
SYMPLECTIC LEAVES OF CALOGERO-MOSER SPACES OF TYPE $G(\ell, 1, n)$

by

RUSLAN MAKSIMAU

Abstract. — We study symplectic leaves of Calogero-Moser spaces of type $G(\ell, 1, n)$. We prove that the normalization of the closure of each symplectic leaf is isomorphic to some Calogero-Moser space. We also give a nice combinatorial parameterization of the symplectic leaves.

1. Introduction

This preprint is a part of an unfinished paper. This is a natural continuation of [4]. We study symplectic leaves of Calogero-Moser spaces of type $G(\ell, 1, n)$ under the assumption that the parameter a is nonzero.


One of the main results of the paper is Theorem 3.19. There we prove that the normalization of the closure of each symplectic leaf is isomorphic to some Calogero-Moser space, which confirms a conjecture given in [3]. We also give in §3.H a nice combinatorial parameterization of the symplectic leaves.

Gwyn Bellamy and Travis Schedler informed me that they also proved Theorem 3.19 independently. It is expected that this preprint will become a part of a joint paper with Gwyn Bellamy and Travis Schedler.

2. Combinatorics

2.A. Partitions. — Assume $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. A *partition* is a tuple $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r)$ of positive integers (with no fixed length) such that $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_r$, $r \geq 0$. Set $|\lambda| = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i$. If $|\lambda| = n$, we say that λ is a partition of n .

Denote by \mathcal{P} (resp. $\mathcal{P}[n]$) be the set of all partitions (resp. the set of all partitions of n). By convention, $\mathcal{P}[0]$ contains one (empty) partition (it has $r = 0$). We will identify partitions with Young diagrams. The partition λ corresponds to a Young diagram with r lines such that the i th line contains λ_i boxes. For example the partition $(4, 2, 1)$ corresponds to the Young diagram



Let us use the following convention: for $\ell = \infty$ we have $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$. We say that a box b of the Young diagram is *at position* (r, s) if it is in the line r and column s . The ℓ -*residue* of the box b is the number $s - r$ modulo ℓ . (We say that the integer $s - r$ is the ∞ -residue of the box b .) Then we obtain a map

$$\text{Res}_\ell: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}, \quad \lambda \mapsto \text{Res}_\ell(\lambda),$$

such that for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ the number of boxes with ℓ -residue i in λ is $(\text{Res}_\ell(\lambda))_i$. (In particular, we obtain a map $\text{Res}_\infty: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}}$.) For $\ell = \infty$, we mean that $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the direct sum (and not the direct product) of \mathbb{Z} copies of \mathbb{Z} . In other words, our convention is that for an element $\mathbf{d} = (d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, only a finite number of integers d_i is nonzero.

Example 2.1. — For the partition $\lambda = (4, 2, 1)$ and $\ell = 3$ the 3-residues of the boxes are

0	1	2	0
2	0		
1			

In this case we have $\text{Res}_\ell(\lambda) = (3, 2, 2)$ because there are three boxes with residue 0, two boxes with residue 1 and two boxes with residue 2. ■

We say that a box of a Young diagram is *removable* if it has no boxes on the right and on the bottom. In other words, a box b is removable for λ if $\lambda \setminus b$ is still a Young diagram. We say that a box b is *addable* for λ if b is not a box of λ and $\lambda \cup b$ is still a Young diagram. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$, we say that a box is i -addable or respectively i -removable if it is an addable or respectively removable box with ℓ -residue i .

For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}$, we write $\mu \leq \lambda$ if the Young diagram of μ can be obtained from the Young diagram of λ by removing a sequence of removable boxes.

2.B. ℓ -cores. — Assume $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Definition 2.2. — We say that the partition λ is an ℓ -core if there is no partition $\mu \leq \lambda$ such that the Young diagram of μ differs from the Young diagram of λ by ℓ boxes with ℓ different ℓ -residues.

See [2] for more details about the combinatorics of ℓ -cores. Let $\mathcal{C}_\ell \subset \mathcal{P}$ be the set of ℓ -cores. Set $\mathcal{C}_\ell[n] = \mathcal{P}[n] \cap \mathcal{C}_\ell$.

If a partition λ is not an ℓ -core, then we can get a smaller Young diagram from its Young diagram by removing ℓ boxes with different ℓ -residues. We can repeat this operation again and again until we get an ℓ -core. It is well-known, that the ℓ -core that we get is independent of the choice of the boxes that we remove. Then we get an application

$$\text{Core}_\ell: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\ell.$$

If $\mu = \text{Core}_\ell(\lambda)$, we will say that the partition μ is *the ℓ -core* of the partition λ .

Example 2.3. — The partition $(4, 2, 1)$ from the previous example is not a 3-core because it is possible to remove three bottom boxes. We get

0	1	2	0
---	---	---	---

But this is still not a 3-core because we can remove three more boxes and we get

0

This shows that the partition (1) is the 3-core of the partition $(4, 2, 1)$. ■

Let δ_ℓ denote the constant family $\delta_\ell = (1)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$.

Remark 2.4. — Assume that we have $\mu = \text{Core}_\ell(\lambda)$ and μ is obtained from λ by removing $r\ell$ boxes. Then we have $\text{Res}_\ell(\lambda) = \text{Res}_\ell(\mu) + r\delta_\ell$. In particular, if we have two partitions λ_1 and λ_2 with the same ℓ -cores and such that $|\lambda_1| = |\lambda_2|$, then they have the same ℓ -residues. More generally, if two partition λ_1 and λ_2 have the same ℓ -cores then we have $\text{Res}_\ell(\lambda_1) = \text{Res}_\ell(\lambda_2) + r\delta_\ell$, where $r = (|\lambda_1| - |\lambda_2|)/\ell$.

For $\nu \in \mathcal{C}_\ell$, set $\mathcal{P}_\nu = \{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}; \text{Core}_\ell(\lambda) = \nu\}$ and $\mathcal{P}_\nu[n] = \mathcal{P}_\nu \cap \mathcal{P}[n]$.

2.C. Action of the affine Weyl group. — Assume $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Let W_ℓ^{aff} denote the affine Weyl group of type $\tilde{A}_{\ell-1}$. For $\ell \geq 2$ it is the Coxeter group with associated Coxeter system $(W_\ell^{\text{aff}}, S_\ell^{\text{aff}})$, where $S_\ell^{\text{aff}} = \{s_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}\}$ and the Coxeter graph whose vertices are elements of $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ and we have an edge between i and $i+1$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$. We also extend this notion to the case $\ell = 1$ by setting $W_1^{\text{aff}} = 1$. We denote by l the length function $l: W_\ell^{\text{aff}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

The non-affine Weyl group W_ℓ (isomorphic to the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_ℓ) is a parabolic subgroup of W_ℓ^{aff} generated by $s_1, \dots, s_{\ell-1}$ (for $\ell = 1$ we mean that $W_1 = 1$).

Consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\ell = \mathfrak{sl}_\ell(\mathbb{C})$ and its affine version $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\ell = \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_\ell(\mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{sl}_\ell(\mathbb{C})[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbb{C}\partial$. Let $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the Cartan subalgebra formed by the diagonal matrices and set $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbb{C}\partial$.

The \mathbb{C} -vector space $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ has a basis $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\ell-1}, \Lambda_0)$, where $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\ell-1}$ are the simple roots of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\ell$ and Λ_0 is such that Λ_0 annihilates \mathfrak{h} and ∂ and $\Lambda_0(\mathbf{1}) = 1$. Denote by R_ℓ^{aff} and R_ℓ the affine and the non-affine root lattices respectively (i.e., R_ℓ^{aff} is the \mathbb{Z} -lattice generated by $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\ell-1}$ and R_ℓ is the sublattice generated by $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\ell-1}$.)

Following [10], we define two actions of W_ℓ^{aff} : a non-linear one on $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$, and a linear one on $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$. If $\ell = 1$, there is nothing to define so we may assume that $\ell \geq 2$. If $\mathbf{d} = (d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$ and if $j \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$, we set $s_j(\mathbf{d}) = (d'_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$, where

$$d'_i = \begin{cases} d_i & \text{if } i \neq j, \\ \delta_{j0} + d_{i+1} + d_{i-1} - d_i & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.5. — We can identify $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$ with the root lattice R_ℓ^{aff} by $\mathbf{d} \mapsto \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}} d_i \alpha_i$. Under this identification the element $\delta_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$ corresponds to the imaginary root of R_ℓ^{aff} that we also denote by δ_ℓ .

Beware, the action considered here is not the usual action of W_ℓ^{aff} on the root lattice. When we have $w(\mathbf{d}) = \mathbf{d}'$ with respect to the action define above, this corresponds to $w(\Lambda_0 - \mathbf{d}) = \Lambda_0 - \mathbf{d}'$ for the usual action of W_ℓ^{aff} on $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}^*$. ■

If $\theta = (\theta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$, we set $s_j(\theta) = (\theta'_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$, where

$$\theta'_i = \begin{cases} \theta_i & \text{if } i \notin \{j-1, j, j+1\}, \\ \theta_j + \theta_i & \text{if } i \in \{j-1, j+1\}, \\ -\theta_i & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

It is readily seen that these definitions on generators extend to an action of the whole group W_ℓ^{aff} . We also define a pairing $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $(\mathbf{d}, \theta) \mapsto \mathbf{d} \cdot \theta$, where

$$\mathbf{d} \cdot \theta = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}} d_i \theta_i.$$

Then

$$s_j(\mathbf{d}) \cdot s_j(\theta) = (\mathbf{d} \cdot \theta) - \delta_{j0} \theta_0.$$

Remark 2.6. — [2, Sec. 3] defined an W_ℓ^{aff} -action on \mathcal{C}_ℓ . Let us recall this construction. Fix $i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{C}_\ell$.

