be treated in the same way as (4.69). All in all, collecting the estimates above, we can conclude from (4.56) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha} C_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1} I_5^1 &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\frac{1}{R\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\frac{1}{R\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}) \\ &\quad + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C[\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{-2a}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.70)$$

Next we compute I_5^2 given in (4.55), we write $I_5^2 = I_5^{21} + I_5^{22}$ with

$$I_5^{21} = \left(\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1} \bar{E} \cdot \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \nabla_v M}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right), \quad I_5^{22} = \left(\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1} \bar{E} \cdot \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \nabla_v (\bar{G} + \sqrt{\mu} f)}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right).$$

To bound I_5^{21} , if $\alpha_1 = \alpha$, we employ (4.11), (4.39), (3.3) and (4.15) to get

$$|I_5^{21}| \leq C \|\partial^\alpha \bar{E}\| \left\| \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right\| \leq C \eta_0 \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C \eta_0.$$

If $1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha - 1$, making use of (4.11), (3.3), (4.39) and (4.15) again, one gets

$$|I_5^{21}| \leq C \|\partial^{\alpha_1} \bar{E}\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \frac{\partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \nabla_v M}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right\| \left\| \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right\| \leq C \eta_0 \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C \eta_0.$$

It follows from the aforementioned two estimates that

$$|I_5^{21}| \leq C \eta_0 \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C \eta_0.$$

Similar estimate also holds for I_5^{22} . Therefore, we conclude that

$$\sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha} C_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1} |I_5^2| \leq C \eta_0 \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C \eta_0. \quad (4.71)$$

Thanks to $I_5 = \sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha} C_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1} (I_5^1 + I_5^2)$, we deduce from (4.70) and (4.71) that

$$\begin{aligned} I_5 \leq & -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{R\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{E} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{R\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{B} \right) \\ & + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C[\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \eta_0 \varepsilon^{2a} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{-2a}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to estimate I_6 given in (4.53). By the similar calculations as (4.69) and (4.71), we can arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} I_6 = & \sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha} C_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1} \left\{ \left(\frac{(v \times \partial^{\alpha_1} \tilde{B}) \cdot \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \nabla_v M}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) \right. \\ & + \left(\frac{(v \times \partial^{\alpha_1} \tilde{B}) \cdot \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} (\nabla_v \bar{G} + \sqrt{\mu} \nabla_v f)}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) \\ & \left. + \left(\frac{(v \times \partial^{\alpha_1} \tilde{B}) \cdot \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} (\nabla_v M + \nabla_v \bar{G} + \sqrt{\mu} \nabla_v f)}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) \right\} \\ \leq & C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C[\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \eta_0 \varepsilon^{2a} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{-2a}. \end{aligned}$$

In summary, we can obtain (4.51) by substituting the estimates on I_4 , I_5 and I_6 into (4.52). This completes the proof of Lemma 4.15. \square

Lemma 4.16. *For $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq N$ and $|\beta| \geq 1$, it holds that*

$$\left| \left(\partial_\beta^\alpha \left[\frac{(E + v \times B) \cdot \nabla_v (\sqrt{\mu} f)}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right], \partial_\beta^\alpha f \right) \right| \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \mathcal{D}_N(t). \quad (4.72)$$

Proof. Let $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq N$ and $|\beta| \geq 1$, it is straightforward to get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\partial_\beta^\alpha \left[\frac{(E + v \times B) \cdot \nabla_v (\sqrt{\mu} f)}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right], \partial_\beta^\alpha f \right) \\ & = \left(\partial_\beta^\alpha [E \cdot \nabla_v f], \partial_\beta^\alpha f \right) - \left(\partial_\beta^\alpha \left[\frac{v}{2} \cdot E f \right], \partial_\beta^\alpha f \right) + \left(\partial_\beta^\alpha [v \times B \cdot \nabla_v f], \partial_\beta^\alpha f \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.73)$$

where we have used $v \cdot (v \times B) = 0$. The first term on the RHS of (4.73) is equivalent to

$$(E \cdot \nabla_v \partial_\beta^\alpha f, \partial_\beta^\alpha f) + \sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha} C_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1} (\partial^{\alpha_1} E \cdot \nabla_v \partial_\beta^{\alpha - \alpha_1} f, \partial_\beta^\alpha f).$$

Here if $|\alpha| = 0$, the last term vanishes and if $|\alpha| \geq 1$, the last term exists. Note that $|\alpha| \leq N - 1$ since we only consider $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq N$ and $|\beta| \geq 1$. If $1 \leq |\alpha_1| \leq N/2$, we use (3.3), (4.1) and (3.21) to get

$$\begin{aligned} |(\partial^{\alpha_1} E \cdot \nabla_v \partial_\beta^{\alpha - \alpha_1} f, \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| &\leq C \|\partial^{\alpha_1} E\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla_v \partial_\beta^{\alpha - \alpha_1} f\| \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\| \\ &\leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - a}) \mathcal{D}_N(t). \end{aligned}$$

If $N/2 < |\alpha_1| \leq N - 1$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} |(\partial^{\alpha_1} E \cdot \nabla_v \partial_\beta^{\alpha - \alpha_1} f, \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| &\leq C \|\partial^{\alpha_1} E\|_{L^3} \|\nabla_v \partial_\beta^{\alpha - \alpha_1} f\|_2 \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\| \\ &\leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - a}) \mathcal{D}_N(t). \end{aligned}$$

We thus deduce from the aforementioned two estimates that

$$\sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha} C_{\alpha_1} |(\partial^{\alpha_1} E \cdot \nabla_v \partial_\beta^{\alpha - \alpha_1} f, \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - a}) \mathcal{D}_N(t),$$

which, together with the identity $(E \cdot \nabla_v \partial_\beta^\alpha f, \partial_\beta^\alpha f) = 0$, yields that

$$|(\partial_\beta^\alpha [E \cdot \nabla_v f], \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - a}) \mathcal{D}_N(t). \quad (4.74)$$

The last two terms on the RHS of (4.73) has the same bound as (4.74). Therefore, the desired estimate (4.72) follows. This ends the proof of Lemma 4.16. \square

Similar arguments as (4.72) lead to the following estimate.

Lemma 4.17. *For $|\alpha| \leq N - 1$, it holds that*

$$\left| \left(\frac{\partial^\alpha [(E + v \times B) \cdot \nabla_v (\sqrt{\mu} f)]}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \partial^\alpha f \right) \right| \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - a}) \mathcal{D}_N(t). \quad (4.75)$$

4.5. Estimates on fluid quantities. Now we are devoted to deriving some estimates on the fluid quantities.

Lemma 4.18. *For $|\alpha| \leq N - 1$, one has*

$$\begin{aligned} &\varepsilon \frac{d}{dt} (\partial^\alpha \tilde{u}, \nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}) + c\varepsilon \{ \|\nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}\|^2 + \|\nabla_x \cdot \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}\|^2 \} \\ &\leq C\varepsilon \{ \|\nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}, \nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta}\|^2 + \|\nabla_x \partial^\alpha f\|^2 \} + C\varepsilon \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.76)$$

Moreover, for $|\alpha| \leq N - 2$, one has

$$\varepsilon \int_0^t \{ \|\nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}(s)\|^2 + \|\nabla_x \cdot \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}(s)\|^2 \} ds \leq C(\varepsilon + t\varepsilon) \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \quad (4.77)$$

Proof. We subtract system (3.2) from system (2.13) to obtain

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{\rho} + u \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{\rho} + \bar{\rho} \nabla_x \cdot \tilde{u} + \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla_x \bar{\rho} + \bar{\rho} \nabla_x \cdot u = 0, \\ \partial_t \tilde{u} + u \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{u} + \frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}} \nabla_x \tilde{\rho} + \frac{2}{3} \nabla_x \tilde{\theta} + \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla_x \bar{u} + \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{\bar{\theta}}{\bar{\rho}} - \frac{\bar{\theta}}{\bar{\rho}} \right) \nabla_x \rho \\ \quad + (\tilde{E} + u \times \tilde{B} + \tilde{u} \times \bar{B}) = -\frac{1}{\bar{\rho}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \otimes v \cdot \nabla_x G dv, \\ \partial_t \tilde{\theta} + u \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{\theta} + \frac{2}{3} \bar{\theta} \nabla_x \cdot \tilde{u} + \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla_x \bar{\theta} + \frac{2}{3} \bar{\theta} \nabla_x \cdot u \\ \quad = -\frac{1}{\bar{\rho}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{2} |v|^2 v \cdot \nabla_x G dv + \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}} u \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \otimes v \cdot \nabla_x G dv, \end{cases} \quad (4.78)$$

where the electromagnetic field (\tilde{E}, \tilde{B}) satisfies (3.19). Applying ∂^α with $|\alpha| \leq N - 1$ to the second equation of (4.78), then multiplying the resulting identity by $\nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}$ and integrating over \mathbb{R}^3 by parts, one has

$$\begin{aligned} &(\partial^\alpha \partial_t \tilde{u}, \nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}) + \left(\frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}} \nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}, \nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho} \right) + \sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha} C_{\alpha_1} (\partial^{\alpha_1} \left(\frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}} \right) \nabla_x \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \tilde{\rho}, \nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}) \\ &= -(\partial^\alpha (u \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{u}) + \frac{2}{3} \nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta} + \partial^\alpha (\tilde{u} \cdot \nabla_x \bar{u}) + \partial^\alpha \left[\frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{\bar{\theta}}{\bar{\rho}} - \frac{\bar{\theta}}{\bar{\rho}} \right) \nabla_x \rho \right], \nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}) - (\partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}) \\ &\quad - (\partial^\alpha (u \times \tilde{B} + \tilde{u} \times \bar{B}), \nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}) - (\partial^\alpha \left(\frac{1}{\bar{\rho}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \otimes v \cdot \nabla_x G dv \right), \nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.79)$$

Here if $|\alpha| = 0$, the last term on the LHS of (4.79) vanishes and if $|\alpha| \geq 1$, this term exists. By integration by parts and the first equation of (4.78), one has

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial^\alpha \partial_t \tilde{u}, \nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}) &= \frac{d}{dt} (\partial^\alpha \tilde{u}, \nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}) + (\nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}, \partial^\alpha \partial_t \tilde{\rho}) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} (\partial^\alpha \tilde{u}, \nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}) - (\nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}, \partial^\alpha [u \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{\rho} + \tilde{\rho} \nabla_x \cdot \tilde{u} + \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{\rho} + \tilde{\rho} \nabla_x \cdot u]) \\ &\geq \frac{d}{dt} (\partial^\alpha \tilde{u}, \nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}) - C_\eta \|\nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}\|^2 - C_\eta \|\nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}\|^2 - C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \varepsilon^{2-2a}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the embedding inequality, (3.3), (4.1), (4.14) and (4.15). From $\nabla_x \cdot \tilde{E} = -\tilde{\rho}$ in (3.19), one gets by integration by parts that

$$-(\partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}) = (\nabla_x \cdot \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}) = -(\nabla_x \cdot \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \nabla_x \cdot \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}).$$

The other terms of (4.79) can be controlled by

$$(\eta + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \|\nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}\|^2 + C_\eta \{ \|(\nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}, \nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta})\|^2 + \|\nabla_x \partial^\alpha f\|^2 \} + C_\eta (\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \varepsilon^{2-2a}.$$

Hence, for $|\alpha| \leq N-1$, substituting the above all estimates into (4.79), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} (\partial^\alpha \tilde{u}, \nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}) + c(\|\nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}\|^2 + \|\nabla_x \cdot \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}\|^2) \\ &\leq C \{ \|(\nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}, \nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta})\|^2 + \|\nabla_x \partial^\alpha f\|^2 \} + C \varepsilon^{2-2a}, \end{aligned}$$

by choosing a small $\eta > 0$ and using (4.15). This gives the desired estimate (4.76). Integrating (4.76) with respect to t and using $\mathcal{E}_N(0) \leq C\eta_0^2 \varepsilon^2$, we can prove that (4.77) holds. This ends the proof of Lemma 4.18. \square

Note that the norms in (3.20) do not include the temporal derivatives, we have to use the pure space derivative norms to bound those estimates on the fluid quantities involving with the temporal derivatives.

