

CLOSED MEROMORPHIC 1-FORMS

JORGE VITÓRIO PEREIRA

ABSTRACT. We review properties of closed meromorphic 1-forms and of the foliations defined by them. We present and explain classical results from foliation theory, like index theorems, existence of separatrices, and resolution of singularities under the lenses of the theory of closed meromorphic 1-forms and flat meromorphic connections. We apply the theory to investigate the algebraicity separatrices in a semi-global setting (neighborhood of a compact curve contained in the singular set of the foliation), and the geometry of smooth hypersurfaces with numerically trivial normal bundle on compact Kähler manifolds.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Singular holomorphic foliations	4
3. Closed meromorphic 1-forms and their residues	6
4. Simple singularities for closed meromorphic 1-forms	12
5. Hodge theory and closed meromorphic 1-forms	19
6. Polar divisor of logarithmic 1-forms	23
7. Semi-global separatrices	28
8. Polar divisor of meromorphic 1-forms of the second kind	33
9. A remark on Stein complements	36
References	37

1. INTRODUCTION

In this text, we investigate and review properties of closed meromorphic 1-forms and of the foliations defined by them, with special attention to the case of compact Kähler ambient spaces. We have three goals. Our first goal is mainly expository and consists in presenting basic properties of closed meromorphic 1-forms, and using them to motivate and explain well-known foundational results of the theory of codimension one singular holomorphic foliations like indexes theorems, the existence of separatrices, and resolution of singularities. The second goal is to review old, and present new results about smooth hypersurfaces with numerically trivial normal bundles on compact Kähler manifolds which are proved by exploring the geometry of foliations defined by closed meromorphic 1-forms. The third goal, inspired by the second, is to investigate in a semi-global setting, *i.e.* in a neighborhood of a compact curve, separatrices for codimension one foliations on projective threefolds.

Date: June 22, 2022.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 32A27, 32M25, 32S65, 32J25, 32E10.

Key words and phrases. Residue theorem, meromorphic 1-forms, holomorphic foliations, separatrices, Stein spaces.

The three goals are not independent but instead intertwined. The foundational results phrased in terms of closed meromorphic 1-forms (and flat meromorphic connections) provide a suitable conceptual framework to discuss the existence of separatrices in a semi-global setting. Likewise, the semi-global study of separatrices for codimension one foliations on threefolds raises natural problems on the structure of neighborhoods of singular curves on surfaces and suggests analog global problems for curves, or more generally divisors, on compact Kähler manifolds. These global problems, thanks to basic Hodge theory, can be solved with relative ease and imply new results on the geometry of the complement of smooth hypersurfaces with numerically trivial normal bundles on compact Kähler manifolds.

In the remainder of this introduction, we will describe more precisely the content of the paper.

Residue theorem. We start things off in Section 2 recalling the basic definitions of the foliation theory. Then, in Section 3 we introduce the residues of closed meromorphic 1-forms and establish their main properties. The main result of the section is Weil's residue theorem for closed meromorphic 1-forms on arbitrary complex manifolds. The result is well-known to experts, but we could not find any exposition of it in the recent literature. We reproduce Weil's original proof obtained as a simple application of Stoke's theorem and deduce from it the residue formula for flat meromorphic connections on line bundles. As an application to foliation theory, we explain how to derive a version of Camacho-Sad index theorem for codimension one foliations from the residue formula for flat meromorphic connections.

Simple singularities for closed meromorphic 1-forms. Section 4 discusses the notion of simple singularities for closed meromorphic 1-forms, a concept inspired by the homonymous concept for codimension one foliations but, hopefully, simpler to grasp. We also explain how to transform bimeromorphically arbitrary closed meromorphic 1-forms into closed meromorphic 1-forms with simple singularities. This result is a simple combination of the embedded resolution for divisors, elimination of indeterminacies of meromorphic maps, and a procedure for elimination of resonances of codimension one foliations recently established by Fernández Duque. Subsection 4.8 describes the structure of the non-reduced part of the polar divisor of a closed meromorphic 1-form with simple singularities.

Section 4 ends with the definition of simple singularities for codimension one foliations, followed by a proof, due to de Almeida dos Santos, of Camacho-Sad's theorem on the existence of separatrices for foliations on surfaces which draws inspiration from Weil's residue theorem.

Hodge theory. Section 5 reviews a few basic elements of Hodge theory on compact Kähler manifolds relevant for the study of closed meromorphic 1-forms. In particular, it explains how to decompose closed meromorphic 1-forms into sums of closed logarithmic 1-forms (simple poles) and closed meromorphic 1-forms of the second kind (zero residues). Section 5 also characterizes completely the residues divisors of closed meromorphic 1-forms, as well as the polar divisors of closed meromorphic 1-forms of the second kind with simple singularities, when the ambient space is Kähler and compact.

Polar divisor of logarithmic 1-forms. Section 6 is devoted to the study of logarithmic 1-forms. It starts by explaining how the Hodge index theorem combined with the residue theorem imposes strong restrictions on the residue divisor of logarithmic 1-forms on compact Kähler manifolds. Then, it continues by surveying results that can be obtained through the

study of certain logarithmic 1-forms canonically attached to real divisors with zero Chern class. These include a criterion by Totaro for the existence of fibrations, the relation of the topology of disjoint smooth hypersurfaces with proportional Chern classes, and a characterization of codimension one foliations which are pull-backs of foliations on surfaces.

Algebraicity criterion for semi-global separatrices. While Sections 2–6 are mainly expository, the last three sections of the paper present new results.

Section 7 pursues the study of locally closed surfaces invariant by codimension one foliations on projective threefolds that intersect the singular set of \mathcal{F} on a compact subset supported on finitely many compact curves (the so-called semi-global separatrices). Our main result on the subject consists of an algebraicity criterion for a semi-global separatrix phrased in terms of the residue divisor of Bott's connection along it.

Theorem A. *Let \mathcal{F} be a codimension one foliation with simple singularities on a projective manifold X of dimension three. Let $V \subset X$ be a smooth semi-global separatrix for \mathcal{F} and let ∇ be Bott's connection on V . If the residues of ∇ generate a \mathbb{Z} -submodule of \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q} of rank at least two then the Zariski closure of V is a \mathcal{F} -invariant algebraic surface.*

At first sight, the assumptions of Theorem A might seem hard to check. Nevertheless, in favorable situations, they are implied by a rather simple hypothesis that might be checked at the first non-zero jet at a point of a germ of 1-form defining the foliation.

Corollary B. *Let \mathcal{F} be a codimension one foliation on a projective manifold X of dimension three. If there exists $p \in \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$, with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_p \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$, such that \mathcal{F} is defined by*

$$xyz \left(\alpha \frac{dx}{x} + \beta \frac{dy}{y} + \gamma \frac{dz}{z} \right) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_p^2 \Omega_{X,p}^1},$$

where α, β, γ are \mathbb{Z} -linearly independent complex numbers, then the Zariski closure of the local separatrices of \mathcal{F} tangent to $\{x = 0\}$, $\{y = 0\}$, and $\{z = 0\}$ are algebraic surfaces invariant by \mathcal{F} .

We also have a result when the rank of the \mathbb{Z} -submodule of \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q} generated by the (classes of) residues of Bott's connection has rank one, see Theorem 7.8. Its assumptions are probably stronger than needed, as its proof is based on Ueda's theory (study of neighborhoods of smooth compact curves of zero self-intersection on surfaces) which is not presently available for singular, non-irreducible, curves.

Polar divisor of meromorphic 1-forms of the second kind. Section 8 is devoted to the study of closed meromorphic 1-forms of the second kind. While attempting to understand Ueda's study of neighborhoods of smooth curves with zero self-intersection, we realized that his arguments admit a simple version when one considers (not necessarily smooth or reduced) curves on compact Kähler surfaces which appear as polar divisors of (multi-valued) primitives of closed meromorphic 1-forms without residues.

Theorem C. *Let X be a compact Kähler surface and let $I \in \text{Div}(X)$ be an effective divisor with connected support satisfying*

$$\mathcal{O}_X(I) \otimes \frac{\mathcal{O}_X}{\mathcal{O}_X(-I)} \simeq \frac{\mathcal{O}_X}{\mathcal{O}_X(-I)} \text{ i.e.,}$$

the normal bundle of the (non-necessarily reduced) curve defined by I is trivial. Then the following alternative holds:

- (1) there exists a non-constant morphism $f : X \rightarrow C$ to a curve C mapping $|I|$ to a point, or

- (2) *after the contraction of finitely many compact curves disjoint from the support of I , $X - |I|$ becomes a (perhaps singular) Stein surface.*

The proof of Theorem C builds on the study of a pair of closed meromorphic 1-forms naturally attached to the problem. The very same pair of 1-forms are used in our joint work with O. Thom on Grauert's formal principle for curves with trivial normal bundle on projective surfaces, reviewed in Subsection 8.2. They are also used to investigate a higher dimensional version of Theorem C in Subsection 8.3.

A remark about Stein complements. The paper ends with Section 9, motivated by a recent result by Höring and Peternell [37, Theorem 1.6], which shows that if Y is a smooth hypersurface on a compact Kähler manifold X such that $X - Y$ is Stein then the normal bundle of Y is pseudo-effective.

In view of Serre's example [35, Chapter 6, Example 3.2] of a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over an elliptic curve admitting a section with trivial normal bundle and Stein complement, it seems natural to enquire if there are examples on higher dimensional compact Kähler manifolds of hypersurfaces with numerically trivial normal bundle and Stein complements, see [46, Article 2, Problems 7.1 and 7.2] for a similar question. In Section 9, we explore ideas introduced in Section 8, to establish the following result.

Theorem D. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold and $Y \subset X$ be a smooth hypersurface with numerically trivial normal bundle. If $X - Y$ is Stein then $\dim X = 2$.*

We do not know if it is possible to replace numerically trivial normal bundle by pseudo-effective normal bundle of numerical dimension zero in the statement of Theorem D and still get the same conclusion.

Disclaimer. Due to time-space-energy limitations, the expository/survey component of this work has many important omissions. For instance, we do not discuss the Albanese (and quasi-Albanese) varieties and maps for compact Kähler manifolds. Other important omissions are Bogomolov's lemma [3, Proposition 6.4]; deformations of foliations defined by logarithmic 1-forms [4, 19]; the topology of leaves of foliations defined by holomorphic and logarithmic 1-forms [60, 57]; the study of zeros of holomorphic 1-forms on projective manifolds [32, 56]; et cetera. Even for the topics discussed here, we have not tried to provide exhaustive references.

Acknowledgments. This text grew out from notes for a course taught at IMPA during the Southern Hemisphere Summer of 2022 and was prepared to answer an invitation of Felipe Cano and Pepe Seade (made on June 2021) to write a survey on a foliation theoretic topic of my choice. It is a pleasure to thank them for giving me the opportunity, and providing the impetus, to think and share my thoughts about closed meromorphic 1-forms and the foliations defined by them. I also thank Andreas Höring for useful correspondence about Stein complements of hypersurfaces on compact Kähler manifolds. I am also indebt to Maycol Falla Luza, Thiago Fassarella, Frédéric Touzet, and Sebastián Velazquez for reading parts of preliminary versions of this work, catching quite a few misprints, and suggesting a number of improvements.

2. SINGULAR HOLOMORPHIC FOLIATIONS

Throughout the text we will freely use the language of foliation theory. In this preliminary section, we collect the basic definitions of the subject. The reader familiar with the terminology currently used in foliation theory can safely skip this section.

2.1. Smooth foliations. Let X be a complex manifold. A smooth holomorphic foliation \mathcal{F} of codimension q on X is, roughly speaking, a holomorphic decomposition of X into a union of immersed (but not necessarily embedded) codimension q submanifolds. Formally, \mathcal{F} is determined by the following data

- (1) an open covering \mathcal{U} of X ; and
- (2) for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$, a submersion $f_U : U \rightarrow f_U(U) \subset \mathbb{C}^q$ with connected fibers; and
- (3) for every non-empty intersection $U \cap V$, biholomorphisms $f_{UV} : f_U(U \cap V) \rightarrow f_V(U \cap V)$ such that

$$f_U|_{U \cap V} = f_{UV} \circ f_V|_{U \cap V}.$$

Consider the smallest possible equivalence relation on the points of X such that $x \sim y$ when there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $f_U(x) = f_U(y)$. The equivalence classes of this relation are the leaves of \mathcal{F} . They are the immersed codimension q submanifolds alluded to in the 'rough' definition above.

The kernels of the differentials of the submersion f_U , patch together to form a subbundle $T_{\mathcal{F}} \subset T_X$ called the tangent bundle of \mathcal{F} . The quotient $T_X/T_{\mathcal{F}}$ is called the normal bundle of \mathcal{F} and its dual $N_{\mathcal{F}}^*$ is the conormal bundle of \mathcal{F} . The bundle $N_{\mathcal{F}}^*$ is a subbundle of Ω_X^1 whose sections are 1-forms vanishing along the leaves of \mathcal{F} .

2.2. Singular foliations. A codimension q singular holomorphic foliation \mathcal{F} on a complex manifold X can be defined as a pair $(\mathcal{F}_0, \text{sing}(\mathcal{F}))$ formed by a closed analytic subset $\text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ of X and a codimension q smooth foliation \mathcal{F}_0 on $X - \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ such that

- (1) the codimension of $\text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ is at least two; and
- (2) the closed subset $\text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ is minimal in the sense that for every closed subset $S \subsetneq \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$, it does not exist a smooth foliation \mathcal{G} of $X - S$ such that $\mathcal{G}|_{X - \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})} = \mathcal{F}_0$.

Condition (1) is imposed to guarantee that the tangent bundle and the conormal bundle (or rather their sheaves of sections) extend through the singular set of \mathcal{F} .

The leaves of a singular foliation \mathcal{F} are the leaves of the smooth foliation \mathcal{F}_0 . A subvariety $Y \subset X$ is invariant by \mathcal{F} if Y is not contained in $\text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ and $Y \cap (X - \text{sing}(\mathcal{F}))$ is a union of leaves of \mathcal{F}_0 .

2.3. Alternative definition. One can also define a singular holomorphic foliation \mathcal{F} on a complex manifold X by a pair $(T_{\mathcal{F}}, N_{\mathcal{F}}^*)$ of coherent subsheaves of T_X and Ω_X^1 such that

- (1) the sheaf $T_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the annihilator of $N_{\mathcal{F}}^*$, i.e. for every $x \in X$

$$T_{\mathcal{F},x} = \{v \in T_{X,x}; \omega(v) = 0 \text{ for every } \omega \in N_{\mathcal{F},x}^*\};$$

- (2) the sheaf $N_{\mathcal{F}}^*$ is the annihilator of $T_{\mathcal{F}}$, i.e. for every $x \in X$

$$N_{\mathcal{F},x}^* = \{\omega \in \Omega_{X,x}^1; \omega(v) = 0 \text{ for every } v \in T_{X,x}\};$$

- (3) the sheaf $T_{\mathcal{F}}$ is involutive, i.e. for every $x \in X$ and every $v, w \in T_{\mathcal{F},x}$ we have that the Lie bracket $[v, w] \in T_{\mathcal{F},x}$.

The dimension $\dim \mathcal{F}$ of \mathcal{F} is the (generic) rank of $T_{\mathcal{F}}$ and the codimension $\text{codim} \mathcal{F}$ is the (generic) rank of $N_{\mathcal{F}}^*$. Of course, $\dim \mathcal{F} + \text{codim} \mathcal{F} = \dim X$.

The singular set of \mathcal{F} is the locus where the sheaf $T_X/T_{\mathcal{F}}$ (or equivalently $\Omega_X^1/N_{\mathcal{F}}^*$) is not locally free. It follows from the definition that both $T_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $N_{\mathcal{F}}^*$ are reflexive subsheaves with inclusions in T_X and Ω_X^1 having torsion-free cokernel. In particular, the singular set of \mathcal{F} has codimension at least two.

2.4. Foliations defined by closed meromorphic 1-forms. Let ω be a closed meromorphic 1-form on a complex manifold X and let D be the divisor given by $-\operatorname{div}(\omega) = (\omega)_\infty - (\omega)_0$. The foliation defined by ω is the foliation with tangent sheaf given by the kernel of the morphism

$$\begin{aligned} T_X &\longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D) \\ v &\mapsto \omega(v) \end{aligned}$$

given by contraction with ω . The involutiveness of $T_{\mathcal{F}}$ follows from the closedness of ω . The conormal sheaf of \mathcal{F} is locally free (*i.e.*, sections of a line bundle) and isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_X(-D)$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let \mathcal{F} be a germ of codimension one foliation on $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ defined by a closed meromorphic 1-form ω . If H is an irreducible component of the divisor of zeros and poles of ω then H is invariant by \mathcal{F} .*

Proof. Let η be a generator of $N_{\mathcal{F}}^*$. Since η and ω define the same foliation, we can write $\eta = f\omega$ for a suitable germ of meromorphic function f . Notice that $\operatorname{div}(\omega) = -\operatorname{div}(f)$.

The closedness of ω allows us to write

$$d\eta = df \wedge \omega = \frac{df}{f} \wedge f\omega = \frac{df}{f} \wedge \eta.$$

It follows that any irreducible component of the support of $\operatorname{div}(f)$ is invariant by \mathcal{F} . \square

3. CLOSED MEROMORPHIC 1-FORMS AND THEIR RESIDUES

3.1. Residues and the residue divisor. Let ω be a closed meromorphic 1-form on a complex manifold X . Let $H \subset X$ be an irreducible hypersurface. Let $p \in H$ be a smooth point of H and let $i : \mathbb{D} \hookrightarrow X$ be an inclusion of a closed disc, holomorphic in the interior of \mathbb{D} , intersecting H transversely at p . The closedness of ω and the irreducibility of H implies that the integral

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} i^* \omega$$

does not depend on the choice of p nor the choice of i , as soon as both satisfy the above assumptions. By definition, the resulting complex number is the residue of ω along H , denoted by $\operatorname{Res}_H(\omega)$. The residue divisor of ω is the locally finite sum $\operatorname{Res}(\omega) = \sum_H \operatorname{Res}_H(\omega)H \in \operatorname{Div}(X) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ with H ranging over all irreducible hypersurfaces of X .