- (1) Assume that ν has neither i -removable boxes nor i -addable boxes, then we have $s_i(\nu) = \nu$.
- (2) Assume that ν has no i -removable boxes and has at least one i -addable box. Then $s_i(\nu)$ is obtained from ν by addition of all i -addable boxes.
- (3) Assume that ν has no i -addable boxes and has at least one i -removable box. Then $s_i(\nu)$ is obtained from ν by removing of all i -removable boxes.
- (4) The situation when the ℓ -core ν has an i -addable box and an i -removable box at the same time is impossible.

By construction, the map $\text{Res}_\ell: \mathcal{C}_\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$ is W_ℓ^{aff} -invariant. Moreover, the ℓ -residue of the empty partition is zero. The stabilizer of the empty partition in W_ℓ^{aff} is W_ℓ and the stabilizer of $0 \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$ in W_ℓ^{aff} is also W_ℓ . This implies that we have W_ℓ^{aff} -invariant bijections

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} W_\ell^{\text{aff}}/W_\ell & \simeq & \mathcal{C}_\ell & \simeq & W_\ell^{\text{aff}} \cdot 0 \subset \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}} \\ wW_\ell & \mapsto & w(\emptyset) & \mapsto & w(0) \end{array}$$

Since Res_ℓ is a W_ℓ^{aff} -invariant map and $\text{Res}_\ell(\emptyset) = 0$, then the bijection $\mathcal{C}_\ell \simeq W_\ell^{\text{aff}} \cdot 0$ is given by the map Res_ℓ . In particular, we see that an element $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$ is a residue of an ℓ -core if and only if it is in the W_ℓ^{aff} -orbit of 0.

Moreover, since we have $w(\mathbf{d} + n\delta_\ell) = w(\mathbf{d}) + n\delta_\ell$ and since each W_ℓ^{aff} -orbit in $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$ contains exactly one element of the form $n\delta_\ell$ (see [4, Lem. 2.8]), each element $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$ has a unique presentation in the form

$$(2.6) \quad \mathbf{d} = \text{Res}_\ell(\nu) + n\delta_\ell, \quad \nu \in \mathcal{C}_\ell, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The following lemma is a reformulation of [2, Remark 3.2.3].

Lemma 2.7. — Fix $\nu \in \mathcal{C}_\ell$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$. Let w be the unique element of W_ℓ^{aff} such that $w(\emptyset) = \nu$ and such that w is the shortest element in the coset $wW_\ell \in W_\ell^{\text{aff}}/W_\ell$. The the situations (1), (2), (3) in Remark 2.6 are equivalent to the following situations (1), (2), (3) respectively:

- (1) $s_i w \in wW_\ell$ and $l(s_i w) > l(w)$,
- (2) $s_i w \notin wW_\ell$ and $l(s_i w) > l(w)$,
- (3) $s_i w \notin wW_\ell$ and $l(s_i w) < l(w)$.

For $\mathbf{d} = (d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$ we set $|\mathbf{d}| = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}} d_i$.

2.D. Another presentation of the affine Weyl group. — Recall that the affine Weyl group has another presentation. We have $W_\ell^{\text{aff}} = W_\ell \ltimes R_\ell$. For each $\alpha \in R_\ell$, denote by t_α the image of α in W_ℓ^{aff} . Each element of W_ℓ^{aff} can be written in a unique way in the form $w \cdot t_\alpha$, where $w \in W_\ell$ and $\alpha \in R_\ell$. We can also extend the notation t_α to $\alpha \in R_\ell^{\text{aff}}$ by setting $t_\alpha := t_{\pi(\alpha)}$ for each $\alpha \in R_\ell^{\text{aff}}$, where π is the following map

$$\pi: R_\ell^{\text{aff}} \rightarrow R_\ell^{\text{aff}} / \mathbb{Z}\delta_\ell \simeq R_\ell.$$

In the following lemma we identify $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$ with R_ℓ^{aff} .

Lemma 2.8. — Assume $\alpha \in R_\ell$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$. Then we have $t_\alpha(d) \equiv d - \alpha \pmod{\mathbb{Z}\delta_\ell}$.

Proof. — This statement is a partial case of [9, (6.5.2)] (see also Remark 2.5). □

Consider the \mathbb{Z} -linear map

$$R_\ell^{\text{aff}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}, \quad \mathbf{d} \mapsto \bar{\mathbf{d}},$$

given by

$$(\bar{\alpha}_r)_i = 2\delta_{i,r} - \delta_{i,r+1} - \delta_{i,r-1}.$$

The kernel of this map is $\mathbb{Z}\delta_\ell$. Set

$$\Sigma(\theta) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}} \theta_i.$$

Lemma 2.9. — For each $\alpha \in R_\ell$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$, we have $t_\alpha(\theta) = \theta + \Sigma(\theta)\bar{\alpha}$.

Proof. — The W_ℓ^{aff} -action on $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$ defined above coincides with the (usual) action of W_ℓ^{aff} on the dual of the span of $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\ell-1}$ in $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}^*$. The statement follows from [9, (6.5.2)]. □

2.E. J -cores. — Fix a subset $J \subset \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 2.10. — We say that a box of a Young tableau is J -removable if it is removable and its residue is in J . We say that a Young tableau is a J -core if it has no J -removable boxes. Denote by \mathcal{C}_J the set of all J -cores.

To each partition $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ we can associate a partition $\text{Core}_J(\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}_J$ obtained from it by removing J -removable boxes (probably in several steps). The result $\text{Core}_J(\lambda)$ does not depend on the order of operations.

Lemma 2.11. — For each $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_J$, we have $\text{Core}_\ell(\mu) \in \mathcal{C}_J$.

Proof. — This statement is quite obvious when we see the partition μ as an abacus, see for example [2, § 2] for then definition of an abacus.

However we can give another proof based on the representation theory of quivers and the results of §3. Fix some J -standard $\theta \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$. Then, since ν is a J -core, the representation A_μ constructed in §3.G is simple by Lemma 3.22. Then the dimension vector $\text{Res}_\ell(\mu)$ of this representation is in E_θ .

Now, let ν be the ℓ -core of μ . Assume that ν is obtained from μ by removing $r\ell$ boxes. Then we have $\text{Res}_\ell(\mu) = \text{Res}_\ell(\nu) + r\delta_\ell \in E_\theta$. Now, Lemma 3.30 implies that ν is a J -core. \square

3. Preliminaries on quiver varieties

By an algebraic variety, we mean a reduced scheme of finite type over \mathbb{C} .

3.A. Quiver varieties. — Assume $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \cup \{\infty\}$.

Let Q_ℓ denote the cyclic quiver with ℓ vertices, defined as follows:

- Vertices: $i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ (recall that we use the convention that for $\ell = \infty$ we have $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$).
- Arrows: $y_i : i \rightarrow i+1, i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$.

We denote by \overline{Q}_ℓ the double quiver of Q_ℓ that is, the quiver obtained from Q_ℓ by adding an arrow $x_i : i+1 \rightarrow i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$.

Now, let $\mathbf{d} = (d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$ be a family of elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. (For $\ell = \infty$ we always assume additionally that \mathbf{d} has a finite number of nonzero components.)

Let $\text{Rep}(\overline{Q}_\ell, \mathbf{d})$ be the variety of representations of \overline{Q}_ℓ in the family of vector spaces $(\mathbb{C}^{d_i})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$. More precisely, we have $\text{Rep}(\overline{Q}_\ell, \mathbf{d}) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{d_i}, \mathbb{C}^{d_{i+1}}) \oplus \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{d_{i+1}}, \mathbb{C}^{d_i})$. An element of $\text{Rep}(\overline{Q}_\ell, \mathbf{d})$ is a couple (X, Y) where

$$X = (X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}, \quad X_i \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{d_{i+1}}, \mathbb{C}^{d_i}) \quad Y = (Y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}, \quad Y_i \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{d_i}, \mathbb{C}^{d_{i+1}}).$$

We denote by $\mathbf{GL}(\mathbf{d})$ the direct product

$$\mathbf{GL}(\mathbf{d}) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{GL}_{d_i}(\mathbb{C}),$$

The group $\mathbf{GL}(\mathbf{d})$ acts on $\text{Rep}(\overline{Q}_\ell, \mathbf{d})$. The orbits are the isomorphism classes of representations of \overline{Q}_ℓ of dimension vector \mathbf{d} . We denote by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\mathbf{d}} : \quad \text{Rep}(\overline{Q}_\ell, \mathbf{d}) &\longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}} \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^{d_i}) \\ (X_i, Y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}} &\longmapsto (X_i Y_i - Y_{i-1} X_{i-1})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}} \end{aligned}$$

the corresponding *moment map*. Finally, if $\theta = (\theta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$ is a family of complex numbers, we denote by $I_\theta(\mathbf{d})$ the family $(\theta_i \text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{d_i}})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$. Finally, we set

$$\mathcal{Y}_\theta^0 = \mu_{\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(I_\theta(\mathbf{d})) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{X}_\theta^0(\mathbf{d}) = \mathcal{Y}_\theta^0(\mathbf{d}) // \mathbf{GL}(\mathbf{d}).$$

Note that the variety $\mathcal{X}_\theta^0(\mathbf{d})$ is not empty only in the case $\mathbf{d} \cdot \theta = 0$. Note that $\mathcal{Y}_\theta^0(\mathbf{d})$ is endowed with a \mathbb{C}^\times -action: if $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, we set

$$\xi \cdot (X, Y) = (\xi^{-1} X, \xi Y).$$

This action commutes with the action of $\mathbf{GL}(\mathbf{d})$ and the moment map is constant on \mathbb{C}^\times -orbits, so it induces a \mathbb{C}^\times -action on $\mathcal{X}_\theta^0(\mathbf{d})$.