Lemma 4.19. *For $|\alpha| \leq N-1$, it holds that*

$$\|\partial^\alpha (\partial_t \tilde{\rho}, \partial_t \tilde{u}, \partial_t \tilde{\theta})\|^2 \leq C \{ \|\partial^\alpha (\nabla_x \tilde{\rho}, \nabla_x \tilde{u}, \nabla_x \tilde{\theta})\|^2 + \|\partial^\alpha \nabla_x f\|^2 \} + C \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \quad (4.80)$$

In particular, for $|\alpha| \leq N-2$, one has

$$\|\partial^\alpha (\partial_t \tilde{\rho}, \partial_t \tilde{u}, \partial_t \tilde{\theta})\|^2 \leq C \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \quad (4.81)$$

For $|\alpha| = N-1$, one has

$$\|\partial^\alpha (\partial_t \tilde{\rho}, \partial_t \tilde{u}, \partial_t \tilde{\theta})\|^2 \leq C \varepsilon^{-2a}. \quad (4.82)$$

Proof. Let $|\alpha| \leq N-1$, applying ∂^α to the second equation of (4.78), then multiplying the resulting identity by $\partial^\alpha \partial_t \tilde{u}$ and integrating over \mathbb{R}^3 by parts, one has

$$\begin{aligned} &(\partial^\alpha \partial_t \tilde{u}, \partial^\alpha \partial_t \tilde{u}) \\ &= -(\partial^\alpha (u \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{u}), \partial^\alpha \partial_t \tilde{u}) - (\partial^\alpha [\frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}} \nabla_x \tilde{\rho} + \frac{2}{3} \nabla_x \tilde{\theta} + \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{u} + \frac{2}{3} (\frac{\theta}{\rho} - \frac{\bar{\theta}}{\bar{\rho}}) \nabla_x \rho], \partial^\alpha \partial_t \tilde{u}) \\ &\quad - (\partial^\alpha (\tilde{E} + u \times \tilde{B} + \tilde{u} \times \bar{B}), \partial^\alpha \partial_t \tilde{u}) - (\partial^\alpha (\frac{1}{\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \otimes v \cdot \nabla_x G dv), \partial^\alpha \partial_t \tilde{u}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.83)$$

Making use of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the embedding inequality, (3.3), (4.1) and (4.15), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} |(\partial^\alpha (u \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{u}), \partial^\alpha \partial_t \tilde{u})| &\leq \eta \|\partial^\alpha \partial_t \tilde{u}\|^2 + C_\eta \|\partial^\alpha (\tilde{u} \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{u})\|^2 + C_\eta \|\partial^\alpha (\tilde{u} \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{u})\|^2 \\ &\leq \eta \|\partial^\alpha \partial_t \tilde{u}\|^2 + C_\eta \|\partial^\alpha \nabla_x \tilde{u}\|^2 + C_\eta \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \end{aligned}$$

The other terms of (4.83) can be treated similarly, we thus arrive at

$$\|\partial^\alpha \partial_t \tilde{u}\|^2 \leq C_\eta \|\partial^\alpha \partial_t \tilde{u}\|^2 + C_\eta \{ \|\partial^\alpha (\nabla_x \tilde{\rho}, \nabla_x \tilde{u}, \nabla_x \tilde{\theta})\|^2 + \|\partial^\alpha \nabla_x f\|^2 \} + C_\eta \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \quad (4.84)$$

Similar estimates also hold for $\partial^\alpha \partial_t \tilde{\rho}$ and $\partial^\alpha \partial_t \tilde{\theta}$. Hence, choosing $\eta > 0$ small enough, we can prove that (4.80) holds true. From (4.1), (4.13) and (4.80), we can obtain the desired estimates (4.81) and (4.82). Thus the proof of Lemma 4.19 is complete. \square

At the end of this section, we give the characterization of low bound of the N -order energy norm $\|\frac{\partial^\alpha F(t)}{\sqrt{\mu}}\|^2$ in terms of $\|\partial^\alpha(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})\|^2 + \|\partial^\alpha f\|^2$.

Lemma 4.20. *For $|\alpha| = N$, there exists a constant $c_3 > 0$ such that*

$$\|\frac{\partial^\alpha F(t)}{\sqrt{\mu}}\|^2 \geq c_3(\|\partial^\alpha(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})\|^2 + \|\partial^\alpha f\|^2) - C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}). \quad (4.85)$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the one in [14, Lemma 5.10], we thus omit the details for brevity. \square

5. A PRIORI ESTIMATES

In this section, we aim to establish the a priori energy estimates (3.23) on the solution step by step in a series of lemmas in order to close a priori assumption (4.1).

5.1. Space derivative estimates up to $(N - 1)$ -order. In this subsection, we are devoted to obtaining the space derivative estimates for both the fluid part and the non-fluid part up to $(N - 1)$ -order.

5.1.1. Space derivative estimates on fluid part up to $(N - 1)$ -order. We first make use of the dissipation mechanism for the Navier-Stokes-Maxwell-type equations (3.18)-(3.19) to derive the space derivative estimates on the fluid part $(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})$ and the electromagnetic field (\tilde{E}, \tilde{B}) .

Lemma 5.1. *Let $[F(t, x, v), E(t, x), B(t, x)]$ be the solution to the VMB system (1.1) and (3.5). Suppose that (3.3) and (4.1) hold, one has*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \|\partial^\alpha(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{E}, \tilde{B})(t)\|^2 + c\varepsilon \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} \int_0^t \|\partial^\alpha(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})(s)\|^2 ds \\ & \leq C\varepsilon^2 \sum_{|\alpha|=N} (\|\partial^\alpha(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})(t)\|^2 + \|\partial^\alpha f(t)\|^2) + C\varepsilon \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} \int_0^t \|\partial^\alpha f(s)\|^2 ds \\ & \quad + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \int_0^t \mathcal{D}_N(s) ds + C[\eta_0 + \varepsilon + (\eta_0 \varepsilon^a + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a})t] \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Proof. We split the proof into four steps.

Step 1. Estimate on $\|\partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}\|^2$. Let $|\alpha| \leq N - 1$, we apply ∂^α to the first equation of (3.18) and take the inner product of the resulting equation with $\frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}^2} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}, \frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}^2} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}) - \frac{1}{2} (\partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}, \partial_t (\frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}^2}) \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}) + (\bar{\rho} \nabla_x \cdot \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}, \frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}^2} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}) \\ & \quad + \sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha} C_{\alpha}^{\alpha_1} (\partial^{\alpha_1} \bar{\rho} \nabla_x \cdot \partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} \tilde{u}, \frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}^2} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}) + (\partial^\alpha (u \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{\rho}), \frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}^2} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}) \\ & \quad = -(\partial^\alpha (\tilde{u} \cdot \nabla_x \bar{\rho}), \frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}^2} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}) - (\partial^\alpha (\tilde{\rho} \nabla_x \cdot u), \frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}^2} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

Here if $|\alpha| = 0$, the fourth term on the LHS of (5.2) vanishes and if $|\alpha| \geq 1$, this term exists.

Let's estimate each term in (5.2). In view of (3.3), (3.2) and (4.1), we get

$$|(\partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}, \partial_t (\frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}^2}) \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho})| \leq C \|(\partial_t \bar{\rho}, \partial_t \bar{\theta})\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}\|^2 \leq C \eta_0 (1+t)^{-\vartheta} \varepsilon^{2-2a}, \quad (5.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha} C_{\alpha}^{\alpha_1} (\partial^{\alpha_1} \bar{\rho} \nabla_x \cdot \partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} \tilde{u}, \frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}^2} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}) \\ & \leq C \sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha} \|\partial^{\alpha_1} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla_x \cdot \partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} \tilde{u}\| \|\partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}\| \leq C \eta_0 (1+t)^{-\vartheta} \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

The last term on the LHS of (5.2) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & - (u \cdot \nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}, \frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}^2} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}) - \sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha} C_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1} (\partial^{\alpha_1} \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla_x \partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} \tilde{\rho}, \frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}^2} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}) \\ & - \sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha} C_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1} (\partial^{\alpha_1} \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla_x \partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} \tilde{\rho}, \frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}^2} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}), \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

where if $|\alpha| = 0$, the last two terms of (5.5) vanish and if $|\alpha| \geq 1$, those terms exist. For the first term in (5.5), we get by integration by parts, (3.3) and (4.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} |(u \cdot \nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}, \frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}^2} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho})| &= |\frac{1}{2} (\partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}, \nabla_x \cdot (u \frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}^2}) \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho})| \\ &\leq C (\|\nabla_x(\bar{\rho}, \bar{u}, \bar{\theta})\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla_x \tilde{u}\|_{L^\infty}) \|\partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}\|^2 \\ &\leq C [\eta_0 (1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{2-2a}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that

$$\|\nabla_x \tilde{u}\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|\nabla_x^2 \tilde{u}\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_x^3 \tilde{u}\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}a} \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}a} = C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}. \quad (5.6)$$

For the second term in (5.5), we use similar arguments as (5.4) to get the same bound. The third term in (5.5) can be controlled by

$$\sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha} C_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1} |(\partial^{\alpha_1} \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla_x \partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} \tilde{\rho}, \frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}^2} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho})| \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a} \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \quad (5.7)$$

Hence, the last term on the LHS of (5.2) is bounded by

$$|(\partial^\alpha (u \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{\rho}), \frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}^2} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho})| \leq C [\eta_0 (1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \quad (5.8)$$

For the first term on the RHS of (5.2), we use (3.3) and (4.1) again to get

$$|(\partial^\alpha (\tilde{u} \cdot \nabla_x \bar{\rho}), \frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}^2} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho})| \leq C \sum_{\alpha_1 \leq \alpha} \|\nabla_x \partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial^{\alpha_1} \tilde{u}\| \|\partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}\| \leq C \eta_0 (1+t)^{-\vartheta} \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \quad (5.9)$$

Similar to (5.5), the last term on the RHS of (5.2) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & - (\partial^\alpha (\bar{\rho} \nabla_x \cdot \tilde{u}), \frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}^2} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}) - (\bar{\rho} \nabla_x \cdot \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}, \frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}^2} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}) \\ & - \sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha} C_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1} (\partial^{\alpha_1} \bar{\rho} \nabla_x \cdot \partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} \tilde{u}, \frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}^2} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