Any complex divisor $D \in \operatorname{Div}(X) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ induces, naturally, an element of the singular cohomology group $H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) = \operatorname{Hom}(H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{C})$. If $\sigma \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is a homology class and $D = \sum \lambda_i H_i \in \operatorname{Div}(X) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ then the action of D in σ is $\sum \lambda_i H_i \cdot \sigma$ where \cdot is the intersection product. The class determined by D in $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ is the (complex) Chern class of D and will be denoted by $c(D)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let ω be a closed meromorphic 1-form on a (not necessarily compact) complex manifold X . Then the Chern class of $\operatorname{Res}(\omega)$ is equal to zero.*

Proof. Let $\sigma \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ be an arbitrary homology class. To prove the result it suffices to show that $\operatorname{Res}(\omega) \cdot \sigma = 0$.

Denote by $\Delta^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ the standard 2-simplex. Represent σ by a singular 2-cycle $\sum_j \sigma_j$ such that the image of each 2-simplex $\sigma_j : \Delta^2 \rightarrow X$ either does not intersect the support of $(\omega)_\infty$ or intersect the support of $(\omega)_\infty$ transversely at a unique point that lies on the smooth locus of $(\omega)_\infty$. Assume also that the images of the boundaries of the 2-simplices

σ_j do not intersect $|(\omega)_\infty|$. Clearly, it is possible to choose a representative of σ satisfying these assumptions.

If the image of a simplex σ_j intersects an irreducible component H_i of $|\text{Res}(\omega)|$ then $\partial\sigma_j$ is homologous to $(H_i \cdot \sigma_j)\gamma_i$, where γ_i is the boundary of a small (holomorphic) disk intersecting H_i transversely at smooth point. Therefore we can write

$$\text{Res}(\omega) \cdot \sigma = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{\sigma_j \cap |\text{Res}(\omega)| \neq \emptyset} \int_{\partial\Delta^2} \sigma_j^* \omega.$$

Since $\sum_j \partial\sigma_j = 0$, we have that

$$\sum_{\sigma_j \cap |\text{Res}(\omega)| \neq \emptyset} \int_{\partial\Delta^2} \sigma_j^* \omega = - \sum_{\sigma_j \cap |\text{Res}(\omega)| = \emptyset} \int_{\partial\Delta^2} \sigma_j^* \omega$$

But $\int_{\partial\Delta^2} \sigma_j^* \omega = \int_{\Delta^2} d\sigma_j^* \omega$ whenever $\sigma_j \cap |\text{Res}(\omega)| = \emptyset$. It follows that $\text{Res}(\omega) \cdot \sigma = 0$ as claimed. \square

Remark 3.2. The proof above is extracted from [67], written by André Weil during the period he was at Universidade de São Paulo (USP). In the same work, he proved a converse of the residue theorem when the ambient is a compact Kähler manifold, see Proposition 5.5 below.

3.2. Meromorphic flat connections. If D is a divisor on a complex manifold X and $i \geq 0$ is an integer, we will denote by $\Omega^i(*D)$ the quasi-coherent sheaf of i -forms having polar divisor with support contained in $|D|$, the support of D . A meromorphic connection on a line-bundle \mathcal{L} with poles on the divisor D is a morphism of sheaves of abelian groups

$$\nabla : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \Omega_X^1(*D) \otimes \mathcal{L}$$

which is \mathbb{C} -linear and satisfies the Leibniz rule $\nabla(f\sigma) = df \otimes \sigma + f\nabla(\sigma)$ for any $f \in \mathcal{O}_X$ and any $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$.

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}$ be a sufficiently fine open covering of X , let $\{\sigma_i \in \mathcal{L}(U_i)\}$ be a collection of nowhere vanishing sections, and let $\{g_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}_X^*(U_i \cap U_j)\}$ be the cocycle determined by $\{\sigma_i\}$, i.e. $\sigma_j = g_{ij}\sigma_i$. Let $\{\eta_i \in \Omega_X^1(*D)\}$ be the collection of 1-forms defined by the equalities $\nabla(\sigma_i) = \eta_i \otimes \sigma_i$. Using the Leibniz rule to compare $\nabla(\sigma_j)$ with $\nabla(\sigma_i)$, we deduce that

$$\frac{dg_{ij}}{g_{ij}} = \eta_j - \eta_i.$$

Reciprocally, any collection of meromorphic 1-forms $\{\beta_i\}$ satisfying $d \log g_{ij} = \beta_j - \beta_i$ determines a meromorphic connection on \mathcal{L} . The 1-forms $\{\eta_i\}$ are the (local) connection forms of ∇ and depend on the choice of trivialization. The differentials $\{d\eta_i\}$ coincide over non-empty intersections $U_i \cap U_j$ and are independent of the choice of trivialization. The meromorphic 2-form $\Theta \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^2(*D))$ locally defined as

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} d\eta_i$$

is, by the definition, the curvature of ∇ . A meromorphic connection ∇ is flat if its curvature vanishes identically.

If ∇ is a flat meromorphic connection, $H \subset X$ is an irreducible hypersurface and $U_i \cap H \neq \emptyset$ then we define the residue of ∇ along H as the residue of η_i along any irreducible component of $H \cap U_i$. As in the case of closed meromorphic 1-forms, this

definition does not depend on the choice of the open set U_i or the choice of the irreducible component of $H \cap U_i$. We set the residue divisor of ∇ as the \mathbb{C} -divisor

$$\text{Res}(\nabla) = \sum_H \text{Res}_H(\nabla) \cdot H.$$

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a complex manifold and let $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X)$ be a holomorphic line-bundle over X . If ∇ is a flat meromorphic connection on \mathcal{L} then the identity*

$$c(\mathcal{L}) = -c(\text{Res}(\nabla))$$

holds true in $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$.

Proof. Let $E(\mathcal{L})$ be the total space of \mathcal{L} . Using the notation above, $E(\mathcal{L})$ is the complex manifold obtained by identifying the points $(x, y_j) \in U_j \times \mathbb{C}$ with the points $(x, g_{ij}y_i) \in U_i \times \mathbb{C}$ when $x \in U_i \cap U_j$.

Let $z_i \in \mathcal{L}^*(U_i)$ be such that $z_i(\sigma_i) = 1$. Note that z_i can be interpreted as a fiberwise linear function on $E(\mathcal{L})|_{U_i}$. Since $z_i = g_{ij}z_j$ over $U_i \cap U_j$, if we set

$$\omega_i = \frac{dz_i}{z_i} + \eta_i$$

then $\omega_i - \omega_j = 0$. Therefore, there exists a closed meromorphic 1-form ω on $E(\mathcal{L})$ such that $\omega|_{U_i} = \omega_i$. As we are assuming that ∇ is flat, it follows that the 1-form ω is closed.

By construction, $\text{Res}(\omega) = X + \pi^* \text{Res}(\nabla)$ where $X \subset E(\mathcal{L})$ is identified with the zero section of \mathcal{L} and $\pi : E(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow X$ is the natural projection. By Theorem 3.1, $c(\text{Res}(\omega)) = 0$. Since $c(X)|_X = c(\mathcal{L})$, it follows that

$$0 = c(\text{Res}(\omega))|_X = (c(X) + \pi^* c(\text{Res}(\nabla)))|_X = c(\mathcal{L}) + c(\text{Res}(\nabla))$$

in $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ as claimed. \square

3.3. Residue theorem for logarithmic forms/connections (not necessarily closed/flat).

Let D be a reduced divisor on a complex manifold X . In [58], Saito defines the sheaf of logarithmic 1-forms with poles on D as the subsheaf $\Omega_X^1(\log D) \subset \Omega_X^1(*D)$ characterized by $\omega \in \Omega_X^1(\log D)$ if, and only if, both ω and $d\omega$ have, at worst, poles of order one.

Locally, if $D = \{f = 0\}$ and $\omega \in \Omega_X^1(\log D)$ then, according to [58, Section 1], there exist $g, h \in \mathcal{O}_X$ and $\eta \in \Omega_X^1$ such that $g\omega$ is not identically zero on any irreducible component of D and

$$g\omega = h \frac{df}{f} + \eta,$$

The restriction of the quotient h/g to the support of D does not depend on the choices of η , g , and h . It is used by Saito to define the residues of $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^1(\log D))$. The residue of ω along an irreducible component H of D is the meromorphic function on the normalization of H defined by h/g . In general, the residue is not a holomorphic function, it might have poles at the pre-image of the locus where D is not normal crossing. When D is a normal crossing divisor, the residues of ω are holomorphic. Moreover, if $D = \sum_{i=1}^k H_i$ is simple normal crossing (i.e. normal crossing with smooth irreducible components) then the sheaf $\Omega_X^1(\log D)$ fits into the exact sequence

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1(\log D) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_{H_i} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proposition 3.4. *Let X be a complex manifold, let D be a reduced divisor on X , and let ∇ be a logarithmic connection (not necessarily flat) on a line-bundle \mathcal{L} over X . If the polar divisor D is normal crossing and compact then $c(\mathcal{L}) = -c(\text{Res}(\nabla))$ in $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. Let $d\mathcal{A}_X^0$ be the sheaf of closed complex-valued differentiable 1-forms on X . The standard proof of de Rham's theorem relating Čech cohomology and de Rham cohomology shows that $H^1(X, d\mathcal{A}_X^0) \simeq H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$. Moreover, see [30, Chapter 1, Section 1, page 141], the Chern class of \mathcal{L} is represented by the cocycle

$$(3.2) \quad \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} d \log g_{ij} \right\} \in Z^1(X, d\mathcal{A}_X^0).$$

Our assumptions on the polar divisor of ∇ imply that the residues of ∇ along the irreducible components of D are holomorphic functions on compact and connected complex manifolds, hence complex numbers by the maximum principle. Let $R = \sum \text{Res}_H(\nabla) \cdot H$ be the residue divisor of ∇ . Notice that we may identify R with an element of $\oplus_{i=1}^k H^0(H_i, \mathcal{O}_{H_i})$.

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}$ be a sufficiently fine open covering of X and let $\{g_{ij}\} \in Z^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$ be the cocycle of transition functions for \mathcal{L} . The connection forms $\eta_i \in \Omega_X^1(\log D)(U_i)$ of ∇ determine an element $\eta = \{\eta_i\} \in C^0(\mathcal{U}, \Omega_X^1(\log D))$ such that

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}(\eta_j - \eta_i) \right\} = \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} d \log g_{ij} \right\}.$$

Notice that the cocycle $\left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}(\eta_j - \eta_i) \right\} \in Z^1(\mathcal{U}, \Omega_X^1) \cap Z^1(X, d\mathcal{A}_X^0)$ represents the image of $R \in H^0(X, \oplus_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_{H_i})$ in $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1)$ under the morphism appearing in the long exact sequence deduced from the short exact sequence (3.1). Since it also represents $c(R)$ in $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$, see Formula (3.2), we get $c(\mathcal{L}) = -c(R)$ in $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ as wanted. \square

For a generalization of Proposition 3.4 to (not necessarily flat) logarithmic connections on vector bundles of arbitrary rank, see [47].

3.4. Index theorem for invariant hypersurfaces. In this subsection, we show how to derive index theorems for invariant subvarieties of codimension one foliations using the residue theorem for flat meromorphic connections. We barely touch the surface of this important subject. The reader interested in the topic should consult [62] and references therein. Our exposition is inspired by [3, Chapter 3], but differs considerably from it.

Let \mathcal{F} be a codimension one foliation on a complex manifold X . If $\iota : Y \hookrightarrow X$ is smooth \mathcal{F} -invariant hypersurface then \mathcal{F} induces a flat meromorphic connection on $N_{\mathcal{F}}^*|_Y$ as follows. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}$ be an open covering of a neighborhood of H , let $\omega_i \in N_{\mathcal{F}}^*(U_i)$ be local generators of $N_{\mathcal{F}}^*$, and let $g_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}_X^*(U_i \cap U_j)$ be nowhere vanishing functions such that $\omega_i = g_{ij}\omega_j$. Note that the line-bundle determined by the collection $\{\iota^*g_{ij}\}$ is $N_{\mathcal{F}}^*|_Y$.

For each open subset U_i , there exists a meromorphic 1-form η_i such that $d\omega_i = \eta_i \wedge \omega_i$. The 1-forms η_i are not uniquely defined by this last identity, one may add meromorphic multiples of ω_i to any given η_i to obtain other 1-forms with the same property. If one chooses such multiples in a way that $(\eta_i)_\infty$ does not contain $Y \cap U_i$ in its support, then $\iota^*(\eta_i)$ are meromorphic 1-forms on $Y \cap U_i$ uniquely determined by the choice of ω_i . Notice also that over non-empty intersections we have the implication:

$$\eta_i \wedge \omega_i = \frac{dg_{ij}}{g_{ij}} \wedge \omega_i + \eta_j \wedge \omega_i \implies \iota^*\eta_i - \iota^*\eta_j = \iota^*\frac{dg_{ij}}{g_{ij}} \quad \text{and} \quad d(d\omega_i) = 0.$$

Hence

$$d\eta_i \wedge \omega_i = 0 \implies \iota^*d\eta_i = d\iota^*\eta_i = 0.$$

It follows that the collection of meromorphic 1-forms $\{\iota^*\eta_i\}$ defines a flat meromorphic connection ∇^B on the line-bundle $N_{\mathcal{F}}^*|_Y$.

Remark 3.5. The meromorphic connection ∇^B is the restriction of Bott's partial connection to the invariant hypersurface Y .

Example 3.6. Let \mathcal{F} be the germ of foliation on $(\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$ defined by

$$\omega = xyz \left(\alpha \frac{dx}{x} + \beta \frac{dy}{y} + \gamma \frac{dz}{z} \right), \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}^*,$$

and let $Y = \{x = 0\}$. Observe that $d\omega = d \log xyz \wedge \omega$. Set $\eta_\mu = d \log xyz + \mu \cdot (xyz)^{-1} \omega$. Clearly, $d\omega = \eta_\mu \wedge (xyz)^{-1} \omega$ for any meromorphic function μ on $(\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$. In order to guarantee that $(\eta_\mu)_\infty$ has no poles on Y , we may choose $\mu = -\alpha^{-1}$. Therefore the connection ∇^B (i.e. Bott's connection) on $Y = \{x = 0\}$ is defined by the logarithmic 1-form

$$\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) \frac{dy}{y} + \left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}\right) \frac{dz}{z}.$$

If $S \subset Y$ is an irreducible component of the polar divisor of ∇^B then S is contained in $\text{sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cap Y$ and the residue of ∇^B along S is the so-called variation $\text{Var}(\mathcal{F}, Y, S)$ as defined by Suwa, see [62].

Proposition 3.7. Let \mathcal{F} be a codimension one foliation defined on a complex manifold X . If Y is a smooth \mathcal{F} -invariant hypersurface then

$$c(N_{\mathcal{F}}|_Y) = \sum_S \text{Var}(\mathcal{F}, Y, S) \cdot c(S)$$

in $H^2(Y, \mathbb{C})$, where the sum runs over all the irreducible components of $\text{sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cap Y$.

Proof. Apply Theorem 3.3 to the connection ∇^B constructed above to get that $c(N_{\mathcal{F}}^*|_Y) = -c(\text{Res}(\nabla^B))$. Therefore

$$c(N_{\mathcal{F}}|_Y) = c(\text{Res}(\nabla^B)) = \sum_S \text{Var}(\mathcal{F}, Y, S) \cdot c(S)$$

according to the definition of $\text{Var}(\mathcal{F}, Y, S)$. \square

For a codimension one foliation \mathcal{F} defined by $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes N_{\mathcal{F}})$ and a smooth invariant hypersurface $\iota : Y \hookrightarrow X$, the restriction $\omega|_Y \in H^0(Y, \Omega_X^1|_Y \otimes N_{\mathcal{F}}|_Y)$ is contained in the image of the natural morphism

$$N_Y^* \otimes N_{\mathcal{F}}|_Y \rightarrow \Omega_X^1|_Y \otimes N_{\mathcal{F}}|_Y.$$

Define $Z(\mathcal{F}, Y)$ as the zero divisor of $\omega|_Y$ seen as an element of $H^0(Y, N_Y^* \otimes N_{\mathcal{F}})$. Observe that we can expand $Z(\mathcal{F}, Y)$ as $\sum_S Z(\mathcal{F}, Y, S)S$ where the sum runs over the irreducible components of $\text{sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cap Y$.

Proposition 3.8. Let \mathcal{F} be a codimension one foliation defined on a complex manifold X . If Y is a smooth invariant hypersurface then

$$N_Y = N_{\mathcal{F}}|_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-Z(\mathcal{F}, Y))$$

in $\text{Pic}(Y)$.

Proof. The invariance of Y by \mathcal{F} implies that the inclusion $N_Y^* \hookrightarrow \Omega_X^1|_Y$ factors through a morphism $\varphi : N_Y^* \rightarrow N_{\mathcal{F}}^*|_Y$. By the definition of $Z(\mathcal{F}, Y)$, the image of φ is equal to $N_{\mathcal{F}}^*|_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-Z(\mathcal{F}, Y))$. \square

The study of indexes for invariant subvarieties of foliations was initiated by Camacho and Sad in [6], where they introduced the index that now bears their name. Although they defined it only for smooth curves invariant by foliations on surfaces, their definition works equally well for smooth hypersurfaces invariant by codimension one foliations.