Now, we give a framed version $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d})$ of the variety $\mathcal{X}_\theta^0(\mathbf{d})$. Let \widehat{Q}_ℓ be the quiver obtained from \overline{Q}_ℓ by adding a new vertex ∞ and arrows $0 \rightarrow \infty$ and $\infty \rightarrow 0$.

For each dimension vector \mathbf{d} for the quiver \overline{Q} we consider the dimension vector $\widehat{\mathbf{d}}$ such that $\widehat{\mathbf{d}}$ has dimension 1 at the vertex ∞ and the same dimension as \mathbf{d} for other vertices.

Let $\text{Rep}(\widehat{Q}_\ell, \widehat{\mathbf{d}})$ be the variety of representations of \widehat{Q}_ℓ with dimension vector $\widehat{\mathbf{d}}$. More precisely, we have

$$\text{Rep}(\widehat{Q}_\ell, \widehat{\mathbf{d}}) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{d_0}, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^{d_0}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{d_i}, \mathbb{C}^{d_{i+1}}) \oplus \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{d_{i+1}}, \mathbb{C}^{d_i}).$$

An element of $\text{Rep}(\widehat{Q}_\ell, \widehat{\mathbf{d}})$ is of the form (X, Y, x, y) where

$$\begin{aligned} X &= (X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}, & X_i &\in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{d_{i+1}}, \mathbb{C}^{d_i}) & Y &= (Y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}, & Y_i &\in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{d_i}, \mathbb{C}^{d_{i+1}}), \\ x &\in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^{d_0}), & y &\in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{d_0}, \mathbb{C}). \end{aligned}$$

The group $\mathbf{GL}(\mathbf{d})$ acts on $\text{Rep}(\widehat{Q}_\ell, \widehat{\mathbf{d}})$. The orbits are the isomorphism classes of representations of \widehat{Q}_ℓ of dimension vector $\widehat{\mathbf{d}}$. We denote by

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mu}_{\mathbf{d}}: \quad \text{Rep}(\widehat{Q}_\ell, \widehat{\mathbf{d}}) &\longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}} \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^{d_i}) \\ (X_i, Y_i, x, y)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}} &\longmapsto (X_i Y_i - Y_{i-1} X_{i-1} + \delta_{i,0} x y)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}} \end{aligned}$$

the corresponding *moment map*. Finally, we set

$$\mathcal{Y}_\theta(\mathbf{d}) = \widehat{\mu}_{\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(\mathbf{I}_\theta(\mathbf{d})) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{X}_\theta^0(\mathbf{d}) = \mathcal{Y}_\theta^0(\mathbf{d}) // \mathbf{GL}(\mathbf{d}).$$

Note that in the case $\mathbf{d} \cdot \theta = 0$ we have an obvious isomorphism $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d}) = \mathcal{X}_\theta^0(\mathbf{d})$. Note that $\mathcal{Y}_\theta(\mathbf{d})$ is endowed with a \mathbb{C}^\times -action: if $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, we set

$$\xi \cdot (X, Y, x, y) = (\xi^{-1} X, \xi Y, x, y).$$

This action commutes with the action of $\mathbf{GL}(\mathbf{d})$ and the moment map is constant on \mathbb{C}^\times -orbits, so it induces a \mathbb{C}^\times -action on $\mathcal{X}_\theta^0(\mathbf{d})$.

Remark 3.1. — We extend the definition of $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d})$ to the case where $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$ by the convention that $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d}) = \emptyset$ whenever at least one of the d_i 's is negative. ■

Let $\text{Rep}(\widehat{Q}_\ell)$ be the category of representations of the quiver \widehat{Q}_ℓ . We can see each element of $\text{Rep}(\widehat{Q}_\ell, \widehat{\mathbf{d}})$ as an object in $\text{Rep}(\widehat{Q}_\ell)$ with dimension vector $\widehat{\mathbf{d}}$. Now, assume $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Definition 3.2. — Consider the following map $\iota: \text{Rep}(\widehat{Q}_\infty) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\widehat{Q}_\ell)$.

For each finite dimensional representation (X, Y, x, y) of \widehat{Q}_∞ in the vector space $V = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} V_j$ we can associate a representation (X', Y', x', y') of \widehat{Q}_ℓ in the vector space $V' = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}} V'_i$ where

$$V'_i = \bigoplus_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{Z} \\ j \equiv i \pmod{\ell}}} V_j, \quad X'_i = \bigoplus_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{Z} \\ j \equiv i \pmod{\ell}}} X_j, \quad Y'_i = \bigoplus_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{Z} \\ j \equiv i \pmod{\ell}}} Y_j,$$

x' is the composition of x with the natural map $V_0 \rightarrow V'_0$, y' is the composition of y with the natural map $V'_0 \rightarrow V_0$.

3.B. Lusztig's isomorphism. — We use the W_ℓ^{aff} -actions on $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$ defined in Section 2.C.

It is proved in [10, Corollary 3.6] that

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{X}_{s_j(\theta)}(s_j(\mathbf{d})) \simeq \mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d}) \quad \text{if } \theta_j \neq 0.$$

Note that this isomorphism takes into account the convention of Remark 3.1.

The isomorphism above motivates to consider the following equivalence relation on the set $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$. Let \sim be the transitive closure of

$$(\mathbf{d}, \theta) \sim (s_i(\mathbf{d}), s_i(\theta)), \quad \theta_i \neq 0.$$

The isomorphism (3.3) implies that if $(\mathbf{d}, \theta) \sim (\mathbf{d}', \theta')$, then we have an isomorphism of algebraic varieties $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d}) \simeq \mathcal{X}_{\theta'}(\mathbf{d}')$.

Remark 3.4. — Let W_θ be the stabilizer of θ in W_ℓ^{aff} . Assume that θ is such that W_θ is a parabolic subgroup of W_ℓ^{aff} . Then we can describe the set of couples that are equivalent to (\mathbf{d}, θ) in the following way. They are of the form $(w(\mathbf{d}), w(\theta))$ where w is the element of W_ℓ^{aff} such that w is the shortest element in the class $wW_\theta \in W_\ell^{\text{aff}}/W_\theta$.

3.C. Calogero-Moser space. — We fix a \mathbb{C} -vector space V of finite dimension n and a finite subgroup W of $\mathbf{GL}_\mathbb{C}(V)$. We set

$$\text{Ref}(W) = \{s \in W \mid \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V^s = n - 1\}$$

and we assume that $W = \langle \text{Ref}(W) \rangle$.

We set $\varepsilon : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, $w \mapsto \det(w)$. If $s \in \text{Ref}(W)$, we denote by α_s^\vee and α_s two elements of V and V^* respectively such that $V^s = \text{Ker}(\alpha_s)$ and $V^{*s} = \text{Ker}(\alpha_s^\vee)$, where α_s^\vee is viewed as a linear form on V^* .

Let us fix a function $c : \text{Ref}(W) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which is invariant under conjugacy. We define the \mathbb{C} -algebra \mathbf{H}_c to be the quotient of the algebra $T(V \oplus V^*) \rtimes W$ (the semi-direct product of the tensor algebra $T(V \oplus V^*)$ with the group W) by the relations

$$\begin{cases} [x, x'] = [y, y'] = 0, \\ [x, y] = \sum_{s \in \text{Ref}(W)} (\varepsilon(s) - 1) c_s \frac{\langle y, \alpha_s \rangle \langle \alpha_s^\vee, x \rangle}{\langle \alpha_s^\vee, \alpha_s \rangle} s, \end{cases}$$

for all $x, x' \in V^*$, $y, y' \in V$. The algebra \mathbf{H}_c is called the *rational Cherednik algebra at $t = 0$* .

The first commutation relations imply that we have morphisms of algebras $\mathbb{C}[V] \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_c$ and $\mathbb{C}[V^*] \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_c$.

We denote by \mathbf{Z}_c the center of \mathbf{H}_c : it is well-known [7, Lemma 3.5] that \mathbf{Z}_c is an integral domain, which is integrally closed and contains $\mathbb{C}[V]^W$ and $\mathbb{C}[V^*]^W$ as subalgebras (so it contains $\mathbf{P} = \mathbb{C}[V]^W \otimes \mathbb{C}[V^*]^W$), and which is a free \mathbf{P} -module of rank $|W|$. We denote by \mathcal{Z}_c the algebraic variety whose ring of regular functions $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}_c]$ is \mathbf{Z}_c : this is the *Calogero-Moser space* associated with the datum (V, W, c) . If necessary, we will write $\mathcal{Z}_c(V, W)$ for \mathcal{Z}_c .