Here if $|\alpha| = 0$, the last term of (5.10) vanishes and if $|\alpha| \geq 1$, this term exists. For this term, we can use the similar arguments as (5.7) to get the same bound. The first term in (5.10) has the same bound as (5.9). For the second term in (5.10), using (4.1), it is straightforward to get

$$\begin{aligned} |(\bar{\rho} \nabla_x \cdot \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}, \frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}^2} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho})| &\leq \eta \varepsilon \|\nabla_x \cdot \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}\|^2 + C_\eta \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}\|^2 \\ &\leq \eta \varepsilon \|\nabla_x \cdot \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}\|^2 + C_\eta \varepsilon^{1-2a} \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using $a \in [0, 1/2)$, the last term on the RHS of (5.2) is bounded by

$$|(\partial^\alpha (\bar{\rho} \nabla_x \cdot u), \frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}^2} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho})| \leq C \eta \varepsilon \|\nabla_x \cdot \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}\|^2 + C_\eta [\eta_0 (1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \quad (5.11)$$

In summary, for $|\alpha| \leq N-1$ and any small $\eta > 0$, we substitute (5.3), (5.4), (5.8), (5.9), (5.11) into (5.2) to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}^2} |\partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}|^2 dx + (\nabla_x \cdot \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}, \frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}^2} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}) \\ & \leq C \eta \varepsilon \|\nabla_x \cdot \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}\|^2 + C_\eta [\eta_0 (1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

Step 2. Estimate on $\|\partial^\alpha \tilde{u}\|^2$. Applying ∂^α with $|\alpha| \leq N-1$ to the second equation of (3.18) and taking the inner product of the resulting equation with $\partial^\alpha \tilde{u}_i$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial^\alpha \tilde{u}_i\|^2 + \left(\frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}} \partial^\alpha \partial_{x_i} \tilde{\rho}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}_i\right) + \left(\frac{2}{3} \partial^\alpha \partial_{x_i} \tilde{\theta}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}_i\right) \\
= & - \sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha} C_\alpha^{\alpha_1} \left(\partial^{\alpha_1} \left(\frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}}\right) \partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} \partial_{x_i} \tilde{\rho}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}_i\right) - (\partial^\alpha (u \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{u}_i), \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}_i) - (\partial^\alpha (\tilde{u} \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{u}_i), \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}_i) \\
& - (\partial^\alpha \left[\frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{\theta}{\rho} - \frac{\bar{\theta}}{\bar{\rho}}\right) \partial_{x_i} \rho\right], \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}_i) - (\partial^\alpha \tilde{E}_i, \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}_i) - (\partial^\alpha (u \times \tilde{B} + \tilde{u} \times \bar{B})_i, \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}_i) \\
& + \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial^\alpha \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \partial_{x_j} [\mu(\theta) D_{ij}]\right), \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}_i) - (\partial^\alpha \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_i v \cdot \nabla_x L_M^{-1} \Theta dv\right), \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}_i). \tag{5.13}
\end{aligned}$$

Here if $|\alpha| = 0$, the first term on the RHS of (5.13) vanishes and if $|\alpha| \geq 1$, this term exists.

Let's estimate (5.13) term by term. Using the similar manner as (5.8), (5.9) and (5.11), we can obtain that the first four terms on the RHS of (5.13) are bounded by

$$C\eta\varepsilon \|\partial_{x_i} \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}_i\|^2 + C_\eta [\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \tag{5.14}$$

To bound the electric field term in (5.13), we note from the first equation of (3.19) that

$$\partial_t \partial^\alpha \tilde{E} - \nabla_x \times \partial^\alpha \tilde{B} = \partial^\alpha (\rho \tilde{u}) + \partial^\alpha (\tilde{\rho} \tilde{u}),$$

which leads us to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^3 (\partial^\alpha \tilde{E}_i, \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}_i) &= \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \rho \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \partial_t \partial^\alpha \tilde{E} - \nabla_x \times \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}\right) \\
&- \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \partial^\alpha (\tilde{\rho} \tilde{u})\right) - \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha} C_\alpha^{\alpha_1} \partial^{\alpha_1} \rho \partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} \tilde{u}\right). \tag{5.15}
\end{aligned}$$

Here if $|\alpha| = 0$, the last term of (5.15) vanishes and if $|\alpha| \geq 1$, this term exists. For the first term on the RHS of (5.15), we get by the similar arguments as (4.60) and (4.61) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \partial_t \partial^\alpha \tilde{E} - \nabla_x \times \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}\right) \\
& - (\partial_t [\frac{1}{\rho}] \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}) - (\partial_t [\frac{1}{\rho}] \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}) - (\nabla_x [\frac{1}{\rho}] \times \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}) \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}\right) - C[\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{2-2a}.
\end{aligned}$$

The last two terms of (5.15) have the same bound as (5.8) and (5.9), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^3 (\partial^\alpha \tilde{E}_i, \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}_i) \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}\right) - C[\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \tag{5.16}
\end{aligned}$$

For the magnetic field term in (5.13), we easily get by (3.3) and (4.1) that

$$|(\partial^\alpha (u \times \tilde{B} + \tilde{u} \times \bar{B})_i, \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}_i)| \leq C[\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \tag{5.17}$$

For the viscosity coefficient term in (5.13). Recall the definition D_{ij} in (2.14), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial^\alpha (\frac{1}{\rho} \partial_{x_j} [\mu(\theta) D_{ij}]), \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}_i) \\
&= \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial^\alpha (\frac{1}{\rho} \partial_{x_j} [\mu(\theta) (\partial_{x_j} \tilde{u}_i + \partial_{x_i} \tilde{u}_j - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \nabla_x \cdot \tilde{u})]), \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}_i) \\
&\quad + \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial^\alpha (\frac{1}{\rho} \partial_{x_j} [\mu(\theta) (\partial_{x_j} \bar{u}_i + \partial_{x_i} \bar{u}_j - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \nabla_x \cdot \bar{u})]), \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}_i) \\
&:= I_7 + I_8.
\end{aligned}$$

Since both $\mu(\theta)$ and $\kappa(\theta)$ are smooth functions of θ , there exists a constant $C_2 > 1$ such that $\mu(\theta), \kappa(\theta) \in [C_2^{-1}, C_2]$. Moreover, derivatives of $\mu(\theta)$ and $\kappa(\theta)$ are also bounded. Making use of this, the integration by parts, (3.3), (4.1), (4.13), (4.14) and (4.15), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
I_7 &\leq -\varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^3 (\frac{1}{\rho} \mu(\theta) (\partial^\alpha \partial_{x_j} \tilde{u}_i + \partial^\alpha \partial_{x_i} \tilde{u}_j - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \nabla_x \cdot \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}), \partial^\alpha \partial_{x_j} \tilde{u}_i) \\
&\quad + C[\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{2-2a}.
\end{aligned}$$

As for the term I_8 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_8 &= \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^3 (\frac{1}{\rho} \mu(\theta) (\partial^\alpha \partial_{x_j}^2 \bar{u}_i + \partial^\alpha \partial_{x_j} \partial_{x_i} \bar{u}_j - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \nabla_x \cdot \partial^\alpha \partial_{x_j} \bar{u}), \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}_i) \\
&\quad + \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha} C_\alpha^{\alpha_1} (\partial^{\alpha_1} [\frac{1}{\rho} \mu(\theta)]) \partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} (\partial_{x_j}^2 \bar{u}_i + \partial_{x_j} \partial_{x_i} \bar{u}_j - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \nabla_x \cdot \partial_{x_j} \bar{u}), \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}_i) \\
&\quad + \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial^\alpha [\frac{1}{\rho} \partial_{x_j} \mu(\theta) (\partial_{x_j} \bar{u}_i + \partial_{x_i} \bar{u}_j - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \nabla_x \cdot \bar{u})], \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}_i) \\
&\leq C[\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \eta_0 \varepsilon^a] \varepsilon^{2-2a}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

We thereupon conclude from the estimates on I_7 and I_8 that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial^\alpha (\frac{1}{\rho} \partial_{x_j} [\mu(\theta) D_{ij}]), \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}_i) \\
&\leq -\varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^3 (\frac{1}{\rho} \mu(\theta) (\partial^\alpha \partial_{x_j} \tilde{u}_i + \partial^\alpha \partial_{x_i} \tilde{u}_j - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \nabla_x \cdot \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}), \partial^\alpha \partial_{x_j} \tilde{u}_i) \\
&\quad + C[\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \eta_0 \varepsilon^a + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{2-2a}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

It remains to compute the last term of (5.13). We shall carefully deal with it. First of all, we have the following identity

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_i v_j L_M^{-1} \Theta \, dv &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{v_i v_j M L_M^{-1} \Theta}{M} \, dv = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{L_M^{-1} \{P_1(v_i v_j M)\} \Theta}{M} \, dv \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} L_M^{-1} \{R\theta \hat{B}_{ij} (\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}}) M\} \frac{\Theta}{M} \, dv = R\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} B_{ij} (\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}}) \frac{\Theta}{M} \, dv,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

for $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, where we have used the self-adjoint property of L_M^{-1} , (2.5), (2.17) and (2.18). We use (5.20) to write the last term of (5.13) as

$$\begin{aligned}
& -(\partial^\alpha (\frac{1}{\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_i v \cdot \nabla_x L_M^{-1} \Theta dv), \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}_i) \\
& = -\sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial^\alpha [\frac{1}{\rho} \partial_{x_j} (\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} R\theta B_{ij} (\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}}) \frac{\Theta}{M} dv)], \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}_i) \\
& = \sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial^\alpha [\frac{1}{\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} R\theta B_{ij} (\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}}) \frac{\Theta}{M} dv], \partial^\alpha \partial_{x_j} \tilde{u}_i) \\
& \quad + \sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial^\alpha [\partial_{x_j} (\frac{1}{\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} R\theta B_{ij} (\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}}) \frac{\Theta}{M} dv)], \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}_i) \\
& := I_9 + I_{10},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

where in the second identity we have used the integration by parts.