Let ω be a local generator of $N_{\mathcal{F}}^*$ and let f be a local equation for Y . We can write $\omega = hdf + f\eta$, where h is a holomorphic function and η is a holomorphic 1-form. Observe that $\text{sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cap Y = \{f = h = 0\}$. The integrability condition $\omega \wedge d\omega = 0$ implies that $\iota^*(\eta/h)$ is a closed meromorphic 1-form. The Camacho-Sad index along S is defined as the residue of $\iota^*(\eta/h)$ along S . Since $\iota^*(\eta/h)$ is closed, its residues are complex numbers. Moreover, they do not depend on the choices involved.

As observed by Brunella in [3, Proposition 3.1], the variation $\text{Var}(\mathcal{F}, Y, S)$ is closely related to the Camacho-Sad index $\text{CS}(\mathcal{F}, Y, S)$:

$$(3.3) \quad \text{Var}(\mathcal{F}, Y, S) = \text{CS}(\mathcal{F}, Y, S) + Z(\mathcal{F}, Y, S).$$

We are now ready to state, and prove, a version of the Camacho-Sad index theorem for smooth hypersurfaces invariant by codimension foliations.

Theorem 3.9. *Let \mathcal{F} be a codimension one foliation defined on a complex manifold X . If Y is a smooth invariant hypersurface then the identity*

$$c(N_Y) = \sum_S \text{CS}(\mathcal{F}, Y, S) \cdot c(S)$$

holds true in $H^2(Y, \mathbb{C})$.

Proof. Combining Propositions 3.7 and 3.8, we get that

$$c(N_Y) = c(\text{Var}(\mathcal{F}, Y)) - c(Z(\mathcal{F}, Y)).$$

The result follows from Equation (3.3). \square

Remark 3.10. The proof of Theorem 3.9 presented above suggests that the foliation \mathcal{F} induces a flat meromorphic connection ∇^{CS} on the normal bundle Y such that the Camacho-Sad index of irreducible components of $\text{sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cap Y$ coincide with the residues of ∇^{CS} . This is actually the case. The line-bundle $\mathcal{O}_Y(Z(\mathcal{F}, Y))$ admits a flat logarithmic connection ∇^Z with polar divisor equal to $Z(\mathcal{F}, Y)$ and trivial monodromy. The connection dual to the tensor product $(N_{\mathcal{F}}^*|_Y, \nabla^B) \otimes (\mathcal{O}_Y(Z(\mathcal{F}, Y), \nabla^Z)$ is, according to Proposition 3.8, a connection on N_Y . It can be checked that residues of this connection coincide with the Camacho-Sad indexes of \mathcal{F} along Y .

Alternatively, we can interpret the connection ∇^{CS} geometrically by considering a deformation of \mathcal{F} to the normal cone of Y in the sense of [23, Chapter 5]. To wit, set $Z = X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and let \mathcal{F}_Z be the codimension two foliation on Z which is tangent to the natural fibration $Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and, fiberwise, coincides with \mathcal{F} . Let M_Y be the blow-up of Z along $Y \times \{\infty\}$ and let $\pi : M_Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the induced fibration. The fiber $\pi^{-1}(\infty)$ has two irreducible components: one of them is a copy of X and the other is the exceptional divisor $E = \mathbb{P}(N_Y \oplus \mathcal{O}_Y)$, a compactification of the total space of the normal bundle of Y in X . Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Z$ be the pull-back of \mathcal{F}_Z to M_Y . The \mathcal{F} -invariance of Y implies that the exceptional divisor E is $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Z$ invariant. The codimension one foliation induced on it coincides with the compactification of foliation on the total space of N_Y defined by ∇^{CS} . As this interpretation will not be used in what follows, we leave the verification of details to the interested readers.

4. SIMPLE SINGULARITIES FOR CLOSED MEROMORPHIC 1-FORMS

4.1. Local expression for closed meromorphic 1-forms. In general, given a divisor $R \in \text{Div}(X) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ with zero Chern class, it is not possible to realize it globally as the residue divisor of a closed meromorphic 1-form. At the same time, there are no local obstructions. To wit, if X is the germ of a n -dimensional manifold at a point, *i.e.* $X \simeq (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, and $R = \sum_i \lambda_i H_i$ is a \mathbb{C} -divisor on X written as a sum of irreducible hypersurfaces with complex coefficients then each H_i is defined by an irreducible holomorphic function h_i and we can set

$$\eta = \sum \lambda_i \frac{dh_i}{h_i}$$

as the sought closed meromorphic differential with residue divisor R .

Lemma 4.1. *Let $R = \sum \lambda_i H_i$ be a divisor on $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ as above. Let ω be a closed meromorphic 1-form on $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ with residue divisor equal to $R = \sum \lambda_i H_i$ (notation as above). Then there exists relatively prime germs of holomorphic functions f, g on $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ such that*

$$\omega = \sum \lambda_i \frac{dh_i}{h_i} + d\left(\frac{f}{g}\right).$$

Proof. We follow the proof of [15, Chapter 3, Theorem 2.1]. We can assume that ω is defined on a polydisk U containing the origin of \mathbb{C}^n . We can also assume that the irreducible components H_i of the support of R are defined on U by irreducible holomorphic functions $h_i \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}(U_i)$. Set η equal to $\sum \lambda_i \frac{dh_i}{h_i}$ and consider the closed meromorphic 1-form $\omega - \eta$. Since $\omega - \eta$ is a closed meromorphic 1-form with zero residues, it follows that $\omega - \eta$ is exact on $U - |R|$. Moreover, a local computation along the smooth locus of H_i shows that any primitive h of $\omega - \eta|_{U - |R|}$ extends meromorphically to the complement of the singular set of $|R|$. Remmert-Stein theorem [29, page 353, Theorem 1.3] implies that h extends to a meromorphic function on U . Since any germ of meromorphic function is the quotient of two relatively prime holomorphic functions, the lemma follows. \square

4.2. Base locus. Let ω be a closed meromorphic 1-form on a complex manifold X . Let $U \subset X$ be an open subset biholomorphic to a polydisk. Over U , according to Lemma 4.1, there exists a closed logarithmic 1-form η and holomorphic functions $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ without common factors such that

$$\omega|_U = \eta + d\left(\frac{f}{g}\right).$$

None of the ingredients η, f, g of this identity are intrinsically attached to ω . Nevertheless, we claim that the ideal generated by f and g does not depend on the choices made above. Indeed, if

$$\eta + d\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) = \tilde{\eta} + d\left(\frac{\tilde{f}}{\tilde{g}}\right)$$

then we can write

$$\frac{f}{g} - \frac{\tilde{f}}{\tilde{g}} = h \implies \tilde{g} \cdot f - \tilde{f} \cdot g = hg\tilde{g}$$

for some holomorphic function h . Since \tilde{g} and g differ multiplicatively by a unit, the claim follows.

Definition 4.2. *The base locus of ω is the complex analytic subspace of X defined by the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{B}(\omega) \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ locally generated by f and g .*

4.3. Polar divisor and irregular divisor. If ω is a closed meromorphic 1-form on a complex manifold X , we will denote by $(\omega)_\infty$ the polar divisor of ω . We will write $\text{Irr}(\omega)$ for the difference of $(\omega)_\infty$ and the reduced divisor with the same support as $(\omega)_\infty$ (the irregular divisor ω).

4.4. Resonant residues. We say that ω is resonant at a point p if there exists irreducible components H_1, \dots, H_k of the support of $\text{Res}(\omega)$ containing p , none of them contained in the support of $\text{Irr}(\omega)$, and strictly positive integers n_1, \dots, n_k such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k n_i \text{Res}_{H_i}(\omega) = 0$$

If ω is not resonant for every point $p \in X$, we will say that ω is non-resonant.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a bimeromorphic morphism and let E be an irreducible component of the exceptional divisor of π . The residue along E of $\pi^*\omega$ is equal to*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \text{ord}_E(\pi^*H_i) \cdot \text{Res}_{H_i}(\omega) = 0.$$

Equivalently, $\text{Res}(\pi^\omega) = \pi^* \text{Res}(\omega)$.*

Proof. Simple local computation. □

Lemma 4.3 has the following consequence. If ω is a non-resonant closed meromorphic 1-form then every irreducible component E of the exceptional divisor of a bimeromorphic morphism $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ with center contained in the support of the residue divisor of ω , but not contained in the base locus of ω , is invariant by the foliation defined by $\pi^*\omega$.

4.5. Simple singularities of closed meromorphic 1-forms. The definition below is motivated by the analog concept for singularities of codimension one foliations, see Definition 4.12 in Subsection 4.9.

Definition 4.4. *A closed meromorphic 1-form has simple singularities if $\mathcal{B}(\omega) = \mathcal{O}_X$, ω is non-resonant, and the support of the polar divisor of ω is a simple normal crossing divisor.*

Beware that the definition above is not a perfect analogue of the homonymous concept for codimension of foliations since we impose no conditions on the zeros of ω . The reason is that a closed 1-form with simple singularities is exact at a neighborhood of its zero set (see §4.7 below).

The result below appears in [42, Proposition 8.4].

Proposition 4.5. *Let ω be a closed meromorphic 1-form on a complex manifold X . There exists a proper bimeromorphic morphism $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $\pi^*\omega$ is a closed meromorphic 1-form with simple singularities.*

Proof. Let $\rho : Z \rightarrow X$ be the composition of the blow-up along the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{B}(\omega)$, with a resolution of singularities of the resulting analytic space [68, Theorem 2.0.1] followed by an embedded resolution of the support of the polar divisor of the resulting 1-form [68, Theorem 2.0.2]. By design, $\pi^*\omega$ is a closed meromorphic 1-form with $\mathcal{B}(f^*\omega) = \mathcal{O}_X$ and simple normal crossing polar divisor. The existence of a morphism $Y \rightarrow Z$ eliminating the resonances of $f^*\omega$ follows from [22, Theorem 2]. □

4.6. Local expression for simple singularities. Closed meromorphic 1-forms with simple singularities admit quite simple local expressions in neighborhoods of points contained in their polar divisors.

Lemma 4.6. *Let ω be a germ of closed meromorphic 1-form with simple singularities on $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$. If ω is not holomorphic then there exists a system of coordinates (x_1, \dots, x_n) such that*

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \frac{dx_i}{x_i} + d \left(\frac{1}{x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_n^{n_n}} \right)$$

where $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Proof. The proof follows closely [43, Chapter 2, proof of Proposition 2.3]. The definition of simple singularities implies that we can write

$$\omega_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \frac{dx_i}{x_i} + d \left(\frac{f}{x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_n^{n_n}} \right)$$

with f equal to a germ of holomorphic function on $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ such that $f(0) \neq 0$. To prove the lemma, we will start from ω as in the statement and make a change of variables to turn it into ω_0 .

If $n_1 = \cdots = n_n = 0$ and $\lambda_j \neq 0$ then we can replace x_j by $x_j \cdot \exp(f/\lambda_j)$ in order to turn ω into ω_0 .

If instead there exists j such that $n_j \neq 0$ then we replace x_j by $x_j \cdot u(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ where u is a unity that satisfies

$$\lambda_j \cdot x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_n^{n_n} \cdot \log u + u^{-n_j} = f,$$

to turn ω into ω_0 . The existence of a unity u satisfying the equation above follows from the implicit function theorem. \square

Corollary 4.7. *Let ω be a closed meromorphic 1-form on a complex manifold X . If ω has simple singularities then the closure of the support of its zero set is disjoint from the support of the polar divisor of ω .*

Proof. Let $p \in X$ be an arbitrary point in the support of the polar divisor of ω . The germ of ω at p is described by Lemma 4.6 and the explicit formula given by it implies that the zero set of ω is empty at a neighborhood of p . Since p is arbitrary, and the result follows. \square

4.7. Zeros. As mentioned in Subsection 4.5, closed meromorphic 1-forms with simple singularities are exact at sufficiently small neighborhoods of connected components of their zero sets.

Lemma 4.8. *Let ω be a closed meromorphic 1-form with simple singularities on a complex manifold X . If Z is a connected component of the zero set of ω then there exists an open neighborhood U of Z such that $\omega|_U$ is exact.*

Proof. According to Corollary 4.7 the closure of the zero set of ω does not intersect the polar set of ω . Therefore, if Z is a connected component of the zero set of ω , there exists an open neighborhood U of Z such that $\omega|_U$ is holomorphic. For every point $p \in Z$, we can choose a local primitive $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ for ω such that $f(p) = 0$. If we take a neighborhood U_p of p such that $Z \cap U_p$ is connected then $\omega|_{U_p} = df|_{U_p}$ implies that $f|_{U_p \cap Z}$ vanishes identically. Consequently, perhaps after shrinking the neighborhood U , we obtain a collection of local primitives of ω with domains covering U that are uniquely determined by the

condition $f|_{U_p \cap Z} = 0$. The uniqueness implies they patch together proving the exactness of $\omega|_U$. \square

4.8. The irregular divisor. The next result provides obstructions to the realization of a given effective divisor as the irregular divisor of a closed meromorphic 1-form with simple singularities. Intriguingly, as we will show in Subsection 5.5, these are the only obstructions when the ambient space is compact and Kähler.

Proposition 4.9. *Let ω be a closed meromorphic 1-form on a complex manifold X . If ω has simple singularities and $I = \text{Irr}(\omega)$ is the irregular divisor of ω then*

$$\mathcal{O}_X(I) \otimes \frac{\mathcal{O}_X}{\mathcal{O}_X(-I)} \simeq \frac{\mathcal{O}_X}{\mathcal{O}_X(-I)}.$$

In other words, the normal bundle of the (perhaps non-reduced) complex analytic space defined by the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X(-I)$ is trivial.

Proof. Choose an open covering $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}$ of X such that for every $U_i \in \mathcal{U}$ intersecting the support of I we can write $\omega|_{U_i}$ as

$$\omega|_{U_i} = \eta_i + d\left(\frac{1}{f_i}\right)$$

where η_i is a logarithmic 1-form and $f_i \in \mathcal{O}_X(U_i)$ defines the irregular divisor on U_i . The existence of such open covering is assured by Lemma 4.6.

Comparing these expressions on non-empty intersections $U_i \cap U_j$, we get that

$$d\left(\frac{1}{f_i}\right)\Big|_{U_i \cap U_j} - d\left(\frac{1}{f_j}\right)\Big|_{U_i \cap U_j} = d\eta_{ij}$$

where $\eta_{ij} \in \Omega_X^1(U_i \cap U_j)$ is a holomorphic 1-form. If we set g_{ij} as primitive of η_{ij} then

$$f_j|_{U_i \cap U_j} = \frac{f_i}{1 - g_{ij}f_i}\Big|_{U_i \cap U_j}.$$

To conclude it suffices to observe that the cocycle $\{1 - g_{ij}f_i\} \in C^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$ defines the line-bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(I)$ and is trivial (actually equal to 1) when restricted to the complex analytic space defined by the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X(-I)$. \square

Remark 4.10. Proposition 4.9 also holds if one only assumes that ω is without base points. The proof is essentially the same.

Proposition 4.11. *Let ∇ be a flat meromorphic connection on a line bundle \mathcal{L} a complex manifold X . If the irregular divisor $I = \text{Irr}(\nabla)$ of ∇ has compact support then $I^2 \geq 0$. Moreover, if $I^2 = 0$ then*

$$\mathcal{O}_X(I) \otimes \frac{\mathcal{O}_X}{\mathcal{O}_X(-I)} \simeq \frac{\mathcal{O}_X}{\mathcal{O}_X(-I)}.$$

Proof. Choose an open covering $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}$ of X such that for every $U_i \in \mathcal{U}$ intersecting the support of I we can write $\nabla|_{U_i} = d + \omega|_{U_i}$ and

$$\omega|_{U_i} = \eta_i + d\left(\frac{h_i}{f_i}\right)$$

where η_i is a logarithmic 1-form, $h_i \in \mathcal{O}_X(U_i)$ does not vanish on any irreducible component of the support of $I|_{U_i}$, and $f_i \in \mathcal{O}_X(U_i)$ defines the irregular divisor on U_i .

Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 4.9, we deduce that

$$f_j|_{U_i \cap U_j} = \frac{h_j f_i}{h_i - g_{ij} f_i} \Big|_{U_i \cap U_j}.$$

Restricting this expression to the support of I , we deduce that $\mathcal{O}_{|I|}(I)$ is linearly equivalent to the effective divisor defined by $\{h_i|_{|I|} = 0\}$. Hence $I^2 \geq 0$. If $I^2 = 0$ then the functions $h_i \in \mathcal{O}_X(U_i)$ are invertible (i.e. the 1-forms ω_i are without base points) and we repeat the arguments used to prove Proposition 4.9 in order to reach the same conclusion. \square

4.9. Simple singularities for codimension one foliations. For the reader's sake, we reproduce below the definition of simple singularities for codimension one foliations due to Cano and Cerveau, as presented in [13].

Definition 4.12. *Let \mathcal{F} be a germ of codimension one foliation on $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$. The foliation \mathcal{F} has simple singularities if there exists formal coordinates x_1, \dots, x_n and an integer r , $2 \leq r \leq n$, (the dimension type of \mathcal{F}) such that \mathcal{F} is defined by a differential form ω of one of the following types:*

- (1) *There are complex numbers $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that*

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \frac{dx_i}{x_i},$$

and $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i \lambda_i = 0$ for non-negative integers a_i implies $(a_1, \dots, a_r) = 0$.

- (2) *There exist an integer $1 \leq k \leq r$, positive integers p_1, \dots, p_k , complex numbers $\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r$, and a formal power series $\psi \in t \cdot \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ such that*

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^k p_i \frac{dx_i}{x_i} + \psi(x_1^{p_1} \dots x_k^{p_k}) \sum_{i=2}^r \lambda_i \frac{dx_i}{x_i}$$

and $\sum_{i=k+1}^r a_i \lambda_i = 0$ for non-negative integers a_i implies $(a_{k+1}, \dots, a_r) = 0$.