3.D. Quiver varieties vs Calogero-Moser spaces. — Assume that $n \geq 2$, that $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ and that $W = G(\ell, 1, n)$. Recall that $G(\ell, 1, n)$ is the group of monomial matrices with coefficients in μ_ℓ (the group of ℓ -th root of unity in \mathbb{C}^\times).

We fix a primitive ℓ -th root of unity ζ . We denote by s the permutation matrix corresponding to the transposition $(1, 2)$ and we set

$$t = \text{diag}(\zeta, 1, \dots, 1) \in W.$$

Then $s, t, t^2, \dots, t^{\ell-1}$ is a set of representatives of conjugacy classes of reflections of W . We set for simplification

$$a = c_s \quad \text{and} \quad k_j = \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \zeta^{-i(j-1)} c_{t^i}$$

for $j \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$(3.5) \quad k_0 + \dots + k_{\ell-1} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c_{t^i} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}} \zeta^{i(j-1)} k_j$$

for $1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$. Finally, if $i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$, we set

$$(3.6) \quad \theta_i = \begin{cases} k_{-i} - k_{1-i} & \text{if } i \neq 0, \\ -a + k_0 - k_1 & \text{if } i = 0. \end{cases}$$

and $\theta = (\theta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$.

The following result is proved in [8, Theorem 3.10]. (Note that our k_i is related with Gordon's H_i via $H_i = k_{-i} - k_{1-i}$.)

Proposition 3.7. — *There is a \mathbb{C}^\times -equivariant isomorphism of varieties*

$$\mathcal{X}_c \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{X}_\theta(n\delta_\ell).$$

In the isomorphism above, the parameter a of the variety \mathcal{X}_c corresponds to $-(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}} \theta_i)$ for $\mathcal{X}_\theta(n\delta_\ell)$. So, we will sometimes use the notation $a = -\Sigma(\theta) = -(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}} \theta_i)$ when we speak about an arbitrary quiver variety $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d})$. Note also that a is invariant under the transformation of the parameter $\theta \mapsto s_j(\theta)$. In this paper, we will often assume $a \neq 0$.

Remark 3.8. — All statements in §3.D make also sense for $n = 1$ with the following modifications. We have no transposition s , so we have no parameter a . On the other hand, for $n = 1$, the variety $\mathcal{X}_\theta(n\delta_\ell)$ does not depend on θ_0 . Proposition 3.7 is true for an arbitrary choice of a in (3.6).

We can also use the convention that for $n = 0$ the Calogero-Moser space is a point. Then Proposition 3.7 still holds.

Recall also from [7, §11] the following result, which follows from Proposition 3.7.

Lemma 3.9. — *If $n \geq 0$, then $\mathcal{X}_\theta(n\delta_\ell)$ is normal and of dimension $2n$.*

3.E. Simple representations in $\text{Rep}_\theta(\overline{Q}_\ell)$. — From now on we assume $a \neq 0$.

Denote by $\text{Rep}_\theta(\overline{Q}_\ell)$ the additive category of representations (X, Y) of \overline{Q}_ℓ satisfying the moment map relations $\mu_{\mathbf{d}}(X, Y) = I_\theta(\mathbf{d})$, where \mathbf{d} is the dimension vector of the representation (X, Y) . In this section we give an explicit description of the set Σ_θ of dimension vectors of simple representations in $\text{Rep}_\theta(\overline{Q}_\ell)$. This description is done in much more generality in [5]. In this section, we precise how this description looks like in our particular case: the cyclic quiver and $a \neq 0$.

By [5, Theorem 5.8] there are two types of indecomposable representations in $\text{Rep}_\theta(\overline{Q}_\ell)$:

- representations whose dimension vectors are positive roots,
- representations whose dimension vectors are of the form $r\delta_\ell$ for $r > 0$.

Since we assume $a \neq 0$, the second situation is not possible. Now, let us give a precise description of the dimension vectors of simple representations.

Let $R^+ \subset \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$ be the set of positive real roots. Set $R_\theta^+ = \{\mathbf{d} \in R^+; \mathbf{d} \cdot \theta = 0\}$. The following proposition is the special case of [5, Theorem 1.2].

Proposition 3.10. — *The dimension vectors of simple representations in $\text{Rep}_\theta(\overline{Q}_\ell)$ are exactly the elements of R_θ^+ that are not presented as sums of (two or more) elements of R_θ^+ .*

Corollary 3.11. — *For each dimension vector $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$, there exists at most one (up to isomorphism) semisimple representation in $\text{Rep}_\theta(\overline{Q}_\ell)$ with dimension vector \mathbf{d} .*

Proof. — The statement is equivalent to the fact that the variety $\mathcal{X}_\theta^0(\mathbf{d})$ contains at most one point.

First, assume $\mathbf{d} \in \Sigma_\theta$. Then, since $a \neq 0$, \mathbf{d} is a positive root. This implies that there is exactly one (up to isomorphism) simple representation in $\text{Rep}_\theta(\overline{Q}_\ell)$ with dimension vector \mathbf{d} (see the introduction in [5]).

Now, consider an arbitrary $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$. Then there is a finite number of possibilities to decompose \mathbf{d} in a sum of elements of Σ_θ . This implies that $\mathcal{X}_\theta^0(\mathbf{d})$ has a finite number of points. The variety $\mathcal{X}_\theta^0(\mathbf{d})$ is irreducible if it is non-empty by [6, Cor. 1.4]. So, the variety $\mathcal{X}_\theta^0(\mathbf{d})$ contains at most one point. □

Corollary 3.12. — *The elements of Σ_θ are \mathbb{Z} -linearly independent.*

Proof. — Since $\mathcal{X}_\theta^0(\mathbf{d})$ contains at most one point, there is at most one way (up to permutation) to decompose \mathbf{d} in a sum of elements of Σ_θ . □

Denote by $\Sigma\Sigma_\theta$ the set of sums of element of Σ_θ (we also allow an empty sum, so we assume $0 \in \Sigma\Sigma_\theta$). In other words, the set $\Sigma\Sigma_\theta$ is the set of all dimension vectors \mathbf{d} such that there exists a representation in $\text{Rep}_\theta(\overline{Q}_\ell)$ of dimension vector \mathbf{d} . For each $\mathbf{d} \in \Sigma\Sigma_\theta$, denote by $L(\mathbf{d})$ the unique semisimple representataion in $\text{Rep}_\theta(\overline{Q}_\ell)$.

3.F. Symplectic leaves. — Denote by $\text{Rep}_\theta(\widehat{Q}_\ell)$ the category of representations (X, Y, x, y) of \widehat{Q} whose dimension vector is of the form $\widehat{\mathbf{d}}$ for some $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$ and satisfying the moment map relations $\widehat{\mu}_{\widehat{\mathbf{d}}}(X, Y) = I_\theta(\mathbf{d})$. This category is not additive because we have imposed that the representations have dimension 1 at the vertex ∞ . However, it does make sense to add an object of $\text{Rep}_\theta(\widehat{Q}_\ell)$ and an object of $\text{Rep}_\theta(\overline{Q}_\ell)$ getting an object of $\text{Rep}_\theta(\widehat{Q}_\ell)$.

An object M of $\text{Rep}_\theta(\widehat{Q}_\ell)$ is indecomposable as a representation of the quiver \widehat{Q}_ℓ if and only if the only possible decomposition $M = M_0 \oplus M_1$ with $M_0 \in \text{Rep}_\theta(\widehat{Q}_\ell)$ and $M_1 \in \text{Rep}_\theta(\overline{Q}_\ell)$ is $M = M \oplus 0$.

Denote by E_θ the set of all possible dimension vectors $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$ such that there exists a simple representation in $\text{Rep}_\theta(\widehat{Q}_\ell)$ with dimension vector $\widehat{\mathbf{d}}$. Sometimes we can write $E_{\theta, \ell}$ instead of E_θ to emphasize ℓ .

Remark 3.13. — Assume $\mathbf{d} \in E_\theta$. Then, by Lemma [5, Lemma 7.2], the couple (\mathbf{d}, θ) is equivalent to a couple of the form $(n\delta_\ell, \theta')$ with $n \geq 0$. In particular, by Proposition 3.7, the variety $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d})$ is isomorphic to the Calogero-Moser space.

Each object $M \in \text{Rep}_\theta(\overline{Q}_\ell)$ has a unique decomposition $M = M_0 \oplus M_1$ such that $M_0 \in \text{Rep}_\theta(\widehat{Q}_\ell)$, $M_1 \in \text{Rep}_\theta(\overline{Q}_\ell)$ and M_0 is indecomposable. Set $\dim^{\text{reg}} M = \dim M_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$.

Take a point $[M] \in \mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d})$ presented by a semisimple representation $M \in \text{Rep}_\theta(\widehat{Q}_\ell)$.

Lemma 3.14. — *Two points of $[M], [M'] \in \mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d})$ are in the same symplectic leaf if and only if we have $\dim^{\text{reg}}(M) = \dim^{\text{reg}}(M')$.*

Proof. — Let us decompose M in a direct sum of simple representations $M = \bigoplus_{r=0}^k M_r$, where $M_0 \in \text{Rep}_\theta(\widehat{Q}_\ell)$ and other summands are in $\text{Rep}_\theta(\overline{Q}_\ell)$.