Before computing (5.21), we shall give the following desired estimate that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\langle v \rangle^b \sqrt{\mu} \partial_\beta A_i (\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}})|^2}{M^2} dv + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\langle v \rangle^b \sqrt{\mu} \partial_\beta B_{ij} (\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}})|^2}{M^2} dv \leq C, \tag{5.22}$$

for any multi-index β and $b \geq 0$, where (4.3) and (4.4) have used. Recall Θ in (2.12) given by

$$\Theta = \varepsilon \partial_t G + \varepsilon P_1(v \cdot \nabla_x G) - \varepsilon (E + v \times B) \cdot \nabla_v G - Q(G, G).$$

We easily see that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_9 & = \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\{ \partial^\alpha \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\rho} R\theta B_{ij} (\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}}) \frac{\varepsilon \partial_t G}{M} dv \right] \partial^\alpha \partial_{x_j} \tilde{u}_i \right\} dx \\
& \quad + \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\{ \partial^\alpha \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\rho} R\theta B_{ij} (\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}}) \frac{\varepsilon P_1(v \cdot \nabla_x G)}{M} dv \right] \partial^\alpha \partial_{x_j} \tilde{u}_i \right\} dx \\
& \quad - \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\{ \partial^\alpha \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\rho} R\theta B_{ij} (\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}}) \frac{\varepsilon (E + v \times B) \cdot \nabla_v G}{M} dv \right] \partial^\alpha \partial_{x_j} \tilde{u}_i \right\} dx \\
& \quad - \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\{ \partial^\alpha \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\rho} R\theta B_{ij} (\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}}) \frac{Q(G, G)}{M} dv \right] \partial^\alpha \partial_{x_j} \tilde{u}_i \right\} dx \\
& := I_9^1 + I_9^2 + I_9^3 + I_9^4.
\end{aligned}$$

We compute each I_9^i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) in the following way. We use $G = \overline{G} + \sqrt{\mu} f$ to write

$$\begin{aligned}
I_9^1 & = \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\{ \partial^\alpha \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\rho} R\theta B_{ij} (\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}}) \frac{\varepsilon \partial_t \overline{G}}{M} dv \right] \partial^\alpha \partial_{x_j} \tilde{u}_i \right\} dx \\
& \quad + \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\{ \partial^\alpha \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\rho} R\theta B_{ij} (\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}}) \frac{\varepsilon \sqrt{\mu} \partial_t f}{M} dv \right] \partial^\alpha \partial_{x_j} \tilde{u}_i \right\} dx \\
& := I_9^{11} + I_9^{12}.
\end{aligned}$$

To bound I_9^{11} , we note that $\partial_t \overline{G}$ has the similar expression as (4.9), then for $|\alpha| \leq N - 1$ and any $b \geq 0$, it holds by the similar arguments as (4.6) that

$$\| \langle v \rangle^b \frac{\partial^\alpha \partial_t \overline{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}} \| \leq C \eta_0 \varepsilon^{1-a}. \tag{5.23}$$

Making use of this, (5.22), (3.3), (4.1), (4.14) and (4.15), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} |I_9^{11}| &\leq \eta\varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial^\alpha \partial_{x_j} \tilde{u}_i|^2 dx + C_\eta \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial^\alpha \left[\frac{1}{\rho} R\theta B_{ij} \left(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}} \right) \frac{\partial_t \bar{G}}{M} \right] dv \right|^2 dx \\ &\leq \eta\varepsilon \|\partial^\alpha \nabla_x \tilde{u}\|^2 + C_\eta [\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \end{aligned}$$

For the term I_9^{12} , we use an integration by parts about t to get

$$\begin{aligned} I_9^{12} &= \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial^\alpha \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{\rho} R\theta B_{ij} \left(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}} \right) \frac{\varepsilon \sqrt{\mu}}{M} \right] f \right\} \partial^\alpha \partial_{x_j} \tilde{u}_i dv dx \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial^\alpha \left\{ \partial_t \left[\frac{1}{\rho} R\theta B_{ij} \left(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}} \right) \frac{\varepsilon \sqrt{\mu}}{M} \right] f \right\} \partial^\alpha \partial_{x_j} \tilde{u}_i dv dx \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial^\alpha \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{\rho} R\theta B_{ij} \left(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}} \right) \frac{\varepsilon \sqrt{\mu}}{M} \right] f \right\} \partial^\alpha \partial_{x_j} \partial_t \tilde{u}_i dv dx. \end{aligned} \tag{5.24}$$

The last term of (5.24) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial^\alpha \partial_{x_j} \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{\rho} R\theta B_{ij} \left(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}} \right) \frac{\varepsilon \sqrt{\mu}}{M} \right] f \right\} \partial^\alpha \partial_t \tilde{u}_i dv dx \\ &\leq \eta\varepsilon \|\partial^\alpha \partial_t \tilde{u}_i\|^2 + C_\eta \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial^\alpha \partial_{x_j} \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{\rho} R\theta B_{ij} \left(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}} \right) \frac{\varepsilon \sqrt{\mu}}{M} \right] f \right\} dv \right|^2 dx \\ &\leq C_\eta \varepsilon \|\partial^\alpha \nabla_x (\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})\|^2 + C_\eta \varepsilon \|\partial^\alpha \nabla_x f\|^2 + C_\eta [\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \end{aligned}$$

where (5.22), (4.80), (3.3), (4.1), (4.13), (4.14) and (4.15) have used in the last inequality.

The second term of (5.24) can be handed similarly. We thereby obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_9^{12} &\leq \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial^\alpha \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{\rho} R\theta B_{ij} \left(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}} \right) \frac{\varepsilon \sqrt{\mu}}{M} \right] f \right\} \partial^\alpha \partial_{x_j} \tilde{u}_i dv dx \\ &\quad + C_\eta \varepsilon \|\partial^\alpha \nabla_x (\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})\|^2 + C_\eta \varepsilon \|\partial^\alpha \nabla_x f\|^2 + C_\eta [\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{2-2a}, \end{aligned}$$

which along with the estimate I_9^{11} shows that

$$\begin{aligned} I_9^1 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\{ \partial^\alpha \left[\frac{1}{\rho} R\theta B_{ij} \left(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}} \right) \frac{\varepsilon \sqrt{\mu}}{M} \right] f \right\} \partial^\alpha \partial_{x_j} \tilde{u}_i dv dx \\ &\quad + C_\eta \varepsilon \|\partial^\alpha \nabla_x (\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})\|^2 + C_\eta \varepsilon \|\partial^\alpha \nabla_x f\|^2 + C_\eta [\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (5.22), (4.80), (3.3), (4.1), (4.13) and (4.15) again, we can bound I_9^2 and I_9^3 by

$$|I_9^2| + |I_9^3| \leq C_\eta \varepsilon \|\partial^\alpha \nabla_x \tilde{u}\|^2 + C_\eta \varepsilon \|\partial^\alpha \nabla_x f\|^2 + C_\eta [\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{2-2a}.$$

As for the term I_9^4 , we use (3.12) to write

$$I_9^4 = - \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial^\alpha \left[\frac{1}{\rho} R\theta B_{ij} \left(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}} \right) \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{M} \Gamma \left(\frac{G}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{G}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) \right] dv \partial^\alpha \partial_{x_j} \tilde{u}_i dx.$$

If $|\alpha| = 0$ in I_9^4 , we get by (4.18), (5.22), (4.5), (4.1), (4.15) and (3.21) that

$$\begin{aligned} |I_9^4| &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \frac{G}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right|_2 \left| \frac{G}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right|_\nu |\partial_{x_j} \tilde{u}_i| dx \\ &\leq \eta\varepsilon \|\nabla_x \tilde{u}_i\|^2 + C_\eta \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \left(\left| \frac{\bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right|_2^2 + |f|_2^2 \right) \left(\left\| \frac{\bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right\|_\nu^2 + \|f\|_\nu^2 \right) \\ &\leq \eta\varepsilon \|\nabla_x \tilde{u}\|^2 + C_\eta (\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C_\eta [\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \end{aligned}$$

Similar estimate also holds for the cases $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N - 1$, it follows that

$$|I_9^4| \leq C\eta\varepsilon\|\partial^\alpha\nabla_x\tilde{u}\|^2 + C_\eta(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a})\mathcal{D}_N(t) + C_\eta[\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}]\varepsilon^{2-2a}.$$

Therefore, collecting the estimates from I_9^1 to I_9^4 , one gets

$$\begin{aligned} I_9 \leq & -\sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\{ \partial^\alpha \partial_{x_j} \left[\frac{1}{\rho} R\theta B_{ij} \left(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}} \right) \frac{\varepsilon\sqrt{\mu}}{M} f \right] \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}_i \right\} dv dx + C\eta\varepsilon\|\partial^\alpha\nabla_x(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})\|^2 \\ & + C_\eta\varepsilon\|\partial^\alpha\nabla_x f\|^2 + C_\eta(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a})\mathcal{D}_N(t) + C_\eta[\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}]\varepsilon^{2-2a}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

The term I_{10} in (5.21) can be treated in the similar way as I_9 , so it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{10} \leq & \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial^\alpha \left\{ \left[\partial_{x_j} \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \right) R\theta B_{ij} \left(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}} \right) \frac{\varepsilon\sqrt{\mu}}{M} \right] f \right\} \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}_i dv dx + C\eta\varepsilon\|\partial^\alpha\nabla_x(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})\|^2 \\ & + C_\eta\varepsilon\|\partial^\alpha\nabla_x f\|^2 + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a})\mathcal{D}_N(t) + C_\eta[\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}]\varepsilon^{2-2a}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, substituting the estimates I_9 and I_{10} into (5.21) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & -(\partial^\alpha \left[\frac{1}{\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_i v \cdot \nabla_x L_M^{-1} \Theta dv \right], \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}_i) \\ \leq & -\sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\{ \partial^\alpha \left[\frac{1}{\rho} \partial_{x_j} (R\theta B_{ij} \left(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}} \right) \frac{\varepsilon\sqrt{\mu}}{M} f) \right] \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}_i \right\} dv dx + C\eta\varepsilon\|\partial^\alpha\nabla_x(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})\|^2 \\ & + C_\eta\varepsilon\|\partial^\alpha\nabla_x f\|^2 + C_\eta(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a})\mathcal{D}_N(t) + C_\eta[\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}]\varepsilon^{2-2a}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

In summary, substituting (5.14), (5.16), (5.17), (5.19) and (5.26) into (5.13) and summing i from 1 to 3, we have established that for $|\alpha| \leq N - 1$ and any small $\eta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial^\alpha \tilde{u}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{E} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{B} \right) \\ & + \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\{ \partial^\alpha \left[\frac{1}{\rho} \partial_{x_j} (R\theta B_{ij} \left(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}} \right) \frac{\varepsilon\sqrt{\mu}}{M} f) \right] \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}_i \right\} dv dx \\ & + \left(\frac{2\tilde{\theta}}{3\rho} \partial^\alpha \nabla_x \tilde{\rho}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{u} \right) + \left(\frac{2}{3} \partial^\alpha \nabla_x \tilde{\theta}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{u} \right) + c\varepsilon\|\partial^\alpha\nabla_x \tilde{u}\|^2 \\ \leq & C\eta\varepsilon\|\partial^\alpha\nabla_x(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})\|^2 + C_\eta\varepsilon\|\partial^\alpha\nabla_x f\|^2 \\ & + C_\eta(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a})\mathcal{D}_N(t) + C_\eta[\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \eta_0\varepsilon^a + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}]\varepsilon^{2-2a}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.27)$$

Here we have used the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \mu(\theta) (\partial^\alpha \partial_{x_j} \tilde{u}_i + \partial^\alpha \partial_{x_i} \tilde{u}_j - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \nabla_x \cdot \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}), \partial^\alpha \partial_{x_j} \tilde{u}_i \right) \\ & \geq c\varepsilon\|\partial^\alpha\nabla_x \tilde{u}\|^2 - C[\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}]\varepsilon^{2-2a}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 3. Estimate on $\|\partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta}\|^2$. As before, applying ∂^α with $|\alpha| \leq N-1$ to the third equation of (3.18) and taking the inner product of the resulting equation with $\frac{1}{\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta}$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\partial_t \partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta}, \frac{1}{\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta}) + (\frac{2}{3} \bar{\theta} \nabla_x \cdot \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}, \frac{1}{\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta}) + \sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha} C_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1} (\frac{2}{3} \partial^{\alpha_1} \bar{\theta} \nabla_x \cdot \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \tilde{u}, \frac{1}{\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta}) \\
& = -(\partial^\alpha (u \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{\theta}), \frac{1}{\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta}) - (\partial^\alpha (\tilde{u} \cdot \nabla_x \bar{\theta}), \frac{1}{\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta}) - (\frac{2}{3} \partial^\alpha (\tilde{\theta} \nabla_x \cdot u), \frac{1}{\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta}) \\
& \quad + \varepsilon \sum_{i,j=1}^3 (\partial^\alpha [\frac{1}{\rho} \mu(\theta) \partial_{x_j} u_i D_{ij}], \frac{1}{\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta}) + \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial^\alpha [\frac{1}{\rho} \partial_{x_j} (\kappa(\theta) \partial_{x_j} \theta)], \frac{1}{\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta}) \\
& \quad - (\partial^\alpha [\frac{1}{\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{2} |v|^2 v \cdot \nabla_x L_M^{-1} \Theta dv], \frac{1}{\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta}) \\
& \quad + (\partial^\alpha [\frac{1}{\rho} u \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \otimes v \cdot \nabla_x L_M^{-1} \Theta dv], \frac{1}{\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta}). \tag{5.28}
\end{aligned}$$

Here if $|\alpha| = 0$, the third term on the LHS of (5.28) vanishes and if $|\alpha| \geq 1$, it exists.