Remark 4.13. The second summation in Item (2) of Definition 4.12 may cause some discomfort at first sight. However, if we allow the second summation to start at 1, and keep all the other conditions, we get the same definition as pointed out in [42, Remark 8.3].

Because of the results presented in this section, we may rephrase Definition 4.12 by saying that a germ of \mathcal{F} on $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ has simple singularities if, and only if, it is formally equivalent to a foliation defined by a germ of closed meromorphic 1-form with simple singularities and non-trivial polar divisor. For a description of simple singularities in dimension three, and their separatrices, we refer to [13, Subsections 5.4 and 5.5].

The analog for codimension one foliations of Proposition 4.5 is only known in dimensions two and three.

Theorem 4.14. *Let \mathcal{F} be a codimension one foliation on a complex manifold X of dimension at most three. Then there exists a proper bimeromorphic morphism (obtained as a locally finite composition of blow-ups of smooth centers) $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ such that all the singularities of $\pi^* \mathcal{F}$ are simple.*

In dimension two, Theorem 4.14 is due to Seidenberg, see for instance [3, Chapter 1]. Its proof consists in keeping blowing-up non-simple singularities (points) until getting a foliation with simple singularities (also called reduced in dimension two). The result is not completely evident, but the strategy to obtain the resolution, assuming it exists, is obvious and essentially unique. In dimension three, the problem is considerably more delicate as

one may blow-up points or curves and it is not a priori clear which one to choose at each step of the process. Theorem 4.14 was first proved for non-dicritical foliations by Cano and Cerveau [10], and later, for arbitrary codimension one foliations by Cano [9]. It is natural to expect that the statement holds in arbitrary dimensions, but no proof is available yet.

4.10. The separatrix theorem. If \mathcal{F} is a codimension one foliation on a complex manifold X then a separatrix of \mathcal{F} through a point $p \in \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ is a germ of hypersurface S at p such that $S - \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ is contained in leaves of $\mathcal{F}|_{X - \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})}$.

Combining resolution of singularities for foliations on surfaces with their index theorem (Theorem 4.15), Camacho and Sad established the following fundamental result for the study of foliations on surfaces.

Theorem 4.15. *Let \mathcal{F} be a germ of foliation on $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$. Then \mathcal{F} admits a separatrix, i.e. there exists a germ of curve parameterized by $\gamma : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ such that $\gamma^*\omega$ for any $\omega \in N_{\mathcal{F}}^*$, i.e. \mathcal{F} admits a separatrix through 0.*

The result for simple singularities was known at the end of the 19th century and has been traced back to the work of Briot and Bouquet. More specifically, always assuming that \mathcal{F} has simple singularities, if the tangent sheaf is generated by a vector field with invertible linear part then \mathcal{F} admits exactly two separatrices. If instead the tangent sheaf is generated by a vector with non-invertible linear part then there exists at least one separatrix tangent to the eigenspace corresponding to the non-zero eigenvalue. Importantly, the Camacho-Sad index for this separatrix is equal to zero. See for instance [6, Section 1] or [3, Chapter 3, Section 2]

After Camacho and Sad proved Theorem 4.15, a number of different proofs and generalizations appeared in the literature. The first one [5] was by Camacho, who extended Theorem 4.15 to singular surfaces with resolution having contractible dual graph. There are proofs by J. Cano [12] of Camacho-Sad's original result, and by M. Sebastiani [59] (see also, [3, Theorem 3.4]) and M. Toma [63] of Camacho's generalization. More recently, Ortiz-Bobadilla, Rosales-Gonzales, and Voronin [48] proved a refined version of Camacho-Sad's result where one obtain lower bounds for the number of separatrices depending on the reduction tree of the foliation and the quotients of eigenvalues of the singularities.

Here we present a proof, due to de Almeida Santos [20], which draws inspiration from Weil's residue theorem. The strategy has points of contact with the ones used by other authors, like Toma and Bobadilla-Gonzales-Voronin.

Proof of Theorem 4.15 according to [20]. Let $\pi : (X, E) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ be a reduction of singularities of \mathcal{F} given by Seidenberg theorem (dimension two version of Theorem 4.14). Here E is the reduced divisor supported on $\pi^{-1}(0)$. Clearly, E is supported on a tree of rational curves.

If one of the irreducible components of E is not invariant by $\pi^*\mathcal{F}$, then we are done since we have infinitely many germs of curves invariant by $\pi^*\mathcal{F}$ and intersecting transversely one of the non-invariant components of E . The morphism π projects any of these germs of curves to a separatrix for \mathcal{F} .

If all the irreducible components of E are invariant then we will show the existence of a point $p \in E$ such that $\text{CS}(\mathcal{F}, E, p) \neq 0$ and p is a smooth point of E . Once this is done, the theorem follows from Briot-Bouquet's results. Aiming at a contradiction, assume that every singular point of $\pi^*\mathcal{F}$ on E is either a corner (intersection of two irreducible

components of E) or a smooth point of E such that $\text{CS}(\pi^*\mathcal{F}, E, p) = 0$ (so, E is a strong separatrix of a saddle node at p).

Consider the dual graph Γ of E . The vertices of Γ are the irreducible components of E . Two vertices are joined by an edge if, and only if, the corresponding irreducible components intersect. Delete one by one the edges from Γ corresponding to two irreducible components intersecting at a saddle-node. At each step of the process, the resulting graph gains one extra connected component. Keep the connected component containing the divisor corresponding to the strong separatrix of the saddle-node (in particular, the Camacho-Sad index of $\pi^*\mathcal{F}$ for this divisor at the saddle node is zero). In the end, we obtain a connected subgraph Γ_0 of Γ such that every edge in Γ_0 corresponds to a simple singularity of $\pi^*\mathcal{F}$ with invertible linear part.

The foliation $\pi^*\mathcal{F}$ determines an element of $H^1(\Gamma_0, \mathbb{C}^*)$ as follows. Take an open-covering \mathcal{U} of Γ_0 formed by open subsets indexed by vertices of Γ_0 and equal to the union of the corresponding vertex with all the edges containing it (opposite vertex removed). The intersection of any two of these open sets is empty, or the open set itself, or an edge (vertices removed) joining two vertices. This is a Leray-covering for the sheaf \mathbb{C}^* on Γ_0 . The foliation defines an element σ of $C^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{C}^*)$ by setting

$$(\sigma)_{CD} = -\text{CS}(\pi^*\mathcal{F}, C, C \cap D)$$

where C and D are two π^* -invariant curves supported on E_0 (the divisor determined by the vertices in Γ_0). Since every edge in Γ_0 corresponds to a simple singularity with invertible linear part, a simple local computation shows that

$$(\sigma)_{CD} = -\text{CS}(\pi^*\mathcal{F}, C, C \cap D) = \frac{1}{-\text{CS}(\pi^*\mathcal{F}, D, C \cap D)} = \frac{1}{(\sigma)_{DC}}.$$

It follows that σ determines an element of $Z^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{C}^*)$ and hence of $H^1(\Gamma_0, \mathbb{C}^*)$. Since Γ is a tree, so is Γ_0 . Thus, $H^1(\Gamma_0, \mathbb{C}^*) = H^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{C}^*)$ is the trivial multiplicative group 1. Therefore, we get the existence of a divisor $R \in \text{Div}(X) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, supported on E_0 ,

$$R = \sum_{C \in \Gamma_0} \lambda_C C$$

such that for any two curves/vertices in Γ_0 we have that

$$(\sigma)_{CD} = \frac{\lambda_C}{\lambda_D}.$$

Theorem 4.15 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} R \cdot D &= \lambda_D \left(\sum_{C \in \Gamma_0} \frac{\lambda_C}{\lambda_D} C \right) \cdot D = \lambda_D \left(D^2 + \left(\sum_{C \in \Gamma_0, C \neq D} \frac{\lambda_C}{\lambda_D} C \right) \cdot D \right) \\ &= \lambda_D \left(D^2 - \sum_{p \in D} \text{CS}(\pi^*\mathcal{F}, D, p) \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for every curve/vertex D in Γ_0 . But this contradicts the negative definiteness of the intersection matrix of E_0 proving the result. \square

The proof of Theorem 4.15 presented above also proves Camacho's generalization. It is refined by de Almeida dos Santos to establish another instance of the separatrix theorem for foliations on singular surfaces, not covered by previous results. He proves that a germ of foliation, on a singular surface, with \mathbb{Q} -Cartier normal sheaf and without saddle-nodes in

its reduction of singularities, always has a separatrix. A similar statement does not hold for foliations on singular surfaces with Cartier tangent sheaf. For an example, see [31], where Guillot classifies complete vector fields on singular surfaces without a separatrix through one of its singular points.

The existence of separatrices for germs of one-dimensional foliations on $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, $n \geq 3$, do not hold in general as shown by Gomez-Mont and Luengo in [25].

4.11. Existence of separatrix for non-dicritical codimension one foliations. The existence of separatrices for germs of codimension one foliations in dimension at least three also does not hold in general. To explain that, we start recalling a result due to Jouanolou [38, Chapter 4].

Theorem 4.16. *A very general foliation of \mathbb{P}^2 of degree $d \geq 2$ has no algebraic leaves.*

Theorem 4.16 admits many generalizations, see for instance [41], [70], [18], [51]. Even the original statement has now many different proofs exploiting different aspects of the theory of algebraic foliations like automorphism groups of foliations [69, 53]), global geometry of the space of foliations ([50]), or arithmetic through Galois group actions ([17]) to name a few.

A foliation on \mathbb{P}^2 of degree d is defined by a polynomial homogeneous 1-form ω on \mathbb{C}^3 . The algebraic leaves of \mathcal{F} are in bijection with the irreducible germs of hypersurfaces through $0 \in \mathbb{C}^3$ invariant by the foliation defined by ω (the cone over \mathcal{F}). Theorem 4.16 implies the existence of (many) codimension one germs of foliations on \mathbb{C}^3 without separatrices. All these germs are dicritical: the reduction of singularities of each of them has at least one non-invariant irreducible exceptional divisor. For more on the concept of dicritical singularities, see [11, Section 2.1], [8], and [7].

In sharp contrast, we have the following result by Cano and Cerveau in dimension three [10], and by Cano and Mattei in higher dimensions [11].

Theorem 4.17. *If \mathcal{F} is a non-dicritical codimension one foliation on $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, $n \geq 3$, then \mathcal{F} has a separatrix.*

The proof by Cano and Cerveau shows more: if \mathcal{F} is a non-dicritical foliation defined by a germ of 1-form ω with zeros of codimension at least two and $\gamma : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$ is a parametrization of a germ of curve not contained in $\text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ such that $\gamma^*\omega \equiv 0$ then there exists a unique germ of surface S through 0 invariant by \mathcal{F} and containing the image of γ , see [10, Part IV, proof of Corollary 1.5], and [13].

Recently, Spicer and Svaldi [61], established the existence of separatrices for germs of codimension one foliations with log canonical singularities on $(\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$. We refer to their work for the definition of log canonical singularities for foliations. Here, we just observe the existence of examples of dicritical foliations with log canonical singularities.

5. HODGE THEORY AND CLOSED MEROMORPHIC 1-FORMS

This section starts reviewing, in Subsections 5.1, 5.2, and 5.3, some elements of basic Hodge theory for compact Kähler manifolds. Subsections 5.4 and 5.5 explain how to construct closed meromorphic 1-forms on compact Kähler manifolds with prescribed residue divisor and prescribed irregular divisor, what will be used over, and over again, in the remainder of the paper.

5.1. Closedness of holomorphic forms. Recall that a complex manifold X is Kähler if it admits a smooth real $(1, 1)$ -form θ such that θ is positive definite at every point and $d\theta = 0$. A $(1, 1)$ -form θ with these properties is called a Kähler form.

Proposition 5.1. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold and let $q \geq 0$ be a positive integer. If $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^q)$ is a holomorphic q -form on X then $d\omega = 0$.*

Proof. Let n be the dimension of X and let θ be a Kähler form on X . Let α be an arbitrary p -form and consider the (n, n) -form $\beta = \alpha \wedge \bar{\alpha} \wedge \theta^{n-p}$. If we choose local coordinates z_1, \dots, z_n then we can write

$$\beta = (-i)^n b \cdot dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_n$$

where b is an everywhere non-negative function. Moreover, b vanishes exactly at the zeros of α . In particular, α is zero if, and only if,

$$\int_X \beta = 0.$$

Set $p = q + 1$, $\alpha = d\omega$ and $\beta = d\omega \wedge \bar{d\omega} \wedge \theta^{n-q-1}$. The closedness of θ implies that β is the differential of $\omega \wedge \bar{d\omega} \wedge \theta^{n-q-1}$. Hence by Stoke's theorem

$$\int_X d\omega \wedge \bar{d\omega} \wedge \theta^{n-q-1} = \int_{\partial X} \omega \wedge \bar{d\omega} \wedge \theta^{n-q-1} = 0,$$

proving the proposition. \square

Remark 5.2. The argument above proves that the differential of holomorphic q -forms on compact complex manifolds of dimension $q + 1$ are also closed. In particular, every holomorphic 1-form on a compact complex surface is closed. Without further assumptions, not much more can be said concerning the closedness of holomorphic forms. Indeed, for every $q \geq 1$ and $r \geq 2$ there exist compact complex manifolds of dimension $q + r$ admitting global holomorphic q -forms which are not closed. To verify this claim, start by considering a cocompact discrete subgroup Γ of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. The quotient X is a smooth compact complex 3-fold carrying a non-closed holomorphic 1-form, see for instance [14, Example 2.13]. Taking the product of X with a compact complex torus of dimension $n - 3$ one obtains compact complex manifolds having non-zero and non-closed holomorphic k -forms for every k between 1 and $n - 2$.

5.2. Hodge decomposition. For a details, and proofs, of the results discussed in this subsection the reader can consult for instance [66, Chapter 6] or [30, Chapter 7].

Let Z_X^1 be the sheaf of closed holomorphic 1-forms. If X is compact then integration along elements of the first homology group $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ induces an injection

$$H^0(X, Z_X^1) \oplus \overline{H^0(X, Z_X^1)} \hookrightarrow H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{Hom}(H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{C}).$$

Indeed, elements of the kernel admit primitives that are pluriharmonic functions, hence necessarily constant (maximum principle) on compact manifolds.

When X is Kähler compact then Proposition 5.1 guarantees $H^0(X, Z_X^1) = H^0(X, \Omega_X^1)$ and we have an inclusion of $H^0(X, \Omega_X^1) \oplus \overline{H^0(X, \Omega_X^1)}$ into $H^1(X, \mathbb{C})$. Moreover, every element of $H^1(X, \mathbb{C})$ can be uniquely obtained as a sum of a morphism induced by the integration of a global holomorphic 1-form with a morphism induced by the integration of the complex conjugate of another global holomorphic 1-form. In other words, there exists a natural isomorphism

$$H^0(X, \Omega_X^1) \oplus \overline{H^0(X, \Omega_X^1)} \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathbb{C})$$

induced by integration.

Indeed, much more is true. For every $k \geq 0$, there exists a canonical isomorphism (the Hodge decomposition)

$$H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(X),$$

where $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(X)$ denotes the Dolbeault cohomology of X . When p and q are both non-zero and X is an arbitrary complex manifold, Dolbeault cohomology classes of degree (p, q) do not define elements of $H^{p+q}(X, \mathbb{C})$ in general. Nevertheless, on compact Kähler ambient spaces, every Dolbeault cohomology class of type (p, q) is represented by a unique (p, q) -form that is not only $\bar{\partial}$ -closed but also d -closed. The morphism $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(X) \rightarrow H^{p+q}(X, \mathbb{C})$ implicit in the Hodge decomposition, sends a Dolbeault cohomology class α to the integration of the unique d -closed representative of α along $(p+q)$ -cycles.

Alternatively, taking into account Dolbeault's isomorphisms $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(X) \simeq H^q(X, \Omega_X^p)$, we can write

$$H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H^q(X, \Omega_X^p),$$

The existence of a unique d -closed representative for Dolbeault cohomology classes translates into the fact that the natural morphisms

$$(5.1) \quad H^q(X, \Omega_X^p) \rightarrow H^q(X, \Omega_X^p)$$

from the cohomology of the sheaf of closed holomorphic p -forms to the cohomology of the sheaf of holomorphic p -forms are isomorphisms when X is a compact Kähler manifold.

5.3. Closedness of logarithmic 1-forms. The result below is a direct consequence of the Hodge decomposition and we learned it from Marco Brunella, see [52, Proposition 7.1].

Proposition 5.3. *Let ω be a meromorphic 1-form on a compact Kähler manifold X . If $d\omega$ is holomorphic then ω is closed, i.e. $d\omega = 0$.*

Proof. Notice that although ω is not closed a priori, the holomorphicity of $d\omega$ ensures that its residues along the irreducible components H_i of its polar set are well-defined complex numbers. If σ is a 2-cycle then Stoke's Theorem implies that

$$\int_{\sigma} d\omega = \sum \text{Res}_{H_i}(\omega) \cdot \int_{\sigma} c(H_i)$$

It follows that the class of $d\omega$ in $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ is a linear combination of the Chern classes of the hypersurfaces H_i . In particular, it is represented by an element of $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1) \subset H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$. At the same time, $d\omega$ is a closed holomorphic 2-form, and therefore its class lies in $H^0(X, \Omega_X^2)$. But the subspaces $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1)$ and $H^0(X, \Omega_X^2)$ of $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ intersect only at zero since X is Kähler compact. It follows that $d\omega = 0$ as claimed. \square

Corollary 5.4. *Let D be a simple normal crossing divisor on a compact Kähler manifold. If $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^1(\log D))$ then $d\omega = 0$.*

Proof. It follows from the Exact Sequence (3.1) that the residues of ω along the irreducible components of D are constant. A local computation shows that $d\omega$ is holomorphic and we can apply Proposition 5.3 to conclude. \square

The result above is a particular case of a theorem by Deligne which says that every logarithmic p -form with poles on a simple normal crossing divisor on a compact Kähler manifold is closed, [21, Corollary 3.2.14].