Once we know the dimension vector \mathbf{d}' of M_0 , we know automatically k and the dimension vectors of M_1, M_2, \dots, M_k (up to a permutation) because by Corollary 3.11, there is a unique semisimple representation in $\text{Rep}_\theta(\overline{Q}_\ell)$ of dimension vector $\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{d}'$. Then the statement follows from the description of symplectic leaves given in [1, Theorem 1.9]. \square

For two dimension vectors \mathbf{d} and \mathbf{d}' we set $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{d}'}^{\mathbf{d}} = \{[M] \in \mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d}); \dim^{\text{reg}}(M) = \mathbf{d}'\}$. By Lemma 3.14 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{d}'}^{\mathbf{d}}$ is either a symplectic leaf of $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d})$ or is empty.

Lemma 3.15. — *The symplectic leaves $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{d}'}^{\mathbf{d}} \subset \mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d})$ define a finite stratification of $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d})$ into locally closed subsets. For two symplectic leaves $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{d}'}^{\mathbf{d}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{d}''}^{\mathbf{d}}$ of $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d})$ we have $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{d}'}^{\mathbf{d}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{d}''}^{\mathbf{d}}}$ if and only if $\mathbf{d}'' - \mathbf{d}' \in \Sigma\Sigma_\theta$.*

Proof. — This statement is a special case of [1, Prop. 3.6].

Let us give some details. Let $M', M'' \in \text{Rep}_\theta(\widehat{Q}_\ell)$ be simple representations with dimension vectors $\widehat{\mathbf{d}}'$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{d}}''$ respectively. Then we have $[L(\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{d}') \oplus M'] \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{d}'}^{\mathbf{d}}$ and $[L(\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{d}'') \oplus M''] \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{d}''}^{\mathbf{d}}$.

Assume that we have $\mathbf{d}'' - \mathbf{d}' \in \Sigma\Sigma_\theta$. Then we have $L(\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{d}') \simeq L(\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{d}'') \oplus L(\mathbf{d}'' - \mathbf{d}')$. Then the stabilizer of the representation $L(\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{d}'') \oplus M''$ in $\mathbf{GL}(\mathbf{d})$ is clearly contained in the stabilizer of the representation $L(\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{d}'') \oplus L(\mathbf{d}'' - \mathbf{d}') \oplus M'$ in $\mathbf{GL}(\mathbf{d})$. Then by [1, Prop. 3.6], we have $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{d}'}^{\mathbf{d}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{d}''}^{\mathbf{d}}}$.

Inversly, assume $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{d}'}^{\mathbf{d}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{d}''}^{\mathbf{d}}}$. Then, by [1, Prop. 3.6] there exists a semisimple representation $K \in \text{Rep}_\theta(\widehat{Q}_\ell)$ such that $[K] \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{d}'}^{\mathbf{d}}$ and the stabilizer of K in $\mathbf{GL}(\mathbf{d})$ contains the stabilizer of $L(\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{d}'') \oplus M''$ in $\mathbf{GL}(\mathbf{d})$. Let g be the element of the stabilizer of $L(\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{d}'') \oplus M''$ that acts on M'' by multiplication by 1 and on $L(\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{d}'')$ by multiplication by 2. Let K_1 and K_2 be the eigenspaces of K with respect to the eigenvalues 1 and 2. Then, since g is in the stabilizer of K , we get a decomposition $K = K_1 \oplus K_2$ in a direct sum of subrepresentations. Moreover, we have $\dim K_1 = \dim M'' = \widehat{\mathbf{d}}''$. The representation K_1 can be decomposed as $K_1 = K_{10} \oplus K_{11}$, where $K_{10} \in \text{Rep}_\theta(\widehat{Q}_\ell)$ is simple and $K_{11} \in \text{Rep}_\theta(\overline{Q}_\ell)$. We clearly have $\dim K_{10} = \widehat{\mathbf{d}}'$. Then we get $\dim K_{11} = \widehat{\mathbf{d}}'' - \widehat{\mathbf{d}}' = \mathbf{d}'' - \mathbf{d}'$. This implies $\mathbf{d}'' - \mathbf{d}' \in \Sigma\Sigma_\theta$. \square

Proposition 3.16. —

a) For each dimension vector \mathbf{d} such that $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d}) \neq \emptyset$, there is a decomposition $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{d}_0 + \mathbf{d}_1$ such that $\mathbf{d}_0 \in E_\theta$ and $\mathbf{d}_1 \in \Sigma\Sigma_\theta$ such that for any other decomposition $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{d}'_0 + \mathbf{d}'_1$ with $\mathbf{d}'_0 \in E_\theta$ and $\mathbf{d}'_1 \in \Sigma\Sigma_\theta$ we have $\mathbf{d}_0 - \mathbf{d}'_0 \in \Sigma\Sigma_\theta$.

b) $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}_0}$ is the unique open symplectic leaf in $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d})$.

c) We have an isomorphism of varieties

$$\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d}_0) \simeq \mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d}), \quad [M] \mapsto [M \oplus L(\mathbf{d}_1)].$$

Proof. — By [11, Cor. 1.45], the smooth locus of $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d})$ is a symplectic leaf. Then it should be of the form $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}_0}^{\mathbf{d}}$ for some \mathbf{d}_0 . Since $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d})$ is irreducible by [6, Cor. 1.4], we have $\overline{\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}_0}^{\mathbf{d}}} = \mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d})$. Then, by Lemma 3.15 for any other symplectic leaf $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}'_0}^{\mathbf{d}}$ we have $\mathbf{d}_0 - \mathbf{d}'_0 \in \Sigma\Sigma_\theta$. This proves a) and b).

Part c) follows from [6, Theorem 1.1]. \square

Now, we set $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d})^{\text{reg}} = \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}_0}^{\mathbf{d}}$. Assume that \mathbf{d} and \mathbf{d}' are such that $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}'}^{\mathbf{d}}$ is non-empty.

Lemma 3.17. — *The normalization of the closure of $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}'}^{\mathbf{d}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d}')$. The normalization map is bijective.*

Proof. — Consider the following homomorphism of algebraic varieties:

$$\phi: \mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d}') \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}'}^{\mathbf{d}}}, \quad [M] \mapsto [M \oplus L(\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{d}')].$$

Let us show that ϕ is bijective.

Fix a point $[N] \in \overline{\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}'}^{\mathbf{d}}}$ presented by a semisimple representation N . We can decompose N as $N = M \oplus L(\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{d}')$ for some semisimple $M \in \text{Rep}_\theta(\widehat{Q}_\ell)$. Then it is clear that the fibre $\phi^{-1}([N])$ contains a unique point: $[M]$.

Moreover, the map ϕ restricts to an isomorphism $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d}')^{\text{reg}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}'}^{\mathbf{d}}$, so ϕ is birational. Now, since $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d}')$ is normal, the map ϕ is a normalization. \square

Corollary 3.18. — *The normalization of the closure of each symplectic leaf $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}'}^{\mathbf{d}}$ of the variety $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d})$ is isomorphic to a variety of the form $\mathcal{X}_{\theta'}(r\delta_\ell)$ for some $r \geq 0$ and some $\theta' \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$.*

Proof. — First of all, note that we have $\mathbf{d}' \in E_\theta$. By Remark 3.13, the pair (\mathbf{d}', θ) is equivalent to some pair of the form $(r\delta_\ell, \theta')$ where $r \geq 0$ and $\theta' \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$. Then the isomorphism (3.3) yields $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d}') \simeq \mathcal{X}_{\theta'}(r\delta_\ell)$. \square

Combining the corollary above with Proposition 3.7 yields the following theorem.

Theorem 3.19. — *The normalization of the closure of each symplectic leaf of the Calogero-Moser space of type $G(\ell, 1, n)$ with $a \neq 0$ is isomorphic to a Calogero-Moser space of type $G(\ell, 1, r)$ for some $r \in [0; n]$.*

Remark 3.20. — Let us give an explicit relation between the parameters of the two Calogero-Moser spaces in the theorem above.

The original Calogero-Moser space is isomorphic to the quiver variety of the form $\mathcal{X}_\theta(n\delta_\ell)$. Now, we consider the symplectic leaf $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}'}^{n\delta_\ell}$, the normalization of its closure is isomorphic to $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d}')$. Then, by Remark 3.13, we can find $w \in W_\ell^{\text{aff}}$ that realizes an equivalence between (\mathbf{d}', θ) and $(w(\mathbf{d}'), w(\theta))$ and such that $w(\mathbf{d}')$ is of the form $r\delta_\ell$. Set

$\theta' = w(\theta)$. We have an isomorphism $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d}') \simeq \mathcal{X}_{\theta'}(r\delta_\ell)$. Since we have $w(\mathbf{d}') = r\delta_\ell$, then, by Lemma 2.8, the element w should be of the form $w = x t_{\mathbf{d}'}$, where $x \in W_\ell$.

Then, by Lemma 2.9, we get $\theta' = x t_{\mathbf{d}'}(\theta) = x(\theta - a\bar{\mathbf{d}}')$. Moreover, the action the element $x \in W_\ell$ on $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$ corresponds to some permutation of the parameters $k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{\ell-1}$ (see [4, Rem. 3.5]) and a permutation of the parameters does not change the Calogero-Moser space up to isomorphism, see [4, Cor. 3.6].