We will estimate (5.28) term by term. The third term on the LHS of (5.28) has the same bound as (5.4). The first four terms on the RHS of (5.28) are bounded by

$$C\eta\varepsilon \|\partial^\alpha \nabla_x \cdot \tilde{u}\|^2 + C_\eta [\eta_0 (1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{2-2a}.$$

The fifth term on the RHS of (5.28) has the same structure as the viscosity coefficient term in (5.13). Therefore, we can perform the similar arguments as (5.19) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial^\alpha [\frac{1}{\rho} \partial_{x_j} (\kappa(\theta) \partial_{x_j} \theta)], \frac{1}{\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta}) \\
& \leq -\varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^3 (\frac{1}{\rho} \kappa(\theta) \partial^\alpha \partial_{x_j} \tilde{\theta}, \frac{1}{\theta} \partial^\alpha \partial_{x_j} \tilde{\theta}) + C[\eta_0 (1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \eta_0 \varepsilon^a + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{2-2a}.
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to compute the last two terms in (5.28). By the self-adjoint property of L_M^{-1} , (2.5), (2.17) and (2.18), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\frac{1}{2} v_i |v|^2 - v_i u \cdot v) L_M^{-1} \Theta dv = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} L_M^{-1} \{P_1(\frac{1}{2} v_i |v|^2 - v_i u \cdot v) M\} \frac{\Theta}{M} dv \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} L_M^{-1} \{(R\theta)^{\frac{3}{2}} \hat{A}_i(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}}) M\} \frac{\Theta}{M} dv = (R\theta)^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A_i(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}}) \frac{\Theta}{M} dv. \tag{5.29}
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (5.20) and (5.29), the last two terms of (5.28) are equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{i=1}^3 (\partial^\alpha [\frac{1}{\rho} \partial_{x_i} (\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\frac{1}{2} |v|^2 v_i - u \cdot v v_i) L_M^{-1} \Theta dv)], \frac{1}{\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta}) \\
& - \sum_{i=1}^3 (\partial^\alpha [\frac{1}{\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_{x_i} u \cdot v v_i L_M^{-1} \Theta dv], \frac{1}{\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta}) \\
& = - \sum_{i=1}^3 (\partial^\alpha [\frac{1}{\rho} \partial_{x_i} ((R\theta)^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A_i(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}}) \frac{\Theta}{M} dv)], \frac{1}{\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta}) \\
& - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 (\partial^\alpha [\frac{1}{\rho} \partial_{x_i} u_j R\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} B_{ij}(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}}) \frac{\Theta}{M} dv], \frac{1}{\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta}) \\
& \leq \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{E}(t) + C\eta\varepsilon \|\partial^\alpha \nabla_x (\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})\|^2 + C_\eta \varepsilon \|\partial^\alpha \nabla_x f\|^2 \\
& \quad + C_\eta (\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C_\eta [\eta_0 (1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{2-2a}.
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used the similar calculations as (5.26) and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}(t) = & - \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\{ \partial^\alpha \left[\frac{1}{\rho} \partial_{x_i} ((R\theta)^{\frac{3}{2}} A_i \left(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}} \right) \frac{\varepsilon \sqrt{\mu}}{M} f) \right] \frac{1}{\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta} \right\} dv dx \\ & - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\{ \partial^\alpha \left[\frac{1}{\rho} \partial_{x_i} u_j R\theta B_{ij} \left(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}} \right) \frac{\varepsilon \sqrt{\mu}}{M} f \right] \frac{1}{\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta} \right\} dv dx. \end{aligned} \quad (5.30)$$

In summary, putting all the above estimates into (5.28), we have established that for $|\alpha| \leq N-1$ and any small $\eta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta}, \frac{1}{\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta}) + (\frac{2}{3} \nabla_x \cdot \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta}) + \varepsilon (\frac{1}{\rho} \kappa(\theta) \partial^\alpha \nabla_x \tilde{\theta}, \frac{1}{\theta} \partial^\alpha \nabla_x \tilde{\theta}) \\ & \leq \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{E}(t) + C\eta \varepsilon \|\partial^\alpha \nabla_x (\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})\|^2 + C\eta \varepsilon \|\partial^\alpha \nabla_x f\|^2 \\ & \quad + C_\eta (\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C_\eta [\eta_0 (1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \eta_0 \varepsilon^a + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.31)$$

Step 4. Estimate on $\|\partial^\alpha (\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})\|^2$. For $|\alpha| \leq N-1$, we get by integration by parts, (3.3) and (4.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\nabla_x \cdot \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}, \frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}) + (\frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}} \partial^\alpha \nabla_x \tilde{\rho}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}) + (\frac{2}{3} \partial^\alpha \nabla_x \tilde{\theta}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}) + (\frac{2}{3} \nabla_x \cdot \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta})| \\ & = |(\partial^\alpha \tilde{u}, \nabla_x (\frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}} \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho})| \leq C \|\nabla_x (\bar{\rho}, \bar{\theta})\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial^\alpha \tilde{u}\| \|\partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}\| \leq C \eta_0 (1+t)^{-\vartheta} \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \end{aligned}$$

Adding (5.12), (5.27) and (5.31) together, and using the aforementioned estimate, then the summation of the resulting equation over $|\alpha|$ through a suitable linear combination gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}^2} |\partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}|^2 + |\partial^\alpha \tilde{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{\theta} |\partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta}|^2 + \frac{1}{\rho} |\partial^\alpha \tilde{E}|^2 + \frac{1}{\rho} |\partial^\alpha \tilde{B}|^2 \right) dx \\ & \quad + \frac{d}{dt} E_\alpha(t) + c\varepsilon \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} \|\partial^\alpha (\tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})\|^2 \\ & \leq C\eta \varepsilon \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} \|\partial^\alpha (\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})\|^2 + C\eta \varepsilon \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} \|\partial^\alpha f\|^2 \\ & \quad + C_\eta (\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C_\eta [\eta_0 (1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \eta_0 \varepsilon^a + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.32)$$

Here $E_\alpha(t)$ is given by

$$E_\alpha(t) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\{ \partial^\alpha \left[\frac{1}{\rho} \partial_{x_j} (R\theta B_{ij} \left(\frac{v-u}{\sqrt{R\theta}} \right) \frac{\varepsilon \sqrt{\mu}}{M} f) \right] \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}_i \right\} dv dx - \tilde{E}(t) \right). \quad (5.33)$$

On the other hand, we can easily get by (4.76) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \frac{d}{dt} (\partial^\alpha \tilde{u}, \nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}) + c\varepsilon \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} \|\partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}\|^2 \\ & \leq C\varepsilon \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} (\|(\partial^\alpha \tilde{u}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta})\|^2 + \|\partial^\alpha f\|^2) + C\varepsilon \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.34)$$

In summary, adding (5.32) $\times C_3$ to (5.34) and firstly choosing $C_3 > 1$ sufficiently large, then taking $\eta > 0$ small enough, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}C_3 \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{2\bar{\theta}}{3\bar{\rho}^2} |\partial^\alpha \bar{\rho}|^2 + |\partial^\alpha \bar{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{\bar{\theta}} |\partial^\alpha \bar{\theta}|^2 + \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}} |\partial^\alpha \bar{E}|^2 + \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}} |\partial^\alpha \bar{B}|^2 \right) dx \\ & + C_3 \frac{d}{dt} E_\alpha(t) + \varepsilon \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \frac{d}{dt} (\partial^\alpha \tilde{u}, \nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}) + c\varepsilon \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} \|\partial^\alpha (\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})\|^2 \\ & \leq C\varepsilon \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} \|\partial^\alpha f\|^2 + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C[\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \eta_0 \varepsilon^a + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.35)$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, (3.3), (4.1) and (4.15), we get

$$|E_\alpha(t)| \leq C\eta \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \|\partial^\alpha (\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})\|^2 + C\eta \varepsilon^2 \sum_{|\alpha|=N} (\|\partial^\alpha (\tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})\|^2 + \|\partial^\alpha f\|^2) + C\eta \varepsilon \varepsilon^{2-2a}.$$

Integrating (5.35) with respect to t and using $\mathcal{E}_N(0) \leq C\eta_0^2 \varepsilon^2$ and $\vartheta > 1$ as well as the aforementioned estimate, we can prove that (5.1) holds true. This completes the proof of Lemma 5.1. \square

5.1.2. *Space derivative estimates on non-fluid part up to $(N-1)$ -order.* Next we make use of the microscopic equation (3.14) to derive the space derivative estimates up to $(N-1)$ -order for the non-fluid part f . We should emphasize that the fact that $f \in (\ker \mathcal{L})^\perp$ is crucial in the estimates.