5.4. Logarithmic 1-forms with prescribed residues and 1-forms of the second kind.

Our next result below, already mentioned in Subsection 3.1, is due to Weil and appears in the same work [67] where he proved the residue theorem.

Proposition 5.5. *If X is a compact Kähler manifold then a divisor $R \in \text{Div}(X) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ with zero Chern class is the residue divisor of a closed logarithmic 1-form.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}$ be a sufficiently fine open covering of X and let η_i be closed logarithmic 1-forms such that $\text{Res}(\eta_i) = R|_{U_i}$. The cocycle $\{\eta_i - \eta_j\} \in Z^1(\mathcal{U}, \Omega_X^1)$ represents the Chern class of R in $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1) \subset H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ as explained in the proof of Proposition 3.4. Since this class is zero by assumption, there exists $\{\omega_i\} \in C^0(\mathcal{U}, \Omega_X^1)$ such that $\eta_i - \omega_i = \eta_j - \omega_j$ over non-empty intersections $U_i \cap U_j$. This shows the existence of a logarithmic 1-form η with constant residues and residue divisor equal to R . Proposition 5.3 implies that η is closed. \square

Adhering to the classical terminology on the subject, we will say that a closed meromorphic 1-form ω is of the second kind if $\text{Res}(\omega) = 0$.

Corollary 5.6. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold and let ω be a closed meromorphic 1-form on X . Then there exists a unique closed logarithmic 1-form ω_{\log} and a unique closed meromorphic 1-form ω_{II} of the second kind with anti-holomorphic periods such that*

$$\omega = \omega_{\log} + \omega_{\text{II}}.$$

Proof. Let $R = \text{Res}(\omega)$ be the residue divisor of ω . According to Theorem 3.1, R has zero Chern class. Proposition 5.5 guarantees the existence of a closed logarithmic 1-form η with $R = \text{Res}(\eta)$. The difference $\omega - \eta$ is a closed meromorphic 1-form of the second kind. As such, it represents a class in $H^1(X, \mathbb{C})$. By subtracting the holomorphic component of $\omega - \eta$ from it, we may assume that it has anti-holomorphic periods and set ω_{II} equal to it. To conclude it suffices to take $\omega_{\log} = \omega - \omega_{\text{II}}$. \square

5.5. Closed meromorphic 1-forms with prescribed irregular divisor. We now present the result mentioned in Subsection 4.8, which characterizes the irregular divisors of closed meromorphic 1-forms with simple singularities.

Theorem 5.7. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold and let $I \in \text{Div}(X)$ be a simple normal crossing effective divisor satisfying the conclusion of Proposition 4.9:*

$$\mathcal{O}_X(I) \otimes \frac{\mathcal{O}_X}{\mathcal{O}_X(-I)} \simeq \frac{\mathcal{O}_X}{\mathcal{O}_X(-I)}.$$

Then there exists a closed meromorphic 1-form ω of the second kind and without base points such that $(\omega)_{\infty} = I + I_{\text{red}}$.

Proof. The assumption implies the existence of an open covering $\{U_i\}$ of X , holomorphic functions $f_i \in \mathcal{O}_X(U_i)$ defining I , and holomorphic functions $r_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}_X(U_i \cap U_j)$ such that $f_i = (1 + f_j \cdot r_{ij}) f_j$. This implies that the differences $a_{ij} = \frac{1}{f_i} - \frac{1}{f_j}$ are holomorphic functions and determine a class $\{a_{ij}\}$ in $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. The Isomorphism (5.1) implies the existence of closed holomorphic 1-forms $\alpha_i \in \Omega_X^1(U_i)$ such that $da_{ij} = \alpha_i - \alpha_j$, see the proof of [16, Theorem B]. The closed meromorphic 1-forms of the second kind

$$d\left(\frac{1}{f_i}\right) - \alpha_i$$

coincide at non-empty intersections $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ and define the sought 1-form. \square

Remark 5.8. Theorem 5.7 is a particular case of [16, Theorem B], see also [55, Theorem B]. These results, imply that if

$$\mathcal{O}_X(I) \otimes \frac{\mathcal{O}_X}{\mathcal{O}_X(-I)} \simeq \mathcal{L} \otimes \frac{\mathcal{O}_X}{\mathcal{O}_X(-I)}.$$

for some line-bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X)$ with zero Chern class in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ then there exists a global meromorphic 1-form ω with coefficients in \mathcal{L}^* , polar divisor equal to $I + I_{red}$, no residues, and closed with respect to the unitary connection on \mathcal{L}^* . The proof is essentially the same.

6. POLAR DIVISOR OF LOGARITHMIC 1-FORMS

6.1. Hodge index theorem. Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n and let θ be a Kähler form. Consider the symmetric bilinear form on $H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$(\alpha, \beta)_\theta = \int_X \alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \theta^{n-2}$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with Kähler form θ . If $D_1, D_2 \in \text{Div}(X)$ are non-zero effective divisors with disjoint supports such that $(c(D_1), c(D_1))_\theta \neq 0$ then $c(D_1)$ and $c(D_2)$ are linearly independent in $H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. The effectiveness of D_i implies that $(c(D_i), \theta)_\theta = \int_{D_i} \theta^{n-1} \neq 0$. Therefore both $c(D_1)$ and $c(D_2)$ are non-zero elements of $H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \cap H^{1,1}(X)$. Since the supports of D_1 and D_2 are disjoint, we have that $(c(D_1), c(D_2))_\theta = 0$. If $c(D_1)$ and $c(D_2)$ are linearly dependent then we would get $c(D_2) = \lambda c(D_1)$ for some $\lambda \neq 0$. This leads to the contradiction $0 = (c(D_1), c(D_2))_\theta = \lambda(c(D_1), c(D_1))_\theta \neq 0$. \square

The Hodge index theorem [66, Theorem 6.33] implies that the restriction of the symmetric form $(\cdot, \cdot)_\theta$ to $H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \cap H^{1,1}(X)$ is non-degenerate and has signature equal to $(1, h^1(X, \Omega_X^1) - 1)$, i.e. one positive eigenvalue and $h^1(X, \Omega_X^1) - 1$ negative eigenvalues.

Definition 6.2. *Let $R \in \text{Div}(X)$ be a divisor (or a \mathbb{C} -divisor) on compact Kähler manifold X and let H_1, \dots, H_k be the irreducible components of the support of R , i.e.*

$$R = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i H_i$$

with $\lambda_i \neq 0$ for every i . The intersection matrix of R (with respect to θ) is, by definition, the $k \times k$ symmetric matrix with entry (i, j) equal to $(c(H_i), c(H_j))_\theta$.

Lemma 6.3. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with a Kähler form θ . Let $R = \sum_{i=1}^k R_i \in \text{Div}(X) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ be a divisor which is a sum of $k \geq 1$ non-zero divisors $R_i \in \text{Div}(X) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ with connected and pairwise disjoint supports. If $c(R) = 0$ then, for every i , there exists a non-zero effective divisor $D_i \in \text{Div}(X)$ (integral coefficients) such that $(D_i, D_i)_\theta \geq 0$ and with support contained in the support of R_i . Moreover, we have the following alternative.*

- (1) *If the intersection matrix of R is not semi-definite negative then the support of R is connected.*
- (2) *Otherwise, the support of R is not connected, the number of zero eigenvalues of the intersection matrix of R is exactly the number k of connected components of the support of R , and there exists complex numbers λ_i such that $R_i = \lambda_i D_i$.*

Proof. Let H_1, \dots, H_ℓ be the irreducible components of the support of R . Consider the \mathbb{Q} -vector space $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{Q} \cdot H_i \subset \text{Div}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Taking Chern classes defines a linear map $\varphi : V \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2(X, \mathbb{C})$. By assumption $R \in \ker \varphi$. Since φ is defined over \mathbb{Q} we obtain the existence of a divisor $D \in \text{Div}(X)$ with zero Chern class and with support equal to the support of R .

Write $D = \sum_{i=1}^k D_{i,+} - D_{i,-}$ where, for each i , $D_{i,+}$ and $D_{i,-}$ are effective divisors with supports contained in the support of R_i and without common irreducible components. Since $c(D) = 0$, Lemma 6.1 implies that $(D_{i,+} - D_{i,-}, D_{i,+} - D_{i,-})_\theta = 0$ for every i . If we take $D_i = D_{i,+} + D_{i,-}$ then

$$(D_i, D_i)_\theta = (D_{i,+} - D_{i,-}, D_{i,+} - D_{i,-})_\theta + 4(D_{i,+}, D_{i,-})_\theta \geq 0$$

because $D_{i,+}$ and $D_{i,-}$ are effective divisors without common irreducible components.

Assume there exists a divisor E contained in the support of R such that $(E, E)_\theta > 0$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that E has connected support. If the support of R were not connected then we would have two non-zero pairwise disjoint divisors, say E and D_j , with linear independent Chern classes (Lemma 6.1) generating a two dimensional vector subspace of $H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \cap H^{1,1}(X)$ where the intersection form has two non-negative eigenvalues contradicting the Hodge index theorem. This shows Item (1).

From now on, assume that $(E, E)_\theta \leq 0$ for every divisor E with support contained in the support of R . In particular, $(D_i, D_i)_\theta = 0$ for every i . Since D_i is effective, the Chern class of D_i is non-zero. Therefore, the support of D , and hence of R , must have at least two distinct connected components. Notice that for $i \neq j$, Hodge index theorem implies that D_i and D_j have proportional Chern classes. Likewise the real and the imaginary parts of R_i must have Chern classes proportional to the Chern class of D_j . It follows the existence of complex numbers λ_i such that $c(R_i) = \lambda_i c(D_i)$.

It remains to show that $R_i = \lambda_i D_i$ as divisors. Assume that this is not the case. Considering linear combinations of D_i with the real or imaginary part of R_i , we can produce a non-zero \mathbb{R} -divisor E_i with support contained in the support of R_i but not equal it and such that $(E_i, E_i)_\theta = 0$. Since the support of R_i is connected, there exists H contained in it such that $(E_i, H)_\theta > 0$. If we take $E = E_i + \lambda H$ for some $\lambda \gg 0$ then $(E, E)_\theta > 0$ contradicting our assumption. \square

Lemma 6.4. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold that has a map $f : X \rightarrow C$ with connected fibers onto a smooth curve. Then any nonzero effective divisor D on X such that $(D, D)_\theta = 0$, for some Kähler form θ , which maps to a point $p \in C$ is a positive rational multiple of the divisor $f^{-1}(p)$.*

Proof. The statement, when X is projective, appears as [64, Lemma 3.1]. The very same proof works when X is a compact Kähler manifold. \square

6.2. Logarithmic 1-form canonically attached to a divisor with zero Chern class.

Given a divisor $D \in \text{Div}(X) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ with zero Chern class on a compact Kähler manifold, Proposition 5.5 gives the existence of a closed logarithmic 1-form ω such that $\text{Res}(\omega) = D$. Of course, ω is not unique as we may replace it by $\omega + \eta$ for any $\eta \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^1)$.

Proposition 6.5. *Let $D \in \text{Div}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ be a divisor on a compact Kähler manifold X . If $c(D) = 0$ then there exists a unique closed logarithmic 1-form ω_D such that $\text{Res}(\omega_D) = D$ and the periods of ω_D are purely imaginary complex numbers.*

Proof. We start by verifying uniqueness. If ω_D and $\tilde{\omega}_D$ are two logarithmic 1-forms with purely imaginary periods and same residues then $\eta = \omega_D - \tilde{\omega}_D$ is a holomorphic 1-form

with imaginary periods. Therefore, for any $x_0 \in X$, the modulus of $\exp \int_{x_0}^x \eta$ is a well-defined plurisubharmonic function $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The compactness of X implies that F attains a maximum, contradicting the maximum principle.

For the existence, first assume that D has integral coefficients. In this case, the line-bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ admits a unique flat unitary connection. This connection defines a closed logarithmic 1-form Ω on the total space of $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ as explained in the proof of Theorem 3.3. The fact that the connection is unitary implies that the periods of Ω are purely imaginary. If σ is a meromorphic section of $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ such that $(\sigma)_0 - (\sigma)_\infty = D$ then we can take ω_D as $\sigma^* \Omega$. If D is arbitrary divisor with real coefficients then we can write $D = \sum \lambda_i D_i$ where $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $D_i \in \text{Div}(X)$ are (integral) divisors with zero Chern classes. The logarithmic 1-form $\sum \lambda_i \omega_{D_i}$ has the sought properties. \square

Proposition 6.6. *Let $D \in \text{Div}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ be a divisor on a compact Kähler manifold with $c(D) = 0$.*

- (1) *If V is compact complex variety and $i : V \rightarrow X$ is a holomorphic map such that $i(V) \cap |D| = \emptyset$ then $i^* \omega_D = 0$.*
- (2) *If \mathcal{G} is a codimension one foliation on X and L is a leaf of \mathcal{G} such that its topological closure \overline{L} does not intersect $|D|$ then either \overline{L} is a compact analytic hypersurface or \mathcal{G} is equal to \mathcal{F} .*

Proof. Let \mathcal{F}_D be the foliation defined by ω_D . Since the periods of ω_D are purely imaginary, the function

$$F_D : X - |D| \rightarrow (0, \infty)$$

$$x \mapsto \left| \exp \int_{x_0}^x \omega_D \right|$$

is a plurisubharmonic first integral for $\mathcal{F}_D|_{X-|D|}$.

To verify Item (1), it suffices to observe that $i^* F_D$ admits a maximum and, hence, by the maximum principle must be constant. It follows that $i^* \omega_D$ vanishes identically.

The proof of Item (2) relies on the same idea. Assume that L is not contained in any closed hypersurface of $X - |D|$. Since F_D is locally the modulus of a holomorphic function, the restriction of F_D to L is either constant or an open map. If $F_D|_L$ is constant then L is a leaf of both \mathcal{F}_D and \mathcal{G} and hence \mathcal{F}_D and \mathcal{G} must be tangent on L . If \overline{L} is not contained on a compact analytic hypersurface, \mathcal{F}_D and \mathcal{G} must coincide. If instead $F_D|_L$ is not constant then one shows the existence of infinitely many leaves of \mathcal{G} , contained in $\overline{L} - L$, where F_D is constant. It follows that \mathcal{F}_D and \mathcal{G} must coincide. For details, see the proof of [49, Proposition 5.1]. \square

6.3. A criterion for the existence of fibrations. The result below is a version of a [64, Theorem 2.1] due to Totaro, see also [49, Theorem 3].

Theorem 6.7. *Let $D \in \text{Div}(X) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ be a divisor with zero Chern class on a compact Kähler manifold X . If the support of D has $c \geq 3$ distinct connected components then there exists a morphism $f : X \rightarrow C$ to a curve such that $D \in f^* \text{Div}(C) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. Lemma 6.3 implies that $D = \sum_{i=1}^c \lambda_i D_i$ where D_1, \dots, D_c are effective divisors with connected, pairwise disjoint supports and proportional Chern classes. After replacing the D_i 's by appropriate multiples, we may assume that $c(D_i) = c(D_j)$ for any i, j . For $i \neq j$, let $D_{ij} = D_i - D_j$ and consider the closed logarithmic 1-form $\omega_{ij} = \omega_{D_{ij}}$ given by Proposition 6.5.

Let \mathcal{F}_{ij} be the foliation defined by ω_{ij} . The function

$$F_{ij} : X - |D_i + D_j| \longrightarrow (0, \infty)$$

$$x \mapsto \left| \exp \int_{x_0}^x \omega_{ij} \right|$$

is a plurisubharmonic first integral for $\mathcal{F}_{ij}|_{X - |D_i + D_j|}$. Since $|D_i| \cap |D_j| = \emptyset$, the level sets of F_{ij} are compact subsets of $X - |D_i + D_j|$. If $1 \leq i < j < k \leq c$ are three distinct indices then the maximal principle implies that level sets of F_{ij} sufficiently close to D_j must be contained in level sets of F_{ik} . This is sufficient to show that the foliations \mathcal{F}_{ij} and \mathcal{F}_{ik} coincide. It follows the existence of a non-constant meromorphic function h such that $\omega_{ij} = h\omega_{ik}$. The Stein factorization of $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ gives the morphism $f : X \rightarrow C$. The existence of a divisor on C such that D is the pull-back of it follows from Lemma 6.4. \square

6.4. Poles of logarithmic 1-forms. The Hodge index theorem, or more specifically Lemma 6.3, has strong implications on the polar set of closed logarithmic 1-forms.