Now we see that the parameters $a, k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{\ell-1}$ (corresponding to θ) of the original the Calogero-Moser space $\mathcal{X}_\theta(n\delta_\ell)$ are related with the parameters $a', k'_0, k'_1, \dots, k'_{\ell-1}$ (corresponding to θ') of the new Calogero-Moser space $\mathcal{X}_{\theta'}(r\delta_\ell)$ are related in the following way (up to a permutation of the parameters k'_i):

$$a' = a, \quad k'_i = k_i + (d'_{1-i} - d'_{-i}).$$

In the case when n or r is equal to 1, we can forget the parameter a or a' respectively. In the case $r = 0$, the variety $\mathcal{X}_{\theta'}(r\delta)$ is just a point.

3.G. \mathbb{C}^\times -fixed points. — For each $J \subset \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ we denote by W_J the parabolic subgroup of W_ℓ^{aff} generated by s_i for $i \in J$. Let us say that θ is J -standard if the stabilizer W_θ of θ in W_ℓ^{aff} is equal to W_J . We say that $\theta \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$ is standard if it is J -standard for some $J \subset \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$. For a standard θ , the set J is the set of indices $i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\theta_i = 0$.

Now, let us describe the \mathbb{C}^\times -fixed points of $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d})$. First of all, each couple (\mathbf{d}, θ) is equivalent to a couple whose θ is standard.

The following lemma is obvious.

Lemma 3.21. — *Assume that θ is J -standard. Then we have $\Sigma_\theta = \{\alpha_i; i \in J\}$.*

Let us now assume that θ is J -standard. For each partition μ , we construct a \mathbb{C}^\times -fixed point in $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\text{Res}_\ell(\mu))$. This construction is essentially the same as [12, Section 5], however [12] assumes that the variety $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\text{Res}_\ell(\mu))$ is smooth and we don't need this assumption.

Each partition μ can be described by some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $a_1, \dots, a_k, b_1, \dots, b_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ where k is maximal such that the Young diagram of μ contains a box in position (k, k) and for each $r \in [1; k]$ there are a_r boxes on the right of (r, r) and b_r boxes below (r, r) . In other words, we see the Young diagram of the partition μ as a union of k hooks. The box at position (i, j) is in the r th hook if $\min(i, j) = r$. The numbers a_r and b_r are the lengths of the arm and of the leg of r th hook respectively.

For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we use the convention that θ_i means $\theta_{(i \bmod \ell)}$. Set $\beta_r = \sum_{i=-b_r}^{a_r} \theta_i$.

Let V be a complex vector space with basis $\{v_{r,j}; r \in [1; k]; j \in [-b_r, a_r]\}$. It has a \mathbb{Z} -grading $V = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} V_j$ such that $v_{r,j} \in V_j$. Consider two endomorphisms X and Y of this vector space given by

$$X(v_{r,j}) = \begin{cases} v_{r,j-1} & \text{if } j > -b_r, \\ 0 & \text{if } j = -b_r, \end{cases}$$

and

$$Y(v_{r,j}) = \begin{cases} (\sum_{i=-b_r}^j \theta_i) v_{r,j+1} + \sum_{t>r} \beta_t v_{t,j+1} & \text{if } j \in [-b_r, -1] \\ -(\sum_{i=j+1}^{a_r} \theta_i) v_{r,j+1} - \sum_{t<r} \beta_t v_{t,j-1} & \text{if } j \in [0; a_r - 1], \\ -\sum_{t<r} \beta_t v_{t,j-1} & \text{if } j = a_r, \end{cases}$$

Consider also the linear maps $x: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow V_0$ and $y: V_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$x(1) = -\sum_{r=1}^k \beta_r v_{r,0} \quad \text{and} \quad y(v_{r,0}) = 1.$$

Then (X, Y, x, y) yields a representation A_μ^∞ of the quiver \widehat{Q}_∞ . Applying the map ι as in Definition 3.2, we get a representation A_μ of the quiver \widehat{Q}_ℓ . It satisfies the moment map relation $\widehat{\mu}_d(A_\mu) = I_\theta(\mathbf{d})$.

Lemma 3.22. — *Assume that θ is J -standard.*

(a) *If μ is a J -core, then A_μ is simple.*

(b) *Assume that b is a removable box of μ with ℓ -residue $i \in J$. Then we either have a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow L(\alpha_i) \rightarrow A_\mu \rightarrow A_{\mu \setminus b} \rightarrow 0$$

or we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A_{\mu \setminus b} \rightarrow A_\mu \rightarrow L(\alpha_i) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. — First, we prove *b*). Assume that b is the box as in the statement. Assume that it is in the r th hook. Let j be the ∞ -residue of b .

Assume first $j < 0$. We have $X(v_{r,j}) = Y(v_{r,j}) = 0$. Then the vector $v_{r,j}$ spans a subrepresentation isomorphic to $L(\alpha_i)$. We get a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L(\alpha_j) \rightarrow A_\mu \rightarrow A_{\mu \setminus b} \rightarrow 0.$$

Now, assume $j \geq 0$. Then we see that $A_{\mu \setminus b}$ is a subrepresentation of A_μ . It is spanned by all basis vectors except $v_{r,j}$. Then we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A_{\mu \setminus b} \rightarrow A_\mu \rightarrow L(\alpha_j) \rightarrow 0.$$

Now, let us prove *a*). First of all, we note that the assumption that θ is J -standard implies that if for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a \leq b$ we have $\theta_a + \theta_{a+1} + \dots + \theta_{b-1} + \theta_b = 0$, then we have $\theta_a = \theta_{a+1} = \dots = \theta_{b-1} = \theta_b = 0$. If μ is a J -core, then the numbers $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k$ are nonzero. Indeed, if some β_r is zero, then β_k is also zero. Then the ℓ -residues of all boxes of the k th hook are in J . In particular, the k th hook contains a removable box whose residue is in J . This contradicts to the fact that μ is a J -core.

In view of Lemma 3.21, if the representation A_μ is not simple, then it must either contain a subrepresentation of the form $L(\alpha_i)$, or it must have a quotient of the form $L(\alpha_i)$. Let us show that both situations are impossible when μ is a J -core.

Assume that A_μ has a subrepresentation isomorphic to $L(\alpha_i)$. Let v be a vector that spans this subrepresentation. We can write $v = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, j \equiv i \pmod{\ell}} v_j$, where $v_j \in V_j$. Take j in

this decomposition such that $v_j \neq 0$. Then the vector v_j also spans a subrepresentation of A_μ isomorphic to $L(\alpha_i)$.

Let t be the number of boxes of μ with the ∞ -residue j . Write $v_j = \sum_{r=1}^t \lambda_r v_{r,j}$. Then $X(v) = 0$ is only possible when $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_{t-1} = 0$, so the vector $v_{t,j}$ spans $L(\alpha_i)$.

Assume $j < 0$. Since the box b corresponding to the vector $v_{t,j}$ cannot be removable, the diagram of μ either contains the box below b or the box on the right of b . In the first case we must have $X(v_{t,j}) \neq 0$ and in the second case we must have $Y(v_{t,j}) \neq 0$. This is a contradiction.

Assume $j > 0$. Then $X(v_{t,j}) \neq 0$. This is a contradiction.

Assume $j = 0$. Then, since $\beta_1 \neq 0$, $Y(v_{t,0}) \neq 0$ is only possible for $t = 1$. However, this implies that μ contains only one hook (i.e., we have $k = 1$). Since the box b corresponding to the vector $v_{1,0}$ cannot be removable, the diagram of μ either contains the box below b or the box on the right of b . The first case is not possible because it implies $X(v_{1,0}) \neq 0$. In the second case we must have $\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \dots + \theta_{a_1} = 0$. However, this implies $\theta_{a_1} = 0$ and then the unique box with ∞ -residue a_1 is removable. This is a contradiction.

Now, assume that A_μ has a quotient isomorphic to $L(\alpha_i)$. Then the dual representation A_μ^* contains a submodule isomorphic to $L(\alpha_i)$. An argument as above show that this is impossible if A_μ is a J -core. \square

Denote by A'_μ the semisimplification of A_μ , i.e., A'_μ is the direct sum of the Jordan-Hölder subquotients of A_μ .

Corollary 3.23. — Assume $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$ and set $\lambda = \text{Core}_J(\mu)$. Then the representation A'_μ has the following decomposition in a direct sum of simple representations

$$A'_\mu = A_\lambda \oplus \bigoplus_j L(\alpha_j),$$

where the sum is taken by the multiset of ℓ -residues of $\mu \setminus \lambda$.

Definition 3.24. — We say that the representation (X, Y, x, y) of \widehat{Q}_ℓ is \mathbb{Z} -gradable if it is isomorphic to the image by ι (see Definition 3.2) of some representation L of \widehat{Q}_∞ . In this case we say that L is a graded lift of (X, Y, x, y) .

A \mathbb{Z} -gradable representation yields a \mathbb{C}^\times -fixed point in $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d})$.

Lemma 3.25. — Assume that (X, Y, x, y) is simple and \mathbb{Z} -gradable. Then its \mathbb{Z} -grading is unique.