Lemma 5.2. *Under the conditions listed in Lemma 5.1, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \|\partial^\alpha f(t)\|^2 + c \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \int_0^t \|\partial^\alpha f(s)\|_\nu^2 ds \\ & \leq C\varepsilon \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} \int_0^t \{ \|\partial^\alpha (\tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})(s)\|^2 + \|\partial^\alpha f(s)\|_\nu^2 \} ds \\ & \quad + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \int_0^t \mathcal{D}_N(s) ds + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a} t) \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.36)$$

Proof. Applying ∂^α with $|\alpha| \leq N-1$ to (3.14) and then taking the inner product of the resulting identity with $\partial^\alpha f$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial^\alpha f\|^2 + (v \cdot \nabla_x \partial^\alpha f, \partial^\alpha f) - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\mathcal{L} \partial^\alpha f, \partial^\alpha f) \\ & = \left(\frac{\partial^\alpha [(E + v \times B) \cdot \nabla_v \bar{G}]}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \partial^\alpha f \right) + \left(\frac{\partial^\alpha [(E + v \times B) \cdot \nabla_v (\sqrt{\mu} f)]}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \partial^\alpha f \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\partial^\alpha \Gamma(\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}, f) + \partial^\alpha \Gamma(f, \frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}), \partial^\alpha f) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\partial^\alpha \Gamma(\frac{G}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{G}{\sqrt{\mu}}), \partial^\alpha f) \\ & \quad + \left(\frac{\partial^\alpha P_0(v \sqrt{\mu} \cdot \nabla_x f)}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \partial^\alpha f \right) - \left(\frac{\partial^\alpha P_1(v \cdot \nabla_x \bar{G})}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \partial^\alpha f \right) - \left(\frac{\partial^\alpha \partial_t \bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \partial^\alpha f \right) \\ & \quad - \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} \partial^\alpha P_1 \left\{ v \cdot \left(\frac{|v-u|^2 \nabla_x \tilde{\theta}}{2R\theta^2} + \frac{(v-u) \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{u}}{R\theta} \right) M \right\}, \partial^\alpha f \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.37)$$

Let's now compute (5.37) term by term. The second term on the LHS of (5.37) vanishes by integration by parts. For the third term on the LHS of (5.37), we have from (3.16) that

$$-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\mathcal{L} \partial^\alpha f, \partial^\alpha f) \geq c_1 \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_\nu^2.$$

For the first term on the RHS of (5.37), we get by (4.5), (3.17), (3.3) and (4.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left(\frac{\partial^\alpha [(E + v \times B) \cdot \nabla_v \bar{G}]}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \partial^\alpha f \right) \right| \leq \eta \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\partial^\alpha f\|^2 + C\eta \varepsilon \left\| \frac{\partial^\alpha [(E + v \times B) \cdot \nabla_v \bar{G}]}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right\|^2 \\ & \leq C\eta \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_\nu^2 + C\eta [\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.38)$$

By (4.75), (4.28) and (4.47), it is clear that the second, third, fourth terms on the RHS of (5.37) are bounded by

$$C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a})\mathcal{D}_N(t) + C\varepsilon\varepsilon^{2-2a}.$$

For the fifth term on the RHS of (5.37), making use of (2.5), (4.11), the similar arguments as (4.26), (3.17), (3.3), (4.1) and (4.15), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} |(\frac{\partial^\alpha P_0(v\sqrt{\mu} \cdot \nabla_x f)}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \partial^\alpha f)| &= |(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} \sum_{i=0}^4 \partial^\alpha [\langle v\sqrt{\mu} \cdot \nabla_x f, \frac{\chi_i}{M} \rangle \chi_i], \partial^\alpha f)| \\ &\leq C \|\partial^\alpha f\| \|\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} \sum_{i=0}^4 \partial^\alpha [\langle v\sqrt{\mu} \cdot \nabla_x f, \frac{\chi_i}{M} \rangle \chi_i]\| \\ &\leq \eta \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_\nu^2 + C_\eta \varepsilon \|\partial^\alpha \nabla_x f\|^2 + C_\eta [\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \end{aligned}$$

For the sixth and seventh terms on the RHS of (5.37), in view of (2.5), Lemma 4.6, (5.23), (3.17) and (4.15), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} &|(\frac{\partial^\alpha P_1(v \cdot \nabla_x \overline{G})}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \partial^\alpha f)| + |(\frac{\partial^\alpha \partial_t \overline{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \partial^\alpha f)| \\ &\leq \eta \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\partial^\alpha f\|^2 + C_\eta \varepsilon (\|\frac{\partial^\alpha P_1(v \cdot \nabla_x \overline{G})}{\sqrt{\mu}}\|^2 + \|\frac{\partial^\alpha \partial_t \overline{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}}\|^2) \\ &\leq C_\eta \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_\nu^2 + C_\eta [\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \end{aligned}$$

For the last term on the RHS of (5.37), we can bound it by

$$\begin{aligned} &\eta \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\partial^\alpha f\|^2 + C_\eta \varepsilon \|\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} \partial^\alpha P_1 \{v \cdot (\frac{|v-u|^2 \nabla_x \tilde{\theta}}{2R\theta^2} + \frac{(v-u) \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{u}}{R\theta}) M\}\|^2 \\ &\leq C_\eta \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_\nu^2 + C_\eta \varepsilon \|\partial^\alpha (\nabla_x \tilde{u}, \nabla_x \tilde{\theta})\|^2 + C_\eta [\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, substituting all the above estimates into (5.37) and choosing $\eta > 0$ small enough, then the summation of the resulting equation over $|\alpha|$ with $|\alpha| \leq N-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} (\frac{d}{dt} \|\partial^\alpha f\|^2 + \frac{c_1}{2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_\nu^2) \\ &\leq C\varepsilon \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} (\|\partial^\alpha (\nabla_x \tilde{u}, \nabla_x \tilde{\theta})\|^2 + \|\partial^\alpha \nabla_x f\|^2) \\ &\quad + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a})\mathcal{D}_N(t) + C[\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.39}$$

Integrating (5.39) with respect to t and using $\mathcal{E}_N(0) \leq C\eta_0^2 \varepsilon^2$ as well as $\vartheta > 1$, we can obtain the desired estimate (5.36). This completes the proof of Lemma 5.2. \square

With the help of Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2, we immediately obtain the space derivative estimates for both the fluid part and the non-fluid part up to $(N-1)$ -order.

Lemma 5.3. *It holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \{\|\partial^\alpha (\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{E}, \tilde{B})(t)\|^2 + \|\partial^\alpha f(t)\|^2\} \\ &\quad + c\varepsilon \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} \int_0^t \|\partial^\alpha (\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})(s)\|^2 ds + c \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \int_0^t \|\partial^\alpha f(s)\|_\nu^2 ds \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^2 \sum_{|\alpha|=N} (\|\partial^\alpha (\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})(t)\|^2 + \|\partial^\alpha f(t)\|^2) + C\varepsilon \sum_{|\alpha|=N} \int_0^t \|\partial^\alpha f(s)\|_\nu^2 ds \\ &\quad + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \int_0^t \mathcal{D}_N(s) ds + C[\eta_0 + \varepsilon + (\eta_0 \varepsilon^a + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a})t] \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.40}$$

Proof. By multiplying (5.1) with a large positive constant C and then adding the resultant inequality to (5.36), the estimate (5.40) follows by letting ε be small enough. We thus finish the proof of Lemma 5.3. \square

5.2. N -order space derivative estimates. To complete the estimates on space derivatives of all orders, we still deal with the highest N -order space derivatives.

Lemma 5.4. *Under the conditions listed in Lemma 5.1, one has*

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon^2 \sum_{|\alpha|=N} \{ \|\partial^\alpha(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{E}, \tilde{B})(t)\|^2 + \|\partial^\alpha f(t)\|^2 \} + \varepsilon \sum_{|\alpha|=N} \int_0^t \|\partial^\alpha f(s)\|_\nu^2 ds \\ & \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \int_0^t \mathcal{D}_N(s) ds + C[\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} + (\eta_0 \varepsilon^{2a} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a})t] \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.41)$$

Proof. Applying ∂^α to (3.15) with $|\alpha| = N$ and then taking the inner product of the resulting equation with $\frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}}$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left\| \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right\|^2 - \left(\frac{\partial^\alpha[(E + v \times B) \cdot \nabla_v F]}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\mathcal{L} \partial^\alpha f, \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}}) \\ & = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\partial^\alpha \Gamma(\frac{M - \mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}, f), \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}}) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\partial^\alpha \Gamma(f, \frac{M - \mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}), \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}}) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\partial^\alpha \Gamma(\frac{G}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{G}{\sqrt{\mu}}), \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}}) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\frac{\partial^\alpha L_M \bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.42)$$

We will compute each term for (5.42). From (4.51), it obviously holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & - \left(\frac{\partial^\alpha[(E + v \times B) \cdot \nabla_v F]}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{R\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{E} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{R\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{B} \right) \\ & \quad - C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) - C[\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \eta_0 \varepsilon^{2a} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{-2a}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of the decomposition $F = M + \bar{G} + \sqrt{\mu}f$, it is easy to see

$$- \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\mathcal{L} \partial^\alpha f, \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}}) = - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\mathcal{L} \partial^\alpha f, \frac{\partial^\alpha M}{\sqrt{\mu}}) - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\mathcal{L} \partial^\alpha f, \partial^\alpha f) - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\mathcal{L} \partial^\alpha f, \frac{\partial^\alpha \bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}}). \quad (5.43)$$

Let's carefully treat (5.43). For the first term on the RHS of (5.43), we first note that $\partial^\alpha M = I_1 + I_2 + I_3$ in terms of (4.32). The linear term $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\mathcal{L} \partial^\alpha f, \frac{I_1 + I_2}{\sqrt{\mu}})$ presents significant difficulty so it cannot be estimated directly. The key technique to handle this term is to use the properties of the linearized operator \mathcal{L} and the smallness of $M - \mu$. For this, we denote

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 + I_2 & = \mu \left(\frac{\partial^\alpha \rho}{\rho} + \frac{(v-u) \cdot \partial^\alpha u}{R\theta} + \left(\frac{|v-u|^2}{2R\theta} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \frac{\partial^\alpha \theta}{\theta} \right) \\ & \quad + (M - \mu) \left(\frac{\partial^\alpha \tilde{\rho}}{\rho} + \frac{(v-u) \cdot \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}}{R\theta} + \left(\frac{|v-u|^2}{2R\theta} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \frac{\partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta}}{\theta} \right) \\ & \quad + (M - \mu) \left(\frac{\partial^\alpha \bar{\rho}}{\rho} + \frac{(v-u) \cdot \partial^\alpha \bar{u}}{R\theta} + \left(\frac{|v-u|^2}{2R\theta} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \frac{\partial^\alpha \bar{\theta}}{\theta} \right) \\ & := \tilde{I}^1 + \tilde{I}^2 + \tilde{I}^3. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{\tilde{I}^1}{\sqrt{\mu}} \in \ker \mathcal{L}$, it follows that $(\mathcal{L}f, \frac{\tilde{I}^1}{\sqrt{\mu}}) = 0$. Recall $\mathcal{L}f = \Gamma(\sqrt{\mu}, f) + \Gamma(f, \sqrt{\mu})$ given in (3.12), we use the similar arguments of (4.33) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |(\mathcal{L} \partial^\alpha f, \frac{\tilde{I}^2}{\sqrt{\mu}})| & \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\|\partial^\alpha f\|_\nu^2 + \|\partial^\alpha(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})\|^2) \\ & \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t). \end{aligned} \quad (5.44)$$

The same arguments as (4.34) yield that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} |(\mathcal{L}\partial^\alpha f, \frac{\tilde{I}^3}{\sqrt{\mu}})| \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a})\varepsilon.$$

It follows from the estimates \tilde{I}^1 , \tilde{I}^2 and \tilde{I}^3 that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} |(\mathcal{L}\partial^\alpha f, \frac{I_1 + I_2}{\sqrt{\mu}})| \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a})\varepsilon. \quad (5.45)$$

Recall I_3 given in (4.32), we use the similar arguments as (4.36) to get

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} |(\mathcal{L}\partial^\alpha f, \frac{I_3}{\sqrt{\mu}})| \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a})\varepsilon,$$

which along with (5.45) and the fact $\partial^\alpha M = I_1 + I_2 + I_3$ shows that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} |(\mathcal{L}\partial^\alpha f, \frac{\partial^\alpha M}{\sqrt{\mu}})| \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a})\varepsilon.$$