Theorem 6.8. *Let ω be a closed logarithmic 1-form on a compact Kähler manifold X . Assume that $\text{Res}(\omega) \neq 0$ and let $c(\omega)$ be the number of connected components of the support of $\text{Res}(\omega)$. Then*

- (1) *The intersection matrix of $\text{Res}(\omega)$ is negative semi-definite if, and only if, $c(\omega) \geq 2$.*
- (2) *If $c(\omega) \geq 3$ then there exists a morphism $f : X \rightarrow C$ to a compact curve C and a logarithmic 1-form α on C such that $\omega - f^*\alpha \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^1)$.*
- (3) *If $c(\omega) = 2$ then there exists a complex number $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such $\text{Res}(\lambda\omega) \in \text{Div}(X)$ (i.e. $\text{Res}(\lambda\omega)$ has integral coefficients) and there exists a holomorphic 1-form $\beta \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^1)$ such that the periods of $\lambda\omega - \beta$ are purely imaginary. Moreover, if the period group of $\lambda\omega - \beta$ is a discrete subgroup of $(i\mathbb{R}, +)$ then, as when $c(\omega) \geq 3$, there exists a morphism $f : X \rightarrow C$ to a compact curve C and logarithmic 1-form α on C such that $\omega - f^*\alpha$ belongs to $H^0(X, \Omega_X^1)$.*

Proof. Item (1) follows from the residue theorem (Theorem 3.1) combined with Item (1) of Lemma 6.3. Item (2) follows from Theorem 6.7 combined with the residue theorem and the existence of logarithmic 1-forms with prescribed residues (Proposition 5.5). The existence of λ as claimed in Item (3) follows from Item (2) of Lemma 6.3. The existence of β follows from Proposition 6.5. If the period group of $\lambda\omega - \beta$ is discrete then, after multiplying $\lambda\omega - \beta$ by a suitable integer, we can assume that it is contained in $2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Z}$. Hence $\exp \int (\lambda\omega - \beta) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a well defined morphism. If $f : X \rightarrow C$ is the Stein factorization of this morphism then the existence of α follows from Lemma 6.4 and the existence of logarithmic 1-forms with prescribed residues. \square

6.5. Topology of hypersurfaces. In this section, we discuss a result on the topology of smooth hypersurfaces of compact Kähler manifolds whose proof is based on the study of the foliation defined by a certain logarithmic 1-form.

Theorem 6.9. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold and let $Y_1, Y_2 \subset X$ be two disjoint hypersurfaces such that $a \cdot c(Y_1) = b \cdot c(Y_2)$ in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ for suitable integers a and b . Then there exists finite étale coverings $p_i : Z_i \rightarrow Y_i$ such that $\deg(p_1)b = \deg(p_2)a$ and Z_1 is C^∞ -diffeomorphic to Z_2 .*

Proof. We will briefly sketch the proof. For details, we refer to [49].

Let D be the divisor $aY_1 - bY_2$. By assumption, the Chern class of D is zero. Let ω_D be the 1-form given by Proposition 6.5, *i.e.* ω_D is the unique logarithmic 1-form with $\text{Res}(\omega_D) = D$ and purely imaginary periods.

If the periods of ω_D are contained in $i\pi\mathbb{Q}$, then for a suitable $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that all the periods of $N\omega_D$ are integral multiples of $2\pi i$ and hence $F = \exp(\int N\omega_D) : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ is a well-defined holomorphic map with fibers over 0 and ∞ equal to suitable multiples of Y_1 and Y_2 . In this particular case the result follows by taking, $Z_i = F^{-1}(z_i)$ equal to a smooth fiber near Y_i . Indeed, one can choose a path γ on $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ joining z_1 to z_2 and avoiding all the finitely many critical values of F . Ehresmann fibration theorem implies that Z_1 and Z_2 are C^∞ -diffeomorphic. Finally, the local structure of the foliation defined by ω implies that Z_1 and Z_2 are C^∞ -diffeomorphic to étale coverings of Y_1 and Y_2 .

If the periods of ω_D are not contained in $i\pi\mathbb{Q}$, then the idea is to perturb ω_D by adding a sufficiently small imaginary valued closed 1-form η such that $\omega_D + \eta$ has all its periods contained in $i\pi\mathbb{Q}$ and argue as in the previous paragraph. Some care must be taken since the critical values of a differentiable function $X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ might disconnect the contra-domain and one might not be able to connect the smooth fibers nearby Y_1 to the smooth fibers nearby Y_2 . To avoid this difficulty, one uses Lemma 4.8 in order to show the existence of arbitrarily 1-forms η such that $\omega_D + \eta$ has periods in $i\pi\mathbb{Q}$ and η is identically zero at a neighborhood of the zeros of ω_D . By taking $F = \exp(\int N(\omega_D + \eta)) : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ one obtains a differentiable map with finitely many critical values, and one can apply the arguments of the previous paragraph. \square

6.6. Quasi-invariant hypersurfaces. In this subsection, we will restrict to foliations on projective manifolds. Likely, the results presented here are also valid for foliations on compact Kähler manifolds, but the arguments presented in [54] rely on taking hyperplane sections in order to reduce the problem to dimension three where reduction of singularities is available.

Let \mathcal{F} be a codimension one foliation on a projective manifold X . An irreducible hypersurface H is quasi-invariant by \mathcal{F} if H is not \mathcal{F} invariant and the restriction of \mathcal{F} to H is algebraically integrable.

Theorem 6.10. *Let \mathcal{F} be a codimension one holomorphic foliation on a projective manifold X . If \mathcal{F} admits infinitely many quasi-invariant hypersurfaces then \mathcal{F} is algebraically integrable, or \mathcal{F} is a pull-back of a foliation on a projective surface under a dominant rational map.*

The proof of Theorem 6.10 can be briefly summarized as follows. First show that it suffices to prove the result for foliations with simple singularities on projective 3-folds. Then construct divisors D_1 and D_2 supported on quasi-invariant hypersurfaces with zero Chern class and without common irreducible components in their supports. Let $\omega_{D_1}, \omega_{D_2}$ be the unique logarithmic 1-forms with purely imaginary periods and $\text{Res}(\omega_{D_i}) = D_i$ given by Proposition 6.5. Consider the restriction of the foliations defined by ω_{D_i} at a general leaf L of \mathcal{F} . If the supports of $D_1|_L$ and $D_2|_L$ intersect, then L must be algebraic since it is a surface contained in a projective 3-fold and containing a divisor of positive self-intersection. In this case, \mathcal{F} is algebraically integrable. If the supports of $D_1|_L$ and $D_2|_L$ do not intersect then, arguing as in the proof of Theorem 6.7, one can show that the leaves of these foliations are algebraic subvarieties of L , and in this way produce a codimension two foliation \mathcal{G} be algebraic leaves tangent to \mathcal{F} . To properly carry out this argument, it is important that the foliation \mathcal{F} has simple singularities. Standard arguments

imply that \mathcal{F} is the pull-back of foliation on a projective surface. We invite the reader to consult [54] for details.

7. SEMI-GLOBAL SEPARATRICES

In this section, contrary to the everywhere else in the paper, we choose to restrict to codimension one foliations on projective manifolds of dimension three, because most of our results rely on the reduction of singularities for codimension one foliations. With some extra work, we could have formulated similar results for codimension one foliations on projective manifolds of any dimension greater than three, and proved them by restricting the foliation to a sufficiently general projective 3-fold. As this would add an extra layer of complexity to the proofs, and no new idea, we opted to restrict to complex manifolds of dimension three. The hypothesis on the projectiveness of the ambient space is more serious, as most of the algebraicity results for subvarieties that we use rely on the existence of sufficiently many meromorphic functions on the ambient space, and an analog reasoning cannot be applied to non-algebraic compact Kähler manifolds.

7.1. Semi-global separatrices. Let \mathcal{F} be a codimension one foliation on a complex manifold X of dimension three defined by a twisted 1-form $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes N_{\mathcal{F}})$. Let $i : S \hookrightarrow X$ be the inclusion of an irreducible locally closed surface. We will say that S is a semi-global separatrix for the foliation \mathcal{F} if $(i^*\omega)|_{S - \text{sing}(S)}$ is identically zero and $i^{-1}(\text{sing}(\mathcal{F}))$ is a connected compact subset of S .

Proposition 7.1. *Let \mathcal{F} be a codimension one foliation with simple singularities on a complex manifold X of dimension three. Let C be an irreducible curve contained in the singular set. If C is compact then every point $p \in C - \text{sing}(C)$ belongs to at least one, and at most two, semi-global separatrix of \mathcal{F} .*

Proof. Let $p \in C$ be a smooth point of C . If $\Sigma \simeq (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ is a germ of surface transverse to C at p then $\mathcal{F}|_{\Sigma}$ is a germ of foliation on $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ with a simple singularity. Briot-Bouquet's theorem guarantees that $\mathcal{F}|_{\Sigma}$ has at least one convergent separatrix, and at most two. The proof of the separatrix theorem for germs of non-dicritical codimension one foliations, see [10, Part IV], [13, Subsection 5.6] and the discussion following the statement of Theorem 4.17, implies the existence of a semi-global separatrix containing any given (convergent) separatrix of $\mathcal{F}|_{\Sigma}$. \square

Let S be a semi-global separatrix for a foliation \mathcal{F} with simple singularities on a complex manifold of dimension three. Let $n : S^n \rightarrow S$ be the normalization of S . Note that S^n is smooth since S is normal crossing along the singular set of \mathcal{F} . The pull-back of Bott's connection ∇ with polar divisor having support contained in the compact set $n^{-1}(\text{sing}(\mathcal{F}))$. Let C_1, \dots, C_k be the irreducible components of $n^{-1}(\text{sing}(\mathcal{F}))$. Since we are assuming that \mathcal{F} has simple singularities, each C_i is a curve and $\sum C_i$ is a normal crossing divisor on S^n . The intersection matrix of the semi-global separatrix S is, by definition, $(C_i \cdot C_j)$, the intersection matrix of the divisor $\sum C_i$.

We will say that the semi-global separatrix S is logarithmic if the connection ∇ is logarithmic. Otherwise, if ∇ has non-reduced polar divisor then we say that S is an irregular semi-global separatrix.

Inspired by Theorem 6.8, we will now proceed to analyze the semi-global separatrices according to properties of its intersection form.

7.2. Negative definite intersection form. The key result concerning (germs of) smooth surfaces containing a divisor with compact support and negative definite intersection form is Grauert's contractibility criterion [28, Section 8.e].

Theorem 7.2. *Let S be a germ of smooth complex surface containing a divisor D with compact support. If the intersection form of D is negative definite then there exists a germ of normal complex surface S' and a morphism $\pi : S \rightarrow S'$ which maps $|D|$ to a point p and is an isomorphism between $S - |D|$ and $S' - \{p\}$.*

In particular, the germ of S around $|D|$ has a huge ring of holomorphic functions. Compactness of $|D|$ implies that all holomorphic functions are constant along $|D|$, but they separate points on the complement $S - |D|$.

Proposition 7.3. *Let S be a germ of smooth complex surface containing a divisor D with compact support and negative definite intersection form. If \mathcal{L} is a line-bundle over S and ∇ is a flat meromorphic connection on \mathcal{L} with polar divisor supported on $|D|$ then ∇ is a logarithmic connection and $\text{Res}(\nabla) \in \text{Div}(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.*

Proof. Proposition 4.11 implies that the irregular divisor of ∇ satisfies $I(\nabla)^2 \geq 0$. Since the intersection form of D is negative definite, $I(\nabla)$ must be zero, i.e. ∇ is logarithmic. If C_1, \dots, C_k are the irreducible components of the support of D then the negative definiteness of $|D|$ combined with Theorem 3.3 (residue theorem for connections) implies that the residue divisor of ∇ is completely determined by the system of linear, defined over \mathbb{Z} , $\text{Res}(\nabla) \cdot C_i = -c(\mathcal{L}|_{C_i})$, $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. It follows that $\text{Res}(\nabla)$ is a divisor with rational coefficients. \square

Corollary 7.4. *Let \mathcal{F} be a codimension one foliation with simple singularities on a complex manifold X of dimension three. If S is a semi-global separatrix with negative definite intersection form then Bott's connection is a logarithmic connection with rational residues.*

7.3. Intersection form with a positive eigenvalue. We now turn our attention to semi-global separatrices with intersection forms having at least one positive eigenvalue. The situation is opposite to the case of semi-global separatrices with negative definite intersection forms. While in the previous case, we had an abundance of holomorphic functions, in the present situation the only holomorphic functions are constant and, moreover, the field of meromorphic functions of the normalization of a semi-global separatrix has finite transcendence degree over \mathbb{C} .

Lemma 7.5. *Let S be a smooth complex surface containing a divisor with compact support and positive self-intersection. Then there exists an effective divisor D on S with compact support positive self-intersection and such that $D \cdot C > 0$ for every curve contained in its support. Moreover, the transcendence degree over \mathbb{C} of the field of meromorphic functions of S is at most two.*

Proof. Let $\sum m_i C_i$ be a divisor on S with compact support and $(\sum m_i C_i)^2 > 0$. If we write this divisor as a difference of effective divisors without common irreducible components $\sum m_i C_i = D_+ - D_-$ then it is clear that $D_0 = D_+ + D_-$ is an effective divisor with $D_0^2 > 0$.

Let $D_0 = P + N$ be the Zariski decomposition of D_0 in the sense of [2, Theorem 3.3], i.e. both P and N are effective, P is nef, $P \cdot C = 0$ for every C is the support of N , and the restriction of the intersection form to the support of N is negative definite. Since $D_0^2 > 0$ then $P^2 > 0$.

Replace V with a sufficiently small neighborhood of a connected component of the support of P . Thus P is a nef divisor with connected support. The proof of [54, Lemma 4.9] gives a divisor D with the sought properties and the same support as P .

To conclude, observe that the formal completion of S along $|D|$ satisfies the hypothesis of [34, Theorem 6.7] which in its turn implies the bound on the transcendence degree over \mathbb{C} of the field $\mathbb{C}(S)$ of meromorphic functions of S . \square

Corollary 7.6. *Let \mathcal{F} be a codimension one foliation with simple singularities on a projective manifold X of dimension three. If S is a semi-global separatrix with intersection form having at least one positive eigenvalue then the Zariski closure of S is a \mathcal{F} -invariant algebraic surface \overline{S} .*

Proof. On the one hand, since X is projective, the restrictions of rational functions on X to S define meromorphic functions on S . Therefore, the transcendence degree of the field of meromorphic functions of S (or rather of its normalization) is at least two. On the other hand, Lemma 7.5 implies that $\text{tr deg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(S)$ is at most two. Hence the Zariski closure of S has dimension two and the result follows. \square

7.4. Proof of Theorem A. We will make use of the following elementary observation.

Lemma 7.7. *Let $A \in \text{End}(\mathbb{Q}^n)$, $b \in \mathbb{Q}^n$, and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be such that $A(x) = b$. If the \mathbb{Z} -submodule of \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q} generated by the entries $x_i \pmod{\mathbb{Q}}$ of x has rank k then $\dim \ker A \geq k$.*

Theorem A of the introduction is implied by our next result.

Theorem 7.8. *Let \mathcal{F} be a codimension one foliation with simple singularities on a projective manifold X of dimension three. Let $S \subset X$ be a semi-global separatrix for \mathcal{F} and let ∇ be the pull-back of Bott's connection to the normalization of S . If*

- (1) *the classes of the residues of ∇ modulo \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q} generate a \mathbb{Z} -submodule of \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q} of rank at least two ; or*
- (2) *the support of the irregular divisor of ∇ is non-empty and does not contain the support of the residue divisor of ∇*

then the Zariski closure of S is a \mathcal{F} -invariant algebraic surface.

Proof. Let $n : S^n \rightarrow S$ be the normalization of S and let ∇ be the flat meromorphic connection on S^n induced by Bott's connection for \mathcal{F} . Let C_1, \dots, C_k be the irreducible components of $|(\nabla)_{\infty}|$. Theorem 3.3 implies that $c(n^*N_{\mathcal{F}}^*) = -\text{Res}(\nabla) = -\sum \lambda_i C_i$ for complex numbers $\lambda_i = \text{Res}_{C_i}(\nabla)$. Assumption (1) on the rank of the subgroup of \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q} generated by classes modulo \mathbb{Q} of the residues of ∇ implies, by means of Lemma 7.7, that the intersection matrix of $(\nabla)_{\infty}$ has kernel of dimension at least two. Hence there exists two linearly independent divisors D_1 and D_2 supported on C_1, \dots, C_k with zero self-intersection. Using the connectedness of $n^{-1}(\text{sing}(\mathcal{F}))$ we produce a compact effective divisor D contained in S^n with positive self-intersection. Likewise, Assumption (2), by means of Proposition 4.11, implies that intersection form of C_1, \dots, C_k has at least one positive eigenvalue. In both cases, the result follows from Corollary 7.6. \square

7.5. Proof of Corollary B. Under the assumptions of Corollary B, the singular point p is a simple singularity for \mathcal{F} as the lemma below shows.

Lemma 7.9. *Let \mathcal{F} be a foliation be a germ of foliation on $(\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$ defined by*

$$\omega = xyz \left(\alpha \frac{dx}{x} + \beta \frac{dy}{y} + \gamma \frac{dz}{z} \right) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_p^2 \Omega_{X,p}^1},$$

where α, β, γ are \mathbb{Z} -linearly independent complex numbers. Then 0 is a simple singularity for \mathcal{F} .

Proof. Consider the unique germ of vector field v on $(\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$ such that $d\omega = i_v dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$. Our assumptions on ω implies that v is a vector field with semi-simple linear part and eigenvalues $\alpha - \beta$, $\alpha - \gamma$, and $\beta - \gamma$. The \mathbb{Z} -linear independence of α, β, γ implies that v is non resonant and therefore, by Jordan-Chevalley decomposition, v itself is semi-simple and formally linearizable, see [45, Proposition 1]. Therefore, in suitable formal coordinates which we still denote by x, y, z , \mathcal{F} is defined by a (formal) 1-form $\hat{\omega}$ such that $d\hat{\omega} = (\alpha - \beta)xdy \wedge dz + (\alpha - \gamma)ydx \wedge dz + (\beta - \gamma)zdx \wedge dy$. Therefore, by integration of $d\hat{\omega}$, we deduce that the foliation \mathcal{F} is defined by $\hat{\omega} = xyz \left(\alpha \frac{dx}{x} + \beta \frac{dy}{y} + \gamma \frac{dz}{z} \right) + df$ for some $f \in \mathbb{C}[[x, y, z]]$. One final change of coordinates of the form $x \mapsto x \cdot u$, where $u \in \mathbb{C}[[x, y, z]]$ is a unity, proves that \mathcal{F} is formally conjugated to a foliation defined by a logarithmic 1-form with simple singularities. The definition of simple singularities for codimension one foliations implies that 0 is a simple singularity of \mathcal{F} . \square

Without loss of generality, we may assume that \mathcal{F} has simple singularities since we can replace \mathcal{F} by a reduction of singularities of it, with no center over p (Lemma 7.9).