Proof. — Since we assume $a \neq 0$, the vector $v = x(1)$ must be nonzero (here 1 is a vector spanning the ∞ -component of the representation, which is isomorphic to \mathbb{C}). Then v should be in \mathbb{Z} -degree 0. Since the representation is simple, the vectors of the form $X^{a_1} Y^{b_1} \dots X^{a_k} Y^{b_k}(v)$ and the vector 1 span the representation. But then vector $X^{a_1} Y^{b_1} \dots X^{a_k} Y^{b_k}(v)$ must be in \mathbb{Z} -degree $b_1 - a_1 + \dots + b_k - a_k$. This shows that the \mathbb{Z} -grading is unique. \square

Example 3.26. — If μ is a J -core, then the representation A_μ is simple. It is \mathbb{Z} -gradable by construction. Its graded lift A_μ^∞ is unique. The \mathbb{Z} -graded dimension of the graded lift A_μ^∞ is $\text{Res}_\infty(\mu)$.

Corollary 3.27. — For $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\nu[n\ell + |\nu|]$, the representations A'_{μ_1} and A'_{μ_2} are isomorphic if and only if μ_1 and μ_2 have the same J -cores.

Proof. — Let λ_1 and λ_2 be the J -cores of μ_1 and μ_2 respectively.

Assume that A'_{μ_1} and A'_{μ_2} are isomorphic. We see from Corollary 3.23 that the representations A_{λ_1} and A_{λ_2} are also isomorphic. Now, Example 3.26 implies $\text{Res}_\infty(\lambda_1) = \text{Res}_\infty(\lambda_2)$, this yields $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$.

Now, assume that we have $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$. Since we have $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\nu[n\ell + |\nu|]$, the partitions μ_1 and μ_2 have the same residues equal to $\text{Res}_\ell(\nu) + n\delta_\ell$. Then $\mu_1 \setminus \lambda_1$ and $\mu_2 \setminus \lambda_2$ have the same residues. Then Corollary 3.23 implies that A'_{μ_1} and A'_{μ_2} are isomorphic. \square

Remark 3.28. — For each partition μ , we have a \mathbb{C}^\times -fixed point $[A'_\mu] \in \mathcal{X}_\theta(\text{Res}_\ell(\mu))$ presented by the representation A'_μ .

Set $\mathbf{d} = \text{Res}_\ell(\nu) + n\delta_\ell$. Assume $\mathbf{d} \in E_\theta$, see Remark 3.13. By [8, Prop. 8.3 (i)], the \mathbb{C}^\times -fixed points in $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d})$ are parameterized by J -cores of elements of $\mathcal{P}_\nu[n\ell + |\nu|]$. On the other hand, we have already constructed the same number of \mathbb{C}^\times -fixed points $[A'_\mu]$ for $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_\nu[n\ell + |\nu|]$, see Corollary 3.27.

This implies that each \mathbb{C}^\times -fixed point in $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d})$ is of the form $[A'_\mu]$.

3.H. Parameterization of symplectic leaves. —

Lemma 3.29. — *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) *The pair (\mathbf{d}, θ) is equivalent to a pair of the form $(n\delta_\ell, \theta')$ with $n \geq 0$.*
- (b) *We have $\mathbf{d} \in E_\theta$.*

Proof. — *b) implies a) by Remark 3.13.*

Now, let us prove that *a) implies b)*. Assume that (\mathbf{d}, θ) satisfies *a)*. Since, the isomorphism (3.3) sends simple representations to simple representations by construction, it is enough to assume $\mathbf{d} = n\delta_\ell$. Let \mathbf{d}_0 be associated to $\mathbf{d} = n\delta_\ell$ and θ as in Proposition 3.16. Then *b)* is equivalent to $\mathbf{d}_0 = \mathbf{d}$.

Assume that we have $\mathbf{d}_0 \neq \mathbf{d}$. Since, the couple (\mathbf{d}_0, θ) satisfies *b)*, it also satisfies *a)*. So, it must be equivalent to some couple of the form $(n'\delta_\ell, \theta')$. Since we have $\mathbf{d}_0 - n'\delta_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$ and $0 \neq n\delta_\ell - \mathbf{d}_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{> 0}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$, we get $n > n'$.

Now, we get $\mathcal{X}_\theta(n\delta_\ell) \simeq \mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d}_0)$ by Proposition 3.16 *c)* and we have $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d}_0) \simeq \mathcal{X}_{\theta'}(n'\delta_\ell)$ by (3.3). This is impossible because by Lemma 3.9 we have $\dim \mathcal{X}_\theta(n\delta_\ell) = 2n$, $\dim \mathcal{X}_{\theta'}(n'\delta_\ell) = 2n'$ and $n' < n$. \square

Lemma 3.30. — *Assume that θ is J -standard. Then we have $\mathbf{d} \in E_\theta$ if and only if we have*

$$\mathbf{d} = \text{Res}_\ell(\nu) + r\delta_\ell$$

with $r \geq 0$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{C}_\ell \cap \mathcal{C}_J$.

Proof. — The parabolic subgroup W_J of W_ℓ^{aff} is the stabilizer of θ in W_ℓ^{aff} . Write $\mathbf{d} = \text{Res}_\ell(\nu) + r\delta_\ell$ as in (2.6), we have $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{C}_\ell$.

Assume $\mathbf{d} \in E_\theta$. Then Lemma 3.29 implies that $r \geq 0$ and that we can find $x \in W_\ell^{\text{aff}}$ (see Remark 3.4) such that $x(\mathbf{d}) = r\delta_\ell$ and such that x is the shortest element in the coset $xW_J \in W_\ell^{\text{aff}}/W_J$.

Let w be the shortest element in $x^{-1}W_\ell$. We have $\nu = x^{-1}(\emptyset) = w(\emptyset)$. Assume that ν is not a J -core. Then we have $|s_i(\nu)| < |\nu|$ for some $i \in J$, this corresponds to the case (3) in Remark 2.6. Then Lemma 2.7 implies $l(s_i w) < l(w)$. Then we also have $l(s_i x^{-1}) < l(x^{-1})$ or equivalently $l(x s_i) < l(x)$. This contradicts to the fact that x is the shortest element in xW_J . Then ν must be a J -core.

Now, assume that we have $\mathbf{d} = \text{Res}_\ell(\nu) + r\delta_\ell$ for $r \geq 0$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{C}_\ell \cap \mathcal{C}_J$. Let w be the element of W_ℓ^{aff} such that $w(\emptyset) = \nu$ and such that w is the shortest element in wW_ℓ . It is enough to prove that w is the shortest element in $W_J w$. Indeed, if we prove this, then by Remark 3.4 we have $(\mathbf{d}, \theta) \sim (w^{-1}(\mathbf{d}), w^{-1}(\theta)) = (r\delta_\ell, w^{-1}(\theta))$ and then by Lemma 3.29 we have $\mathbf{d} \in E_\theta$.

Since ν is a J -core, for each $i \in J$ we have $|s_i(\nu)| \geq |\nu|$. This means that for each $i \in J$, we are either in the situation (1) or in the situation (2) of Remark 2.6. In both cases Lemma 2.7 yields $l(s_i w) > l(w)$. \square

Remark 3.31. — Assume that θ is J -standard and fix $\mathbf{d} \in E_\theta$. By the lemma above, we can write \mathbf{d} in the form $\mathbf{d} = \text{Res}_\ell(\nu) + n\delta_\ell$ with $n \geq 0$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{C}_\ell \cap \mathcal{C}_J$. Then by Lemma 3.29, the couple (\mathbf{d}, θ) is equivalent to $(n\delta_\ell, \theta')$ for some $\theta' \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}}$. Then Lemma 3.9 implies that the variety $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\text{Res}_\ell(\nu) + n\delta_\ell)$ is normal of dimension $2n$.

We see that the elements of E_θ are in bijection with the couples (ν, r) where ν is an ℓ -core that is a J -core and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Assume that θ is J -standard. Then we have a partial order \succcurlyeq on E_θ given by $\mathbf{d} \succcurlyeq \mathbf{d}'$ if $\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{d}' \in \sum_{j \in J} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \alpha_j$. In other words, we have $\mathbf{d} \succcurlyeq \mathbf{d}'$ if and only if $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}'}^{\mathbf{d}} \neq \emptyset$. Using the bijection above, we may consider the order \succcurlyeq as an order on the set $(\mathcal{C}_\ell \cap \mathcal{C}_J) \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Lemma 3.32. — *We have $(\nu_1, r_1) \succcurlyeq (\nu_2, r_2)$ if and only if we have $r_1 \geq r_2$ and there exists a partition $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{\nu_1}[|\nu_1| + \ell(r_1 - r_2)]$ such that $\text{Core}_J(\lambda) = \nu_2$.*

Proof. — Assume $(\nu_1, r_1) \succcurlyeq (\nu_2, r_2)$. Then we have $\dim \mathcal{X}_\theta(\text{Res}_\ell(\nu_1) + r_1 \delta_\ell) = 2r_1$ and $\dim \mathcal{X}_\theta(\text{Res}_\ell(\nu_2) + r_2 \delta_\ell) = 2r_2$ by Remark 3.31. By Corollary 3.18 and its proof, the normalization of the closure of the symplectic leaf $\mathfrak{L}_{\text{Res}_\ell(\nu_2) + r_2 \delta_\ell}^{\text{Res}_\ell(\nu_1) + r_1 \delta_\ell}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\text{Res}_\ell(\nu_2) + r_2 \delta_\ell)$. In particular,

$$\dim \mathcal{X}_\theta(\text{Res}_\ell(\nu_1) + r_1 \delta_\ell) \geq \dim \mathfrak{L}_{\text{Res}_\ell(\nu_2) + r_2 \delta_\ell}^{\text{Res}_\ell(\nu_1) + r_1 \delta_\ell}$$

implies $r_1 \geq r_2$.