For the second term on the RHS of (5.43), since $f \in (\ker \mathcal{L})^\perp$, one gets by (3.16) that

$$-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\mathcal{L}\partial^\alpha f, \partial^\alpha f) \geq c_1 \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_\nu^2.$$

For the third term on the RHS of (5.43), using $\mathcal{L}f = \Gamma(\sqrt{\mu}, f) + \Gamma(f, \sqrt{\mu})$, (4.18) and (4.6), one gets

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} |(\mathcal{L}\partial^\alpha f, \frac{\partial^\alpha \bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}})| \leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_\nu \|\frac{\partial^\alpha \bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}}\|_\nu \leq \eta_0 \frac{c_1}{2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_\nu^2 + C\eta_0 \varepsilon^{1-2a}.$$

Hence, putting the aforementioned three estimates into (5.43), we obtain

$$-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\mathcal{L}\partial^\alpha f, \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}}) \geq \frac{c_1}{2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_\nu^2 - C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) - C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a})\varepsilon^{1-2a}. \quad (5.46)$$

In view of (4.29) and (4.48), we can bound the first three terms on the RHS of (5.42) by

$$C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a})\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}.$$

For the last term on the RHS of (5.42), by (3.9), (2.5), (4.39) and (4.15), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\frac{\partial^\alpha L_M \bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}}) &\leq C \|\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} \partial^\alpha P_1 \{v \cdot (\frac{|v-u|^2 \nabla_x \bar{\theta}}{2R\theta^2} + \frac{(v-u) \cdot \nabla_x \bar{u}}{R\theta}) M\}\| \|\frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}}\| \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}). \end{aligned}$$

In summary, substituting all the above estimates into (5.42) and using (4.15), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{|\alpha|=N} \{ \|\frac{\partial^\alpha F}{\sqrt{\mu}}\|^2 + (\frac{1}{R\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{E}) + (\frac{1}{R\theta} \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}, \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}) \} + c \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{|\alpha|=N} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_\nu^2 \\ &\leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_N(t) + C[\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \eta_0 \varepsilon^{2a} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}] \varepsilon^{-2a}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.47)$$

Multiplying (5.47) by ε^2 and integrating the resulting equation with respect to t , we can obtain the desired estimate (5.41) by using (4.85) and $\mathcal{E}_N(0) \leq C\eta_0^2 \varepsilon^2$ as well as the following the fact

$$\varepsilon^2 \sum_{|\alpha|=N} \|\frac{\partial^\alpha F(0)}{\sqrt{\mu}}\|^2 \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \varepsilon^2.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 5.4. \square

Combining those estimates in Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 5.4, we are able to conclude the energy estimate on solutions without velocity derivatives.

Lemma 5.5. *It holds that*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \{ \|\partial^\alpha(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{E}, \tilde{B})(t)\|^2 + \|\partial^\alpha f(t)\|^2 \} + \varepsilon^2 \sum_{|\alpha|=N} \{ \|\partial^\alpha(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{E}, \tilde{B})(t)\|^2 + \|\partial^\alpha f(t)\|^2 \} \\
& + c \int_0^t \{ \varepsilon \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} \|\partial^\alpha(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})(s)\|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \|\partial^\alpha f(s)\|_\nu^2 + \varepsilon \sum_{|\alpha|=N} \|\partial^\alpha f(s)\|_\nu^2 \} ds \\
& \leq C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \int_0^t \mathcal{D}_N(s) ds + C[\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} + (\eta_0 \varepsilon^a + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a})t] \varepsilon^{2-2a}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.48}$$

5.3. Mixed derivative estimates. Notice that Lemma 5.5 does not include the velocity derivative, we shall derive the mixed derivative estimates on solution in this subsection.

Lemma 5.6. *Under the conditions listed in Lemma 5.1, one has*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq N, |\beta| \geq 1} \{ \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f(t)\|^2 + c \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f(s)\|_\nu^2 ds \} \\
& \leq C \int_0^t \{ \varepsilon \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} \|\partial^\alpha(\tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})(s)\|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \|\partial^\alpha f(s)\|_\nu^2 + \varepsilon \sum_{|\alpha|=N} \|\partial^\alpha f(s)\|_\nu^2 \} ds \\
& + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \int_0^t \mathcal{D}_N(s) ds + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{1-a}t) \varepsilon^{2-2a}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.49}$$

Proof. Let $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq N$ and $|\beta| \geq 1$, we apply ∂_β^α to (3.14) and take the inner product of the resulting equation with $\partial_\beta^\alpha f$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|^2 + (v \cdot \nabla_x \partial_\beta^\alpha f, \partial_\beta^\alpha f) + (C_\beta^{\beta-e_i} \delta_\beta^{e_i} \partial_{\beta-e_i}^{\alpha+e_i} f, \partial_\beta^\alpha f) - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\partial_\beta^\alpha \mathcal{L}f, \partial_\beta^\alpha f) \\
& = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}, f), \partial_\beta^\alpha f) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma(f, \frac{M-\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}), \partial_\beta^\alpha f) \\
& + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\frac{G}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \frac{G}{\sqrt{\mu}}), \partial_\beta^\alpha f) + (\partial_\beta^\alpha [\frac{(E+v \times B) \cdot \nabla_v(\sqrt{\mu}f + \bar{G})}{\sqrt{\mu}}], \partial_\beta^\alpha f) \\
& - (\partial_\beta^\alpha [\frac{P_1(v \cdot \nabla_x \bar{G})}{\sqrt{\mu}}], \partial_\beta^\alpha f) - (\partial_\beta^\alpha (\frac{\partial_t \bar{G}}{\sqrt{\mu}}), \partial_\beta^\alpha f) + (\partial_\beta^\alpha [\frac{P_0(v\sqrt{\mu} \cdot \nabla_x f)}{\sqrt{\mu}}], \partial_\beta^\alpha f) \\
& - (\partial_\beta^\alpha \{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} P_1[v \cdot (\frac{|v-u|^2 \nabla_x \tilde{\theta}}{2R\theta^2} + \frac{(v-u) \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{u}}{R\theta})M] \}, \partial_\beta^\alpha f).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.50}$$

Here $\delta_\beta^{e_i} = 1$ if $e_i \leq \beta$ or $\delta_\beta^{e_i} = 0$ otherwise.

We will compute each term for (5.50). The second term on the LHS of (5.50) vanishes by integration by parts, while the third term on the LHS of (5.50) can be estimated as

$$|(C_\beta^{\beta-e_i} \delta_\beta^{e_i} \partial_{\beta-e_i}^{\alpha+e_i} f, \partial_\beta^\alpha f)| \leq \eta \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|^2 + C_\eta \varepsilon \|\partial_{\beta-e_i}^{\alpha+e_i} f\|^2.$$

For the fourth term on the LHS of (5.50), one has from (4.17) that

$$-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\partial_\beta^\alpha \mathcal{L}f, \partial_\beta^\alpha f) \geq c_2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_\nu^2 - C \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_\nu^2.$$

The first three terms on the RHS of (5.50) can be controlled by $C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a})\mathcal{D}_N(t) + C\varepsilon\varepsilon^{2-2a}$ in terms of (4.19) and (4.43). The fourth, fifth and sixth terms on the RHS of (5.50) are bounded by

$$C\eta \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_\nu^2 + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a})\mathcal{D}_N(t) + C_\eta[\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{1-a}]\varepsilon^{2-2a}.$$

For the last two terms of (5.50), we can bound them by

$$C\eta \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_\nu^2 + C_\eta \varepsilon \|(\nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{u}, \nabla_x \partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta})\|^2 + C_\eta \varepsilon \|\nabla_x \partial^\alpha f\|_\nu^2 + C_\eta \varepsilon \varepsilon^{2-2a}.$$

In summary, for all $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq N$ and $|\beta| \geq 1$, substituting all the above estimates into (5.50) and choosing a small $\eta > 0$, then the suitable linear combination of resulting equations, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq N, |\beta|\geq 1} \left\{ \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|^2 + c \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_\nu^2 \right\} \\ & \leq C\varepsilon \sum_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq N} (\|\partial^\alpha f\|_\nu^2 + \|\partial^\alpha(\tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})\|^2) + C \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{|\alpha|\leq N-1} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_\nu^2 \\ & \quad + C(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a})\mathcal{D}_N(t) + C[\eta_0(1+t)^{-\vartheta} + \varepsilon^{1-a}]\varepsilon^{2-2a}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.51)$$

Integrating (5.51) with respect to t and using $\mathcal{E}_N(0) \leq C\eta_0^2\varepsilon^2$ as well as $\vartheta > 1$, we can obtain the desired estimate (5.49). This completes the proof of Lemma 5.6. \square

6. PROOFS OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Based on those estimates in Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 5.6, in this section, we are now in a position to complete the

Proof of Theorem 3.3: By a suitable linear combination of (5.48) and (5.49), there exists $C_1 > 0$ as stated in Theorem 3.3 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_N(t) + \int_0^t \mathcal{D}_N(s) ds & \leq C_1(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \int_0^t \mathcal{D}_N(s) ds \\ & \quad + C_1[\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} + (\eta_0\varepsilon^a + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a})t]\varepsilon^{2-2a}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

Here $\mathcal{E}_N(t)$ and $\mathcal{D}_N(t)$ are given by (3.20) and (3.21), respectively. In order to close the a priori assumption (4.1), we need to require that

$$C_1(\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}) \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad C_1[\eta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} + (\eta_0\varepsilon^a + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a})t] \leq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (6.2)$$

In fact, the desired estimate (6.2) holds true by choosing both η_0 and ε small enough and taking

$$a \in [0, \frac{1}{2}), \quad \text{and} \quad t \leq T_{max} = \frac{1}{4C_1} \frac{1}{\eta_0\varepsilon^a + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-a}}. \quad (6.3)$$

That is why one has to choose the range of the parameter a and time T_{max} in (4.1) which we start with. Thanks to (6.1) and (6.2), we immediately obtain

$$\mathcal{E}_N(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \mathcal{D}_N(s) ds \leq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{2-2a}. \quad (6.4)$$

Then (6.4) implies that for $a \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $T \in (0, T_{max}]$, one has

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathcal{E}_N(t) \leq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{2-2a}, \quad (6.5)$$

which is strictly stronger than (4.1). Hence we have closed the a priori assumption (4.1).

Since the local existence of the solutions to the VMB system near a global Maxwellian is well known in [25] for the torus and in [52] for the whole space, by a straightforward modification of the arguments there one can construct a unique short time solution to the VMB system (1.1) and (3.5) under the assumptions in Theorem 3.3. The details are omitted for simplicity of presentation. Therefore, by the uniform a priori estimates and the local existence of the solution, the standard continuity argument, we can immediately derive the existence and uniqueness of almost global-in-time smooth solutions to the VMB system (1.1) and (3.5). Moreover, the desired estimate (3.23) holds true in terms of (6.4).