According to [13, Subsection 5.3.1], there are exactly three distinct germs of separatrices through p . Proposition 7.1 implies that we can extend these three germs to three, not necessarily distinct, semi-global separatrices for \mathcal{F} . Example 3.6 shows that the \mathbb{Z} -submodule of \mathbb{C} generated by the residues of ∇^B along the semi-global separatrix S_x tangent to $\{x = 0\}$ contains the complex numbers $1 - \beta/\alpha$ and $1 - \gamma/\alpha$. Since α, β, γ are \mathbb{Z} -linearly independent by assumption, we can apply Theorem 7.8 to conclude that the Zariski closure of S_x is an algebraic surface. The same argument shows the algebraicity of the other two separatrices through p . \square

7.6. Negative semi-definite intersection form and Ueda theory. We now turn our attention to semi-global separatrices with negative semi-definite (but not negative definite) intersection forms, *i.e.* the eigenvalues of the intersection form are all non-positive and one of them is strictly negative.

In this situation, one cannot expect the algebraicity of the semi-global separatrix. To have a concrete example, start with a foliation \mathcal{G} on a projective surface Y and consider the foliation \mathcal{F} on $X = Y \times C$ equal to $\pi^*\mathcal{G}$ for $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ the natural projection. If \mathcal{G} is singular then the pull-back of any germ of separatrix for \mathcal{G} is a semi-global separatrix for \mathcal{F} .

Ueda studies in [65] neighborhoods of smooth compact curves with topologically trivial normal bundles contained on (not necessarily compact) complex surfaces. See [46] for an insightful exposition and [16, Section 2] for applications in foliation theory.

Theorem 7.10. *Let $C \subset X$ be a smooth curve contained in a germ of smooth surface X . If $C^2 = 0$ then exactly one of the following possibilities holds true.*

- (1) *There exists a non-constant morphism $f : (X, C) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ with central fiber supported on C ; or*
- (2) *there exists a formal closed logarithmic 1-form ω with $\text{Res}(\omega) = C$ and period group dense in $(i\mathbb{R}, +)$; or*
- (3) *there exists a C^∞ strictly plurisubharmonic function $f : X - C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that tends to ∞ when approaching C . Consequently, C is the intersection of nested pseudo-concave neighborhoods.*

Another way of stating Item (2), which also clarifies the meaning of the period group of a formal logarithmic 1-form ω , is as follows. There exists a covering $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}$ of X , formal functions f_i on the formal completion of $C \cap U_i$ on U_i , and constant functions $\lambda_{ij} \in S^1$ defining a cocycle $\lambda \in Z^1(\mathcal{U}, S^1)$ such that $f_i = \lambda_{ij} f_j$ and the representation $\rho : \pi_1(C) \rightarrow S^1$ induced by λ has dense image.

A curve that satisfies Item (3) behaves in many ways like a curve with positive self-intersection as the following result by Andreotti [1, Theorem 4] shows.

Theorem 7.11. *Let X be a complex manifold and $U \subset X$ be a relatively compact open subset. If U is strictly pseudoconcave then the field of meromorphic functions of X has transcendence degree bounded by the dimension of X .*

It is interesting to observe that the field of formal meromorphic functions of the formal completion of X along a curve satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 7.10 has infinite transcendence degree over \mathbb{C} , see [36, proof of Proposition 5.1.1]. To wit, the field of (convergent) meromorphic functions has finite transcendence degree while the field of formal meromorphic functions has infinite transcendence degree.

Remark 7.12. Item (1) can only happen when the normal bundle of C corresponds to a torsion point of $\text{Pic}^0(C)$, Item (2) can only happen when the normal bundle of C is not torsion, while Item (3) can happen in both situations. Ueda also proves a more precise version of Item (2) of Theorem 7.10. If the normal bundle of C satisfies certain additional assumptions of Diophantine nature, the formal closed logarithmic 1-form ω is actually convergent.

Theorem 7.10 implies the following result about semi-global separatrices with semi-definite intersection form.

Theorem 7.13. *Let \mathcal{F} be a codimension one foliation on a projective manifold X of dimension three. If S is a semi-global separatrix with such that the pull-back of $\text{sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cap S$ to the normalization of S is a smooth curve with torsion normal bundle then at least one of the following assertions holds true*

- (1) *the foliation \mathcal{F} is the pull-back, under a rational map, of a foliation \mathcal{G} on a projective surface; or*
- (2) *the Zariski closure of S is an algebraic surface invariant by \mathcal{F} .*

Proof. Let $n : S^n \rightarrow S$ be the normalization of S and let $C = n^{-1}(\text{sing}(\mathcal{F}))$. By assumption C is a smooth curve. Assume that the Zariski closure of S is not algebraic. Since X is algebraic, the transcendence degree d of the field of meromorphic functions of S^n is at least the dimension of S . Since we are assuming that S is not algebraic, we have that d is strictly bigger than two. Therefore, combining Theorem 7.10, Theorem 7.11, and Remark 7.12, we obtain the existence of a proper fibration $f : (S^n, C) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ having C equal to the support of the fiber over 0. The (local) fibration f defines a germ of curve on the Hilbert scheme of X whose points correspond to curves on X tangent to \mathcal{F} . Since tangency to a foliation is a closed condition [18, Proposition 2.1], the points of the Zariski closure of this germ of curve on the Hilbert scheme correspond to one-dimensional subschemes that are still tangent to \mathcal{F} . Since S is not algebraic by assumption, this family of subschemes must cover X . We apply [44, Lemma 2.4] to conclude that \mathcal{F} is the rational pull-back of a foliation \mathcal{G} on a projective surface. \square

Unfortunately, the assumptions of Theorem 7.13 are strong and certainly not optimal. Still assuming the smoothness $n^{-1}(\text{sing}(\mathcal{F}))$, it would be interesting to know if one can replace torsion normal bundle with numerically trivial normal bundle (*i.e.* degree zero). In all

the examples we are aware of, when the normal bundle has degree zero but is not torsion, the Zariski closure of the semi-global separatrix is a projective surface. Also, the smoothness of $n^{-1}(\text{sing}(\mathcal{F}))$ along a semi-global separatrix is a rather unnatural assumption. It is essential for the proof presented above, as it relies on Theorem 7.10 which is not available for non-smooth curves. It is unclear whether Ueda's result holds or not for arbitrary (non-reduced) curves with singularities. A partial result pointing toward a positive answer to this question was obtained by Koike. He proved a version of Ueda's result for reduced simple normal crossing curves, with contractible dual graph, trivial normal bundle, and non-zero Ueda class in every irreducible component of the curve, see [39, Theorem 1.6]. In the next section, we will prove another version of Ueda's result valid for the irregular divisor of closed meromorphic 1-forms on compact Kähler surfaces. Although this result will not be useful to the study of semi-global separatrices, it provides some hope of establishing a version of Theorem 7.10 that would apply to any semi-global separatrix with negative semi-definite intersection form.

8. POLAR DIVISOR OF MEROMORPHIC 1-FORMS OF THE SECOND KIND

8.1. Ueda theory for the polar divisor of 1-forms of second kind. Ueda's arguments used to prove Theorem 7.10, acquire a particularly simple form when the curve is contained in a compact Kähler surface and is the polar divisor of a closed meromorphic 1-form of the second kind. Moreover, under these strong assumptions, the smoothness of the curve is no longer relevant.

Theorem 8.1 (Theorem C of Introduction). *Let X be a compact Kähler surface and let $I \in \text{Div}(X)$ be an effective divisor with connected support satisfying*

$$\mathcal{O}_X(I) \otimes \frac{\mathcal{O}_X}{\mathcal{O}_X(-I)} \simeq \frac{\mathcal{O}_X}{\mathcal{O}_X(-I)}.$$

Then exactly one of the following assertions holds true

- (1) *there exists a non-constant morphism $f : X \rightarrow C$ with connected fibers and mapping $|I|$ to a point, or*
- (2) *there exists an exhaustion of $X - |I|$ that is strictly plurisubharmonic at a neighborhood of $|I|$. Consequently, $X - |I|$ is holomorphically convex and, after the contraction of finitely many compact curves, becomes a (singular) Stein surface.*

Proof. Let ω be a closed meromorphic 1-form of the second kind and without base points on X given by Theorem 5.7, i.e. $(\omega)_\infty = I + I_{\text{red}}$. After adding a suitable closed holomorphic 1-form to ω we can (and will) assume, without loss of generality, that the class of ω in $H^1(X, \mathbb{C})$ belongs $\overline{H^0(X, \Omega_X^1)}$. If the class of ω in $H^1(X, \mathbb{C})$ is zero, then ω is equal to dh for some meromorphic function h . Since ω has no base points, h defines a morphism to \mathbb{P}^1 whose Stein factorization is the sought morphism $f : X \rightarrow C$.

From now on, assume that the class of ω is non-zero in $H^1(X, \mathbb{C})$ and let $\alpha \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^1)$ be the unique holomorphic 1-form such that $[\omega] = [\bar{\alpha}]$ in $H^1(X, \mathbb{C})$. We will construct an exhaustion of $X - |I|$ that is strictly plurisubharmonic at a neighborhood of $|I|$. For that start by considering the function

$$F : X - |I| \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$$x \longmapsto \left| \int_{x_0}^x \omega - \bar{\alpha} \right|^2.$$

Clearly, F is an exhaustion of $X - |I|$ since α is holomorphic and ω has poles along I . The Levi form of F (complex Hessian) is

$$\partial\bar{\partial}F = \omega \wedge \bar{\omega} + \alpha \wedge \bar{\alpha}$$

showing that F is a plurisubharmonic function. Moreover,

$$\partial\bar{\partial}F \wedge \partial\bar{\partial}F = 2\omega \wedge \bar{\omega} \wedge \alpha \wedge \bar{\alpha},$$

showing that F is strictly plurisubharmonic outside the zero set of $\omega \wedge \alpha$. Since both ω and α are holomorphic 1-forms on $X - |I|$, the closure of the zero set of $\omega \wedge \alpha$ is either empty or a divisor S . Let T be the tangency divisor of the foliations defined by ω and α . Observe that $|T| - |I|$ is contained in $|S|$.

Let C be an irreducible component of the support of I such that α is not identically zero when pulled back to C . Observe that this implies that C is not contained in $|T|$ and that $\partial\bar{\partial}F \wedge \partial\bar{\partial}F$ is arbitrarily large at neighborhoods of points in $|C| - |T|$ thanks to the poles of ω .

The intersection matrix of the divisor $I_{\text{red}} - C$ is negative definite. Theorem 7.2 (Grauert's contraction theorem) implies the existence of a bimeromorphic morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ that contracts $|I_{\text{red}} - C|$ to finitely many points (as many as the connected components of $|I_{\text{red}} - C|$) and is an isomorphism elsewhere. The image of the divisor S intersects $\pi(C)$ at finitely many points. Let $y \in Y$ be one of those points. Choose a small neighborhood U , a strictly plurisubharmonic function on $g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and a compactly supported bump function $\rho : U \rightarrow [0, 1]$ identically equal to one at a neighborhood of y . If $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough then the function $F + \varepsilon\rho g$ is strictly plurisubharmonic at

$$(Y - |\pi(C)| - |\pi(T)|) \cup (U - \pi(C)) \simeq X - |I| - |T| \cup (\pi^{-1}(U) - |I|),$$

since $\partial\bar{\partial}F \wedge \partial\bar{\partial}F$ is arbitrarily large at small neighborhoods of points in $\pi(C) - \pi(S)$. Proceeding in this way, we can alter the plurisubharmonic exhaustion F in an exhaustion that is strictly plurisubharmonic at a neighborhood of infinity. This shows that $X - |I|$ is a union of relatively compact strictly pseudoconvex open subsets. A result by Grauert [27, Theorem 1] implies that $X - |I|$ is holomorphically convex. \square

8.2. Formal principle for curves with trivial normal bundle on projective surfaces.

The two 1-forms, ω and α , appearing in the proof of Theorem 8.1 are key to the investigation of Grauert's formal principle for curves on projective surfaces carried out in [55].

Theorem 8.2. *Let (S, C) be a pair where S is a smooth projective surface and C is a smooth curve contained in S . Assume that the normal bundle of C in S is trivial and C is not a fiber of a fibration in S . If (S', C') is another pair with S' projective and such that the formal completion of C in S is formally isomorphic to the formal completion of C' in S' then there exists a birational map between S and S' that sends, biregularly, a neighborhood of C in S to a neighborhood of C' in S' .*

The argument used to establish Theorem 8.2 goes as follows. Since C has trivial normal bundle, Theorem 5.7 implies the existence of a closed meromorphic 1-form ω of the second kind having polar divisor equal to $2C$. Moreover, after adding a suitable holomorphic 1-form, we can assume that the periods of ω are anti-holomorphic and non-zero because C is not a fiber of a fibration by assumption. If α is a holomorphic 1-form with the same periods as $\bar{\omega}$, then the vector space of closed rational 1-forms generated by ω and α is canonically associated with the pair (S, C) and must be preserved by formal equivalences. With some extra work, and using the geometric structure defined by ω and α , one can show the convergence of the formal equivalence. Finally, the existence of a birational

map inducing the formal equivalence follows from extension properties of meromorphic functions defined on a neighborhood of C . Details can be found in [55].

8.3. Poles of closed meromorphic 1-forms of the second kind. We now proceed to describe the holomorphic functions on the complement of the polar divisor of a closed meromorphic 1-forms of the second kind and without base points defined on a compact Kähler manifold of arbitrary dimension.

Theorem 8.3. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold, let ω be a closed meromorphic 1-form of the second kind and with empty base locus, and let $U = X - |(\omega)_\infty|$ be the complement of the polar locus of ω .*

- (1) *If $\mathfrak{c}(\omega) \geq 2$ or if ω has holomorphic periods then there exists a morphism $f : X \rightarrow C$ to a curve such that $U = f^{-1}(V)$ for some open subset $V \subset C$. In particular, $\mathcal{O}_X(U) = f^* \mathcal{O}_C(V)$.*
- (2) *If $\mathfrak{c}(\omega) = 1$ and the periods of ω are not holomorphic then the following alternative holds:*
 - (a) *every holomorphic function on U is constant and there exists a codimension two holomorphic foliation \mathcal{G} on X leaving U invariant and such that the topological closure of the general leaf is a real subvariety of U of real codimension two; or*
 - (b) *there exists a morphism with connected fibers $f : X \rightarrow S$ to a normal compact complex surface S that maps $|(\omega)_\infty|$ to a curve which is the intersection of nested pseudoconcave neighborhoods. Consequently, there exists an open subset $V \subset S$, which becomes Stein after the contraction of finitely many compact curves, such that $U = f^{-1}(V)$ and $\mathcal{O}_X(U) = f^* \mathcal{O}_S(V)$.*

Proof. If the periods of ω are holomorphic then, after adding a global holomorphic 1-form, we can assume that ω has no periods at all. If we set $f(x) = \int^x \omega$ then $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a morphism because ω has no base points and, clearly, $U = f^{-1}(\mathbb{C})$.

If $\mathfrak{c}(\omega) \geq 3$ then the result follows from Totaro's Theorem 6.7. Assume that $\mathfrak{c}(\omega) = 2$. Write $(\omega)_\infty = D_1 + D_2$ where D_1, D_2 are effective divisors with connected support. By Theorem 5.7, there exists, for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$, a closed meromorphic 1-form of the second kind β_i such that $(\beta_i)_\infty = D_i$. Moreover, we can choose the 1-forms β_i with anti-holomorphic periods. If we restrict β_1 to $|D_2|$, we get a holomorphic 1-form with on the support of D_2 with anti-holomorphic periods. Therefore, β_1 must vanish when restricted to the irreducible components of D_2 . Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 4.8 we see that β_1 is exact at a neighborhood of D_2 . Moreover, since $D_1 - D_{1,\text{red}}$ and $D_2 - D_{2,\text{red}}$ have proportional Chern classes (by Hodge index), there exists a divisor of the form $D = a(D_2 - D_{2,\text{red}}) - b(D_1 - D_{1,\text{red}})$ with zero Chern class. The foliation \mathcal{F}_D defined by ω_D must coincide with the foliation defined by β_1 according to Proposition 6.6. We obtain a fibration by considering the Stein factorization of the meromorphic function h defined by the relation $\beta_1 = h\omega_D$. This concludes the proof of Item (1).

Assume from now on that $\mathfrak{c}(\omega) = 1$. We can assume without loss of generality that the periods of ω are anti-holomorphic. Let $\alpha \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^1)$ be a holomorphic 1-form such that ω and $\bar{\alpha}$ represent the same cohomology class. Let \mathcal{G} be the codimension two singular holomorphic foliation defined by ω and α . Note that $\mathcal{G}|_U$ admits a pluriharmonic first integral given by a primitive $H : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of $\omega - \bar{\alpha}$. Note that the exhaustion $F : U \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ considered in the proof of Theorem 8.1 is nothing but $|H|^2$.

If the leaves of \mathcal{G} are closed, then we can construct a morphism from X to normal compact surface S with connected fibers and defining the foliation \mathcal{G} , see [26, last remark].

The 1-form ω descends to S and lifts to a closed meromorphic 1-form $\tilde{\omega}$ of the second kind on a desingularization of S . We apply Theorem 8.1 to $\tilde{\omega}$ to show we are in the situation described by Item (2b).