Now, $(\nu_1, r_1) \succcurlyeq (\nu_2, r_2)$ implies $\text{Res}_\ell(\nu_1) + r_1 \delta_\ell \succcurlyeq \text{Res}_\ell(\nu_2) + r_2 \delta_\ell$ and then $(\nu_1, r_1 - r_2) \succcurlyeq (\nu_2, 0)$. This means that the variety $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\text{Res}_\ell(\nu_1) + (r_1 - r_2) \delta_\ell)$ has a symplectic leaf $\mathfrak{L}_{\text{Res}_\ell(\nu_2)}^{\text{Res}_\ell(\nu_1) + (r_1 - r_2) \delta_\ell}$. This symplectic leaf is 0-dimensional, so it is a \mathbb{C}^\times -fixed point. Then by §3.G, this should be a point of the form $[A'_\lambda]$ for some $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{\nu_1}[|\nu_1| + \ell(r_1 - r_2)]$. By Corollary 3.23 we have $\dim^{\text{reg}}(A'_\lambda) = \text{Res}_\ell(\text{Core}_J(\lambda))$. Then $[A'_\lambda] \in \mathfrak{L}_{\text{Res}_\ell(\nu_2)}^{\text{Res}_\ell(\nu_1) + (r_1 - r_2) \delta_\ell}$ implies $\text{Core}_J(\lambda) = \nu_2$.

Inversly, if $r_1 \geq r_2$ and if there exists such a partition λ , then the \mathbb{C}^\times -fixed point $[A'_\lambda]$ of $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\text{Res}_\ell(\nu_1) + (r_1 - r_2) \delta_\ell)$ is a simplectic leaf. Since $\dim^{\text{reg}}(A'_\lambda) = \text{Res}_\ell(\text{Core}_J(\lambda)) = \text{Res}_\ell(\nu_2)$, this is the symplectic leaf $\mathfrak{L}_{\text{Res}_\ell(\nu_2)}^{\text{Res}_\ell(\nu_1) + (r_1 - r_2) \delta_\ell}$. Then we have $(\nu_1, r_1 - r_2) \succcurlyeq (\nu_2, 0)$. This implies $\text{Res}_\ell(\nu_1) + (r_1 - r_2) \delta_\ell \succcurlyeq \text{Res}_\ell(\nu_2)$ and then $(\nu_1, r_1) \succcurlyeq (\nu_2, r_2)$. \square

Assume that θ is J -standard and $\mathbf{d} \in E_\theta$. Write $\mathbf{d} = \text{Res}_\ell(\nu) + n \delta_\ell$, $\nu \in \mathcal{C}_\ell \cap \mathcal{C}_J$, $n \geq 0$.

Corollary 3.33. — *For $\mathbf{d}' \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$, the following conditions are equivalent.*

(a) *We have $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}'}^{\mathbf{d}} \neq \emptyset$.*

(b) *There exists a partition $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_\nu[n'\ell + |\nu|]$ for some $n' \in [0; n]$ such that we have $\mathbf{d}' = \text{Res}_\ell(\text{Core}_J(\lambda)) + (n - n') \delta_\ell$.*

Proof. — Write $\mathbf{d}' = \text{Res}_\ell(\nu') + r' \delta_\ell$. Then $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}'}^{\mathbf{d}} \neq \emptyset$ is equivalent to $(\nu, n) \succcurlyeq (\nu', r')$. By the lemma above, this is equivalent to $n \geq r'$ and the existence of a partition $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_\nu[\ell(n - r') + |\nu|]$ such that $\text{Core}_J(\lambda) = \nu'$. Moreover, the condition $\text{Core}_J(\lambda) = \nu'$ is equivalent to $\text{Res}_\ell(\text{Core}_J(\lambda)) = \text{Res}_\ell(\nu') = \mathbf{d}' - r' \delta_\ell$. Now we see that (a) is equivalent to (b) with $n' = n - r'$. \square

In particular, we see that the simplectic leaves of $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d})$ are parametrized by ℓ -cores of J -cores of elements of $\mathcal{P}_\nu[n'\ell + |\nu|]$ for $n' \in [0; n]$. Note that by Lemma 2.11, that ℓ -cores of J -cores are also J -cores.

In other words, the symplectic leaves of $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d})$ are parameterized by a subset of the set $\mathcal{C}_\ell \cap \mathcal{C}_J$. This subset is the image of the set $\coprod_{n'=0}^n \mathcal{P}_\nu[n'\ell + |\nu|]$ by the map $\text{Core}_\ell \circ \text{Core}_J$.

Since each couple $(n \delta_\ell, \theta)$ is equivalent to some couple of the form (\mathbf{d}, θ') such that θ' is J -standard for some J and $\mathbf{d} \in E_{\theta'}$ (see Lemma 3.29), the description above gives a parameterization of the symplectic leaves of an arbitrary Calogero-Moser space of type $G(\ell, 1, n)$ with $a \neq 0$.

Example 3.34. — Assume $\ell = 2$. In this case the set \mathcal{C}_2 of 2-cores is labelled by non-negative integers. We have $\mathcal{C}_2 = \{\nu_m, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ where ν_m is the partition $\nu_m = (m, m-1, m-2, \dots, 2, 1)$ of $m(m+1)/2$. The two possible non-trivial examples of J are $J_0 = \{0\}$ and $J_1 = \{1\}$. Then the 2-cores $\nu_2, \nu_4, \nu_6, \dots$ are J_0 -cores and not J_1 -cores, the 2-cores $\nu_1, \nu_3, \nu_5, \dots$ are J_1 -cores and not J_0 -cores, the 2-core $\nu_0 = \emptyset$ is a J_0 -core and a J_1 -core.

Assume that θ is J -standard and $\mathbf{d} \in E_\theta$. Assume $J = J_1$ and write $\mathbf{d} = \text{Res}_2(\nu_m) + n\delta_2$. Since ν_m must be a J_1 -core, the number m must be odd or zero. Assume that m is odd.

Let us see which subset of $\mathcal{C}_2 \cap \mathcal{C}_J$ parameterizes the symplectic leaves of $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d})$ in this case. If $n \leq m+1$, then the only possible ν' that we may get is $\nu' = \nu_m$. This is the case where the variety $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d})$ is smooth. If $n \geq m+2$ then it is also possible to get $\nu' = \nu_{m+2}$. If $n \geq 2(m+3)$ then it is also possible to get $\nu' = \nu_{m+4}$, etc. If $n \geq k(m+1+k)$ then it is also possible to get $\nu' = \nu_{m+2k}$. Finally, we see that the symplectic leaves of $\mathcal{X}_\theta(\mathbf{d})$ are labelled by the following subset of $\mathcal{C}_2 \cap \mathcal{C}_J$: $\{\nu_m, \nu_{m+2}, \nu_{m+4}, \dots, \nu_{m+2k}\}$ where k is the maximal nonnegative integer such that $n \geq k(m+1+k)$.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Cédric Bonnafé for many helpful discussions during my work on this paper. I would also like to thank Dario Mathiä for his comments.

References

- [1] G. BELLAMY & T. SCHEDLER, *Symplectic resolutions of quiver varieties*, *Selecta Mathematica* **27**, Article number: 36, 2021.
- [2] C. BERG, B. JONES & M. VAZIRANI, *A bijection on core partitions and a parabolic quotient of the affine symmetric group*, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, **116(8)**, 1344-1360, 2009.
- [3] C. BONNAFÉ, *Automorphisms and symplectic leaves of Calogero-Moser spaces*, preprint arXiv:2112.12405, 2021.
- [4] C. BONNAFÉ & R. MAKSIMAU, *Fixed points in smooth Calogero-Moser spaces*, *Annales de l'Institut Fourier* **71(2)**, 643-678, 2021.
- [5] W. CRAWLEY-BOEVEY, *Geometry of the moment map for representations of quivers*, *Compo. Math.* **126(3)**, 257-293, 2001.
- [6] W. CRAWLEY-BOEVEY, *Decomposition of Marsden-Weinstein reductions for representations of quivers*, *Compo. Math.* **130(2)**, 225-239, 2002.
- [7] P. ETINGOF & V. GINZBURG, *Symplectic reflection algebras, Calogero-Moser space, and deformed Harish-Chandra homomorphism*, *Inventiones Mathematicae* **147(2)**, 243-348, 2002.
- [8] I. GORDON, *Quiver varieties, category \mathcal{O} for rational Cherednik algebras, and Hecke algebras*, *Int. Math. Res. Papers*, 69 pages, 2008.
- [9] V. G. KAC, *Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras - An Introduction*, Birkhäuser, 1983.
- [10] G. LUSZTIG, *Quiver varieties and Weyl group actions*, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **50**, 461-489, 2000.
- [11] M. MARTINO, *Symplectic reflection algebras and Poisson geometry*, *Doctoral dissertation, Pro-Quest Dissertations & Theses*, 2006.
- [12] T. PRZEZDZIECKI, *The combinatorics of \mathbb{C}^* -fixed points in generalized Calogero-Moser spaces and Hilbert schemes*, *Journal of Algebra*, **556**, 936-992, 2020.

July 12, 2022

RUSLAN MAKSIMAU, Institut Montpellierain Alexander Grothendieck (CNRS: UMR 5149), Université de Montpellier, Case Courrier 051, Place Eugène Bataillon, 34095 MONTPELLIER Cedex, FRANCE