To finish the proof of Theorem 3.3, we are going to justify the a uniform convergence rate as in (3.24). Making use of the Taylor expansion, (4.11), (6.5) and the embedding inequality, we obtain

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T_{max}]} \left\| \frac{(M_{[\rho, u, \theta]} - M_{[\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta}]}) (t)}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right\|_{L_x^\infty L_v^2} \leq C \sup_{t \in [0, T_{max}]} \|(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})(t)\|_{L_x^\infty} \leq C\varepsilon^{1-a}.$$

Similarly, we get by Lemma 4.6 and (6.5) that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T_{max}]} \left(\left\| \frac{\overline{G}(t)}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right\|_{L_x^\infty L_v^2} + \|f(t)\|_{L_x^\infty L_v^2} \right) \leq C\varepsilon^{1-a}.$$

Combining the aforementioned two estimates and using $F = M + \overline{G} + \sqrt{\mu}f$, we get

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T_{max}]} \left\| \frac{(F - M_{[\overline{\rho}, \overline{u}, \overline{\theta}]}) (t)}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right\|_{L_x^\infty L_v^2} \leq C\varepsilon^{1-a}. \quad (6.6)$$

Similar estimate also holds for $\sup_{t \in [0, T_{max}]} \left\| \frac{(F - M_{[\overline{\rho}, \overline{u}, \overline{\theta}]}) (t)}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right\|$. Moreover, one has

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T_{max}]} \{ \|(E - \overline{E}, B - \overline{B})(t, x)\| + \|(E - \overline{E}, B - \overline{B})(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \} \leq C\varepsilon^{1-a},$$

which together with (6.6) and the fact that $(F, E, B) = (F^\varepsilon, E^\varepsilon, B^\varepsilon)$, immediately gives the desired estimate (3.24). And the proof of Theorem 3.3 is complete. \square

Acknowledgment: The research of Renjun Duan was partially supported by the General Research Fund (Project No. 14301719) from RGC of Hong Kong and a Direct Grant (4053567) from CUHK. The research of Hongjun Yu was supported by the GDUPS 2017 and the NNSFC Grant 11371151. Dongcheng Yang would like to thank the Department of Mathematics, CUHK for hosting his visit in the period 2020-23. The authors would like to thank the referee for all valuable and helpful comments on the manuscript.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bardos, F. Golse and D. Levermore, Fluid dynamical limits of kinetic equations: Formal derivation. *J. Stat. Phys.* **63** (1991), 323–344; II. Convergence proofs for the Boltzmann equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **46** (1993), 667–753.
- [2] C. Bardos and S. Ukai, The classical incompressible Navier-Stokes limit of the Boltzmann equation. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **1** (1991), no. 2, 235–257.
- [3] D. Arsénio and L. Saint-Raymond, From the Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system to incompressible viscous electro-magneto-hydrodynamics. Vol. 1. *EMS Monographs in Mathematics. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich*, 2019.
- [4] R. E. Caflisch, The fluid dynamical limit of the nonlinear Boltzmann equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **33** (1980), no. 5, 491–508.
- [5] S. Chapman and T. G. Cowling, *The Mathematical theory of non-uniform gases*. 3rd edition, Cambridge University Press, 1970.
- [6] Y. Deng, A. D. Ionescu and B. Pausader, The Euler–Maxwell system for electrons: global solutions in 2D. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **225** (2017), no. 2, 771–871.
- [7] R. J. DiPerna and P. L. Lions, On the Cauchy problem for Boltzmann equations: global existence and weak stability. *Ann. of Math.* **130** (1989), no. 2, 321–366.
- [8] R. J. Duan, Global smooth flows for the compressible Euler-Maxwell system. The relaxation case. *J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ.* **8** (2011), no. 2, 375–413.
- [9] R. J. Duan, Dissipative property of the Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system with a uniform ionic background. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **43** (2011), no. 6, 2732–2757
- [10] R. J. Duan, Y. J. Lei, T. Yang and H. J. Zhao, The Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system near Maxwellians in the whole space with very soft potentials. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **351** (2017), no. 1, 95–153.
- [11] R. J. Duan, S. Q. Liu, T. Yang, H. J. Zhao, Stability of the non-relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system for angular non-cutoff potentials. *Kinet Relat Models* **6** (2013), no. 1, 159–204.
- [12] R. J. Duan and R. M. Strain, Optimal large-time behavior of the Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system in the whole space. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **64** (2011), no. 11, 1497–1546.
- [13] R. J. Duan, D. C. Yang and H. J. Yu, Asymptotics toward viscous contact waves for solutions of the Landau equation. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **394** (2022), no. 1, 471–529.
- [14] R. J. Duan, D. C. Yang and H. J. Yu, Compressible fluid limit for smooth solutions to the Landau equation. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2207.01184>
- [15] R. J. Duan, T. Yang and H. J. Zhao, The Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system in the whole space: the hard potential case. *J. of Differential Equations* **252** (2012), no. 12, 6356–6386.
- [16] R. J. Duan, T. Yang and H. J. Zhao, The Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system for soft potentials. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **23** (2013), no. 1, 979–1028.
- [17] R. J. Duan and H. J. Yu, The Vlasov-Poisson-Landau system near a local Maxwellian. *Adv. Math.* **362** (2020), 106956.
- [18] Y. H. Feng, S. Wang and S. Kawashima, Global existence and asymptotic decay of solutions to the non-isentropic Euler-Maxwell system. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **24** (2014), no. 14, 2851–2884.

- [19] P. Germain and N. Masmoudi, Global existence for the Euler-Maxwell system. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* **47** (2014), no. 3, 469–503.
- [20] R. T. Glassey, *The Cauchy problem in kinetic theory*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 1996.
- [21] R. T. Glassey and W. A. Strauss, Singularity formation in a collisionless plasma could occur only at high velocities. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **92** (1986), no. 1, 59–90.
- [22] F. Golse and D. Levermore, Stokes-Fourier and acoustic limits for the Boltzmann equation: convergence proofs. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **55** (2002), no. 3, 336–393.
- [23] F. Golse and L. Saint-Raymond, The Navier-Stokes limit of the Boltzmann equation for bounded collision kernels. *Invent. Math.* **155** (2004), no. 1, 81–161.
- [24] H. Grad, Asymptotic theory of the Boltzmann equation II, in: *Rarefied Gas Dynamics*, vol. 1, Academic Press, pp. 26–59, 1963.
- [25] Y. Guo, The Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system near Maxwellians. *Invent. Math.* **153** (2003), no. 3, 593–630.
- [26] Y. Guo, The Boltzmann equation in the whole space. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **53** (2004), no. 4, 1081–1094.
- [27] Y. Guo, Decay and continuity of the Boltzmann equation in bounded domains. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **197** (2010), no. 3, 713–809.
- [28] Y. Guo, A. D. Ionescu and B. Pausader, Global solutions of certain plasma fluid models in three-dimension. *J. Math. Phys.* **55** (2014), no. 12, 123102, 26.
- [29] Y. Guo, A. D. Ionescu and B. Pausader, Global solutions of the Euler-Maxwell two-fluid system in 3D. *Annals of mathematics* **183** (2016), no. 2, 377–498.
- [30] Y. Guo and J. Jang, Global Hilbert expansion for the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **299** (2010), no. 2, 469–501.
- [31] Y. Guo, J. Jang and N. Jiang, Local Hilbert expansion for the Boltzmann equation. *Kinet. Relat. Models* **2** (2009), no. 1, 205–214.
- [32] Y. Guo, J. Jang and N. Jiang, Acoustic limit for the Boltzmann equation in optimal scaling. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **63** (2010), no. 3, 337–361.
- [33] Y. Guo, F. M. Huang and Y. Wang, Hilbert expansion of the Boltzmann equation with specular boundary condition in half-space. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **241** (2021), no. 1, 231–309.
- [34] Y. Guo and Q. H. Xiao, Global Hilbert expansion for the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **384** (2021), no. 1, 341–401.
- [35] A. D. Ionescu and B. Pausader, Global solutions of quasilinear systems of Klein-Gordon equations in 3D. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **16** (2014), no. 11, 2355–2431.
- [36] J. Jang, Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann diffusive limit. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **194** (2009), no. 2, 531–584.
- [37] J. Jang and N. Masmoudi, Derivation of Ohm’s law from the Kinetic equations. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **44** (2012), no. 5, 3649–3669.
- [38] N. Jiang and Y. L. Luo, From Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system to two-fluid incompressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier-Maxwell system with Ohm’s law: convergence for classical solutions. *Annals of PDE* (2022), no. 1, Paper No. 4, 126.
- [39] N. Jiang, Y. L. Luo and S. Tang, Compressible Euler limit from Boltzmann equation with Maxwell reflection boundary condition in half-space. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.11199>
- [40] N. Jiang, Y. L. Luo and S. Tang, Compressible Euler limit from Boltzmann equation with complete diffusive boundary condition in half-space. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.11964>
- [41] N. Jiang and N. Masmoudi, Boundary layers and incompressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier limit of the Boltzmann equation in bounded domain I. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **70** (2017), no. 1, 90–171.
- [42] H. L. Li, T. Yang, M. Y. Zhong, Spectrum structure and behaviors of the Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann systems. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **48** (2016), no. 1, 595–669.
- [43] S. Q. Liu, T. Yang and H. J. Zhao, Compressible Navier-Stokes approximation to the Boltzmann equation. *J. Differential Equations* **256** (2014), no. 11, 3770–3816.
- [44] T. P. Liu and S. H. Yu, Boltzmann equation: Micro-macro decompositions and positivity of shock profiles. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **246** (2004), no. 1, 133–179.
- [45] T. P. Liu, T. Yang and S. H. Yu, Energy method for Boltzmann equation. *Phys. D* **188** (2004), no. 3-4, 178–192.
- [46] T. P. Liu, T. Yang, S. H. Yu and H. J. Zhao, Nonlinear stability of rarefaction waves for the Boltzmann equation. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **181** (2006), no. 2, 333–371.
- [47] N. Masmoudi and L. Saint-Raymond, From the Boltzmann equation to the Stokes-Fourier system in a bounded domain. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **56** (2003), no. 9, 1263–1293.
- [48] T. Nishida, Fluid dynamical limit of the nonlinear Boltzmann equation to the level of the compressible Euler equation. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **61** (1978), no. 2, 119–148.
- [49] Z. Ouyang, L. Wu and Q. H. Xiao, Hilbert expansion for the relativistic Landau equation. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2205.01483>.
- [50] Z. Ouyang, L. Wu and Q. H. Xiao, Hilbert expansion for the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell-Landau system. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2207.00126>
- [51] J. Speck and R. M. Strain, Hilbert expansion from the Boltzmann equation to relativistic fluids. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **304** (2011), no. 1, 229–280.
- [52] R. M. Strain, The Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system in the whole space. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **268** (2006), no. 2, 543–567.
- [53] S. Ukai and K. Asano, The Euler limit and initial layer of the nonlinear Boltzmann equation. *Hokkaido Math. J.* **3** (1983), no. 3, 311–332.

- [54] S. Ukai, and T. Yang, *Mathematical Theory of Boltzmann Equation*, Lecture Notes Series-No. 8, Liu Bie Ju Centre for Math. Sci., City University of Hong Kong, 2006.
- [55] J. Xu and S. Kawashima, The optimal decay estimates on the framework of Besov spaces for the Euler-Poisson two-fluid system. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **25** (2015), no. 10, 1813–1844.

(R.-J. Duan) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, SHATIN, HONG KONG, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Email address: `rjduan@math.cuhk.edu.hk`

(D.-C. Yang) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, SHATIN, HONG KONG, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Email address: `dcyang@math.cuhk.edu.hk`

(H.-J. Yu) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SOUTH CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, GUANGZHOU 510631, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Email address: `yuhj2002@sina.com`