Assume that the general leaf of \mathcal{G} is not closed and let V be a general level set of H . Observe that $\mathcal{G}|_V$ is a real codimension one foliation defined by a real closed 1-form η . As we are assuming that the general leaf of \mathcal{G} is not closed, we have that the periods of η form a dense subgroup of \mathbb{R} (see [33, Example 2.1]). Hence the closure of every leaf of $\mathcal{G}|_V$ coincides with V as claimed in Item (2a). Observe that any holomorphic function $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ must attain a maximum at a point $p \in V$. Therefore, it must be constant on any leaf L of \mathcal{G} which, for local primitives of ω and α at a neighborhood of p , is contained in the same level sets as p . The denseness of L on $\mathcal{G}|_V$ implies the constancy of f on V . Since V is not a complex analytic subset of U (just a real analytic subset) it follows that f is constant on U . \square

9. A REMARK ON STEIN COMPLEMENTS

It follows from Ueda's Theorem 7.10 the existence of curves C of genus $g \geq 2$ on projective surfaces having Stein complements. It suffices to consider suspensions of non-abelian representations $\rho : \pi_1(C) \rightarrow \text{Aff}(\mathbb{C})$ with prescribed unitary linear part to obtain \mathbb{P}^1 -bundles over C with a section with normal bundle determined by the unitary linear part of ρ and Stein complement.

Our final result shows that the complements of hypersurfaces with numerically trivial normal bundles on compact Kähler manifolds of dimension at least three are never Stein.

Theorem 9.1 (Theorem D of Introduction). *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold and $Y \subset X$ be a smooth hypersurface with numerically trivial normal bundle. If $X - Y$ is Stein then $\dim X = 2$.*

9.1. Existence of a closed meromorphic 1-form with coefficients on a flat line-bundle.

To prove Theorem 9.1, we will assume that $\dim X \geq 3$ and will look for a contradiction. We start by proving the existence of a closed meromorphic 1-form with coefficients on a unitary flat line-bundle and poles on the hypersurface under study when the ambient spaces have dimensions at least three.

Lemma 9.2. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold and $Y \subset X$ be a smooth hypersurface with numerically trivial normal bundle. If $\dim X \geq 3$ and $X - Y$ is Stein then there exists*

- (1) a line-bundle \mathcal{L} on X with zero Chern class such that $\mathcal{L}|_Y = \mathcal{O}_X(Y)$;
- (2) a flat unitary connection ∇ on \mathcal{L} ; and
- (3) a ∇ -closed meromorphic 1-form ω with coefficients in \mathcal{L} such that $(\omega)_\infty = 2Y$ and the class of ω in $H^1(X, \mathbb{L})$ is non-zero and lies in $\overline{H^0(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes \mathcal{L}^*)}$.

Moreover, the 1-form ω is unique up to multiplication by non-zero constants.

Proof. Since Y is Kähler and N_Y is numerically trivial, there exists a unique flat unitary connection on N_Y . Let $\rho_Y : \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow S^1$ be its monodromy and $\iota : Y \rightarrow X$ be the natural inclusion. The proof of Lefschetz theorem for homotopy groups of the complement of ample divisors presented in [40, Theorem 3.1.21] works equally well to prove $\iota_* : \pi_i(Y) \rightarrow \pi_i(X)$ is an isomorphism that for $i \leq \dim X - 2$ whenever $X - Y$ is Stein. In particular, there exists a representation $\rho_X : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow S^1$ such that $\rho_X \circ \iota_* = \rho_Y$. The unique flat unitary line-bundle (\mathcal{L}, ∇) on X with monodromy ρ_X satisfies $\mathcal{L}|_Y = N_Y$.

The existence of the ∇ -closed meromorphic 1-form ω with the properties listed in Item (3) follows from [16, Theorem B], see also [55, Theorem B], as previously indicated in Remark 5.8. \square

9.2. Leaves of foliations on Stein manifolds. The following lemma is well-known to experts. The proof we present here is adapted from [24, proof of Theorem 6.4].

Lemma 9.3. *Let \mathcal{F} be a holomorphic foliation of positive dimension (i.e. not a foliation by points) on a Stein manifold X . If L is a leaf of \mathcal{F} then L intersects the complement of every compact subset of X .*

Proof. Embed X as a closed analytic subset of \mathbb{C}^n . Let $p \in L$ be an arbitrary point. Since $T_{\mathcal{F}}$ is coherent, it is generated by global sections according to Cartan's Theorem A. Hence there exists a vector field $v \in H^0(X, T_{\mathcal{F}})$ that does not vanish at p . Using Cartan's Theorem B, we can extend v to a holomorphic vector field on \mathbb{C}^n .

Aiming at a contradiction, let us assume that the orbit of v through p is contained in a compact subset $K \subset X$. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{D}(0, r) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be an orbit of v centered at p , i.e. $\varphi'(x) = v_{\varphi(x)}$ and $\varphi(0) = p$, with maximal possible r . The theorem of existence and uniqueness of solutions of holomorphic differential equations implies the existence of a real number $\delta > 0$ (depending only on the norm of $v|_{K'}$, where $K' \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is any compact set with interior containing K) such that for every point $x \in K$, there exists an orbit of v centered at x defined on the disc $\mathbb{D}(0, \delta)$. Using this, we see that r must be equal to infinity. But if $r = \infty$, then Liouville's theorem implies that φ is constant contradicting the non-vanishing of v at p . \square

9.3. Proof of Theorem 9.1/Theorem D. Aiming at a contradiction, assume that $\dim X \geq 3$. Let ω be ∇ -closed meromorphic 1-form given by Lemma 9.2. If we choose an open covering $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}$ of X and a trivlization of \mathcal{L} over \mathcal{U} with transition functions λ_{ij} equal to constant functions of modulus one, then ω is represented by a collection of closed meromorphic 1-forms $\{\omega_i \in \Omega_X^1(2Y)(U_i)\}$ such that $\omega_i = \lambda_{ij}\omega_j$.

Let $\alpha \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes \mathcal{L}^*)$ be the 1-form with coefficients in \mathcal{L}^* representing the same class as ω in $H^1(X, \mathbb{L})$. Hence α may be represented in the covering \mathcal{U} above by a collection of closed holomorphic 1-forms $\{\alpha_i \in \Omega_X^1(U_i)\}$ such that $\alpha_i = \overline{\lambda_{ij}}\alpha_j$ since complex conjugation coincides with the inverse for complex number of modulus one.

Because the class of $\omega - \overline{\alpha}$ in $H^1(X, \mathbb{L})$ is zero, we can choose *meromorphic* pluriharmonic functions f_i such that the holomorphic parts have simple poles along $Y \cap U_i$, the anti-holomorphic parts have no poles, $df_i = \omega_i - \overline{\alpha}$ and $f_i = \lambda_{ij}f_j$. Let $F : X - Y \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be the C^∞ -function defined over U_i as $F = |f_i|^2$. Observe that F is an exhaustion of $X - Y$ and thus its level sets are compact.

Consider the codimension two holomorphic foliation \mathcal{G} defined on X by the twisted 1-forms ω and α . The leaves of $\mathcal{G}|_{X-Y}$ are contained in level sets of F . But this is impossible, since the leaves of holomorphic foliations on Stein manifolds scape every compact subset according to Lemma 9.3. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Andreotti, *Théorèmes de dépendance algébrique sur les espaces complexes pseudo-concaves*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **91** (1963), 1–38.
- [2] T. Bauer, M. Caibăr, and G. Kennedy, *Zariski decomposition: a new (old) chapter of linear algebra*, Amer. Math. Monthly **119** (2012), no. 1, 25–41.
- [3] M. Brunella, *Birational geometry of foliations*, IMPA Monographs, vol. 1, Springer, Cham, 2015.

- [4] O. Calvo-Andrade, *Irreducible components of the space of holomorphic foliations*, Math. Ann. **299** (1994), no. 4, 751–767.
- [5] C. Camacho, *Quadratic forms and holomorphic foliations on singular surfaces*, Math. Ann. **282** (1988), no. 2, 177–184.
- [6] C. Camacho and P. Sad, *Invariant varieties through singularities of holomorphic vector fields*, Ann. of Math. (2) **115** (1982), no. 3, 579–595.
- [7] F. Cano, *Dicriticalness of a singular foliation*, Holomorphic dynamics (Mexico, 1986), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1345, Springer, Berlin, 1988, pp. 73–94.
- [8] ———, *Dicritical singular foliations*, Mem. Real Acad. Cienc. Exact. Fís. Natur. Madrid **24** (1989), vi+154.
- [9] ———, *Reduction of the singularities of codimension one singular foliations in dimension three*, Ann. of Math. (2) **160** (2004), no. 3, 907–1011.
- [10] F. Cano and D. Cerveau, *Desingularization of nondicritical holomorphic foliations and existence of separatrices*, Acta Math. **169** (1992), no. 1-2, 1–103.
- [11] F. Cano and J.-F. Mattei, *Hypersurfaces intégrales des feuilletages holomorphes*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **42** (1992), no. 1-2, 49–72.
- [12] J. Cano, *Construction of invariant curves for singular holomorphic vector fields*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), no. 9, 2649–2650.
- [13] D. Cerveau, *Feuilletages holomorphes de codimension 1. Réduction des singularités en petites dimensions et applications*, Dynamique et géométrie complexes (Lyon, 1997), Panor. Synthèses, vol. 8, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1999, pp. ix, xi, 11–47.
- [14] D. Cerveau, A. Lins-Neto, F. Loray, J. V. Pereira, and F. Touzet, *Complex codimension one singular foliations and Godbillon-Vey sequences*, Mosc. Math. J. **7** (2007), no. 1, 21–54, 166.
- [15] D. Cerveau and J.-F. Mattei, *Formes intégrables holomorphes singulières*, Astérisque, vol. 97, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1982, With an English summary.
- [16] B. Claudon, F. Loray, J. V. Pereira, and F. Touzet, *Compact leaves of codimension one holomorphic foliations on projective manifolds*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **51** (2018), no. 6, 1457–1506.
- [17] S. C. Coutinho, *A constructive proof of the density of algebraic Pfaff equations without algebraic solutions*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **57** (2007), no. 5, 1611–1621.
- [18] S. C. Coutinho and J. V. Pereira, *On the density of algebraic foliations without algebraic invariant sets*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **594** (2006), 117–135.
- [19] F. Cukierman, J. Gargiulo Acea, and C. Massri, *Stability of logarithmic differential one-forms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **371** (2019), no. 9, 6289–6308.
- [20] E. de Almeida Santos, *Invariant curves for holomorphic foliations on singular surfaces*, Ark. Mat. **58** (2020), no. 1, 179–195.
- [21] P. Deligne, *Théorie de Hodge. II*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1971), no. 40, 5–57.
- [22] M. Fernández Duque, *Elimination of resonances in codimension one foliations*, Publ. Mat. **59** (2015), no. 1, 75–97.
- [23] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*, second ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [24] X. Gómez-Mont, *The transverse dynamics of a holomorphic flow*, Ann. of Math. (2) **127** (1988), no. 1, 49–92.
- [25] X. Gómez-Mont and I. Luengo, *Germs of holomorphic vector fields in \mathbb{C}^3 without a separatrix*, Invent. Math. **109** (1992), no. 2, 211–219.
- [26] Xavier Gómez-Mont, *Integrals for holomorphic foliations with singularities having all leaves compact*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **39** (1989), no. 2, 451–458.
- [27] H. Grauert, *On Levi's problem and the imbedding of real-analytic manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **68** (1958), 460–472.
- [28] ———, *Über Modifikationen und exzeptionelle analytische Mengen*, Math. Ann. **146** (1962), 331–368.
- [29] H. Grauert, Th. Peternell, and R. Remmert (eds.), *Several complex variables. VII*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 74, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [30] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Wiley Classics Library, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1994, Reprint of the 1978 original.
- [31] A. Guillot, *Vector fields, separatrices and Kato surfaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **64** (2014), no. 3, 1331–1361.
- [32] C. D. Hacon and S. J. Kovács, *Holomorphic one-forms on varieties of general type*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **38** (2005), no. 4, 599–607.

- [33] A. Haefliger, *Some remarks on foliations with minimal leaves*, J. Differential Geometry **15** (1980), no. 2, 269–284 (1981).
- [34] R. Hartshorne, *Cohomological dimension of algebraic varieties*, Ann. of Math. (2) **88** (1968), 403–450.
- [35] ———, *Ample subvarieties of algebraic varieties*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 156, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970, Notes written in collaboration with C. Musili.
- [36] H. Hironaka and H. Matsumura, *Formal functions and formal embeddings*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **20** (1968), 52–82.
- [37] A. Höring and T. Peternell, *Stein complements in compact kähler manifolds*, arXiv (2021).
- [38] J. P. Jouanolou, *Équations de Pfaff algébriques*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 708, Springer, Berlin, 1979.
- [39] T. Koike, *Ueda theory for compact curves with nodes*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **66** (2017), no. 3, 845–876.
- [40] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 48, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004, Classical setting: line bundles and linear series.
- [41] A. Lins Neto and M. G. Soares, *Algebraic solutions of one-dimensional foliations*, J. Differential Geom. **43** (1996), no. 3, 652–673.
- [42] F. Lo Bianco, J. Pereira, E. Rousseau, and F. Touzet, *Rational endomorphisms of codimension one holomorphic foliations*, 2020.
- [43] F. Loray, *Pseudo-groupe d'une singularité de feuilletage holomorphe en dimension deux*, Ensaos Matemáticos, vol. 36, 2021.
- [44] F. Loray, J. V. Pereira, and F. Touzet, *Singular foliations with trivial canonical class*, Invent. Math. **213** (2018), no. 3, 1327–1380.
- [45] J. Martinet, *Normalisation des champs de vecteurs holomorphes (d'après A.-D. Brjuno)*, Bourbaki Seminar, Vol. 1980/81, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 901, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1981, pp. 55–70.
- [46] A. Neeman, *Ueda theory: theorems and problems*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **81** (1989), no. 415, vi+123.
- [47] M. Ohtsuki, *A residue formula for Chern classes associated with logarithmic connections*, Tokyo J. Math. **5** (1982), no. 1, 13–21.
- [48] L. Ortiz-Bobadilla, E. Rosales-Gonzalez, and S. M. Voronin, *On Camacho-Sad's theorem about the existence of a separatrix*, Internat. J. Math. **21** (2010), no. 11, 1413–1420.
- [49] J. V. Pereira, *Fibrations, divisors and transcendental leaves*, J. Algebraic Geom. **15** (2006), no. 1, 87–110, With an appendix by Laurent Meersseman.
- [50] ———, *Sobre a densidade de folheações sem soluções algébricas*, Rev. Semin. Iberoam. Mat. **3** (2007), no. 4, 51–57.
- [51] ———, *The characteristic variety of a generic foliation*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **14** (2012), no. 1, 307–319.
- [52] J. V. Pereira and L. Pirio, *The classification of exceptional CDQL webs on compact complex surfaces*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2010), no. 12, 2169–2282.
- [53] J. V. Pereira and P. F. Sánchez, *Automorphisms and non-integrability*, An. Acad. Brasil. Ciênc. **77** (2005), no. 3, 379–385.
- [54] J. V. Pereira and C. Spicer, *Hypersurfaces quasi-invariant by codimension one foliations*, Math. Ann. **378** (2020), no. 1-2, 613–635.
- [55] J. V. Pereira and O. Thom, *On the formal principle for curves on projective surfaces*, Math. Ann. **381** (2021), no. 3-4, 1869–1883.
- [56] M. Popa and C. Schnell, *Kodaira dimension and zeros of holomorphic one-forms*, Ann. of Math. (2) **179** (2014), no. 3, 1109–1120.
- [57] D. Rodríguez-Guzmán, *Homotopy groups of generic leaves of logarithmic foliations*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **69** (2019), no. 6, 2811–2824.
- [58] K. Saito, *Theory of logarithmic differential forms and logarithmic vector fields*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **27** (1980), no. 2, 265–291.
- [59] M. Sebastiani, *Sur l'existence de séparatrices locales des feuilletages des surfaces*, An. Acad. Brasil. Ciênc. **69** (1997), no. 2, 159–162.
- [60] C. Simpson, *Lefschetz theorems for the integral leaves of a holomorphic one-form*, Compositio Math. **87** (1993), no. 1, 99–113.
- [61] C. Spicer and R. Svaldi, *Local and global applications of the minimal model program for co-rank one foliations on threefolds*, To appear in Journal of European Mathematical Society (2019).
- [62] T. Suwa, *Indices of vector fields and residues of singular holomorphic foliations*, Actualités Mathématiques. [Current Mathematical Topics], Hermann, Paris, 1998.

- [63] M. Toma, *A short proof of a theorem of Camacho and Sad*, Enseign. Math. (2) **45** (1999), no. 3-4, 311–316.
- [64] B. Totaro, *The topology of smooth divisors and the arithmetic of abelian varieties*, Michigan Math. J. **48** (2000), 611–624, Dedicated to William Fulton on the occasion of his 60th birthday.
- [65] T. Ueda, *On the neighborhood of a compact complex curve with topologically trivial normal bundle*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **22** (1982/83), no. 4, 583–607.
- [66] C. Voisin, *Théorie de Hodge et géométrie algébrique complexe*, Cours Spécialisés [Specialized Courses], vol. 10, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2002.
- [67] A. Weil, *Sur la théorie des formes différentielles attachées à une variété analytique complexe*, Comment. Math. Helv. **20** (1947), 110–116.
- [68] J. Włodarczyk, *Resolution of singularities of analytic spaces*, Proceedings of Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference 2008, Gökova Geometry/Topology Conference (GGT), Gökova, 2009, pp. 31–63.
- [69] H. Zoladek, *New examples of holomorphic foliations without algebraic leaves*, Studia Math. **131** (1998), no. 2, 137–142.
- [70] ———, *Multi-dimensional Jouanolou system*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **556** (2003), 47–78.